

An Elementary Proof of the Signature of Satellite Knots

Daniel Carter

Abstract

We present a proof of Litherland's formula for the Tristram-Levine signature of a satellite knot in terms of its constituents. Litherland's original proof used more advanced algebraic techniques, while ours uses only linear algebra and some basic results in knot theory.

1 Background

First, recall the definition of a satellite knot and the Tristram-Levine signature. A (nontrivial) *satellite knot* is obtained as follows:

1. Embed a knot K in the solid torus $T = S^1 \times D^2$. For nontrivial satellite knots we require that there is no simply-connected subset of the solid torus containing the knot and K is not isotopic (in the solid torus) to the central S^1 of the solid torus.
2. Take the image of K under a homeomorphism taking T to the (solid) tubular neighborhood of another knot J . We require that this homeomorphism is "untwisted," that is, linking numbers between any two closed curves in T are preserved in the image.

Here, K together with the embedding into T is called the *pattern* and J is called the *companion knot*. A special class of satellites are those with K = the (p, q) torus knot embedded in the standard way onto the surface of the torus. This is called the (p, q) *cable* of J (see Chapter 1 in [Lic97]).

The *Tristram-Levine signature* is a knot invariant defined as the signature of the matrix $(1 - \omega)M - (1 - \bar{\omega})M^T$, where M is any Seifert matrix of the knot and ω is a complex number with $|\omega| = 1$. The fact that this signature is the same for all Seifert surfaces of a knot follows by considering the effect from performing surgery along an arc to transform one Seifert surface to another; see Theorem 8.9 in [Lic97]. The signature of a knot K is denoted by $\sigma_\omega(K)$.

We prove the following useful formula relating the Tristram-Levine signature of a satellite knot to the signatures of the constituent knots:

Theorem 1. *If K' is a satellite of J by K and n is the winding number of the embedding of K in the solid torus, then*

$$\sigma_\omega(K') = \sigma_\omega(K) + \sigma_{\omega^n}(J).$$

This formula was proven by Litherland in 1979 ([Lit79]) in order to study algebraic knots, which are a subset of the set of cables of cables of ... of torus knots. This was spurred by Rudolph's question about the independence of algebraic knots in the concordance group [Rud76]. Litherland's proof of the formula for the signature of a satellite knot uses algebraic techniques. We provide a proof which uses only linear algebra and some basic results of knot theory.

2 Lemmas

We will need the following four lemmas. First, a fact of linear algebra:

Lemma 1. *Suppose M is a Hermitian matrix and M' is obtained from M by one of the following operations:*

- *Add z times row r_i to r_j , then \bar{z} times column c_i to c_j for some $z \in \mathbb{C}$.*
- *Replace row r_i with zr_i , then column c_i with $\bar{z}c_i$ for some $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.*

Then M' is also Hermitian, and if $\det(M) \neq 0$ then $\det(M') \neq 0$ and $\text{sgn}(M) = \text{sgn}(M')$.

Proof. This is a special case of Sylvester's law of inertia for complex matrices; note that both of the operations above are congruences. \square

Then we will need the following three facts from knot theory. All of these may be found in [Lic97].

Lemma 2 (Part of the proof of Theorem 6.10(ii) in [Lic97]). *For an appropriate choice of generators of the Seifert surface homology, if A is the Seifert matrix associated to those generators, then*

$$A - A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where the number of $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ blocks on the diagonal is equal to the genus of the Seifert surface.

Lemma 3 (Part of the proof of Theorem 6.15 in [Lic97]). *If K' is a satellite of J by K and n is the winding number of the embedding of K in the solid torus, then a Seifert matrix A for K' is given by the block matrix*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} M & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & N & N & \dots & N \\ 0 & N^T & N & \dots & N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & N^T & N^T & \dots & N \end{bmatrix}$$

where M is any Seifert matrix for K and N any Seifert matrix for J , and there are $n \times n$ copies of N and N^T .

Lemma 4 (Theorem 6.15 in [Lic97]). *If K' is a satellite of J by K and n is the winding number of the embedding of K in the solid torus, then*

$$\Delta_{K'}(t) \doteq \Delta_K(t) \Delta_J(t^n)$$

where Δ is the Alexander polynomial and \doteq means equal up to a factor of t^k .

3 Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of Lemma 4 essentially amounts to three steps after pulling out the $\det(tM - M^T)$ term from the matrix obtained by Lemma 3:

1. Obtain $t^n N - N^T$ in the matrix by row operations.
2. Zero out the other blocks in the same row as the $t^n N - N^T$ by column operations.
3. Pull out the $\det(t^n N - N^T)$ term and compute that the determinant of what remains is a unit.

Our proof is similar, though it is more difficult because the signature is only preserved under congruences (as opposed to the determinant, which changes predictably with arbitrary row/column operations). In particular, we do the following after pulling out a $\text{sgn}((1 - \omega)M + (1 - \bar{\omega})M^T)$ term:

1. Obtain (a multiple of) $(1 - \omega^n)N + (1 - \bar{\omega}^n)N^T$ in the matrix by congruence.
2. Zero out the other blocks in the same row and column as $(1 - \omega^n)N + (1 - \bar{\omega}^n)N^T$ by congruence.
3. Pull out the $\text{sgn}((1 - \omega^n)N + (1 - \bar{\omega}^n)N^T)$ term and compute that the signature of the remaining matrix is zero.

In the first and third steps, there are some additional subtleties not found in the proof of Lemma 4. For the first step, there are some exceptional ω which make the determinant vanish; these are dealt with by applying Lemma 4. For the third step, we involve an additional congruence to get the matrix into a form where the signature may be readily calculated using Lemma 2.

Now here is the proof of Theorem 1:

Proof. Let A be the Seifert matrix for K' described in Lemma 3. Now consider

$$(1-\omega)A + (1-\bar{\omega})A^T = ((1-\omega)M + (1-\bar{\omega})M^T) \oplus \begin{bmatrix} (1-\omega)N + (1-\bar{\omega})N^T & (1-\omega)N + (1-\bar{\omega})N & \cdots & (1-\omega)N + (1-\bar{\omega})N \\ (1-\omega)N^T + (1-\bar{\omega})N^T & (1-\omega)N + (1-\bar{\omega})N^T & \cdots & (1-\omega)N + (1-\bar{\omega})N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (1-\omega)N^T + (1-\bar{\omega})N^T & (1-\omega)N^T + (1-\bar{\omega})N^T & \cdots & (1-\omega)N + (1-\bar{\omega})N^T \end{bmatrix},$$

with \oplus the direct sum of matrices. The signature of this is the signature of $(1-\omega)M + (1-\bar{\omega})M^T$ plus the signature of the second block matrix, which we will call B . We will perform some carefully chosen row/column operations on B so that the signature does not change, guaranteed by Lemma 1.

Let X be the (block) row matrix given by $\sum_{k=1}^n (\omega^{1-k} + \cdots + \omega^{n-k}) \times (\text{block row } k \text{ of } B)$. Let Y be the (block) column matrix given by $\sum_{k=1}^n (\bar{\omega}^{1-k} + \cdots + \bar{\omega}^{n-k}) \times (\text{block column } k \text{ of } B)$. Observe by a simple telescoping argument that all the blocks of X and Y are just $(1-\omega^n)N + (1-\bar{\omega}^n)N^T$. Replace the first row and column of B with X and Y , except the top-left block, which is twice $(1-\omega^n)N + (1-\bar{\omega}^n)N^T$; this corresponds to doing all the row operations necessary to make the first row X and all the column operations to make the first column Y . Order these operations so you first replace block row 1 with

$$1 + \omega + \cdots + \omega^{n-1}$$

times itself and block column 1 with

$$1 + \bar{\omega} + \cdots + \bar{\omega}^{n-1}$$

times itself. This corresponds to a matrix operation of the second type from Lemma 1 as long as $1 + \omega + \cdots + \omega^{n-1} \neq 0$, in which case the signature is unchanged. This value is zero exactly when ω is an n th root of unity other than 1. But if ω is an n th root of unity, note by factoring $(1-\omega)A + (1-\bar{\omega})A^T = (1-\omega)(A - \bar{\omega}A^T)$ that the value of σ as a function of ω can only change at zeros of the Alexander polynomial, and by Lemma 4, $\Delta_{K'}(t) \doteq \Delta_K(t)\Delta_J(t^n)$. Set $t = \omega$ and note that $\Delta_J(\omega^n) = \Delta_J(1) \neq 0$ so $\Delta_J(t^n)$ does not have a zero at any n th root of unity, which is sufficient for this case.

The other row/column operations are the first type from Lemma 1, so they also do not change the signature.

Our matrix now looks like

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2((1-\omega^n)N + (1-\bar{\omega}^n)N^T) & (1-\omega^n)N + (1-\bar{\omega}^n)N^T & \cdots & (1-\omega^n)N + (1-\bar{\omega}^n)N^T \\ (1-\omega^n)N + (1-\bar{\omega}^n)N^T & (1-\omega)N + (1-\bar{\omega})N^T & \cdots & (1-\omega)N + (1-\bar{\omega})N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (1-\omega^n)N + (1-\bar{\omega}^n)N^T & (1-\omega)N^T + (1-\bar{\omega})N^T & \cdots & (1-\omega)N + (1-\bar{\omega})N^T \end{bmatrix}.$$

Subtract half of row 1 and half of column 1 from the rest of the matrix. The result is

$$(2((1-\omega^n)N + (1-\bar{\omega}^n)N^T)) \oplus \begin{bmatrix} D & U & U & \cdots & U \\ L & D & U & \cdots & U \\ L & L & D & \cdots & U \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ L & L & L & \cdots & D \end{bmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} D &= (\omega^n - \omega)N + (\bar{\omega}^n - \bar{\omega})N^T \\ U &= (\omega^n - \omega - \bar{\omega} + 1)N + (\bar{\omega}^n - 1)N^T \\ L &= (\omega^n - 1)N + (\bar{\omega}^n - \bar{\omega} - \omega + 1)N^T. \end{aligned}$$

The signature is unchanged, and the latter matrix in the direct sum above is now $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ blocks; e.g. the first block row is one D and $(n-2)$ U 's.

So the signature of the matrix in question is the signature of $2((1-\omega^n)N + (1-\bar{\omega}^n)N^T)$ plus the signature of the rest, which we will call C . Subtract $\frac{1}{2(n-2)}$ times each block row and column of C from every other block row and column. This does not change the signature. The new diagonal blocks are equal to

$$\frac{1}{2}(-\omega + \bar{\omega})(N - N^T).$$

The blocks immediately above the diagonal are

$$\left(-\frac{n-3}{2(n-2)}\omega - \frac{n-1}{2(n-2)}\bar{\omega} + \frac{n-2}{n-2}\right)(N - N^T).$$

The blocks above that are

$$\left(-\frac{n-4}{2(n-2)}\omega - \frac{n-2}{2(n-2)}\bar{\omega} + \frac{n-3}{n-2}\right)(N - N^T)$$

since they incorporate one more U term and one less L term. This pattern continues, so that a block d above the diagonal is now

$$\left(-\frac{n-2-d}{2(n-2)}\omega - \frac{n-d}{2(n-2)}\bar{\omega} + \frac{n-1-d}{n-2}\right)(N - N^T).$$

The matrix is still Hermitian, so a block d below the diagonal is

$$\left(\frac{n-2-d}{2(n-2)}\bar{\omega} + \frac{n-d}{2(n-2)}\omega - \frac{n-1-d}{n-2}\right)(N - N^T).$$

This means the new matrix is equal to the Kronecker product

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(-\omega + \bar{\omega}) & -\frac{n-3}{2(n-2)}\omega - \frac{n-1}{2(n-2)}\bar{\omega} + \frac{n-2}{n-2} & \cdots \\ \frac{n-3}{2(n-2)}\bar{\omega} + \frac{n-1}{2(n-2)}\omega - \frac{n-2}{n-2} & \frac{1}{2}(-\omega + \bar{\omega}) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \otimes (N - N^T).$$

The eigenvalues of this are equal to the pairwise products of eigenvalues of the two matrices. Notice that the first matrix is skew-Hermitian, so its eigenvalues are all pure imaginary. By Lemma 2, the latter matrix is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for appropriate choice of generators of the Seifert surface homology. This has eigenvalues i and $-i$ with equal multiplicities. Hence the eigenvalues of the Kronecker product come in $+/-$ pairs with equal multiplicity, so the signature of C is 0.

We have shown

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sgn}((1-\omega)A + (1-\bar{\omega})A^T) &= \text{sgn}((1-\omega)M + (1-\bar{\omega})M^T) + \text{sgn}(2((1-\omega^n)N + (1-\bar{\omega}^n)N^T)) \\ &= \text{sgn}((1-\omega)M + (1-\bar{\omega})M^T) + \text{sgn}((1-\omega^n)N + (1-\bar{\omega}^n)N^T) \\ \sigma_\omega(K') &= \sigma_\omega(K) + \sigma_{\omega^n}(J). \end{aligned}$$

□

4 Closing Remarks

One might compare this proof to [Shi71], in which the special case $\omega = -1$ is proven also using essentially only linear algebra. It appears, however, that Shinohara's proof does not generalize; in particular, the structure of the matrix obtained after the congruence fundamentally depends on the parity of n , so Shinohara obtains specifically the formula

$$\sigma_{-1}(K') = \begin{cases} \sigma_{-1}(K) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \sigma_{-1}(K) + \sigma_{-1}(J) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

as a result. For other ω , the signature formula depends on more than just the parity of n , so a different matrix congruence must be used.

Litherland also remarks that the result holds if K is a link as well, with the exception when ω is an n th root of unity, where the formula may be off by up to $\pm 2(m-1)$ where m is the number of components of K . This is clear in our proof as well; the exception occurs when $1 + \omega + \cdots + \omega^{n-1}$ is zero (that is, ω is an n th root of unity other than 1) because the use of Theorem 6.15 from [Lic97] is only justified when K is a knot, not a link.

5 Acknowledgements

Thanks to Ian Zemke for identifying some minor errors in the proof of Theorem 1 and Ollie Thakar for feedback and encouragement.

The author is extremely grateful to Jae Choon Cha for bringing his paper [CK02] to the author's attention after the initial arXiv submission of this paper, and for his kind words. See the addendum below for a discussion of [CK02] as it relates to this work.

6 Addendum

In Lemma 2.2 in [CK02], it is shown that what we call matrix B is congruent to (in our notation)

$$\frac{\omega^n N - N^T}{\omega^n - 1} \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^n \frac{\omega^{k+1} - 1}{(\omega - 1)(\omega^k - 1)} (N - N^T).$$

The first term here is $\frac{1}{2-\omega-\bar{\omega}}$ (a real number) times $(1-\omega^n)N + (1-\bar{\omega}^n)N^T$, so they have same signature. The signature of all the other summands is 0. Cha and Ko find this congruence iteratively, one term at a time. Contrast this with our method, where we do our whole congruence in a few large steps.

Note that the large direct sum above is equal to the Kronecker product

$$\Delta \otimes (N - N^T) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\omega^2-1}{(\omega-1)(\bar{\omega}-1)} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \frac{\omega^3-1}{(\omega-1)(\omega^2-1)} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\omega^4-1}{(\omega-1)(\omega^3-1)} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \otimes (N - N^T)$$

where Δ is a pure imaginary diagonal matrix. Our matrix C was itself congruent to a Kronecker product of a skew-Hermitian matrix S and $(N - N^T)$. Hence diagonalizing iS (a Hermitian matrix) and scaling the diagonal entries appropriately should result in $i\Delta$ (a real diagonal matrix). If you do this diagonalization process entry-by-entry and compose that process with our congruence, you should get exactly Cha and Ko's method.

The result in [CK02] is actually more general in two main ways:

- Let $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$. Replace N^T by εN^T everywhere. The convention that the signature of a skew-Hermitian matrix is equal to the signature of i times the matrix. The resulting signature formula includes a term involving $\text{sgn}(N - \varepsilon N^T)$; in the $\varepsilon = 1$ case (our case) this signature is zero so this term does not appear.
- Replace some $n - u$ diagonal blocks of B with $(1 - \omega)\varepsilon N^T + (1 - \bar{\omega})N$. By rearranging the rows and columns, these can be taken to be the last $n - u$ blocks, so blocks 1 through u are unchanged. The resulting signature formula replaces n with $2u - n$.

The iterative method in [CK02] lends itself well to these generalizations. Our proof can also handle these generalizations to an extent. In particular:

- If $\varepsilon = -1$ then exactly the same congruences work, just everywhere replacing N^T with $-N^T$. The resulting formula is $\text{sgn}(B) = \text{sgn}((1 - \omega^n)N - (1 - \bar{\omega}^n)N^T) + \text{sgn}(S) \text{sgn}(N + N^T)$. It is easy to verify that $\text{sgn}(A \otimes B) = \pm \text{sgn}(A) \text{sgn}(B)$ where A and B are (skew-)Hermitian, taking the $+$ sign if at least one is Hermitian and the $-$ sign if both are skew-Hermitian. Unfortunately, it does not seem there is an easy way to compute $\text{sgn}(S)$ other than by diagonalization. The answer, in [CK02], is $\text{sgn}(S) = n + 1 - 2\lceil \frac{nx}{2\pi} \rceil$ where $\omega = e^{ix}$ with $0 \leq x \leq 2\pi$, unless $\frac{nx}{2\pi}$ is an integer in which case it is this expression minus 1. At $x = 0$, $\text{sgn}(S) = 0$. This may be seen fairly easily from Δ , but given only S it is somewhat surprising the signature has such a simple expression.
- If the last $n - u$ diagonal blocks are replaced with $\varepsilon((1 - \omega)N^T + (1 - \bar{\omega})N)$, then replace X in the first step with

$$\sum_{k=1}^u (\omega^{1-k} + \cdots + \omega^{2u-n-k}) \times (\text{block row } k \text{ of } B) - \sum_{k=u+1}^n (\omega^{k-2u} + \cdots + \omega^{k-n-1}) \times (\text{block row } k \text{ of } B)$$

and modify Y similarly. After this, perform the same step of subtracting half of row 1 and half of column 1 from the rest. The result is now

$$(2((1 - \omega^{2u-n})N + (1 - \bar{\omega}^{2u-n})N^T)) \oplus \begin{bmatrix} D_2 & U & U & \cdots & U \\ L & D_3 & U & \cdots & U \\ L & L & D_4 & \cdots & U \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ L & L & L & \cdots & D_n \end{bmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} D_k &= \begin{cases} (\omega^n - \omega)N + (\bar{\omega}^n - \bar{\omega})N^T, & k \leq u \\ (\omega^n - \bar{\omega})N + (\bar{\omega}^n - \omega)N^T, & k > u \end{cases} \\ U &= (\omega^{2u-n} - \omega - \bar{\omega} + 1)N + (\bar{\omega}^{2u-n} - 1)N^T \\ L &= (\omega^{2u-n} - 1)N + (\bar{\omega}^{2u-n} - \bar{\omega} - \omega + 1)N^T. \end{aligned}$$

As before, subtracting $\frac{1}{2(n-2)}$ times each block row and column from every other block row and column will result in a Kronecker product of a matrix S and $(N - N^T)$. Now the first $u-1$ diagonal entries of S are $\frac{1}{2}(-\omega + \bar{\omega})$ and the last $n-u$ are $\frac{1}{2}(\omega - \bar{\omega})$. The entries d above a diagonal have three different forms. If the block was right of D_i and above D_j prior to this last step, then the corresponding entry of S is now

$$\begin{cases} \frac{n-2-d}{2(n-2)}\bar{\omega} + \frac{n-d}{2(n-2)}\omega - \frac{n-1-d}{n-2}, & i \leq u \text{ and } j \leq u \\ \frac{n-1-d}{2(n-2)}\bar{\omega} + \frac{n-1-d}{2(n-2)}\omega - \frac{n-1-d}{n-2}, & i \leq u \text{ and } j > u \\ \frac{n-d}{2(n-2)}\bar{\omega} + \frac{n-2-d}{2(n-2)}\omega - \frac{n-1-d}{n-2}, & i > u \text{ and } j > u. \end{cases}$$

The entries below the diagonal take a similar form; note S is skew-Hermitian. Thus we find that the signature of B is equal to $\text{sgn}((1 - \omega^{2u-n})N + (1 - \bar{\omega}^{2u-n})N^T)$.

In the case $\varepsilon = -1$, the same steps give that the signature is $\text{sgn}((1 - \omega^n)N - (1 - \bar{\omega}^n)N^T) + \text{sgn}(S)\text{sgn}(N + N^T)$ as expected, but once again it does not seem there is an easy way to compute $\text{sgn}(S)$. It turns out (from [CK02]) that $\text{sgn}(S)$ has the same formula as before, just replacing n with $2u - n$.

References

- [CK02] Jae Choon Cha and Ki Hyoung Ko. Signatures of links in rational homology spheres. *Topology*, 41:1161–1182, 2002.
- [Lic97] W. B. Lickorish. *An Introduction to Knot Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 1997.
- [Lit79] R. A. Litherland. Signatures of iterated torus knots. In Roger Fenn, editor, *Topology of Low-Dimensional Manifolds*, pages 71–84. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1979.
- [Rud76] Lee Rudolph. How independent are the knot-cobordism classes of links of plane curve singularities? In *Notices of the American Mathematical Society*, volume 23, page 410, 1976.
- [Shi71] Yaichi Shinohara. On the signature of knots and links. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 156:273–285, 1971.