

PRODUCT FORMULAS FOR HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS OVER FINITE FIELDS

NORIYUKI OTSUBO AND TAKATO SENOUE

ABSTRACT. Many product formulas are known classically for generalized hypergeometric functions over the complex numbers. In this paper, we establish some analogous formulas for generalized hypergeometric functions over finite fields.

1. INTRODUCTION

A generalized hypergeometric function ${}_rF_s(x)$ over the complex numbers is defined by the power series

$${}_rF_s \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix}; x \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (a_i)_n}{(1)_n \prod_{i=1}^s (b_i)_n} x^n,$$

where a_i and b_i are complex parameters with $-b_i \notin \mathbb{N}$, and

$$(a)_n = \Gamma(a+n)/\Gamma(a) = a(a+1) \cdots (a+n-1)$$

is the Pochhammer symbol (cf. [3]).

Over a finite field, there are several definitions of analogous functions, due to Koblitz [8], Greene [6], McCarthy [9], Fuselier–Long–Ramakrishna–Swisher–Tu [5] when $r = s + 1$, and to Katz [7] and the first author [10] in general. Here we use the last one. Such a function is a map from a finite field κ to \mathbb{C} , where the parameters are multiplicative characters of κ . See Section 2 for the definition and [10, Remark 2.12] for the relation with other definitions.

Over the complex numbers, there are many product formulas expressing a product of two hypergeometric functions in terms of another one. Bailey [1, (2.01)–(2.12)] gave the following list of twelve formulas. Here, all but (1.6) involve ${}_rF_s$ -functions with $r \neq s + 1$: note that ${}_0F_0(x) = e^x$ and ${}_1F_0 \left(\begin{matrix} a \\ \end{matrix}; x \right) = (1-x)^{-a}$.

$$(1.1) \quad e^{-x} {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a \\ b \end{matrix}; x \right) = {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} b-a \\ b \end{matrix}; -x \right).$$

$$(1.2) \quad e^{-\frac{x}{2}} {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a \\ 2a \end{matrix}; x \right) = {}_0F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a \\ a + \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}; \frac{x^2}{16} \right).$$

$$(1.3) \quad {}_0F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \\ 2a \end{matrix}; x \right) {}_0F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \\ 2b \end{matrix}; x \right) = {}_2F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} a+b, a+b-\frac{1}{2} \\ 2a, 2b, 2a+2b-1 \end{matrix}; 4x \right).$$

$$(1.4) \quad {}_0F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \\ 2a \end{matrix}; x \right) {}_0F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \\ 2a \end{matrix}; -x \right) = {}_0F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} \\ 2a, a, a + \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}; -\frac{x^2}{4} \right).$$

Date: March 8, 2022.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11T24, 11L05, 33C05, 33C20.

Key words and phrases. Hypergeometric functions, Finite fields, Exponential sums, Zeta functions.

$$(1.5) \quad {}_0F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} 2a \\ 2a \end{matrix}; x \right) {}_0F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} 2-2a \\ 2-2a \end{matrix}; -x \right) \\ = {}_0F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, a + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} - a \\ \frac{3}{2} \end{matrix}; -\frac{x^2}{4} \right) + \frac{1-2a}{2a(1-a)} x {}_0F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{3}{2}, a+1, 2-a \\ \frac{5}{2} \end{matrix}; -\frac{x^2}{4} \right).$$

$$(1.6) \quad (1-x)^{a+b-c} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix}; x \right) = {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} c-a, c-b \\ c \end{matrix}; x \right).$$

$$(1.7) \quad {}_2F_0 \left(\begin{matrix} 2a, 2b \\ - \end{matrix}; x \right) {}_2F_0 \left(\begin{matrix} 2a, 2b \\ - \end{matrix}; -x \right) = {}_4F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} 2a, 2b, a+b, a+b+\frac{1}{2} \\ 2a+2b \end{matrix}; 4x^2 \right).$$

$$(1.8) \quad {}_2F_0 \left(\begin{matrix} 2a, 1-2a \\ - \end{matrix}; x \right) {}_2F_0 \left(\begin{matrix} 2b, 1-2b \\ - \end{matrix}; -x \right) \\ = {}_4F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a-b+\frac{1}{2}, b-a+\frac{1}{2}, a+b, 1-a-b \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}; 4x^2 \right) \\ - (2a-2b)(2a+2b-1) x {}_4F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a-b+1, b-a+1, a+b+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}-a-b \\ \frac{3}{2} \end{matrix}; 4x^2 \right).$$

$$(1.9) \quad {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a \\ 2b \end{matrix}; x \right) {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a \\ 2b \end{matrix}; -x \right) = {}_2F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} a, 2b-a \\ 2b, b, b+\frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}; \frac{x^2}{4} \right).$$

$$(1.10) \quad {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a \\ 2b \end{matrix}; x \right) {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a-2b+1 \\ 2-2b \end{matrix}; -x \right) = {}_2F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} a-b+\frac{1}{2}, b-a+\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}, b+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}-b \end{matrix}; \frac{x^2}{4} \right) \\ + \frac{(a-b)(1-2b)}{2b(1-b)} x {}_2F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} a-b+1, b-a+1 \\ \frac{3}{2}, b+1, 2-b \end{matrix}; \frac{x^2}{4} \right).$$

$$(1.11) \quad {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} 2a \\ 4a \end{matrix}; x \right) {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} 2b \\ 4b \end{matrix}; -x \right) = {}_2F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} a+b, a+b+\frac{1}{2} \\ 2a+\frac{1}{2}, 2b+\frac{1}{2}, 2a+2b \end{matrix}; \frac{x^2}{4} \right).$$

$$(1.12) \quad {}_0F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 6a, 6b \\ 6a, 6b \end{matrix}; x \right) {}_0F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 6a, 6b \\ 6a, 6b \end{matrix}; -x \right) \\ = {}_3F_8 \left(\begin{matrix} 2a+2b, 2a+2b+\frac{1}{3}, 2a+2b-\frac{1}{3} \\ 6a, 6b, 3a, 3a+\frac{1}{2}, 3b, 3b+\frac{1}{2}, 3a+3b, 3a+3b-\frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}; -\frac{27x^2}{64} \right).$$

Originally, the formulas (1.1), (1.2) are due to Kummer, (1.6) is due to Euler, (1.9), (1.12) are due to Ramanujan, and (1.10) and a special case of (1.11) are due to Preece (see [1]).

Over a finite field, an analogue of (1.6) was given by Greene [6, Theorem 4.4 (iv)] and analogues of (1.1), (1.2), (1.9) were given by the first author [10] (see Section 3). In this paper, we give the remaining eight formulas. As well as the complex case, our strategy is to compare the “coefficients”, i.e. the Fourier transforms. Then, the proofs reduce to formulas on special values ${}_2F_1(\pm 1)$ and ${}_3F_2(1)$, which are finite analogues of summation formulas of Euler–Gauss, Kummer and Dixon.

For a product of Gauss hypergeometric functions ${}_2F_1(x)$, we have classically Clausen’s formula (cf. [3, 10.1 (4)])

$$(1.13) \quad {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ a+b+\frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}; x \right)^2 = {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 2a, 2b, a+b \\ 2a+2b, a+b+\frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}; x \right),$$

and Bailey's formula [2, (6.1)]

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} 2a, 2b \\ c \end{matrix}; x \right) {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} 2a, 2b \\ a+b-c+1 \end{matrix}; x \right) \\ &= {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} 2a, 2b, a+b, a+b+\frac{1}{2} \\ 2a+2b, c, 2a+2b-c+1 \end{matrix}; 4x(1-x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

A finite analogue of (1.13) was first proved by Evans–Greene [4, Theorem 1.5] and the first author gave another proof [10, Theorem 6.5]. A finite analogue of (1.14) was given recently by Barman–Tripathi [13, Theorem 1.1]. In Section 4, we give a short proof of the last formula (Corollary 4.2).

2. HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS OVER FINITE FIELDS

Let us recall from [10] the definition and some properties of hypergeometric functions over finite fields. Let κ be a finite field of characteristic p with q elements. Fix a non-trivial additive character $\psi \in \text{Hom}(\kappa, \mathbb{C}^*)$. For a multiplicative character $\varphi \in \widehat{\kappa^*} := \text{Hom}(\kappa^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$, we set $\varphi(0) = 0$. Let $\varepsilon \in \widehat{\kappa^*}$ denote the unit character, and $\delta: \widehat{\kappa^*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the characteristic function of ε , i.e. $\delta(\varepsilon) = 1$ and $\delta(\varphi) = 0$ if $\varphi \neq \varepsilon$. The Gauss sum, a finite field analogue of the gamma function, and its variant are defined for $\varphi \in \widehat{\kappa^*}$ by

$$g(\varphi) = - \sum_{x \in \kappa} \psi(x) \varphi(x), \quad g^\circ(\varphi) = q^{\delta(\varphi)} g(\varphi).$$

Note that $g(\varepsilon) = 1$, hence $g^\circ(\varepsilon) = q$. For $\alpha, \nu \in \widehat{\kappa^*}$, define the Pochhammer symbol and its variant by

$$(\alpha)_\nu = g(\alpha\nu)/g(\alpha), \quad (\alpha)_\nu^\circ = g^\circ(\alpha\nu)/g^\circ(\alpha).$$

We have evidently,

$$(2.1) \quad (\alpha)_{\beta\nu} = (\alpha)_\beta (\alpha\beta)_\nu, \quad (\alpha)_{\beta\nu}^\circ = (\alpha)_\beta^\circ (\alpha\beta)_\nu^\circ.$$

An analogue of the reflection formula $\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s) = \pi/\sin \pi s$ is

$$(2.2) \quad g(\varphi)g^\circ(\overline{\varphi}) = q\varphi(-1),$$

where $\overline{\varphi} = \varphi^{-1}$ (cf. [10, Proposition 2.2]), which implies

$$(2.3) \quad (\alpha)_\nu (\overline{\alpha})_{\overline{\nu}}^\circ = \nu(-1).$$

If $n \mid q-1$, we have the Davenport–Hasse multiplication formula

$$(2.4) \quad g(\alpha^n) = \alpha^n(n) \prod_{\varphi \in \widehat{\kappa^*}, \varphi^n = \varepsilon} \frac{g(\alpha\varphi)}{g(\varphi)},$$

(cf. [10, Theorem 3.10]), which implies

$$(2.5) \quad (\alpha^n)_{\nu^n} = \nu^n(n) \prod_{\varphi^n = \varepsilon} (\alpha\varphi)_\nu, \quad (\alpha^n)_{\nu^n}^\circ = \nu^n(n) \prod_{\varphi^n = \varepsilon} (\alpha\varphi)_\nu^\circ.$$

The parameter set, denoted by P , is the free abelian monoid over $\widehat{\kappa^*}$. Let $\deg: P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the degree map. Let $(\ , \) : P \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the symmetric pairing extending $(\alpha, \beta) = \delta(\alpha\overline{\beta})$. Extend the Pochhammer symbols to $\alpha \in P$ by

$$(\alpha)_\nu = \prod_{\alpha \in \widehat{\kappa^*}} (\alpha)_\nu^{(\alpha, \alpha)}, \quad (\alpha)_\nu^\circ = \prod_{\alpha \in \widehat{\kappa^*}} (\alpha)_\nu^{\circ(\alpha, \alpha)}.$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in P$, the hypergeometric function is defined by

$$F(\alpha, \beta; \lambda) = \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{\nu \in \widehat{\kappa^*}} \frac{(\alpha)_\nu}{(\beta)_\nu^\circ} \nu(\lambda).$$

It is a function on κ depending on the choice of ψ , which takes values in the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p(q-1)})$. If $\deg \alpha = \deg \beta$, it is independent of ψ and takes values in $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{q-1})$. Note that $F(\alpha, \beta; 0) = 0$ by definition. When $(\beta, \varepsilon) > 0$, we also write

$${}_rF_s \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \\ \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s \end{matrix}; \lambda \right) = F(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r, \varepsilon + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_s; \lambda).$$

For example, we have [10, Proposition 2.8]

$$(2.6) \quad {}_0F_0(\lambda) = \psi(-\lambda) \quad (\lambda \in \kappa^*).$$

If $\alpha \neq \varepsilon$, then we have [10, Corollary 3.4]

$$(2.7) \quad {}_1F_0 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ \end{matrix}; \lambda \right) = \overline{\alpha}(1-\lambda) \quad (\lambda \in \kappa^*).$$

A simultaneous shift and an exchange of the parameters result in the following [10, Propositions 2.9, 2.10]:

$$(2.8) \quad F(\alpha, \beta; \lambda) = \frac{(\alpha)_\varphi}{(\beta)_\varphi^\circ} \varphi(\lambda) F(\alpha\varphi, \beta\varphi; \lambda),$$

$$(2.9) \quad F(\beta, \alpha; \lambda) = F(\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta}; (-1)^{\deg(\alpha+\beta)} \lambda^{-1}) \quad (\lambda \in \kappa^*).$$

Here, when $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r$, we write $\alpha\varphi = \alpha_1\varphi + \dots + \alpha_r\varphi$ and $\overline{\alpha} = \overline{\alpha}_1 + \dots + \overline{\alpha}_r$. A cancellation of common factors in the parameters results in the following [10, Theorem 3.2]:

$$(2.10) \quad F(\alpha + \gamma, \beta + \gamma; \lambda) = q^{(\gamma, \varepsilon)} \left(F(\alpha, \beta; \lambda) + q^{-1} \sum_{\nu \in \widehat{\kappa^*}} \frac{1 - q^{-(\gamma, \nu)}}{1 - q^{-1}} \frac{(\alpha)_\nu}{(\beta)_\nu^\circ} \nu(\lambda) \right).$$

We have the finite analogue of the Euler–Gauss summation formula (cf. [10, Theorem 4.3]):

$$(2.11) \quad {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{matrix}; 1 \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{g^\circ(\gamma)g(\overline{\alpha\beta\gamma})}{g^\circ(\overline{\alpha\gamma})g^\circ(\overline{\beta\gamma})} & (\alpha + \beta \neq \varepsilon + \gamma), \\ 1 + q^{\delta(\gamma)}(1-q) & (\alpha + \beta = \varepsilon + \gamma). \end{cases}$$

We have the finite analogue of Kummer’s summation formula (cf. [10, Theorem 4.6]): if $p \neq 2$, then for any $\alpha, \beta \in \widehat{\kappa^*}$,

$$(2.12) \quad {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha^2, \beta \\ \alpha^2\overline{\beta} \end{matrix}; -1 \right) = \sum_{\alpha'^2 = \alpha^2} \frac{g^\circ(\alpha^2\overline{\beta})g(\alpha)}{g(\alpha^2)g^\circ(\alpha\overline{\beta})}.$$

We have the following finite analogue of Dixon’s formula (cf. [10, Theorem 4.10 (i)]). Suppose that $p \neq 2$, $\alpha^2 \neq \beta\gamma$, and $\beta + \gamma \neq \varepsilon + \alpha'$ if $\alpha'^2 = \alpha^2$. Then

$$(2.13) \quad {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha^2, \beta, \gamma \\ \alpha^2\overline{\beta}, \alpha^2\overline{\gamma} \end{matrix}; 1 \right) = \sum_{\alpha'^2 = \alpha^2} \frac{g^\circ(\alpha^2\overline{\beta})g^\circ(\alpha^2\overline{\gamma})g(\alpha')g(\alpha'\overline{\beta\gamma})}{g(\alpha^2)g(\alpha^2\overline{\beta\gamma})g^\circ(\alpha'\overline{\beta})g^\circ(\alpha'\overline{\gamma})}.$$

See [10, Section 4] for more formulas for special values ${}_{s+1}F_s(\pm 1)$.

In Section 4, we will use Jacobi sums in two variables. For $\varphi, \varphi' \in \widehat{\kappa^*}$, define

$$j(\varphi, \varphi') = - \sum_{x, y \in \widehat{\kappa^*}, x+y=1} \varphi(x)\varphi'(y).$$

Then we have (cf. [10, Proposition 2.2 (iv)])

$$(2.14) \quad j(\varphi, \varphi') = \frac{g(\varphi)g(\varphi')}{g^\circ(\varphi\varphi')} - \delta(\varphi)\delta(\varphi')\frac{(1-q)^2}{q}.$$

We will use later

$$(2.15) \quad j(\alpha\nu, \overline{\beta\nu}) = \frac{(\beta)\overline{\alpha}(\alpha)_\nu}{(\varepsilon)\overline{\alpha}(\beta)_\nu}\nu(-1) + \delta(\beta\nu)(1-q),$$

which follows from (2.14), examining the exceptional cases where $(\overline{\alpha}\beta + \beta + \beta\nu, \varepsilon) \neq 0$.

3. PRODUCT FORMULAS

Let us recall finite analogues of (1.1), (1.2), (1.6), (1.9) (see [10, Theorems 6.1, 3.14, 6.2]). When $p \neq 2$, let $\phi \in \widehat{\kappa^*}$ denote the unique quadratic character, i.e. $\phi^2 = \varepsilon$, $\phi \neq \varepsilon$.

If $(\alpha, \beta + \varepsilon) = 0$, then

$$(3.1) \quad \psi(\lambda) {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{matrix}; \lambda \right) = {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \overline{\alpha}\beta \\ \beta \end{matrix}; -\lambda \right).$$

If $p \neq 2$ and $\alpha \neq \varepsilon$, then

$$(3.2) \quad \psi \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \right) {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ \alpha^2 \end{matrix}; \lambda \right) = {}_0F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \\ \alpha\phi \end{matrix}; \frac{\lambda^2}{16} \right).$$

If $(\alpha + \beta, \varepsilon + \gamma) = 0$, then

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha\beta\overline{\gamma}(1-\lambda) {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{matrix}; \lambda \right) = {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \overline{\alpha}\gamma, \overline{\beta}\gamma \\ \gamma \end{matrix}; \lambda \right) \quad (\lambda \neq 1).$$

If $p \neq 2$ and $(\alpha, \varepsilon + \beta + \beta\phi + \beta^2) = 0$, then

$$(3.4) \quad {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ \beta^2 \end{matrix}; \lambda \right) {}_1F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ \beta^2 \end{matrix}; -\lambda \right) = {}_2F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha, \overline{\alpha}\beta^2 \\ \beta^2, \beta, \beta\phi \end{matrix}; \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \right).$$

To prove such identities, we compare the Fourier transforms. Let G be a finite group. For a function $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, its Fourier transform is a function on $\widehat{G} = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$, defined by

$$\widehat{f}(\nu) = \sum_{g \in G} f(g)\overline{\nu}(g).$$

Then, f is recovered from \widehat{f} by the inverse Fourier transform

$$f(g) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{\nu \in \widehat{G}} \widehat{f}(\nu)\nu(g).$$

Note that the characteristic function of the unit element of G corresponds to the constant function $\widehat{f} \equiv 1$.

The following is a finite analogue of (1.3).

Theorem 3.1. *If $p \neq 2$ and $(\alpha^2, \beta^2) = (\alpha^2\beta^2, \varepsilon) = 0$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} {}_0F_1\left(\alpha^2; \lambda\right) {}_0F_1\left(\beta^2; \lambda\right) &= {}_2F_3\left(\alpha\beta, \alpha\beta\phi; \alpha^2, \beta^2, \alpha^2\beta^2; 4\lambda\right), \\ {}_0F_1\left(\alpha^2\phi; \lambda\right) {}_0F_1\left(\beta^2\phi; \lambda\right) &= {}_2F_3\left(\alpha\beta, \alpha\beta\phi; \alpha^2\phi, \beta^2\phi, \alpha^2\beta^2; 4\lambda\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove the first formula; the proof of the second formula is parallel. Let $f(\lambda)$ and $g(\lambda)$ be the left and right hand sides of the formula, respectively. Since $f(0) = g(0) = 0$, it suffices to show that $f = g$ as functions on κ^* . For any $\nu \in \widehat{\kappa^*}$, we have by using (2.1) and (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}(\nu) &= \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{\mu} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon + \alpha^2)_{\mu}^{\circ}} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon + \beta^2)_{\overline{\mu}\nu}^{\circ}} \\ &= \frac{1}{q-1} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon + \beta^2)_{\nu}^{\circ}} \sum_{\mu} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon + \alpha^2)_{\mu}^{\circ}} \frac{1}{(\nu + \beta^2\nu)_{\overline{\mu}}^{\circ}} \\ &= \frac{1}{q-1} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon + \beta^2)_{\nu}^{\circ}} \sum_{\mu} \frac{(\overline{\nu} + \overline{\beta^2\nu})_{\mu}}{(\varepsilon + \alpha^2)_{\mu}^{\circ}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{(\varepsilon + \beta^2)_{\nu}^{\circ}} {}_2F_1\left(\overline{\nu}, \overline{\beta^2\nu}; \alpha^2; 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\overline{\nu} + \overline{\beta^2\nu} \neq \varepsilon + \alpha^2$ by assumption, we can apply (2.11) and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}(\nu) &= -\frac{1}{(\varepsilon + \beta^2)_{\nu}^{\circ}} \frac{g^{\circ}(\alpha^2)g(\alpha^2\beta^2\nu^2)}{g^{\circ}(\alpha^2\nu)g^{\circ}(\alpha^2\beta^2\nu)} \\ &= -\frac{(\alpha^2\beta^2)_{\nu^2}^{\circ}}{(\varepsilon + \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \alpha^2\beta^2)_{\nu}^{\circ}} \\ &= -\frac{(\alpha\beta + \alpha\beta\phi)_{\nu}^{\circ}}{(\varepsilon + \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \alpha^2\beta^2)_{\nu}^{\circ}} \nu(4) = \widehat{g}(\nu), \end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.5) with $n = 2$. Hence we have $f = g$. □

The following is a finite analogue of (1.4).

Theorem 3.2. *If $p \neq 2$, then for any $\alpha \in \widehat{\kappa^*}$,*

$${}_0F_1\left(\alpha^2; \lambda\right) {}_0F_1\left(\alpha^2; -\lambda\right) = {}_0F_3\left(\alpha^2, \alpha, \alpha\phi; -\frac{\lambda^2}{4}\right),$$

Proof. Let $f(\lambda), g(\lambda)$ be as before. Since both sides are even, it suffices to show $\widehat{f}(\nu^2) = \widehat{g}(\nu^2)$ for all ν . First, similarly as before, we have applying (2.12) and (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}(\nu^2) &= -\frac{1}{(\varepsilon + \alpha^2)_{\nu^2}^{\circ}} {}_2F_1\left(\overline{\nu^2}, \overline{\alpha^2\nu^2}; \alpha^2; -1\right). \\ &= -\frac{1}{(\varepsilon + \alpha^2)_{\nu^2}^{\circ}} \sum_{\mu^2=\nu^2} \frac{g^{\circ}(\alpha^2)g(\overline{\mu})}{g(\overline{\nu^2})g^{\circ}(\alpha^2\mu)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{(\varepsilon + \alpha^2)_{\nu^2}^{\circ}} \sum_{\mu^2=\nu^2} \frac{g^{\circ}(\alpha^2)g^{\circ}(\nu^2)}{g^{\circ}(\mu)g^{\circ}(\alpha^2\mu)} \mu(-1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= - \sum_{\mu^2=\nu^2} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon + \alpha^2)_\mu^\circ (\alpha^2)_\mu^\circ} \mu(-1) \\
 &= - \sum_{\mu^2=\nu^2} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon + \alpha^2 + \alpha + \alpha\phi)_\mu^\circ} \mu\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widehat{g}(\nu^2) &= \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{\mu \in \widehat{\kappa^*}} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon + \alpha^2 + \alpha + \alpha\phi)_\mu^\circ} \sum_{\lambda \in \kappa^*} \mu\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{4}\right) \overline{\nu^2}(\lambda) \\
 &= \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{\mu \in \widehat{\kappa^*}} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon + \alpha^2 + \alpha + \alpha\phi)_\mu^\circ} \mu\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right) \sum_{\lambda \in \kappa^*} \mu^2 \overline{\nu^2}(\lambda).
 \end{aligned}$$

By the orthogonality of characters, we have $\widehat{f}(\nu^2) = \widehat{g}(\nu^2)$, hence the theorem. \square

The following are finite analogues of (1.5), (1.7), (1.8), (1.10), (1.11), respectively.

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose that $p \neq 2$.*

(i) *For any $\alpha \in \widehat{\kappa^*}$, we have*

$${}_0F_1\left(\alpha^2; \lambda\right) {}_0F_1\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}; -\lambda\right) = q^{\delta(\alpha)} {}_0F_3\left(\phi, \alpha\phi, \overline{\alpha}\phi; -\frac{\lambda^2}{4}\right).$$

(ii) *If $(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \alpha^2\beta^2, \varepsilon) = 0$, then*

$${}_2F_0\left(\alpha^2, \beta^2; \lambda\right) {}_2F_0\left(\alpha^2, \beta^2; -\lambda\right) = {}_4F_1\left(\alpha^2, \beta^2, \alpha\beta, \alpha\beta\phi; \alpha^2\beta^2; 4\lambda^2\right).$$

(iii) *If $(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \alpha^2\beta^2, \varepsilon) = (\alpha^2, \beta^2) = 0$, then*

$${}_2F_0\left(\alpha^2, \overline{\alpha^2}; \lambda\right) {}_2F_0\left(\beta^2, \overline{\beta^2}; -\lambda\right) = {}_4F_1\left(\alpha\overline{\beta}\phi, \overline{\alpha}\beta\phi, \alpha\beta, \overline{\alpha\beta}; \phi; 4\lambda^2\right).$$

(iv) *If $(\alpha, \varepsilon + \beta^2) = (\alpha^2, \beta^2) = 0$, then*

$${}_1F_1\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}; \lambda\right) {}_1F_1\left(\frac{\alpha\overline{\beta^2}}{\beta^2}; -\lambda\right) = {}_2F_3\left(\alpha\overline{\beta}\phi, \overline{\alpha}\beta\phi, \frac{\lambda^2}{4}; \phi, \beta\phi, \overline{\beta}\phi\right).$$

(v) *If $(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \alpha^2\beta^2, \varepsilon) = (\alpha^2, \beta^2) = 0$, then*

$${}_1F_1\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^4}; \lambda\right) {}_1F_1\left(\frac{\beta^2}{\beta^4}; -\lambda\right) = {}_2F_3\left(\alpha\beta, \alpha\beta\phi; \alpha^2\phi, \beta^2\phi, \alpha^2\beta^2; \frac{\lambda^2}{4}\right).$$

If $(\alpha^2\phi + \beta^2\phi + \alpha^2\beta^2, \varepsilon) = (\alpha^2, \beta^2) = 0$, then

$${}_1F_1\left(\frac{\alpha^2\phi}{\alpha^4}; \lambda\right) {}_1F_1\left(\frac{\beta^2\phi}{\beta^4}; -\lambda\right) = {}_2F_3\left(\alpha\beta, \alpha\beta\phi; \alpha^2, \beta^2, \alpha^2\beta^2; \frac{\lambda^2}{4}\right).$$

Proof. Since all the formulas are obviously true for $\lambda = 0$, we assume that $\lambda \neq 0$.

(i) By (2.8), (2.2) and (2.4) with $n = 2$, we have

$${}_0F_1\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}; -\lambda\right) = \frac{g^\circ(\overline{\alpha^2})}{g^\circ(\alpha^2)} \alpha^2(\lambda) {}_0F_1\left(\alpha^2; -\lambda\right),$$

and

$${}_0F_3\left(\phi, \alpha\phi, \overline{\alpha}\phi; -\frac{\lambda^2}{4}\right) = \frac{g^\circ(\phi)g^\circ(\overline{\alpha}\phi)}{g^\circ(\alpha)g^\circ(\alpha^2)} \alpha\phi\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{4}\right) {}_0F_3\left(\alpha^2, \alpha, \alpha\phi; -\frac{\lambda^2}{4}\right)$$

$$= q^{-\delta(\alpha)} \frac{g^\circ(\overline{\alpha^2})}{g^\circ(\alpha^2)} {}_0F_3 \left(\alpha^2, \alpha, \alpha\phi; -\frac{\lambda^2}{4} \right).$$

Hence the formula reduces to Theorem 3.2.

(ii) By (2.8), (2.2) and (2.9),

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_0 \left(\alpha^2, \beta^2; \lambda \right) &= \frac{g(\overline{\alpha^2\beta^2})}{g(\beta^2)} \overline{\alpha^2}(\lambda) F(\varepsilon + \overline{\alpha^2\beta^2}, \overline{\alpha^2}; \lambda) \\ &= \frac{g(\overline{\alpha^2\beta^2})}{g(\beta^2)} \alpha^2(\lambda^{-1}) {}_1F_1 \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2\beta^2}; -\lambda^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using (2.4), we have

$${}_4F_1 \left(\alpha^2, \beta^2, \alpha\beta, \alpha\beta\phi; 4\lambda^2 \right) = \frac{g(\overline{\alpha^2\beta^2})^2}{g(\beta^2)^2} \alpha^4(\lambda^{-1}) {}_2F_3 \left(\frac{\alpha^2, \overline{\beta^2}}{\alpha^2\beta^2, \alpha\beta, \alpha\beta\phi}; \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{4} \right).$$

Hence the formula reduces to (3.4).

(iii) This is equivalent to the first statement of (v), which we prove below.

(iv) This reduces easily to (3.4), using (2.8).

(v) By (3.2), the left hand side of the first formula equals

$${}_0F_1 \left(\alpha^2\phi; \frac{\lambda^2}{16} \right) {}_0F_1 \left(\beta^2\phi; \frac{\lambda^2}{16} \right),$$

and the formula follows from the second formula of Theorem 3.1. The second formula follows similarly from the first formula of Theorem 3.1. \square

Finally, the following is a finite analogue of (1.12).

Theorem 3.4. Suppose that $6 \mid q-1$ and let $\rho \in \widehat{\kappa^*}$ be a cubic character, i.e. $\rho^3 = \varepsilon$, $\rho \neq \varepsilon$. If $(\alpha^6, \beta^{12}) = (\alpha^{12}, \beta^6) = (\alpha^6\beta^6, \varepsilon) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_0F_2 \left(\alpha^6, \beta^6; \lambda \right) {}_0F_2 \left(\alpha^6, \beta^6; -\lambda \right) \\ &= {}_3F_8 \left(\alpha^2\beta^2, \alpha^2\beta^2\rho, \alpha^2\beta^2\overline{\rho} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \alpha^6, \beta^6, \alpha^3, \alpha^3\phi, \beta^3, \beta^3\phi, \alpha^3\beta^3, \alpha^3\beta^3\phi; -\frac{27\lambda^2}{64} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $f(\lambda)$ and $g(\lambda)$ be as before; these are even functions. First, similarly as before,

$$\widehat{f}(\nu^2) = -\frac{1}{(\varepsilon + \alpha^6 + \beta^6)_{\nu^2}^\circ} {}_3F_2 \left(\overline{\nu^2}, \overline{\alpha^6\nu^2}, \overline{\beta^6\nu^2}; 1 \right).$$

We show that

$${}_3F_2 \left(\overline{\nu^2}, \overline{\alpha^6\nu^2}, \overline{\beta^6\nu^2}; 1 \right) = \frac{g^\circ(\alpha^6)g^\circ(\beta^6)}{g(\nu^2)g^\circ(\alpha^6\beta^6\nu^2)} \sum_{\mu^2=\nu^2} \frac{g(\overline{\mu})g(\alpha^6\beta^6\mu^3)}{g^\circ(\alpha^6\mu)g^\circ(\beta^6\mu)}.$$

If $\nu^2 \neq \overline{\alpha^6\beta^6}$, this follows by (2.13). Suppose that $\nu^2 = \overline{\alpha^6\beta^6}$. Then the left hand side becomes, using (2.10), (2.7) and (2.2),

$${}_3F_2 \left(\alpha^6\beta^6, \alpha^6, \beta^6; 1 \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{g^\circ(\alpha^6)g^\circ(\beta^6)}{g(\alpha^6\beta^6)q} & (\alpha^6 \neq \beta^6), \\ \frac{g^\circ(\alpha^6)^2}{g(\alpha^{12})q}(1+q^{-1}) & (\alpha^6 = \beta^6). \end{cases}$$

The right hand side becomes by (2.2),

$$\frac{g^\circ(\alpha^6)g^\circ(\beta^6)}{g(\alpha^6\beta^6)q} \sum_{\mu^2=\nu^2} \frac{g(\overline{\mu})g(\mu)}{g^\circ(a^6\mu)g^\circ(\overline{\alpha^6\mu})} = \frac{g^\circ(\alpha^6)g^\circ(\beta^6)}{g(\alpha^6\beta^6)q} (1 + q^{-(\alpha^6, \beta^6)}).$$

Note that $\mu \neq \varepsilon$ by the assumption $(\alpha^6\beta^6, \varepsilon) = 0$. Hence we have the equality as above, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}(\nu^2) &= -\frac{1}{(\varepsilon + \alpha^6 + \beta^6 + \alpha^6\beta^6)_{\nu^2}^\circ(\varepsilon)_{\nu^2}} \sum_{\mu^2=\nu^2} \frac{(\varepsilon)_{\overline{\mu}}(\alpha^6\beta^6)_{\mu^3}}{(\alpha^6 + \beta^6)_{\mu}^\circ} \\ &= -\frac{1}{(\alpha^6 + \beta^6 + \alpha^6\beta^6)_{\nu^2}^\circ} \sum_{\mu^2=\nu^2} \frac{(\alpha^6\beta^6)_{\mu^3}}{(\varepsilon + \alpha^6 + \beta^6)_{\mu}^\circ} \mu(-1). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (2.4) with $n = 2$ and 3,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{g}(\nu^2) &= -\sum_{\mu^2=\nu^2} \frac{(\alpha^2\beta^2 + \alpha^2\beta^2\rho + \alpha^2\beta^2\overline{\rho})_{\mu}}{(\varepsilon + \alpha^6 + \beta^6 + \alpha^3 + \alpha^3\phi + \beta^3 + \beta^3\phi + \alpha^3\beta^3 + \alpha^3\beta^3\phi)_{\mu}^\circ} \mu \left(-\frac{27}{64} \right) \\ &= -\sum_{\mu^2=\nu^2} \frac{(\alpha^6\beta^6)_{\mu^3}}{(\varepsilon + \alpha^6 + \beta^6)_{\mu}^\circ(\alpha^6 + \beta^6 + \alpha^6\beta^6)_{\mu^2}^\circ} \mu(-1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem follows. \square

4. BARMAN–TRIPATHI FORMULA

We give a short proof of the finite analogue of Bailey's formula (1.14) due to Barman–Tripathi [13]. As well as the original proof, our proof intervenes the finite analogue of Appell's hypergeometric function F_4 in two variables defined by

$$F_4(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \gamma'; \lambda, \lambda') = \frac{1}{(1-q)^2} \sum_{\nu, \nu' \in \kappa^*} \frac{(\alpha + \beta)_{\nu\nu'}}{(\varepsilon + \gamma)_{\nu}^\circ(\varepsilon + \gamma')_{\nu'}^\circ} \nu(\lambda)\nu'(\lambda').$$

The following is a finite analogue of a complex formula (cf. [3, 9.6]). Let $\delta: \kappa \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denote the characteristic function of 0, i.e. $\delta(0) = 1$ and $\delta(\lambda) = 0$ if $\lambda \neq 0$.

Theorem 4.1 ([12, Theorem 1.2]). *Suppose that $\alpha\beta = \gamma\gamma'$ and $(\alpha + \beta, \varepsilon + \gamma) = 0$ (so $(\alpha + \beta, \gamma') = 0$). Then, if $x \neq 1$ and $y \neq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma \end{matrix}; \frac{x}{x-1}\right) {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \gamma' \end{matrix}; \frac{y}{y-1}\right) - \delta(1-xy)C\beta\overline{\gamma}(x)\alpha(1-x)\beta(1-y) \\ &= F_4\left(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \gamma'; \frac{-x}{(1-x)(1-y)}, \frac{-y}{(1-x)(1-y)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$C = \frac{g^\circ(\gamma)g^\circ(\gamma')}{g(\alpha)g(\beta)}\beta\overline{\gamma}(-1).$$

Proof. Put, for $x \neq 1, y \neq 1$,

$$f(x, y) = \overline{\alpha}(1-x)\overline{\beta}(1-y)F_4\left(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \gamma'; \frac{-x}{(1-x)(1-y)}, \frac{-y}{(1-x)(1-y)}\right),$$

and extend it by zero to a function on the group $(\kappa^*)^2$. Then we have by (2.15),

$$\widehat{f}(\nu, \nu') = \frac{1}{(1-q)^2} \sum_{\mu, \mu'} \frac{(\alpha + \beta)_{\mu\mu'}}{(\varepsilon + \gamma)_{\mu}^\circ(\varepsilon + \gamma')_{\mu'}^\circ} \mu\mu'(-1)j(\mu\overline{\nu}, \overline{\alpha\mu\mu'})j(\mu'\overline{\nu'}, \overline{\beta\mu\mu'})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{(1-q)^2} \sum_{\mu, \mu'} \frac{(\alpha + \beta)_{\mu\mu'}}{(\varepsilon + \gamma)_{\mu}^{\circ} (\varepsilon + \gamma')_{\mu'}^{\circ}} \left(\frac{(\alpha\mu')_{\nu}(\overline{\nu})_{\mu}}{(\varepsilon)_{\nu}^{\circ}(\alpha\mu')_{\mu}} + \delta(\alpha\mu\mu')(1-q)\mu(-1) \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{(\beta\mu)_{\nu'}(\overline{\nu}')_{\mu'}}{(\varepsilon)_{\nu'}^{\circ}(\beta\mu)_{\mu'}} + \delta(\beta\mu\mu')(1-q)\mu'(-1) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-q)^2} \sum_{\mu, \mu'} \frac{(\alpha)_{\nu}(\beta)_{\nu'}}{(\varepsilon)_{\nu}^{\circ}(\varepsilon)_{\nu'}^{\circ}} \frac{(\beta\nu' + \overline{\nu})_{\mu}(\alpha\nu + \overline{\nu}')_{\mu'}}{(\varepsilon + \gamma)_{\mu}^{\circ}(\varepsilon + \gamma')_{\mu'}^{\circ}} + R_1(\nu) + R_2(\nu') + R_3 \\
&= M(\nu, \nu') + R_1(\nu) + R_2(\nu') + R_3.
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$M(\nu, \nu') := \frac{(\alpha)_{\nu}(\beta)_{\nu'}}{(\varepsilon)_{\nu}^{\circ}(\varepsilon)_{\nu'}^{\circ}} {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \overline{\nu}, \beta\nu' \\ \gamma \end{matrix}; 1 \right) {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha\nu, \overline{\nu}' \\ \gamma' \end{matrix}; 1 \right),$$

R_1 and R_2 are functions on $\widehat{\kappa^*}$, and R_3 is a constant. We compare $M(\nu, \nu')$ with

$$N(\nu, \nu') := \frac{(\alpha + \overline{\beta}\gamma)_{\nu}(\beta + \overline{\alpha}\gamma')_{\nu'}}{(\varepsilon + \gamma)_{\nu}^{\circ}(\varepsilon + \gamma')_{\nu'}^{\circ}}.$$

First, if $\overline{\nu} + \beta\nu' \neq \varepsilon + \gamma$ and $\alpha\nu + \overline{\nu}' \neq \varepsilon + \gamma'$, then by (2.11),

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \overline{\nu}, \beta\nu' \\ \gamma \end{matrix}; 1 \right) &= \frac{(\overline{\alpha}\gamma')_{\nu'}}{(\gamma)_{\nu}^{\circ}} \frac{g(\overline{\beta}\gamma\nu\overline{\nu}')}{g^{\circ}(\overline{\beta}\gamma)} \nu'(-1), \\
{}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha\nu, \overline{\nu}' \\ \gamma' \end{matrix}; 1 \right) &= \frac{(\overline{\beta}\gamma)_{\nu}}{(\gamma)_{\nu'}^{\circ}} \frac{g(\overline{\alpha}\gamma'\nu\overline{\nu}')}{g^{\circ}(\overline{\alpha}\gamma')} \nu'(-1),
\end{aligned}$$

and it follows $M(\nu, \nu') = q^{-\delta(\overline{\beta}\gamma\nu\overline{\nu}')} N(\nu, \nu')$ by using (2.2). If moreover $\overline{\beta}\gamma\nu\overline{\nu}' = \varepsilon$, then one computes $N(\nu, \nu') = qC$. Hence we have

$$(4.1) \quad M(\nu, \nu') = N(\nu, \nu') + \delta(\overline{\beta}\gamma\nu\overline{\nu}')(1-q)C.$$

On the other hand, if $\beta\nu' + \overline{\nu} = \varepsilon + \gamma$ (then $\alpha\nu + \overline{\nu}' \neq \varepsilon + \gamma'$), then

$$M(\nu, \nu') = (q^{-\delta(\gamma)} + 1 - q)C, \quad N(\nu, \nu') = q^{-\delta(\gamma)}C.$$

Hence $M(\nu, \nu') = N(\nu, \nu') + (1-q)C$, and the same holds when $\alpha\nu + \overline{\nu}' = \varepsilon + \gamma'$. Noting that $\overline{\beta}\gamma\nu\overline{\nu}' = \varepsilon$ in these cases, the relation (4.1) always holds.

Now, the inverse Fourier transform of $\delta(\overline{\beta}\gamma\nu\overline{\nu}')$ is

$$\frac{1}{(q-1)^2} \sum_{\nu \in \widehat{\kappa^*}} \nu(x) \overline{\beta}\gamma\nu(y) = \frac{1}{(q-1)^2} \sum_{\nu} \nu(xy) \beta\overline{\gamma}(x) = \frac{1}{q-1} \delta(1-xy) \beta\overline{\gamma}(x).$$

The inverse Fourier transform, as functions on $(\widehat{\kappa^*})^2$, of $R_1(\nu)$ (resp. of $R_2(\nu')$, of R_3) vanishes if $y \neq 1$ (resp. if $x \neq 1$, if $(x, y) \neq (1, 1)$). Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x, y) &= \frac{1}{(q-1)^2} \sum_{\nu, \nu' \in \widehat{\kappa^*}} N(\nu, \nu') \nu(x) \nu'(y) - \delta(1-xy) C \beta\overline{\gamma}(x) \\
&= {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha, \overline{\beta}\gamma \\ \gamma \end{matrix}; x \right) {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \beta, \overline{\alpha}\gamma' \\ \gamma' \end{matrix}; y \right) - \delta(1-xy) C \beta\overline{\gamma}(x)
\end{aligned}$$

if $x \neq 1$ and $y \neq 1$. By the finite analogue of Pfaff's transformation formula

$${}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha, \overline{\beta}\gamma \\ \gamma \end{matrix}; x \right) = \overline{\alpha}(1-x) {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha, \overline{\beta} \\ \gamma \end{matrix}; \frac{x}{x-1} \right) \quad (x \neq 1)$$

(cf. [10, Theorem 3.14 (ii)]), the theorem follows. \square

The following is a finite analogue of (1.14).

Corollary 4.2 ([13, Theorem 1.1]). *Suppose that $p \neq 2$, $\alpha^2\beta^2 = \gamma\gamma'$ and $(\alpha^2 + \beta^2, \varepsilon + \gamma) = (\alpha^2\beta^2, \varepsilon + \gamma^2) = 0$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha^2, \beta^2 \\ \gamma \end{matrix}; \lambda\right) {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha^2, \beta^2 \\ \gamma' \end{matrix}; \lambda\right) - \delta(1-2\lambda) \frac{g^\circ(\gamma)g^\circ(\gamma')}{g(\alpha^2)g(\beta^2)} \alpha\beta(4) \\ &= {}_4F_3\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha^2, \beta^2, \alpha\beta, \alpha\beta\phi \\ \alpha^2\beta^2, \gamma, \gamma' \end{matrix}; 4\lambda(1-\lambda)\right) + \delta(1-\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. When $\lambda \neq 1$, this follows immediately from Theorem 4.1 by replacing α (resp. β) with α^2 (resp. β^2), letting $x = y = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}$, and applying the lemma below. The formula for $\lambda = 1$ follows by using (2.11). \square

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that $p \neq 2$, $\alpha^2\beta^2 = \gamma\gamma'$ and $(\gamma\gamma', \varepsilon) = (\gamma, \gamma') = 0$. Then,*

$$F_4(\alpha^2, \beta^2; \gamma, \gamma'; x, x) = {}_4F_3\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha^2, \beta^2, \alpha\beta, \alpha\beta\phi \\ \alpha^2\beta^2, \gamma, \gamma' \end{matrix}; 4x\right).$$

Proof. The left hand side is written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(1-q)^2} \sum_{\nu, \nu'} \frac{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)_{\nu\nu'}}{(\varepsilon + \gamma)_\nu^\circ (\varepsilon + \gamma')_{\nu'}^\circ} \nu\nu'(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-q)^2} \sum_{\mu} (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)_\mu \mu(x) \sum_{\nu} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon + \gamma)_\nu^\circ (\varepsilon + \gamma')_{\mu\nu}^\circ} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{\mu} \frac{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)_\mu}{(\varepsilon + \gamma')_\mu^\circ} \mu(x) {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} \overline{\mu}, \overline{\gamma'\mu} \\ \gamma' \end{matrix}; 1\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.1) and (2.3). Since $\overline{\mu} + \overline{\gamma'\mu} \neq \varepsilon + \gamma'$ by assumption, we have by (2.11) and (2.4),

$${}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} \overline{\mu}, \overline{\gamma'\mu} \\ \gamma' \end{matrix}; 1\right) = \frac{(\alpha^2\beta^2)_{\mu^2}}{(\alpha^2\beta^2 + \gamma)_\mu^\circ} = \frac{(\alpha\beta + \alpha\beta\phi)_\mu}{(\alpha^2\beta^2 + \gamma)_\mu^\circ} \mu(4).$$

Hence the lemma follows. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper is largely based on the second author's master's thesis [11]. We would like to thank Ryojun Ito, Akio Nakagawa and Yusuke Nemoto for helpful discussions. The first author is supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research: 18K03234.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. N. Bailey, Products of generalized hypergeometric functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. Ser. 2, **28** (1928), 242–254.
- [2] W. N. Bailey, Some theorems concerning products of hypergeometric series, Proc. London Math. Soc. Ser. 2, **38** (1935), 377–384.
- [3] W. N. Bailey, *Generalized Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1935.
- [4] R. Evans and J. Greene, Clausen's theorem and hypergeometric functions over finite fields, Finite Fields and Their Applications **15** (2009), 97–109.
- [5] J. Fuselier, L. Long, R. Ramakrishna, H. Swisher and F.-T. Tu, Hypergeometric functions over finite fields, arXiv:1510.02575v4, 2019.
- [6] J. Greene, Hypergeometric functions over finite fields, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **301** (1987), 77–101.
- [7] N. M. Katz, *Exponential Sums and Differential Equations*, Annals of Math. Studies **124**, Princeton, 1990.

- [8] N. Koblitz, The number of points on certain families of hypersurfaces over finite fields, *Compositio Math.* **48** (1983), 3–23.
- [9] D. McCarthy, Transformations of well-poised hypergeometric functions over finite fields, *Finite Fields and Their Applications* **18** (2012), 1133–1147.
- [10] N. Otsubo, Hypergeometric functions over finite fields, [arXiv:2108.06754](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.06754).
- [11] T. Senoue, Product formulas for hypergeometric functions over finite fields (in Japanese), Master's Thesis, Chiba University, March 2022.
- [12] M. Tripathi and R. Barman, A finite field analogue of the Appell series F_4 , *Res. Number Theory* **4**, 35 (2018).
- [13] M. Tripathi and R. Barman, Certain product formulas and values of Gaussian hypergeometric series, *Res. Number Theory* **6**, 26 (2020).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, CHIBA UNIVERSITY, INAGE, CHIBA, 263-8522
JAPAN

Email address: `otsubo@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp`

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, CHIBA UNIVERSITY, INAGE, CHIBA, 263-8522
JAPAN

Email address: `takas0609@gmail.com`