

# A NEW APPROACH TO FULLY DEGENERATE BERNOULLI NUMBERS AND POLYNOMIALS

TAEKYUN KIM AND DAE SAN KIM

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we consider the doubly indexed sequence  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, m)$ ,  $(n, m \geq 0)$ , defined by a recurrence relation and an initial sequence  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(0, m)$ ,  $(m \geq 0)$ . We derive with the help of some differential operator an explicit expression for  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, 0)$ , in term of the degenerate  $r$ -Stirling numbers of the second and the initial sequence. We observe that  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, 0) = \beta_{n,\lambda}(r)$ , for  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(0, m) = \frac{1}{m+1}$ , and  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, 0) = \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(r)$ , for  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(0, m) = (\frac{1}{2})^m$ . Here  $\beta_{n,\lambda}(x)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(x)$  are the fully degenerate Bernoulli polynomials and the degenerate Euler polynomials, respectively.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, we have witnessed that some mathematicians have explored various degenerate versions of many special polynomials and numbers by using various tools, which was initiated by Carlitz when he studied degenerate versions of some special polynomials and numbers, namely the degenerate Bernoulli and Euler polynomials and numbers (see [2]).

The  $r$ -Stirling number of the second kind  $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_r$  counts the number of partitions of the set  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  into  $k$  non-empty disjoint subsets in such a way that the numbers  $1, 2, \dots, r$  are in distinct subsets. The degenerate  $r$ -Stirling numbers of the second kind  $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_{r,\lambda}$  are a degenerate version of the  $r$ -Stirling numbers of the second kind  $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_r$ . They can be viewed also as natural extensions of the degenerate Stirling numbers of the second kind  $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\}_\lambda$ , which were introduced earlier (see [11, 12]).

The aim of this paper is to derive an explicit expression for the  $n$ -th generating function  $g_n(t, \lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_\lambda(n, m)t^m$ , from the initial generating function  $g_0(t, \lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_\lambda(0, m)t^m$ , and the recurrence relation given by (12). If we choose  $a_\lambda(0, k) = \frac{1}{k+1}$ , then  $a_\lambda(n, 0) = \beta_{n,\lambda}$ . Here  $\beta_{n,\lambda}(x)$  are the fully degenerate Bernoulli polynomials and  $\beta_{n,\lambda} = \beta_{n,\lambda}(0)$  are the fully degenerate Bernoulli numbers (see (10)). This is generalized to slightly more general recurrence relation in (29) with initial generating function  $g_0^{(r)}(t, \lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_\lambda^{(r)}(0, m)t^m$ . Then we get  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, 0) = \beta_{n,\lambda}(r)$ , for  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(0, m) = \frac{1}{m+1}$ , and  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, 0) = \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(r)$ , for  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(0, m) = (\frac{1}{2})^m$ . Here  $\mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(x)$  are the degenerate Euler polynomials.

In more detail, we show the following. For a given initial degenerate sequence  $a_\lambda(0, m)$ ,  $(m = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$ , the doubly indexed degenerate sequence  $a_\lambda(n, m)$ ,  $(n \geq 1, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$  are defined by the recurrence relation in (12). For each nonnegative integer  $n$ , let  $g_n(t, \lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_\lambda(n, m)t^m$  be the  $n$ -th generating function. Then we show that

$$g_n(t, \lambda) = \left( (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} \right)_{n,\lambda} g_0(t, \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n S_{2,\lambda}(n, k) (t-1)^k \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^k g_0(t, \lambda),$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B68; 11B73; 11B83.

*Key words and phrases.* fully degenerate Bernoulli polynomials; degenerate Euler polynomials; degenerate  $r$ -Stirling numbers of the second kind.

where  $(x)_{n,\lambda}$  are the degenerate falling factorials, and  $S_{2,\lambda}(n, k)$  are the degenerate Stirling numbers of the second kind (see (3)). Then, by letting  $t = 0$ , we express  $a_\lambda(n, 0)$  in terms of the initial sequence  $a_\lambda(0, k)$ , namely  $a_\lambda(n, 0) = \sum_{k=0}^n S_{2,\lambda}(n, k)(-1)^k k! a_\lambda(0, k)$ . For  $a_\lambda(0, k) = \frac{1}{k+1}$ ,  $a_\lambda(n, 0) = \beta_{n,\lambda}$ . This idea is generalized to the case of slightly more general recurrence relation in (29), starting with the initial sequence  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(0, m)$ . Indeed, by proceeding similarly to the previous case we obtain the expression

$$a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, 0) = \sum_{m=0}^n \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+r \\ m+r \end{matrix} \right\}_\lambda (-1)^m m! a_\lambda^{(r)}(0, m),$$

where  $r$  is a nonnegative integer. For  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(0, m) = \frac{1}{m+1}$ ,  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, 0) = \beta_{n,\lambda}(r)$ . In addition, we express the sum  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, 0) \frac{t^n}{n!}$  in term of the initial generating function  $F(t) = g_0^{(r)}(t, \lambda)$ . Observe here that the sum is over the first argument. Indeed, we have

$$e_\lambda^r(t) F(1 - e_\lambda(t)) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, 0) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

Here  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, 0) = \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(r)$ , for  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(0, m) = (\frac{1}{2})^m$ .

For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , the degenerate exponential functions are defined by

$$(1) \quad e_\lambda^x(t) = (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}}, \quad (\text{see [5, 8–12]}).$$

When  $x = 1$ , we let  $e_\lambda(t) = e_\lambda^1(t)$ . Note that  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} e_\lambda^x(t) = e^{xt}$ .

The degenerate falling factorials are defined by

$$(x)_{0,\lambda} = 1, \quad (x)_{n,\lambda} = x(x - \lambda)(x - 2\lambda) \cdots (x - (n-1)\lambda), \quad (n \geq 1).$$

In [5], the degenerate Stirling numbers of the first kind are defined by

$$(2) \quad (x)_n = \sum_{k=0}^n S_{1,\lambda}(n, k)(x)_{k,\lambda}, \quad (n \geq 0),$$

where  $(x)_0 = 1$ ,  $(x)_n = x(x-1) \cdots (x-n+1)$ ,  $(n \geq 1)$ .

As the inversion formula of (2), the degenerate Stirling numbers of the second kind are defined by

$$(3) \quad (x)_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^n S_{2,\lambda}(n, k)(x)_k, \quad (n \geq 0), \quad (\text{see [5]}).$$

Note that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{1,\lambda}(n, k) = S_1(n, k)$ ,  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} S_{2,\lambda}(n, k) = S_2(n, k)$ , where  $S_1(n, k)$  and  $S_2(n, k)$  are respectively the Stirling numbers of the first kind and the Stirling numbers of the second kind defined by

$$(4) \quad (x)_n = \sum_{k=0}^n S_1(n, k)x^k, \quad x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n S_2(n, k)(x)_k, \quad (n \geq 0), \quad (\text{see [9, 13–17]}).$$

For  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $r \geq 0$ , the degenerate  $r$ -Stirling numbers of the second kind are defined by

$$(5) \quad (x+r)_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+r \\ k+r \end{matrix} \right\}_\lambda (x)_k, \quad (n \geq 0), \quad (\text{see [11, 12]}).$$

From (5), we note that

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{k!} e_\lambda^r(t) (e_\lambda(t) - 1)^k = \sum_{n=k}^\infty \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+r \\ k+r \end{matrix} \right\}_\lambda \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (\text{see [11, 12]}).$$

By (5) and (6), we easily get

$$(7) \quad \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+r \\ k+r \end{matrix} \right\}_{\lambda} = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} S_{2,\lambda}(m, k)(r)_{n-m, \lambda}.$$

For  $r = 0$ , we note that  $\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right\}_{\lambda} = S_{2,\lambda}(n, k)$ .

In [2], Carlitz introduced the degenerate Bernoulli polynomials defined by

$$(8) \quad \frac{t}{e_{\lambda}(t) - 1} e_{\lambda}^x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x|\lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

Note that  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} B_n(x|\lambda) = B_n(x)$ , where  $B_n(x)$  are the Bernoulli polynomials defined by

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1} e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (\text{see } [1, 3, 14]).$$

He also defined the degenerate Euler polynomials given by

$$(9) \quad \frac{2}{e_{\lambda}(t) + 1} e_{\lambda}^x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (\text{see } [2]).$$

When  $x = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda} = \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(0)$  are called the degenerate Euler numbers.

The fully degenerate Bernoulli polynomials arise from a  $p$ -adic bosonic integral over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and are given by

$$(10) \quad \frac{\log(1 + \lambda t)}{\lambda(e_{\lambda}(t) - 1)} e_{\lambda}^x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (\text{see } [9]).$$

When  $x = 0$ ,  $\beta_{n,\lambda} = \beta_{n,\lambda}(0)$  are called the fully degenerate Bernoulli numbers.

For  $n \geq 0$ , we note that

$$(11) \quad \beta_{n,\lambda}(r) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+r \\ k+r \end{matrix} \right\}_{\lambda} (-1)^k \frac{k!}{k+1}, \quad (r \geq 0), \quad (\text{see } [9]).$$

## 2. A NEW APPROACH TO FULLY DEGENERATE BERNOULLI NUMBERS AND POLYNOMIALS

In this section, we prove the main results of this paper. Assume that  $\lambda$  is a fixed real number. For a given initial degenerate sequence  $a_{\lambda}(0, m)$  ( $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), we define the doubly indexed degenerate sequence  $a_{\lambda}(n, m)$ , ( $n \geq 1, m \geq 0$ ), which are given by

$$(12) \quad a_{\lambda}(n, m) = m a_{\lambda}(n-1, m) - (m+1) a_{\lambda}(n-1, m+1) - (n-1) \lambda a_{\lambda}(n-1, m).$$

For any nonnegative integer  $n$ , let  $g_n(t, \lambda)$  be the generating function of  $a_{\lambda}(n, m)$ , ( $m \geq 0$ ), given by

$$(13) \quad g_n(t, \lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{\lambda}(n, m) t^m.$$

From (12) and (13), we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
(14) \quad g_n(t, \lambda) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{\lambda}(n, m) t^m \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left\{ m a_{\lambda}(n-1, m) - (m+1) a_{\lambda}(n-1, m+1) - (n-1) \lambda a_{\lambda}(n-1, m) \right\} t^m \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m a_{\lambda}(n-1, m) t^m - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m+1) a_{\lambda}(n-1, m+1) t^m - (n-1) \lambda \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{\lambda}(n-1, m) t^m \\
&= t \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m+1) a_{\lambda}(n-1, m+1) t^m - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m+1) a_{\lambda}(n-1, m+1) t^m \\
&\quad - (n-1) \lambda \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{\lambda}(n-1, m) t^m \\
&= (t-1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m+1) a_{\lambda}(n-1, m+1) t^m - (n-1) \lambda \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{\lambda}(n-1, m) t^m \\
&= (t-1) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m a_{\lambda}(n-1, m) t^{m-1} - (n-1) \lambda \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{\lambda}(n-1, m) t^m \\
&= (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} g_{n-1}(t, \lambda) - (n-1) \lambda g_{n-1}(t, \lambda) \\
&= \left( (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} - (n-1) \lambda \right) g_{n-1}(t, \lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (14), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(15) \quad g_n(t, \lambda) &= \left( (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} - (n-1) \lambda \right) g_{n-1}(t, \lambda) \\
&= \left( (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} - (n-1) \lambda \right) \left( (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} - (n-2) \lambda \right) g_{n-2}(t, \lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Continuing this process, we have

$$(16) \quad g_n(t, \lambda) = \left( (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} \right)_{n, \lambda} g_0(t, \lambda), \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Here one has to observe that

$$\left( (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} - i \lambda \right) \left( (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} - j \lambda \right) = \left( (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} - j \lambda \right) \left( (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} - i \lambda \right),$$

for any distinct nonnegative integers  $i, j$ . Similar observations to this are needed for other results in below.

**Lemma 1.** For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $k \geq 0$ , we have

$$\left( (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} \right)_{k, \lambda} = \sum_{m=0}^k S_{2, \lambda}(k, m) (t-1)^m \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^m.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(t-1)^n$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (17) \quad & \left( (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} \right)_{k,\lambda} f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(n)_{k,\lambda} (t-1)^n \\
 & = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \left( \sum_{m=0}^k S_{2,\lambda}(k,m)(n)_m \right) (t-1)^n = \sum_{m=0}^k S_{2,\lambda}(k,m) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(n)_m (t-1)^n \\
 & = \left( \sum_{m=0}^k S_{2,\lambda}(k,m)(t-1)^m \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^m \right) f(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

By (17), we get

$$(18) \quad \left( (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} \right)_{k,\lambda} = \sum_{m=0}^k S_{2,\lambda}(k,m)(t-1)^m \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^m.$$

□

From (16) and Lemma 1. we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (19) \quad & g_n(t, \lambda) = \left( (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} \right)_{n,\lambda} g_0(t, \lambda) \\
 & = \sum_{k=0}^n S_{2,\lambda}(n,k)(t-1)^k \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^k g_0(t, \lambda),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_n(t, \lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_\lambda(n, m)t^m$ ,

Let  $t = 0$  in (19). Then we have

$$(20) \quad a_\lambda(n, 0) = \sum_{k=0}^n S_{2,\lambda}(n,k)(-1)^k k! a_\lambda(0, k).$$

Therefore, by (20), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** For a given initial degenerate sequence  $a_\lambda(0, m)$ , ( $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), let the doubly indexed degenerate sequence  $a_\lambda(n, m)$  be defined by the recurrence relation

$$a_\lambda(n, m) = m a_\lambda(n-1, m) - (m+1) a_\lambda(n-1, m+1) - (n-1) \lambda a_\lambda(n-1, m),$$

where  $n$  is a positive integer. Then we have

$$a_\lambda(n, 0) = \sum_{k=0}^n S_{2,\lambda}(n,k)(-1)^k k! a_\lambda(0, k).$$

Let  $a_\lambda(0, k) = \frac{1}{k+1}$  in Theorem 2. Then, from (11), we see that

$$(21) \quad a_\lambda(n, 0) = \sum_{k=0}^n S_{2,\lambda}(n,k)(-1)^k \frac{k!}{k+1} = \beta_{n,\lambda}, \quad (n \geq 0).$$

For a given initial degenerate sequence  $b_\lambda(0, m)$ , ( $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), consider the doubly indexed degenerate sequence  $b_\lambda(n, m)$ , ( $n \geq 1, m \geq 0$ ), which are defined by

$$(22) \quad b_\lambda(n, m) = (m+1) b_\lambda(n-1, m) - (m+1) b_\lambda(n-1, m+1) - (n-1) \lambda b_\lambda(n-1, m).$$

Let  $g_n^*(t, \lambda)$  be the generating function of  $b_\lambda(n, m)$ , ( $m \geq 0$ ), which is given by

$$(23) \quad g_n^*(t, \lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_\lambda(n, m)t^m.$$

Then, by (22) and (23), we get

(24)

$$\begin{aligned}
g_n^*(t, \lambda) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_\lambda(n, m) t^m \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m b_\lambda(n-1, m) t^m - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m+1) b_\lambda(n-1, m+1) t^m + (1 - (n-1)\lambda) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_\lambda(n-1, m) t^m \\
&= (t-1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m+1) b_\lambda(n-1, m+1) t^m + (1 - (n-1)\lambda) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_\lambda(n-1, m) t^m \\
&= (t-1) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m b_\lambda(n-1, m) t^{m-1} + (1 - (n-1)\lambda) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_\lambda(n-1, m) t^m \\
&= (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} g_{n-1}^*(t, \lambda) + (1 - (n-1)\lambda) g_{n-1}^*(t, \lambda) = \left( (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} + 1 - (n-1)\lambda \right) g_{n-1}^*(t, \lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (24), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
g_n^*(t, \lambda) &= \left( (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} + 1 - (n-1)\lambda \right) g_{n-1}^*(t, \lambda) \\
&= \left( (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} + 1 - (n-1)\lambda \right) \left( (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} + 1 - (n-2)\lambda \right) g_{n-2}^*(t, \lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Continuing this process, we have

$$(25) \quad g_n^*(t, \lambda) = \left( 1 + (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} \right)_{n, \lambda} g_0^*(t, \lambda),$$

where  $g_n^*(t, \lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_\lambda(n, m) t^m$ .

From (18) and (25), we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
(26) \quad g_n^*(t, \lambda) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (1)_{n-k, \lambda} \left( (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} \right)_{k, \lambda} g_0^*(t, \lambda) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (1)_{n-k, \lambda} \sum_{m=0}^k S_{2, \lambda}(k, m) (t-1)^m \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^m g_0^*(t, \lambda) \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^n (t-1)^m \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^m \sum_{k=m}^n \binom{n}{k} (1)_{n-k, \lambda} S_{2, \lambda}(k, m) g_0^*(t, \lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

By (7) and (26), we get

$$(27) \quad g_n^*(t, \lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^n (t-1)^m \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+1 \\ m+1 \end{matrix} \right\}_\lambda \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^m g_0^*(t, \lambda),$$

where  $g_n^*(t, \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_\lambda(n, k) t^k$ .

Let  $t = 0$  in (27). Then we have

$$(28) \quad b_\lambda(n, 0) = \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^m \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+1 \\ m+1 \end{matrix} \right\}_\lambda m! b_\lambda(0, m).$$

Therefore, by (28), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** For a given initial degenerate sequence  $b_\lambda(0, m)$ , ( $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), let the doubly indexed degenerate sequence  $b_\lambda(n, m)$ , ( $n \geq 1, m \geq 0$ ), be defined by the recurrence relation

$$b_\lambda(n, m) = (m+1)b_\lambda(n-1, m) - (m+1)b_\lambda(n-1, m+1) - (n-1)\lambda b_\lambda(n-1, m).$$

Then we have

$$b_\lambda(n, 0) = \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^m \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+1 \\ m+1 \end{matrix} \right\}_\lambda m! b_\lambda(0, m).$$

Let  $b_\lambda(0, m) = \frac{1}{m+1}$  in Theorem 3. Then, by (11), we have

$$b_\lambda(n, 0) = \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^m \frac{m!}{m+1} \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+1 \\ m+1 \end{matrix} \right\}_\lambda = \beta_{n,\lambda}(1), \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Let  $r$  be a nonnegative integer. For a given initial degenerate sequence  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(0, m)$ , ( $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), consider the doubly indexed degenerate sequence  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, m)$ , ( $n \geq 1, m \geq 0$ ), such that

$$(29) \quad a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, m) = (m+r)a_\lambda^{(r)}(n-1, m) - (m+1)a_\lambda^{(r)}(n-1, m+1) - (n-1)\lambda a_\lambda^{(r)}(n-1, m).$$

Let  $g_n^{(r)}(t, \lambda)$  be the generating function of  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, m)$ , ( $m \geq 0$ ), which is given by

$$(30) \quad g_n^{(r)}(t, \lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, m) t^m.$$

By the same method as in (14) and (27), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (31) \quad g_n^{(r)}(t, \lambda) &= \left( r + (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} \right)_{n,\lambda} g_0^{(r)}(t, \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (r)_{n-k,\lambda} \left( (t-1) \frac{d}{dt} \right)_{k,\lambda} g_0^{(r)}(t, \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (r)_{n-k,\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^k S_{2,\lambda}(k, m) (t-1)^m \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^m g_0^{(r)}(t, \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n (t-1)^m \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^m \left( \sum_{k=m}^n \binom{n}{k} (r)_{n-k,\lambda} S_{2,\lambda}(k, m) \right) g_0^{(r)}(t, \lambda) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n (t-1)^m \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+r \\ m+r \end{matrix} \right\}_\lambda \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^m g_0^{(r)}(t, \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_n^{(r)}(t, \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, k) t^k$ .

Let  $t = 0$  in (31). Then we have

$$(32) \quad a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, 0) = \sum_{m=0}^n \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+r \\ m+r \end{matrix} \right\}_\lambda (-1)^m m! a_\lambda^{(r)}(0, m).$$

Therefore, by (32), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $r$  be a nonnegative integer. For a given initial degenerate sequence  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(0, m)$ , ( $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), let the doubly indexed degenerate sequence  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, m)$ , ( $n \geq 1, m \geq 0$ ), be defined by the recurrence relation

$$a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, m) = (m+r)a_\lambda^{(r)}(n-1, m) - (m+1)a_\lambda^{(r)}(n-1, m+1) - (n-1)\lambda a_\lambda^{(r)}(n-1, m).$$

Then we have

$$a_{\lambda}^{(r)}(n, 0) = \sum_{m=0}^n \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+r \\ m+r \end{matrix} \right\}_{\lambda} (-1)^m m! a_{\lambda}^{(r)}(0, m).$$

Let  $a_{\lambda}^{(r)}(0, m) = \frac{1}{m+1}$ . Then, by (11), we get

$$a_{\lambda}^{(r)}(n, 0) = \sum_{m=0}^n \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+r \\ m+r \end{matrix} \right\}_{\lambda} (-1)^m \frac{m!}{m+1} = \beta_{n,\lambda}(r), \quad (n \geq 0).$$

For a given initial degenerate sequence  $a_{\lambda}^{(r)}(0, m)$ , ( $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), for the ease of notation we let

$$(33) \quad F(t) = g_0^{(r)}(t, \lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{\lambda}^{(r)}(0, m) t^m.$$

From (6), Theorem 4 and (33), we note that

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{\lambda}^{(r)}(n, 0) \frac{t^n}{n!} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=0}^n \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+r \\ m+r \end{matrix} \right\}_{\lambda} (-1)^m m! a_{\lambda}^{(r)}(0, m) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m m! a_{\lambda}^{(r)}(0, m) \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+r \\ m+r \end{matrix} \right\}_{\lambda} \frac{t^n}{n!} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m m! a_{\lambda}^{(r)}(0, m) e_{\lambda}^r(t) \frac{1}{m!} (e_{\lambda}(t) - 1)^m \\ &= e_{\lambda}^r(t) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{\lambda}^{(r)}(0, m) (1 - e_{\lambda}(t))^m \\ &= e_{\lambda}^r(t) F(1 - e_{\lambda}(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (34), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $F(t) = g_0^{(r)}(t, \lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{\lambda}^{(r)}(0, m) t^m$ . Then we have

$$e_{\lambda}^r(t) F(1 - e_{\lambda}(t)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{\lambda}^{(r)}(n, 0) \frac{t^n}{n!},$$

where  $r$  is a nonnegative integer.

Let  $a_{\lambda}^{(r)}(0, m) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^m$  in Theorem 5. Then we have

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{\lambda}^{(r)}(n, 0) \frac{t^n}{n!} &= e_{\lambda}^r(t) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{\lambda}^{(r)}(0, m) (1 - e_{\lambda}(t))^m \\ &= e_{\lambda}^r(t) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^m (e_{\lambda}(t) - 1)^m \\ &= e_{\lambda}^r(t) \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2}(e_{\lambda}(t) - 1)} = \frac{2}{e_{\lambda}(t) + 1} e_{\lambda}^r(t) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(r) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients on both sides of (35), we have

$$a_{\lambda}^{(r)}(n, 0) = \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(r), \quad (n \geq 0),$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(x)$  are the degenerate Euler polynomials.



## 3. CONCLUSION

In recent years, explorations for degenerate versions of some special numbers and polynomials regained the interests of many mathematicians which began with Carlitz's work on the degenerate Bernoulli and Euler numbers. They have been done by using various tools like combinatorial methods, generating functions, differential equations, umbral calculus techniques,  $p$ -adic analysis, special functions, operator theory, probability theory, and analytic number theory.

For a given initial degenerate sequence  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(0, m)$ , ( $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), and the doubly indexed degenerate sequence  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, m)$ , ( $n \geq 1, m \geq 0$ ), defined by the recurrence relation

$$a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, m) = (m + r)a_\lambda^{(r)}(n - 1, m) - (m + 1)a_\lambda^{(r)}(n - 1, m + 1) - (n - 1)\lambda a_\lambda^{(r)}(n - 1, m),$$

it was shown by making use of a differential operator that

$$a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, 0) = \sum_{m=0}^n \left\{ \begin{matrix} n+r \\ m+r \end{matrix} \right\}_\lambda (-1)^m m! a_\lambda^{(r)}(0, m).$$

Then we noted that  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, 0) = \beta_{n,\lambda}(r)$ , for  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(0, m) = \frac{1}{m+1}$ , and  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(n, 0) = \mathcal{E}_{n,\lambda}(r)$ , for  $a_\lambda^{(r)}(0, m) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^m$ .

It is one of our future projects to continue to explore many degenerate special numbers and polynomials with the help of aforementioned tools.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Araci, S. *A new class of Bernoulli polynomials attached to polyexponential functions and related identities*. Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. (Kyungshang) **31** (2021), no. 2, 195-204.
- [2] Carlitz, L. *Degenerate Stirling, Bernoulli and Eulerian numbers*. Utilitas Math. **15** (1979), 51-88.
- [3] Comtet, L. *Advanced combinatorics*. The art of finite and infinite expansions. Revised and enlarged edition. D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1974. xi+343 pp.
- [4] Kilar, N.; Simsek, Y. *Identities and relations for Fubini type numbers and polynomials via generating functions and  $p$ -adic integral approach*. Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **106** (120) (2019), 113-123.
- [5] Kim, D. S.; Kim, T. *A note on a new type of degenerate Bernoulli numbers*. Russ. J. Math. Phys. **27** (2020), no. 2, 227-235.
- [6] Kim, H. K.; Khan, W. A. *Some identities of a new type of degenerate poly-Frobenius-Euler polynomials and numbers*. Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. **24** (2021), no. 1, 33-45.
- [7] Kim, H. K.; Lee, D. S. *Some identities of degenerate  $r$ -extended Lah-Bell polynomials*. Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. **24** (2021), no. 1, 47-61.
- [8] Kim, T.; Kim, D. S. *On some degenerate differential and degenerate difference operator*, Russ. J. Math. **20** (2022), no. 1, 37-47.
- [9] Kim, T.; Kim, D. S. *Some formulas for fully degenerate Bernoulli numbers and polynomials*. arXiv:2202.04819, 2022.
- [10] Kim, T.; Kim, D. S.; Jang, G.-W. *A note on degenerate Fubini polynomials*. Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. **20** (2017), no. 4, 521-531.
- [11] Kim, T.; Kim, D. S.; Lee, H.; Park, J.-W. *A note on degenerate  $r$ -Stirling numbers*. J. Inequal. Appl. 2020, Paper No. 225, 12 pp.
- [12] Kim, T.; Yao, Y.; Kim, D. S.; Jang, G.-W. *Degenerate  $r$ -Stirling numbers and  $r$ -Bell polynomials*. Russ. J. Math. Phys. **25** (2018), no. 1, 44-58.
- [13] Park, J.-W.; Kim, B. M.; Kwon, J. *Some identities of the degenerate Bernoulli polynomials of the second kind arising from  $\lambda$ -Sheffer sequences*. Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. **24** (2021), no. 3, 323-342.
- [14] Roman, S. *The umbral calculus*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 111. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York, 1984. x+193 pp.
- [15] Sebaoui, M.; Laissaoui, D.; Guetta, G.; Rahmani, M. *Generalized Fubini transform with two variable*. arXiv:2202.11166, 2022.
- [16] Sharma, S. K.; Khan, W. A.; Araci, S.; Ahmed, S. S. *New construction of type 2 degenerate central Fubini polynomials with their certain properties*. Adv. Difference Equ. 2020, Paper No. 587, 11 pp.

- [17] Simsek, Y. *Construction of generalized Leibnitz type numbers and their properties*. Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. (Kyungshang) **31** (2021), no. 3, 311-323.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KWANGWOON UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 139-701, REPUBLIC OF KOREA  
*Email address:* `tkkim@kw.ac.kr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOGANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 121-742, REPUBLIC OF KOREA  
*Email address:* `dskim@sogang.ac.kr`