

**CENTRES OF CENTRALIZERS OF NILPOTENT ELEMENTS IN LIE
SUPERALGEBRAS $\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$ OR $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$**

LEYU HAN

ABSTRACT. Let \bar{G} be the simple algebraic supergroup $\mathrm{SL}(m|n)$ or $\mathrm{OSp}(m|2n)$ over \mathbb{C} . Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(\bar{G}) = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ and let $G = \bar{G}(\mathbb{C})$ where \mathbb{C} is considered as a superalgebra concentrated in even degree. Suppose $e \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ is nilpotent. We describe the centralizer \mathfrak{g}^e of e in \mathfrak{g} and its centre $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$. In particular, we give bases for \mathfrak{g}^e , $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ and $(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e}$. We also determine the labelled Dynkin diagram Δ with respect to e and subsequently describe the relation between $(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e}$ and Δ .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \bar{G} be the simple algebraic supergroup $\mathrm{SL}(m|n)$ or $\mathrm{OSp}(m|2n)$ over \mathbb{C} . Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(\bar{G}) = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ and let $G = \bar{G}(\mathbb{C})$ where \mathbb{C} is considered as a superalgebra concentrated in even degree. Let $e \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ be nilpotent and write $\mathfrak{g}^e = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [e, x] = 0\}$ (resp. $G^e = \{g \in G : geg^{-1} = e\}$) for the centralizer of e in \mathfrak{g} (resp. G). The main result of this paper is an explicit description of the centre of centralizer $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}^e : [x, y] = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathfrak{g}^e\}$ of e in \mathfrak{g} and its structure $(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e}$ under the adjoint action of G^e where $(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e} = \{x \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) : gxg^{-1} = x \text{ for all } g \in G^e\}$. The present paper is one of two papers in which we calculate bases for \mathfrak{g}^e , $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ and $(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e}$ for \mathfrak{g} a basic classical Lie superalgebra except $\mathfrak{psl}(n|n)$. In this paper, we deal with Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$ and $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$, while the other deals with exceptional Lie superalgebras $D(2, 1; \alpha)$, $G(3)$ and $F(4)$.

Research on the centralizer and the centre of centralizer of nilpotent elements in simple Lie algebras has been developed over the years since Springer [20] considered the centralizer G^u of a unipotent element u in a simple algebraic group G . For G is of exceptional type, further study of G^u was carried out in [2], [21], [18], [19], [12] and [13]. A description of the structure of the centralizer \mathfrak{g}^e of nilpotent elements in classical Lie algebras can be found in Jantzen's monograph, [8]. In [23], Yakimova identified the centre of \mathfrak{g}^e for classical Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over a field of characteristic zero. Note that the structure of $(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e}$ described in this paper is a new result not only in the case of Lie superalgebras, but also in the case of Lie algebras. In [16] and [17], Seitz further considered $Z(G^u)$. Lawther–Testerman studied the centralizer G^u and its centre $Z(G^u)$ over a field of characteristic 0 or a good prime in [10]. They subsequently determined the dimension of the Lie algebra of $Z(G^u)$. In particular, Lawther–Testerman [10] used work of Yakimova in [23] to deal with classical cases. In recent work, Liebeck and Seitz developed a new approach to classify unipotent and nilpotent orbits in [11].

To our best knowledge, there is a lot less study in this direction in the case of Lie superalgebras. Finite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero were classified by V. G. Kac in [9]. After this classification, a wide range of relevant problems have drawn the attention of mathematicians. Determining the centralizers \mathfrak{g}^e of nilpotent even elements in $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ and $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$ for a field of zero or prime characteristic formed part of the results of recent work of Wang and Zhao [22] and Hoyt [7]. However, more than forty years after Kac's classification, the dimension of the centre of \mathfrak{g}^e where \mathfrak{g} is a simple Lie superalgebra still remains a mystery. We attempt to discover this mystery in the present paper so that our knowledge about $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ can be broadened to a similar level to that in the area of Lie algebras.

In the remaining part of this introduction, we give a more detailed survey of our results.

In this paper, note that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$ and $G = \{(A, B) : A \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C}), B \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \text{ and } \det(A) = \det(B)\}$, or $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$ and $G = \mathrm{O}_m(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$. More generally, if \mathfrak{g} is a direct sum of Lie superalgebras of the form $\mathfrak{sl}(m_i|n_i)$ or $\mathfrak{osp}(m_i|n_i)$, we define G similarly.

In Subsection 2.3, we give a full definition of the labelled Dynkin diagram with respect to e . We classify the labelled Dynkin diagrams using Dynkin pyramids. Pyramids are defined in [5] for non-super case and generalized in [7] for super case. Each pyramid determines a nilpotent element e and a semisimple element h such that $\{e, h\}$ can be extended to an $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -triple in \mathfrak{g}_0 . We use h to determine the labelled Dynkin diagram with respect to e . In contrast to the case of non-super Lie algebras, in general e determines more than one labelled Dynkin diagram.

Our results can be viewed as Lie superalgebra versions of those obtained by Lawther and Testerman in [10]. They obtain four theorems as direct results of their findings. In this paper, we obtain analogues of Theorems 2–4 in [10] for Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$ and $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$. We take $(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e}$ as the correct replacement for $Z(G^e)$ since $\mathrm{Lie}(Z(G^e)) = (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e}$ for a field of characteristic 0. Note that for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$, we have $(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$.

Fix Δ to be a labelled Dynkin diagram with respect to e . Denote by $n_i(\Delta)$ the number of nodes which have labels equal to i in Δ . As a consequence of our calculations, we observe that the choice of Δ does not affect the following theorems and labels in Δ can only be 0, 1 or 2.

Our first theorem concerns the case where labels in Δ are even.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$ or $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$ and $e \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ be nilpotent. Assume Δ has no label equal to 1, then*

- (1) $\dim (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e} = n_2(\Delta) = \dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^h)$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n), m \neq n$ or $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$;
- (2) $\dim (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e} - 1 = n_2(\Delta) = \dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^h)$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n|n)$.

Our next result gives a more general result relating $\dim (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e}$ and Δ .

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$ or $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$ and $e \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ be nilpotent. Let a_1, \dots, a_l be the labels in Δ . Then*

$$\dim (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e} = \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^l a_i \right\rceil + \varepsilon$$

where the value of ε is equal to 0 except when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n|n)$, we have $\varepsilon = 1$.

Theorem 1 is subsumed by a more general result as stated in Theorem 3 and the proof of which involves more techniques. In order to state Theorem 3, we require some notations first. Define the sub-labelled Dynkin diagram Δ_0 to be the 2-free core of Δ such that Δ_0 is obtained by removing all nodes with labels equal to 2 from Δ . For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$), let λ be a partition of $(m|n)$ (resp. $(m|2n)$) and let P be the Dynkin pyramid (resp. ortho-symplectic Dynkin pyramid) of shape λ which will be defined in Subsection 3.1 (resp. Subsection 4.2). Let r_i (resp. s_i) be the number of boxes on the i th column with parity $\bar{0}$ (resp. $\bar{1}$) in P and $k \geq 0$ be minimal such that the k th column in P contains no boxes. Then we define τ to be:

- (1) the number of i such that $r_i = s_i \neq 0$ for all $i > k$ or $i < -k$ when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$;
- (2) the number of i such that $r_i = s_i \neq 0$ for all $i > k$ when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$.

We also define

$$\nu_0 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n), \sum_{-k < i < k} r_i \neq \sum_{-k < i < k} s_i \text{ or } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(m|2n); \\ 1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n), \sum_{-k < i < k} r_i = \sum_{-k < i < k} s_i. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$ or $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$ and $e \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ be nilpotent. Let Δ_0 be the 2-free core of Δ . Let \mathfrak{g}_0 be the subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} generated by the root vectors corresponding to the simple roots in Δ_0 , then \mathfrak{g}_0 is a direct sum of simple Lie superalgebras. Let G_0 be the subgroup of G defined as above. There exists a nilpotent G_0 -orbit in $(\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\bar{0}}$ having labelled Dynkin diagram Δ_0 . Suppose $e_0 \in (\mathfrak{g}_0)_{\bar{0}}$ is a representative of this orbit, then*

- (1). $\dim \mathfrak{g}^e - \dim \mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0} = n_2(\Delta)$ for all \mathfrak{g} ;
- (2). When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n), m \neq n$ or $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$, then $\dim (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e} - \dim (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0}))^{G_0^{e_0}} = n_2(\Delta) - \tau - \nu_0$;

(3). When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n|n)$, then $\dim(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e} - \dim(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0}))^{G_0^{e_0}} = n_2(\Delta) + 1 - \tau - \nu_0$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some fundamental concepts of Lie superalgebras such as basic classical Lie superalgebras, root system and labelled Dynkin diagrams. In Sections 3–4, we recall the concept of Dynkin pyramid and ortho-symplectic Dynkin pyramid. We use this to determine a nilpotent element $e \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and the labelled Dynkin diagram with respect to e explicitly, and to calculate bases of the centralizers \mathfrak{g}^e and centres $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$. In Subsection 4.9, we find a basis for $(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e}$ where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$ and $G = O_m(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Basic classical Lie superalgebras. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebra over \mathbb{C} . Recall that \mathfrak{g} is called a basic classical Lie superalgebra if \mathfrak{g}_0 is a reductive Lie algebra and \mathfrak{g} has a non-degenerate even invariant supersymmetric bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) . Finite-dimensional complex simple Lie superalgebras were classified by V. G. Kac in [9, Theorem 5]. The simple basic classical Lie superalgebras that are not Lie algebras consist of classical types which are infinite families and three exceptional types. The infinite series are $\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$, $\mathfrak{psl}(n|n)$ and $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$. In this paper, we consider Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$ and $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$.

The following definitions can be found in [3, Chapter 1]. Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . There exists a root space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ where $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [h, x] = \alpha(h)x \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$ is the root space corresponding to α and we have $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_0$. The set $\Phi = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^* : \alpha \neq 0, \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq 0\}$ is called the root system of \mathfrak{g} . The set of even (resp. odd) roots is defined to be $\Phi_0 = \{\alpha \in \Phi : \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_0\}$ (resp. $\Phi_1 = \{\alpha \in \Phi : \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_1\}$). A set of positive roots for a root system is a set $\Phi^+ \subseteq \Phi$ such that all root $\alpha \in \Phi$ there is exactly one of $\alpha, -\alpha$ contained in Φ^+ ; and for any two distinct roots $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+$, $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi$ implies that $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi^+$. Note that $\Phi^+ = \Phi_0^+ \cup \Phi_1^+$. The set of simple roots $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\} \subseteq \Phi^+$ consists of the positive roots that cannot be written as sums of positive roots. Note that l does not depend on choice of Π and we call it the rank of \mathfrak{g} .

A Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b} of \mathfrak{g} is defined to be a solvable subalgebra such that $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Note that there are in general many inequivalent conjugacy classes of Borel subalgebras and every Borel subalgebra containing \mathfrak{h} determines a corresponding system of positive roots Φ^+ . Consequently \mathfrak{b} determines a system of simple roots Π . Recall that the Weyl group W of \mathfrak{g} is generated by the Weyl reflections $w_\alpha(\beta) = \beta - 2\frac{(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}\alpha$ with $\alpha \in \Phi_0, \beta \in \Phi$. For each conjugacy class of Borel subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} , a simple root system can be transformed into an equivalent one under the transformation of the Weyl group W of \mathfrak{g} , see [6, Subsection 2.3].

2.2. Dynkin diagrams. In this subsection, we continue working with \mathfrak{g} as in Subsection 2.1. We next recall the concept of the Dynkin diagram as defined for example in [6, Section 2.2].

We know that there exists a non-degenerate even invariant supersymmetric bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) on \mathfrak{g} . One can check that (\cdot, \cdot) restricts to a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on \mathfrak{h} . Therefore, there exists an isomorphism from \mathfrak{h} to \mathfrak{h}^* which provides a symmetric bilinear form on \mathfrak{h}^* . Then the *Dynkin diagram* of a Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} with a simple root system Π is a graph where the vertices are labelled by Π and there are $\mu_{\alpha\beta}$ lines between the vertices labelled by simple roots α_i and α_j such that:

$$(2.1) \quad \mu_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{cases} |(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)| & \text{if } (\alpha_i, \alpha_i) = (\alpha_j, \alpha_j) = 0, \\ \frac{2|(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)|}{\min\{|\alpha_i, \alpha_i|, |\alpha_j, \alpha_j|\}} & \text{if } (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)(\alpha_j, \alpha_j) \neq 0, \\ \frac{2|(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)|}{\min_{(\alpha_k, \alpha_k) \neq 0} |(\alpha_k, \alpha_k)|} & \text{if } (\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \neq 0, (\alpha_j, \alpha_j) = 0 \text{ and } \alpha_k \in \Phi. \end{cases}$$

We say a root $\alpha \in \Phi$ is *isotropic* if $(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$ and is *non-isotropic* if $(\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0$. We associate a white node \circ to each even root, a grey node \otimes to each odd isotropic root and a black node \bullet to each odd non-isotropic root. Moreover, when $\mu_{\alpha\beta} > 1$, we put an arrow pointing from the vertex labelled by α_i to the vertex labelled by α_j if $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)(\alpha_j, \alpha_j) \neq 0$ and $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) > (\alpha_j, \alpha_j)$ or if $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) = 0, (\alpha_j, \alpha_j) \neq 0$ and $|(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)| < 2$, or pointing from the vertex labelled by α_j to the vertex labelled by α_i if $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) =$

0, $(\alpha_j, \alpha_j) \neq 0$ and $|(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)| > 2$. If the value of $\mu_{\alpha\beta}$ is not a natural number, then we label the edge between vertices corresponding to roots α and β with $\mu_{\alpha\beta}$ instead of drawing multiple lines between them. Note that the Dynkin diagram depends on Π up to conjugacy by W , thus Dynkin diagrams of \mathfrak{g} for different choices of simple roots can be different.

Remark 4. In this paper, the Dynkin diagram for $\mathfrak{sl}(n|n)$ is by convention the one for $\mathfrak{gl}(n|n)$.

2.3. Labelled Dynkin diagrams. Let $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ be nilpotent. There exists an $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -triple $\{e, h, f\} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ by the Jacobson–Morozov Theorem, see for example [4, Theorem 3.3.1]. An $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -triple determines a grading on \mathfrak{g} according to the eigenvalues of $\text{ad}h$, thus we can decompose \mathfrak{g} into its $\text{ad}h$ -eigenspaces $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}(j)$ where $\mathfrak{g}(j) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [h, x] = jx\}$. In order to construct the labelled Dynkin diagram of e , we first fix $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(0)$ to be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} containing h . Then we choose a system $\Phi^+(0)$ of positive roots for $\mathfrak{g}(0)$ to get a Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}(0)$ of $\mathfrak{g}(0)$. Let \mathfrak{b} be the Borel subalgebra such that $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}(0) \oplus \mathfrak{g}(j > 0)$, then we obtain the corresponding system of positive roots Φ^+ and a system of simple roots $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ which will give a Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{g} . Furthermore, for each $i = 1, \dots, l$, note that \mathfrak{g}_{α_i} is the root space corresponding to α_i and $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(j_i)$ for some $j_i \geq 0$. Hence, we have $\alpha_i(h) \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$.

Definition 5. The *labelled Dynkin diagram* Δ of e determined by Π is given by taking the Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{g} and labelling each node α with $\alpha(h)$.

3. LIE SUPERALGEBRAS $\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$

3.1. Dynkin pyramids and labelled Dynkin diagram for $\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$. Let $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ be a finite-dimensional vector superspace such that $\dim V_{\bar{0}} = m$ and $\dim V_{\bar{1}} = n$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n) = \mathfrak{sl}(V)$. Note that the nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ are parametrized by the partitions of $(m|n)$. Let λ be a partition of $(m|n)$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \lambda = (p|q) = (p_1, \dots, p_r | q_1, \dots, q_s)$$

where p (resp. q) is a partition of m (resp. n) and $p_1 \geq \dots \geq p_r, q_1 \geq \dots \geq q_s$. By rearranging the order of numbers in $(p|q)$, we write

$$(3.2) \quad \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{r+s})$$

such that $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{r+s}$. For $i = 1, \dots, r+s$, we define $|i|$ such that for $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have that $|\{i : \lambda_i = c, |i| = \bar{0}\}| = |\{j : p_j = c\}|$, $|\{i : \lambda_i = c, |i| = \bar{1}\}| = |\{j : q_j = c\}|$ and if $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$, $|i| = \bar{0}, |j| = \bar{1}$, then $i < j$. i.e. $\sum_{|i|=\bar{0}} \lambda_i = m$ and $\sum_{|i|=\bar{1}} \lambda_i = n$.

For the purpose of describing the dimension of \mathfrak{g}^e and the labelled Dynkin diagram for each nilpotent orbit $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$, we recall that a Dynkin pyramid P of shape λ is defined to be a finite collection of boxes of size 2×2 in the xy -plane which are centred at integer coordinates, see [5, Section 4] and [7, Section 7]. Write $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{r+s})$ as defined in (3.2), we number rows of the Dynkin pyramid P from $1, \dots, r+s$ from bottom to top, then the j th row of P has length λ_j and we mark boxes in the row j with parity $\bar{0}$ or $\bar{1}$ according to $|j|$. We associate a numbering $1, 2, \dots, m+n$ for the boxes of the Dynkin pyramid from top to bottom and from left to right. The row number of the i th box is denoted by $\text{row}(i)$ and the parity of $\text{row}(i)$ is denoted by $|\text{row}(i)|$. We say that the column number $\text{col}(i)$ of i is the x -coordinate of the centre of the i th box.

Define a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_{m+n}\}$ of $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$ where $v_i \in V_{|\text{row}(i)|}$. According to [7, Section 7], P determines a nilpotent element $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ such that e sends v_i to v_j if the box labelled by j is the left neighbour of i and sends v_i to zero if the box labelled by v_i has no left neighbour. Write e_{ij} for the ij -matrix unit, then


$$e = \sum_{\text{row}(i)=\text{row}(j), \text{col}(j)=\text{col}(i)-2} e_{ij}$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq m+n$. We know that P also determines a semisimple element $h \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ such that $h = \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} -\text{col}(i)e_{ii}$. Note that $\{e, h\}$ can be extended to an $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -triple $\{e, h, f\}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ according to [7, Section 7].


Next we recall a construction of the root system for \mathfrak{g} according to [14, Section 2.2]. Let \mathfrak{h} be the Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} consisting of all diagonal matrices in \mathfrak{g} and let $a = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_{m+n}) \in \mathfrak{h}$. For the basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_{m+n}\}$ of V , we define $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{m+n}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $\varepsilon_i(a) = a_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m+n$ and the parity of ε_i is equal to $|\text{row}(i)|$. Then the root system of \mathfrak{g} with respect to \mathfrak{h} is $\Phi = \Phi_{\bar{0}} \cup \Phi_{\bar{1}}$ where $\Phi_{\bar{0}} = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j : i \neq j, |i| = |j|\}$ and $\Phi_{\bar{1}} = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j : i \neq j, |i| \neq |j|\}$ and $(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = (-1)^{|i|} \delta_{ij}$. By computing $(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j)$ for all $i \neq j, |i| \neq |j|$, we have that all odd roots are isotropic.

The labelled Dynkin diagram for a nilpotent orbit $e \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ is constructed as follows. First, draw the Dynkin pyramid of shape λ . For $i = 1, \dots, m+n-1$, we associate a white node \circ (resp. a grey node \otimes) for root $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}$ if $|\text{row}(i+1)| = |\text{row}(i)|$ (resp. $|\text{row}(i+1)| \neq |\text{row}(i)|$). We label the i th node with the value $\text{col}(i+1) - \text{col}(i)$.

Example 6. For a partition $\lambda = (5, 1|3)$, the Dynkin pyramid of shape λ is




We calculate that $h = \text{diag}(4, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0, -2, -2, -4)$. Then the corresponding labelled Dynkin diagram is




Remark 7. Different numberings within columns and different choices of parities of rows are possible and would lead to different labelled Dynkin diagrams. In this way one can get all possible labelled Dynkin diagrams. In this paper, the way we define the partition λ in (3.2) leads to a unique labelled Dynkin diagram.

The following example shows that for different choices of parities of rows of a given pyramid, we can get different Dynkin diagrams.

Example 8. For a partition $\lambda = (3, 2|2, 1)$, the corresponding Dynkin pyramid and labelled Dynkin diagram are shown below:



However, if we allow different parities for rows, then there exist another Dynkin pyramid and therefore a different labelled Dynkin diagram:



3.2. Centralizer of nilpotent elements $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$. Let $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ be a nilpotent element with Jordan type $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{r+s})$ which is defined as in (3.2). In order to calculate the dimension of \mathfrak{g}^e , we first recall a basis for $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)^e$ based on [23, Section 1] and [7, Section 3.2].

Let $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bar{1}} = \mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$. Let $u_1, \dots, u_{r+s} \in V$ such that $u_i = v_k$ for $\text{row}(k) = i$ and $\text{col}(k) = \lambda_k$, then the vectors $e^j u_i$ with $0 \leq j \leq \lambda_i - 1$, $|i| = \bar{0}$ form a basis for $V_{\bar{0}}$ and the vectors $e^j u_i$ with $0 \leq j \leq \lambda_i - 1$, $|i| = \bar{1}$ form a basis for $V_{\bar{1}}$. Note that $e^{\lambda_i} u_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r+s$.

With the above notation, Hoyt worked out a basis of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e$ in [7, Section 3.2.1] which we recall below. For $\xi \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e$, we have $\xi(e^j u_i) = e^j \xi(u_i)$. Hence, each ξ is determined by $\xi(u_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r+s$ and we can write

$$(3.3) \quad \xi(u_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{r+s} \sum_{k=\max\{\lambda_j - \lambda_i, 0\}}^{\lambda_j - 1} c_i^{j,k} e^k u_j$$

where $c_i^{j,k} \in \mathbb{C}$ are coefficients. Then $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e$ has a basis

$$(3.4) \quad \{\xi_i^{j,k} : 1 \leq i, j \leq r+s \text{ and } \max\{\lambda_j - \lambda_i, 0\} \leq k \leq \lambda_j - 1\}$$

such that $\xi_i^{j,k}(u_t) = \delta_{it} e^k u_j$.

Instead of using the formula for $\dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e$ in [7, Section 3.2.1], we obtain an alternative formula for $\dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e$ below. Note that formulas in [7, Section 3.2.1] and Proposition 9 are equivalent, but the formula in Proposition 9 is more convenient to use in Subsection 3.4.

Proposition 9. *Let λ be a partition of $(m|n)$ denoted as in (3.2). Denote by P the Dynkin pyramid of λ and $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ be a nilpotent element determined by P . Let c_i be the number of boxes in the i th column of P . Then $\dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} c_i^2 + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} c_i c_{i+1}$.*

Proof. Let $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}(j)$ as defined in Subsection 2.3. According to [7, Definition 4.1 and Theorem 7.2], we have that the map $\text{ade} : \bar{\mathfrak{g}}(j \geq -1) \rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{g}}(j \geq 1)$ is surjective and $\ker(\text{ade}) = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{g}}(j \geq -1)$, $\dim \ker(\text{ade}) + \dim \text{im}(\text{ade}) = \dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}(j \geq -1)$, so we have that $\dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e = \dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}(j \geq -1) - \dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}(j \geq 1) = \dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}(0) + \dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}(-1)$. We also calculate that $[h, e_{kl}] = (\text{col}(l) - \text{col}(k)) e_{kl}$, which means $e_{kl} \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}(j)$ if $j = \text{col}(l) - \text{col}(k)$. This implies that $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(0) = \langle e_{kl} : \text{col}(l) = \text{col}(k) \rangle \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{c_i})$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(-1) = \langle e_{kl} : \text{col}(l) - \text{col}(k) = -1 \rangle = \bigoplus \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{c_i}, \mathbb{C}^{c_{i+1}})$. Therefore, we obtain that $\dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} c_i^2 + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} c_i c_{i+1}$. \square

We also use an alternative notation for λ such that

$$(3.5) \quad \lambda = (c^{m_c+n_c}, \dots, 1^{m_1+n_1})$$

where $m_i = |\{j : \lambda_j = i, |j| = \bar{0}\}|$ and $n_i = |\{j : \lambda_j = i, |j| = \bar{1}\}|$. We define $M^j = \langle u_i : \lambda_i = j \rangle$ for $1 \leq j \leq c$. By [7, Theorem 3.4], we have that $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e(0) \cong \mathfrak{gl}(M^1) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(M^2) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(M^c)$. In addition, we have $M^j = M_0^j \oplus M_1^j$ where $\dim M_0^j = m_j$, $\dim M_1^j = n_j$ and thus $\mathfrak{gl}(M^j) \cong \mathfrak{gl}(m_j|n_j)$ for each j . Therefore, we obtain that $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e(0) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^c \mathfrak{gl}(m_j|n_j)$.

Next we move on to calculate $\dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e$.

Theorem 10. *Let $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ be nilpotent, we have that $\dim \mathfrak{g}^e = \dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e - 1$.*

Proof. We first look at a Lie superalgebra homomorphism $\text{str} : \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Note that $\ker(\text{str}) = \mathfrak{g}$ and $\dim \text{im}(\text{str}) = 1$. By restricting we get $\text{str}^e : \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\ker(\text{str}^e) = \mathfrak{g}^e$. Then by the rank-nullity theorem, we get $\dim \ker(\text{str}^e) + \dim \text{im}(\text{str}^e) = \dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e$.

Let

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 \\ 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bar{0}}$$

where e_1 and e_2 are $m \times m$ and $n \times n$ matrices respectively.

Let $I_m \in \mathfrak{gl}(m)$ be the $m \times m$ identity matrix and $0_{n \times n} \in \mathfrak{gl}(n)$ be the $n \times n$ zero matrix. Let $I(m|0)$ be the $(m+n) \times (m+n)$ matrix

$$(3.6) \quad I(m|0) = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & 0_{m \times n} \\ 0_{n \times m} & 0_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we calculate $[I(m|0), e] = 0$. Hence, we have $I(m|0) \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e$.

Therefore, we have that $\text{str}^e(I(m|0)) = \text{trace}(I_m) = m$, thus str^e is non-zero and $\dim \text{im}(\text{str}^e) = 1$. Therefore, we have $\dim \mathfrak{g}^e = \dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e - 1$. \square

3.3. Centre of centralizer of nilpotent elements $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$. In order to give a basis for $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$, we determine a basis for $\mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e)$ first.

Proposition 11. *Let $e \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bar{0}}$ be nilpotent, we have that $\mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e) \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bar{0}}$.*

Proof. Suppose $x \in \mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e)$, then $x \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e$ and $[x, y] = 0$ for all $y \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e$. Since $I(m|0) \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e$ where $I(m|0)$ is defined in (3.6), we have that $[x, I(m|0)] = 0$. Therefore, we have that $\mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e) \subseteq (\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e)^{I(m|0)} \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{I(m|0)}$.

Let $x = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$. Notice that $[I(m|0), x] = 0$ if and only if $B = C = 0$. This implies that $x \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bar{0}}$. Hence, we have $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{I(m|0)} = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bar{0}}$. Therefore, we deduce that $\mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e) \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bar{0}}$. \square

Yakimova shows that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{gl}(m+n)^e) = \langle I, e, \dots, e^l \rangle$ where $l = \lambda_1 - 1$ in [23, Theorem 2]. Now we use the above result to work out a basis of $\mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e)$.

Theorem 12. *$\mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e)$ has a basis $\{I, e, \dots, e^l : l = \lambda_1 - 1\}$.*

Proof. Firstly, we denote the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m+n)$ by \mathfrak{g}' . Note that $\mathfrak{gl}(m+n)$ is isomorphic to $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ as a vector space. We also have that $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e = (\mathfrak{g}')^e$ as a vector space because $[e, x] = [e, x']$ for $x \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $x' \in \mathfrak{g}'$. Denote by

$$\mathfrak{g}'_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A' & 0 \\ 0 & D' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}' : A' \in \mathfrak{gl}(m) \text{ and } D' \in \mathfrak{gl}(n) \right\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{g}'_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B' \\ C' & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}' : B' \text{ is a } m \times n \text{ matrix and } C' \text{ is a } n \times m \text{ matrix} \right\}.$$

Then we let $\mathfrak{z}((\mathfrak{g}')^e)_0 = \mathfrak{z}((\mathfrak{g}')^e) \cap \mathfrak{g}'_0$ and $\mathfrak{z}((\mathfrak{g}')^e)_1 = \mathfrak{z}((\mathfrak{g}')^e) \cap \mathfrak{g}'_1$. We further observe that $\mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e)_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{z}((\mathfrak{g}')^e)_0$ by definition. Since we already found that $\mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e) \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bar{0}}$, thus $\mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e)_{\bar{1}} = 0$. Using the same argument as in Proposition 11, we have that $\mathfrak{z}((\mathfrak{g}')^e)_1 = 0$. Hence, $\mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e) = \mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e)_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{z}((\mathfrak{g}')^e)_0 = \mathfrak{z}((\mathfrak{g}')^e)$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e) = \langle I, e, \dots, e^l : l = \lambda_1 - 1 \rangle$. \square

Now we give a basis for $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$. We divide our analysis into two cases: $m \neq n$ and $m = n > 1$.

Theorem 13. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$ and λ be a partition of $(m|n)$ denoted as (3.2). Let $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ be a nilpotent element determined by the Dynkin pyramid of λ , then $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = \langle e, \dots, e^l : l = \lambda_1 - 1 \rangle$ except for $m = n, n > 1$, in which case $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = \langle I, e, \dots, e^l : l = \lambda_1 - 1 \rangle$.*

Proof. When $m \neq n$, we know that $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e = \mathfrak{g}^e \oplus \mathbb{C}I$ and thus $\mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e) \subseteq \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) \oplus \mathbb{C}I$. Let $x \in \mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e)$, then $[x, y] = 0$ for all $y \in \mathfrak{g}^e$. We also know that $x \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e$ since $\mathfrak{g}^e \subseteq \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e$. Moreover, we have that $[x, I] = 0$ and $[x, y] = 0$ for all $y \in \mathfrak{g}^e \oplus \mathbb{C}I$. Thus we have that $\mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e) \subseteq \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$. Hence, a basis of $\mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e)$ consists of all basis vectors of $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ except the identity matrix I . Therefore, we have that $\mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e) = \langle e, \dots, e^l : l = \lambda_1 - 1 \rangle$ and $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e) = \lambda_1 - 1$.

When $m = n, n > 1$, for a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{r+s})$, we have elements $\lambda_j \xi_i^{i,0} - (-1)^{\bar{i}} \lambda_i \xi_j^{j,0}$ with $i \neq j$ lie in the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$.

Let $S = \langle I, e, \dots, e^l : l = \lambda_1 - 1 \rangle$. Clearly $S \subseteq \mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e)$. We know that $e^k = \sum_{i=1}^{r+s} \xi_i^{i,k}$ and $e^k \in \mathfrak{g}$ for all $0 \leq k \leq \lambda_1 - 1$. Suppose $x \in \mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e)$ is of the form

$$x = \sum_{1 \leq t, h \leq r+s, \max\{\lambda_t - \lambda_h\} \leq k \leq \lambda_t - 1} c_h^{t,k} \xi_h^{t,k}$$

where $c_h^{t,k} \in \mathbb{C}$ are coefficients. If $r + s \geq 3$, then x commutes with $\lambda_j \xi_i^{i,0} - (-1)^{\bar{i}} \lambda_i \xi_j^{j,0}$ for all i, j . By computing $[\lambda_j \xi_i^{i,0} - (-1)^{\bar{i}} \lambda_i \xi_j^{j,0}, x]$ for $i \neq j$ we have

$$[\lambda_j \xi_i^{i,0} - (-1)^{\bar{i}} \lambda_i \xi_j^{j,0}, x] = \lambda_j \left(\sum_{h,k} c_h^{i,k} \xi_h^{i,k} - \sum_{t,k} c_i^{t,k} \xi_i^{t,k} \right) + (-1)^{\bar{i}} \lambda_i \left(\sum_{t,k} c_j^{t,k} \xi_j^{t,k} - \sum_{h,k} c_h^{j,k} \xi_h^{j,k} \right).$$

This is equal to 0, which forces $\sum_{h,k} c_h^{i,k} \xi_h^{i,k} = \sum_{t,k} c_i^{t,k} \xi_i^{t,k}$ and $\sum_{h,k} c_h^{j,k} \xi_h^{j,k} = \sum_{t,k} c_j^{t,k} \xi_j^{t,k}$. This implies that $c_h^{t,k} = 0$ for all $h \neq t$ and thus $x \in \langle \xi_t^{t,k} \rangle$.

If $r + s = 2$, we have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = n > 1$. In this case we only deal with $\xi_h^{t,k}$ for $h, t \in \{1, 2\}$. Note that a basis of \mathfrak{g}^e is $\{\xi_1^{1,0} + \xi_2^{2,0}, \xi_1^{1,j}, \xi_2^{2,j}, \xi_1^{1,k}, \xi_2^{2,k} : j = 1, \dots, n-1, k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. Hence, an element $y \in \mathfrak{g}^e$ is of the form $y = \sum_{1 \leq t, h \leq 2, 0 \leq k \leq n-1} c_h^{t,k} \xi_h^{t,k} + c(\xi_1^{1,0} + \xi_2^{2,0})$. Now suppose $y \in \mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e)$, by computing $[\xi_1^{1,1}, y] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_2^{1,k} \xi_2^{1,k+1} \pm \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_1^{2,k} \xi_1^{2,k+1}$, we obtain that $c_1^{2,k} = 0$ and $c_2^{1,k} = 0$ for all $k = 0, \dots, n-2$. Then we calculate $[\xi_2^{2,0}, y] = c_1^{1,0} (\xi_1^{1,n-1} \pm \xi_2^{2,n-1})$, which implies that $c_1^{1,n-1} = 0$. Similarly we have that $c_2^{2,n-1} = 0$. Therefore, we obtain that $x \in \langle \xi_1^{1,0} + \xi_2^{2,0}, \xi_t^{t,k} : k > 0 \rangle$.

From above we have that $x = \sum_{t,k} c_t^{t,k} \xi_t^{t,k}$. Adding an element of S we may assume that $c_1^{1,k}(x) = 0$ for all k . Suppose $x \notin S$, then there exist some $c_i^{i,k} \neq 0$. Next considering $\xi_1^{i,0} \in \mathfrak{g}^e$, we have $[x, \xi_1^{i,0}] = \sum_{t,k} c_t^{t,k}(x) [\xi_t^{t,k}, \xi_1^{i,0}] = \sum_k c_i^{i,k}(x) \xi_1^{i,k} \neq 0$, thus $x \notin \mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e)$. Hence $\mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e) \subseteq S$. Therefore, we deduce that $\mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e) = S$ as required. This implies that $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e) = \lambda_1$. \square

3.4. Proof of theorems. Let r_i (resp. s_i) be the number of boxes with parity $\bar{0}$ (resp. $\bar{1}$) in the i th column of the Dynkin pyramid P and denote $c_i = r_i + s_i$.

In order to prove Theorem 1 for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$, we first look at the case that the corresponding Δ only has even labels. Note that labels in labelled Dynkin diagram Δ are the horizontal difference between consecutive boxes in the pyramid. Hence, there is no label equal to 1 in Δ if and only if $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}$ are even for all $i = 1, \dots, r + s$, i.e. λ_i are all even or all odd. Based on the way that the labelled Dynkin diagram is constructed, we have that $n_2(\Delta) = \lambda_1 - 1$. Therefore, we have that $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e) = n_2(\Delta)$ for $m \neq n$ and $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^e) = n_2(\Delta) + 1$ for $m = n > 1$.

Next we turn to look for $\mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^h)$. Note that an element in $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^h$ is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{-\lambda_1+1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & x_{\lambda_1-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $x_i \in \mathfrak{gl}(r_i|s_i)$ are block matrices for $i = -\lambda_1+1, -\lambda_1+3, \dots, \lambda_1-3, \lambda_1-1$ such that $\sum_i \text{str}(x_i) = 0$. Thus we have that an element in $\mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^h)$ is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_{-\lambda_1+1} I(r_{-\lambda_1+1}|s_{-\lambda_1+1}) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & d_{\lambda_1-1} I(r_{\lambda_1-1}|s_{\lambda_1-1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\sum d_i(r_i - s_i) = 0$. Hence, we deduce that $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^h) = \lambda_1 - 1 = n_2(\Delta)$ for $m \neq n$ and $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^h) = n_2(\Delta) + 1$ for $m = n > 1$.

Next we prove Theorem 2 for Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$. Based on the way that the labelled Dynkin diagram is constructed, any labelled Dynkin diagram for $e \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ is the same as the labelled Dynkin diagram for $e \in \mathfrak{gl}(m+n)$ except some of the vertices are \otimes , i.e. given a nilpotent element $e \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, all the labels a_i in the labelled Dynkin diagram with respect to $\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$ are the same as that in the labelled Dynkin diagram with respect to $\mathfrak{gl}(m+n)$ so that $\sum a_i$ is also the same. We also have $a_i = \text{col}(i+1) - \text{col}(i)$, thus $\sum a_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m+n-1} (\text{col}(i+1) - \text{col}(i)) = \text{col}(m+n) - \text{col}(1) = 2\lambda_1 - 2 = 2 \dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) - 2$. Therefore, we have that $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = \lceil \frac{1}{2} \sum a_i \rceil + \varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon = 0$ for $m \neq n$ and $\varepsilon = 1$ for $m = n > 1$.

To prove Theorem 3 for $\mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$, we define \mathfrak{g}_0 to be the subalgebra generated by the root spaces $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ and \mathfrak{g}_α for α a simple root with label 0 or 1 in Δ . We consider two general cases: the labelled Dynkin diagram Δ has no label equal to 1 and Δ has some labels equal to 1.

When Δ has no label equal to 1. Note that $e_0 = 0$ since Δ_0 has all labels equal to 0. We also have $\mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0} = \mathfrak{g}_0 = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{sl}(r_i|s_i)$. Then $\dim \mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0} = \dim \mathfrak{g}_0 = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim \mathfrak{sl}(r_i|s_i) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}: c_i > 0} (c_i^2 - 1)$. Hence, $\dim \mathfrak{g}^e - \dim \mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0} = (\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}: c_i > 0} c_i^2 - 1) - \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}: c_i > 0} (c_i^2 - 1) = -1 + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}: c_i > 0} 1 = \lambda_1 - 1 = n_2(\Delta)$ since there are in total λ_1 columns in P with non-zero boxes. Moreover, we have that $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0}) = \tau$ where τ is the number of i such that $r_i = s_i$. Therefore, we obtain that $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) - \dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0}) = n_2(\Delta) - \tau$ for $m \neq n$ and $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) - \dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0}) = n_2(\Delta) + 1 - \tau$ for $m = n > 1$.

When Δ has some labels equal to 1. There are in total $2\lambda_1 + 1$ columns with labels from $-\lambda_1$ to λ_1 in the Dynkin pyramid P . Let $k > 0$ be minimal such that $c_k = 0$ and thus we know that $n_2(\Delta) = \lambda_1 - k$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_0 \cong & \mathfrak{sl}(r_{-\lambda_1+1}|s_{-\lambda_1+1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(r_{-k-1}|s_{-k-1}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}\left(\sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} r_i \mid \sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} s_i\right) \\ & \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(r_{k+1}|s_{k+1}) \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(r_{\lambda_1-1}|s_{\lambda_1-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the projection of e_0 in each $\mathfrak{sl}(r_i|s_i)$ is equal to 0 for $i > k$ and $i < -k$, thus $e_0 \in \mathfrak{sl}\left(\sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} r_i \mid \sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} s_i\right)$. We know that

$$\dim \mathfrak{sl}\left(\sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} r_i \mid \sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} s_i\right)^{e_0} = \sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} c_i^2 + \sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} c_i c_{i+1} - 1$$

and $\dim \mathfrak{sl}(r_i|s_i) = c_i^2 - 1$. We also know that P is symmetric, thus

$$\dim \mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0} = \sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} c_i^2 + \sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} c_i c_{i+1} - 1 + 2(c_{k+1}^2 - 1) + \cdots + 2(c_{\lambda_1-1}^2 - 1).$$

Therefore, we have $\dim \mathfrak{g}^e - \dim \mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0} = \lambda_1 - k = n_2(\Delta)$.

Observe that when $r_i \neq s_i$ for all $i > k$ and $i < -k$ and $\sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} r_i \neq \sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} s_i$, then $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0}) = k - 1$ as $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{sl}(r_i|s_i)) = 0$. However, when there exist some i for $i > k$ or $i < -k$ such that $r_i = s_i$, then $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{sl}(r_i|s_i)) = 1$. Moreover, $\dim \mathfrak{z}\left(\mathfrak{sl}\left(\sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} r_i \mid \sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} s_i\right)^{e_0}\right) = k$ if $\sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} r_i = \sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} s_i$ and $\dim \mathfrak{z}\left(\mathfrak{sl}\left(\sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} r_i \mid \sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} s_i\right)^{e_0}\right) = k - 1$ if $\sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} r_i \neq \sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} s_i$ by Subsection 3.3. Let

$$\nu_0 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} r_i \neq \sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} s_i; \\ 1 & \text{if } \sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} r_i = \sum_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} s_i. \end{cases}$$

Then we have that $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0}) = k - 1 + \tau + \nu_0$ where τ is the number of i such that $i > k$ or $i < -k$ and $r_i = s_i$. Therefore, we have $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) - \dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0}) = n_2(\Delta) - \tau - \nu_0$ for $m \neq n$ and $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) - \dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0}) = n_2(\Delta) + 1 - \tau - \nu_0$ for $m = n > 1$.

For $E_{j,k} + \gamma_{-k,-j}E_{-k,-j} \in \mathfrak{osp}(V)_{\bar{1}}$, we have that $\eta_j \neq \eta_k$. Let $\text{sign}(j) = 1$ for $j > 0$ and $\text{sign}(j) = -1$ for $j < 0$. We deduce that

$$(4.4) \quad \gamma_{-k,-j} = -\eta_j \text{sign}(j) + \eta_k \text{sign}(k) \text{ for all } \eta_j \neq \eta_k.$$

Note that η_j, η_k in equation (4.4) are viewed as $0, 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We further calculate signs in basis elements $e_{0,k} + \gamma_{-k,0}e_{-k,0}$ for $k > 0$ and $e_{k,0} + \gamma_{0,-k}e_{0,-k}$ for $k > 0$ if they exist. Applying a similar argument we get that for $k > 0$,

$$(4.5) \quad \gamma_{-k,0} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \eta_k = \bar{0}, \\ 1 & \text{if } \eta_k = \bar{1}. \end{cases} \text{ and } \gamma_{0,-k} = -1.$$

Therefore, for the matrix expression of $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$, we fix all entries above the skew diagonal to have positive sign and then use the above rules to determine signs of entries below the skew diagonal. More precisely, a basis of $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$ is

$$(4.6) \quad \{e_{i,-i}, e_{j,k} + \gamma_{-k,-j}e_{-k,-j} : \eta_i = \bar{1}, 0 < j, k \leq l + n, \text{ or } j = 0, k > 0, \\ \text{or } j > 0, k = 0 \text{ or } jk < 0, j + k < 0\}$$

where $\gamma_{-k,-j}$ is determined as above.

Example 14. For $\mathfrak{osp}(3|2)$, take the basis to be $\{v_1^{\bar{0}}, v_2^{\bar{1}}, v_0^{\bar{0}}, v_{-2}^{\bar{1}}, v_{-1}^{\bar{0}}\}$, then we have

$$\mathfrak{osp}(3|2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d & 0 \\ e & f & g & h & -d \\ k & l & 0 & -g & -c \\ r & s & l & -f & b \\ 0 & r & -k & -e & -a \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, k, l, r, s \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

4.2. The ortho-symplectic Dynkin pyramid. Note that the nilpotent G -orbits in $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ are parametrized by the partitions of $(m|2n)$. Let λ be a partition of $(m|2n)$ such that

$$(4.7) \quad \lambda = (p|q) = (p_1, \dots, p_r | q_1, \dots, q_s)$$

where p (resp. q) is a partition of m (resp. $2n$), $p_1 \geq \dots \geq p_r, q_1 \geq \dots \geq q_s$ and all even parts of p and all odd parts of q have even multiplicity. Write $\lambda = (c^{m_c+n_c}, \dots, 1^{m_1+n_1})$ such that $m_i = |\{j : p_j = i\}|$ and $n_i = |\{j : q_j = i\}|$. In this subsection, we recall the ortho-symplectic Dynkin pyramid P for λ which is given in [7, Section 8]. We use the ortho-symplectic Dynkin pyramid to give a nice representative of the nilpotent orbit and determine the labelled Dynkin diagram with respect to this orbit. Note that we use a numbering which is different from that in [7, Section 8].

Recall that P consists of $(m + 2n)$ boxes with size 2×2 in the xy -plane and is centrally symmetric about $(0, 0)$. Define the row number (resp. column number) of a box to be the y -coordinate (resp. x -coordinate) of the centre of the box. Below we describe the rule to place boxes in the upper half plane and the rest of the boxes are added to the lower half plane in a centrally symmetric way.

Firstly, we set the zeroth row to be empty if m is even. If m is odd, there exist some odd parts appearing with odd multiplicity and we let a_1 be the largest such part in λ for all p_i . Then we put a_1 boxes into the zeroth row in the columns $1 - a_1, 3 - a_1, \dots, a_1 - 1$. Next we remove one part of a_1 from λ .


Then p becomes a partition that contains an even number of odd parts with odd multiplicity. Denote these representatives by $c_1 > b_1 > \dots > c_N > b_N$. In the upper half plane, the rest of the boxes are added inductively to the next row following the rules below.

Suppose a_2 is the largest part remaining in λ . When m_{a_2} is odd, then $a_2 = c_k$ for some $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. We add an even skew row of length $\frac{c_k + b_k}{2}$ and put boxes in this row in the columns $1 - b_k, 3 - b_k, \dots, c_k - 1$ with even parity $\bar{0}$. After that we remove c_k and b_k from the partition. Then add $\lfloor \frac{m_{a_2}}{2} \rfloor$ rows of length a_2 and boxes in these rows are placed in the columns $1 - a_2, 3 - a_2, \dots, a_2 - 1$ with parity $\bar{0}$. When m_{a_2} is even, we only add $\lfloor \frac{m_{a_2}}{2} \rfloor$ rows in columns $1 - a_2, 3 - a_2, \dots, a_2 - 1$ with parity $\bar{0}$. When n_{a_2} is odd, then an odd skew row of length $\frac{a_2}{2}$ is added in the columns $1, \dots, a_2 - 1$ with boxes labelled by parity $\bar{1}$. We draw a box with a cross through it to represent each missing box in

skew rows. Then we add $\lfloor \frac{n_{a_2}}{2} \rfloor$ rows of length a_2 and put boxes in the columns $1 - a_2, 3 - a_2, \dots, a_2 - 1$ with parity $\bar{1}$. When n_{a_2} is even, we only add $\lfloor \frac{n_{a_2}}{2} \rfloor$ rows in the columns $1 - a_2, 3 - a_2, \dots, a_2 - 1$ with parity $\bar{1}$. Then remove $a_2^{m_{a_2} + n_{a_2}}$ from λ .

Let $l = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$. In this paper, we label boxes in P down columns from left to right with numbers $1, \dots, l + n, -(l + n), \dots, -1$ such that boxes labelled by i and $-i$ are central symmetrically. For the case where m is odd we have an additional central box which is labelled by 0.

Example 15. The ortho-symplectic Dynkin pyramids for the partitions $(5, 3, 1|3, 3)$ and $(3, 3|4)$ are:



Let $\text{row}(i)$ (resp. $\text{col}(i)$) be the row number (resp. column number) of the i th box. Let $|\text{row}(i)| \in \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$ be the parity of $\text{row}(i)$ for $i = \pm 1, \dots, \pm(l + n)$ (resp. $i = \pm 1, \dots, \pm(l + n), 0$) if m is even (resp. m is odd). Note that the above numbering of the Dynkin pyramid determines a basis \mathfrak{B} of V which is given in Subsection 4.1 and $\eta_i = |\text{row}(i)|$.

According to [7, Section 8], the ortho-symplectic pyramid P determines a nilpotent element $e \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $e = \sum \gamma_{i,j} E_{i,j}$ where the sum is over all i and j such that

1. $\text{row}(i) = \text{row}(j)$ and $\text{col}(j) = \text{col}(i) - 2$;
2. $\text{row}(i) = -\text{row}(j)$, $|\text{row}(i)| = \bar{0}$ and the box labelled by i is in an skew-row in the upper half plane, $\text{col}(i) = 2$ and $\text{col}(j) = 0$ or $\text{col}(i) = 0$ and $\text{col}(j) = -2$;
3. $\text{row}(i) = -\text{row}(j)$, $|\text{row}(i)| = \bar{1}$ and the box labelled by i is in an skew-row in the upper half plane, $\text{col}(i) = 1$ and $\text{col}(j) = -1$.

The pyramid P also defines a semisimple element $h \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ such that h is the $(m + 2n)$ -diagonal matrix where the i th entry is $-\text{col}(i)$. Note that $\{e, h\}$ can be extended to an $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -triple $\{e, h, f\}$ in \mathfrak{g}_0 according to [7, Section 8].

4.3. Root system and and labelled Dynkin diagram for $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(m|2n) = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ and \mathfrak{h} be the set consisting of all diagonal matrices in \mathfrak{g} . A basis of \mathfrak{h}^* is given by $\{\varepsilon_1^{\eta_1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{l+n}^{\eta_{l+n}}\}$ where η_i are the parities as defined in Subsection 4.1 and $(\varepsilon_i^{\eta_i}, \varepsilon_j^{\eta_j}) = (-1)^{\eta_i} \delta_{ij}$.

According to [14, Section 2.3], for odd $m \geq 1$, $n \geq 1$, the root system for \mathfrak{g} is given by $\Phi = \Phi_{\bar{0}} \cup \Phi_{\bar{1}}$ such that

$$\Phi_{\bar{0}} = \{\pm \varepsilon_i^{\eta_i} \pm \varepsilon_j^{\eta_j} : \eta_i = \eta_j\} \cup \{\pm \varepsilon_i^{\bar{0}}\} \cup \{\pm 2\varepsilon_i^{\bar{1}}\},$$

$$\Phi_{\bar{1}} = \{\pm \varepsilon_i^{\bar{1}}\} \cup \{\pm \varepsilon_i^{\eta_i} \pm \varepsilon_j^{\eta_j} : \eta_i \neq \eta_j\};$$

and a choice of positive roots is $\Phi^+ = \{\varepsilon_i^{\eta_i} \pm \varepsilon_j^{\eta_j}, \varepsilon_k^{\eta_k}, 2\varepsilon_i^{\bar{1}}\}$.

For $m = 2$, $n \geq 1$, the root system for \mathfrak{g} is given by $\Phi = \Phi_{\bar{0}} \cup \Phi_{\bar{1}}$ such that

$$\Phi_{\bar{0}} = \{\pm \varepsilon_i^{\bar{1}} \pm \varepsilon_j^{\bar{1}}\} \cup \{\pm 2\varepsilon_i^{\bar{1}}\}, \Phi_{\bar{1}} = \{\pm \varepsilon_1^{\eta_1} \pm \varepsilon_j^{\eta_j} : \eta_1 \neq \eta_j\};$$

and a choice of positive roots is $\Phi^+ = \{\varepsilon_i^{\eta_i} \pm \varepsilon_j^{\eta_j}, 2\varepsilon_i^{\bar{1}}\}$.

For even $m > 2$, $n \geq 1$, the root system for \mathfrak{g} is given by $\Phi = \Phi_{\bar{0}} \cup \Phi_{\bar{1}}$ such that

$$\Phi_{\bar{0}} = \{\pm \varepsilon_i^{\eta_i} \pm \varepsilon_j^{\eta_j} : \eta_i = \eta_j\} \cup \{\pm 2\varepsilon_i^{\bar{1}}\}, \Phi_{\bar{1}} = \{\pm \varepsilon_i^{\eta_i} \pm \varepsilon_j^{\eta_j} : \eta_i \neq \eta_j\};$$

and a choice of positive roots is $\Phi^+ = \{\varepsilon_i^{\eta_i} \pm \varepsilon_j^{\eta_j}, 2\varepsilon_i^{\bar{1}}\}$.

We have that odd roots $\pm\varepsilon_i^{\bar{1}}$ are non-isotropic and all other odd roots are isotropic.

The labelled Dynkin diagram with respect to $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ is constructed as follows: firstly, draw the orthosymplectic Dynkin pyramid P of λ following Subsection 4.2. For boxes labelled by $i = 1, \dots, l+n-1$, we associate a white node \circ (resp. a grey node \otimes) to the root α_i if $|\text{row}(i+1)| = |\text{row}(i)|$ (resp. $|\text{row}(i+1)| \neq |\text{row}(i)|$) and connect the $(i-1)$ th and i th node with a single line. We label the i th node with $a_i = \text{col}(i+1) - \text{col}(i)$. For $i = l+n$, we need to consider different cases.

When m is odd, we associate a white node \circ (resp. a black node \bullet) to root α_{l+n} if $|\text{row}(l+n)| = |\text{row}(0)|$ (resp. $|\text{row}(l+n)| \neq |\text{row}(0)|$). We connect the $(l+n-1)$ th and $(l+n)$ th node with 2 lines and put an arrow pointing from the $(l+n-1)$ th node to the $(l+n)$ th node. The $(l+n)$ th node is labelled by $a_{l+n} = \text{col}(0) - \text{col}(l+n)$.

When $m = 2$.

- If $|\text{row}(n+1)| = \bar{1}$, we associate a grey node \otimes to root α_{n+1} . We connect the n th and $(n+1)$ th node with 2 lines and connect the $(n-1)$ th and $(n+1)$ th node with a single line. The $(n+1)$ th node is labelled by $a_{n+1} = -\text{col}(n+1) - \text{col}(n)$.
- If $|\text{row}(n+1)| = \bar{0}$, we associate a white node \circ to root α_{n+1} . We connect the n th and $(n+1)$ th node with 2 lines and put an arrow pointing from the $(n+1)$ th node to the n th node. The $(n+1)$ th node is labelled by $a_{n+1} = -2\text{col}(n+1)$.

When $m > 2$ is even.

- If $|\text{row}(l+n)| = \bar{0}$ and $|\text{row}(l+n-1)| = \bar{1}$, we associate a grey node \otimes to root α_{l+n} . We connect the $(l+n)$ th and the $(l+n-1)$ th node with 2 lines and connect the $(l+n-2)$ th and $(l+n)$ th node with a single line. The $(l+n)$ th node is labelled by $-\text{col}(l+n) - \text{col}(l+n-1)$.
- If $|\text{row}(l+n)| = |\text{row}(l+n-1)| = \bar{0}$, we associate a white node \circ to root α_{l+n} and put a single line between the $(l+n)$ th and the $(l+n-2)$ th node. The $(l+n)$ th node is labelled by $a_{l+n} = -2\text{col}(l+n)$.
- If $|\text{row}(l+n)| = \bar{1}$, we associate a white node \circ to root α_{l+n} and connect the $(l+n)$ th and the $(l+n-1)$ th node with 2 lines. An arrow is pointing from $(l+n)$ th node to the $(l+n-1)$ th node. The $(l+n)$ th node is labelled by $-\text{col}(l+n) - \text{col}(l+n-1)$.


We describe the corresponding simple roots and draw the labelled Dynkin diagram for each case in Table 1. Note that the symbol \otimes in Table 1 represents either a white or grey node can appear.

Table 1: Labelled Dynkin diagrams for $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$

	sets of simple roots	labelled Dynkin diagram
$m \geq 1$ is odd	$\{\varepsilon_1^{\eta_1} - \varepsilon_2^{\eta_2}, \dots, \varepsilon_{l+n-1}^{\eta_{l+n-1}} - \varepsilon_{l+n}^{\eta_{l+n}}, \varepsilon_{l+n}^{\eta_{l+n}}\}$	
$m = 2$	$\{\varepsilon_1^{\eta_1} - \varepsilon_2^{\eta_2}, \dots, \varepsilon_n^{\eta_n} - \varepsilon_{n+1}^{\eta_{n+1}}, 2\varepsilon_{n+1}^{\bar{1}}\}$	

$m = 2$	$\{\varepsilon_1^{\eta_1} - \varepsilon_2^{\eta_2}, \dots, \varepsilon_n^{\bar{1}} - \varepsilon_{n+1}^{\bar{0}}, \varepsilon_n^{\bar{1}} + \varepsilon_{n+1}^{\bar{0}}\}$	
$m > 2$ is even	$\{\varepsilon_1^{\eta_1} - \varepsilon_2^{\eta_2}, \dots, \varepsilon_{l+n-1}^{\eta_{l+n-1}} - \varepsilon_{l+n}^{\bar{1}}, 2\varepsilon_{l+n}^{\bar{1}}\}$	
	$\{\varepsilon_1^{\eta_1} - \varepsilon_2^{\eta_2}, \dots, \varepsilon_{l+n-1}^{\bar{1}} - \varepsilon_{l+n}^{\bar{0}}, \varepsilon_{l+n-1}^{\bar{1}} + \varepsilon_{l+n}^{\bar{0}}\}$	
	$\{\varepsilon_1^{\eta_1} - \varepsilon_2^{\eta_2}, \dots, \varepsilon_{l+n-1}^{\bar{0}} - \varepsilon_{l+n}^{\bar{0}}, \varepsilon_{l+n-1}^{\bar{0}} + \varepsilon_{l+n}^{\bar{0}}\}$	

Example 16. For the partitions $(5, 3, 1|3, 3)$ and $(3, 3|4)$, the corresponding Dynkin pyramids are shown in Example 15. Then the corresponding labelled Dynkin diagrams are:




respectively.

Remark 17. Similar to Dynkin pyramid, different numberings within columns for a ortho-symplectic Dynkin pyramid are possible and would lead to different labelled Dynkin diagrams. In this way one can get all labelled Dynkin diagram. The following example shows we get different labelled Dynkin diagram if we allow different numberings within columns.

Example 18. For the partition $(5, 3, 1|3, 3)$, if we follow the principle of numbering within columns given in this subsection, the corresponding labelled Dynkin diagram is given in Example 15. However,

if we choose a different numbering as shown below, the corresponding labelled Dynkin diagram shown below is different from that is in Example 15.



4.4. Alternative Dynkin pyramid for λ . In this subsection, we use an alternative notation for λ and rewrite

$$(4.8) \quad \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_a, \lambda_{a+1}, \lambda_{-(a+1)}, \dots, \lambda_b, \lambda_{-b})$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_a$ are the parts with odd multiplicity, $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_a$ and $\lambda_{a+1} = \lambda_{-(a+1)} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_b = \lambda_{-b}$. We define $|i| \in \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$ such that for $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $|\{i : \lambda_i = c, |i| = \bar{0}\}| = |\{j : p_j = c\}|$ and $|\{i : \lambda_i = c, |i| = \bar{1}\}| = |\{j : q_j = c\}|$ for some j . Next we establish a Dynkin pyramid \tilde{P} which is different from that we used in the previous section. We use \tilde{P} to determine a basis for \mathfrak{g}^e in Subsection 4.5.

The new version of Dynkin pyramid \tilde{P} consists of $(m+2n)$ boxes with size 2×2 in the xy -plane and is centred on $(0, 0)$. We label rows from 1 to b in the upper half plane which is different from the way we used in Subsection 4.2. For each λ_i with $i > 0$, we put λ_i boxes both into the i th row and $-i$ th row in the columns $1 - \lambda_i, 3 - \lambda_i, \dots, \lambda_i - 1$. We start with the parts $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_a$. For $1 \leq i \leq a$, we cross out $\lfloor \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \rfloor$ boxes in the i th row from left to right and cross out $\lceil \frac{\lambda_i}{2} \rceil$ boxes in the $-i$ th row from right to left. If λ_i is odd (resp. even), we label boxes without cross in the i th row from left to right with $i_0, i_2, \dots, i_{\lambda_i-1}$ (resp. $i_1, i_3, \dots, i_{\lambda_i-1}$) and boxes without cross in the $-i$ th row from left to right with $i_{-(\lambda_i-1)}, \dots, i_{-2}$ (resp. $i_{-(\lambda_i-1)}, \dots, i_{-1}$). Then we deal with the parts $\lambda_{a+1}, \lambda_{-(a+1)}, \dots, \lambda_b, \lambda_{-b}$. For $a+1 \leq i \leq b$, we label boxes in the i th row with $i_{1-\lambda_i}, i_{3-\lambda_i}, \dots, i_{\lambda_i-1}$ and boxes in the $-i$ th row are labelled by $-i_{1-\lambda_i}, -i_{3-\lambda_i}, \dots, -i_{\lambda_i-1}$. Let $|\text{row}(i)|$ be the parity of the i th row such that $|\text{row}(i)| = |i|$ and $|i|$ is defined in (4.8).

Note that from the above Dynkin pyramid \tilde{P} we get a basis


$$(4.9) \quad \{v_{i_j} : i_j \text{ is a box in } \tilde{P}\}$$

of V . More precisely, basis elements $\{v_{i_j} : 1 \leq i \leq a, 0 \leq j \leq \lambda_i - 1 \text{ for odd } \lambda_i \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i \text{ for even } \lambda_i\} \cup \{v_{i_j} : a+1 \leq i \leq b\}$ (resp. $\{v_{i_j} : 1 \leq i \leq a, 1 - \lambda_i \leq j < 0 \text{ for odd } \lambda_i \text{ and } -\lambda_i \leq j \leq -1 \text{ for even } \lambda_i\} \cup \{v_{-i_j} : a+1 \leq i \leq b\}$) correspond to the boxes in the upper (lower) half of \tilde{P} . The bilinear form $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ on V is given by

$$B(v_{i_j}, v_{k_l}) = \begin{cases} \pm 1 & \text{if } i = \pm k, j = -l \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and signs will be given explicitly later in (4.10) and (4.11). Note that \tilde{P} also gives a nilpotent element $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ such that e sends v_{i_j} to $v_{i_{j-2}}$ if there exists a box labelled by $v_{i_{j-2}}$ and sends v_{i_j} to zero if there no such box.

Example 19. The alternative Dynkin pyramid \tilde{P} for the partition $\lambda = (5, 3|2^2)$ is

FIGURE 4.1. Dynkin pyramid for the partition $\lambda = (5, 3|2^2)$

Define $u_i = v_{i_{\lambda_i-1}} \in V$ and thus $e^j u_i = v_{i_{\lambda_i-2j-1}}$. Then the vectors $e^j u_i$ with $|i| = \bar{0}$, $0 \leq j \leq \lambda_i - 1$ form a basis for $V_{\bar{0}}$ and $e^j u_i$ with $|i| = \bar{1}$, $0 \leq j \leq \lambda_i - 1$ form a basis for $V_{\bar{1}}$. Moreover, we have $e^{\lambda_i} u_i = 0$ for all i and they satisfy the following conditions:

(1) For $i = 1, \dots, a$, we have

$$(4.10) \quad B(e^k u_i, e^h u_j) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } k + h = \lambda_i - 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

(2) For $i = \pm(a+1), \dots, \pm b$, then there exists $\theta_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ such that

$$(4.11) \quad B(e^k u_i, e^h u_j) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k \theta_i & \text{if } -i = j \text{ and } k + h = \lambda_i - 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

4.5. Centralizer of nilpotent element $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$. In this subsection, we give a basis for \mathfrak{g}^e based on [23, Chapter 1] and then state an alternative formula to the formula given in [7, Subsection 3.2.2] for $\dim \mathfrak{g}^e$. In order to describe a basis for \mathfrak{g}^e , we define $i^* = i$ for $i = 1, \dots, a$ and $i^* = -i$ for $i = \pm(a+1), \dots, \pm b$.

Recall that a basis for $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)^e$ is known in terms of $\xi_i^{j,k}$ such that $\xi_i^{j,k}$ sends u_i to $e^k u_j$ and all other u_t to 0. We know that $\mathfrak{g}^e = \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{gl}(m|2n)^e$. Therefore, the elements in a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^e$ are of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \xi_i^{i^*, \lambda_i-1-k} \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq \lambda_i - 1, k \text{ is odd if } |i| = \bar{0} \text{ and } k \text{ is even if } |i| = \bar{1}; \\ & \varepsilon_i^{j, \lambda_j-1-k} + \varepsilon_i^{j, \lambda_j-1-k} \xi_{j^*}^{i^*, \lambda_i-1-k} \text{ for all } 0 \leq k \leq \min\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\} - 1, |i| = |j| \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_i^{j, \lambda_j-1-k} \in \{\pm 1\}$ can be determined by using [8, Section 3.2]. More precisely, we have that

$$\varepsilon_i^{j, \lambda_j-1-k} = (-1)^{\lambda_j-k} \theta_j \theta_i \text{ for all } 0 \leq k \leq \min\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\} - 1, |i| = |j|.$$

Elements in a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^e$ are of the form

$$\xi_i^{j, \lambda_j-1-k} \pm \xi_{j^*}^{i^*, \lambda_i-1-k}$$

with appropriate choices of signs for $0 \leq k \leq \min\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\} - 1$, $|i| \neq |j|$.

Write $e = e_{\bar{0}} + e_{\mathfrak{sp}}$ where $e_{\bar{0}} \in \mathfrak{o}(m)$ and $e_{\mathfrak{sp}} \in \mathfrak{sp}(2n)$, we know that $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^e = \dim \mathfrak{o}(m)^{e_{\bar{0}}} + \dim \mathfrak{sp}(2n)^{e_{\mathfrak{sp}}}$. By [8, Section 3.2], we have that $\dim \mathfrak{o}(m)^{e_{\bar{0}}} = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{gl}(m)^{e_{\bar{0}}} - \frac{1}{2} |\{i : \lambda_i \text{ is odd, } |i| = \bar{0}\}|$ and $\dim \mathfrak{sp}(2n)^{e_{\mathfrak{sp}}} = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{gl}(2n)^{e_{\mathfrak{sp}}} + \frac{1}{2} |\{i : \lambda_i \text{ is odd, } |i| = \bar{1}\}|$. Hence, we obtain that

$$\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}^e = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{gl}(m|2n)_{\bar{0}}^e - \frac{1}{2} |\{i : \lambda_i \text{ is odd, } |i| = \bar{0}\}| + \frac{1}{2} |\{i : \lambda_i \text{ is odd, } |i| = \bar{1}\}|.$$

In addition, Hoyt argues that $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}^e = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{gl}(m|2n)_{\bar{1}}^e$ in [7, Section 3.2.2]. Therefore, we have that

$$(4.12) \quad \dim \mathfrak{g}^e = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{gl}(m|2n)^e - \frac{1}{2} |\{i : \lambda_i \text{ is odd, } |i| = \bar{0}\}| + \frac{1}{2} |\{i : \lambda_i \text{ is odd, } |i| = \bar{1}\}|.$$

We obtain an alternative formula for $\dim \mathfrak{g}^e$ below.

Proposition 20. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$ and λ be a partition of $(m|2n)$. Denote by P the ortho-symplectic Dynkin pyramid of λ . Then P determines an $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -triple $\{e, h, f\}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$. Let c_i be the number of boxes in the i th column of P and r_i (resp. s_i) be the number of boxes with parity $\bar{0}$ (resp. $\bar{1}$) in the i th column of P . We have that $\dim \mathfrak{g}^e = \frac{1}{2}(\sum c_i^2 + \sum c_i c_{i+1}) - \frac{r_0}{2} + \frac{s_0}{2}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}(j)$. Using the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 9, we get $\dim \mathfrak{g}^e = \dim \mathfrak{g}(0) + \dim \mathfrak{g}(-1)$. For an element $E_{k,l} + \gamma_{l,k} E_{-l,-k} \in \mathfrak{g}$, we calculate that $[h, E_{k,l} + \gamma_{l,k} E_{-l,-k}] = (\text{col}(l) - \text{col}(k))(E_{k,l} + \gamma_{l,k} E_{-l,-k})$, this implies that $E_{k,l} + \gamma_{l,k} E_{-l,-k} \in \mathfrak{g}(j)$ if $j = \text{col}(l) - \text{col}(k)$. Hence, we have that

$$\mathfrak{g}(0) = \langle E_{k,l} + \gamma_{l,k} E_{-l,-k} : \text{col}(l) = \text{col}(k) \rangle \cong \left(\bigoplus_{i < 0} \mathfrak{gl}(r_i | s_i) \right) \oplus \mathfrak{osp}(r_0 | s_0).$$

Moreover, we know that

$$\dim \mathfrak{osp}(r_0 | s_0) = \frac{(r_0 + s_0)^2 - (r_0 + s_0)}{2} + s_0 = \frac{c_0^2 - r_0 + s_0}{2}.$$

Thus we have that $\dim \mathfrak{g}(0) = \sum_{i < 0} c_i^2 + \frac{c_0^2}{2} - \frac{r_0}{2} + \frac{s_0}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum c_i^2 - \frac{r_0}{2} + \frac{s_0}{2}$. Observe that

$$\mathfrak{g}(-1) = \langle E_{k,l} + \gamma_{l,k} E_{-l,-k} : \text{col}(l) - \text{col}(k) = -1 \rangle \cong \bigoplus_{i < 0} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{c_i}, \mathbb{C}^{c_{i+1}}).$$

Hence, we have that $\dim \mathfrak{g}(-1) = \sum_{i < 0} c_i c_{i+1}$.

For each row which corresponds to an odd λ_i , there must exist a box in the 0th column. Thus we have that $r_0 = |\{i : \lambda_i \text{ is odd, } |i| = \bar{0}\}|$ and $s_0 = |\{i : \lambda_i \text{ is odd, } |i| = \bar{1}\}|$. Therefore, we deduce that $\dim \mathfrak{g}^e = \frac{1}{2}(\sum c_i^2 + \sum c_i c_{i+1}) - \frac{r_0}{2} + \frac{s_0}{2}$. \square

4.6. Centre of centralizer of nilpotent element $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ with Jordan type λ such that all parts of λ have even multiplicity. We know that there is a root space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ and thus $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h}$. Construct a Dynkin pyramid \tilde{P} following the rules described in Subsection 4.4. We know that \tilde{P} determines a nilpotent element $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ and we can embed e into an $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -triple $\{e, h, f\} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ by the Jacobson–Morozov theorem. Then we have that the centralizer \mathfrak{h}^e of e in \mathfrak{h} is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}^e according to [1, Section 3]. Moreover, [1, Lemma 13] shows that the set of weights of \mathfrak{h}^e on \mathfrak{g}^e is equivalent to the set of weights of \mathfrak{h}^e on \mathfrak{g} . Then we can obtain the following decomposition for \mathfrak{g}^e :

$$\mathfrak{g}^e = (\mathfrak{g}^e)^{\mathfrak{h}^e} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^e} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^e$$

where $\Phi^e \subseteq (\mathfrak{h}^e)^*$ is defined as the set of non-zero weights of \mathfrak{h}^e on \mathfrak{g}^e and $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^e = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}^e : [h, x] = \alpha(h)x \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h}^e\}$. Hence, we have $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) \subseteq (\mathfrak{g}^e)^{\mathfrak{h}^e}$.

We first consider the case where all parts of the Jordan type $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_{-1}, \dots, \lambda_b, \lambda_{-b})$ with respect to e have even multiplicity. Then we know that there are $2b$ rows in the ortho-symplectic Dynkin pyramid P and we label rows in the upper half plane from bottom to top by $1, 2, \dots, b$ and rows in the lower half plane in a symmetric way. Let S be the set spanned by the odd powers of e , i.e. $S = \langle e, e^3, \dots, e^t : t = 2\lfloor \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \rfloor - 1 \rangle$.

Theorem 21. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(m|2n) = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ and the Jordan type with respect to $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ is $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_{-1}, \dots, \lambda_b, \lambda_{-b})$ such that $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_b$ and $\lambda_i = \lambda_{-i}$. Then $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = S$ except when λ_1 is odd and $\lambda_1 > \lambda_i$ for $i \neq \pm 1$ and $|1| = \bar{0}$. In which case, we have that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = S \oplus \langle \xi_1^{1, \lambda_1 - 1} - \xi_{-1}^{-1, \lambda_1 - 1} \rangle$.*

Proof. This proof will proceed in steps. It is clear that $S \subseteq \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$. We know that $e^l = \sum_{j=1}^b (\xi_j^{j,l} \pm \xi_{-j}^{-j,l})$ and $e^l \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ for all odd l with $0 \leq l < \lambda_1$.

Step 1: Deduce that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) \subseteq \langle \xi_j^{j, \lambda_j - 1 - k} + \varepsilon_j^{j, \lambda_j - 1 - k} \xi_{-j}^{-j, \lambda_j - 1 - k} : 1 \leq j \leq b, 0 \leq k \leq \lambda_j - 1 \rangle$.

Define $h_i = \xi_i^{i,0} - \xi_{-i}^{-i,0}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq b$. Then we have $\mathfrak{h}^e = \langle h_i : 0 \leq i \leq b \rangle$. We define $\beta_i \in (\mathfrak{h}^e)^*$ by

$$\beta_i(h_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j; \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

and $\beta_{-i} = -\beta_i$ for all i . As in the proof of [23, Theorem 2], we can calculate that $[\xi_i^{i,0}, \xi_j^{t,s}] = \delta_{it}\xi_j^{i,s} - \delta_{ij}\xi_i^{t,s}$. Thus for $h \in \mathfrak{h}^e$, computing the commutator between h and the basis element $\xi_l^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} + \varepsilon_l^{j,\lambda_j-1-k}\xi_{-j}^{-l,\lambda_l-1-k}$ ($l, j \geq 0, l \neq j$) of \mathfrak{g}^e we obtain:

$$(4.13) \quad [h, \xi_l^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} + \varepsilon_l^{j,\lambda_j-1-k}\xi_{-j}^{-l,\lambda_l-1-k}] = (\beta_j - \beta_l)(h)(\xi_l^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} + \varepsilon_l^{j,\lambda_j-1-k}\xi_{-j}^{-l,\lambda_l-1-k}).$$

We also compute the commutator between h and the basis element $\xi_l^{-j,\lambda_j-1-k} + \varepsilon_l^{-j,\lambda_j-1-k}\xi_j^{-l,\lambda_l-1-k}$ ($l, j \geq 0, l \neq j$) of \mathfrak{g}^e :

$$(4.14) \quad [h, \xi_l^{-j,\lambda_j-1-k} + \varepsilon_l^{-j,\lambda_j-1-k}\xi_j^{-l,\lambda_l-1-k}] = (-\beta_j - \beta_l)(h)(\xi_l^{-j,\lambda_j-1-k} + \varepsilon_l^{-j,\lambda_j-1-k}\xi_j^{-l,\lambda_l-1-k})$$

and the commutator between h and the basis element $\xi_{-l}^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} + \varepsilon_{-l}^{j,\lambda_j-1-k}\xi_{-j}^{l,\lambda_l-1-k}$ ($l, j \geq 0, l \neq j$) of \mathfrak{g}^e :

$$[h, \xi_{-l}^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} + \varepsilon_{-l}^{j,\lambda_j-1-k}\xi_{-j}^{l,\lambda_l-1-k}] = (\beta_j + \beta_l)(h)(\xi_{-l}^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} + \varepsilon_{-l}^{j,\lambda_j-1-k}\xi_{-j}^{l,\lambda_l-1-k}).$$

Hence, the coefficient of $\xi_l^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} + \varepsilon_l^{j,\lambda_j-1-k}\xi_{-j}^{-l,\lambda_l-1-k}$ in an element of $(\mathfrak{g}^e)^{\mathfrak{h}^e}$ can be nonzero if and only if $(\beta_j - \beta_l)(h) = 0$ for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}^e$, the coefficient of $\xi_l^{-j,\lambda_j-1-k} + \varepsilon_l^{-j,\lambda_j-1-k}\xi_j^{-l,\lambda_l-1-k}$ in an element of $(\mathfrak{g}^e)^{\mathfrak{h}^e}$ can be nonzero if and only if $(-\beta_j - \beta_l)(h) = 0$ for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}^e$ and the coefficient of $\xi_{-l}^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} + \varepsilon_{-l}^{j,\lambda_j-1-k}\xi_{-j}^{l,\lambda_l-1-k}$ in an element of $(\mathfrak{g}^e)^{\mathfrak{h}^e}$ can be nonzero if and only if $(\beta_j + \beta_l)(h) = 0$. Take $h = h_j$, we obtain that $(\beta_j - \beta_l)(h) = 1$ and $(-\beta_j - \beta_l)(h) = -1$ for $l \neq j$. Therefore, we deduce that

$$(\mathfrak{g}^e)^{\mathfrak{h}^e} = \langle \xi_j^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} + \varepsilon_j^{j,\lambda_j-1-k}\xi_{-j}^{-j,\lambda_j-1-k} \rangle$$

and thus $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) \subseteq \langle \xi_j^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} + \varepsilon_j^{j,\lambda_j-1-k}\xi_{-j}^{-j,\lambda_j-1-k} \rangle$.

We now have that an element x in $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ is of the form $\sum_{j,k} c_j^{j,k}(\xi_j^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} + \varepsilon_j^{j,\lambda_j-1-k}\xi_{-j}^{-j,\lambda_j-1-k})$ from Step 1. Fix j and k and let $l = \lambda_j - 1 - k$.

Step 2: Show that $c_j^{j,l} = 0$ whenever l is even except in one special case.

According to Equation (1) in [8, Section 3.2], we have that

$$\varepsilon_j^{j,l} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } l \text{ is odd;} \\ -1 & \text{if } l \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Next we consider an element $\xi_i^{-i,0}$. Note that $\xi_i^{-i,0} \in \mathfrak{g}^e$ if λ_i is even and $|i| = \bar{0}$, or λ_i is odd and $|i| = \bar{1}$. Hence, when $\xi_i^{-i,0} \in \mathfrak{g}^e$, the commutator between x and $\xi_i^{-i,0}$ is:

$$[\xi_i^{-i,0}, \sum_{j,l} c_j^{j,l}(\xi_j^{j,l} + \varepsilon_j^{j,l}\xi_{-j}^{-j,l})] = \sum_{j,l} c_j^{j,l}(\xi_j^{-j,l} - \varepsilon_j^{j,l}\xi_j^{-j,l}).$$

Hence, we deduce that $c_j^{j,l} = 0$ whenever l is even. When $\xi_i^{-i,0} \notin \mathfrak{g}^e$, i.e. λ_i is odd and $|i| = \bar{0}$, or λ_i is even and $|i| = \bar{1}$. We take commutator between x and $\xi_i^{-i,1}$:

$$[\xi_i^{-i,1}, \sum_{j,l} c_j^{j,l}(\xi_j^{j,l} + \varepsilon_j^{j,l}\xi_{-j}^{-j,l})] = \sum_{j,l} c_j^{j,l}(\xi_j^{-j,l+1} - \varepsilon_j^{j,l}\xi_j^{-j,l+1}).$$

Hence, we deduce that $c_j^{j,l} = 0$ for all l is even, except when $l = \lambda_j - 1$ and $|i| = \bar{0}$. For l even and $l = \lambda_j - 1$, suppose that there exist some λ_i with $i > 0$ such that $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_j$, then we can compute

$$[\xi_i^{j,0} + \varepsilon_i^{j,0}\xi_{-j}^{-i,0}, \sum_j c_j^{j,\lambda_j-1}(\xi_j^{j,\lambda_j-1} - \varepsilon_{-j}^{-j,\lambda_j-1})] = -\sum_j c_j^{j,\lambda_j-1}(\varepsilon_i^{j,0}\xi_{-j}^{-i,\lambda_j-1} + \xi_i^{j,\lambda_j-1}),$$

which implies that $c_j^{j,\lambda_j-1} = 0$. Thus $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) \subseteq \langle \xi_j^{j,l} + \xi_{-j}^{-j,l} : l \text{ is odd} \rangle$ except for λ_1 is odd with $|1| = \bar{0}$ such that $\lambda_1 > \lambda_i$ for $i \neq \pm 1$, in which case we cannot show that $c_1^{1,\lambda_1-1} = 0$ and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) \subseteq \langle \xi_j^{j,l} + \xi_{-j}^{-j,l} : l \text{ is odd} \rangle \oplus \langle \xi_1^{1,\lambda_1-1} - \xi_{-1}^{-1,\lambda_1-1} \rangle$.

Step 3: Show that $c_i^{i,l} = c_t^{t,l}$ for all i, t whenever l is odd.

Now consider $\xi_i^{t,0} + \varepsilon_i^{t,0} \xi_{-t}^{-i,\lambda_i-\lambda_t} \in \mathfrak{g}^e$ with $i < t$ and $i, t > 0$. Then we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\xi_i^{t,0} + \varepsilon_i^{t,0} \xi_{-t}^{-i,\lambda_i-\lambda_t}, x \right] &= \left[\xi_i^{t,0} + \varepsilon_i^{t,0} \xi_{-t}^{-i,\lambda_i-\lambda_t}, \sum_{j,l} c_j^{j,l} (\xi_j^{j,l} + \xi_{-j}^{-j,l}) \right] \\ &= \sum_l (c_i^{i,l} - c_t^{t,l}) \xi_i^{t,l} + \sum_l (c_t^{t,l} - c_i^{i,l}) \varepsilon_i^{t,0} \xi_{-t}^{-i,\lambda_i-\lambda_t+l}. \end{aligned}$$

This equals to zero if and only if $c_i^{i,l} = c_t^{t,l}$ for all i and t . Hence, $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) \subseteq S$ and therefore $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = S$ except for $\lambda_1 > \lambda_i$ for $i \neq \pm 1$ and $|1| = \bar{0}$.

Step 4: Show that $\xi_1^{1,\lambda_1-1} - \xi_{1^*}^{1^*,\lambda_1-1} \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ when λ_1 is odd with $|1| = \bar{0}$ such that $\lambda_1 > \lambda_i$ for $i \neq \pm 1$. Suppose λ_1 is odd with $|1| = \bar{0}$ and $l = \lambda_1 - 1$. Suppose $\lambda_1 > \lambda_i$ for $i \neq \pm 1$. Clearly $\xi_1^{1,\lambda_1-1} - \xi_{-1}^{-1,\lambda_1-1}$ commutes with all basis elements in \mathfrak{g}^e of the form ξ_i^{-i,λ_i-1-k} for $i \neq \pm 1$ and $\xi_i^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} \pm \xi_{-j}^{-j,\lambda_j-1-k}$ for $i, j \neq \pm 1$.

It remains to check whether $\xi_1^{1,\lambda_1-1} - \xi_{-1}^{-1,\lambda_1-1}$ commutes with ξ_1^{-1,λ_1-1-k} , $\xi_{-1}^{1,\lambda_1-1-k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq \lambda_1 - 1$, k is odd, $\xi_1^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} \pm \xi_{-j}^{-j,\lambda_j-1-k}$, $\xi_j^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} \pm \xi_{-j}^{1,\lambda_1-1-k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq \lambda_j - 1$ and $\xi_i^{1,\lambda_1-1-k} \pm \xi_{-1}^{-i,\lambda_i-1-k}$, $\xi_i^{-1,\lambda_1-1-k} \pm \xi_{-1}^{-i,\lambda_i-1-k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq \lambda_i - 1$. Note that

$$[\xi_1^{1,\lambda_1-1} - \xi_{-1}^{-1,\lambda_1-1}, \xi_1^{-1,\lambda_1-1-k}] = -2\xi_1^{-1,2\lambda_1-2-k}$$

and

$$[\xi_1^{1,\lambda_1-1} - \xi_{-1}^{-1,\lambda_1-1}, \xi_{-1}^{1,\lambda_1-1-k}] = 2\xi_{-1}^{-1,2\lambda_1-2-k}.$$

We know that $\xi_1^{-1,2\lambda_1-2-k} = 0$ and $\xi_{-1}^{-1,2\lambda_1-2-k} = 0$ because $2\lambda_1-2-k \geq \lambda_1$. Similarly we can compute that $[\xi_1^{1,\lambda_1-1} - \xi_{-1}^{-1,\lambda_1-1}, \xi_1^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} \pm \xi_{-j}^{-j,\lambda_j-1-k}] = 0$, $[\xi_1^{1,\lambda_1-1} - \xi_{-1}^{-1,\lambda_1-1}, \xi_{-1}^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} \pm \xi_{-j}^{1,\lambda_1-1-k}] = 0$, $[\xi_1^{1,\lambda_1-1} - \xi_{-1}^{-1,\lambda_1-1}, \xi_i^{-i,\lambda_i-1-k} \pm \xi_{-1}^{-i,\lambda_i-1-k}] = 0$ and $[\xi_1^{1,\lambda_1-1} - \xi_{-1}^{-1,\lambda_1-1}, \xi_i^{1,\lambda_1-1-k} \pm \xi_{-1}^{-i,\lambda_i-1-k}] = 0$. Hence, we have that $\xi_1^{1,\lambda_1-1} - \xi_{-1}^{-1,\lambda_1-1}$ commutes with all basis elements in \mathfrak{g}^e . Therefore, we have that $\xi_1^{1,\lambda_1-1} - \xi_{-1}^{-1,\lambda_1-1} \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ in this case and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = S \oplus \langle \xi_1^{1,\lambda_1-1} - \xi_{-1}^{-1,\lambda_1-1} \rangle$. \square

4.7. Centre of centralizer of nilpotent element $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ with Jordan type λ such that all parts of λ have multiplicity one. Next we consider the case where all parts of the Jordan type $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_a)$ of e have multiplicity one, which implies λ_i is odd for $|i| = \bar{0}$ and λ_i is even for $|i| = \bar{1}$. Note that when $m = 0$ or $n = 0$, then \mathfrak{g} is either an orthogonal or symplectic Lie algebra, a basis of $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ has been given in [23, Theorem 4]. Recall that $S = \langle e, e^3, \dots, e^t : t = 2\lfloor \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \rfloor - 1 \rangle$. Below we give a general result for $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$.

Theorem 22. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$ and let $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ be nilpotent with a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_a)$ which is defined in (4.8) and $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_a$.*

(1) *If $m = 0$ or $n = 0$, we have $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = S$ except when $n = 0$, $\lambda_2 > \lambda_3$ and both λ_1 and λ_2 are odd, in which case we have $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = S \oplus \langle \xi_1^{2,\lambda_2-1} - \xi_2^{1,\lambda_1-1} \rangle$;*

(2) *If $m, n \neq 0$, we have $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = S$ except when $a \geq 3$, $|1| = |2| = \bar{0}$, or $a = 2$ and $|1| \neq |2|$, in which cases we have $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = S \oplus \langle \xi_1^{2,\lambda_2-1} - \xi_2^{1,\lambda_1-1} \rangle$.*

Proof. For $m = 0$ or $n = 0$, then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ or $\mathfrak{so}(m)$, the detailed proof can be found in [23, Theorem 4].

For $m, n \neq 0$, it is clear that $S \subseteq \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$. We know that $e^l = \sum_{i=1}^a \xi_i^{i,l}$ and $e^l \in \mathfrak{g}$ for all odd l and $0 \leq l \leq \lambda_1 - 1$. Note that a basis of \mathfrak{g}^e contains elements of the form:

$$\xi_i^{i,k} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq a \text{ and odd } k \text{ with } 0 < k \leq \lambda_i - 1,$$

$$\xi_i^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} + \varepsilon_i^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} \xi_j^{i,\lambda_i-1-k} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i < j \leq a, \ 0 \leq k \leq \lambda_j - 1$$

where $\varepsilon_i^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} \in \{\pm 1\}$ can be determined. Thus an element $x \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ is of the form

$$x = \sum_{i,k} c_i^{i,k} \xi_i^{i,k} + \sum_{i,j,k} c_i^{j,k} (\xi_i^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} + \varepsilon_i^{j,\lambda_j-1-k} \xi_j^{i,\lambda_i-1-k})$$

where $c_i^{j,k} \in \mathbb{C}$ are coefficients.

Assume $a \geq 3$. For $1 \leq t \leq a$, we have that $\xi_t^{t,1}$ commutes with $\sum_{i,k} c_i^{i,k} \xi_i^{i,k}$. By taking the commutator between $\xi_t^{t,1}$ and x we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} [\xi_t^{t,1}, x] &= \sum_{i < t} \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda_t-1} c_i^{t,k} (\xi_i^{t,\lambda_t-k} - \varepsilon_i^{t,\lambda_t-1-k} \xi_t^{i,\lambda_i-k}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{t < i} \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda_i-1} c_t^{i,k} (\varepsilon_t^{i,\lambda_i-1-k} \xi_t^{t,\lambda_t-k} - \xi_t^{i,\lambda_i-k}). \end{aligned}$$

This is equal to 0 for all t if and only if $c_i^{j,k} = 0$ for all $0 < k \leq \lambda_j - 1$. Now we have that $x = \sum_{i,k} c_i^{i,k} \xi_i^{i,k} + \sum_{i,j} c_i^{j,0} (\xi_i^{j,\lambda_j-1} + \varepsilon_i^{j,\lambda_j-1} \xi_j^{i,\lambda_i-1})$.

For $1 \leq l < h \leq a$, by taking the commutator between $\xi_l^{h,0} + \varepsilon_l^{h,0} \xi_h^{l,\lambda_l-\lambda_h}$ and x we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} [\xi_l^{h,0} + \varepsilon_l^{h,0} \xi_h^{l,\lambda_l-\lambda_h}, x] &= \sum_k (c_l^{l,k} - c_h^{h,k}) (\xi_l^{h,k} - \varepsilon_l^{h,0} \xi_h^{l,\lambda_l-\lambda_h+k}) \\ &\quad + \sum_i c_i^{l,0} (\xi_i^{h,\lambda_i-1} \pm \varepsilon_l^{h,0} \varepsilon_i^{j,\lambda_j-1} \xi_h^{i,\lambda_i-\lambda_h+\lambda_i-1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_j c_l^{j,0} (\varepsilon_i^{j,\lambda_j-1} \xi_j^{h,\lambda_i-1} \pm \varepsilon_l^{h,0} \xi_h^{j,\lambda_i-\lambda_h+\lambda_j-1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_i c_i^{h,0} (\varepsilon_l^{h,0} \xi_i^{l,\lambda_i-1} \pm \varepsilon_i^{j,\lambda_j-1} \xi_l^{i,\lambda_i-1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_j c_h^{j,0} (\varepsilon_l^{h,0} \varepsilon_i^{j,\lambda_j-1} \xi_j^{l,\lambda_i-1} \pm \xi_l^{j,\lambda_j-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\xi_i^{h,\lambda_i-1} = \xi_j^{h,\lambda_i-1} = \xi_h^{i,\lambda_i-\lambda_h+\lambda_i-1} = \xi_h^{j,\lambda_i-\lambda_h+\lambda_j-1} = 0$ since $\lambda_l > \lambda_h$. Hence, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.15) \quad [\xi_l^{h,0} + \varepsilon_l^{h,0} \xi_h^{l,\lambda_l-\lambda_h}, x] &= \sum_k (c_l^{l,k} - c_h^{h,k}) (\xi_l^{h,k} - \varepsilon_l^{h,0} \xi_h^{l,\lambda_l-\lambda_h+k}) \\ &\quad + \sum_i c_i^{h,0} (\varepsilon_l^{h,0} \xi_i^{l,\lambda_i-1} \pm \varepsilon_i^{j,\lambda_j-1} \xi_l^{i,\lambda_i-1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_j c_h^{j,0} (\varepsilon_l^{h,0} \varepsilon_i^{j,\lambda_j-1} \xi_j^{l,\lambda_i-1} \pm \xi_l^{j,\lambda_j-1}). \end{aligned}$$

This is equal to 0 if and only if $c_l^{l,k} = c_h^{h,k}$ for all $1 \leq l < h \leq a$ and $c_i^{j,0} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq a$ except when $(i,j) = (1,2)$ and $(|1|, |2|) = (\bar{0}, \bar{0})$. When $|1| = |2| = \bar{0}$, the commutator between $\xi_l^{h,0} + \varepsilon_l^{h,0} \xi_h^{l,\lambda_l-\lambda_h}$ and $\xi_1^{2,\lambda_2-1} - \xi_2^{1,\lambda_1-1}$ gives terms $\varepsilon_l^{2,0} \xi_1^{l,\lambda_l-1} + \xi_l^{1,\lambda_1-1} - \varepsilon_l^{1,0} \xi_2^{l,\lambda_l-1} - \xi_l^{2,\lambda_2-1}$ and we check this is equal to zero for $l < h$. Hence, when $a \geq 3$, we have that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) \subseteq S$ and thus $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = S$ except when $|1| = |2| = \bar{0}$, in which case we have $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) \subseteq S \oplus \langle \xi_1^{2,\lambda_2-1} - \xi_2^{1,\lambda_1-1} \rangle$.

Next suppose $a \geq 3$ and $|1| = |2| = \bar{0}$, we know that $\xi_1^{2,\lambda_2-1} - \xi_2^{1,\lambda_1-1}$ commutes with all basis elements in \mathfrak{g}_0^e by [23, Theorem 4]. Hence, it remains to check that $\xi_1^{2,\lambda_2-1} - \xi_2^{1,\lambda_1-1}$ commutes with basis

elements $\xi_i^{j, \lambda_j - 1 - k} \pm \xi_j^{i, \lambda_i - 1 - k}$ for $|i| = \bar{0}, |j| = \bar{1}$ and $0 \leq k \leq \min\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\} - 1$. Computing

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & [\xi_1^{2, \lambda_2 - 1} - \xi_2^{1, \lambda_1 - 1}, \xi_i^{j, \lambda_j - 1 - k} \pm \xi_j^{i, \lambda_i - 1 - k}] = \pm \xi_j^{2, \lambda_2 - 1 + \lambda_1 - 1 - k} \\ & \pm \xi_j^{1, \lambda_1 - 1 + \lambda_2 - 1 - k} - \xi_1^{j, \lambda_2 - 1 + \lambda_j - 1 - k} + \xi_2^{j, \lambda_1 - 1 + \lambda_j - 1 - k}. \end{aligned}$$

We have that all terms in (4.16) are equal to 0 for $0 \leq k \leq \min\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\} - 1$ as $\xi_h^{l, r} = 0$ for all h, l and $r > \lambda_l - 1$. Hence, we have that $\xi_1^{2, \lambda_2 - 1} - \xi_2^{1, \lambda_1 - 1} \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = S \oplus \langle \xi_1^{2, \lambda_2 - 1} - \xi_2^{1, \lambda_1 - 1} \rangle$ in this case.

When $a = 2$ and $|1| \neq |2|$, i.e. the Jordan type of e is $(m, 2n)$ such that m is odd. Assume that $m \geq 2n$, in this case a basis of \mathfrak{g}^e only contains elements of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \xi_1^{1, k} \text{ for } k \text{ is odd, } 1 \leq k \leq m - 1; \xi_2^{2, k} \text{ for } k \text{ is odd, } 1 \leq k \leq 2n - 1; \\ & \text{and } \xi_1^{2, 2n - 1 - k} + \varepsilon_1^{2, 2n - 1 - k} \xi_2^{1, m - 1 - k} \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq 2n - 1, \varepsilon_1^{2, 2n - 1 - k} \in \{-1, 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

By applying the similar argument to that used in the case $r + s \geq 3$, we get that $c_1^{1, k} = c_2^{2, k}$ and $c_1^{2, k} = 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$. The only remaining element to check is $\xi_1^{2, 2n - 1} - \xi_2^{1, m - 1}$. Note that $\xi_1^{2, 2n - 1} - \xi_2^{1, m - 1}$ commutes with $\xi_1^{1, k}$ and $\xi_2^{2, k}$ for all k is odd. The element $\xi_1^{2, 2n - 1} - \xi_2^{1, m - 1}$ also commutes with $\xi_1^{2, 2n - 1 - k} + \varepsilon_1^{2, 2n - 1 - k} \xi_2^{1, m - 1 - k}$ for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 2$. Thus we only need to check that $[\xi_1^{2, 2n - 1} - \xi_2^{1, m - 1}, \xi_1^{2, 0} + \varepsilon_1^{2, 0} \xi_2^{1, m - 2n}] = 0$. We calculate

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & [\xi_1^{2, 2n - 1} - \xi_2^{1, m - 1}, \xi_1^{2, 0} + \varepsilon_1^{2, 0} \xi_2^{1, m - 2n}] = \varepsilon_1^{2, 0} \xi_2^{2, m - 1} - \xi_1^{1, m - 1} - \xi_2^{2, m - 1} + \varepsilon_1^{2, 0} \xi_1^{1, m - 1} \\ & = (\varepsilon_1^{2, 0} - 1) \xi_1^{1, m - 1} \end{aligned}$$

since $\xi_2^{2, m - 1} = 0$ as $\xi_2^{2, k} = 0$ for $k > 2n - 1$.

Next we want to know the value of $\varepsilon_1^{2, 0}$. Let $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n) = \mathfrak{osp}(V)$ and $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$. Then we know that there exist $u_1, u_2 \in V$ such that $u_1, eu_1, \dots, e^{m-1}u_1$ (resp. $u_2, eu_2, \dots, e^{2n-1}u_2$) is a basis for $V_{\bar{0}}$ (resp. $V_{\bar{1}}$) according to Subsection 4.5. Moreover, we know that $B(u_1, e^{m-1}u_1) = 1$ and $B(u_2, e^{2n-1}u_2) = 1$ by equations (4.10) and (4.11). By using equation (4.1), we have that

$$B((\xi_1^{2, 0} + \varepsilon_1^{2, 0} \xi_2^{1, m - 2n})u_1, e^{2n-1}u_2) = (u_2, e^{2n-1}u_2) = 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & B((\xi_1^{2, 0} + \varepsilon_1^{2, 0} \xi_2^{1, m - 2n})u_1, e^{2n-1}u_2) = B(u_1, (\xi_1^{2, 0} + \varepsilon_1^{2, 0} \xi_2^{1, m - 2n})e^{2n-1}u_2) \\ & = B(u_1, \varepsilon_1^{2, 0} e^{m-1}u_1) = \varepsilon_1^{2, 0}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain that $\varepsilon_1^{2, 0} = 1$. Therefore, we have that $[\xi_1^{2, 2n - 1} - \xi_2^{1, m - 1}, \xi_1^{2, 0} + \varepsilon_1^{2, 0} \xi_2^{1, m - 2n}] = 0$ and thus $\xi_1^{2, 2n - 1} - \xi_2^{1, m - 1} \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$.

Therefore, we deduce that $\xi_1^{2, 2n - 1} - \xi_2^{1, m - 1} \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = S \oplus \langle \xi_1^{2, \lambda_2 - 1} - \xi_2^{1, \lambda_1 - 1} \rangle$ as required.

When $m < 2n$, we obtain same result by applying a similar argument. \square

4.8. Centre of centralizer of general nilpotent element $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$. For Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$, we already know the construction of $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ if: (1) the Jordan type of e has all parts with even multiplicity; (2) the Jordan type of e has all parts with multiplicity 1. Now we want to use Theorems 21 and 22 to deduce a basis of $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ for a general nilpotent element e .

Let $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ be a nilpotent element with Jordan type λ as denoted in (4.8). Take a Dynkin pyramid \tilde{P} following Subsection 4.4 and $\{v_{i_j}\}$ in (4.9) form a basis for V with respect to \tilde{P} . Now we write $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ where $\{v_{i_j} : 1 \leq i \leq a\}$ form a basis for V_1 and $\{v_{i_j}, v_{-i_j} : a + 1 \leq i \leq b\}$ form a basis for V_2 . Define $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ where $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{osp}(V_1)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{osp}(V_2)$. Then the nilpotent element $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ can also be written as $e = e_1 + e_2$ and $e_i \in \mathfrak{osp}(V_i)$ such that the Jordan type of e_1 has all parts with multiplicity 1 and the Jordan type of e_2 has all parts with even multiplicity. That is to say, $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_a)$ is the Jordan type of e_1 in descending order and $(\lambda_{a+1}, \lambda_{-(a+1)}, \dots, \lambda_b, \lambda_{-b})$ is the Jordan type of e_2 .

Now consider a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} , we know that a basis for \mathfrak{h}^e is $\{h_i = \xi_i^{i, 0} - \xi_{-i}^{-i, 0} : i = a + 1, \dots, b\}$. Define $U_i = \text{Span}\{v_{i_j} : i_j \text{ is a box in } P\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq a$ and $U_i = U_i^+ \oplus U_i^-$ for

This is equal to 0 if and only if $a_k = b_k$ for all k is odd and $1 \leq k \leq \lambda_{a+1} - 1$. If $\lambda_1 < \lambda_{a+1}$, then by taking the commutator between $\xi_1^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - \lambda_1} + \varepsilon_1^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - \lambda_1} \xi_{-(a+1)}^{1,0}$ and x , we obtain that $a_k = b_k$ for all k is odd and $1 \leq k \leq \mu_1 - 1$. Hence, we have that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) \subseteq S$ for this case and thus $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = S$. Therefore, we have that $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = \lceil \frac{\max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_{a+1}\} - 1}{2} \rceil$ for this case.

Now we look at the special cases:

For the special case when $\xi_1^{2, \lambda_2 - 1} - \xi_2^{1, \lambda_1 - 1} \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_1^{e_1})$ and $\xi_{a+1}^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - 1} - \xi_{-(a+1)}^{-(a+1), \lambda_{a+1} - 1} \notin \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_2^{e_2})$, then an element $y \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ is of the form $y = x + c_1^{2, \lambda_2 - 1} (\xi_1^{2, \lambda_2 - 1} - \xi_2^{1, \lambda_1 - 1})$ where x is defined in (4.18) and $c_1^{2, \lambda_2 - 1} \in \mathbb{C}$ is the coefficient. By calculating $[y, \xi_1^{a+1, 0} + \varepsilon_1^{a+1, 0} \xi_{-(a+1)}^{1, \lambda_1 - \lambda_{a+1}}] = 0$ for $\lambda_1 > \lambda_{a+1}$ and $[y, \xi_1^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - \lambda_1} + \varepsilon_1^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - \lambda_1} \xi_{-(a+1)}^{1, 0}] = 0$ for $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_{a+1}$, we obtain that $a_k = b_k$ for all k is odd and $c_1^{2, \lambda_2 - 1} = 0$ when $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_{a+1}$. If $\lambda_2 \leq \lambda_{a+1} < \lambda_1$, then computing

$$[x + c_1^{2, \lambda_2 - 1} (\xi_1^{2, \lambda_2 - 1} - \xi_2^{1, \lambda_1 - 1}), \xi_2^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - \lambda_2} \pm \xi_{-(a+1)}^{2, 0}] = 0$$

implies that $c_1^{2, \lambda_2 - 1} = 0$. However, if $\lambda_2 > \lambda_{a+1}$, we calculate the commutator between $\xi_1^{2, \lambda_2 - 1} - \xi_2^{1, \lambda_1 - 1}$ and basis elements of the form $\xi_i^{j, \lambda_j - 1 - k} \pm \xi_{-j}^{i, \lambda_i - 1 - k}$ for $1 \leq i \leq a$, $a + 1 \leq j \leq b$, $0 \leq k \leq \min\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\}$:

$$(4.19) \quad [\xi_1^{2, \lambda_2 - 1} - \xi_2^{1, \lambda_1 - 1}, \xi_i^{j, \lambda_j - 1 - k} \pm \xi_{-j}^{i, \lambda_i - 1 - k}] = \pm \xi_{-j}^{2, \lambda_2 - 1 + \lambda_i - 1 - k} \pm \xi_{-j}^{1, \lambda_1 - 1 + \lambda_2 - 1 - k} \\ \pm \xi_1^{j, \lambda_j - 1 - k + \lambda_2 - 1} \pm \xi_2^{j, \lambda_j - 1 - k + \lambda_1 - 1}.$$

We have that all terms in (4.19) are equal to 0 for $0 \leq k \leq \min\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\}$. This implies that $\xi_1^{2, \lambda_2 - 1} - \xi_2^{1, \lambda_1 - 1}$ commutes with all other basis elements in \mathfrak{g}^e . Therefore, we deduce that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = S \oplus \langle \xi_1^{2, \lambda_2 - 1} - \xi_2^{1, \lambda_1 - 1} \rangle$ in this case and $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = \lceil \frac{\max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_{a+1}\} - 1}{2} \rceil + 1$.

For the special case when $\xi_1^{2, \lambda_2 - 1} - \xi_2^{1, \lambda_1 - 1} \notin \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_1^{e_1})$ and $\xi_{a+1}^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - 1} - \xi_{-(a+1)}^{-(a+1), \lambda_{a+1} - 1} \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_2^{e_2})$, then an element $z \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ is of the form $z = x + c_{a+1}^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - 1} (\xi_{a+1}^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - 1} - \xi_{-(a+1)}^{-(a+1), \lambda_{a+1} - 1})$ where x is defined in (4.18) and $c_{a+1}^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - 1} \in \mathbb{C}$ is the coefficient. If $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_{a+1}$, then computing $[x, \xi_1^{a+1, 0} + \varepsilon_1^{a+1, 0} \xi_{-(a+1)}^{1, \lambda_1 - \lambda_{a+1}}] = 0$ gives that $a_k = b_k$ for all k is odd and $1 \leq k \leq \lambda_{a+1} - 1$ and $c_{a+1}^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - 1} = 0$. However, if $\lambda_1 < \lambda_{a+1}$, computing $[x, \xi_1^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - \lambda_1} + \varepsilon_1^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - \lambda_1} \xi_{-(a+1)}^{1, 0}] = 0$ gives that $a_k = b_k$ for all k is odd and $1 \leq k \leq \lambda_1 - 1$. It remains to check that $\xi_{a+1}^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - 1} - \xi_{-(a+1)}^{-(a+1), \lambda_{a+1} - 1} \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$. It is obvious that $\xi_{a+1}^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - 1} - \xi_{-(a+1)}^{-(a+1), \lambda_{a+1} - 1}$ commutes with all elements of the form $\xi_i^{j, \lambda_j - 1 - k} \pm \xi_{-j}^{i, \lambda_i - 1 - k}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq a$ or $a + 1 \leq i, j \leq b$. We now calculate commutators between $\xi_{a+1}^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - 1} - \xi_{-(a+1)}^{-(a+1), \lambda_{a+1} - 1}$ and $\xi_i^{j, \lambda_j - 1 - k} \pm \xi_{-j}^{i, \lambda_i - 1 - k}$ for $1 \leq i \leq a$, $a + 1 \leq j \leq b$, $1 \leq k \leq \min\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\}$:

$$(4.20) \quad [\xi_{a+1}^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - 1} - \xi_{-(a+1)}^{-(a+1), \lambda_{a+1} - 1}, \xi_i^{j, \lambda_j - 1 - k} \pm \xi_{-j}^{i, \lambda_i - 1 - k}] = \xi_i^{a+1, 2\lambda_{a+1} - 2 - k} \\ \pm \xi_{-(a+1)}^{i, \lambda_{a+1} - 1 + \lambda_i - 1 - k}.$$

We have that all terms in (4.20) are equal to 0. This implies that $\xi_{a+1}^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - 1} - \xi_{-(a+1)}^{-(a+1), \lambda_{a+1} - 1}$ commutes with all other basis elements in \mathfrak{g}^e . Therefore, we deduce that $\xi_{a+1}^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - 1} - \xi_{-(a+1)}^{-(a+1), \lambda_{a+1} - 1} \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ in this case and $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = \lceil \frac{\max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_{a+1}\} - 1}{2} \rceil + 1$.

Moreover, when $\xi_1^{2, \lambda_2 - 1} - \xi_2^{1, \lambda_1 - 1} \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_1^{e_1})$ and $\xi_{a+1}^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - 1} - \xi_{-(a+1)}^{-(a+1), \lambda_{a+1} - 1} \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_2^{e_2})$, applying a similar argument to above we also have that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = S \oplus \langle \xi_1^{2, \lambda_2 - 1} - \xi_2^{1, \lambda_1 - 1} \rangle$ for $\lambda_2 > \lambda_{a+1}$ and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = S \oplus \langle \xi_{a+1}^{a+1, \lambda_{a+1} - 1} - \xi_{-(a+1)}^{-(a+1), \lambda_{a+1} - 1} \rangle$ for $\lambda_1 < \lambda_{a+1}$. \square

4.9. Adjoint action on $\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$. Recall that $G = O_m(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $e \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ be nilpotent. Recall that the adjoint action of G on \mathfrak{g} is given by $g \cdot x = gxg^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then the centralizer G^e of e in G acts on \mathfrak{g}^e by the adjoint action. Consider $(\mathfrak{g}^e)^{G^e}$ which is defined to be $(\mathfrak{g}^e)^{G^e} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}^e : gxg^{-1} = x \text{ for all } g \in G^e\}$. The restriction of the adjoint action of G^e to $(\mathfrak{g}^e)^{G^e}$

is the trivial action, so $\text{Ad}_{|(\mathfrak{g}^e)^{G^e}} : G^e \rightarrow \text{GL}((\mathfrak{g}^e)^{G^e})$ is the trivial map and thus $\text{ad}_{|(\mathfrak{g}^e)^{G^e}} : \mathfrak{g}^e \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}((\mathfrak{g}^e)^{G^e})$ is the trivial map. Hence, the adjoint action of \mathfrak{g}^e on $(\mathfrak{g}^e)^{G^e}$ is trivial. Therefore, we have that $(\mathfrak{g}^e)^{G^e} \subseteq \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$. Since $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ is stable under any automorphism of \mathfrak{g}^e , it is stable under the adjoint action of G^e . Take any $x \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$, $g \in G^e$ and $y \in \mathfrak{g}^e$, then $[g \cdot x, y] = [g \cdot x, g \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot y)] = g \cdot [x, g^{-1} \cdot y]$. Since $g^{-1} \cdot y \in \mathfrak{g}^e$, we have that $[g \cdot x, y] = 0$ as required. Therefore, we deduce that $(\mathfrak{g}^e)^{G^e} = (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e}$.

According to [8, Section 3.12], we have that G^e is the semidirect product of the subgroup C^e and the connected normal subgroup R^e , i.e. $G^e \cong C^e \rtimes R^e$. Denote the connected component of G^e (resp. C^e) containing the identity by $(G^e)^\circ$ (resp. $(C^e)^\circ$), we have that $G^e/(G^e)^\circ \cong C^e/(C^e)^\circ$ based on [8, Section 3.13].

Theorem 24. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$ and $G = O_m(\mathbb{C}) \times \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $e = e_1 + e_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ be nilpotent with Jordan type λ denoted as in (4.8). Let $S = \langle e^l : l \text{ is odd and } l \leq \max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_{a+1}\} - 1 \rangle$, then $(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e} = S$.*

Proof. Recall that $\{v_{i,j}\}$ is a basis of V according to (4.9) and the bilinear form $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ on V is given in Subsection 4.4. We define an involution ρ on the labels of the basis of V by

$$\rho(i_k) = \begin{cases} i_{-k} & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, a; \\ -i_{-k} & \text{if } i = \pm(a+1), \dots, \pm b. \end{cases}$$

Let e_{i_t, d_l} be the (i_t, d_l) -matrix unit. Then $\{e_{i_t, d_l} \pm e_{\rho(d_l), \rho(i_t)}\}$ is a basis for \mathfrak{g} with appropriate signs and conditions on i_t, d_l .

Let $l = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$. By reordering boxes on the right hand half of \tilde{P} from $1, \dots, l+n$ and boxes on the left hand half of \tilde{P} from $-(l+n), \dots, -1$ (note that there exists a box labelled by 0 if m is odd), we get a basis $\{e_{j,k} + \gamma_{-k,-j} e_{-k,-j}\}$ for \mathfrak{g} as defined in (4.6). There exists an isomorphism between basis element $e_{j,k} + \gamma_{-k,-j} e_{-k,-j}$ and $e_{i_t, d_l} \pm e_{\rho(d_l), \rho(i_t)}$.

It is clear that $S \subseteq (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e}$. When $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = S$, then $(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e} \subseteq \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) = S$ and thus we obtain $(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e} = S$. The following part of this proof deals with two special cases in Corollary 23 where $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e) \neq S$.

Case 1: When $a \geq 3$, $\lambda_2 > \lambda_{a+1}$, $|1| = |2| = \bar{0}$ or $a = 2$, $|1| \neq |2|$.

In this case, the extra basis element x can be written as $e_{1-\lambda_1+1, 2\lambda_2-1} - e_{2-\lambda_2+1, 1\lambda_1-1}$. We consider a matrix Q which sends each v_{1_k} to $-v_{1_k}$ and all other v_{i_k} for $i \neq 1$ to itself. Obviously $S \subseteq (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e} \subseteq (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^Q$. Then we have that

$$B(Qv_{i_k}, Qv_{j_l}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^k \delta_{i_k, \rho(j_l)} & \text{if } 1 \leq i, j \leq a; \\ \delta_{i_k, \rho(j_l)} & \text{if } \pm(a+1) \leq i, j \leq \pm b; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus Q preserves the form B on V . Hence, we have that $Q \in G$. For any i_k, j_l , observe that

$$Qe_{i_k, j_l} Q^{-1} = \begin{cases} -e_{i_k, j_l} & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } i = 1 \text{ or } j = 1; \\ e_{i_k, j_l} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} Q \cdot e_1 &= Q \cdot \left(\sum_{t;i=1}^a \varepsilon_{i_t, i_{t-2}} e_{i_t, i_{t-2}} \right) = \sum_{t;i=1}^a \varepsilon_{i_t, i_{t-2}} Qe_{i_t, i_{t-2}} Q^{-1} \\ &= \sum_t \varepsilon_{1_t, 1_{t-2}} e_{1_t, 1_{t-2}} + \sum_{t;i \neq 1} \varepsilon_{i_t, i_{t-2}} e_{i_t, i_{t-2}} = \sum_{t;i=1}^a \varepsilon_{i_t, i_{t-2}} e_{i_t, i_{t-2}} = e_1. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, based on the way we defined Q , it fixes e_2 . This implies that $Q \in G^e$ and thus we know that $Q \cdot e^l = e^l$ for all l is odd. Next we have that

$$Q \cdot x = QxQ^{-1} = -e_{1-\lambda_1+1, 2\lambda_2-1} + e_{2-\lambda_2+1, 1\lambda_1-1} = -x.$$

Thus we deduce that $x \notin (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^Q$ and $(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^Q \subseteq S$. Therefore, we have that $(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e} = (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^Q = S$ and $\dim (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e} = \lceil \frac{\lambda_1 - 1}{2} \rceil$.

Case 2: When $\lambda_1 < \lambda_{a+1}$, $\lambda_{a+1} > \lambda_{a+2}$, $|a+1| = \bar{0}$ and λ_{a+1} is odd.

In this case, the extra basis element x can be written as

$$x = e_{(a+1)-\lambda_{a+1}+1, (a+1)\lambda_{a+1}-1} - e_{-(a+1)-\lambda_{a+1}+1, -(a+1)\lambda_{a+1}-1}.$$

We consider a matrix Q which sends $v_{(a+1)_k}$ to $v_{-(a+1)_k}$ and all other v_{i_k} for $i \neq \pm(a+1)$ to itself. Then we have that

$$B(Qv_{i_k}, Qv_{j_l}) = \begin{cases} B(v_{i_k}, v_{j_l}) = (-1)^k \delta_{i_k, \rho(j_l)} & \text{if } 1 \leq i, j \leq a; \\ B(v_{-i_k}, v_{-j_l}) = \delta_{-i_k, \rho(-j_l)} = \delta_{i_k, \rho(j_l)} & \text{if } i, j = \pm(a+1); \\ B(v_{i_k}, v_{j_l}) = \delta_{i_k, \rho(j_l)} & \text{if } \pm(a+2) \leq i, j \leq \pm b; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus Q preserves the form on V and $Q \in G$. For any i_k, j_l , observe that

$$(4.21) \quad Qe_{i_k, j_l} Q^{-1} = \begin{cases} e_{i_k, j_l} & \text{if } i, j \neq \pm(a+1); \\ e_{-i_k, j_l} & \text{if } i = \pm(a+1), j \neq \pm(a+1); \\ e_{i_k, -j_l} & \text{if } i \neq \pm(a+1), j = \pm(a+1); \\ e_{-i_k, -j_l} & \text{if } i, j = \pm(a+1). \end{cases}$$

Note that we can write e_2 to be $\sum_{t; i=\pm(a+1)}^b \varepsilon_{i_t, i_{t-2}} e_{i_t, i_{t-2}}$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} Q \cdot e_2 &= Q \cdot \left(\sum_{t; i=\pm(a+1)}^b \varepsilon_{i_t, i_{t-2}} e_{i_t, i_{t-2}} \right) = \sum_{t; i=\pm(a+1)}^b \varepsilon_{i_t, i_{t-2}} Qe_{i_t, i_{t-2}} Q^{-1} \\ &= \sum_t \varepsilon_{(a+1)_t, (a+1)_{t-2}} e_{-(a+1)_t, -(a+1)_{t-2}} + \sum_t \varepsilon_{-(a+1)_t, -(a+1)_{t-2}} e_{(a+1)_t, (a+1)_{t-2}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{t; i \neq \pm(a+1)} \varepsilon_{i_t, i_{t-2}} e_{i_t, i_{t-2}} \\ &= \sum_{t; i=\pm(a+1)}^b \varepsilon_{i_t, i_{t-2}} e_{i_t, i_{t-2}} = e_2. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, based on the way we defined Q , it fixes e_1 . This implies that $Q \in G^e$ and thus we know that $Q \cdot e^l = e^l$ for all l is odd. Next we have that

$$Q \cdot x = QxQ^{-1} = e_{-(a+1)-\lambda_{a+1}+1, -(a+1)\lambda_{a+1}-1} - e_{(a+1)-\lambda_{a+1}+1, (a+1)\lambda_{a+1}-1} = -x.$$

Thus we know that $x \notin (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^Q$ and $(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^Q \subseteq S$. Therefore, we have that $(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e} = (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^Q = S$ and $\dim (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e} = \lceil \frac{\lambda_{a+1} - 1}{2} \rceil$. \square

Remark 25. If we choose $G' = \mathrm{SO}_m(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, applying a similar argument we obtain that $(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G'^e} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)$ if $\lambda_2 > \lambda_{a+1}$ and λ_i is even for $3 \leq i \leq b$, or $\lambda_1 < \lambda_{a+1}$, $\lambda_{a+1} \neq \lambda_{a+2}$ and $\lambda_{\pm(a+1)}$ are the only odd parts for $1 \leq i \leq b$. For other cases, we have $(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G'^e} = S$.

4.10. Proof of the Theorems. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{r+s})$ be the Jordan type of e as defined in (3.2). Based on Subsections 4.6–4.9, we have that $(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e} = \lceil \frac{\lambda_1 - 1}{2} \rceil$.

In order to prove Theorem 1 for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(m|2n)$, we calculate the number of labels in the labelled Dynkin diagram Δ which are equal to 2. Given an ortho-symplectic Dynkin pyramid P and a partition λ as defined in (3.5), let r_i (resp. s_i) be the number of boxes with parity $\bar{0}$ (resp. $\bar{1}$) on the i th column. We observe that Δ has no label equal to 1 if and only if all parts of λ are odd or all parts of λ are even. Now assume that all labels in Δ equal to 0 or 2. Then based on the way that labelled Dynkin diagram is constructed, we observe that $n_2(\Delta) = \lfloor \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \rfloor$. Note that when λ_1 is even, we have that $\lceil \frac{\lambda_1 - 1}{2} \rceil = \frac{\lambda_1}{2}$

and $n_2(\Delta) = \lfloor \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \rfloor = \frac{\lambda_1}{2}$, when λ_1 is odd, we have that $\lceil \frac{\lambda_1-1}{2} \rceil = \frac{\lambda_1-1}{2}$ and $n_2(\Delta) = \frac{\lambda_1-1}{2}$. Therefore, we deduce that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e)^{G^e} = n_2(\Delta)$.

To calculate $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^h)$, we first consider the following example:

Example 26. For a nilpotent element $e \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ with Jordan type $(5, 3, 1|3, 3)$, the corresponding Dynkin pyramid is shown in Example 15. Hence, we have $n_2(\Delta) = \lfloor \frac{5}{2} \rfloor = 2$. We can calculate that $\mathfrak{g}^h = \mathfrak{gl}(1|0) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(2|2) \oplus \mathfrak{osp}(3|2)$. Note that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{gl}(1|0)) = I_1$, $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{gl}(2|2)) = I_4$ and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{osp}(3|2)) = 0$. Therefore, we have that $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^h) = 2$.

Assume that there is no label equal to 1 in Δ . When all parts of λ are odd, we observe that

$$\mathfrak{g}^h = \bigoplus_{i>0} \mathfrak{gl}(r_i|s_i) \oplus \mathfrak{osp}(r_0|s_0).$$

When all parts of λ are even, then \mathfrak{g}^h is just the direct sum of $\mathfrak{gl}(r_i|s_i)$ for $i > 0$. Note that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{gl}(r_i|s_i)) = I_{r_i+s_i}$ and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{osp}(r_0|s_0)) = 0$. Hence, we have that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^h)$ is the direct sum of $I_{r_i+s_i}$ for $i > 0$. Since there are in total λ_1 columns in P with non-zero boxes, we deduce that $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^h) = \lfloor \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \rfloor = n_2(\Delta)$.

Next we describe the relation between $\dim (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e}$ and the sum of labels $\sum a_i$ in Δ . Note that $a_i = \text{col}(i+1) - \text{col}(i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, l+n-1$. When m is even, we have

$$a_{l+n} = \begin{cases} -2\text{col}(l+n) & \text{if } |l+n| = \bar{1}; \\ -\text{col}(l+n) - \text{col}(l+n-1) & \text{if } |l+n| = \bar{0}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum a_i &= \sum_{i=1}^{l+n-1} (\text{col}(i+1) - \text{col}(i)) + \begin{cases} -2\text{col}(l+n) & \text{if } |l+n| = \bar{1}; \\ (\text{col}(-l-n) - \text{col}(l+n-1)) & \text{if } |l+n| = \bar{0} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \text{col}(-l-n) - \text{col}(1) & \text{if } |l+n| = \bar{1}; \\ -\text{col}(l+n-1) - \text{col}(1) & \text{if } |l+n| = \bar{0}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have that $\sum a_i = \lambda_1 - 1$ or λ_1 .

When m is odd, then there exists a box that is labelled by 0, we have $a_{l+n} = \text{col}(0) - \text{col}(l+n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum a_i &= \sum_{i=1}^{l+n-1} (\text{col}(i+1) - \text{col}(i)) + (\text{col}(0) - \text{col}(l+n)) \\ &= \text{col}(0) - \text{col}(1) = \lambda_1 - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$\lceil \frac{1}{2} \sum a_i \rceil = \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \rceil & \text{if all parts of the Jordan type of } e \text{ are even;} \\ \lceil \frac{\lambda_1-1}{2} \rceil & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we deduce that $\dim (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e} = \lceil \frac{1}{2} \sum a_i \rceil$.

In order to prove Theorem 3 for \mathfrak{g} , we consider two general cases below.

Case 1: When Δ has no label equal to 1, i.e. all labels are equal to 0 or 2. In this case, we know that either all parts of λ are odd or all parts of λ are even. Note that $e_0 = 0$ since Δ_0 has all labels equal to 0. Thus we have that

$$\mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0} = \mathfrak{g}_0 = \left(\bigoplus_{i>0} \mathfrak{sl}(r_i|s_i) \right) \oplus \mathfrak{osp}(r_0|s_0)$$

We denote $c_i = r_i + s_i$. Then

$$\dim \mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0} = \dim \mathfrak{g}_0 = \sum_{i>0} \dim \mathfrak{sl}(r_i|s_i) + \dim \mathfrak{osp}(r_0|s_0).$$

Now if all parts of λ are even, then we have $\dim \mathfrak{osp}(r_0|s_0) = 0$. This implies that $\dim \mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0} = \sum_{i>0} (c_i^2 - 1)$. We also have that $|\{i : \lambda_i \text{ is odd, } |i| = \bar{0}\}| = |\{i : \lambda_i \text{ is odd, } |i| = \bar{1}\}| = 0$. Thus $\dim \mathfrak{g}^e = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{gl}(m|2n)^e = \frac{1}{2} (\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} c_i^2)$ by Subsection 4.5. Hence, we have that

$$\dim \mathfrak{g}^e - \dim \mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0} = \frac{1}{2} (\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} c_i^2) - \sum_{i>0} (c_i^2 - 1) = \sum_{i>0: c_i>0} 1 = n_2(\Delta).$$

Next we consider when all parts of λ are odd, we have that

$$\dim \mathfrak{osp}(r_0|s_0) = \frac{(r_0 + s_0)^2 - (r_0 + s_0)}{2} + s_0 = \frac{c_0^2 - r_0 + s_0}{2}.$$

Note that in this case $\dim \mathfrak{g}^e = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{gl}(m|n)^e - \frac{r}{2} + \frac{s}{2}$ by Subsection 4.5 where r (resp. s) is the total number of $\lambda_i, |i| = \bar{0}$ (resp. $\lambda_i, |i| = \bar{1}$). Since all parts of λ are odd, then each row which corresponds to a certain part of λ has a box in the 0th column. Hence, the number of λ_i with $|i| = \bar{0}$ (resp. $|i| = \bar{1}$) is equal to the number of even (resp. odd) boxes in the 0th column, i.e. $r = r_0$ and $s = s_0$. Thus we can calculate

$$\dim \mathfrak{g}^e = \frac{1}{2} (\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} c_i^2 + c_0^2) - \frac{r_0}{2} + \frac{s_0}{2}.$$

Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathfrak{g}^e - \dim \mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0} &= \left(\frac{1}{2} (\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} c_i^2 + c_0^2) - \frac{r_0}{2} + \frac{s_0}{2} \right) - \left(\sum_{i>0} (c_i^2 - 1) + \frac{c_0^2 - r_0 + s_0}{2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i>0: c_i>0} 1 = n_2(\Delta). \end{aligned}$$

When $r_i \neq s_i$ for all $i > 0$, we have that $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0}) = \dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0) = 0$ because $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{sl}(r_i|s_i)) = 0$ for all i and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{osp}(r_0|s_0)) = 0$. However, if there exists $r_i = s_i$ for some i , we have that $I_{r_i|r_i} \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{sl}(r_i|s_i))$ and thus $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0}) = \tau$ where τ is the number of $i > 0$ for which $r_i = s_i$. Hence, we have that $\dim (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e} - \dim (\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0}))^{G_0^{e_0}} = n_2(\Delta) - \tau$.

Case 2: When there exist some labels equal to 1 in Δ . Note that there are in total $2\lambda_1 + 1$ columns in P and let $n_2(\Delta) = t$. Observe that Δ has some labels equal to 2 if there exist some $c_{k_j} = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, t$ and $t = n_2(\Delta)$. Let $k > 0$ be the minimal column number such that $c_k = 0$ and $k + 2t = \lambda_1$. Note that once a label equal to 1 occurs, say a_k , then there is no label equal to 2 for all a_h with $h > k$. Then we have that

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 \cong \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathfrak{sl}(r_{k+2i-1}|s_{k+2i-1}) \right) \oplus \mathfrak{osp} \left(\sum_{i=1-k}^{k-1} r_i \mid \sum_{i=1-k}^{k-1} s_i \right).$$

Hence,

$$\dim \mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0} = \sum_{i=k+1}^{\lambda_1} (c_i^2 + c_i c_{i+1} - 1) + \dim \mathfrak{osp} \left(\sum_{i=1-k}^{k-1} r_i \mid \sum_{i=1-k}^{k-1} s_i \right).$$

Denote the Jordan type of e_0 to be λ^0 which is defined the similar way to (3.2). We also observe that $|\{i : \lambda_i \text{ is odd, } |i| = \bar{0}\}| = |\{i : \lambda_i^0 \text{ is odd, } |i| = \bar{0}\}|$ and $|\{i : \lambda_i \text{ is odd, } |i| = \bar{1}\}| = |\{i : \lambda_i^0 \text{ is odd, } |i| = \bar{1}\}|$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathfrak{osp} \left(\sum_{i=1-k}^{k-1} r_i \mid \sum_{i=1-k}^{k-1} s_i \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1-k}^{k-1} (c_i^2 + c_i c_{i+1}) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} |\{i : \lambda_i^0 \text{ is odd, } |i| = \bar{0}\}| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} |\{i : \lambda_i^0 \text{ is odd, } |i| = \bar{1}\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have that $\dim \mathfrak{g}^e - \dim \mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0} = \sum_{i=1}^t 1 = t = n_2(\Delta)$. Moreover, let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0 = \mathfrak{osp}(\sum_{i=1-k}^{k-1} r_i | \sum_{i=1-k}^{k-1} s_i)$. We observe that the projection of e_0 in each $\mathfrak{sl}(r_{k+2i-1}|s_{k+2i-1})$ is 0 and so $e_0 \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0$. Thus

$$\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0}) = \sum_{i=1}^t \dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{sl}(r_{k+2i-1}|s_{k+2i-1})) + \dim \mathfrak{z}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0^{e_0}).$$

Similar to Case 1, $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{sl}(r_{k+2i-1}|s_{k+2i-1})) = 0$ when $r_{k+2i-1} \neq s_{k+2i-1}$ for all i . If there exist some $i > 0$ such that $r_{k+2i-1} = s_{k+2i-1}$, then $I_{r_{k+2i-1}|r_{k+2i-1}} \in \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{sl}(r_{k+2i-1}|s_{k+2i-1}))$. We know that $\dim(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0}))^{G_0^{e_0}} = \lceil \frac{k_1-1}{2} \rceil + \tau$ where τ is the number of positive column number i such that $r_i = s_i$. Hence, we deduce that $\dim(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^e))^{G^e} - \dim(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}_0^{e_0}))^{G_0^{e_0}} = n_2(\Delta) - \tau$ in this case.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Brundan and S.M. Goodwin, *Good grading polytopes*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, **94**(1) (2007), 155–180.
- [2] B. Chang, *The conjugate classes of Chevalley groups of type (G_2)* , Journal of Algebra, **9**(2) (1968), 190–211.
- [3] S.J. Cheng and W. Wang, *Dualities and representations of Lie superalgebras*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **144**, Amer. Math. Soc. (2012).
- [4] D.H. Collingwood and W.M. McGovern, *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebra: an introduction*, CRC Press (1993).
- [5] A. G. Elashvili and V. G. Kac, *Classification of good gradings of simple Lie algebras*, in: “Lie groups and invariant theory” (E. B. Vinberg ed.), Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, **213** (2005), 85–104.
- [6] L. Frappat, A. Sciarrino and P. Sorba, *Structure of basic Lie superalgebras and of their affine extensions*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, **121**(3) (1989), 457–500.
- [7] C. Hoyt, *Good gradings of basic Lie superalgebras*, Israel Journal of Mathematics, **192**(1) (2012), 251–280.
- [8] J.C. Jantzen, *Nilpotent orbits in representation theory*, Part I of Lie theory: Lie algebras and representations, Progress in Mathematics **228**, Birkhuser (2004).
- [9] V.G. Kac, *Lie superalgebras*, Advances in Mathematics, **26**(1) (1977), 8–96.
- [10] R. Lawther and D.M. Testerman, *Centres of centralizers of unipotent elements in simple algebraic groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. (2011).
- [11] M.W. Liebeck and G.M. Seitz, *Unipotent and nilpotent classes in simple algebraic groups and Lie algebras*, American Mathematical Soc. (2012).
- [12] K. Mizuno, *The conjugate classes of Chevalley groups of type E_6* , J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **24** (1977), 525–563.
- [13] K. Mizuno, *The conjugate classes of unipotent elements of the Chevalley groups E_7 and E_8* , Tokyo J. Math. **3** (1980), 391–461.
- [14] I.M. Musson, *Lie superalgebras and enveloping algebras*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **131**, Amer. Math. Soc. (2012).
- [15] I. Penkov, and V. Serganova, *Generic irreducible representations of finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras*, International Journal of Mathematics **5**(03) (1994), 389–419.
- [16] G.M. Seitz, *Unipotent elements, tilting modules, and saturation*, Invent. Math. **141** (2000), 467–502.
- [17] G.M. Seitz, *Unipotent centralizers in algebraic groups*, J. Algebra **279** (2004), 226–259.
- [18] K. Shinoda, *The conjugacy classes of Chevalley groups of type (F_4) over finite fields of characteristic 2*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **21** (1974), 133–159.
- [19] T. Shoji, *The conjugacy classes of Chevalley groups of type (F_4) over finite fields of characteristic $p = 2$* , J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **21** (1974), 1–17.
- [20] T.A. Springer, *A note on centralizers in semi-simple groups*, Indag. Math., **28** (1966), 75–77.
- [21] U. Stuhler, *Unipotente und nilpotente Klassen in einfachen Gruppen und Liealgebren vom Typ G_2* , Indag. Math. **33** (1971), 365–378.
- [22] W. Wang and L. Zhao, *Representations of Lie superalgebras in prime characteristic 1*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **99** (2009), 145–167.
- [23] O. Yakimova, *Surprising properties of centralisers in classical Lie algebras*, Annales de l’Institut Fourier, **59**(3) (2009), 903–935.