

On geometry of simultaneous approximation to three real numbers

by **Antoine Marnat** and **Nikolay Moshchevitin**

Abstract: Considering simultaneous approximation to three numbers, we study the geometry of the sequence of best approximations. We provide a sharper lower bound for the ratio between ordinary and uniform exponent of Diophantine approximation, optimal in terms of this geometry.

AMS Subject Classification: 11J13

Keywords: simultaneous approximation, Diophantine exponents, best approximations.

1 Simultaneous approximation and Diophantine exponents

In this paper we deal with simultaneous approximation to real numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, that is integer solutions $(q, a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ of the system inequalities

$$\begin{cases} \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |q\alpha_j - a_j| \leq Q^{-\gamma}, \\ 1 \leq q \leq Q. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The *ordinary Diophantine exponent* $\omega = \omega(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ for real vector $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as supremum over all γ such that the system (1) has integer solutions for arbitrary large values of Q . The *uniform Diophantine exponent* $\hat{\omega} = \hat{\omega}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ is defined as supremum over all γ such that the system (1) has integer solutions for all Q large enough. It is well known that for $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \notin \mathbb{Q}^n$ the value of $\hat{\omega} = \hat{\omega}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ always satisfies the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{n} \leq \hat{\omega} \leq 1.$$

We need to distinguish cases $\hat{\omega} < 1$ and $\hat{\omega} = 1$. Generalizing the results by Jarník [18], in [5] it was proven that under the condition that the numbers $1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} one has

$$\frac{\omega}{\hat{\omega}} \geq G_n(\hat{\omega}), \quad (2)$$

where $G_n(\hat{\omega})$ is the unique positive root of the equation

$$g^{n-1} = \frac{\hat{\omega}}{1 - \hat{\omega}}(g^{n-2} + \dots + g + 1)$$

in the case $\hat{\omega} < 1$ and $G_n(\hat{\omega}) = \infty$ in the case $\hat{\omega} = 1$.

An alternative proof of this result is given in [12]. This bound is known to be optimal.

In this paper we deal with approximations to three numbers. In this cas, $n = 3$ and

$$G(\hat{\omega}) = G_3(\hat{\omega}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\hat{\omega}}{1 - \hat{\omega}} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\hat{\omega}}{1 - \hat{\omega}} \right)^2 + \frac{4\hat{\omega}}{1 - \hat{\omega}}} \right).$$

was obtained in [10]. Note that in an earlier paper [13] a lot of geometric observation concerning the behavior of the corresponding solutions of the system (1) was done. In the present paper we want to carry out a deeper analysis of the geometry of the best approximations vectors in the case $n = 3$ and introduce stronger new lower bounds for the ratio $\frac{\omega}{\hat{\omega}}$ under further condition.

2 Patterns of best approximation vectors

In the present paper it is convenient to deal with the best approximations with respect to the Euclidean norm. Let $|\mathbf{x}|$ be the Euclidean norm of the vector \mathbf{x} in \mathbb{R}^n .

Suppose that $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbb{Q}^n$. We deal with the infinite sequence of best approximation vectors

$$\mathbf{z}_\nu = (q_\nu, \mathbf{a}_\nu) = (q_\nu, a_{1,\nu}, \dots, a_{n,\nu}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}, \quad \mathbf{a}_\nu = (a_{1,\nu}, \dots, a_{n,\nu}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad \nu \geq 1$$

such that

$$q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_\nu < q_{\nu+1} < \dots$$

form the increasing sequence of common denominators and the remainders of approximation

$$\xi_\nu = |q_\nu \alpha - \mathbf{a}_\nu|$$

satisfy

$$\xi_1 > \xi_2 > \dots > \xi_\nu > \xi_{\nu+1} > \dots,$$

and

$$\xi_\nu = \min_{q \in \mathbb{Z}_+, q \leq q_\nu; \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |q\alpha - \mathbf{a}|.$$

For approximations to one number, from continued fractions' theory we know that

$$\begin{vmatrix} q_\nu & a_{1,\nu} \\ q_{\nu+1} & a_{1,\nu+1} \end{vmatrix} = (-1)^\nu, \quad \forall \nu \geq 1$$

and in particular each couple of consecutive best approximations $\mathbf{z}_\nu, \mathbf{z}_{\nu+1}$ consists of two linearly independent vectors.

Recall that the exponents $\omega(\alpha)$ and $\hat{\omega}(\alpha)$ can be expressed in terms of best approximations by the formulas

$$\omega(\alpha) = -\liminf_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \xi_\nu}{\log q_\nu}, \quad \hat{\omega}(\alpha) = -\limsup_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \xi_\nu}{\log q_{\nu+1}}, \quad \frac{\omega(\alpha)}{\hat{\omega}(\alpha)} \geq \limsup_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log q_{\nu+1}}{\log q_\nu} \quad (3)$$

(for the last inequality here see our paper [5], this inequality will be of importance to complete the proof of Theorem 1 at the very end of Section 5).

In the case $n = 2$ when $1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , Jarník proved that there exist infinitely many ν such that three consecutive vectors $\mathbf{z}_{\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_\nu, \mathbf{z}_{\nu+1}$ are linearly independent.

In the present paper we deal with the case $n = 3$. The behavior of the best approximation vectors in this case is a little bit more complicated. From Jarník it follows again that there exist infinitely many ν such that three consecutive vectors $\mathbf{z}_{\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_\nu, \mathbf{z}_{\nu+1}$ are linearly independent. However it may happen that there is no quadruples $\mathbf{z}_{\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_\nu, \mathbf{z}_{\nu+1}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu+2}$ consisting of four consecutive independent vectors. It is the *phenomenon of degeneracy of dimension*, see [3, 6, 7, 8, 15]. Nevertheless, for any ν_0 the sequence $\mathbf{z}_{\nu_0+j}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ generates the whole space \mathbb{R}^4 .

Let us consider ν such that three consecutive vectors $\mathbf{z}_{\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_\nu, \mathbf{z}_{\nu+1}$ are linearly independent and define

$$j = \min\{\nu' > \nu : \text{vectors } \mathbf{z}_{\nu'-1}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu'}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu'+1} \text{ are linearly independent}\}.$$

Now we deal with the collection

$$\mathbf{z}_{\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_\nu, \mathbf{z}_{\nu+1}, \mathbf{z}_{j-1}, \mathbf{z}_j, \mathbf{z}_{j+1}, \quad \nu < j \quad (4)$$

of two successive triples $\mathbf{z}_{\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_\nu, \mathbf{z}_{\nu+1}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{j-1}, \mathbf{z}_j, \mathbf{z}_{j+1}$ of independent vectors. We distinguish two cases.

It may happen that triples $\mathbf{z}_{\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_\nu, \mathbf{z}_{\nu+1}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{j-1}, \mathbf{z}_j, \mathbf{z}_{j+1}$ generate the same three-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^4 , that is

$$\langle \mathbf{z}_{\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_\nu, \mathbf{z}_{\nu+1} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle \mathbf{z}_{j-1}, \mathbf{z}_j, \mathbf{z}_{j+1} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

In this case we say the collection of vectors (4) has *pattern A*.

In the case when triples $\mathbf{z}_{\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_\nu, \mathbf{z}_{\nu+1}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{j-1}, \mathbf{z}_j, \mathbf{z}_{j+1}$ generate different three-dimensional subspaces in \mathbb{R}^4 , that is

$$\langle \mathbf{z}_{\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_\nu, \mathbf{z}_{\nu+1} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \neq \langle \mathbf{z}_{j-1}, \mathbf{z}_j, \mathbf{z}_{j+1} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}},$$

and so

$$\langle \mathbf{z}_{\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_{j-1}, \mathbf{z}_j, \mathbf{z}_{j+1} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle \mathbf{z}_{\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_\nu, \mathbf{z}_{\nu+1}, \mathbf{z}_{j+1} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}^4,$$

we say the collection of vectors (4) has *pattern B*.

We should note that for each collection (4) there exists the next collection of the form (4)

$$\mathbf{z}_{j-1}, \mathbf{z}_j, \mathbf{z}_{j+1}, \mathbf{z}_{l-1}, \mathbf{z}_l, \mathbf{z}_{l+1}, \quad j < l \quad (5)$$

where the last triple in (4) coincide with the first triple in (5). So, by encoding the collections (4) by letters A and B accordingly, for each vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} we define an infinite word

$$\mathfrak{W}(\alpha) = C_1 C_2 C_3 \dots, \quad C_j \in \{A, B\}. \quad (6)$$

From the discussion above we see that when $1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , the word $\mathfrak{W}(\alpha)$ is infinite and contains infinitely many letters B .

In the present paper, we show how we can determine a sharper lower bound for the ratio of the exponents $\frac{\omega(\alpha)}{\hat{\omega}(\alpha)}$ in terms of the word $\mathfrak{W}(\alpha)$.

3 Parameters

We deal with parameters

$$\frac{1}{3} < \lambda < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \theta = \theta(\lambda) = \frac{1-\lambda}{\lambda} < 2. \quad (7)$$

For $k \geq 0$ we define

$$S_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \theta^j.$$

So

$$S_k = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } \theta = 1 \\ \frac{\theta^k - 1}{\theta - 1}, & \text{if } \theta \neq 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad S_k \sim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1-\theta}, & \text{if } \theta < 1 \\ k, & \text{if } \theta = 1 \\ \frac{\theta^k}{\theta - 1}, & \text{if } \theta > 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{when } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (8)$$

We consider a real variable g . For $k \geq 1$ we define the quantities

$$M_k = \theta S_k + \theta^{k+1} = \theta S_{k+1}, \quad N_k = 2\theta^k + \frac{S_k}{\lambda} + \theta S_{k-1}, \quad P_k = \frac{S_{k-1}}{\lambda} + \theta^{k-1}$$

and the polynomials

$$R_k(g) = R_k(g, \lambda) = M_k g^2 - N_k g + P_k.$$

We are interested in the roots of polynomials $R_k(g)$. For example,

$$R_1(g, \lambda) = (\theta + \theta^2)g^2 - \left(2\theta + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)g + 1, \quad (9)$$

and the equation $R_1(g, \lambda) = 0$ may be written in the form

$$R_1^*(g, \lambda) = (1 - \lambda)g^2 - (3 - 2\lambda)\lambda g + \lambda^2 = 0. \quad (10)$$

It is clear that the equation (9) has a unique root $\mathfrak{g}_1 > 1$ for which we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 > G(\lambda). \quad (11)$$

Indeed, the quantity $G(\lambda) > 1$ satisfies the equation $(1 - \lambda)g^2 - \lambda g - \lambda = 0$. As

$$(1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{1 + \lambda}{2(1 - \lambda)} \right)^2 - \lambda \left(\frac{1 + \lambda}{2(1 - \lambda)} \right) - \lambda = \frac{1 - 3\lambda}{4} < 0,$$

we see that $G(\lambda) > \frac{1+\lambda}{2(1-\lambda)} > 1$. Now

$$R_1^*(G(\lambda), \lambda) = \lambda G(\lambda) + \lambda - (3 - 2\lambda)\lambda G(\lambda) + \lambda^2 = \lambda(1 + \lambda - 2(1 - \lambda)G(\lambda)) < 0$$

and the existence of the root \mathfrak{g}_1 satisfying (11) follows.

Here we should note that $\theta = \theta(\lambda)$ depends on λ and that the equation

$$R_1(\theta(\lambda), \lambda) = 0$$

has a root

$$\lambda_* = \frac{1}{2} \left(2 + \sqrt{5} - \sqrt{7 + 2\sqrt{5}} \right) = 0.42451^+$$

which appeared in the problem of uniform simultaneous approximation to a real number, its square and its cube in a famous paper [13] by D. Roy.

Lemma 1. For any $k \geq 1$ and for any $\lambda \in (\frac{1}{3}, 1)$ the equation

$$R_k(g, \lambda) = 0 \quad (12)$$

in the interval $(1, \frac{2}{\theta})$ has a unique root $\mathfrak{g}_k = \mathfrak{g}_k(\lambda)$. This root has lower bound

$$\mathfrak{g}_k(\lambda) > G(\lambda). \quad (13)$$

Moreover, for a fixed λ one has

$$\mathfrak{g}_k(\lambda) < \mathfrak{g}_{k+1}(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{g}_k(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1-\lambda}, & \text{if } \lambda \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1), \\ \frac{2}{\theta}, & \text{if } \lambda \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Proof. We use the explicit form (9) of $R_1(g, \lambda)$ to see that

$$R_1\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right) = -1, \quad \text{and} \quad R_1\left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right) = \frac{3\lambda - 1}{1 - \lambda} > 0$$

because of $\lambda \in (\frac{1}{3}, 1)$. But for every $k \geq 1$ we have

$$R_{k+1}(g) - R_k(g) = \theta^k(\theta g - 1)(\theta g - 2), \quad (15)$$

and so

$$R_k\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right) = R_1\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right) < 0, \quad \text{and} \quad R_k\left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right) = R_1\left(\frac{2}{\theta}\right) > 0.$$

Moreover

$$R_k(1) = \theta^k(\theta - 2) < 0$$

because of $\lambda > \frac{1}{3}$. So for every $k \geq 1$, there exists a unique root \mathfrak{g}_k satisfying

$$\max\left\{1, \frac{1}{\theta}\right\} < \mathfrak{g}_k < \frac{2}{\theta}, \quad (16)$$

as it was claimed in Lemma 1. Substituting \mathfrak{g}_k into (15) and taking into account (16) we see that

$$R_{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}_k) < 0,$$

and this shows that $\mathfrak{g}_{k+1} > \mathfrak{g}_k$. Now (13) follows from (11) and monotonicity. The limit condition from (14) follows from (8), because the limit value $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ satisfies equation

$$(1 - \lambda)g^2 - (2 - \lambda)g + 1 = ((1 - \lambda)g - 1)(g - 1) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right),$$

or

$$\theta^2 g^2 - 3\theta g + 2 = (\theta g - 1)(\theta g - 2) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda \in \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right].$$

Lemma 1 is proven. □

Remark 1. From the inequalities $G(\lambda) > \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}$ and (13),(14) we see that for any $k \geq 1$

$$\frac{1}{\theta} = \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} < \mathfrak{g}_k(\lambda) < \frac{1}{1-\lambda}. \quad (17)$$

4 Results

We consider the quantity

$$k = k(\alpha) = \sup \left\{ j : \text{subword } \underbrace{A \dots A}_{j \text{ times}} B \text{ occurs infinitely many times in (6)} \right\}$$

which may be finite or infinite.

First of all, let us discuss the case $k(\alpha) = 0$. In this case the word $\mathfrak{W}(\alpha)$ has only finitely many letters A and we do not improve on the bound $\frac{\omega(\alpha)}{\hat{\omega}(\alpha)} \geq G(\hat{\omega})$ which is known to be optimal in this case (see [14] and the explanation from [17]). The extremal cases $\hat{\omega}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{3}$ and $\hat{\omega}(\alpha) = 1$, where we have respectively $\omega(\alpha) \geq \frac{1}{3}$ or $\omega(\alpha) = \infty$ are eluded from our further considerations.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that numbers $1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} and suppose that $k(\alpha) = k \geq 1$ is finite. Suppose that $\frac{1}{3} < \hat{\omega}(\alpha) < 1$. Then*

$$\frac{\omega(\alpha)}{\hat{\omega}(\alpha)} \geq \mathfrak{g}_k(\hat{\omega}(\alpha)),$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_k(\cdot) \geq 1$ is the root of (12) defined in Lemma 1. In the case $k(\alpha) = \infty$ we have the inequality

$$\frac{\omega(\alpha)}{\hat{\omega}(\alpha)} \geq \bar{\mathfrak{g}}(\hat{\omega}(\alpha)).$$

In the next statement we show the optimality of the bound from Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *For any $\lambda \in (\frac{1}{3}, 1)$ there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that the sequence of patterns (6) for α is an ultimately periodic sequence with the period*

$$\underbrace{AA \dots AB}_{k \text{ times}}$$

and

$$\hat{\omega}(\alpha) = \lambda, \quad \frac{\omega(\alpha)}{\hat{\omega}(\alpha)} = \mathfrak{g}_k(\lambda). \quad (18)$$

Remark 2. The vector α constructed in Theorem 2 has $1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , because pattern B occurs in the word (6) infinitely often.

Remark 3. It is possible to show that any sequence from two letters A, B with infinitely many B 's can occur as the word $\mathfrak{W}(\alpha)$ for a certain α with linearly independent components. Moreover, for any $\lambda \in (\frac{1}{3}, 1)$ and for any word \mathfrak{W} with infinitely many B 's, it is possible to construct α with linearly independent components such that $\mathfrak{W}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{W}$ and for $k = k(\alpha)$ (determined by the word \mathfrak{W}) the equalities (18) are valid.

We give a proof of Theorem 1 in Section 5 below. As for Theorem 2, it is proved by a certain inductive construction of the limit vector α . The idea of such a construction is quite easy, one should construct a sequence of integer vectors which form two- and three-dimensional subspaces with desired properties and which turn out to form the sequence of all the best approximation vectors to α . As usual such constructions are rather cumbersome (see, for example [1, 9]). Here we give a detailed proof of the case $k = 1$ in Sections 6 - 8. The proof for the case $k > 1$ uses similar argument. The difference is that in the inductive process described below we need to repeat k times **Stage 1**. All necessary comments for the case $k > 1$ are given in Section 10.

Remark 4. Note that it should be possible and interesting to use the theory of parametric geometry of numbers to prove Theorem 1. However, it seems to the authors that it cannot be used directly to prove Theorem 2. Indeed, given a system, it does not seem possible to associate it to a parameter k . It may be at bounded distance to successive minima functions of points with different parameter k .

5 Proof of Theorem 1

Let us consider λ satisfying $\frac{1}{3} < \lambda < \hat{\omega}(\alpha)$. Then for all ν large enough one has

$$\xi_\nu \leq q_{\nu+1}^{-\lambda}. \quad (19)$$

Let

$$\mathbf{z}_{\nu_k-1}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_k}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_k+1}; \quad \mathbf{z}_{\nu_{k-1}-1}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_{k-1}}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_{k-1}+1}; \quad \dots \quad ; \quad \mathbf{z}_{\nu_1-1}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_1}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_1+1}; \quad \mathbf{z}_{\nu_0-1}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_0}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_0+1}; \quad \mathbf{z}_{l-1}, \mathbf{z}_l, \mathbf{z}_{l+1} \quad (20)$$

be the triples of successive best approximation vectors corresponding to the subword $\underbrace{A \dots AB}_{k \text{ times}}$, so

$$\nu_k < \nu_{k-1} < \dots < \nu_1 < \nu_0 < l$$

and each successive triples

$$\mathbf{z}_{\nu_i-1}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_i}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_i+1}; \quad \mathbf{z}_{\nu_{i-1}-1}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_{i-1}}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_{i-1}+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k$$

determine pattern A , while the successive triples

$$\mathbf{z}_{\nu_0-1}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_0}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_0+1}; \quad \mathbf{z}_{l-1}, \mathbf{z}_l, \mathbf{z}_{l+1}$$

determine pattern B .

Consider the two-dimensional lattice

$$\Lambda = \langle \mathbf{z}_{\nu_0}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_0+1} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} = \langle \mathbf{z}_{l-1}, \mathbf{z}_l \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$$

and the three-dimensional lattices

$$\Gamma_i = \langle \mathbf{z}_{\nu_{i-1}}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_i}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_i+1} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad i = 0, \dots, k \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma = \langle \mathbf{z}_{l-1}, \mathbf{z}_l, \mathbf{z}_{l+1} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Consider the two-dimensional subspace $\mathfrak{L} = \text{span } \Lambda$. As for three-dimensional subspaces, from the definitions of patterns A and B we see that

$$\mathfrak{G}^* = \text{span } \Gamma_0 = \text{span } \Gamma_1 = \cdots = \text{span } \Gamma_k$$

is the same three-dimensional subspace,

$$\mathfrak{G} = \text{span } \Gamma, \quad \text{span } (\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma) = \mathbb{R}^4, \quad 0 \leq i \leq k,$$

and

$$(\text{span } \Gamma_i) \cap (\text{span } \Gamma) = \mathfrak{G}^* \cap \mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{L}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq k.$$

Moreover, vectors

$$\mathbf{z}_{\nu_0-1}, \mathbf{z}_{l-1}, \mathbf{z}_l, \mathbf{z}_{l+1} \quad (21)$$

are independent. As vectors (21) are independent vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 , their coordinates form a non-zero integer determinant and

$$1 \ll \xi_{\nu_0-1} \xi_{l-1} \xi_l q_{l+1},$$

or taking into account (19) and the definition of θ from (7),

$$q_{\nu_0} \ll q_l^{-1} q_{l+1}^\theta. \quad (22)$$

By standard argument we have the bounds

$$\det \Lambda \asymp \xi_{l-1} q_l \quad (23)$$

and

$$\det \Gamma_i \ll \xi_{\nu_i-1} \xi_{\nu_i} q_{\nu_i+1}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq k, \quad \det \Gamma \ll \xi_{l-1} \xi_l q_{l+1}. \quad (24)$$

A very detailed proof of (23) and (24) is given for example in Lemma 2 from [5]. We should recall that the signs \asymp and \ll in (23) and (24) contain *absolute* constants. From (19) we deduce the inequality

$$q_{j+1} \gg q_j^{\frac{1}{\theta}}, \quad j = l, \nu_0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_k \quad (25)$$

which is trivial for $\lambda < \frac{1}{2}$. Now we consider the rational subspaces $\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{G}^*$ and \mathfrak{L} defined above. For their heights

$$H(\mathfrak{G}^*) \leq \det \Gamma_k, \quad H(\mathfrak{G}) \leq \det \Gamma, \quad H(\mathfrak{L}) \asymp \det \Lambda \quad (26)$$

by Schmidt's inequality (see [16], Lemma 8A) we have the bound

$$1 \ll H(\mathfrak{G}^*) \cdot \frac{H(\mathfrak{G})}{H(\mathfrak{L})} \quad (27)$$

Now (26),(27) together with (23),(24) gives us

$$1 \ll \xi_{\nu_k-1} \xi_{\nu_k} q_{\nu_k+1} \cdot \frac{\xi_l q_{l+1}}{q_l},$$

or by (19),

$$1 \ll q_{\nu_k}^{-\lambda} q_{\nu_k+1}^{1-\lambda} \cdot q_l^{-1} q_{l+1}^{1-\lambda}, \quad (28)$$

Lemma 2. Suppose that g satisfies

$$\frac{1}{\theta} = \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} < g < \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \quad (29)$$

and define

$$\sigma = \sigma(g, \lambda) = \frac{\frac{1}{1-\lambda} - g}{g - \frac{1}{\theta}} > 0 \quad (30)$$

For $k \geq 1$ we consider subword $\underbrace{A \dots A}_{k \text{ times}} B$ and use the notation defined before.

Suppose that

$$q_{l+1} \leq q_l^g. \quad (31)$$

Then we have

$$\theta^k > \sigma S_k \quad (32)$$

and

$$q_{\nu_k+1} \gg q_{\nu_k}^{f_k}, \quad \text{where} \quad f_k = \frac{\theta^{k-1} - \sigma S_{k-1}}{\theta^k - \sigma S_k}. \quad (33)$$

Proof. We proceed by induction in k .

First we verify the base of induction for $k = 1$.

From $\nu_1 < \nu_0$ and (22),(31) we deduce

$$q_{\nu_1+1} \leq q_{\nu_0} \leq q_l^{-1+\theta g}. \quad (34)$$

Using (28) and (31), one gets

$$1 \ll q_{\nu_1}^{-\lambda} q_{\nu_1+1}^{1-\lambda} q_l^{-1+(1-\lambda)g}.$$

As the exponent of q_l in this expression is negative, one may use (34) to eliminate q_l from this estimate. Since

$$1 - (1 - \lambda)g = \sigma\lambda(\theta g - 1)$$

by (30), this yields

$$1 \ll q_{\nu_1}^{-\lambda} q_{\nu_1+1}^{1-\lambda-\sigma\lambda}$$

and so

$$q_{\nu_1+1}^{\theta-\sigma} \gg q_{\nu_1}.$$

As l and thus ν_1 can be chosen arbitrary large, this implies that $\theta > \sigma$ and $q_{\nu_1+1} \gg q_{\nu_1}^{f_1}$. So we have checked (32) and (33) for $k = 1$.

The induction step can be treated in the same way. Using (28), (31) and (34), one gets

$$1 \ll q_{\nu_{k+1}}^{-\lambda} q_{\nu_{k+1}+1}^{1-\lambda} q_{\nu_0}^{-\sigma\lambda}.$$

By inductive assumption

$$q_{\nu_i+1} \geq q_{\nu_i}^{f_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k$$

and so as

$$q_{\nu_i+1} \leq q_{\nu_{i-1}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k,$$

we get

$$q_{\nu_k} \leq q_{\nu_0}^{\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^k f_j}}. \quad (35)$$

Also by induction we have $\theta^k > \sigma S_k$. So f_1, \dots, f_k are defined through (33) and the estimate (35) simplifies to

$$q_{\nu_0} \gg q_{\nu_k}^{\frac{1}{\theta^k - \sigma S_k}}.$$

We take into account the inequality $q_{\nu_{k+1}+1} \leq q_{\nu_k}$ and deduce that

$$q_{\nu_{k+1}} \ll q_{\nu_{k+1}+1}^{\frac{\theta - \frac{\sigma}{\theta^k - \sigma S_{k+1}}}{\theta^k - \sigma S_k}} = q_{\nu_{k+1}+1}^{\frac{\theta^{k+1} - \sigma S_{k+1}}{\theta^k - \sigma S_k}}.$$

As l and thus ν_{k+1} can be chosen arbitrary large, this implies that $\theta^{k+1} > \sigma S_{k+1}$ and $q_{\nu_{k+1}+1} \gg q_{\nu_{k+1}}^{f_{k+1}}$. □

Remark 5. The functions f_k defined in (33) satisfy the nice recursive formulas

$$f_k = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\lambda} - \theta f_{k-1}}, \quad k \geq 2, \quad (36)$$

so

$$f_k = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\lambda} - \dots - \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{\theta}{\theta - \sigma}}}}}$$

(here $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ occurs $k - 1$ times).

Now we finish with the proof of Theorem 1. We can suppose that (31) holds with $g < \frac{1}{1-\lambda}$, otherwise $\frac{\omega}{\omega} \geq \frac{1}{1-\lambda}$ and there is nothing to prove. By Lemma 2 we deduce (33).

Now we use (7), (30) and (33) to see that equation (12) which determines the values of \mathbf{g}_k can be written as

$$f_k(g, \lambda) = g.$$

This means that

$$\text{either } q_{l+1} \gg q_l^{\mathbf{g}_k} \quad \text{or} \quad q_{\nu_{k+1}} \gg q_{\nu_k}^{\mathbf{g}_k}.$$

and (3) gives the bound $\frac{\omega}{\varepsilon} \geq \mathbf{g}_k$ and Theorem 1 is proved. □

6 Inductive construction for Theorem 2: $k = 1$

To deal with the proof of Theorem 2 in the case $k = 1$, we need more parameters. Define

$$\mathfrak{g}_{1,0} = \frac{1}{\theta \mathfrak{g}_1 - 1} = \frac{\mathfrak{g}_1 - \lambda}{(1 - \lambda)\mathfrak{g}_1} = \frac{1 - \lambda - \frac{\lambda}{\mathfrak{g}_1}}{1 - (1 - \lambda)\mathfrak{g}_1} \quad (37)$$

where equalities follow from the equation (10) which determines the root \mathfrak{g}_1 .

Note that for $\lambda \in (\frac{1}{3}, 1)$ one has

$$1 \leq \mathfrak{g}_{1,0} \leq \mathfrak{g}_1. \quad (38)$$

Indeed, from Lemma 1 we see that $\mathfrak{g}_1 < \frac{2}{\theta}$, and this together with the definition (37) gives $\mathfrak{g}_{1,0} > 1$. To explain the second inequality from (38) we recall that by Lemma 1 one has $\mathfrak{g}_1 \geq G(\lambda)$ where $G(\lambda)$ is the unique positive root of the equation $\theta x^2 = x + 1$. This means that $\theta \mathfrak{g}_1^2 \geq \mathfrak{g}_1 + 1$, or $(\theta \mathfrak{g}_1 - 1)\mathfrak{g}_1 \geq 1$, and the right inequality from (38) follows.

We construct $\alpha \in [-1, 1]^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that for any j , its best approximation vectors $\mathbf{z}_j = (q_j, a_{1,j}, a_{2,j}, a_{3,j})$ satisfy

$$\xi_j \asymp q_{j+1}^{-\lambda} \quad (39)$$

and moreover for any ν , the five successive best approximation vectors

$$\mathbf{z}_{2\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+1}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3} \quad (40)$$

satisfy the conditions (i) - (vii) below. For \mathbf{z}_j we consider a shortened vector $\underline{\mathbf{z}}_j = (a_{1,j}, a_{2,j}, a_{3,j})$. We denote by

$$\mathbf{Z}_j = q_j^{-1} \underline{\mathbf{z}}_j = \left(\frac{a_{1,j}}{q_j}, \frac{a_{2,j}}{q_j}, \frac{a_{3,j}}{q_j} \right) \in \mathbb{Q}^3$$

the corresponding rational vector.

As we are constructing vector α from the cube $[0, 1]^3$ we may assume that and the approximating vectors $\mathbf{z} = (q, a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ from the proof below, including the best approximation vectors, satisfy the inequality

$$\max_{j=1,2,3} \left| \alpha_j - \frac{a_j}{q} \right| \leq 1$$

and so

$$q \leq |\mathbf{z}| \leq 4q. \quad (41)$$

We say that vectors

$$\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \quad (42)$$

form a *primitive triple* if

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}^4 \cap \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}},$$

that is vectors (42) form a basis of the three-dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}^4 \cap \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Recall that for a vector ζ in \mathbb{R}^3 or \mathbb{R}^4 we use the notation $|\zeta|$ for the Euclidean norm. For two successive vectors \mathbf{z}_j and \mathbf{z}_{j+1} we consider the value

$$\zeta_j = \left| \mathbf{z}_j - \frac{q_j}{q_{j+1}} \mathbf{z}_{j+1} \right| = q_j |\mathbf{Z}_j - \mathbf{Z}_{j+1}|.$$

For three points $\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}' \neq \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}'' \neq \mathbf{Z} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we define $\angle \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{Z}''$ to be the (non-oriented) angle between non-zero vectors $\mathbf{Z}' - \mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{Z}'' - \mathbf{Z}$.

The desired conditions for the best approximations vectors \mathbf{z}_j are as follows:

- (i) vectors $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+1}$, as well as vectors $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+1}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$ form primitive triples;
- (ii) with some integers a_ν and b_ν we have $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2} = \mathbf{z}_{2\nu-1} + a_\nu \mathbf{z}_{2\nu} + b_\nu \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+1}$ and so $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+1}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2}$ form a primitive triple;
- (iii) vectors $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+1}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$ (and so vectors $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+1}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$) form a basis of the lattice \mathbb{Z}^4 ;
- (iv) $q_{2j+1} \asymp q_{2j}^{\mathfrak{g}_1}$, $\zeta_{2j} \asymp q_{2j+1}^{-\lambda} \asymp q_{2j}^{-\lambda \mathfrak{g}_1}$, $j = \nu, \nu + 1$;
- (v) $q_{2j+2} \asymp q_{2j+1}^{\mathfrak{g}_{1,0}}$, $\zeta_{2j+1} \asymp q_{2j+2}^{-\lambda} \asymp q_{2j+1}^{-\lambda \mathfrak{g}_{1,0}}$, $j = \nu - 1, \nu$, and $\zeta_{2j+3} \asymp q_{2j+4}^{-\lambda} \asymp q_{2j+3}^{-\lambda \mathfrak{g}_{1,0}}$;
- (vi) the angle between rational three-dimensional vectors $\mathbf{Z}_{2\nu+1} - \mathbf{Z}_{2\nu+3}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_{2\nu+2} - \mathbf{Z}_{2\nu+3}$ is greater than $\frac{\pi}{4}$ and less than $\frac{3\pi}{4}$, that is

$$\frac{\pi}{4} < \angle \mathbf{Z}_{2\nu+1} \mathbf{Z}_{2\nu+3} \mathbf{Z}_{2\nu+2} < \frac{3\pi}{4};$$

(vii) for the fundamental volume Δ of three-dimensional lattice

$$\Gamma = \langle \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+1}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}^4 \cap \langle \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+1}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$$

we have

$$\Delta \asymp \zeta_{2\nu+1} \zeta_{2\nu+2} q_{2\nu+3}. \quad (43)$$

Remark 6. Here in conditions (iv), (vi), (vii), in inequality (39) and in the sequel constants in signs \ll, \asymp may depend on λ (and later on k) but they do not depend on ν , that is for all values of ν the constants are the same. So the constants here do not affect the asymptotic behaviour of the ratios $\frac{\log q_{j+1}}{\log q_j}$ and hence the exponents ω and $\hat{\omega}$.

If we construct α for which the sequence of the best approximation vectors \mathbf{z}_j satisfy the conditions above, we prove Theorem 2 for $k = 1$. Indeed, as

$$\mathbf{Z}_j \rightarrow \alpha, \quad \frac{\xi_j}{\zeta_j} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{when } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

from the conditions (iv,v) we see that $q_{2j+1} \asymp q_{2j}^{\mathfrak{g}_1}$, $q_{2j+2} \asymp q_{2j+1}^{\mathfrak{g}_{1,0}}$ and (39) hold for every j , and the inequality $\mathfrak{g}_{1,0} \leq \mathfrak{g}_1$ gives us $\frac{\omega(\alpha)}{\hat{\omega}(\alpha)} = \mathfrak{g}_1$. Meanwhile, the conditions (i,ii) and (iii) show that the sequence of patterns for α is just the periodic sequence $ABABABAB \dots$.

Vector α is constructed as a result of a certain inductive procedure and conditions (vi, vii) are necessary to proceed with inductive step.

Now we define the *angular neighbourhood*

$$\mathcal{U}_j = \{\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Z}_j| \leq q_j^{-u_j} \text{ and } \frac{\pi}{4} < \angle \mathbf{Z}_{j-1} \mathbf{Z}_j \mathbf{X} < \frac{\pi}{2}\},$$

where

$$u_j = \begin{cases} 1 + \lambda \mathfrak{g}_{1,0}, & j \text{ odd}, \\ 1 + \lambda \mathfrak{g}_1, & j \text{ even}. \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

For a point $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we consider the ball

$$\mathcal{W}_j(\mathbf{Y}) = \{\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}| \leq \frac{1}{10} \cdot q_j^{-u_j}\}.$$

The neighborhoods under consideration are shown on the Fig. 1 below.




Fig. 1: Angular domain \mathcal{U}_j and the neighborhood \mathcal{W}_j inside, section by subspace S ; inequality for angles $\frac{\pi}{2} - o(1) = \angle \mathbf{Z}_{j-1} \mathbf{X}'' \mathbf{Z}_j < \angle \mathbf{Z}_{j-1} \mathbf{X}_j \mathbf{Z}_j < \angle \mathbf{Z}_{j-1} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{Z}_j < \angle \mathbf{Z}_{j-1} \mathbf{Z}_j \mathbf{Z}' = \frac{3\pi}{4}$.

Lemma 3. For any affine plane S of \mathbb{R}^3 such that $\mathbf{Z}_{j-1}, \mathbf{Z}_j \in S$, there exists $\mathbf{X}_j \in \mathcal{U}_j \cap S$ such that

$$\mathcal{W}_j = \mathcal{W}_j(\mathbf{X}_j) \subset \mathcal{U}_j \quad (45)$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}_j \cap \mathcal{W}_j(\mathbf{Z}_j) = \emptyset. \quad (46)$$

Moreover if we suppose that j is large enough and \mathcal{W}_{j-1} satisfies (46) with j replaced by $j-1$ and

$$\mathbf{Z}_j \in \mathcal{W}_{j-1}, \quad (47)$$

then for any $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{W}_j$ the angle between vectors $\mathbf{Z}_j - \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_{j-1} - \mathbf{X}$ is greater than $\frac{\pi}{4}$ and less than $\frac{3\pi}{4}$.

Proof. To get (45) we simply need to take a unit vector \mathbf{e} orthogonal to the unit vector $\mathbf{e}' = \frac{\mathbf{Z}_{j-1} - \mathbf{Z}_j}{|\mathbf{Z}_{j-1} - \mathbf{Z}_j|}$ and such that $\mathbf{Z}_j + \mathbf{e} \in S$ and take

$$\mathbf{X}_j = \frac{1}{2} \cdot q_j^{-u_j} \mathbf{e} + \frac{1}{4} \cdot q_j^{-u_j} \mathbf{e}'.$$

Then (45) and (46) follow from triangle inequality. The condition on the angle between $\mathbf{Z}_j - \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_{j-1} - \mathbf{X}$ can be established as follows. From (47) and the growth conditions (iv,v) we see that in the triangle $\mathbf{XZ}_j\mathbf{Z}_{j-1}$ the length of the side $\mathbf{Z}_j\mathbf{Z}_{j-1}$ is much larger than the length of the side \mathbf{XZ}_j and so the angle between $\mathbf{Z}_j - \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_{j-1} - \mathbf{X}$ is in the interval between $\frac{\pi}{2} - o(1)$ and $\frac{3\pi}{4}$ (see Fig. 1). \square

We need further notation. Consider the affine subspace

$$\mathbb{R}_1^3 = \{(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : x_0 = 1\}. \quad (48)$$

For a point $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, X_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we define the point $\overline{\mathbf{X}} = (1, X_1, X_2, X_3) \in \mathbb{R}_1^3$. For any set $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ we define

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}} = \{\overline{\mathbf{X}} : \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset \mathbb{R}_1^3.$$

Of course we can apply Lemma 3 to the similar objects in affine subspace \mathbb{R}_1^3 instead of \mathbb{R}^3 .

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on an inductive construction. Starting from an integer vector

$$\mathbf{z}_1 = (q_1, a_{1,1}, a_{1,2}, a_{1,3}) \quad \text{with large } q_1$$

we construct a sequence of integer vectors $\mathbf{z}_\nu, \nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ with (39) such that any collection (40) of successive vectors satisfy conditions (i) - (vii), neighborhoods form a nested sequence

$$\mathcal{U}_1 \supset \mathcal{W}_1 \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{U}_{\nu-1} \supset \mathcal{W}_{\nu-1} \supset \mathcal{U}_\nu \supset \mathcal{W}_\nu \supset \dots$$

with non-empty intersection and for every $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathcal{U}_{2\nu+3}$ the collection (40) will be a set of five successive best approximation vectors. Then for the unique point of the intersection $\cap_\nu \mathcal{U}_\nu$ the sequence $\mathbf{z}_\nu, \nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ will be the sequence of *all best approximation vectors starting from \mathbf{z}_1* . In our construction we are not interested in the best approximation vectors before \mathbf{z}_1 . Limit vector $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ will satisfy the desired conditions. For our purpose it is important to carry out the construction with a given large value of q_1 , and so we should take care on the constants in signs \asymp and \ll our inductive proof. We emphasize here that all the constants in these signs involved in our process do not depend neither on q_1 nor on the number ν of the inductive step.

To start the inductive process we construct three first vectors $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \mathbf{z}_3$ satisfying all the necessary conditions. This will be done in Section 7 below. Then we start an inductive step which for given vectors $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+1}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$ constructs two next vectors $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+5}$. This will be done in Section 9 below. Namely we will explain there how for given collection (40) satisfying (i) - (vii) and for given neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_{2\nu+3}$ satisfying the inductive assumption one can construct the collection for the next value of ν satisfying the similar properties and the next neighborhoods satisfying all the necessary conditions. We will describe the construction of the vectors $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+5}$ and to verify all the properties of these vectors and the corresponding neighborhoods $\mathcal{U}_{2\nu+4} \supset \mathcal{U}_{2\nu+5}$. This procedure corresponds to a general concept of *going down* and *going up*.

7 Base of induction

Here we explain how to construct the first three vectors of our inductive procedure. We need the standard Davenport-type argument (see [2], Ch. I, §2.4) which we explain in the next subsection. Then in Subsection 7.2 we describe the construction itself.

7.1 Primitive vectors

An integer vector $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ is called *primitive* if $\text{g.c.d.}(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) = 1$. We define a couple of integer vectors $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ or a triple of integer vectors $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ to be *primitive* if it can be completed by integer vectors to a basis of the whole lattice \mathbb{Z}^4 , that is

$$\langle \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} = \langle \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^4 \quad \text{or} \quad \langle \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} = \langle \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^4.$$

Lemma 4. *There exists an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that for any point $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ with $|\boldsymbol{\beta}| \geq 3$ there exists an integer point $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ such that*

$$|\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{b}| \leq c \cdot \frac{\log |\boldsymbol{\beta}|}{\log \log |\boldsymbol{\beta}|} \quad (49)$$

and the triple

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0), \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0), \quad \mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$$

is primitive. Obviously, the couple \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{b} will be primitive also.

Proof. By Erdős theorem (see formula (3) from [4] and discussion in [11]) there exists absolute constant $c > 0$ such that there exists a primitive point

$$(b_3^*, b_4^*) \in \mathbb{Z}^2, \quad \text{g.c.d.}(b_3^*, b_4^*) = 1$$

with

$$\max_{j=3,4} |\beta_j - b_j^*| < \frac{c}{2} \cdot \frac{\log |\boldsymbol{\beta}|}{\log \log |\boldsymbol{\beta}|}.$$

Put $\mathbf{b}^* = (0, 0, b_3^*, b_4^*)$. Then the triple

$$\mathbf{e}_1, \quad \mathbf{e}_2, \quad b_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + b_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{b}^*$$

is primitive for all integers $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is clear that we can choose b_1, b_2 to satisfy (49). \square

Lemma 5. *Let $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ be a primitive integer vector and*

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4), \quad \boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4$$

be such that for their norms we have the bounds

$$|\mathbf{e}| \geq 3, \quad \frac{|\boldsymbol{\beta}| \log \log |\boldsymbol{\beta}|}{\log |\boldsymbol{\beta}|} \geq 200c|\mathbf{e}|, \quad \frac{|\boldsymbol{\gamma}| \log \log |\boldsymbol{\gamma}|}{\log |\boldsymbol{\gamma}|} \geq 2000c|\boldsymbol{\beta}|, \quad (50)$$

(here constant c is defined in Lemma 4). Then there exist integer vectors

$$\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4), \quad \mathbf{g} = (g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$$

such that the triple $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}$ is primitive and

$$|\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{f}| \leq \frac{|\boldsymbol{\beta}|}{10}, \quad |\boldsymbol{\gamma} - \mathbf{g}| \leq \frac{|\boldsymbol{\gamma}|}{10} \quad (51)$$

Proof. Vector $\mathbf{e}_1 = \mathbf{e}$ can be completed by three vectors $\mathbf{e}_j = (e_{1,j}, e_{2,j}, e_{3,j}, e_{4,j}) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ to a basis of the whole lattice \mathbb{Z}^4 in such a way that

$$\max_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4} |e_{i,j}| \leq 8|\mathbf{e}|. \quad (52)$$

Then

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \sum_{j=1}^4 \beta'_j \mathbf{e}_j, \quad \text{with } \beta'_j \in \mathbb{R},$$

and by (52) and Cramer theorem we get the upper bound.

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq 4} |\beta'_j| \leq \mathfrak{b} = 200|\boldsymbol{\beta}| \cdot |\mathbf{e}|^3. \quad (53)$$

Now we use Lemma 4 to complete the vector $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}_1$ to a primitive couple \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f} with

$$\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) = \sum_{j=1}^4 b'_j \mathbf{e}_j, \quad |\beta'_j - b'_j| \leq c \cdot \frac{\log \mathfrak{b}}{\log \log \mathfrak{b}}. \quad (54)$$

But $\mathbf{f} - \boldsymbol{\beta} = \sum_{j=1}^4 (b'_j - \beta'_j) \mathbf{e}_j$ and so formulas (52,53,54) and (50) give us

$$|\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{f}| \leq 16|\mathbf{e}| \cdot c \cdot \frac{\log \mathfrak{b}}{\log \log \mathfrak{b}} \leq 20c \cdot |\mathbf{e}| \cdot \frac{\log |\boldsymbol{\beta}|}{\log \log |\boldsymbol{\beta}|} \leq \frac{|\boldsymbol{\beta}|}{10},$$

and this is the first inequality from (51). We should note that $|\mathbf{f}| \leq 2|\boldsymbol{\beta}|$.

Then we consider primitive couple $\mathbf{e}_1^* = \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}_2^* = \mathbf{f}$ and complete it to a basis of the whole lattice \mathbb{Z}^4 by two vectors $\mathbf{e}_j^* = (e_{1,j}, e_{2,j}, e_{3,j}, e_{4,j}) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$, $j = 3, 4$ with

$$\max_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4} |e_{i,j}^*| \leq 4 \max(|\mathbf{e}|, |\mathbf{f}|) \leq 8|\boldsymbol{\beta}|. \quad (55)$$

Now

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma} = \sum_{j=1}^4 \gamma_j' \mathbf{e}_j^*, \quad \text{with } \gamma_j' \in \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq 4} |\gamma_j'| \leq \mathfrak{c} = 1000|\boldsymbol{\gamma}||\boldsymbol{\beta}|^3. \quad (56)$$

Now we use Lemma 4 to complete the primitive couple $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}_1^*, \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{e}_2^*$ by vector

$$\mathbf{g} = (g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4) = \sum_{j=1}^4 c_j' \mathbf{e}_j^*, \quad \max_{1 \leq j \leq 4} |\gamma_j' - c_j'| \leq c \cdot \frac{\log \mathfrak{c}}{\log \log \mathfrak{c}}. \quad (57)$$

to a primitive triple $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}$. By (55,56,57) and (50) we deduce upper bound for from (51)

□

7.2 The initial triple $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \mathbf{z}_3$

We take arbitrary primitive integer vector $\mathbf{z}_1 = (q_1, a_{1,1}, a_{1,2}, a_{1,3})$ with $q_1 \geq 1$ and $\max_{1 \leq j \leq 3} |a_{1,j}| \leq \frac{q_1}{2}$ and consider the corresponding rational point $\mathbf{Z}_1 = \left(\frac{a_{1,1}}{q_1}, \frac{a_{1,2}}{q_1}, \frac{a_{1,3}}{q_1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. We take arbitrary point $\mathbf{X}' = (X'_1, X'_2, X'_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that the distance between \mathbf{Z}_1 and \mathbf{X} is

$$|\mathbf{X}' - \mathbf{Z}_1| = q_1^{-(1+\lambda \mathfrak{g}_{1,0})}. \quad (58)$$

Then we take a point $\mathbf{X}'' = (X''_1, X''_2, X''_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ to satisfy the conditions

$$|\mathbf{X}'' - \mathbf{X}'| = q_1^{-\mathfrak{g}_{1,0}(1+\lambda \mathfrak{g}_1)} \quad (59)$$

and

$$\angle \mathbf{Z}_1 \mathbf{X}'' \mathbf{X}' = \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (60)$$

We want to apply Lemma 5 for the vectors

$$\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{z}_1, \quad \boldsymbol{\beta} = q_1^{\mathfrak{g}_{1,0}} \overline{\mathbf{X}'}, \quad \boldsymbol{\gamma} = q_1^{\mathfrak{g}_{1,0} \mathfrak{g}_1} \overline{\mathbf{X}''}.$$

It is clear that for large values of q_1 conditions (50) will be satisfied. So Lemma 5 gives us a primitive triple

$$\mathbf{z}_1 = \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{z}_2 = \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{z}_3 = \mathbf{g}$$

satisfying (51). From the choice of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and (51) we see that

$$\frac{q_1^{\mathfrak{g}_{1,0}}}{2} \leq q_2 \leq 2q_1^{\mathfrak{g}_{1,0}}, \quad \frac{q_1^{\mathfrak{g}_{1,0} \mathfrak{g}_1}}{2} \leq q_3 \leq 2q_1^{\mathfrak{g}_{1,0} \mathfrak{g}_1}. \quad (61)$$

First of all, in the construction described we can take q_1 to be arbitrary large. Then we check all the conditions from (i) - (vii) which deal just with three vectors $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \mathbf{z}_3$. Let us mention them below.

- 1) Conditions (ii) and (iii) are not applicable to triple $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \mathbf{z}_3$.
- 2) Condition (i) is satisfied as vectors $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \mathbf{z}_3$ form a primitive triple.
- 3) Conditions (iv) and (v) turn into $q_3 \asymp q_2^{\mathfrak{g}_1}, \zeta_2 = q_2 |\mathbf{Z}_2 - \mathbf{Z}_3| \asymp q_3^{-\lambda}$ and. They follow from (61) for the denominators, and from (58,59) and (51) for the approximation.
- 4) Condition (vi) follows from (60) and the inequalities (51) for the approximation.
- 5) As for the condition (vii) which gives upper and lower bounds (43) for the fundamental volume of the lattice $\langle \mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \mathbf{z}_3 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$, the upper bound of the type (24) while the lower bound immediately follows from the condition (vi) on the angle and Lemma 6 below.

Lemma 6. Consider the three-dimensional parallelepiped

$$\Pi = \{\mathbf{z} = \lambda_1 \mathfrak{z}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathfrak{z}_2 + \lambda_3 \mathfrak{z}_3, \ 0 \leq \lambda_j \leq 1\}$$

generated by linearly independent vectors $\mathfrak{z}_j = (q_j, a_{1,j}, a_{2,j}, a_{3,j}) \in \mathbb{R}^4$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. Let $\mathfrak{z}_j = \left(\frac{a_{1,j}}{q_1}, \frac{a_{2,j}}{q_2}, \frac{a_{3,j}}{q_3}\right)$ be the corresponding points on \mathbb{R}^3 . Then

$$\text{Vol } \Pi \gg q_1 q_2 q_3 \cdot |\mathfrak{z}_1 - \mathfrak{z}_3| \cdot |\mathfrak{z}_2 - \mathfrak{z}_3| \cdot \sin \varphi$$

where φ is the angle between $\mathfrak{z}_1 - \mathfrak{z}_3$ and $\mathfrak{z}_2 - \mathfrak{z}_3$.

Proof. We consider linear transformation \mathcal{G} such that

$$\mathcal{G}\mathfrak{z}_j = \bar{\mathfrak{z}}_j = \left(1, \frac{a_{1,j}}{q_1}, \frac{a_{2,j}}{q_2}, \frac{a_{3,j}}{q_3}\right), \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Then

$$\text{Vol } \mathcal{G}\Pi = \frac{1}{q_1 q_2 q_3} \text{Vol } \Pi.$$

But $\mathcal{G}\Pi$ contains simplex with vertices $\mathbf{0}, \bar{\mathfrak{z}}_1, \bar{\mathfrak{z}}_2, \bar{\mathfrak{z}}_3$ whose volume is greater than

$$\frac{|\bar{\mathfrak{z}}_1 - \bar{\mathfrak{z}}_3| \cdot |\bar{\mathfrak{z}}_2 - \bar{\mathfrak{z}}_3| \cdot \sin \varphi}{6}.$$

Lemma is proven. \square

To conclude this subsection we should outline that all the constants in signs \asymp in conclusion 3) do not depend on q_1 because the constants in Lemma 5 are absolute. Also for the conclusion 5) and the constants in the condition (vii), they also do not depend on q_1 , because (24) deals with absolute constants and the constants in Lemma 6 are also absolute.

8 Two- and three-dimensional lattices

We consider the two-dimensional subspace

$$L = \langle \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$$

the two-dimensional lattice

$$\Lambda = \langle \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}^4 \cap L \subset L$$

as well as the three-dimensional subspace

$$G = \langle \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+1}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$$

and the lattice Γ defined in (vii). Let d be the two-dimensional fundamental volume of lattice Λ and Δ be the three-dimensional fundamental volume of lattice Γ defined in condition (vii). Then

$$d \asymp \zeta_{2\nu+2} q_{2\nu+3} \asymp q_{2\nu+3}^{1-\lambda}, \quad \Delta \asymp \zeta_{2\nu+1} \zeta_{2\nu+2} q_{2\nu+3} \asymp q_{2\nu+2}^{-\lambda} q_{2\nu+3}^{1-\lambda} \asymp q_{2\nu+3}^{1-\lambda-\frac{\lambda}{q_1}}, \quad \frac{\Delta}{d} \asymp q_{2\nu+2}^{-\lambda}. \quad (62)$$

We need to consider the affine plane

$$L_1 = L + \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+1} \subset G$$

and the affine lattice

$$\Lambda_1 = \Lambda + \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+1} \subset \Gamma.$$

We should note that the Euclidean distance between the parallel planes L and L_1 is equal to $\frac{\Delta}{d}$.

Also we should deal with three-dimensional affine subspace

$$G_1 = G + \mathbf{z}_{2\nu} \subset \mathbb{R}^4$$

and the affine lattice

$$\Gamma_1 = \Gamma + \mathbf{z}_{2\nu} \subset \mathbb{Z}^4.$$

The Euclidean distance between G and G_1 is equal to $\frac{1}{\Delta}$.

The parallelogram

$$\mathcal{P} = \{\mathbf{z} = (x, y_1, y_2, y_3) = (x, \mathbf{y}) \in L : 0 \leq x \leq q_{2\nu+3}, |\mathbf{y} - x\mathbf{Z}_{2\nu+3}| \leq \zeta_{2\nu+2}\} \subset L$$

contains integer points $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3} - \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2}$ and hence a fundamental domain of the lattice Λ , while the cylinder

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\mathbf{z} = (x, y_1, y_2, y_3) = (x, \mathbf{y}) \in G : 0 \leq x \leq q_{2\nu+1} + q_{2\nu+2} + q_{2\nu+3}, |\mathbf{y} - x\mathbf{Z}_{2\nu+3}| \leq 3\zeta_{2\nu+1}\} \supset$$

$$\supset \{\mathbf{z} = (x, y_1, y_2, y_3) = \lambda_1 \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+1} + \lambda_2 \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2} + \lambda_3 \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}, \ 0 \leq \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \leq 1\}$$

contains a fundamental domain of the lattice Γ .

9 Step of induction

In the proof of Theorem 2 for case $k = 1$, conditions (vi,v) and the exponents \mathbf{g}_1 and $\mathbf{g}_{1,0}$ defined in Lemma 1 and (37) play a crucial role.

Now we assume that the vectors $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+1}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$ are constructed. We should construct vector $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}$ (**Stage 1**) and vector $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+5}$ (**Stage 2**). Moreover, for the collection (40) we assume that it satisfies (i) - (vii); also we suppose that for every $\xi \in \mathcal{U}_{2\nu+3}$ the collection (40) contains of just successive five best approximation to ξ .

9.1 Stage 1

First, we construct integer vector $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}$. For $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$ Lemma 3 applied in \mathbb{R}_1^3 with $S = \mathbb{R}_1^3 \cap G$ gives us the point $X_{2\nu+3}$ and the neighborhood $\mathcal{W}_{2\nu+3}$.

Then we consider the central projection \mathfrak{P}_1 with center $\mathbf{0}$ onto the affine subspace L_1 , that is for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_1^3$ its image (if it exists) is defined as intersection of the line $\text{span } \mathbf{x}$ with subspace L_1 . So \mathfrak{P}_1 is a map from $S \setminus L$ to L_1 .




Fig. 2: To Lemma 4: small ellipse at the left side close to the point $\bar{\mathbf{z}}_{2\nu+3}$ is the neighborhood $\bar{\mathcal{W}}_{2\nu+3} \subset \mathbb{R}_1^3$ with center $\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{2\nu+3}$ and the large ellipse at the right side is the image $\mathfrak{P}_1(\bar{\mathcal{W}}_{2\nu+3}) \subset L_1$.

Lemma 7. . Suppose that q_1 is large enough. Then the image $\mathfrak{P}_1(\bar{\mathcal{W}}_{2\nu+3})$ contains a shift of a fundamental domain of lattice Λ .

Proof. The geometry of the proof is illustrated on Fig. 2.

Consider the unit vector $\mathbf{e}_0 = \frac{\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}}{|\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}|}$ and the unit vector $\mathbf{e}_1 \in G, |\mathbf{e}_1| = 1$ which is orthogonal to L such that $\frac{\Delta}{d}\mathbf{e}_1 \in L_1$. We also need a unit vector $\mathbf{e}_2 = (0, u, v, w) \in L$ which belongs to subspace L , and such that both vectors \mathbf{e}_2 and $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2}$ lie in the same half-subspace of L with respect to the one-dimensional subspace $\langle \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \subset L$. Unit vectors $\mathbf{e}_j, j = 0, 1, 2$ are defined uniquely by the conditions above.

As $\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{2\nu+3} \in S \subset G$ the image $\mathbf{z} = \mathfrak{P}_1(\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{2\nu+3}) \in L_1$ is well defined and satisfies

$$\mathbf{z} = r\mathbf{e}_0 + s\mathbf{e}_1 + t\mathbf{e}_2. \quad (63)$$

Calculations show that

$$r \asymp \frac{\Delta}{d} \cdot q_{2\nu+3}^{1+\lambda\mathbf{g}_{1,0}}, \quad s = \frac{\Delta}{d}, \quad t \asymp \frac{\Delta}{d}, \quad (64)$$

and the constant in sign \asymp are absolute and do not depend on the values of q_j . We will write down explicit constants in the exposition below.

Indeed, the equality for s from (64) follows from the fact that the unit vector $\mathbf{e}_2 \in G$ is orthogonal to L and the distance between the subspaces L and L_1 is equal to $\frac{\Delta}{d}$. By the way, the distance between the subspaces L and L_1 is just the distance from the point \mathbf{z} to the subspace L . Now we define parameters r_*, s_*, t_* by

$$\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{2\nu+3} = r_*\mathbf{e}_0 + s_*\mathbf{e}_1 + t_*\mathbf{e}_2.$$

So, in particular s_* is the distance from the point $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{2\nu+3}$ to the subspace L . Then

$$\frac{r}{r_*} = \frac{s}{s_*} = \frac{t}{t_*}. \quad (65)$$

But by (41) we see that

$$q_{2\nu+3} \leq r_* = |\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}| \leq 4q_{2\nu+3}. \quad (66)$$

Now we consider the point of the form

$$\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{**} = (1, X^1, X^2, X^3) \in \mathbb{R}_1^3 \cap G$$

(recall that \mathbb{R}_1^3 is defined in (48)) which is the orthogonal projection of the point $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{2\nu+3} \in \mathbb{R}_1^3 \cap G$ onto the line $\mathbb{R}_1^3 \cap L \subset \mathbb{R}_1^3 \cap G$. We consider triangle $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{2\nu+3} \overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{2\nu+3} \overline{\mathbf{X}}_{**} \subset \mathbb{R}_1^3 \cap G$.

Let t_{**} be the distance between points $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{2\nu+3}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{**}$. By taking into account the angle φ_* between vectors $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3} = (q_{2\nu+3}, a_{1,2\nu+3}, a_{2,2\nu+3}, a_{3,2\nu+3})$ and $(1, 0, 0, 0)$, we see that

$$1 \geq \frac{t_*}{t_{**}} \geq \cos \varphi_* = \frac{q_{2\nu+3}}{|\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}|} \geq \frac{1}{4}. \quad (67)$$

Because of $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{2\nu+3} \in \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{2\nu+3} \subset \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{2\nu+3}$ we conclude (see Fig. 1) that

$$\frac{q_{2\nu+3}^{-u_{2\nu+3}}}{10} \leq t_{**} \leq q_{2\nu+3}^{-u_{2\nu+3}}. \quad (68)$$

Let s_{**} be the distance between points $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{2\nu+3}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{**}$. We see that

$$1 \geq \frac{s_*}{s_{**}} \geq \cos \varphi_* = \frac{q_{2\nu+3}}{|\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}|} \geq \frac{1}{4}, \quad (69)$$

by (41). Again, because of $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{2\nu+3} \in \overline{\mathcal{W}}_{2\nu+3} \subset \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{2\nu+3}$ we have

$$\frac{q_{2\nu+3}^{-u_{2\nu+3}}}{20} \leq \left(\left(\frac{1}{10} \sin(\pi/8) - \frac{1}{10} \right) \cdot \cos(\pi/8) - \frac{1}{10} \right) q_{2\nu+3}^{-u_{2\nu+3}} \leq s_{**} \leq q_{2\nu+3}^{-u_{2\nu+3}}. \quad (70)$$

Now (65) gives us $r = r_* \frac{s}{s_*} = \frac{\Delta}{d} \cdot \frac{r_*}{s_*}$ and inequalities (66,69,70) together with the definition (44) lead to the desired bound

$$\frac{\Delta}{d} \cdot q_{2\nu+3}^{1+\lambda \mathfrak{g}_{1,0}} \leq r \leq 320 \cdot \frac{\Delta}{d} \cdot q_{2\nu+3}^{1+\lambda \mathfrak{g}_{1,0}}.$$

Analogously, for t from (65,69,70,67,68) we deduce inequalities

$$\frac{1}{40} \cdot \frac{\Delta}{d} \leq t \leq 80 \cdot \frac{\Delta}{d}.$$

From (62) and (iv) and the definition (37) of $\mathfrak{g}_{1,0}$ we see that

$$r \asymp q_{2\nu+3}^{\mathfrak{g}_{1,0}}, \quad \text{meanwhile} \quad t \asymp q_{2\nu+2}^{-\lambda}, \quad (71)$$

with explicit absolute constants in signs \asymp . The image $\mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{P}_1(\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{2\nu+3})$ is an ellipse. The angle between the vector $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$ and the large major axis of this ellipse is $O(q_{2\nu+3}^{-u_{2\nu+3}})$. The length of the large major axis is $\asymp r$. The section $\{x_0 = r\}$ of the ellipse has length $\asymp t$. From (71) we see (as $q_{2\nu+3} > q_{2\nu+2} > q_1$, meanwhile q_1 is large enough), that the large axis of \mathfrak{E} is $\geq 4q_{2\nu+3}$ and the section $\{x_0 = r\}$ has length $\geq 4\xi_{2\nu+2}$. Calculations show that the vertices of the parallelogram $\mathbf{z} + \mathcal{P}$ belong to ellipse \mathfrak{E} . Then, by convexity

$$\mathbf{z} + \mathcal{P} \subset \mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{P}_1(\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{2\nu+3}).$$

Lemma is proven. \square

Recall that Λ is a two-dimensional lattice in the plane L . Now we consider the lattice $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda + \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+1}$ which belongs to the plane L_1 . By Lemma 4, $\mathfrak{P}_1(\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{2\nu+3})$ contains a shift of a fundamental domain of lattice Λ and lattice Λ_1 is congruent to Λ . So the image $\mathfrak{P}_1(\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{2\nu+3})$ contains an integer point. This is just the point $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4} = (q_{2\nu+4}, a_{1,2\nu+4}, a_{2,2\nu+4}, a_{3,2\nu+4})$ which we are constructing.

So we have defined the next point $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}$.

First of all we should note that, as $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4} \in \Lambda_1$, it is of the form

$$\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4} = \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+1} + a_{\nu+1}\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2} + b_{\nu+1}\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3} \quad (72)$$

with integers $a_{\nu+1}, b_{\nu+1}$ and we have (ii) for the next step. Then

$$\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4} \in \mathbf{z} + \mathcal{P},$$

where \mathbf{z} is of the form (63) and coefficients r, s, t satisfy (64). Moreover for any point of parallelogram \mathcal{P} its first coordinate is $O(q_{2\nu+3})$. So from (63) and (71) we see that

$$q_{2\nu+4} \asymp r \asymp q_{2\nu+3}^{\mathfrak{g}_{1,0}}$$

and this is just the new (second) inequality from the condition (v) for the next step.

The condition $\zeta_{2\nu+3} \asymp q_{2\nu+4}^{-\lambda}$ follows from the inclusion $\mathbf{Z}_{2\nu+3} \in \mathcal{W}_{2\nu+3} \subset \mathcal{U}_{2\nu+3}$ and our choice of parameters.

Note that the approximation $\zeta_{2\nu+4}$ is still not defined. It will be defined at the next **Stage 2** when we define the next vector $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+5}$.

It is clear from triangle inequality and the asymptotics for parameters (71) that $\mathcal{U}_{2\nu+4} \subset \mathcal{W}_{2\nu+3}$

Now we must explain why this point will be the next to $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$ best approximation for all points from the next neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_{2\nu+4}$ that is, for every $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathcal{U}_{2\nu+4}$ vectors $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}$ are successive best approximation vectors.

First of all we show that the best approximation vectors for $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ with $q_{2\nu+3} \leq q \leq q_{2\nu+4}$ should belong to the three-dimensional subspace G . Indeed, the whole lattice \mathbb{Z}^4 splits into three-dimensional affine sublattices

$$\Gamma_k = \Gamma + k\mathbf{z}_{2\nu} \subset G_k = G + k\mathbf{z}_{2\nu}$$

parallel to $\Gamma \subset G$. The distance between two parallel three-dimensional affine subspaces G_k and G_{k+1} is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\Delta} \asymp \zeta_{2\nu} \asymp q_{2\nu+1}^{-\lambda},$$

So, for any integer vector $\mathbf{w} = (q, \mathbf{a}) = (q, a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \setminus G$ one has

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}' \in G} |\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}'| \geq \frac{1}{\Delta}.$$

Now for $\mathbf{w} = (q, a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \setminus G$ with $q_{2\nu+3} \leq q \leq q_{2\nu+4}$ and for any $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathcal{U}_{2\nu+3}$ we get

$$|q\boldsymbol{\eta} - \mathbf{a}| \geq \min_{\mathbf{w}' \in G} |\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}'| - q \times \text{diam}(\mathcal{U}_{2\nu+3}) = \frac{1}{\Delta} - q \times \text{diam}(\mathcal{U}_{2\nu+3}),$$

where $\text{diam}(\mathcal{U}_{2\nu+3})$ is the diameter of the neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_{2\nu+3}$. But

$$q \times \text{diam}(\mathcal{U}_{2\nu+3}) \ll q_{2\nu+4} q_{2\nu+3}^{-1-\lambda\mathfrak{g}_{1,0}} \asymp q_{2\nu+3}^{-\lambda/\mathfrak{g}_1} \asymp q_{2\nu+2}^{-\lambda} = o(q_{2\nu+1}^{-\lambda}), \quad (73)$$

and so

$$|q\boldsymbol{\eta} - \mathbf{a}| > |q_{2\nu+3}\boldsymbol{\eta} - \mathbf{a}_{2\nu+3}|.$$

In the last formula we establish the sign $>$ but not \gg . The explanation is that in the previous estimate (73) the constants in the signs $\asymp, \ll, o(\cdot)$ do not depend on q_1 , meanwhile $q_{2\nu+1} \geq q_1$ and we take q_1 to be large enough. Meanwhile in G the only candidates to be new best approximation vectors in the range $q_{2\nu+3} \leq q \leq q_{2\nu+4}$ are $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4} - \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$ (there is no integer points in G in the strip between L and L_1 and the distances from vectors $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4} - \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$ to the linear subspace generated by $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}$ are equal). Vector $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}$ is a best approximation vector indeed, and $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4} - \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$ is not a best approximation vector as $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathcal{U}_{2\nu+4}$ and this angular neighborhood just separates $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ from $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4} - \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$. So the condition $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathcal{U}_{2\nu+4}$ ensures that the vector $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$ is closer than $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4} - \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$ to the line generated by $\boldsymbol{\eta}$, meanwhile $q_{2\nu+4} - q_{2\nu+3} > q_{2\nu+3}$.

Indeed, the points $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4} - \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$ form a parallelogram and so

$$|q_{2\nu+3}\overline{\mathbf{z}}_{2\nu+4} - \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}| = |q_{2\nu+3}\overline{\mathbf{z}}_{2\nu+4} - (\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4} - \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3})|.$$

But we take $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathcal{U}_{2\nu+4} \subset \mathcal{W}_{2\nu+3}$ (see Fig. 1) and so $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is "closer" to $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$ than to $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4} - \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$ and

$$|q_{2\nu+3}\boldsymbol{\eta} - \mathbf{a}_{2\nu+3}| < |(q_{2\nu+4} - q_{2\nu+3})\boldsymbol{\eta} - (\mathbf{a}_{2\nu+4} - \mathbf{a}_{2\nu+3})|.$$

We have established all the approximation properties for all the vectors $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathcal{U}_{2\nu+4}$.

9.2 Stage 2

Now we should construct vector $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+5}$ and establish all the necessary properties of points $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ in the new neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}_{2\nu+5}$.

First, we should note that by (i) for the second triple and (72), the triple $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}$ form a basis of the lattice Γ , while the quadruple $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}$ is a basis of \mathbb{Z}^4 (we take into account (iii)).

We should note that $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu} \notin G$. Together with three-dimensional linear subspace G we consider affine subspace $G_1 = G + \mathbf{z}_{2\nu}$. The Euclidean distance between parallel subspaces G and G_1 is equal to $\frac{1}{\Delta}$. We want to construct a new integer point $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+5}$ which belongs to the rational affine subspace G_1 . The subspace G_1 contains a three-dimensional integer lattice $G_1 \cap \mathbb{Z}^4$. This gives us certain freedom to choose the point $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+5}$. However it would be enough to localise the new point $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+5}$ close to a three-dimensional linear subspace S defined below.

We should apply Lemma 3. We use it for $j = 2\nu + 4$ and for points $\mathbf{z}_{\nu+4}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu+3}$. We choose S as follows. Let $G' \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ be three dimensional subspace with basis $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}, \mathbf{f}_1$, where $\mathbf{f}_1, |\mathbf{f}_1| = 1$ is the unit which is orthogonal to G and such that $\frac{1}{\Delta}\mathbf{f}_1 \in G_1$. We define $S = G' \cap \mathbb{R}_1^3$. Then Lemma 3 gives a point $\mathbf{X}_{2\nu+4}$ and a neighborhood $\mathcal{W}_{2\nu+4}$.

We consider the central projection \mathfrak{P}_2 with center $\mathbf{0}$ onto the affine subspace G_1 . So \mathfrak{P}_2 is a map from $\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus G$ to G_1 .

Lemma 8. *Suppose that q_1 is large enough. Then the image $\mathfrak{P}_2(\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{2\nu+4})$ contains a shift of a fundamental domain of lattice Γ .*

Proof. We consider the unit vector $\mathbf{f}_0 = \frac{\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}}{|\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}|}$ and the unit vector $\mathbf{f}_1, |\mathbf{f}_1| = 1$ which is orthogonal to G defined above. Again, we need a unit vector $\mathbf{f}_2 = (0, u, v, w) \in \langle \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that both vectors \mathbf{f}_2 and $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$ lie in the same half-subspace of the two-dimensional subspace $\langle \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ with respect to the one-dimensional subspace $\langle \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$. In particular, vectors \mathbf{f}_0 and \mathbf{f}_2 generate the same two-dimensional linear subspace as the vectors $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}$. This is convenient for our purposes. Vectors $\mathbf{f}_j, j = 0, 1, 2$ are defined uniquely by these conditions.

We consider the image $\mathbf{z}' = \mathfrak{P}_2(\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{2\nu+4})$ which is well-defined and for which we have

$$\mathbf{z}' = r'\mathbf{f}_0 + s'\mathbf{f}_1 + t'\mathbf{f}_2,$$

where

$$r' \asymp \frac{q_{2\nu+4}^{1+\lambda g_1}}{\Delta}, \quad s' = \frac{1}{\Delta}, \quad t' \asymp \frac{1}{\Delta}. \quad (74)$$

Note that as $\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{2\nu+4} \in S$, so does $\mathbf{z}' \in S$. From the last equality (37) we see that

$$r' \asymp q_{2\nu+4}^{g_1}. \quad (75)$$

The image $\mathfrak{P}_2(\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{2\nu+4})$ is an ellipsoid. Its larger major axis is $\asymp r'$ and is larger than $6q_{2\nu+4}$. The section $\{x_0 = r'\}$ of the ellipsoid is a ball of radius of order $\asymp s' = \frac{1}{\Delta} \asymp q_{2\nu+3}^{-1+\lambda+\frac{\lambda}{g_1}}$. From the right inequality from (16) we see that $\xi_{2\nu+1} \leq q_{2\nu+2}^{-\lambda} = o(s)$. So the radius of the section $\{x_0 = r'\}$ of the ellipsoid is $\geq 6\xi_{2\nu+1}$. By convexity

$$\mathfrak{P}_2(\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{2\nu+4}) \supset \mathbf{z}' + \mathcal{C},$$

and the lemma is proven as \mathcal{C} contains a fundamental domain of the lattice Γ . \square

From Lemma 8 we see that $\mathfrak{P}_2(\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{2\nu+4})$ contains a shift of a fundamental domain of the lattice Γ and the lattice Γ_1 is congruent to Γ . So $\mathfrak{P}_2(\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{2\nu+4})$ contains an integer point. This is just the point $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+5} = (q_{2\nu+5}, a_{1,2\nu+5}, a_{2,2\nu+5}, a_{3,2\nu+5})$ which we are constructing.

As we defined $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+5}$, we may consider the angular neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_{2\nu+5}$. From the inequalities on parameters (74) and triangle inequality we see that $\mathcal{U}_{2\nu+5} \subset \mathcal{W}_{2\nu+4}$. Moreover, it is clear from the definition of the angular neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_{2\nu+5}$ that for all $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathcal{U}_{2\nu+5}$ vectors $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+5}$ are successive best approximation vectors to $\boldsymbol{\eta}$.

Now we will verify the rest of conditions (i) - (vii).

It is clear that the triple $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+5}$ is primitive and both quadruples

$$\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+1}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+5} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+5}$$

form a basis of \mathbb{Z}^4 .

As for the value of r' we have inequality (75) and $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+5} \in \mathbf{z}' + \mathcal{C}$, we see that

$$q_{2\nu+5} \asymp q_{2\nu+4}^{g_1},$$

and we get (second) inequality from the condition (iv) for the next step.

So we have constructed two vectors $\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+5}$ which determine the next collection

$$\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+1}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+5},$$

and verified conditions (i) - (v).

Geometric condition (vi) for the angle between vectors $\mathbf{Z}_{2\nu+3} - \mathbf{Z}_{2\nu+5}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_{2\nu+4} - \mathbf{Z}_{2\nu+5}$ is valid because of Lemma 3 as we take $\mathbf{Z}_{2\nu+5} \in \mathcal{W}_{2\nu+4}$.

As for (vii), it immediately follows from (vi) by means Lemma 6 which we introduced in Subsection 7.2. Indeed, let us finish with (vii). Indeed, let Δ_* be the fundamental volume of the lattice

$$\Gamma_* = \langle \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+5} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}^4 \cap \langle \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+3}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+4}, \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+5} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

We apply Lemma 6 to the vectors $\mathbf{z}_j = \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2+j}$ and take into account (vi) together with the inequalities

$$|\mathbf{z}_j - \mathbf{z}_3| = |\mathbf{z}_{2\nu+2+j} - \mathbf{z}_{2\nu+5}| \asymp \frac{\zeta_{2\nu+2+j}}{q_{2\nu+2+j}}, \quad j = 1, 2$$

to obtain

$$\Delta_* \gg q_{2\nu+2} q_{2\nu+4} q_{2\nu+5} \cdot \frac{\zeta_{2\nu+3}}{q_{2\nu+3}} \cdot \frac{\zeta_{2\nu+4}}{q_{2\nu+4}} = \zeta_{2\nu+3} \zeta_{2\nu+4} q_{2\nu+5}.$$

The upper bound

$$\Delta_* \ll \zeta_{2\nu+3} \zeta_{2\nu+4} q_{2\nu+5}$$

is standard.

We have checked all the conditions of the next step of induction. Thus, Theorem 2 in the case $k = 1$ is proven. \square

10 Comments on the case $k > 1$

In the case $k = 1$, we constructed vector α where best approximations form a periodic sequence of patterns AB , where growth of denominators q_ν is determined by conditions (iv) and (v). That is, on the even step (**Stage 1**) the exponent of growth was equal to \mathbf{g}_1 and on the odd step (**Stage 2**) it is $\mathbf{g}_{1,0}$ defined in Lemma 1 and (37) respectively. The possibility of *gluing* pattern A and pattern B is enabled by equalities in (37) which comes from the equation (10). This alternance is enclosed in the sequence u_j defining the sets \mathcal{U}_j , which is periodic with period of length 2. To carry out the construction for arbitrary $k > 1$ we simply need to construct periodic patterns $\underbrace{A \dots A}_{k \text{ times}} B$ corresponding to triples

(20) with $\nu_{j-1} = \nu_j + 1, 1 \leq j \leq k$. For this, first of all we should define inductively

$$\mathbf{g}_{k,0} = \frac{1}{\theta \mathbf{g}_k - 1}, \quad \mathbf{g}_{k,j} = f_j(\mathbf{g}_k, \lambda), \quad j = 1, \dots, k-1, \quad (76)$$

where functions $f_j(\cdot, \cdot)$ are defined in (33). Remark 4 will be of importance. Calculations show that

$$\max_{0 \leq j \leq k-1} \mathbf{g}_{k,j} \leq \mathbf{g}_k. \quad (77)$$

Equalities (36) and (76) give us

$$\mathbf{g}_k = \frac{\mathbf{g}_{k,0} + 1}{\theta \mathbf{g}_{k,0}}, \quad \mathbf{g}_{k,j-1} = \frac{\mathbf{g}_{k,j} - \lambda}{(1 - \lambda) \mathbf{g}_{k,j}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k-1. \quad (78)$$

Then we consider the sets \mathcal{U}_j defined by a periodic sequence u_j with period of length $k+1$, defined by

$$u_j = \begin{cases} 1 + \lambda \mathbf{g}_{k,l}, & j \bmod k+1 = l \\ 1 + \lambda \mathbf{g}_k, & j \bmod k+1 = k \end{cases}$$

where \mathbf{g}_k is defined in Lemma 1 while $\mathbf{g}_{k,l}$ for $0 \leq l \leq k-1$ are defined in (76). In the inductive construction, at step j we apply (**Stage 2**) if $j \bmod k+1 = k$ and (**Stage 1**) otherwise. In this construction the last two elements of each triple $\mathbf{z}_{\nu_j-1}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_j}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_j+1}$ are just the first two elements of the triple $\mathbf{z}_{\nu_{j-1}-1}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_{j-1}}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_{j-1}+1}$, that is $\nu_{j-1} = \nu_j + 1$. The possibility of gluing together separate patterns A and B into $\underbrace{A \dots A}_{k \text{ times}} B$ follows from (78) because of the identities

$$q_{\nu_j+1}^{(1-\lambda)\mathbf{g}_{k,j}-1} \asymp \frac{\Delta}{d_j},$$

where Δ is the height of the three-dimensional rational subspace containing all the triples $\mathbf{z}_{\nu_j-1}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_j}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_j+1}$, $0 \leq j \leq k$ and $d_j \asymp q_{\nu_j+1}^{1-\lambda}$ is the height of the two-dimensional subspace generated by vectors $\mathbf{z}_{\nu_j}, \mathbf{z}_{\nu_j+1}$.

The conclusion $\frac{\omega(\boldsymbol{\alpha})}{\bar{\omega}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})} = \mathfrak{g}_k$ follows from (77).

Acknowledgment The authors would like to thank the *Centro Internazionale per la Ricerca Matematica* (CIRM) in Trento for the opportunity of a *Research in Pairs* stay from May 19th to June 1st 2019. Many ideas of this paper appeared during this fruitful time.

Research is supported by joint FWF-Projekt I 5554 and RSF project 22-41-05001 "Diophantine approximations, arithmetic sequences & analytic number theory" (<https://rscf.ru/en/project/22-41-05001/>).

References

- [1] R.K. Akhunzhanov, N.G. Moshchevitin, *On badly approximable vectors*, Mathematische Zeitschrift 301, no 1 (2022), 1-30.
- [2] J.W.S. Cassels, *An Introduction to Geometry of Numbers*, Corrected reprint of the 1971 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin (1997).
- [3] N. Chevallier, *Best simultaneous Diophantine approximations and multidimensional continued fraction expansions*, Moscow J. Comb. Number Theory **3** (2013), no. 1, 3–56.
- [4] P. Erdős, *On an elementary problem in number theory*, Canad. Math. Bull. 1(1958), 5-8.
- [5] A. Marnat, N. Moshchevitin, *An optimal bound for the ratio between ordinary and uniform exponents of Diophantine approximation*, Mathematika, 66, 818-854 (2020).
- [6] N.G. Moshchevitin, *On best joint approximations*, Russian Math. Surveys 51:6 (1996), 1214-1215.
- [7] N.G. Moshchevitin, *Best Diophantine Approximation: The Phenomenon of Degenerate Dimension* (London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 338), Cambridge University Press (Cambridge, 2007), 158 -182.
- [8] N.G. Moshchevitin, *Khinchine's singular Diophantine systems and their applications*, Russian Math. Surveys **65** (2010), no. 3, 433–511.
- [9] N.G. Moshchevitin, *Proof of W.M. Schmidt's conjecture concerning successive minima of a lattice*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 86, no.1 (2012), 129 - 151.
- [10] N.G. Moshchevitin, *Exponents for three-dimensional simultaneous Diophantine approximations*, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 62 (137) (2012), 127–137.
- [11] N.G. Moshchevitin, *On the distribution of nonprimitive lattice points in the plane*, Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 65:1 (2022), 198-207.
- [12] N.A.V. Nguyen, A. Poëls, D. Roy, *A transference principle for simultaneous Diophantine approximation*, J. Theor. Nombres Bordeaux 32(2), 387–402 (2020).
- [13] D. Roy, *On simultaneous rational approximations to a real number, its square, and its cube*, Acta Arithmetica 133, (2008), 185-197.
- [14] D. Roy, *On Schmidt and Summerer parametric geometry of numbers*, Ann. of Math. (2) 182 (2015), 739-786.
- [15] J. Schleischitz, *Applications of Siegel's Lemma to best approximations for a linear form*, preprint available at arXiv:1904.06121 (2019).
- [16] W. M. Schmidt, *Diophantine Approximation and Diophantine Equations*, (Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1467), Springer (Berlin, 1991).
- [17] W. M. Schmidt, L. Summerer, *Simultaneous approximation to three numbers*, Moscow J. Comb. Number Theory 3(1) (2013), 84-107.
- [18] В. Ярник, *К теории однородных линейных диофантовых приближений*, Чехословацкий математический журнал, **4** (79), (1954), 330 - 353 (in Russian).

authors:

Antoine Marnat,
Univ Paris Est Creteil, Univ Gustave Eiffel, CNRS, LAMA UMR8050, F-94010 Creteil, France
Institut für Diskrete Mathematik und Geometrie, TU Wien, Wien, Austria

Nikolay Moshchevitin,
Faculty of Computer Science, HSE University, Pokrovsky boulevard 11, Moscow, Russia 109028