

FINITE SYNTOMIC TOPOLOGY AND ALGEBRAIC COBORDISM OF NON-UNITAL ALGEBRAS

YUKI KATO

ABSTRACT. Elmanto, Hoyois, Khan, Sosnilo, and Yakerson [9] and [10] invented that the algebraic cobordism is the sphere spectrum of the \mathbb{P}_+^1 -stable homotopy category of framed motivic spectra with finite syntomic correspondence. Inspired by their works and Dwyer–Kan [6]’s hammock localization, we consider the localization of the stable ∞ -category of motivic spectra by zero-section stable finite syntomic surjective morphisms. This paper results that the localization functor is \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy equivalent to the finite syntomic hyper-sheafification, and the algebraic cobordism is weakly equivalent to the motivic sphere spectrum after the localization (or the hyper-sheafification). Furthermore, on the finite syntomic topology, we prove the tilting equivalence between the algebraic cobordisms for non-unital integral perfectoid algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S be a scheme. Voevodsky [31] first introduced \mathbb{P}_+^1 -stable homotopy category $\mathrm{SH}(S)$, being an algebraic analogy of stable homotopy category, where \mathbb{P}_+^1 denotes the pointed projective line. The algebraic cobordism MGL analog to the complex cobordism. Gepner and Snaith [12] and Panin, Pimenov, and Röndigs [25] proved that the algebraic cobordism is the universal object of oriented motivic spectra as the complex cobordism is the universal oriented cohomology theory.

Voevodsky; Garkusha and Panin [11] constructed another \mathbb{P}^1 -stable homotopy category $\mathrm{SH}^{\mathrm{fr}}(S)$ by framed correspondence, being categorical equivalent to $\mathrm{SH}(S)$ [9].

After coming Framed correspondence, due to Elmanto, Hoyois, Khan, Sosnilo, and Yakerson [10], the algebraic cobordism is the sphere spectrum of the stable ∞ -category $\mathrm{SH}^{\mathrm{FSyn}}(S)$ of framed motivic spectra with finite syntomic correspondence.

This paper is inspired by the work [10] and Dwyer–Kan [6]’s hammock localization of ∞ -category, and will clarify that the stable ∞ -category of motivic spectra with finite syntomic topology realize the algebraic cobordism as the unit object of the monoidal structure.

In this paper, we fix a base scheme S and consider \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory on the Grothendieck site finite syntomic topology (it is an fppf topology finer than étale topology) of the category of

Date: April 26, 2022.

Key words and phrases. \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory, algebraic cobordism, non-unital algebra, perfectoid algebra.

schemes of finite presentation over S . Our finite syntomic \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory provides the following result: Finite syntomic hyper-sheafification is \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy equivalent to the localization by zero-section stable finite syntomic surjective morphisms (Theorem 3.8). Furthermore, motivic spectra of finite syntomic hypersheaves are oriented.

Remark 1.1. The relation the stable ∞ -category of finite syntomic motivic spectra $\mathbf{MSp}^{\text{FSyn}}$ between those \mathbb{P}_+^1 -stable homotopy categories $\mathbf{SH}^{\text{FSyn}}(S)$, $\mathbf{SH}^{\text{FSyn}}(S)$, and $\mathbf{SH}^{\text{FSyn}}(S)$ in [10] is represented as the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbf{SH}(S) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{MSp}) & \xrightarrow{(-)_{\text{FSyn}}} & \mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{MSp}^{\text{FSyn}}) \\
\downarrow \simeq & & \searrow L_{\text{FSyn}} & & \uparrow \simeq \text{by Theorem 3.8} \\
\mathbf{SH}^{\text{fr}}(S) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{SH}^{\text{FSyn}}(S) & \xrightarrow{L_{\text{FSyn}}^{\text{fr}}} & \mathbf{Ho}(L_{\text{FSyn}}^{\text{fr}}\mathbf{MSp}),
\end{array}$$

where $(-)_{\text{FSyn}}$ is the induced functor by finite syntomic hyper-sheafification, L_{FSyn} is the localization by the family of zero-section stable finite syntomic surjective morphisms, and $L_{\text{FSyn}}^{\text{fr}}$ denote the functor localizing finite syntomic correspondences $X \xleftarrow{f} Z \rightarrow Y$, here f is finite syntomic surjective, by the following equivalence relation

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& Z & \\
f \swarrow & \downarrow g & \searrow \\
X & & Y \\
f' \swarrow & & \searrow \\
& W &
\end{array}$$

where all of f, f' , and g are finite syntomic surjective, and g is also zero-section stable.

As an application of finite syntomic motivic spectra, the algebraic cobordism can be equivalent to the motivic sphere spectrum after finite syntomic hyper-sheafification. Furthermore, for non-unital algebras, including non-unital perfectoid algebras, we obtain the tilting equivalence of algebraic cobordism.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 recalls the definition and property of model categories and hammock localization of model categories to study finite syntomic motivic spaces and spectra. In section 3, we introduce \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory on the finite syntomic site $\mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{fp}}$, where $\mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{fp}}$ denotes the small category of S -schemes of finite presentation. Finite syntomic topology and prove that equivalence between finite syntomic hyper-sheafification and localization by zero-section stable finite syntomic coverings in the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory. Section 4 proves that an initial object of the stable ∞ -category of finite syntomic motivic spectra coincides with the (finite syntomic) algebraic cobordism. Section 5, we prove the tilting equivalence for algebraic cobordisms of non-unital perfectoid algebras (Theorem 5.12).

Acknowledgements. I wish to thank Marc Hoyois for pointing out a mistake about MGL's property (without finite syntomic hyper-sheafification) in the first version. I also would like to appreciate Tom Bachmann for his poignant question related to Example 3.4, letting the author introduce zero-section stability.

2. A SHORT PRELIMINARY OF MODEL CATEGORIES

In this section, we recall a short preliminary of model category, in particular, Dwyer and Kan's hammock localization.

2.1. Definition of model category.

Definition 2.1. A *model category* is a category \mathbf{M} with three classes of morphisms \mathbf{W}_M , \mathbf{C}_M , and \mathbf{F}_M , such that the following properties hold:

- MC1 The category \mathbf{M} admits all small limits and colimits.
- MC2 The class \mathbf{W}_M has the 2-out-of-3 property.
- MC3 The all of three classes \mathbf{W}_M , \mathbf{C}_M , and \mathbf{F}_M contain all isomorphisms and are closed under all retracts.
- MC4 The class \mathbf{F}_M has the right lifting property for all morphisms in $\mathbf{C}_M \cap \mathbf{W}_M$, and the class $\mathbf{F}_M \cap \mathbf{W}_M$ has the right lifting property for all morphisms in \mathbf{C}_M .
- MC5 The couples $(\mathbf{C}_M \cap \mathbf{W}_M, \mathbf{F}_M)$ and $(\mathbf{C}_M, \mathbf{F}_M \cap \mathbf{W}_M)$ are weak functorial factorization systems.

We say that a morphism in \mathbf{W}_M , \mathbf{C}_M , and \mathbf{F}_M is called a *weak equivalence*, a *cofibration*, and a *fibration*. In addition, we say that a morphism in the class $\mathbf{C}_M \cap \mathbf{W}_M$ and $\mathbf{F}_M \cap \mathbf{W}_M$ is respectively called a *trivial cofibration* and a *trivial fibration*.

Definition 2.2. An adjunction $F : \mathbf{M} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{N} : G$ between model categories is called a *Quillen adjunction* if F and G preserve the factorization systems in the axiom MC5 in Definition 2.1. Moreover, if the Quillen adjunction $F : \mathbf{M} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{N} : G$ induces categorical equivalences between their homotopy categories (See [26, Chapter 1]), it is called a *Quillen equivalence* of model categories. Then F is called a *left Quillen equivalence* and G a *right Quillen equivalence*.

Definition 2.3. A model category is *left proper* if the class of weak equivalences is closed under cobase change by cofibrations. Dually, we say that a model category is *right proper* if the class of weak equivalences is closed under base change by fibrations.

Example 2.4. The category Set_Δ of simplicial sets has a model structure described as follows:

- (C) A cofibration is a monomorphism of simplicial sets.
- (F) A fibration is a Kan fibration of simplicial sets.

(W) A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of simplicial sets is a weak equivalence if the induced map $|f| : |X| \rightarrow |Y|$ of the geometric realizations is a homotopy equivalence of topological spaces.

This model structure of Set_Δ is called *Kan–Quillen* model structure, being both left and right proper. For example, we can refer to proof of the properness in [13, Chapter II.9].

Definition 2.5. Let \mathbf{M} be a Set_Δ -enriched model category. The model structure of \mathbf{M} is *simplicial* if \mathbf{M} is tensored and cotensored, and the tensor product $- \otimes - : \text{Set}_\Delta \times \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ is a left Quillen bifunctor.

Definition 2.6. Let H be a collection of morphisms in a model category \mathbf{M} . Let ${}^\square H$ denote the set of morphisms in \mathbf{M} have the right lifting property for all morphisms of H . Similarly, we let H^\square denote the set of morphisms in \mathbf{M} with the left lifting property of all morphisms in H . The set $({}^\square H)^\square$ is called the *weakly saturated class* generated by H .

Definition 2.7. Let \mathbf{M} be a locally presentable model category. Let $\mathbf{W}_\mathbf{M}$ be the class of weak equivalences in \mathbf{M} and $\mathbf{C}_\mathbf{M}$ the class of cofibrations in \mathbf{M} . We say that \mathbf{M} is *combinatorial* if there exist two small sets I and J such that the classes $\mathbf{C}_\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{C}_\mathbf{M} \cap \mathbf{W}_\mathbf{M}$ are weakly saturated classes of morphisms generated by I and J , respectively. We say that a combinatorial model category \mathbf{M} is *tractable* if I can be chosen cofibrant domains.

If \mathbf{M} is a model category with the property that every object is cofibrant, then \mathbf{M} is tractable.

Example 2.8. The model category Set_Δ is combinatorial. The collection of cofibrations is generated by morphisms which form $\partial\Delta^n \hookrightarrow \Delta^n$ ($n \geq 0$) and the collection of trivial cofibrations is generated by morphisms that form $\Lambda_i^n \hookrightarrow \Delta^n$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$, $n \geq 0$). (See e.g.[13, Chapter II.9].)

It is known that any left proper combinatorial simplicial model category has Bousfield localization which is described as the followings:

Definition 2.9. Let \mathbf{M} be a left proper combinatorial simplicial model category. Let H be a collection of cofibrations. We say that a fibrant object of $X \in \mathbf{M}$ is H -local if for any morphism $f : Y \rightarrow Y'$ in H , the induced map

$$f^* : \text{Map}_\mathbf{M}(Y', X) \rightarrow \text{Map}_\mathbf{M}(Y, X)$$

is a trivial Kan fibration of simplicial sets. A morphism of $f : Y \rightarrow Y'$ is an H -weak equivalence if for any H -local object X , the induced map

$$f^* : \text{Map}_\mathbf{M}(Y', X) \rightarrow \text{Map}_\mathbf{M}(Y, X)$$

is a trivial Kan fibration.

Proposition 2.10. *Let \mathbf{M} be a left proper combinatorial simplicial model category with a collection of cofibrations H . Let $L_H\mathbf{M}$ denote the category whose underlying category is the same of \mathbf{M} . The model structure of $L_H\mathbf{M}$ is defined as follows:*


- (C) *The collection of cofibrations of \mathbf{M} is the same of \mathbf{M} .*
- (W) *The collection of weak equivalences of \mathbf{M} is the collection of H -weak equivalences.*
- (F) *The collection of fibrations is the collection of morphisms that have the right lifting property for all morphisms, which are both cofibrations and H -weak equivalences.*

Then $L_H\mathbf{M}$ is a left proper combinatorial simplicial model category. Furthermore, the functor $L_H : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ induced by the identity functor on the underlying category is a left Quillen functor of simplicial model categories.

proof. See [18, p.904, Section Appendix A.3.7]. □

The model category $L_H\mathbf{M}$ is said to be the *Bousfield localization* of \mathbf{M} by H .

2.2. Hammock localization of simplicial model categories. We explain the hammock localization [6]: Let \mathcal{C} be a category and H a small subcategory containing all the identity morphism. The *hammock localization* $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C}, H)$ is a simplicial category with the same object of \mathcal{C} and with the set of morphisms $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C}, H)}(X, Y)$ which is a simplicial set whose m -simplices are “hammocks of width m ” from X to Y , being commutative diagrams



where all going-to-left and vertical morphisms belong to H . In the case that H is closed under base changes, the hammock localization is equivalent to the Verdier localization. Indeed, the limit of the zig-zag

$$X \longleftarrow K_{0,1} \longrightarrow K_{0,2} \longleftarrow \cdots \longrightarrow K_{0,n-1} \longrightarrow Y$$

determines the roof $X \leftarrow K \rightarrow Y$, where the left arrow belongs to H and K is the limit of the diagram $(K_{i,j})$.

Theorem 2.11 ([7], Proposition 3.2). *Let \mathbf{M} be a left proper combinatorial simplicial model category and let \mathbf{M}° denote the subcategory spanned by fibrant-cofibrant objects. Then the*

canonical functor

$$\mathbf{M}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{W}_\mathbf{M})$$

is a Dwyer-Kan equivalence of simplicial categories. Therefore the induced functor $N_\Delta(\mathbf{M}^\circ) \rightarrow N_\Delta(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{M}^\circ, \mathbf{W}_\mathbf{M} \cap \mathbf{M}^\circ))$ is a categorical equivalence between ∞ -categories, where $N_\Delta : \text{Cat}_\Delta \rightarrow \text{Set}_\Delta$ is the simplicial nerve functor in [18, p.20, Section 1.1.5], being a right adjoint to the Cordier nerve $\mathfrak{C} : \text{Cat}_\Delta \rightarrow \text{Set}_\Delta$. \square

Corollary 2.12 ([7], Corollary 4.7, Proposition 4.8). *Let H be a class of cofibrations of \mathbf{M} and $L_H\mathbf{M}$ the Bousfield localization. Then the canonical functor $L_H\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{M}, H)$ induces a categorical equivalence $N_\Delta(L_H\mathbf{M}^\circ) \rightarrow N_\Delta(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{M}, H)^\circ)$ of ∞ -categories, where $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{M}, H)^\circ$ the fibrant replacement in the model category Cat_Δ whose model structure is Dwyer–Kan–Bergner model structure in [2] and [18, p.865, Theorem A.3.2.24.]. \square*

2.3. Projective and injective model structures. We recall the definition of the projective model structure:

Lemma 2.13 ([1], p.21 Definition 2.11 and Lemma 2.12). *Let \mathbf{M} be a combinatorial model category and \mathbf{C} a locally presentable model category. Given an adjunction*

$$E : \mathbf{M} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{C} : F,$$

we will define a model structure on \mathbf{C} by the following:

- (F) A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{C} is a fibration if $F(f) : F(X) \rightarrow F(Y)$ is a fibration in the model category \mathbf{M} .
- (W) A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{C} is a weak equivalence if $F(f) : F(X) \rightarrow F(Y)$ is a weak equivalence in the model category \mathbf{M} .
- (WF) A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{C} is a trivial fibration if $F(f) : F(X) \rightarrow F(Y)$ is a trivial fibration in the model category \mathbf{M} .
- (C) A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{C} is a cofibration if it has the right lifting property with respect to all trivial fibrations.

Assume that in \mathbf{C} , transfinite compositions and push-outs of trivial cofibrations of \mathbf{C} are weak equivalences. Then the locally presentable category \mathbf{C} is a combinatorial model category, and it is a tractable model category if \mathbf{M} is. Furthermore, the above adjunction is a Quillen adjunction. \square

We say that the model structure of \mathbf{C} is the *projective model structure* induced by E .

Example 2.14. Let \mathcal{C} be a category and \mathbf{M} a model category. The diagonal functor $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^{\mathcal{C}}$ has a right adjoint $\prod_{\mathcal{C}}$. The model structure of $\mathbf{M}^{\mathcal{C}}$ is said to be induced by \mathbf{M} .

Next we recall the injective model structure of $\mathbf{M}^{\mathcal{C}}$ in Example 2.14:

Definition 2.15. A morphism $f : F \rightarrow G$ in $\mathbf{M}^{\mathcal{C}}$ is an *injective cofibration* or *injective weak equivalence* if $f(X) : F(X) \rightarrow G(X)$ is a cofibration or weak equivalence in \mathbf{M} for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Injective cofibration and injective weak equivalence in $\mathbf{M}^{\mathcal{C}}$ determine a model structure called the *injective model structure*.

Theorem 2.16 ([1], Theorem 2.14, Theorem 2.16, and Proposition 2.17). *Let \mathbf{M} be a combinatorial model category and \mathcal{C} a small category. If the model structure of \mathbf{M} is left or right proper, then so is the projective model structure or the injective model structure of $\mathbf{M}^{\mathcal{C}}$.* \square

3. MOTIVIC SPECTRA WITH THE FINITE SYNTOMIC TOPOLOGY

This section defines motivic spaces and motivic spectra by following Jardine's book [16]. To introduce finite syntomic topology, we use a small category $\mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{fp}}$ of schemes of finite presentation, instead of smooth schemes. For any category \mathcal{C} , we will abbreviate the category of simplicial object of \mathcal{C} as $\mathcal{C}_{\Delta} = \text{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C})$.

3.1. Finite syntomic topology. We recall finite syntomic topology, which is a Grothendieck topology finer than étale site and courser than fppf (flat and locally of finite presentation) topology.

Definition 3.1. A morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ of schemes is *finite syntomic* if f is finite, flat, locally of finite presentation, and the relative cotangent complex $L_{X/Y}$ has tor-amplitude in $[-1, 0]$. A finite syntomic topology is generated by étale topology and families $\{Y \rightarrow X\}$ containing a single finite syntomic surjective morphism.

By definition, a finite syntomic covering is an fppf covering, and any étale, Nisnevich, or Zariski covering is a finite syntomic covering. A finite syntomic *hypercover* $\pi : Y_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$ is a simplicial object of the category of schemes over X , satisfying the following properties:

- The argumentation $\pi : Y_0 \rightarrow X$ is a finite syntomic covering.
- For each $n \geq 1$, $Y_n \rightarrow (\text{cosk}_{n-1} Y_{\bullet})_n$ is a finite syntomic covering, where the coskelton functor $\text{cosk}_k : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sch}_X$ is the right Kan extension along the inclusion $i_k : \Delta_{\leq k} \rightarrow \Delta$. Here, $\Delta_{\leq k}$ denote the full subcategory of Δ spanned by those objects $[m]$ for $0 \leq m \leq k$.

Due to Stack-project [29], finite syntomic morphisms are locally represented as pull-back of the universal finite syntomic morphisms:

Proposition 3.2 (c.f. [29], Section 0FKX). *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a finite syntomic morphism. Then for any $x \in X$ there exists an integer $d \geq 0$ and a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Y & \longleftarrow & V & \longrightarrow & V_d \\
 f \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_d \\
 X & \longleftarrow & U & \longrightarrow & U_d \longrightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}
 \end{array}$$

7

with the following properties

- $U \subset X$ is an open neighborhood of x and $V = f^{-1}(U)$,
- $\pi_d : V_d \rightarrow U_d$ is the “universal finite syntomic” morphism of rank $d \geq 0$ in [29, Section 0FKX, Example 49.11.2, Lemma 49.11.3],
- both U_d and V_d are smooth over $\text{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ (See [29, Section 0FKX, Lemma 49.11.4, Example 49.11.6]),
- where the middle square is Cartesian (See [29, Section 0FKX, Lemma 49.11.7]).

□

We say that a simplicial sheaf E satisfies *finite syntomic descent* if E is a injective fibrant object of $\text{Pre}(\mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{fp}})_\Delta$ and the induced map

$$E(\pi^*) : E(X) \rightarrow E(|Y_\bullet|) = \varprojlim E(Y_n)$$

is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets for any hypercover $\pi : Y_\bullet \rightarrow X$ of $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_S$. Here the functor $|-| : \text{Pre}(\mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{fp}})_\Delta \rightarrow \text{Pre}(\mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{fp}})_\Delta$ is the geometric realization of simplicial objects.

3.2. Finite syntomic motivic spaces and spectra. Let $\mathbf{Shv}^{\text{FSyn}}(\mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{fp}})_\Delta$ denote the full subcategory of $\text{Pre}(\mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{fp}})_\Delta$ spanned by finite syntomic hyper-sheaves. The simplicial category $\mathbf{Shv}^{\text{FSyn}}(\mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{fp}})_\Delta$ has a model structure: A simplicial sheaf X is said to be *motivic fibrant* if X satisfies finite syntomic descent for any hypercovering, and has a right lifting property with respect to the morphism $(j, f) : (\mathbb{A}^1 \times A) \cup_A B \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times B$ arising from a cofibration $j : A \rightarrow B$ and an S -rational point $f : * \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$, where $*$ is a final object. A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathbf{Shv}^{\text{FSyn}}(\mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{fp}})_\Delta$ is a *motivic equivalence* if the pull-back

$$f^* : \text{Map}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Shv}(\mathbf{Sm}_S)}(Y, Z) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{Shv}(\mathbf{Sm}_S)}(X, Z)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence of simplicial sets for each motivic fibrant object Z . Let $\mathbf{MS}^{\text{FSyn}}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Shv}^{\text{FSyn}}(\mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{fp}})_\Delta$ spanned by motivic fibrant objects, becoming an ∞ -category. We call an object of $\mathbf{MS}^{\text{FSyn}}$ a *finite syntomic motivic space*.

The category $\text{Spt}_{\mathbb{P}^1_+}(\mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{fp}})$ of \mathbb{P}^1_+ -spectra objects of $\mathbf{Shv}^{\text{FSyn}}(\mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{fp}})_\Delta$ has a canonical model structure called \mathbb{P}^1_+ -*stable model structure* (See [16, Section 10.3]), which is Bousfield localization of strict model structure [16, p.362, Proposition 10.15]. Let MSp denote the full subcategory of $\text{Spt}_{\mathbb{P}^1_+}(\mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{fp}})$ spanned by \mathbb{P}^1 -stable fibrant object, and we say that MSp is the ∞ -category of motivic spectra.

3.3. Fixing an equivalence $i_0 : \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$. Let K be a simplicial set. We recall the covariant model structure of the over category $\text{Set}_{\Delta/K}$:

Definition 3.3 ([18] pp.68–69, Definition 2.1.4.5 and Lemma 2.1.4.6). Let K be a simplicial set. A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\text{Set}_{\Delta/K}$ is

- a covariant cofibration if it is a monomorphism.

- a covariant trivial cofibration is a morphism which is in the smallest weakly saturated class generated by the collection of anodyne K -morphisms $\Lambda_i^n \rightarrow \Delta^n$ ($0 \leq i < n$) for each $n \geq 0$.

The model structure of $\text{Set}_{\Delta/K}$ is called the *covariant model structure*, being also left proper combinatorial simplicial [18, p.70, proposition 2.1.4.8]. A fibrant object $f : X \rightarrow K$ is said to be a *left fibration*.

In the model category $\text{Set}_{\Delta/K}$, for any simplicial set X over K , the inclusion $i_{0,X} : X \simeq \{0\} \times X \rightarrow \Delta^1 \times X$ is a covariant trivial cofibration. The covariant model structure is a Bousfield localization of the Joyal model structure [17] of $\text{Set}_{\Delta/K}$ by those anodyne morphisms $\Lambda_0^n \rightarrow \Delta^n$ ($n \geq 1$).

Example 3.4. We mainly are interested in the case $K = \Delta^n$ ($n \geq 1$). More simply, the inclusion $\{0\} \rightarrow \Delta^1$ is not a covariant fibration and the other $\{1\} \rightarrow \Delta^1$ a covariant fibration. The point $\{1\}$ in Δ^1 is weakly initial in $\text{Set}_{\Delta/\Delta^1}$. Since $\{1\} \rightarrow \Delta^1$ is a left fibration and $\{0\} \rightarrow \Delta^1$ a covariant equivalence, the base-change $\emptyset \rightarrow \{1\}$ of $\{0\} \rightarrow \Delta^1$ is an equivalence in $\text{Set}_{\Delta/\Delta^1}$. Note that $i_*(\{0\}) \amalg_{i_*(\emptyset)} i_*(\{1\})$ is a homotopy pushout. Therefore one has a chain of equivalences

$$i_*(\partial\Delta^1) = i_*(\{0\} \amalg_{\emptyset} \{1\}) \simeq i_*(\{0\}) \amalg_{i_*(\emptyset)} i_*(\{1\}) \simeq i_*(\{0\}) \amalg_{i_*(\emptyset)} i_*(\emptyset) \simeq i_*(\{0\}),$$

canceling out the other point $\{1\}$ by the covariant model structure.

Definition 3.5. For any $n \geq 0$, the initial point $i : \{0\} \rightarrow \Delta^n$ and the projection $\pi : \Delta^n \rightarrow \{0\}$ induce Quillen adjunctions

$$i_* : \text{Set}_{\Delta} \rightleftarrows \text{Set}_{\Delta/\Delta^n} : i^* \text{ and } \pi_* : \text{Set}_{\Delta/\Delta^n} \rightleftarrows \text{Set}_{\Delta} : \pi^*$$

of model categories. A simplicial set X is *zero-section stable* if the canonical morphism $\pi \circ i \circ \eta : X \rightarrow \pi_*(i_*(X))$ induced by the unit map $\eta : X \rightarrow (i^* \circ \pi^*)((\pi_* \circ i_*)(X))$ is an weak equivalence.

The canonical morphism $\pi \circ i \circ \eta : X \rightarrow \pi_*(i_*(X))$ corresponds to $\pi \circ i : (\pi \circ i)^{-1}(X) \rightarrow X$ via the adjunction $((\pi \circ i)_*, (\pi \circ i)^*)$.

Let \mathbb{A}_S^\bullet denote the cosimplicial motivic space defined by $\mathbb{A}_S^\bullet([n]) = \mathbb{A}_S^n$ for each $n \geq 0$. Then the singular functor $\text{Hom}_S(\mathbb{A}_S^\bullet, -) : \mathbf{MS} \rightarrow \mathbf{MS}$ admits a left adjoint. We say that X is locally zero-section stable if the simplicial sheaf $\text{Hom}_S(\mathbb{A}_S^\bullet, X)$ is stalk-wise zero-section stable.

Proposition 3.6. *Let \mathbf{MS}_0 denote the full subcategory spanned by locally zero-section stable spaces. Composition of the embedding $i_0 : \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^\infty$ and the projection $\pi : \mathbb{A}^\infty = \varinjlim \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \{0\}$ induces a localization functor*

$$Z_0 = \varinjlim_{n \geq 1} \underbrace{(\pi_* \circ i_*) \circ (\pi_* \circ i_*) \circ \cdots \circ (\pi_* \circ i_*)}_n : \mathbf{MS} \rightarrow \mathbf{MS}_0$$

of ∞ -categories.

proof. This is straightforward. □

The following is a crucial property of the finite syntomic \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a finite syntomic surjective morphism. Assume that Y is locally zero-section stable. Then the pullback $f^* : L_{\text{FSyn}}(X) \rightarrow L_{\text{FSyn}}(Y)$ is a (finite syntomic) motivic equivalence, where $L_{\text{FSyn}}(T)$ denote the finite syntomic hyper-sheafification of $\text{Map}_S(-, T)$ for any S -scheme T .*

proof. By the argument of the proof of [29, Section 068E, Lemma 37.58.8], we may assume that the finite syntomic morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ factors as $f : Y \xrightarrow{j} \mathbb{A}_X^n \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ for some integer $n \geq 0$, where j is a Koszul regular closed immersion of virtual dimension zero and π is the canonical projection. We fix an weak equivalence $i_0 : \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^n$. Then we have a factorization

$$Y \times_{\mathbb{A}_X^n} Y \xrightarrow{Y \times i_0} Y \times_{\mathbb{A}_X^n} \mathbb{A}_Y^n = Y \times_X Y \xrightarrow{Y \times \pi} Y \times_{\mathbb{A}_X^n} Y.$$

The canonical projection $Y \times \pi : Y \times_X Y = Y \times_{\mathbb{A}_X^n} \mathbb{A}_Y^n \rightarrow Y \times_{\mathbb{A}_X^n} Y$ and, by the assumption of Y , the above composition are weak equivalences. Therefore, the first morphism $Y \times i_0 : Y \times_{\mathbb{A}_X^n} Y \rightarrow Y \times_X Y$ is a trivial cofibration. We obtain that the morphism of Čech nerves:

$$\check{C}_{\mathbb{A}_X^n}(Y)_\bullet \rightarrow \check{C}_X(Y)_\bullet$$

is also a trivial cofibration for the projective model structure, where

$$\check{C}_{\mathbb{A}_X^n}(Y)_m = \overbrace{Y \times_{\mathbb{A}_X^n} Y \times_{\mathbb{A}_X^n} Y \times_{\mathbb{A}_X^n} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{A}_X^n} Y}^{m+1} \text{ and } \check{C}_X(Y)_m = \overbrace{Y \times_X Y \times_X Y \times_X \cdots \times_X Y}^{m+1}$$

for each $m \geq 0$. Note that $i : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^n$ is a monomorphism and $Y \times_{\mathbb{A}_X^n} Y = Y$. Then $\check{C}_{\mathbb{A}_X^n}(Y)_\bullet$ is a constant nerve valued Y . Hence; we get a zig-zag of weak equivalences

$$X \leftarrow |\check{C}_X(Y)_\bullet| \leftarrow |\check{C}_{\mathbb{A}_X^n}(Y)_\bullet| \rightarrow Y,$$

where $|-|$ denotes the geometric realization defined as the (homotopy) colimit. □

In the framework of \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory, we obtain that finite syntomic hyper-sheafification is equivalent to the Bousfield localization by locally zero-section stable finite syntomic surjective morphisms:

Theorem 3.8. *Let S be a scheme and \mathbf{MS} the stable ∞ -category of motivic spaces of the Nisnevich site $\mathbf{Sch}_S^{\text{fp}}$. The finite syntomic hyper-sheafification functor $(-)_{\text{FSyn}} : \mathbf{MS} \rightarrow \mathbf{MS}^{\text{FSyn}} \rightarrow \mathbf{MS}$ is equivalent to the Bousfield localization*

$$L_{\text{FSyn}} : \mathbf{MS} \rightarrow \mathbf{MS}$$

by the collection of locally zero-section stable finite syntomic surjective morphisms.

proof. The functor L_{FSyn} induces a functor $L_{\text{FSyn}}\mathbf{MS} \rightarrow \mathbf{MS}^{\text{FSyn}}$. It is sufficient to prove that the forgetful functor $\mathbf{MS}^{\text{FSyn}} \rightarrow L_{\text{FSyn}}\mathbf{MS}$ is essentially surjective. Let X be a motivic space and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ a finite syntomic surjective morphism. We may assume that $X = S$ and $\Delta_X^0 = X$, being a final object. Then X is zero-section stable and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ factors through the zero-section stable scheme $Z_0(Y)$. Since $\pi \circ i : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_Y^n \rightarrow Y$ is finite syntomic for each $n \geq 0$, $Y \rightarrow Z_0(Y)$ is ind-finite syntomic. Therefore $Z_0(Y) \rightarrow X$ is ind-finite syntomic, being it is a motivic equivalence.

Note that $\pi \circ i : Y \rightarrow (\pi \circ i)_*(Y)$ is an epimorphism. The coimage, which is the colimit of the Čech nerve $\check{C}_{(\pi \circ i)_*(Y)}(Y)_\bullet$, is equivalent to the target $(\pi \circ i)_*(Y)$, implying that $|\check{C}_{Z_0(Y)}(Y)_\bullet| \simeq Z_0(Y)$. Thus, the covering $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is refined by $Z_0(Y) \rightarrow X$ and $|Y| \simeq |Z_0(Y)| \simeq X$. \square

Corollary 3.9. *Any finite syntomic motivic spectrum E is zero-finite syntomic local. That is. The localization $L_{\text{FSyn}} : \mathbf{MSp} \rightarrow \mathbf{MSp}$ by the family of zero-section stable finite syntomic surjective morphisms induces a categorical equivalence of stable ∞ -categories $L_{\text{FSyn}}(\mathbf{MSp}) \rightarrow \mathbf{MSp}^{\text{FSyn}}$.* \square

4. THE (FINITE SYNTOMIC) MOTIVIC SPECTRA OF ALGEBRAIC COBORDISM

In this section, we prove that finite syntomic hyper-sheafification of motivic sphere spectrum realizes the algebraic cobordism.

4.1. Finite syntomic invariant property and Thom equivalence. Let X be a compact motivic space and $f : V(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$ a vector bundle on X of dimension n , where \mathcal{E} is locally free sheaf on X of rank n . The Thom space of \mathcal{E} is the quotient $V(\mathcal{E})/(V(\mathcal{E}) \setminus Z)$, where Z denotes the image of the zero-section. We refer to the pointed motivic space $\text{Thom}_X(\mathcal{E}) = V(\mathcal{E})/(V(\mathcal{E}) \setminus Z)$ as the *Thom space* of \mathcal{E} .

We recall the following Nisnevich purity of smooth schemes:

Theorem 4.1 ([23] Theorem 2.23). *Let $i : Z \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion of smooth S -schemes. Assume that S is a Noetherian scheme. Then one has an \mathbb{A}^1 -weak equivalence:*

$$X/(X \setminus Z) \simeq \text{Thom}_Z(N_{X,Z}),$$

where $N_{X,Z}$ is the normal bundle. \square

Definition 4.2. A motivic spectrum E is preserving Thom equivalence if there is a weak equivalence $E(\text{Thom}_X(\mathcal{E})) \simeq E(\Sigma_+^n X)$ for any pointed motivic space X , vector bundle \mathcal{E} on X of rank n , and $n \geq 0$. Here $\Sigma_+^n X = (\mathbb{P}_+^1)^{\wedge n} \wedge X$.

Proposition 4.3. *Assume that S is a Noetherian scheme and let F be a motivic spectrum satisfying that*

$$F(f) : F(X) \rightarrow F(Y)$$

is an equivalence for any zero-section stable finite syntomic morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$. Then F is preserving Thom equivalence on smooth S -schemes.

proof. Let $\pi : V(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle of rank n over a smooth S -scheme. Then the zero-section Y is finite syntomic over X by definition. Therefore, by Lemma 3.2, we may assume that there exists a closed immersion $i : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_X^n$, which is locally complete intersection. Generally, the normal bundle $N_{\mathbb{A}_X^n, Y}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{E} . Therefore, by the Nisnevich purity $V(\mathcal{E})/(V(\mathcal{E}) \setminus Y) \simeq \mathbb{A}_X^n/(\mathbb{A}_X^n \setminus Y)$ and the assumption of F , we obtain that $F(\mathbb{A}_X^n/(\mathbb{A}_X^n \setminus Y)) \simeq F(\mathbb{A}_X^n/(\mathbb{A}_X^n \setminus X))$ and $F(\mathbb{A}_X^n/(\mathbb{A}_X^n \setminus X)) \simeq F(V(\mathcal{E})/(V(\mathcal{E}) \setminus Y))$. \square

4.2. Functors representing algebraic cobordism. Due to [9] and [10], algebraic cobordism MGL can be represented by an ind-smooth scheme. To obtain the continuity of MGL, we recall the following.

Definition 4.4. Let S be a scheme and X an S -scheme. We define (recall) the following functors $h_S^{\text{FSyn}}(X)$, $\text{Hilb}^{\text{FSyn}}(X/S)$, and FSyn_X from the category of schemes to one of groupoids:

- (1) For any scheme T , $\text{Hilb}^{\text{FSyn}}(X/S)(T)$ is an ∞ -groupoid whose points are closed subschemes of $X \times_S T$ such that those schemes are finite syntomic over T .
- (2) For any scheme T , $\text{FSyn}_S(X)(T)$ is an ∞ -groupoid whose points are finite syntomic T -schemes.

Theorem 4.5 ([10] Lemma 3.5.1). *The forgetful morphism $: \text{Hilb}^{\text{FSyn}}(\mathbb{A}_S^\infty/S) \rightarrow \text{FSyn}_S$ is a universally \mathbb{A}^1 -equivalence on affine schemes.* \square

Theorem 4.6 ([9] Lemma 5.1.3). *The scheme $\text{Hilb}^{\text{FSyn}}(\mathbb{A}_S^n/S)$ is smooth over S for each $n \geq 1$.* \square

Theorem 4.7 ([10] Theorem 3.4.1.). *Let S be a scheme. In the equivalence between $\text{SH}(S)$ and $\text{SH}^{\text{fr}}(S)$, there is an equivalence of motivic \mathbb{E}_∞ -rings $\text{MGL}_S \simeq \Sigma_{\text{fr}}^\infty \text{FSyn}_S$.* \square

Corollary 4.8. *The motivic \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring algebraic cobordism $\text{MGL} : \text{CRing} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ preserves filtered colimit of commutative rings, where CRing denotes the category of commutative rings and Sp the stable ∞ -category of spectra.*

proof. Let (A_α) be a filtered inductive system of unital commutative rings. by those results mentioned above, one has a chain of weak equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Map}(\varprojlim \text{Spec} A_\alpha, \text{MGL}) &\simeq \text{Map}(\varprojlim \text{Spec} A_\alpha, \Sigma_{\text{fr}}^\infty \text{Hilb}^{\text{FSyn}}(\mathbb{A}_S^\infty/S)) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_n \text{Map}(\varprojlim \text{Spec} A_\alpha, \Sigma_{\text{fr}}^\infty \text{Hilb}^{\text{FSyn}}(\mathbb{A}_S^n/S)) \simeq \varinjlim_n \varinjlim_\alpha \text{Map}(\text{Spec} A_\alpha, \Sigma_{\text{fr}}^\infty \text{Hilb}^{\text{FSyn}}(\mathbb{A}_S^n/S)) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_\alpha \text{Map}(\text{Spec} A_\alpha, \Sigma_{\text{fr}}^\infty \text{Hilb}^{\text{FSyn}}(\mathbb{A}_S^\infty/S)) \simeq \varprojlim_\alpha \text{Map}(\text{Spec} A_\alpha, \text{MGL}). \end{aligned}$$

\square

We consider the class of morphism of H is the class of morphisms locally generated by the family of universal finite syntomic morphisms $(\pi_d : V_d \rightarrow U_d)_{d \geq 0}$ in Proposition 3.2 (or equivalently, let H be the class of the regular closed immersions (cofibrations) $i_d : V_d \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{U_d}^d$ ($d \geq 0$), where $\pi_d = p_d \circ i_d : V_d \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{U_d}^d \rightarrow U_d$ in Proposition 3.2). Then the ∞ -category admits a localization functor $L_{\text{FSyn}} : \text{MSp} \rightarrow \text{MSp}$ of finite syntomic morphisms. We can regard as the localization $L_{\text{FSyn}}\text{MSp}$ is obtained by the hammock localization $\text{Stab}_{\mathbf{P}_+^1} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Shv}^{\text{FSyn}}(\mathbf{Sch}_S)^\circ, H)$.

Write $h_X = \text{Hom}_S(-, X)$ for any S -scheme X . By definition of FSyn and considering the hammock localization, one has an equivalence: $L_{\text{FSyn}}(h_S)(X) \simeq L_{\text{FSyn}}(\text{FSyn}_S)(X)$. Therefore $\Sigma_+^\infty L_{\text{FSyn}}(\text{FSyn}_S)$ is an initial object of MSp^{FSyn} . Furthermore, the finite syntomic motivic sphere spectrum $L_{\text{FSyn}}(h_S)(X)$ has monoidal structure and is oriented by Proposition 4.3. Hence the finite syntomic sheafification $\text{MGL} \rightarrow \text{MGL}^{\text{FSyn}}$ factors through $L_{\text{FSyn}}(h_S)$. This implies $\text{MGL}^{\text{FSyn}} \simeq L_{\text{FSyn}}(h_S)$. Therefore, those spectra $L_{\text{FSyn}}(h_S)$ and MGL are weakly equivalent in the stable ∞ -category of finite syntomic motivic spectra.

Corollary 4.9. *For an arbitrary scheme S , the algebraic cobordism MGL is a unit object of the stable monoidal ∞ -category MSp^{FSyn} . \square*

Remark 4.10. By Theorem 4.7, one has a chain of equivalences $\text{MGL}^{\text{FSyn}} \simeq L_{\text{FSyn}}(\Sigma_{\text{fr}}^\infty h_S) \simeq L_{\text{FSyn}}(h_S)$ via the diagram in Remark 1.1.

5. ALGEBRAIC COBORDISM OF NON-UNITAL ALGEBRA

As an application of the theory of finite syntomic motivic spectra, we consider algebraic cobordism of non-unital algebras and prove the tilting equivalence of non-unital perfectoid algebras. From this section, rings have not necessarily a multiplicative unit.

5.1. Preliminary of homotopy algebra.

Proposition 5.1. *Let A be a V -algebra and I an ideal which is contained in the Jacobson radical of A . Write $A_n = A/I^n$ for each $n \geq 1$, and $A_\infty = \varprojlim A_n$. Then*

$$\varprojlim : 2 - \varprojlim \text{PMod}_{A_n} \rightarrow \text{PMod}_{A_\infty}$$

is a categorical equivalence. Furthermore, the quasi-inverse is the functor $P_\infty \mapsto (P_\infty \otimes_{A_\infty} A_n)$ for any A_∞ -module P_∞ .

proof. Let (P_n) be an inverse system of finitely generated projective A_n -modules. Since the canonical map $A_n^r \rightarrow (\varprojlim A_n^r) \otimes_{A_\infty} A_n$ is an isomorphism for each $n \geq 1$ and $r \geq 0$, $P_n \rightarrow (\varprojlim P_n) \otimes_{A_\infty} A_n$ is an isomorphism for each $n \geq 1$. Then $(P_n) \rightarrow ((\varprojlim P_n) \otimes_{A_\infty} A_n)$ is an isomorphism of inverse systems.

Conversely, let P_∞ be a finitely generated projective A_∞ -module. Then we show that $P_\infty \rightarrow \varprojlim P_\infty \otimes_{A_\infty} A_n$ is an isomorphism. Since P_∞ is canonically isomorphic to the second dual $P_\infty^{\vee\vee}$,

$P_\infty \otimes_{A_\infty} A_n \simeq \text{Hom}_{A_\infty}(P_\infty^\vee, A_n)$. Therefore one has $\varprojlim P_\infty \otimes_{A_\infty} A_n \simeq \varprojlim \text{Hom}_{A_\infty}(P_\infty^\vee, A_n) \simeq \text{Hom}_{A_\infty}(P_\infty^\vee, A_\infty) \simeq P_\infty$. \square

A complex E of A -modules is *perfect* if there exist a complex P of finitely generated projective A -modules such that E and P are quasi-isomorphic. Let $\text{Perf}(A)$ denote the stable ∞ -category of perfect A -complexes.

Proposition 5.2. *Let A be a V -algebra and I an ideal which is contained in the Jacobson radical of A . For $n \geq 1$, set $A_n = A/I^n$, and $A_\infty = \varprojlim A_n$. Then the canonical adjunction $(-\otimes_A^\mathbb{L} A_n) : D(A_\infty) \rightleftarrows 2 - \varprojlim D(A_n) : \mathbb{R} \varprojlim_n$ induces a categorical equivalence*

$$\mathbb{R} \varprojlim_n : 2 - \varprojlim \text{Perf}(A_n) \rightarrow \text{Perf}(A_\infty)$$

between stable ∞ -categories.

proof. Let E be a perfect A_∞ -complex. Since E is a dualizable object of the derived category $D(A_\infty)$, $E \otimes_{A_\infty}^\mathbb{L} (-)$ preserves all small limits. Therefore the canonical morphism $E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \varprojlim (E \otimes_{A_\infty}^\mathbb{L} A_n)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Therefore $E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \varprojlim (E \otimes_{A_\infty}^\mathbb{L} A_n)$

Conversely, let (E_n) be an inverse system of complex of finitely generated projective A_n -modules. Since $A_\infty \rightarrow A_n$ is surjective for each $n \geq 1$, $\varprojlim E_n \rightarrow E_n$ is also surjective for each $n \geq 1$. Therefore, clearly, the canonical morphism $(\varprojlim E_n) \otimes_{A_\infty} A_n \rightarrow E_n$ is a quasi-isomorphism for each $n \geq 1$. Hence the morphism $(\varprojlim E_n) \otimes_{A_\infty} A_n \rightarrow (E_n)$ is an inverse system of quasi-isomorphisms.

Let $\sigma_{[a,b]}E$ denote the stupid truncation of E of degree a to B :

$$\sigma_{[a,b]}E : \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow E_a \rightarrow E_{a-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_b \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Considering the fiber sequences $\sigma_0 E \rightarrow E \rightarrow \sigma_{<0} E$ and $\sigma_0 E^{\vee\vee} \rightarrow E^{\vee\vee} \rightarrow \sigma_{<0} E^{\vee\vee}$, the problem can be reduced the case of projective modules Proposition 5.1 by induction on the length of complexes. Hence $E \rightarrow E^{\vee\vee}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. \square

Theorem 5.3. *Let $(A_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an inverse system of commutative rings and write $A = \varprojlim A_n$. Assume that $\varprojlim : 2 - \varprojlim \text{PMod}_{A_n} \rightarrow \text{PMod}_A$ is a categorical equivalence. The functor $(-\otimes_A A_n)_{n \geq 1} : \text{Alg}_A^{\text{FSyn}} \rightarrow 2 - \varprojlim_n \text{Alg}_{A_n}^{\text{FSyn}}$ is a categorical equivalence.*

proof. Let (B_n) be an inverse system of finite syntomic A_n -algebras. Then, by the assumption, $B = \varprojlim A_n$ is finite, projective A -algebra. We show that the relative cotangent complex $L_{B/A}$ is perfect and tor-amplitude in $[-1, 0]$. Since $B \rightarrow B_n$ is unramified, the sequence $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow B_n$ induces a quasi-isomorphism $L_{B/A} \otimes_B B_n \rightarrow L_{B_n/A}$. Similarly, the sequence $A \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow B_n$ induces a quasi-isomorphism $L_{B_n/A} \rightarrow L_{B_n/A_n}$. Therefore $L_{B/A} \otimes_B B_n \rightarrow L_{B_n/A_n}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. By the Milnor exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim^1 H_{\bullet+1}(L_{B_n/A_n}) \rightarrow H_\bullet(\varprojlim L_{B_n/A_n}) \rightarrow \varprojlim H_\bullet(L_{B_n/A_n}) \rightarrow 0,$$

the projective limit $\varprojlim(L_{B/A} \otimes_B B_n)$ is tor-amplitude in $[-1, 0]$. Since each B_n is finitely generated projective A -module, $B \otimes_A A_n \rightarrow B_n$ is an isomorphism for each n . Then $L_{B/A} \otimes_A A_n \rightarrow L_{B/A} \otimes_B (B \otimes_A A_n) \rightarrow L_{B/A} \otimes_B B_n$ is a composition of quasi-isomorphisms for each n . By Proposition 5.2, $L_{B/A} \rightarrow \varprojlim L_{B_n/A_n}$ is a quasi-isomorphism, and it is a perfect complex of B -modules. \square

5.2. Algebraic cobordism of nilpotent algebras. Let A be a commutative ring. Then the direct sum $\mathbb{Z} \oplus A$ has a canonical unital ring structure defined by

$$(m, a) \cdot (n, b) = (mn, na + mb + ab)$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a, b \in A$.

Let CRng denote the category of commutative rings and Cring the category of commutative unital rings. Then the functor $\mathbb{Z} \oplus - : \text{CRing} \rightarrow \text{Cring}$ induces a categorical equivalence between CRing and the category of augmented commutative rings $\text{Cring}_{/\mathbb{Z}}$. The right adjoint of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus -$ is the augmented ideal functor $\text{Ker}(- \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}) : \text{Cring}_{/\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \text{CRing}$, becoming the quasi-inverse of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus -$.

Definition 5.4. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of commutative rings. Then the relative cotangent complex $L_{B/A}$ is defined to be the cotangent complex $L_{\mathbb{Z} \oplus B / \mathbb{Z} \oplus A}$ of the induced unital ring homomorphism.

Proposition 5.5. Let $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of commutative rings. Then the functor $L : \text{Cring} \rightarrow D(\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ induces a distinguished triangle:

$$L_{A'} \rightarrow L_A \rightarrow L_{A''} \rightarrow L_{A'}[1].$$

proof. Since the functor $\mathbb{Z} \oplus -$ preserves all small colimits, one has a canonical isomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \oplus A'' \simeq (\mathbb{Z} \oplus A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} \oplus A'} \mathbb{Z}$. The cotangent complex functor $L : \text{CAlg}_{/\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow D(\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}})$ of stable ∞ -categories preserves all small colimits. Hence, $L_{A'} \rightarrow L_A \rightarrow L_{A''}$ is a cofiber sequence. \square

Let V be a commutative ring and $\omega \in V$ a non-zero divisor. For $n \geq 0$, set $A_n = A/\omega^{n+1}A$. Write $\bar{A} = \omega A/\omega^2 A$ and consider an exact sequence

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bar{A} \xrightarrow{\cdot \omega^{n-1}} A_n \rightarrow A_{n-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

We remark that the map multiplication by $\omega^{n-1} : \bar{A} \rightarrow A_n$ is a ring homomorphism. Indeed, one has $\omega^{n-1} \cdot \omega^2 = 0 = \omega^{2n}$ in A_n for $n \geq 1$.

Definition 5.6. Let K be a complete non-Archimedean non-discrete valuation field of rank 1, and K° denote the subring of powerbounded elements. We say that K is a *perfectoid field* if the Frobenius $\Phi : K^\circ/p \rightarrow K^\circ/p$ is surjective, where p is a positive prime integer which is equal to the characteristic of the residue field of K° .

This section fixes a perfectoid field K whose valuation ring K° is mixed characteristic $(0, p)$. We put $V = K^\circ$ and $\mathfrak{m} = K^{\circ\circ}$, where $K^{\circ\circ} = \{x \in K \mid |x| < 1\}$ is the maximal ideal of K° . Then

\mathfrak{m} is the set of topologically nilpotent elements, being idempotent. We fix a pseudouniformizer $\omega \in V$ with $|p| \leq |\omega| < 1$.

Definition 5.7. An integral perfectoid V -algebra is an ω -adic complete flat V -algebra A on which Frobenius induces an isomorphism $\Phi : A/\omega^{\frac{1}{p}}A \rightarrow A/\omega A$. For any V -algebra B , B^b denotes the *tilting* $\varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} B/\omega B$ of B .

Proposition 5.8. Let K be a perfectoid field with the valuation ring V whose residue field is of characteristic $p > 0$. Let ω be a pseudouniformizer and $\mathfrak{m} = \varinjlim_{m \geq 1} \omega^{p^{-m}}V$. Let A be an integral perfectoid V -algebra and write $A_n = A/\omega^{n+1}A$. Then $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \otimes_V A_n$ is an ind-finite syntomic $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \otimes_V \bar{A}$ -algebra for $n \geq 1$.

proof. We prove that $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \otimes_V A_n$ is finite syntomic over $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \otimes_V \bar{A}$. The injection $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \otimes_V \bar{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \otimes_V A_n$ is induced by the inductive system of ring homomorphisms:

$$\omega^{n-1} \cdot \text{Id} : \omega^{1+\frac{1}{p^m}}A/\omega^{2+\frac{1}{p^m}}A \rightarrow \omega^{\frac{1}{p^m}}A/\omega^{n+1+\frac{1}{p^m}}A,$$

which is finite syntomic for each $m \geq 1$. That is. The ring $\omega^{\frac{1}{p^m}}A/\omega^{n+1+\frac{1}{p^m}}A$ is finite syntomic over the image $(\omega^{\frac{1}{p^m}})^{n p^m + 1}A/\omega^{n+1+\frac{1}{p^m}}A$. Hence the morphism $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \otimes_V \bar{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \otimes_V A_n$ is ind-finite syntomic. \square

5.3. Finite syntomic algebraic cobordism of non-unital algebras.

Proposition 5.9. Let K be a perfectoid field with the valuation ring V whose residue field is of characteristic $p > 0$. Let ω be a pseudouniformizer and $\mathfrak{m} = \varinjlim_{m \geq 1} \omega^{p^{-m}}V$. Then the injection $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \otimes_V \bar{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \otimes_V A_n$ induces a weak equivalence

$$\text{MGL}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \otimes_V \bar{A}) \rightarrow \text{MGL}(\mathbb{Z}_0(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \otimes_V A_n))$$

of finite syntomic algebraic cobordisms for each $n \geq 1$, where $\mathbb{Z}_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}_0(\text{Spec}A)$ denotes the zero-section stabilization.

proof. Since

$$\text{MGL}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \omega^{1+\frac{1}{p^m}}A/\omega^{2+\frac{1}{p^m}}A) \rightarrow \text{MGL}(\mathbb{Z}_0(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \omega^{\frac{1}{p^m}}A/\omega^{n+1+\frac{1}{p^m}}A))$$

is a weakly equivalence for each $m \geq 1$, the assertion follows from Corollary 4.8. \square

Let V be a commutative ring and \mathfrak{m} an idempotent ideal. Assume that \mathfrak{m} is a flat V -module. For any V -algebra, the algebraic cobordism $\text{MGL}(\mathfrak{m} \otimes_V A)$ of $\mathfrak{m} \otimes_V A$ is defined to be the homotopy fiber of $\text{MGL}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \otimes_V A) \rightarrow \text{MGL}(\mathbb{Z})$. Clearly, one has a weak equivalence: $\text{MGL}(\mathfrak{m} \otimes_V A) \oplus \text{MGL}(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \text{MGL}(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \otimes_V A)$.

Lemma 5.10 (c.f. [28] p.257, Lemma 5.6.). *Let $(A_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an inverse system of commutative rings. For any motivic spectrum E , there is a Milnor sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim^1 \text{Ext}^{q-1}(\text{Spec}A_n, E) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^q(\text{Spec}A, E) \rightarrow \varprojlim \text{Ext}^q(\text{Spec}A_n, E) \rightarrow 0$$

for $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

proof. Let $\phi_n : A_{n+1} \rightarrow A_n$ denote the transition map for $n \geq 1$. Then the distinguished triangle

$$\bigoplus_n \text{Spec}A_n \xrightarrow{\text{id} - \oplus \phi_n} \bigoplus_n \text{Spec}A_n \rightarrow \varinjlim \text{Spec}A_n \rightarrow \bigoplus_n S^1 \wedge \text{Spec}A_n$$

induces a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \prod_n \text{Ext}^q(S^1 \wedge \text{Spec}A_n, E) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^q(\varinjlim \text{Spec}A_n, E) \rightarrow \prod_n \text{Ext}^q(\text{Spec}A_n, E) \rightarrow \dots$$

This long exact sequence splits into the following short exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim^1 \text{Ext}^{q-1}(\text{Spec}A_n, E) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^q(\varinjlim \text{Spec}A_n, E) \rightarrow \varprojlim \text{Ext}^q(\text{Spec}A_n, E) \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Given a diagram $B \leftarrow A \rightarrow C$ of commutative non-unital rings, $B \oplus_A C$ denotes the colimit of non-unital algebras. Since $\mathbb{Z} \oplus (-)$ preserves all small colimit, one has an isomorphism: $\mathbb{Z} \oplus (B \oplus_A C) \simeq (\mathbb{Z} \oplus B) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} \oplus A} (\mathbb{Z} \oplus C)$.

Theorem 5.11. *Let V be a mixed characteristic perfectoid valuation ring with the unit, and V^b denote the tilting. For any perfectoid V -algebra A , we abbreviate as $A_n = \mathfrak{m} \otimes_V A / \omega^{n+1} A$, $\bar{A} = \mathfrak{m} \otimes_V A / \omega^2 A$, and $A_n^b = \mathfrak{m}^b \otimes_{V^b} A^b / (\omega^b)^{n+1} A^b$. Then the induced maps*

$$\text{MGL}(\varprojlim A_n) \rightarrow \text{MGL}(\mathbb{Z}_0(\varprojlim(A_n \oplus_{\bar{A}} A_n^b))) \leftarrow \text{MGL}(\varprojlim A_n^b)$$

are weak equivalences, where \mathbb{Z}_0 denotes the zero-section stabilization.

proof. Consider the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{R} \varprojlim S^1 \wedge \text{MGL}(A_n) & \longrightarrow & \text{MGL}(\varprojlim A_n) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \varprojlim \text{MGL}(A_n) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R} \varprojlim S^1 \wedge \text{MGL}(\mathbb{Z}_0(A_n \oplus_{\bar{A}} A_n^b)) & \longrightarrow & \text{MGL}(\mathbb{Z}_0(\varprojlim(A_n \oplus_{\bar{A}} A_n^b))) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \varprojlim \text{MGL}(\mathbb{Z}_0(A_n \oplus_{\bar{A}} A_n^b)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{R} \varprojlim S^1 \wedge \text{MGL}(A_n^b) & \longrightarrow & \text{MGL}(\varprojlim A_n^b) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \varprojlim \text{MGL}(A_n^b), \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are homotopy fiber sequences by Lemma 5.10, and the left and right vertical morphisms are weak equivalences by Proposition 5.8. Therefore the middles are weak equivalences. □

Note that \mathfrak{m} is flat. By Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 5.11, we obtain the main theorem of this section:

Theorem 5.12. *Let V be a mixed characteristic perfectoid commutative valuation ring with unit and \mathfrak{m} the maximal ideal. For any integral perfectoid V -algebra A , the spectra of algebraic cobordisms $\mathrm{MGL}(\mathfrak{m} \otimes_V A)$ and $\mathrm{MGL}(\mathfrak{m}^b \otimes_V^b A^b)$ are weakly equivalence. \square*

REFERENCES

- [1] C Barwick, *On left and right model categories and left and right Bousfield localizations*, Homology, Homotopy Appl. **12** (2010), no. 2, 245–320.
- [2] J. E. Bergner, *A model category structure on the category of simplicial categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), no. 5, 2043–2058, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9947-06-03987-0.
- [3] D. Dugger, S. Hollander, and D. C. Isaksen, *Hypercovers and simplicial presheaves*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **136** (2004), no. 1, 9–51, DOI 10.1017/S0305004103007175. MR2034012
- [4] D. Dugger and D. C. Isaksen, *Topological hypercovers and \mathbb{A}^1 -realizations*, Math. Z **246** (2004), 667–689.
- [5] W. G. Dwyer and D. M. Kan, *Calculating simplicial localizations*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **18** (1980), no. 1, 17–35, DOI 10.1016/0022-4049(80)90113-9. MR578563
- [6] ———, *Simplicial localizations of categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **17** (1980), no. 3, 267–284, DOI 10.1016/0022-4049(80)90049-3. MR579087
- [7] ———, *Function complexes in homotopical algebra*, Topology **19** (1980), no. 4, 427–440, DOI 10.1016/0040-9383(80)90025-7. MR584566
- [8] Elden Elmanto, Marc Hoyois, Adeel A. Khan, Vladimir Sosnilo, and Maria Yakerson, *Framed transfers and motivic fundamental classes*, J. Topol. **13** (2020), no. 2, 460–500, DOI 10.1112/topo.12134. MR4092773
- [9] ———, *Motivic infinite loop spaces*, Camb. J. Math. **9** (2021), no. 2, 431–549.
- [10] E. Elmanto, M. Hoyois, A. A Khan, V. Sosnilo, and M. Yakerson, *Modules over algebraic cobordism*, Forum of Mathematics, Pi **8** (2020), 1–44.
- [11] Grigory Garkusha and Ivan Panin, *Framed motives of algebraic varieties (after V. Voevodsky)*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **34** (2021), no. 1, 261–313, DOI 10.1090/jams/958. MR4188819
- [12] D. Gepner and V. Snaith, *On the motivic spectra representing algebraic cobordism and algebraic K-theory*, Documenta Mathematica **14** (2009), 359–396.
- [13] P.G. Goerss and J.F. Jardine, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 174, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1999.
- [14] Mark Hovey, *Model categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 63, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. MR1650134
- [15] J. F. Jardine, *Motivic symmetric spectra*, Documenta Mathematica **5** (2000), 445–553 (electronic).
- [16] ———, *Local homotopy theory*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2015. MR3309296
- [17] A. Joyal, *Quasi-categories and Kan complexes*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **175** (2002), no. 1-3, 207–222. Special volume celebrating the 70th birthday of Professor Max Kelly.
- [18] J. Lurie, *Higher topos theory*, Annals of Mathematics studies, vol. 170, Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [19] ———, *Higher algebra*, Preprint, available at www.math.ias.edu/lurie (2017).
- [20] M. Levine and F. Morel, *Algebraic cobordism*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2007. MR2286826

- [21] M. Levine, *Motivic tubular neighborhoods*, Doc. Math. **12** (2007), 71–146.
- [22] M. Levine and R. Pandharipande, *Algebraic cobordism revisited*, Invent. Math. **176** (2009), no. 1, 63–130, DOI 10.1007/s00222-008-0160-8. MR2485880
- [23] F. Morel and V. Voevodsky, *\mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy theory of schemes*, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques. Publications Mathématiques **90** (1999), 45–143 (2001).
- [24] J. Nuiten, *Localizing ∞ -categories with hypercovers*, Preprint, arXiv:1612.03800 (2016).
- [25] I. Panin, K. Pimenov, and O. Röndigs, *On the relation of Voevodsky’s algebraic cobordism to Quillen’s K-theory*, Invent. Math. **175** (2009), no. 2, 435–451. MR2470112
- [26] D. G. Quillen, *Homotopical algebra*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 43, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1967.
- [27] E. Riehl, *Homotopical categories: from model categories to $(\infty, 1)$ -categories*, Preprint, arXiv:1904.00886 (2020).
- [28] Stefan Schwede, *Symmetric spectra*, 2012.
- [29] The Stacks project authors, *The Stacks project*, available at: <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu> (2022).
- [30] V. Voevodsky, *Homology of schemes*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **2** (1996), no. 1, 111–153. MR1403354
- [31] ———, *\mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy theory*, Documenta Mathematica **Extra Vol. I** (1998), 579–604 (electronic).

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, UBE COLLEGE, 2-14-1, TOKIWADAI, UBE, YAMAGUCHI, JAPAN 755-8555.
 Email address: ykato@ube-k.ac.jp