

# NON-VANISHING MOD $p$ OF THETA LIFTS

XIAOYU ZHANG

**ABSTRACT.** We establish the non-vanishing mod  $p$  of certain global theta lifts from a compact orthogonal group  $O_n$  over a totally real number field  $F$  to a symplectic group  $Sp_{2m}$  over  $F$  under mild conditions.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Acknowledgments	5
Notations	5
2. Automorphic forms	6
2.1. The groups $H$ and $G$	6
2.2. Automorphic forms	9
2.3. The pairings	11
3. Theta lifts	12
4. Theta series	14
4.1. A particular choice of Bruhat-Schwartz function	14
4.2. Fourier coefficients of theta lifts	15
5. Bessel periods and toric integrals	18
6. Non-vanishing mod $p$ of theta lift	25
6.1. $p$ -integrality of theta lifts	26
6.2. $p$ -primitivity of theta lifts: $m = 2n_0$ case	26
6.3. $p$ -primitivity of theta lifts: general case	27
7. Non-vanishing mod $p$ of Bessel periods	28
7.1. Preparations and Summary of Appendix A	28
7.2. Proof of non-vanishing mod $p$ of Bessel periods	31
Appendix A. Equidistribution of CM points	37
A.1. Introduction	37
A.2. Basic set-up	39
A.3. Ratner's theorems and commensurability	40
A.4. Main result	44
A.5. Application to automorphic forms	46
A.6. Examples	47
References	50

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we study some arithmetic properties of global theta lifts of automorphic forms between certain reductive dual pairs. In particular, we show that under mild conditions, a  $p$ -primitive automorphic form has  $p$ -primitive theta lift by a carefully chosen theta series.

Let's first recall some background and relevant information. Let  $(H, G)$  be a pair of reductive groups over  $\mathbb{Q}$  contained inside a symplectic group such that the centralizer of one is exactly the other (we call this a reductive dual pair)<sup>1</sup>. The

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F06, 11F27, 11F46.

<sup>1</sup>We should remark that we consider only reductive dual pairs  $(H, G)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  in this introduction for ease of notations, however we work in fact over a totally real number  $F$  in the main body of the article.

theory of global theta correspondences<sup>2</sup> is a powerful tool that transfers in an explicit way an automorphic form  $\mathbf{f}$  on  $H(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})$  to an automorphic form  $\Theta_\phi(\mathbf{f})$  on  $G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$ , called the theta correspondence/lift of  $\mathbf{f}$ , which depends on another parameter  $\phi$ , a Bruhat-Schwartz function on a symplectic  $\mathbb{A}$ -module. Let  $\pi$  be an automorphic representation of  $H(\mathbb{A})$ . Then the theta correspondence of  $\pi$  to  $G(\mathbb{A})$  is the automorphic representation  $\Theta(\pi)$  of  $G(\mathbb{A})$  generated by  $\Theta_\phi(\mathbf{f})$  for all  $\mathbf{f} \in \pi$  and all  $\phi$ . We can also define local theta correspondence  $\Theta_v(\pi_v)$  for an admissible representation  $\pi_v$  of  $H(\mathbb{Q}_v)$  to  $G(\mathbb{Q}_v)$  for any place  $v$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

The foundational problems in this theory are the criterion for

**Questions 1.1.** *When  $\Theta(\pi)$  is cuspidal? When  $\Theta(\pi)$  vanishes? What is the relation between  $\Theta(\pi)$  and the local theta correspondences  $\Theta(\pi_v)$  for all places  $v$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ?*

All these form the Rallis program ([Ral84a, Ral84b, Ral87]).

To put these problems into the context of this article, we consider a non-degenerate quadratic space  $U$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of dimension  $n$  and a non-degenerate symplectic space  $V_m$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of dimension  $m$ . Then we write  $H = \mathrm{O}(U)$  and  $G_m = \mathrm{Sp}(V_m)$  for the corresponding isometry groups of these spaces.

Then the tower property, discovered by Rallis in [Ral84a], answered the cuspidality problem and the relation with local theta correspondences. More precisely, for each cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$ , there is a minimal integer  $m_0$  such that the theta correspondence  $\Theta_{m_0}(\pi)$  from  $H(\mathbb{A})$  to  $G_{m_0}(\mathbb{A})$  is non-zero. In this case,  $\Theta_{m_0}(\pi)$  is moreover cuspidal. Besides for any  $m > m_0$ ,  $\Theta_m(\pi)$  is non-zero and *not* cuspidal. In case  $\Theta(\pi)$  is cuspidal, we have  $\Theta(\pi) = \otimes'_v \Theta(\pi_v)$ .

The second goal of Rallis program is to give a local-global criterion for the vanishing of  $\Theta(\pi)$ , which says roughly as follows: the theta correspondence  $\Theta(\pi) \neq 0$  for a cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  if and only if (1) the local theta correspondence  $\Theta(\pi_v)$  from  $H(\mathbb{Q}_v)$  to  $G(\mathbb{Q}_v)$  of  $\pi_v$  is non-zero for all places  $v$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; (2) the standard  $L$ -function  $L(s, \pi)$  of  $\pi$  is non-vanishing or has a pole at a distinguished point  $s_0$  (to get a glimpse of this cycle of ideas, we refer the reader to the introduction of [GQT12]). The second part is related to the famous Rallis inner product formula, which gives an identity between the Petersson inner product of  $\Theta_\phi(\mathbf{f})$  and the product of the Petersson inner product of  $\mathbf{f}$  and the special  $L$ -value  $L^*(s_0, \pi)$  of  $L(s, \pi)$  or its residue at  $s = s_0$ :

$$\langle \Theta_\phi(\mathbf{f}), \Theta_\phi(\mathbf{f}) \rangle = L^*(s_0, \pi) \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f} \rangle.$$

In order to establish this formula, Rallis and his collaborators develop the Siegel-Weil formula and the doubling method. The doubling method gives an integral representation for the  $L$ -function  $L(s, \pi)$  ([PS-R87]). One of the latest development in this direction is the identification of the local  $L$ -factors defined by Lapid-Rallis and the gcd of the local zeta integrals associated to a family of good sections ([Yam14]). In particular, we have now a necessary and sufficient condition for the non-vanishing of  $\Theta(\pi)$  in terms of analytic properties of  $L(s, \pi)$  and the local ones  $\Theta(\pi_v)$ . On the other hand, the Siegel-Weil formula relates  $\Theta(\pi)$  with  $\pi$  the trivial representation to an Eisenstein series ([Wei64]). This was extended by Kudla and Rallis in a series of papers ([KR88a, KR88b, KR90]) and eventually culminates in the work [GQT12] (we refer the reader to this article for more references in this direction).

The above very brief description shows that there is an essentially complete answer to the foundational problems in the theory of global theta correspondences. The present article aims however *not* in this direction (we will return to these discussions at the end of this introduction). In the present article we are interested in the arithmetic properties of theta correspondences, which roughly states as follows:

**Questions 1.2.** *Suppose we have an automorphic form  $\mathbf{f}$  on  $H(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})$  satisfying certain arithmetic properties (for example, algebraic, rational, integral,  $p$ -integral,  $p$ -primitive, etc), then can we expect that  $\Theta_\phi(\mathbf{f})$  also satisfies such properties (for certain  $\phi$ )?*

There have been works in some special cases. For example

- (1)  $(H, G) = (\mathrm{GU}(2), \mathrm{GU}(3))$  in [Fin00];
- (2)  $(H, G) = (\mathrm{GL}(2), \mathrm{GO}(B))$  for indefinite quaternion algebra  $B$  in [Pra06];
- (3)  $(H, G) = (\mathrm{GSO}(B), \mathrm{GSp}(4))$  for definite quaternion algebra  $B$  in [HN17].

In this article we want to study the  $p$ -primitivity problem of  $\Theta_\phi(\mathbf{f})$  for the reductive dual pair

$$(H, G) = (\mathrm{O}(U), \mathrm{Sp}(V_m))$$

---

<sup>2</sup>We will not distinguish the terminologies ‘theta correspondence’ and ‘theta lift’.

with  $m = 4n_0$  and  $n_0 = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  and some related reductive dual pairs  $(H, \tilde{G})$ . Our method is in line with the above third case and is different from the first two cases. Our main result roughly says as follows: let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the ring of integers of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  and  $\mathfrak{P}$  its maximal ideal, fix an irreducible algebraic representation  $(\rho_\lambda, \mathbb{W}_\lambda)$  (over  $\mathbb{C}$ , say<sup>3</sup>) of  $H$  of highest weight  $\lambda$  and a prime number  $p$  which is greater than a constant depending only on  $U$  and  $\lambda$ . Then we have the following partial

**Answer 1.3.** *We can construct a specific Bruhat-Schwartz function  $\phi_\lambda$  (depending only on  $U$  and  $\lambda$ ) such that for a  $p$ -integral automorphic form  $\mathbf{f}$  on  $H(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})$  of weight  $\lambda$ , the Fourier coefficients of the classical Siegel modular form associated to the theta lift  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f})$  all have values in  $\mathcal{O}$  (or in an  $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice of a certain  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -vector space). Moreover if  $\mathbf{f}$  is  $p$ -primitive, then one of these Fourier coefficients is non-zero modulo  $\mathfrak{P}$ .*

We believe that there should exist a mod  $p$  version of the theory of global theta correspondences using for example mod  $p$  version of the theory of Weil representations. However to the author's knowledge neither theory has not been fully developed (see however [Shi12, Shi] for such *local* theories over a  $p$ -adic field using geometric methods). Our work can be seen as an intermediate step in transferring the well established characteristic 0 global theta correspondences to mod  $p$  global theta correspondences<sup>4</sup>.

To give a more precise account of our result, we need some more notations. We fix an isomorphism of fields  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{C}_p$  and view  $\mathbb{W}_\lambda$  also as a  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -vector space in this way. We assume that there is a basis  $\mathfrak{B} = (E_1, \dots, E_n)$  of  $U$  such that the quadratic form on  $U$  is represented by the diagonal matrix  $Q_U = \text{diag}(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$  where  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$  are all odd square-free positive integers (in particular,  $H(\mathbb{R})$  is compact). Under this basis,  $H$  is identified with the orthogonal group defined by  $Q_U$ . Then an automorphic form  $\mathbf{f}$  on  $H(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})$  of weight  $\lambda$  and of level  $K$  (a compact open subgroup of  $H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ ) is a map on  $H(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})/K$  with values in a  $\mathbb{W}_\lambda$  and transforms according to the rule  $\rho_\lambda$  under the action of  $H(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus  $\mathbf{f}$  is in fact determined by its values on a finite set. We say that  $\mathbf{f}$  is  $p$ -integral if its  $p$ -adic avatar have values in an  $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice of  $\mathbb{W}_\lambda$ . We say  $\mathbf{f}$  is  $p$ -primitive if furthermore the  $p$ -adic avatar of  $\mathbf{f}$  has at least one value which is non-zero modulo  $\mathfrak{P}$ . On the other hand, for an automorphic form  $\mathbf{F}$  on  $G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$  (of weight  $\tau$  and certain level), we say it is  $p$ -integral if the classical Siegel modular form associated to  $\mathbf{F}$  has Fourier coefficients in an  $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice of  $\mathbb{W}_\tau$  and it is  $p$ -primitive if furthermore one of the Fourier coefficients is non-zero mod  $\mathfrak{P}$  (see §2.2 for the precise definitions).

There is a maximal torus subgroup  $T$  of  $H$  consisting of elements of the form  $\text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_{n_0}, 1_{n-2n_0})$  where  $t_1, \dots, t_{n_0}$  are all matrices of size  $2 \times 2$ . We assume that  $p \nmid \delta_1 \cdots \delta_n \times \#(T(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash T(\mathbb{A}_f) / T(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}))$  and  $p > p(U, \lambda)$  where  $p(U, \lambda)$  is a constant depending on  $U$  and  $\lambda$  (see also Assumption 2.1). We assume moreover that  $n \geq 3$  and if  $n \geq 4$  we require  $\delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3 \delta_4, \delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_5 \delta_6, \dots, \delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_{2n_0-1} \delta_{2n_0}$  are all perfect squares in  $\mathbb{Q}$  (see also Assumption 7.1). Then the main result of the article is as follows:

**Theorem 1.4.** *Under the above assumptions, we construct a Bruhat-Schwartz function  $\phi_\lambda$  with values in  $\mathbb{W}_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\tau^\circ}$  such that the following holds:*

- (1) *Let  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, H(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}))$  be a  $p$ -integral automorphic form of weight  $\lambda$  and of level  $H(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$ . Then the theta lift  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_{\tau^\circ}}(G, \Gamma_0(2, \delta_U), \chi_U^\circ)$  is of weight  $\tau^\circ$  (depending on  $\lambda$ ), of level  $\Gamma_0(2, \delta_U)$ , of character  $\chi_U^\circ$  and is  $p$ -integral.*
- (2) *Furthermore if  $\mathbf{f}$  is  $p$ -primitive and not Spin-invariant (see Definition 7.7, roughly speaking, this requires that a map  $\overline{F}_\mathbf{f}$  on  $H(\mathbb{A}_f)$  related to  $\mathbf{f}$  is not invariant under a certain large subgroup of  $H(\mathbb{A}_f)$ ), then  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f})$  is also  $p$ -primitive.*

See §2.2 for the definition of the notations. The first part is a special case of Theorem 6.1 (where we allow smaller level subgroups  $K$ ) and the second part is Theorem 7.15. This result partially recovers [HN17, Theorem 5.3] (see also Remark 7.17).

We next explain the strategy of the proof for the second part of the theorem, which roughly follows the ideas in *loc.cit*. There are two major difficulties to overcome in order to generalize *loc.cit*: one is to show the non-vanishing mod  $p$  of certain Bessel periods and the second is to show certain toric orbits satisfy some equidistribution property

<sup>3</sup>For ease of notations, we write in the introduction  $\mathbb{W}_\lambda$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space. In the main body of the article, the representation  $(\rho_\lambda, \mathbb{W}_\lambda)$  is over  $\mathbb{C}_p$ . There is really no difference between the two and the latter is only easier to define certain lattices.

<sup>4</sup>Even when a mod  $p$  version of the theory of global theta correspondences can be independently constructed without using our modulo  $p$  process, our work will still work as a bridge between the characteristic 0 version and characteristic  $p$  versions of global theta correspondences. This is especially useful when we use the Rallis inner product formula to study mod  $p$  of certain  $L$ -values. We hope to come back to this point in the near future.

(this is dealt with in the appendix). We first express the  $S_{\mathbf{z}}$ -th Fourier coefficients  $a(S_{\mathbf{z}})$  of the classical Siegel modular form associated to  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f})$  using a sum of  $\mathbf{f}(h)$  for  $h$  running through a finite set  $[\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}}]$  (Proposition 4.5). Moreover these coefficients  $a(S)$  are all in  $\mathcal{O}$  if  $\mathbf{f}$  is  $p$ -integral (Theorem 6.1, this is not so hard and can be proved for more general reductive dual pairs of orthogonal-symplectic type). Then we consider the Bessel period  $\mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S_{\mathbf{z}}, \psi}^*$  of  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f})$  against a character  $\psi$  of  $T(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash T(\mathbb{A})$ , which can be shown to be an integral of the product of  $\mathbf{f}$  and  $\psi$  over  $[\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}}] \times T(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash T(\mathbb{A})$ . A simple argument shows that if  $\mathbf{f}$  is  $p$ -integral, then  $\mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S_{\mathbf{z}}, \psi}^*$  also takes values in  $\mathcal{O}$ . Moreover if  $\mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S_{\mathbf{z}}, \psi}^*$  is non-zero modulo  $\mathfrak{P}$ , then there exists some  $a(S)$  which is non-zero modulo  $\mathfrak{P}$  ( $S$  may be different from  $S_{\mathbf{z}}$ , though it is closely related to the latter, see Theorem 6.2). So it suffices to show that there exists some character  $\psi$  such that  $\mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S_{\mathbf{z}}, \psi}^*$  is non-zero modulo  $\mathfrak{P}$ :

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, H(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}))$  be  $p$ -primitive, then there are infinitely many characters  $\psi: T(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash T(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  of finite order such that  $\mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S_{\mathbf{z}}, \psi}^*$  is non-zero modulo  $\mathfrak{P}$ .*

See Theorem 7.10 for a more precise formulation of the above result. To prove this theorem, we first express the Bessel period as a finite sum over  $\mathcal{R}$  of the product of  $\psi$  and  $\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}'}$  (related to  $\mathbf{f}$  and the conductor of  $\psi$ ), where  $\mathcal{R}$  is a finite subset of  $T(\mathbb{A})$ . Then we consider those characters  $\psi$  of  $\ell$ -power conductors for some carefully chosen fixed prime  $\ell \neq p$  and choose  $\mathcal{R}$  such that the  $\ell$ -th component of any two elements in  $\mathcal{R}$  are *not* in the same  $T(\mathbb{Q})$ -coset. Now we apply a result from Appendix A (here we need the condition that  $\bar{F}_{\mathbf{f}}$  is *not* Spin-invariant) to conclude that for any fixed sufficiently large conductor  $\underline{r}$ , there exists a character  $\psi$  of this conductor such that  $\sum_{t' \in \mathcal{R}} \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}'}(t' \zeta_{\ell(\underline{r})}) \psi(t')$  is non-zero modulo  $\mathfrak{P}$ . We also refer the reader to Appendix A.1 for an introduction to the appendix, which consists of a study of equidistributions of CM points on certain algebraic groups compact at infinity<sup>5</sup>.

Once the case  $(H, G) = (\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{Z}^n), \mathrm{Sp}(\mathbb{Z}^{4n_0}))$  is proved, one can deduce easily more general cases: let  $\tilde{V}$  be a non-degenerate symplectic  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module free of rank  $2m$  (which is  $\leq 2n_0$  and bounded below by some constant depending on  $n$  and the weight  $\lambda$ <sup>6</sup>) and write  $\tilde{G} = \mathrm{Sp}(\tilde{V})$ . Then we construct a Bruhat-Schwartz function  $\tilde{\phi}_\lambda$  with values in  $\mathbb{W}_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\tilde{\tau}_0}$  as in the preceding case such that

**Theorem 1.6.** *In addition to the assumptions in the preceding theorem, we assume  $H(\mathbb{A}_f) = H(\mathbb{Q})H(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$  (see Assumption 6.3 and Remark 6.5), then for any  $p$ -primitive  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, H(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}))$  which is not Spin-invariant, its theta lift  $\Theta_{\tilde{\phi}_\lambda}(\mathbf{f})$  to  $\tilde{G}(\mathbb{A})$  is also  $p$ -primitive.*

In particular, when  $H(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathrm{O}_3(\mathbb{R})$  and  $G(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ , we obtain a mod  $p$  version of the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence on the level of automorphic forms (of course, under very restrictive conditions on  $H$ ).

The proof relies on comparing the Fourier coefficients of the theta lifts  $\Theta_{\tilde{\phi}_\lambda}(\mathbf{f})$  and  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f})$  for some particular symmetric matrices  $S_{\tilde{\mathbf{z}}} \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $S_{\mathbf{z}} \in \mathrm{Sym}_{2n_0}(\mathbb{Q})$  (the assumption on  $H$  is particularly made to achieve this). See Theorems 6.6 and 7.16 for more details and in particular the second part of §6 for notations.

Our work gives a proof of the non-vanishing (*without* mod  $p$ ) of the corresponding theta lifts by a completely different method as in previous works. Besides we can use the above theorem to prove new cases of  $p$ -part Bloch-Kato conjectures using the Rallis inner product formula that we have mentioned in the beginning. We will discuss this in another forthcoming work<sup>7</sup>.

There are some related questions that we do not address in this article, for example, assuming  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, K)$   $p$ -integral or  $p$ -primitive,

<sup>5</sup>The appendix treats cases more general than we need in the main body of this article. We need this because the main results in the appendix can be used for other reductive dual pairs than the orthogonal-symplectic type that we deal with in this article; moreover the distribution of CM points on (compact) Shimura varieties is also a very important problem on its own and the generality that we work in the appendix can thus be used readily for future work in this direction.

<sup>6</sup>The formulation that  $m$  depends on weight  $\lambda$  means that we fix an automorphic form  $\mathbf{f}$  and looks at its theta lifts to symplectic groups of various ranks and study for which rank the theta lift may be  $p$ -primitive. We can also reformulate it by saying that the weight  $\lambda$  depends on the weight, that is, we fix a reductive dual pair  $(H, \tilde{G})$  and study for automorphic forms  $\mathbf{f}$  on  $H(\mathbb{A})$  of which weight  $\lambda$ , its theta lift to  $\tilde{G}(\mathbb{A})$  is  $p$ -primitive.

<sup>7</sup>In an earlier version of this article, the application to  $p$ -part Bloch-Kato conjecture was also included. However we feel that this makes the present article too long and the application is of independent interest and therefore we decide to put it in another work. We apologize for this possible confusion for some readers.

- (1) Does the mod  $p$  theta lift  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f})(\text{mod } \mathfrak{P})$  generate an *irreducible* automorphic representation of  $G(\mathbb{A})$ ? Even without modulo  $p$ , such results seem not yet fully developed. The local counterpart (without mod  $p$ ) is the Howe duality principle ([How90, Kud96]).
- (2) Is the mod  $p$  theta lift  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f})(\text{mod } \mathfrak{P})$  *cuspidal*? Note that the Fourier coefficient  $a(S_{\mathbf{z}})$  mentioned above is for a strictly positive definite symmetric matrix  $S_{\mathbf{z}}$  ( $\mathbf{z}$  has full rank). The cuspidality of  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f})(\text{mod } \mathfrak{P})$  follows from the that of  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f})$  (see Questions 1.1) but is the converse also true? Is there an independent proof?
- (3) Is there a  $p$ -adic family of Bruhat-Schwartz functions  $\phi_\lambda$  parameterized by the space of characters of  $T(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  (or a subspace of it) such that for a family  $\mathbf{f}_\lambda$  of  $p$ -adic automorphic forms on  $H$ , we have a good control of the  $p$ -power which divides the theta lift  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}_\lambda)$ ? This is intimately related to the construction of  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions on  $G$  because one approach is to use the doubling method and construct a  $p$ -adic family of Eisenstein series while the Siegel-Weil formula shows that  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f})$  for  $\mathbf{f}$  a constant map is an Eisenstein series on  $G \times G$ .
- (4) The strategy employed in this article is presumably applicable for any reductive dual pair  $(H, G)$  as long as  $H$  is a quotient of the groups considered in Appendix A with finite kernel. It is an interesting question whether we can also consider  $H$  such that  $H(\mathbb{R})$  is not compact (modulo center): with minor modifications, the results in Appendix A should be applicable to such  $H$ , on the other hand, we are not clear for the present how to modify the strategy in the proof of Theorem 7.10 for such cases.

All these questions are very interesting and important but we have to apologize that we touch none of them in this article. We hope that this list of questions (far from exhaustive) may provide some perspectives for the interested readers in related research domains.

Here is an outline of the article: In §§2 and 3 we recollect the basic notions on automorphic forms and theta lifts that we will need in this article. Moreover we define the notions of  $p$ -integral and  $p$ -primitive automorphic forms on orthogonal group and symplectic group, which are the basis for our work. Then in §4 we define carefully the Bruhat-Schwartz function used in global theta correspondences and deduce some simple properties of the theta lifts of automorphic forms from  $H$  to  $G$  by this particular Bruhat-Schwartz function. In §5 we consider Bessel periods and toric integrals and in §6 we deduce the  $p$ -primitivity of theta lifts from the non-vanishing modulo  $\mathfrak{P}$  of Bessel periods. §7 is the technical heart of this article. We make a digression in §7.1 and recall the main results from Appendix A that will be used in the next subsection. In §7.2, we prove the main technical result of this article on the non-vanishing modulo  $\mathfrak{P}$  of the Bessel periods. In Appendix A, we give a quite general treatment of the crucial ingredient mentioned in §7.1 and we believe it may have interest on its own. When first reading the appendix, we recommend the reader to take  $G$  to be the group as in the introduction of the appendix (so as to avoid the complicated conditions introduced on  $G$  in the beginning the Appendix A), this is also the case that is used in §7.1. Moreover we use also  $G$  in the appendix, this has nothing to do with the group  $G$  appearing in the main text of this article. As the reader can see when he/she goes along this article, the works [CV05, HN17] have a great influence on the ideas and presentations of this article.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank M.Bertolini, C.Cornut, H.Hida, M.-L. Hsieh and J.Tilouine for a lot of useful and stimulating discussions concerning earlier drafts of this article.

#### NOTATIONS

We fix a totally real number field  $F$  of finite degree  $d$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and write  $\mathcal{O}_F$  for the ring of integers of  $F$ ; for a place  $v$  of  $F$ , we write  $F_v$  for the completion of  $F$  at  $v$  and  $\mathcal{O}_v$  the completion of  $\mathcal{O}_F$  at  $v$  if  $v$  is a finite place. Similarly for a place  $v_0$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we write  $F_{v_0} = F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{v_0} = \prod_{v|v_0} F_v$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{v_0} = \mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{v_0} = \prod_{v|v_0} \mathcal{O}_v$  if  $v_0$  is a finite place. We write  $\mathbb{A}_F$  for the ring of adèles of  $F$ ,  $\mathbb{A}_{F,f}$  for the ring of finite adèles and  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F = \mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

We fix a prime number  $p$  and an isomorphism of fields  $\iota_p: \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}_p$ , compatible with the embeddings  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ . We list the real embeddings of  $F$  by

$$\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d: F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

We then denote the composition

$$\nu_i: F \xrightarrow{\tau_i} \mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\iota_p} \mathbb{C}_p, \quad (i = 1, \dots, d).$$

We write  $\mathcal{O}$  for the ring of integers of  $\mathbb{C}_p$ ,  $\mathfrak{P}$  the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\kappa = \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{P}$  the residue field. Using the isomorphism  $\iota_p$ , we will identify  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\mathbb{C}_p$  when they appear as the values of certain maps or the base field of certain spaces of algebraic representations of a group. In particular we view  $\mathcal{O}$  as a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

We write  $\text{Sym}_n(R)$  for the set of  $n \times n$  symmetric matrices with entries in a ring  $R$ ,  $M_{m,n}(R)$  the set of  $m \times n$ -matrices with entries in  $R$ . For a matrix  $A$ , we write  $A^t$  for its transpose. For an  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -module  $M$  and an  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -algebra  $R$ , we write  $M(R) = M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} R$ .

For an algebraic group  $G$  over  $F$ , we write  $G(F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathbb{R}) = G(F \otimes_{F, \nu_k} \mathbb{R})$  and

$$[G] = G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F), \quad [G]_f = G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}).$$

If  $G_1, G_2$  are two groups and  $V_1, V_2$  are representations over a field  $K$  of  $G_1, G_2$  respectively, then we write  $V_1 \otimes_K V_2$  for the tensor product representation (over  $K$ ) of the product group  $G_1 \times G_2$  (this is also sometimes denoted by  $V_1 \boxtimes V_2$  in the literature).

## 2. AUTOMORPHIC FORMS

In this section we define vector-valued automorphic forms on orthogonal group and symplectic group. This is well-known in the literature and we omit the proof of some facts. Along the way we shall also define the notions of  $p$ -integral and  $p$ -primitive automorphic forms, the basic objects of study in this article.

### 2.1. The groups $H$ and $G$ .

**2.1.1. Orthogonal group.** Let  $U = \mathcal{O}_F^n$  with  $n \geq 3$  and equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U$ . We write

$$n_0 = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \quad n_r = n - 2n_0.$$

We fix an  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -basis  $\mathfrak{B} = (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)$  for  $U$  and write  $Q_U$  for the symmetric matrix associated to the quadratic form on  $U$  under this basis, which we assume to be of the form

$$Q_U = \text{diag}(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n)$$

with  $\delta_i$  totally positive elements in  $\mathcal{O}_F$  such that the prime factors of each ideal  $\delta_i \mathcal{O}_F$  are square-free and none of them divides 2 (we say that  $\delta_i$  is *odd square-free*). For an  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -algebra  $R$  containing the square roots  $1/\sqrt{2\delta_{2i-1}}, \sqrt{-1}/\sqrt{2\delta_{2i}}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n_0$ , the quadratic space  $(U(R), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U)$  (obtained from  $(U, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U)$  by extending scalars) is split and we have another basis for  $U(R)$ :

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{B}} = \begin{cases} (\tilde{E}_1^+, \dots, \tilde{E}_{n_0}^+, \tilde{E}_1^-, \dots, \tilde{E}_{n_0}^-, E_n), & n \text{ odd}; \\ (\tilde{E}_1^+, \dots, \tilde{E}_{n_0}^+, \tilde{E}_1^-, \dots, \tilde{E}_{n_0}^-), & n \text{ even}, \end{cases} \quad \text{with } \tilde{E}_i^\pm = \frac{E_{2i-1}}{\sqrt{2\delta_{2i-1}}} \pm \frac{\sqrt{-1}E_{2i}}{\sqrt{2\delta_{2i}}} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n_0).$$

The transformation matrix between these two basis is given by

$$\mathfrak{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2\delta_1} & \sqrt{-1}/\sqrt{2\delta_2} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2\delta_3} & \sqrt{-1}/\sqrt{2\delta_4} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{2\delta_1} & -\sqrt{-1}/\sqrt{2\delta_2} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\sqrt{2\delta_3} & -\sqrt{-1}/\sqrt{2\delta_4} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1_{n_r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

One defines the orthogonal group scheme  $H = \text{O}(U)$  over  $\mathcal{O}_F$ , more precisely  $H$  consists of  $g \in \text{GL}_n/\mathcal{O}_F$  such that  $g^t Q_U g = Q_U$ . We have the following torus subgroups of  $H$ :

$$T_i = \text{SO}(\mathcal{O}_F(E_{2i-1}, E_{2i})) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n_0) \text{ and } T = \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} T_i,$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_F(E_{2i-1}, E_{2i})$  is the quadratic submodule of  $U$  generated by the basis vectors  $E_{2i-1}, E_{2i}$  and we view the special orthogonal group  $\mathrm{SO}(\mathcal{O}_F(E_{2i-1}, E_{2i}))$  as a subgroup of  $H$  in a natural way (sending an element  $t \in T_i$  to the matrix  $\mathrm{diag}(1_{2i-2}, t, 1_{n-2i})$ ). Then  $T$  is a maximal torus of  $H$ . For a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R$  as above, we define homomorphisms

$$\mu_i: T_i(R) \rightarrow R^\times, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & -b\delta_{2i-1}/\delta_{2i} \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \mapsto a + b\sqrt{-\delta_{2i-1}/\delta_{2i}}.$$

Write  $\mathfrak{t}_k$  for the Lie algebra of  $T(F \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}_k} \mathbb{R})$  ( $k = 1, \dots, d$ ). Then we list irreducible algebraic representations of  $H(F \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}_k} \mathbb{R})$  by parameters

$$\lambda^{(k)} = (\lambda^{(k), \circ}; \epsilon^{(k)})$$

with  $\lambda^{(k)} = (\lambda_1^{(k)}, \dots, \lambda_{n_0}^{(k)}) \in i\mathfrak{t}_k^*$  such that  $\lambda_1^{(k)} \geq \lambda_2^{(k)} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{n_0}^{(k)} \geq 0$  being integers and  $\epsilon^{(k)} = \pm 1$  (we call them *highest weight* with respect to  $T(F \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}_k} \mathbb{R})$ ). Moreover two parameters  $(\lambda^{(k), \circ}; \epsilon^{(k)})$  and  $(\lambda^{(k), \circ}; -\epsilon^{(k)})$  correspond to the same representation of  $H(F \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}_k} \mathbb{R})$  if and only if  $n$  is even and  $\lambda_{n_0}^{(k)} > 0$ . The weight  $\lambda^{(k), \circ}$  is the highest weight of one of the irreducible components of the restriction of  $\lambda^{(k)}$  to  $\mathrm{SO}(U)(F \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}_k} \mathbb{R})$ . In case  $n$  odd (we have a direct product  $H(F \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}_k} \mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{SO}(U)(F \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}_k} \mathbb{R}) \times \{1_n, -1_n\}$ ,  $-1_n$  acts by the scalar  $\epsilon^{(k)}$ ; in case  $n$  even, we use the following convention: we let the trivial representation of  $H(F \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}_k} \mathbb{R})$  correspond to the parameter  $(0, \dots, 0; 1)$ , the sign representation  $\det$  of  $H(F \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}_k} \mathbb{R})$  to  $(0, \dots, 0; -1)$  and  $(\lambda^{(k), \circ}; \epsilon^{(k)}) \otimes \det = (\lambda^{(k), \circ}; -\epsilon^{(k)})$ <sup>8</sup>.

Now we fix throughout this article an irreducible algebraic representation  $(\rho_\lambda, \mathbb{W}_\lambda)$  of  $H$  (over  $\mathbb{C}_p$ <sup>9</sup>) of highest weight

$$\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(d)}) \text{ with } \lambda^{(k)} = (\lambda_1^{(k)}, \lambda_2^{(k)}, \dots, \lambda_{n_0}^{(k)}; \epsilon^{(k)}) \text{ as above}$$

with respect to the torus  $T$ . For the consideration of theta correspondences, we impose the following

**Assumption 2.1.**  $p \nmid \delta_1 \cdots \delta_n \times \#(T(F) \backslash T(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F))$ . Moreover for each  $k = 1, \dots, d$ , let  $j^{(k)} \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $\lambda_{j^{(k)}}^{(k)} > \lambda_{j^{(k)}+1}^{(k)} = 0$  (if  $\lambda_{n_0}^{(k)} > 0$ , we put  $j^{(k)} = n_0$ ; if  $\lambda_1^{(k)} = 0$ , we put  $j^{(k)} = 0$ ), we have

(a) case  $(+)^{(k)}$ :  $\epsilon^{(k)} = (-1)^{\sum_i \lambda_i^{(k)}}$  and  $p > \max(j^{(k)}, \lambda_1^{(k)} - \lambda_2^{(k)}, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}^{(k)} - \lambda_j^{(k)}, \lambda_j^{(k)})$ .

(b) case  $(-)^{(k)}$ :  $n$  is even,  $j^{(k)} = 0$  or  $n_0$ ,  $\epsilon^{(k)} = -(-1)^{\sum_i \lambda_i^{(k)}}$  and  $p > \max(n, \lambda_1^{(k)} - \lambda_2^{(k)}, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}^{(k)} - \lambda_j^{(k)}, \lambda_j^{(k)})$ .

For ease of later references, we rearrange the above conditions into the following

(a) case  $(1)^{(k)}$ : **either**  $\epsilon^{(k)} = (-1)^{\sum_i \lambda_i^{(k)}}$  and  $p > \max(j^{(k)}, \lambda_1^{(k)} - \lambda_2^{(k)}, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}^{(k)} - \lambda_j^{(k)}, \lambda_j^{(k)})$  **or**  $n$  is even,  $j^{(k)} = n_0$ ,  $\epsilon^{(k)} = -(-1)^{\sum_i \lambda_i^{(k)}}$  and  $p > \max(n, \lambda_1^{(k)} - \lambda_2^{(k)}, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}^{(k)} - \lambda_j^{(k)}, \lambda_j^{(k)})$ ;

(b) case  $(2)^{(k)}$ :  $n$  is even,  $j^{(k)} = 0$ ,  $\epsilon^{(k)} = -(-1)^{\sum_i \lambda_i^{(k)}}$  and  $p > \max(n, \lambda_1^{(k)} - \lambda_2^{(k)}, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}^{(k)} - \lambda_j^{(k)}, \lambda_j^{(k)})$ .

The assumption on the prime  $p$  allows us to define certain  $\mathcal{O}$ -lattices giving rise to perfect pairings while the assumption on  $\epsilon^{(k)}$  and  $j^{(k)}$  is to ensure the archimedean theta correspondence is non-zero (see also (18)).

Write  $(\rho_{\mathrm{std}}, \mathbb{W}_{\mathrm{std}})$  for the standard representation  $\rho_{\mathrm{std}}: H(F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathbb{C}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}_p}(U(F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathbb{C}_p))$  of  $H(F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathbb{C}_p)$  (the inclusion map). To give an explicit description of the representation (tensor product over  $\mathbb{C}_p$ )

$$\mathbb{W}_\lambda = \bigotimes_{k=1}^d \mathbb{W}_{\lambda^{(k)}}$$

of  $H(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}_p) = \prod_{k=1}^d H(F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathbb{C}_p)$  in terms of generators, we need to discuss for each  $k$  the cases  $(1)^{(k)}$  and  $(2)^{(k)}$  as in the above assumption separately: as before, suppose  $\lambda_{j^{(k)}}^{(k)} > \lambda_{j^{(k)}+1}^{(k)} = 0$ ,

(a) Case  $(1)^{(k)}$ , then  $\mathbb{W}_{\lambda^{(k)}}$  is a subrepresentation of the tensor product  $\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}_{\lambda^{(k)}}$

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}_{\lambda} := \mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda_1^{(k)} - \lambda_2^{(k)}}(\wedge^1 \mathbb{W}_{\mathrm{std}}) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda_{j^{(k)}}^{(k)} - \lambda_{j^{(k)}+1}^{(k)}}(\wedge^{j^{(k)}-1} \mathbb{W}_{\mathrm{std}}) \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda_{j^{(k)}}^{(k)}}(\wedge^{j^{(k)}} \mathbb{W}_{\mathrm{std}}).$$

<sup>8</sup>Our notation  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n_0}; \epsilon)$  (we omit the superscript  $(k)$  temporarily) is in some sense a hybrid of the notations in [Pau05] and [KV78, §II.6]: in case  $n$  odd, our  $(\lambda^\circ; \epsilon)$  is the same as in [KV78] and correspond to  $(\lambda^\circ; (-1)^{\sum_i \lambda_i} \epsilon)$  in [Pau05]; in case  $n$  even, our  $(\lambda^\circ; \pm 1)$  is the same as in [Pau05] and corresponds to  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n_0})_\pm$  in [KV78].

<sup>9</sup>This is equivalent to considering algebraic representations over  $\mathbb{C}$  via  $\iota_p$  and we will not distinguish these two cases.

More precisely,  $\mathbb{W}_{\lambda^{(k)}}$  is generated by the following highest weight vector

$$v_{\lambda^{(k)}} := (\tilde{E}_1^+)^{\lambda_1^{(k)} - \lambda_2^{(k)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes (\tilde{E}_1^+ \wedge \cdots \wedge \tilde{E}_{j^{(k)}-1}^+)^{\lambda_{j^{(k)}-1}^{(k)} - \lambda_{j^{(k)}}^{(k)}} \otimes (\tilde{E}_1^+ \wedge \cdots \wedge \tilde{E}_j^+)^{\lambda_j^{(k)}}.$$

Here the tensor products are over  $\mathbb{C}_p$  and we define  $v_1 v_2 := \frac{1}{2!}(v_1 \otimes v_2 + v_2 \otimes v_1)$ ,  $v_1 \wedge v_2 := \frac{1}{2!}(v_1 \otimes v_2 - v_2 \otimes v_1)$  and similarly for  $v_1 v_2 \cdots v_k$ ,  $v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k$ . This vector satisfies the formula

$$\rho_{\lambda^{(k)}}(t) v_{\lambda^{(k)}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} \mu_i(t_i)^{\lambda_i^{(k)}} v_{\lambda^{(k)}}, \quad \forall t = \text{diag}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n_0}) \in T.$$

(b) Case (2)<sup>(k)</sup>, then  $\mathbb{W}_{\lambda^{(k)}}$  is just the tensor product  $\wedge^n \mathbb{W}_{\text{std}}$ , which is generated by the following highest weight vector

$$v_{\lambda^{(k)}} := \tilde{E}_1^+ \wedge \cdots \wedge \tilde{E}_{n_0}^-.$$

The vector  $v_{\lambda^{(k)}}$  satisfies the same formula as in case (1)<sup>(k)</sup>. In summary, as  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -representations of  $H(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}_p)$ , we have

$$\rho_{\lambda} = \bigotimes_{k=1}^d \rho_{\lambda^{(k)}} \text{ generated by } v_{\lambda} = \bigotimes_{k=1}^d v_{\lambda^{(k)}}.$$

Since all these representations are algebraic, we can also view  $\rho_{\lambda}$  as a representation of  $H(R)$  for any subring  $R$  of  $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}_p$  (for example,  $R = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathcal{O}$ , etc). In particular, we can view  $\rho_{\lambda^{(k)}}$  as a representation of  $H(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathcal{O})$ . The  $\mathbb{C}_p$ -vector space  $\mathbb{W}_{\text{std}} \simeq U(F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathbb{C}_p)$  has a canonical  $\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathcal{O}$ -basis  $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}} = (\mathfrak{b}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{b}_n)$  (so that  $U(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\mathfrak{B}})$ ) and using this basis we construct a canonical basis  $\mathfrak{B}(\wedge^s \mathbb{W}_{\text{std}})$  for  $\wedge^s \mathbb{W}_{\text{std}}$ , consisting of  $\mathfrak{b}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathfrak{b}_{i_s}$  for  $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_s$ . Note that the  $\mathcal{O}$ -submodule  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{B}(\wedge^s \mathbb{W}_{\text{std}}))$  of  $\wedge^s \mathbb{W}_{\text{std}}$  generated by  $\mathfrak{B}(\wedge^s \mathbb{W}_{\text{std}})$  is stable under the action of  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(U(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathcal{O}))$  induced from its action on  $U(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathcal{O})$ , and in particular this  $\mathcal{O}$ -submodule is stable under the action of the subgroup  $H(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathcal{O}) \subset \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(U(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathcal{O}))$ . Then we use this canonical basis of  $\wedge^s \mathbb{W}_{\text{std}}$  to construct a canonical basis of  $\text{Sym}^r(\wedge^s \mathbb{W}_{\text{std}})$  in the same way as above and eventually we get a canonical basis  $\mathfrak{B}(\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}_{\lambda})$  for  $\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}_{\lambda^{(k)}}$ , of which the vector  $v_{\lambda^{(k)}}$  is one member. Then we put (tensor product over  $\mathcal{O}$ )

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}_{\lambda^{(k)}}(\mathcal{O}) := \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{B}(\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}_{\lambda^{(k)}})), \quad \mathbb{W}_{\lambda^{(k)}}(\mathcal{O}) := \mathbb{W}_{\lambda^{(k)}} \bigcap \widetilde{\mathbb{W}}_{\lambda^{(k)}}(\mathcal{O}), \quad \mathbb{W}_{\lambda}(\mathcal{O}) := \bigotimes_{k=1}^d \mathbb{W}_{\lambda^{(k)}}(\mathcal{O}).$$

The  $\mathcal{O}$ -module  $\mathbb{W}_{\lambda}(\mathcal{O})$  is free of maximal rank in  $\mathbb{W}_{\lambda}$  over  $\mathbb{C}_p$  and  $\mathbb{W}_{\lambda}(\mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{C}_p = \mathbb{W}_{\lambda}$ . Moreover it is easy to see that  $\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}_{\lambda}(\mathcal{O})$  is stable under the action of  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(U(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}))$  and thus  $\mathbb{W}_{\lambda}(\mathcal{O})$  is stable under  $H(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O})$ .

**2.1.2. Symplectic group.** Let  $V = \mathcal{O}_F^{2m}$  with  $m > 0$  and be equipped with a non-degenerate symplectic form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$ . Fix a symplectic  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -basis  $(e_1^+, \dots, e_m^+, e_1^-, \dots, e_m^-)$  of  $V$  such that  $\langle e_i^+, e_j^- \rangle_V = \delta_{i,j}$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ . Put  $V^{\pm} = \mathcal{O}_F(e_1^{\pm}, \dots, e_m^{\pm})$ . Then we write  $G = \text{Sp}(V)$  to be the symplectic group associated to  $V$  over  $\mathcal{O}_F$ . Suppose that the symplectic matrix associated to the symplectic form under the above fixed basis is given by the following matrix

$$Q_V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_m \\ -1_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus  $G$  consists of  $g \in \text{SL}_{2m}/\mathcal{O}_F$  such that  $g^t Q_V g = Q_V$ .

We list irreducible algebraic representations of  $\text{GL}_m$  by parameters

$$\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$$

with  $\tau_1 \geq \cdots \geq \tau_m$  (we call them *highest weights* with respect to the diagonal torus and the upper triangular Borel subgroup). Fix  $k = 1, \dots, d$ , a representation  $(\rho_{\tau}, \mathbb{W}_{\tau})$  of  $\text{GL}_m(F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathbb{C}_p)$  of highest weight  $\tau$  (over  $\mathbb{C}_p$ ) can be realized as a subrepresentation of the tensor product  $\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}_{\tau}$  which is given by

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}_{\tau} := \text{Sym}^{\tau_1 - \tau_2}(V^+) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \text{Sym}^{\tau_2 - \tau_3}(\wedge^2 V^+) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \text{Sym}^{\tau_m}(\wedge^m V^+) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F, \nu_k} \mathbb{C}_p.$$

More precisely,  $\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}_{\tau}$  is generated over  $\mathbb{C}_p$  by the highest weight vector

$$v_{\tau} = (e^+)^{\tau_1 - \tau_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes (e_1^+ \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{m-1}^+)^{\tau_{m-1} - \tau_m} \wedge (e_1^+ \wedge \cdots \wedge e_m^+)^{\tau_m}.$$



As in the case of  $\mathbb{W}_{\text{std}}$  for  $H$ , we can use the basis  $(e_1^+, \dots, e_m^+)$  for  $V^+$  to construct a basis for  $\wedge V^+$  and then a basis for  $\text{Sym}^r(\wedge^k V^+)$  and eventually a basis  $\mathfrak{B}(\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}_\tau)$  for  $\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}_\tau$ , of which the vector  $v_\tau$  is a member. Then we put

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}_\tau(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{B}(\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}_\tau)), \quad \mathbb{W}_\tau(\mathcal{O}) = \mathbb{W}_\tau \bigcap \widetilde{\mathbb{W}}_\tau(\mathcal{O}).$$

Moreover  $\mathbb{W}_\tau(\mathcal{O})$  is free over  $\mathcal{O}$  of maximal rank in  $\mathbb{W}_\tau$  and is stable under the action of  $\text{GL}_m(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathcal{O})$ .

**2.2. Automorphic forms.** Let  $\lambda, \tau$  and  $p$  be as above. We review the notion of automorphic forms on  $H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and on  $G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and then we will define the notions of  $p$ -integral and  $p$ -primitive automorphic forms on these groups.

### Automorphic forms on $H$

For the prime  $p$ , we have  $d$  distinct non-trivial morphisms of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebras  $F_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$  whose restriction to  $F$  can be identified with  $\nu_i: F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$  ( $i = 1, \dots, d$ ). This gives a group embedding

$$H(F_p) \rightarrow \prod_{k=1}^d H(F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathbb{C}_p)$$

and thus we can let  $H(F_p)$  act on  $\mathbb{W}_\lambda$  through this embedding (we identify  $H(F_p)$  with its image under this embedding). For the irreducible algebraic representation  $(\rho_\lambda, \mathbb{W}_\lambda)$  of  $H(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}_p)$ ,  $\iota_p^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_\lambda)$  is an irreducible representation of  $H(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})$  which we denote again by  $\rho_\lambda$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Fix a compact open subgroup  $K$  of  $H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ , we write  $\mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, K)$  for the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of maps  $f: H(\mathbb{A}_F) \rightarrow \iota_p^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_\lambda)$  satisfying

$$f(z\gamma hk) = \rho_\lambda(h_\infty^{-1})f(h_f)$$

for any  $h = h_\infty h_f \in H(\mathbb{A}_F) = H(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}) \times H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  and  $(z, \gamma, k) \in Z_H(\mathbb{A}_F) \times H(F) \times K$ . Here  $Z_H$  is the center of  $H$ . This is the space of (complex vector valued) automorphic forms on  $H(\mathbb{A}_F)$  of weight  $\lambda$  and of level  $K$ .

For  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, K)$ , its  **$p$ -adic avatar** is defined to be

$$\widehat{f}: H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_\lambda, \quad h \mapsto \rho(h_p)^{-1} \iota_p(f(h)) \text{ where } h_p = (h_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p}|p}.$$

We will often omit  $\iota_p$  when the context is clear.

Thus for  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, K)$ , one has

$$\widehat{f}(\gamma hu) = \rho_\lambda(u_p)^{-1} \widehat{f}(h), \quad \forall \gamma \in H(F), h \in H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}), u \in K.$$

The values of  $\widehat{f}$  is determined by those at a set  $S$  of representatives of the finite double coset  $H(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / K$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $K$  be a compact open subgroup of  $H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  such that  $p$ -th component  $K_p \subset H(\mathcal{O}_p)$  (that is, for any place  $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ ,  $K_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset H(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ ). We call  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, K)$   **$p$ -integral** if  $\widehat{f}(g) \in \mathbb{W}_\lambda(\mathcal{O})$  for all  $g \in H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ . We call  $f$   **$p$ -primitive** if  $\widehat{f}(g_0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$  for some  $g_0$  (here  $\text{mod } \mathfrak{P}$  means modulo  $\mathfrak{P}\mathbb{W}_\lambda(\mathcal{O})$ ).

In view of the transformation property of  $\widehat{f}$ , the definition of  $\mathbb{W}_\lambda(\mathcal{O})$  and the assumption on  $K_p$ ,  $f$  is  $p$ -integral if and only if for a (or any) set of representatives  $S$  of  $H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / K$ ,  $\widehat{f}(g) \in \mathbb{W}_\lambda(\mathcal{O})$  for all  $g \in S$ .

### Automorphic forms on $G$

Let  $\mathbb{H}_m$  be the Siegel upper half space of degree  $m > 0$ , consisting of complex symmetric matrices  $Z = X + iY \in \text{Sym}_m(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $Y$  is strictly positive definite. Let  $G(F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathbb{R}) = \text{Sp}_{2m}(\mathbb{R})$  act on  $\mathbb{H}_m$  by fractional linear transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \cdot Z = (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}.$$

The stabilizer of  $i \cdot 1_m$  in  $G(F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathbb{R})$  is  $\mathbf{K}_\infty^{(k)}$ , the compact subgroup of  $G(F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathbb{R})$  consisting of  $g$  such that  $g^t g = 1$ . The automorphy factor is given by

$$J^{(k)}: G(F \otimes_{\nu_k} \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{H}_m \rightarrow \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{C}), \quad \left( \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, Z \right) \mapsto CZ + D.$$

We put  $\mathbf{K}_\infty = \prod_k \mathbf{K}_\infty^{(k)}$  and  $J = \prod_k J^{(k)}: G(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{H}_m^d \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})^d$  for the obvious product. For an ideal  $\mathfrak{N}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$  prime to 2, we write

$$(1) \quad \Gamma_0(2, \mathfrak{N}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in G(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F) \mid C \equiv 0 \pmod{2\mathfrak{N}}, B \equiv 0 \pmod{2\mathcal{O}_F}, \det(D) \equiv 1 \pmod{4\mathcal{O}_F} \right\},$$

a compact open subgroup of  $G(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$ . Fix an irreducible algebraic representation  $(\rho_\tau, \mathbb{W}_\tau)$  of  $(\mathrm{GL}_m)^d$  with

$$\tau = (\tau^{(1)}, \dots, \tau^{(d)}), \quad \rho_\tau = \bigotimes_{k=1}^d \rho_{\tau^{(k)}}, \quad \mathbb{W}_\tau = \bigotimes_{k=1}^d \mathbb{W}_{\tau^{(k)}}, \quad \mathbb{W}_\tau(\mathcal{O}) = \bigotimes_{k=1}^d \mathbb{W}_{\tau^{(k)}}(\mathcal{O}),$$

where the first and second tensor product are over  $\mathbb{C}_p$  while the last one is over  $\mathcal{O}$ . We have defined generators  $v_{\tau^{(k)}}$  for  $(\rho_{\tau^{(k)}}, \mathbb{W}_{\tau^{(k)}})$  and then we put

$$(2) \quad v_\tau = \bigotimes_{k=1}^d v_{\tau^{(k)}},$$

a generator of  $(\rho_\tau, \mathbb{W}_\tau)$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Fix  $(\rho_\tau, \mathbb{W}_\tau)$  as above and also a finite order character

$$\chi: (1 + 4\mathcal{O}_2) \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q}|2} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times,$$

we denote by  $\mathcal{A}_{\rho_\tau}(G, \Gamma_0(2, \mathfrak{N}), \chi)$  the space of smooth maps  $f: G(\mathbb{A}_F) \rightarrow \iota_p^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_\tau)$  such that

$$f(\gamma g k_\infty k_f z) = \rho_\tau(J(k_\infty, i \cdot 1_m))^{-1} f(g) \chi(\det(D))$$

for any  $(\gamma, k_\infty, k_f, z) \in G(F) \times \mathbf{K}_\infty \times \Gamma_0(2, \mathfrak{N}) \times Z_G(\mathbb{A})$  and  $k_f = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ . Here  $Z_G$  is the center of  $G$ . We call  $f$  a Siegel modular form of weight  $\tau$ , of level  $\Gamma_0(2, \mathfrak{N})$  and of character  $\chi$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Write  $U$  for the unipotent subgroup scheme of  $G$  over  $\mathcal{O}_F$  consisting of elements  $u(X) = \begin{pmatrix} 1_m & X \\ 0 & 1_m \end{pmatrix}$  with  $X \in \mathrm{Sym}_m/\mathcal{O}_F$ . For any  $S \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(F)$ , the  $S$ -th Fourier coefficient of a Siegel modular forms  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\tau}(G, \Gamma_0(2, \mathfrak{N}), \chi)$  is given by

$$\mathbf{W}_{f,S}: G(\mathbb{A}_F) \rightarrow \iota_p^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_\tau), \quad g \mapsto \int_{[U]} f(u(X)g) \mathbf{e}(-\mathrm{Tr}(SX)) du(X).$$

Here the Haar measure  $du(X)$  on  $[U]$  is chosen such that  $[U]$  has total volume 1.

We fix an additive character  $\mathbf{e} = \otimes_v \mathbf{e}_v: F \backslash \mathbb{A}_F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  given by

$$\mathbf{e}_v(x) = \begin{cases} \exp(2i\pi x), & \text{if } v \mid \infty; \\ \exp(-2i\pi \{\mathrm{Tr}_{F_v/\mathbb{Q}_q}(x)\}_v), & \text{if } v \mid q \text{ a finite place.} \end{cases}$$

Here  $\{\mathrm{Tr}_{F_v/\mathbb{Q}_q}(x)\}_v = \{\mathrm{Tr}_{F_v/\mathbb{Q}_q}(x)\}_q$  is the fractional part of  $\mathrm{Tr}_{F_v/\mathbb{Q}_q}(x) \in \mathbb{Q}_q$ . We also put

$$\mathbf{e}_\infty := \prod_{v \mid \infty} \mathbf{e}_v: F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

It follows from the definition that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_{f,S}(u(X)g) &= \mathbf{e}(-\mathrm{Tr}(SX)) \mathbf{W}_{f,S}(g), \\ \mathbf{W}_{f,S}\left(\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A^{-t} \end{pmatrix} g\right) &= \mathbf{W}_{f, A^t S A}(g), \quad \forall A \in \mathrm{GL}_m(F). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have the Fourier expansion

$$f(g) = \sum_{S \in \mathrm{Sym}_m(F)} \mathbf{W}_{f,S}(g).$$

We next relate Siegel modular forms to classical (Hilbert-)Siegel modular forms:

**Definition 2.6.** For any  $Z = X + iY \in \mathbb{H}_m^d$  ( $X = (X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(d)})$  and  $Y = (Y^{(1)}, \dots, Y^{(d)})$ ), we choose  $g_\infty \in G(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$  such that  $g_\infty((i \cdot 1_m)^d) = Z$  (here  $(i \cdot 1_m)^d = (i \cdot 1_m, \dots, i \cdot 1_m) \in \mathbb{H}_m^d$ ). Then for a Siegel modular form  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\tau}(G, \Gamma_0(2, \mathfrak{N}), \chi)$ , its associated classical (Hilbert-)Siegel modular form  $f^*: \mathbb{H}_m^d \rightarrow \iota_p^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_\tau)$  is given by

$$f^*(Z) = \rho_\tau(J(g_\infty, i \cdot 1_m))f(g_\infty).$$

It follows from the definition that  $f^*$  is independent of the choice  $g_\infty$ . One sees immediately that for any  $\gamma \in G(F) \cap \Gamma_0(2, \mathfrak{N})$ , we have

$$f^*(\gamma(Z)) = \rho_\tau(J(\gamma, Z))f^*(Z).$$

Recall that we have a Fourier expansion for the classical Siegel modular form

$$(4) \quad f^*(Z) = \sum_{S \in \text{Sym}_m^\circ} a(S)q^S$$

with

$$q^S = \prod_{k=1}^d \exp(2i\pi \text{Tr}(\mathfrak{r}_k(S)Z^{(k)})) \text{ with } Z = (Z^{(1)}, \dots, Z^{(d)}),$$

$$\text{Sym}_m^\circ = \{S \in \text{Sym}_m(F) \mid 2S \in \text{Sym}_m(\mathcal{O}_F) \text{ and } S_{1,1}, S_{2,2}, \dots, S_{m,m} \in \mathcal{O}_F\}.$$

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\tau}(G, \Gamma_0(2, \mathfrak{N}), \chi)$  be as above. We call  $f$   **$p$ -integral** if  $\iota_p(a(S)) \in \mathbb{W}_\tau(\mathcal{O})$  for all  $S \in \text{Sym}_m^\circ$ . We call  $f$   **$p$ -primitive** if furthermore  $\iota_p(a(S_0)) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$  for some  $S_0 \in \text{Sym}_m^\circ$ .

We will often omit the map  $\iota_p$  in  $\iota_p(a(S_0))$  and write directly  $a(S_0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$  when the context is clear.

**Remark 2.8.** Our notion of  $p$ -integral Siegel modular forms coincides with the one defined using automorphic sheaves on Siegel modular varieties over  $\mathcal{O}_F[1/\text{Nm}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{N})]$ , since both of which use the  $p$ -integrality of Fourier expansions of Siegel modular forms.

**2.3. The pairings.** Recall we have fixed a highest weight  $\lambda = (\lambda^\circ; \epsilon)$  with  $\lambda^\circ = (\lambda^{(1),\circ}, \dots, \lambda^{(d),\circ})$ ,  $\epsilon = (\epsilon^{(1)}, \dots, \epsilon^{(d)})$  and  $j = (j^{(1)}, \dots, j^{(d)})$  as in Assumption 2.1. We fix an integer  $m > 0$  such that for any  $k = 1, \dots, d$ , the following holds

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} j^{(k)} \leq m \leq 2n_0, & \text{case (1)}^{(k)}; \\ m = 2n_0, & \text{case (2)}^{(k)}. \end{cases}$$

We associate to  $\lambda$  an element  $\tau = (\tau^{(1)}, \dots, \tau^{(d)}) \in (\mathbb{Z}^m)^d$  (cf. [KV78, pp.25 & 27]) such that  $\tau^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$  is given by

$$\tau^{(k)} = \tau(\lambda)^{(k)} = (\tau_1^{(k)}, \dots, \tau_m^{(k)}) = \begin{cases} (\lambda_1^{(k)}, \dots, \lambda_{j^{(k)}}^{(k)}, 0, \dots, 0), & \text{case (1)}^{(k)}; \\ (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_n, 0, \dots, 0), & \text{case (2)}^{(k)}. \end{cases}$$

We also put

$$\tau^\circ = (\tau^{(1),\circ}, \dots, \tau^{(d),\circ}) \text{ with } \tau^{(k),\circ} = \tau(\lambda)^{(k),\circ} = (\tau_1^{(k)} + n_0, \dots, \tau_m^{(k)} + n_0).$$

The symmetric pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U$  on  $U$  can be extended to a non-degenerate  $H$ -equivariant pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U$  on  $\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F}^r U$  in a natural way:

$$\langle v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \dots \otimes v_r, v'_1 \otimes v'_2 \otimes \dots \otimes v'_r \rangle_U := \prod_{i=1}^r \langle v_i, v'_i \rangle_U.$$

Under Assumption 2.1, we have a *perfect*  $H(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant pairing

$$(6) \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U: \mathbb{W}_\lambda(\mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{W}_\lambda(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}.$$

We put

$$W := U \otimes_F V, \quad W^\pm := U \otimes_F V^\pm,$$

and equip  $W$  with the natural symplectic pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W$  induced from  $U$  and  $V$ : for any  $u, u' \in U$  and  $v, v' \in V$ , we set  $\langle u \otimes v, u' \otimes v' \rangle_W := \langle u, u' \rangle_U \cdot \langle v, v' \rangle_V$ . Then we get from (6) an  $H(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{W,U}: (\mathbb{W}_\lambda(\mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{W}_\tau(\mathcal{O})) \otimes \mathbb{W}_\lambda(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_\tau(\mathcal{O}).$$

In the same way, we have an  $H(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant pairing

$$(7) \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{W,U} : (\iota_p^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_\lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \iota_p^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_\tau)) \otimes \iota_p^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_\lambda) \rightarrow \iota_p^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_\tau).$$

The symplectic form on  $V = V^+ \oplus V^-$  induces a perfect pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V : V^+ \times V^- \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , and therefore a perfect pairing on the tensor products  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V : V^+(\mathcal{O})^{\otimes k} \otimes V^-(\mathcal{O})^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  for  $k \geq 0$ . So we get the following  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}}(V^+(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}))$ -equivariant pairings (we let  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}}(V^+(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}))$  act on  $V^-(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O})$  by transpose inverse)

$$(8) \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V : \mathbb{W}_\tau(\mathcal{O}) \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\tau^\vee}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}, \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{W,V} : (\mathbb{W}_\lambda(\mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{W}_\tau(\mathcal{O})) \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\tau^\vee}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_\lambda(\mathcal{O}).$$

Here  $\mathbb{W}_{\tau^\vee}$  is the contragredient representation of  $\text{Aut}_{F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}_p}(V^+(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}_p)) = \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{C}_p)^d$  induced by the action of  $\text{Aut}_{F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}_p}(V^+(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}_p))$  on  $V^-(\mathbb{C}_p)$ . Moreover under Assumption 2.1, the first pairing is perfect.

**Lemma 2.9.** *For any  $w \in \mathbb{W}_\lambda(\mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{W}_\tau(\mathcal{O})$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{W}_\lambda(\mathcal{O})$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{W}_{\tau^\vee}(\mathcal{O})$ , we have*

$$\langle \langle (g, h)w, hv \rangle_{W,V}, gu \rangle_U = \langle \langle (g, h)w, gu \rangle_{W,U}, hv \rangle_V, \quad \forall g \in H(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}), h \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}}(V^+(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}))$$

*Proof.* We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{W}_\lambda(\mathcal{O}) \otimes \mathbb{W}_\tau(\mathcal{O})) \otimes (\mathbb{W}_\lambda(\mathcal{O}) \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\tau^\vee}(\mathcal{O})) & \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V} & \mathbb{W}_\lambda(\mathcal{O}) \otimes \mathbb{W}_\lambda(\mathcal{O}) \\ \downarrow \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U & & \downarrow \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U \\ \mathbb{W}_\tau(\mathcal{O}) \otimes \mathbb{W}_{\tau^\vee}(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V} & \mathcal{O} \end{array}$$

We denote the diagonal map/pairing by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Note that the horizontal pairings are both  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}}(V^+(\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}))$ -equivariant while the vertical pairings are both  $H(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant. Moreover we have  $\langle \langle w, v \rangle_{W,V}, u \rangle_U = \langle w, v \otimes u \rangle = \langle \langle w, u \rangle_{W,U}, v \rangle_V$ . This concludes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

### 3. THETA LIFTS

In this section, we recall the notions of Weil representations and theta lifts. For later computation, we fix Haar measures on the spaces  $V(F_v)$ , the groups  $G(F_v)$ , etc. as follows

- (a) For a finite place  $v = \mathfrak{q}$ , we fix the measure on  $V^\pm(F_{\mathfrak{q}})$  such that the volume of  $V^\pm(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}})$  is equal to 1. For  $k = 1, \dots, d$ , we fix the measure on  $V^\pm(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$  to be the standard Lebesgue measure with respect to the  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis  $(e_1^\pm, \dots, e_m^\pm)$ . The measure on  $G(F_{\mathfrak{q}})$  is such that the volume of  $G(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}})$  is equal to 1. The measure on  $G(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$  is induced from the measures on the Siegel upper half space  $\mathbb{H}_m$  and on  $\mathbf{K}_\infty^{(k)}$ , the former is given by

$$\det(Y)^{-m-1} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m} dX_{i,j} dY_{i,j}$$

where  $Z = X + iY \in \mathbb{H}_m$ , and the latter is chosen such that  $\mathbf{K}_\infty^{(k)}$  has total volume 1.

- (b) For a finite place  $v = \mathfrak{q}$ , the measure on  $U(F_{\mathfrak{q}})$  is such that the volume of  $U(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}})$  is equal to  $|\delta_1 \delta_2 \cdots \delta_n|_{\mathfrak{q}}$  (here the  $\mathfrak{q}$ -adic value is normalized such that  $|q|_{\mathfrak{q}} = q^{-1}$  if  $\mathfrak{q} \mid q$ ). The measure on  $U(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$  is  $\mathfrak{r}_k(\delta_1 \cdots \delta_n)$  times the standard Lebesgue measure with respect to the  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis  $(E_1, \dots, E_n)$ . The measure on  $H(F_{\mathfrak{q}})$  is such that  $H(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}})$  has volume 1. The measure on  $H(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$  is such that it has total volume equal to 1. The measure on  $T(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$  is such that the total volume is 1 and the measure on  $T(F_{\mathfrak{q}})$  is such that  $T(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}})$  has volume 1.

For each place  $v$  of  $F$  and  $X = V, V^\pm, U, W, W^\pm$ , we also write

$$X_v = X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} F_v = X(F_v).$$

We identify  $W^+$  with  $M_{n,m}(\mathcal{O}_F)$  using the basis vectors  $(E_1, \dots, E_n)$  and  $(e_1^+, \dots, e_m^+)$ : the tensor  $E_i \otimes e_j^+ \in W^+$  is identified with the elementary matrix  $E_{i,j} \in M_{n,m}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ . Thus we have an action of  $H \times \text{GL}_m$  on  $W^+$  given by

$$(h, g)v = hv g^{-1}.$$

where the RHS is product of matrices  $h, v, g^{-1}$ . Write  $\mathcal{S}(W_v^+)$  for the space of  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued Bruhat-Schwartz functions on  $W_v^+$ . Then the local Weil representation  $\omega_{W_v^+}$  of  $H(F_v) \times G(F_v)$  on  $\mathcal{S}(W_v^+)$  is given by the Schrödinger model as follows: let  $\chi_{U_v} : F_v^\times \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  denote the quadratic character attached to the quadratic space  $U_v$ , given by

$$\chi_{U_v}(x) = \left( x, (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \det(U_v) \right)_v$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)_v$  is the Hilbert symbol on  $F_v^\times$ . Write  $q_v$  for the quadratic form associated to the bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U$  on  $U_v$  and write  $\gamma(q_v)$  for the Weil index of the character of second degree  $F_v \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  sending  $x$  to  $\mathbf{e}_v(q_v(x))$  ([Rao93, Theorem A.1]). This is an 8-th root of unity. For  $a \in F_v^\times$ , write  $aq_v$  for the product of  $a$  with  $q_v$  and set  $\gamma(a, q_v) = \gamma(aq_v)/\gamma(q_v)$  ([Rao93, A.3]). Then we have ([Kud96, Lemma 4.1, p.17]):

$$\gamma(ab, q_v) = \gamma(a, q_v)\gamma(b, q_v)(a, b)_v, \quad \forall a, b \in F_v^\times.$$

In particular we have the following

$$(9) \quad \gamma(ab, q_v) = \gamma(a, q_v)\gamma(b, q_v) \text{ if } \begin{cases} \text{either } v \nmid 2\infty \text{ and } a, b \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times; \\ \text{or } v \mid 2 \text{ and } a, b \in 1 + 4\mathcal{O}_v; \\ \text{or } v \mid \infty \text{ and } a, b \text{ totally positive.} \end{cases}$$

The computations of these values  $\gamma(q_v)$  and  $\gamma(a, q_v)$  can be found in [Rao93, A.4]. In particular, we have

$$(10) \quad \gamma(q_v) = 1, \quad \forall v \nmid 2 \prod_{i=1}^n \delta_i.$$

We set then

$$\gamma_n(\det(A), q_v) = \begin{cases} \gamma(\det(A), q_v)^{-1}, & n \text{ odd}; \\ 1, & n \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

We write  $\gamma(q) = \prod_v \gamma(q_v)$ . For  $A \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{A}_F)$ , we put

$$\gamma_n(\det(A)) = \prod_v \gamma_n(\det(A_v), q_v).$$

With these preparations we can now give the following well-known formulas for the Weil representation of  $H(F_v) \times G(F_v)$  on the space  $\mathcal{S}(W_v^+)$  ([Kud96, pp.38-39]): for any  $f \in \mathcal{S}(W_v^+)$ , one has

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_{W_v^+} \left( \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A^{-t} \end{pmatrix} \right) f(x) &= \gamma(\det(A), q_v)^{-1} \chi_{U_v}(\det(A)) |\det(A)|_v^{n/2} f(xA), \\ \omega_{W_v^+} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1_m & B \\ 0 & 1_m \end{pmatrix} \right) f(x) &= \mathbf{e}_v\left(\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr}(S_x B)\right) f(x) \quad \text{with } S_x = x^t Q_U x, \\ \omega_{W_v^+} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_m \\ -1_m & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) f(x) &= \gamma(q_v)^{-m} \cdot \int_{W_v^+} f(y) \mathbf{e}_v(\langle Q_V y, x \rangle_W) dy, \\ \omega_{W_v^+}(h) f(x) &= f(h^{-1}x), \quad h \in H(F_v). \end{aligned}$$

The global Weil representation  $\omega_{W^+}$  of  $H(\mathbb{A}_F) \times G(\mathbb{A}_F)$  on  $\mathcal{S}(W^+(\mathbb{A}_F)) = \otimes'_v \mathcal{S}(W_v^+)$  is the tensor product of local ones

$$\omega_{W^+} = \bigotimes_v \omega_{W_v^+}.$$

For any  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(W^+(\mathbb{A}_F))$ , we define the associated theta series  $\Theta_\phi$  to be a function on  $H(\mathbb{A}_F) \times G(\mathbb{A}_F)$  given by

$$\Theta_\phi(h, g) := \sum_{w \in W^+(F)} \omega_{W^+}(h, g) \phi(w), \quad (h, g) \in H(\mathbb{A}_F) \times G(\mathbb{A}_F).$$

**Definition 3.1.** For an automorphic form  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, K)$ , its **theta lift** to  $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$  by  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(W^+(\mathbb{A}_F))$  is the Siegel modular form  $\Theta_\phi(f)$  on  $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$  given by

$$\Theta_\phi(f)(g) := \int_{[H]} f(h) \Theta_\phi(h, g) dh, \quad g \in G(\mathbb{A}_F).$$

**Remark 3.2.** In the following we will consider those Bruhat-Schwartz functions that are valued in a vector space  $V_1$  such as  $\iota_p^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_\lambda)$  and the like. Then the theta series  $\Theta_\phi$  is defined using the same formula as the scalar-valued case. If  $f$  is also valued in a vector space  $V_2$  and suppose there is a pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  between  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , then we define the theta lift  $\Theta_\phi(f)(g)$  to be the integral  $\int_{[H]} \langle f(h), \Theta_\phi(h, g) \rangle dh$  (this is always a finite sum and there are no convergence issues).

#### 4. THETA SERIES

In this section we pick one particular Bruhat-Schwartz function and then study some basic properties of theta lifts by the theta series associated to this function.

**4.1. A particular choice of Bruhat-Schwartz function.** Let  $m, n, j, \lambda, \tau$  etc. be as in §2.2. In this section we choose one particular  $\phi = \otimes'_v \phi_v \in \mathcal{S}(W^+(\mathbb{A}_F))$  with which we study the non-vanishing mod  $p$  of theta lifts.

For each finite place  $v$ , we set  $\phi_v = \mathbb{I}_{W^+(\mathcal{O}_v)}$ , the characteristic function of  $W^+(\mathcal{O}_v)$ .

For  $v \mid \infty$ , the construction is more involved. First we put

$$\phi_v(w) = \mathbf{e}_v(i\langle w, Q_V w \rangle_W) = \mathbf{e}_v(i\mathrm{Tr}(w^t Q_U w)), \quad \forall w \in W^+(F_v).$$

Then we write

$$\phi_\infty = \bigotimes_{v|\infty} \phi_v \in \mathcal{S}(W^+(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})).$$

We next define the following vectors, where  $j$  is as in Assumption 2.1 (we omit the dependence on  $k$  of the first two vectors):

$$\begin{aligned} v_{(r)} &= (\tilde{E}_1^+ \otimes e_1^+) \cdots (\tilde{E}_r^+ \otimes e_r^+) \in \mathrm{Sym}^r(W^+(F \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}_k} \mathbb{C})), \\ \tilde{v}_{(r)} &= \begin{cases} (\tilde{E}_1^+ \otimes e_1^+) \cdots (\tilde{E}_{n_0}^+ \otimes e_{n_0}^+) (\tilde{E}_{r+1}^- \otimes e_{n_0+1}^+) \cdots (\tilde{E}_{n_0}^- \otimes e_{2n_0-r}^+) (E_n \otimes e_{n-r}^+) \in \mathrm{Sym}^{n-r}(W^+(F \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}_k} \mathbb{C})), & n \text{ odd}; \\ (\tilde{E}_1^+ \otimes e_1^+) \cdots (\tilde{E}_{n_0}^+ \otimes e_{n_0}^+) (\tilde{E}_{r+1}^- \otimes e_{n_0+1}^+) \cdots (\tilde{E}_{n_0}^- \otimes e_{2n_0-r}^+) \in \mathrm{Sym}^{n-r}(W^+(F \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}_k} \mathbb{C})), & n \text{ even} \end{cases} \\ v_{\lambda^{(k)}, \tau^{(k)}} &= \begin{cases} v_{(1)}^{\lambda_1^{(k)} - \lambda_2^{(k)}} v_{(2)}^{\lambda_2^{(k)} - \lambda_3^{(k)}} \cdots v_{(n_0)}^{\lambda_{n_0}^{(k)}} \in \mathrm{Sym}^{\sum_i \lambda_i^{(k)}}(W^+(F \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}_k} \mathbb{C})), & \text{case (1)}^{(k)}; \\ \tilde{v}_{(j^{(k)})} \in \mathrm{Sym}^n(W^+(F \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}_k} \mathbb{C})), & \text{case (2)}^{(k)}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We write

$$\mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda^{(k)}}(W^+(F \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}_k} \mathbb{C})) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{Sym}^{\sum_i \lambda_i^{(k)}}(W^+(F \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}_k} \mathbb{C})), & \text{case (1)}^{(k)}; \\ \mathrm{Sym}^n(W^+(F \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}_k} \mathbb{C})), & \text{case (2)}^{(k)}. \end{cases}$$

and define the following vector (the tensor product is over  $\mathbb{C}$ )

$$v_{\lambda, \tau} = \bigotimes_{k=1}^d v_{\lambda^{(k)}, \tau^{(k)}} \in \bigotimes_{k=1}^d \mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda^{(k)}}(W^+(F \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}_k} \mathbb{C})) =: \mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda}(W^+(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}))$$

For a matrix  $A$  of size  $s \times t$  and integers  $0 \leq s' \leq s, 0 \leq t' \leq t$ , we write  $A_{(s', t')}^{\mathrm{ul}}$  (resp,  $A_{(s', t')}^{\mathrm{dl}}$ ) for the upper-left (resp,

down-left) block matrix of  $A$  of size  $s' \times t'$ . Following [KV78, (II.6.10)], for an element  $z^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ t \end{pmatrix} \in W^+(F \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}_k} \mathbb{C})$

with  $x = (x_{i,j}), y = (y_{i,j}) \in M_{n_0, m}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $t \in M_{n_r \times m}(\mathbb{C})$  ( $t$  is the empty matrix in case  $n$  even and therefore should be discarded), we define the following functions on  $z^{(k)}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} z_{(r)}^{(k)} &= \det(x_{(r,r)}^{\mathrm{ul}}), \quad \tilde{z}_{(r)}^{(k)} = \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{(n_0, n-r)}^{\mathrm{ul}} \\ y_{(n_0-r, n-r)}^{\mathrm{dl}} \\ t \end{pmatrix}, \\ (12) \quad z_{\lambda^{(k)}, \tau^{(k)}}^{(k)} &= \begin{cases} z_{(1)}^{\lambda_1^{(k)} - \lambda_2^{(k)}} z_{(2)}^{\lambda_2^{(k)} - \lambda_3^{(k)}} \cdots z_{(n_0)}^{\lambda_{n_0}^{(k)}}, & \text{case (1)}^{(k)}; \\ \tilde{z}_{(j^{(k)})}^{(k)}, & \text{case (2)}^{(k)}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$z_{\lambda, \tau} = \prod_{k=1}^d z_{\lambda^{(k)}, \tau^{(k)}}^{(k)}, \quad \text{with } z = (z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(d)}) \in W^+(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}) = \prod_{k=1}^d W^+(F \otimes_{\mathfrak{r}_k} \mathbb{C}).$$

Then by Propositions II.6.6 and II.6.11 of *loc.cit.*,  $(\mathfrak{T}z)_{\lambda, \tau}$  is a pluri-harmonic polynomial in the variable  $z$  and is a highest weight vector of weight  $(\lambda, \tau)$  under the natural action of  $H(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}_m(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})$  (note in *loc.cit.*,  $H(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})$

is defined using the matrix  $J$  as in p.21 and p.25 of *loc.cit* while our  $H(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})$  is defined using the diagonal matrix  $Q_U$ ). Now we put

$$\Delta_{\lambda}(z) = z_{\lambda, \tau} v_{\lambda, \tau}, \quad z = (z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(d)}) \in W^+(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}),$$

which satisfies the following formula

$$(13) \quad \Delta_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{T}hzg) = (\rho_{\lambda}(h) \otimes \rho_{\tau}(g^{-1})) \Delta_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{T}z), \quad \forall h \in H(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}), g \in \mathrm{GL}_m(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}).$$

Moreover the subrepresentation of  $H(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}_m(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})$  inside  $\mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda}(W^+(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}))$  generated by these vectors  $\Delta_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{T}z)$  for  $z \in W^+(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})$  is exactly  $\iota_p^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_{\lambda} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_p} \mathbb{W}_{\tau})$  (cf.(II.6.13) of *loc.cit*).

With these preparations we can now define our archimedean (vector-valued) Bruhat-Schwartz function  $\phi_{\infty, \lambda}$  associated to the weight  $\lambda$  by the formula (cf. [KV78, p.27])

$$\phi_{\infty, \lambda}: W_{\infty}^+ = W^+(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \iota_p^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_{\lambda} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_p} \mathbb{W}_{\tau^{\circ}}), \quad z \mapsto \phi_{\infty}(z) \cdot \Delta_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{T}z).$$

Compare this with (13), the difference on the weights  $\tau$  and  $\tau^{\circ}$  is because we add an extra factor  $\phi_{\infty}$ . Then we have

$$(14) \quad \phi_{\infty, \lambda}(hvg) = (\rho_{\lambda}(h) \otimes \rho_{\tau^{\circ}}(g^{-1})) \phi_{\infty, \lambda}(v), \quad \forall h \in H(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}), g \in \mathrm{GL}_m(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}), v \in W^+(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}).$$

We assemble these local factors  $\phi_v$  together and set

$$\phi_{\lambda} = \phi_{\infty, \lambda} \bigotimes_{(v < \infty)} \phi_v: W^+(\mathbb{A}_F) \rightarrow \iota_p^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_{\lambda} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_p} \mathbb{W}_{\tau^{\circ}}).$$

This is the vector-valued Bruhat-Schwartz function in  $\mathcal{S}(W^+(\mathbb{A}_F)) \otimes \iota_p^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_{\lambda} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}_p} \mathbb{W}_{\tau^{\circ}})$  that we are going to use in the rest of this article. It is easy to see

**Lemma 4.1.** *For any  $h \in H(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{K}_{\infty}$  and  $v \in W_{\infty}^+$ ,*

$$\omega_{W_{\infty}^+}(h, k) \phi_{\infty, \lambda}(v) = (\rho_{\lambda}(h^{-1} \otimes \rho_{\tau^{\circ}}(k^{-1}))) \phi_{\infty, \lambda}(v).$$

**4.2. Fourier coefficients of theta lifts.** For  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_{\lambda}}(H, K)$  and  $\phi_{\lambda}$  as above, the theta lift of  $f$  by  $\phi_{\lambda}$  is by definition given by

$$\Theta_{\phi_{\lambda}}(f)(g) = \int_{[H]} \langle \Theta_{\phi_{\lambda}}(h, g), f(h) \rangle_{W, U} dh \in \iota_p^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_{\tau^{\circ}}), \quad g \in G(\mathbb{A}_F).$$

We put

$$\delta_U = \bigcap_{k=1}^n \delta_i \mathcal{O}_F \text{ an ideal of } \mathcal{O}_F \text{ and } \chi_U = \otimes'_v \chi_{U_v} \text{ a character of } \mathbb{A}_F^{\times}.$$

We have a product map  $\chi_U^{\circ}: \mathbb{A}_F^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  sending  $x$  to  $\gamma_n(x) \chi_U(x)$ . In general this is not a group homomorphism, however by (9), its restriction to the following compact open subgroup is indeed a group homomorphism

$$\chi_U^{\circ}: (1 + 4\mathcal{O}_2) \times \prod_{q \neq 2} \mathcal{O}_q^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}, \quad x \mapsto \gamma_n(x) \chi_U(x).$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *For any  $g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(2, \delta_U)$  with  $A, B, C, D$  block matrices of size  $m \times m$ , we have*

$$\omega_{W^+}(g) \phi_{\lambda} = \chi_U^{\circ}(\det D) \phi_{\lambda}.$$

*Proof.* It suffices to examine place by place. For a finite place  $v \nmid 2\delta_U$ , we know that  $g_v$  can be expressed as a product of matrices in  $G(\mathcal{O}_v)$  of the forms  $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-t} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_m \\ -1_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1_m & b \\ 0 & 1_m \end{pmatrix}$ . Now the formulas for Weil representation  $\omega_{W_v^+}$  in (11) as well as the value for  $\gamma(q_v)$  in (10) show that all these three types of matrices act as identity on  $\phi_q = \mathbb{I}_{W^+(\mathcal{O}_v)}$  and thus  $\omega_{W_v^+}(g_v) \phi_v = \phi_v$ .

For a place  $v \mid 2\delta_U$ , we use the following decomposition (for ease of notation, we write  $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$  for  $\begin{pmatrix} A_v & B_v \\ C_v & D_v \end{pmatrix}$ )

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A^{-t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_m \\ -1_m & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -A^t C \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_m \\ -1_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A^{-1} B \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} =: a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5.$$

Assume first  $v \mid \delta_U$ . Then we have the following step by step computations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{W_v^+}(a_5)\phi_v(x) &= \mathbb{I}_{W^+(\mathcal{O}_v)}(x)\mathbf{e}_v\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(S_x A^{-1}B)\right) = \mathbb{I}_{W^+(\mathcal{O}_v)}(x) = \phi_v(x). \\
\omega_{W_v^+}(a_4 a_5)\phi_v(x) &= \omega_{W_v^+}(a_4)\phi_v(x) = \gamma(q_v)^{-1}\mathbb{I}_{Q_U^{-1}W^+(\mathcal{O}_v)}(x), \\
\omega_{W_v^+}(a_3 a_4 a_5)\phi_v(x) &= \gamma(q_v)^{-1}\mathbb{I}_{Q_U^{-1}W^+(\mathcal{O}_v)}(x)\mathbf{e}_v\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(S_x A^t C)\right) = \gamma(q_v)^{-1}\mathbb{I}_{Q_U^{-1}W^+(\mathcal{O}_v)}(x), \text{ since } C \equiv 0 \pmod{\delta_U}, \\
\omega_{W_v^+}(a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5)\phi_v(x) &= \gamma(q_v)\gamma(q_v)^{-1}\mathbb{I}_{Q_U^{-1} \cdot Q_U W^+(\mathcal{O}_v)}(x) = \mathbb{I}_{W^+(\mathcal{O}_v)}(x), \\
\omega_{W_v^+}(a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5)\phi_v(x) &= \chi_U^\circ(\det(D))\mathbb{I}_{W^+(\mathcal{O}_v)}(xA) = \chi_U^\circ(\det(D))\mathbb{I}_{W^+(\mathcal{O}_v)}(x), \text{ since } A \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathcal{O}_v).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma for the place  $v \mid \delta_U$ .

Now consider the case  $v \nmid 2$ . The computation is the same as above, except for the first and the last steps: in  $\omega_{W_v^+}(a_5)$ , we have  $B \equiv 0 \pmod{2\mathcal{O}_F}$  since  $g \in \Gamma_0(2, \delta_U)$ , thus we again have  $\omega_{W_v^+}(a_5)\phi_v(x) = \phi_v(x)$ ; in  $\omega_{W_v^+}(a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5)\phi_v(x)$ , we have  $\det(A) \equiv 1 \pmod{4\mathcal{O}_F}$ , which lies in the domain of definition of the character  $\chi_U^\circ$ . This proves the lemma for the place  $v \nmid 2$ .  $\square$

We fix a compact open subgroup  $K \subset H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_F})$  in the following. This gives immediately

**Corollary 4.3.** *For any  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, K)$ , the theta lift  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(f)$  is a Siegel modular form of weight  $\tau^\circ$ , of level  $\Gamma_0(2, \delta_U)$  and of character  $\chi_U^\circ$ .*

*Proof.* It remains to deal with the level and character. For any  $k = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(2, \delta_U)$  as above,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(f)(gk) &= \int_{[H]} \sum_{v \in W^+(F)} \langle \omega_{W^+}(h, gk)\phi_\lambda(v), f(h) \rangle_{W,U} dh \\
&= \int_{[H]} \sum_{v \in W^+(F)} \langle \omega_{W^+}(h, g)(\omega_{W^+}(1, k)\phi_\lambda)(v), f(h) \rangle_{W,U} dh \\
&= \chi_U^\circ(\det D) \int_{[H]} \sum_{v \in W^+(F)} \langle \omega_{W^+}(h, g)\phi_\lambda(v), f(h) \rangle_{W,U} dh \\
&= \chi_U^\circ(\det D) \Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(f)(g).
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Remark 4.4.** *Concerning the level of  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(f)$ , compare the above result with [HN17, §3.7], whose proof relies on [Yos80, Proposition 2.5]. There the author fixes an Eichler order  $R$  of level  $N$  of the quaternion algebra  $D$  over  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ , then the Haar measure on  $D^\times(\mathbb{Q}_v)$  is chosen such that  $(R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_v)^\times$  has volume equal to 1. This dependence of the Haar measure on the order  $R$  is also reflected in the formula of Weil representation for the element  $Q_V \in G(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ , and thus on the level of the theta lift, as computed in Proposition 2.5 of loc.cit.*

For any vector  $z \in W^+(F)$ , we write

$$H_z(F') = \{h \in H(F') \mid hz = z\} \text{ with } F' = F, F_v, \mathbb{A}_F, \mathbb{A}_{F,f}.$$

By Witt's theorem, one has

$$H(F)z = \{x \in W^+(F) \mid S_x = S_z\}.$$

We consider the following integral subsets of  $H(F_v)$  with  $v$  a finite place of  $F$ :

$$\mathcal{E}_{z,v} = \{h \in H(F_v) \mid h^{-1}z \in W^+(\mathcal{O}_v)\}, \quad \mathcal{E}_z = \left\{h_f \in H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \mid h_f^{-1}z \in W^+(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_F})\right\} = \prod_{v \nmid \infty} \mathcal{E}_{z,v}.$$

By definition, one has

$$H_z(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \cdot \mathcal{E}_z \cdot H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_F}) = \mathcal{E}_z.$$



Then  $H_z(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \backslash \mathcal{E}_z / H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$  is finite (cf. [Yos84, Proposition 1.5]) and thus the double quotient

$$[\mathcal{E}_{z,K}] := H_z(F) \backslash \mathcal{E}_z / K$$

is also a finite set. For  $S \in \text{Sym}_m(F)$  and  $g \in G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(f),S}(g) &= \int_{[U]} \Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(f)(g) \mathbf{e}(-\text{Tr}(SX)) du(X) \\ &= \int_{[H]} \sum_{v \in W^+(F)} \langle \omega_{W^+}(h, g) \phi_\lambda(v), f(h) \rangle_{W,U} dh \int_{[U]} \mathbf{e}(\text{Tr}(S_v X) - \text{Tr}(SX)) du(X) \\ &= \int_{[H]} \sum_{v \in W^+(F), S_v = S} \langle \omega_{W^+}(h, g) \phi_\lambda(v), f(h) \rangle_{W,U} dh. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if  $\mathbf{W}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(f),S}(g) \neq 0$ , then  $S = S_z$  for some  $z \in W^+(F)$ . In this case, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(f),S_z}(g) &= \int_{[H]} \sum_{\gamma \in H_z(F) \backslash H(F)} \langle \omega_{W^+}(g) \phi_\lambda(h^{-1} \gamma^{-1} z), f(h) \rangle_{W,U} dh \\ &= \int_{H_z(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A}_F)} \langle \omega_{W^+}(g) \phi_\lambda(h^{-1} z), f(h) \rangle_{W,U} dh \end{aligned}$$

Now consider an element  $g = g_\xi := \begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & \xi^{-t} \end{pmatrix}$ , one gets

$$(15) \quad \mathbf{W}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(f),S_z}(g_\xi) = \gamma_n(\det(\xi), q) \chi_U(\det \xi) |\det \xi|_{\mathbb{A}_F}^{n/2} \cdot \int_{H_z(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A}_F)} \langle \phi_\lambda(h^{-1} z \xi), f(h) \rangle_{W,U} dh.$$

If we require  $\xi_f = 1$  and  $\det \xi_\infty > 0$  in  $\xi = \xi_\infty \xi_f$ , by the definitions of the character  $\chi_U$  and  $\gamma_n$  as well as the  $H(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$ -equivariance of the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{W,U}$ , one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{W}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(f),S_z}(g_\xi) &= (\det \xi_\infty)^{n/2} \int_{H_z(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})} \phi_f(h_f^{-1} z) \langle \phi_{\infty,\lambda}(z \xi_\infty), f(h_f) \rangle_{W,U} dh_f \\ &= (\det \xi_\infty)^{n/2} \int_{H_z(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})} \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{E}_z}(h_f) \langle \phi_{\infty,\lambda}(z \xi_\infty), f(h_f) \rangle_{W,U} dh_f \end{aligned}$$

By (14) and the definition of  $\phi_{\infty,\lambda}$  we get further

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{W}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(f),S_z}(g_\xi) \\ &= (\det \xi_\infty)^{n/2} \int_{H_z(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})} \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{E}_z}(h_f) \rho_{\tau^\circ}(\xi_\infty^t) (\langle \Delta_\lambda(\mathfrak{T}z), f(h_f) \rangle_{W,U}) \mathbf{e}_\infty(i \text{Tr}(S_z \xi_\infty \xi_\infty^t)) dh_f \\ &= (\det \xi_\infty)^{n/2} \text{vol}(K) \times \rho_{\tau^\circ}(\xi_\infty^t) \left( \sum_{[h_f] \in [\mathcal{E}_{z,K}]} w_{z,h_f} \langle \Delta_\lambda(\mathfrak{T}z), f(h_f) \rangle_{W,U} \right) \mathbf{e}_\infty(i \text{Tr}(S_z \xi_\infty \xi_\infty^t)) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(16) \quad w_{z,h_f} = 1/\#(H_z(F) \cap h_f K h_f^{-1}).$$

Note that  $H_z(F) \cap h_f K h_f^{-1} \subset H(F) \cap h_f K h_f^{-1}$  and the latter is indeed a finite group. Expressing the above result using classical Siegel modular forms, we get the following

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, K)$ . Then the Fourier expansion for the classical Siegel modular form  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}^*(f)$  associated to  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(f)$  is given by*

$$\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}^*(f)(Z) = \sum_{S \in \text{Sym}_m^o} a(S) q^S, \quad Z \in \mathbb{H}_{2n}^d$$

where  $S = S_z$  for some  $z \in W^+(F)$  and  $a(S_z) = \text{vol}(K) \sum_{[h_f] \in [\mathcal{E}_z, K]} w_{z, h_f} \langle \Delta_\lambda(\mathfrak{T}z), f(h_f) \rangle_{W, U}$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from (13) and the relation between  $\tau$  and  $\tau^\circ$ . □

In the following for  $m = 2n_0$ , we will take  $\mathbf{z} \in M_{n, 2n_0}(F)$  to be explicitly given by

$$\mathbf{z} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{z}' \\ 0_{n_r \times 2n_0} \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \mathbf{z}' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_{2n_0}(\mathcal{O}_F).$$

One checks easily

$$(17) \quad \mathfrak{T}\mathbf{z} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{z}_1 & \mathbf{z}_2 \\ \mathbf{z}_1 & -\mathbf{z}_2 \\ 0_{n_r \times n_0} & 0_{n_r \times n_0} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{z}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{diag}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_1}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_3}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_{2n_0-1}}})$  and  $\mathbf{z}_2 = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\sqrt{2}} \text{diag}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_4}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_{2n_0}}})$ . A simple computation using the definition in (12) then gives:

$$(18) \quad (\mathfrak{T}\mathbf{z})_{\lambda^{(k)}, \tau^{(k)}} = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{r}_k \left( \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\delta_1}} \right)^{\lambda_1^{(k)} - \lambda_2^{(k)}} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\delta_1}\sqrt{2\delta_3}} \right)^{\lambda_2^{(k)} - \lambda_3^{(k)}} \cdots \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\delta_1} \cdots \sqrt{2\delta_{2n_0-1}}} \right)^{\lambda_{n_0}^{(k)}} \right), & \text{case (1)}^{(k)}; \\ \mathfrak{r}_k \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\delta_1}\sqrt{2\delta_2} \cdots \sqrt{2\delta_{2n_0}}} \right), & \text{case (2)}^{(k)}. \end{cases}$$

## 5. BESSEL PERIODS AND TORIC INTEGRALS

In this section we will assume  $m = 2n_0$  and define Bessel periods of the Fourier coefficients of the theta lift  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f})$  and then relate them to certain toric periods of the corresponding automorphic form  $\mathbf{f}$  under certain conditions.

Recall we have a maximal torus  $T = T_1 \times T_2 \times \cdots \times T_{n_0}$  of  $H$ . We define the following morphisms of algebraic groups

$$\begin{aligned} j_1: T &\rightarrow \text{GL}_{2n_0}, \quad \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_{n_0}) \mapsto (\mathbf{z}')^{-1} \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_{n_0}) \mathbf{z}', \\ j: T &\rightarrow G, \quad t \mapsto \text{diag}(j_1(t), j_1(t)^{-t}). \end{aligned}$$

So we have  $tz = \mathbf{z}j_1(t)$ . We write the Haar measure on  $T(\mathbb{A}_F) = T(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}) \times T(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  by  $dt = dt_\infty dt_f$ . Write  $d\bar{t}$  for the quotient measure on  $[T]$  induced from  $dt$  on  $T(\mathbb{A}_F)$  and similarly for  $d\bar{t}_f$  on  $[T]_f$ . We fix Haar measure  $da = da_\infty da_f$  on  $H_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbb{A}_F)$  such that  $\text{vol}(H_{\mathbf{z}}(F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}), da_\infty) = 1$  for all  $k$  and  $\text{vol}(H_{\mathbf{z}}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F), da_f) = 1$ .

**Definition 5.1.** *For a Siegel modular form  $\mathcal{F}$  of weight  $\rho_{\tau^\circ}$  (of certain level and character), a symmetric matrix  $S \in \text{Sym}_{2n}(F)$  and a finite order character  $\psi: [T] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ , we define the vector-valued **Bessel period** associated to the triple  $(\mathcal{F}, S, \psi)$  to be the following map*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{F}, S, \psi}: G(\mathbb{A}_F) &\rightarrow \iota_p^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_{\tau^\circ}), \\ g &\mapsto \int_{[T]} \mathbf{W}_{\mathcal{F}, S}(j(t)g) \psi(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now we fix  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, K)$ . The Bessel period associated to the triple  $(\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S, \psi)$  can be computed for certain kind of  $g$  as follows: for  $\xi \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n_0}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ , as in the case of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z},v}$ , we set

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z},\xi;v} = \{h \in H(F_v) | h^{-1}\mathbf{z}\xi_v \in W^+(\mathcal{O}_v)\}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z},\xi} = \prod_{v \nmid \infty} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z},\xi;v} \subset H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}).$$

By construction, we have  $H_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z},\xi}H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F) = \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z},\xi}$ . Moreover for any  $h_f \in H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ , one has

$$\phi_{\lambda,f}(h_f^{-1}\mathbf{z}\xi) = \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z},\xi}}(h_f).$$

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, K)$ ,  $\psi: [T] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  a finite order character and assume that it is trivial on  $T(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$ , then*

$$\mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S, \psi}(g_\xi) = \chi_U(\det \xi) |\det \xi|_{\mathbb{A}_{F,f}}^{n/2} \int_{H_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \setminus \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z},\xi} [T \times H_{\mathbf{z}}]_f} \int \langle \phi_{\lambda,\infty}(\mathbf{z}), \mathbf{f}(t_f h_f) \rangle_{W,U} \psi(t_f) dt_f dh_f.$$

*Proof.* By (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S, \psi}(g_\xi) \\ &= \int_{[T] H_{\mathbf{z}}(F) \setminus H(\mathbb{A}_F)} \int \langle \omega_{W^+}(j(t)g_\xi)\phi_\lambda(t^{-1}h^{-1}\mathbf{z}), \mathbf{f}(ht) \rangle_{W,U} \psi(t_f) dh d\bar{t} \\ &= \chi_U(\det \xi) |\det \xi|_{\mathbb{A}_{F,f}}^{n/2} \int_{H_{\mathbf{z}}(F) \setminus H(\mathbb{A}_F)} \int_{[T]} \langle \phi_\lambda(t^{-1}h^{-1}\mathbf{z}j_1(t)\xi), \mathbf{f}(ht) \rangle_{W,U} \psi(t_f) d\bar{t} dh \\ &= \chi_U(\det \xi) |\det \xi|_{\mathbb{A}_{F,f}}^{n/2} \int_{H_{\mathbf{z}}(F) \setminus H(\mathbb{A}_F)} \int_{[T]} \langle \phi_\lambda(t^{-1}h^{-1}\mathbf{z}\xi), \mathbf{f}(ht) \rangle_{W,U} \psi(t_f) d\bar{t} dh \end{aligned}$$

Now making a change of variables  $t^{-1}ht \mapsto h$ ,<sup>10</sup> noting that  $\phi_{\lambda,f}(h_f^{-1}\mathbf{z}\xi) = \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z},\xi}}(h_f)$  and using (14), Lemma 4.1, the transformation property of  $\mathbf{f}$  and the  $H(\mathbb{R})$ -equivariance of the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{W,U}$  as in (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S, \psi}(g_\xi) \\ &= \chi_U(\det \xi) |\det \xi|_{\mathbb{A}_{F,f}}^{n/2} \int_{H_{\mathbf{z}}(F) \setminus H(\mathbb{A}_F)} \int_{[T]} \langle \phi_\lambda(h^{-1}\mathbf{z}\xi), \mathbf{f}(th) \rangle_{W,U} \psi(t_f) d\bar{t} dh \\ &= \chi_U(\det \xi) |\det \xi|_{\mathbb{A}_{F,f}}^{n/2} \int_{H_{\mathbf{z}}(F) \setminus H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})} \int_{[T]_f} \phi_{\lambda,f}(h_f^{-1}\mathbf{z}\xi) \langle \phi_{\lambda,\infty}(\mathbf{z}), \mathbf{f}(t_f h_f) \rangle_{W,U} \psi(t_f) dt_f dh_f \\ &= \chi_U(\det \xi) |\det \xi|_{\mathbb{A}_{F,f}}^{n/2} \int_{H_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \setminus \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z},\xi} [T \times H_{\mathbf{z}}]_f} \langle \phi_{\lambda,\infty}(\mathbf{z}), \mathbf{f}(t_f h_f) \rangle_{W,U} \psi(t_f) dt_f dh_f. \end{aligned}$$

□

We denote the inner toric integral in the above proposition by the following

$$P(\mathbf{f}, \psi, h_f) := \int_{[T \times H_{\mathbf{z}}]_f} \langle \phi_{\lambda,\infty}(\mathbf{z}), \mathbf{f}(t_f h_f) \rangle_{W,U} \psi(t_f) dt_f.$$

We choose a prime  $\mathfrak{l}$  of  $F$  over a rational prime  $\ell$  such that

- (1)  $\mathfrak{l} \nmid 2p\delta_U[T(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) : T(F)T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)]$ ;
- (2)  $p \nmid \#\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}^\times$  where  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}$  is the residue field of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ ;
- (3) each prime factor of  $\delta_1 \cdots \delta_n$  as well as  $-1$  and  $2$  are squares in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}^\times$  (so that the entries of  $\mathfrak{T}$  lie in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$  via the embedding  $F \hookrightarrow F_{\mathfrak{l}}$ ).

<sup>10</sup>We have therefore  $t^{-1}H_{\mathbf{z}}(F)t = H_{\mathbf{z}}(F)$  for any  $t \in T(\mathbb{A}_F)$ , which is essential for our strategy. A generalization of the method used in this article should take this into account.

We fix a uniformiser  $\varpi = \varpi_{\mathfrak{l}}$  of  $F_{\mathfrak{l}}$ . So  $H$  and the torus  $T$  are split at  $\mathfrak{l}$ . We require furthermore that the  $\mathfrak{l}$ -th component of  $K$  satisfies  $K_{\mathfrak{l}} = H(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, n_0$ , recall the isomorphisms

$$\mu_i: T_i(F_{\mathfrak{l}}) \simeq F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\times}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_i & -b_i \delta_{2i-1}/\delta_{2i} \\ b_i & a_i \end{pmatrix} \mapsto a_i + b_i \sqrt{-\delta_{2i-1}/\delta_{2i}},$$

which maps  $T_i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})$  onto  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\times}$ . Then we have an isomorphism

$$\mu: T(F_{\mathfrak{l}}) \simeq (F_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\times})^{n_0}, t = (t_1, \dots, t_{n_0}) \mapsto (\mu_1(t_1), \dots, \mu_{n_0}(t_{n_0})),$$

sending  $T(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})$  onto  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{\times})^{n_0}$ . One checks easily that for any  $t \in T(F_{\mathfrak{l}})$ :

$$\mathfrak{T}t\mathfrak{T}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}(\mu_1(t_1), \dots, \mu_{n_0}(t_{n_0})) & & \\ & \text{diag}(\mu_1(t_1), \dots, \mu_{n_0}(t_{n_0}))^{-t} & \\ & & 1_{n_{\mathfrak{T}}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We write  $T(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})^{\circ}$  for the subgroup of  $T(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})$  consisting of  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_{n_0})$  such that  $\mu_i(t_i) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}$  for all  $i$ . Then  $\mu(T(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})^{\circ}) = (1 + \varpi \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})^{n_0}$ . We consider the following automorphism of  $(1 + \varpi \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})^{n_0}$

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma': (1 + \varpi \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})^{n_0} &\rightarrow (1 + \varpi \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})^{n_0} \\ t' = (t'_1, \dots, t'_{n_0}) &\mapsto \sigma'(t') = (\sigma'_1(t'), \dots, \sigma'_{n_0}(t')) = (t'_1/t'_{n_0}, \dots, t'_{n_0-1}/t'_{n_0}, t'_1 t'_{n_0}), \end{aligned}$$

which induces the following automorphism of  $T(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})^{\circ}$ :

$$(20) \quad \sigma: T(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\mu} (1 + \varpi \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})^{n_0} \xrightarrow{\sigma'} (1 + \varpi \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})^{n_0} \xrightarrow{\mu^{-1}} T(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})^{\circ}.$$

The particular form of the automorphism  $\sigma'$  comes from the adjoint action of  $T(F_{\mathfrak{l}})$  on certain unipotent subgroups of  $H(F_{\mathfrak{l}})$  that we will consider later on. Moreover for a positive integer  $r > 0$ , we put

$$(21) \quad T(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})_{i,r}^{\circ} = (\sigma' \circ \mu)^{-1} \{ \underbrace{(1, \dots, 1)}_{i-1}, 1 + \varpi^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n_0-i} \}.$$

Then we have  $T(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})^{\circ} = \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} T(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})_{i,1}^{\circ}$ . Moreover we have the following induced isomorphism:

$$(22) \quad \tilde{\mu}_i: T(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})_{i,r}^{\circ} \simeq 1 + \varpi^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}, \quad t \mapsto \sigma'_i(\mu(t)).$$

For an  $n_0$ -tuple  $\underline{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n_0}) \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}^{n_0}$ , we define the following compact open subgroup of  $T(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})$  and  $T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$  respectively,

$$T(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})_{\underline{r}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} T(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})_{i,r_i}^{\circ}, \quad T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)_{\underline{r}} = \{t \in T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F) \mid t_{\mathfrak{l}} \in T(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})_{\underline{r}}\}.$$

We define the following quotient groups of  $T(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ :

$$\mathcal{G}(\infty)' = T(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}^{\mathfrak{l}})/T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F^{\mathfrak{l}}), \quad \mathcal{G}(\infty) = T(F) \backslash T(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})/T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F^{\mathfrak{l}}).$$

Here  $T(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}^{\mathfrak{l}})$  means the components at the place  $\mathfrak{l}$  are trivial. Note that  $\mathcal{G}(\infty)$  is a compact group because  $T(F) \backslash T(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  is compact. We view  $\mathcal{G}(\infty)'$  as a subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}(\infty)$  via the inclusion  $T(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}^{\mathfrak{l}}) \hookrightarrow T(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ . We next consider the torsion subgroups of  $\mathcal{G}(\infty)$  and  $\mathcal{G}(\infty)'$ :

$$\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{G}(\infty)'_{\text{tor}} \subset \mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{G}(\infty)_{\text{tor}}.$$

It is not hard to see  $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{1\}$  because  $(T(F_v)/T(\mathcal{O}_v))_{\text{tor}} = \{1\}$  for any finite place  $v$ . The assumption  $\mathfrak{l} \nmid [T(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) : T(F)T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)]$  implies that the following exact sequence of compact groups splits (because the last term has cardinal prime to  $\ell$  while the first term is a pro- $\ell$  group):

$$(23) \quad 1 \rightarrow T(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})_{(1,\dots,1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\infty) \rightarrow T(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})/T(F)T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)_{(1,\dots,1)} \rightarrow 1.$$

The theory of topological groups shows that the quotient  $\mathcal{G}(\infty)/\mathcal{G}_0$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{n_0}$ . Then it follows immediately

**Lemma 5.3.** *The composition map  $\mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\infty) \rightarrow T(F) \backslash T(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})/T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)_{(1,\dots,1)}$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Clearly this map has trivial kernel since  $T(\mathcal{O}_l)_{(1,\dots,1)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_l^{n_0}$  is torsion-free. However the sequence (23) splits, we may view  $T(F) \backslash T(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)_{(1,\dots,1)}$  as a subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}(\infty)$ , which is a finite subgroup, thus a torsion subgroup and therefore contained in  $\mathcal{G}_0$ . Moreover the composition map

$$T(F) \backslash T(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)_{(1,\dots,1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\infty) \rightarrow T(F) \backslash T(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)_{(1,\dots,1)}$$

is the identity map. We conclude that the composition map in the lemma is an isomorphism.  $\square$

It follows from the above description of  $\mathcal{G}(\infty)$  and  $\mathcal{G}(\infty)'$  that  $\mathcal{G}_0$  is a finite subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}(\infty)$  of cardinal prime to  $\ell$  and the composition map

$$T(\mathcal{O}_l)_{(1,\dots,1)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}(\infty) \rightarrow \Gamma := \mathcal{G}(\infty) / \mathcal{G}_0$$

is an isomorphism. We summarize the relations among the above mentioned groups in the following commutative diagram, where the middle vertical and horizontal sequences are exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{G}_1 = 1 & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_0 & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ \mathcal{G}(\infty)' & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(\infty) & \twoheadrightarrow & T(F_l) / T(F) \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{G}(\infty)' & \hookrightarrow & \Gamma & & \end{array}$$

The diagonal arrow being injective follows from the fact  $\mathcal{G}(\infty)' \cap \mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{G}_1 = \{1\}$ . We can thus view  $\mathcal{G}_0$  as a subgroup of  $T(F_l) / T(F)$ . We fix then a (non-canonical) decomposition (which is possible by our choice of  $l$ )

$$\mathcal{G}(\infty) \simeq \mathcal{G}_0 \times \Gamma.$$

For an  $n_0$ -tuple of integers  $\underline{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n_0}) \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}^{n_0}$ , we define the following finite groups:

$$\Gamma_i(r_i) = T(\mathcal{O}_l)_{i,1} / T(\mathcal{O}_l)_{i,r_i}, \quad \Gamma(\underline{r}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} \Gamma_i(r_i).$$

Then the decomposition  $\mathcal{G}(\infty) \simeq \mathcal{G}_0 \times \Gamma$  induces an isomorphism

$$(24) \quad \mathcal{G}(\infty) / T(\mathcal{O}_l)_{\underline{r}} \simeq \mathcal{G}_0 \times \Gamma(\underline{r}).$$

We consider the following unipotent elements in  $H(F_l)$ : for any  $t \in F_l$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n_0$ , we define  $u_i(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}_i(t) & \\ & 1_{n_r} \end{pmatrix}$  such that its conjugate by  $\mathfrak{T}$  is given by

$$(25) \quad \mathfrak{T} u_i(t) \mathfrak{T}^{-1} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1_{n_0} - t E_{n_0,i} & 0 & \\ 0 & 1_{n_0} + t E_{i,n_0} & \\ & & 1_{n_r} \end{pmatrix}, & i = 1, \dots, n_0 - 1; \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1_{n_0} & -t(E_{1,n_0} - E_{n_0,1}) & \\ 0 & 1_{n_0} & \\ & & 1_{n_r} \end{pmatrix}, & i = n_0. \end{cases}$$

Here  $E_{i,j}$  are the elementary matrices of size  $n_0 \times n_0$ . One checks easily that these unipotent elements commute with each other:

$$u_i(t_i) u_j(t_j) = u_j(t_j) u_i(t_i), \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, n_0\}, t_i, t_j \in F_l.$$

The adjoint/conjugate action of  $T(F_l)$  on these  $u_i(t)$  is given as follows: write  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_{n_0}) \in \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} T_i(F_l)$ ,

$$t u_i(t') t^{-1} = \begin{cases} u_i(t' \mu_{n_0}(t_{n_0}) / \mu_i(t_i)), & i = 1, \dots, n_0 - 1; \\ u_i(t' \mu_{n_0}(t_{n_0}) \mu_1(t_1)), & i = n_0. \end{cases}$$

For  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_{n_0}) \in \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} \sigma_i(T(\mathcal{O}_l)_1)$ , by (19) or (20), we write  $(\sigma' \circ \mu)(t)_i$  for the  $i$ -th component of  $(\sigma' \circ \mu)(t)$  (see also (21)). Then we have equivalently

$$(26) \quad t u_i(t') t^{-1} = u_i((\sigma' \circ \mu)(t)_i t'), \quad i = 1, \dots, n_0.$$

We consider an element  $\xi = \xi(\underline{r}) \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n_0}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  such that the matrix  $\mathbf{z}\xi \in \mathrm{M}_{n \times 2n_0}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  is of the form:

$$(27) \quad (\mathbf{z}\xi)_{\mathbf{q}} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{z}}\xi_{\mathbf{q}} \\ 0_{n_r \times 2n_0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{q}}, & \mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{l}; \\ \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} \tilde{u}_i(\varpi^{-r_i}) \right), & \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{l}. \end{cases}$$

We require the character  $\psi$  to satisfy the following

**Assumption 5.4.** *The character  $\psi: [T] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  is trivial on  $T(\mathbb{R})$ , is the product of  $n$  characters  $\psi_i: [T_i] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n_0$  and the conductors of  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_{n_0}$  are  $\mathfrak{l}^{r_1}, \mathfrak{l}^{r_2}, \dots, \mathfrak{l}^{r_{n_0}}$ , respectively.*

So we view  $\psi$  also as a character of  $[T]_f$ . We then write  $\mathbf{t}_{\underline{r}} = [T(F)T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F) : T(F)T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)_{\underline{r}}]$ . For any element  $h_f \in H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  with  $h_f^{-1}T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)_{\underline{r}}h_f \subset K$ , we put

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{f}, \psi, h_f) = \sum_{\mathbf{t} \in [T]_f / T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)_{\underline{r}}} \langle \phi_{\lambda, \infty}(\mathbf{z}), \mathbf{f}(t_f h_f) \rangle_{W,U} \psi(t_f).$$

It follows by definition

$$P(\mathbf{f}, \psi, h_f) = \mathbf{t}_{\underline{r}}^{-1} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{f}, \psi, h_f).$$

By the assumption  $\ell \nmid p$  and  $p \nmid \#\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{l}}^\times$  as well as Assumption 2.1, we have

$$(28) \quad p \nmid \mathbf{t}_{\underline{r}}.$$

We have defined vectors  $v_\lambda$  and  $v_\tau$  in §2.1.1 and 2.1.2. We define the dual vector of  $v_\tau$  in the dual representation  $\mathbb{W}_{\tau^\vee}$  of  $\mathbb{W}_\tau$ :

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} v_{(\tau(k))^\vee} &:= (e_1^-)^{\tau_1^{(k)} - \tau_2^{(k)}} \otimes (e_1^- \wedge e_2^-)^{\tau_2^{(k)} - \tau_3^{(k)}} \otimes \dots \otimes (e_1^- \wedge \dots \wedge e_{2n_0}^-)^{\tau_{2n_0}^{(k)}} \in \mathbb{W}_{(\tau(k))^\vee}(\mathcal{O}); \\ v_{\tau^\vee} &:= \bigotimes_{k=1}^d v_{(\tau(k))^\vee} \in \mathbb{W}_{\tau^\vee}(\mathcal{O}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular  $\langle v_{\tau(k)}, v_{(\tau(k))^\vee} \rangle_V = 1$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, d$  and thus  $\langle v_\tau, v_{\tau^\vee} \rangle_V = 1$ . Then set

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_{\lambda, \infty}^Q(x) &:= \langle \phi_{\infty, \lambda}(x), v_{\tau^\vee} \rangle_{W,V}, \\ n_{\lambda^{(k)}} &:= (\mathfrak{T}\mathbf{z})_{\lambda^{(k)}, \tau^{(k)}} \cdot \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(1!)^{\lambda_1^{(k)} - \lambda_2^{(k)}}} \dots \frac{1}{((n_0-1)!)^{\lambda_{n_0-1}^{(k)} - \lambda_{n_0}^{(k)}}} \frac{1}{(n_0!)^{\lambda_{n_0}^{(k)}}}, & \text{case (1)}^{(k)}; \\ \frac{1}{n!}, & \text{case (2)}^{(k)}; \end{cases} \\ n_\lambda &:= \prod_{k=1}^d n_{\lambda^{(k)}}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5.5.** *We have the following identity:*

$$\langle \Delta_\lambda(\mathfrak{T}\mathbf{z}), v_{\tau^\vee} \rangle_{W,V} = n_\lambda v_\lambda.$$

In particular,

$$\phi_{\lambda, \infty}^Q(\mathbf{z}) = n_\lambda \exp(-2\pi \mathrm{Tr} S_{\mathbf{z}}) \langle \Delta_\lambda(\mathfrak{T}\mathbf{z}), v_{\tau^\vee} \rangle_{W,V} = n_\lambda \exp(-2\pi \mathrm{Tr} S_{\mathbf{z}}) v_\lambda.$$

*Proof.* By definition, one has  $\langle (\tilde{E}_1^+ \otimes e_1^+)^k, (e_1^-)^k \rangle_{W,V} = (\tilde{E}_1^+)^k$ . Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \tilde{E}_1^+ \otimes e_1^+ \cdot \tilde{E}_2^+ \otimes e_2^+, e_1^- \wedge e_2^- \rangle_{W,V} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \langle (\tilde{E}_1^+ \otimes e_1^+) \otimes (\tilde{E}_2^+ \otimes e_2^+) + (\tilde{E}_2^+ \otimes e_2^+) \otimes (\tilde{E}_1^+ \otimes e_1^+), e_1^- \otimes e_2^- - e_2^- \otimes e_1^- \rangle_{W,V} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{E}_1^+ \wedge \tilde{E}_2^+. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\langle (\tilde{E}_1^+ \otimes e_1^+ \cdot \tilde{E}_2^+ \otimes e_2^+)^k, (e_1^- \wedge e_2^-)^k \rangle_{W,V} = \frac{1}{2^k} (\tilde{E}_1^+ \wedge \tilde{E}_2^+)^k$ . In the same manner, we have

$$\langle (\tilde{E}_1^+ \otimes e_1^+ \cdot \tilde{E}_2^+ \otimes e_2^+ \dots \tilde{E}_j^+ \otimes e_j^+)^k, (e_1^- \wedge \dots \wedge e_j^-)^k \rangle_{W,V} = \frac{1}{(j!)^k} (\tilde{E}_1^+ \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{E}_j^+)^k.$$

Now the lemma follows easily from the expressions for  $\mathfrak{T}\mathbf{z}$  in (17) and  $\Delta_\lambda(\mathfrak{T}\mathbf{z})$  in (12).  $\square$

Now we define the normalized toric integrals and Bessel periods as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P^*(\mathbf{f}, \psi, h_f) &= \langle P(\mathbf{f}, \psi, h_f), v_\lambda \rangle_V, \\ \mathbf{P}^*(\mathbf{f}, \psi, h_f) &= \langle \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{f}, \psi, h_f), v_\lambda \rangle_V, \\ \mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S_{\mathbf{z}}, \psi}^*(g_\xi) &= n_\lambda^{-1} \exp(2\pi \text{Tr} S_{\mathbf{z}}) \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S_{\mathbf{z}}, \psi}(g_\xi), v_\lambda \right\rangle_V. \end{aligned}$$

By definition and Proposition 5.2, we have the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} P^*(\mathbf{f}, \psi, h_f) &= \mathbf{t}_\tau^{-1} \mathbf{P}^*(\mathbf{f}, \psi, h_f), \\ \frac{\mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S_{\mathbf{z}}, \psi}^*(g_\xi)}{\chi_U(\det \xi) |\det \xi|_{\mathbb{A}_{F,f}}^{n/2}} &= n_\lambda^{-1} \exp(2\pi \text{Tr} S_{\mathbf{z}}) \int_{H_{\mathbf{z}}(F) \backslash \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi}} \int_{[T]_f} \langle \langle \phi_{\lambda, \infty}(\mathbf{z}), \mathbf{f}(t_f h_f) \rangle \rangle_{W, U}, v_\lambda \rangle_V \psi(t) dt_f d\bar{h}_f \\ &= \int_{H_{\mathbf{z}}(F) \backslash \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi}} \int_{[T]_f} \langle v_{\tau^\vee}, \mathbf{f}(t_f h_f) \rangle_U \psi(t) dt_f d\bar{h}_f. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the following sets (recall we have set  $\xi = \xi(\underline{r})$ ):

$$[\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi; \mathbf{q}}] = H_{\mathbf{z}}(F_{\mathbf{q}}) \backslash \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi; \mathbf{q}} / H(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}}), \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi; \mathbf{q}} = \begin{cases} \{1\}, & \mathbf{q} \neq \mathfrak{l}; \\ \{\prod_{i=1}^{n_0} u_i(-\varpi^{-r_i})\}, & \mathbf{q} = \mathfrak{l}. \end{cases}$$

We then put

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi} := \{\varsigma_\xi\} := \prod_{\mathbf{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi; \mathbf{q}}.$$

**Proposition 5.6.** (a) The set  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi; \mathbf{q}}$  as above is a complete set of representatives for  $[\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi; \mathbf{q}}]$  for any prime  $\mathbf{q}$ . Therefore the set  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi} = \prod_{\mathbf{q}} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi; \mathbf{q}}$  is a complete set of representatives for the double quotient  $H_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \backslash \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi} / H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$  and also for  $H_{\mathbf{z}}(F) \backslash \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi} / H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$ .

(b) For  $t \in T(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)_{\underline{r}}$ , we have  $\varsigma_\xi^{-1} t \varsigma_\xi \in H(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}) \times \prod_{\mathbf{q} \neq \mathfrak{l}} T(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}})$ .

*Proof.* For (a), assuming that  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi}$  is a complete set of representatives for  $H_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \backslash \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi} / H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$ , we show that this latter double quotient is equal to  $H_{\mathbf{z}}(F) \backslash \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi} / H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$ : indeed, note that all the elements in  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi}$  commute with  $H_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) = H_{\mathbf{z}}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F) \simeq \mu_2(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$  (see in particular (25)). Thus we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi} = H_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi} H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi} H_{\mathbf{z}}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F) H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi} H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F) = H_{\mathbf{z}}(F) \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi} H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F).$$

Now we show that  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi}$  is a complete set of representatives for  $H_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \backslash \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi} / H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$ , we consider the following cases separately:

(1)  $\mathbf{q} \nmid 2l\delta_U$ . In this case,  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi; \mathbf{q}} \neq \emptyset$  and thus  $[\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi; \mathbf{q}}]$  has only one element by [Yos84, Proposition 1.3]. We can just take  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi; \mathbf{q}} = \{1\}$ .

(2)  $\mathbf{q} \mid \delta_U$ . For any  $g \in H(F_{\mathbf{q}})$ , we write its inverse in block matrix as  $g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$  with  $A$  of size  $2n_0 \times 2n_0$ .

Thus  $g^{-1} \mathbf{z} \xi_{\mathbf{q}} = g^{-1} \mathbf{z} \in W^+(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}})$  implies that  $A \in M_{2n_0 \times 2n_0}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}})$  and  $C \in M_{n_r \times 2n_0}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}})$ . We claim that there is an element  $\tilde{g}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A & \tilde{B} \\ C & \tilde{D} \end{pmatrix} \in H(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}})$ . This claim is trivially true in case  $n$  is even, so below we just

treat the case  $n$  is odd. First admitting this claim, write  $v = \begin{pmatrix} B \\ D \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\tilde{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{B} \\ \tilde{D} \end{pmatrix}$ , since the columns of  $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix}$  are mutually orthogonal and are all orthogonal to  $v$  and  $\tilde{v}$ , thus these two columns  $v$  and  $\tilde{v}$  must be proportional:  $v = a\tilde{v}$  for some  $a \in F_{\mathbf{q}}$ . We have then  $\langle v, v \rangle_U = \langle \tilde{v}, \tilde{v} \rangle_U = \delta_n$ , which implies  $a = \pm 1$ . This shows that  $g^{-1} \in \tilde{g}^{-1} H_{\mathbf{z}}(F_{\mathbf{q}})$  and thus we can take  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi; \mathbf{q}} = \{1\}$ . Now we proceed to the proof of the above claim, there are three cases to consider (we identify  $U$  with the set  $M_{n,1}(\mathbb{Z})$  by sending  $E_i$  to the elementary matrix  $E_{i,1}$ ):

(a)  $\mathfrak{q} \nmid \delta_i$  for some  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n_0$ . Then by [Ome63, 82:15], the sublattice  $L_1$  of the lattice  $U(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}})$  generated by the  $2n_0$  columns in the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix}$  splits  $U(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}})$  (say, by another sublattice  $L_2$ , which is the zero lattice in case  $n$  is even and which has rank 1 in case  $n$  is odd). Consider the two splittings  $U(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}(E_1, \dots, E_{2n_0}) \perp \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}(E_n)$  and  $U(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}) = L_1 \perp L_2$ . Then by [Ome63, 92:3], the isometry between  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}(E_1, \dots, E_{2n_0})$  and  $L_1$  (represented by the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix}$  under the basis  $E_1, \dots, E_n$ ) induces an isometry between  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}(E_n)$  and  $L_2$  (say, given by the columns of an  $n \times 1$ -matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{B} \\ \tilde{D} \end{pmatrix}$ ). Thus we can put  $\tilde{g}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A & \tilde{B} \\ C & \tilde{D} \end{pmatrix}$ .

(b)  $\mathfrak{q} \mid \delta_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, 2n_0$  but  $\mathfrak{q} \nmid \delta_n$  (this case is possible only if  $n$  is odd). Write the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix}$  in columns  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2n_0})$  with  $v_i = a_{i,1}E_1 + a_{i,2}E_2 + \dots + a_{i,n}E_n$  such that  $a_{i,j} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{q} \mid \langle v_i, v_i \rangle_U$ , we have  $\mathfrak{q} \mid a_{i,n}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, 2n_0$ . We claim that there is a column matrix  $v_n = a_{n,1}E_1 + \dots + a_{n,n}E_n$  with  $a_{n,j} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}$  for all  $j$ ,  $\langle v_n, v_i \rangle_U = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 2n_0$  and  $\langle v_n, v_n \rangle_U = \delta_n$ . To prove this claim, we put  $v_n(\beta) := \beta E_n - \beta \sum_{i=1}^{2n_0} \frac{a_{i,n}\delta_n}{\delta_i} v_i$  for any  $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Then one verifies that  $\langle v_n(\beta), v_i \rangle_U = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, 2n_0$ . The condition  $\langle v_n(\beta), v_n(\beta) \rangle_U = \delta_n$  gives

$$\beta^2(\delta_n - \sum_{i=1}^{2n_0} \frac{\delta_n}{\delta_i} a_{i,n}^2) = \delta_n.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{q} \mid a_{i,n}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, 2n_0$  and each  $\delta_i$  is square-free, the above quadratic equation on the variable  $\beta$  always has a solution in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{q}}^\times$ . Setting  $\tilde{B} = (a_{n,1} \dots a_{n,2n_0})^t$  and  $\tilde{D} = (a_{n,n})$ . Then we can put  $\tilde{g}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A & \tilde{B} \\ C & \tilde{D} \end{pmatrix}$ .

(c)  $\mathfrak{q} \mid \delta_i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n+2$ . Replace all  $\delta_i$  by  $\delta_i/\varpi_{\mathfrak{q}}$  ( $\varpi_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is a uniformiser of  $F_{\mathfrak{q}}$ ) and we are reduced to the first case  $\mathfrak{q} \nmid 2\ell\delta_U$ .

(3)  $\mathfrak{q} \mid 2$ . The argument is the same as the preceding case, using [Ome63, 93:14a] instead of [Ome63, 92:3]. Thus we can take  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi; \mathfrak{q}} = \{1\}$ .

(4)  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{l}$ . For  $g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in H(F_{\mathfrak{l}})$  with  $A$  of size  $2n_0 \times 2n_0$ , note that  $g^{-1}\mathbf{z}_{\xi_{\mathfrak{l}}} = \begin{pmatrix} A\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{\xi_{\mathfrak{l}}} \\ C\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{\xi_{\mathfrak{l}}} \end{pmatrix} \in W^+(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}})$

and  $\begin{pmatrix} A\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{\xi_{\mathfrak{l}}} & B \\ C\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{\xi_{\mathfrak{l}}} & D \end{pmatrix} \in H(F_{\mathfrak{l}})$ . Then by [Yos84, Proposition 1.3], we know that  $B$  and  $D$  also have entries in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ .

Therefore we can take  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi; \mathfrak{l}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{\xi_{\mathfrak{l}}}^{-1} \\ 1_{n_r} \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} u_i(-\varpi^{-r_i}) \right\}$  using (27).

Now we proceed to (b). Clearly for the places outside  $\mathfrak{l}$ , this is trivially true since  $\varsigma_{\xi}$  has trivial component outside  $\mathfrak{l}$ . To deal with the place  $\mathfrak{l}$ , we write

$$\mathfrak{T}(\varsigma_{\xi})_{\mathfrak{l}}^{-1} \mathfrak{T}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{n_0} & B \\ & 1_{n_0} \\ & & 1_{n_r} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & & \\ & A^{-t} & \\ & & 1_{n_r} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{l}} \mathfrak{T}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} T & & \\ & T^{-t} & \\ & & 1_{n_r} \end{pmatrix},$$

where we put

$$T = \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_{n_0}), \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ 0 & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & \\ -\varpi^{-r_1} & \dots & -\varpi^{-r_{n_0-1}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \varpi^{-r_{n_0}} \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ -\varpi^{-r_{n_0}} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$



Note that if we write  $t = (t'_1, \dots, t'_{n_0})$  with  $t'_i \in T_i(F_l)$ , then one has  $t_i = \mu_i(t'_i)$  for all  $i$ . In the expression

$$\mathfrak{T}(\varsigma_\xi)_l^{-1} t_l(\varsigma_\xi)_l \mathfrak{T}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} ATA^{-1} & -(ATA^{-1}B + (AT^{-1}A^{-1}B)^t) \\ 0 & (ATA^{-1})^{-t} \end{pmatrix}_{1_{n_r}},$$

a simple computation gives

$$ATA^{-1} = t_{n_0} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t_1}{t_{n_0}} & & & \\ 0 & & \frac{t_2}{t_{n_0}} & \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \ddots \\ \varpi^{-r_1}(\frac{t_1}{t_{n_0}} - 1) & \varpi^{-r_2}(\frac{t_2}{t_{n_0}} - 1) & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad ATA^{-1}B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & t_1 \varpi^{-r_1} \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ -t_{n_0} \varpi^{-r_1} & 0 & \varpi^{-r_1-r_{n_0}}(t_1 - t_{n_0}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

(similar expression for  $AT^{-1}A^{-1}B$ ) Since  $t \in T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)_L$ , we must have

$$\frac{t_i}{t_{n_0}} \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^{r_i}}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, n_0 - 1); \quad t_1 t_{n_0} \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^{r_n}}.$$

Thus the entries of  $ATA^{-1}$  are all in  $\mathcal{O}_l$ . Similarly the entries of  $ATA^{-1}B + (AT^{-1}A^{-1}B)^t$  are all in  $\mathcal{O}_l$  except perhaps the most down-right entry, which is given by

$$\varpi^{-r_1-r_{n_0}}(t_1 - t_{n_0} + 1/t_1 - 1/t_{n_0}) = \varpi^{-r_1-r_{n_0}} \frac{1}{t_1} (\frac{t_1}{t_{n_0}} - 1)(t_1 t_{n_0} - 1).$$

This is also in  $\mathcal{O}_l$  using the fact  $\frac{t_1}{t_{n_0}} \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^{r_1}}$  and  $t_1 t_{n_0} \equiv 1 \pmod{\varpi^{r_n}}$ . We then conclude that the  $l$ -th component of  $\varsigma_\xi^{-1} t \varsigma_\xi$  is indeed in  $H(\mathcal{O}_l)$ .  $\square$

The same proof gives

**Proposition 5.7.** *The double coset  $H_{\mathbf{z}}(F) \backslash \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}} / H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$  is a singleton set.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show for any finite place  $q$  of  $F$ , we have  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z};q} = H_{\mathbf{z}}(F_q)H(\mathcal{O}_q) = H(\mathcal{O}_q)$ . For  $q \neq l$ , the proof is the same as the above proposition while for  $q = l$ , the proof is the same as the case  $q \nmid 2l\delta_U$  in the above proposition.  $\square$

We make the following assumption on the compact open subgroup

**Assumption 5.8.**  *$K$  contains the subgroup  $\widehat{T} = H(\mathcal{O}_l) \times \prod_{q \neq l} T(\mathcal{O}_q)$  of  $H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$  and for any  $g \in H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$ ,  $g^{-1}\widehat{T}g \subset K$ .*

We fix a set of representatives  $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$  for the double quotient

$$H_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \backslash H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F) / K = \left( \varsigma_\xi^{-1} H_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})_{\varsigma_\xi} \cap H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F) \right) \backslash H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F) / K, \quad \forall \xi = \xi(\underline{r}).$$

Then the following set is a set of representatives for the double quotient  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z},\xi,K} := H_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \backslash \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z},\xi} / K$  with  $\xi = \xi(\underline{r})$ :

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z},\xi,K} = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z},\xi} \cdot \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_K = \{\varsigma_\xi \cdot s \mid s \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_K\}.$$

The preceding proposition shows that  $\varsigma_\xi^{-1} T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)_L \varsigma_\xi \subset H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$ . Combined with the above assumption, we have  $g^{-1} T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F) g \subset K$ .

**Lemma 5.9.** *We have the following*

$$(31) \quad \frac{B_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S, \psi}^*(g_\xi)}{\chi_U(\det \xi) |\det \xi|_{\mathbb{A}_{F,f}}^{n/2}} = \sum_{g \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z},\xi,K}} \frac{P^*(\mathbf{f}, \psi, g)}{[H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F) : K]}.$$

## 6. NON-VANISHING MOD $p$ OF THETA LIFT

In this section assuming the non-vanishing mod  $\mathfrak{P}$  of Bessel periods, we show the  $p$ -integrality and  $p$ -primitivity of the theta lift  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f})$  for  $p$ -integral  $\mathbf{f}$ . Recall we assume  $K \subset H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$ . For  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, K)$ , we have the Fourier expansion of the theta lift as in (4):

$$\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f})^* = \sum_{S \in \text{Sym}_m^\circ} a(S) q^S.$$

6.1.  **$p$ -integrality of theta lifts.** Let  $m$  satisfy (5).

**Theorem 6.1.** *Maintain Assumptions 2.1, 5.8 Let  $m$  satisfy (5) and suppose*

$$p \nmid \prod_{[h_f] \in [H]_f/K} \#(H(F) \cap h_f K h_f^{-1}).$$

(the RHS is indeed a finite product of finite integers). If  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, K)$  is  $p$ -integral, then  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_{\tau^\circ}}(G, \Gamma_0(2, \delta_U), \chi_U^\circ)$  is also  $p$ -integral.

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.5 and the definition of the  $p$ -adic avatar  $\widehat{\mathbf{f}}$  of  $\mathbf{f}$ , we have (for some  $z \in W^+(F)$ ):

$$(32) \quad a(S_z) = \sum_{[h_f] \in [\mathcal{E}_z, K]} w_{z, h_f} \langle \Delta_\lambda(\mathfrak{T} h_p^{-1} z), \widehat{\mathbf{f}}(h_f) \rangle_{W, U}.$$

By definition of  $\mathcal{E}_z$ , we know that  $h_p^{-1} z \in W^+(\mathcal{O}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O})$  and thus  $\Delta_\lambda(\mathfrak{T} h_p^{-1} z) \in \mathbb{W}_\lambda(\mathcal{O}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{W}_\tau(\mathcal{O})$ .

We next consider the integer  $w_{z, h_f}^{-1} = \#(H_z(F) \cap h_f K h_f^{-1})$  (see (16)). Note that  $H_z(F) \cap h_f K h_f^{-1}$  is a subgroup of  $H(F) \cap h_f K h_f^{-1}$ , whose cardinal is not divisible by  $p$ . Thus  $w_{z, h_f}$  is always a unit in  $\mathcal{O}$ . Therefore every factor in the above expression for  $a(S_z)$  lies in  $\mathcal{O}$  or  $\mathbb{W}_\tau(\mathcal{O})$ , so  $a(S_z) \in \mathbb{W}_\tau(\mathcal{O})$ .  $\square$

6.2.  **$p$ -primitivity of theta lifts:  $m = 2n_0$  case.** We choose a finite set of representatives  $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_T$  for the double coset  $[T]_f/T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$  such that each  $g \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_T$  has components  $g_p = 1$ . We relate the non-vanishing mod  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $a(S)$  to the non-vanishing mod  $\mathfrak{P}$  of the normalized Bessel period  $\mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S, \psi}^*(g_\xi)$ :

**Theorem 6.2.** *Maintain Assumptions 2.1, 5.8 and suppose  $p \nmid [H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F) : K]$ . Fix  $\xi = \xi(\underline{r})$  as in (27),  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, K)$   $p$ -integral and  $\psi : [T] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  a finite order character satisfying Assumption 5.4. Then*

$$\mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S_{\mathbf{z}}, \psi}^*(g_\xi) \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Moreover if  $\mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S_{\mathbf{z}}, \psi}^*(g_\xi) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$ , then there is  $S \in \text{Sym}_{2n_0}^\circ$  such that  $a(S) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$ . In particular, the theta lift  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f})$  is  $p$ -primitive.

*Proof.* The first part follows from (31). Now if  $\mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S_{\mathbf{z}}, \psi}^* \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$ , then again by (31), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\chi_U(\det \xi) |\det \xi|_{\mathbb{A}_{F, f}}^{n+1})^{-1} [H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F) : K] \mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S_{\mathbf{z}}, \psi}^*(g_\xi) \\ &= \frac{\exp(2\pi \text{Tr} S_{\mathbf{z}})}{n_\lambda \mathfrak{t}_{\underline{r}}} \sum_{t \in [T]_f/T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)_{\underline{r}} H_{\mathbf{z}}(F) \backslash \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi}} \int \langle \langle \phi_{\lambda, \infty}(\mathbf{z}), \mathbf{f}(th_f) \rangle_{W, U}, v_{\tau^\vee} \rangle_V \psi(t) dh_f \\ &= \frac{\exp(2\pi \text{Tr} S_{\mathbf{z}})}{n_\lambda \mathfrak{t}_{\underline{r}}} \sum_{t \in [T]_f/T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)_{\underline{r}}} \left\langle \mathbf{W}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S_{\mathbf{z}}}(j(t)g_\xi), v_{\tau^\vee} \right\rangle_V \psi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Recall  $j(t)g_\xi = \text{diag}(j_1(t)\xi, (j_1(t)\xi)^{-t})$ . By strong approximation for  $\text{SL}_{2n_0}/F$ , we can write

$$\text{SL}_{2n_0}(\mathbb{A}_{F, f}) \ni j_1(t)\xi = r_t u_t \text{ with } r_t \in \text{SL}_{2n_0}(F) \text{ and } u_t \in \text{SL}_{2n_0}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F).$$

By definition of  $\Gamma_0(2, \delta_U)$ , we actually have  $\text{diag}(u_t, u_t^{-t}) \in \Gamma_0(2, \delta_U)$ . By (3), Assumption 5.8 and the fact that  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f})$  is of level  $\Gamma_0(2, \delta_U)$ , we have

$$\mathbf{W}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S_{\mathbf{z}}}(j(t)g_\xi) = \mathbf{W}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), r_t^t S_{\mathbf{z}} r_t}(u_t) = \mathbf{W}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), r_t^t S_{\mathbf{z}} r_t}(1) = n_\lambda \exp(-2\pi \text{Tr} S_{\mathbf{z}}) \rho_\tau(r_t^{-t}) a(r_t^t S_{\mathbf{z}} r_t).$$

Thus we get

$$(33) \quad \frac{\mathfrak{t}_{\underline{r}} [H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F) : K] \mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S_{\mathbf{z}}, \psi}^*(g_\xi)}{\chi_U(\det \xi) |\det \xi|_{\mathbb{A}_{F, f}}^{n+1}} = \sum_{t \in [T]_f/T(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)_{\underline{r}}} \langle a(r_t^t S_{\mathbf{z}} r_t), \rho_\tau(r_t^t) v_{\tau^\vee} \rangle_V \psi(t).$$

It is easy to see that if  $\mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S_{\mathbf{z}}, \psi}^*(g_\xi) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$ , then the LHS of the above identity is  $\not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$  (we have  $p \nmid \mathfrak{t}_{\underline{r}}$  by (28) and  $p \nmid [H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F) : K]$  by assumption).

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{T,\xi}$  be a set of representatives for the quotient  $(T(F)T(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F))/(T(F)T(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)_{\mathbb{Z}})$  consisting of elements whose  $p$ -th component is 1. Then the product set  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_T \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{T,\xi}$  is a set of representatives for  $[T]_f/T(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . For all  $t \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_T \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{T,\xi}$ , one has  $t_p = 1$ , thus

$$r_t \in \mathrm{SL}_{2n_0}(\mathcal{O}_p) \cap \mathrm{SL}_{2n_0}(F),$$

which implies  $\rho_{\tau}(r_t^{\dagger})v_{\tau^{\vee}} \in \mathbb{W}_{\tau}(\mathcal{O})$ . On the other hand, by the preceding proposition,

$$a(r_t^{\dagger}S_{\mathbf{z}}r_t) = a(S_{\mathbf{z}r_t}) \in \mathbb{W}_{\tau}(\mathcal{O}), \quad \forall t \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_T \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{T,\xi}.$$

Thus by (8), we have  $\langle a(S_{\mathbf{z}r_t}), \rho_{\tau}(r_t^{\dagger})v_{\tau^{\vee}} \rangle_V \in \mathcal{O}$  for any  $t \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_T \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{T,\xi}$ . Now if  $\mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_{\lambda}}(\mathbf{f}), S_{\mathbf{z}}, \psi}(g_{\xi}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$ , then for at least one  $t$ , we have

$$(34) \quad \langle a(S_{\mathbf{z}r_t}), \rho_{\tau}(r_t^{\dagger})v_{\tau^{\vee}} \rangle_V \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}.$$

Therefore again by 8,  $a(S_{\mathbf{z}r_t}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$ , which gives the  $p$ -primitivity of  $\Theta_{\phi_{\lambda}}(\mathbf{f})$ .  $\square$

**6.3.  $p$ -primitivity of theta lifts: general case.** Next we go further to deduce the general case  $m$  satisfying (5) from the special case  $m = 2n_0$ . So we write

$$\tilde{V} = \mathcal{O}_F(e_1^+, \dots, e_m^+, e_1^-, \dots, e_m^-) \subset V = \mathcal{O}_F(e_1^+, \dots, e_{2n_0}^+, e_1^-, \dots, e_{2n_0}^-).$$

We view  $\tilde{V}$  as a symplectic  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -submodule of  $V$  in the natural way. In the same manner we put

$$\tilde{G} = \mathrm{Sp}(\tilde{V}) \subset G = \mathrm{Sp}(V),$$

similarly for  $\tilde{\phi}_{\lambda}, \tilde{\Gamma}_0(2, \delta_U), \tilde{\Delta}_{\lambda}, \tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\tau}^{\circ}, \mathbb{W}_{\tilde{\tau}}(\mathcal{O})$ , etc. We will consider the following

**Assumption 6.3.** *The group  $H$  has class number one, that is,  $H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) = H(F)H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$ .*

**Lemma 6.4.** *For any  $z \in \tilde{W}^+(F)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_z = H_z(F)H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$ , that is, the double quotient  $[\mathcal{E}_{z,H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)}] = H_z(F) \backslash \mathcal{E}_z / H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$  is a singleton set.*

*Proof.* For any  $g \in \mathcal{E}_z$ , we have a decomposition  $g = g_1g_2 \in H(F)H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$ . Since  $g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}z = g^{-1}z \in \tilde{W}^+(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$ , we have  $g_1^{-1}z \in \tilde{W}^+(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$  and therefore  $g_1 \in H_z(F)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.5.** We do not feel very satisfactory with Assumption 6.3 because on one hand it is independent of the dimension of  $\tilde{V}$  and on the other hand, there are only finitely many  $H$  satisfying this assumption. As we can see, this assumption is only used to ensure the double quotient in the preceding lemma to be a singleton. So an ideal assumption on  $H$  would depend on  $m$  which becomes stronger as  $m$  becomes smaller. However we do not try to find such assumptions as this would obscure the main idea of the article.

**Theorem 6.6.** *Maintain Assumptions 2.1 and 6.3. Let  $m > 0$  satisfy (5) and suppose*

$$K = H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F) \text{ and } p \nmid H(\mathcal{O}_F).$$

*Fix  $\xi = \xi(r)$  as in (27) and  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_{\lambda}}(H, K)$   $p$ -integral. Let  $\psi: [T] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  be a finite order character satisfying Assumption 5.4. If  $\mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_{\lambda}}, S_{\mathbf{z}}, \psi}(g_{\xi}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$ , then  $\Theta_{\tilde{\phi}_{\lambda}}(\mathbf{f}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_{\tilde{\tau}^{\circ}}}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{\Gamma}_0(2, \delta_U), \chi_U^{\circ})$  is  $p$ -primitive.*

*Proof.* We need the proof of Theorem 6.2. In that proof, we have shown that the Fourier coefficient  $a(S_{\mathbf{z}r_t})$  for some  $t \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_T \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{T,\xi}$  of the theta lift  $\Theta_{\phi_{\lambda}}(\mathbf{f})$  (to  $G$  rather than to  $\tilde{G}$ !) satisfies  $\langle a(S_{\mathbf{z}r_t}), v_{\tau^{\vee}} \rangle_V \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$ . Moreover we can choose  $r_t \in \mathrm{SL}_{2n_0}(\mathcal{O}_p) \cap \mathrm{SL}_{2n_0}(F)$ .

Now we take  $\tilde{\mathbf{z}} \in \tilde{W}^+(F) = M_{n,m}(F)$  to be the first  $m$  columns of the matrix  $\mathbf{z}r_t \in W^+(F) = M_{n,2n_0}(F)$ . We next compare  $a(S_{\mathbf{z}r_t})$  and the Fourier coefficient  $a(S_{\tilde{\mathbf{z}}})$  of the theta lift  $\Theta_{\tilde{\phi}_{\lambda}}(\mathbf{f})$  (to the group  $\tilde{G}$ ). By Lemma 6.4, we know both  $[\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{\mathbf{z}}, H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)}]$  and  $[\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}r_t, H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)}]$  are singletons. Moreover by definition,  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}r_t} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{\mathbf{z}}}$ , so we can choose a common representative  $h_0 \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}r_t}$  for  $[\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}r_t, H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)}]$  and  $[\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{\mathbf{z}}, H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)}]$ . Now the sum in the expression (32) for the Fourier coefficient  $a(S_{\tilde{\mathbf{z}}})$ , resp,  $a(S_{\mathbf{z}r_t})$  of  $\Theta_{\tilde{\phi}_{\lambda}}(\mathbf{f})$ , resp,  $\Theta_{\phi_{\lambda}}(\mathbf{f})$  has only one term,

$$a(S_{\tilde{\mathbf{z}}}) = w_{\tilde{\mathbf{z}}, h_0} \langle \tilde{\Delta}_{\lambda}(\tilde{\mathfrak{T}}\tilde{\mathbf{z}}), \hat{\mathbf{f}}(h_0) \rangle_{\tilde{W}, U}, \quad a(S_{\mathbf{z}r_t}) = w_{\mathbf{z}r_t, h_0} \langle \Delta_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{T}\mathbf{z}r_t), \hat{\mathbf{f}}(h_0) \rangle_{W, U}.$$

Just like the vector  $v_{\tau^\vee}$  in (29) for the case  $m = 2n_0$ , we define  $v_{\tilde{\tau}^\vee} := \bigotimes_{k=1}^d v_{(\tilde{\tau}^{(k)})^\vee} \in \mathbb{W}_{\tilde{\tau}^\vee}(\mathcal{O})$  where

$$v_{(\tilde{\tau}^{(k)})^\vee} = (e_1^-)^{\tilde{\tau}_1^{(k)} - \tilde{\tau}_2^{(k)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes (e_1^- \wedge \cdots \wedge e_m^-)^{\tilde{\tau}_m^{(k)}} \in \mathbb{W}_{(\tilde{\tau}^{(k)})^\vee}(\mathcal{O}), \quad k = 1, \dots, d.$$

Then one has

$$\langle a(S_{\tilde{\mathbf{z}}}), v_{\tilde{\tau}^\vee} \rangle_{\tilde{V}} = w_{\tilde{\mathbf{z}}, h_0} \langle \langle \Delta_\lambda(\tilde{\mathfrak{T}}\tilde{\mathbf{z}}), \hat{\mathbf{f}}(h_0) \rangle_{\tilde{W}, U}, v_{\tilde{\tau}^\vee} \rangle_{\tilde{V}} = w_{\tilde{\mathbf{z}}, h_0} \langle \langle \Delta_\lambda(\tilde{\mathfrak{T}}\tilde{\mathbf{z}}), v_{\tilde{\tau}^\vee} \rangle_{\tilde{W}, \tilde{V}}, \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(h_0) \rangle_U \in \mathcal{O}$$

and similar formula holds for  $\langle a(S_{\mathbf{z}r_t}), v_{\tau^\vee} \rangle_V$ . It follows easily from the definitions of  $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$  and  $v_{\tau^\vee}^\vee$

$$\langle \Delta_\lambda(\tilde{\mathfrak{T}}\tilde{\mathbf{z}}), v_{\tilde{\tau}^\vee} \rangle_{\tilde{W}, \tilde{V}} = \langle \Delta_\lambda(\tilde{\mathfrak{T}}\mathbf{z}r_t), v_{\tau^\vee} \rangle_{W, V}.$$

In other words,  $\langle a(S_{\tilde{\mathbf{z}}}), v_{\tilde{\tau}^\vee} \rangle_{\tilde{V}} = \frac{w_{\mathbf{z}r_t, h_0}}{w_{\tilde{\mathbf{z}}, h_0}} \langle a(S_{\mathbf{z}r_t}), v_{\tau^\vee} \rangle_V$ . Now the assumption  $p \nmid H(\mathbb{Z})$  and Assumption 6.3 imply that both  $w_{\tilde{\mathbf{z}}, h_0}$  and  $w_{\mathbf{z}r_t, h_0}$  are units in  $\mathcal{O}$  (the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 6.1). Since  $v_{\tilde{\tau}^\vee} \in \mathbb{W}_{\tilde{\tau}^\vee}(\mathcal{O})$ , we have  $\langle a(S_{\tilde{\mathbf{z}}}), v_{\tilde{\tau}^\vee} \rangle_{\tilde{V}} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$  and thus  $a(S_{\tilde{\mathbf{z}}}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$ .  $\square$

Note that Assumption 5.8 is automatically satisfied for  $K = H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$ .

## 7. NON-VANISHING MOD $p$ OF BESSEL PERIODS

**7.1. Preparations and Summary of Appendix A.** As preparations for next subsection, we digress to recall the main results from §A.6.2, which will be used in the next subsection to prove the non-vanishing mod  $p$  of Bessel periods. As in §A.6.2, we impose the following

**Assumption 7.1.** *In case  $n \geq 4$ , the products  $\delta_1\delta_2\delta_3\delta_4, \delta_1\delta_2\delta_5\delta_6, \dots, \delta_1\delta_2\delta_{2n_0-1}\delta_{2n_0}$  are all perfect squares in  $F$ .*

For the convenience of the reader, we collect the facts and notations that we will need to state the main result. Let  $U' = \mathcal{O}_F(E_1, \dots, E_{2n_0})$  be the submodule of  $U$  generated by the first  $2n_0$  basis vectors in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . We write  $\mathbf{H}' = \mathrm{GSpin}(U')$  for the general spin group associated to the quadratic space  $U'$  and similarly  $\mathbf{H} = \mathrm{GSpin}(U)$  to  $U$ . Recall  $\mathbf{H}'(F_l)$  is given by the units  $C^0(U_l')^\times$  of the even part  $C^0(U_l')$  of the Clifford algebra (tensor product is over  $\mathcal{O}_F$ )

$$C(U_l') = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} (U_l')^{\otimes k} / \langle v \otimes v - \langle v, v \rangle_U \mid v \in U_l' \rangle$$

associated to the quadratic space  $(U_l', \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{U_l'})$ . We write  $vw$  for the image of  $v \otimes w$  inside  $C(U_l')$  for any  $v, w \in U_l'$ . We write their derived subgroups as  $(\mathbf{H}')^1$ , resp  $\mathbf{H}^1$ . Put  $H' = \mathrm{O}(U')$ , which embeds naturally in  $H = \mathrm{O}(U)$  by sending  $g$  to  $\mathrm{diag}(g, 1_{n_r}) \in H$ . We have a central extension of group schemes over  $\mathcal{O}_F$ :

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{H}' \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}} H' \rightarrow 1.$$

We will identify  $\mathbb{G}_m$  with the center of  $\mathbf{H}'$ . The map  $\mathfrak{s}$  sends  $g \in \mathbf{H}'$  to the element in  $H'$  which acts on  $U'$  by mapping  $v$  to  $gvg^{-1}$ . Moreover we have  $n_0$  subgroups  $G_1, \dots, G_{n_0}$  of  $\mathbf{H}'$  and similarly their derived subgroups are denoted by  $G_1^1, \dots, G_{n_0}^1$  (given below). We will only be interested in the  $F_l$ -points of these groups and thus we can work with the basis  $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}' = (\tilde{E}_1^+, \dots, \tilde{E}_{n_0}^+, \tilde{E}_1^-, \dots, \tilde{E}_{n_0}^-)$  of  $U_l'$ .

For distinct basis vectors  $E_{i_1}, \dots, E_{i_k}$ , we write  $C_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  for the even part of the Clifford algebra associated to the quadratic submodule  $(\mathcal{O}_F(E_{i_1}, \dots, E_{i_k}))$  of  $U$ . Fix square roots  $d_1, \dots, d_n$  in  $F_l$  of  $1/\delta_1, \dots, 1/\delta_n$ . We then write

$$e_{i,j,k}^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(d_i E_i \pm d_j \sqrt{-1} E_j) d_k E_k, \quad \tau_{i,j}(a) = \frac{1}{a} \left( 1 + \frac{a^2 - 1}{4} (d_i E_i + d_j \sqrt{-1} E_j)(d_i E_i - d_j \sqrt{-1} E_j) \right), \quad a \in F_l^\times.$$

We also put  $\mathbf{e}_k = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{E_1 E_2 E_{2k-1} E_{2k}}{\delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_{2k-1} \delta_{2k}} \right)$ , an idempotent central element in  $C_{1,2,2k-1,2k}$ . Then one can check that  $C_{1,2,2k-1,2k} \mathbf{e}_k$  and  $C_{1,2,2k-1,2k} (1 - \mathbf{e}_k)$  are both central simple algebras over  $\mathcal{O}_F$  free of rank 4. Then we put

$$G_j = (C_{1,2,2j+1,2j+2} \mathbf{e}_{j+1})^\times \quad (j = 1, \dots, n_0 - 1), \quad G_{n_0} = (C_{1,2,3,4} (1 - \mathbf{e}_2))^\times,$$

which are subgroups of  $\mathbf{H}'$  over  $\mathcal{O}_F$  and each  $G_j(F_l)$  contains  $U_j$  as unipotent subgroup. We also have torus subgroups  $\tilde{T}_i = C_{2i-1,2i}^\times \subset \mathbf{H}'$  defined over  $\mathcal{O}_F$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n_0$ ). These tori  $\tilde{T}_i$  clearly commute with each other and we put  $\tilde{T} = \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} \tilde{T}_i$ . We have viewed  $\mathbf{H}'$  as a subgroup of  $\mathbf{H}$ , then it follows that  $\tilde{T}$  is also a maximal torus of  $\mathbf{H}$ . Each of

these  $\tilde{T}_i$  contains the center  $\mathbb{G}_m \subset \mathbf{H}'$ . Moreover the above central extension induces the following exact sequence of algebraic groups over  $\mathcal{O}_F$ :

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \tilde{T}_i \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}} T_i \rightarrow 1.$$

**Lemma 7.2.** *For any field extension  $R/F$ , we have a short exact sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m(R) \rightarrow \tilde{T}_i(R) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}} T_i(R) \rightarrow 1.$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show the surjectivity of  $\mathfrak{s}$ . For ease of notations, we write  $\delta = \delta_{2i-1}/\delta_{2i}$  and  $\mathfrak{e}_1 = E_{2i-1}$ ,  $\mathfrak{e}_2 = E_{2i}$ . The group  $T_i(R)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(R)$  which consists of matrices  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b\delta \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(R)$  with  $a, b \in R$ . In this case  $\tilde{T}_i(R)$  consists of  $x + y\mathfrak{e}_1\mathfrak{e}_2 \in C^0(R\mathfrak{e}_1 + R\mathfrak{e}_2)^\times$  with  $x, y \in R$ . Moreover we have the following conjugate action

$$\begin{aligned} & (x + y\mathfrak{e}_1\mathfrak{e}_2)(\mathfrak{e}_1, \mathfrak{e}_2)(x + y\mathfrak{e}_1\mathfrak{e}_2)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2\delta_{2i-1}\delta_{2i}}((x^2 - y^2\delta_{2i-1}\delta_{2i})\mathfrak{e}_1 - 2xy\delta_{2i-1}\mathfrak{e}_2, 2xy\delta_{2i}\mathfrak{e}_1 + (x^2 - y^2\delta_{2i-1}\delta_{2i})\mathfrak{e}_2) \\ &= (\mathfrak{e}_1, \mathfrak{e}_2) \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2\delta_{2i-1}\delta_{2i}} \begin{pmatrix} x^2 - y^2\delta_{2i-1}\delta_{2i} & 2xy\delta_{2i} \\ -2xy\delta_{2i-1} & x^2 - y^2\delta_{2i-1}\delta_{2i} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the map  $\mathfrak{s}$  is given by

$$\mathfrak{s}: \tilde{T}_i(R) \rightarrow T_i(R), \quad x + y\mathfrak{e}_1\mathfrak{e}_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2\delta_{2i-1}\delta_{2i}} \begin{pmatrix} x^2 - y^2\delta_{2i-1}\delta_{2i} & -2xy\delta_{2i-1} \\ 2xy\delta_{2i} & x^2 - y^2\delta_{2i-1}\delta_{2i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any  $a, b \in R$  such that  $a^2 + b^2\delta = 1$ , we can always find  $x, y \in R$  such that  $a = (x^2 - y^2\delta_{2i-1}\delta_{2i})/(x^2 + y^2\delta_{2i-1}\delta_{2i})$  and  $b = -2xy\delta_{2i}$ : if  $a = 1$ , we take  $x = 1, y = 0$ ; if  $a \neq 1$ , we take any  $x, y \neq 0$  such that  $x = y\delta b/(a - 1)$ .  $\square$

A similar computation as in the above lemma shows that the image of these  $\tilde{T}_i(F_l)$  under the map  $\mathfrak{s}$  is given as follows (the conjugate by  $\mathfrak{T}$  is to work under the basis  $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}'$ ):

$$\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{s}(\tilde{T}_i(F_l))\mathfrak{T}^{-1} = \{\mathrm{diag}(1_{i-1}, t, 1_{n_0-i}, 1_{i-1}, t^{-1}, 1_{n_0-i}) \mid t \in F_l^\times\}.$$

The unipotent subgroups  $U_1^\pm, \dots, U_{n_0}^\pm$  in §A.6.2 are given by (note that the enumerations are different, the  $U_1^\pm, \dots, U_{n_0}^\pm$  in §A.6.2 should correspond to our  $U_{n_0}^\pm, U_1^\pm, \dots, U_{n_0-1}^\pm$  below respectively):

$$U_i^\pm = \left\{ v_i(t)^\pm := 1 - \frac{t}{4} \tilde{E}_1^\pm \tilde{E}_i^\pm \mid t \in F_l \right\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n_0 - 1), \quad U_{n_0}^\pm = \left\{ v_{n_0}(t)^\pm := 1 + \frac{t}{4} \tilde{E}_1^\pm \tilde{E}_2^\pm \mid t \in F_l \right\}.$$

Then it is easy to see:

$$\mathfrak{T}\mathfrak{s}(v_1^+(t_1) \cdots v_{n_0}^+(t_{n_0}))\mathfrak{T}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A & AB \\ 0 & A^{-t} \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & \\ t_1 & \cdots & t_{n_0-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & -t_{n_0} \\ \vdots & \ddots & & 0 \\ 0 & & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_{n_0} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular,

$$(35) \quad \mathfrak{s}(v_1^+(\varpi^{-r_1}) \cdots v_{n_0}^+(\varpi^{-r_{n_0}})) = \varsigma_\xi = \varsigma_{\xi(\underline{r})}$$

Therefore the unipotent subgroups  $U_1^+, \dots, U_{n_0}^+$  are the root subgroups of  $\mathfrak{s}(\tilde{T}'(F_l))$  and their opposite root subgroups are given by  $U_1^-, \dots, U_{n_0}^-$ . A direct computation shows that the torus  $\tilde{T}(F_l)$  acts by conjugation on these unipotent

subgroups  $U_i^\pm$  by the following formula

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Ad}_{\tau_{2i-1,2i}(a)}(1 + t\tilde{E}_1^\pm \tilde{E}_2^\pm) &= \begin{cases} (1 + a^{\pm 2} t \tilde{E}_1^\pm \tilde{E}_2^\pm), & i = 1, 2; \\ (1 + t \tilde{E}_1^\pm \tilde{E}_2^\pm), & i = 3, \dots, n_0; \end{cases} \\ \text{Ad}_{\tau_{1,2}(a)}(1 + t\tilde{E}_1^\pm \tilde{E}_k^\pm) &= (1 + a^{\pm 2} t \tilde{E}_1^\pm \tilde{E}_k^\pm), \quad k = 2, \dots, n_0; \\ \text{Ad}_{\tau_{2i-1,2i}(a)}(1 + t\tilde{E}_1^\pm \tilde{E}_k^\pm) &= \begin{cases} (1 + a^{\mp 2} t \tilde{E}_1^\pm \tilde{E}_k^\pm), & i = k \in \{2, \dots, n_0\}; \\ (1 + t \tilde{E}_1^\pm \tilde{E}_k^\pm), & i \neq k \in \{2, \dots, n_0\}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

For  $i = 1, \dots, n_0$ , we write  $\chi_i$  for the character of  $\tilde{T}(F_l) \cap (\mathbf{H}')^1(F_l)$  sending  $(t_1, \dots, t_{n_0}) \in \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} \tilde{T}_i(F_l)$  to  $\mu_i(\mathfrak{s}(t_i))$ . Then (36) shows that the action of  $\tilde{T}(F_l)$  on  $U_i^\pm$  correspond to the character  $(\chi_i \chi_{n_0}^{-1})^\pm$  if  $i = 1, \dots, n_0 - 1$  and to the character  $(\chi_1 \chi_{n_0})^\pm$  if  $i = n_0$ . Therefore we see that these  $\{U_1^+, \dots, U_{n_0}^+\}$  correspond to a root basis for  $\tilde{T}(F_l) \cap (\mathbf{H}')^1(F_l)$  (similarly for  $\{U_1^-, \dots, U_{n_0}^-\}$ ).

One of the main results Theorem A.23 in the appendix is the following

**Theorem 7.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{R} = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$  be a finite set of elements in  $\tilde{T}'(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  such that*

$$(37) \quad (g_k)_l (g_i)_l^{-1} \notin \tilde{T}(F_l) \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_0} G_j(F) Z(G_j(F_l)), \quad \forall k \neq i.$$

*Let  $K'$  be a compact open subgroup of  $\mathbf{H}'(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be an open subgroup of  $\tilde{T}(F) \backslash \tilde{T}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  such that the composition map  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}'(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}'(F) \backslash \mathbf{H}'(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / (\mathbf{H}')^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) K'$  is surjective. Let  $A$  be a ring and  $\{\beta_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{R}}$  be a finite set of elements in  $A$  with  $\beta_{g_1} \in A^\times$ . Then for any map  $f: \mathbf{H}'(F) \backslash \mathbf{H}'(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / K' \rightarrow A$  that is not invariant under the right translation of  $(\mathbf{H}')^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ , we have that for any  $r_1, \dots, r_{n_0} \gg 0$ , there is an element  $h \in \mathcal{G}$  (depending on  $r_1, \dots, r_{n_0}$ ) such that*

$$\sum_{g \in \mathcal{R}} \beta_g f(h g u_1(\varpi^{-r_1}) \dots u_{n_0}(\varpi^{-r_{n_0}})) \neq 0.$$

We record also the following easy facts:

**Lemma 7.4.** *Fix two positive integers  $k_1 < k_2$  and write*

$$\mathfrak{G}(k_1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_l) \mid a, b, d \in \mathcal{O}_l \text{ and } a-1, d-1, c \in \varpi^{k_1} \mathcal{O}_l \right\}$$

*Then  $\mathfrak{G}(k_1)$  and the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/\varpi^{k_2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  generate the whole group  $\text{SL}_2(F_l)$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $A = \text{diag}(\varpi^{k_1}, 1) \in \text{GL}_2(F_l)$ . It suffices to show  $A \mathfrak{G}(k_1) A^{-1}$  and  $A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/\varpi^{k_2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/\varpi^{k_2-k_1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  generate  $\text{SL}_2(F_l)$ . So it is enough to show that  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/\varpi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and a subgroup  $\mathfrak{G}$  of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_l)$  containing those matrices of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  such that  $a-1, d-1 \in \varpi^{k_1} \mathcal{O}_l$  generate  $\text{SL}_2(F_l)$ . One verifies easily that  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathcal{O}_l/\varpi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathfrak{G} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathcal{O}_l/\varpi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  contains  $\text{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_l)$ . Now the lemma follows from an simple application of a theorem of Ihara.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.5.** (a) *Let  $K'$  be a compact open subgroup of  $\mathbf{H}'(F_l)$ . Then for any  $i = 1, \dots, n_0$ , the two subgroups  $G'_i(F_l)$  and  $K'$  generate  $\mathbf{H}'(F_l)$ .*

(b) *Let  $K$  be a compact open subgroup of  $\mathbf{H}(F_l)$ . Then the two subgroups  $\mathbf{H}'(F_l)$  and  $K$  generate  $\mathbf{H}(F_l)$ .*

*Proof.* (a) We first treat the case  $i = 1$ . By (36), for any positive integer  $N > 0$ , we have

$$\{\text{Ad}_{\tau_{1,2}(a)}(1 + t\tilde{E}_1^\pm \tilde{E}_k^\pm) \mid a \in F_l^\times, t \in \varpi^N \mathcal{O}_l\} = 1 + F_l \tilde{E}_1^\pm \tilde{E}_k^\pm, \quad k = 2, \dots, n_0.$$

Thus  $\tilde{T}(F_l) \cap (\mathbf{H}')^1(F_l)$  and  $K'$  generate a subgroup of  $(\mathbf{H}')^1(F_l)$  which contains all the basic root subgroups  $U_i^\pm$  of  $\tilde{T}(F_l)$ . Since  $(\mathbf{H}')^1(F_l)$  is semi-simple and simply-connected, such root subgroup generate  $(\mathbf{H}')^1(F_l)$  and we are done.

Next consider the case  $i = 2, \dots, n_0$ . Similarly for any integer  $N > 0$ ,

$$\{\text{Ad}_{\tau_{2i-1,2i}(a)}(1 + t\tilde{E}_1^\pm \tilde{E}_2^\mp) \mid a \in F_l^\times, t \in \varpi^N \mathcal{O}_l\} = 1 + F_l \tilde{E}_1^\pm \tilde{E}_2^\mp.$$

and the two unipotent subgroups  $1 + F_l \tilde{E}_1^\pm \tilde{E}_2^\mp$  generate a subgroup of  $(\mathbf{H}')^1(F_l)$  containing  $G_1^1(F_l)$  and therefore containing also  $\tilde{T}(F_l) \cap G_1^1(F_l)$ . Now we are reduced to the case  $i = 1$  and we are done.

- (b) The case  $n$  even is trivial and we assume  $n = 2n_0 + 1$ . We have viewed  $\tilde{T}(F_l)$  as a subgroup of  $\mathbf{H}(F_l)$ . Write  $\tilde{U}_i^\pm$  for the root subgroup of  $\tilde{T}(F) \cap \mathbf{H}^1(F_l)$  corresponding to the root  $\chi_i$ . Then one has

$$\{tut^{-1} \mid t \in \tilde{T}(F_l) \cap \mathbf{H}^1(F_l), u \in \tilde{U}_i^\pm \cap K\} = \tilde{U}_i^\pm$$

(one can prove this by applying the map  $\mathfrak{s}$  to the LHS and a direct computation shows that the image is equal to  $\mathfrak{s}(\tilde{U}_i^\pm)$ ). Then  $\{U_1^+, \dots, U_{n_0-1}^+, \tilde{U}_{n_0}^+\}$  correspond to a root basis for  $\tilde{T}(F_l) \cap \mathbf{H}^1(F_l)$  and similarly for  $\{U_1^-, \dots, U_{n_0-1}^-, \tilde{U}_{n_0}^-\}$ . Since  $\mathbf{H}^1(F_l)$  is semi-simple and simply-connected, we see that these unipotent subgroups generate  $\mathbf{H}^1(F_l)$ . □

**7.2. Proof of non-vanishing mod  $p$  of Bessel periods.** In this subsection we assume  $m = 2n_0$  and we will show that there exists  $\xi = \xi(\underline{r})$  and a finite order character  $\psi: [T] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  satisfying Assumption 5.4 such that  $\mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S_{\mathbf{z}}, \psi}(g_\xi) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$ . This is the most technical part of the article and we recommend the reader to start with the first paragraph in the proof of Theorem 7.10 to get an idea of the plan of this section.

By Lemma 7.2, we have the following isomorphisms induced from  $\mathfrak{s}$

$$\tilde{T}(F_l)/\tilde{T}(F)\mathbb{G}_m(F_l) \simeq T(F_l)/T(F) \simeq \mathcal{G}(\infty)/\mathcal{G}(\infty)'.$$

We fix a complete set of liftings

$$\tilde{T}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \supset \mathcal{R} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{G}_0$$

under the following surjective composition map

$$\tilde{T}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \rightarrow \tilde{T}(F_l) \rightarrow \tilde{T}(F_l)/\tilde{T}(F)\mathbb{G}_m(F_l) \xrightarrow{\sim} T(F_l)/T(F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}(\infty)/\mathcal{G}(\infty)'.$$

One checks

**Lemma 7.6.** *The set  $\mathcal{R}$  satisfies (37).*

*Proof.* It suffices to note that  $Z(G_i(F_l)) = Z(G(F_l)) \subset \tilde{T}(F_l)$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, n_0$ . □

For an  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -algebra  $R$ , we write

$$\tilde{H}(R) := \text{Im} \left( \mathbf{H}^1(R) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}(R) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}} H(R) \right).$$

We define  $H' = \text{O}(U')$ , a subgroup of  $H$ . We have thus a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{H}' & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}} & H' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{H} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}} & H. \end{array}$$

Similarly we define  $\tilde{H}'(R) = \text{Im} \left( (\mathbf{H}')^1(R) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}'(R) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}} H'(R) \right).$

We take  $K = H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$ . Then the sets  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi, K}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi, K}$  are both singletons for any  $\xi = \xi(\underline{r})$ . We need one more condition on the automorphic form  $\mathbf{f}$ . For this, we consider the following map associated to the  $p$ -adic avatar  $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$  of  $\mathbf{f}$ :

$$F_{\mathbf{f}}: H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p, \quad g \mapsto \left\langle \left\langle \phi_{\lambda, \infty}(\mathbf{z}), \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(g) \right\rangle_{W,U}, v_{\tau^\vee} \right\rangle_V.$$

It follows that if  $\mathbf{f}$  is  $p$ -integral, then  $F_{\mathbf{f}}(g) \in \mathcal{O}$  for all  $g \in H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ .

**Definition 7.7.** *Let  $A$  be an abelian group. A map  $f: H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \rightarrow A$  is **Spin-invariant** if  $f$  is invariant under the right translation of the subgroup  $\tilde{H}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  of  $H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ .*

**Assumption 7.8.** For a  $p$ -integral automorphic form  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, K)$ , the following mod  $p$  map is not Spin-invariant:

$$\overline{F}_{\mathbf{f}}: H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \rightarrow \kappa, \quad g \mapsto F_{\mathbf{f}}(g)(\text{mod } \mathfrak{P}).$$

An automorphic form  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, K)$  induces an automorphic form by restriction

$$\mathbf{f}|_{H'}: H'(F) \backslash H'(\mathbb{A}_F) \hookrightarrow H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A}_F) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{f}} \iota_p^{-1}(\mathbb{W}_\lambda).$$

Similarly we have the induced map  $F_{\mathbf{f}}|_{H'}$  and  $\overline{F}_{\mathbf{f}}|_{H'}$ .

**Lemma 7.9.** Let  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, K)$  be a  $p$ -integral automorphic form such that  $\overline{F}_{\mathbf{f}}$  is not Spin-invariant, then  $\overline{F}_{\mathbf{f}}|_{H'}$  is not Spin-invariant, either (that is,  $\overline{F}_{\mathbf{f}}|_{H'}$  is not invariant under the right translation of  $\widetilde{H}'(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ ).

*Proof.* Otherwise,  $\overline{F}_{\mathbf{f}}$  is invariant under right translation by the subgroup  $\mathfrak{s}((\mathbf{H}')^1(F_l)) \subset H'(F_l) \subset H(F_l)$ . Since  $F_{\mathbf{f}}$  is invariant under right translation by a compact open subgroup of  $H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}^p)$ , in particular  $\overline{F}_{\mathbf{f}}$  is invariant under right translation by a compact open subgroup  $K'$  of  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{H}^1(F_l))$ . By Lemma 7.5,  $K'$  and  $\mathfrak{s}((\mathbf{H}')^1(F_l))$  generate  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{H}^1(F_l))$ , thus  $\overline{F}_{\mathbf{f}}$  is invariant under right translation by  $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{H}^1(F_l))$  and also by  $\widetilde{H}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  by strong approximation property for  $\mathbf{H}^1$ . This contradicts our assumption on  $\mathbf{f}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 7.10.** Let  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, K)$  be a  $p$ -primitive automorphic form satisfying Assumption 7.8. For  $r_1, \dots, r_{n_0} \gg 0$ , there is a character  $\psi: [T] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  satisfying Assumption 5.4 such that

$$\mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S_{\mathbf{z}}, \psi} \not\equiv 0(\text{mod } \mathfrak{P}).$$

**Remark 7.11.** From the proof just below, it is easy to see that the fact  $K = H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$  is to ensure that

(38) *the RHS of (31) has only one term*

and thus the non-vanishing mod  $\mathfrak{P}$  of the toric integral  $\mathbf{P}^*(f, \psi, \varsigma_\xi)$  gives the non-vanishing mod  $\mathfrak{P}$  of the Bessel period  $\mathbf{B}_{\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}), S_{\mathbf{z}}, \psi}$ . It is easy to see that as long as

$$H_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})K = H_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F),$$

the condition (38) holds. Of course we can consider a proper subgroup  $K$  of  $H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$  and put more conditions on the automorphic form  $\mathbf{f}$  to ensure (38). However we do not consider these questions in this article which might obscure the main strategy of our proof.

*Proof.* We follow the strategy in [CH16]. Since the whole proof is a bit technical, we give a summary of it: first we rewrite the toric period  $\mathbf{P}^*(\mathbf{f}, \psi, \varsigma_\xi)$  in terms of a sum over  $\mathcal{G}_0$  of another function  $\widetilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}'}$  (this is (42)). Then we show that the latter is not Spin-invariant provided that  $\overline{F}_{\mathbf{f}}$  is not Spin-invariant, this is proved in Lemma 7.14, the main idea of the proof of this lemma is an argument by contradiction: we can show  $\overline{F}_{\mathbf{f}}$  is invariant under right translation of a certain unipotent subgroup of  $H(F_l)$ . On the other hand, we know already this function is invariant under right translation of a certain compact open subgroup of  $H(F_l)$  and therefore one applies Lemma 7.5 to conclude that  $\overline{F}_{\mathbf{f}}$  is Spin-invariant, a contradiction. Then we can apply Theorem 7.3 and conclude that the above mentioned toric integral is non-zero modulo  $\mathfrak{P}$  (this is the last paragraph of this proof).

Now we begin the proof of the theorem. The RHS of (31) has only one term due to  $K = H(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$ , so to prove the theorem, it suffices to show  $\mathbf{P}^*(\mathbf{f}, \psi, \varsigma_\xi) \not\equiv 0(\text{mod } \mathfrak{P})$  for some  $\psi$  satisfying Assumption 5.4. The fixed (non-canonical) decomposition  $\mathcal{G}(\infty) \simeq \mathcal{G}_0 \times \Gamma(\infty)$  induces the following two characters from  $\psi$ :

$$\psi': \mathcal{G}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}(\infty) \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{C}^\times, \quad \psi'': \Gamma(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}(\infty) \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{C}^\times.$$



We write  $u(t_1, \dots, t_{n_0}) = u_1(t_1) \cdots u_{n_0}(t_{n_0})$  for  $t_1, \dots, t_{n_0} \in F_l$ . By (24) and (26), one has the following

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}^*(\mathbf{f}, \psi, \varsigma_\xi) &= \sum_{t \in \mathcal{G}(\infty)/T(\mathcal{O}_l)_\mathbb{Z}} F_{\mathbf{f}}(t\varsigma_\xi)\psi(t) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{t' \in \mathcal{G}_0 \\ t''=(t_1, \dots, t_{n_0}) \in \prod_i^{n_0} \Gamma_i(r_i)}} F_{\mathbf{f}}(t't''u(\varpi^{-r_1}, \dots, \varpi^{-r_{n_0}})(t'')^{-1}t'')\psi'(t')\psi''(t'') \\
&= \sum_{\substack{t' \in \mathcal{G}_0 \\ t''=(t_1, \dots, t_{n_0}) \in \prod_i^{n_0} \Gamma_i(r_i)}} F_{\mathbf{f}}(t'u(\varpi^{-r_1}\tilde{\mu}_1(t_1), \dots, \varpi^{-r_{n_0}}\tilde{\mu}_{n_0}(t_{n_0})))\psi'(t')\psi''(t'') \\
&= \sum_{\substack{t' \in \mathcal{G}_0 \\ t''=(t_1, \dots, t_{n_0}) \in \prod_i^{n_0} \Gamma_i(r_i)}} F_{\mathbf{f}}(t'u(\varpi^{-r_1}, \dots, \varpi^{-r_{n_0}}) \cdot u(\varpi^{-r_1}(\tilde{\mu}_1(t_1) - 1), \dots, \varpi^{-r_{n_0}}(\tilde{\mu}_{n_0}(t_{n_0}) - 1)))\psi'(t')\psi''(t'').
\end{aligned}$$

For any  $x \in \mathcal{O}_l$ , we have a well-define analytic map, the power map:

$$(\cdot)^x: 1 + \varpi\mathcal{O}_l \rightarrow 1 + \varpi\mathcal{O}_l, \quad y \mapsto y^x.$$

We fix primitive characters  $\psi_{i,r_i}: \Gamma_i(r_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n_0$ , then there is a bijection

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{i=1}^{n_0} (\mathcal{O}_l/\mathfrak{l}^{r_i})^\times \xrightarrow{1:1} \{\text{product of primitive characters of } \Gamma_i(r_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n_0\}, \\
\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_0}) &\mapsto \psi_{\underline{r}, \underline{\alpha}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} \psi_{i,r_i}^{\alpha_i}, \text{ a character of } \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} \Gamma_i(r_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we put  $\psi_{i,r_i}^{\alpha_i}(\cdot) = \psi_{i,r_i}((\cdot)^{\alpha_i})$ . We fix also an  $n_0$ -tuple of positive integers  $\underline{r}' = (r'_1, \dots, r'_{n_0})$  such that  $0 < 2r'_i < r_i$  for all  $i$ . For an element  $\underline{\alpha}' = (\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_{n_0}) \in \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} (\mathcal{O}_l/\mathfrak{l}^{r_i})^\times$ , we then put  $I(r'_i, r_i, \alpha'_i) = \alpha'_i + \varpi^{r'_i}\mathcal{O}_l/\varpi^{r_i}\mathcal{O}_l$  and  $I(\underline{r}', \underline{r}, \underline{\alpha}') = \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} I(r'_i, r_i, \alpha'_i)$ .

Write  $\ell_0 = \sharp\mathbb{F}_l$ . We define the following map associated to  $\overline{F}_{\mathbf{f}}$ :

(39)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}'}: H(\mathbb{A}_F, f) \rightarrow \kappa, \\
g &\mapsto \ell_0^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n_0} (r_i - r'_i)} \sum_{\substack{\underline{\alpha} \in I(\underline{r}', \underline{r}, \underline{\alpha}') \\ t''=(t_1, \dots, t_{n_0}) \in \prod_i^{n_0} \Gamma_i(r_i)}} \overline{F}_{\mathbf{f}}(gu(\varpi^{-r_1}(\tilde{\mu}_1(t_1) - 1), \dots, \varpi^{-r_{n_0}}(\tilde{\mu}_{n_0}(t_{n_0}) - 1)))\psi_{\underline{r}, \underline{\alpha}}(t'')).
\end{aligned}$$

For two integers  $0 < r' < r$ , an  $\ell^r$ -th root of unity  $\zeta_{\ell^r}$  and two elements  $\alpha', t \in \mathbb{Z}/\ell^e\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $t_i$  as in the above summation, we have the following simple observation:

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \alpha' + \ell^{r'}\mathbb{Z}/\ell^r\mathbb{Z}} \zeta_{\ell^r}^{t\alpha} = \begin{cases} \ell^{r'} \cdot \zeta_{\ell^r}^{t\alpha'}, & \ell^{r-r'} \mid t; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}, \quad \sum_{\alpha_i \in I(r'_i, r_i, \alpha'_i)} \psi_{i,r_i}^{\alpha_i}(t_i) = \begin{cases} \ell_0^{r_i - r'_i} \cdot \psi_{i,r_i}^{\alpha'_i}(t_i), & \varpi^{r_i - r'_i} \mid (t_i - 1); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(the second follows from the first) We deduce easily

$$\widetilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}'}(g) = \sum_{t''=(t_1, \dots, t_{n_0}) \in \prod_i^{n_0} \Gamma_i(r_i) \varpi^{r_i - r'_i}} \overline{F}_{\mathbf{f}}(gu(\varpi^{-r_1}(\tilde{\mu}_1(t_1) - 1), \dots, \varpi^{-r_{n_0}}(\tilde{\mu}_{n_0}(t_{n_0}) - 1)))\psi_{\underline{r}, \underline{\alpha}'}(t'')).$$

Note that for  $t'' = (t_1, \dots, t_{n_0})$  in the last summation, we have  $\frac{\tilde{\mu}_i(t_i) - 1}{\varpi^{r_i - r'_i}} \in \mathcal{O}_l$  for all  $i$ , thus  $\widetilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}'}(g)$  is independent of  $\underline{r}$ , justifying the notation. Moreover the dependence of  $\widetilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}'}$  on  $\underline{\alpha}'$  factors through its image under the map  $\prod_i (\mathcal{O}_l/\mathfrak{l}^{r_i})^\times \rightarrow \prod_i (\mathcal{O}_l/\mathfrak{l}^{r'_i})^\times$  (we will identify  $\underline{\alpha}'$  with its image). We denote

$$\Gamma'_i(r'_i) := (1 + \varpi^{r_i - r'_i}\mathcal{O}_l)/(1 + \varpi^{r_i}\mathcal{O}_l)$$

(we choose an arbitrary  $r_i$  as long as  $r_i > 2r'_i$ ). The bijection

$$(1 + \varpi \mathcal{O}_l)/(1 + \varpi^{r_i} \mathcal{O}_l) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}_i^{-1}} \Gamma_i(r_i) \xrightarrow{t_i \mapsto \tilde{\mu}_i(t_i) - 1} \varpi^{-r_i} \mathcal{O}_l / \mathcal{O}_l \simeq \mathcal{O}_l / \Gamma^{r_i}$$

(the first arrow is an isomorphism of groups, the second arrow is *a priori* only a map while the last arrow is the canonical map, multiplication by  $\varpi^{r_i}$ ) sends  $t$  to  $(t - 1)/\varpi$  and induces the following bijection

$$(40) \quad \mu'_i: \Gamma'_i(r'_i) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}_i^{-1}} \Gamma_i(r_i) \xrightarrow{\ell_0^{r_i - r'_i} \quad t_i \mapsto \tilde{\mu}_i(t_i) - 1} \varpi^{-(r_i - r'_i)} (\varpi^{-r_i} \mathcal{O}_l / \mathcal{O}_l) \simeq \varpi^{r_i - r'_i} \mathcal{O}_l / \varpi^{r_i} \mathcal{O}_l \simeq \mathcal{O}_l / \Gamma^{r'_i}.$$

The condition  $0 < 2r'_i < r_i$  implies that this map is in fact a group (iso)morphism (one checks this by going in the inverse direction of the above sequence of maps). Then we have

$$(41) \quad \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}'}(g) = \sum_{t'' = (t_1, \dots, t_{n_0}) \in \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} \Gamma'_i(r'_i)} \bar{F}_{\mathbf{f}}(gu(\varpi^{-r'_1} \mu'_1(t_1), \dots, \varpi^{-r'_{n_0}} \mu'_{n_0}(t_{n_0}))) \psi_{\underline{r}, \underline{\alpha}'}(t'').$$

This will be used in the proof of Lemma 7.14.

By definition, one has

$$(42) \quad \sum_{\underline{\alpha} \in I(\underline{r}', \underline{r}, \underline{\alpha}')} \mathbf{P}^*(\mathbf{f}, \psi' \times \psi_{\underline{r}, \underline{\alpha}}, \varsigma_{\xi(\underline{r})})(\bmod \mathfrak{P}) = \ell_0^{\sum_{i=1}^{n_0} (r_i - r'_i)} \sum_{t' \in \mathcal{G}_0} \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}'}(t' \varsigma_{\xi(\underline{r})}) \psi'(t').$$

By Lemma 7.14 below, for any  $0 \ll r'_1 \ll r'_2 \ll \dots \ll r'_{n_0}$ , there exists  $\underline{\alpha}' \in \prod_i (\mathcal{O}_l / \Gamma^{r'_i})^\times$  such that  $\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}'}$  is *not* Spin-invariant. Therefore by Lemma 7.9, the restriction map  $\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}'}|_{H'}$  of  $\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}'}$  from  $H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  to  $H'(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  is not Spin-invariant, either.

Now by Theorem 7.3 and Lemma 7.6 we know that for a fixed compact open subgroup  $\mathcal{G}' \subset [\tilde{T}]$  (we write  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}'$  for the image under the map  $\tilde{T}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \rightarrow T(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  of the pre-image of  $\mathcal{G}'$  under the projection map  $\tilde{T}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \rightarrow [\tilde{T}]$ ) and for any  $r'_1, \dots, r'_{n_0} \gg 0$ , there is  $h \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}'$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\neq \sum_{t' \in \mathcal{R}} \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}'}|_{H'}(\mathfrak{s}(t') h \mathfrak{s}(v_1(\varpi^{-r'_1}) \dots v_{n_0}(\varpi^{-r'_{n_0}}))) \psi'(\mathfrak{s}(t')) \\ &\left( = \sum_{t' \in \mathcal{G}_0} \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}'}|_{H'}(t' h \varsigma_{\xi}) \psi'(t') = \sum_{t' \in \mathcal{G}_0} \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}'}(t' h \varsigma_{\xi}) \psi'(t') \right). \end{aligned}$$

The inclusion  $\mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\underline{h})$  has finite cokernel, thus  $\mathcal{G}_0$  is an open subgroup of  $\tilde{T}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ . Therefore we can choose  $\mathcal{G}'$  small enough such that its image under the map  $\mathfrak{s}: \tilde{T}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \rightarrow T(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  is contained in  $\mathcal{G}_0$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}h$  are both complete sets of liftings for  $\mathcal{G}_0$ , it follows immediately that for such  $\xi = \xi(\underline{r})$  and  $\psi'$ , there is  $\underline{\alpha}' \in I(\underline{r}', \underline{r}, \underline{\alpha}')$  such that  $\mathbf{P}^*(\mathbf{f}, \psi' \times \psi_{\underline{r}, \underline{\alpha}}, \varsigma_{\xi(\underline{r})}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$  (the RHS is non-zero and so at least one member of the LHS should be non-zero).  $\square$

**Remark 7.12.** Note that we use §A.6.2 instead of §A.6.3 in the last paragraph of the above proof to conclude that the sum over  $\mathcal{R}$  is non-zero even if  $H$  is an odd orthogonal group. The problem is that the unipotent subgroups in §A.6.3 do not contain the ones in §A.6.2 (see also (35)) even when we have the containment  $\mathbf{H}' \subset \mathbf{H}$ . In fact, the images of the unipotent subgroups in §A.6.2 under the map  $\mathbf{H}'(F_l) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(F_l)$  are not *basic* root subgroups of the maximal torus  $\tilde{T}(F_l)$  and these images plus one opposite root subgroup of these images (with respect to the torus  $\tilde{T}(F_l)$ ) do *not* generate  $\mathbf{H}^1(F_l)$ , so Assumptions A.5(1) is not satisfied. That is why we need to consider the restriction map  $\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}'}|_{H'}$ .

**Remark 7.13.** Comparing with [CH16, §5], we note that there is no appearance of (analogues) the group  $\Delta^{\text{alg}}$  in our proof. In our case the group  $\Delta^{\text{alg}}$  corresponds to the subgroup of  $\tilde{T}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})/\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})T(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$  generated by those  $\sqrt{\varpi_{\mathfrak{q}}}$  where  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a finite place of  $F$  divides exactly one of  $\delta_{2i-1}$  and  $\delta_{2i}$  for some  $i = 1, \dots, n_0$  and  $\varpi_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is a uniformiser of  $F_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . However under the map  $\mathfrak{s}: \tilde{T}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \rightarrow T(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$ ,  $\sqrt{\varpi_{\mathfrak{q}}}$  is sent to an element of order 2 which is contained in  $T(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F^l)$ . As a result the image of  $\Delta^{\text{alg}}$  has trivial image in  $\mathcal{G}_{\infty}$ . That is why we do not need  $\Delta^{\text{alg}}$  in our case.

**Lemma 7.14.** *Let  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{A}_{p_{\lambda}}(H, K)$  be a  $p$ -primitive automorphic form satisfying Assumption 7.8. For  $0 \ll r'_1 \ll r'_2 \ll \dots \ll r'_{n_0}$ , there is  $\underline{\alpha}' \in \prod_i (\mathcal{O}_l / \Gamma^{r'_i})^\times$  such that the map  $\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}'}$  as defined in (39) is not Spin-invariant.*

*Proof.* We argue by contradiction. First we need some preparations. Choose  $\underline{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_{n_0})$  such that  $r_i > 2r'_i$  for all  $i$ . We fix primitive characters

$$\psi_{i,r'_i} = \psi_{i,r'_i}^{\varpi^{r_i-r'_i}} : \Gamma'_i(r'_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

where  $\psi_{i,r_i}$  is as in the proof of Theorem 7.10. For any  $i = 1, \dots, n_0$ , we denote an element in  $\prod_{j=1}^i (\mathcal{O}_l/\mathfrak{l}^{r'_j})^\times$  by  $\underline{\alpha}^{(i)} = (\alpha_1^{(i)}, \dots, \alpha_i^{(i)})$ . Then we define the following auxiliary maps (the homomorphisms  $\mu'_i$  are as in (40) and we write  $\psi_{\underline{r}, \underline{\alpha}^{(i)}} = \prod_{j=1}^i \psi_{j,r'_j}^{\alpha_j^{(i)}}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(i)}} : H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) &\rightarrow \kappa, \\ g &\mapsto \sum_{t''=(t_1, \dots, t_i) \in \prod_{j=1}^i \Gamma'_j(r'_j)} \overline{F}_{\underline{f}} \left( gu_1(\varpi^{-r'_1} \mu'_1(t_1)) \cdots u_i(\varpi^{-r'_i} \mu'_i(t_i)) \right) \psi_{\underline{r}, \underline{\alpha}^{(i)}}(t''). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(i)}}$  is independent of  $r'_{i+1}, r'_{i+2}, \dots, r'_{n_0}$  and that  $\tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0)}}$  is the same as the one given in (39) and (41) for  $\underline{\alpha} = \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0)}$ . One verifies easily the following recursive relations among these maps (we put  $\tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(0)}} = \overline{F}_{\underline{f}}$ )

$$(43) \quad \tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(i)}}(g) = \sum_{t_i \in \Gamma'_i(r'_i)} \tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(i-1)}}(gu_i(\varpi^{-r'_i} \mu'_i(t_i))) \psi_{i,r'_i}^{\alpha_i^{(i)}}(t_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n_0.$$

We now argue by contradiction and so assume that  $\tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0)}}$  is Spin-invariant for all possible  $\underline{\alpha}^{(n_0)}$ . We now use backward induction to show  $\tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0-1)}}, \dots, \tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(1)}}$  and  $F(\bmod \mathfrak{P})$  are all Spin-invariant for all possible  $\underline{\alpha}^{(n_0-1)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(1)}$ . So  $\tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0)}}$  is invariant under  $\tilde{H}(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ , in particular it is invariant under  $\tilde{H}(F_l)$ . However the subgroup  $\tilde{H}(F_l)$  has finite index in  $H(F_l)$  (bounded above by  $[F_l^\times : (F_l^\times)^2]$ ). Thus we can choose  $r'_{n_0} \gg 0$  such that  $u_{n_0}(\varpi^{-r'_{n_0}})$  lies in  $\tilde{H}(F_l)$ . In particular,  $\tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0)}}(gu_{n_0}(\varpi^{-r'_{n_0}})) = \tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0)}}(g)$  for all  $g \in H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ . However by definition (note that  $\mu'_{n_0}$  is a group homomorphism!)

$$\tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0)}}(gu_{n_0}(\varpi^{-r'_{n_0}})) = \psi_{n_0, r'_{n_0}}^{\alpha_{n_0}^{(n_0)}}(1 + \varpi^{r_{n_0}-r'_{n_0}}) \tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0)}}(g), \quad \forall g \in H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}).$$

The primitivity of the character  $(\psi_{n_0, r'_{n_0}})^{\alpha_{n_0}^{(n_0)}}$  implies that  $\tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0)}}(g) = 0$  for all  $g \in H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  and  $\underline{\alpha}^{(n_0)} \in \prod_{i=1}^{n_0} (\mathcal{O}_l/\mathfrak{l}^{r'_i})^\times$ . Using (43), one deduces that the map

$$f : \Gamma'_{n_0}(r'_{n_0}) \rightarrow \kappa, \quad t \mapsto \tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0-1)}}(gu_{n_0}(\varpi^{-r'_{n_0}} \mu'_{n_0}(t)))$$

factors through the quotient  $\Gamma'_{n_0}(r'_{n_0}) \rightarrow \Gamma'_{n_0}(r'_{n_0} - 1)$  induced by the map  $\mathcal{O}_l/\mathfrak{l}^{r'_{n_0}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_l/\mathfrak{l}^{r'_{n_0}-1}$ . We can prove this factorization as follows: the dimension of the  $\kappa$ -vector space  $\mathcal{S}$  of maps  $f' : \Gamma'_{n_0}(r'_{n_0}) \rightarrow \kappa$  such that  $\sum_t f'(t) \psi_{n_0, r'_{n_0}}^{\alpha_{n_0}^{(n_0)}}(t) = 0$  for all  $\alpha_{n_0}^{(n_0)} \in (\mathcal{O}_l/\mathfrak{l}^{r'_{n_0}})^\times$  is equal to  $\ell_0^{r'_{n_0}-1}$ ; on the other hand, any map  $f' : \Gamma'_{n_0}(r'_{n_0}) \rightarrow \kappa$  induced from a map  $f'' : \Gamma'_{n_0}(r'_{n_0} - 1) \rightarrow \kappa$  via the above quotient map lies in this space  $\mathcal{S}$ , and this subspace has  $\kappa$ -dimension also equal to  $\ell_0^{r'_{n_0}-1}$ .

In other words, we have

$$(44) \quad \tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0-1)}}(g) = \tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0-1)}}(gu_{n_0}(\frac{\varpi}{\varpi^{r'_{n_0}}})) , \quad \forall g \in H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}).$$

Fix an isomorphism  $G_{n_0}^1(F_l) \simeq \mathrm{SL}_2(F_l)$  sending  $G_{n_0}^1(\mathcal{O}_l)$  onto  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_l)$ , the torus  $\tilde{T}(F_l) \cap G_{n_0}^1(F_l)$  onto the diagonal subgroup and  $u_{n_0}(\mathcal{O}_l)$  onto the subgroup  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathcal{O}_l \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . By definition,  $\tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0-1)}}$  is invariant under a compact open subgroup of  $G_{n_0}^1(\mathcal{O}_l)$  containing those elements whose image in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_l)$  are of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  with  $a-1, d-1, c \in \varpi^{e'} \mathcal{O}_l$  for some positive integer  $e'$ , depending only on  $r'_1, \dots, r'_{n_0-1}$ . Moreover (44) implies that  $\tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0-1)}}$  is also invariant by  $u_{n_0}(\varpi^{-r'_{n_0}+1})$ . Thus as long as we take  $r'_{n_0} - 1 > e'$ , Lemma 7.4 shows that  $\tilde{F}_{\underline{f}, \underline{r}', \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0-1)}}$  is

invariant under the subgroup  $G_{n_0}^1(F_l)$  of  $\text{Spin}(U)(F_l)$ . Now apply Lemma 7.5, and we see that  $\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0-1)}}$  is invariant under  $\mathfrak{s}(\text{Spin}(U)(F_l)) = \tilde{H}(F_l)$  for all  $\underline{\alpha}^{(n_0-1)} \in \prod_{i=1}^{n_0-1} (\mathcal{O}_l/\mathfrak{r}_i')^\times$  (in particular, it is Spin-invariant by the strong approximation property of  $\text{Spin}(U)$ ).

Now we repeat the above argument for the pair  $(\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0-1)}}, \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0-2)}})$  instead of the pair  $(\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0)}}, \tilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0-1)}})$  and we get that  $\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{\alpha}^{(n_0-2)}}$  is Spin-invariant for all  $\underline{\alpha}^{(n_0-2)}$ . Repeat this process until we get that  $\tilde{F}_{\mathbf{f}, \underline{\alpha}^{(0)}} = \bar{F}_{\mathbf{f}}$  is Spin-invariant, thus contradicting our assumption on  $\mathbf{f}$ .  $\square$

Combining Theorems 6.2 and 7.10, we get the main result of this article for the pair  $(H, G) = (\text{O}(U), \text{Sp}(V))$  (case  $m = 2n_0$ ):

**Theorem 7.15.** *Maintain Assumptions 2.1, 7.1. Let  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F))$  be a  $p$ -primitive automorphic form such that  $\bar{F}_{\mathbf{f}}$  is not Spin-invariant. Then the theta lift  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_{\tau^\circ}}(G, \Gamma_0(2, \delta_U), \chi_U^\circ)$  is also  $p$ -primitive.*

We resume the notations as in the second part of §6, in particular,  $\tilde{V} = \mathcal{O}_F(e_1^+, \dots, e_m^+, e_1^-, \dots, e_m^-)$ . Combining Theorems 6.6 and 7.10, we get the main result of this article for the pair  $(H, \tilde{G}) = (\text{O}(U), \text{Sp}(\tilde{V}))$  (general case  $m$  satisfying (5)):

**Theorem 7.16.** *Maintain Assumptions 2.1, 6.3, 7.1. Let  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, H(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_F))$  be a  $p$ -primitive automorphic form such that  $\bar{F}_{\mathbf{f}}$  is not Spin-invariant. Then the theta lift  $\Theta_{\tilde{\phi}_\lambda}(\mathbf{f}) \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_{\tilde{\tau}^\circ}}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{\Gamma}_0(2, \delta_U), \chi_U^\circ)$  is also  $p$ -primitive.*

**Remark 7.17.** We can partially recover [HN17, Theorem 5.3] using Theorem 7.15 as follows: let  $D$  be a definite quaternion algebra over  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  (in *loc.cit.*, this is denoted by  $D_0$ ) of discriminant  $N$  (a square-free product of an odd number of primes) and write  $D^0$  for the trace zero part of  $D$ , which becomes a quadratic space using the reduced norm on  $D$ . We fix an Eichler order  $R$  of  $D$  (of certain level) and write  $U = R \cap D^0$ , a lattice in  $D^0$ . The conjugate action of  $D^\times$  on  $D^0$  gives rise to a morphism of algebraic groups over  $\mathbb{Q}$ :  $D^\times \rightarrow \text{O}(D^0) = H/\mathbb{Q}$  where  $H = \text{O}(U)$ . Now let  $f$  be an elliptic modular newform of level dividing the discriminant of the quadratic  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $U$ , of weight  $k \geq 0$  and  $\mathbf{f}$  the vector-valued automorphic form on  $D^\times(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash D^\times(\mathbb{A})$  associated to  $f$  via the Jacquet-Langlands-Shimizu correspondence. Then we can extend  $\mathbf{f}$  (by zero) to an automorphic form on  $H(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash H(\mathbb{A})$ , which lies in  $\mathcal{A}_{\rho_\lambda}(H, H(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}))$  where  $\lambda = (2k; 1)$ . Now by Theorem 7.15, under Assumptions 2.1 and 7.8, if  $\mathbf{f}$  is  $p$ -primitive, its theta lift  $\Theta_{\phi_\lambda}(\mathbf{f})$  to  $G(\mathbb{A}) = \text{Sp}(\mathbb{A})$  is also  $p$ -primitive. This is the main ingredient in the proof of [HN17, Theorem 5.3] for the above case  $f$ . The above two assumptions correspond respectively to the two conditions in *loc.cit.*

Our result does not fully recover *loc.cit.*, for example, *loc.cit.* allows the level of  $f$  to have square factors while we require each  $\delta_i$  to be square-free. In [HN17], the authors give a detailed computation for the set of representatives  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi, K}$  for some proper subgroups of  $H(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$  (related the level of  $f$ ); while in our case, we work more generally and it seems not as easy to compute this set unless  $K = H(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$  (see also Remark 7.11). Moreover in [HN17], the elements in  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbf{z}, \xi, K}$  related to the level act on  $\mathbf{f}$  by scalars and thus we can still ensure that the RHS of (38) is a non-zero scalar times a toric period through the theory of newforms and using Atkin-Lehner operators. In our case, we do not work to such extend for lack of such refined theory in groups of higher ranks (such as our  $H$ ).

The above discussion is one case of Yoshida lifts, that is, the theta lift of two elliptic modular forms. On the other hand, we can *not* use Theorem 7.16 to prove non-vanishing mod  $\mathfrak{P}$  results of Yoshida lifts of Hilbert modular forms (over a real quadratic field, see [Yos80]). The main reason is that in this case the quadratic  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $U$  should have *non-perfect-square* discriminant, while we need to assume the discriminant to be a *perfect square* (see Assumption 7.1). Assumption 7.1 comes from A.6.2, where we assume that  $H = \text{O}(U)$  has at least two distinct *algebraic* subgroups isomorphic to the units of quaternion algebras (for the case  $U$  of rank 4).

**Remark 7.18.** The case  $n = 1$  or  $2$  is excluded from the above two theorems because  $H(F_l)$  does not contain unipotent subgroups and thus the strategy in this article fails. However, in these two low rank cases, the situation is particularly simple and the Fourier coefficients  $a(S)$  are very easy to compute using the values of  $\mathbf{f}$ .

## APPENDIX A. EQUIDISTRIBUTION OF CM POINTS

**A.1. Introduction.**<sup>11</sup> Let  $G$  be a connected linear algebraic group over a totally real number field  $F$ ,  $G^1$  the derived subgroup of  $G$ ,  $H \subset G$  a maximal torus (over  $F$ ) and  $K$  a compact open subgroup of  $G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ . In this note we study the equidistributions of  $H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ -orbits on the quotient  $G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  in the following sense: for an element  $s \in G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ , how large can  $G(F)H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})sK$  be?

For an arbitrary  $s$ , it is usually difficult to determine whether or not the following holds

$$G(F)H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})sK = G(F)H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})sG^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})K.$$

Instead we can consider a (usually infinite) set  $S$  of points  $s \in G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  and ask the following:

**Questions A.1.** (1) *Is there  $s \in S$  such that  $G(F)H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})sK = G(F)H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})sG^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})K$ ?*  
 (2) *We also have a multi-copy version of this question: fix a finite set  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $r$  elements  $g \in H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ . Consider the following natural maps*

$$H(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / K \xrightarrow{\text{Red}_K^{\mathcal{R}}} (G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / K)^r \xrightarrow{\text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}}} (G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / KG^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}))^r,$$

where  $\text{Red}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(x) = (G(F)gx)_{g \in \mathcal{R}}$  and  $\text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}}$  is the natural projection map. Then is there some  $s \in S$  such that the following holds?

$$\text{Red}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})s) = (\text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}})^{-1} (\text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(\text{Red}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})s))).$$

Let us first give some motivations for this question. The question for  $G = B^\times$  with  $B$  a definite quaternion algebra over  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  arises naturally when one considers the non-vanishing problems of  $L$ -values of certain modular form ([Vat02]). Indeed, let  $f$  be a cuspidal newform of weight 2 on the congruence subgroup  $\Gamma_0(N)$  of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  (consisting of matrices that are congruent to upper triangular matrices modulo  $N$ ),  $\chi$  a finite order Hecke character of  $K$ , an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant prime to  $N$ . Then we can associate to the pair  $(g, \chi)$  the (complete) Rankin-Selberg  $L$ -series  $L(s, g, \chi)$ . It is well-known that  $L(s, g, \chi)$  extends to an analytic function of  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  and satisfies a functional equation

$$L(s, g, \chi) = e(\chi)A(\chi)\chi^{s-1}L(2-s, g, \chi^{-1}),$$

where  $e(\chi)$  and  $A(\chi)$  are constants depending only on the character  $\chi$ . Let  $\chi_0$  be the trivial character. Fix also a prime number  $\ell$  prime to  $N\text{Disc}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ , then Mazur's conjecture predicts the following

**Conjecture A.2.** (Mazur) *If  $e(\chi_0) = +1$  (the definite case), then  $L(1, g, \chi) \neq 0$  for all but finite many characters  $\chi$  of  $\ell$ -power order conductor. If  $e(\chi_0) = -1$  (the indefinite case), then  $L'(1, g, \chi) \neq 0$  for all but finitely many  $\chi$  of  $\ell$ -power order conductor.*

The resolution of the above conjecture relies on the above question: roughly speaking, the Heegner points<sup>12</sup> on a certain curve  $X$  (related to  $B$ ) distribute uniformly on each component of  $X$  (see below), then one applies Gross-Zagier formula relating  $L(1, g, \chi)$  to the height of a twisted Heegner point and one concludes the non-vanishing of  $L(1, g, \chi)$  or  $L'(1, g, \chi)$  depending on the signature of  $e(\chi_0)$ .

**Theorem A.3.** *Suppose that  $B$  is split at  $\ell$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a finite subset of  $H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  such that the  $\ell$ -component of its elements are pairwise distinct modulo  $H(\mathbb{Q})Z(G(\mathbb{Q}_\ell))$  and  $S$  be the image of a  $G(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ -orbit through the projection  $H(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \rightarrow H(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / K$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an open subgroup of  $H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ . Then for all but finitely many  $s \in S$ , one has*

$$\text{Red}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})s) = (\text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}})^{-1} (\text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(\text{Red}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})s))).$$

The above result is taken from [CV05], which is a refined version of the main ingredient in [Vat02]. And this is the starting point of our generalization. The ingenious application of the above ergodic-theoretic flavor result to number theory (originally due to V. Vatsal) had many interesting and important consequences, apart from the above mentioned Mazur's conjecture, there are also the non-vanishing mod  $p$  (with  $p \neq \ell$ ) of certain Yoshida lifts (in the domain of theta lifts, as in [HN17]) as well as the non-vanishing of certain Euler systems (in the domain of Iwasawa theory as in [How04]). In applications, the set  $S$  is often taken to be the image of a  $G(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ -orbit containing Heegner points of

<sup>11</sup>We apologize for some conflicts of notations in this appendix with the main body of the article.

<sup>12</sup>Heegner point is a special case of CM points in the theory of Shimura varieties. Even though Shimura varieties do not appear in this appendix, our motivation of this appendix is closely related to the behavior of Heegner points on these varieties.

$\ell$ -power conductors. In other words, it suffices to consider those  $S$  that are the image of a subset of a  $G(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ -orbit and are infinite. In fact, if  $H$  is split at  $\ell$ , the Heegner points of conductor  $\ell^k$  are represented by matrices of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} \ell^k & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \simeq G(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ . Multiplying this matrix on the left by  $\mathrm{diag}(\ell^{-k}, 1) \in H(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \subset H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ , what one actually considers in the proof of the above theorem is that for  $k \gg 0$ , the last identity in the above theorem holds with  $s$  replaced by the element  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/\ell^k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \simeq G(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \subset G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ .

Our goal in this appendix is to provide a generalization of the above result to a certain class of algebraic groups, which include as examples compact forms of classical groups. For simplicity, in this introduction we take  $G$  to be a non-abelian general spin group over  $F$ . We assume that  $G$  and  $H$  are split at a non-archimedean place  $\lambda$  of  $F$ . In these cases, the most important property that we need on  $G$  and  $H$  is that there are subgroups  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n$  of  $G$  such that each  $G_j$  is split at  $\lambda$  and is isomorphic to  $B_j^\times$  where  $B_j$  is a (definite) quaternion algebra over  $F$ . We fix isomorphisms  $G_j(F_\lambda) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(F_\lambda)$ . Then we have  $n$  rank one unipotent subgroups  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  of  $G(F_\lambda)$  with  $U_j \subset G_j(F_\lambda)$ . Moreover we can choose these  $G_j$  such that  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)$  generate  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ ,  $H(F_\lambda)$  normalizes each  $G_j(F_\lambda)$  and  $U_j$ , and all  $U_j$  commute with each other. Let  $H(F_\lambda)$  act on  $U_j$  by conjugation via a character  $\chi_j$ . We assume that these characters are linearly independent. Fix isomorphisms  $F_\lambda \simeq U_j$  sending  $t$  to  $u_j(t)$  and fix a uniformiser  $\omega$  of  $F_\lambda$ . Then in this appendix we prove the following (see also Theorem A.21):

**Theorem A.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{R} = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\} \subset H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  be a finite subset of  $r$  elements such that*

$$(45) \quad (g_k)_\lambda (g_i)_\lambda^{-1} \notin H(F_\lambda) \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^n G_j(F) Z(G_j(F_\lambda)), \quad \forall i \neq k \in \{1, \dots, r\}.$$

*Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an open subgroup of  $H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ . For an  $n$ -tuple of integers  $\underline{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_n)$ , we write  $u(1/\omega^{\underline{N}}) = \prod_{i=1}^n u_i(1/\omega^{N_i})$ . Then for  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n \gg 0$ , we have*

$$\mathrm{Red}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{G}u(1/\omega^{\underline{N}})) = (\mathrm{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}})^{-1} (\mathrm{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(\mathrm{Red}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{G}u(1/\omega^{\underline{N}})))) .$$

Now let's briefly indicate the ideas behind of proof of the above result: the proof borrows ideas from the proof of Theorem A.3. So maybe it is useful to first indicate the proof of this theorem for the case  $H$  split at  $\lambda$ : now we have  $G = G_j$ . Let  $h \in H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ ,  $U = U_j$  and  $\Delta: G^1(F_\lambda) \rightarrow G^1(F_\lambda)^r$  be the diagonal map.

- (1) Ratner's orbit closure theorem says that the closure of  $\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(hg_i, K) \Delta(U)$  in  $G^1(F_\lambda)^r$  contains  $c \Delta(G^1(F_\lambda)) c^{-1}$  for some  $c \in U^r$ , where  $\Gamma(hg_i, K)$  is a lattice<sup>13</sup> in  $G^1(F_\lambda)$  related to  $hg_i$  and  $K$  (see §A.3.2 for the definition). Moreover one can show that for any  $h \in H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ , the lattices  $\Gamma(hg_i, K)$  and  $\Gamma(hg_k, K)$  are *not*  $U$ -commensurable for any  $i \neq k$ , which implies that the closure of  $\prod_i \Gamma(hg_i, K) \Delta(U_i)$  is  $G^1(F_\lambda)^r$ .
- (2) Ratner's uniform distribution theorem on unipotent orbits says that for any locally constant function  $f$  on  $(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})/K)^r$  and any compact open subset  $\kappa$  of  $F_\lambda$ , one has

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(\kappa_N)} \int_{\kappa_N} f(\mathrm{Red}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(hg_i u_i(t))) dt = \int_{(G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})/K)^r} f d\mu$$

Here  $\kappa_N = \kappa/\omega^N$  and  $\mu$  is the product of the measures  $\mu_{hg_i}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ), which is the unique Borel measure on  $G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})/K$  that is invariant under  $G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  and is of support on the subset  $G(F) \backslash G(F)hg_i G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})K/K$ . Now one integrates both sides over  $h \in \mathcal{G}$  and then take  $f$  to be certain characteristic functions, one concludes the proof.

We next explain the difficulties in both steps when generalizing Theorem A.3.

In the first step one should find suitable conditions on  $G$  such that  $\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(hg_i, K) \Delta(U_1 \cdots U_n)$  is dense in  $G^1(F_\lambda)^r$ . First consider the case  $\sharp \mathcal{R} = r = 1$ . For the case  $G$  a compact general spin group over  $F$ , the closure  $\Gamma(hg_i, K)U_j$  inside  $G^1(F_\lambda)$  should contain the closure of  $(\Gamma(hg_i, K) \cap G_j(F))U_j$ , which we know contains  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)$  (here we need the fact that  $H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  normalizes  $G_j(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  to show that  $\Gamma(hg_i, K) \cap G_j(F)$  is a lattice in  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)$ ). Since these  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)$  generate  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ , we conclude that  $\Gamma(hg_i, K)U_1 U_2 \cdots U_n$  should be dense in the whole group  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ . For the case

<sup>13</sup>By a 'lattice', we mean a discrete and cocompact subgroup of  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ . In the literature, some authors use 'lattice' to mean a discrete subgroup whose quotient admits a finite  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ -invariant measure. We do not work in this more general sense in this appendix.

$\sharp(\mathcal{R}) > 1$ , we use the arguments in [CV05] to show that again  $\Gamma(hg_i, K) \cap G_j(F)$  and  $\Gamma(hg_k, K) \cap G_j(F)$  are not  $U_i$ -commensurable for any  $j$  and  $i \neq k$  (here we use (45)). Therefore the closure of  $\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(hg_i, K) \Delta(U_j)$  inside  $G^1(F_\lambda)^r$  contains  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)^r$ . Now these  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)^r$  generate  $G^1(F_\lambda)^r$ , one sees immediately that  $\prod_{i=1}^r \Gamma(hg_i, K) \Delta(U_1 \cdots U_n)$  is dense in  $G^1(F_\lambda)^r$ .

In the second step one should choose a set  $S$  as in Theorem A.3. As we are in the case  $H$  split at  $\lambda$ , so it is natural to consider a set  $S$  of unipotent elements. However even this is not enough. In fact, we should require that  $S$  is contained in a unipotent subgroup whose rank is no greater than that of  $H(F_\lambda) \cap G^1(F_\lambda)$ . Indeed, in (2) for our case one needs an identity of the form

$$\mathcal{G} \prod_{i=1}^n u_i(\kappa_{N_i})K = \mathcal{G} \prod_{i=1}^n u_i(1/\omega^{N_i})K$$

for a sufficiently small compact open subgroup  $\kappa$  of  $F_\lambda^\times$  (here  $\kappa_{N_i} = \kappa/\omega^{N_i}$ ). One way to absorb  $\kappa_{N_i}$  into the groups  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $K$  is to use the non-trivial conjugate action of  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $U_1, \dots, U_n$ . For  $\kappa$  sufficiently small, as long as the conjugate action of  $\mathcal{G}$  on each  $U_i$  is non-trivial (in particular, the rank of  $H(F_\lambda) \cap G^1(F_\lambda)$  should be no less than  $n$ ), the above identity can be achieved. On the other hand,  $S$  should not be too small, in fact, the limit in (2) for our case is over  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Thus we take  $S = \{u_1(1/\omega^{N_1}) \cdots u_n(1/\omega^{N_n}) | N_1, \dots, N_n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

**A.2. Basic set-up.** Throughout this appendix we fix a totally real number field  $F$  and a non-archimedean place  $\lambda$  of  $F$ . As in the introduction of this appendix, we write  $F_\lambda$  for the completion of  $F$  at  $\lambda$ ,  $\mathcal{O}$  for the ring of integers of  $F$  and  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  for the ring of integers of  $F_\lambda$ . We also fix a uniformiser  $\omega$  of  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ . We write  $\mathbb{A}_F$  for the ring of adèles of  $F$ ,  $\mathbb{A}_{F,f}$  the finite part of  $\mathbb{A}_F$  and  $\mathbb{A}_{F,f}^\lambda$  the subring of  $\mathbb{A}_{F,f}$  consisting of elements whose  $\lambda$ -components are 1. Write  $U_2$  for the subgroup of  $SL_2$  consisting of unipotent upper triangular matrices.

We fix a connected linear algebraic group  $G$  over  $F$  and a maximal torus  $H$  (over  $F$ ) of  $G$ , both of which are split at  $\lambda$ . We assume that the derived subgroup  $G^1$  is simply connected and that  $G^1(F)$  is discrete in  $G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ . We assume moreover that there are  $n$  algebraic subgroups  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  of  $G$  (write  $\iota_j: G_j \rightarrow G$  for the inclusion embedding) such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- Assumptions A.5.** (1) Each  $G_j$  is split at  $\lambda$ , isomorphic to either  $\mathbf{B}_j^\times$  for some quaternion algebra  $\mathbf{B}_j$  over  $F$  or is a unitary group  $\mathbf{U}_j$  of rank 2 over a CM quadratic extension of  $F$ . We fix once and for all an isomorphism  $G_j(F_\lambda) \simeq GL_2(F_\lambda)$ . We assume these subgroups  $G_j^1(F_\lambda) (\simeq SL_2(F_\lambda))$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) generate the whole  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ .
- (2) The unipotent subgroups  $U_j := \iota_j(U_2(F_\lambda))$  all commute with each other (that is, for any  $u_j \in U_j$  and  $u_i \in U_i$ ,  $u_j u_i = u_i u_j$ ). Moreover  $H(F_\lambda)$  normalizes each  $G_j(F_\lambda)$  as well as  $U_j$ . We assume that  $H(F_\lambda)$  acts by conjugation on  $U_j$  via an algebraic character  $\chi_j$  and that these characters  $\chi_j$  are linearly independent in the character group  $X^*(H(F_\lambda)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  of  $H(F_\lambda)$ .

Note that the simple-connectedness of  $G^1$  implies that  $G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  satisfies the strong approximation property (w.r.t. the place  $\lambda$ ). There are other equivalent ways to characterize the fact that  $G^1(F)$  is discrete in  $G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ . For example, by [Gro99, Proposition 1.4], we know that  $G^1(F)$  is discrete in  $G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  if and only if  $G^1(F)$  is discrete and co-compact in  $G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  (that is,  $G^1(F)$  is a lattice in  $G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ ), if and only if  $G^1(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$  is compact. In Assumptions A.5, (1) implies that these  $G_j^1(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$  are all compact,  $G_j^1$  are all simply-connected and  $G_j^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  satisfy the strong approximation property (with respect to the place  $\lambda$ ). The two groups  $\mathbf{B}_j^\times$  and  $\mathbf{U}_j$  are closely related as follows: for each quaternion algebra  $\mathbf{B}$  over  $F$  with a principal involution  $\tau$ , we can define a dimension 2 Hermitian space over a quadratic extension  $K$  of  $F$  (which is a maximal commutative subalgebra of  $\mathbf{B}$  and is stable under the involution  $\tau$ ) and write  $\mathbf{SU}$  for the corresponding special unitary group associated to this space. Write  $\mathbf{B}^1$  for the set of elements of  $\mathbf{B}$  whose reduced norm is 1. Then  $\mathbf{B}^1 \simeq \mathbf{SU}$  as algebraic groups over  $F$ . So the groups  $G_j$  can all be seen as extensions of a rank one torus (over  $F$ ) by some  $\mathbf{B}^1$ . From (2) we have an isomorphism  $\prod_{j=1}^n U_j \simeq F_\lambda^n$  of  $\lambda$ -adic groups. Moreover  $H(F_\lambda)$  normalizes each  $G_j^1(F_\lambda) \simeq SL_2(F_\lambda)$ .

The following are two trivial examples of  $G$  satisfying the above assumptions. We refer to §A.6 for other more involved examples.

**Examples A.6.**  $G$  is  $\mathbf{B}^\times$  where  $\mathbf{B}$  is a definite quaternion algebra over  $F$  ( $\mathbf{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  is a product of Hamiltonian quaternion algebras) or is  $\mathbf{U}$  which is a unitary group of rank 2 over a CM quadratic extension of  $F$ . We assume that

$G$  is split at  $\lambda$ . In this case we fix a maximal torus  $H$  of  $G$  which is also split at  $\lambda$ . Then we take  $n = 1$ ,  $G_1 = G$ ,  $U_1$  is a unipotent subgroup of  $G_1^1(F_\lambda) = G^1(F_\lambda)$  normalized by  $H(F_\lambda)$ .

### A.3. Ratner's theorems and commensurability.

**A.3.1.  $SL(2)$  case.** In this subsection we recall Ratner's orbit closure theorem and uniform distribution theorem on unipotent flows. Write  $\mathbf{m}$  to be the (normalized) Haar measure on  $F_\lambda$ . For a subset  $\kappa$  of  $F_\lambda$  and an integer  $N$ , we write  $\kappa_N = \kappa/\omega^N$ , consisting of  $k/\omega^N$  for all  $k \in \kappa$ .

Let  $G$  be as in the preceding section and put  $\mathbf{G} = G(F_\lambda)$ . Then Ratner's theorems give ([Rat95, Theorems 2&3]):

**Theorem A.7. (Orbit closure)** Let  $\Gamma$  be a lattice in  $\mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{U}$  be a subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$  generated by one-parameter unipotent subgroups of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Then for any  $x \in \Gamma \backslash \mathbf{G}$ , the closure  $x\mathbf{U}$  in  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{G}$  is of the form  $x\mathbf{L}$  for some closed subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$  containing  $\mathbf{U}$ .

**(Uniform distribution)** Now let  $\mathbf{U} = \{\mathbf{u}(t) | t \in F_\lambda\}$  be a one-parameter unipotent subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Write  $\mu_{\mathbf{L}}$  for the unique Borel measure on  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{G}$  invariant under the action of  $\mathbf{L}$  and supported on  $x\mathbf{L}$ . Then for any locally constant function  $f: \Gamma \backslash \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and any compact open subset  $\kappa \subset F_\lambda$ , one has

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}(\kappa_N)} \int_{\kappa_N} f(x\mathbf{u}(t)) dt = \int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{G}} f d\mu_{\mathbf{L}}.$$

**Remark A.8.** In *loc.cit*,  $f$  is assumed to be continuous. However, since  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{G}$  is compact,  $f$  can be uniformly approximated by locally constant functions on  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{G}$ . Thus the last conclusion in the above theorem is equivalent to the one given in *loc.cit*.

The proofs of the above results rely on a careful study for the case  $\mathbf{G} = SL_2(F_\lambda)^r$  (Theorem 6 of *loc.cit*), which we will also need in the following. Let  $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_r$  be lattices in  $SL_2(F_\lambda)$  (discrete and cocompact due to our assumptions on  $G$  and that  $\lambda$  is a finite place) and write  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \dots \times \Gamma_r$ . Let  $\Delta: SL_2(F_\lambda) \rightarrow SL_2(F_\lambda)^r$  be the diagonal map and  $V = \{v(t) | t \in F_\lambda\}$  be a one-parameter unipotent subgroup of  $SL_2(F_\lambda)$ . So  $\Delta(V)$  is a one-parameter unipotent subgroup of  $SL_2(F_\lambda)^r$ .

**Theorem A.9.** For any  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_r) \in SL_2(F_\lambda)^r$ , the closure  $\Gamma g \Delta(V)$  inside  $SL_2(F_\lambda)^r$  is of the form  $\Gamma g \mathbf{L}$  for a closed subgroup  $\mathbf{L}$  of  $SL_2(F_\lambda)^r$  containing  $\Delta(V)$  and there is an element  $c \in V^r$  such that  $c\mathbf{L}c^{-1} \supset \Delta(SL_2(F_\lambda))$ . Moreover there is a unique  $\mathbf{L}$ -invariant Borel measure  $\mu_{\mathbf{L}}$  on  $\Gamma \backslash SL_2(F_\lambda)^r$  supported on  $\Gamma g \mathbf{L}$ , and the measure  $\mu_{\mathbf{L}}$  is ergodic for  $\mathbf{L}$ : for any locally constant function  $f: \Gamma \backslash SL_2(F_\lambda)^r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and any compact open subset  $\kappa$  of  $F_\lambda$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}(\kappa_N)} \int_{\kappa_N} f(gv(t)) dt = \int_{\Gamma \backslash SL_2(F_\lambda)^r} f d\mu_{\mathbf{L}}.$$

The part  $c\mathbf{L}c^{-1} \supset \Delta(SL_2(F_\lambda))$  for some  $c \in V^r$  comes from Theorem 6 of [Rat95] and Theorem 1.1 of [Sha09]. We can say more for the closed subgroup  $\mathbf{L}$  under certain condition. For this we need the notion of  $V$ -commensurability: we say two lattices  $\Gamma, \Gamma'$  of  $SL_2(F_\lambda)$  are  $V$ -commensurable, if there is an element  $v \in V$  such that  $\Gamma$  and  $v\Gamma v^{-1}$  are commensurable. Then one has ([CV05, Proposition 2.35])

**Theorem A.10.** Maintain the notations of the preceding Theorem. If for any  $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ , the lattices  $g_i^{-1}\Gamma_i g_i$  and  $g_j^{-1}\Gamma_j g_j$  are not  $V$ -commensurable, then  $\mathbf{L} = SL_2(F_\lambda)^r$ .

**Remark A.11.** In fact the converse is also true (see *loc.cit*). But we will not need this in the following.

**A.3.2. Adelic formulation.** For later applications, it is useful to give an adelic point of view of the preceding result. Let  $G$  be as in Examples A.6.

Fix a compact open subgroup  $K^\lambda$  of  $G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}^\lambda)$  and let  $G^1(F_\lambda)$  act on the right on  $G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / K^\lambda$ . Now for an element  $g \in G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ , write  $\Gamma(g, K^\lambda)$  for the stabilizer inside  $G^1(F_\lambda)$  of the double coset  $G(F)gK^\lambda \in G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / K^\lambda$ .

**Lemma A.12.** The stabilizer  $\Gamma(g, K^\lambda)$  is a lattice inside  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $G^1 \subset G$  are linear algebraic groups over  $F$ ,  $G(F) \cap G^1(F_\lambda) = G^1(F)$ . It is easy to see that  $\Gamma(g, K^\lambda) = g_\lambda^{-1} \Gamma g_\lambda$  where  $\Gamma$  is the projection to  $G^1(F_\lambda)$  of the subgroup

$$G(F) \cap (gK^\lambda g^{-1} \times G^1(F_\lambda)) = G^1(F) \cap (gK^\lambda g^{-1} \times G^1(F_\lambda)) \subset G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}).$$



Write  $W = gK^\lambda g^{-1} \times G^1(F_\lambda) \subset G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ . Then the continuous injective map

$$(G^1(F) \cap W) \backslash W \rightarrow G^1(F) \backslash G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$$

is open since  $W$  is open in  $G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  and is also surjective since  $G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  satisfies the strong approximation property (w.r.t.  $\lambda$ ). Thus it is a homeomorphism. By assumption  $G^1(F)$  is a lattice inside  $G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ . This implies that  $G^1(F) \cap W$  is a lattice in  $W$  and since the factor  $gK^\lambda g^{-1}$  is compact, we see that the projection image  $\Gamma$  is also a lattice in  $G^1(F_\lambda)$  by [Vig80, p.105, Lemme 1.2]. Thus  $\Gamma(g, K^\lambda)$  is a lattice in  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ .  $\square$

Since  $G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  satisfies strong approximation property w.r.t.  $\lambda$ ,

$$g^{-1}G(F)gG^1(F_\lambda)K^\lambda = g^{-1}G(F)gG^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})K^\lambda.$$

One deduces then the following natural map is a homeomorphism of topological spaces

$$\Gamma(g, K^\lambda) \backslash G^1(F_\lambda) \simeq G(F) \backslash G(F)gG^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})K^\lambda / K^\lambda,$$

$$\Gamma(g, K^\lambda)h \mapsto G(F)ghK^\lambda = G(F)gK^\lambda h,$$

which is moreover  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ -equivariant. Thus the measure  $\mu_{\mathbf{L}}$  on  $\Gamma(g, K^\lambda) \backslash G^1(F_\lambda)$  as in Theorem A.7 corresponds to a measure  $\mu_{g,V}$  on the RHS which is  $\mathbf{L}$ -invariant. So Theorem A.7 gives

**Corollary A.13.** *For any locally constant function  $f : G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / K^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and any compact open subset  $\kappa$  of  $F_\lambda$ ,*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}(\kappa_N)} \int_{\kappa_N} f(gv(t))dt = \int_{G(F) \backslash G(F)gG^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})K^\lambda / K^\lambda} f d\mu_{g,V}.$$

We want to put some conditions on  $g$  to ensure that  $\mathbf{L}$  is as large as possible, as in Theorem A.10. We proceed this in two steps in the next two subsections.

**A.3.3. Single-copy case.** We now consider a higher-dimensional generalization of the above result. In this subsection we treat the case  $r = 1$ .

Let  $G$  be as in §A.2 (not necessarily be the group in the preceding subsection). We fix isomorphisms

$$F_\lambda \simeq U_j, \quad t \mapsto u_j(t), \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let  $g \in H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  and  $K^\lambda$  a compact open subgroup of  $G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}^\lambda)$ . We have

**Lemma A.14.** *The stabilizer  $\Gamma(g, K^\lambda)$  is a lattice in  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ . Similarly the intersection*

$$\Gamma_j(g, K^\lambda) := \Gamma(g, K^\lambda) \cap G_j^1(F_\lambda)$$

*is a lattice in  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)$ .*

*Proof.* Indeed, an easy computation shows  $\Gamma(g, K^\lambda) = g_\lambda^{-1} \Gamma g_\lambda$  where  $\Gamma$  is the projection to the component  $G^1(F_\lambda)$  of the subgroup

$$G(F) \cap \left( gK^\lambda g^{-1} \times G^1(F_\lambda) \right) \subset G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$$

Since  $g_\lambda \in H(F_\lambda)$  normalizes  $G_j(F_\lambda)$  and  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)$ ,  $\Gamma_j(g, K^\lambda) = g_\lambda^{-1} \Gamma_j g_\lambda$  where  $\Gamma_j = \Gamma \cap G_j^1(F_\lambda)$  is the projection to  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)$  of the following subgroup

$$G_j(F) \cap \left( gK^\lambda g^{-1} \times G_j^1(F_\lambda) \right) \subset G_j^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}).$$

Now the same proof as for Lemma A.12 shows that  $\Gamma$ , resp.,  $\Gamma_j$  is a lattice inside  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ , resp.,  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)$  (using the fact that  $G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ , resp.,  $G_j^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  satisfies the strong approximation property and  $G^1(F)$ , resp.,  $G_j^1(F)$  is a lattice in  $G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ , resp.,  $G_j^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ ).  $\square$

**Remark A.15.** Write  $\text{Comm}(\Gamma_j(g, K^\lambda), G_j(F_\lambda))$  for the set of elements  $h \in G_j(F_\lambda)$  such that  $\Gamma_j(g, K^\lambda)$  and  $h\Gamma_j(g, K^\lambda)h^{-1}$  are commensurable. Then it is known

$$\text{Comm}(\Gamma_j(g, K^\lambda), G_j(F_\lambda)) = G_j(F)Z(G_j(F_\lambda)), \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

([CV05, Lemma 2.19]). Since all compact open subgroups  $K^\lambda$  of  $G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}^\lambda)$  are commensurable,  $\text{Comm}(\Gamma_j(g, K^\lambda), G_j(F_\lambda))$  does not depend on  $K^\lambda$ .

For a compact open subset  $\kappa$  of  $F_\lambda$ , a multiple index  $\underline{N} = (N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  and an element  $\underline{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in F_\lambda^n$ , write

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_{\underline{N}} &= \kappa_{N_1} \times \dots \times \kappa_{N_n} \subset F_\lambda^n, \\ \mathbf{m}(\kappa_{\underline{N}}) &= \mathbf{m}(\kappa_{N_1}) \times \dots \times \mathbf{m}(\kappa_{N_n}), \\ u(\underline{t}) &= u_1(t_1) \times \dots \times u_n(t_n) \in \prod_{j=1}^n U_j.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover we write  $\underline{N} \rightarrow +\infty$  to mean  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then

**Theorem A.16.** *The subset  $\Gamma(g, K^\lambda) \prod_{j=1}^n U_j$  is dense in  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ . For any locally constant function  $f: G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / K^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and any compact open subset  $\kappa$  of  $F_\lambda$ ,*

$$\lim_{\underline{N} \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}(\kappa_{\underline{N}})} \int_{\kappa_{\underline{N}}} f(gu(\underline{t})) d\underline{t} = \int_{G(F) \backslash G(F)gG^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})K^\lambda / K^\lambda} f d\mu_g.$$

Here  $\mu_g$  is the unique Borel measure on  $G(F) \backslash G(F)gG^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})K^\lambda / K^\lambda$  invariant under  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ .

*Proof.* For the density, by Theorem A.7, the closure of  $\Gamma(g, K^\lambda) \prod_j U_j$  is of the form  $\Gamma(g, K^\lambda) \mathbf{L}$  for a closed subgroup  $\mathbf{L}$  of  $G^1(F_\lambda)$  containing  $\prod_j U_j$ . So for any  $h_j \in G_j^1(F_\lambda)$  and any open subset  $W_j$  of  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)$  containing  $h_j$ , the intersection  $(\Gamma_j(g, K^\lambda) U_j) \cap (\Gamma_j(g, K^\lambda) h_j W_j) \neq \emptyset$ . So for any open subset  $W$  of  $G^1(F_\lambda)$  containing  $h_j$ , the intersection  $W \cap G_j^1(F_\lambda)$  is open in  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)$  for any  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and thus one deduces from the previous non-empty intersection

$$\left( \Gamma(g, K^\lambda) \prod_{j'} U_{j'} \right) \cap \left( \Gamma(g, K^\lambda) h_j W \right) \neq \emptyset.$$

Therefore  $\mathbf{L}$  contains all these  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)$ , which generate the whole  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ , thus  $\mathbf{L} = G^1(F_\lambda)$ .

One deduces that the measure  $\mu_{\mathbf{L}}$  is the unique Borel measure on  $\Gamma(g, K^\lambda) \backslash G^1(F_\lambda)$  which is  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ -invariant. This measure transports by the following natural  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ -equivariant homeomorphism to the unique Borel measure on  $G(F) \backslash G(F)gG^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})K^\lambda / K^\lambda$ , denoted by  $\mu_g$  as in the Theorem:

$$\Gamma(g, K^\lambda) \backslash G^1(F_\lambda) \simeq G(F) \backslash G(F)gG^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})K^\lambda / K^\lambda.$$

For the identity in the Theorem, we argue as follows: for any  $\underline{N}$  as above, we define a Borel probability measure  $\mu_{\underline{N}}$  on  $X$  by the formula

$$\int_X f(x) d\mu_{\underline{N}}(x) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}(\kappa_{\underline{N}})} \int_{\underline{t} \in \kappa_{\underline{N}}} f(u(\underline{t})) d\underline{t} \quad \text{for any continuous/locally constant } f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Since  $X$  is compact, for any sequence  $\underline{N}_{(k)} = (N_{1,k}, \dots, N_{n,k})$  with  $N_{i,k} \rightarrow +\infty$  for  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ , there is a subsequence  $\{\underline{N}_{(k_s)}\}_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\mu_{\underline{N}_{(k_s)}}$  converges (under the weak topology) to a Borel probability measure  $\mu'$  on  $X$ . We claim that  $\mu'$  is  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ -invariant and thus we necessarily have  $\mu' = \mu_g$  (viewed as a Borel probability measure on  $X$  using strong approximation, which is thus also invariant by  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ ), finishing the proof of the theorem.

To prove the claim, note that  $\prod_{j=1}^n U_j$  preserves  $\mu'$  (because  $\kappa$  is a compact open subset of  $F_\lambda$ ). For  $h \in G^1(F_\lambda)$ , write  $\mu' \circ h$  for the right translation of  $h$  on  $\mu'$ , that is,  $\int_X f(x) d(\mu' \circ h)(x) := \int_X f(xh) d\mu'(x)$ . Any locally constant function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is invariant under the right translation by a compact open subgroup  $K'$  of  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ . Thus we have

$$\int_X f(x) d(\mu' \circ k)(x) = \int_X f(x) d\mu'(x), \quad \forall k \in K'.$$

Fix  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , it is easy to see that  $U_j$  and  $G_j^1(F_\lambda) \cap K'$  (a compact open subgroup in  $G_j^1(F_\lambda) \simeq \mathrm{SL}_2(F_\lambda)$ ) generate  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)$ , then one deduces immediately

$$\int_X f(x) d(\mu' \circ h_j)(x) = \int_X f(x) d\mu'(x), \quad \forall h_j \in G_j^1(F_\lambda).$$

Since this is true for arbitrary locally constant function  $f$ , we deduce that  $\mu'$  is invariant under  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)$  for any  $j$ , and therefore also invariant under  $G^1(F_\lambda)$  (it is generated by these  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)$ ). This proves our claim.  $\square$

A.3.4. *Multi-copy case.* In this subsection we treat the case  $r > 1$ .

Again let  $G$  be as in the preceding section,  $K^\lambda$  be a compact open subgroup of  $G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}^\lambda)$  and  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_r)$  with  $g_1, \dots, g_r \in H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  such that

$$(46) \quad (g_k)_\lambda (g_i)_\lambda^{-1} \notin H(F_\lambda) \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^n G_j(F) Z(G_j(F_\lambda)), \quad \forall k \neq i \in \{1, \dots, r\}.$$

As in the preceding subsection,  $\Gamma_j(g_i, K^\lambda)$  is a lattice inside  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)$ . We put

$$\Gamma_j(g, K^\lambda) = \Gamma_j(g_1, K^\lambda) \times \dots \times \Gamma_j(g_r, K^\lambda), \quad \text{resp., } \Gamma(g, K^\lambda) = \Gamma(g_1, K^\lambda) \times \dots \times \Gamma(g_r, K^\lambda),$$

a lattice in  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)^r$ , resp.,  $G^1(F_\lambda)^r$ . Then we know

**Lemma A.17.** *Fix an element  $h \in H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ . For any  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\Gamma_j(\Delta(h)g, K^\lambda)\Delta(U_j)$  is dense in  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)^r$ . Similarly  $\Gamma(\Delta(h)g, K^\lambda)\Delta(\prod_{j=1}^n U_j)$  is dense in  $G^1(F_\lambda)^r$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $i \neq k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . We claim there is no  $w \in U_j$  such that  $\Gamma_j(hg_i, K^\lambda)$  and  $w\Gamma_j(hg_k, K^\lambda)w^{-1}$  are commensurable: otherwise, recall the expression for  $\Gamma_j(hg_i, K^\lambda)$ , using Remark A.15,

$$\begin{aligned} & (hg_i)_\lambda^{-1} \text{Comm}(\Gamma_j(1, K^\lambda), G_j^1(F_\lambda))(hg_i)_\lambda = \text{Comm}(\Gamma_j(hg_i, K^\lambda), G_j^1(F_\lambda)) \\ &= \text{Comm}(w\Gamma_j(hg_k, K^\lambda)w^{-1}, G_j^1(F_\lambda)) = w^{-1} \text{Comm}(\Gamma_j(hg_k, K^\lambda), G_j^1(F_\lambda))w \\ &= (h_\lambda(g_k)_\lambda w)^{-1} \text{Comm}(\Gamma_j(1, K^\lambda), G_j^1(F_\lambda))(h_\lambda(g_k)_\lambda w), \end{aligned}$$

thus we must have

$$b := h_\lambda(g_k)_\lambda w (g_i)_\lambda^{-1} h_\lambda^{-1} \in G_j(F) Z(G_j(F_\lambda)).$$

However  $h_\lambda, (g_i)_\lambda, (g_k)_\lambda$  normalize  $U_j$ , so there is another  $w' \in U_j$  such that  $b = (g_k)_\lambda (g_i)_\lambda^{-1} w'$ . Recall  $H(F_\lambda)$  normalizes  $G_j(F_\lambda)$ , thus for any  $s \in H(F_\lambda)$  and  $z \in Z(G_j(F_\lambda))$ ,  $szs^{-1} \in Z(G_j(F_\lambda))$ . So we have a continuous morphism of topological groups

$$\text{Ad}^{H(F_\lambda)}: H(F_\lambda) \rightarrow \text{Auto}(Z(G_j(F_\lambda)))$$

induced by the conjugate action. Thus for any  $s \in \text{Ker}(\text{Ad}^{H(F_\lambda)}) \cap H(F)$  (which is dense in  $\text{Ker}(\text{Ad}^{H(F_\lambda)})$ ), since  $H(F_\lambda)$  also normalizes  $U_j$ , the commutator  $[s, b] \in G(F)$  is a unipotent element in  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ . Since  $G^1(F \otimes \mathbb{R})$  is compact,  $G^1(F)$  can not contain non-trivial unipotent elements, thus one must have  $[s, b] = 1$  for any  $s \in \text{Ker}(\text{Ad}^{H(F_\lambda)}) \cap H(F)$ . By density of the latter in  $\text{Ker}(\text{Ad}^{H(F_\lambda)})$ , we know that  $b$  commutes with all  $\text{Ker}(\text{Ad}^{H(F_\lambda)})$ , an open subgroup of  $H(F_\lambda)$ . Thus  $b$  commutes with  $H(F_\lambda)$ . This implies that  $b = (g_k)_\lambda (g_i)_\lambda^{-1} w' \in H(F_\lambda)$  since  $H(F_\lambda)$  is a maximal torus in  $G(F_\lambda)$ . So  $w' = 1$  and

$$(g_k)_\lambda (g_i)_\lambda^{-1} \in H(F_\lambda) \cap (G_j(F) Z(G_j(F_\lambda))).$$

This contradicts our assumption (46) on  $g_i, g_k$ . So  $\Gamma_j(hg_i, K^\lambda)$  and  $\Gamma_j(hg_k, K^\lambda)$  are *not*  $U_j$ -commensurable for any  $i \neq k$ .

Now apply Theorem A.10 and we see that  $\Gamma_j(\Delta(h)g, K^\lambda)\Delta(U_j)$  is dense in  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)^r$  for any  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Apply Ratner's orbit closure theorem and we see that  $\Gamma(\Delta(h)g, K^\lambda)\Delta(\prod_j U_j)$  is dense in  $G^1(F_\lambda)^r$  since these  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)^r$  generate  $G^1(F_\lambda)^r$ .  $\square$

Let  $h \in H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  be as above. Using the same proof for Theorem A.16, we get immediately :

**Theorem A.18.** *For any locally constant function  $f: (G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / K^\lambda)^r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and any compact open subset  $\kappa$  of  $F_\lambda$ , we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}(\kappa_N)} \int_{\kappa_N} f(\Delta(h)g\Delta(u(\underline{t}))) d\underline{t} = \int_{\prod_i G(F) \backslash G(F)hg_i G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})K^\lambda / K^\lambda} f d\mu_{\Delta(h)g}.$$

Here  $\mu_{\Delta(h)g}$  is the product of the measures  $\mu_{hg_i}$  on  $G(F) \backslash G(F)hg_i G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})K^\lambda / K^\lambda$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .

**A.4. Main result.** Let  $G, H, G_j, U_j$  be as in §A.2 and  $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r) \in H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})^r$  such that (46) is satisfied. Let  $K^\lambda$  be a compact open subgroup of  $G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}^\lambda)$ . Fix an open subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  (of finite index). Then integrating both sides of the identity in Theorem A.18 on the variable  $h \in \mathcal{G}$ , we get

$$(47) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}(\kappa_N)} \int_{\kappa_N} d\mathbf{t} \int_{\mathcal{G}} f(\Delta(h)g\Delta(u(\mathbf{t}))) d\mu_{\mathcal{G}}(h) = \int_{\mathcal{G}} d\mu_{\mathcal{G}}(h) \int_{\prod_i G(F) \backslash G(F)hg_i G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})K^\lambda/K^\lambda} f d\mu_{\Delta(h)g}.$$

Here  $\mu_{\mathcal{G}}$  is a Haar measure on  $\mathcal{G}$ .

By Assumptions A.5(2), we can choose  $n$  torus subgroups  $H_1 \simeq F_\lambda^\times, \dots, H_n \simeq F_\lambda^\times$  of  $H(F_\lambda)$  whose conjugate action on  $\prod_{j=1}^n U_j$  are pairwise distinct.

**Proposition A.19.** *Fix a compact open subgroup  $K_\lambda \subset G(F_\lambda)$ . Then there are compact open subsets  $\kappa(m_i) \subset F_\lambda$  of the form  $\kappa(m_i) = 1 + \omega^{m_i} \mathcal{O}_\lambda$  with  $m_i \gg 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) such that*

$$\mathcal{G} \prod_{i=1}^n u_i(\kappa(m_i)_{k_i}) K_\lambda = \mathcal{G} \prod_{i=1}^n u_i(1/\omega^{k_i}) K_\lambda, \quad \forall k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Recall we fixed isomorphisms  $H_i \simeq F_\lambda^\times$  and  $U_j \simeq F_\lambda$  ( $u_j(t) \leftrightarrow t$ ), thus there is an integer  $r_{i,j}$  such that the conjugate action  $\text{Ad}^{H_i}$  of  $H_i$  on  $U_j$  is given by

$$\text{Ad}_{\lambda_i}^{H_i}(u_j(t)) = u_j(\lambda_i^{r_{i,j}} t), \quad \forall \lambda_i \in F_\lambda^\times \simeq H_i, t \in F_\lambda \simeq U_j.$$

By Assumptions A.5(2), we know that the matrix  $(r_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n$  is non-singular. Now for any  $\lambda_i \in F_\lambda^\times$  viewed as an element in  $H_i$  and any  $t_i \in F_\lambda$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n) u_1(t_1/\omega^{k_1}) \cdots u_n(t_n/\omega^{k_n}) \\ &= u_1(\lambda_1^{r_{1,1}} \cdots \lambda_n^{r_{n,1}} t_1/\omega^{k_1}) \cdots u_n(\lambda_1^{r_{1,n}} \cdots \lambda_n^{r_{n,n}} t_n/\omega^{k_n}) (\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can choose integers  $m_1, \dots, m_n, m'_1, \dots, m'_n \gg 0$  such that the system of equations on the variables  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ :

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1^{r_{1,1}} \cdots \lambda_n^{r_{n,1}} = 1/t_1 \in 1 + \omega^{m_1} \mathcal{O}_\lambda, \\ \lambda_1^{r_{1,2}} \cdots \lambda_n^{r_{n,2}} = 1/t_2 \in 1 + \omega^{m_2} \mathcal{O}_\lambda, \\ \dots \\ \lambda_1^{r_{1,n}} \cdots \lambda_n^{r_{n,n}} = 1/t_n \in 1 + \omega^{m_n} \mathcal{O}_\lambda. \end{cases}$$

always has a solution in  $\lambda_1 \in 1 + \omega^{m'_1} \mathcal{O}_\lambda =: H_i(m'_1) \subset H_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in 1 + \omega^{m'_n} \mathcal{O}_\lambda =: H_n(m'_n) \subset H_n$ . Thus

$$\prod_{i=1}^n H_i(m'_i) \prod_{i=1}^n u_i(t_i/\omega^{k_i}) \prod_{i=1}^n H_i(m'_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n H_i(m'_i) \prod_{i=1}^n u_i(1/\omega^{k_i}) \prod_{i=1}^n H_i(m'_i), \quad \forall t_i \in 1 + \omega^{m_i} \mathcal{O}_\lambda.$$

Moreover we can choose  $m'_1, \dots, m'_n$  sufficiently large such that these compact open subgroups  $H_i(m'_i) \subset F_\lambda^\times \simeq H_i$  are contained in  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $K_\lambda$  for all  $i$ . Then we get the identity in the proposition.  $\square$

For simplicity we choose  $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_n = m \gg 0$  and write  $\kappa = \kappa(m)$ . We also put  $K = K^\lambda K_\lambda$ . For  $\underline{N} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , write

$$u(1/\omega^{\underline{N}}) = u_1(1/\omega^{N_1}) \cdots u_n(1/\omega^{N_n}).$$

For any map  $f: (G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})/K)^r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , put

$$A(f, u(\mathbf{t})) := \int_{\mathcal{G}} f(\Delta(h)g\Delta(u(\mathbf{t}))) d\mu_{\mathcal{G}}(h), \quad \forall \mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in F_\lambda^n.$$

**Corollary A.20.** *Let  $h$  be as above. We have*

$$A(f, u(\mathbf{t})) = \int_{\mathcal{G}} f(\Delta(h)g\Delta(u(1/\omega^{\underline{N}}))) d\mu_{\mathcal{G}}(h), \quad \forall \mathbf{t} \in \kappa_{\underline{N}}.$$

*In other words, the function  $A(f, u(\mathbf{t}))$  is constant on the variable  $\mathbf{t}$  in the above specified domain  $\kappa_{\underline{N}}$ .*

Thus (47) becomes

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} A(f, u(1/\omega^N)) = B(f) := \text{RHS of (47)}.$$

We consider the following objects and natural projection maps

$$\text{CM} := H(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}), \quad \mathcal{X} := G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}), \quad \mathcal{Z} := G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}).$$

$$\text{CM} \xrightarrow{\text{Red}} \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\text{Ab}} \mathcal{Z}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$  are both compact. Let  $G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  act on the right on these objects  $\text{CM}, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z}$  and these maps are thus  $G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ -equivariant. For a compact open subgroup  $K = K^\lambda K_\lambda$  of  $G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  as above, we have the induced maps

$$\text{CM}_K := H(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / K, \quad \mathcal{X}_K := G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / K, \quad \mathcal{Z}_K := G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) / G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) K.$$

$$\text{CM}_K \xrightarrow{\text{Red}_K} \mathcal{X}_K \xrightarrow{\text{Ab}_K} \mathcal{Z}_K.$$

Here  $\mathcal{X}_K, \mathcal{Z}_K$  are finite sets. We write  $\mathcal{R} = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$  and define the following maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Red}_K^{\mathcal{R}}: \text{CM}_K &\rightarrow \mathcal{X}_K^{\mathcal{R}}, \quad x \mapsto (\text{Red}_K(gx))_{g \in \mathcal{R}}, \\ \text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}}: \mathcal{X}_K^{\mathcal{R}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_K^{\mathcal{R}}, \quad (y_g)_{g \in \mathcal{R}} \mapsto (\text{Ab}_K(y_g))_{g \in \mathcal{R}}, \\ \text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}}: \mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{R}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{R}}, \quad (y_g)_{g \in \mathcal{R}} \mapsto (\text{Ab}(y_g))_{g \in \mathcal{R}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  acts transitively on each fiber of the map  $\text{Ab}$ , for any  $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ , there is a unique Borel measure  $\mu_z$  on  $\text{Ab}^{-1}(z)$  invariant under  $G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ .

Here is the main result of this appendix:

**Theorem A.21.** *For  $N_1, \dots, N_n \gg 0$ , one has*

$$\text{Red}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{G}u(1/\omega^N)) = (\text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}})^{-1}(\text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(\text{Red}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{G}u(1/\omega^N)))) .$$

*Proof.* Let  $s \in (\text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}})^{-1}(\text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(\text{Red}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{G}u(1/\omega^N))))$  and  $f_s: \mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the characteristic function of the pre-image of  $s$  by the projection map  $\mathcal{X}^{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_K^{\mathcal{R}}$ . We compute both sides of (47) for  $f = f_s$ . It is easy to see

$$A(f_s, u(1/\omega^N)) = \mu_{\mathcal{G}}\{h \in \mathcal{G} \mid \text{Red}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(hu(1/\omega^N)) = s\}.$$

For any  $z \in \mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{R}}$ , we set

$$I(f_s, z) = \int_{(\text{Ab}^{\mathcal{R}})^{-1}(z)} f_s d\mu_z.$$

Here  $\mu_z$  is the product of the measures  $\mu_{z_g}$  on  $\text{Ab}^{-1}(z_g)$  ( $g \in \mathcal{R}$ ). It is easy to see that  $I(f_s, \cdot)$  factors through the quotient  $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_K^{\mathcal{R}}$ . Write  $\Omega(\mathcal{G})$  for the common size of  $\mathcal{G}$ -orbits on  $\mathcal{Z}_K^{\mathcal{R}}$ . Then we have:

$$B(f_s) = \frac{I(f_s, \text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(s))}{\Omega(\mathcal{G})}.$$

The lemma below shows that  $I(f_s, \text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(s)) \neq 0$ . Moreover  $\mathcal{X}_K^{\mathcal{R}}$  is a finite set, thus there are only finitely many possibilities for the value  $I(f_s, \text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(s))$  (none of which is zero). Thus for  $N_1, \dots, N_n \gg 0$ , we have

$$|A(f_s, u(1/\omega^N)) - B(f_s)| \leq |B(f_s)|/2.$$

In particular,  $A(f_s, u(1/\omega^N)) \neq 0$ , so for any  $s \in (\text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}})^{-1}(\text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(\text{Red}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{G}u(1/\omega^N))))$ , there exists  $h \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\text{Red}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(hu(1/\omega^N)) = s$ . The other inclusion is trivial.  $\square$

**Lemma A.22.** *For  $s \in (\text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}})^{-1}(\text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(\text{Red}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{G}u(1/\omega^N))))$ , we have*

$$I(s) := I(f_s, \text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(s)) > 0.$$

*Proof.* We follow the proof in [CV05, Proposition 2.14]. We write  $s = (s_g)_{g \in \mathcal{R}}$  with  $s_g \in \mathcal{X}_K$  and put  $z_g = \text{Ab}_K(s_g)$ ,  $f_g: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  the characteristic function of the pre-image of  $s_g$  by the projection map  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_K$ . We then put  $I(s_g) = \int_{\text{Ab}^{-1}(z_g)} f_g d\mu_{z_g}$ . So one has

$$I(s) = \prod_{g \in \mathcal{R}} I(s_g).$$

Therefore it suffices to show that  $I(s_g) > 0$  for each  $g \in \mathcal{R}$ .

Let  $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ . The normalized Haar measure  $\mu$  on  $G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  induces the measure  $\mu_z$  on  $\text{Ab}^{-1}(z) \subset \mathcal{X}$ . It is easy to see that  $\mu_z$  is the unique measure on  $\text{Ab}^{-1}(z)$  such that for any compact open subgroup  $\mathbf{K}$  of  $G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  and any  $x \in \text{Ab}^{-1}(z)$ , one has

$$\mu_z(x\mathbf{K}) = \frac{\mu(\mathbf{K})}{\#\text{Stab}_{\mathbf{K}}(x)} > 0,$$

where  $\text{Stab}_{\mathbf{K}}(x)$  is the stabilizer of  $x$  inside  $\mathbf{K}$ , which is compact and discrete, thus is a finite set. Moreover for any  $g \in G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ , the measure  $\mu_{zg}(\cdot) = (\mu_{zg} \circ g)(\cdot)$  on  $\text{Ab}^{-1}(z)$  is equal to  $\mu_z$ . In other words, for any  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{Z}$ ,  $\mu_{z_1}(\text{Ab}^{-1}(z_1)) = \mu_{z_2}(\text{Ab}^{-1}(z_2))$ . Now for any  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ , we write

$$\phi_z(x) = \mu_z(xK \cap \text{Ab}^{-1}(z)).$$

Then the map  $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  sending  $z$  to  $\phi_z(x)$  factors through  $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_K$ . Similarly the map  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  sending  $x$  to  $\phi_z(x)$  factors through  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_K$ . Thus we get  $I(s_g) = \phi_{z_g}(s_g) > 0$  since by definition we have

$$\phi_z(x) \begin{cases} = 0, & z \notin \text{Ab}(xK); \\ > 0, & z \in \text{Ab}(xK). \end{cases}$$

□

**A.5. Application to automorphic forms.** Let  $G, H, G_j, U_j$  be as in §A.2. Let  $K$  be a compact open subgroup of  $G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ . We fix a ring  $A$  and write  $\mathcal{M}(K, A)$  for the set of functions  $f: G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \rightarrow A$  which are invariant under the right translation of  $K$ . Then we put  $\mathcal{M}(A) = \lim_K \mathcal{M}(K, A)$ , the inductive limit over all compact open subgroups  $K$  of  $G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ , which is the space of  $A$ -valued (algebraic) automorphic forms on  $G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ , and we let  $G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  act on  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  by right translation and denote this action by  $\rho: G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_A(\mathcal{M}(A))$ : for any  $f \in \mathcal{M}(A)$  and  $g, g' \in G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ ,  $(\rho(g)f)(g') := f(g'g)$ . We then set

$$\mathcal{M}(A)^{G^1} := \{f \in \mathcal{M}(A) \mid \rho(g)f = f, \forall g \in G^1(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{R} = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$  be as before, consisting of elements  $g_1, \dots, g_r \in H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  such that (46) is satisfied. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an open subgroup of  $H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$  and write  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  its pre-image by the projection map  $H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \rightarrow H(F) \backslash H(\mathbb{A}_{F,f})$ . Then a similar argument as in [CH16, Cor.5.2] gives

**Theorem A.23.** *Let  $\{\beta_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{R}}$  be a finite set of elements in  $A$  with  $\beta_{g_1} \in A^\times$ . We assume that the composition map*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow G(\mathbb{A}_{F,f}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_K$$

*is surjective. Consider an element  $f \in \mathcal{M}(K, A) \backslash \mathcal{M}(A)^{G^1}$ . Then for any  $N_1, \dots, N_n \gg 0$ , there is an element  $h = h_{\underline{N}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  such that*

$$\sum_{g \in \mathcal{R}} \beta_g f(hgu(1/\omega^{\underline{N}})) \neq 0.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem A.21, for any  $N_1, \dots, N_n \gg 0$ ,

$$\text{Red}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{G}u(1/\omega^{\underline{N}})) = (\text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}})^{-1}(\text{Ab}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(\text{Red}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{G}u(1/\omega^{\underline{N}})))).$$

We fix one such  $\underline{N}$ . By assumption, there are elements  $y_1 \neq y_2 \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $\text{Ab}_K(y_1) = \text{Ab}_K(y_2)$  and  $f(y_1) \neq f(y_2)$ . Since  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_K$  is surjective, we can choose  $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  such that

$$\text{Ab}_K(y_1) = \text{Ab}_K(y_2) = \text{Ab}_K(\text{Red}_K(xu(1/\omega^{\underline{N}}))).$$

Therefore for any finite subset  $\{x_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{R}}$  of  $\text{Ab}_K^{-1}(\text{Ab}_K(y_1))$ , we can find  $h_1, h_2 \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  such that

$$\text{Red}_K^{\mathcal{R}}(h_i x u(1/\omega^{\underline{N}})) = (y_i, x_{g_2}, \dots, x_{g_r}), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Therefore one has

$$\sum_{g \in \mathcal{R}} \beta_g f(h_1 g x u(1/\omega^{\underline{N}})) - \sum_{g \in \mathcal{R}} \beta_g f(h_2 g x u(1/\omega^{\underline{N}})) = \beta_{g_1}(f(y_1) - f(y_2)) \neq 0.$$

So we can take  $h$  to be  $h_1 x$  or  $h_2 x$ .

□

**A.6. Examples.** In this section we give some examples of  $(G, H, G_j, U_j)$  satisfying Assumptions A.5. We give these explicit examples with the purpose in mind that they may be used directly in the theory of theta lifts as well as in the problem of torsionness of Heegner points on abelian varieties which are quotients of Albanese varieties of Shimura varieties.

**A.6.1. Unitary groups.** Let  $K/F$  be a CM quadratic extension of number fields with an embedding  $K \hookrightarrow F_\lambda$ . Let  $V = K^{n+1}$  be a vector space over  $K$  of dimension  $n+1 \geq 2$  equipped with a Hermitian form  $Q$ , which is represented, under the standard  $K$ -basis  $\{E_1, \dots, E_{n+1}\}$  of  $V$ , by a diagonal matrix  $Q = \text{diag}(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n+1})$ . Suppose that  $0 < \delta_i \in F$  (totally positive) for all  $i$ . We take  $G = \text{U}(V, Q)$  the (definite) unitary group associated to  $(V, Q)$ . Then  $G/K \simeq \text{GL}_{n+1}/K$  as algebraic groups over  $K$ .

For any distinct basis elements  $E_i, E_j$ , we write  $U_{i,j}$  for the (definite) unitary group associated to the Hermitian subspace  $(K(E_i, E_j), Q)$  of  $(V, Q)$ . Now we put

$$G_j = U_{1,j+1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

So we have natural embeddings  $\iota_j: G_j \rightarrow G$ . We fix an isomorphism  $G(F_\lambda) \simeq \text{GL}_{n+1}(F_\lambda)$  compatible with  $G(K) \simeq \text{GL}_{n+1}(K)$  under the embedding  $K \hookrightarrow F_\lambda$  such that  $U_{1,k}(F_\lambda)$  is mapped isomorphically to the subgroup of  $\text{GL}_{n+1}(F_\lambda)$  consisting of matrices of the following form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & & b \\ & 1_{k-2} & \\ c & & d \\ & & & 1_{n+1-k} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } a, b, c, d \in F_\lambda.$$

Fix isomorphisms  $U_{1,j+1}(F_\lambda) \simeq \text{GL}_2(F_\lambda)$  such that  $U_2(F_\lambda)$  is mapped via  $\iota_j$  to the unipotent upper triangular matrices inside  $\text{GL}_{n+1}(F_\lambda)$ . We then take the unipotent subgroup  $U_j \subset G_j(F_\lambda)$  to be the image of  $U_2(F_\lambda)$  via  $\iota_j$ . It is easy to see these groups  $G_j^1(F_\lambda)$  generate  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ . Finally we take  $H = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \text{U}(KE_i, Q)$ . One verifies that  $(G, H, G_1, \dots, G_n, U_1, \dots, U_n)$  satisfies Assumptions A.5.

**A.6.2. Even spin groups.** Suppose that  $-1 = \mathbf{i}^2$  for some  $\mathbf{i} \in F_\lambda^\times$ . Let  $V = F^{2n}$  be a vector space over  $F$  of even dimension  $2n \geq 4$ , equipped with a quadratic form  $Q$ , which is represented, under the standard basis  $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{2n}\}$  of  $V$ , by a diagonal matrix  $Q = \text{diag}(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{2n})$ . We put the following conditions on  $Q$ :

$$0 < \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{2n} \in F, \quad \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{2n} \in (F_\lambda^\times)^2, \quad \delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3 \delta_4, \delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_5 \delta_6, \dots, \delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_{2n-1} \delta_{2n} \in (F^\times)^2.$$

We write  $\delta_i = 1/d_i^2$  for some  $d_i \in F_\lambda$ . Then we take  $G = \text{GSpin}(V, Q)$ . More precisely, write  $C^0(V)$  for the even degree part of the Clifford algebra

$$C(V) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} V^{\otimes k} / \langle v \otimes v - Q(v)|v \in V \rangle$$

associated to  $(V, Q)$ . Then  $G$  consists of those units  $v \in C^0(V)^\times$  such that  $vV = Vv$ . We write  $vw$  for the image of  $v \otimes w$  inside  $C(V)$  for any  $v, w \in V$ . For any distinct basis elements  $E_{i_1}, E_{i_2}, \dots, E_{i_k}$ , we write  $C_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  for the even degree part of the Clifford algebra associated to the quadratic subspace  $(F(E_{i_1}, \dots, E_{i_k}), Q)$  of  $(V, Q)$ . Then we have isomorphisms of  $F$ -algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{2,2}(F_\lambda) &\simeq C_{i,j,k} \otimes_F F_\lambda, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto \frac{(d_i E_i + d_j \mathbf{i} E_j)(d_k E_k)}{2} =: e_{i,j,k}^+, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto -\frac{(d_i E_i - d_j \mathbf{i} E_j)(d_k E_k)}{2} =: e_{i,j,k}^-, \\ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto 1 + \frac{a-1}{4} (d_i E_i + d_j \mathbf{i} E_j)(d_i - d_j \mathbf{i} E_j), \\ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{a} \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto \frac{1}{a} \left( 1 + \frac{a^2-1}{4} (d_i E_i + d_j \mathbf{i} E_j)(d_i E_i - d_j \mathbf{i} E_j) \right) =: \tau_{i,j}(a). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $a \in F_\lambda^\times$ . One verifies the following relations (the subscripts 1, 2 can be replaced by other indices and one obtains similar identities):

$$(1 + te_{1,2,k}^+)(1 \pm te_{1,2,l}^+) = 1 + t(e_{1,2,k}^+ \pm e_{1,2,l}^+), \quad k \neq l \in \{3, \dots, 2n\},$$

$$\tau_{1,2}(a)(1 + te_{1,2,k}^\pm)\tau_{1,2}(a)^{-1} = 1 + a^{\pm 2}te_{1,2,k}^\pm, \quad k \in \{3, \dots, 2n\}.$$

Here  $t \in F_\lambda$ . For  $k = 2, 3, \dots, n$ , we fix a positive square root  $\sqrt{\delta_1\delta_2\delta_{2k-1}\delta_{2k}}$  of  $\delta_1\delta_2\delta_{2k-1}\delta_{2k}$  in  $F$  and we write

$$\mathbf{e}_k = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{E_1 E_2 E_{2k-1} E_{2k}}{\sqrt{\delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_{2k-1} \delta_{2k}}} \right),$$

which is a central idempotent element in  $C_{1,2,2k-1,2k}$ . One verifies that both  $C_{1,2,2k-1,2k}\mathbf{e}_k$  and  $C_{1,2,2k-1,2k}(1 - \mathbf{e}_k)$  are central simple algebras over  $F$  of dimension 4. Then we put

$$G_j = \begin{cases} (C_{1,2,3,4}(1 - \mathbf{e}_2))^\times + \mathbf{e}_2, & j = 1; \\ (C_{1,2,2j-1,2j}\mathbf{e}_j)^\times + (1 - \mathbf{e}_j), & j = 2, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

with natural embeddings  $\iota_j: G_j \rightarrow G$ . The unipotent subgroups  $U_j$  are given as follows:

$$U_j = \begin{cases} (1 + F_\lambda e_{1,2,3}^+)(1 - \mathbf{e}_2) + \mathbf{e}_2 = 1 + F_\lambda(e_{1,2,3}^+ - \mathbf{i}e_{1,2,4}^+), & j = 1; \\ (1 + F_\lambda e_{1,2,2j-1}^+)\mathbf{e}_j + (1 - \mathbf{e}_j) = 1 + F_\lambda(e_{1,2,2j-1}^+ + \mathbf{i}e_{1,2,2j}^+), & j = 2, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

Moreover it is easy to see these  $U_j$  commute with each other. The maximal torus  $H$  is given by

$$H = \prod_{k=1}^n C_{2k-1,2k}^\times.$$

Thus the conjugate action of  $\tau_{2i-1,2i}(F_\lambda)$  on  $U_k$  is given as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}_{\tau_{2i-1,2i}(a)}(1 + te_{1,2,3}^+)(1 - \mathbf{e}_2) + \mathbf{e}_2 &= \begin{cases} (1 + a^2 te_{1,2,3}^+)(1 - \mathbf{e}_2) + \mathbf{e}_2, & i \in \{1, 2\}, \\ (1 + te_{1,2,3}^+)(1 - \mathbf{e}_2) + \mathbf{e}_2, & i \in \{3, \dots, n\}. \end{cases} \\ \text{Ad}_{\tau_{1,2}(a)}(1 + te_{1,2,2k-1}^+)\mathbf{e}_k + (1 - \mathbf{e}_k) &= (1 + a^2 te_{1,2,2k-1}^+)\mathbf{e}_k + (1 - \mathbf{e}_k), \quad k \in \{2, \dots, n\} \\ \text{Ad}_{\tau_{2i-1,2i}(a)}(1 + te_{1,2,2k-1}^+)\mathbf{e}_k + (1 - \mathbf{e}_k) &= \begin{cases} (1 + a^{-2} te_{1,2,2k-1}^+)\mathbf{e}_k + (1 - \mathbf{e}_k), & i = k \in \{2, \dots, n\}, \\ (1 + te_{1,2,2k-1}^+)\mathbf{e}_k + (1 - \mathbf{e}_k), & i \neq k \in \{2, \dots, n\}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

One verifies easily that these  $G_1^1(F_\lambda), \dots, G_n^1(F_\lambda)$  generate  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ : indeed, the above formula shows that the opposite root subgroups  $U_1^-, \dots, U_n^-$  of  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  (with respect to  $H(F_\lambda)$ ) are contained in the subgroup of  $G^1(F_\lambda)$  generated by  $G_1^1(F_\lambda), \dots, G_n^1(F_\lambda)$ . Since  $G^1(F_\lambda)$  is simply-connected, we deduce that  $G_1^1(F_\lambda), \dots, G_n^1(F_\lambda)$  generate  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ . One checks easily the remaining part of Assumptions A.5.

**A.6.3. Odd spin groups.** Suppose that  $-1 = \mathbf{i}^2$  for some  $\mathbf{i} \in F_\lambda^\times$ . Let  $V = F^{2n+1}$  be a vector space of odd dimension  $2n + 1 \geq 3$  equipped with a quadratic form  $Q = \text{diag}(\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{2n})$  under the standard basis  $\{E_0, \dots, E_{2n}\}$  of  $V$ . As in the preceding example, we assume

$$0 < \delta_0, \dots, \delta_{2n} \in F, \quad \delta_0, \dots, \delta_{2n} \in (F_\lambda^\times)^2, \quad \delta_1\delta_2\delta_3\delta_4, \delta_1\delta_2\delta_5\delta_6, \dots, \delta_1\delta_2\delta_{2n-1}\delta_{2n} \in (F^\times)^2.$$

Using the notations from the preceding example, we take  $G = \text{GSpin}(V, Q)$  and

$$G_j = \begin{cases} C_{0,1,2}^\times, & j = 1; \\ (C_{1,2,2j-1,2j}\mathbf{e}_j)^\times + (1 - \mathbf{e}_j), & j = 2, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

with natural embeddings  $\iota_j: G_j \rightarrow G$ . The unipotent subgroups  $U_j$  are given as follows:

$$U_j = \begin{cases} 1 + F_\lambda e_{1,2,0}^+, & j = 1; \\ (1 + F_\lambda e_{1,2,2j-1}^+)\mathbf{e}_j, & j = 2, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$



One checks that these  $U_j$  commute with each other. The maximal torus is given by

$$H = \prod_{k=1}^n C_{2k-1,2k}^\times.$$

Thus the conjugate action of  $\tau_{2i-1,2i}(F_\lambda)$  on  $U_1$  is given as follows

$$\text{Ad}_{\tau_{2i-1,2i}(a)}(1 + te_{1,2,0}^+) = \begin{cases} 1 + a^2 te_{1,2,0}^+, & i = 1, \\ 1 + te_{1,2,0}^+, & i \in \{2, \dots, n\}. \end{cases}$$

and their actions on  $U_2, \dots, U_n$  are the same as in the preceding example. The same reasoning as above shows that  $G_1^1(F_\lambda), \dots, G_n^1(F_\lambda)$  generate  $G^1(F_\lambda)$ .

**A.6.4. Symplectic groups.** Let  $\mathbf{B}$  be a definite quaternion algebra over  $F$ , which is split at the place  $\lambda$  of  $F$  as in Example A.6 and  $n > 1$  an integer. Let  $*$ :  $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  sending  $b$  to  $b^*$  be a main involution and for an  $n \times n$ -matrix  $g = (g_{i,j})$  with entries in  $\mathbf{B}$ , write  $g^*$  to be  $(g_{j,i}^*)$ . Then we define a quaternionic unitary group by

$$G = \{g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{B}) \mid gg^* = \mu(g) \cdot 1_n, \text{ for some } \mu(g) \in F^\times\}.$$

Since  $\mathbf{B}$  is split at  $\lambda$ , one sees easily that an isomorphism  $\mathbf{B} \otimes_F F_\lambda \simeq M_2(F_\lambda)$  induces an isomorphism  $G(F_\lambda) \simeq \text{GSp}_{2n}(F_\lambda)$ . We choose  $\text{GSp}_{2n}$  to be the group scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}$  consisting of matrices  $X \in \text{GL}_{2n}$  such that  $X J_{2n} X^t = \mu(X) \cdot J_{2n}$  where  $J_{2n} = \text{diag}(J_1, \dots, J_1) \in \text{GL}_{2n}$  and  $J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . We fix then a maximal abelian subalgebra  $K$  of  $\mathbf{B}$ , which is a quadratic field extension of  $F$ . We can choose elements  $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{B}^\times$  to be an  $F$ -basis of  $\mathbf{B}$  such that  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} = -\mathbf{j}\mathbf{i}$ ,  $\mathbf{i}^2, \mathbf{j}^2, \mathbf{k}^2 \in F^\times$ ,  $K = F[\mathbf{i}]$  splits at  $\lambda$ . We fix embeddings as follows:

$$\iota'_j: \mathbf{B}^\times \rightarrow G, \quad x = a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{k} \mapsto \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} x & & & \\ & 1_{n-1} & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{pmatrix}, & j = 1; \\ \begin{pmatrix} a + b\mathbf{i} & & c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{k} & \\ & 1_{j-2} & & \\ -c\mathbf{j} - d\mathbf{k} & & a + b\mathbf{i} & \\ & & & 1_{n-j} \end{pmatrix}, & j = 2, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

Then set  $G_j = \iota'_j(\mathbf{B}^\times)$  and natural embeddings  $\iota_j: G_j \rightarrow G$ . We fix an isomorphism of  $F_\lambda$ -algebras

$$\mathbf{B} \otimes_F F_\lambda \rightarrow M_2(F_\lambda), \quad \mathbf{i} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{j} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{j}^2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{k} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}^2 \\ -\mathbf{i} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The unipotent subgroups  $U_j$  are given as follows: write  $U$  to be the subgroup of  $(\mathbf{B} \otimes_F F_\lambda)^\times$  which is the pre-image of the upper triangular unipotent subgroup of  $\text{GL}_2(F_\lambda)$  under our fixed isomorphism  $\mathbf{B} \otimes_F F_\lambda \simeq M_2(F_\lambda)$ . Then we put  $U_j = \iota'_j(U)$ . Clearly these unipotent subgroups commute with each other. The maximal torus  $H$  of  $G$  is given by

$$H = \{g = \text{diag}(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in G \mid g_1, \dots, g_n \in K^\times \text{ with } g_1 g_1^* = g_2 g_2^* = \dots = g_n g_n^* = \mu(g)\}.$$

So in the induced isomorphism  $G(F_\lambda) \simeq \text{GSp}_{2n}(F_\lambda)$ ,  $H(F_\lambda)$  is mapped to the subgroup of diagonal matrices. For any  $a \in F_\lambda^\times$  and any  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , we write  $\tau_j(a) = \text{diag}(1_{2(j-1)}, a, 1/a, 1_{2(n-j)}) \in \text{GSp}_{2n}(F_\lambda)$ . For any  $t \in F_\lambda$  and any  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , we write  $u_j(t)$  to be the image by the map  $\iota'_j$  in  $\text{GSp}_{2n}(F_\lambda)$  of the element in  $(\mathbf{B} \otimes_F F_\lambda)^\times$  corresponding to the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  by our fixed isomorphism  $\mathbf{B} \otimes_F F_\lambda \simeq M_2(F_\lambda)$ . Then one checks easily

$$\text{Ad}_{\tau_j(a)} u_i(t) = \begin{cases} u_i(a^2 t), & i = j = 1; \\ u_i(a t), & i \neq j = 1; \\ u_i(a^{-1} t), & i = j \neq 1; \\ u_i(t), & i \neq j \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

Using the above formulas and the argument similar to the preceding examples, one verifies easily that  $G_1^1(F_\lambda), \dots, G_n^1(F_\lambda)$  generate  $G^1(F_\lambda)$  and Assumptions A.5 are satisfied.

## REFERENCES

- [CH16] M.Chida and M.-L.Hsieh, Special values of anticyclotomic  $L$ -functions for modular forms, *J.reine angew.Math* (2016), DOI:10.1515/crelle-2015-0072. 32, 34, 46
- [CV05] C.Cornut and V.Vatsal, CM points and quaternion algebras, *Documenta Math.* **10** (2005), pp.263-309. 5, 37, 39, 40, 41, 45
- [Gro99] B.H.Gross, Algebraic modular forms, *Israel J.Math.* **113** (1999), pp.61-93. 39
- [Fin00] T.Finis, Arithmetic properties of a theta lift from  $GU(2)$  to  $GU(3)$ , thesis, Düsseldorf(2000). 2
- [GQT12] W.-T.Gan, Y.Qiu and S.Takeda, The regularized Siegel-Weil formula (the second term identity) and the Rallis inner product formula, *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 198(3), 2014, pp.739-831. 2
- [HN17] M.-L.Hsieh and K.Namikawa, Bessel periods and the non-vanishing of Yoshida lifts modulo a prime, *Math.Zeit.* **285** (2017), no.3, pp.851-878. 2, 3, 5, 16, 36, 37
- [How90] R.Howe, Another look at the local theta correspondence for an unramified dual pair, *Festschrift in Honor of Piatetski-Shapiro*, vol.2, *Israel Math.Conf.Proc.*1990, pp.93-124. 5
- [How04] B.Howard, The Heegner point Kolyvagin system, *Compo.Math.*, vol 140(6), 2004, pp.1439-1472. 37
- [Kud96] S.Kudla, Notes on the local theta correspondence. 5, 13
- [KR88a] S.Kudla and S.Rallis, On the Weil-Siegel formula, *Journal Reine Angew.Math.*387(1988), pp.1-68. 2
- [KR88b] S.Kudla and S.Rallis, On the Weil-Siegel formula II: Convergent case, *Journal Reine Angew.Math.*391(1988), pp.65-84. 2
- [KR90] S.Kudla and S.Rallis, Poles of Eisenstein series and  $L$ -functions, *Festschrift in honor of I.I.Piatetski-Shapiro on the occasion of his 60th birthday*, Part II, *Israel Math.Conf.Proc.*3, Weizmann, Jerusalem (1990), pp.81-110. 2
- [KV78] M.Kashiwara and M.Vergne, On the Segal-Shale-Weil representations and harmonic polynomials, *Invent. Math.* 44(1978), no.1, pp.1-47. 7, 11, 14, 15
- [Ome63] O.T.O'Meara, *Introduction to quadratic forms*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **117**, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1963. 24
- [Pau05] A.Paul, On the Howe correspondence for symplectic-orthogonal dual pairs, *Journal of Functional Analysis* 228(2005), pp.270-310. 7
- [Pra06] K.Prasanna, Integrality of a ratio of Petersson norms and level-lowering congruences, *Ann.of Math.*(2)163(2006), no.3, pp.901-967. 2
- [PS-R87] I.I.Piatetski-Shapiro and S.Rallis,  *$L$ -functions for classical groups*, LNM.1254, Springer-Verlag, New York. 2
- [Ral84a] S.Rallis, On the Howe duality conjecture, *Compositio Math.*51(1984), pp.333-399. 2
- [Ral84b] S.Rallis, Injectivity properties of liftings associated to Weil representations, *Compositio Math.*52(1984), no.2, pp.139-169. 2
- [Ral87] S.Rallis,  $L$ -functions and the oscillator representation, LNM, 1245.Springer-Verlag,Berlin(1987). 2
- [Rat95] M.Ratner, Raghunathan's conjectures for Cartesian products of real and  $p$ -adic Lie groups, *Duke Math. J.* **77**(2), 1995, pp.275-382. 40
- [Rao93] R.Rao, On some explicit formulas in the theory of Weil representations, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol.157, no.2(1993). 13
- [Sha09] N.Shah, Unipotent flows on products of  $SL(2, K)/\Gamma$ 's, *Séminaires & Congrès*, 20(2009), pp.71-106. 40
- [Shi12] S.-W.Shin, Abelian varieties and Weil representations, *Algebra and Number Theory*, vol.6, no.8(2012), pp.1719-1772. 3
- [Shi] S.-W.Shin, Geometric reductive dual pairs and a mod  $p$  theta correspondences, preprint. <https://math.berkeley.edu/~swshin/modpTheta.pdf>. 3
- [Vat02] V.Vatsal, Uniform distribution of Heegner points, *Invent.math.* 148(2002), pp.1-46. 37
- [Vig80] M.-F.Vignéras, *Arithmétique des algèbres de quaternions*, *Springer Lecture Notes*, vol.800, Springer-Verlag, 1980. 41
- [Wei64] A.Weil, Sur certaines groupes d'opérateurs unitaires, *Acta.Math.* 111(1964), pp.143-211. 2
- [Yam14] S.Yamana,  $L$ -functions and theta correspondence for classical groups, *Inventiones Math.*196(2014), pp.651-732. 2
- [Yos80] H.Yoshida, Siegel's modular forms and the arithmetic of quadratic forms, *Invent. Math.* 60(1980), no.3, pp.193-248. 16, 36
- [Yos84] H.Yoshida, On Siegel modular forms obtained from theta series, *J.reine angew.Math.* 352(1984), pp.184-219. 17, 23, 24

UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG-ESSEN, FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, MATHEMATIKARRÉE THEA-LEYMANN-STRASSE 9, 45127 ESSEN, GERMANY  
 Email address: xiaoyu.zhang@uni-due.de