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#### Abstract

Prempreesuk, Noppakaew, and Pongsriiam determined the Zeckendorf representation of the multiplicative inverse of 2 modulo $F_{n}$, for every positive integer $n$ not divisible by 3, where $F_{n}$ denotes the $n$th Fibonacci number. We determine the Zeckendorf representation of the multiplicative inverse of $a$ modulo $F_{n}$, for every fixed integer $a \geq 3$ and for all positive integers $n$ with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a, F_{n}\right)=1$. Our proof makes use of the so-called base- $\varphi$ expansion of real numbers.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\left(F_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ be the sequence of Fibonacci numbers, which is defined by the initial conditions $F_{1}=F_{2}=1$ and by the linear recurrence $F_{n}=F_{n-1}+F_{n-2}$ for $n \geq 3$. It is well known [21] that every positive integer $n$ can be written as a sum of distinct non-consecutive Fibonacci numbers, that is, $n=\sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{i} F_{i}$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}, d_{i} \in\{0,1\}$, and $d_{i} d_{i+1}=0$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, m-1\}$. This is called the Zeckendorf representation of $n$ and, apart from the equivalent use of $F_{1}$ instead of $F_{2}$ or vice versa, is unique.

The Zeckendorf representation of integer sequences has been studied in several works. For instance, Filipponi and Freitag [6, 7] studied the Zeckendorf representation of numbers of the form $F_{k n} / F_{n}, F_{n}^{2} / d$ and $L_{n}^{2} / d$, where $L_{n}$ are the Lucas numbers and $d$ is a Lucas or Fibonacci number. Filipponi, Hart, and Sanchis $[8,13,14]$ analyzed the Zeckendorf representation of numbers of the form $m F_{n}$. Filipponi [8] determined the Zeckendorf representation of $m F_{n} F_{n+k}$ and $m L_{n} L_{n+k}$ for $m \in\{1,2,3,4\}$. Bugeaud [3] studied the Zeckendorf representation of smooth numbers. The study of Zeckendorf representations has been also approached from a combinatorial point of view $[1,9,12,20]$. Moreover, generalizations of the Zeckendorf representation to linear recurrences other than the sequence of Fibonacci numbers has been considered $[4,5,10,11,16]$.

For all integers $a$ and $m \geq 1$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(a, m)=1$, let $\left(a^{-1} \bmod m\right)$ denote the least positive multiplicative inverse of $a$ modulo $m$, that is, the unique $b \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $a b \equiv 1$ $(\bmod m)$. Prempreesuk, Noppakaew, and Pongsriiam [17] determined the Zeckendorf representation of $\left(2^{-1} \bmod F_{n}\right)$, for every positive integer $n$ that is not divisible by 3. (The condition $3 \nmid n$ is necessary and sufficient to have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2, F_{n}\right)=1$.) In particular, they showed [17, Theorem 3.2] that

$$
\left(2^{-1} \bmod F_{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\sum_{k=0}^{(n-7) / 2} F_{n-3 k-2}+F_{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 1 & (\bmod 3) ; \\
\sum_{k=0}^{(n-8) / 2} F_{n-3 k-2}+F_{4} & \text { if } n \equiv 2 & (\bmod 3) ;
\end{array}\right.
$$

for every integer $n \geq 8$. We extend their result by determining the Zeckendorf representation of the multiplicative inverse of $a$ modulo $F_{n}$, for every fixed integer $a \geq 3$ and every positive integer $n$ with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a, F_{n}\right)=1$. Precisely, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. Let $a \geq 3$ be an integer. Then there exist integers $M, n_{0}, i_{0} \geq 1$ and periodic sequences $\boldsymbol{z}^{(0)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{z}^{(M-1)}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}^{(1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{w}^{\left(i_{0}\right)}$ with values in $\{0,1\}$ such that, for all integers $n \geq n_{0}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a, F_{n}\right)=1$, the Zeckendorf representation of $\left(a^{-1} \bmod F_{n}\right)$ is given by

$$
\left(a^{-1} \bmod F_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=i_{0}}^{n-1} z_{n-i}^{(n \bmod M)} F_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{i_{0}-1} w_{n}^{(i)} F_{i}
$$

From the proof of Theorem 1.1 it follows that $M, n_{0}, i_{0}, \boldsymbol{z}^{(0)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{z}^{(M-1)}$, and $\boldsymbol{w}^{(1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{w}^{\left(i_{0}\right)}$ are effectively computable in terms of $a$.
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## 2. Preliminaries on Fibonacci numbers

Let us recall that for every integer $n \geq 1$ it holds the Binet formula

$$
F_{n}=\frac{\varphi^{n}-\bar{\varphi}^{n}}{\sqrt{5}}
$$

where $\varphi:=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ is the Golden ratio and $\bar{\varphi}:=(1-\sqrt{5}) / 2$ is its algebraic conjugate. Furthermore, it is well known that for every integer $m \geq 1$ the Fibonacci sequence $\left(F_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ is (purely) periodic modulo $m$. Let $\pi(m)$ denote its period length, or the so-called Pisano period.

The next lemma gives a formula for the inverse of $a$ modulo $F_{n}$.
Lemma 2.1. For all integers $a \geq 1$ and $n \geq 3$ with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a, F_{n}\right)=1$, we have that

$$
\left(a^{-1} \bmod F_{n}\right)=\frac{b F_{n}+1}{a}
$$

where $b:=\left(-F_{r}^{-1} \bmod a\right)$ and $r:=(n \bmod \pi(a))$.
Proof. Since $r \equiv n(\bmod \pi(a))$, we have that $F_{r} \equiv F_{n}(\bmod a)$. In particular, it follows that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a, F_{r}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(a, F_{n}\right)=1$. Hence, $F_{r}$ is invertible modulo $a$, and consequently $b$ is well defined. Moreover, we have that

$$
b F_{n}+1 \equiv-F_{r}^{-1} F_{r}+1 \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod a),
$$

and thus $c:=\left(b F_{n}+1\right) / a$ is an integer. On the one hand, we have that

$$
a c \equiv b F_{n}+1 \equiv 1 \quad\left(\bmod F_{n}\right) .
$$

On the other hand, since $b \leq a-1$ and $n \geq 3$, we have that

$$
0 \leq c \leq \frac{(a-1) F_{n}+1}{a}=F_{n}-\frac{F_{n}-1}{a}<F_{n} .
$$

Therefore, we get that $c=\left(a^{-1} \bmod F_{n}\right)$, as desired.

## 3. Preliminaries on base- $\varphi$ expansion

We need some basic results regarding the so-called base- $\varphi$ expansion of real numbers, which was introduced by Bergman [2] in 1957 (see also [18]), and which is a particular case of noninteger base expansion (see, e.g., [15]). Let $\mathfrak{D}$ be the set of sequences in $\{0,1\}$ that have no two consecutive terms equal to 1 , and that are not ultimately equal to the periodic sequence $0,1,0,1, \ldots$. Then for every $x \in[0,1)$ there exists a unique sequence $\boldsymbol{\delta}(x)=\left(\delta_{i}(x)\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathfrak{D}$ such that $x=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{i}(x) \varphi^{-i}$. Precisely, $\delta_{i}(x)=\left\lfloor T^{(i)}(x)\right\rfloor$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, where $T^{(i)}$ denotes the $i$ th iterate of the map $T:[0,1) \rightarrow[0,1)$ defined by $T(\hat{x}):=(\varphi \hat{x} \bmod 1)$ for every $\hat{x} \in[0,1)$. Furthermore, letting $\mathcal{F}:=\mathbb{Q}(\varphi) \cap[0,1)$, if $x \in \mathcal{F}$ then $\boldsymbol{\delta}(x)$ is ultimately periodic. In particular, if $x \in \mathcal{F}$ is given as $x=x_{1}+x_{2} \varphi$, where $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$, then the preperiod and the period of $\boldsymbol{\delta}(x)$ can be effectively computed by finding the smallest $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T^{(i)}(x)=T^{(j)}(x)$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $j<i$. Conversely, for every ultimately periodic sequence $\boldsymbol{d}=\left(d_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathfrak{D}$ we have that the number $x=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_{i} \varphi^{-i}$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}$, and $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $x=x_{1}+x_{2} \varphi$ can be effectively computed in terms of the preperiod and period of $\boldsymbol{d}$ by using the formula for the sum of the geometric series. Moreover, in the case that $x$ is a rational number in $[0,1)$ then $\boldsymbol{\delta}(x)$ is purely periodic [19].

The next lemma collects two easy inequalities for sums involving sequences in $\mathfrak{D}$.
Lemma 3.1. For every sequence $\left(d_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathfrak{D}$ and for every $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$, we have:
(1) $\sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{i} \varphi^{-i} \in[0,1)$ and
(2) $\sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{i}(-\varphi)^{-i} \in\left(-1, \varphi^{-1}\right)$.

Proof. Since $\left(d_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ belongs to $\mathfrak{D}$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_{k}=d_{k+1}=0$. Let $k$ be the minimum integer with such property. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d_{i} \varphi^{-i} & =\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} d_{i} \varphi^{-i}+\sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} d_{i} \varphi^{-i}<\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor k / 2\rfloor} \varphi^{-(2 j-1)}+\sum_{i=k+2}^{\infty} \varphi^{-i} \\
& =\left(1-\varphi^{-2\lfloor k / 2\rfloor}\right)+\varphi^{-k} \leq 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

and (1) is proved. Let us prove (2). On the one hand, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{i}(-\varphi)^{-i} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{2 j} \varphi^{-2 j}<\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-2 j}=\varphi^{-1}
$$

where the second inequality is strict because $\mathfrak{D}$ does not contain sequences that are ultimately equal to $(0,1,0,1, \ldots)$. On the other hand, similarly, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{i}(-\varphi)^{-i} \geq-\sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{2 j-1} \varphi^{-(2 j-1)}>-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{-(2 j-1)}=-1
$$

Thus (2) is proved.
The following lemma relates base- $\varphi$ expansion and Zeckendorf representation.
Lemma 3.2. Let $N$ be a positive integer and write $N=x \varphi^{m} / \sqrt{5}$ for some $x \in \mathcal{F}$ and some integer $m \geq 2$. Then the Zeckendorf representation of $N$ is given by

$$
N=\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \delta_{m-i}(x) F_{i}
$$

Moreover, we have $\delta_{m}(x)=0$.
Proof. Let $R:=N-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \delta_{m-i}(x) F_{i}$. We have to prove that $R=0$. Since $R$ is an integer, it suffices to show that $|R|<1$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{5} N & =x \varphi^{m}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{i}(x) \varphi^{m-i}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta_{i}(x) \varphi^{m-i}+\sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \delta_{i}(x) \varphi^{m-i} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \delta_{m-i}(x) \varphi^{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{i+m}(x) \varphi^{-i} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \delta_{m-i}(x)\left(\varphi^{i}-\bar{\varphi}^{i}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \delta_{m-i}(x) \bar{\varphi}^{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{i+m}(x) \varphi^{-i} \\
& =\sqrt{5} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \delta_{m-i}(x) F_{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \delta_{m-i}(x)(-\varphi)^{-i}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{i+m}(x) \varphi^{-i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we get that

$$
\sqrt{5} R=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \delta_{m-i}(x)(-\varphi)^{-i}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{i+m}(x) \varphi^{-i}
$$

For the sake of contradiction, suppose that $\delta_{m}(x)=1$. Then $\delta_{m+1}(x)=0$ and, by Lemma 3.1, it follows that

$$
\sqrt{5} R=1+\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \delta_{m-i}(x)(-\varphi)^{-i}+\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \delta_{i+m}(x) \varphi^{-i} \in\left(1-1+0,1+\varphi^{-1}+\varphi^{-1}\right)=(0, \sqrt{5}),
$$

which is a contradiction, since $R$ is an integer.

Therefore, $\delta_{m}(x)=0$ and, again by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\sqrt{5} R=\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \delta_{m-i}(x)(-\varphi)^{-i}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{i+m}(x) \varphi^{-i} \in\left(-1+0, \varphi^{-1}+1\right) \subseteq(-\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{5})
$$

so that $|R|<1$, as desired.
The next two lemmas regards the base- $\varphi$ expansions of the sum of two numbers.
Lemma 3.3. Let $x, y \in[0,1), m \in \mathbb{N}$, and put $v:=x+y \varphi^{-m}$. Suppose that there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda+2 \leq m$ and $\delta_{\lambda}(x)=\delta_{\lambda+1}(x)=0$. Then, putting

$$
w:=\sum_{i=\lambda+2}^{\infty} \delta_{i}(x) \varphi^{-i}+\sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \delta_{i-m}(y) \varphi^{-i}
$$

we have that $v, w \in[0,1)$ and

$$
\delta_{i}(v)= \begin{cases}\delta_{i}(x) & \text { if } i \leq \lambda  \tag{1}\\ \delta_{i}(w) & \text { if } i>\lambda\end{cases}
$$

for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proof. From Lemma 3.1(1), we have that

$$
0 \leq w<\varphi^{-(\lambda+1)}+\varphi^{-m}<\varphi^{-(\lambda+1)}+\varphi^{-(\lambda+2)}=\varphi^{-\lambda}
$$

Hence, $w \in\left[0, \varphi^{-\lambda}\right) \subseteq[0,1)$ and so $w=\sum_{i=\lambda+1}^{\infty} \delta_{i}(w) \varphi^{-i}$. Therefore, recalling that $\delta_{\lambda+1}(x)=$ 0 , we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
v & =x+y \varphi^{-m}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{i}(x) \varphi^{-i}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{i}(y) \varphi^{-i-m}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{i}(x) \varphi^{-i}+\sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \delta_{i-m}(y) \varphi^{-i} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} \delta_{i}(x) \varphi^{-i}+w=\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} \delta_{i}(x) \varphi^{-i}+\sum_{i=\lambda+1}^{\infty} \delta_{i}(w) \varphi^{-i}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the base- $\varphi$ expansion of $v$. (Note that $\delta_{\lambda}(x)=0$.) In particular, by Lemma 3.1(1), we have that $v \in[0,1)$. Thus (1) follows.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Fix an integer $a \geq 3$. Let us begin by defining $M, n_{0}, i_{0}$, and $\boldsymbol{z}^{(0)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{z}^{(M-1)}$. Put $M:=$ $\pi(a)$. For each $r \in\{0, \ldots, M-1\}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a, F_{r}\right)=1$, let $b_{r}:=\left(-F_{r}^{-1} \bmod a\right), x_{r}:=b_{r} / a$, and $\boldsymbol{z}^{(r)}:=\boldsymbol{\delta}\left(x_{r}\right)$. Note that $x_{r} \in(0,1)$. Since $x_{r}$ is a positive rational number, we have that $\boldsymbol{z}^{(r)}$ is a (purely) periodic sequence belonging to $\mathfrak{D}$. Let $\ell$ be the least common multiple of the period lengths of $\boldsymbol{z}^{(0)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{z}^{(M-1)}$, and put $i_{0}:=\ell+3$. Finally, let $n_{0}:=\max \left\{i_{0}+1,\lceil\log (2 a) / \log \varphi\rceil\right\}$.

Pick an integer $n \geq n_{0}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a, F_{n}\right)=1$ and, for the sake of brevity, put $r:=(n \bmod M)$. From Lemma 2.1 and Binet's formula (2), we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a^{-1} \bmod F_{n}\right)=\frac{b_{r} F_{n}+1}{a}=\frac{b_{r}\left(\varphi^{n}-\bar{\varphi}^{n}\right)}{\sqrt{5} a}+\frac{1}{a}=\left(x_{r}+y_{n} \varphi^{-n}\right) \frac{\varphi^{n}}{\sqrt{5}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
y_{n}:=\frac{\sqrt{5}}{a}-x_{r}(-\varphi)^{-n}
$$

Since $n \geq n_{0}$, it follows that $y_{n} \in(0,1)$ and $x_{r}+y_{n} \varphi^{-n} \in(0,1)$. Therefore, from (2) and Lemma 3.2, we get that

$$
\left(a^{-1} \bmod F_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \delta_{n-i}\left(x_{r}+y_{n} \varphi^{-n}\right) F_{i}
$$

Since $\boldsymbol{\delta}\left(x_{r}\right)$ is (purely) periodic and belongs to $\mathfrak{D}$, we have that $\boldsymbol{\delta}\left(x_{r}\right)$ contains infinitely many pairs of consecutive zeros. Furthermore, since the period length of $\boldsymbol{\delta}\left(x_{r}\right)$ is at most $\ell$, we have
that among every $\ell+1$ consecutive terms of $\boldsymbol{\delta}\left(x_{r}\right)$ there are two consecutive zero. In particular, there exists $\lambda=\lambda(r)$ such that $n-\ell-3 \leq \lambda \leq n-2$ and $\delta_{\lambda}\left(x_{r}\right)=\delta_{\lambda+1}\left(x_{r}\right)=0$. Consequently, by Lemma 3.3, we get that $\delta_{i}\left(x_{r}+y_{n} \varphi^{-n}\right)=\delta_{i}\left(x_{r}\right)$ for each positive integer $i \leq \lambda$ and, a fortiori, for each positive integer $i \leq n-i_{0}$. Therefore, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(a^{-1} \bmod F_{n}\right) & =\sum_{i=i_{0}}^{n-1} \delta_{n-i}\left(x_{r}\right) F_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{i_{0}-1} \delta_{n-i}\left(x_{r}+y_{n} \varphi^{-n}\right) F_{i}  \tag{3}\\
& =\sum_{i=i_{0}}^{n-1} z_{n-i}^{(r)} F_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{i_{0}-1} w_{n}^{(i)} F_{i},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{w}^{(1)}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{w}^{\left(i_{0}\right)}$ are the sequences defined by $w_{n}^{(i)}:=\delta_{n-i}\left(x_{r}+y_{n} \varphi^{-n}\right)$. Note that, by construction,

$$
z_{1}^{(r)}, z_{2}^{(r)}, \ldots, z_{n-i_{0}}^{(r)}, w_{n}^{\left(i_{0}-1\right)}, w_{n}^{\left(i_{0}-2\right)}, \ldots, w_{n}^{(1)}
$$

is a string in $\{0,1\}$ with no consecutive zeros. Hence, (3) is the Zeckendorf representation of $\left(a^{-1} \bmod F_{n}\right)$.

It remains only to prove that $\boldsymbol{w}^{(1)}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{w}^{\left(i_{0}\right)}$ are periodic. By (3) and the uniqueness of the Zeckendorf representation, it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(n):=\left(a^{-1} \bmod F_{n}\right)-\sum_{i=i_{0}}^{n-1} z_{n-i}^{(r)} F_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{i_{0}-1} w_{n}^{(i)} F_{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a periodic function of $n$. From the last equality in (4), we have that $0 \leq R(n)<\sum_{i=1}^{i_{0}-1} F_{i}$. (Actually, one can prove that $0 \leq R(n)<F_{i_{0}}$, but this is not necessary for our proof.) Fix a prime number $p>\max \left\{a, \sum_{i=1}^{i_{0}-1} F_{i}\right\}$. It suffices to prove that $R(n)$ is periodic modulo $p$. Recalling that $\left(a^{-1} \bmod F_{n}\right)=\left(b_{r} F_{n}+1\right) / a$ and that the sequence of Fibonacci numbers is periodic modulo $p$, it follows that $\left(a^{-1} \bmod F_{n}\right)$ is periodic modulo $p$. Hence, it suffices to prove that $R^{\prime}(n):=\sum_{i=i_{0}}^{n-1} z_{n-i}^{(r)} F_{i}$ is periodic modulo $p$. Using that $\boldsymbol{z}^{(r)}$ has period length dividing $\ell$, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R^{\prime}(n+\ell M)-R^{\prime}(n)\left.=\sum_{i=i_{0}}^{n+\ell M-1} z_{n+\ell M-i}^{((n+\ell M)} \bmod M\right) \\
& F_{i}-\sum_{i=i_{0}}^{n-1} z_{n-i}^{(r)} F_{i} \\
&=\sum_{i=i_{0}}^{n+\ell M-1} z_{n+\ell M-i}^{(r)} F_{i}-\sum_{i=i_{0}}^{n-1} z_{n-i}^{(r)} F_{i} \\
&=\sum_{i=n}^{n+\ell M-1} z_{n+\ell M-i}^{(r)} F_{i}+\sum_{i=i_{0}}^{n-1}\left(z_{n+\ell M-i}^{(r)}-z_{n-i}^{(r)}\right) F_{i} \\
&=\sum_{j=1}^{\ell M} z_{j}^{(r)} F_{n+\ell M-j},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a linear combination of sequences that are periodic modulo $p$. Hence $R^{\prime}(n)$ is periodic modulo $p$. The proof is complete.
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