

WHEN DOES THE AUSLANDER–REITEN TRANSLATION OPERATE LINEARLY ON THE GROTHENDIECK GROUP? – PART I

CARLO KLAPPROTH

ABSTRACT. For a hereditary, finite dimensional \mathbb{k} -algebra A the Coxeter transformation is a linear endomorphism $\Phi_A: \mathbf{K}_0(\mathbf{mod} A) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_0(\mathbf{mod} A)$ of the Grothendieck group $\mathbf{K}_0(\mathbf{mod} A)$ of $\mathbf{mod} A$ such that $\Phi_A[M] = [\tau_A M]$ for every non-projective indecomposable A -module M , that is the Auslander–Reiten translation τ_A induces a linear endomorphism of $\mathbf{K}_0(\mathbf{mod} A)$. It is natural to ask whether there are other algebras A having a linear endomorphism $\Phi_A \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{K}_0(\mathbf{mod} A))$ with $\Phi_A[M] = [\tau_A M]$ for all non-projective $M \in \mathbf{ind} A$. We will show that this is the case for all Nakayama algebras. Conversely, we will show that if an algebra $A = \mathbb{k}Q/I$, where Q is a connected and non-acyclic quiver and $I \triangleleft \mathbb{k}Q$ is an admissible ideal, admits such a linear endomorphism then A is already a cyclic Nakayama algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be a basic finite-dimensional \mathbb{k} -algebra over a field \mathbb{k} . If A is of finite global dimension, then the derived category has Auslander–Reiten triangles by [Hap88, theorem 4.6], and hence a Serre functor \mathbb{S} by [RVdB02, theorem I.2.4]. Then $\tau := \mathbb{S}[-1]$ is a triangulated functor which induces the *Coxeter transformation* Φ , that is a linear endomorphism of the Grothendieck group $\mathbf{K}_0(\mathbf{mod} A) \cong \mathbf{K}_0(\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} A))$ with $\Phi[X] = [\tau X]$ for $X \in \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} A)$.

The situation is more difficult for the module category $\mathbf{mod} A$. The Auslander–Reiten translation τ_A on $\mathbf{mod} A$ does not need to be a functor. However, inspired by the situation for hereditary algebras one can define the following:

Definition 1.1. An endomorphism $\Phi \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{K}_0(\mathbf{mod} A))$ with $\Phi[M] = [\tau_A M]$ for all non-projective $M \in \mathbf{ind} A$ is called *τ -map for A* and an endomorphism $\Phi' \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{K}_0(\mathbf{mod} A))$ with $\Phi'[M] = [\tau_A^{-1} M]$ for all non-injective $M \in \mathbf{ind} A$ is called *τ^{-1} -map for A* .

It is natural to ask which algebras do have a τ -map? We have the following examples:

- (1) If Q is a acyclic quiver and $\mathbb{k}Q$ is its (hereditary) quiver algebra then $\mathbb{k}Q$ has a τ -map given by the Coxeter transformation (see [ARS95, proposition VII.2.2(b)]).
- (2) For a Nakayama algebra A there is a unique $\Phi \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{K}_0(\mathbf{mod} A))$ with

$$\Phi[S] = \begin{cases} [\tau S] & \text{for } S \in \mathbf{mod} A \text{ simple and non-projective,} \\ x_S & \text{for } S \in \mathbf{mod} A \text{ simple and projective,} \end{cases}$$

where x_S is an arbitrary element in $\mathbf{K}_0(\mathbf{mod} A)$ for $S \in \mathbf{mod} A$ simple and projective. This map Φ is a τ -map by proposition 4.7.

Notice, a τ -map can look very different from the Coxeter transformation: For example the algebra $A = \mathbb{k}Q/I$ where Q is the quiver

$$\bullet \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta} \end{array} \bullet$$

and $I = \langle \alpha\beta \rangle$ is a Nakayama algebra without simple projective modules and hence has a unique τ -map Φ , by proposition 4.7. This map Φ transposes the two simple A -modules. On the other hand the Coxeter matrix $\Phi_A = C_A^t C_A^{-1}$ of A is the identity matrix as the Cartan matrix C_A of A is symmetric. Hence, the Coxeter transformation of A is the identity map on $\mathbf{K}_0(\mathbf{mod} A)$.

We suspect that algebras admitting a τ -map are classifiable. In this paper we provide the following classification result:

Theorem I (Cf. theorem 4.1). *Suppose A has a connected and non-acyclic Ext^1 -quiver (see definition 2.1). Then A has a τ -map iff A is a Nakayama algebra.*

In the language of quotients of path algebras this can be reformulated as follows:

Corollary II (Cf. corollary 4.2). *Suppose $A = \mathbb{k}Q/I$, where Q is a connected and non-acyclic quiver, $I \triangleleft \mathbb{k}Q$ is an admissible ideal and \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed. Then A has a τ -map iff A is a cyclic Nakayama Algebra.*

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

For morphisms $X \xrightarrow{f} X'$ and $X' \xrightarrow{g} X''$ we will write gf for the composite $X \xrightarrow{f} X' \xrightarrow{g} X''$. Similarly for functors $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ and $G: \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}''$ we will write GF for the composite functor $G \circ F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}''$ of F and G . We will view all modules as right modules.

Throughout this paper we assume that A is a basic, finite dimensional \mathbb{k} -algebra over an arbitrary field \mathbb{k} and that $e \in A$ is an idempotent. We write $\Gamma_e := (1 - e)A(1 - e)$ for the idempotent subalgebra obtained from A by deleting e . Notice that Γ_e is then a basic, finite dimensional \mathbb{k} -algebra as well. Furthermore, we define the three functors

$$\begin{aligned} F_e(-) &:= - \otimes_A A(1 - e): \mathbf{mod} A \rightarrow \mathbf{mod} \Gamma_e, \\ G_e(-) &:= - \otimes_{\Gamma_e} (1 - e)A: \mathbf{mod} \Gamma_e \rightarrow \mathbf{mod} A \text{ and} \\ F'_e(-) &:= (1 - e)A \otimes_A -: \mathbf{mod} A^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{mod} \Gamma_e^{\text{op}}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $A(1 - e)$ is a projective A^{op} -module, hence $- \otimes_A A(1 - e) \cong \text{Hom}_A((1 - e)A, -)$. This means that F_e is right adjoint to G_e by classic adjunction.

For any finite dimensional \mathbb{k} -algebra B we will denote the \mathbb{k} -duality on $\mathbf{mod} B$ by \mathbf{D} . We will write $\nu_B(-) := \mathbf{D} \text{Hom}_B(-, B): \mathbf{mod} B \rightarrow \mathbf{mod} B$ for the Nakayama functor, $\nu_B^{-1}(-) := \text{Hom}_{B^{\text{op}}}(\mathbf{D}(-), B): \mathbf{mod} B \rightarrow \mathbf{mod} B$ for the inverse Nakayama functor and τ_B and τ_B^{-1} for Auslander–Reiten translations on $\mathbf{mod} B$. We want to point out that the restriction $\nu_B: \mathbf{proj} B \rightarrow \mathbf{inj} B$ is inverse to the restriction $\nu_B^{-1}: \mathbf{inj} B \rightarrow \mathbf{proj} B$. However, the unrestricted functors ν_B and ν_B^{-1} are generally not mutually inverse equivalences on $\mathbf{mod} B$. For a simple module $S \in \mathbf{mod} B$ and an arbitrary module $M \in \mathbf{mod} B$ we will denote by $[M : S]$ how often S appears as a composition factor in a composition series of M . Recall that $[M : S] \neq 0$ iff $\text{Hom}_B(P, M) \neq 0$ where P is a projective cover of S .

If \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed then it is well-known that we have an isomorphism $A \cong \mathbb{k}Q/I$ where Q is a quiver and $I \subseteq \mathbb{k}Q$ is an admissible ideal. However, as we do not assume \mathbb{k} to be algebraically closed, we define the following as a replacement:

Definition 2.1. The Ext^1 -quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ of A is the quiver with


- (i) vertices $Q_0 = \{ \text{isomorphism classes of simple } A\text{-modules} \}$ and
- (ii) arrows $Q_1 = \{ S \rightarrow S' \mid S, S' \in Q_0 \text{ and } \text{Ext}^1(S, S') \neq 0 \}$.

Notice, this is an unweighted quiver. There is precisely *one* arrow from S to S' iff S' has non-trivial extensions by S . We also want to emphasize that we will view the vertices in

the Ext^1 -quiver as simple A -modules. The Ext^1 -quiver has the following relationship to the underlying quiver of the quotient of a path algebra:

Remark 2.2. If $A = \mathbb{k}Q_a/I$, where Q_a is a quiver, $I \triangleleft \mathbb{k}Q_a$ is an admissible ideal and \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed then by [ASS06, proposition III.2.12(a)] the Ext^1 -quiver Q_e of A is obtained from Q_a by replacing all vertices with their corresponding simple A -module and by identifying parallel arrows. In particular, acyclicity and connectedness hold for Q_a iff they hold for Q_e .

Recall that a vertex of a quiver is called *source vertex* if there are no arrows ending in it. Dually a vertex is called *sink vertex* if there is no arrow starting in it. Notice, this does not imply that there is any arrow starting in a source vertex or ending in a sink vertex. Indeed, a vertex is isolated iff it is both a source and a sink vertex. For quivers Q and Q' we denote the disjoint union of Q and Q' by $Q \sqcup Q'$. For $n \geq 2$ we denote the oriented cycle on n vertices



by C_n . For $n = 1$ we define C_n to be a single vertex with a loop attached to it.

For a basic algebra A and a decomposition $1 = e_1 + \dots + e_n$ into primitive idempotents the A -modules $e_i A / \text{rad } e_i A$ are precisely the isomorphism classes of simple A -modules. Hence, there is a bijection between simple A -modules and primitive idempotents:

Definition 2.3. Let S be a simple A -module S and e be a primitive idempotent. Then we say that S *corresponds to* e if $eA / \text{rad } eA \cong S$.

Recall the following facts about simple modules:

Remark 2.4. A module $S \in \text{mod } A$ is simple iff each $x \in S \setminus \{0\}$ generate S as A -module that is, if for every $x' \in S$ there is an $a \in A$ with $x'a = x$. Indeed if S has this property and $U \subseteq S$ is a non-zero submodule of S then there is a non-zero $x \in U$ and therefore $U = S$ implying that 0 and S are the only submodules of S . On the other hand if S is simple and $x \in S \setminus \{0\}$ then $U = \{x' \in S \mid \exists a \in A: x' = xa\}$ is a non-zero submodule of S and hence $U = S$, because S is simple, that is x generates S .

Lemma 2.5. A simple module S corresponds to a primitive idempotent e iff $Se \neq 0$ as sets. This is precisely the case if $Se = S$ as sets.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of [AF92, Exercise 27.9]. \square

Next, for convenience, we recall some well-known facts about F_e , F'_e and G_e . These functors have been studied for example in [Psa14].

Lemma 2.6. We have the following:

- (1) There is an equivalence $\text{add}(1 - e)A \leftrightarrow \text{proj } \Gamma_e$ induced by F_e and G_e .
- (2) The functors F_e and F'_e are exact. If $(1 - e)Ae \in \text{proj } \Gamma_e^{\text{op}}$ then G_e is exact.
- (3) The functor F_e is left inverse to G_e that is $F_e G_e \cong \text{Id}_{\text{mod } \Gamma_e}$.
- (4) The functor F_e is right adjoint to G_e .

- (5) The functor G_e preserves (augmented) minimal projective presentations. In particular, G_e maps non-projective modules to non-projective modules.
- (6) Let $(1-e)Ae = 0$. Then G_e maps the simple Γ_e -modules to the simple A -modules in $\text{add}((1-e)A/\text{rad}(1-e)A)$. Further, any simple summand of $(1-e)A/\text{rad}(1-e)A$ is the image of some simple module under G_e .

Proof. Item (1) is easy. Item (2) follows from $A(1-e) \in \text{proj}A^{\text{op}}$ and $(1-e)A \in \text{proj}A$ as well as $(1-e)A \cong \Gamma_e \oplus (1-e)Ae$ as Γ_e -modules, that is $(1-e)A \in \text{proj}\Gamma_e$ iff $(1-e)Ae \in \text{proj}\Gamma_e$. Item (3) follows from $(1-e)A \otimes_A A(1-e) \cong \Gamma_e$ as Γ_e - Γ_e^{op} -bimodules. Next, we have $F_e(-) \cong \text{Hom}_A((1-e)A, -)$ as $A(1-e) \in \text{proj}A^{\text{op}}$, which shows (4) using classic adjunction.

To show (5) notice first that $G_e(-) = - \otimes_{\Gamma_e} (1-e)A$ is right exact. Hence, it maps augmented projective presentations to augmented projective presentations using $G_e(\text{proj}\Gamma_e) \subseteq \text{proj}A$. A projective presentation $d_1: P_1 \rightarrow P_0$ is minimal if d_1 is in the radical and P_1 has no proper direct summand P'_1 so that d_1 vanishes on P'_1 . These properties are clearly preserved by G_e because of (1), so G_e preserves minimality of projective presentations. Further, a module is non-projective iff the both terms in its minimal projective presentation are non-zero. This is preserved by G_e using (1) and the first part of (5).

We show (6): The condition $(1-e)Ae = 0$ implies $(1-e)A = (1-e)A(1-e) = \Gamma_e$ as sets. Given $S \in \text{mod}\Gamma_e$, each $x \otimes (1-e)a \in S \otimes_{\Gamma_e} (1-e)A = G_e(S)$ hence satisfies $(1-e)a \in \Gamma_e$ so $x \otimes (1-e)a = x(1-e)a \otimes (1-e)$. This shows that every element of $G_e(S)$ is an elementary tensor of the form $x' \otimes (1-e)$ for some $x' \in S$. Further, if S is simple then $x \otimes (1-e) \in G_e(S)$ is non-zero and $x' \otimes (1-e) \in G_e(S)$ is arbitrary then there is $a \in \Gamma_e$ with $xa = x'$ by remark 2.4 since S is simple. But then $x' \otimes (1-e) = xa \otimes (1-e) = x \otimes a(1-e) = (x \otimes (1-e))a$, so $x \otimes (1-e)$ generates $G_e(S)$. By remark 2.4 this means that $G_e(S)$ is simple.

Finally, let $1-e = e_1 + \dots + e_k$ be a decomposition of $1-e$ into primitive orthogonal idempotents and $1 = e_1 + \dots + e_n$ be a decomposition of 1 into primitive orthogonal idempotents. If $S \in \text{mod}\Gamma_e$ is simple and e_i with $1 \leq i \leq k$ does not correspond to S then $(x \otimes (1-e))e_i = xe_i \otimes (1-e) = 0$ for $x \in S$ by lemma 2.5. This shows $G_e(S)e_i = 0$. Further, if $S \in \text{mod}\Gamma_e$ is simple, $x \in S$ and $k < i \leq n$ then $(x \otimes (1-e))e_i = 0$ showing $G_e(S)e_i = 0$ as well. Lemma 2.5 now shows that $G_e(S)$ does not correspond to a primitive idempotent among e_1, \dots, e_n which S does not correspond to. Since S has to correspond to an primitive idempotent among e_1, \dots, e_n , we have that S corresponds to $e_i \in \Gamma_e$ iff $G_e(S)$ corresponds to $e_i \in A$. Hence, the simple A -modules in the image of simple Γ_e -modules under G_e are precisely the modules corresponding to e_1, \dots, e_k , showing item (6). \square

Furthermore, recall the following well known fact:

Remark 2.7. Let $M \in \text{mod}A$ and $\dots \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 =: P$ be a minimal projective resolution of M . Then $\text{Ext}_A^k(M, S) \cong H^k \text{Hom}_A^\bullet(P, S)$ holds. However, if S is simple then $\text{Hom}_A^\bullet(P, S)$ has zero differentials because all morphisms in P have radical image. Therefore, $\text{Ext}_A^k(M, S) \cong \text{Hom}_A(P_k, S)$ holds.

Next we want to recall how the Ext^1 -quiver of A and Γ_e are related if $(1-e)Ae = 0$. In this case the Ext^1 -quiver of Γ_e is the full subquiver of the Ext^1 -quiver of A having the simple summands of $(1-e)A/\text{rad}(1-e)A$ as vertices:

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose the Ext^1 -quiver of A is Q_A and the Ext^1 -quiver of Γ_e is Q_{Γ_e} . If we have $(1-e)Ae = 0$ then the morphism of quivers $\iota: Q_{\Gamma_e} \rightarrow Q_A$ mapping*

- (1) an vertex S to the vertex $G_e(S)$ and
- (2) an arrow $S \rightarrow S'$ to the arrow $G_e(S) \rightarrow G_e(S')$

is the inclusion of a full subquiver. The image of ι is the full subquiver having the simple summands of $(1 - e)A/\text{rad}(1 - e)A$ as vertices.

Proof. The map ι does indeed map vertices to vertices, by lemma 2.6(6). Further, $\iota(S)$ is a simple summand of $(1 - e)A/\text{rad}(1 - e)A$ for S a vertex in Q_{Γ_e} and every simple summand of $(1 - e)A/\text{rad}(1 - e)A$ is hit by a vertex S in Q_{Γ_e} also by lemma 2.6(6). Finally, ι is injective on vertices as $F_e G_e \cong \text{Id}_{\text{mod } \Gamma_e}$ by lemma 2.6(3).

To show that ι maps arrows to arrows and that ι is the inclusion of a full subquiver we need to check that there is an arrow $G_e(S) \rightarrow G_e(S')$ in Q_A if and only if there is an arrow $S \rightarrow S'$ in Q_{Γ_e} . Suppose S is a simple Γ_e -module and $P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$ is an augmented minimal projective presentation of S . We have $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma_e}^1(S, S') \cong \text{Hom}_{\Gamma_e}(P_1, S')$ using remark 2.7. Similarly, $\text{Ext}_A^1(G_e(S), G_e(S')) \cong \text{Hom}_A(G_e(P_1), G_e(S'))$ holds using that G_e maps minimal projective presentations to minimal projective presentations by lemma 2.6(5) and (6). Finally, $\text{Hom}_A(G_e(P_1), G_e(S')) \cong \text{Hom}_{\Gamma_e}(P_1, S')$ holds by lemma 2.6(3) and (4). Combining all equations we obtain $\text{Ext}_{\Gamma_e}^1(S, S') \cong \text{Ext}_A^1(G_e(S), G_e(S'))$. \square

Recall, the Ext^1 -quiver contains information on composition series of projective modules:

Lemma 2.9. *Let Q be the Ext^1 -quiver of A . Suppose $S_1, \dots, S_n \in \text{mod } A$ are the simple A -modules and $P_i \rightarrow S_i$ is a projective cover for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then if S_j is a composition factor of $\text{rad } P_i$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ then there is an oriented non-constant path from S_i to S_j in Q .*

Proof. If $M \in \text{mod } A$ and S is a summand of $\text{top}(\text{rad } M)$ then $\text{Ext}_A^1(\text{top } M, S) \neq 0$, because the lower sequence in the commutative pushout diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{rad } M & \xrightarrow{f} & M & \longrightarrow & \text{top } M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow g' & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & S & \xrightarrow{f'} & M' & \longrightarrow & \text{top } M \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

is non-split as $\text{Im } f' = \text{Im } f'g = \text{Im } g'f = g'(\text{rad } M) \subseteq \text{rad } M'$ using that g is epic.

Now we look at the radical series $P_i \supset \text{rad } P_i \supset \text{rad}^2 P_i \supset \dots \supset \text{rad}^l P_i \supset 0$ of P_i . We claim by induction on $k \geq 1$ that for all simple summands S of $\text{top}(\text{rad}^k(P_i))$ there is an oriented path from S_i to S in Q : If $k = 1$ we have $\text{Ext}_A^1(\text{top } P_i, S) \neq 0$ by the first part of the proof. Since $\text{top } P_i = S_i$ this shows the induction start. For the induction step suppose S is a simple summand of $\text{top}(\text{rad}^k P_i)$ for $k \geq 2$. Then $\text{Ext}_A^1(\text{top}(\text{rad}^{k-1} P_i), S) \neq 0$ by the first part of the proof. Therefore, there is a simple summand S' of $\text{top}(\text{rad}^{k-1} P_i)$ with $\text{Ext}_A^1(S', S) \neq 0$. Hence, there is an arrow from S' to S and by induction hypothesis there is an oriented path from S_i to S' in Q which shows the induction step.

Finally, if S_j is a composition factor of $\text{rad } P_i$ then S_j is a direct summand of $\text{top}(\text{rad}^k P_i)$ for some $1 \leq k \leq l$. Hence there is a non-constant oriented path from S_i to S_j in Q . \square

In particular, connectedness of Q corresponds to indecomposability of A as an algebra:

Remark 2.10. Suppose the Ext^1 -quiver Q of A disconnected, that is of the shape $Q = Q' \sqcup Q''$. Let eA be the projective cover of the direct sum of all vertices in Q' . Then any vertex $S \in Q'$ does not appear in any composition series of $\text{rad}(1 - e)A$ by lemma 2.9. But $S \in Q'$ is also not a direct summand of $\text{top}(1 - e)A$ meaning that any $S \in Q'$ does not appear in the composition series of $(1 - e)A$. This is equivalent to $\text{Hom}_A(eA, (1 - e)A) = 0$. On the other hand, $\text{Hom}_A((1 - e)A, eA) = 0$ follows similarly. Therefore, if we define $\Gamma_{Q'} := \Gamma_e$

and $\Gamma_{Q''} := \Gamma_{1-e}$ then $A \cong \text{End}_A((1-e)A \oplus eA) = \Gamma_{Q'} \times \Gamma_{Q''}$ as \mathbb{k} -algebras, that is e is a central idempotent.

Next, projective and injective simple modules correspond to sink and source vertices of Q :

Remark 2.11. If S_i is a sink vertex in Q then lemma 2.9 implies that $\text{rad } P_i$ has no composition factors and is hence zero, showing that $S_i = P_i$ is projective. On the other hand, a non-sink vertex in Q has non-trivial extensions and is hence non-projective. We see that the projective simple A -modules are precisely the sink vertices of Q . Using \mathbb{k} -duality we see that source vertices in Q are precisely the injective simple A -modules.

Using remark 2.10 we can easily extend the following result to the case where only some of the simple A -modules lie in one τ -orbit:

Theorem 2.12 ([ARS95, Theorem IV.2.10]). *Suppose all modules in the τ_A -orbits of simple A -modules are simple themselves. Then A is a Nakayama algebra.*

Our extension is the following:

Corollary 2.13. *Suppose τ_A permutes the simple summands of $(1-e)A/\text{rad}(1-e)A$. Then we have a decomposition $A = \Gamma_e \times \Gamma_{1-e}$ where Γ_e is a Nakayama algebra.*

Proof. Suppose $S \in \text{add}((1-e)A/\text{rad}(1-e)A)$ and $\text{Ext}_A^1(S, S') \neq 0$ for some simple module $S' \in \text{mod } A$. There is a non-split short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S' \rightarrow X' \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$. We can compare this sequence to the Auslander–Reiten sequence ending in S and obtain the dashed morphism of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & S' & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & S \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \tau_A S & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & S \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

since $X' \rightarrow S$ is non-split. But because X' and X must be uniserial of length 2 the dashed morphism must be an isomorphism and therefore $S' \cong \tau_A S$ holds. Hence, $\text{Ext}_A^1(S, S') \neq 0$ can hold only if $S' \in \text{add}((1-e)A/\text{rad}(1-e)A)$. In the same way we can show that $\text{Ext}_A^1(S, S') \neq 0$ for $S' \in \text{add}((1-e)A/\text{rad}(1-e)A)$ implies $S \in \text{add}((1-e)A/\text{rad}(1-e)A)$. But then the Ext^1 -quiver of A is disconnected and $A = \Gamma_e \times \Gamma_{1-e}$ by remark 2.10 meaning that e is a central idempotent. Using for example proposition 3.2, it is easy to see that this implies that $\tau_{\Gamma_e} = F_e \tau_A G_e$. This shows that all Γ_e -modules in the τ_{Γ_e} -orbit of simple Γ_e -modules are simple using lemma 2.6(6) and [IP16, proposition 2.3](2). Now theorem 2.12 applied to Γ_e shows that Γ_e is a Nakayama algebra. \square

Next, recall the following classic lemma:

Lemma 2.14. *Let ${}_B Y_A$ be a A - B^{op} -bimodule, which is projective as an A -module. Then the family of morphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_X : Y \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(X, A) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X, Y) \\ y \otimes f &\mapsto (x \mapsto yf(x)) \end{aligned}$$

for $X \in \text{mod } A$ defines a natural isomorphism $Y \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(-, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(-, Y)$ of functors $\text{mod } A \rightarrow \text{mod } B^{\text{op}}$.

Proof. The family of morphisms is a natural isomorphism by [ARS95, proposition II.4.4]. It is easy to see that the family preserves B^{op} -structure. \square

Finally, recall the following from linear algebra:

Lemma 2.15. *Let $M, M' \in M_n(\mathbb{N})$ be matrices satisfying $MM' = 1_{n \times n}$. Then M and M' are permutation matrices (see [Ser02, section 1.1.2]).*

Proof. In the ring $M_n(\mathbb{Q}) \supset M_n(\mathbb{N})$ we have $M' = M^{-1}$ by [Ser02, proposition 2.2.1]. Hence, $M'M = 1_{n \times n}$ holds in $M_n(\mathbb{N})$ and M and M^{-1} define injective maps from \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{N} . They map $\mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$ into $\mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and hence form semigroup automorphisms of $(\mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}, +)$. The only elements of $(\mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}, +)$ that are not the sum of any two other elements are the standard basis vectors e_1, \dots, e_n . But then M and M^{-1} have to permute those elements, which shows the claim. \square

3. CORE LEMMAS

In this section we want to build the core lemmas for our classification result. The main results of this section are the formula of proposition 3.2 showing how to calculate τ_{Γ_e} using τ_A as well as lemma 3.8.

We want to show that τ -maps are inherited by idempotent subalgebras arising from the removal of injective simple modules. Notice the following:

Lemma 3.1. *There is a natural isomorphism $F'_e D\nu_A G_e \cong D\nu_{\Gamma_e}$*

Proof. By lemma 2.14 and adjunction we have a sequence

$$\begin{aligned} (1-e)A \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(- \otimes_{\Gamma_e} (1-e)A, A) &\cong \text{Hom}_A(- \otimes_{\Gamma_e} (1-e)A, (1-e)A) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\Gamma_e}(-, \text{Hom}_A((1-e)A, (1-e)A)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\Gamma_e}(-, \Gamma_e) \end{aligned}$$

of natural isomorphisms, where the first functor is naturally isomorphic to $F'_e D\nu_A G_e$ and the last functor is naturally isomorphic to $D\nu_{\Gamma_e}$. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $(1-e)Ae \in \text{mod } \Gamma_e^{\text{op}}$ is projective. Then $\tau_{\Gamma_e} = F_e \tau_A G_e$.*

Proof. Let M be a non-projective indecomposable Γ_e -module and $P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be an augmented minimal projective presentation. The functor G_e is exact by lemma 2.6(2) and $G_e(P_1) \rightarrow G_e(P_0) \rightarrow G_e(M) \rightarrow 0$ is an augmented minimal projective presentation of $G_e(M) \in \text{mod } A$ and $G_e(M)$ is non-projective by lemma 2.6(5). Applying ν_A yields an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \tau_A G_e(M) \rightarrow \nu_A G_e(P_1) \rightarrow \nu_A G_e(P_0) \rightarrow \nu_A G_e(M) \rightarrow 0$$

which yields an exact sequence

$$0 \leftarrow F'_e D\tau_A G_e(M) \leftarrow D\nu_{\Gamma_e}(P_1) \leftarrow D\nu_{\Gamma_e}(P_0) \leftarrow D\nu_{\Gamma_e}(M) \leftarrow 0$$

upon applying the exact functor $F'_e D$ and using lemma 3.1 for the three terms on the right. But because $P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ was an augmented minimal projective presentation we must have $DF'_e D\tau_A G_e(M) \cong \tau_{\Gamma_e}(M)$. Finally, we obtain the proposition since

$DF'_e D(-) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}((1-e)A \otimes_A D(-), \mathbb{k}) \cong \text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(D(-), D((1-e)A)) \cong \text{Hom}_A((1-e)A, -)$
by classic adjunction and $\text{Hom}_A((1-e)A, -) \cong F_e(-)$ using $(1-e)A \in \text{proj } A$. \square

This can be used to show that certain idempotent subalgebras inherit a τ -map:

Corollary 3.3. *Suppose A has a τ -map and $(1-e)Ae \in \text{mod } \Gamma_e^{\text{op}}$ is projective. Then Γ_e has a τ -map.*

Proof. Let $\Phi_A: \mathbf{K}_0(\mathbf{mod} A) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_0(\mathbf{mod} A)$ be a τ -map of A . Since F_e and G_e are exact by lemma 2.6(2), they induce well-defined linear maps $\pi := \mathbf{K}_0(F_e): \mathbf{K}_0(\mathbf{mod} A) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_0(\mathbf{mod} \Gamma_e)$ and $\iota := \mathbf{K}_0(G_e): \mathbf{K}_0(\mathbf{mod} \Gamma_e) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_0(\mathbf{mod} A)$. Proposition 3.2 now implies that $\Phi_{\Gamma_e} := \pi\Phi_A\iota$ is a τ -map for Γ_e , as for $M \in \mathbf{mod} \Gamma_e$ non-projective

$$\Phi_{\Gamma_e}[M] = \pi\Phi_A\iota[M] = \pi\Phi_A[G_e(M)] = \pi[\tau_A G_e(M)] = [F_e \tau_A G_e(M)] = [\tau_{\Gamma_e}(M)]$$

holds, because $G_e(M)$ is non-projective by lemma 2.6(5). \square

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose A has a τ -map and that $e \in A$ is a central idempotent. Then Γ_e has a τ -map.*

Proof. We have $(1-e)Ae = 0$ since $(1-e)$ is a central idempotent, so $(1-e)Ae \in \mathbf{mod} \Gamma_e^{\text{op}}$ is projective. The result follows from corollary 3.3. \square

Remark 3.5. If $D(Ae)$ is simple then the requirements of corollary 3.3 are satisfied: The A^{op} -module Ae is simple and corresponds to e . Since A is basic no primitive idempotent in a decomposition $1-e = e_1 + \dots + e_{n-1}$ into primitive orthogonal idempotents can correspond to Ae showing $0 = (e_1 + \dots + e_{n-1})Ae = (1-e)Ae$ by lemma 2.5.

Next, we want to investigate how the Auslander–Reiten translation behaves on modules with a simple socle and a first cosyzygy with decomposable socle. However, we need the following easy lemmas first:

Lemma 3.6. *Let A have a τ -map Φ and S_1, \dots, S_n be the simple A -modules. Suppose we are given $M \in \mathbf{mod} A$ with*

$$\Phi[M] = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i [S_i]$$

where $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \notin \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Then for any decreasing series

$$M = M_k \supset M_{k-1} \supset \dots \supset M_1 \supset M_0 = 0$$

of submodules of M with $k \geq 1$ and $M_{i'}/M_{i'-1}$ indecomposable for $1 \leq i' \leq k$ there is an $i' \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $M_{i'}/M_{i'-1}$ is projective. In particular, at least one direct summand of M and at least one composition factor of M is projective.

Proof. Assume towards contradiction that $M_{i'}/M_{i'-1}$ is non-projective for $1 \leq i' \leq k$. We have $M \neq 0$ as $k \geq 1$. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi[M] &= \Phi \left(\sum_{j=1}^k [M_j/M_{j-1}] \right) = \sum_{j=1}^k \Phi[M_j/M_{j-1}] = \sum_{j=1}^k [\tau_A(M_j/M_{j-1})] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^n [\tau_A(M_j/M_{j-1}) : S_i][S_i] = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^k [\tau_A(M_j/M_{j-1}) : S_i] \right) [S_i] \end{aligned}$$

holds. But $[\tau_A(M_j/M_{j-1}) : S_i] \geq 0$ holds for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and all $1 \leq j \leq k$ and hence we must have $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Therefore, $(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ since $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \notin \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}$ by assumption. Then $0 = \tau_A(M_{i'}/M_{i'-1})$ and hence $M_{i'}/M_{i'-1} \in \mathbf{proj} A$ holds for $1 \leq i' \leq k$ contradicting that $M_{i'}/M_{i'-1}$ is non-projective for all $1 \leq i' \leq k$. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I_0 \xrightarrow{f} I_1$ is an augmented minimal injective copresentation of an A -module M . Suppose further that I_0 is indecomposable, $I_1 = I_1' \oplus I_1''$ decomposes with $I_1' \neq 0$ and $f = (f', f'')^{\top}$ with respect to that direct sum decomposition. Then there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow I_1'' \rightarrow \text{Cok } f \rightarrow \text{Cok } f' \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.1)$$

and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \nu_A^{-1}(M) \rightarrow \nu_A^{-1}(N) \rightarrow \nu_A^{-1}(I_1'') \rightarrow \tau_A^{-1}(M) \rightarrow \tau_A^{-1}(N) \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.2)$$

where $N = \text{Ker}(f')$. Further, both M and N are indecomposable non-injective and if $I_1'' \neq 0$ then M and N are non-isomorphic.

Proof. We can draw the undashed arrows of the commutative diagram in figure 1 where

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & 0 & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & & 0 & \dashrightarrow & M & \dashrightarrow & N & \dashrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & \longrightarrow & I_0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & I_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f' & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & I_1'' & \longrightarrow & I_1 & \longrightarrow & I_1' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & I_1'' & \dashrightarrow & \text{Cok } f & \dashrightarrow & \text{Cok } f' & \dashrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 1. Five term exact sequence induced by decomposition of the second term in a minimal injective copresentation of M .

the row $0 \rightarrow I_1'' \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_1' \rightarrow 0$ is the split short exact sequence defined through the direct sum decomposition $I_1 = I_1' \oplus I_1''$ and N is the kernel of f' . The snake lemma shows that the dashed sequence in figure 1 is exact, which yields the desired sequence (3.1).

Notice that f' has an essential image and an essential kernel because f does so and hence the rightmost column of figure 1 contains a minimal injective copresentation $f': I_0 \rightarrow I_1$ of N . In particular, M and N are indecomposable as I_0 is so and both M and N are non-injective as they have non-trivial minimal injective copresentations. Additionally, if $I_1'' \neq 0$ then M and N have different minimal injective copresentations and are hence non-isomorphic. We can apply the inverse Nakayama functor to the upper four rows of figure 1 and obtain a commutative diagram in figure 2 where the two rightmost columns are exact by the definition of τ_A^{-1} , the two middle rows are exact because functors preserve split exact sequences. The dashed sequence in figure 2 is then exact by the snake lemma. This is the sequence in equation (3.2). \square

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose that A has a τ -map. Further, assume that $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I_1$ is an augmented minimal injective copresentation of an A -module M . If I_0 is indecomposable and $I_1 \neq 0$ is decomposable then every direct summand of $\nu_A^{-1}(I_1)$ has a simple projective module in its composition series.*

Proof. Let $I_1 = I_1' \oplus I_1''$ where I_1'' is indecomposable. We apply lemma 3.7 and obtain a monomorphism $g: M \rightarrow N$ from (3.1) and an epimorphism $g': \tau_A^{-1}(M) \rightarrow \tau_A^{-1}(N)$ from (3.2) where $N \in \text{mod } A$. Notice, g' fits into a exact sequence

$$\nu_A^{-1}(I_1'') \rightarrow \tau_A^{-1}(M) \xrightarrow{g'} \tau_A^{-1}(N) \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & 0 & & 0 & \\
& & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& & 0 & \dashrightarrow & \nu_A^{-1}(M) & \dashrightarrow & \nu_A^{-1}(N) & \dashrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & \longrightarrow & \nu_A^{-1}(I_0) & \equiv & \nu_A^{-1}(I_0) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \nu_A^{-1}(I_1'') & \longrightarrow & \nu_A^{-1}(I_1) & \longrightarrow & \nu_A^{-1}(I_1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & \nu_A^{-1}(I_1'') & \dashrightarrow & \tau_A^{-1}(M) & \dashrightarrow & \tau_A^{-1}(N) & \dashrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 2. Five term exact sequence induced by applying ν_A^{-1} to the upper four rows of the diagram in figure 1.

and g' is a proper epimorphism, because $\tau_A^{-1}(M)$ and $\tau_A^{-1}(N)$ are not isomorphic as M and N are non-injective non-isomorphic by lemma 3.7. We have $[M] = [N] - [\text{Cok } g]$ and $[\tau_A^{-1}(M)] = [\text{Ker } g'] + [\tau_A^{-1}(N)]$. Applying the τ -map Φ to the latter equality yields $[M] = \Phi[\text{Ker } g'] + [N]$. This yields $\Phi[\text{Ker } g'] = -[\text{Cok } g]$. Hence, $\text{Ker } g'$ has a projective direct summand and a simple projective module in its composition series, by lemma 3.6. By the sequence (3.3), using that $\nu_A^{-1}(I_1'')$ is indecomposable, we obtain $\text{Ker } g' \cong \nu_A^{-1}(I_1'')$ as $\text{Ker } g'$ has a projective direct summand. Since $\text{Ker } g'$ has a simple projective module in its composition series $\nu_A^{-1}(I_1'')$ does so, too. As I_1'' was an arbitrary indecomposable direct summand of I_1 the lemma is complete. \square

If we have simple injective modules, then remark 3.5 and corollary 3.3 allow us to study the τ -map on A by studying a τ -map on an idempotent subalgebra. Therefore, the following lemma and remark are useful as they allow us to further simplify even if no simple injective modules are present:

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose A has a τ -map Φ and there are no simple injective modules in $\text{mod } A$. Then Φ has an inverse Φ' , which is a τ^{-1} -map for A .*

Proof. Let Φ be a τ -map for A . Since $\text{mod } A$ has no injective simple modules and since $\mathbf{K}_0(\text{mod } A)$ is free on the simple A -modules, we can define a \mathbb{Z} -linear morphism $\Phi': \mathbf{K}_0(\text{mod } A) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_0(\text{mod } A)$ through $\Phi'[S] = [\tau_A^{-1}(S)]$ for $S \in \text{mod } A$ simple. Further, since $\tau_A^{-1}(S)$ is not projective for $S \in \text{mod } A$ simple and because Φ is a τ -map, we have $\Phi\Phi'([S]) = [S]$ for $S \in \text{mod } A$ simple. This means that Φ' is a right inverse for Φ , since $\mathbf{K}_0(\text{mod } A)$ is the free abelian group on the simple A -modules. Notice that $\text{End}(\mathbf{K}_0(\text{mod } A))$ is a subring of a matrix ring over \mathbb{Q} and hence Φ and Φ' are inverse to each other by [Ser02, proposition 2.2.1]. This means that Φ' is an inverse to Φ .

We claim that $\Phi'[M] = [\tau_A^{-1}M]$ for $M \in \text{mod } A$ non-injective indecomposable. Indeed if $M \in \text{mod } A$ is non-injective indecomposable, then $\tau_A^{-1}M$ cannot be projective and hence $\Phi[\tau_A^{-1}M] = [\tau_A \tau_A^{-1}M] = [M]$, as Φ is a τ -map. Applying Φ' to both sides we conclude that $[\tau_A^{-1}M] = \Phi'[M]$ holds. \square

Remark 3.10. Notice, we have $D\tau_{A^{\text{op}}}D(-) = \tau_A^{-1}(-)$. Since the \mathbb{k} -dualities are exact this implies that A has a τ^{-1} -map $\Phi' := K_0(D)\Phi K_0(D)$ iff A^{op} has a τ -map Φ . Hence lemma 3.9 shows that if A has a τ -map and no injective simple modules, then A^{op} has a τ -map, as well.

4. MAIN RESULTS

4.1. On algebras with connected and non-acyclic Ext^1 -quiver admitting a τ -map.

In this section we want to prove our main result, a classification of finite dimensional \mathbb{k} -algebras with connected and non-acyclic Ext^1 -quiver admitting a τ -map:

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose A has a connected and non-acyclic Ext^1 -quiver. Then A has a τ -map iff A is a Nakayama algebra.*

The proof of theorem 4.1 will be split up: In theorem 4.6 we will show that all algebras with a connected and non-acyclic Ext^1 -quiver admitting a τ -map have to be Nakayama algebras and in proposition 4.7 we will show that all Nakayama algebras admit a τ -map. Using remark 2.2 we can reformulate this into the following result for quotients of path algebras:

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose $A = \mathbb{k}Q/I$, where Q is a connected and non-acyclic quiver, $I \triangleleft \mathbb{k}Q$ is an admissible ideal and \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed. Then A has a τ -map iff A is a cyclic Nakayama Algebra.*

The key to theorem 4.1 lies in lemma 4.3 and lemma 4.4:

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose Q is the Ext^1 -quiver of A and that A admits a τ -map Φ . If Q has no source and no sink vertices then A is a Nakayama algebra.*

Proof. Since there are no source and sink vertices in Q there are no simple injective and no simple projective modules in $\text{mod } A$, by remark 2.11. Then A has a τ^{-1} -map Φ' which is the inverse of Φ by lemma 3.9. Suppose S_1, \dots, S_n are the simple A -modules. Fix the basis $[S_1], \dots, [S_n]$ of $K_0(\text{mod } A)$. Then the matrix representation of Φ has the dimension vectors of $\tau_A S_1, \dots, \tau_A S_n$ as columns and the matrix representation of Φ' has the dimension vectors of $\tau_A^{-1} S_1, \dots, \tau_A^{-1} S_n$ as columns. But then by lemma 2.15 the maps Φ and Φ' permute the standard basis vectors. Hence, τ_A permutes the simple A -modules and A is a Nakayama algebra by theorem 2.12. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose Q is the Ext^1 -quiver of A . Assume*

- (1) *the quiver Q is connected,*
- (2) *there is a source vertex S_e in Q and*
- (3) *the full subquiver Q' obtained from Q by removing S_e and the arrows incident to S_e is a disjoint union $Q' = Z \sqcup Q''$ where Z is isomorphic to C_n for some $n \geq 1$ and Q'' is an arbitrary (possibly empty) quiver.*

Then A does not admit a τ -map.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that A admits a τ -map Φ . As Q is connected, there must be an arrow in Q starting at S_e and ending at $S \in Z$. Because Z is isomorphic to an oriented cycle, there must be a vertex $S'' \in Z$ with an arrow $S'' \rightarrow S$ in Q . We have $\text{Ext}^1(S_e, S) \neq 0$ and $\text{Ext}^1(S'', S) \neq 0$. Let $0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I_1$ be an augmented minimal injective copresentation of S . Let I_e be the injective envelope of S_e and I'' the injective envelope of S'' . By the dual of remark 2.7 the injective modules I_e and I'' are direct

summands of I_1 . In particular, I_1 is decomposable. By lemma 3.8 the projective module $\nu_A^{-1}(I'')$ must have a projective simple module as composition factor.

But $\nu_A^{-1}(I'')$ is the projective cover of S'' . As there is no path in Q from S'' to any $S' \notin Z$ we obtain, by lemma 2.9, that all composition factors of $\nu_A^{-1}(I'')$ lie in the cycle Z and are hence non-projective by remark 2.11. This is a contradiction \square

Remark 4.5. Suppose the Ext^1 -quiver Q of a Nakayama algebra A has an oriented cycle and is connected. For a fixed simple A -module S there exists at most one other simple A -module S' with $\text{Ext}^1(S, S') \neq 0$ since otherwise the projective cover of S would not be uniserial. Dually, there exists at most one other simple A -module S'' with $\text{Ext}^1(S'', S) \neq 0$. Hence in each vertex of Q there starts and ends at most one arrow and because Q is connected it must already be isomorphic to its oriented cycle.

Theorem 4.6. *Suppose A admits a τ -map. If the Ext^1 -quiver Q of A is connected and has a subquiver isomorphic to C_n for some $n \geq 1$ then A is a Nakayama algebra.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary: Let A be a counterexample with a minimal number of simple modules. If A had no injective and no projective simple modules, then A would be a Nakayama algebra by remark 2.11 and lemma 4.3. Hence A has projective or injective simple modules. If A has no injective simple modules, then A^{op} is also not a Nakayama algebra, has a τ -map by remark 3.10 and injective simple modules. Therefore, we can assume that our minimal counterexample has an injective simple module $S_e = D(Ae)$. The idempotent subalgebra Γ_e has a τ -map by remark 3.5 and corollary 3.3 and we have $(1-e)Ae = 0$ by remark 3.5. Let Q' be the Ext^1 -quiver of Γ_e . Then Q' contains an oriented cycle as Q contained an oriented cycle and Q' is obtained from Q by removing a source vertex and the arrows incident to it using lemma 2.8.

Suppose that $Q' = Z \sqcup Q''$ were the decomposition of Q' , where Z is a connected component of Q' containing an oriented cycle and Q'' is an arbitrary (possibly empty) quiver. The decomposition of Q' induces a decomposition $\Gamma_e = \Gamma_Z \times \Gamma_{Q''}$ as an algebra by remark 2.10. But then Γ_Z admits a τ -map as a direct summand of Γ_e using corollary 3.4, has an oriented cycle in its Ext^1 -quiver and has fewer simple modules than A . Hence, Γ_Z must be a Nakayama algebra using minimality of A . Then Z must be isomorphic to an oriented cycle C_m for some $m \geq 1$ by remark 4.5. Applying lemma 4.4 yields that A does not admit a τ -map, a contradiction to the assumption. \square

Finally, we need to show that every Nakayama algebra admits a τ -map:

Proposition 4.7. *Suppose A is a Nakayama algebra and $x_S \in K_0(\text{mod } A)$ for $S \in \text{mod } A$ simple and not projective. Then the unique endomorphism $\Phi \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(K_0(\text{mod } A))$ with*

$$\Phi[S] = \begin{cases} [\tau S] & \text{for } S \in \text{mod } A \text{ simple and non-projective,} \\ x_S & \text{for } S \in \text{mod } A \text{ simple and projective,} \end{cases}$$

is a τ -map for A .

Proof. Every indecomposable module in $\text{mod } A$ is of the form $P/\text{rad}^l P$ for $P \in \text{indproj } A$ and $l \geq 1$ by [ARS95, theorem VI.2.1]. In particular, all indecomposable A -modules are uniserial. Further, $\tau_A(P/\text{rad}^l P) = \text{rad } P/\text{rad}^{l+1} P$ for all $P \in \text{indproj } A$ and $l \geq 1$ such that $P/\text{rad}^l P$ is non-projective using [ARS95, proposition IV.2.6(c)]

We claim by induction on $l \in \mathbb{N}$ that $[\tau_A(P/\text{rad}^l P)] = \Phi[P/\text{rad}^l P]$ for all $P \in \text{indproj } A$ whenever $P/\text{rad}^l P$ is not projective. For $l = 1$ this is clear by definition of Φ . For $l \geq 2$ we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{rad } P/\text{rad}^l P \rightarrow P/\text{rad}^l P \rightarrow P/\text{rad } P \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.4)$$

where $\text{rad } P / \text{rad}^l P = P' / \text{rad}^{l'} P' \in \text{ind } A$ for some $P' \in \text{indproj } A$ and $l' \geq 1$. This shows that $\text{rad } P$ is a quotient of P' using P' being projective and Nakayama's lemma.

We show that $\text{rad } P / \text{rad}^l P$ is not projective: The epimorphism $\text{rad } P \rightarrow \text{rad } P / \text{rad}^l P$ cannot split unless $\text{rad}^l P = 0$ by Nakayama's lemma since $\text{rad}^l P$ is in the radical of $\text{rad } P$. Since $P / \text{rad}^l P$ is not projective $\text{rad}^l P$ does not vanish, hence, $\text{rad } P / \text{rad}^l P$ is not projective and $\text{rad}^{l'} P' \neq 0$.

Now the Loewy length of $\text{rad } P / \text{rad}^l P = P' / \text{rad}^{l'} P'$ is $l' = l - 1$. Hence, we can calculate the Auslander–Reiten translates of the modules in (4.4) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_A(P / \text{rad } P) &= \text{rad } P / \text{rad}^2 P \cong P' / \text{rad } P', \\ \tau_A(P / \text{rad}^l P) &= \text{rad } P / \text{rad}^{l+1} P \cong P' / \text{rad}^l P' \text{ and} \\ \tau_A(\text{rad } P / \text{rad}^l P) &= \text{rad } P' / \text{rad}^l P'\end{aligned}$$

by comparing Loewy lengths and using that $\text{rad } P$ is a quotient of P' . But those Auslander–Reiten translates also fit into a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{rad } P' / \text{rad}^l P' \rightarrow P' / \text{rad}^l P' \rightarrow P' / \text{rad } P' \rightarrow 0$$

which shows

$$\begin{aligned}[\tau_A(P / \text{rad}^l P)] &= [\tau_A(\text{rad } P / \text{rad}^l P)] + [\tau_A(P / \text{rad } P)] \\ &= \Phi[\text{rad } P / \text{rad}^l P] + \Phi[P / \text{rad } P] \\ &= \Phi([\text{rad } P / \text{rad}^l P] + [P / \text{rad } P]) \\ &= \Phi[P / \text{rad}^l P]\end{aligned}$$

and hence completes the induction step. \square

Acknowledgment. Mange tak til Peter Jørgensen for hans tålmodighed, rettelser og råd. Thanks also to Steffen König for his suggestions and pointing out theorem 2.12 to me.

This work has been financially supported by the Aarhus University Research Foundation (grant no. AUFF-F-2020-7-16).

REFERENCES

- [AF92] Frank W. Anderson and Kent R. Fuller. *Rings and Categories of Modules*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2nd edition, 1992.
- [ARS95] Maurice Auslander, Idun Reiten, and Sverre O. Smalø. *Representation theory of Artin algebras*. Number 36 in Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge; New York, USA, 1995.
- [ASS06] Ibrahim Assem, Daniel Simson, and Andrzej Skowronski. *Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras. Volume 1, Techniques of Representation Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [Hap88] Dieter Happel. *Triangulated Categories in the Representation of Finite Dimensional Algebras*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 119. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [IP16] Colin Ingalls and Charles Paquette. Homological dimensions for co-rank one idempotent subalgebras. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 369(8):5317–5340, 2016.
- [Psa14] Chrysostomos Psaroudakis. Homological theory of recollements of abelian categories. *Journal of Algebra*, 398:63–110, 2014.
- [RVdB02] I. Reiten and M. Van den Bergh. Noetherian hereditary abelian categories satisfying Serre duality. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 15(2):295–366, 2002.
- [Ser02] D. Serre. *Matrices: theory and applications*. Number 216 in Graduate Texts in mathematics. Springer, New York, 2002.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AARHUS UNIVERSITY, 8000 AARHUS C, DENMARK
Email address: `carlo.klapproth@math.au.dk`