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#### Abstract

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected simple graph of order $p$ and size $q$. A graph $G$ is called local antimagic (total) if $G$ admits a local antimagic (total) labeling. A bijection $g: E \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, q\}$ is called a local antimagic labeling of $G$ if for any two adjacent vertices $u$ and $v$, we have $g^{+}(u) \neq g^{+}(v)$, where $g^{+}(u)=\sum_{e \in E(u)} g(e)$, and $E(u)$ is the set of edges incident to $u$. Similarly, a bijection $f: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, p+q\}$ is called a local antimagic total labeling of $G$ if for any two adjacent vertices $u$ and $v$, we have $w_{f}(u) \neq w_{f}(v)$, where $w_{f}(u)=f(u)+\sum_{e \in E(u)} f(e)$. Thus, any local antimagic (total) labeling induces a proper vertex coloring of $G$ if vertex $v$ is assigned the color $g^{+}(v)$ (respectively, $w_{f}(u)$ ). The local antimagic (total) chromatic number, denoted $\chi_{l a}(G)$ (respectively $\chi_{\text {lat }}(G)$ ), is the minimum number of induced colors taken over local antimagic (total) labeling of $G$. In this paper, we determined $\chi_{l a t}(G)$ where $G$ is the amalgamation of complete graphs.


Keywords: Local antimagic (total) chromatic number, Amalgamation, Complete graphs
2010 AMS Subject Classifications: 05C78; 05C15.

## 1 Introduction

Consider a $(p, q)$-graph $G=(V, E)$ of order $p$ and size $q$. In this paper, all graphs are simple. For positive integers $a<b$, let $[a, b]=\{a, a+1, \ldots, b\}$. Let $g: E(G) \rightarrow[1, q]$ be a bijective edge labeling that induces a vertex labeling $g^{+}: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $g^{+}(v)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)} g(u v)$. We say $g$ is a local antimagic labeling of $G$ if $g^{+}(u) \neq g^{+}(v)$ for each $u v \in E(G)[1,2]$. The number of distinct colors induced by $g$ is called the color number of $g$ and is denoted by $c(g)$. The number

$$
\chi_{l a}(G)=\min \{c(g) \mid g \text { is a local antimagic labeling of } G\}
$$

is called the local antimagic chromatic number of $G$ [1]. Clearly, $\chi_{l a}(G) \geq \chi(G)$.
Let $f: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow[1, p+q]$ be a bijective total labeling that induces a vertex labeling $w_{f}: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, where

$$
w_{f}(u)=f(u)+\sum_{u v \in E(G)} f(u v)
$$

and is called the weight of $u$ for each vertex $u \in V(G)$. We say $f$ is a local antimagic total labeling of $G$ (and $G$ is local antimagic total) if $w_{f}(u) \neq w_{f}(v)$ for each $u v \in E(G)$. Clearly, $w_{f}$ corresponds to a proper vertex coloring of $G$ if each vertex $v$ is assigned the color $w_{f}(v)$. If no ambiguity, we shall drop the subscript $f$. Let $w(f)$ be the number of distinct vertex weights induced by $f$. The number

$$
\min \{w(f) \mid f \text { is a local antimagic total labeling of } G\}
$$

is called the local antimagic total chromatic number of $G$, denoted $\chi_{l a t}(G)$. Clearly, $\chi_{l a t}(G) \geq \chi(G)$. It is well known that determining the chromatic number of a graph $G$ is NP-hard [14]. Thus, in general, it is also very difficult to determine $\chi_{l a}(G)$ and $\chi_{l a t}(G)$.

[^0]For a graph $G$, the graph $H=G \vee K_{1}$ is obtained from $G$ by joining a new vertex to every vertex of $G$. We refer to [3] for notation not defined in this paper.
In [5] the author proved that every connected graph of order at least 3 is local antimagic. In [8, the authors proved that every graph is local antimagic total. We shall need the following theorem in [8].

Theorem 1.1: Let $G$ be a graph of order $p \geq 2$ and size $q$ with $V(G)=\left\{v_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq p\right\}$.
(a) $\chi(G) \leq \chi_{l a t}(G) \leq \chi_{l a}\left(G \vee K_{1}\right)-1$.
(b) Suppose $\chi_{\text {lat }}(G)=\chi\left(G \vee K_{1}\right)-1$ with a corresponding local antimagic total labeling $f$ of $G$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{p} f\left(v_{i}\right) \neq w_{f}\left(v_{j}\right), 1 \leq j \leq p$, then $\chi_{l a}\left(G \vee K_{1}\right)=\chi\left(G \vee K_{1}\right)$.

For $m \geq 2$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$, let $G_{i}$ be a simple graph with an induced subgraph $H$. An amalgamation of $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{m}$ over $H$ is the simple graph obtained by identifying the vertices of $H$ of each $G_{i}$ so that the new obtained graph contains a subgraph $H$ induced by the identified vertices. Suppose $G$ is a graph with a proper subgraph $K_{r}, r \geq 1$. Let $A\left(m G, K_{r}\right)$ be the amalgamation of $m \geq 2$ copies of $G$ over $K_{r}$. Note that there may be many non-isomorphic $A\left(m G, K_{r}\right)$ graphs. For example, $A\left(2 P_{3}, K_{2}\right)$ may be either $K_{1,3}$ or $P_{4}$. When $r=1$, the graph is also known as one-point union of graphs. Note that $A\left(m K_{2}, K_{1}\right) \cong K_{1, m}$ and $A\left(m K_{3}, K_{1}\right)$ is the friendship graph $f_{m}, m \geq 2$. In [9, Theorem 2.4], the authors completely determined $\chi_{l a}\left(A\left(m C_{n}, K_{1}\right)\right)$ for $m \geq 2, n \geq 3$ where $2 \leq \chi\left(A\left(m C_{n}, K_{1}\right)\right) \leq 3$. Motivated by this, in this paper, we determine the $\chi_{l a t}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right)\right)$ and $\chi_{l a}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right) \vee K_{1}\right)$ where $\chi\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right)\right)=n$ for $m \geq 1, n \geq 2, r \geq 0$. Sharp upper bounds on $\chi_{l a t}\left(m K_{n}\right)$ are also obtained for odd $n \geq 3$.

## 2 Amalgamations of Complete Graphs

Let $f$ be a total labeling of a simple $(p, q)$-graph $G$. Let $V(G)=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{p}\right\}$. We define a total labeling matrix which is similar to the labeling matrix of an edge labeling introduced in [13].

Suppose $f: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow S$ is a mapping, where $S$ is a set of labels. A total labeling matrix $M$ of $f$ for $G$ is a $p \times p$ symmetric matrix in which the $(i, i)$-entry of $M$ is $f\left(u_{i}\right)$; the $(i, j)$-entry of $M$ is $f\left(u_{i} u_{j}\right)$ if $u_{i} u_{j} \in E$ and is $*$ otherwise. If $f$ is a local antimagic total labeling of $G$, then a total labeling matrix of $f$ is called a local antimagic total labeling matrix of $G$. Clearly the $i$-th row sum (and $i$-th column sum) is $w_{f}\left(u_{i}\right)$, where $*$ 's are treated as zero. Thus the condition of a total labeling matrix being a local antimagic total labeling matrix is the $i$-th row sum different from the $j$-th row sum when $u_{i} u_{j} \in E$.
For $m \geq 2$ and $n>r \geq 1$, let $V\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right)\right)=\left\{v_{i, j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}$ and $E\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right)\right)=$ $\left\{v_{i, j} v_{i, k} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j<k \leq n\right\}$, where $v_{1, j}=\cdots=v_{m, j}$ for each $n-r+1 \leq j \leq n$. For convenience, let $u_{j}=v_{1, j}$ for $n-r+1 \leq j \leq n$. Note that $A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right) \equiv m K_{n-r} \vee K_{r}$. We first list the vertices of the $m$ copies of $K_{n-r}$ in lexicographic order followed by $u_{n-r+1}, \ldots, u_{n}$. Now let us show the structure of a total labeling matrix $M$ of the graph $A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right)$ under this list of vertices as a block matrix. Namely,

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
L_{1} & \star & \star & \cdots & \cdots & \star & B_{1}  \tag{2.1}\\
\star & L_{2} & \star & \ddots & \cdots & \star & B_{2} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\star & \cdots & \star & L_{i} & \ddots & \star & B_{i} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\star & \star & \star & \cdots & \star & L_{m} & B_{m} \\
B_{1}^{T} & B_{2}^{T} & \cdots & B_{i}^{T} & \cdots & B_{m}^{T} & A
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $L_{i}$ is an $(n-r) \times(n-r)$ symmetric matrix, $B_{i}$ is an $(n-r) \times r$ matrix, $1 \leq i \leq m$, and $A$ is an $r \times r$ symmetric matrix. Here $\star$ denotes an $(n-r) \times(n-r)$ matrix whose entries are $*$ 's. Thus, the
corresponding total labeling matrix of the $i$-th $K_{n}$ is

$$
M_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L_{i} & B_{i}  \tag{2.2}\\
B_{i}^{T} & A
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now, a local antimagic total labeling for the graph $A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right)$ is obtained if we use the integers in $[1, N]$ for all entries of the upper triangular part of all $L_{i}$ 's and $A$, and all entries of all $B_{i}$ 's such that the row sums of each matrix $M_{i}$ are distinct, where $N=\frac{m n(n+1)}{2}-\frac{(m-1) r(r+1)}{2}$. We may extend the case to $r=0$. We let $A\left(m K_{n}, K_{0}\right)=m K_{n}$ by convention. For this case, all of $B_{i}$ 's and $A$ in (2.1) and (2.2) do not exist.
For a given matrix $B$, we shall use $\mathcal{R}_{i}(B)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{j}(B)$ to denote the $i$-th row sum and the $j$-th column sum of $B$, respectively. Also we shall use $(B)$ to denote the sum of the main diagonal of $B$ if $B$ is a square matrix. Suppose $S$ is a finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $S^{-}$and $S^{+}$be a decreasing sequence and an increasing sequence of $S$, respectively.

We shall keep the notation defined above in this section.
Lemma 2.1: Suppose $m \leq n$. Let $M=\left(m_{j, k}\right)$ be an $m \times n$ matrix with the following properties:
(a) $m_{k, j}=m_{j, k}$ for all $j, k$, where $1 \leq j<k \leq m$;
(b) $m_{j, j}<m_{k, k}$ if $1 \leq j<k \leq m$;
(c) for $j_{1}<k_{1}$ and $j_{2}<k_{2}$, $\left(j_{1}, k_{1}\right)<\left(j_{2}, k_{2}\right)$ in lexicographic order implies that $m_{j_{1}, k_{1}}<m_{j_{2}, k_{2}}$. Then $\mathcal{R}_{j}(M)$ is a strictly increasing function of $j$.

Proof. $\quad \mathcal{R}_{j+1}(M)-\mathcal{R}_{j}(M)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(m_{j+1, k}-m_{j, k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{j-1}\left(m_{j+1, k}-m_{j, k}\right)+\left(m_{j+1, j}-m_{j, j}+m_{j+1, j+1}-m_{j, j+1}\right)+\sum_{k=j+2}^{n}\left(m_{j+1, k}-m_{j, k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{j-1}\left(m_{k, j+1}-m_{k, j}\right)+\left(m_{j+1, j+1}-m_{j, j}\right)+\sum_{k=j+2}^{n}\left(m_{j+1, k}-m_{j, k}\right)>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the empty sum is treated as 0 . This completes the proof.
Lemma 2.2: For positive integers $t$ and $m$, let $S(a)=[m(a-1)+1, m a], 1 \leq a \leq t$. We have:
(i) $\{S(a) \mid 1 \leq a \leq t\}$ is a partition of $[1, m t]$.
(ii) If $a<b$, then every term of $S(a)$ is less than every term of $S(b)$.
(iii) For any $1 \leq a, b \leq t$, the sum of the $i$-th term of $S^{+}(a)$ and that of $S^{-}(b)$ is independent of the choice of $i, 1 \leq i \leq m$.
(iv) For any $1 \leq a_{l}, b_{l} \leq t, \sum_{l=1}^{k}\left(i\right.$-th term of $\left.S^{+}\left(a_{l}\right)\right)+\sum_{l=1}^{k}\left(i\right.$-th term of $\left.S^{-}\left(b_{l}\right)\right)$ is independent of the choice of $i, 1 \leq i \leq m$.

Proof. The first two parts are obvious. For (iii), the $i$-th terms of $S^{+}(a)$ and $S^{-}(b)$ are $m(a-1)+i$ and $m(b-1)+(m+1-i)$, respectively. So the sum is $m(a+b-1)+1$ which is independent of $i$. The last part follows from (iii).

Before providing results about $\chi_{l a t}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right)\right)$ for some $m, n, r$, we define a 'sign matrix' $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ for even $n$. Let $\mathcal{S}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}+1 & -1 \\ -1 & +1\end{array}\right)$ be a $2 \times 2$ matrix and $\mathcal{S}_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{S}_{2} & \mathcal{S}_{2} \\ \mathcal{S}_{2} & -\mathcal{S}_{2}\end{array}\right)$ be a $4 \times 4$ matrix. Let $\mathcal{S}_{4 k}$ be a $(4 k) \times(4 k)$ matrix given by the following block matrix, where $k \geq 2$ :

$$
\mathcal{S}_{4 k}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{S}_{4} & \cdots & \mathcal{S}_{4} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mathcal{S}_{4} & \cdots & \mathcal{S}_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_{4 k+2}$ be a $(4 k+2) \times(4 k+2)$ matrix as the following block matrix, where $k \geq 1$ :

$$
\mathcal{S}_{4 k+2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 
& & & \mathcal{S}_{2} \\
& \mathcal{S}_{4 k} & & \vdots \\
& & & \mathcal{S}_{2} \\
\hline \mathcal{S}_{2} & \cdots & \mathcal{S}_{2} & \mathcal{S}_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We shall keep these notation in this section.
Remark 2.1: It is easy to see that each row and column sum of $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ are zero. Moreover, the diagonal sum of $\mathcal{S}_{4 k}$ is zero.

Theorem 2.3: For $m \geq 2$, $n$ even and $n>r \geq 0$, $\chi_{\text {lat }}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right)\right)=n$.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the $(n-r) \times n$ matrix obtained from $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ by removing the last $r$ rows of $\mathcal{S}_{n}$. First, define an $(n-r) \times n$ matrix $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ in which $\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right)_{j, k}=\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right)_{k, j}$ for $1 \leq j<k \leq n-r$. Assign the increasing sequence $[1,(n-r)(n+r-1) / 2]$ in lexicographic order to the upper part of the off-diagonal entries of $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$, denoted $(j, k)$ if in row $j$ and column $k$ for $1 \leq j<k \leq n$. Next, assign $[(n-r)(n+r-1) / 2+$ $1,(n-r)(n+r-1) / 2+(n-r)]$ to the entries of the main diagonal of $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ in natural order.
Now, define an $(n-r) \times n$ 'guide matrix' $\mathcal{M}$ whose $(j, k)$-th entry is $(\mathcal{S})_{j, k}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right)_{j, k}, 1 \leq j \leq n-r$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$.
Stage 1: We shall assign labels to the upper triangular entries of $L_{i}$ 's and all the entries of $B_{i}$ 's. Note that if $r=0$, all of $B_{i}$ 's and $A$ do not exist. There are $N_{1}=(n-r)(n+r-1) / 2+(n-r)=\frac{(n+r+1)(n-r)}{2}$ entries needed to be filled for each $i$.
Now we shall use labels in $\left[1, m N_{1}\right]$ to fill in the $m$ submatrices $\left(L_{i} B_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq m$. We use the sequences $S(a)$ defined in Lemma 2.2, where $t=N_{1}$. The $(j, k)$-entry of $M_{i}$ is the $i$-th term of $S^{+}(a)$ or $S^{-}(a)$ if the corresponding $(j, k)$-entry of $\mathcal{M}$ is $+a$ or $-a$ respectively, where $1 \leq j \leq n-r$.

By Lemma 2.2 (iv), $\mathcal{R}_{j}\left(M_{i}\right)$ are the same for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq m$. In other words, $w\left(v_{i, j}\right)$ is a constant function for a fixed $j, 1 \leq j \leq n-r$.

Stage 2: Note that when $r=0$, the total labeling matrix $M$ does not have the last row and column of block matrices so that we only need to perform Stage 1 above. Thus, we now assume $r \neq 0$. Also note that all integers in $\left[1, m N_{1}\right]$ are used up in Stage 1. Use the increasing sequence $\left[m N_{1}+1, m N_{1}+r(r-1) / 2\right]$ in lexicographic order for the off-diagonal entries of $A$. Lastly, use $\left[m N_{1}+r(r-1) / 2+1, N\right]$ in natural order for the diagonals of $A$. The lower triangular part duplicates the upper triangular part.

Consider the matrix $M_{m}$. Clearly it satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.1. Hence $\mathcal{R}_{j}\left(M_{m}\right)$ is a strictly increasing function of $j, 1 \leq j \leq n$. Thus $w\left(v_{i, j}\right)=w\left(v_{m, j}\right)$ is a strictly increasing function of $j$, $1 \leq j \leq n-r$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

By the structure of $B_{i}$ and $A$, and by Lemma 2.2(i), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
w\left(u_{n-r+j}\right) & =\mathcal{R}_{j}(A)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{R}_{j}\left(B_{i}^{T}\right)=\mathcal{R}_{j}(A)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{C}_{j}\left(B_{i}\right) \\
& <\mathcal{R}_{j+1}(A)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{C}_{j+1}\left(B_{i}\right)=w\left(u_{n-r+j+1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $1 \leq j \leq r-1$.
Thus $\chi_{l a t}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right)\right)=n$ since $\chi\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right)\right)=n$.
Remark 2.2: Suppose $f$ is a local antimagic total labeling of a graph $G$ and $M$ is the corresponding total labeling matrix. From the proof of Theorem 1.1(b) we can see that, if ( $M$ ) does not equal to every row (also column) sum of $M$, then $f$ induces a local antimagic labeling of $G \vee K_{1}$. Moreover, $\chi\left(G \vee K_{1}\right) \leq \chi_{l a}\left(G \vee K_{1}\right) \leq \chi_{l a t}(G)+1$.

Corollary 2.4: For $m \geq 2$, $n$ even and $n>r \geq 0, \chi_{l a}\left(A\left(m K_{n+1}, K_{r+1}\right)\right)=\chi_{l a}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right) \vee K_{1}\right)=$ $n+1$.

Proof. Note that $A\left(m K_{n+1}, K_{r+1}\right) \cong A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right) \vee K_{1}$. Since $\chi_{l a}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right) \vee K_{1}\right) \geq \chi\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right) \vee\right.$ $\left.K_{1}\right)=n+1$, we only need to show $\chi_{l a}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right) \vee K_{1}\right) \leq n+1$. Keep the total labeling matrix $M$ of $A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right)$ in the proof of Theorem 2.3
Since each diagonal of $M$ is the largest entry in the corresponding column, ( $M$ ) is larger than each row sum of $M$. Thus, $(M)$ is greater than all vertex weights of $A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right)$. $\dot{\text { By }}$ Remark 2.2, we get that $\chi_{l a}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right) \vee K_{1}\right) \leq n+1$.

Example 2.1: We take $m=3, n=6$ and $r=0$.
The guide matrix is

$$
\mathcal{M}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrr}
+16 & -1 & +2 & -3 & +4 & -5 \\
-1 & +17 & -6 & +7 & -8 & +9 \\
+2 & -6 & -18 & +10 & +11 & -12 \\
-3 & +7 & +10 & -19 & -13 & +14 \\
+4 & -8 & +11 & -13 & +20 & -15 \\
-5 & +9 & -12 & +14 & -15 & +21
\end{array}\right)
$$

| $M_{1}$ | $v_{1,1}$ | $v_{1,2}$ | $v_{1,3}$ | $v_{1,4}$ | $v_{1,5}$ | $v_{1,6}$ | sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v_{1,1}$ | 46 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 15 | 87 |
| $v_{1,2}$ | 3 | 49 | 18 | 19 | 24 | 25 | 138 |
| $v_{1,3}$ | 4 | 18 | 54 | 28 | 31 | 36 | 171 |
| $v_{1,4}$ | 9 | 19 | 28 | 57 | 39 | 40 | 192 |
| $v_{1,5}$ | 10 | 24 | 31 | 39 | 58 | 45 | 207 |
| $v_{1,6}$ | 15 | 25 | 36 | 40 | 45 | 61 | 222 |


| $M_{2}$ | $v_{2,1}$ | $v_{2,2}$ | $v_{2,3}$ | $v_{2,4}$ | $v_{2,5}$ | $v_{2,6}$ | sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v_{2,1}$ | 47 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 14 | 87 |
| $v_{2,2}$ | 2 | 50 | 17 | 20 | 23 | 26 | 138 |
| $v_{2,3}$ | 5 | 17 | 53 | 29 | 32 | 35 | 171 |
| $v_{2,4}$ | 8 | 20 | 29 | 56 | 38 | 41 | 192 |
| $v_{2,5}$ | 11 | 23 | 32 | 38 | 59 | 44 | 207 |
| $v_{2,6}$ | 14 | 26 | 38 | 41 | 44 | 62 | 222 |


| $M_{3}$ | $v_{3,1}$ | $v_{3,2}$ | $v_{3,3}$ | $v_{3,4}$ | $v_{3,5}$ | $v_{3,6}$ | sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $v_{3,1}$ | 48 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 12 | 13 | 87 |
| $v_{3,2}$ | 1 | 51 | 16 | 21 | 22 | 27 | 138 |
| $v_{3,3}$ | 6 | 16 | 52 | 30 | 33 | 34 | 171 |
| $v_{3,4}$ | 7 | 21 | 30 | 55 | 37 | 42 | 192 |
| $v_{3,5}$ | 12 | 22 | 33 | 37 | 60 | 43 | 207 |
| $v_{3,6}$ | 13 | 27 | 34 | 42 | 43 | 63 | 222 |

The above matrices give $\chi_{l a t}\left(3 K_{6}\right)=6$. Let $v$ be the vertex of $K_{1}$. If the main diagonal labels are the edge labels of $3 K_{6} \vee K_{1}$ incident with $v$, then the induced label of $v$ is 981 . Thus, the matrices give $\chi_{l a}\left(3 K_{6} \vee K_{1}\right)=7$.
Deleting edge $v v_{3,6}$ of label 63 from $3 K_{6} \vee K_{1}$, we get a local antimagic labeling of ( $3 K_{6} \vee K_{1}$ ) - $v v_{3,6}$. Thus, by symmetry, $\chi_{l a}\left(\left(3 K_{6} \vee K_{1}\right)-e\right)=7$ for $e$ not belonging to any $K_{6}$.

Delete the edge $v_{3,1} v_{3,2}$ that has label 1 and reduce all other labels by 1 . We get $\chi_{l a t}\left(3 K_{6}-e\right)=6$ by symmetry for $e$ that belongs to any $K_{6}$. Now, if the main diagonal labels are the edge labels of $\left(3 K_{6}-e\right) \vee K_{1}$ incident with $v$, then we have $\left.\chi_{l a}\left(3 K_{6} \vee K_{1}\right)-e\right)=7$ for $e$ that belongs to any $K_{6}$.

Example 2.2: We take $m=3, n=6$ and $r=1$. The guide matrix is obtained from the guide matrix of Example 2.1 by deleting the last row. So we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc|c||c}
46 & 3 & 4 & 9 & 10 & 15 & 87 \\
3 & 49 & 18 & 19 & 24 & 25 & 138 \\
4 & 18 & 54 & 28 & 31 & 36 & 171 \\
9 & 19 & 28 & 57 & 39 & 40 & 192 \\
10 & 24 & 31 & 39 & 58 & 45 & 207 \\
\hline 15 & 25 & 36 & 40 & 45 & 61 & 222
\end{array}\right), \quad M_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc|c|c}
47 & 2 & 5 & 8 & 11 & 14 & 87 \\
2 & 50 & 17 & 20 & 23 & 26 & 138 \\
5 & 17 & 53 & 29 & 32 & 35 & 171 \\
8 & 20 & 29 & 56 & 38 & 41 & 192 \\
11 & 23 & 32 & 38 & 59 & 44 & 207 \\
\hline 14 & 26 & 38 & 41 & 44 & 61 & 221
\end{array}\right), \\
& M_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc|c||c}
48 & 1 & 6 & 7 & 12 & 13 & 87 \\
1 & 51 & 16 & 21 & 22 & 27 & 138 \\
6 & 16 & 52 & 30 & 33 & 34 & 171 \\
7 & 21 & 30 & 55 & 37 & 42 & 192 \\
12 & 22 & 33 & 37 & 60 & 43 & 207 \\
\hline 13 & 27 & 34 & 42 & 43 & 61 & 220
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last column of each matrix is the corresponding row sum. Now $w\left(u_{6}\right)=222+221+220-2 \times 61=541$. Hence $\chi_{l a t}\left(A\left(3 K_{6}, K_{1}\right)\right)=6$. Since $(M)=856, \chi_{l a}\left(A\left(3 K_{6}, K_{1}\right) \vee K_{1}\right)=7$.

Corollary 2.5: For $m \geq 2$, $\chi_{l a}\left(m K_{4 k+1}\right)=4 k+1$.
Proof. Consider the total labeling matrix of $m K_{4 k}$ defined in the proof of Theorem 2.3. For each matrix $M_{i}=L_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, we add the $(n+1)$-st extra column at the right of $M_{i}$ with entry $*$. For each row of this matrix, swap the diagonal entry with the entry of the $(n+1)$-st column. Add the $(n+1)$-st extra row to this matrix and let the $(n+1, n+1)$-entry be $*$ and then make the resulting matrix $Q_{i}$ to be symmetric. Then $Q_{i}$ is a labeling matrix of the $i$-th copy of $K_{4 k+1}$.
By Remark 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 (iv), all the diagonal sums of $M_{i}$ 's are the same, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus the $j$-th row sum of $Q_{i}$ is independent of $i, 1 \leq j \leq n+1$. Hence we have $\chi_{l a}\left(m K_{4 k+1}\right) \leq 4 k+1$. Since $\chi\left(m K_{4 k+1}\right)=4 k+1, \chi_{l a}\left(m K_{4 k+1}\right)=4 k+1$.

Example 2.3: We take $m=3, n=4$. So

$$
\mathcal{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
+7 & -1 & +2 & -3 \\
-1 & +8 & -4 & +5 \\
+2 & -4 & -9 & +6 \\
-3 & +5 & +6 & -10
\end{array}\right)
$$

and
$M_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc||c}19 & 3 & 4 & 9 & 35 \\ 3 & 22 & 12 & 13 & 50 \\ 4 & 12 & 27 & 16 & 59 \\ 9 & 13 & 16 & 30 & 68\end{array}\right), M_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc||c}20 & 2 & 5 & 8 & 35 \\ 2 & 23 & 11 & 14 & 50 \\ 5 & 11 & 26 & 17 & 59 \\ 8 & 14 & 17 & 29 & 68\end{array}\right), M_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc||c}21 & 1 & 6 & 7 & 35 \\ 1 & 24 & 10 & 15 & 50 \\ 6 & 10 & 25 & 18 & 59 \\ 7 & 15 & 18 & 28 & 68\end{array}\right)$
Thus $\chi_{l a t}\left(3 K_{4}\right)=4$.

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{1} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccccc||c}
* & 3 & 4 & 9 & 19 & 35 \\
3 & * & 12 & 13 & 22 & 50 \\
4 & 12 & * & 16 & 27 & 59 \\
9 & 13 & 16 & * & 30 & 68 \\
19 & 22 & 27 & 30 & * & 98
\end{array}\right), Q_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc||c}
* & 2 & 5 & 8 & 20 & 35 \\
2 & * & 11 & 14 & 23 & 50 \\
5 & 11 & * & 17 & 26 & 59 \\
8 & 14 & 17 & * & 29 & 68 \\
20 & 23 & 26 & 29 & * & 98
\end{array}\right), \\
Q_{3} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccccc||c}
* & 1 & 6 & 7 & 21 & 35 \\
1 & * & 10 & 15 & 24 & 50 \\
6 & 10 & * & 18 & 25 & 59 \\
7 & 15 & 18 & * & 28 & 68 \\
21 & 24 & 25 & 28 & * & 98
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\chi_{l a}\left(3 K_{5}\right)=5$.

Theorem 2.6: For $m \geq 2$, odd $n \geq 3$ and $n>r \geq 3$, $\chi_{l a t}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right)\right)=n$.
Proof. Suppose $r$ is odd so that $n-r$ is even.
Stage 1: Using the same approach of the proof of Theorem 2.3, we construct an $(n-r) \times(n-r)$ guide matrix $\mathcal{M}$.

Similar to the proof of Theorem [2.3, we use the guide matrix $\mathcal{M}$ for all entries of $L_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, using labels in $\left[1, m N_{2}\right]$, where $N_{2}=(n-r)(n-r+1) / 2$. Thus, $\mathcal{R}_{j}\left(L_{i}\right)$ is a function only depending on $j$ and is strictly increasing, for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-r$.

Stage 2: Use $\left[m N_{2}+1, m N_{2}+(m(n-r)+1) r\right]$ to form an $(m(n-r)+1) \times r$ magic rectangle $\Omega$. Note that the existence of this magic rectangle is referred to in [4]. Let

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
B_{1} \\
B_{2} \\
\vdots \\
B_{m} \\
\alpha
\end{array}\right)=\Omega
$$

where $\alpha=\left(A_{1,1}, A_{2,2}, \ldots, A_{r, r}\right)$. Now, for a fixed $i, w\left(v_{i, j}\right)=\mathcal{R}_{j}\left(L_{i}\right)+\mathcal{R}_{j}\left(B_{i}\right)$. So $w\left(v_{i, j}\right)$ is a function only depending on $j$ and is strictly increasing, for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-r$.

Stage 3: Use the increasing sequence $\left[m N_{2}+(m(n-r)+1) r+1, N\right]$ in lexicographic order for the remaining entries of the upper triangular part of $A$. For $1 \leq j \leq r$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
w\left(u_{n-r+j}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{R}_{j}\left(B_{i}^{T}\right)+\mathcal{R}_{j}(A)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{C}_{j}\left(B_{i}\right)+A_{j, j}+\sum_{\substack{l=1 \\
l \neq j}}^{r} A_{j, l} \\
& =\mathcal{C}_{j}(\Omega)+\sum_{l=1}^{j-1} A_{j, l}+A_{j, j+1}+\sum_{l=j+2}^{r} A_{j, l} \\
& <\mathcal{C}_{j}(\Omega)+\sum_{l=1}^{j-1} A_{j+1, l}+A_{j+1, j}+\sum_{l=j+2}^{r} A_{j+1, l}
\end{aligned}
$$

(since $r \geq 3$, there is at least one non-empty sum)

$$
=\mathcal{C}_{j+1}(\Omega)+\sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq j+1}}^{r} A_{j+1, l}=w\left(u_{n-r+j+1}\right)
$$

So $w\left(u_{n-r+j}\right)$ is a strictly increasing function of $j$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
w\left(v_{m, n-r}\right) & =\mathcal{R}_{n-r}\left(L_{m}\right)+\mathcal{R}_{n-r}\left(B_{m}\right)=\mathcal{C}_{n-r}\left(L_{m}\right)+\mathcal{R}_{1}(\alpha) \quad \text { (since } \Omega \text { is a magic rectangle) } \\
& <\mathcal{C}_{1}\left(B_{m}\right)+\mathcal{R}_{1}(\alpha)<\mathcal{R}_{1}\left(B_{m}^{T}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{r} A_{1, k}<w\left(u_{n-r+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have $\chi_{l a t} A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right)=n$.
Suppose $r$ is even so that $n-r+1$ is even.
(a) Suppose $m$ is odd so that $m(n-r)$ and $r-1$ are odd and at least 3 .

Stage 1(a): We use a modification of the proof of Theorem 2.3. Firstly we use the $(n-r) \times(n-r+1)$ sign matrix $\mathcal{S}$. Next, we define an $(n-r) \times(n-r+1)$ matrix $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ by assigning the increasing sequence $[1,(n-r)(n-r+1) / 2]$ in lexicographic order to the off-diagonal entries of the upper triangular part of $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$. Now, assign $[(n-r)(n+r+1) / 2-(n-r-1),(n-r)(n+r+1) / 2]$ to the entries of the main diagonal of $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ in natural order. The $(n-r) \times(n-r+1)$ guide matrix $\mathcal{M}$ is defined the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Write $B_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\beta_{i} & X_{i}\end{array}\right)$, where $\beta_{i}$ and $X_{i}$ are $(n-r) \times 1$ and $(n-r) \times(r-1)$ matrices, respectively. Similar to Stage 1 of the odd $r$ case, for $\left(L_{i} \quad \beta_{i}\right)$ use the labels in $\left[1, m Z_{1}\right] \cup\left[m\left[Z_{2}-(n-r)\right]+1, m Z_{2}\right]$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, where $Z_{1}=(n-r)(n-r+1) / 2$ and $Z_{2}=Z_{1}+(n-r) r$.
Thus, $\mathcal{R}_{j}\left(L_{i} \quad \beta_{i}\right)$ is a function only depending on $j$ and is a strictly increasing function of $j$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-r$.

Stage 2(a): Use $\left[m Z_{1}+1, m\left[Z_{1}+(n-r)(r-1)\right]\right]$ to form an $m(n-r) \times(r-1)$ magic rectangle $\Omega$. Let

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
X_{1} \\
X_{2} \\
\vdots \\
X_{m}
\end{array}\right)=\Omega .
$$

Now, for a fixed $i, w\left(v_{i, j}\right)=\mathcal{R}_{j}\left(L_{i} \quad \beta_{i}\right)+\mathcal{R}_{j}\left(X_{i}\right)$. So $w\left(v_{i, j}\right)$ is a function only depending on $j$ and is a strictly increasing function of $j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-r$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Stage 3(a): Use the increasing sequence $\left[m Z_{2}+1, m Z_{2}+(r-1) r / 2\right]$ in lexicographic order for the off-diagonal entries of the upper triangular part of $A$ and then use $\left[m Z_{2}+(r-1) r / 2+1, N\right]$ in natural order for the diagonals of $A$. By Lemma 2.1, $\mathcal{R}_{j}(A)$ is a strictly increasing function of $j$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$.
Now, for $2 \leq j \leq r$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
w\left(u_{n-r+j}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r}\left(B_{i}^{T}\right)_{j, k}+\sum_{l=1}^{r} A_{j, l}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r}\left(B_{i}\right)_{k, j}+\sum_{l=1}^{r} A_{j, l} \\
& =\mathcal{C}_{j-1}(\Omega)+\mathcal{R}_{j}(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

So $w\left(u_{n-r+j}\right)$ is a strictly increasing function of $j$ for $2 \leq j \leq r$.
Next

$$
\begin{aligned}
w\left(u_{n-r+1}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r}\left(B_{i}^{T}\right)_{1, k}+\sum_{l=1}^{r} A_{1, l}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r}\left(B_{i}\right)_{k, 1}+\mathcal{R}_{1}(A) \\
& <\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r}\left(B_{i}\right)_{k, 2}+\mathcal{R}_{2}(A)=w\left(u_{n-r+2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
w\left(v_{m, n-r}\right) & =\mathcal{R}_{n-r}\left(L_{m}\right)+\mathcal{R}_{n-r}\left(B_{m}\right)=\mathcal{C}_{n-r}\left(L_{m}\right)+\mathcal{R}_{n-r}\left(B_{m}\right) \\
& <\mathcal{C}_{1}\left(B_{m}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{r} A_{1, k}=\mathcal{R}_{1}\left(B_{m}^{T}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{r} A_{1, k}<w\left(u_{n-r+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have $\chi_{l a t}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right)\right)=n$.
(b) Suppose $m$ is even so that $m(n-r)$ is even.

Stage 1(b): Similar to Stage 1 of the odd $r$ case we define an $(n-r) \times(n-r+1)$ guide matrix $\mathcal{M}$.

Write $B_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\beta_{i} & X_{i}\end{array}\right)$, where $\beta_{i}$ and $X_{i}$ are $(n-r) \times 1$ and $(n-r) \times(r-1)$ matrices, respectively. Similar to Stage 1 of the odd $r$ case, for ( $L_{i} \quad \beta_{i}$ ) use labels in [1, $\left.m N_{3}\right], 1 \leq i \leq m$, where $N_{3}=$ $(n-r+3)(n-r) / 2$. Thus, $\mathcal{R}_{j}\left(L_{i} \quad \beta_{i}\right)$ is a function only depending on $j$ and is a strictly increasing function of $j, 1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-r$.

Stage 2(b): $\quad\left[m N_{3}+2, m N_{3}+(m(n-r)+1)(r-1)+1\right]$ to form an $(m(n-r)+1) \times(r-1)$ magic rectangle $\Omega$. We will assign $m N_{3}+1$ to $A_{1,1}$ in the next stage.
Let

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
X_{1} \\
X_{2} \\
\vdots \\
X_{m} \\
\alpha
\end{array}\right)=\Omega,
$$

where $\alpha$ is a $1 \times(r-1)$ matrix.
Same as Stage 2(a), we have $w\left(v_{i, j}\right)$ is a function only depending on $j$ and is a strictly increasing function for $1 \leq j \leq n-r$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Stage 3(b): Let $A_{1,1}=m N_{3}+1$. Use the increasing sequence $\left[m N_{3}+(m(n-r)+1)(r-1)+2, N\right]$ in lexicographic order for the remaining entries of the upper triangular part of $A$.
By the same proof of Stage 3 of the odd $r$ case, we have $w\left(u_{n-r+j}\right)$ is a strictly increasing function of $j, 2 \leq j \leq r$. By a similar proof of Stage 3(a) we have $w\left(u_{n-r+1}\right)<w\left(u_{n-r+2}\right)$.
Now

$$
\begin{align*}
w\left(v_{m, n-r}\right) & =\mathcal{R}_{n-r}\left(L_{m}\right)+\mathcal{R}_{n-r}\left(B_{m}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n-r}\left(L_{m}\right)_{n-r, k}+\left(\beta_{m}\right)_{n-r, 1}+\sum_{k=1}^{r-1}\left(X_{m}\right)_{n-r, k} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{n-r-1}\left(L_{m}\right)_{k, n-r}+\left(L_{m}\right)_{n-r, n-r}+\left(\beta_{m}\right)_{n-r, 1}+\sum_{k=1}^{r-1}\left(X_{m}\right)_{n-r, k}  \tag{2.3}\\
& <\sum_{k=1}^{n-r-1}\left(\beta_{m}\right)_{k, 1}+A_{1,1}+\left(\beta_{m}\right)_{n-r, 1}+\sum_{k=2}^{r} A_{1, k}<w\left(u_{n-r+1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we have $\chi_{l a t}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right)\right)=n$.

Corollary 2.7: For $m \geq 2, n$ odd and $n>r \geq 3$, $\chi_{l a}\left(A\left(m K_{n+1}, K_{r+1}\right)\right)=\chi_{l a}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right) \vee K_{1}\right)=$ $n+1$.

Proof. Similar to Corollary 2.4, we only need to show that $\chi_{l a}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right) \vee K_{1}\right) \leq n+1$. Keep the construction in the proof of Theorem 2.6
(A) Suppose $r$ is odd so that $n-r \geq 2$ is even.

$$
\begin{aligned}
w\left(v_{m, n-r}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n-r}\left(L_{m}\right)_{n-r, i}+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(B_{m}\right)_{n-r, i} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n-r}\left(L_{m}\right)_{i, n-r}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} A_{i, i} \quad \text { (since } \Omega \text { is a magic rectangle) } \\
& <\sum_{i=1}^{n-r}\left(L_{m}\right)_{i, i}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} A_{i, i} \leq(M)
\end{aligned}
$$

Next

$$
\begin{aligned}
(M)= & \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n-r}\left(L_{i}\right)_{j, j}+A_{1,1}+\sum_{k=2}^{r} A_{k, k}<\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n-r}\left(B_{i}\right)_{j, 1}+A_{1,1}+\sum_{k=2}^{r} A_{k, k} \\
& <\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n-r}\left(B_{i}\right)_{j, 1}+A_{1,1}+\sum_{k=2}^{r} A_{1, k} \\
& =w\left(u_{n-r+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have $w\left(v_{m, n-r}\right)<(M)<w\left(u_{n-r+1}\right)$.
(B) Suppose $r$ is even and $m$ is odd. Since each diagonal of $M$ is the largest entry in the corresponding column, $(M)$ is larger than all the vertex weights.
(C) Suppose $r$ is even and $m$ is even.

$$
\begin{gathered}
(M)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n-r}\left(L_{i}\right)_{j, j}+\sum_{k=1}^{r} A_{k, k}<\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n-r}\left(X_{i}\right)_{j, 1}+\sum_{k=1}^{r} A_{2, k} \\
=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n-r}\left(X_{i}^{T}\right)_{1, j}+\sum_{k=1}^{r} A_{2, k}=w\left(u_{n-r+2}\right) \\
w\left(u_{n-r+1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r}\left(\beta_{i}\right)_{k, 1}+A_{1,1}+\sum_{k=2}^{r} A_{1, k} \\
\quad<\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r}\left(L_{i}\right)_{k, k}+A_{1,1}+\sum_{k=2}^{r} A_{1, k} \\
\quad<\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r}\left(L_{i}\right)_{k, k}+A_{1,1}+\sum_{k=2}^{r} A_{k, k}=(M)
\end{gathered}
$$

From Remark 2.2 we have $\chi_{l a}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right) \vee K_{1}\right) \leq n+1$.
Example 2.4: We take $m=2, n=7$ and $r=3$. The guide matrix is

$$
\mathcal{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
+7 & -1 & +2 & -3 \\
-1 & +8 & -4 & +5 \\
+2 & -4 & -9 & +6 \\
-3 & +9 & +6 & -10
\end{array}\right) ; \quad L_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
13 & 2 & 3 & 6 \\
2 & 15 & 8 & 9 \\
3 & 8 & 18 & 11 \\
6 & 9 & 11 & 20
\end{array}\right), \quad L_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
14 & 1 & 4 & 5 \\
1 & 16 & 7 & 10 \\
4 & 7 & 17 & 12 \\
5 & 10 & 12 & 19
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\Omega=\left(\begin{array}{c}
B_{1} \\
B_{2} \\
\alpha
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
25 & 30 & 47 \\
34 & 39 & 29 \\
36 & 44 & 22 \\
41 & 28 & 33 \\
43 & 21 & 38 \\
45 & 26 & 31 \\
23 & 37 & 42 \\
27 & 35 & 40 \\
\hline 32 & 46 & 24
\end{array}\right), \quad A=\left(\begin{array}{ll|cccc|cc|}
32 & 48 & 49 \\
48 & 46 & 50 \\
49 & 50 & 24
\end{array}\right) . \\
M=\left(\begin{array}{cccc|ccc|cc||cc|}
13 & 2 & 3 & 6 & * & * & * & * & 25 & 30 & 47 \\
2 & 15 & 8 & 9 & * & * & * & * & 34 & 39 & 29 \\
3 & 8 & 18 & 11 & * & * & * & * & 36 & 44 & 22 \\
6 & 9 & 11 & 20 & * & * & * & * & 41 & 28 & 33 \\
\hline & * & * & * & 14 & 1 & 4 & 5 & 43 & 21 & 38 \\
* & * & * & * & 1 & 16 & 7 & 10 & 45 & 26 & 31 \\
* & * & * & * & 4 & 7 & 17 & 12 & 23 & 37 & 42 \\
* & * & * & * & 5 & 10 & 12 & 19 & 27 & 35 & 40 \\
\hline 25 & 34 & 36 & 41 & 43 & 45 & 23 & 27 & 32 & 48 & 49 \\
30 & 39 & 44 & 28 & 21 & 26 & 37 & 35 & 48 & 46 & 50 \\
47 \\
47 & 29 & 22 & 33 & 38 & 31 & 42 & 40 & 49 & 50 & 24 \\
\hline
\end{array}\right) 403 \\
\hline 405
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Thus $\chi_{l a t}\left(A\left(2 K_{7}, K_{3}\right)\right)=7$. Since $(M)=234, \chi_{l a}\left(A\left(2 K_{7}, K_{3}\right) \vee K_{1}\right)=8$.

Example 2.5: We take $m=3, n=7$ and $r=4$. The guide matrix is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
+16 & -1 & +2 & -3 \\
-1 & +17 & -4 & +5 \\
+2 & -4 & -18 & +6
\end{array}\right) . \\
& \left(L_{1} \mid \beta_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c}
46 & 3 & 4 & 9 \\
3 & 49 & 12 & 13 \\
4 & 12 & 54 & 16
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(L_{2} \mid \beta_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c}
47 & 2 & 5 & 8 \\
2 & 50 & 11 & 14 \\
5 & 11 & 53 & 17
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(L_{3} \mid \beta_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c}
48 & 1 & 6 & 7 \\
1 & 51 & 10 & 15 \\
6 & 10 & 52 & 18
\end{array}\right) . \\
& \Omega=\left(\begin{array}{l}
X_{1} \\
X_{2} \\
X_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
27 & 32 & 37 \\
20 & 34 & 42 \\
31 & 39 & 26 \\
\hline 36 & 41 & 19 \\
29 & 43 & 24 \\
40 & 21 & 35 \\
\hline 45 & 23 & 28 \\
38 & 25 & 31 \\
22 & 30 & 44
\end{array}\right), \quad A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
61 & 55 & 56 & 57 \\
55 & 62 & 58 & 59 \\
56 & 58 & 63 & 60 \\
57 & 59 & 60 & 64
\end{array}\right) . \\
& M=\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|ccc|cccc||c}
46 & 3 & 4 & * & * & * & * & * & * & 9 & 27 & 32 & 37 & 158 \\
3 & 49 & 12 & * & * & * & * & * & * & 13 & 20 & 34 & 42 & 173 \\
4 & 12 & 54 & * & * & * & * & * & * & 16 & 31 & 39 & 26 & 182 \\
\hline * & * & * & 47 & 2 & 5 & * & * & * & 8 & 36 & 41 & 19 & 158 \\
* & * & * & 2 & 50 & 11 & * & * & * & 14 & 29 & 43 & 24 & 173 \\
* & * & * & 5 & 11 & 53 & * & * & * & 17 & 40 & 21 & 35 & 182 \\
\hline * & * & * & * & * & * & 48 & 1 & 6 & 7 & 45 & 23 & 28 & 158 \\
* & * & * & * & * & * & 1 & 51 & 10 & 15 & 38 & 25 & 33 & 173 \\
* & * & * & * & * & * & 6 & 10 & 52 & 18 & 22 & 30 & 44 & 182 \\
\hline 9 & 13 & 16 & 8 & 14 & 17 & 7 & 15 & 18 & 61 & 55 & 56 & 57 & 346 \\
27 & 20 & 31 & 36 & 29 & 40 & 45 & 38 & 22 & 55 & 62 & 58 & 59 & 522 \\
32 & 34 & 39 & 41 & 43 & 21 & 23 & 25 & 30 & 56 & 58 & 63 & 60 & 525 \\
37 & 42 & 26 & 19 & 24 & 35 & 28 & 33 & 44 & 57 & 59 & 60 & 64 & 528
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\chi_{l a t}\left(A\left(3 K_{7}, K_{4}\right)\right)=7$. Since $(M)=700, \chi_{l a}\left(A\left(3 K_{7}, K_{4}\right) \vee K_{1}\right)=8$.

Example 2.6: We take $m=2, n=7$ and $r=4$. The guide matrix is
$\mathcal{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}+7 & -1 & +2 & -3 \\ -1 & +8 & -4 & +5 \\ +2 & -4 & -9 & +6\end{array}\right), \quad\left(L_{1} \mid \beta_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c}13 & 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 15 & 8 & 9 \\ 3 & 8 & 18 & 11\end{array}\right), \quad\left(L_{2} \mid \beta_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c}14 & 1 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 16 & 7 & 10 \\ 4 & 7 & 17 & 12\end{array}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega=\left(\begin{array}{c}
X_{1} \\
X_{2} \\
\alpha
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
27 & 25 & 38 \\
24 & 31 & 35 \\
21 & 32 & 37 \\
\hline 39 & 22 & 29 \\
36 & 26 & 28 \\
33 & 34 & 23 \\
\hline 30 & 40 & 20
\end{array}\right), \quad A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
19 & 30 & 40 & 20 \\
30 & 41 & 42 & 43 \\
40 & 42 & 44 & 45 \\
20 & 43 & 45 & 46
\end{array}\right) . \\
& M=\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|cccc||c}
13 & 2 & 3 & * & * & * & 6 & 27 & 25 & 38 & 114 \\
2 & 15 & 8 & * & * & * & 9 & 24 & 31 & 35 & 124 \\
3 & 8 & 18 & * & * & * & 11 & 21 & 32 & 37 & 130 \\
\hline * & * & * & 14 & 1 & 4 & 5 & 39 & 22 & 29 & 114 \\
* & * & * & 1 & 16 & 7 & 10 & 36 & 26 & 28 & 124 \\
* & * & * & 4 & 7 & 17 & 12 & 33 & 34 & 23 & 130 \\
\hline 6 & 9 & 11 & 5 & 10 & 12 & 19 & 30 & 40 & 20 & 162 \\
27 & 24 & 21 & 39 & 36 & 33 & 30 & 41 & 42 & 43 & 336 \\
25 & 31 & 32 & 22 & 26 & 34 & 40 & 42 & 44 & 45 & 341 \\
38 & 35 & 37 & 29 & 28 & 23 & 20 & 43 & 45 & 46 & 344
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\chi_{l a t}\left(A\left(2 K_{7}, K_{4}\right)\right)=7$. Since $\mathcal{D}(M)=243, \chi_{l a}\left(A\left(2 K_{7}, K_{4}\right) \vee K_{1}\right)=8$.

Theorem 2.8: For $m \geq 2$ and $n=4 k+3 \geq 3$, $\chi_{l a t}\left(m K_{n}\right) \leq n+1$.
Proof. Consider $\mathcal{S}_{4 k+2}$. Change each diagonal entry of $\mathcal{S}_{4 k+2}$ from $\pm 1$ to $\pm 2$. Let this new sign matrix be $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. Now define $\mathcal{S}_{4 k+3}$ by extending $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ to a $4 k+3$ square matrix by adding the last column and row. Use $+1,-1$ or +2 for each entry of this new column and row such that the row sum and the column sums of $\mathcal{S}_{4 k+3}$ are zero.
Define a symmetric matrix $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ of order $4 k+3$ by using the increasing sequence $[1,(2 k+1)(4 k+3)]$ in lexicographic order for the upper triangular entries of $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$, and use $*$ for all diagonal entries.
Now let $\mathcal{M}$ be the guide matrix whose $(j, l)$-th entry is $*$ if $j=l$; and $\left(\mathcal{S}_{4 k+3}\right)_{j, l}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right)_{j, l}, 1 \leq j, l \leq 4 k+3$ if $j \neq l$.

Stage 1: Using the same procedure as Stage 1 in the proof of Theorem 2.3 fill the off-diagonals of the $m$ submatrices $L_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, with labels in $\left[1, m N_{4}\right]$, where $N_{4}=\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$.
Stage 2: Let $T(2 a-1)=\left\{m N_{4}+2 l-1+2 m(a-1) \mid 1 \leq l \leq m\right\}$ and $T(2 a)=\left\{m N_{4}+2 l+2 m(a-1) \mid 1 \leq\right.$ $l \leq m\}, 1 \leq a \leq 2 k+1$. For $1 \leq j \leq 4 k+2$, the $(j, j)$-entry of $L_{i}$ is the $i$-th term of $T^{-}(j)$ or $T^{+}(j)$, if the corresponding $(j, j)$-entry of $\mathcal{M}$ is -2 or +2 , respectively.
Stage 3: Let $U(1)=\left\{m N_{4}+(4 k+2) m+2 l-1 \mid 1 \leq l \leq\lceil m / 2\rceil\right\}$ and $U(2)=\left\{m N_{4}+(4 k+2) m+2 l \mid 1 \leq\right.$ $l \leq\lfloor m / 2\rfloor\}$. Let $U$ be the compound sequence $U^{+}(1) U^{+}(2)$, i.e., list the terms of $U^{+}(1)$ first and then follow with the terms of $U^{+}(2)$. The $(4 k+3,4 k+3)$-entry of $L_{i}$ is the $i$-th term of $U$.

For a fixed $j, 1 \leq j \leq 4 k+2$, according to the structures of $S(a)$ 's and $T(a)$ 's, $\left(L_{i+1}\right)_{j, l}-\left(L_{i}\right)_{j, l}$ is $\left(\mathcal{S}_{4 k+3}\right)_{j, l}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1,1 \leq l \leq 4 k+3$. Thus, $w\left(v_{i, j}\right)$ is a constant for a fixed $j$.
Similarly, $\left(L_{i+1}\right)_{4 k+3, l}-\left(L_{i}\right)_{4 k+3, l}$ is $\left(\mathcal{S}_{4 k+3}\right)_{4 k+3, l}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1,1 \leq l \leq 4 k+2$. Finally, for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$,

$$
\left(L_{i+1}\right)_{4 k+3,4 k+3}-\left(L_{i}\right)_{4 k+3,4 k+3}=+2 \text { if } i \neq\lceil m / 2\rceil .
$$

Thus, $\left\{w\left(v_{i, 4 k+3}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ consists of two different values.
Since each $L_{i}$ satisfies the condition of Lemma 2.1, $\mathcal{R}_{j}\left(L_{i}\right)$ is a strictly increasing function of $j$. Hence $\chi_{\text {lat }}\left(m K_{n}\right) \leq n+1$.

Example 2.7: Take $n=7$ and $m=2$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}_{6}=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
+1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 \\
-1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 \\
+1 & -1 & -1 & +1 & +1 & -1 \\
-1 & +1 & +1 & -1 & -1 & +1 \\
+1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 \\
-1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{7}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc|c}
+2 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & +2 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 \\
+1 & -1 & -2 & +1 & +1 & -1 & +1 \\
-1 & +1 & +1 & -2 & -1 & +1 & +1 \\
+1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +2 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +2 & -1 \\
\hline-1 & -1 & +1 & +1 & -1 & -1 & +2
\end{array}\right) . \\
& \mathcal{M}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
* & -1 & +2 & -3 & +4 & -5 & -6 \\
-1 & * & -7 & +8 & -9 & +10 & -11 \\
+2 & -7 & * & +12 & +13 & -14 & +15 \\
-3 & +8 & +12 & * & -16 & +17 & +18 \\
+4 & -9 & +13 & -16 & * & -19 & -20 \\
-5 & +10 & -14 & +17 & -19 & * & -21 \\
-6 & -11 & +15 & +18 & -20 & -21 & *
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\chi_{l a t}\left(2 K_{7}\right) \leq 8$.
Theorem 2.9: For $m \geq 2$ and $n=4 k+1 \geq 5$, $\chi_{l a t}\left(m K_{n}\right) \leq \min \{n+3, n-1+m\}$.
Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.8 we will define a sign matrix $\mathcal{S}$ and a guide matrix $\mathcal{M}$ of order $4 k+1$. We define a $(4 k) \times(4 k)$ matrix $\mathcal{S}_{4 k}^{\prime}$ first.

$$
\text { Let } \mathcal{S}_{4}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
+1 & -1 & +1 & -1 \\
-1 & +1 & -1 & +1 \\
+1 & -1 & +1 & -1 \\
-1 & +1 & -1 & +1
\end{array}\right) \text { and } \mathcal{S}_{4 k}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 
& & & \mathcal{S}_{4}^{\prime} \\
& \mathcal{S}_{4 k-4}^{\prime} & & \vdots \\
& & & \mathcal{S}_{4}^{\prime} \\
\hline \mathcal{S}_{4}^{\prime} & \cdots & \mathcal{S}_{4}^{\prime} & \mathcal{S}_{4}
\end{array}\right) \text { when } k \geq 2
$$

Now, we define a symmetric sign matrix $\mathcal{S}_{4 k+1}$ of order $4 k+1$ using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 2.8. Note that the $(4 k+1,4 k+1)$-entry of $\mathcal{S}_{4 k+1}$ is +4 . The definition of a guide matrix $\mathcal{M}$ and Stage 1 are similar to the proof of Theorem 2.8,
Stage 2: Using a similar procedure to Stage 2 in the proof of Theorem 2.8 fill all diagonals of each $L_{i}$ except $\left(L_{i}\right)_{4 k+1,4 k+1}$ by using $T(j)$ defined in the proof of Theorem 2.8, $1 \leq j \leq 4 k$.

Now we have to fill $\left[m N_{4}+4 m k+1, m N_{4}+4 m k+m\right]$ in the $\left(L_{i}\right)_{4 k+1,4 k+1}$, here $N_{4}=\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. Suppose $m=4 s+m_{0}$, for some $s \geq 0$ and $0 \leq m_{0}<4$.

Suppose $s \geq 1$. Let $U(a)=\left\{m N_{4}+4 k m+a+4 l \mid 0 \leq l \leq s\right\}$ for $1 \leq a \leq m_{0}$; and $U(a)=\left\{m N_{4}+4 k m+\right.$ $a+4 l \mid 0 \leq l \leq s-1\}$ for $m_{0}<a \leq 4$. Let $U$ be the compound sequence $U^{+}(1) U^{+}(2) U^{+}(3) U^{+}(4)$. Let the $(4 k+1,4 k+1)$-entry of $L_{i}$ be the $i$-th term of $U$.
Using a proof similar to Theorem [2.8, we have $\chi_{l a t}\left(m K_{n}\right) \leq n+3$.

Suppose $s=0$. That means $2 \leq m \leq 4$. Then fill $\left[m N_{4}+4 k m+1, m N_{4}+4 k m+m\right]$ to the $(4 k+1,4 k+1)-$ entry of $L_{i}$, respectively.

Using a proof similar to that above, $\mathcal{R}_{j}\left(L_{i}\right)$ is a strictly increasing function of $j$ and hence we have $\chi_{l a t}\left(m K_{n}\right) \leq n-1+m$.

Combining these two cases, we conclude that $\chi_{l a t}\left(m K_{n}\right) \leq \min \{n+3, n-1+m\}$.
Corollary 2.10: Let $m \geq 2$ and odd $n \geq 3$, $\chi_{l a t}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{1}\right)\right)=n$.
Proof. From the proofs of Theorems 2.8 and 2.9, we see that the $(n, n)$-th entries of all $L_{i}$ 's are the largest $m$ labels. If we change $\left(L_{i}\right)_{n, n}$ to $N$ and denote this new matrix by $M_{i}$, then the matrix $M$ becomes a total labeling matrix of $A\left(m K_{n}, K_{1}\right)$ with $n$ difference row sums. Note that, $\left(L_{i}\right)_{n, n}$ 's are identified to $A_{1,1}$. Thus $\chi_{l a t}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{1}\right)\right)=n$.

Example 2.8: Let $n=9, m=2$. Then

$$
\mathcal{S}_{8}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc}
+1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 \\
-1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 \\
+1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 \\
-1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 \\
\hline+1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 \\
-1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 \\
+1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & -1 & +1 \\
-1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & +1 & -1
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{9}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc|ccc|ccc}
+2 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & +2 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 \\
+1 & -1 & +2 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & +1 & -1 & +2 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 \\
\hline+1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +2 & -1 & +1 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +2 & -1 & +1 \\
+1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & -2 & +1 & +1 \\
-1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & +1 & -2 & +1 \\
\hline-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & +1 & +1 & +4
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\mathcal{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|c}
* & -1 & +2 & -3 & +4 & -5 & +6 & -7 & -8 \\
-1 & * & -9 & +10 & -11 & +12 & -13 & +14 & -15 \\
+2 & -9 & * & -16 & +17 & -18 & +19 & -20 & -21 \\
-3 & +10 & -16 & * & -22 & +23 & -24 & +25 & -26 \\
\hline+4 & -11 & +17 & -22 & * & -27 & +28 & -29 & -30 \\
-5 & +12 & -18 & +23 & -27 & * & -31 & +32 & -33 \\
+6 & -13 & +19 & -24 & +28 & -31 & * & +34 & +35 \\
-7 & +14 & -20 & +25 & -29 & +32 & +34 & * & +36 \\
\hline-8 & -15 & -21 & -26 & -30 & -33 & +35 & +36 & *
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc||c}
73 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 7 & 10 & 11 & 14 & 16 & 142 \\
2 & 74 & 18 & 19 & 22 & 23 & 26 & 27 & 30 & 241 \\
3 & 18 & 77 & 32 & 33 & 36 & 37 & 40 & 42 & 318 \\
6 & 19 & 32 & 78 & 44 & 45 & 48 & 49 & 52 & 373 \\
7 & 22 & 33 & 44 & 81 & 54 & 55 & 58 & 60 & 414 \\
10 & 23 & 36 & 45 & 54 & 82 & 62 & 63 & 66 & 441 \\
11 & 26 & 37 & 48 & 55 & 62 & 87 & 67 & 69 & 462 \\
14 & 27 & 40 & 49 & 58 & 63 & 67 & 88 & 71 & 477 \\
16 & 30 & 42 & 52 & 60 & 66 & 69 & 71 & 89 & 495
\end{array}\right), \\
& L_{2}=\left(\left.\begin{array}{cccccccc||c}
75 & 1 & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 & 12 & 13 & 15 \\
1 & 76 & 17 & 20 & 21 & 24 & 25 & 28 & 29 \\
442 \\
4 & 17 & 79 & 31 & 34 & 35 & 38 & 39 & 41 \\
5 & 20 & 31 & 80 & 43 & 46 & 47 & 50 & 51 \\
8 & 21 & 34 & 43 & 83 & 53 & 56 & 57 & 59 \\
9 & 24 & 35 & 46 & 53 & 84 & 61 & 64 & 65 \\
12 & 25 & 38 & 47 & 56 & 61 & 85 & 68 & 70 \\
13 & 28 & 39 & 50 & 57 & 64 & 68 & 86 & 72 \\
15 & 29 & 41 & 51 & 59 & 65 & 70 & 72 & 90
\end{array} \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{l}
414 \\
462 \\
477 \\
492
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\chi_{l a t}\left(2 K_{9}\right) \leq 10$. Clearly $(M)$ is larger than all vertex weights, so $\chi_{l a}\left(\left(2 K_{9}\right) \vee K_{1}\right) \leq 11$.
If we change $\left(L_{2}\right)_{9,9}$ to 89 and identify the vertices $v_{1,9}$ with $v_{2,9}$, then we have a local antimagic total labeling for $A\left(2 K_{9}, K_{1}\right)$ and hence $\chi_{l a t}\left(A\left(2 K_{9}, K_{1}\right)\right)=9$. Clearly $(M)$ is larger than all vertex weights, so $\chi_{l a}\left(A\left(2 K_{9}, K_{1}\right) \vee K_{1}\right)=10$.

Corollary 2.11: Let $m \geq 2$ and odd $n \geq 3$,

$$
\chi_{l a}\left(m K_{n+1}\right)=\chi_{l a}\left(\left(m K_{n}\right) \vee K_{1}\right) \leq \begin{cases}\min \{n+4, n+m\} & \text { if } n \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 3) \\ n+2 & \text { if } n \equiv 3 \quad(\bmod 3)\end{cases}
$$

Proof. From the proofs of Theorems 2.8 and 2.9 we see that the diagonals of $M$ are the largest $m n$ labels. Thus, $\mathcal{D}(M)>w\left(v_{m, n}\right)$. So we have the corollary.

By Corollary 2.10 and the same argument above, we have
Corollary 2.12: Let $m \geq 2$ and odd $n \geq 3$, $\chi_{l a}\left(A\left(m K_{n+1}, K_{2}\right)\right)=\chi_{l a}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{1}\right) \vee K_{1}\right)=n+1$.
We have an ad hoc result for $A\left(m K_{n}, K_{2}\right)$ for $n$ odd as follows.
Theorem 2.13: For $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and odd $n \geq 3$, $\chi_{l a t}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{2}\right)\right)=n$ and $\chi_{l a}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{2}\right) \vee K_{1}\right)=$ $n+1$.

Proof. The guide matrix is obtained from the guide matrix $\mathcal{M}$ of order $n$ defined in the proof of Theorem 2.8 or Theorem 2.9 by deleting the last two rows. Apply the same procedure as Stage 1 in the proof of Theorem 2.8 or Theorem [2.9. It is equivalent to defining the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}L_{i} & B_{i}\end{array}\right)$ of order $(n-2) \times n$ by deleting the last two rows of $L_{i}$ defined in the proof of Theorem [2.8 or Theorem 2.9, Note that $(\mathcal{M})_{n-1, n}=-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ is not used in this stage. So the labels used are $\left[1, m N_{5}\right]$, where $N_{5}=\frac{(n-2)(n+1)}{2}$.
Stage 2: Similar to Stage 2 of the proof of Theorem 2.8 or Theorem 2.9, we define the set $T(j)$ by labels $\left[m N_{5}+1, m N_{5}+m(n-2)+m\right]$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ and fill the diagonals of $L_{i}$ 's. Note that, $m N_{5}+m(n-2)+m \leq N$ and $T(n-1)$ is not used in this stage.
By Lemma 2.1 each $j$-th row sum of each $\left(\begin{array}{ll}L_{i} & \left.B_{i}\right) \text { is a constant, and each } j \text {-th row sum of a fixed }\end{array}\right.$ $\operatorname{matrix}\left(\begin{array}{ll}L_{i} & B_{i}\end{array}\right)$ is a strictly increasing function of $j, 1 \leq j \leq n-2$.
Stage 3: Use the remaining 3 labels to fill in the matrix $A_{1,2}, A_{1,1}, A_{2,2}$ in natural order. It is easy to see that the last two row sums of $M$ are distinct and larger than the other row sums of $M$.

It is easy to see that the diagonal entries of $M$ are the largest entries in the corresponding columns, and $(M)$ is greater than all weights of vertices.
Thus we have $\chi_{l a t}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{2}\right)\right)=n$ and $\chi_{l a}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{2}\right) \vee K_{1}\right)=n+1$.
Example 2.9: Take $n=7$ and $m=2$.
$\left(\begin{array}{ll}L_{1} & B_{1}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc|cc||c}41 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 7 & 10 & 12 & 82 \\ 2 & 42 & 14 & 15 & 18 & 19 & 22 & 132 \\ 3 & 14 & 47 & 23 & 25 & 28 & 29 & 169 \\ 6 & 15 & 23 & 48 & 32 & 33 & 35 & 192 \\ 7 & 18 & 25 & 32 & 49 & 38 & 40 & 209 \\ \hline 10 & 19 & 28 & 33 & 38 & 52 & 50 & 230 \\ 12 & 22 & 29 & 35 & 40 & 50 & 53 & 241\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}L_{2} & B_{2}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc|cc||c}43 & 1 & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 & 11 & 81 \\ 1 & 44 & 13 & 16 & 17 & 20 & 21 \\ 4 & 13 & 45 & 24 & 26 & 27 & 30 \\ 5 & 16 & 24 & 46 & 31 & 34 & 36 \\ 8 & 17 & 26 & 31 & 51 & 37 & 39 & 199 \\ 209 \\ \hline 9 & 20 & 27 & 34 & 37 & 52 & 50 & 229 \\ 11 & 21 & 30 & 36 & 39 & 50 & 53 & 240\end{array}\right)$
$w\left(u_{6}\right)=230+229-(50+52)=357$ and $w\left(u_{7}\right)=241+240-(50+53)=378$. So $\chi_{l a t}\left(A\left(2 K_{7}, K_{2}\right)\right)=7$.

## 3 Conclusion and Open Problems

In this paper, $\chi_{l a t}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{1}\right)\right)$ and $\chi_{l a}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{1}\right) \vee K_{1}\right)$ are determined except the following remaining case.

Problem 3.1: For $m \geq 2$ odd, $n \geq 3, n>r, r=0,2$, determine $\chi_{l a t}\left(A\left(m K_{n}, K_{r}\right)\right)$.
From the motivation, we end this paper with the following problem.
Problem 3.2: Determine $\chi_{l a t}\left(A\left(m C_{n}, K_{2}\right)\right)$ for $m \geq 2, n \geq 3$.
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