On local antimagic total labeling of amalgamation graphs

Gee-Choon Lau^{*a*,1}, Wai-Chee Shiu^{*b*}

^a Faculty of Computer & Mathematical Sciences, Universiti Teknologi MARA (Segamat Campus), 85000, Johor, Malaysia. geeclau@yahoo.com

^bDepartment of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong. wcshiu@associate.hkbu.edu.hk

Abstract

Let G = (V, E) be a connected simple graph of order p and size q. A graph G is called local antimagic (total) if G admits a local antimagic (total) labeling. A bijection $g : E \to \{1, 2, \ldots, q\}$ is called a local antimagic labeling of G if for any two adjacent vertices u and v, we have $g^+(u) \neq g^+(v)$, where $g^+(u) = \sum_{e \in E(u)} g(e)$, and E(u) is the set of edges incident to u. Similarly, a bijection $f : V(G) \cup E(G) \to \{1, 2, \ldots, p + q\}$ is called a local antimagic total labeling of G if for any two adjacent vertices u and v, we have $w_f(u) \neq w_f(v)$, where $w_f(u) = f(u) + \sum_{e \in E(u)} f(e)$. Thus, any local antimagic (total) labeling induces a proper vertex coloring of G if vertex v is assigned the color $g^+(v)$ (respectively, $w_f(u)$). The local antimagic (total) chromatic number, denoted $\chi_{la}(G)$ (respectively $\chi_{lat}(G)$), is the minimum number of induced colors taken over local antimagic (total) labeling of G. In this paper, we determined $\chi_{lat}(G)$ where G is the amalgamation of complete graphs.

Keywords: Local antimagic (total) chromatic number, Amalgamation, Complete graphs

2010 AMS Subject Classifications: 05C78; 05C15.

1 Introduction

Consider a (p, q)-graph G = (V, E) of order p and size q. In this paper, all graphs are simple. For positive integers a < b, let $[a, b] = \{a, a + 1, \ldots, b\}$. Let $g : E(G) \to [1, q]$ be a bijective edge labeling that induces a vertex labeling $g^+ : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $g^+(v) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} g(uv)$. We say g is a *local antimagic labeling* of G if $g^+(u) \neq g^+(v)$ for each $uv \in E(G)$ [1,2]. The number of distinct colors induced by g is called the *color number* of g and is denoted by c(g). The number

 $\chi_{la}(G) = \min\{c(g) \mid g \text{ is a local antimagic labeling of } G\}$

is called the *local antimagic chromatic number* of G [1]. Clearly, $\chi_{la}(G) \geq \chi(G)$. Let $f: V(G) \cup E(G) \rightarrow [1, p+q]$ be a bijective total labeling that induces a vertex labeling $w_f: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, where

$$w_f(u) = f(u) + \sum_{uv \in E(G)} f(uv)$$

and is called the weight of u for each vertex $u \in V(G)$. We say f is a local antimagic total labeling of G (and G is local antimagic total) if $w_f(u) \neq w_f(v)$ for each $uv \in E(G)$. Clearly, w_f corresponds to a proper vertex coloring of G if each vertex v is assigned the color $w_f(v)$. If no ambiguity, we shall drop the subscript f. Let w(f) be the number of distinct vertex weights induced by f. The number

 $\min\{w(f) \mid f \text{ is a local antimagic total labeling of } G\}$

is called the *local antimagic total chromatic number* of G, denoted $\chi_{lat}(G)$. Clearly, $\chi_{lat}(G) \geq \chi(G)$. It is well known that determining the chromatic number of a graph G is NP-hard [14]. Thus, in general, it is also very difficult to determine $\chi_{la}(G)$ and $\chi_{lat}(G)$.

¹Corresponding author.

For a graph G, the graph $H = G \vee K_1$ is obtained from G by joining a new vertex to every vertex of G. We refer to [3] for notation not defined in this paper.

In [5], the author proved that every connected graph of order at least 3 is local antimagic. In [8], the authors proved that every graph is local antimagic total. We shall need the following theorem in [8].

Theorem 1.1: Let G be a graph of order $p \ge 2$ and size q with $V(G) = \{v_i \mid 1 \le i \le p\}$.

- (a) $\chi(G) \leq \chi_{lat}(G) \leq \chi_{la}(G \vee K_1) 1.$
- (b) Suppose $\chi_{lat}(G) = \chi(G \vee K_1) 1$ with a corresponding local antimagic total labeling f of G. If $\sum_{i=1}^{p} f(v_i) \neq w_f(v_j), 1 \leq j \leq p$, then $\chi_{la}(G \vee K_1) = \chi(G \vee K_1)$.

For $m \geq 2$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$, let G_i be a simple graph with an induced subgraph H. An *amalgamation* of G_1, \ldots, G_m over H is the simple graph obtained by identifying the vertices of H of each G_i so that the new obtained graph contains a subgraph H induced by the identified vertices. Suppose G is a graph with a proper subgraph K_r , $r \geq 1$. Let $A(mG, K_r)$ be the amalgamation of $m \geq 2$ copies of G over K_r . Note that there may be many non-isomorphic $A(mG, K_r)$ graphs. For example, $A(2P_3, K_2)$ may be either $K_{1,3}$ or P_4 . When r = 1, the graph is also known as one-point union of graphs. Note that $A(mK_2, K_1) \cong K_{1,m}$ and $A(mK_3, K_1)$ is the friendship graph $f_m, m \geq 2$. In [9, Theorem 2.4], the authors completely determined $\chi_{la}(A(mC_n, K_1))$ for $m \geq 2, n \geq 3$ where $2 \leq \chi(A(mC_n, K_1)) \leq 3$. Motivated by this, in this paper, we determine the $\chi_{lat}(A(mK_n, K_r))$ and $\chi_{la}(A(mK_n, K_r) \vee K_1)$ where $\chi(A(mK_n, K_r)) = n$ for $m \geq 1, n \geq 2, r \geq 0$. Sharp upper bounds on $\chi_{lat}(mK_n)$ are also obtained for odd $n \geq 3$.

2 Amalgamations of Complete Graphs

Let f be a total labeling of a simple (p,q)-graph G. Let $V(G) = \{u_1, \ldots, u_p\}$. We define a total labeling matrix which is similar to the labeling matrix of an edge labeling introduced in [13].

Suppose $f: V(G) \cup E(G) \to S$ is a mapping, where S is a set of labels. A total labeling matrix M of f for G is a $p \times p$ symmetric matrix in which the (i, i)-entry of M is $f(u_i)$; the (i, j)-entry of M is $f(u_iu_j)$ if $u_iu_j \in E$ and is * otherwise. If f is a local antimagic total labeling of G, then a total labeling matrix of f is called a *local antimagic total labeling matrix* of G. Clearly the *i*-th row sum (and *i*-th column sum) is $w_f(u_i)$, where *'s are treated as zero. Thus the condition of a total labeling matrix being a local antimagic total labeling matrix is the *i*-th row sum different from the *j*-th row sum when $u_iu_i \in E$.

For $m \ge 2$ and $n > r \ge 1$, let $V(A(mK_n, K_r)) = \{v_{i,j} \mid 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n\}$ and $E(A(mK_n, K_r)) = \{v_{i,j}v_{i,k} \mid 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j < k \le n\}$, where $v_{1,j} = \cdots = v_{m,j}$ for each $n - r + 1 \le j \le n$. For convenience, let $u_j = v_{1,j}$ for $n - r + 1 \le j \le n$. Note that $A(mK_n, K_r) \equiv mK_{n-r} \lor K_r$. We first list the vertices of the *m* copies of K_{n-r} in lexicographic order followed by u_{n-r+1}, \ldots, u_n . Now let us show the structure of a total labeling matrix *M* of the graph $A(mK_n, K_r)$ under this list of vertices as a block matrix. Namely,

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} L_1 & \bigstar & \bigstar & \cdots & \ddots & \bigstar & B_1 \\ \bigstar & L_2 & \bigstar & \ddots & \ddots & \bigstar & B_2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \bigstar & \cdots & \bigstar & L_i & \ddots & \bigstar & B_i \\ \vdots & \ddots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \bigstar & \bigstar & \bigstar & \cdots & \bigstar & L_m & B_m \\ B_1^T & B_2^T & \cdots & B_i^T & \cdots & B_m^T & A \end{pmatrix},$$
(2.1)

where L_i is an $(n-r) \times (n-r)$ symmetric matrix, B_i is an $(n-r) \times r$ matrix, $1 \le i \le m$, and A is an $r \times r$ symmetric matrix. Here \bigstar denotes an $(n-r) \times (n-r)$ matrix whose entries are *'s. Thus, the

corresponding total labeling matrix of the *i*-th K_n is

$$M_i = \begin{pmatrix} L_i & B_i \\ B_i^T & A \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.2)

Now, a local antimagic total labeling for the graph $A(mK_n, K_r)$ is obtained if we use the integers in [1, N] for all entries of the upper triangular part of all L_i 's and A, and all entries of all B_i 's such that the row sums of each matrix M_i are distinct, where $N = \frac{mn(n+1)}{2} - \frac{(m-1)r(r+1)}{2}$. We may extend the case to r = 0. We let $A(mK_n, K_0) = mK_n$ by convention. For this case, all of B_i 's and A in (2.1) and (2.2) do not exist.

For a given matrix B, we shall use $\mathcal{R}_i(B)$ and $\mathcal{C}_j(B)$ to denote the *i*-th row sum and the *j*-th column sum of B, respectively. Also we shall use (B) to denote the sum of the main diagonal of B if B is a square matrix. Suppose S is a finite subset of \mathbb{Z} . Let S^- and S^+ be a decreasing sequence and an increasing sequence of S, respectively.

We shall keep the notation defined above in this section.

Lemma 2.1: Suppose $m \leq n$. Let $M = (m_{i,k})$ be an $m \times n$ matrix with the following properties:

- (a) $m_{k,j} = m_{j,k}$ for all j, k, where $1 \le j < k \le m$;
- (b) $m_{j,j} < m_{k,k}$ if $1 \le j < k \le m$;

(c) for $j_1 < k_1$ and $j_2 < k_2$, $(j_1, k_1) < (j_2, k_2)$ in lexicographic order implies that $m_{j_1, k_1} < m_{j_2, k_2}$.

Then $\mathcal{R}_j(M)$ is a strictly increasing function of j.

Proof.
$$\mathcal{R}_{j+1}(M) - \mathcal{R}_{j}(M)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} (m_{j+1,k} - m_{j,k})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (m_{j+1,k} - m_{j,k}) + (m_{j+1,j} - m_{j,j} + m_{j+1,j+1} - m_{j,j+1}) + \sum_{k=j+2}^{n} (m_{j+1,k} - m_{j,k})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (m_{k,j+1} - m_{k,j}) + (m_{j+1,j+1} - m_{j,j}) + \sum_{k=j+2}^{n} (m_{j+1,k} - m_{j,k}) > 0.$$

Note that the empty sum is treated as 0. This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.2: For positive integers t and m, let $S(a) = [m(a-1)+1, ma], 1 \le a \le t$. We have:

- (i) $\{S(a) \mid 1 \le a \le t\}$ is a partition of [1, mt].
- (ii) If a < b, then every term of S(a) is less than every term of S(b).
- (iii) For any $1 \le a, b \le t$, the sum of the *i*-th term of $S^+(a)$ and that of $S^-(b)$ is independent of the choice of $i, 1 \le i \le m$.
- (iv) For any $1 \leq a_l, b_l \leq t$, $\sum_{l=1}^{k} (i\text{-th term of } S^+(a_l)) + \sum_{l=1}^{k} (i\text{-th term of } S^-(b_l))$ is independent of the choice of $i, 1 \leq i \leq m$.

Proof. The first two parts are obvious. For (iii), the *i*-th terms of $S^+(a)$ and $S^-(b)$ are m(a-1)+i and m(b-1) + (m+1-i), respectively. So the sum is m(a+b-1) + 1 which is independent of *i*. The last part follows from (iii).

Before providing results about $\chi_{lat}(A(mK_n, K_r))$ for some m, n, r, we define a 'sign matrix' S_n for even n. Let $S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} +1 & -1 \\ -1 & +1 \end{pmatrix}$ be a 2 × 2 matrix and $S_4 = \begin{pmatrix} S_2 & S_2 \\ S_2 & -S_2 \end{pmatrix}$ be a 4 × 4 matrix. Let S_{4k} be a (4k) × (4k) matrix given by the following block matrix, where $k \ge 2$:

$$\mathcal{S}_{4k} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}_4 & \cdots & \mathcal{S}_4 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathcal{S}_4 & \cdots & \mathcal{S}_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let S_{4k+2} be a $(4k+2) \times (4k+2)$ matrix as the following block matrix, where $k \ge 1$:

$$\mathcal{S}_{4k+2} = \begin{pmatrix} & & \mathcal{S}_2 \\ & & & \vdots \\ & & & \mathcal{S}_2 \\ \hline & & & \mathcal{S}_2 & \ddots & \mathcal{S}_2 & & \mathcal{S}_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We shall keep these notation in this section.

Remark 2.1: It is easy to see that each row and column sum of S_n are zero. Moreover, the diagonal sum of S_{4k} is zero.

Theorem 2.3: For $m \ge 2$, n even and $n > r \ge 0$, $\chi_{lat}(A(mK_n, K_r)) = n$.

Proof. Let S be the $(n-r) \times n$ matrix obtained from S_n by removing the last r rows of S_n . First, define an $(n-r) \times n$ matrix \mathcal{M}' in which $(\mathcal{M}')_{j,k} = (\mathcal{M}')_{k,j}$ for $1 \leq j < k \leq n-r$. Assign the increasing sequence [1, (n-r)(n+r-1)/2] in lexicographic order to the upper part of the off-diagonal entries of \mathcal{M}' , denoted (j,k) if in row j and column k for $1 \leq j < k \leq n$. Next, assign [(n-r)(n+r-1)/2 + (1, (n-r)(n+r-1)/2 + (n-r)] to the entries of the main diagonal of \mathcal{M}' in natural order.

Now, define an $(n-r) \times n$ 'guide matrix' \mathcal{M} whose (j,k)-th entry is $(\mathcal{S})_{j,k}(\mathcal{M}')_{j,k}$, $1 \leq j \leq n-r$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Stage 1: We shall assign labels to the upper triangular entries of L_i 's and all the entries of B_i 's. Note that if r = 0, all of B_i 's and A do not exist. There are $N_1 = (n-r)(n+r-1)/2 + (n-r) = \frac{(n+r+1)(n-r)}{2}$ entries needed to be filled for each i.

Now we shall use labels in $[1, mN_1]$ to fill in the *m* submatrices $(L_i \quad B_i), 1 \leq i \leq m$. We use the sequences S(a) defined in Lemma 2.2, where $t = N_1$. The (j, k)-entry of M_i is the *i*-th term of $S^+(a)$ or $S^-(a)$ if the corresponding (j, k)-entry of \mathcal{M} is +a or -a respectively, where $1 \leq j \leq n - r$.

By Lemma 2.2 (iv), $\mathcal{R}_j(M_i)$ are the same for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq m$. In other words, $w(v_{i,j})$ is a constant function for a fixed $j, 1 \leq j \leq n-r$.

Stage 2: Note that when r = 0, the total labeling matrix M does not have the last row and column of block matrices so that we only need to perform Stage 1 above. Thus, we now assume $r \neq 0$. Also note that all integers in $[1, mN_1]$ are used up in Stage 1. Use the increasing sequence $[mN_1 + 1, mN_1 + r(r-1)/2]$ in lexicographic order for the off-diagonal entries of A. Lastly, use $[mN_1 + r(r-1)/2 + 1, N]$ in natural order for the diagonals of A. The lower triangular part duplicates the upper triangular part.

Consider the matrix M_m . Clearly it satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.1. Hence $\mathcal{R}_j(M_m)$ is a strictly increasing function of j, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Thus $w(v_{i,j}) = w(v_{m,j})$ is a strictly increasing function of j, $1 \leq j \leq n - r$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

By the structure of B_i and A, and by Lemma 2.2(i), we have

$$w(u_{n-r+j}) = \mathcal{R}_j(A) + \sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{R}_j(B_i^T) = \mathcal{R}_j(A) + \sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{C}_j(B_i)$$

< $\mathcal{R}_{j+1}(A) + \sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{C}_{j+1}(B_i) = w(u_{n-r+j+1}),$

for $1 \leq j \leq r - 1$.

Thus $\chi_{lat}(A(mK_n, K_r)) = n$ since $\chi(A(mK_n, K_r)) = n$.

Remark 2.2: Suppose f is a local antimagic total labeling of a graph G and M is the corresponding total labeling matrix. From the proof of Theorem 1.1(b) we can see that, if (M) does not equal to every row (also column) sum of M, then f induces a local antimagic labeling of $G \vee K_1$. Moreover, $\chi(G \vee K_1) \leq \chi_{la}(G \vee K_1) \leq \chi_{lat}(G) + 1$.

Corollary 2.4: For $m \ge 2$, *n* even and $n > r \ge 0$, $\chi_{la}(A(mK_{n+1}, K_{r+1})) = \chi_{la}(A(mK_n, K_r) \lor K_1) = n+1$.

Proof. Note that $A(mK_{n+1}, K_{r+1}) \cong A(mK_n, K_r) \lor K_1$. Since $\chi_{la}(A(mK_n, K_r) \lor K_1) \ge \chi(A(mK_n, K_r) \lor K_1) = n + 1$, we only need to show $\chi_{la}(A(mK_n, K_r) \lor K_1) \le n + 1$. Keep the total labeling matrix M of $A(mK_n, K_r)$ in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Since each diagonal of M is the largest entry in the corresponding column, (M) is larger than each row sum of M. Thus, (M) is greater than all vertex weights of $A(mK_n, K_r)$. By Remark 2.2, we get that $\chi_{la}(A(mK_n, K_r) \vee K_1) \leq n+1$.

Example 2.1: We take m = 3, n = 6 and r = 0. The guide matrix is

uiue ma	1011X IS	,			
/ +16	-1	+2	-3	+4	-5
-1	+17	-6	+7	$^{-8}$	+9
+2	-6	-18	+10	+11	-12
-3	+7	+10	-19	-13	+14
+4	$^{-8}$	+11	-13	+20	-15
$\sqrt{-5}$	+9	-12	+14	-15	+21 /
	$ \begin{pmatrix} +16 \\ -1 \\ +2 \\ -3 \\ +4 \\ -5 \end{pmatrix} $	$ \begin{pmatrix} +16 & -1 \\ -1 & +17 \\ +2 & -6 \\ -3 & +7 \\ +4 & -8 \\ -5 & +9 \end{pmatrix} $	$ \begin{pmatrix} +16 & -1 & +2 \\ -1 & +17 & -6 \\ +2 & -6 & -18 \\ -3 & +7 & +10 \\ +4 & -8 & +11 \\ -5 & +9 & -12 \end{pmatrix} $	$ \begin{pmatrix} +16 & -1 & +2 & -3 \\ -1 & +17 & -6 & +7 \\ +2 & -6 & -18 & +10 \\ -3 & +7 & +10 & -19 \\ +4 & -8 & +11 & -13 \\ -5 & +9 & -12 & +14 \end{pmatrix} $	$ \begin{pmatrix} +16 & -1 & +2 & -3 & +4 \\ -1 & +17 & -6 & +7 & -8 \\ +2 & -6 & -18 & +10 & +11 \\ -3 & +7 & +10 & -19 & -13 \\ +4 & -8 & +11 & -13 & +20 \\ -5 & +9 & -12 & +14 & -15 \\ \end{pmatrix} $

M_1	$v_{1,1}$	$v_{1,2}$	$v_{1,3}$	$v_{1,4}$	$v_{1,5}$	$v_{1,6}$	sum
$v_{1,1}$	46	3	4	9	10	15	87
$v_{1,2}$	3	49	18	19	24	25	138
$v_{1,3}$	4	18	54	28	31	36	171
$v_{1,4}$	9	19	28	57	39	40	192
$v_{1,5}$	10	24	31	39	58	45	207
111 0	15	25	- 36	40	45	61	222

M_3	$v_{3,1}$	$v_{3,2}$	$v_{3,3}$	$v_{3,4}$	$v_{3,5}$	$v_{3,6}$	sum
$v_{3,1}$	48	1	6	7	12	13	87
$v_{3,2}$	1	51	16	21	22	27	138
$v_{3,3}$	6	16	52	30	33	34	171
$v_{3,4}$	7	21	30	55	37	42	192
$v_{3,5}$	12	22	33	37	60	43	207
$v_{3,6}$	13	27	34	42	43	63	222

$v_{2,1}$	47	2	5	8	11	14	87
$v_{2,2}$	2	50	17	20	23	26	138

 M_2 || $v_{2,1}$ || $v_{2,2}$ || $v_{2,3}$ || $v_{2,4}$ || $v_{2,5}$ || $v_{2,6}$ || sum

 $v_{2,3}$

 $v_{2,4}$

 $v_{2,5}$

 $v_{2,6}$

The	above	matrice	s give	$\chi_{lat}(3K_6$) = 6.	Let v	be the	e vertex	t of K_1	1. If	${\rm the}$	main	diago	nal	labels are	e the
edge	labels	of $3K_6$	$\vee K_1$	$\operatorname{incident}$	with u	v, then	the in	nduced	label	of v	is 9	81.]	Thus,	the	matrices	give
$\chi_{la}(z)$	$3K_6 \vee $	$K_1) = 7.$														

Deleting edge $vv_{3,6}$ of label 63 from $3K_6 \vee K_1$, we get a local antimagic labeling of $(3K_6 \vee K_1) - vv_{3,6}$. Thus, by symmetry, $\chi_{la}((3K_6 \vee K_1) - e) = 7$ for e not belonging to any K_6 .

Delete the edge $v_{3,1}v_{3,2}$ that has label 1 and reduce all other labels by 1. We get $\chi_{lat}(3K_6 - e) = 6$ by symmetry for *e* that belongs to any K_6 . Now, if the main diagonal labels are the edge labels of $(3K_6 - e) \vee K_1$ incident with *v*, then we have $\chi_{la}(3K_6 \vee K_1) - e) = 7$ for *e* that belongs to any K_6 .

Example 2.2: We take m = 3, n = 6 and r = 1. The guide matrix is obtained from the guide matrix of Example 2.1 by deleting the last row. So we have

	46	3	4	9	10	15	87	١		(47	2	5	8	11	14	87	\
	3	49	18	19	24	25	138			2	50	17	20	23	26	138	
M _	4	18	54	28	31	36	171		M _	5	17	53	29	32	35	171	
$M_1 =$	9	19	28	57	39	40	192	,	$M_2 =$	8	20	29	56	38	41	192	
	10	24	31	39	58	45	207			11	23	32	38	59	44	207	
	15	25	36	40	45	61	222	/		14	26	38	41	44	61	221	/
	`									`							
	48	1	6	7	12	13	87	١		,						. ,	
	$\begin{pmatrix} 48\\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{51}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 16 \end{array}$	$7\\21$	$\frac{12}{22}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13\\27\end{array}$	87 138			,						. ,	
М —	$ \begin{pmatrix} 48\\ 1\\ 6 \end{bmatrix} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 51 \\ 16 \end{array} $		7 21 30	12 22 33	$ \begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 27 \\ 34 \end{array} $	87 138 171			,						. ,	
$M_3 =$	$ \begin{pmatrix} 48\\ 1\\ 6\\ 7 \end{bmatrix} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 51 \\ 16 \\ 21 \end{array} $	6 16 52 30	$7 \\ 21 \\ 30 \\ 55$	12 22 33 37	13 27 34 42	87 138 171 192).		,						. ,	
$M_3 =$	$\begin{pmatrix} 48 \\ 1 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 12 \end{pmatrix}$	$egin{array}{c} 1 \\ 51 \\ 16 \\ 21 \\ 22 \end{array}$	6 16 52 30 33	$7 \\ 21 \\ 30 \\ 55 \\ 37$	12 22 33 37 60	13 27 34 42 43	87 138 171 192 207			、 、						. ,	

The last column of each matrix is the corresponding row sum. Now $w(u_6) = 222 + 221 + 220 - 2 \times 61 = 541$. Hence $\chi_{lat}(A(3K_6, K_1)) = 6$. Since (M) = 856, $\chi_{la}(A(3K_6, K_1) \vee K_1) = 7$.

Corollary 2.5: For $m \ge 2$, $\chi_{la}(mK_{4k+1}) = 4k + 1$.

Proof. Consider the total labeling matrix of mK_{4k} defined in the proof of Theorem 2.3. For each matrix $M_i = L_i$, $1 \le i \le m$, we add the (n + 1)-st extra column at the right of M_i with entry *. For each row of this matrix, swap the diagonal entry with the entry of the (n + 1)-st column. Add the (n + 1)-st extra row to this matrix and let the (n + 1, n + 1)-entry be * and then make the resulting matrix Q_i to be symmetric. Then Q_i is a labeling matrix of the *i*-th copy of K_{4k+1} .

By Remark 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 (iv), all the diagonal sums of M_i 's are the same, $1 \le i \le n$. Thus the *j*-th row sum of Q_i is independent of $i, 1 \le j \le n+1$. Hence we have $\chi_{la}(mK_{4k+1}) \le 4k+1$. Since $\chi(mK_{4k+1}) = 4k+1, \chi_{la}(mK_{4k+1}) = 4k+1$.

Example 2.3: We take m = 3, n = 4. So

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} +7 & -1 & +2 & -3\\ -1 & +8 & -4 & +5\\ +2 & -4 & -9 & +6\\ -3 & +5 & +6 & -10 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$M_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 19 & 3 & 4 & 9 \\ 3 & 22 & 12 & 13 \\ 4 & 12 & 27 & 16 \\ 9 & 13 & 16 & 30 \\ \end{pmatrix}, M_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 20 & 2 & 5 & 8 \\ 2 & 23 & 11 & 14 \\ 5 & 11 & 26 & 17 \\ 8 & 14 & 17 & 29 \\ \end{pmatrix}, M_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 21 & 1 & 6 & 7 \\ 1 & 24 & 10 & 15 \\ 6 & 10 & 25 & 18 \\ 7 & 15 & 18 & 28 \\ \end{pmatrix}, M_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 21 & 1 & 6 & 7 \\ 1 & 24 & 10 & 15 \\ 6 & 10 & 25 & 18 \\ 7 & 15 & 18 & 28 \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus $\chi_{lat}(3K_4) = 4.$

Let

$$Q_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & 3 & 4 & 9 & 19 \\ 3 & * & 12 & 13 & 22 \\ 4 & 12 & * & 16 & 27 \\ 9 & 13 & 16 & * & 30 \\ 19 & 22 & 27 & 30 & * \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\$$

Thus $\chi_{la}(3K_5) = 5.$

Theorem 2.6: For $m \ge 2$, odd $n \ge 3$ and $n > r \ge 3$, $\chi_{lat}(A(mK_n, K_r)) = n$.

Proof. Suppose r is odd so that n - r is even.

Stage 1: Using the same approach of the proof of Theorem 2.3, we construct an $(n-r) \times (n-r)$ guide matrix \mathcal{M} .

Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3, we use the guide matrix \mathcal{M} for all entries of L_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, using labels in $[1, mN_2]$, where $N_2 = (n-r)(n-r+1)/2$. Thus, $\mathcal{R}_j(L_i)$ is a function only depending on j and is strictly increasing, for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-r$.

Stage 2: Use $[mN_2 + 1, mN_2 + (m(n-r) + 1)r]$ to form an $(m(n-r) + 1) \times r$ magic rectangle Ω . Note that the existence of this magic rectangle is referred to in [4]. Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \\ \vdots \\ B_m \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \Omega,$$

where $\alpha = (A_{1,1}, A_{2,2}, \dots, A_{r,r})$. Now, for a fixed $i, w(v_{i,j}) = \mathcal{R}_j(L_i) + \mathcal{R}_j(B_i)$. So $w(v_{i,j})$ is a function only depending on j and is strictly increasing, for $1 \le i \le m$ and $1 \le j \le n - r$.

Stage 3: Use the increasing sequence $[mN_2 + (m(n-r) + 1)r + 1, N]$ in lexicographic order for the remaining entries of the upper triangular part of A. For $1 \le j \le r$,

$$= \mathcal{C}_{j+1}(\Omega) + \sum_{\substack{l=1\\l \neq j+1}}^{r} A_{j+1,l} = w(u_{n-r+j+1}).$$

So $w(u_{n-r+j})$ is a strictly increasing function of j for $1 \le j \le r$.

$$w(v_{m,n-r}) = \mathcal{R}_{n-r}(L_m) + \mathcal{R}_{n-r}(B_m) = \mathcal{C}_{n-r}(L_m) + \mathcal{R}_1(\alpha) \qquad \text{(since } \Omega \text{ is a magic rectangle)}$$
$$< \mathcal{C}_1(B_m) + \mathcal{R}_1(\alpha) < \mathcal{R}_1(B_m^T) + \sum_{k=1}^r A_{1,k} < w(u_{n-r+1}).$$

Thus, we have $\chi_{lat}A(mK_n, K_r) = n$.

Suppose r is even so that n - r + 1 is even.

(a) Suppose m is odd so that m(n-r) and r-1 are odd and at least 3.

Stage 1(a): We use a modification of the proof of Theorem 2.3. Firstly we use the $(n-r) \times (n-r+1)$ sign matrix \mathcal{S} . Next, we define an $(n-r) \times (n-r+1)$ matrix \mathcal{M}' by assigning the increasing sequence [1, (n-r)(n-r+1)/2] in lexicographic order to the off-diagonal entries of the upper triangular part of \mathcal{M}' . Now, assign [(n-r)(n+r+1)/2 - (n-r-1), (n-r)(n+r+1)/2] to the entries of the main diagonal of \mathcal{M}' in natural order. The $(n-r) \times (n-r+1)$ guide matrix \mathcal{M} is defined the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Write $B_i = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_i & X_i \end{pmatrix}$, where β_i and X_i are $(n-r) \times 1$ and $(n-r) \times (r-1)$ matrices, respectively. Similar to Stage 1 of the odd r case, for $\begin{pmatrix} L_i & \beta_i \end{pmatrix}$ use the labels in $[1, mZ_1] \cup [m[Z_2 - (n-r)] + 1, mZ_2]$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, where $Z_1 = (n-r)(n-r+1)/2$ and $Z_2 = Z_1 + (n-r)r$.

Thus, $\mathcal{R}_j \begin{pmatrix} L_i & \beta_i \end{pmatrix}$ is a function only depending on j and is a strictly increasing function of j, $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-r$.

Stage 2(a): Use $[mZ_1 + 1, m[Z_1 + (n-r)(r-1)]]$ to form an $m(n-r) \times (r-1)$ magic rectangle Ω . Let



Now, for a fixed i, $w(v_{i,j}) = \mathcal{R}_j \begin{pmatrix} L_i & \beta_i \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{R}_j (X_i)$. So $w(v_{i,j})$ is a function only depending on j and is a strictly increasing function of j for $1 \le j \le n - r$ and $1 \le i \le m$.

Stage 3(a): Use the increasing sequence $[mZ_2 + 1, mZ_2 + (r-1)r/2]$ in lexicographic order for the off-diagonal entries of the upper triangular part of A and then use $[mZ_2 + (r-1)r/2 + 1, N]$ in natural order for the diagonals of A. By Lemma 2.1, $\mathcal{R}_j(A)$ is a strictly increasing function of j for $1 \leq j \leq r$.

Now, for $2 \leq j \leq r$,

$$w(u_{n-r+j}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r} (B_i^T)_{j,k} + \sum_{l=1}^{r} A_{j,l} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r} (B_i)_{k,j} + \sum_{l=1}^{r} A_{j,l}$$
$$= \mathcal{C}_{j-1}(\Omega) + \mathcal{R}_j(A).$$

So $w(u_{n-r+j})$ is a strictly increasing function of j for $2 \le j \le r$. Next

$$w(u_{n-r+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r} (B_i^T)_{1,k} + \sum_{l=1}^{r} A_{1,l} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r} (B_i)_{k,1} + \mathcal{R}_1(A)$$
$$< \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r} (B_i)_{k,2} + \mathcal{R}_2(A) = w(u_{n-r+2}).$$

Now

$$w(v_{m,n-r}) = \mathcal{R}_{n-r}(L_m) + \mathcal{R}_{n-r}(B_m) = \mathcal{C}_{n-r}(L_m) + \mathcal{R}_{n-r}(B_m)$$

$$< \mathcal{C}_1(B_m) + \sum_{k=1}^r A_{1,k} = \mathcal{R}_1(B_m^T) + \sum_{k=1}^r A_{1,k} < w(u_{n-r+1}).$$

Thus we have $\chi_{lat}(A(mK_n, K_r)) = n$.

(b) Suppose m is even so that m(n-r) is even.

Stage 1(b): Similar to Stage 1 of the odd r case we define an $(n-r) \times (n-r+1)$ guide matrix \mathcal{M} .

Write $B_i = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_i & X_i \end{pmatrix}$, where β_i and X_i are $(n-r) \times 1$ and $(n-r) \times (r-1)$ matrices, respectively. Similar to Stage 1 of the odd r case, for $\begin{pmatrix} L_i & \beta_i \end{pmatrix}$ use labels in $[1, mN_3]$, $1 \le i \le m$, where $N_3 = (n-r+3)(n-r)/2$. Thus, $\mathcal{R}_j \begin{pmatrix} L_i & \beta_i \end{pmatrix}$ is a function only depending on j and is a strictly increasing function of j, $1 \le i \le m$ and $1 \le j \le n-r$.

Stage 2(b): $[mN_3 + 2, mN_3 + (m(n-r) + 1)(r-1) + 1]$ to form an $(m(n-r) + 1) \times (r-1)$ magic rectangle Ω . We will assign $mN_3 + 1$ to $A_{1,1}$ in the next stage.

Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ \vdots \\ X_m \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \Omega,$$

where α is a $1 \times (r-1)$ matrix.

Same as Stage 2(a), we have $w(v_{i,j})$ is a function only depending on j and is a strictly increasing function for $1 \le j \le n-r$ and $1 \le i \le m$.

Stage 3(b): Let $A_{1,1} = mN_3 + 1$. Use the increasing sequence $[mN_3 + (m(n-r) + 1)(r-1) + 2, N]$ in lexicographic order for the remaining entries of the upper triangular part of A.

By the same proof of Stage 3 of the odd r case, we have $w(u_{n-r+j})$ is a strictly increasing function of $j, 2 \leq j \leq r$. By a similar proof of Stage 3(a) we have $w(u_{n-r+1}) < w(u_{n-r+2})$. Now

$$w(v_{m,n-r}) = \mathcal{R}_{n-r}(L_m) + \mathcal{R}_{n-r}(B_m) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-r} (L_m)_{n-r,k} + (\beta_m)_{n-r,1} + \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} (X_m)_{n-r,k}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n-r-1} (L_m)_{k,n-r} + (L_m)_{n-r,n-r} + (\beta_m)_{n-r,1} + \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} (X_m)_{n-r,k}$$
$$< \sum_{k=1}^{n-r-1} (\beta_m)_{k,1} + A_{1,1} + (\beta_m)_{n-r,1} + \sum_{k=2}^{r} A_{1,k} < w(u_{n-r+1}).$$
(2.3)

Thus we have $\chi_{lat}(A(mK_n, K_r)) = n$.

Corollary 2.7: For $m \ge 2$, n odd and $n > r \ge 3$, $\chi_{la}(A(mK_{n+1}, K_{r+1})) = \chi_{la}(A(mK_n, K_r) \lor K_1) = n+1$.

Proof. Similar to Corollary 2.4, we only need to show that $\chi_{la}(A(mK_n, K_r) \vee K_1) \leq n+1$. Keep the construction in the proof of Theorem 2.6.

(A) Suppose r is odd so that $n - r \ge 2$ is even.

$$w(v_{m,n-r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} (L_m)_{n-r,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} (B_m)_{n-r,i}$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^{n-r} (L_m)_{i,n-r} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} A_{i,i}$ (since Ω is a magic rectangle)
< $\sum_{i=1}^{n-r} (L_m)_{i,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} A_{i,i} \le (M).$

Next

$$(M) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n-r} (L_i)_{j,j} + A_{1,1} + \sum_{k=2}^{r} A_{k,k} < \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n-r} (B_i)_{j,1} + A_{1,1} + \sum_{k=2}^{r} A_{k,k}$$

$$< \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n-r} (B_i)_{j,1} + A_{1,1} + \sum_{k=2}^{r} A_{1,k}$$

$$= w(u_{n-r+1}).$$

Thus we have $w(v_{m,n-r}) < (M) < w(u_{n-r+1})$.

- (B) Suppose r is even and m is odd. Since each diagonal of M is the largest entry in the corresponding column, (M) is larger than all the vertex weights.
- (C) Suppose r is even and m is even.

$$(M) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n-r} (L_i)_{j,j} + \sum_{k=1}^{r} A_{k,k} < \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n-r} (X_i)_{j,1} + \sum_{k=1}^{r} A_{2,k}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n-r} (X_i^T)_{1,j} + \sum_{k=1}^{r} A_{2,k} = w(u_{n-r+2}).$$

$$w(u_{n-r+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r} (\beta_i)_{k,1} + A_{1,1} + \sum_{k=2}^{r} A_{1,k}$$

$$< \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r} (L_i)_{k,k} + A_{1,1} + \sum_{k=2}^{r} A_{1,k}$$

$$< \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n-r} (L_i)_{k,k} + A_{1,1} + \sum_{k=2}^{r} A_{k,k} = (M)$$

From Remark 2.2, we have $\chi_{la}(A(mK_n, K_r) \vee K_1) \leq n+1$.

Example 2.4: We take m = 2, n = 7 and r = 3. The guide matrix is

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} +7 & -1 & +2 & -3 \\ -1 & +8 & -4 & +5 \\ +2 & -4 & -9 & +6 \\ -3 & +9 & +6 & -10 \end{pmatrix}; \quad L_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 13 & 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 15 & 8 & 9 \\ 3 & 8 & 18 & 11 \\ 6 & 9 & 11 & 20 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 14 & 1 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 16 & 7 & 10 \\ 4 & 7 & 17 & 12 \\ 5 & 10 & 12 & 19 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 25 & 30 & 47 \\ 34 & 39 & 29 \\ 36 & 44 & 22 \\ 41 & 28 & 33 \\ \hline 43 & 21 & 38 \\ 45 & 26 & 31 \\ 23 & 37 & 42 \\ 27 & 35 & 40 \\ \hline 32 & 46 & 24 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 32 & 48 & 49 \\ 48 & 46 & 50 \\ 49 & 50 & 24 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 13 & 2 & 3 & 6 & * & * & * & * & 25 & 30 & 47 & 126 \\ 2 & 15 & 8 & 9 & * & * & * & * & 34 & 39 & 29 & 136 \\ 3 & 8 & 18 & 11 & * & * & * & * & 36 & 44 & 22 & 142 \\ 6 & 9 & 11 & 20 & * & * & * & * & 41 & 28 & 33 & 148 \\ \hline & * & * & * & * & 14 & 1 & 4 & 5 & 43 & 21 & 38 & 126 \\ & * & * & * & * & 14 & 1 & 4 & 5 & 43 & 21 & 38 & 126 \\ & * & * & * & * & 1 & 16 & 7 & 10 & 45 & 26 & 31 & 136 \\ & * & * & * & * & 41 & 7 & 17 & 12 & 23 & 37 & 42 & 142 \\ \hline & * & * & * & * & 4 & 7 & 177 & 12 & 23 & 37 & 42 & 142 \\ & * & * & * & * & 5 & 10 & 12 & 19 & 27 & 35 & 40 & 148 \\ \hline & 25 & 34 & 36 & 41 & 43 & 45 & 23 & 27 & 32 & 48 & 49 & 403 \\ & 30 & 39 & 44 & 28 & 21 & 26 & 37 & 35 & 48 & 46 & 50 & 404 \\ & 47 & 29 & 22 & 33 & 38 & 31 & 42 & 40 & 49 & 50 & 24 & 405 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $\chi_{lat}(A(2K_7, K_3)) = 7$. Since (M) = 234, $\chi_{la}(A(2K_7, K_3) \vee K_1) = 8$.

Example 2.5: We take m = 3, n = 7 and r = 4. The guide matrix is

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} +16 & -1 & +2 & -3 \\ -1 & +17 & -4 & +5 \\ +2 & -4 & -18 & +6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(L_1|\beta_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 46 & 3 & 4 & | & 9 \\ 3 & 49 & 12 & | & 13 \\ 4 & 12 & 54 & | & 16 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (L_2|\beta_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 47 & 2 & 5 & | & 8 \\ 2 & 50 & 11 & | & 14 \\ 5 & 11 & 53 & | & 17 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (L_3|\beta_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 48 & 1 & 6 & | & 7 \\ 1 & 51 & 10 & | & 15 \\ 6 & 10 & 52 & | & 18 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ X_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 27 & 32 & 37 \\ 20 & 34 & 42 \\ \frac{31 & 39 & 26 \\ -36 & 41 & 19 \\ 29 & 43 & 24 \\ \frac{40}{21 & 35 } \\ \frac{38 & 25 & 31 \\ 22 & 30 & 44 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 61 & 55 & 56 & 57 \\ 55 & 62 & 58 & 59 \\ 56 & 58 & 63 & 60 \\ 57 & 59 & 60 & 64 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 46 & 3 & 4 & | & * & * & | & * & * & | & * & * & | & 13 & 20 & 34 & 42 \\ \frac{41 & 22 & 54 & * & * & * & * & * & 16 & 31 & 39 & 26 & 182 \\ \hline & * & * & * & 47 & 2 & 5 & * & * & * & 8 & 36 & 41 & 19 & 158 \\ \hline & * & * & * & 47 & 2 & 5 & * & * & * & 8 & 36 & 41 & 19 & 158 \\ \hline & * & * & * & 2 & 50 & 11 & * & * & * & 14 & 29 & 43 & 24 & 173 \\ \hline & * & * & * & 5 & 11 & 53 & * & * & 17 & 40 & 21 & 35 & 182 \\ \hline & * & * & * & * & * & * & 48 & 1 & 6 & 7 & 45 & 23 & 28 & 158 \\ \hline & * & * & * & * & * & * & 48 & 1 & 6 & 10 & 52 & 18 & 22 & 30 & 44 & 182 \\ \hline & 9 & 13 & 16 & 8 & 14 & 17 & 7 & 15 & 18 & 61 & 55 & 56 & 57 & 346 \\ \hline & 9 & 13 & 16 & 8 & 14 & 17 & 7 & 15 & 18 & 61 & 55 & 56 & 57 & 346 \\ \hline & 9 & 13 & 16 & 8 & 14 & 17 & 7 & 15 & 18 & 61 & 55 & 56 & 57 & 346 \\ \hline & 9 & 13 & 16 & 29 & 40 & 45 & 82 & 25 & 56 & 25 & 85 & 5522 \\ \hline & 32 & 34 & 39 & 41 & 43 & 21 & 23 & 25 & 30 & 56 & 58 & 63 & 60 & 525 \\ \hline & 37 & 42 & 26 & | & 9 & 24 & 35 & 28 & 33 & 44 & 57 & 59 & 60 & 64 & | & 528 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus $\chi_{lat}(A(3K_7, K_4)) = 7$. Since (M) = 700, $\chi_{la}(A(3K_7, K_4) \vee K_1) = 8$.

Example 2.6: We take m = 2, n = 7 and r = 4. The guide matrix is

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} +7 & -1 & +2 & -3 \\ -1 & +8 & -4 & +5 \\ +2 & -4 & -9 & +6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (L_1|\beta_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 13 & 2 & 3 & | 6 \\ 2 & 15 & 8 & | 9 \\ 3 & 8 & 18 & | 11 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (L_2|\beta_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 14 & 1 & 4 & | 5 \\ 1 & 16 & 7 & | 10 \\ 4 & 7 & 17 & | 12 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 27 & 25 & 38 \\ 24 & 31 & 35 \\ 21 & 32 & 37 \\ \overline{39 & 22 & 29} \\ 36 & 26 & 28 \\ \overline{33 & 34 & 23} \\ \overline{30 & 40 & 20} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 19 & 30 & 40 & 20 \\ 30 & 41 & 42 & 43 \\ 40 & 42 & 44 & 45 \\ 20 & 43 & 45 & 46 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 13 & 2 & 3 & | * & * & * & | 6 & 27 & 25 & 38 \\ 1 & 15 & 8 & | * & * & * & | 9 & 24 & 31 & 35 \\ \overline{38 & 818} & | * & * & * & | 121 & 32 & 37 & | 130 \\ \hline * & * & * & 11 & 16 & 7 & 10 & 36 & 26 & 28 & | 124 \\ \hline * & * & * & 1 & 16 & 7 & 10 & 36 & 26 & 28 & | 124 \\ \hline * & * & * & 1 & 16 & 7 & 10 & 36 & 26 & 28 & | 124 \\ \hline * & * & * & 1 & 16 & 7 & 10 & 36 & 26 & 28 & | 124 \\ \hline * & * & * & 1 & 16 & 7 & 10 & 36 & 26 & 28 & | 124 \\ \hline 27 & 24 & 21 & 39 & 36 & 33 & 30 & 41 & 42 & 43 & | 336 \\ \hline 25 & 31 & 32 & 22 & 26 & 34 & 40 & 42 & 44 & 45 & | 341 \\ \hline 38 & 35 & 37 & | 29 & 28 & 23 & | 20 & 43 & 45 & 46 & | 344 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $\chi_{lat}(A(2K_7, K_4)) = 7$. Since $\mathcal{D}(M) = 243$, $\chi_{la}(A(2K_7, K_4) \lor K_1) = 8$.

Theorem 2.8: For $m \ge 2$ and $n = 4k + 3 \ge 3$, $\chi_{lat}(mK_n) \le n + 1$.

Proof. Consider S_{4k+2} . Change each diagonal entry of S_{4k+2} from ± 1 to ± 2 . Let this new sign matrix be S'. Now define S_{4k+3} by extending S' to a 4k + 3 square matrix by adding the last column and row. Use +1, -1 or +2 for each entry of this new column and row such that the row sum and the column sums of S_{4k+3} are zero.

Define a symmetric matrix \mathcal{M}' of order 4k + 3 by using the increasing sequence [1, (2k + 1)(4k + 3)] in lexicographic order for the upper triangular entries of \mathcal{M}' , and use * for all diagonal entries. Now let \mathcal{M} be the guide matrix whose (j, l)-th entry is * if j = l; and $(\mathcal{S}_{4k+3})_{j,l}(\mathcal{M}')_{j,l}$, $1 \leq j, l \leq 4k + 3$ if $j \neq l$.

Stage 1: Using the same procedure as Stage 1 in the proof of Theorem 2.3 fill the off-diagonals of the m submatrices L_i , $1 \le i \le m$, with labels in $[1, mN_4]$, where $N_4 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$.

Stage 2: Let $T(2a-1) = \{mN_4+2l-1+2m(a-1) \mid 1 \leq l \leq m\}$ and $T(2a) = \{mN_4+2l+2m(a-1) \mid 1 \leq l \leq m\}$, $1 \leq a \leq 2k+1$. For $1 \leq j \leq 4k+2$, the (j, j)-entry of L_i is the *i*-th term of $T^-(j)$ or $T^+(j)$, if the corresponding (j, j)-entry of \mathcal{M} is -2 or +2, respectively.

Stage 3: Let $U(1) = \{mN_4 + (4k+2)m + 2l - 1 \mid 1 \leq l \leq \lceil m/2 \rceil\}$ and $U(2) = \{mN_4 + (4k+2)m + 2l \mid 1 \leq l \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor\}$. Let U be the compound sequence $U^+(1)U^+(2)$, i.e., list the terms of $U^+(1)$ first and then follow with the terms of $U^+(2)$. The (4k+3, 4k+3)-entry of L_i is the *i*-th term of U.

For a fixed $j, 1 \leq j \leq 4k+2$, according to the structures of S(a)'s and T(a)'s, $(L_{i+1})_{j,l} - (L_i)_{j,l}$ is $(S_{4k+3})_{j,l}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1, 1 \leq l \leq 4k+3$. Thus, $w(v_{i,j})$ is a constant for a fixed j.

Similarly, $(L_{i+1})_{4k+3,l} - (L_i)_{4k+3,l}$ is $(\mathcal{S}_{4k+3})_{4k+3,l}$ for $1 \le i \le m-1, 1 \le l \le 4k+2$. Finally, for $1 \le i \le m-1$,

$$(L_{i+1})_{4k+3,4k+3} - (L_i)_{4k+3,4k+3} = +2$$
 if $i \neq \lceil m/2 \rceil$.

Thus, $\{w(v_{i,4k+3}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ consists of two different values.

Since each L_i satisfies the condition of Lemma 2.1, $\mathcal{R}_j(L_i)$ is a strictly increasing function of j. Hence $\chi_{lat}(mK_n) \leq n+1$.

Example 2.7: Take n = 7 and m = 2. So

$$S_{6} = \begin{pmatrix} +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 \\ -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 \\ +1 & -1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 \\ -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 \\ -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 \\ -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 \\ -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 \\ -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 \\ -1 & +1 & -1 & +2 & -1 & +1 \\ -1 & +1 & -1 & +2 & -1 & +1 \\ -1 & +1 & -1 & +2 & -1 & +1 \\ -1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & +2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & +1 & -1 & -1 & +2 \\ \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 43 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 7 & 10 & 12 \\ 2 & 44 & 14 & 15 & 18 & 19 & 22 \\ 3 & 14 & 49 & 23 & 25 & 28 & 29 \\ 6 & 15 & 23 & 50 & 32 & 33 & 35 \\ 7 & 18 & 25 & 32 & 51 & 38 & 40 \\ 10 & 19 & 28 & 33 & 38 & 52 & 42 \\ 12 & 22 & 29 & 35 & 40 & 42 & 55 \\ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 45 & 1 & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 & 11 \\ 4 & 13 & 16 & 17 & 20 & 21 \\ 4 & 13 & 47 & 24 & 26 & 27 & 30 \\ 5 & 16 & 24 & 48 & 31 & 34 & 36 \\ 8 & 17 & 26 & 31 & 53 & 37 & 39 & 211 \\ 9 & 20 & 27 & 34 & 37 & 54 & 41 \\ 224 & 11 & 21 & 30 & 36 & 39 & 41 & 56 \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

So $\chi_{lat}(2K_7) \leq 8$.

Theorem 2.9: For $m \ge 2$ and $n = 4k + 1 \ge 5$, $\chi_{lat}(mK_n) \le \min\{n+3, n-1+m\}$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.8 we will define a sign matrix S and a guide matrix \mathcal{M} of order 4k + 1. We define a $(4k) \times (4k)$ matrix S'_{4k} first.

Let
$$S'_4 = \begin{pmatrix} +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 \\ -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 \\ +1 & -1 & +1 & -1 \\ -1 & +1 & -1 & +1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $S'_{4k} = \begin{pmatrix} & & S'_4 \\ \vdots \\ & & & S'_{4k-4} \\ \hline S'_4 & & & S'_4 \\ \hline \end{pmatrix}$ when $k \ge 2$.

Now, we define a symmetric sign matrix S_{4k+1} of order 4k + 1 using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 2.8. Note that the (4k + 1, 4k + 1)-entry of S_{4k+1} is +4. The definition of a guide matrix \mathcal{M} and Stage 1 are similar to the proof of Theorem 2.8.

Stage 2: Using a similar procedure to Stage 2 in the proof of Theorem 2.8, fill all diagonals of each L_i except $(L_i)_{4k+1,4k+1}$ by using T(j) defined in the proof of Theorem 2.8, $1 \le j \le 4k$.

Now we have to fill $[mN_4 + 4mk + 1, mN_4 + 4mk + m]$ in the $(L_i)_{4k+1,4k+1}$, here $N_4 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. Suppose $m = 4s + m_0$, for some $s \ge 0$ and $0 \le m_0 < 4$.

Suppose $s \ge 1$. Let $U(a) = \{mN_4 + 4km + a + 4l \mid 0 \le l \le s\}$ for $1 \le a \le m_0$; and $U(a) = \{mN_4 + 4km + a + 4l \mid 0 \le l \le s - 1\}$ for $m_0 < a \le 4$. Let U be the compound sequence $U^+(1)U^+(2)U^+(3)U^+(4)$. Let the (4k + 1, 4k + 1)-entry of L_i be the *i*-th term of U.

Using a proof similar to Theorem 2.8, we have $\chi_{lat}(mK_n) \leq n+3$.

Suppose s = 0. That means $2 \le m \le 4$. Then fill $[mN_4 + 4km + 1, mN_4 + 4km + m]$ to the (4k+1, 4k+1)-entry of L_i , respectively.

Using a proof similar to that above, $\mathcal{R}_j(L_i)$ is a strictly increasing function of j and hence we have $\chi_{lat}(mK_n) \leq n - 1 + m$.

Combining these two cases, we conclude that $\chi_{lat}(mK_n) \leq \min\{n+3, n-1+m\}$.

Corollary 2.10: Let $m \ge 2$ and odd $n \ge 3$, $\chi_{lat}(A(mK_n, K_1)) = n$.

Proof. From the proofs of Theorems 2.8 and 2.9, we see that the (n, n)-th entries of all L_i 's are the largest m labels. If we change $(L_i)_{n,n}$ to N and denote this new matrix by M_i , then the matrix M becomes a total labeling matrix of $A(mK_n, K_1)$ with n difference row sums. Note that, $(L_i)_{n,n}$'s are identified to $A_{1,1}$. Thus $\chi_{lat}(A(mK_n, K_1)) = n$.

Example 2.8: Let n = 9, m = 2. Then

	/ 11	1	1.1	1	1.1	1	+ 1	1 \		(+2	$^{-1}$	+1	$^{-1}$	+1	$^{-1}$	+1	-1	-1								
	1 +1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1		$^{-1}$	+2	-1	$^{+1}$	-1	+1	$^{-1}$	+1	-1								
	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1		$^{+1}$	$^{-1}$	+2	$^{-1}$	+1	$^{-1}$	$^{+1}$	$^{-1}$	-1								
	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1		-1	+1	-1	+2	$^{-1}$	+1	-1	+1	-1								
$\mathcal{S}_8' = $	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	$\rightarrow S_9 =$	+1	$^{-1}$	+1	$^{-1}$	+2	$^{-1}$	+1	$^{-1}$	-1								
Ũ	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1		$^{-1}$	+1	-1	+1	-1	+2	-1	$^{+1}$	-1								
	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1 +1	-1 +1	-1 +1	-1 +1	1 +1	·1 +1	1 +1	+1		$^{+1}$	$^{-1}$	+1	$^{-1}$	+1	-1	$^{-2}$	+1	+1
	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	-1	+1		$^{-1}$	+1	$^{-1}$	+1	-1	+1	+1	-2	+1								
	\ -1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	+1	-1 /		-1	$^{-1}$	$^{-1}$	$^{-1}$	-1	-1	+1	+1	+4								

Hence

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} * & -1 & +2 & -3 & +4 & -5 & +6 & -7 & -8 \\ -1 & * & -9 & +10 & -11 & +12 & -13 & +14 & -15 \\ +2 & -9 & * & -16 & +17 & -18 & +19 & -20 & -21 \\ -3 & +10 & -16 & * & -22 & +23 & -24 & +25 & -26 \\ \hline +4 & -11 & +17 & -22 & * & -27 & +28 & -29 & -30 \\ -5 & +12 & -18 & +23 & -27 & * & -31 & +32 & -33 \\ +6 & -13 & +19 & -24 & +28 & -31 & * & +34 & +35 \\ \hline -7 & +14 & -20 & +25 & -29 & +32 & +34 & * & +36 \\ \hline -8 & -15 & -21 & -26 & -30 & -33 & +35 & +36 & * \end{pmatrix}$$

We have

$$L_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 73 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 7 & 10 & 11 & 14 & 16 & | & 142 \\ 2 & 74 & 18 & 19 & 22 & 23 & 26 & 27 & 30 & 241 \\ 3 & 18 & 77 & 32 & 33 & 36 & 37 & 40 & 42 & 318 \\ 6 & 19 & 32 & 78 & 44 & 45 & 48 & 49 & 52 & 373 \\ 7 & 22 & 33 & 44 & 81 & 54 & 55 & 58 & 60 & 414 \\ 10 & 23 & 36 & 45 & 54 & 82 & 62 & 63 & 66 & 441 \\ 11 & 26 & 37 & 48 & 55 & 62 & 87 & 67 & 69 & 462 \\ 14 & 27 & 40 & 49 & 58 & 63 & 67 & 88 & 71 & 477 \\ 16 & 30 & 42 & 52 & 60 & 66 & 69 & 71 & 89 & 495 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$L_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 75 & 1 & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 & 12 & 13 & 15 & | & 142 \\ 1 & 76 & 17 & 20 & 21 & 24 & 25 & 28 & 29 & 241 \\ 1 & 76 & 17 & 20 & 21 & 24 & 25 & 28 & 29 & 241 \\ 4 & 17 & 79 & 31 & 34 & 35 & 38 & 39 & 41 & 318 \\ 5 & 20 & 31 & 80 & 43 & 46 & 47 & 50 & 51 & 373 \\ 8 & 21 & 34 & 43 & 83 & 53 & 56 & 57 & 59 & 414 \\ 12 & 25 & 38 & 47 & 56 & 61 & 85 & 68 & 70 & 462 \\ 13 & 28 & 39 & 50 & 57 & 64 & 68 & 86 & 72 & 477 \\ 15 & 29 & 41 & 51 & 59 & 65 & 70 & 72 & 90 & 492 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $\chi_{lat}(2K_9) \leq 10$. Clearly (M) is larger than all vertex weights, so $\chi_{la}((2K_9) \vee K_1) \leq 11$.

If we change $(L_2)_{9,9}$ to 89 and identify the vertices $v_{1,9}$ with $v_{2,9}$, then we have a local antimagic total labeling for $A(2K_9, K_1)$ and hence $\chi_{lat}(A(2K_9, K_1)) = 9$. Clearly (M) is larger than all vertex weights, so $\chi_{la}(A(2K_9, K_1) \vee K_1) = 10$.

Corollary 2.11: Let $m \ge 2$ and odd $n \ge 3$,

$$\chi_{la}(mK_{n+1}) = \chi_{la}((mK_n) \lor K_1) \le \begin{cases} \min\{n+4, n+m\} & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ n+2 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. From the proofs of Theorems 2.8 and 2.9, we see that the diagonals of M are the largest mn labels. Thus, $\mathcal{D}(M) > w(v_{m,n})$. So we have the corollary.

By Corollary 2.10 and the same argument above, we have

Corollary 2.12: Let $m \ge 2$ and odd $n \ge 3$, $\chi_{la}(A(mK_{n+1}, K_2)) = \chi_{la}(A(mK_n, K_1) \lor K_1) = n + 1$.

We have an ad hoc result for $A(mK_n, K_2)$ for n odd as follows.

Theorem 2.13: For $2 \le m \le 3$ and odd $n \ge 3$, $\chi_{lat}(A(mK_n, K_2)) = n$ and $\chi_{la}(A(mK_n, K_2) \lor K_1) = n + 1$.

Proof. The guide matrix is obtained from the guide matrix \mathcal{M} of order n defined in the proof of Theorem 2.8 or Theorem 2.9 by deleting the last two rows. Apply the same procedure as Stage 1 in the proof of Theorem 2.8 or Theorem 2.9. It is equivalent to defining the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} L_i & B_i \end{pmatrix}$ of order $(n-2) \times n$ by deleting the last two rows of L_i defined in the proof of Theorem 2.8 or Theorem 2.9. Note that $(\mathcal{M})_{n-1,n} = -\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ is not used in this stage. So the labels used are $[1, mN_5]$, where $N_5 = \frac{(n-2)(n+1)}{2}$.

Stage 2: Similar to Stage 2 of the proof of Theorem 2.8 or Theorem 2.9, we define the set T(j) by labels $[mN_5 + 1, mN_5 + m(n-2) + m]$ for $1 \le j \le n-1$ and fill the diagonals of L_i 's. Note that, $mN_5 + m(n-2) + m \le N$ and T(n-1) is not used in this stage.

By Lemma 2.1, each *j*-th row sum of each $\begin{pmatrix} L_i & B_i \end{pmatrix}$ is a constant, and each *j*-th row sum of a fixed matrix $\begin{pmatrix} L_i & B_i \end{pmatrix}$ is a strictly increasing function of $j, 1 \le j \le n-2$.

Stage 3: Use the remaining 3 labels to fill in the matrix $A_{1,2}, A_{1,1}, A_{2,2}$ in natural order. It is easy to see that the last two row sums of M are distinct and larger than the other row sums of M.

It is easy to see that the diagonal entries of M are the largest entries in the corresponding columns, and (M) is greater than all weights of vertices.

Thus we have
$$\chi_{lat}(A(mK_n, K_2)) = n$$
 and $\chi_{la}(A(mK_n, K_2) \vee K_1) = n + 1.$

Example 2.9: Take n = 7 and m = 2.

$$(L_1 \quad B_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 41 & 2 & 3 & 6 & 7 & 10 & 12 & 82 \\ 2 & 42 & 14 & 15 & 18 & 19 & 22 & 132 \\ 3 & 14 & 47 & 23 & 25 & 28 & 29 & 169 \\ 6 & 15 & 23 & 48 & 32 & 33 & 35 & 192 \\ 7 & 18 & 25 & 32 & 49 & 38 & 40 & 209 \\ \hline 10 & 19 & 28 & 33 & 38 & 52 & 50 & 230 \\ 12 & 22 & 29 & 35 & 40 & 50 & 53 & 241 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (L_2 \quad B_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 43 & 1 & 4 & 5 & 8 & 9 & 11 & 81 \\ 1 & 44 & 13 & 16 & 17 & 20 & 21 & 132 \\ 4 & 13 & 45 & 24 & 26 & 27 & 30 & 169 \\ 5 & 16 & 24 & 46 & 31 & 34 & 36 & 192 \\ 8 & 17 & 26 & 31 & 51 & 37 & 39 & 209 \\ \hline 9 & 20 & 27 & 34 & 37 & 52 & 50 & 229 \\ 11 & 21 & 30 & 36 & 39 & 50 & 53 & 240 \end{pmatrix}.$$

 $w(u_6) = 230 + 229 - (50 + 52) = 357$ and $w(u_7) = 241 + 240 - (50 + 53) = 378$. So $\chi_{lat}(A(2K_7, K_2)) = 7$.

3 Conclusion and Open Problems

In this paper, $\chi_{lat}(A(mK_n, K_1))$ and $\chi_{la}(A(mK_n, K_1) \vee K_1)$ are determined except the following remaining case.

Problem 3.1: For $m \ge 2$ odd, $n \ge 3$, n > r, r = 0, 2, determine $\chi_{lat}(A(mK_n, K_r))$.

From the motivation, we end this paper with the following problem.

Problem 3.2: Determine $\chi_{lat}(A(mC_n, K_2))$ for $m \ge 2, n \ge 3$.

References

- S. Arumugam, K. Premalatha, M. Bacă and A. Semaničová-Feňovčíková, Local antimagic vertex coloring of a graph, *Graphs Combin.*, 33 (2017), 275–285.
- [2] J. Bensmail, M. Senhaji and K.S. Lyngsie, On a combination of the 1-2-3 conjecture and the antimagic labelling conjecture, *Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 19(1) (2017) #22.
- [3] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph theory with applications, New York, MacMillan, 1976.
- [4] T.R. Hagedorn, Magic rectangles revisited, Discrete Math., 207 (1999), 65–72.
- [5] J. Haslegrave, Proof of a local antimagic conjecture, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci., 20(1) (2018), #18.
- [6] G.C. Lau, J. Li, H.K. Ng and W.C. Shiu, Approaches which output infinitely many graphs with small local antimagic chromatic number, *Disc. Math. Algorithms Appl.*, (2022), accepted, arXiv:2009.01996.
- [7] G.C. Lau, H.K. Ng, and W.C. Shiu, Affirmative solutions on local antimagic chromatic number, Graphs Combin., 36 (2020), 1337–1354.
- [8] G.C. Lau, K. Schaeffer, W.C. Shiu, Every graph is local antimagic total and its applications, (2022), arxiv.org/abs/1906.10332.
- G.C. Lau, W.C. Shiu and H.K. Ng, On local antimagic chromatic number of graphs with cut-vertices, Iran. J. Math. Sci. Inform., (2022) accepted, arXiv:1805.04801.
- [10] G.C. Lau, W.C. Shiu and H.K. Ng, On local antimagic chromatic number of cycle-related join graphs, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory, 41 (2021) 133–152 DOI : 10.7151/dmgt.2177.
- G.C. Lau, W.C. Shiu and C.X. Soo, On local antimagic chromatic number of spider graphs, (2022) J. Discrete Math. Sci. Cryptogr., DOI: 10.1080/09720529.2021.1892270
- [12] K. Premalatha, S. Arumugam, Y-C. Lee and T-M. Wang, Local antimagic chromatic number of trees - I, J. Discrete Math. Sci. Cryptogr., (2020) DOI : 10.1080/09720529.2020.1772985.
- [13] W.C. Shiu, P.C.B. Lam and S-M. Lee, On a construction of supermagic graphs, JCMCC, 42, 147-160 (2002).
- [14] D. Zuckerman, Linear degree extractors and the inapproximability of max clique and chromatic number, *Theory Comput.*, 3 (2007) 103–128, doi:10.4086/toc.2007.v003a006