

# Nonclassical features in higher-dimensional systems through logical qudits

Sooryansh Asthana\* and V. Ravishankar†

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi-110016, India.

E-mail: \*sooryansh.asthana@physics.iitd.ac.in, †vravi@physics.iitd.ac.in

March 2023

**Abstract.** In a recent work [S. Asthana. New Journal of Physics 24.5 (2022): 053026], we have shown the interrelation of different nonclassical correlations in multiqubit systems with quantum coherence in a single logical qubit. In this work, we generalize it to higher-dimensional systems. For this, we take different choices of logical qudits and logical continuous-variable (cv) systems in terms of their constituent physical qudits and physical cv systems. Thereafter, we show reciprocity between conditions for coherence (in logical qudits and logical cv systems) and conditions for nonlocality and entanglement (in their underlying constituent qudits and cv systems). This shows that a single nonclassicality condition detects different types of nonclassicalities in different physical systems. Thereby, it reflects the interrelations of different nonclassical features of states belonging to Hilbert spaces of nonidentical dimensions.

*Keywords:* logical qudits, nonlocality, entanglement, quantum coherence

Submitted to: *New J. Phys.*

## 1. Introduction


Ever since the inception of quantum mechanics, there has been a burgeoning interest in the studies of quantum foundations [1–11]. This is driven mainly by two reasons: (i) the study of quantum foundations has unraveled many features that are at variance with their classical counterparts or they do not have any classical counterpart, (ii) resource-theoretic importance of different nonclassical features has been recognized in several quantum communication protocols, quantum computation, and quantum search algorithms [12–15], to name a few.

The prime examples of nonclassical correlations are quantum nonlocality (NL) and quantum entanglement [1, 16]. The resource-theoretic importance of nonclassical features has led to several algebraic approaches for finding conditions for them. For example, many NL inequalities have been derived— for multiqubit and multi-qudit systems (see, for example, [17] and references therein). Their derivations are based on different local-hidden-variable (LHV) models [5, 7, 18, 19], violations of classical probability rules [20], and group-theoretic approaches (for example, stabiliser groups) [21–23]. Similarly, the resource theory of entanglement has also been developed (see, for example, [24] and references therein). Sufficiency conditions for entanglement, known as entanglement witnesses, have been derived through various approaches (see, for example, [25]). In fact, the detection of different types of nonclassical correlations is ongoing research.

In parallel, the resource theory of quantum coherence has gained a lot of significance [26]. The interrelation of quantum coherence with different nonclassical correlations, *viz.*, entanglement, nonlocality, and quantum discord has been studied from different approaches [27–30]. In all these works, interrelations of nonclassical features have been studied in the quantum systems belonging to Hilbert spaces of identical dimensions. This prompts us to ask the question: how are the nonclassical features of a mono-party lower-dimensional system related to that of a multi-party higher-dimensional system and vice-versa? This question is not without physical interest because, in fault-tolerant quantum computation, a single logical qubit (or qudit) is composed of physical multiqubit (or multi-qudit) systems. In fact, different types of logical qubits and qudits have been realized experimentally in the context of fault-tolerant quantum computation (such as superconducting qubits) and fault-tolerant quantum communication [31–34].

To answer this question, we have recently developed a methodology that employs homomorphic maps between stabilizer groups of a logical qubit and a physical multiqubit system as a tool. The tools required to establish this mapping are fairly simple and not complicated. Logical bits have been used in classical error-correcting codes (for example, in parity codes) [35]. In contrast, quantum mechanics allows for many different types of logical qubits in terms of physical qubits, thanks to the principle of superposition [36]. For this reason, coherence in a logical qudit is a manifestation of different types of nonclassical correlations in the underlying physical multi-qudit systems (depicted in figure (1) and illustrated in section (3)). Hence, there should be a mapping between the

criteria for quantum coherence of logical qubits and those for nonclassical correlations (be it nonlocality or entanglement or quantum discord) of its physical constituent qubits and vice-versa. In [37], we have shown that this mapping may be established by using the equivalence between logical qubits and different multiqubit physical systems. Logical qudits and stabilizers have already been used extensively in the context of quantum error-correcting codes [38]. To the best of our knowledge, they have not yet been employed to study the interrelations of different nonclassical features in different dimensions.



**Figure 1.** Coherence in logical qudits and nonclassical correlations in underlying physical multi-qudit systems.

In this paper, we generalize the methodology proposed in [37] to multi-qudit systems and to continuous variable systems. The paper is structured as follows. Sections (1-4) consist of introduction and tools that we shall use in the rest of the paper. Sections (5-9) consist of applications, i.e., reciprocity among sufficiency conditions for different kinds of nonclassicalities and those for coherence. To elaborate, we show that a single logical operator maps to many different operators acting on physical multi-qudit states (sections (4) and (5)). Thereafter, we show reciprocity among sufficiency conditions for coherence in logical qudits and sufficiency conditions for nonclassical correlations in multi-qudit systems (sections (6, 7, 8, 9)). Section (10) concludes the paper.

## 2. Notation

In this section, we set up the notations to be used throughout the paper.

- (i) The subscript ‘ $L$ ’ is used for representing logical states or logical operators acting on the Hilbert space of logical qudits. For example, the symbols  $|\psi\rangle_L$  and  $A_L$  represent a logical state and a logical operator respectively.
- (ii) The numeral subscript of an observable represents the party on which the observable acts. For example, the observables  $A_1, \dots, A_N$  act over the Hilbert spaces of the first,  $\dots$ , the  $N^{\text{th}}$  qudit.

- (iii) For qudit systems, the symbol  $X_i$  represents the cyclic translation operator by one unit acting on the  $i^{\text{th}}$  qudit. The symbol  $Y_i$  represents the translation operator followed by a phase shift acting on the  $i^{\text{th}}$  qudit. The dimension in which these operators are defined will be clear from the context.

### 3. Different choices of logical qudits lead to different nonclassical correlations in multiqutrit physical states

We start with some simple observations to elucidate the interrelation between quantum coherence of logical quantum systems and underlying quantum correlations in its constituent physical subsystems:

*Observation 1:* Suppose that we map multiqutrit factorizable states (e.g.,  $|i\rangle^{\otimes N}$ ) to mono party logical qudit states (e.g.,  $|i\rangle_L$ ). In this case, the superposition of such multiqutrit states maps to a coherent state in the computational basis of logical states<sup>‡</sup>.

*Example:* The three-qutrit entangled GHZ state,  $|\psi_{\text{GHZ}}\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |iii\rangle$  maps to the maximally coherent logical state,  $|\psi_{\text{GHZ}}\rangle_L \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |i\rangle_L$ , if  $|iii\rangle \equiv |i\rangle_L$ .

This observation is valid for mixed states as well. It can be seen by invoking the convexity argument. Consider a mixed bipartite entangled state,  $\rho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$ , in its eigenbasis. Since the state  $\rho$  is entangled, there exists at least one value of  $i$  (say,  $i = 1$ ) for which the state  $|\psi_1\rangle$  has a Schmidt rank  $r > 1$ , i.e.,  $|\psi_1\rangle \equiv \sum_{j=1}^r \sqrt{\lambda_j} |jj\rangle$ . For the choice,  $|jj\rangle \equiv |j\rangle_L$ ,  $|\psi_1\rangle \equiv \sum_{j=1}^r \sqrt{\lambda_j} |j\rangle_L$ . So, the state  $\rho$  will exhibit coherence in the logical basis. This argument can be straightforwardly extended to multipartite states.

*Observation 2:* The logical basis states involving superpositions of globally orthogonal but locally nonorthogonal physical states lead to different classes of entangled states.

*Example:* Let  $|0\rangle_L \equiv |001\rangle$ ,  $|1\rangle_L \equiv |010\rangle$ ,  $|2\rangle_L \equiv |100\rangle$ . The three logical states are globally orthogonal but locally nonorthogonal. Under this choice of logical qutrits,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|0\rangle_L + |1\rangle_L + |2\rangle_L) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|001\rangle + |010\rangle + |100\rangle). \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, if we choose  $|0\rangle_L \equiv |000\rangle$ ,  $|1\rangle_L \equiv |111\rangle$ ,  $|2\rangle_L \equiv |222\rangle$ , the same logical state gets mapped to a three-qutrit state,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|0\rangle_L + |1\rangle_L + |2\rangle_L) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|000\rangle + |111\rangle + |222\rangle). \quad (2)$$

The difference between the three-qubit W-state and the three-qutrit GHZ state is that the former leads to an entangled state when one of its qubits is traced over. The latter, however, leads to a fully separable state if any one of the qutrits is traced over.

<sup>‡</sup> At this juncture, we wish to stress that this clubbing is not just a mathematical artifice, its physical instances are manifest in atomic physics through the coupling of angular momenta, i.e.,  $L-S$  coupling and  $jj$  coupling.

*Observation 3:* Suppose that the logical basis states are built of entangled physical qudits. For this choice of logical states, a separable logical state may map to a physical multi qudit entangled state.

*Example:* Let  $|0\rangle_L \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle - |10\rangle)$ . For this choice of logical states, an incoherent separable state (*viz.*,  $|00\rangle_L$ ) is a two-copy entangled two-qubit Bell state,  $\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle - |10\rangle)\right)^{\otimes 2}$ .

Logical state	Choice of logical qudit	Physical multi qudit state	Nonclassical correlation
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1}  i\rangle_L$	$ i\rangle_L \equiv  i\rangle^{\otimes N}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1}  i\rangle^{\otimes N}$	Genuine $N$ -party entanglement
$\left(\sum_i \sqrt{\lambda_i}  i\rangle_L\right) \left(\sum_j \sqrt{\mu_j}  j\rangle_L\right)$ , $0 \leq \lambda_i, \mu_j \leq 1$ , $\sum_i \lambda_i = \sum_j \mu_j = 1$ .	$ i\rangle_L \equiv  i\rangle^{\otimes N}$ and $ j\rangle_L \equiv  j\rangle^{\otimes M}$	$\left(\sum_i \sqrt{\lambda_i}  i\rangle^{\otimes N}\right) \left(\sum_j \sqrt{\mu_j}  j\rangle^{\otimes M}\right)$	Biseparable state
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}( 0\rangle_L +  1\rangle_L +  2\rangle_L)$	$ i\rangle \equiv  iii\rangle$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^2  iii\rangle\right)$	Three-qutrit GHZ entanglement
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}( 0\rangle_L +  1\rangle_L +  2\rangle_L)$	$ 0\rangle_L \equiv  001\rangle$ , $ 1\rangle_L \equiv  010\rangle$ , $ 2\rangle_L \equiv  100\rangle$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( 001\rangle +  010\rangle +  100\rangle\right)$	Three-qubit W state
$ 00\rangle_L$	$ 0\rangle_L \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 01\rangle -  10\rangle)$	$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}( 01\rangle -  10\rangle)\right)^{\otimes 2}$	Biseparable

**Table 1.** Reciprocity between different nonclassical correlations in physical multi-qudit systems and coherence in logical qudits depending on different choices of logical qudits.

These observations have been compactly shown in table (1). Hence, in this language, all the sufficiency conditions for nonlocality and entanglement (in general, for any nonclassical correlation) in multi-qudit physical systems (and multimode cv states) should emerge from those for coherence in logical qudits (*resp.*, cv single-mode logical states) and vice-versa.

With this preface, we first present homomorphic maps between the stabilizer groups of physical multi-qudit states and that of a single logical qudit state. Thereafter, we lay down a procedure for obtaining sufficiency conditions for nonclassical correlations in physical multi-qudit systems from that for quantum coherence in a single logical qudit.

#### 4. Homomorphic mapping between the stabilizer groups of a single qudit and a multi-qudit system

In this section, we present homomorphic mappings among the stabilizer groups of a single logical qudit and entangled physical multi-qudit systems. This homomorphic map will later be used as a tool to study reciprocity between sufficiency conditions for different nonclassical correlations and a sufficiency condition for coherence in logical qudits.

##### 4.1. Homomorphism between stabilizer group of a single qudit state and that of a two-qudit state

We start with the state,

$$|\psi\rangle_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |i\rangle_L. \quad (3)$$

The stabiliser group of the state  $|\psi\rangle_L$  is given by,

$$G_L : \{\mathbb{1}, X_L, X_L^2, \dots, X_L^{d-1}\}; \quad X_L = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} |k+1\rangle_{LL} \langle k|, \quad (4)$$

where the addition is modulo  $d$ . We next consider the state  $|\psi\rangle_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |ii\rangle$ , whose stabiliser group is given by,

$$G_2 : \{H_2, (X_1 X_2)^k H_2; \quad 1 \leq k \leq (d-1)\};$$

$$H_2 \equiv \{\mathbb{1}, Z_1^x Z_2^y; \quad x + y = 0 \bmod d, 1 \leq x, y \leq d-1\}, Z = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \omega^k |k\rangle \langle k|, \quad (5)$$

where  $\omega$  is the  $d^{\text{th}}$  root of identity. It may be easily verified that  $H_2$  is a normal subgroup of  $G_2$ . The homomorphic map from  $G_2$  to  $G_L$  is given by,

$$H_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_L, \quad (X_1 X_2)^k H_2 \rightarrow X_L^k \quad (1 \leq k \leq d-1). \quad (6)$$

##### 4.2. Homomorphism between stabilizer group of a single qudit state and that of a multi-qudit state

The stabilizer group of the state,

$$|\psi\rangle_N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |i\rangle^{\otimes N}, \quad (7)$$

is given by,

$$G_N : \{H_N, (X_1 X_2 \cdots X_N)^k H_N; \ 1 \leq k \leq (d-1)\},$$

$$H_N \equiv \left\{ \mathbb{1}, Z_1^{x_1} Z_2^{x_2} \cdots Z_N^{x_N}; \sum_{i=1}^N x_i = 0 \bmod d; \ 0 \leq x_i \leq d-1, \right.$$

with the condition that any one  $x_i$  cannot be nonzero with all other  $x_i = 0$   $\left. \right\}$ . (8)

As before,  $H_N$  is normal in  $G_N$  as may be seen by employing the relation  $ZX = \omega XZ$ . The homomorphic map from  $G_N$  to  $G_L$  is given by,

$$H_N \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_L; (X_1 X_2 \cdots X_N)^k H_N \rightarrow X_L^k. \quad (9)$$

We shall employ these homomorphic maps to obtain sufficiency conditions for nonclassical correlations in physical multi-qudit systems (resp., two-mode cv systems), given a sufficiency condition for coherence in a single logical qudit system (resp., a single mode cv system).

## 5. Nonunique resolutions of a single logical operator for a given choice of logical state

From the preceding section, it is clear that there exist different operators acting on physical multi-qudit systems which map to a single logical operator via a homomorphic map. Suppose that a logical qudit state, expressed in the computational basis  $\{|i\rangle_L\}$ , is a direct product of states of  $N$  physical qudits, i.e.,

$$|i\rangle_L \equiv |i\rangle^{\otimes N}; \ 0 \leq i \leq (d-1). \quad (10)$$

For this choice of logical qudit, which is of main interest to us in this paper, we lay down a procedure to identify different operators acting on physical multi-qudit states as follows (the proof of the existence of such operators has been given in Appendix A).

We start with an operator  $\mathcal{A}_L$  which has the following equivalent forms,

$$\mathcal{A}_L = \sum_{i,j=0}^{d-1} a_{ij}^{(L)} |i\rangle_{LL} \langle j| = \sum_{i,j=0}^{d-1} a_{ij}^{(L)} \left( |i\rangle \langle j| \right)^{\otimes N}. \quad (11)$$

The steps to identify distinct sets of operators acting over physical qudits, that have the same overlap with the state  $|\psi\rangle_L$  (given in equation (3)) are given as follows:

- (i) By construction, the operator  $\mathcal{A}_L$  has support in a subspace of the direct product space  $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_N$ . We map  $\mathcal{A}_L$  to a sum of direct product of the operators  $A_{1i}, A_{2i}, \cdots, A_{Ni}$ ,

$$\mathcal{A}_L \rightarrow \sum_i d_i \prod_{\alpha=1}^N A_{\alpha i}, \quad d_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (12)$$

so that the following condition is satisfied,

$$\langle \psi_L | \mathcal{A}_L | \psi_L \rangle = \left\langle \psi_L \left| \sum_i d_i \prod_{\alpha=1}^N A_{\alpha i} \right| \psi_L \right\rangle. \quad (13)$$

The operator  $A_{\alpha i}$  acts on the logical qudit labeled  $\alpha$ . The resolution is not unique. Consider, for example, the following prescription:

$$(\mathcal{A}_L)_{ij} \neq 0 \implies \prod_{\alpha=1}^N (A_{\alpha})_{ij} = (\mathcal{A}_L)_{ij}, \quad (14)$$

where  $\prod_{\alpha=1}^N (A_{\alpha})_{ij}$  represents element-by-element multiplication. Following this,  $\mathcal{A}_L \rightarrow A_1 A_2 \cdots A_N$ . Note that this prescription allows for many choices of sets of operators  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N$  for the same logical operator  $\mathcal{A}_L$ . The action of the operator  $\mathcal{A}_L$  on the state  $|\psi_L\rangle$  is identical to that of the tensor product of operators  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N$ .

- (ii) Let  $\{d_i^{(k)}, A_{\alpha i}^{(k)}\}$  represent the  $k^{\text{th}}$  resolution of  $\mathcal{A}_L$ . Then, the operator  $\mathcal{A}_L$  can be variously expressed as  $\sum_{k,i} w_k \prod_{\alpha=1}^N d_i^{(k)} A_{\alpha i}^{(k)}$ , where the sole condition on  $w_k$  is that they are normalized weights adding upto one, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{A}_L \rightarrow \sum_{k,i} w_k \prod_{\alpha=1}^N d_i^{(k)} A_{\alpha i}^{(k)}. \quad (15)$$

*Example:* Consider a logical qutrit,  $|\phi\rangle_L \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|0\rangle_L + |1\rangle_L + |2\rangle_L)$ . It is an eigenstate of the operator  $X_L \equiv |1\rangle_{LL}\langle 0| + |2\rangle_{LL}\langle 1| + |0\rangle_{LL}\langle 2|$ . Suppose that each logical qutrit is built of two qutrits (i.e.,  $|i\rangle_L \equiv |ii\rangle$ ). Let,

$$X \equiv |1\rangle\langle 0| + |2\rangle\langle 1| + |0\rangle\langle 2|, \quad Z \equiv |0\rangle\langle 0| + \omega|1\rangle\langle 1| + \omega^2|2\rangle\langle 2|, \quad (16)$$

where  $\omega$  is the cube root of identity. Employing  $|i\rangle_L \equiv |ii\rangle$ , the state  $|\phi\rangle_L$  acquires the form,  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle + |22\rangle)$ . Making use of the point (i), the logical operator  $X_L$  maps to the following operators,

$$X_L \rightarrow X_1 X_2, (X_1 Z_1)(X_2 Z_2^2), (X_1 Z_1^2)(X_2 Z_2). \quad (17)$$

In the next section, we present the methodology to obtain sufficiency conditions for nonclassical correlations, given a sufficiency condition for quantum coherence.

## 6. Methodology

In this section, we present the methodology to obtain sufficiency conditions for nonclassical correlations, given a sufficiency condition for quantum coherence.



Suppose that a sufficiency condition for quantum coherence in the basis,  $\{|i\rangle_L\}$  is given as

$$\mathcal{C}_L : \sum_{a=0}^{d-1} c_a \langle X_L^a \rangle^{b_a} > c, \quad (18)$$

with the stipulation that it is maximally obeyed by the normalized state  $|\psi\rangle_L \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |i\rangle_L$ .  $b_a$  are nonnegative integers and  $c_a$  are real numbers. The value of  $c$  is set in such a way that the ensuing inequality is violated by all the incoherent states in the computational basis of logical states. The task is to infer the underlying quantum correlation in the physical multi-qudit states. That is to say, we examine what happens to the correlation in the physical multi-qudit systems as we vary the value of  $c$ . This would require distinct sets of operators acting over physical qudits that give rise to the witness  $\mathcal{C}_L$ . We use a two-pronged approach for obtaining sufficiency conditions for nonclassical correlations. That is to say, these operators can be identified in one of the following two ways:

- (i) We identify the operators acting on multi-qudit systems through homomorphism between the stabilizer groups. Suppose that  $g^{(a)}$  represents an element belonging to the coset  $(X_1 \cdots X_N)^a H_N$  (given in equation (8)). We use the homomorphic maps in the reverse direction for each of the operators  $X_L^a$ , i.e.,

$$X_L^a \rightarrow \sum_{g^{(a)} \in (X_1 \cdots X_N)^a H_N} w_g^{(a)} g^{(a)}, \quad \sum_{g^{(a)} \in (X_1 \cdots X_N)^a H_N} w_g^{(a)} = 1. \quad (19)$$

- (ii) We identify the operators acting on multi-qudit systems by using the procedure given in section (5) without using the homomorphic map. We may employ the resolutions of these operators, i.e.,

$$X_L^a \rightarrow \sum_{k,i} w_k^{(a)} \prod_{\alpha=1}^N d_i^{(k)} \left( X_{\alpha i}^{(k)} \right)^a, \quad (20)$$

where the subscript  $\alpha$  labels the qudit over which the operator acts and the superscript  $k$  labels the resolution of the operator  $X_L$ .  $w_k^{(a)}$  are the weights and  $d_i^{(k)}$  are real numbers.

The operator  $\sum_{a=0}^{d-1} c_a X_L^a$  can be variously expressed as,

$$\sum_{a=0}^{d-1} c_a X_L^a \rightarrow \sum_{a=0}^{d-1} c_a \sum_{g^{(a)} \in (X_1 \cdots X_N)^a H} w_g^{(a)} g^{(a)}, \quad (21)$$

OR

$$\sum_{a=0}^{d-1} c_a X_L^a \rightarrow \sum_{a=0}^{d-1} c_a \sum_{k,i} w_k^{(a)} \prod_{\alpha=1}^N d_i^{(k)} \left( X_{\alpha i}^{(k)} \right)^a. \quad (22)$$

The choices of the weights  $w_k^{(a)}$  or  $w_g^{(a)}$  and the bound on the ensuing inequality depend on the notion of classicality under consideration. At this juncture, some comments are in order:

- (i) Of all the resolutions, those resolutions are of particular interest for us in which the operators acting over the same qudit are noncommuting. These resolutions bring out nonclassical correlations in the underlying physical system.
- (ii) We note that nonlocality is a nonclassical feature not restricted to quantum mechanics, whereas quantum coherence (in probability amplitudes) is a nonclassical feature restricted to quantum mechanics. A sufficiency condition for quantum coherence provides us with appropriate observables. The bound on their combinations is set after ensuring that the combination is obeyed by all the LHV models.
- (iii) We have taken but a simple choice of logical qudits  $|i\rangle_L \equiv |i\rangle^{\otimes N}$ . The procedure, however, is amenable to other choices of logical states discussed at the beginning of the section (6).

We now employ this methodology to identify observables for constructions of entanglement inequalities and nonlocality inequalities for multi-qudit as well as infinite-dimensional systems.

## 7. Reciprocity between quantum correlation in a two-qudit system and coherence for a single logical qudit

### 7.1. Logical qudit consisting of a pair of qudit ( $|i\rangle_L \equiv |ii\rangle$ )

Let the basis states in the Hilbert space of logical qudits be  $|0\rangle_L, |1\rangle_L, |2\rangle_L$  and  $X_L \equiv \sum_{i=0}^2 |i+1\rangle_L \langle i|$ , where the addition is modulo 3. We choose a sufficiency condition for coherence,

$$|\langle X_L \rangle| > c, c \in [0, 1), \quad (23)$$

with respect to the basis  $\{|0\rangle_L, |1\rangle_L, |2\rangle_L\}$ . The state,

$$|\phi\rangle_L \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|0\rangle_L + |1\rangle_L + |2\rangle_L), \quad (24)$$

maximally obeys this sufficiency condition, as  $\langle \phi_L | X_L | \phi_L \rangle = 1$ . We now move on to show how the sufficiency condition (23) in a logical system, gives rise to sufficiency conditions for quantum correlations in two-qudit systems.

Let each logical qudit be composed of a pair of qudits, i.e.,

$$|0\rangle_L \equiv |00\rangle, |1\rangle_L \equiv |11\rangle, |2\rangle_L \equiv |22\rangle. \quad (25)$$

So, the state  $|\phi\rangle_L$  assumes the form  $|\phi\rangle_L \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|00\rangle + |11\rangle + |22\rangle)$ . Following the procedure described in section (6), the logical operator,  $X_L$ , can be mapped to the

following physical two-qutrit operator,

$$X_L \rightarrow w_1 X_1 X_2 + w_2 (X_1 Z_1)(X_2 Z_2^2) + w_3 (X_1 Z_1^2)(X_2 Z_2); \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 w_i = 1, \quad 0 \leq w_i \leq 1. \quad (26)$$

The operators  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  of the first and the second qutrit have the same forms in the computational bases as that of  $X_L$  in the basis  $\{|0\rangle_L, |1\rangle_L, |2\rangle_L\}$ . The operator  $Z$  is defined as:  $Z \equiv \text{diag}(1, \omega, \omega^2)$ , where  $\omega$  is the cube root of identity. Obviously,  $\omega^3 = 1$  and  $[X_1, Z_1] \neq 0$ ,  $[X_2, Z_2^2] \neq 0$ .

*7.1.1. Entanglement in a two-qutrit system* If we choose  $w_1 = w_2 = 0.5$  and  $w_3 = 0$  in equation (26), the sufficiency condition (23) for coherence in logical qutrits yields the following sufficiency condition:

$$|\langle X_1 X_2 + X_1 Z_1 X_2 Z_2^2 \rangle| > 2c, \quad (27)$$

which is indeed the sufficiency condition for entanglement in two-qutrit systems if  $\frac{1}{2} \leq c < 1$ . In fact, as we decrease the value of  $c$  in the interval  $\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ , the coherence witness for a logical qutrit (23) and hence, the condition for entanglement in the underlying two-qutrit systems (27) becomes more encompassing.

*7.1.2. Nonclassical correlation in two different bases in a two-qutrit system* The sufficiency condition (23) also yields the following two sufficiency conditions (if  $w_1 = 1$  and  $w_2 = 1$ ),

$$|\langle X_1 X_2 \rangle| > c \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle X_1 Z_1 X_2 Z_2^2 \rangle| > c, \quad (28)$$

for all nonzero values of  $c$ . If these two sufficiency conditions are simultaneously satisfied, they detect states having nonzero correlations in the following two eigenbases of locally noncommuting operators:

- (i)  $\mathcal{B}_1$ : common eigenbasis of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$
- (ii)  $\mathcal{B}_2$ : common eigenbasis of  $X_1 Z_1$  and  $X_2 Z_2^2$ .

In this manner, depending upon the choices of weights and bound on the ensuing inequalities, the sufficiency condition for coherence (23) leads to sufficiency conditions for different nonclassical correlations in the underlying two-qutrit system. The ranges of  $c$ , the values of  $w_i$ , the emergent sufficiency condition for nonclassical correlation and type of nonclassical correlation are shown in table (2).

## 7.2. Logical qutrit consisting of a triplet of qutrit ( $|i\rangle_L \equiv |iii\rangle$ )

Let each logical qutrit be composed of a triplet of physical qutrits, i.e.,

$$|0\rangle_L \equiv |000\rangle, |1\rangle_L \equiv |111\rangle, |2\rangle_L \equiv |222\rangle. \quad (29)$$

$w_1$	$w_2$	$w_3$	$c$	Condition	Nonclassical correlation
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2} \leq c < 1$	$ \langle X_1 X_2 + (X_1 Z_1)(X_2 Z_2^2) \rangle  > 2c$	Entanglement
1	0	0	$0 \leq c < 1$	$ \langle X_1 X_2 \rangle  > c$	Correlation in bases $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$
0	1	0	$0 \leq c < 1$	$ \langle (X_1 Z_1)(X_2 Z_2^2) \rangle  > c$	

**Table 2.** Table showing the values of  $w_1, w_2, w_3$ , range of  $c$ , emergent sufficiency condition for nonclassical correlation and type of nonclassical correlation

So, the state  $|\phi\rangle_L$  (given in (24)) assumes the form  $|\phi\rangle_L \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|000\rangle + |111\rangle + |222\rangle)$ . Following the procedure described in section (6), the logical operator,  $X_L$ , can be mapped to the following physical qutrit operator,

$$X_L \rightarrow w_1 X_1 X_2 X_3 + w_2 (X_1 Z_1)(X_2 Z_2)(X_3 Z_3); \quad 0 \leq w_1, w_2 \leq 1; \quad w_1 + w_2 = 1. \quad (30)$$

As before, the operators  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  of the first and the second qutrit have the same forms in the computational bases as that of  $X_L$  in the basis  $\{|0\rangle_L, |1\rangle_L, |2\rangle_L\}$ . The operator  $Z$  is defined as:  $Z \equiv \text{diag}(1, \omega, \omega^2)$ , where  $\omega$  is the cube root of identity. Obviously,  $\omega^3 = 1$  and  $[X_i, Z_i] \neq 0, i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

### 7.3. Entanglement in a three-qutrit system

If we choose the operators,  $X_1 X_2 X_3$ ,  $X_1 Z_1 X_2 Z_2 X_3 Z_3$  (given in equation (30)), the sufficiency condition (23) yields the following sufficiency condition:

$$|\langle X_1 X_2 X_3 + X_1 Z_1 X_2 Z_2 X_3 Z_3 \rangle| > 2c, \quad (31)$$

which is indeed the sufficiency condition for entanglement in three-qutrit systems if  $\frac{1}{2} \leq c < 1$ . In fact, as we decrease the value of  $c$  in the interval  $\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ , the coherence witness for a logical qutrit and hence, entanglement witness for the underlying three-qutrit systems becomes more encompassing.

### 7.4. Nonclassical correlation in two different bases in a three-qutrit system

The sufficiency condition (23) also yields the following two sufficiency conditions,

$$|\langle X_1 X_2 X_3 \rangle| > c \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle X_1 Z_1 X_2 Z_2 X_3 Z_3 \rangle| > c, \quad (32)$$

for all nonzero values of  $c$ . If these two sufficiency conditions are simultaneously satisfied, they detect states having nonzero correlations in the following two eigenbases of locally noncommuting operators:

- (i)  $\mathcal{B}_1$ : common eigenbasis of  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  and  $X_3$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{B}_2$ : common eigenbasis of  $X_1 Z_1$ ,  $X_2 Z_2$  and  $X_3 Z_3$ .

$w_1$	$w_2$	$c$	Condition	Nonclassical correlation
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2} \leq c < 1$	$ \langle X_1 X_2 X_3 + (X_1 Z_1)(X_2 Z_2)(X_3 Z_3) \rangle  > 2c$	Entanglement
1	0	$0 \leq c < 1$	$ \langle X_1 X_2 X_3 \rangle  > c$	Correlation in bases $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$
0	1	$0 \leq c < 1$	$ \langle (X_1 Z_1)(X_2 Z_2)(X_3 Z_3) \rangle  > c$	

**Table 3.** Table showing the values of  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , range of  $c$ , emergent sufficiency condition for nonclassical correlation and type of nonclassical correlation

In this manner, depending upon the choices of weights and bound on the ensuing inequalities, the sufficiency condition for coherence (23) leads to sufficiency conditions for different nonclassical correlations in the underlying three-qutrit system. The ranges of  $c$ , the values of  $w_i$ , the emergent sufficiency condition for nonclassical correlation, and the type of nonclassical correlation are shown in table (3).

As another illustration, we now apply this procedure to show how observables employed in SLK inequality [19] can be straightforwardly identified by using sufficiency conditions for quantum coherence in a single logical system.

## 8. Reciprocity between coherence witness and conditions for GHZ nonlocality for qudits in even dimensions

We start with a brief recapitulation of GHZ nonlocality in higher dimensions for pedagogic purposes. For details, see, for example, [39] and Appendix B. The observables  $X$  and  $Y$  can be written in the computational basis set,  $\{|n\rangle\}$ , as,

$$X = \sum_{n=0}^{d-1} |n+1\rangle\langle n|, \quad Y = \omega^{-1/2} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{d-2} |n+1\rangle\langle n| - |0\rangle\langle d-1| \right). \quad (33)$$

The nonlocality inequality for a tripartite system is given by,

$$\left| \left\langle X_1 X_2 X_3 + \omega X_1 Y_2 Y_3 + \omega Y_1 X_2 Y_3 + \omega Y_1 Y_2 Y_3 \right\rangle \right| > 3. \quad (34)$$

It is maximally satisfied by the three-qudit GHZ state:

$$|\psi_{\text{GHZ}}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{n=0}^{d-1} |nnn\rangle. \quad (35)$$

The nonlocality inequality for tripartite  $d$ -diemsnional system, derived in [19], is given as,

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{d-1} \left( \left\langle (X_1 X_2 X_3)^n + (\omega X_1 Y_2 Y_3)^n + (\omega Y_1 X_2 Y_3)^n + (\omega Y_1 Y_2 Y_3)^n \right\rangle \right) + \text{c.c.} > \frac{3d}{4} - 1, \quad d \text{ even.} \quad (36)$$

This procedure admits a straightforward generalization to  $N$  qudits and for arbitrary  $d$ .

In this section, we show that the operators employed in GHZ nonlocality emerge as different resolutions of shift operators acting on a single logical qudit system. We first start with a three-party system.

### 8.1. Tripartite system

Let us assume that,

$$|0\rangle^{\otimes 3} \equiv |0\rangle_L, \dots, |d-1\rangle^{\otimes 3} \equiv |d-1\rangle_L. \quad (37)$$

So, the tripartite generalized GHZ state can be written as a mono party logical state,

$$|\psi_{\text{GHZ}}\rangle_L \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{n=0}^{d-1} |n\rangle_L. \quad (38)$$

We start with a sufficiency condition for quantum coherence in a single logical system,

$$|\langle X_L \rangle| > c, \quad c \in [0, 1). \quad (39)$$

If we employ the equality  $|i\rangle_L \equiv |iii\rangle$ ,  $i \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$ , the operators  $X_L$  may be reexpressed as,

$$X_L \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (|i+1\rangle\langle i|)^{\otimes 3}. \quad (40)$$

The sets of tensor products of local operators to which these operators map to are as follows (by following the procedure laid down in section (6)):

$$X_L \rightarrow w_1 X_1 X_2 X_3 + w_2 \omega X_1 Y_2 Y_3 + w_3 \omega Y_1 X_2 Y_3 + w_4 \omega Y_1 Y_2 X_3, \\ \sum_{i=1}^4 w_i = 1, \quad 0 \leq w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 \leq 1. \quad (41)$$

where  $\omega$  is the  $d^{\text{th}}$  root of identity and the operators  $X$  and  $Y$  are defined in equations (33) respectively. If we choose these operators, the sufficiency condition (39) yields the following sufficiency condition (corresponding to  $w_1 = w_2 = w_3 = w_4 = \frac{1}{4}$ ):

$$\left| \langle X_1 X_2 X_3 + \omega X_1 Y_2 Y_3 + \omega Y_1 X_2 Y_3 + \omega Y_1 Y_2 X_3 \rangle \right| > 4c. \quad (42)$$

For  $c = \frac{3}{4}$ , it reduces to the sufficiency condition (34). The sufficiency condition (39) also yields the following four sufficiency conditions (corresponding to  $w_1 = 1, w_2 = 1, w_3 = 1, w_4 = 1$  respectively),

$$|\langle X_1 X_2 X_3 \rangle| > c, \quad |\langle \omega X_1 Y_2 Y_3 \rangle| > c, \quad |\langle \omega Y_1 X_2 Y_3 \rangle| > c, \quad |\langle \omega Y_1 Y_2 X_3 \rangle| > c, \quad (43)$$

for all nonzero values of  $c$ . If these four conditions are simultaneously satisfied, they detect states having nonzero correlations in the following four eigenbases of locally noncommuting operators:

$w_1$	$w_2$	$w_3$	$w_4$	$c$	Condition	Nonclassical correlation
$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	equation (42)	Nonlocality
1	0	0	0	$0 \leq c < 1$	$ \langle X_1 X_2 X_3 \rangle  > c$	Correlation in bases $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_3$ and $\mathcal{B}_4$
0	1	0	0	$0 \leq c < 1$	$ \langle \omega X_1 Y_2 Y_3 \rangle  > c$	
0	0	1	0	$0 \leq c < 1$	$ \langle \omega Y_1 X_2 Y_3 \rangle  > c$	
0	0	1	0	$0 \leq c < 1$	$ \langle \omega Y_1 Y_2 X_3 \rangle  > c$	

**Table 4.** Table showing the values of  $w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4$ , range of  $c$ , emergent condition for nonclassical correlation and type of nonclassical correlation

- (i)  $\mathcal{B}_1$ : common eigenbasis of  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{B}_2$ : common eigenbasis of  $X_1, Y_2$  and  $Y_3$ .
- (iii)  $\mathcal{B}_3$ : common eigenbasis of  $Y_1, X_2$  and  $Y_3$ .
- (iv)  $\mathcal{B}_4$ : common eigenbasis of  $Y_1, Y_2$  and  $X_3$ .

The ranges of  $c$ , the values of  $w_i$ , the emergent sufficiency condition for nonclassical correlation, and the type of nonclassical correlation are shown in table (4).

## 8.2. $N$ -party system

If we consider another sufficiency condition for coherence in the logical qudits,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{d-1} \langle X_L^n \rangle + \text{c.c.} > c, c \in [0, 2(d-1)). \quad (44)$$

This sufficiency condition gets maximally satisfied by the logical state (38). Since the state (38) is a permutationally invariant state, it is invariant under the operators  $X_L, X_L^2, \dots, X_L^{d-1}$ , where

$$X_L^i = \sum_{n=0}^{d-1} |n+i\rangle_{LL} \langle n|, \quad 1 \leq i \leq (d-1). \quad (45)$$

By following the procedure laid down in section (6), the sets of tensor products of local operators to which these operators map are found to be:

$$X_L^i \rightarrow w_1^{(i)} (X_1 X_2 X_3)^i + w_2^{(i)} (\omega X_1 Y_2 Y_3)^i + w_3^{(i)} (\omega Y_1 X_2 Y_3)^i + w_4^{(i)} (\omega Y_1 Y_2 X_3)^i; \quad 1 \leq i \leq (d-1),$$

$$0 \leq w_j^{(i)} \leq 1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^4 w_j^{(i)} = 1. \quad (46)$$

$w_1^{(i)}$	$w_2^{(i)}$	$w_3^{(i)}$	$w_4^{(i)}$	$c$	Condition	Nonclassical correlation
$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3d}{4} - 1$	equation (36)	Nonlocality
1	0	0	0	$0 \leq c < 1$	$ \langle (X_1 X_2 X_3)^i \rangle  > c$	Correlation in bases $\mathcal{B}_1^{(i)}$ , $\mathcal{B}_2^{(i)}$ , $\mathcal{B}_3^{(i)}$ and $\mathcal{B}_4^{(i)}$
0	1	0	0	$0 \leq c < 1$	$ \langle (\omega X_1 Y_2 Y_3)^i \rangle  > c$	
0	0	1	0	$0 \leq c < 1$	$ \langle (\omega Y_1 X_2 Y_3)^i \rangle  > c$	
0	0	0	1	$0 \leq c < 1$	$ \langle (\omega Y_1 Y_2 X_3)^i \rangle  > c$	

**Table 5.** Table showing the values of  $w_1, w_2, w_3$ , range of  $c$ , emergent sufficiency condition for nonclassical correlation and type of nonclassical correlation

If we choose these operators, the sufficiency condition (44) maps to the following sufficiency condition (corresponding to  $w_1^{(i)} = w_2^{(i)} = w_3^{(i)} = w_4^{(i)} = \frac{1}{4}$ ;  $\forall i$ ),

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{d-1} \left( \left\langle (X_1 X_2 X_3)^n + (\omega X_1 Y_2 Y_3)^n + (\omega Y_1 X_2 Y_3)^n + (\omega Y_1 Y_2 X_3)^n \right\rangle \right) + \text{c.c.} > c, \quad (47)$$

which reduces to SLK nonlocality for  $c = \frac{3d}{4} - 1$  ( $d$  even). The sufficiency condition (44) also yields the following sufficiency conditions (corresponding to  $w_1^{(i)} = 1, w_2^{(i)} = 1, w_3^{(i)} = 1, w_4^{(i)} = 1$  respectively),

$$|\langle (X_1 X_2 X_3)^i \rangle| > c, \quad |\langle (\omega X_1 Y_2 Y_3)^i \rangle| > c, \quad |\langle (\omega Y_1 X_2 Y_3)^i \rangle| > c, \quad |\langle (\omega Y_1 Y_2 X_3)^i \rangle| > c, \quad (48)$$

for all nonzero values of  $c$ . If these conditions are simultaneously satisfied, they detect states having nonzero correlations in the following eigenbases of locally noncommuting operators:

- (i)  $\mathcal{B}_1^{(i)}$ : common eigenbasis of  $X_1^i$ ,  $X_2^i$  and  $X_3^i$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{B}_2^{(i)}$ : common eigenbasis of  $X_1^i$ ,  $Y_2^i$  and  $Y_3^i$ .
- (iii)  $\mathcal{B}_3^{(i)}$ : common eigenbasis of  $Y_1^i$ ,  $X_2^i$  and  $Y_3^i$ .
- (iv)  $\mathcal{B}_4^{(i)}$ : common eigenbasis of  $Y_1^i$ ,  $Y_2^i$  and  $X_3^i$ .

In a similar manner, the coherence witness underlying CGLMP inequality [20] can be obtained by employing the form of CGLMP inequality given in [40].

## 9. Generalisation to continuous variable systems

We now turn our attention to continuous-variable (cv) systems. We show how a sufficiency condition for entanglement for a bipartite cv system gives rise to a sufficiency condition for coherence in a single cv system.

As an example of two-mode squeezed states, consider the two-mode squeezed vacuum. The corresponding squeezing operator is described by  $S_g = e^{g(a_1 a_2 - a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger)}$ , with



squeezing parameter  $g$ . Its action on two-mode vacuum state results in [41],

$$|\psi_{\text{tms}}\rangle = (1 - \tanh^2 g)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\tanh g a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger} |00\rangle. \quad (49)$$

The mean photon number in both the modes is  $N = 2\text{tr}(a_1^\dagger a_1 |\psi_{\text{tms}}\rangle \langle \psi_{\text{tms}}|) = 2 \sinh^2 g$ . Of particular interest to us are the following operators,

$$O^\pm(\theta_1, \theta_2) \equiv X_1^{\theta_1} \pm X_2^{\theta_2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_1 e^{i\theta_1} + a_1^\dagger e^{-i\theta_1}) \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_2 e^{i\theta_2} + a_2^\dagger e^{-i\theta_2}). \quad (50)$$

At the values  $\theta = 0, \frac{\pi}{2}$ , the two observables,  $X_i^0, X_i^{\pi/2}$  become canonically conjugate. The variance of the observable  $O^\pm(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  is given by,

$$V(O^\pm(\theta_1, \theta_2))_{\psi_{\text{tms}}} = \cosh 2g \pm \sinh 2g \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2). \quad (51)$$

Duan-Simon criterion provides us with a sufficiency condition for entanglement in cv systems [42]. The criterion states that, if the state were separable, it would have obeyed,

$$V\left(O^+(\theta_1, \theta_2)\right)_{\text{sep}} + V\left(O^-(\theta'_1, \theta'_2)\right)_{\text{sep}} \geq 2, \quad \text{for } \theta_1 - \theta'_1 = \theta_2 - \theta'_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (52)$$

On the other hand, the two-mode squeezed vacuum obeys the relation,

$$V\left(O^-(0, 0)\right)_{\psi_{\text{tms}}} + V\left(O^+\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right)_{\psi_{\text{tms}}} = 2e^{-2g}. \quad (53)$$

which is distinctly less than 2, except at  $g = 0$ . We now find the underlying sufficiency condition for coherence in a logical system. This may be done in two steps:

- (i) Suppose that  $\Pi$  represents the projection operator onto the subspace  $\{|nn\rangle\}$ , i.e.,  $\Pi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |nn\rangle \langle nn|$ . We define the projected operators as follows:

$$O_p^{1\pm}(\phi, \phi) \equiv \Pi \left( X_1^\phi \pm X_2^\phi \right) \Pi, \quad O_p^{2\pm}(\phi, \phi) \equiv \Pi (X_1^\phi \pm X_2^\phi)^2 \Pi. \quad (54)$$

- (ii) We next reexpress  $|nn\rangle \equiv |n\rangle_L$ . This yields the mode logical operators. Under this mapping, the two-mode squeezed vacuum state maps to the state  $\frac{1}{\cosh r} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\tanh r)^n |n\rangle_L$ . So, the sufficiency condition for coherence underlying the entanglement condition (52) is given by,

$$\langle O_p^{2-}(0, 0) \rangle - \langle O_p^{1-}(0, 0) \rangle^2 + \langle O_p^{2+}(\pi/2, \pi/2) \rangle - \langle O_p^{1+}(\pi/2, \pi/2) \rangle^2 \geq 2. \quad (55)$$

In a similar manner, the coherence witness underlying entanglement witnesses for an  $N$ - mode state may be identified.

## 10. Conclusion

In summary, we have laid down a methodology to obtain a sufficiency condition for entanglement, nonlocality, and different kinds of nonclassical correlations, given a

sufficiency condition for quantum coherence. As an application, we have shown how the sufficiency condition for generalized GHZ nonlocality emerges from a sufficiency condition for coherence if the three qudits are treated as a single logical qudit. We have also applied formalism to continuous-variable systems and shown the reciprocity between coherence in logical cv systems and entanglement in physical cv systems. This work shows how different nonclassical features are related to each other through logical qudits. Therefore, we believe that all the observables that are employed for higher-dimensional quantum-error correcting codes [43] may also be used for the detection of entanglement in the corresponding states.

In this work, we have studied the interrelations of nonclassicality features in quantum states belonging to Hilbert spaces of different dimensions. It is because the space of operators forms a vector space and superoperators can be defined as acting over this space of operators. That constitutes an interesting study that will be taken up elsewhere.

Furthermore, the interrelations of different monogamy relations of different quantifiers of a resource, e.g., coherence with those of another resource, e.g., entanglement, nonlocality, etc. can also be studied. In fact, what this work seems to suggest is that mathematically the same nonclassicality conditions detect different types of nonclassicalities in different physical systems. Finally, if we employ the simplest decimal-to-binary mapping, the resulting mappings will naturally lead to another set of hierarchical relations of nonclassicality conditions in multiqubit systems and multiqudit systems. These conditions will be significant in resource theory of irreducible dimensions [44, 45].

## Acknowledgement

It is a pleasure to thank Rajni Bala for fruitful discussions, various insights, and for carefully going through the manuscript. Sooryansh thanks the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (Grant No. -09/086 (1278)/2017-EMR-I) for funding his research.

## Appendix A. There always exists at least two locally noncommuting operators corresponding to a single logical operator.

Suppose that  $|\psi_j\rangle$  represents a physical two-qudit state. We start with a basis of logical qudits,

$$\mathcal{B}_L \equiv \left\{ |i\rangle_L \equiv \sum_j c_{ij} |\psi_j\rangle; \sum_j |c_{ij}|^2 = 1, \forall i \right\} \in \mathcal{H}_L. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The logical qudits have been chosen in such a manner that their coherent superposition,  $|\psi\rangle_L \equiv \sum_i |i\rangle_L$ , yields an entangled physical two-qudit system. The operator  $\mathcal{A}_L \in \mathcal{H}_L$

has the following form,

$$\mathcal{A}_L \equiv \sum_{ij} a_{ij} |i\rangle_{LL} \langle j|. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Since the state,  $|\psi\rangle_L$  is an entangled physical two-qudit state and by construction, it has support over the full Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_L$ . So,  $\dim \mathcal{H}_L < d^2$  ( $= \dim H^d \otimes H^d$ ). For this reason, there exist more operators than one that have an identical action on the state  $|\psi\rangle_L$  as that of  $A_L$ . This is explicitly shown below:

Consider, for example, the projection operator  $\Pi$  onto the subspace orthogonal to  $\mathcal{H}_L$ , ( $\text{rank } \Pi > 1$ ). Suppose that the orthogonal subspace is spanned by  $\{|\mathbf{0}_1\rangle, |\mathbf{1}_1\rangle\}$  and  $\{|\mathbf{0}_2\rangle, |\mathbf{1}_2\rangle\}$  (the subscripts label the first and the second party). The projection operator  $\Pi$  has the following resolution,

$$\Pi = |\mathbf{0}_1\rangle\langle\mathbf{0}_1| + |\mathbf{1}_1\rangle\langle\mathbf{1}_1| + |\mathbf{0}_2\rangle\langle\mathbf{0}_2| + |\mathbf{1}_2\rangle\langle\mathbf{1}_2|. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Suppose that  $U_1, U_2$  represent local  $SU(2)$  transformations in the subspace spanned by  $\{|\mathbf{0}_1\rangle, |\mathbf{1}_1\rangle\}$  and  $\{|\mathbf{0}_2\rangle, |\mathbf{1}_2\rangle\}$  respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &= U_1 \otimes U_2 \left( |\mathbf{0}_1\rangle\langle\mathbf{0}_1| + |\mathbf{1}_1\rangle\langle\mathbf{1}_1| + |\mathbf{0}_2\rangle\langle\mathbf{0}_2| + |\mathbf{1}_2\rangle\langle\mathbf{1}_2| \right) U_1^\dagger \otimes U_2^\dagger \\ &\equiv |\mathbf{0}'_1\rangle\langle\mathbf{0}'_1| + |\mathbf{1}'_1\rangle\langle\mathbf{1}'_1| + |\mathbf{0}'_2\rangle\langle\mathbf{0}'_2| + |\mathbf{1}'_2\rangle\langle\mathbf{1}'_2|. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

So, two non-commuting operators,

$$\begin{aligned} O_1 &\equiv A_L + \lambda_1 |\mathbf{0}_1\rangle\langle\mathbf{0}_1| + \lambda_2 |\mathbf{1}_1\rangle\langle\mathbf{1}_1|; \\ O_2 &\equiv A_L + \mu_1 |\mathbf{0}'_1\rangle\langle\mathbf{0}'_1| + \mu_2 |\mathbf{1}'_1\rangle\langle\mathbf{1}'_1|, \quad (\lambda_i \neq \mu_i), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

will have identical actions on the state  $|\psi\rangle_L$ . A similar argument can be given for logical states built of multi-qudit physical states.

## Appendix B. Brief recapitulation of GHZ Nonlocality in arbitrary even dimensions

In this appendix, we present a derivation of the inequality (34). The generalised GHZ state  $|\psi_{\text{GHZ}}\rangle$  (given in equation (35)) is the eigenstate of the observable  $X_1 X_2 X_3$  with eigenvalue +1,

$$X_1 X_2 X_3 |\psi_{\text{GHZ}}\rangle = |\psi_{\text{GHZ}}\rangle. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

By using the symmetry operations (*viz.*, translational and permutational invariance) for the generalized GHZ state  $|\psi_{\text{GHZ}}\rangle$ , other observables can be constructed. One such operator is given by  $\omega X_1 Y_2 Y_3$  ( $\omega$  is the  $d^{\text{th}}$  root of identity). The operator  $Y$  is given in equation (33). In a similar manner, the other two observables,  $\omega Y_1 X_2 Y_3$  and

$\omega Y_1 Y_2 X_3$  can be obtained. The obtained observables respectively have been shown to satisfy [39],

$$X_1 Y_2 Y_3 |\psi_{\text{GHZ}}\rangle = \omega^{-1} |\psi_{\text{GHZ}}\rangle, \quad Y_1 X_2 Y_3 |\psi_{\text{GHZ}}\rangle = \omega^{-1} |\psi_{\text{GHZ}}\rangle, \quad Y_1 Y_2 X_3 |\psi_{\text{GHZ}}\rangle = \omega^{-1} |\psi_{\text{GHZ}}\rangle. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

In [39], the existence of an underlying LHV model is assumed, and the forms  $X_\alpha = \omega^{x_\alpha}$  and  $Y_\alpha = \omega^{y_\alpha}$  for the outcomes of  $X$  and  $Y$  have been employed.  $x_\alpha$  and  $y_\alpha$  are integers. The constraint of the values assumed by the variables  $X_\alpha$  and  $Y_\alpha$  (outcomes of the corresponding observables) for each qudit  $\alpha$  to be consistent with an underlying LHV model gets converted to the following constraints [39]:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + y_2 + y_3 &\equiv -1 \pmod{d}, & y_1 + x_2 + y_3 &\equiv -1 \pmod{d}, \\ y_1 + y_2 + x_3 &\equiv -1 \pmod{d}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Adding these equations yields the following condition,

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \equiv -2(y_1 + y_2 + y_3) - 3 \pmod{d}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Since the outcomes of  $X_\alpha$  are  $\omega^{x_\alpha}$ , equating the powers of  $\omega$  both sides in equation (B.1) under the assumption of an underlying LHV model leads to the following equation,

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{d}. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

For an even integer  $d$ , the RHS of equation (B.4) is always an odd integer modulo  $d$  for arbitrary  $y_\alpha$ . In other words, for even  $d$ , no integer can satisfy the equation,  $2y + 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$  where  $y = y_1 + y_2 + y_3$ . This contradicts the condition (B.5) emergent from eq. (B.1). That is to say, equations (B.4) and (B.5) can not be solved simultaneously for any integer value of  $y$ .

This gives rise to a Hardy-type condition for nonlocality without inequality [46] for an arbitrary even-dimensional tripartite system.

Since the conditions (B.1) and (B.2) can not be obeyed by any LHV model simultaneously, they can be used to construct a Bell inequality. The inequality is given in equation (34). Since under an LHV model, only three conditions out of four (given in equations (B.1) and (B.2)) can be satisfied. So, the LHV bound is 3. This can be straightaway generalized to  $N$ -party systems, with each subsystem being  $d$ -dimensional, where  $N$  is odd and  $d$  is an even integer. In fact, the  $N$ -partite SLK inequality for even dimensions has been given in [19].

Equations similar to (B.1, B.2) for higher powers of these observables have been shown in the next section for  $d = 4$  for the purpose of illustration.

### Appendix B.1. SLK nonlocality for higher powers of observables

In this section, we show how higher powers of observables  $X_i, Y_i$  (given in equation (33)) also give rise to nonlocality conditions (for a detailed discussion, we refer the

reader to [47]). The analysis in this appendix is restricted to  $d = 4$  only. We note that for the observables,  $X_i^2, Y_i^2$ , the following set of eigenvalue equations holds:

$$\begin{aligned} (X_1 X_2 X_3)^2 |\psi\rangle &= |\psi\rangle; \quad (\omega X_1 Y_2 Y_3)^2 |\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle \\ (\omega Y_1 X_2 Y_3)^2 |\psi\rangle &= |\psi\rangle; \quad (\omega Y_1 Y_2 X_3)^2 |\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

where  $|\psi\rangle$  is the three-ququart GHZ state,  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^3 |iii\rangle$ . If we assume an LHV model and denote the outcomes of  $X_i, Y_i$  by  $\omega^{x_i}, \omega^{y_i}$  and equating the powers of  $\omega$  both sides, the following set of equations results:

$$\begin{aligned} 2(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) &\equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \quad 2(1 + x_1 + y_2 + y_3) \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ 2(1 + y_1 + x_2 + y_3) &\equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \quad 2(1 + y_1 + y_2 + x_3) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Adding all three equations except the first one, the following equation results:

$$2\{3 + (x_1 + x_2 + x_3) + 2(y_1 + y_2 + y_3)\} \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

We incorporate the first equation,  $2(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) \equiv 0$ , in this equation to get

$$6 + 4(y_1 + y_2 + y_3) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \quad (\text{B.9})$$

which cannot be satisfied for any integer values of  $y_1, y_2, y_3$ .

Similarly, for  $X_i^3, Y_i^3$ , the following set of eigenvalue equations holds:

$$\begin{aligned} (X_1 X_2 X_3)^3 |\psi\rangle &\equiv |\psi\rangle; \quad (\omega X_1 Y_2 Y_3)^3 |\psi\rangle \equiv |\psi\rangle \\ (\omega Y_1 X_2 Y_3)^3 |\psi\rangle &\equiv |\psi\rangle; \quad (\omega Y_1 Y_2 X_3)^3 |\psi\rangle \equiv |\psi\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

As before, assuming an LHV model and denoting the outcomes of  $X_i, Y_i$  by  $\omega^{x_i}, \omega^{y_i}$  and equating the powers of  $\omega$  both sides, the following set of equations results:

$$\begin{aligned} 3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) &\equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \quad 3(1 + x_1 + y_2 + y_3) \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ 3(1 + y_1 + x_2 + y_3) &\equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \quad 3(1 + y_1 + y_2 + x_3) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

Adding all but the first equation, the following equation results:

$$3\{3 + (x_1 + x_2 + x_3) + 2(y_1 + y_2 + y_3)\} = 0 \quad (\text{B.12})$$

We incorporate the first equation,  $3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) = 0$ , in this equation to get

$$9 + 6(y_1 + y_2 + y_3) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \quad (\text{B.13})$$

which cannot be satisfied for any integer values of  $y_1, y_2, y_3$ . Similarly, it can be extended to higher values of  $d$  by a suitable choice of  $Y_i$ .

## Bibliography

- [1] Albert Einstein, Boris Podolsky, and Nathan Rosen. Can quantum-mechanical description of physical reality be considered complete? *Physical review*, 47(10):777, 1935.
- [2] John S Bell. On the einstein podolsky rosen paradox. *Physics Physique Fizika*, 1(3):195, 1964.
- [3] Simon Kochen and Ernst P Specker. The problem of hidden variables in quantum mechanics. In *The logico-algebraic approach to quantum mechanics*, pages 293–328. Springer, 1975.
- [4] Arthur Fine. Hidden variables, joint probability, and the bell inequalities. *Physical Review Letters*, 48(5):291, 1982.
- [5] G Svetlichny. Quantum nonlocality as an axiom. *Phys. Rev. D*, 35:3066, 1987.
- [6] Reinhard F Werner. Quantum states with einstein-podolsky-rosen correlations admitting a hidden-variable model. *Physical Review A*, 40(8):4277, 1989.
- [7] N David Mermin. Extreme quantum entanglement in a superposition of macroscopically distinct states. *Physical Review Letters*, 65(15):1838, 1990.
- [8] Asher Peres. Separability criterion for density matrices. *Physical Review Letters*, 77(8):1413, 1996.
- [9] Harold Ollivier and Wojciech H Zurek. Quantum discord: a measure of the quantumness of correlations. *Physical review letters*, 88(1):017901, 2001.
- [10] Howard M Wiseman, Steve James Jones, and Andrew C Doherty. Steering, entanglement, nonlocality, and the einstein-podolsky-rosen paradox. *Physical review letters*, 98(14):140402, 2007.
- [11] Alexander Streltsov, Gerardo Adesso, and Martin B Plenio. Colloquium: Quantum coherence as a resource. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 89(4):041003, 2017.
- [12] Artur K Ekert. Quantum cryptography based on bell’s theorem. *Physical review letters*, 67(6):661, 1991.
- [13] Charles H Bennett, Gilles Brassard, Claude Crépeau, Richard Jozsa, Asher Peres, and William K Wootters. Teleporting an unknown quantum state via dual classical and einstein-podolsky-rosen channels. *Physical review letters*, 70(13):1895, 1993.
- [14] Lov K Grover. Quantum mechanics helps in searching for a needle in a haystack. *Physical review letters*, 79(2):325, 1997.
- [15] Mark Hillery. Coherence as a resource in decision problems: The deutsch-jozsa algorithm and a variation. *Physical Review A*, 93(1):012111, 2016.
- [16] Erwin Schrödinger. Discussion of probability relations between separated systems. In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, volume 31, pages 555–563. Cambridge University Press, 1935.
- [17] Nicolas Brunner, Daniel Cavalcanti, Stefano Pironio, Valerio Scarani, and Stephanie Wehner. Bell nonlocality. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 86(2):419, 2014.
- [18] Michael Seevinck and George Svetlichny. Bell-type inequalities for partial separability in n-particle systems and quantum mechanical violations. *Physical review letters*, 89(6):060401, 2002.
- [19] W. Son, Jinhyoung Lee, and M. S. Kim. Generic bell inequalities for multipartite arbitrary dimensional systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 96:060406, Feb 2006.
- [20] Daniel Collins, Nicolas Gisin, Noah Linden, Serge Massar, and Sandu Popescu. Bell inequalities for arbitrarily high-dimensional systems. *Physical review letters*, 88(4):040404, 2002.
- [21] V Uğur Güney and Mark Hillery. Bell inequalities from group actions of single-generator groups. *Physical Review A*, 90(6):062121, 2014.
- [22] V Uğur Güney and Mark Hillery. Bell inequalities from group actions: Three parties and non-abelian groups. *Physical Review A*, 91(5):052110, 2015.
- [23] Mariami Gachechiladze, Costantino Budroni, and Otfried Gühne. Extreme violation of local realism in quantum hypergraph states. *Physical review letters*, 116(7):070401, 2016.
- [24] Ryszard Horodecki, Paweł Horodecki, Michał Horodecki, and Karol Horodecki. Quantum entanglement. *Reviews of modern physics*, 81(2):865, 2009.

- [25] Otfried Gühne and Géza Tóth. Entanglement detection. *Physics Reports*, 474(1-6):1–75, 2009.
- [26] Andreas Winter and Dong Yang. Operational resource theory of coherence. *Physical review letters*, 116(12):120404, 2016.
- [27] Chang-shui Yu, Yang Zhang, and Haiqing Zhao. Quantum correlation via quantum coherence. *Quantum Information Processing*, 13(6):1437–1456, 2014.
- [28] Eric Chitambar and Min-Hsiu Hsieh. Relating the resource theories of entanglement and quantum coherence. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 117:020402, Jul 2016.
- [29] Yuan Sun, Yuanyuan Mao, and Shunlong Luo. From quantum coherence to quantum correlations. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 118(6):60007, 2017.
- [30] Xianfei Qi, Ting Gao, and Fengli Yan. Measuring coherence with entanglement concurrence. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 50(28):285301, 2017.
- [31] Rami Barends, Julian Kelly, Anthony Megrant, Andrzej Veitia, Daniel Sank, Evan Jeffrey, Ted C White, Josh Mutus, Austin G Fowler, Brooks Campbell, et al. Superconducting quantum circuits at the surface code threshold for fault tolerance. *Nature*, 508(7497):500–503, 2014.
- [32] Jerry M Chow, Jay M Gambetta, Easwar Magesan, David W Abraham, Andrew W Cross, Blake R Johnson, Nicholas A Masluk, Colm A Ryan, John A Smolin, Srikanth J Srinivasan, et al. Implementing a strand of a scalable fault-tolerant quantum computing fabric. *Nature communications*, 5(1):1–9, 2014.
- [33] Sreraman Muralidharan, Jungsang Kim, Norbert Lütkenhaus, Mikhail D Lukin, and Liang Jiang. Ultrafast and fault-tolerant quantum communication across long distances. *Physical review letters*, 112(25):250501, 2014.
- [34] Sang Min Lee, Seung-Woo Lee, Hyunseok Jeong, and Hee Su Park. Quantum teleportation of shared quantum secret. *Physical Review Letters*, 124(6):060501, 2020.
- [35] W Cary Huffman and Vera Pless. *Fundamentals of error-correcting codes*. Cambridge university press, 2010.
- [36] A Robert Calderbank and Peter W Shor. Good quantum error-correcting codes exist. *Physical Review A*, 54(2):1098, 1996.
- [37] Sooryansh Asthana. Interrelation of nonclassicality conditions through stabiliser group homomorphism. *New Journal of Physics*, 24(5):053026, 2022.
- [38] Daniel Gottesman. An introduction to quantum error correction. In *Proceedings of Symposia in Applied Mathematics*, volume 58, pages 221–236, 2002.
- [39] Jinhyoung Lee, Seung-Woo Lee, and M. S. Kim. Greenberger-horne-zeilinger nonlocality in arbitrary even dimensions. *Phys. Rev. A*, 73:032316, Mar 2006.
- [40] W Son, Č Brukner, and MS Kim. Test of nonlocality for a continuous-variable state based on an arbitrary number of measurement outcomes. *Physical review letters*, 97(11):110401, 2006.
- [41] Bonny L. Schumaker and Carlton M. Caves. New formalism for two-photon quantum optics. ii. mathematical foundation and compact notation. *Phys. Rev. A*, 31:3093–3111, May 1985.
- [42] Lu-Ming Duan, G. Giedke, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller. Inseparability criterion for continuous variable systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 84:2722–2725, Mar 2000.
- [43] Priya J Nadkarni and Shayan Srinivasa Garani. Quantum error correction architecture for qudit stabilizer codes. *Physical Review A*, 103(4):042420, 2021.
- [44] Wan Cong, Yu Cai, Jean-Daniel Bancal, and Valerio Scarani. Witnessing irreducible dimension. *Physical review letters*, 119(8):080401, 2017.
- [45] Tristan Kraft, Christina Ritz, Nicolas Brunner, Marcus Huber, and Otfried Gühne. Characterizing genuine multilevel entanglement. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 120:060502, Feb 2018.
- [46] Lucien Hardy. Nonlocality for two particles without inequalities for almost all entangled states. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 71:1665–1668, Sep 1993.
- [47] Jinhyoung Lee, Seung-Woo Lee, and Myungshik S Kim. Greenberger-horne-zeilinger nonlocality in arbitrary even dimensions. *Physical Review A*, 73(3):032316, 2006.