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#### Abstract

We study a variational problem on a smooth manifold with a decomposition of the tangent bundle into $k>2$ subbundles (distributions), namely, we consider the integrated sum of their mixed scalar curvatures as a functional of adapted pseudo-Riemannian metric (keeping the pairwise orthogonality of the distributions) and contorsion tensor, defining a linear connection. This functional allows us to generalize the class of Einstein metrics in the following sense: if all of the distributions are one-dimensional, then it coincides with the geometrical part of the Einstein-Hilbert action restricted to adapted metrics. We prove that metrics in pairs metric-contorsion critical for our functional make all of the distributions totally umbilical. We obtain examples and obstructions to existence of those critical pairs in some special cases: twisted products with statistical connections; semisymmetric connections and 3-Sasaki manifolds with metric-compatible connections.
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## 1 Introduction

State of the art. This paper links together two topics of differential geometry: variational problems for metric and linear connection and almost product manifolds with $k \geq 2$ factors.

[^0]Many canonical geometrical objects are critical points of nonlinear variational problems, see [9]. A particularly famous of them is the integrated scalar curvature (the geometrical part of Einstein-Hilbert action) with variable Riemannian metric and linear connection, its Euler-Lagrange equations are the Einstein equation and the spin-connection equation, e.g., 3 . Both equations form the basis of the Einstein-Cartan theory of gravity within the framework of metric-affine geometry, that considers a pseudo-Riemannian metric $g$ and a linear connection $\bar{\nabla}$ (instead of the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ ) on a manifold as independent variables, e.g., [6, [17]. The following classes of metric-affine manifolds are popular:

- Statistical manifolds, where the $(0,3)$-tensor $\bar{\nabla} g$ is symmetric and $\bar{\nabla}$ is torsion-free, are important for probability and statistics as well as information geometry, e.g., 4.
- Riemann-Cartan manifolds, where $\bar{\nabla}$ is metric-compatible, i.e., $\bar{\nabla} g=0$, are important for theoretical physics, e.g., [3]. Semi-symmetric connection, introduced by K. Yano [30], is a special case of a metric-compatible connection parameterized by a vector field.

In [22], the first author studied the variation problem with mixed scalar curvature

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mathcal{D}}: g \mapsto \int_{M} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} \operatorname{vol}_{g}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on a manifold with $k \geq 2$ distributions (see [5, 24, 25, 26] for $k=2$ ). This analog of scalar curvature is the averaged sectional curvature of all planes spanned by two unit vectors from different distributions, and is one of the simplest curvature invariants of an almost product manifold, see [21, 23, 27, 29]. Recall that a connected manifold $M$ with a decomposition of the tangent bundle into $k \geq 2$ subbundles (distributions),

$$
\begin{equation*}
T M=\mathcal{D}_{1}+\ldots+\mathcal{D}_{k} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called an almost product manifold [23] (see [6] for $k=2$ ). It appears in such topics as multiply twisted or warped product manifolds, see [12, 16]; the theory of nets composed of foliations (that is, integrable distributions), see [15, 19]; para- $f$-manifolds, see [28]; lightlike manifolds, i.e., with degenerate metric of constant rank and index, see [11] hypersurfaces in space forms with $k$ distinct principal curvatures, see [10]. An almost product manifold admits a natural class of metrics that will be of our interest in this paper. A pseudo-Riemannian metric $g$ on $\left(M, \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$ is adapted (or, compatible, see [20]) if all distributions are non-degenerate and pairwise orthogonal. Any adapted metric is uniquely decomposed as $g=g_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus g_{k}$, where $g_{\mu}$ is a bundle metric on $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$, then we write $T M=\bigoplus_{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$. A special family of adapted metrics are multiconformally equivalent (to $g$ ) metrics $\tilde{g}=u_{1}^{2} g_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus u_{k}^{2} g_{k}$, where $u_{\mu}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are smooth functions without zeros, see [20].

Objectives and results. We study critical points of the integrated mixed scalar curvature on $\left(M, \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$, depending on adapted metric $g$ and contorsion tensor $\mathfrak{T}=\bar{\nabla}-\nabla$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{J}_{\mathcal{D}}:(g, \mathfrak{T}) \mapsto \int_{M} \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}} \mathrm{~d} \operatorname{vol}_{g} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mixed scalar curvature $\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}$ is up to factor 2 , see (13), the sum of the mixed scalar curvatures $\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \mathcal{D} \frac{\perp}{\mu}}$, examined in [26], and if all distributions are 1-dimensional, the mixed scalar curvature reduces to the scalar curvature divided by two. If $M$ is a non-compact manifold, we integrate in (3) over an arbitrarily large, relatively compact domain $\Omega \subset M$, which contains supports of variations of $g$ and $\mathfrak{T}$. We find the Euler-Lagrange equation for (3) with fixed $\mathfrak{T}$ for adapted variations of metric preserving the volume of the manifold. It can be presented in the form of the Einstein equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathcal{R i c}}_{\mathcal{D}}-(1 / 2) \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{D}} \cdot g+\lambda g=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Ricci tensor of $\bar{\nabla}$ and the scalar curvature are replaced by the Ricci type tensor $\overline{\mathcal{R i c}}_{\mathcal{D}}=\bigoplus_{\mu=1}^{k} \overline{\mathcal{R} i c}_{\mathcal{D} \mid \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu}}$ (introduced in [5] for $k=2, \operatorname{dim} M=4, \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{D}_{1}=1$ and $\bar{\nabla}=\nabla$ )
and its trace $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{D}}$. Although $\overline{\mathcal{R} i c}_{\mathcal{D}}$ has complicated form even for $k=2$, see [26], we write it explicitly in special cases: for statistical and semi-symmetric connections, and twisted products; if all distributions are one-dimensional, it reduces to the Ricci tensor of $\bar{\nabla}$.

We find the Euler-Lagrange equation for (3) with a fixed adapted metric for variations of $\mathfrak{T}$, which can be decomposed into independent equations - some of which do not contain contorsion tensor, but significantly restrict metrics admitting critical contorsions. Due to such restrictions, a natural setting to consider are twisted and warped products of manifolds, on which we characterize all critical pairs with statistical connections, in terms of contorsion tensor, mean curvatures of distributions and Ricci tensor of the metric. We also prove the absence of non-trivial critical points of the action on e.g., harmonic distributions with semisymmetric connections, or complete 3-Sasaki manifolds with particular metric connections. On the other hand, considering only connections from certain families allows to reduce the variational problem to purely pseudo-Riemannian one. In particular, we show that some critical pairs $(g, \mathfrak{T})$ of the action (3) restricted to adapted metrics and statistical connections can be obtained from critical adapted metrics of this action with the fixed Levi-Civita connection. Similarly, considering critical points among semi-symmetric connections only slightly modifies the tensor $\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}_{\mathcal{D}}$.

The action (3) may find applications in the theory of nets and theoretical physics, because it is strongly related to the Einstein-Hilbert action. Indeed, (3) and the relation $\overline{\mathrm{S}}=2 \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}+$ $\sum_{\mu=1}^{k} \overline{\mathrm{~S}}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\right)$ of $\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}$ with the scalar curvature $\overline{\mathrm{S}}$ (the trace of the Ricci tensor for $\bar{\nabla}$ ) and the scalar curvature $\overline{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\right)$ of $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$, allow us to generalize the class of Einstein metrics, i.e., in the case of one-dimensional distributions any critical pair ( $g, \mathfrak{T}$ ) for the Einstein-Hilbert action is also critical for (3). However, since we consider variations of metric adapted to an almost-product structure, we obtain also critical pairs $(g, \mathfrak{T})$ with non-Einstein metrics. In particular, on manifolds $(M, g)$ of constant scalar curvature and certain dimension, we find decompositions (2) that make $g$ critical for the action (3). Finally, (3) can be combined with the Einstein-Hilbert action in vacuum as $\bar{J}_{\mathcal{D}, \epsilon}:(g, \mathfrak{T}) \mapsto \int_{M}\left(\overline{\mathrm{~S}}+\epsilon \overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}\right) \mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_{g}(\epsilon \in \mathbb{R})$, and considered as a perturbation of the Einstein-Cartan theory.

Structure of the article. The article consists of an Introduction and six sections. Section 2 contains necessary results from [24, 25], among them the notion of the mixed scalar curvature is central. In Section 3, we study adapted variations of metric (with fixed contorsion tensor) and find the Euler-Lagrange equation for the action (3). In Section (4) we study variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ and, using results for $k=2$ from [26], find the Euler-Lagrange equation for (3) with fixed adapted metric. In subsequent sections, we examine solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations in some special cases. In Section 5, for one-dimensional distributions we find critical pairs $(g, \mathfrak{T})$ with non-Einstein metrics of constant scalar curvature for $k=3$ and for $k>3$ using Hadamard matrices. In Section 6, we apply the results of Sections 3 and 4 to statistical connections; in particular, in Section 6.1 we consider twisted products, and in Section 6.2, we study the action (3) for adapted variations of metric and variations of contorsion tensor corresponding to statistical connections. In Section 7, we apply the results of Sections 3 and 4 to metric connections; in particular, in Section 7.1, we consider semi-symmetric connections, and in Section 7.2, we examine the Euler-Lagrange equations for (3) on a 3-Sasaki manifold.

## 2 Preliminaries

Here, we recall the properties of the mixed scalar curvature of a metric-affine almost product manifold $\left(M, g, \bar{\nabla} ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$, see [23]. We will use bar in the notation of objects related to $\bar{\nabla}$. Recall that $\mathfrak{T}=\nabla-\nabla$, where $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $g$, is the contorsion tensor.

A pseudo-Riemannian metric $g=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ of index $q$ on $M$ is an element $g \in \operatorname{Sym}^{2}(M)$ of the space of symmetric (0,2)-tensors such that each $g_{x}(x \in M)$ is a non-degenerate bilinear form of index $q$ on the tangent space $T_{x} M$. For $q=0$ (i.e., $g_{x}$ is positive definite) $g$ is a Riemannian metric and for $q=1$ it is a Lorentz metric. A distribution $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ on $(M, g)$ is non-degenerate, if $g_{x}$
is non-degenerate on $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(x) \subset T_{x} M$ for all $x \in M$; in this case, the orthogonal complement $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}$ is also non-degenerate, e.g., [6]. Given an adapted metric $g$ on $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$, there is a local orthonormal frame $\left\{E_{\mu, a}\right\}$ on $M$, where $1 \leq a \leq n_{\mu}=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ such that $\left\{E_{\mu, 1}, \ldots, E_{\mu, n_{\mu}}\right\} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ for $1 \leq \mu \leq k$. All quantities defined below using such frame do not depend on the choice of this frame. Similarly, $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}\right\}$, where $i=1, \ldots, n_{\mu}^{\perp}$, is an orthonormal frame of $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}$ with $n_{\mu}^{\perp}=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}$. Thus, the ranges of indices, e.g., $a$ or $i$, are determined by the index of the distribution, $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ or $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}$, respectively. Unless explicitly stated otherwise, sums in all formulas will be taken over repeated indices, and always over full ranges of indices.

For the curvature tensor $\bar{R}_{X, Y}=\left[\bar{\nabla}_{Y}, \bar{\nabla}_{X}\right]+\bar{\nabla}_{[X, Y]}$ of $\bar{\nabla}$, we get $\bar{R}_{X, Y}-R_{X, Y}=\left(\nabla_{Y} \mathfrak{T}\right)_{X}-$ $\left(\nabla_{X} \mathfrak{T}\right)_{Y}+\left[\mathfrak{T}_{Y}, \mathfrak{T}_{X}\right]$, see [21], where $R_{X, Y}=\left[\nabla_{Y}, \nabla_{X}\right]+\nabla_{[X, Y]}$ is the curvature tensor of $\nabla$. The mixed scalar curvature of a pair of distributions ( $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ ) on a manifold $(M, g ; \bar{\nabla})$ is given by

$$
\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^{\perp}}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a, b} \varepsilon_{a} \varepsilon_{b}\left(\left\langle\bar{R}_{E_{a}, \mathcal{E}_{b}} E_{a}, \mathcal{E}_{b}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{R}_{\mathcal{E}_{b}, E_{a}} \mathcal{E}_{b}, E_{a}\right\rangle\right)
$$

where we use a local orthonormal frame on $M$ such that $E_{a} \in \mathcal{D}$ for $a \leq \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}_{b} \in \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ for $b \leq \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ and $\varepsilon_{a}=\left\langle E_{a}, E_{a}\right\rangle \in\{-1,1\}, \varepsilon_{b}=\left\langle\mathcal{E}_{b}, \mathcal{E}_{b}\right\rangle \in\{-1,1\}$. If $\mathcal{D}$ is spanned by a unit vector field $N$, then $\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^{\perp}}=\varepsilon_{N} \overline{\operatorname{Ric}}_{N, N}$, where $\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}_{N, N}$ is the Ricci curvature of $\bar{\nabla}$ in the $N$-direction. This concept can be generalized to $k>2$ distributions.
Definition 1. Given $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$ with an adapted metric $g$ and a linear connection $\bar{\nabla}$, the following function on $M$ is called the mixed scalar curvature with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$, see [23]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu<\mu} \sum_{a, b} \varepsilon_{a} \varepsilon_{b}\left(\left\langle\bar{R}_{E_{\nu, a}, E_{\mu, b}} E_{\nu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle+\left\langle\bar{R}_{E_{\mu, b}, E_{\nu, a}} E_{\mu, b}, E_{\nu, a}\right\rangle\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathfrak{T}=0$, then the above function is called the mixed scalar curvature (with respect to $\nabla$ ):

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}=\sum_{\nu<\mu} \sum_{a, b} \varepsilon_{a} \varepsilon_{b}\left\langle R_{E_{\nu, a}, E_{\mu, b}} E_{\nu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle .
$$

The symmetric second fundamental form $h_{\mu}: \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}$ and the skew-symmetric integrability tensor $T_{\mu}: \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}$ (of the distribution $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ ) are defined by

$$
h_{\mu}(X, Y)=\frac{1}{2} P_{\mu}^{\perp}\left(\nabla_{X} Y+\nabla_{Y} X\right), \quad T_{\mu}(X, Y)=\frac{1}{2} P_{\mu}^{\perp}\left(\nabla_{X} Y-\nabla_{Y} X\right)=\frac{1}{2} P_{\mu}^{\perp}[X, Y],
$$

where $P_{\mu}: T M \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ and $P_{\mu}^{\perp}: T M \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}$ are orthoprojectors. The mean curvature vector field of $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ is given by the trace of second fundamental form: $H_{\mu}=\operatorname{Tr}_{g} h_{\mu}=\sum_{a} h_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, a}\right)$. Similarly, $\tilde{h}_{\mu}, \tilde{H}_{\mu}=\operatorname{Tr}_{g} \tilde{h}_{\mu}$ and $\tilde{T}_{\mu}$ are defined for $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}$, e.g., $\tilde{h}_{\mu}: \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ is given by $\tilde{h}_{\mu}(X, Y)=\frac{1}{2} P_{\mu}\left(\nabla_{X} Y+\nabla_{Y} X\right)$. We have $H_{\mu}=\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} P_{\nu} H_{\mu}$ and $\tilde{H}_{\mu}=\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} P_{\mu} H_{\nu}$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=\sum_{\mu} H_{\mu}=\sum_{\mu} \tilde{H}_{\mu} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see that the above definition is valid, we use $P_{\mu} H_{\mu}=0$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mu} \tilde{H}_{\mu}=\sum_{\mu} P_{\mu} \sum_{\nu} H_{\nu}=\sum_{\nu} \sum_{\mu} P_{\mu} H_{\nu}=\sum_{\nu} H_{\nu} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A distribution $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ is called integrable if $T_{\mu}=0$, and $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ is called totally umbilical, harmonic, or totally geodesic, if $h_{\mu}=\left(H_{\mu} / n_{\mu}\right) g, H_{\mu}=0$, or $h_{\mu}=0$, respectively, e.g., [6]. Totally umbilical and totally geodesic integrable distributions naturally appear on twisted products.

The squares of norms of tensors on $\left(M, g ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$ are determined using

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle h_{\mu}, h_{\mu}\right\rangle & =\sum_{a, b} \varepsilon_{a} \varepsilon_{b}\left\langle h_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right), h_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right)\right\rangle, \\
\left\langle T_{\mu}, T_{\mu}\right\rangle & =\sum_{a, b} \varepsilon_{a} \varepsilon_{b}\left\langle T_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right), T_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right)\right\rangle, \quad \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, for two $(0, s)$ or $(1, s)$ tensors $F_{1}, F_{2}$, we will denote by $\left\langle F_{1}, F_{2}\right\rangle$ their inner product defined by $g$. Let $h_{\mu \nu}, H_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu}$ be the second fundamental forms, the mean curvature vector fields and the integrability tensors related to the distributions $\mathcal{D}_{\nu} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ for $\mu \neq \nu$.

Definition 2. A pair ( $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \mathcal{D}_{\nu}$ ) with $\mu \neq \nu$ of distributions on ( $M, g ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}$ ) is called
a) mixed totally geodesic, if $h_{\mu \nu}(X, Y)=0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu}$.
b) mixed integrable, if $T_{\mu \nu}(X, Y)=0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu}$.

Let $\mathfrak{X}_{M}$ be the module over $C^{\infty}(M)$ of all vector fields on $M$. The "musical" isomorphisms $\sharp$ and $b$ will be used for rank one and symmetric rank 2 tensors. For example, if $\omega \in \Lambda^{1}(M)$ is a 1 -form and $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_{M}$ then $\omega(Y)=\left\langle\omega^{\sharp}, Y\right\rangle$ and $X^{b}(Y)=\langle X, Y\rangle$. For arbitrary ( 0,2 )-tensors $B$ and $C$ we also have $\langle B, C\rangle=\operatorname{Tr}_{g}\left(B^{\sharp} C^{\sharp}\right)=\left\langle B^{\sharp}, C^{\sharp}\right\rangle$.

The shape operator $\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{Z}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ with respect to $Z \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}$ (dual to the second fundamental form $h_{\mu}$ ) and the operator $\left(T_{\mu}^{\sharp}\right)_{Z}$ (dual to the integrability tensor $T_{\mu}$ ) are given by

$$
\left\langle\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{Z}(X), Y\right\rangle=\left\langle h_{\mu}(X, Y), Z\right\rangle, \quad\left\langle\left(T_{\mu}^{\sharp}\right)_{Z}(X), Y\right\rangle=\left\langle T_{\mu}(X, Y), Z\right\rangle, \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu} .
$$

Similarly, linear operators $\left(\tilde{A}_{\mu}\right)_{Z}$ and $\left(\tilde{T}_{\mu}^{\sharp}\right)_{Z}$ on $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}$ with $Z \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ are defined. To make formulas easier to read, we will sometimes write $A_{\mu, Z}$ instead of $\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{Z}$ and $\tilde{A}_{\mu, a}$ instead of $\left(\tilde{A}_{\mu}\right)_{E_{\mu, a}}$, etc. The divergence of a $(1, s)$-tensor field $S$ on $(M, g)$ is a $(0, s)$-tensor field $\operatorname{div} S=\operatorname{trace}(Y \rightarrow$ $\nabla_{Y} S$,

$$
(\operatorname{div} S)\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}\right)=\sum_{i}\left\langle\left(\nabla_{E_{i}} S\right)\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}\right), E_{i}\right\rangle,
$$

where $\left(E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n}\right)$ is a local orthonormal frame on $T M$. For $s=0$, this is the divergence $\operatorname{div} X=\operatorname{Tr} \nabla X$ of a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}_{M}$. The identity tranformation on $T M$ will be denoted by id.

For the contorsion tensor, we define auxiliary (1,2)-tensors $\mathfrak{T}^{*}$ and $\mathfrak{T}^{\wedge}$ by

$$
\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{X}^{*} Y, Z\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{X} Z, Y\right\rangle, \quad \mathfrak{T}_{X}^{\wedge} Y=\mathfrak{T}_{Y} X, \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}_{M},
$$

similarly $\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{X}^{* *} Y, Z\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{Y}^{*} X, Z\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{Y} Z, X\right\rangle$. Set $\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a}=\mathfrak{T}_{E_{\mu, a}}$. The partial traces of $\mathfrak{T}$ are defined by $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}=\sum_{i} \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}} \mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}=\sum_{a} \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a} E_{\mu, a}$. Note that $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}=\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}$.

Set $V_{\mu}=\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}\right) \cup\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu}\right)$. For $\left(M, g, \bar{\nabla} ; \mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}\right)$ we have the following equalities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div} X_{\mu}=\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \mathcal{D}_{\mu}}-Q\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, g\right)-\bar{Q}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, g, \mathfrak{T}\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [21], where $X_{\mu}=\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp}\left(\mathfrak{T}-\mathfrak{T}^{*}\right)+P_{\mu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top}\left(\mathfrak{T}-\mathfrak{T}^{*}\right)\right)+H_{\mu}+\tilde{H}_{\mu}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
Q\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, g\right) & =\left\langle\tilde{H}_{\mu}, \tilde{H}_{\mu}\right\rangle+\left\langle H_{\mu}, H_{\mu}\right\rangle-\left\langle h_{\mu}, h_{\mu}\right\rangle-\left\langle\tilde{h}_{\mu}, \tilde{h}_{\mu}\right\rangle+\left\langle T_{\mu}, T_{\mu}\right\rangle+\left\langle\tilde{T}_{\mu}, \tilde{T}_{\mu}\right\rangle,  \tag{9}\\
2 \bar{Q}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, g, \mathfrak{T}\right) & =\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}^{*}\right\rangle+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}^{*}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top}\left(\mathfrak{T}-\mathfrak{T}^{*}\right)-\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp}\left(\mathfrak{T}-\mathfrak{T}^{*}\right), H_{\mu}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\mathfrak{T}-\mathfrak{T}^{*}+\mathfrak{T}^{\wedge}-\mathfrak{T}^{* \wedge}, \tilde{A}_{\mu}-\tilde{T}_{\mu}^{\sharp}+A_{\mu}-T_{\mu}^{\sharp}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}^{*}, \mathfrak{T}^{\wedge}\right\rangle_{\mid V_{\mu}} . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

In a local adapted frame, two terms in the last line of (10) have the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\mathfrak{T}-\mathfrak{T}^{*}+\mathfrak{T}^{\wedge}-\mathfrak{T}^{* \wedge}, \tilde{A}_{\mu}-\tilde{T}_{\mu}^{\sharp}+A_{\mu}-T_{\mu}^{\sharp}\right\rangle=\sum_{a, b}\left(\left\langle\left(\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mu, b}}-\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mu, b}}^{*}\right) E_{\mu, a}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left(\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a}-\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a}^{*}\right) \mathcal{E}_{\mu, b},\left(\left(\tilde{A}_{\mu}\right)_{E_{\mu, a}}-\left(\tilde{T}_{\mu}^{\sharp}\right)_{E_{\mu, a}}\right) \mathcal{E}_{\mu, b}+\left(\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{\mathcal{E}_{\mu, b}}-\left(T_{\mu}^{\sharp}\right) \mathcal{E}_{\mu, b}\right) E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\right), \\
& \left\langle\mathfrak{T}^{*}, \mathfrak{T}^{\wedge}\right\rangle_{\mid V_{\mu}}=\sum_{a, b}\left(\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a} \mathcal{E}_{\mu, b}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mu, b}}^{*} E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle+\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a} \mathcal{E}_{\mu, b}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mu, b}} E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following result, see [23, Proposition 2], generalizes (8) for $k>2$.
Proposition 1. For an almost product manifold $\left(M, g ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$ equipped with a linear connection $\bar{\nabla}=\nabla+\mathfrak{T}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div} Y=2 \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}-\sum_{\mu}\left(Q\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, g\right)+\bar{Q}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, g, \mathfrak{T}\right)\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where tensors $Q\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, g\right)$ and $\bar{Q}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, g, \mathfrak{T}\right)$ are given by (9) and (10) with $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\sum_{\mu}\left(\frac{1}{2} P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp}\left(\mathfrak{T}-\mathfrak{T}^{*}\right)+\frac{1}{2} P_{\mu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top}\left(\mathfrak{T}-\mathfrak{T}^{*}\right)+H_{\mu}+\tilde{H}_{\mu}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For a pair of complementary distributions $\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}\right)$ on $(M, g)$ we have $\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \mathcal{D}}{ }_{\mu}=$ $\sum_{a, b} \varepsilon_{a} \varepsilon_{b}\left\langle\bar{R}_{E_{\mu, a}, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, b}} E_{\mu, a}, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, b}\right\rangle$. Thus, from the equality $\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \mathcal{D} \mu}=\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \mathcal{D}_{\nu}}$ and definition (5) we obtain the following decomposition formula of the mixed scalar curvature, see [23]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}=\sum_{\mu} \overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \mathcal{D} \mu}^{\perp} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing $k$ copies of (8) with $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\mu=1, \ldots, k)$ and using (13) yields (11).
Remark 1. For a statistical connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on $(M, g)$ we have $\mathfrak{T}^{\wedge}=\mathfrak{T}$ and $\mathfrak{T}^{*}=\mathfrak{T}$; in this case, (10) has a shorter form $2 \bar{Q}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, g, \mathfrak{T}\right)=2\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle-\langle\mathfrak{T}, \mathfrak{T}\rangle_{\mid V_{\mu}}$, and (11) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}=2 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}-\sum_{\mu}\left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\langle\mathfrak{T}, \mathfrak{T}\rangle_{\mid V_{\mu}}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Adapted variations of metric

Here, we define adapted variations $g_{t}(|t|<\varepsilon)$ of a pseudo-Riemannian metric $g=g_{0}$ and similarly to the case of (11), see [22], find a general form of the Euler-Lagrange equation for the action (3) with respect to those variations. Let infinitesimal variations $B_{t} \equiv \partial g_{t} / \partial t$ be supported in a relatively compact domain $\Omega \subset M$, i.e., on $M \backslash \Omega$ we have $g_{t}=g$ and $B_{t}=0$ for all $t$. We adopt notations $\partial_{t} \equiv \partial / \partial t, B \equiv \partial_{t} g_{t \mid t=0}$, and write $B$ instead of $B_{t}$ to make formulas easier to read. The volume form $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{vol}}^{g}$ of metric $g$ varies as follows, e.g., [24],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t}\left(\mathrm{~d} \operatorname{vol}_{g}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{g} B\right) \mathrm{d}_{\operatorname{vol}_{g}}=\frac{1}{2}\langle B, g\rangle \mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_{g} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any variations $g_{t}$ of metric, the Euler-Lagrange equation means vanishing of the partial gradient $\delta_{g} \bar{J}_{\mathcal{D}}(g, \mathfrak{T})$ of the functional (3) with fixed tensor $\mathfrak{T}$, where $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \bar{J}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(g_{t}, \mathfrak{T}\right)_{\mid t=0}=$ $\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\delta_{g} \bar{J}_{\mathcal{D}}, B\right\rangle{\mathrm{d} v \operatorname{vol}_{g}}$ and $B=\partial_{t} g_{t \mid t=0}$. Solutions $g$ of $\delta_{g} \bar{J}_{\mathcal{D}}=0$ are called critical metrics. For variations preserving the volume of $\Omega$, i.e., $\operatorname{Vol}\left(\Omega, g_{t}\right)=\operatorname{Vol}(\Omega, g)$ for all $t$, using (15), we get

$$
0=\partial_{t} \int_{M} \mathrm{~d} \operatorname{vol}_{g}=\int_{M} \partial_{t}\left({\left.\mathrm{~d} \operatorname{vol}_{g}\right)=\int_{M} \frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{g} B\right) \mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_{g}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\langle g, B\rangle \mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_{g} . . . . . . .}\right.
$$

Hence, $g$ is critical for variations of metric preserving the volume of $\Omega$ if and only if the condition $\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\delta_{g} \bar{J}_{\mathcal{D}}, B\right\rangle \mathrm{d} v o l_{g}=0$ holds for all tensors $B$ satisfying $\int_{\Omega}\langle g, B\rangle \mathrm{d} v o l_{g}=0$. Thus, the Euler-Lagrange equation for variations preserving the volume of $\Omega$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{g} \bar{J}_{\mathcal{D}}=\lambda g \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Following [26], where $k=2$, we define auxiliary Casorati type operators $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}: \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ and self-adjoint $(1,1)$-tensors $\mathcal{K}_{\mu}$ (using the Lie bracket) by

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\mu}=\sum_{a} \varepsilon_{a}\left(T_{\mu, a}^{\sharp}\right)^{2}, \quad \mathcal{K}_{\mu}=\sum_{a} \varepsilon_{a}\left[T_{\mu, a}^{\sharp}, A_{\mu, a}\right]
$$

For any (1,2)-tensors $P, P^{\prime}$ and a ( 0,2 )-tensor $S$ define the ( 0,2 )-tensor $\Upsilon_{P, P^{\prime}}$ by

$$
\left\langle\Upsilon_{P, P^{\prime}}, S\right\rangle=\sum_{\lambda, \mu} \varepsilon_{\lambda} \varepsilon_{\mu}\left[S\left(P\left(e_{\lambda}, e_{\mu}\right), P^{\prime}\left(e_{\lambda}, e_{\mu}\right)\right)+S\left(P^{\prime}\left(e_{\lambda}, e_{\mu}\right), P\left(e_{\lambda}, e_{\mu}\right)\right)\right]
$$

where we use the inner product of tensors induced by $g,\left\{e_{\lambda}\right\}$ is a local orthonormal basis of $T M$ and $\varepsilon_{\lambda}=\left\langle e_{\lambda}, e_{\lambda}\right\rangle \in\{-1,1\}$. If $g$ is a Riemannian metric, then $\Upsilon_{h_{\mu}, h_{\mu}}=0$ if and only if $h_{\mu}=0$. Thus, $\Upsilon_{h_{\mu}, h_{\mu}}$ measures "non-total geodesy" of $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$; similarly, $\Upsilon_{T_{\mu}, T_{\mu}}$ measures "non-integrability" of $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$.

Definition 3 (see [22]). A family of adapted metrics $g_{t}(|t|<\varepsilon)$ on $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$ such that $g_{0}=g$ and $B_{t}$ are compactly supported, is called an adapted variation of $g$. In this case, distributions $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\nu}$ are $g_{t}$-orthogonal for all $\mu \neq \nu$ and all $t$. An adapted variation $g_{t}$ is called a $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$-variation (for some fixed $\mu \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ ) if the metric changes along $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ only, i.e., $g_{t}(X, Y)=g_{0}(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}, \quad|t|<\varepsilon$.

An adapted variation $g_{t}$ is a sum $g_{t}=g_{1}(t) \oplus \ldots \oplus g_{k}(t)$ of $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$-variations $g_{\mu}(t)=\left.g_{t}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}}$. In this case, the tensor $B_{t}=\partial_{t} g_{t}$ is a sum $B_{t}=\sum_{\mu} B_{\mu}(t)$, where $B_{\mu}(t)=\partial_{t} g_{\mu}(t)=\left.B_{t}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}}$. A special case of adapted variations is a multiconformal variation of metric, see [20].

In view of Proposition (1) we need the variation of $\sum_{\nu}\left(\bar{Q}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\nu}, g, \mathfrak{T}\right)+Q\left(\mathcal{D}_{\nu}, g\right)\right)$.
Lemma 1 (see [22]). Let $g_{t}$ be a $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$-variation of an adapted metric $g$ on $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{t}\left\langle\tilde{h}_{\mu}, \tilde{h}_{\mu}\right\rangle=-\left\langle(1 / 2) \Upsilon_{\tilde{h}_{\mu}, \tilde{h}_{\mu}}, B_{\mu}\right\rangle, \quad \partial_{t}\left\langle h_{\mu}, h_{\mu}\right\rangle=\left\langle\operatorname{div} h_{\mu}+\mathcal{K}_{\mu}^{b}, B_{\mu}\right\rangle-\operatorname{div}\left\langle h_{\mu}, B_{\mu}\right\rangle, \\
& \partial_{t}\left\langle\tilde{H}_{\mu}, \tilde{H}_{\mu}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\tilde{H}_{\mu}^{b} \otimes \tilde{H}_{\mu}^{b}, B_{\mu}\right\rangle, \quad \partial_{t}\left\langle H_{\mu}, H_{\mu}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(\operatorname{div} H_{\mu}\right) g_{\mu}, B_{\mu}\right\rangle-\operatorname{div}\left(\left(\operatorname{Tr} B_{\mu}^{\sharp}\right) H_{\mu}\right), \\
& \partial_{t}\left\langle\tilde{T}_{\mu}, \tilde{T}_{\mu}\right\rangle=\left\langle(1 / 2) \Upsilon_{\tilde{T}_{\mu}, \tilde{T}_{\mu}}, B_{\mu}\right\rangle, \quad \partial_{t}\left\langle T_{\mu}, T_{\mu}\right\rangle=\left\langle 2 \mathcal{T}_{\mu}^{b}, B_{\mu}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $\nu \neq \mu$ we have dual equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{t}\left\langle h_{\nu}, h_{\nu}\right\rangle=\left\langle-(1 / 2) \Upsilon_{h_{\nu}, h_{\nu}}, B_{\mu}\right\rangle, \quad \partial_{t}\left\langle\tilde{h}_{\nu}, \tilde{h}_{\nu}\right\rangle=\left\langle\operatorname{div} \tilde{h}_{\nu}+\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\nu}^{b}, B_{\mu}\right\rangle-\operatorname{div}\left\langle\tilde{h}_{\nu}, B_{\mu}\right\rangle, \\
& \partial_{t}\left\langle H_{\nu}, H_{\nu}\right\rangle=-\left\langle H_{\nu}^{b} \otimes H_{\nu}^{b}, B_{\mu}\right\rangle, \quad \partial_{t}\left\langle\tilde{H}_{\nu}, \tilde{H}_{\nu}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(\operatorname{div} \tilde{H}_{\nu}\right) g_{\nu}^{\perp}, B_{\mu}\right\rangle-\operatorname{div}\left(\left(\operatorname{Tr} B_{\mu}^{\sharp}\right) \tilde{H}_{\nu}\right), \\
& \partial_{t}\left\langle T_{\nu}, T_{\nu}\right\rangle=\left\langle(1 / 2) \Upsilon_{T_{\nu}, T_{\nu}}, B_{\mu}\right\rangle, \quad \partial_{t}\left\langle\tilde{T}_{\nu}, \tilde{T}_{\nu}\right\rangle=\left\langle 2 \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\nu}^{b}, B_{\mu}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Variational formulas of terms of $\bar{Q}$ in (10) obtained in the following lemma are a special case (i.e., for adapted variations of metric) of equations from [26, Lemma 3] for $\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}\right)$. Detailed proof of such variational formulas in particular setting will be given further below in Lemma 4 ,

Lemma 2. Let $g_{t}$ be a $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$-variation of an adapted metric $g$ on $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$ with fixed statistical connection $\bar{\nabla}=\nabla+\mathfrak{T}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{t}\left\langle\mathfrak{T}^{*}, \mathfrak{T}^{\wedge}\right\rangle_{\mid V_{\mu}}=-\sum_{a, b} B_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right)\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b}\right\rangle_{\mid \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}}, \\
& \partial_{t}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}^{*}\right\rangle=0, \\
& \partial_{t}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}^{*}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle=-\sum_{a, b} B_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right)\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a} E_{\mu, b}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle, \\
& \partial_{t}\left\langle\Theta, \tilde{A}_{\mu}\right\rangle=-2 \sum_{a, b} B_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right)\left\langle\left(\tilde{A}_{\mu}\right)_{E_{\mu, a}}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b}\right\rangle, \\
& \partial_{t}\left\langle\Theta, \tilde{T}_{\mu}^{\sharp}\right\rangle=-2 \sum_{a, b} B_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right)\left\langle\left(\tilde{T}_{\mu}^{\sharp}\right)_{E_{\mu, a}}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b}\right\rangle, \\
& \partial_{t}\left\langle\Theta, T_{\mu}^{\sharp}\right\rangle=-6 \sum_{a, b} B_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right)\left\langle T_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, b}, \cdot\right), \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a}\right\rangle, \\
& \partial_{t}\left\langle\Theta, A_{\mu}\right\rangle=2 \sum_{a, b} B_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right)\left\langle h_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, b}, \cdot\right), \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a}\right\rangle, \\
& \partial_{t}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top}\left(\mathfrak{T}^{*}-\mathfrak{T}\right), H_{\mu}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}\right\rangle=\sum_{a, b} B_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right)\left(\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b} E_{\mu, a}, H_{\mu}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\left\langle\tilde{H}_{\mu}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\right), \\
& \partial_{t}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp}\left(\mathfrak{T}^{*}-\mathfrak{T}\right), H_{\mu}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}\right\rangle=\sum_{a, b} B_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right)\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\left\langle\tilde{H}_{\mu}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Theta=\mathfrak{T}-\mathfrak{T}^{*}+\mathfrak{T}^{\wedge}-\mathfrak{T}^{* \wedge}$, and for $\nu \neq \mu$ we get dual equations.
Proposition 2. For any $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$-variation $g_{t}$ of an adapted metric $g$ on $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$ with fixed linear connection $\bar{\nabla}=\nabla+\mathfrak{T}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} \sum_{\nu} Q\left(\mathcal{D}_{\nu}, g_{t}\right) & =\left\langle\delta Q_{\mu}, B_{\mu}\right\rangle-\operatorname{div} X_{\mu},  \tag{17}\\
\partial_{t} \sum_{\nu} \bar{Q}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\nu}, g_{t}, \mathfrak{T}\right) & =\left\langle\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}, B_{\mu}\right\rangle, \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(0,2)$-tensors $\delta Q_{\mu}$ on $\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ and vector fields $X_{\mu}$ on $M$ are given by

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
2 X_{\mu}=\left\langle h_{\mu}, B_{\mu}\right\rangle-\left(\operatorname{Tr} B_{\mu}^{\sharp}\right) H_{\mu}+\sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left(\left\langle\tilde{h}_{\nu}, B_{\mu}\right\rangle-\left(\operatorname{Tr} B_{\mu}^{\sharp}\right) \tilde{H}_{\nu}\right), \\
\delta Q_{\mu}
\end{array}=-\operatorname{div} h_{\mu}-\mathcal{K}_{\mu}^{b}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}^{b} \otimes \tilde{H}_{\mu}^{b}+\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{\tilde{h}_{\mu}, \tilde{h}_{\mu}}+\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{\tilde{T}_{\mu}, \tilde{T}_{\mu}}+2 \mathcal{T}_{\mu}^{b}+\left(\operatorname{div} H_{\mu}\right) g_{\mu}\right) \quad+\sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left(-\left.\operatorname{div} \tilde{h}_{\nu}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}}-\left(P_{\mu} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\nu}\right)^{b}-\left(P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right)^{b} \otimes\left(P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right)^{b}\right) \quad+\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{P_{\mu} h_{\nu}, P_{\mu} h_{\nu}}+\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{P_{\mu} T_{\nu}, P_{\mu} T_{\nu}}+2\left(P_{\mu} \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\nu}\right)^{b}+\left(\operatorname{div} \tilde{H}_{\nu}\right) g_{\mu}\right), ~ l
$$

and certain $(0,2)$-tensors $\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}$ on $\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ have long expressions (see [26] for $k=2$ ). If $\bar{\nabla}$ is statistical, then tensors $\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}$ on $\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ in (18) can be written explicitly by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right)=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b}\right\rangle_{\mid \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}}-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a} E_{\mu, b}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle-2\left\langle\left(\tilde{A}_{\mu}\right)_{E_{\mu, a}}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b}\right\rangle \\
& \quad+2\left\langle\left(\tilde{T}_{\mu}^{\sharp}\right)_{E_{\mu, a}}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b}\right\rangle+6\left\langle T_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, b}, \cdot\right), \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a}\right\rangle+2\left\langle h_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, b}, \cdot\right), \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a}\right\rangle \\
& \quad-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mu, b}} E_{\mu, a}, H_{\mu}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}\right\rangle-\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\left\langle\tilde{H}_{\mu}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\left\langle\tilde{H}_{\mu}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left(\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b}\right\rangle_{\mid \mathcal{D}_{\nu}}-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a} E_{\mu, b}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle-2\left\langle\left(A_{\nu}\right)_{E_{\mu, a}}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b}\right\rangle+2\left\langle\left(T_{\nu}^{\sharp}\right)_{E_{\mu, a}}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \quad+6\left\langle\tilde{T}_{\nu}\left(E_{\mu, b}, \cdot\right), \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a}\right\rangle+2\left\langle\tilde{h}_{\nu}\left(E_{\mu, b}, \cdot\right), \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b} E_{\mu, a}, \tilde{H}_{\nu}-H_{\nu}\right\rangle \\
& \left.\quad-\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\left\langle H_{\nu}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\left\langle H_{\nu}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Equations (17) and (18) with $Q\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, g\right)$ and $\bar{Q}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, g, \mathfrak{T}\right)$ given by (9) and (10) follow from (13), and explicit forms of tensors $\delta Q_{\mu}$ on $\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ and vector fields $X_{\mu}$ follow from Lemma 1 . If $\bar{\nabla}$ is statistical, then explicit forms of tensors $\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}$ on $\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ follow from Lemma 2, Note that $X_{\mu}, \delta Q_{\mu}$ and $\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}$ consist of two parts, the summation part (related to $\mathcal{D}{ }_{\mu}^{\perp}$ ) is dual to the part related to $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$.

In the following theorem (based on Proposition (2) we generalize results in [26] with $k=2$.
Theorem 1. Let $g$ be an adapted metric and $\bar{\nabla}=\nabla+\mathfrak{T}$ a linear connection on a manifold $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$. Then $g$ is critical for (3) with respect to adapted variations of metric, preserving the volume of $\Omega$, if and only if the following Euler-Lagrange equations (16) are satisfied for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta Q_{\mu}+\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}+\left(\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} \sum_{\nu}\left(\frac{1}{2} P_{\nu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp}\left(\mathfrak{T}-\mathfrak{T}^{*}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{1}{2} P_{\nu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top}\left(\mathfrak{T}-\mathfrak{T}^{*}\right)+H_{\nu}+\tilde{H}_{\nu}\right)+\lambda\right) g_{\mu}=0, \quad \mu=1, \ldots, k \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta Q_{\mu}$ and $\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}$ are defined in Proposition (2.
Proof. Let a $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$-variation $g_{t}$ of $g$ (for some $\mu \geq 1$ ) be compactly supported in $\Omega \subset M$. For a $t$-dependent vector field $Y$ given in (12), by (15) and the Divergence Theorem, we obtain $\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega}(\operatorname{div} Y){\mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_{g}=\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}\left(\partial_{t} Y+\frac{1}{2}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{g} B\right) Y\right) \mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_{g}=0 \text {. Thus, for } Q\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, g_{t}\right) \text { and } \bar{Q}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, g_{t}, \mathfrak{T}\right) ~}_{\text {a }}$ given in (19) and (10), using Proposition 11, we obtain

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \bar{J}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(g_{t}, \mathfrak{T}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\nu=1}^{k}\left(\bar{Q}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\nu}, g_{t}, \mathfrak{T}\right)+Q\left(\mathcal{D}_{\nu}, g_{t}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_{g_{t}}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\nu=1}^{k}\left(\bar{Q}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\nu}, g_{t}, \mathfrak{T}\right)+Q\left(\mathcal{D}_{\nu}, g_{t}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_{g_{t}} \\
& \left.=\int_{\Omega}\left\langle\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}+\delta Q_{\mu}, B_{\mu}\right\rangle{\mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_{g_{t}}+\int_{\Omega} \sum_{\nu=1}^{k}\left(\bar{Q}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\nu}, g_{t}, \mathfrak{T}\right)+Q\left(\mathcal{D}_{\nu}, g_{t}\right)\right) \partial_{t}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\operatorname{vol}}^{g_{t}}\right.}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (11), (12), (15), (17) and (18), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \bar{J}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(g_{t}, \mathfrak{T}\right)_{\mid t=0} & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left\langle\delta Q_{\mu}+\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}+\left(\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} \sum_{\nu}\left(\frac{1}{2} P_{\nu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp}\left(\mathfrak{T}-\mathfrak{T}^{*}\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\frac{1}{2} P_{\nu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top}\left(\mathfrak{T}-\mathfrak{T}^{*}\right)+H_{\nu}+\tilde{H}_{\nu}\right)\right) g_{\mu}, B_{\mu}\right\rangle \mathrm{dvol}_{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $g$ is critical for $\bar{J}_{\mathcal{D}}$ (with fixed $\mathfrak{T}$ ) for $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$-variations, then the above integral is zero for any symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor $B_{\mu}$. This yields the $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$-component of the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta Q_{\mu}+\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}+\left(\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} \sum_{\nu}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{\nu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp}+P_{\nu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top}\right)\left(\mathfrak{T}-\mathfrak{T}^{*}\right)+H_{\nu}+\tilde{H}_{\nu}\right)\right) g_{\mu}=0 . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (16), the Euler-Lagrange equation for (3) (with fixed $\mathfrak{T}$ ) for adapted variations of $g$ preserving the volume of $\Omega$ is (19) instead of (20).

Remark 2. One can present (19) in the form of (4) given by its restrictions on $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}_{\mathcal{D} \mid \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu}}=-\delta Q_{\mu}-\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}+\rho_{\mu} g_{\mu}, \quad \mu=1, \ldots, k, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [26] for $k=2$ ), where $\rho_{\mu}$ are defined in (25) below. Indeed,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}_{\mathcal{D} \mid \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu}}=\mathcal{R i c}_{\mathcal{D} \mid \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu}}-\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}, \quad \mu=1, \ldots, k, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it was shown in [22] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R i c}_{\mathcal{D} \mid \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu}}=-\delta Q_{\mu}+\rho_{\mu} g_{\mu}, \quad \mu=1, \ldots, k \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

that corresponds to the Euler-Lagrange equations (19) for $\mathfrak{T}=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta Q_{\mu}=-\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} \sum_{\nu=1}^{k}\left(H_{\nu}+\tilde{H}_{\nu}\right)+\lambda\right) g_{\mu}, \quad \mu=1, \ldots, k, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{k}\right)$ in (23) for $n=\operatorname{dim} M>2$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\nu}=-\frac{1}{2 n-4}\left(\sum_{\mu}\left(a_{\nu}-a_{\mu}\right) n_{\mu}-2 a_{\nu}\right), \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with coefficients $a_{\mu}=\operatorname{Tr}_{g}\left(\sum_{\nu} \delta Q_{\nu}\right)-2 \delta Q_{\mu}$. From (22) and (23) the system (21) follows.
Example 1 (Case $k=2$ ). For $\left(M, g ; \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^{\perp}\right)$ with a statistical connection, the tensor $\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}_{\mathcal{D}}$ in (4) is defined by its restrictions on complementary subbundles $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ of $T M$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}_{\mathcal{D} \mid \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}}=\operatorname{div} h+\mathcal{K}^{b}+\tilde{H}^{b} \otimes \tilde{H}^{b}-\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{\tilde{h}, \tilde{h}}-\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{\tilde{T}, \tilde{T}}-2 \mathcal{T}^{b}-\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{1}+\left(\rho_{1}-\operatorname{div} H\right) g^{\top}, \\
\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}_{\mathcal{D} \mid \mathcal{D}^{\perp} \times \mathcal{D}^{\perp}}=\operatorname{div} \tilde{h}+\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{b}+H^{b} \otimes H^{b}-\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{h, h}-\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{T, T}-2 \tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{b}-\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{2}+\left(\rho_{2}-\operatorname{div} \tilde{H}\right) g^{\perp},
\end{gathered}
$$

see [25, [26, where $\rho_{1}=-\frac{n_{1}-1}{n-2} \operatorname{div}(\tilde{H}-H), \rho_{2}=\frac{n_{2}-1}{n-2} \operatorname{div}(\tilde{H}-H)$, and $n=\operatorname{dim} M>2$. If $n=2$, then $\rho_{1}=\rho_{2}=0$. The ( 0,2 )-tensors $\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{1}: \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{2}: \mathcal{D}^{\perp} \times \mathcal{D}^{\perp} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given (using adapted frame, $E_{a} \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{i} \in \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ ) by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{1}\left(E_{a}, E_{b}\right)=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{a}, \mathfrak{T}_{b}\right\rangle_{\mid \mathcal{D}^{\perp}}-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{a} E_{b}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{D}^{\perp}} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle-2\left\langle\tilde{A}_{E_{a}}, \mathfrak{T}_{b}\right\rangle \\
& \quad+2\left\langle\tilde{T}_{E_{a}}^{\sharp}, \mathfrak{T}_{b}\right\rangle+6\left\langle T\left(E_{b}, \cdot\right), \mathfrak{T}_{a}\right\rangle+2\left\langle h\left(E_{b}, \cdot\right), \mathfrak{T}_{a}\right\rangle \\
& \quad-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{b} E_{a}, H-\tilde{H}\right\rangle-\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{D}} \mathfrak{T}, E_{a}\right\rangle\left\langle\tilde{H}, E_{b}\right\rangle+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{D}^{\perp}} \mathfrak{T}^{2}, E_{b}\right\rangle\left\langle\tilde{H}, E_{a}\right\rangle, \\
& \delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{2}\left(\mathcal{E}_{i}, \mathcal{E}_{j}\right)=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{E}_{i}}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{E}_{j}}\right\rangle_{\mid \mathcal{D}}-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{E}_{i}} \mathcal{E}_{j}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{D}} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle-2\left\langle A_{\mathcal{E}_{i}}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{E}_{j}}\right\rangle \\
& \quad+2\left\langle T_{\mathcal{E}_{i}}^{\sharp}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{E}_{j}}\right\rangle+6\left\langle\tilde{T}\left(\mathcal{E}_{j}, \cdot\right), \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{E}_{i}}\right\rangle+2\left\langle\tilde{h}\left(\mathcal{E}_{j}, \cdot\right), \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{E}_{i}}\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{E}_{j}} \mathcal{E}_{i}, \tilde{H}-H\right\rangle-\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{D}^{\perp}} \mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{E}_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle H, \mathcal{E}_{j}\right\rangle+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{D}} \mathfrak{T}, \mathcal{E}_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle H, \mathcal{E}_{i}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4 Variations with respect to contorsion tensor

Here, we consider action (3) with fixed metric $g$, as a functional of $\mathfrak{T}$. The Euler-Lagrange equation for (3) with fixed adapted metric, means that the partial gradient vanishes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\mathfrak{T}} \bar{J}_{\mathcal{D}}=0, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

 follows, we consider particular components of (26), defined by the distributions. We adapt notation from [26] to the case of several distributions. Greek letters $\mu, \rho, \lambda, \nu$ are used for indices of pairwise orthogonal distributions spanning the tangent bundle, $T M=\bigoplus_{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu} ; \delta_{a, b}=1$ if $a=b$ and 0 otherwise.

Proposition 3. A contorsion tensor $\mathfrak{T}$ is critical for the action (3) with fixed adapted metric $g$ on $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$ if and only if the following Euler-Lagrange equations (26) hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}^{*}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}, E_{\mu, c}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}+\tilde{H}_{\mu}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle \delta_{a, c}=0,  \tag{27a}\\
& \left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}^{*}+H_{\mu}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}-\left\langle\left(h_{\mu}-T_{\mu}\right)\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\rho, i} E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle=0,  \tag{27b}\\
& \left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}-H_{\mu}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}+\left\langle\left(h_{\mu}+T_{\mu}\right)\left(E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, a}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\rho, i} E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle=0,  \tag{27c}\\
& \left\langle 2 T_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle+\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a} E_{\mu, b}+\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b}^{*} E_{\mu, a}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle=0,  \tag{27d}\\
& \left\langle 2 \tilde{T}_{\mu}\left(E_{\rho, j}, E_{\xi, l}\right), E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle+\left\langle\left(\tilde{h}_{\xi}+\tilde{T}_{\xi}\right)\left(E_{\rho, j}, E_{\mu, a}\right), E_{\xi, l}\right\rangle+2\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi, l} E_{\mu, a}, E_{\rho, j}\right\rangle \\
& -\left\langle\left(\tilde{h}_{\rho}+\tilde{T}_{\rho}\right)\left(E_{\xi, l}, E_{\mu, a}\right), E_{\rho, j}\right\rangle+2\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\rho, j} E_{\xi, l}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle=0, \tag{27e}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\mu, \rho, \xi \in\{1, \ldots k\}$, such that $\rho \neq \mu, \xi \notin\{\mu, \rho\}$, and for all $a, b, c \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{\mu}\right\}$, $i, j \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{\rho}\right\}$ and $l \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{\xi}\right\}$.

Proof. Using (13) and the formula for two complementary distributions, see [26, Theorem 2], we get for $k>2$ distributions the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.2 \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \int_{M} \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}}\left(\mathfrak{T}_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_{g}\right|_{t=0}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M} \sum_{\mu} \sum\left\{\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu, a} E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, c}\right\rangle \times\right. \\
\times & \left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}^{*}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}, E_{\mu, c}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}+\tilde{H}_{\mu}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle \delta_{a, c}\right) \\
+ & \left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu, a} E_{\mu, b}, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}\right\rangle\left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}^{*}+H_{\mu}, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}-\left\langle\left(A_{\mu, i}-T_{\mu, i}^{\sharp}\right) E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, i} E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\right) \\
+ & \left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu, a} \mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}-H_{\mu}, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}+\left\langle\left(A_{\mu, i}+T_{\mu, i}^{\sharp}\right) E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, i} E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\right) \\
+ & \left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu, a} \mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, j}\right\rangle\left(\left\langle\left(\tilde{A}_{\mu, a}-\tilde{T}_{\mu, a}^{\sharp}\right) \mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, j}\right\rangle-\left\langle\left(\tilde{A}_{\mu, a}+\tilde{T}_{\mu, a}^{\sharp}\right) \mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, j}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, i} \mathcal{E}_{\mu, j}+\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, j}^{*} \mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\right) \\
+ & \left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}} \mathcal{E}_{\mu, j}, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, l}\right\rangle\left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}^{*}-H_{\mu}, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, l}\right\rangle \delta_{i, j}+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}+H_{\mu}, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, j}\right\rangle \delta_{i, l}\right) \\
+ & \left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}} \mathcal{E}_{\mu, j}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}^{*}+\tilde{H}_{\mu}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle \delta_{i, j}-\left\langle\left(\tilde{A}_{\mu, a}+\tilde{T}_{\mu, a}^{\sharp}\right) \mathcal{E}_{\mu, j}, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a} \mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, j}\right\rangle\right) \\
+ & \left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}} E_{\mu, a}, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, j}\right\rangle\left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle \delta_{i, j}+\left\langle\left(\tilde{A}_{\mu, a}+\tilde{T}_{\mu, a}^{\sharp}\right) \mathcal{E}_{\mu, j}, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a} \mathcal{E}_{\mu, j}, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}\right\rangle\right) \\
+ & \left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}} E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\left(\left\langle\left(A_{\mu, i}-T_{\mu, i}^{\sharp}\right) E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle-\left\langle\left(A_{\mu, i}+T_{\mu, i}^{\sharp}\right) E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\right. \\
- & \left.\left.\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a} E_{\mu, b}+\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b}^{*} E_{\mu, a}, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}\right\rangle\right)\right\} \mathrm{dol}, \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used notation $A_{\mu, i}=\left(A_{\mu}\right)_{\mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}}$ and $\tilde{A}_{\mu, a}=\left(\tilde{A}_{\mu}\right)_{E_{\mu, a}}$ etc. In (28), terms with the same coefficients of tensor $\dot{\mathfrak{T}}$ appear in different forms in sum over $\mu$, e.g., term $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_{1, i}} E_{1, a}, E_{1, b}\right\rangle$, where $\mathcal{E}_{1, i} \in \mathcal{D}_{2}$ coincides with some term $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{2, a} \mathcal{E}_{2, i}, \mathcal{E}_{2, j}\right\rangle$ and some terms $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mu, l}} \mathcal{E}_{\mu, i}, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, j}\right\rangle$ for $\mu \notin\{1,2\}$. To relate the indices of various elements $\left\{E_{\mu, a}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{\nu, i}\right\}$ of the whole frame, let $\iota(\nu, \mu, a)$ be such that $E_{\mu, a}=\mathcal{E}_{\nu, \iota(\nu, \mu, a)}$. Since $\dot{\mathfrak{T}}$ is arbitrary, the equality $\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(g, \mathfrak{T}_{t}\right)\right|_{t=0}=0$
is valid for all $\mathfrak{T}_{t}$ if and only if all coefficients of terms with $\dot{\mathfrak{T}}$ in (28) vanish. For fixed $\mu$ and $a, b, c \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{\mu}\right\}$, we consider the term of (28) with $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu, a} E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, c}\right\rangle$, which comes from one term with $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu, a} E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, c}\right\rangle$ and $k-1$ terms with $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_{\nu, \iota(\nu, \mu, a)}} \mathcal{E}_{\nu, \iota(\nu, \mu, b)}, \mathcal{E}_{\nu, \iota(\nu, \mu, c)}\right\rangle$ for $\mathcal{D}_{\nu}$ with $\nu \neq \mu$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{E_{\mu, a}} E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, c}\right\rangle\left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}^{*}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}, E_{\mu, c}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}+\tilde{H}_{\mu}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle \delta_{a, c}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}^{*}-H_{\nu}, E_{\mu, c}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}+H_{\nu}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle \delta_{a, c}\right)\right) . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}^{*}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}, E_{\mu, c}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}+\tilde{H}_{\mu}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b} \\
& =\sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}^{*}-H_{\nu}, E_{\mu, c}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}+H_{\nu}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle \delta_{a, c}\right) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\mathfrak{T}$ is a critical point of (3) with fixed metric, then the coefficient of $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu, a} E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, c}\right\rangle$ in (28), given in (29), is zero for every $a, b, c$, so by (29) and (30) the first Euler-Lagrange equation (27a) follows.

Let $\mu \neq \rho$, fix $a, b \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{\mu}\right\}$ and $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{\rho}\right\}$. Then we get the following term in (28), which comes from one term with $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu, a} E_{\mu, b}, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, \iota(\mu, \rho, i)}\right\rangle$, one term with $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_{\rho, \iota}(\rho, \mu, a)} \mathcal{E}_{\rho, \iota(\rho, \mu, b)}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle$ and, if $k \geq 3,(k-2)$ terms with $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_{\nu,(\nu, \mu, a)}} \mathcal{E}_{\nu, \iota(\nu, \mu, b)}, \mathcal{E}_{\nu, \iota(\nu, \rho, i)}\right\rangle$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu, a} E_{\mu, b}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle\left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}^{*}+H_{\mu}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}-\left\langle\left(h_{\mu}-T_{\mu}\right)\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\rho, i} E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\right. \\
& +\left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\rho}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}^{*}+\tilde{H}_{\rho}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}-\left\langle\left(\tilde{h}_{\rho}-\tilde{T}_{\rho}\right)\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\rho, i} E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\right) \\
& \left.+\sum_{\nu \notin\{\mu, \rho\}}\left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}^{*}-H_{\nu}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}+H_{\nu}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\mu, a}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle\right)\right) \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

We have $\left\langle E_{\mu, a}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle=0$ as they belong to different, orthogonal distributions. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\left(\tilde{h}_{\rho}-\tilde{T}_{\rho}\right)\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(h_{\mu}-T_{\mu}\right)\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle, \\
& \left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\rho}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}^{*}+\tilde{H}_{\rho}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle+\sum_{\nu \notin\{\mu, \rho\}}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}^{*}-H_{\nu}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle=\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}^{*}+H_{\mu}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle, \\
& \left\langle\tilde{H}_{\rho}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle=\left\langle\sum_{\nu \notin\{\mu, \rho\}} H_{\nu}+H_{\mu}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle, \quad \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}^{*}=\sum_{\nu \notin\{\mu, \rho\}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}^{*}+\operatorname{Tr}_{\rho}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the above, we obtain that (31) vanishes for all $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu, a} E_{\mu, b}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle$ if and only if the second Euler-Lagrange equation (27b) holds.

Let $\mu \neq \rho$, for fixed $a, b \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{\mu}\right\}$ and $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{\rho}\right\}$ we get the following term in (28), coming from $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu, a} \mathcal{E}_{\mu, \iota(\mu, \rho, i)}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_{\rho, \iota}(\rho, \mu, a)} E_{\rho, i}, \mathcal{E}_{\rho, \iota \iota \rho, \mu, b)}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_{\nu, \iota(\nu, \mu, a)}} \mathcal{E}_{\nu, \iota(\nu, \rho, i)}, \mathcal{E}_{\nu, \iota(\nu, \mu, b)}\right\rangle$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu, a} E_{\rho, i}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}-H_{\mu}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}+\left\langle\left(h_{\mu}+T_{\mu}\right)\left(E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, a}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\rho, i} E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\right. \\
& +\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\rho}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}-\tilde{H}_{\rho}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}+\left\langle\left(\tilde{h}_{\rho}+\tilde{T}_{\rho}\right)\left(E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, a}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\rho, i} E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle \\
& \left.+\sum_{\nu \notin\{\mu, \rho\}}\left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}^{*}-H_{\nu}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\mu, a}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}+H_{\nu}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}\right)\right) \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

We have $\left\langle E_{\mu, a}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\left\langle\tilde{h}_{\rho}+\tilde{T}_{\rho}\right)\left(E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, a}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(h_{\mu}+T_{\mu}\right)\left(E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, a}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle, \\
& \left\langle\tilde{H}_{\rho}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle=\left\langle\sum_{\nu \notin\{\mu, \rho\}} H_{\nu}+H_{\mu}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle, \quad \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}=\sum_{\nu \notin\{\mu, \rho\}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}+\operatorname{Tr}_{\rho}^{\top} \mathfrak{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the above, we obtain that (32) vanishes for all $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu, a} E_{\rho, i}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle$ if and only if the third Euler-Lagrange equation (27c) holds.

Let $\mu \neq \rho$, for fixed $a, b \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{\mu}\right\}$ and $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{\rho}\right\}$ we get the following term in (28), coming from $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mu, \iota}(\mu, \rho, i)} E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\rho, i} \mathcal{E}_{\rho, \iota(\rho, \mu, a)}, \mathcal{E}_{\rho, \iota(\rho, \mu, b)}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_{\nu, \iota}(\nu, \rho, i)} \mathcal{E}_{\nu, \iota(\nu, \mu, a)}, \mathcal{E}_{\nu, \iota(\nu, \mu, b)}\right\rangle$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\rho, i} E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\left(\left\langle-2 T_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a} E_{\mu, b}+\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b}^{*} E_{\mu, a}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left\langle-2 \tilde{T}_{\rho}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a} E_{\mu, b}+\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b}^{*} E_{\mu, a}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle \\
& \left.+\sum_{\nu \notin\{\mu, \rho\}}\left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}^{*}-H_{\nu}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\rho, i}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}+H_{\nu}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\rho, i}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\right)\right) . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

Using $\left\langle E_{\rho, i}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle=0$, as $\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \perp \mathcal{D}_{\rho}$ and $\left\langle\tilde{T}_{\rho}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle=\left\langle T_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle$, we obtain that (33) vanishes for all $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\rho, i} E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle$ if and only if the Euler-Lagrange equation (27d) holds.

Finally, let $\mu \neq \rho \neq \xi \neq \mu$, for fixed $a \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{\mu}\right\}, j \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{\rho}\right\}$ and $k \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{\xi}\right\}$ we get the following term in (28), coming from $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu, a} \mathcal{E}_{\mu, \iota(\mu, \rho, j)}, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, \iota(\mu, \xi, k)}\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_{\xi, \iota(\xi, \mu, i)}} \mathcal{E}_{\xi, \iota(\xi, \rho, j)}, E_{\xi, k}\right\rangle$, $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_{\rho, \iota(\rho, \mu, i)}} E_{\rho, j}, \mathcal{E}_{\rho, \iota(\rho, \xi, k)}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mathcal{E}_{\nu, \iota(\nu, \mu, i)}} \mathcal{E}_{\nu, \iota(\nu, \rho, j)}, \mathcal{E}_{\nu, \iota(\nu, \xi, k)}\right\rangle$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu, a} E_{\rho, j}, E_{\xi, k}\right\rangle\left(\left\langle-2 \tilde{T}_{\mu}\left(E_{\rho, j}, E_{\xi, k}\right), E_{\mu, i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\rho, j} E_{\xi, k}+\mathfrak{T}_{\xi, k}^{*} E_{\rho, j}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\right. \\
& +\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\xi}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}^{*}+\tilde{H}_{\xi}, E_{\xi, k}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\mu, a}, E_{\rho, j}\right\rangle-\left\langle\left(\tilde{h}_{\xi}+\tilde{T}_{\xi}\right)\left(E_{\rho, j}, E_{\mu, a}\right), E_{\xi, k}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi, k} E_{\mu, a}, E_{\rho, j}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\rho}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}-\tilde{H}_{\rho}, E_{\rho, j}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\mu, a}, E_{\xi, k}\right\rangle+\left\langle\left(\tilde{h}_{\rho}+\tilde{T}_{\rho}\right)\left(E_{\xi, k}, E_{\mu, a}\right), E_{\rho, j}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\rho, j} E_{\xi, k}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle \\
& \left.+\sum_{\nu \notin\{\mu, \rho, \xi\}}\left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}^{*}-H_{\nu}, E_{\xi, k}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\mu, a}, E_{\rho, j}\right\rangle+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}+H_{\nu}, E_{\rho, j}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\mu, a}, E_{\xi, k}\right\rangle\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \mathcal{D}_{\rho}, \mathcal{D}_{\xi}$ are pairwise orthogonal, it reduces to the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu, a} E_{\rho, j}, E_{\xi, k}\right\rangle\left(\left\langle-2 \tilde{T}_{\mu}\left(E_{\rho, j}, E_{\xi, k}\right), E_{\mu, i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\rho, j} E_{\xi, k}+\mathfrak{T}_{\xi, k}^{*} E_{\rho, j}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi, k} E_{\mu, a}, E_{\rho, j}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.-\left\langle\left(\tilde{h}_{\xi}+\tilde{T}_{\xi}\right)\left(E_{\rho, j}, E_{\mu, a}\right), E_{\xi, k}\right\rangle+\left\langle\left(\tilde{h}_{\rho}+\tilde{T}_{\rho}\right)\left(E_{\xi, k}, E_{\mu, a}\right), E_{\rho, j}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\rho, j} E_{\xi, k}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\right) . \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Using $\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi, k}^{*} E_{\rho, j}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi, k} E_{\mu, a}, E_{\rho, j}\right\rangle$, we obtain that (34) vanishes for all $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu, a} E_{\rho, j}, E_{\xi, k}\right\rangle$ if and only if the Euler-Lagrange equation (27e) holds.

Equations (27a-e) are indeed all components of (26), because we considered all coefficients of $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{X} Y, Z\right\rangle$, where $X, Y, Z$ are from an orthonormal frame: either all $X, Y, Z$ are from the same distribution and yield (27a), exactly two of them are from the same distribution - and we get ( $27 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{d}$ ), or each of them is from a different distribution and we obtain (27e).

On a manifold with two orthogonal distributions, $T M=\mathcal{D}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{2}$, i.e., $\mathcal{D}_{2}=\mathcal{D}_{1}^{\perp}$, we have only equations (27ard) for $\mu=1,2$, which were obtained in [25]. Similarly to [25, Theorem 1] for $k=2$, we conclude the following

Theorem 2. A contorsion tensor $\mathfrak{T}$ is critical for the action (3) with fixed adapted metric on $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$ for all variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ if and only if all $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ are totally umbilical and $\mathfrak{T}$ satisfies the following linear algebraic system for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}, U \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}$ and all $\mu=1, \ldots, k$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}^{*}=\tilde{H}_{\mu}=-P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T} \quad \text { if } n_{\mu}>1,  \tag{35a}\\
& \left\langle\left(\mathfrak{T}-\mathfrak{T}^{*}\right)_{U} X, Y\right\rangle=2\left\langle T_{\mu}(X, Y), U\right\rangle  \tag{35b}\\
& \left\langle\left(\mathfrak{T}+\mathfrak{T}^{*}\right)_{U} X, Y\right\rangle=\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp}\left(\mathfrak{T}+\mathfrak{T}^{*}\right), U\right\rangle\langle X, Y\rangle,  \tag{35c}\\
& P_{\mu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp}\left(\mathfrak{T}-\mathfrak{T}^{*}\right)=\left(2-2 / n_{\mu}\right) H_{\mu},  \tag{35d}\\
& P_{\mu}^{\perp}\left(\mathfrak{T}_{X} Y+\mathfrak{T}_{Y}^{*} X\right)=-2 T_{\mu}(X, Y), \tag{35e}
\end{align*}
$$

moreover, for all $X \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}, Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\rho}, Z \in \mathcal{D}_{\xi}$, where $\mu \neq \rho \neq \xi \neq \mu$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{Y} Z, X\right\rangle+\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{Z} X, Y\right\rangle=0 . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Taking the difference of symmetric parts of (27b) c) we get the total umbilicity of each $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$; (35a) follows from (27a); taking antisymmetric part of (27b) we get (35b); the sum of (27b) and (27c) yields (35c) ; taking the difference of (27b) and (27c) with interchanged $E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}$ we get (35d), and (35e) follows from (27d). Finally, from (27e) we get

$$
-2\left\langle\tilde{T}_{\mu}(Y, Z), X\right\rangle-\left\langle\left(\tilde{h}_{\xi}+\tilde{T}_{\xi}\right)(Y, X), Z\right\rangle+\left\langle\left(\tilde{h}_{\rho}+\tilde{T}_{\rho}\right)(Z, X), Y\right\rangle=2\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{Y} Z, X\right\rangle+2\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{Z} X, Y\right\rangle
$$

which is simplified to (36).
Corollary 1. A contorsion tensor $\mathfrak{T}$ of a statistical connection is critical for (3) with fixed adapted metric $g$ on $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$ for all variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ if and only if for $\mu=1, \ldots, k$ :

1. all $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ with $n_{\mu}>1$ are integrable and totally geodesic,
2. $\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{X} Y, Z\right\rangle=0$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ and $Z \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}$,
3. if $n_{\mu}>1$ then $\tilde{H}_{\mu}=0$,
4. $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}=0=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}$.
5. $\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{X} Y, Z\right\rangle=0$ for $X, Y, Z$ - each vector from a different distribution.

Proof. We use properties of a statistical connection: $\mathfrak{T}=\mathfrak{T}^{*}=\mathfrak{T}^{\wedge}$ in Theorem 2 to prove necessity of the above conditions, their sufficiency is easily verified. Claim 1 follows from (35b), (35d) and Theorem 2, claim 2 follows from claim 1 and (35e); for the first equality of claim 4 we use claim 2 to get $P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}=0$ and claim 2 with (35c) to get $P_{\mu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}=0$, the second equality of claim 4 follows from the first one, as for $k \geq 2$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T} & =(k-1) \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}+(k-2) \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T} \\
& =(k-1) \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}+(k-2) \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, \quad \mu=1, \ldots, k
\end{aligned}
$$

Claim 3 follows from (35a) and claim 4. Finally, claim 5 follows from (36).
Remark 3. For the action (3) (with fixed adapted metric) restricted to contorsion tensors of metric-compatible connections, all equations of Theorem 2 remain true, with $\mathfrak{T}=-\mathfrak{T}^{*}$. For (36) this follows from the fact that (27e) is antisymmetric in $E_{\rho, j}, E_{\xi, k}$ when $\mathfrak{T}=-\mathfrak{T}^{*}$, and for other equations of Theorem 2 it follows in the same way as in [25, Theorem 2].

An important class of metric connections are those with totally skew-symmetric torsion [2], for which we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{T}=-\mathfrak{T}^{\wedge} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2. Let $\mathfrak{T}$ be the contorsion tensor of a connection with totally skew-symmetric torsion, that is critical for the action (3) with fixed $g$. Then all $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ such that $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}>1$ are totally geodesic and integrable, and $\mathfrak{T}=0$.

Proof. By Theorem 2, all distributions $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ are totally umbilical. Let $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}>1$, then using (37) and $\mathfrak{T}=-\mathfrak{T}^{*}$ in (35d), we obtain $H_{\mu}=0$, i.e., $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ is totally geodesic. From (35b,e) together with (37) and $\mathfrak{T}=-\mathfrak{T}^{*}$ we obtain $T_{\mu}=0$, i.e., $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ is integrable. Using (37), we get $\mathfrak{T}_{X} X=0$ for all $X \in T M$, and from (35b, e) it follows that $\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{U} X, Y\right\rangle=0=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{X} Y, U\right\rangle$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}, U \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}$ and all $\mu=1, \ldots, k$. Let $X \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}, Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\rho}, Z \in \mathcal{D}_{\xi}$, where $\mu \neq \rho \neq \xi \neq \mu$. By (37) and $\mathfrak{T}=-\mathfrak{T}^{*}$, we get in (36):

$$
0=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{Y} Z, X\right\rangle+\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{Z} X, Y\right\rangle=-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{Z} Y, X\right\rangle+\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{Z} X, Y\right\rangle=2\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{Z} X, Y\right\rangle
$$

Hence, all components of $\mathfrak{T}$ vanish.

For action (3) with fixed adapted $g$ restricted to contorsion tensors of statistical connections, we obtain the following generalization of [25, Corollary 7].
Theorem 3. A contorsion tensor $\mathfrak{T}$ of a statistical connection on $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$ with fixed adapted metric $g$ is critical for the action (3) with respect to variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ corresponding to statistical connections if and only if the following system is valid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}=0, \quad \mu=1, \ldots, k \tag{38a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $\mu \neq \rho \neq \xi \neq \mu$ and all $X, U \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}, Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\rho}$ and $Z \in \mathcal{D}_{\xi}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{\mu}^{\perp}\left(2 \mathfrak{T}_{X} U+\langle X, U\rangle \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)=0,  \tag{38b}\\
& \left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{X} Y, Z\right\rangle=0 . \tag{38c}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For variations $\dot{\mathfrak{T}}$ corresponding to statistical connections, we have the following symmetries: $\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{X} Y, Z\right\rangle=\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{Y} X, Z\right\rangle=\left\langle\dot{\mathfrak{T}}_{X} Z, Y\right\rangle, X, Y, Z \in T M$. It follows that instead of (27a), the first Euler-Lagrange equation is the sum of (27a) over all permutations of ( $E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, c}$ ) from that and $\mathfrak{T}^{*}=\mathfrak{T}$, we get $\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\mu, c}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle \delta_{a, c}=0$, and considering either $E_{\mu, a} \neq E_{\mu, b} \neq E_{\mu, c}$ or two of above are equal, we obtain (38a).

Similarly, instead of three separate Euler-Lagrange equations (27b-d) we now have one Euler-Lagrange equation that is their sum, symmetrized in $E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}^{*}+H_{\mu}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}-\left\langle\left(h_{\mu}-T_{\mu}\right)\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\rho, i} E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}-H_{\mu}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}+\left\langle\left(h_{\mu}+T_{\mu}\right)\left(E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, a}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\rho, i} E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle \\
& -\left\langle 2 T_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a} E_{\mu, b}+\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b}^{*} E_{\mu, a}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}^{*}+H_{\mu}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}-\left\langle\left(h_{\mu}-T_{\mu}\right)\left(E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, a}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\rho, i} E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}-H_{\mu}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}+\left\langle\left(h_{\mu}+T_{\mu}\right)\left(E_{\mu, a,}, E_{\mu, b}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\rho, i} E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle \\
& -\left\langle 2 T_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, b}, E_{\mu, a}\right), E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b} E_{\mu, a}+\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a}^{*} E_{\mu, b}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $\mathfrak{T}^{*}=\mathfrak{T}$ and $\mathfrak{T}_{X} Y=\mathfrak{T}_{Y} X$ for $X, Y \in T M$ and dividing by 4 , we get $\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\rho, i}\right\rangle \delta_{a, b}=$ $-2\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\rho, i} E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle$, and hence (38b). Equation (38c) follows from the fact that due to symmetries of $\dot{\mathfrak{T}}$ for variations corresponding to statistical connections, instead of (27e) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum\left(-\left\langle 2 \tilde{T}_{\mu}\left(E_{\rho, j}, E_{\xi, k}\right), E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle-\left\langle\left(\tilde{h}_{\xi}+\tilde{T}_{\xi}\right)\left(E_{\rho, j}, E_{\mu, a}\right), E_{\xi, k}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.-2\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi, k} E_{\mu, a}, E_{\rho, j}\right\rangle+\left\langle\left(\tilde{h}_{\rho}+\tilde{T}_{\rho}\right)\left(E_{\xi, k}, E_{\mu, a}\right), E_{\rho, j}\right\rangle-2\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\rho, j} E_{\xi, k}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\right)=0, \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

where the sum is over all permutations of $\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\rho, j}, E_{\xi, k}\right)$. Since all $\tilde{h}$ - and $\tilde{T}$ - terms can be canceled out, (39) reduces to (38c).

Existence of solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations obtained in this section will be discussed in more detail in subsequent parts of the article, along with variations of the metric.

## 5 Extension of the class of Einstein metrics

In this section we assume that all distributions in (2) are one-dimensional, then (3) is (up to constant factor 2) the geometrical part of the Einstein-Hilbert action:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{J}:(g, \mathfrak{T}) \mapsto \int_{M} \overline{\mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{dvol}_{g}, \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\overline{\mathrm{S}}$ is the scalar curvature of $\bar{\nabla}=\nabla+\mathfrak{T}$ on $(M, g)$. Thus, the action (3) restricted to adapted metrics allows us to extend the class of Einstein metrics. In particular, we obtain critical pairs ( $g, \mathfrak{T}$ ) for (3) with non-Einstein metrics of constant scalar curvature for $k=3$ and for $k>3$ using Hadamard matrices. There is a rich literature on geometric constructions of metrics of constant scalar curvature, which we will not discuss.

Proposition 4. Let a pair ( $g, \mathfrak{T}$ ) be critical for the action (40). Then on any open set $\Omega \subset M$, on which we have a decomposition (2) of TM into the sum of one-dimensional distributions $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$, the Euler-Lagrange equations (16) and (26), given in details in Theorem 1 and Proposition 圂, are satisfied.

Proof. For one-dimensional distributions $\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}$ we have $2 \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}=\overline{\mathrm{S}}$. Hence, if $g$ is critical for the action (40), then it is also critical for the action (3) with respect to compactly supported adapted variations. Therefore, it satisfies (16) and (26). Notice that while the equations (16) and (26) are pointwise, (16) contains covariant derivatives of quantities describing geometry of the distribution (e.g., div $h_{\mu}$ ), and to make sense requires the distributions to be defined on some open set.

Using the formulation of the Euler-Lagrange equation (26) given in Theorem2, from Proposition 4 we obtain the following.

Corollary 3. Let a pair ( $g$, $\mathfrak{T}$ ) be critical for the action (40) on a smooth manifold $M$. Then for any orthonormal vector fields $X, Y, Z$ we obtain (36).

If all distributions are one-dimensional, (36) is in fact the only restriction from Theorem 2 for critical metric connections.

On the other hand, adapted variations of metric on an almost product manifold can be also applied to the Einstein-Hilbert action. We discuss it as a functional of metric only and in Remark 5, at the end of this section, we show how our results generalize also to arbitrary metric connection. According to [20, Proposition 2.3(2)], $g$ is critical with respect to adapted variations of metric for the action

$$
\begin{equation*}
J: g \mapsto \int_{M} \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{vol}{ }_{g} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where S is the scalar curvature of $(M, g)$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{Ric}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu}}=\left.\lambda g\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu}}, \quad \mu=1, \ldots, k \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Ric is the Ricci tensor and a constant $k \lambda$ is the scalar curvature of $(M, g)$. Such critical, non-Einstein metrics can be found, for example, as follows.

Example 2. The product of a surface of constant curvature $K \neq 0$ and a real line or a circle is a homogeneous space ( $M^{3}, g$ ) of scalar curvature $2 K$. Let $\partial_{x}, \partial_{y}, \partial_{t}$ be an adapted orthonormal frame on $(M, g)$. Then $\operatorname{Ric}_{x x}=\operatorname{Ric}_{y y}=K$ and $\operatorname{Ric}_{t t}=0$. For $\partial_{1}=\cos \alpha \partial_{t}+\sin \alpha \partial_{x}$ we find $\operatorname{Ric}_{11}(\alpha)=K \sin ^{2} \alpha$. Thus, $\operatorname{Ric}_{11}(\alpha)=2 K / 3$ for $\alpha=\arccos (1 / \sqrt{3})$. Set $\partial_{2}=-a \partial_{t}+b \partial_{x}+c \partial_{y}$ and $\partial_{3}=-a \partial_{t}+b \partial_{x}-c \partial_{y}$ for positive numbers $a, b, c$. From $1=\left\langle\partial_{2}, \partial_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\partial_{3}, \partial_{3}\right\rangle$, we find $a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}=1$. Equating $\operatorname{Ric}_{22}=\operatorname{Ric}_{33}=K\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)$ to $2 K / 3$ and using $b^{2}+c^{2}=1-a^{2}$, we obtain $a=1 / \sqrt{3}$. Then, from $0=\left\langle\partial_{1}, \partial_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\partial_{1}, \partial_{3}\right\rangle$ we find $b=a \cos \alpha / \sin \alpha=1 / \sqrt{6}$. Thus, $c=1 / \sqrt{2}$. Condition (42) is then valid on $\left(M^{3}, g\right)$ for three distributions spanned by $\partial_{1}, \partial_{2}, \partial_{3}$.

Example 3. Let ( $M, g$ ) be a 4-dimensional Riemannian manifold of constant scalar curvature $c$ and let $X_{\mu}(\mu=1,2,3,4)$ be orthonormal smooth vector fields on $M$ such that $\operatorname{Ric}^{\sharp}\left(X_{\mu}\right)=f_{\mu} X_{\mu}$ for $f_{\mu} \in C^{\infty}(M)$. We define the following vector fields: $Y_{1}=\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{3}+X_{4}\right) / 2$, $Y_{2}=\left(-X_{1}+X_{2}-X_{3}+X_{4}\right) / 2, Y_{3}=\left(-X_{1}-X_{2}+X_{3}+X_{4}\right) / 2, Y_{4}=\left(X_{1}-X_{2}-X_{3}+X_{4}\right) / 2$. Then $\operatorname{Ric}\left(Y_{\mu}, Y_{\mu}\right)=\sum_{\nu=1}^{4} f_{\nu}=c$ for $\mu=1,2,3,4$, and $\left\{Y_{\mu}\right\}$ are orthonormal. We define four one-dimensional distributions $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$, each spanned by $Y_{\mu}$. Then $g$ is a critical point of the Einstein-Hilbert action with respect to variations of metric preserving the almost product structure $T M=\bigoplus_{\mu=1}^{4} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$, but $g$ does not need to be Einstein.

A Hadamard matrix $H_{k}$ is a $k \times k$-matrix, all entries of which have values in the set $\{-1,1\}$ and such that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} H_{k}$ is an orthogonal matrix. Such matrices are known to exist in some dimensions, e.g., $k=2^{n}$ for natural $n$, and $k=4 m$ for natural $m$ such that $k<668$, see [14].

Lemma 3. Let $(M, g)$ be a $k$-dimensional Riemannian manifold of constant scalar curvature, where $k=3$, or $k$ is such that a Hadamard matrix $H_{k}$ exists. Then for any $p \in M$ there exists a decomposition of $T_{p} M$ into the sum of one-dimensional orthogonal subspaces $\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}$ such that (42) is valid, where $k \lambda$ is the scalar curvature of $(M, g)$.

Proof. We can find the above decomposition satisfying (42) if and only if there exists an orthonormal frame in which the matrix of Ric ${ }^{\sharp}$ has equal diagonal elements, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j, m} a_{i j} r_{j m} a_{i m}=\lambda, \quad i=1, \ldots, k  \tag{43}\\
& \sum_{j} a_{i j} a_{m j}=\delta_{i m}, \quad i, m=1, \ldots k \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $a_{i j}$ are entries of some orthogonal matrix $A$, and $r_{j m}$ are components of Ric ${ }^{\sharp}$ in some orthonormal basis. We can assume that $r_{j m}=r_{j} \delta_{j m}$ and $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{k}$ are not all equal, then (43) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j} a_{i j}^{2} r_{j}=\lambda, \quad i=1, \ldots, k \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $k=3$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2} \leq r_{3}<r_{1} \text { or } r_{2}<r_{3} \leq r_{1}, \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we get the inequalities $0 \leq \frac{r_{1}-2 r_{2}+r_{3}}{3\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)} \leq 1$. Let

$$
A_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha & 0 \\
\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\
0 & \sin \phi & \cos \phi
\end{array}\right)
$$

then the matrix $A_{2} A_{1} \operatorname{Ric}^{\sharp} A_{1}^{T} A_{2}^{T}$, where $A_{i}^{T}$ is the transpose of matrix $A_{i}(i=1,2)$, has all diagonal elements equal if and only if $\cos ^{2} \alpha=\frac{r_{1}-2 r_{2}+r_{3}}{3\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)}$ and $\cos ^{2} \phi=\frac{1}{2}$. Hence, (43) and (44) hold for $A=A_{2} A_{1}$ with $\alpha=\arccos \sqrt{\frac{r_{1}-2 r_{2}+r_{3}}{3\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)}}$ and $\phi=\pi / 4$. If $k$ is such that there exists a Hadamard matrix $H_{k}$, then $A=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} H_{k}$ satisfies both (44) and (45). Indeed, (44) holds because $A$ is an orthogonal matrix, and (45) holds because $a_{i j}^{2}=\frac{1}{k}$ for all $i, j=1, \ldots, k$.

Remark 4. We note that in the proof of Lemma 3, in all considered dimensions the entries of orthogonal matrix $A$ are either constant, or, in case $k=3$, smoothly depending on eigenvalues of the Ricci tensor on any set where (46) holds. Hence, a smooth decomposition (2) on a neighborhood of any point of $M$ can obtained using these constructions. Moreover, if there exists a global orthonormal frame $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}$ on $M$, such that every $X_{j}$ is everywhere an eigenvector of Ric ${ }^{\sharp}$, and either: $k=3$ and $X_{2}$ is everywhere an eigenvector with the lowest eigenvalue of Ric ${ }^{\sharp}$, or $k>3$ and there exists a Hadamard matrix $H_{k}$, then we can obtain another global frame (similarly as in Example (3) and thus a global decomposition (2).

Proposition 5. Let $k=3$ or $k$ be such that there exists a Hadamard matrix $H_{k}$. Let $M=G / H$ be a $k$-dimensional homogeneous space, where $H$ is a maximal connected Lie subgroup of the compact connected Lie group $G$. Then there exist $k$ distinct, $G$-invariant decompositions of $T M$ in one-dimensional distributions: $T M=\mathcal{D}_{1}^{i}+\ldots+\mathcal{D}_{k}^{i}$, and $k$ distinct $G$-invariant metrics $g_{i}(i=1, \ldots, k)$, such that distributions $\mathcal{D}_{1}^{i}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}^{i}$ are pairwise $g_{i}$-orthogonal, and each $g_{i}$ is critical for the Einstein-Hilbert action with respect to variations adapted to the corresponding decomposition. Also, for $i \geq 2$ the metric $g_{i}$ is non-Einstein.

Proof. For $i=1, \ldots, k$, let $f_{i}$ be a positive semidefinite bilinear form on $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ with $(i-1)$ dimensional kernel, and let $T_{i}$ be a $G$-invariant ( 0,2 )-tensor on $M$ corresponding to $f_{i}$. According to [18, Theorem 1.1], for each $i=1, \ldots, k$ there exists a $G$-invariant metric $g_{i}$ such that $T_{i}$ is its Ricci tensor. Then there exists a $G$-invariant orthonormal frame, for which $\operatorname{Ric}^{\sharp}\left(g_{i}\right)$ is diagonal. By Lemma 3, there exists a $G$-invariant orthonormal frame, in which $\operatorname{Ric}^{\sharp}\left(g_{i}\right)$ has
equal elements on its diagonal. Elements of this frame define the $g_{i}$-orthogonal decomposition $T M=\mathcal{D}_{1}^{i} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{D}_{k}^{i}$ with one-dimensional distributions $\mathcal{D}_{1}^{i}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}^{i}$, and $g_{i}$ is critical for the Einstein-Hilbert action with respect to variations adapted to this decomposition. For $i \geq 2$, the Ricci tensor of $g_{i}$ has non-trivial kernel, hence is not proportional to $g_{i}$, so the metric obtained in this case is non-Einstein.

Remark 5. According to [3, Eq. (17.10)], the Euler-Lagrange equations of the action (40) for variations of metric are those of the action (41) with Ric replaced by the Ricci tensor $\overline{\text { Ric }}$ of connection $\bar{\nabla}=\nabla+\mathfrak{T}$. Hence, similarly to [20, Proposition 2.3(2)], for adapted variations of metric, the Euler-Lagrange equation for the action (40) is (42) with Ric instead of Ric. Action (3) for all distributions one-dimensional becomes, up to constant factor, (40), so in this case $\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}_{\mathcal{D}}=\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}$ in (4).

Solutions of (42) given in Examples 2, 3 and Lemma 3 require only constant scalar curvature and symmetry of the Ricci tensor, and therefore can be generalized to the case of metriccompatible connections (which always have symmetric Ricci tensors) with constant scalar curvature - as long as those connections satisfy conditions of Theorem 2, which in this case (all distributions are one-dimensional) reduce to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left(\mathfrak{T}-\mathfrak{T}^{\wedge}\right)_{Y} Z, X\right\rangle=0, \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

if each of $X, Y, Z$ belongs to a different distribution among $\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}$. In particular, metriccompatible connections with $\mathfrak{T}=\mathfrak{T}^{\wedge}$ satisfy (47) for all decompositions (2).

## 6 Critical metrics and statistical connections

In this part, we use the results of Sections 3 and 4 and study Euler-Lagrange equations of the action (3) with variations of both $g$ and $\mathfrak{T}$, i.e., vanishing of partial gradients $\delta_{g} \bar{J}_{\mathcal{D}}=\lambda g$ and $\delta_{\mathfrak{T}} \overline{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathcal{D}}=0$, see (16) and (26), for statistical connections. In Section 6.1 we study variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ on locally twisted products. In Section 6.2 we consider variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ among tensors corresponding to statistical connections, which give more possibilities for critical points.

### 6.1 Twisted products

In this section, we consider $\left(M, g ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$ with $k>2$ and adapted metric such that all distributions are pairwise mixed totally geodesic and pairwise mixed integrable. By Corollary 1 , for critical pairs $(g, \mathfrak{T})$ all distributions $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ are also totally umbilical and integrable, which together with the above assumption gives us locally twisted products, see 16.

Let $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(M_{k}, g_{k}\right)$ be pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, and $n_{\mu}=\operatorname{dim} M_{\mu}$. A twisted product is the product $M=M_{1} \times \ldots \times M_{k}$ with the metric $g=u_{1}^{2} g_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus u_{k}^{2} g_{k}$, where $u_{\mu}$ for $\mu \geq 1$ are smooth positive functions on $M$, and $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right)$ is called a twist function. The submanifolds tangent to $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\mu \geq 1)$ are totally umbilical with the mean curvature vectors $H_{\mu}=-n_{\mu} P_{\mu}^{\perp} \nabla\left(\log u_{\mu}\right)$. If $u$ is independent on $M_{2}, \ldots, M_{k}$ and $u_{1} \equiv 1$, then we get a warped product, see e.g., [12]. By Proposition 6 below, all distributions tangent to the factors of the twisted product are pairwise mixed totally geodesic and mixed integrable. Even if $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(1 \leq \mu \leq k)$ are totally geodesic and integrable, they may not be pairwise mixed integrable, e.g., when $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\mu=1,2,3)$ are one-dimensional distributions on $S U(2)$ defined by the standard basis of its Lie algebra. On the other hand, we get the following consequence of [19, Theorem 1]:

Proposition 6. Let $g$ be an adapted metric on $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$ such that all $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}$ are integrable. Then all $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ are integrable, pairwise mixed integrable and pairwise mixed totally geodesic.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}=\bigcap_{\nu \neq \mu} \mathcal{D}_{\nu}^{\perp}$, each distribution $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ is integrable as the intersection of integrable distributions. Without loss of generality, we can consider case $k=3$. By [19, Theorem 1], $M$ is locally diffeomorphic to the product of neighborhoods in integral manifolds of $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$. Hence,
locally, $M=M_{1} \times M_{2} \times M_{3}$, where $T M_{\mu}=\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ for $\mu=1,2,3$. Using the Koszul formula (expression of $\nabla$ explicitly in terms of the Riemannian metric)

$$
2\left\langle\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right\rangle=X(\langle Y, Z\rangle)+Y(\langle X, Z\rangle)-Z(\langle Y, X\rangle)+\langle[X, Y], Z\rangle-\langle[Y, Z], X\rangle-\langle[X, Z], Y\rangle,
$$

one can show that any pair, e.g., $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{2}$, is mixed totally geodesic and mixed integrable, i.e.,

$$
\left\langle P_{3} \nabla_{P_{3}^{\perp} X} P_{3}^{\perp} Y, Z\right\rangle=0, \quad X \in T M_{1}, \quad Y \in T M_{2}, \quad Z \in T M_{3},
$$

by extending $X, Y, Z$ to vector fields tangent to integral manifolds of $\mathcal{D}_{1}, \mathcal{D}_{2}, \mathcal{D}_{3}$, with constant coefficients in some coordinate system.

Thus, all results in this section apply to decompositions (2) with all $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}$ integrable. In the next lemma, we find variations of each term of $2 \bar{Q}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\nu}, g_{t}, \mathfrak{T}\right)$ in (10) for statistical connection on a manifold with pairwise mixed totally geodesic and pairwise mixed integrable distributions.

Lemma 4. Let a contorsion tensor $\mathfrak{T}$ of a statistical connection be critical for the action (3) with fixed adapted metric $g$ on $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$, and let all $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ be pairwise mixed totally geodesic and pairwise mixed integrable. Then for $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$-variations of metric we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right)=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b}\right\rangle_{\mid \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}}-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a} E_{\mu, b}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle-2\left\langle\left(\tilde{A}_{\mu}\right)_{E_{\mu, a}}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b}\right\rangle+2\left\langle h_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, b}, \cdot\right), \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a}\right\rangle \\
&-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b} E_{\mu, a}, H_{\mu}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}\right\rangle-\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\left\langle\tilde{H}_{\mu}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\left\langle\tilde{H}_{\mu}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left(\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b}\right\rangle_{\mid \mathcal{D}_{\nu}}-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a} E_{\mu, b}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle-2\left\langle\left(A_{\nu}\right)_{E_{\mu, a}}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b}\right\rangle+2\left\langle\tilde{h}_{\nu}\left(E_{\mu, b}, \cdot\right), \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\right. \\
&\left.-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, b} E_{\mu, a}, \tilde{H}_{\nu}-H_{\nu}\right\rangle-\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\left\langle H_{\nu}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\left\langle H_{\nu}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. From the last claim of Corollary $\mathbb{1}$ it follows that $\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{X} Y, Z\right\rangle=0$ if each of $X, Y, Z$ belongs to a different distribution. From [26, Eqs. (67)-(69)] we get, respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} \sum_{\xi}\left\langle\mathfrak{T}^{*}, \mathfrak{T}^{\wedge}\right\rangle_{\mid V_{\xi}}= & -2 \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \sum B\left(E_{\mu, i}, E_{\mu, j}\right)\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, i} E_{\nu, a}, E_{\nu, b}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\nu, b}, \mathfrak{T}_{\nu, a} E_{\mu, j}\right\rangle \\
& -2 \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \sum B\left(E_{\mu, i}, E_{\mu, j}\right)\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, i} E_{\nu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\mu, b}, \mathfrak{T}_{\nu, a} E_{\mu, j}\right\rangle, \\
\partial_{t} \sum_{\xi}\left\langle\Theta, A_{\xi}\right\rangle= & -4 \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \sum B\left(E_{\mu, i}, E_{\mu, j}\right)\left\langle h_{\nu}\left(E_{\nu, a}, E_{\nu, b}\right), E_{\mu, i}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\mu, j}, \mathfrak{T}_{\nu, a} E_{\nu, b}\right\rangle, \\
\partial_{t} \sum_{\xi}\left\langle\Theta, T_{\xi}^{\sharp}\right\rangle= & -4 \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \sum B\left(E_{\mu, i}, E_{\mu, j}\right)\left\langle T_{\nu}\left(E_{\nu, a}, E_{\nu, b}\right), E_{\mu, i}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\mu, j}, \mathfrak{T}_{\nu, a} E_{\nu, b}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

For critical statistical connections the above $\partial_{t}\left\langle\Theta, T_{\xi}^{\sharp}\right\rangle=0$, because $T_{\xi}=0$ by Corollary 1 .
Similarly, from [26, Eq. (70)] it follows that $\partial_{t}\left\langle\Theta, \tilde{T}_{\xi}^{\sharp}\right\rangle=0$, because all $\tilde{T}_{\xi}=0$, as all $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ are integrable and pairwise mixed integrable.

From [26, Eq. (71)] for statistical connections:

$$
\partial_{t} \sum_{\xi}\left\langle\Theta, \tilde{A}_{\xi}\right\rangle=4 \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \sum B\left(E_{\mu, i}, E_{\mu, j}\right)\left\langle h_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, k}, E_{\mu, j}\right), E_{\nu, a}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\nu, a}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, k} E_{\mu, i}\right\rangle
$$

From [26. Eqs. (72)-(75)] for statistical connections, we obtain the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{t}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}^{*}\right\rangle=0, \\
& \partial_{t} \sum_{\xi}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\xi}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}^{*}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\xi}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle=-2 \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \sum B\left(E_{\mu, i}, E_{\mu, j}\right)\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, j} E_{\mu, i}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle, \\
& \partial_{t} \sum_{\xi}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\xi}^{\top}\left(\mathfrak{T}^{*}-\mathfrak{T}\right), \tilde{H}_{\xi}-H_{\xi}\right\rangle=\sum B\left(E_{\mu, i}, E_{\mu, j}\right)\left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\mu, i}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\mu, j}, \tilde{H}_{\mu}\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\mu, j}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\mu, i}, H_{\nu}\right\rangle\right), \\
& \partial_{t} \sum_{\xi}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\xi}^{\perp}\left(\mathfrak{T}^{*}-\mathfrak{T}\right), \tilde{H}_{\xi}-H_{\xi}\right\rangle=\sum B\left(E_{\mu, i}, E_{\mu, j}\right)\left(\sum _ { \nu \neq \mu } \left(\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, j} E_{\mu, i}, \tilde{H}_{\nu}-H_{\nu}\right\rangle\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\mu, i}\right\rangle\left\langle H_{\nu}, E_{\mu, j}\right\rangle\right)+\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\mu, j} E_{\mu, i}, H_{\mu}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}\right\rangle+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\mu, i}\right\rangle\left\langle\tilde{H}_{\mu}, E_{\mu, j}\right\rangle\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively, and that completes the proof.

Put $\mathfrak{T}_{Z}^{b}(X, Y)=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{Z} X, Y\right\rangle$. In the next theorem we find the Euler-Lagrange equation (16) under our assumptions about distributions, for statistical connections, and with (26) satisfied. This result will be improved in further corollaries, according to specific dimensions of the distributions.

Theorem 4. Let $g$ be an adapted metric on $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$ such that all $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ are pairwise mixed totally geodesic and pairwise mixed integrable. Then a pair $(g, \mathfrak{T})$, where $\mathfrak{T}$ is the contorsion tensor of a statistical connection on $(M, g)$, is critical for the action (3) with respect to adapted variations of $g$ preserving the volume of $(M, g)$ and all variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ if and only if $(M, g)$ is locally a twisted product, all conditions of Corollary 1 hold and the following Euler-Lagrange equations (16) are valid:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\bar{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1} \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}}+\operatorname{div}\left(\left(1-\frac{2}{n_{\mu}}\right) H_{\mu}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}\right)+\lambda\right) g_{\mu}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}^{b} \otimes \tilde{H}_{\mu}^{b}+\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{H}_{\mu}}^{b} \\
& +\sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left(\frac{2}{n_{\nu}}-1\right)\left(P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right)^{b} \otimes\left(P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right)^{b}=0, \quad \mu=1, \ldots, k \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For totally umbilical, pairwise mixed totally geodesic distributions we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{h_{\nu}, h_{\nu}}=\frac{1}{n_{\nu}} H_{\nu}^{b} \otimes H_{\nu}^{b}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{\tilde{h}_{\mu}, \tilde{h}_{\mu}}=\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{1}{n_{\nu}}\left(P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right)^{b} \otimes\left(P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right)^{b}
$$

For $\mu=1, \ldots, k$ and $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \neq \mathcal{D}_{\nu}$, we have $\left(\operatorname{div} \tilde{h}_{\nu}\right)(X, Y)=\frac{1}{n_{\mu}}\langle X, Y\rangle \operatorname{div}\left(P_{\nu} H_{\mu}\right)$, and thus $\sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left(\operatorname{div} \tilde{h}_{\nu}\right)(X, Y)=\frac{1}{n_{\mu}}\langle X, Y\rangle \operatorname{div} H_{\mu}$. For totally umbilical, pairwise mixed totally geodesic, integrable and pairwise mixed integrable distributions we get

$$
\delta Q_{\mu}=\operatorname{div}\left(\left(1-\frac{2}{n_{\mu}}\right) H_{\mu}+\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \tilde{H}_{\nu}\right) g_{\mu}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}^{b} \otimes \tilde{H}_{\mu}^{b}+\sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left(\frac{2}{n_{\nu}}-1\right)\left(P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right)^{b} \otimes\left(P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right)^{b}
$$

For such distributions, by the above and Lemman, the Euler-Lagrange equations for the action (3) for all variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ and adapted variations of $g$ preserving the volume of $\Omega$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\langle X, Y\rangle \operatorname{div}\left(\left(1-\frac{2}{n_{\mu}}\right) H_{\mu}+\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \tilde{H}_{\nu}\right)-2\left\langle\tilde{H}_{\mu}, X\right\rangle\left\langle\tilde{H}_{\mu}, Y\right\rangle \\
& +2 \sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left[\left(\frac{2}{n_{\nu}}-1\right)\left\langle H_{\nu}, X\right\rangle\left\langle H_{\nu}, Y\right\rangle\right. \\
& +2 \sum_{\nu}\left(\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{X} E_{\nu, a}, E_{\nu, b}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\nu, b}, \mathfrak{T}_{\nu, a} Y\right\rangle+\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{X} E_{\nu, a}, E_{\mu, b}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\mu, b}, \mathfrak{T}_{\nu, a} Y\right\rangle\right) \\
& -2 \frac{1}{n_{\nu}}\left(\left\langle H_{\nu}, X\right\rangle\left\langle Y, \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle+\left\langle H_{\nu}, Y\right\rangle\left\langle X, \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle\right) \\
& +\frac{4}{n_{\mu}}\left\langle P_{\nu} H_{\mu}, \mathfrak{T}_{X} Y\right\rangle-2\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{Y} X, \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}, Y\right\rangle\left\langle X, H_{\nu}\right\rangle+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}, X\right\rangle\left\langle Y, H_{\nu}\right\rangle\right) \\
& \left.-\left(\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{Y} X, \tilde{H}_{\nu}-H_{\nu}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, X\right\rangle\left\langle H_{\nu}, Y\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, Y\right\rangle\left\langle H_{\nu}, X\right\rangle\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, X\right\rangle\left\langle Y, \tilde{H}_{\mu}\right\rangle+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, Y\right\rangle\left\langle X, \tilde{H}_{\mu}\right\rangle\right) \\
& -\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{Y} X, H_{\mu}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}, X\right\rangle\left\langle\tilde{H}_{\mu}, Y\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}, Y\right\rangle\left\langle\tilde{H}_{\mu}, X\right\rangle \\
& +2\left(\overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1} \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}}-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{H}+\lambda\right)\langle X, Y\rangle=0, \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \quad \mu=1, \ldots, k
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\mathcal{H}$ given by (6). By claim 5 of Corollary 1, $\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{X} Y, Z\right\rangle=0$ when $X, Y, Z$ are not all from the same distribution; using claim 4 of Corollary 11, (7) and $H_{\mu}=\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} P_{\nu} H_{\mu}$, we reduce the above Euler-Lagrange equations to the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1} \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}}+\operatorname{div}\left(\left(1-\frac{2}{n_{\mu}}\right) H_{\mu}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}\right)+\lambda\right)\langle X, Y\rangle \\
& +\sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left(\frac{2}{n_{\nu}}-1\right)\left\langle X, H_{\nu}\right\rangle\left\langle Y, H_{\nu}\right\rangle-\left\langle\tilde{H}_{\mu}, X\right\rangle\left\langle\tilde{H}_{\mu}, Y\right\rangle+\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{Y} X, \tilde{H}_{\mu}\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ and $\mu=1, \ldots, k$ ，which yields（48）．
The next corollaries consider alternatives for the number $j$ of one－dimensional distributions among our $k$ distributions：at most one distribution is one－dimensional（i．e．，$j \leq 1$ ）in Corol－ lary 4，there are $j$ one－dimensional distributions for some $j \in[2, k-1]$ in Proposition 7 and Corollary 5，and all distributions are one－dimensional（i．e．，$j=k$ ）in Corollary 6．The second is the only case when non－trivial metrics（i．e．，not metric products）and connections（i．e．，not Levi－Civita）can be critical．

Corollary 4．Let $g$ be an adapted metric on $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$ such that all $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ are pairwise mixed totally geodesic and pairwise mixed integrable，and $n_{\mu}>1$ for all $\mu \geq 2$ ．Then a pair $(g, \mathfrak{T})$ ，where $\mathfrak{T}$ is the contorsion tensor of a statistical connection on $(M, g)$ ，is critical for the action（3）with respect to adapted variations of $g$ preserving the volume of $(M, g)$ and all variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ if and only if $(M, g)$ is locally a product，i．e．，all $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ are integrable and totally geodesic，and $\mathfrak{T}$ is contorsion of any statistical connection satisfying claims 园， 4 and 5 of Corollary 1 ．

Proof．By claim T of Corollary 团 we get $H_{\nu}=0$ when $n_{\nu}>1$ ．So if all $n_{\nu}>1$ ，then a critical pair $(g, \mathfrak{T})$ ，where $\mathfrak{T}$ is statistical，can exist only when $g$ is the product metric．

Suppose now that $n_{1}=1$ and $n_{\nu}>1$ for all $1<\nu \leq k$ ．Then for all $1<\nu \leq k$ we obtain from claim 3 of Corollary 1 that $H_{\nu}=0$ and from claim 3 of Corollary 1 we obtain for all $1<\nu \leq k$ that $\tilde{H}_{\nu}=0=P_{\nu} H_{1}$ ，and it follows that also $H_{1}=0$ ．Again，we obtain that $g$ is the product metric．For a metric product of integral manifolds of $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ ，the Euler－Lagrange equations（48）all become $\lambda=0$ ．In that case，all statistical $\mathfrak{T}$ satisfying claims（2， 4 and 5 of Corollary 1 are critical（also for variations of metric not preserving the volume of $(M, g)$ ）．

Proposition 7．Let $g$ be an adapted metric on $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$ such that all $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ are pairwise mixed totally geodesic and pairwise mixed integrable，and there exists $j \in[2, k-1]$ such that $n_{\mu}=1$ for $1 \leq \mu \leq j$ and $n_{\rho}>1$ for $j+1 \leq \rho \leq k$ ．Then a pair $(g, \mathfrak{T})$ ，where $\mathfrak{T}$ is the contorsion tensor of a statistical connection，is critical for the action（3）with respect to adapted variations of $g$ preserving the volume of $(M, g)$ and all variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{T}$ satisfies claims 图，园 and 5 of Corollary $\mathbb{1}$ and for all $j+1 \leq \rho \leq k: \mathcal{D}_{\rho}$ is totally geodesic， $\tilde{H}_{\rho}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\nu=1}^{j}\left(P_{\rho} H_{\nu}\right)^{b} \otimes\left(P_{\rho} H_{\nu}\right)^{b}+\left(\frac{m \lambda}{2-m}\right) g_{\rho}=0 \tag{49a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for all $1 \leq \mu \leq j$ ：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \mathcal{D}^{\frac{1}{\mu}}}=\frac{2 \lambda}{2-m} \tag{49b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=\operatorname{dim} M$ and $\lambda$ is a constant．
Proof．From Corollary［ we obtain for $j+1 \leq \rho \leq k$ that $H_{\rho}=0=\tilde{H}_{\rho}=P_{\rho} \mathcal{H}$ and thus $\mathcal{H}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{j} H_{\nu}$ ．By（14）and Corollary 1，we get $\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1} \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1} \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}}$ ．Hence，the Euler－Lagrange equation（48）for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\rho}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\nu=1}^{j}\left(P_{\rho} H_{\nu}\right)^{b} \otimes\left(P_{\rho} H_{\nu}\right)^{b}+\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1} \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}}+\lambda\right) g_{\rho}=0 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for all $1 \leq \mu \leq j$ we have the following form of（48）：

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(H_{\mu}+\tilde{H}_{\mu}\right)+\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1} \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}}+\lambda+\sum_{\nu=1}^{j}\left\|P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right\|^{2}-\left\|\tilde{H}_{\mu}\right\|^{2}=0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $1 \leq \mu \leq j$ we obtain $\left\|\tilde{h}_{\mu}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{j}\left\|P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right\|^{2}$ ，and then，using the formula for the mixed scalar curvature of $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$［29］，we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \mathcal{D}^{\perp}}=\operatorname{div}\left(\tilde{H}_{\mu}+H_{\mu}\right)+\left\|\tilde{H}_{\mu}\right\|^{2}-\sum_{\nu=1}^{j}\left\|P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right\|^{2} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

using the above in (51) yields that the Euler-Lagrange equation (48) for $1 \leq \mu \leq j$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1} \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}}+\lambda-\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}}=0 . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $j+1 \leq \rho \leq k$ from the formula for the mixed scalar curvature of $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}$ we find $\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{\rho}, \mathcal{D}_{\rho}^{\perp}}=$ $-\sum_{\nu=1}^{j}\left\|P_{\rho} H_{\nu}\right\|^{2}$, hence taking trace of (50) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1} \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}}+\lambda\right) n_{\rho}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{\rho}, \mathcal{D}_{\rho}^{\perp}}=0 . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing all equations (53) for $1 \leq \mu \leq j$ and all equations (54) for $j+1 \leq \rho \leq k$, and using the analogue of (13) for the Levi-Civita connection: $2 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1} \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}}=\sum_{\mu=1}^{j} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \mathcal{D}_{\mu}}+\sum_{\rho=j+1}^{k} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathcal{D}_{\rho}, \mathcal{D}_{\rho}}$ [22], we obtain $m\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1} \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}}+\lambda\right)-2 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1} \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}}=0$, and hence $\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1} \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}}=\frac{m \lambda}{2-m}$, which used in (54) yields $\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \mathcal{D} \frac{1}{\mu}}=\frac{2 \lambda}{2-m}$. Using the above in (50) and (54) yields (49a, b). On the other hand, it can be verified that if $\mathfrak{T}$ satisfies claims 2, 4 and 5 of Corollary 园, for all $j+1 \leq \rho \leq k: \mathcal{D}_{\rho}$ is totally geodesic, $\tilde{H}_{\rho}=0$ and (49a, b) hold, then the Euler-Lagrange equations (48) are valid.

Remark 6. In Proposition 7, equations (50,b) are equivalent to, respectively:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{\nu=1}^{j}\left(P_{\rho} H_{\nu}\right)^{b} \otimes\left(P_{\rho} H_{\nu}\right)^{b}+\left(\operatorname{div} \mathcal{H}+\frac{1}{2}\|\mathcal{H}\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{j}\left\|H_{\nu}\right\|^{2}+\lambda\right) g_{\rho}=0,  \tag{55a}\\
\sum_{\nu=1}^{j}\left(\left\|P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|H_{\nu}\right\|^{2}\right)-\left\|\tilde{H}_{\mu}\right\|^{2}+\operatorname{div}\left(\mathcal{H}-H_{\mu}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\|\mathcal{H}\|^{2}+\lambda=0 . \tag{55b}
\end{gather*}
$$

Indeed, from the formulas for mixed scalar curvatures $S_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}}$ and $S_{\mathcal{D}_{\rho}, \mathcal{D}_{\rho}^{\perp}}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}} & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{j}\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\tilde{H}_{\mu}+H_{\mu}\right)+\left\|\tilde{H}_{\mu}\right\|^{2}-\sum_{\nu=1}^{j}\left\|P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right\|^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\rho=j+1}^{k} \sum_{\nu=1}^{j}\left\|P_{\rho} H_{\nu}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\operatorname{div} \mathcal{H}+\frac{1}{2}\|\mathcal{H}\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{j}\left\|H_{\nu}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the above in (50) and, together with (52), in (53), yields (55a, b), respectively.
Corollary 5. Let $g$ be an adapted metric on $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$ such that all $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ are pairwise mixed totally geodesic and pairwise mixed integrable, and there exists $j \in[2, k-1]$ such that $n_{\mu}=1$ for $1 \leq \mu \leq j$ and $n_{\rho}>1$ for $j+1 \leq \rho \leq k$. Suppose that $n_{\rho}>j$ for at least one $\rho \in\{j+1, \ldots, k\}$. Then a pair $(g, \mathfrak{T})$, where $\mathfrak{T}$ is the contorsion tensor of a statistical connection, is critical for the action (3) with respect to adapted variations of $g$ preserving the volume of $(M, g)$ and all variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{T}$ satisfies claims 园, 4and 5 Corollary [1: for all $j+1 \leq \xi \leq k: \mathcal{D}_{\xi}$ is totally geodesic, and we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{\xi} H_{\nu}=0, \quad \nu \in\{1, \ldots, j\},  \tag{56a}\\
\left.\operatorname{Ric}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu}}=0, \quad 1 \leq \mu \leq j . \tag{56b}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. If $n_{\rho}>j$, then comparing ranks of tensors in (50) yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{\rho} H_{\nu}=0, \quad \nu \in\{1, \ldots, j\}, \\
\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1} \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}}=-\lambda . \tag{57}
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover, for every $\xi \in\{j+1, \ldots, k\}$ we get from the Euler-Lagrange equation (50) written for $\mathcal{D}_{\xi}$ and (57): $\sum_{\nu=1}^{j}\left(P_{\xi} H_{\nu}\right)^{b} \otimes\left(P_{\xi} H_{\nu}\right)^{b}=0$, thus for all $E_{\xi, i}$ we obtain $\sum_{\nu=1}^{j}\left\langle H_{\nu}, E_{\xi, i}\right\rangle^{2}=0$, but then also

$$
0=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\xi}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{j}\left\langle H_{\nu}, E_{\xi, i}\right\rangle^{2}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{j} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\xi}}\left\langle H_{\nu}, E_{\xi, i}\right\rangle^{2}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{j}\left\|P_{\xi} H_{\nu}\right\|^{2}
$$

and (56a) follows. Using (57) in (53), we get (56b). On the other hand, if (56a, b) hold, then for $\lambda=0$ all terms in (50) and (53) vanish.

Example 4. A simple example of a critical metric is the following twisted product (with distributions $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ defined as tangent to its factors): for a manifold ( $M_{1}, g_{1}$ ) let $M=M_{1} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and let $g=g_{1}+e^{-2 f_{1}(s)} d t^{2}+e^{-2 f_{2}(t)} d s^{2}$, where $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are linear functions. Let $\mathfrak{T}$ correspond to a statistical connection satisfying conditions 2,4 and 5 of Corollary 1 and such that $\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{X} Y, Z\right\rangle=0$ unless $X, Y, Z \in T M_{1}$. Then $(g, \mathfrak{T})$ is critical for the action (3), with respect to adapted variations of metric and all variations of contorsion.

Corollary 6. Let $g$ be an adapted metric on $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$ such that all $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ are one-dimensional, pairwise mixed totally geodesic and pairwise mixed integrable. Then a pair $(g, \mathfrak{T})$, where $\mathfrak{T}$ is the contorsion tensor of a statistical connection, is critical for the action (3) with respect to adapted variations of $g$ preserving the volume of $(M, g)$ and all variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{T}=0$ and there exists a constant $\lambda$ such that for all $1 \leq \mu \leq k$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{Ric}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu}}=-\frac{2 \lambda}{k-2} . \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By claims 2 and 5 of Corollary 1 the only non-zero components of $\mathfrak{T}$ may be $\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{X} X, X\right\rangle$ where $X \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ is a unit vector. However, by 4 of Corollary 1 we get for a unit vector $X \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ : $\mathfrak{T}_{X} X=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}=0$. It follows that $\mathfrak{T}=0$. Equation (58) can be proved similarly as (49b): let $j=k$, then from (48) we obtain (51) and use in it (52) and $2 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1} \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}}=\sum_{\mu=1}^{k} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \mathcal{D}_{\mu}}$.

Corollary 7. Let $(M, g)$ be a warped product of $k>2$ manifolds, where $M_{1}$ is a closed manifold. Then a pair $(g, \mathfrak{T})$, where $\mathfrak{T}$ is the contorsion tensor of a statistical connection, is critical for the action (3) with respect to volume-preserving adapted variations of $g$ and all variations of $\mathfrak{T}$, if and only if $(M, g)$ is a metric product and $\mathfrak{T}$ satisfies conditions 2, 4 and 5 of Corollary 1 ,

Proof. We can assume $g=g_{1}+e^{-2 f_{2}} g_{2}+\ldots+e^{-2 f_{k}} g_{k}$, where $f_{\mu}: M_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $\mu=2, \ldots, k$. For warped products we have $H_{1}=0$ and $H_{\mu}=\nabla f_{\mu}, \tilde{H}_{\mu}=0$ for all $\mu>1$. If $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}=1$, the Euler-Lagrange equation (48) for $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ is (55b), which becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\nu=2}^{k} \Delta f_{\nu}-\Delta f_{\mu}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=2}^{k}\left\|\nabla f_{\nu}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\sum_{\nu=2}^{k} \nabla f_{\nu}\right\|^{2}+\lambda=0 \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}>1$, then, by (55a), the Euler-Lagrange equation (48)) on $\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\nu=2}^{k}\left(P_{\mu} \nabla f_{\nu}\right)^{b} \otimes\left(P_{\mu} \nabla f_{\nu}\right)^{b}+\left(\sum_{\nu=2}^{k} \Delta f_{\nu}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=2}^{k}\left\|\nabla f_{\nu}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\sum_{\nu=2}^{k} \nabla f_{\nu}\right\|^{2}+\lambda\right) g_{\mu}=0 . \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) Let $n_{\mu}=1$ for all $\mu>1$. Taking differences of (59) for $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ for any $\mu>2$, we get $\Delta f_{2}-\Delta f_{\mu}=0$. Since $M_{1}$ is closed, it follows that $f_{2}-f_{\mu}$ is constant and for all $\mu>2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla f_{2}=\nabla f_{\mu} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $\mathcal{H}=(k-1) \nabla f_{2}$ and $\sum_{\mu}\left\|H_{\mu}\right\|^{2}=(k-1)\left\|\nabla f_{2}\right\|^{2}$, and (59) for $\mu=2$ yields the equality $(k-2) \Delta f_{2}+\frac{1}{2}(k-1)(k-2)\left\|\nabla f_{2}\right\|^{2}+\lambda=0$. Thus, $\frac{1}{a} e^{-a f_{2}} \Delta e^{a f_{2}}=\frac{\lambda}{k-2}$, where $a=\frac{1}{2}(k-1)$. Since $e^{a f_{2}}>0$, we obtain $\lambda=0$ and $f_{2}=$ const. From (61) we conclude that all $f_{i}$ are constant and $(M, g)$ is the metric product.
(ii) Suppose now that $n_{\mu}>1$ for some $\mu>1$. From Corollary $\mathbf{Q}^{1}$ we find that $H_{\mu}=0=\nabla f_{\mu}$, and hence $f_{\mu}$ is constant. Let $\xi \in[2, k]$. If $n_{\xi}>1$, then, by the same argument as above, $f_{\xi}$ is constant. If $n_{\xi}=1$, then taking the difference of (59) for $\mathcal{D}_{\xi}$ and the trace of (60) divided by $n_{\mu}$, we find $-\Delta f_{\xi}=0$, and since $M_{1}$ is compact, we get $f_{\xi}=$ const. Thus, all $f_{i}$ are constant and ( $M, g$ ) is the metric product.

### 6.2 Variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ corresponding to statistical connections

In this section we still consider adapted variations of metric, but restrict variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ to tensors corresponding to statistical connections. Using Theorem 3 we examine $\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}$ in Proposition 2.

Lemma 5. Let $g$ be an adapted metric on $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$, and let the contorsion tensor $\mathfrak{T}$ of a statistical connection be critical for the action (3) restricted to contorsion tensors of statistical connections on $(M, g)$. Then for the $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$-variation of metric we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}=\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{n_{\nu}}{2}\left(P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)^{b} \otimes\left(P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)^{b}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|P_{\mu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\|^{2} g_{\mu} \\
- & 3 \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \operatorname{Sym}\left(\left(P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right)^{b} \otimes\left(P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)^{b}\right)+2\left\langle h_{\mu}, P_{\mu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle+\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \operatorname{Sym}\left(\left(P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right)^{b} \otimes\left(P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right)^{b}\right) \\
+ & \frac{1}{2}\left\langle\sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left(P_{\mu}^{\perp} H_{\nu}-\tilde{H}_{\nu}\right), \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle g_{\mu}+2 \mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{H}_{\mu}}-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle H_{\mu}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle g_{\mu}-\operatorname{Sym}\left(\left(P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right)^{b} \otimes \tilde{H}_{\mu}^{b}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It follows from (38c) that $\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{X} Y, Z\right\rangle=0$ if each of $X, Y, Z$ belongs to a different distribution. The computation of all formulas [26, Eqs. (67)-(75)] gives the same results as Lemma [4, but now we obtain $\partial_{t}\left\langle\Theta, T_{\xi}^{\sharp}\right\rangle=0$ from $\sum_{a, b}\left\langle T\left(E_{\nu, a}, E_{\nu, b}\right), \mathcal{E}_{\nu, i}\right\rangle\left\langle\mathcal{E}_{\nu, j}, \mathfrak{T}_{\nu, a} E_{\nu, b}\right\rangle=0$ by $\mathfrak{T}=\mathfrak{T}^{\wedge}$, and we obtain $\partial_{t}\left\langle\Theta, \tilde{T}^{\sharp}\right\rangle=0$ using (38b), which yields sums of symmetric and antisymmetric in $E_{\nu, a}, E_{\nu, b}$ terms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} \sum_{\xi}\left\langle\Theta, \tilde{T}_{\xi}^{\sharp}\right\rangle= & \sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left(\sum B\left(E_{\mu, i}, E_{\mu, j}\right)\left\langle 2 \tilde{T}_{\nu}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\nu, k}, E_{\mu, j}\right), E_{\nu, a}\right\rangle\left\langle\mathcal{E}_{\nu, k}, \mathfrak{T}_{\nu, a} E_{\mu, i}\right\rangle\right. \\
& -\sum B\left(E_{\mu, i}, E_{\mu, j}\right)\left\langle 2 \tilde{T}_{\nu}\left(E_{\mu, i}, \mathcal{E}_{\nu, k}\right), E_{\nu, a}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\mu, j}, \mathfrak{T}_{\nu, a} \mathcal{E}_{\nu, k}\right\rangle \\
& \left.+\sum B\left(E_{\mu, i}, E_{\mu, j}\right)\left\langle 2 \tilde{T}_{\nu}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\nu, k}, E_{\mu, j}\right), E_{\nu, a}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\nu, a}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{E}_{\nu, k}} E_{\mu, i}\right\rangle\right) \\
& +\sum B\left(E_{\mu, i}, E_{\mu, j}\right)\left\langle 2 T_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, k}, E_{\mu, j}\right), \mathcal{E}_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\mu, k}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mu, a}} E_{\mu, i}\right\rangle \\
& -\sum B\left(E_{\mu, i}, E_{\mu, j}\right)\left\langle 2 T_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, i}, E_{\mu, k}\right), \mathcal{E}_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\mu, j}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mu, a}} E_{\mu, k}\right\rangle \\
& +\sum B\left(E_{\mu, i}, E_{\mu, j}\right)\left\langle 2 T_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, k}, E_{\mu, j}\right), \mathcal{E}_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\left\langle\mathcal{E}_{\mu, a}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, k} E_{\mu, i}\right\rangle \\
= & 6 \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \sum B\left(E_{\mu, i}, E_{\mu, j}\right)\left\langle T_{\mu}\left(E_{\mu, i}, E_{\mu, j}\right), E_{\nu, a}\right\rangle\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\nu, a}\right\rangle=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (38b) in equations from the proof of Lemma 团, we also simplify other formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{t} \sum_{\xi}\left\langle\mathfrak{T}^{*}, \mathfrak{T}^{\wedge}\right\rangle_{\mid V_{\xi}}=\left\langle B_{\mu}, \sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left(-\frac{n_{\nu}}{2}\left(P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)^{b} \otimes\left(P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)^{b}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\|P_{\mu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\|^{2} g_{\mu}\right\rangle, \\
& \partial_{t} \sum_{\xi}\left\langle\Theta, A_{\xi}\right\rangle=-2\left\langle B_{\mu}, \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \operatorname{Sym}\left(\left(P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right)^{b} \otimes\left(P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)^{b}\right)\right\rangle, \\
& \partial_{t} \sum_{\xi}\left\langle\Theta, \tilde{A}_{\xi}\right\rangle=2\left\langle B_{\mu},\left\langle h_{\mu}, P_{\mu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

From [26, Eq. (72)], for statistical connections we get $\partial_{t}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}^{*}\right\rangle=0$. From (38a) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{t} \sum_{\xi}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\xi}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}^{*}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\xi}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle=\left\langle B_{\mu},-\| P_{\mu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}^{2} g_{\mu}\right\rangle \\
& \partial_{t} \sum_{\xi}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\xi}^{\top}\left(\mathfrak{T}^{*}-\mathfrak{T}\right), \tilde{H}_{\xi}-H_{\xi}\right\rangle=\left\langle B, \mathfrak{T}_{H_{\mu}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}}^{b}+\operatorname{Sym}\left(\left(P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right)^{b} \otimes \tilde{H}_{\mu}^{b}\right)\right. \\
& +\sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left(\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{H}_{\nu}-H_{\nu}}^{b}+\operatorname{Sym}\left(\left(P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)^{b} \otimes\left(P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right)^{b}\right)\right)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (38b), we get on $\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{T}_{H_{\mu}}^{b}=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle H_{\mu}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle g_{\mu}, \quad \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \mathfrak{T}_{H_{\nu}}^{b}=\mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{H}_{\mu}}^{b}+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} P_{\mu}^{\perp} H_{\nu}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle g_{\mu}, \\
& \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{H}_{\nu}}^{b}=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \tilde{H}_{\nu}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle g_{\mu} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{t} \sum_{\xi}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\xi}^{\perp}\left(\mathfrak{T}^{*}-\mathfrak{T}\right), \tilde{H}_{\xi}-H_{\xi}\right\rangle=\left\langle B, \frac{1}{2}\left\langle\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \tilde{H}_{\nu}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle g_{\mu}-2 \mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{H}_{\mu}}^{b}\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} P_{\mu}^{\perp} H_{\nu}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle g_{\mu}+\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \operatorname{Sym}\left(\left(P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)^{b} \otimes\left(P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right)^{b}\right) \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle H_{\mu}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle g_{\mu}+\operatorname{Sym}\left(\left(P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right)^{b} \otimes \tilde{H}_{\mu}^{b}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (10) and (18), from the above computations we get the required formula for $\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}$.
The following result combines Theorem 1 (variations of $g$ with $\mathfrak{T}$ fixed) and Theorem 3 (variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ with $g$ fixed) for variations among statistical connections.
Theorem 5. A pair $(g, \mathfrak{T})$, where $g$ is an adapted metric and $\mathfrak{T}$ is the contorsion tensor of a statistical connection on $\left(M, \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$, is critical for the action (31) with respect to volumepreserving adapted variations of $g$ and variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ corresponding to statistical connections if and only if it satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equations (38a-c) and (19), which has the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{n_{\nu}}{2}\left(P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)^{b} \otimes\left(P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)^{b}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|P_{\mu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\|^{2} g_{\mu} \\
& -3 \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \operatorname{Sym}\left(\left(P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right)^{b} \otimes\left(P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)^{b}\right) \\
& +2\left\langle h_{\mu}, P_{\mu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle+\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \operatorname{Sym}\left(\left(P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right)^{b} \otimes\left(P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right)^{b}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left(P_{\mu}^{\perp} H_{\nu}-\tilde{H}_{\nu}\right), \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle g_{\mu}+2 \mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{H}_{\mu}}^{b}-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle H_{\mu}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle g_{\mu}-\operatorname{Sym}\left(\left(P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right)^{b} \otimes \tilde{H}_{\mu}^{b}\right) \\
& +2\left(-\operatorname{div} h_{\mu}-\mathcal{K}_{\mu}^{b}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}^{b} \otimes \tilde{H}_{\mu}^{b}+\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{\tilde{h}_{\mu}, \tilde{h}_{\mu}}+\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{\tilde{T}_{\mu}, \tilde{T}_{\mu}}+2 \mathcal{T}_{\mu}^{b}+\left(\operatorname{div} H_{\mu}\right) g_{\mu}\right. \\
& +\sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left(-\left.\operatorname{div} \tilde{h}_{\nu}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mu}}-\left(P_{\mu} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\nu}\right)^{b}-\left(P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right)^{b} \otimes\left(P_{\mu} H_{\nu}\right)^{b}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{P_{\mu} h_{\nu}, P_{\mu} h_{\nu}}+\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{P_{\mu} T_{\nu}, P_{\mu} T_{\nu}}+2\left(P_{\mu} \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\nu}\right)^{b}+\left(\operatorname{div} \tilde{H}_{\nu}\right) g_{\mu}\right)\right) \\
& +2\left(\overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} \sum_{\nu}\left(H_{\nu}+\tilde{H}_{\nu}\right)+\lambda\right) g_{\mu}=0, \quad \mu=1, \ldots, k . \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Equation (62) is the Euler-Lagrange equation (19), with $\delta Q_{\mu}$ given in Proposition 2 and $\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}$ given in Lemma 5.

Using Theorem [5 we will show how to obtain examples of critical pairs $(g, \mathfrak{T})$ of the action (3) with respect to adapted variations of metric and variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ corresponding to statistical connections, from critical metrics of this action with fixed Levi-Civita connection.

Lemma 6. Let $\mathfrak{T}$ be the contorsion tensor of a statistical connection on ( $M, \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}$ ) with an adapted metric $g$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}=0$ for all $\mu \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$. If $\mathfrak{T}$ is critical for the action (3) with fixed $g$, with respect to variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ corresponding to statistical connections, then $\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}$.
Proof. From the assumption $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}=0$ for all $\mu \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ we obtain $\sum_{\nu}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle=0$. From (38b, c) we obtain for all $\mu \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\mathfrak{T}, \mathfrak{T}\rangle_{\mid V_{\mu}}= & \sum\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{E_{\mu, a}} \mathcal{E}_{\mu, b}, \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mu, b}} E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle=\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \sum\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{E_{\mu, a}} E_{\nu, b}, \mathfrak{T}_{E_{\nu, b}} E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle \\
= & \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \sum\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{E_{\mu, a}} E_{\nu, b}, E_{\nu, c}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\nu, c}, \mathfrak{T}_{E_{\nu, b}} E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle \\
& +\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \sum\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{E_{\mu, a}} E_{\nu, b}, E_{\mu, c}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\mu, c}, \mathfrak{T}_{E_{\nu, b}} E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle \\
= & \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \sum\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\nu, b}, E_{\nu, c}\right\rangle\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\nu, b}, E_{\nu, c}\right\rangle \\
& +\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \sum\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\nu, b}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, c}\right\rangle\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, E_{\nu, b}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{\mu, a}, E_{\mu, c}\right\rangle=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, from (14), we get $\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}$.
Using Theorem 5 and Lemma 6, we get the following
Corollary 8. Let $g$ be a critical adapted metric for the action (1) with respect to adapted variations on $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$. Suppose that there exists $\mu \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that either $n_{\mu} \geq 3$, or $n_{\mu}=2$ and $\tilde{H}_{\mu}=0$. Then there exists a contorsion tensor $\mathfrak{T} \neq 0$ of a statistical connection on $(M, g)$ such that the pair $(g, \mathfrak{T})$ is critical for the action (3) with respect to adapted variations of $g$ and variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ corresponding to statistical connections.
Proof. The Euler-Lagrange equations (62) do not contain derivatives of $\mathfrak{T}$ and thus, with a given metric $g$, are algebraic equations for $\mathfrak{T}$. By Lemma 6e get $\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}$, thus the expression in the last four lines of (62) does not depend on $\mathfrak{T}$. Moreover, by (24) and since $g$ is critical, this expression vanishes. We can restrict ourselves to $\mathfrak{T}$ such that $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}=0$ for all $\mu$. Then (38a) is valid, and similarly as in the proof of claim 4 in Corollary (1) we get $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}=0$ for all $\mu$. Thus, (62) reduces to the linear (with respect to $\mathfrak{T}$ ) system

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathfrak{T}_{\tilde{H}_{\mu}}^{b}=0, \quad \mu=1, \ldots, k, \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

which must be compatible with (38b, c). From (38c) we conclude that all components of $\mathfrak{T}$ with indices from three different distributions vanish. Then, by (38b), assumption $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}=0$ for all $\mu$, and since $\mathfrak{T}$ corresponds to a statistical connection, the only nonzero components of such $\mathfrak{T}$ appear when its three indices belong to the same distribution. Let $n_{\mu} \geq 3$ for some $\mu$, then the number $\frac{1}{2} n_{\mu}\left(n_{\mu}+1\right)$ of independent equations in (63) is smaller than the number $\frac{n_{\mu}\left(n_{\mu}-1\right)\left(n_{\mu}-2\right)}{6}+n_{\mu}\left(n_{\mu}-1\right)+n_{\mu}$ of independent components of $\mathfrak{T}$ along $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ (components along $\mathcal{D}_{\nu}$ for all $\nu \neq \mu$ can be chosen to be zero). For example, if $n_{\mu}=3$, then $\frac{1}{2} n_{\mu}\left(n_{\mu}+1\right)=6$ and (given its symmetries $\mathfrak{T}^{*}=\mathfrak{T}=\mathfrak{T}^{\wedge}$ ) $\mathfrak{T}$ with zero trace on $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ has $10-1=9$ independent components along $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ and (63) gives 6 equations. If $n_{\mu}=2$ and $\tilde{H}_{\mu}=0$ for some $\mu$, then there are no independent equations in (63) for such $\mu$, but there are $4-1=3$ independent components of $\mathfrak{T}$ along $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$.

Corollary 9. Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\mu=1,2,3)$ be distributions determined by unit orthonormal vector fields $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}$ on a 3 -dimensional manifold. Then $\mathfrak{T}=0$ is the only contorsion of a statistical connection critical for the action (3) with fixed $g$, with respect to variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ corresponding to statistical connections.
Proof. From (38b) we obtain $\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{2}} \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\xi_{1}, \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}+\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{3}} \xi_{3}\right\rangle$. By this and (38b), we get

$$
\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{3}} \xi_{3}, \xi_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\xi_{1}, \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}, \xi_{1}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{3}} \xi_{3}, \xi_{1}\right\rangle .
$$

Hence, $\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{3}} \xi_{3}, \xi_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}, \xi_{1}\right\rangle$. Similarly, $\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{2}} \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}, \xi_{1}\right\rangle$. Hence, from (38a) we obtain $0=\left\langle\xi_{1}, \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle=2\left\langle\xi_{1}, \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}\right\rangle$. Similarly, $\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{2}} \xi_{2}, \xi_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{3}} \xi_{3}, \xi_{3}\right\rangle=0$. From (38c) we get $\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right\rangle=0$, and by (38b) we find

$$
\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\xi_{2}, \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\xi_{2}, \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{2}} \xi_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{4}\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right\rangle .
$$

Hence, $\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right\rangle=0$. Therefore, all components of $\mathfrak{T}$ in the frame $\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right\}$ vanish.

## 7 Critical metrics and metric-compatible connections

In this part, we apply the results of Sections 3 and $\sqrt{6}$ and consider particular cases of pairs $(g, \mathfrak{T})$ critical for (3), where $\mathfrak{T}$ is the contorsion of a metric-compatible connection for $g$. We restrict ourselves to cases where $\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}$, which usually has a complicated form, can be written explicitly using our results for two distributions [26]. First we consider semi-symmetric connections, which are critical only among semi-symmetric connections - this condition is sufficient to determine them in terms of metric. The second case we examine are metric connections on 3-Sasaki manifolds, which carry four naturally defined totally geodesic orthogonal distributions.

### 7.1 Semi-symmetric connections

A useful case of metric-compatible connections are semi-symmetric connections introduced by K. Yano [30].

Definition 4. A linear connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on $(M, g)$ is said to be semi-symmetric if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+\langle U, Y\rangle X-\langle X, Y\rangle U, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_{M} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U$ is a given vector field on $M$. In this case, $\mathfrak{T}_{X} Y=\langle U, Y\rangle X-\langle X, Y\rangle U$.
Theorem 6. A pair $(g, U)$, where $g$ is an adapted metric on $\left(M ; \mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$, and a vector field $U$ corresponds to a semi-symmetric connection on $M$, is critical for (3) with respect to adapted variations of metric preserving the volume of $\Omega$ if and only if the following Euler-Lagrange equations with $\delta Q_{\mu}$ from Proposition 圆 are satisfied for all $\mu \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta Q_{\mu}-\frac{1}{2}\left(n_{\mu}^{\perp}\left(n_{\mu}-1\right)+\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} n_{\nu}\left(n_{\nu}^{\perp}-1\right)\right) P_{\mu} U^{\mathrm{b}} \otimes P_{\mu} U^{\mathrm{b}} \\
& +\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{div}\left(\left(n_{\mu}-n_{\mu}^{\perp}\right) P_{\mu}^{\perp} U+\sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left(n_{\nu}^{\perp}-n_{\nu}\right) P_{\nu} U\right) g_{\mu} \\
& +\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{k}}-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} \sum_{\nu}\left(-n_{\nu}^{\perp} P_{\nu} U-n_{\nu} P_{\nu}^{\perp} U+H_{\nu}+\tilde{H}_{\nu}\right)+\lambda\right) g_{\mu}=0 . \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $\bar{\nabla}$ be a semi-symmetric connection on $\left(M, g, \mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\perp}\right)$, then (10) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2 \bar{Q}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\nu}, g, U\right)=\left(n_{\nu}-n_{\nu}^{\perp}\right)\left\langle U, H_{\nu}-\tilde{H}_{\nu}\right\rangle+n_{\nu}^{\perp} n_{\nu}\langle U, U\rangle-n_{\nu}\left\langle P_{\nu}^{\perp} U, P_{\nu}^{\perp} U\right\rangle-n_{\nu}^{\perp}\left\langle P_{\nu} U, P_{\nu} U\right\rangle, \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [26, Lemma 6(a)] for $k=2$. For a $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$-variation of metric, up to divergences of compactly supported vector fields we get (see [26, Lemma 6(b)] for $k=2$ ),
$\left.\partial_{t} \bar{Q}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\nu}, g_{t}, U\right)\right|_{t=0}= \begin{cases}\left\langle B_{\mu}, \frac{1}{4}\left(n_{\nu}^{\perp}-n_{\nu}\right)\left(\operatorname{div} P_{\nu} U\right) g_{\mu}-\frac{1}{2} n_{\nu}\left(n_{\nu}^{\perp}-1\right) P_{\nu}^{\perp} U^{b} \otimes P_{\nu}^{\perp} U^{b}\right\rangle, & \nu \neq \mu, \\ \left\langle B_{\mu}, \frac{1}{4}\left(n_{\mu}-n_{\mu}^{\perp}\right)\left(\operatorname{div} P_{\mu}^{\perp} U\right) g_{\mu}-\frac{1}{2} n_{\mu}^{\perp}\left(n_{\mu}-1\right) P_{\mu} U^{b} \otimes P_{\mu} U^{b}\right\rangle & \nu=\mu .\end{cases}$
From [26, Lemma 6] we get $P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}=-n_{\mu}^{\perp} P_{\mu} U$ and $P_{\mu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu} \mathfrak{T}=-n_{\mu} P_{\mu}^{\perp} U$. Using the above and (18) in (19), we get (65).

Remark 7. One can present (65) in the equivalent form of (4), see [26, Section 3.4] for $k=2$, using the Ricci type tensor $\overline{\mathcal{R} i c}_{\mathcal{D} \mid \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mu}}=-\delta Q_{\mu}-\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}+\rho_{\mu} g_{\mu}$, see (21).

We consider variations of a semi-symmetric connection only among connections that also satisfy (64) and obtain the following result.

Corollary 10. A semi-symmetric connection defined by $U$ is critical for the action (3) with fixed $g$, with respect to variations among semi-symmetric connections if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mu}\left(\left(n_{\mu}-n_{\mu}^{\perp}\right)\left(H_{\mu}-\tilde{H}_{\mu}\right)+2 n_{\mu}\left(n_{\mu}^{\perp}-1\right) P_{\mu}^{\perp} U+2 n_{\mu}^{\perp}\left(n_{\mu}-1\right) P_{\mu} U\right)=0 . \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof follows from (11) and (66), similarly as in [26, Proposition 10].
Corollary 11. Let $k>2$ or $n_{1}+n_{2}>2$. If all $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ are harmonic or all $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ have equal dimension, then the Levi-Civita connection is the only semi-symmetric connection critical for the action (3) with fixed metric, with respect to variations among semi-symmetric connections.

Proof. First, we assume that all $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ are harmonic. Then we get from (67) for each $\mu=1, \ldots, k$ :

$$
\left(2 n_{\mu}^{\perp}\left(n_{\mu}-1\right)+\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} 2 n_{\nu}\left(n_{\nu}^{\perp}-1\right)\right) P_{\mu} U=0 .
$$

The coefficient before $P_{\mu} U$ is non-negative and vanishes only for $k=2$ and $n_{1}=n_{2}=1$, which contradicts the assumption about dimensions of distributions. Hence, it follows that
$P_{\mu} U=0$ for all $\mu=1, \ldots, k$, and therefore $U=0$. For the second case, let $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}=m$ for all $\mu=1, \ldots, k$, then $\operatorname{dim} M=k m$ and from (7), and $P_{\nu}^{\perp}=U-P_{\nu} U$, we obtain in (67)

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 m(k m-m-1)(k-1)+2(k m-m)(m-1)) U=0 . \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the coefficient before $U$ is $2 m(k-1)(k m-2) \neq 0$, for $k>2$ or $m>1$ we get $U=0$.
From Proposition 4 and (68), similarly as in the proof of Corollary 11 we recover the following result, obtained in [13].
Corollary 12. If $\operatorname{dim} M>2$, then the Levi-Civita connection is the only semi-symmetric connection critical for the Einstein-Hilbert action.

By (67), connection critical among semi-symmetric connections is determined by metric. Solving (67) for $U$ and inserting the result in (65) yields equation that determines metrics $g$ admitting semi-symmetric contorsions $\mathfrak{T}$ such that the pair $(g, \mathfrak{T})$ is critical for (3) restricted to adapted metrics and contorsions of semi-symmetric connections.

## $7.2 \quad$ 3-Sasaki manifolds

In this section, we consider metric-compatible connections on a 3-Sasaki manifold ( $M^{4 m+3}, g$ ) with $m>0$ (see [7, 8]), which has four naturally defined orthogonal distributions. Let $\mathcal{D}_{1}, \mathcal{D}_{2}, \mathcal{D}_{3}$ be the one-dimensional distributions spanned by Reeb fields $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}$, and let $\mathcal{D}_{4}$ be their orthogonal complement. We will write $\tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp}$ instead of $\tilde{T}_{a, \xi_{a}}^{\sharp}$ for $a \leq 3$. From [24] we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{Y} \xi_{a}=-\tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp} Y \quad(Y \in T M, \quad a \leq 3), \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp} \tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp}=-\left.\mathrm{id}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{a}^{\perp}}, \tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp} \xi_{b}=\xi_{c}$ for even permutation of $a, b, c$. Using (69), we can formulate
Lemma 7. For a 3-Sasaki manifold ( $M, g$ ), the distributions $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\mu \leq 4)$ are totally geodesic, pairwise mixed totally geodesic, and $\mathcal{D}_{4}$ is mixed integrable with every $\mathcal{D}_{a}(a \leq 3)$.

Let $\operatorname{Sym}(F(X, Y))=\frac{1}{2}(F(X, Y)+F(Y, X))$ for all $X, Y \in T M$ and all $(s, 2)$-tensors $F$.
In what follows we consider terms appearing in [26] for contact manifolds, that will also appear in our Euler-Lagrange equations below. We define the following tensors on $M$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{\nu}(X, Y)=\left(\mathfrak{T}+\mathfrak{T}^{\wedge}\right)_{P_{\nu} \perp} P_{\nu}^{\perp} Y, \quad \phi_{\nu}^{\top}(X, Y)=P_{\nu} \phi_{\nu}(X, Y), \\
& \tilde{\phi}_{\nu}(X, Y)=\left(\mathfrak{T}+\mathfrak{T}^{\wedge}\right)_{P_{\nu}^{\top} X} P_{\nu}^{\top} Y, \quad \tilde{\phi}_{\nu}^{\perp}(X, Y)=P_{\nu}^{\perp} \tilde{\phi}_{\nu}(X, Y), \\
& \chi_{\nu}(X, Y)=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\sum\left(\left\langle X, \mathfrak{T}_{\mathcal{E}_{\nu, j}} E_{\nu, a}\right\rangle\left\langle Y, \tilde{T}_{\nu, E_{\nu, a}} \mathcal{E}_{\nu, j}\right\rangle\right),\right. \\
& \tilde{\chi}_{\mu}(X, Y)=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\sum\left(\left\langle X, \mathfrak{T}_{\mu, j} \mathcal{E}_{\mu, a}\right\rangle\left\langle Y, T_{\mu, \mathcal{E}_{\mu, a}}^{\sharp} E_{\mu, j, j}\right\rangle\right) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we calculate on a 3 -Sasaki manifold values of some previously defined tensors.
Lemma 8. Let $(M, g)$ be a 3-Sasaki manifold, and let $\mathfrak{T}$ be the contorsion tensor critical for the action (3) with fixed $g$. Then for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_{4}$ and $a=1,2,3$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\chi}_{4}(X, Y)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Sym}\left(\sum_{b}\left\langle\tilde{\phi}_{4}\left(\tilde{T}_{\xi_{b}}^{\sharp} Y, X\right), \xi_{b}\right\rangle\right)+3\langle X, Y\rangle,  \tag{70a}\\
& \chi_{a}(X, Y)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Sym}\left(\left\langle\tilde{\phi}_{4}\left(\tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp} Y, X\right), \xi_{a}\right\rangle\right)+\langle X, Y\rangle  \tag{70b}\\
& \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{a}^{b}=-g_{a}^{\perp}, \quad \mathcal{T}_{4}^{b}=-3 g_{4},  \tag{70c}\\
& \Upsilon_{T_{a}, T_{a}}=0, \quad \Upsilon_{\tilde{T}_{4}, \tilde{T}_{4}}=0,  \tag{70d}\\
& \left(\chi_{2}+\chi_{3}\right)\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{1}\right)=0, \quad\left(\chi_{1}+\chi_{3}\right)\left(\xi_{2}, \xi_{2}\right)=0, \quad\left(\chi_{1}+\chi_{2}\right)\left(\xi_{3}, \xi_{3}\right)=0,  \tag{70e}\\
& \chi_{4}\left(\xi_{a}, \xi_{a}\right)=0, \quad \tilde{\chi}_{a}\left(\xi_{a}, \xi_{a}\right)=0,  \tag{70f}\\
& \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{4}^{b}\left(\xi_{a}, \xi_{a}\right)=0, \quad \mathcal{T}_{a}^{b}\left(\xi_{a}, \xi_{a}\right)=-2,  \tag{70g}\\
& \Upsilon_{T_{4}, T_{4}}\left(\xi_{a}, \xi_{a}\right)=2 n_{4}, \quad \Upsilon_{\tilde{T}_{a}, \tilde{T}_{a}}\left(\xi_{a}, \xi_{a}\right)=4+2 n_{4} . \tag{70h}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}, E_{1}, \ldots, E_{n_{4}}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis on $M$. For $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_{4}$ we get

$$
\tilde{\chi}_{4}(X, Y)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a, j}\left(\left\langle X, \mathfrak{T}_{E_{j}} \xi_{a}\right\rangle\left\langle Y, T_{4, \xi_{a}}^{\sharp} E_{j}\right\rangle+\left\langle Y, \mathfrak{T}_{E_{j}} \xi_{a}\right\rangle\left\langle X, T_{4, \xi_{a}}^{\sharp} E_{j}\right\rangle\right),
$$

and by (35e) we obtain for all $a=1,2,3$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j}\left\langle X, \mathfrak{T}_{E_{j}} \xi_{a}\right\rangle\left\langle Y, T_{4, \xi_{a}}^{\sharp} E_{j}\right\rangle=-\sum_{j}\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{E_{j}} X, \xi_{a}\right\rangle\left\langle Y, T_{4, \xi_{a}}^{\sharp} E_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j}\left(\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{E_{j}} X+\mathfrak{T}_{X} E_{j}, \xi_{a}\right\rangle\left\langle Y, T_{4, \xi_{a}}^{\sharp} E_{j}\right\rangle+\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{E_{j}} X-\mathfrak{T}_{X} E_{j}, \xi_{a}\right\rangle\left\langle Y, T_{4, \xi_{a}}^{\sharp} E_{j}\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\sum_{j}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\tilde{\phi}_{4}\left(E_{j}, X\right), \xi_{a}\right\rangle\left\langle\xi_{a}, T_{4}\left(E_{j}, Y\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle T_{4}\left(E_{j}, X\right), \xi_{a}\right\rangle\left\langle\xi_{a}, T_{4}\left(E_{j}, Y\right)\right\rangle\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\tilde{\phi}_{4}\left(\tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp} Y, X\right), \xi_{a}\right\rangle+\left\langle\tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp} X, \tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp} Y\right\rangle\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\tilde{\phi}_{4}\left(\tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp} Y, X\right), \xi_{a}\right\rangle+\langle X, Y\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing the above over $a=1,2,3$ we get (70a).
Equations ( $(70 \mathrm{c})_{1}$ follow from $\tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp} \tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp}=-\mathrm{id}$, similarly, we obtain ( $\left.\overline{70 \mathrm{c}}\right)_{2}$, as for $a \leq 3$ we get $\mathcal{T}_{4}^{b}=\sum_{a}\left(\tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp} \tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp}\right)^{b}=-3 g_{4}$. Next, we get $\chi_{1}(X, Y)$ (and similarly, $\chi_{2}(X, Y)$ and $\chi_{3}(X, Y)$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{1}(X, Y)=\operatorname{Sym}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\tilde{\phi}_{4}\left(\tilde{T}_{\xi_{1}}^{\sharp} Y, X\right), \xi_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle P_{4} X, P_{4} Y\right\rangle+\left\langle X, \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{2}} \xi_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle Y, \tilde{T}_{\xi_{1}}^{\sharp} \xi_{2}\right\rangle+\left\langle X, \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{3}} \xi_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle Y, \tilde{T}_{\xi_{1}}^{\sharp} \xi_{3}\right\rangle\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Sym}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\tilde{\phi}_{4}\left(\tilde{T}_{\xi_{1}}^{\sharp} Y, X\right), \xi_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle P_{4} X, P_{4} Y\right\rangle+\left\langle X, \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{2}} \xi_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle Y, \xi_{3}\right\rangle-\left\langle X, \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{3}} \xi_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle Y, \xi_{2}\right\rangle\right), \quad X, Y \perp \mathcal{D}_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we get (70b). We get (70e) from the above computations and (36) for metric-compatible connections, which yields for all $a, b, c=1,2,3, a \neq b \neq c \neq a$ and all $X \in \mathcal{D}_{4}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{X} \xi_{a}-\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{a}} X, \xi_{b}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{b}} \xi_{a}-\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{a}} \xi_{b}, X\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{b}} \xi_{a}-\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{a}} \xi_{b}, \xi_{c}\right\rangle \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain (70f) $)_{1}$ and $(70 \mathrm{~g})_{1}$ from $\tilde{T}_{4, X}^{\sharp}=0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{D}_{4}$.
We obtain for $a, b, c \in\{1,2,3\}, a \neq b \neq c \neq a$ :

$$
\mathcal{T}_{a}^{\not}\left(\xi_{a}, \xi_{a}\right)=\sum_{i}\left\langle\tilde{T}_{4, E_{i}}^{\sharp} \tilde{T}_{4, E_{i}}^{\sharp} \xi_{a}, \xi_{a}\right\rangle+\left\langle\tilde{T}_{\xi_{b}}^{\sharp} \tilde{T}_{\xi_{b}}^{\sharp} \xi_{a}, \xi_{a}\right\rangle+\left\langle\tilde{T}_{\xi_{c}}^{\sharp} \tilde{T}_{\xi_{c}}^{\sharp} \xi_{a}, \xi_{a}\right\rangle=-2
$$

which is $(\overline{70 g})_{2}$. For $a \leq 3(70 \mathrm{~d})_{1}$ follows from the fact that each $\mathcal{D}_{a}$ is integrable.
For $a \leq 3$ we obtain $(70 \mathrm{~h})_{1}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{T_{4}, T_{4}}\left(\xi_{a}, \xi_{a}\right)=\sum_{i, j}\left\langle\xi_{a}, T_{a}\left(E_{i}, E_{j}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle\xi_{a}, T_{a}\left(E_{i}, E_{j}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i, j}\left\langle\tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp} E_{i}, E_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle\tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp} E_{i}, E_{j}\right\rangle=-\sum_{i}\left\langle\tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp} \tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp} E_{i}, E_{i}\right\rangle=n_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp} \tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp}=-\left.\mathrm{id}\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{a}^{\perp}}$. For $(\overline{70 \mathrm{~d}})_{2}$, let $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_{4}$, then

$$
\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{\tilde{T}_{4}, \tilde{T}_{4}}(X, Y)=\sum_{a, b}\left\langle X, \tilde{T}_{4}\left(\xi_{a}, \xi_{b}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle Y, \tilde{T}_{4}\left(\xi_{a}, \xi_{b}\right)\right\rangle=0
$$

because $\left[\xi_{a}, \xi_{b}\right] \perp \mathcal{D}_{4}$. For $(70 \mathrm{~h})_{2}$, let $a, b, c=1,2,3$ and $a \neq b \neq c \neq a$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{\tilde{T}_{a}, \tilde{T}_{a}}\left(\xi_{a}, \xi_{a}\right)= & \sum_{b, c}\left\langle\xi_{a}, \tilde{T}_{a}\left(\xi_{b}, \xi_{c}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle\xi_{a}, \tilde{T}_{a}\left(\xi_{b}, \xi_{c}\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\sum_{i, j}\left\langle\xi_{a}, \tilde{T}_{a}\left(E_{i}, E_{j}\right)\right\rangle\left\langle\xi_{a}, \tilde{T}_{a}\left(E_{i}, E_{j}\right)\right\rangle=2+n_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $(70 \mathrm{~F})_{2}$, let $a \leq 3, \mathcal{E}_{a, j} \in \mathcal{D}_{a}^{\perp}$, then $\tilde{\chi}_{a}\left(\xi_{a}, \xi_{a}\right)=\sum_{j}\left\langle\xi_{a}, \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{a}} \mathcal{E}_{a, j}\right\rangle\left\langle\xi_{a}, T_{a, \mathcal{E}_{a, j}}^{\sharp} \xi_{a}\right\rangle=0$.

By Lemma 7 , on a 3 -Sasaki manifold $(M, g)$, each $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\mu \leq 4)$ is totally geodesic and has totally geodesic orthogonal complement. Thus, the assumptions of [26, Lemma 4] are satisfied.

We additionally assume the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{X} Y, Z\right\rangle=0, \quad X \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}, Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\rho}, Z \in \mathcal{D}_{\xi}, \quad \mu \neq \rho \neq \xi \neq \mu, \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is consistent with (36). Note that from (71) it follows that, e.g., characteristic connection on 7 -dimensional 3-Sasaki manifolds [1] does not satisfy (36). Then, following the proof of [26, Lemma 4], we obtain for each $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ and for a metric-compatible connection $\nabla+\mathfrak{T}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}= & \sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left(\left\langle\phi_{\nu}, \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle-2 \operatorname{div} \phi_{\nu}^{\top}+7 \chi_{\nu}-\operatorname{div}\left(P_{\nu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right) g_{\nu}^{\perp}-2 \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\nu}^{b}-\frac{3}{2} \Upsilon_{T_{\nu}, T_{\nu}}\right) \\
& +\left\langle\tilde{\phi}_{\mu}, \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle-2 \operatorname{div} \tilde{\phi}_{\mu}^{\perp}+7 \tilde{\chi}_{\mu}-\operatorname{div}\left(P_{\mu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right) g_{\mu}-2 \mathcal{T}_{\mu}^{b}-\frac{3}{2} \Upsilon_{\tilde{T}_{\mu}, \tilde{T}_{\mu}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and, from Proposition 2, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta Q_{\mu}=\sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left(2 \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\nu}^{b}+\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{T_{\nu}, T_{\nu}}\right)+2 \mathcal{T}_{\mu}^{b}+\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{\tilde{T}_{\mu}, \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mu}}, \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore, for $\mu \leq 4$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\left(\delta Q_{\mu}+\delta_{g} \bar{Q}_{\mu}\right)=\sum_{\nu \neq \mu}\left(\left\langle\phi_{\nu}, \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle-2 \operatorname{div} \phi_{\nu}^{\top}+7 \chi_{\nu}-\operatorname{div}\left(P_{\nu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right) g_{\nu}^{\perp}+2 \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\nu}^{b}-\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{T_{\nu}, T_{\nu}}\right) \\
& +\left\langle\tilde{\phi}_{\mu}, \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle-2 \operatorname{div} \tilde{\phi}_{\mu}^{\perp}+7 \tilde{\chi}_{\mu}-\operatorname{div}\left(P_{\mu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right) g_{\mu}+2 \mathcal{T}_{\mu}^{b}-\frac{1}{2} \Upsilon_{\tilde{T}_{\mu}, \tilde{T}_{\mu}} . \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the above formulas, we obtain the following presentation of the Euler-Lagrange equations for the action (3) on a 3 -Sasaki manifold.

Theorem 7. Let $(M, g)$ be a 3-Sasaki manifold, and $\mathfrak{T}$ be the contorsion tensor critical for the action (3) with fixed $g$ such that (72) holds. Then the pair ( $g, \mathfrak{T}$ ) is critical for the action (3) with respect to adapted variations of $g$ preserving the volume of $(M, g)$ and all variations of $\mathfrak{T}$ if and only if all equations of Theorem ${ }^{\text {匀 hold, and the following Euler-Lagrange equations (16) }}$ are valid for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, all $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_{4}$ and $a=1,2,3$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\nu \neq 4}\left(\left\langle\phi_{\nu}, \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle-2 \operatorname{div} \phi_{\nu}^{\top}\right)(X, Y)+7 \sum_{a} \operatorname{Sym}\left(\left\langle\tilde{\phi}_{4}\left(\tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp} Y, X\right), \xi_{a}\right\rangle\right) \\
& +30\langle X, Y\rangle-\sum_{\nu \neq 4} \operatorname{div}\left(P_{\nu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)\langle X, Y\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\tilde{\phi}_{4}, \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{4}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle(X, Y)-2 \operatorname{div} \tilde{\phi}_{4}^{\perp}(X, Y)-\operatorname{div}\left(P_{4}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{4}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right)\langle X, Y\rangle \\
& +\left(2 \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \mathcal{D}_{2}, \mathcal{D}_{3}, \mathcal{D}_{4}}-\sum_{i} \operatorname{div}\left(P_{i} \operatorname{Tr}_{i}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}+P_{i}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{i}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right)\right) g_{4}(X, Y)=\lambda\langle X, Y\rangle,  \tag{75a}\\
& -9 \operatorname{div}\left(P_{a}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{a}} \xi_{a}\right)-\sum_{\nu \neq a} \operatorname{div}\left(P_{\nu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)-10-2 n_{4} \\
& +2 \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \mathcal{D}_{2}, \mathcal{D}_{3}, \mathcal{D}_{4}}-\sum_{i} \operatorname{div}\left(P_{i} \operatorname{Tr}_{i}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}+P_{i}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{i}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right)=\lambda, \tag{75b}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The Euler-Lagrange equation (75a) follows from Lemma 8, (74) and Theorem [1) To prove (75b) for $a=1$, we obtain from (74) evaluated on ( $\xi_{1}, \xi_{1}$ ) and Lemma 8 the following Euler-Lagrange equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\nu \neq 1}\left\langle\phi_{\nu}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{1}\right), \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle-2\left(\operatorname{div} \phi_{\nu}^{\top}\right)\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{1}\right)-\operatorname{div}\left(P_{\nu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right) \\
& -10-2 n_{4}+\left\langle\tilde{\phi}_{1}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{1}\right), \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle-2\left(\operatorname{div} \tilde{\phi}_{1}^{\perp}\right)\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{1}\right)-\operatorname{div}\left(P_{1}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right) \\
& +2 \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \mathcal{D}_{2}, \mathcal{D}_{3}, \mathcal{D}_{4}}-\sum_{i} \operatorname{div}\left(P_{i} \operatorname{Tr}_{i}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}+P_{i}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{i}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right)=\lambda . \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

For all $\nu \neq 1$ we get $\phi_{\nu}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{1}\right)=2 \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}=\tilde{\phi}_{1}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{1}\right)$. Since $\mathfrak{T}$ is a contorsion tensor of a metric connection and $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ is one-dimensional, we obtain $\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}=P_{1}^{\perp}\left(\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}\right)$. On the other hand, from (35d) we get $P_{1}^{\perp}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)=0$; hence,

$$
\sum_{\nu \neq 1}\left\langle\phi_{\nu}, \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle+\left\langle\tilde{\phi}_{1}, \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle=2\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}, \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle=0 .
$$

Hence, (76) can be simplified to

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sum_{\nu \neq 1}\left(2\left(\operatorname{div} \phi_{\nu}^{\top}\right)\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{1}\right)+\operatorname{div}\left(P_{\nu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)\right)-10-2 n_{4}-2\left(\operatorname{div} \tilde{\phi}_{1}^{\perp}\right)\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) \\
& -\operatorname{div}\left(P_{1}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right)+2 \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \mathcal{D}_{2}, \mathcal{D}_{3}, \mathcal{D}_{4}}-\sum_{i} \operatorname{div}\left(P_{i} \operatorname{Tr}_{i}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}+P_{i}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{i}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right)=\lambda \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

Using

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div} \phi_{2}^{\top}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) & =2 \operatorname{div}\left(P_{2}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}\right)-2\left\langle\phi_{2}\left(\nabla_{\xi_{2}} \xi_{1}, \xi_{1}\right), \xi_{2}\right\rangle-2 \sum_{j}\left\langle\phi_{2}^{\top}\left(\nabla_{E_{j}} \xi_{1}, \xi_{1}\right), E_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =2 \operatorname{div}\left(P_{2} \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}\right)+2\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{3}} \xi_{1}+\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{3}, \xi_{2}\right\rangle, \\
\operatorname{div} \phi_{3}^{\top}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) & =2 \operatorname{div}\left(P_{3} \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}\right)-2\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{2}} \xi_{1}+\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right\rangle, \\
\operatorname{div} \phi_{4}^{\top}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{1}\right) & =2 \operatorname{div}\left(P_{4} \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}\right)+2 \sum_{j}\left\langle\phi_{4}\left(\tilde{T}_{\xi_{1}}^{\sharp} E_{j}, \xi_{1}\right), E_{j}\right\rangle=2 \operatorname{div}\left(P_{4} \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

(because $\left.\tilde{T}_{\xi_{1}}^{\sharp} E_{j} \in \mathcal{D}_{4}\right)$, (72) and $\operatorname{div} \tilde{\phi}_{1}^{\perp}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{1}\right)=2 \operatorname{div}\left(P_{1}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}\right)$, since $\nabla_{Z} \xi_{1} \perp \xi_{1}$ for all $Z \in T M$, we get (75b) for $a=1$ from (77). Similarly, we get (75b) for $a=2,3$.

Corollary 13. Let $(M, g)$ be a 3-Sasaki manifold, and a pair $(g, \mathfrak{T})$ be critical for the action (3) with respect to adapted variations of $g$ preserving the volume of $(M, g)$ and all variations of $\mathfrak{T}$, and let $\mathfrak{T}$ be such that (72) holds. Then the following equations are valid for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{4+n_{4}}{n_{4}} \operatorname{div}\left(P_{4}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{4}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}+\sum_{\nu \neq 4} P_{\nu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)=\lambda-30 \\
& \quad-2 \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \mathcal{D}_{2}, \mathcal{D}_{3}, \mathcal{D}_{4}}+\sum_{\nu} \operatorname{div}\left(P_{\nu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}+P_{\nu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right),  \tag{78a}\\
& -9 \sum_{a=1}^{3} \operatorname{div}\left(P_{a}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{a}} \xi_{a}+\sum_{\nu \neq a} P_{\nu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)=\lambda+10+2 n_{4} \\
& \quad-2 \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \mathcal{D}_{2}, \mathcal{D}_{3}, \mathcal{D}_{4}}+\sum_{\nu} \operatorname{div}\left(P_{\nu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}+P_{\nu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right) . \tag{78b}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, no manifold admits complete 3-Sasaki structures and metric connections satisfying (72) critical for (31) with respect to adapted variations of $g$ and all variations of $\mathfrak{T}$.

Proof. Using orthonormal basis $\left\{E_{j}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{4}$, where $E_{j+n_{4} / 2}=\tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp} E_{j}$ [7], we find

$$
\sum_{j} \operatorname{Sym}\left(\left\langle\tilde{\phi}_{4}\left(\tilde{T}_{\xi_{a}}^{\sharp} E_{j}, E_{j}\right), \xi_{a}\right\rangle\right)=0
$$

Hence, taking trace of Euler-Lagrange equations (75a) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\nu \neq 4}\left(\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle-\left(4+n_{4}\right) \operatorname{div}\left(P_{\nu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)\right)+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{4}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}, \operatorname{Tr}_{4}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle-\left(4+n_{4}\right) \operatorname{div}\left(P_{4}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{4}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right) \\
& =\left(\lambda-30-2 \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \mathcal{D}_{2}, \mathcal{D}_{3}, \mathcal{D}_{4}}+\sum_{\nu} \operatorname{div}\left(P_{\nu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}+P_{\nu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right)\right) n_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathfrak{T}$ corresponds to a metric connection, we get $P_{\mu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}=0(\nu \leq 3)$ (as each of these $\mathcal{D}_{\nu}$ is one-dimensional and $\left\langle\xi_{\nu}, \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{\nu}} \xi_{\nu}\right\rangle=0$, and by (35d) we get $\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}, \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle=0(\nu \leq 3)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(4+n_{4}\right) \sum_{\nu \neq 4} \operatorname{div}\left(P_{\nu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{4}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}, \operatorname{Tr}_{4}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle-\left(4+n_{4}\right) \operatorname{div}\left(P_{4}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{4}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right) \\
& =\left(\lambda-30-2 \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \mathcal{D}_{2}, \mathcal{D}_{3}, \mathcal{D}_{4}}+\sum_{\nu} \operatorname{div}\left(P_{\nu} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}+P_{\nu}^{\perp} \operatorname{Tr}_{\nu}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}\right)\right) n_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (35d), we get $P_{4}^{\perp}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{4}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right)=0$, thus (35a) yields $\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{4}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}, \operatorname{Tr}_{4}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle=\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{4}^{\top} \mathfrak{T}, P_{4}^{\top} \operatorname{Tr}_{4}^{\perp} \mathfrak{T}\right\rangle=0$, and (78a) follows. We obtain (78b) as the average of (75b) for $a=1,2,3$. The last claim follows from the result in [8], where completeness of metric is proved to imply compactness of the manifold, the divergence theorem for closed manifolds and comparing the constants on right-hand sides of (78a) and (78b), which cannot be both zero for any dimension $n_{4}$.

Example 5. Let $\mathfrak{T}$ be such that (72) and all equations of Theorem 2 hold, and

$$
\mathfrak{T}_{X} X=0, \quad \mathfrak{T}_{X} Y+\mathfrak{T}_{Y} X=P_{4}\left(\mathfrak{T}_{P_{4} X} P_{4} Y+\mathfrak{T}_{P_{4} Y} P_{4} X\right), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_{M}
$$

By (11) we get $\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \mathcal{D}_{2}, \mathcal{D}_{3}, \mathcal{D}_{4}}=$ const. Thus, all equations (75a) and (75b) for $a=1,2,3$ are valid, but with different $\lambda$ 's. Hence, for each $\mu=1, \ldots, 4$ such pair $(g, \mathfrak{T})$ on a 3 -Sasaki manifold $(M, g)$ is critical for $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$-variations preserving the volume of $\Omega$, but not for all adapted variations preserving the volume of $\Omega$.

Remark 8. The Euler-Lagrange equation on $\mathcal{D}_{4}$ is incompatible with those for $\mathcal{D}_{a}(a=1,2,3)$ on compact manifolds, see Corollary 13, also when considering (1), as the functional of an adapted metric $g$. Indeed, by Lemma 8 and (73) we get a contradiction in [22, (3.7)], which, adapted to our notation, reads:
$-\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \mathcal{D}_{2}, \mathcal{D}_{3}, \mathcal{D}_{4}}+\lambda\right) g_{4}=\delta Q_{4}=-12 g_{4}, \quad-\mathrm{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}, \mathcal{D}_{2}, \mathcal{D}_{3}, \mathcal{D}_{4}} g_{4}+\lambda=\delta Q_{a}=2 n_{4}-6 \quad(a=1,2,3)$.
However, we can consider the following weighted action:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mathcal{D}, c}: g \mapsto \int_{M}\left(\sum_{a=1}^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathcal{D}_{a}, \mathcal{D}_{a}^{\perp}}+c \mathrm{~S}_{\mathcal{D}_{4}, \mathcal{D}_{4}^{\perp}}\right) \mathrm{d} \operatorname{vol}_{g} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, a 3-Sasaki metric $g$ on $M^{3+n_{4}}$ is critical for (79) if and only if $c=-\frac{n_{4}}{n_{4}+6}$. Indeed, for $\tilde{\mathrm{S}}=\sum_{a=1}^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathcal{D}_{a}, \mathcal{D}_{a}^{\perp}}+c \mathrm{~S}_{\mathcal{D}_{4}, \mathcal{D}_{4}^{\perp}}$ with $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we get $\delta Q_{4}=-6 g_{4}-6 c g_{4}=\lambda g_{4}$, so $\lambda=-6(1+c)$, and for $a=1,2,3$ we get $\delta Q_{a}=-4+c n_{4}-4+2+n_{4}=\lambda$, so $\lambda=n_{4}(c+1)-6$.

Corollary 14. Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(\mu=1,2,3)$ be distributions determined by orthonormal vector fields $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}$ on a unit sphere $\left(S^{3}, g\right)$ with the metric $g$ induced from the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{4}$, such that $\nabla_{\xi_{a}} \xi_{b}=\xi_{c}$ for even permutation of $a, b, c$. Then $\nabla$ is the only metric-compatible connection, whose contorsion tensor is critical for the action (3) with fixed $g$.

Proof. From (71) and the fact that $\mathfrak{T}$ corresponds to metric connection, we find the following:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{3}} \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{3}, \xi_{2}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right\rangle, \\
\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{2}} \xi_{3}, \xi_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{3}} \xi_{2}, \xi_{1}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{3}} \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right\rangle, \\
\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{2}} \xi_{1}, \xi_{3}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{2}} \xi_{3}, \xi_{1}\right\rangle=-\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right\rangle=0 .
\end{array}
$$

Hence, $\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{a}} \xi_{b}, \xi_{c}\right\rangle=0$ for $a \neq b \neq c \neq a, a, b, c \in\{1,2,3\}$. Also, for a 3-Sasaki structure we get $H_{a}=0$ for $a=1,2,3$; using $\mathfrak{T}=-\mathfrak{T}^{*}$ and (35d), see (26), we obtain

$$
0=P_{3} \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{2}} \xi_{2}+P_{2} \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{3}} \xi_{3}=P_{3} \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}+P_{1} \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{2}} \xi_{2}=P_{2} \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}+P_{1} \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{2}} \xi_{2}
$$

It follows that $\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}=-P_{1}\left(\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{2}} \xi_{2}+\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{3}} \xi_{3}\right)=0$, because by $\mathfrak{T}=-\mathfrak{T}^{*}$ we have $P_{1} \mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{1}} \xi_{1}=0$. Similarly, $\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{2}} \xi_{2}=\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{3}} \xi_{3}=0$. From $\mathfrak{T}=-\mathfrak{T}^{*}$ we get $\left\langle\mathfrak{T}_{\xi_{a}} \xi_{b}, \xi_{b}\right\rangle=0$ for $a, b \in\{1,2,3\}$, thus all components of critical tensor $\mathfrak{T}$ vanish.
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