

Nisho-1-2022

Radiation Burst by Axion Star Collision with Star in the Andromeda Galaxy

Aiichi Iwazaki

*International Economics and Politics, Nishogakusha University,
6-16 3-bantyo Chiyoda Tokyo 102-8336, Japan*

(Dated: Mar. 15, 2022)

Axion is a promising candidate of dark matter in the universe. A fraction of dark matter axion may forms axion star with radius $\sim 10^2 \text{km}$. We show that the axion star emits radiation burst by the collision with K and M types main sequence star in the Andromeda Galaxy. The emission arises in the atmosphere of the star, in which electrons coherently oscillate due to oscillating electric field of the axion star. The electric field is produced under magnetic field B of the star. We estimate the flux density of the radiation $\sim 1.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{Jy} (10^{-12} M_\odot / M_a)^2 (10^{-5} \text{eV} / m_a)^3 (B / 10^2 \text{G})^2 \sqrt{3 \times 10^3 \text{K} / T}$ and the rate of the collision per hour $\sim 0.06 / \text{hour} (10^{-12} M_\odot / M_a)$ in the galaxy, where M_a (m_a) denotes the mass of axion star (axion) and T does temperature of the electrons. We assume the number 10^{11} of the stars with $B \sim 10^2 \text{G}$ and radius $\sim 3.5 \times 10^5 \text{km}$ in the galaxy. We also assume that a half of the dark matter is composed of axion star. We show that the emission of the radiation burst only arises in the atmosphere in which the plasma frequency $m_p \simeq m_a$. The duration of the burst lasts for the period which it takes the axion star to pass the region with $m_p \simeq m_a$. It would be longer than 1 second.

PACS numbers:

Axion is the Goldstone boson of Peccei Quinn symmetry[1], which naturally solves strong CP problem. The axion is also a promising candidate of dark matter in the universe. Therefore, its detection[2–11] is urgent issue for exploring beyond standard model. Such an axion is called as QCD axion, which was originally introduced to solve strong CP problem. We only consider the QCD axion whose mass is constrained to the range[12] of approximately $10^{-6} \text{eV} \sim 10^{-3} \text{eV}$. Especially, we assume that Peccei Quinn symmetry is spontaneously broken after inflation in early universe. So, axion minicluster[15, 16] may form and subsequently axion star[17–20] forms. Therefore, there is a possibility that a fraction of the dark matter axions form boson stars[21–24], i.e. axion stars.

In this paper we propose a new way of detection of the axion star. The detection is to observe radiation burst from the Andromeda Galaxy. We consider radiations emitted by the axion star when it collides main sequence star in the galaxy. The axion star we consider is stable and a gravitationally loosely bound state of axions (dilute axion star). That is, binding energy of axion is much less than the axion mass m_a . The mass of the axion star is supposed to be of the order of $10^{-12} M_\odot$. The radius R_a of such an axion star is approximately given[25, 26] such that $R_a \simeq 1 / G m_a^2 M_a$ with gravitational constant G and mass M_a of axion star. Numerically, $R_a \simeq 260 \text{km} (10^{-12} M_\odot / M_a) (10^{-5} \text{eV} / m_a)^2$. Such axion stars may collide ordinary stars made of baryons. Because the axion star is a coherent state of axions, the axion star generates classical oscillating electric field[27] under the magnetic field of the main sequence star. The frequency of the oscillation is just given by the axion mass. Then, electrons in the atmosphere of the star are forced to oscillate by the electric field and coherently emit radiations[28]. Because the coherent length $R_a \sim 100 \text{km}$ is large, a large number of electrons $N_e \propto n_e R_a^3 \sim 10^{32} (n_e / 10^{10} \text{cm}^{-3})$ coherently emit radiations so that their flux $\propto N_e^2$ can be extremely large. The argument is very naive. We give more accurate estimation of the flux by solving Maxwell equations and equation of motion of electron under both electric fields induced by the axions and of the emitted radiations. We confirm that the naive argument is essentially correct, although we have additional small factor in the flux coming from small velocity of radiation in the electron plasma.

Radiations from axion dark matter under stellar magnetic field have been previously discussed[28–36]. Main emission mechanism of radiations is non resonant conversion of axions into radiations, that is, incoherent conversion of an axion into a photon. On the other hand, resonant conversion of dark matter axion have been also discussed[31, 32] in neutron star. But, the flux of such radiations are much smaller than the radiations discussed in this paper. The flux discussed previously is small because of non resonant conversion or small energy density of uniformly distributed axion dark matter. Our point is the coherent emission by a large number of electrons under axion star: Energy density of axion star is 10^{24} times bigger than that of uniformly distributed axion dark matter. The mechanism is essentially the same as resonant conversion[34, 37] of axion into radiations. Photon in plasma acquires effective mass of plasma frequency m_p and mixes with the axion in external magnetic field. Then, the resonant conversion of the axion into radiations occurs only when $m_p \simeq m_a$. In this paper, by exactly solving Maxwell equations involving electric current of plasma, we show that a large amount of radiations arise only when $m_p \simeq m_a$. This is similar to the resonant conversion of

axion into radiations. We make clear the relation between resonant conversion[34, 37] of axion into radiations and coherent emission of radiations by electrons[28]. The resonant conversion and the coherent emission are intimately related each other.

Actual structure of magnetic field in the atmosphere of main sequence star is not well known. In this paper we assume main sequence star whose surface magnetic field is $\sim 10^2\text{G}$ and radius is $0.5R_\odot \simeq 0.35 \times 10^6\text{km}$. We also assume that the magnetic field does not vary in time at least while the axion star emits large amount of radiations. Such a stronger magnetic field than that of the sun is observed in K and M types main sequence star[38]. Probably, they are main components in the Milky Way Galaxy and Andromeda Galaxy. Especially, we suppose that the number of the stars colliding the axion star in the Andromeda Galaxy is of the order of 10^{11} . There is a perspective that there are such main sequence stars with $B \sim 10^2\text{G}$ because white dwarfs are known to have strong magnetic field $\sim 10^6\text{G}$. Their magnetic fields are originated in the magnetic field of main sequence stars with $B \sim 10^2\text{G}$.

In general, radiation flux is larger as magnetic field is stronger. Although radiation flux emitted in the axion star collision with stars of stronger magnetic field like white dwarfs ($B = 10^6\text{G}$) or neutron stars ($B = 10^{12}\text{G}$)[28, 34] is much larger, the rate of the collision[28, 34] is much small to not be detectable. Thus, we consider the axion star collision with the main sequence stars of the magnetic field 10^2G in the Andromeda Galaxy. Their number is much larger than those of white dwarfs and neutron stars.

When the axion star collides a main sequence star, at first it enter the atmosphere of the star. The electron number density n_e of the atmosphere as well as the strength of magnetic field gradually increase in the view of the axion star. The plasma frequency $m_p = \sqrt{e^2 n_e / m_e}$ with electron mass m_e also increases. Accordingly, the radiation flux emitted by the electrons also gradually increases. But the radiation flux is suddenly enhanced when the axion star passes the region with the electron number density being nearly equal to a critical number density n_e^{cr} . That is, the region with $m_p \simeq m_a$. The resonant conversion of axion into radiations occurs. It should be noted that such an emission of a large amount of radiation stops because the axion star soon enters the region with $n_e > n_e^{cr} = m_e m_a^2 / e^2$, in other words $m_p > m_a$. The radiations emitted in dense atmosphere with $m_p > m_a$ never arrive the earth. They are absorbed in the atmosphere. Thus, the maximum flux of the radiations we observe is produced when the axion star passes the region with the critical electron number density $n_e^{cr} = m_e m_a^2 / e^2$ in the atmosphere. The duration of the radiation burst lasts for the period during which the axion star passes the region with $m_p \simeq m_a$.

Axion star we consider is a loosely bounded state of axions (dilute axion star[17, 26]). There are no self interactions except for the mass term because the field $a(t, \vec{x})$ is much small compared with the decay constant f_a . It is only bounded by gravitational force. (The axion field $a(t, \vec{x})$ describes the angle $\theta \equiv a(t, \vec{x}) / f_a$ of complex scalar field $\Phi \propto \exp(i\theta)$. Thus, the angle $\theta \ll 1$ in the dilute axion star is very small. The overall scale of the field $a(t, \vec{x})$ is fixed by f_a .)

The field of the spherical axion star[20, 26] $a(t, \vec{x}) = a(r) \cos(\omega t)$ is described by Schrodinger- Poisson equation,

$$-\frac{k^2}{2m_a}a(r) = -\frac{\vec{\partial}^2}{2m_a}a(r) + m_a\phi(\vec{x})a(r) \quad (1)$$

with $\omega^2 = m_a^2 - k^2$ ($k \ll m_a$) and $r = |\vec{x}|$, where the gravitational potential $\phi(\vec{x})$ satisfies

$$\vec{\partial}^2\phi(\vec{x}) = 2\pi G m_a^2 a(r)^2 \quad (2)$$

and it behaves such as $\phi(\vec{x}) \simeq -GM_a/r$ for $r \rightarrow \infty$. The mass M_a of the axion star is given such that $M_a = \int d^3x (\omega^2 a(r)^2 + m_a^2 a(r)^2) / 4 \simeq \int d^3x m_a^2 a(r)^2 / 2$.

The Schrodinger- Poisson equation is approximately rewritten for $r \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$-\frac{k^2}{2m_a}a(r) = -\frac{1}{2m_a}(\partial_r^2 + \frac{2\partial_r}{r})a(r) - \frac{Gm_a M_a}{r}a(r) \quad (3)$$

Then, we find that the spherical solution of the star is approximately described by $\tilde{a}(t, r) = f_a a_0 \exp(-r/R_a) \cos(\omega t)$ with $k = 1/R_a$, where the radius R_a of the axion star is

$$R_a = \frac{1}{Gm_a^2 M_a} \quad (4)$$

with $M_a = \pi m_a^2 f_a^2 a_0^2 R_a^3 / 2$.

We note that the radius R_a is inversely proportional to the mass M_a . Such an axion star with small mass $M_a \sim 10^{-12}M_\odot$ is known to be stable. Numerically,

$$R_a = 260\text{km} \frac{10^{-12}M_\odot}{M_a} \left(\frac{10^{-5}\text{eV}}{m_a} \right)^2. \quad (5)$$

(Although more detail analysis[25] shows larger radius than the formula $R_a = 1/Gm_a^2M_a$, we use the formula in eq(5). The essence of our result does not change even if we use the larger radius.) When the axion star passes the atmosphere of star with magnetic field $B = 10^2\text{G}$, the electric field is induced on the axion star,

$$\vec{E}_a = -g_\gamma \alpha \frac{\tilde{a}(t, \vec{x}) \vec{B}}{f_a \pi} \simeq -\frac{\alpha a_0 \vec{B}}{\pi} \cos(m_a t) \simeq 4.2 \times 10^{-28} \text{GeV}^2 \cos(m_a t) \left(\frac{M_a}{10^{-12}M_\odot} \right)^2 \left(\frac{m_a}{10^{-5}\text{eV}} \right)^3 \frac{\vec{B}}{10^2\text{G}} \quad (6)$$

with the fine structure constant $\alpha \simeq 1/137$ and we put $g_\gamma = 1$, coupling strength between photon and axion described below. (The sign of the electric field is not important in our discussion.)

We explain how the electric field arises when the axion star is in the background magnetic field B . It comes from the interaction such that the axion $a(t, \vec{x})$ couples with electric \vec{E} and magnetic fields \vec{B} ,

$$L_{aEB} = g_\gamma \alpha \frac{a(t, \vec{x}) \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}}{f_a \pi} \equiv g_{a\gamma\gamma} a(t, \vec{x}) \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \quad (7)$$

where the numerical constant g_γ depends on axion models; typically it is of the order of one. The standard notation $g_{a\gamma\gamma}$ is such that $g_{a\gamma\gamma} = g_\gamma \alpha / f_a \pi \simeq 0.14(m_a/\text{GeV}^2)$ for DFSZ model[40, 41] and $g_{a\gamma\gamma} \simeq -0.39(m_a/\text{GeV}^2)$ for KSVZ model[42, 43]. In other words, $g_\gamma \simeq 0.37$ for DFSZ and $g_\gamma \simeq -0.96$ for KSVZ. The axion decay constant f_a is related with the axion mass m_a in the QCD axion; $m_a f_a \simeq 6 \times 10^{-6} \text{eV} \times 10^{12} \text{GeV}$. We only consider the QCD axion in this paper.

The interaction in eq(7) between axion and electromagnetic field slightly modifies Maxwell equations in vacuum

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\partial} \cdot (\vec{E} + g_{a\gamma\gamma} a(t, \vec{x}) \vec{B}) &= 0, & \vec{\partial} \times (\vec{B} - g_{a\gamma\gamma} a(t, \vec{x}) \vec{E}) - \partial_t (\vec{E} + g_{a\gamma\gamma} a(t, \vec{x}) \vec{B}) &= 0, \\ \vec{\partial} \cdot \vec{B} &= 0, & \vec{\partial} \times \vec{E} + \partial_t \vec{B} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

From the equations, we approximately obtain the electric field $\vec{E}_a = -g_{a\gamma\gamma} \tilde{a}(t, \vec{x}) \vec{B} \simeq -\alpha a_0 \vec{B} \cos(m_a t) / \pi$ in eq(6) generated by the axion star $\tilde{a}(t, \vec{x})$ under background magnetic field \vec{B} . It is of the order of $g_{a\gamma\gamma} \tilde{a}(t, \vec{x})$ ($\ll 1$).

First of all, we give a naive argument how large radiations arise when the axion star collides electron gas. In the argument we consider only electric field \vec{E}_a , which makes electrons oscillate. Later, we discuss the radiations by taking account of not only the electric field \vec{E}_a but also electric field \vec{E}_{rd} of radiations emitted by electrons themselves. We find that the naive argument is essentially correct, although there is an additional much small factor suppressing radiation flux in the electron plasma when $m_p \simeq m_a$.

The electric field E_a makes an electron harmonically oscillate because it oscillates with frequency m_a . Because there are many electrons in the atmosphere of main sequence star, the oscillating electric field of the axion star induces coherent oscillation of the electrons over the axion star. The coherent length is approximately given by R_a . They emit coherent dipole radiations, i.e. coherent electromagnetic radiations with the frequency $m_a/2\pi \simeq 2.4\text{GHz}(m_a/10^{-5}\text{eV})$. Thus, the power of the radiation $\dot{\omega} N_e^2$ is extremely enhanced because the number $N_e = 4\pi n_e R_a^3/3$ of the electrons is large, where $\dot{\omega} = 2\alpha(eE_a)^2/3m_e^2$ denotes the radiation power emitted by a single electron with its mass m_e . Numerically, the power of a single electron averaged in time is

$$\dot{\omega} = \overline{2\alpha(eE_a)^2/3m_e^2} = 3.8 \times 10^{-31} \text{erg/s} \left(\frac{M_a}{10^{-12}M_\odot} \right)^4 \left(\frac{m_a}{10^{-5}\text{eV}} \right)^6 \left(\frac{B}{10^2\text{G}} \right)^2 \quad (9)$$

with time average \overline{Q} of Q .

The radiations are mainly emitted into the direction perpendicular to the magnetic field \vec{B} because electrons harmonically oscillate in the direction \vec{E}_a parallel to \vec{B} . It implies that they are hardly affected by the magnetic field.

The radiations can propagate to the outside of the atmosphere without absorption, in which the plasma frequency is lower than the frequency m_a .

When the axion star enters the atmosphere of a main sequence star in the collision, the flux of the radiations gradually increases as the electron number density increases. But the radiations are suddenly enhanced, when it passes the region with $m_p \simeq m_a$. After the enhancement of the emission, the radiations stop because it goes into the deep region of the atmosphere in which the plasma frequency $m_p = \sqrt{e^2 n_e / m_e}$ is larger than the frequency m_a . The critical number density is given by $n_e^{cl} \simeq 7 \times 10^{10} / \text{cm}^3 (m_a / 10^{-5} \text{eV})^2$. The radiations are absorbed in such dense electron gas with $n_e > n_e^{cl}$.

Thus, the maximum power of the coherent radiations is given by

$$\dot{\omega} N_e^2 \simeq 1.0 \times 10^{30} \text{W} \left(\frac{10^{-12} M_\odot}{M_a} \right)^2 \left(\frac{10^{-5} \text{eV}}{m_a} \right)^2 \left(\frac{B}{10^2 \text{G}} \right)^2 \quad (10)$$

with $1 \text{W} = 10^7 \text{erg/s}$, where we assume that the number density of electrons emitting the radiations is given by $n_e^{cl} = 7 \times 10^{10} (m_a / 10^{-5} \text{eV})^2 / \text{cm}^3$. We note that $\dot{\omega} \propto M_a^4 m_a^6 B^2$ and $N_e^2 \propto n_e^2 R_a^6 \propto M_a^{-6} m_a^{-8}$. The result is obtained with the naive argument which only takes into account the electric field \vec{E}_a induced by the axion star. When we take into account electric field \vec{E}_{rd} of the radiation emitted by electrons, we have additional much small factor in the formula $\dot{\omega} N_e^2$. Furthermore, we find that the enhanced radiation only arises in the region with $m_p \simeq m_a$.

Now, we would like to more accurately discuss the radiations by taking account of back reactions of the emitted radiations on electrons. An electron with velocity \vec{v} is made to oscillate by electric fields according to the equation of motion,

$$m_e \dot{\vec{v}} = e(\vec{E}_a + \vec{E}_{rd}) \quad (11)$$

where \vec{E}_{rd} denotes the electric field of the radiations emitted by the electrons themselves. We notice that electron receives the effects of two types of electric fields. One is the electric field \vec{E}_a induced on the axion star under the magnetic field \vec{B} , while the another one is \vec{E}_{rd} . In the naive argument above, we have not taken into account the effect of the electric field \vec{E}_{rd} .

Using Maxwell equations, $\vec{\partial} \times \vec{B}_{rd} = \vec{J} + \partial_t \vec{E}_{rd}$ and $\vec{\partial} \times \vec{E}_{rd} = -\partial_t \vec{B}_{rd}$ with electric current $\vec{J} = en_e \vec{v}$, we find that the electric field \vec{E}_{rd} satisfies the equation

$$(\partial_t^2 - \vec{\partial}^2 + m_p^2) \vec{E}_{rd} = -m_p^2 \vec{E}_a \quad (12)$$

with the plasma frequency m_p ; $m_p^2 = e^2 n_e / m_e$. It can be also derived by using the equations(8) with the current \vec{J} . The equation in (12) describes the emission of radiation produced by the electric current \vec{J} .

We note $\vec{E}_a \propto \vec{B}$. Thus, supposing that $\vec{E}_a = (0, 0, E_a)$ and $E_a = E_a^0 \exp(-r/R_a) \exp(-im_a t)$ with $E_a^0 \equiv \alpha a_0 B / \pi$, we find the solution $\vec{E}_{rd} = (0, 0, E_{rd})$,

$$E_{rd} = \exp(-im_a t) \left(\frac{-p_2 \exp(ikr)}{r} + \frac{p_1 r + p_2}{r} \exp(-\frac{r}{R_a}) \right), \quad (13)$$

with $k = \sqrt{m_a^2 - m_p^2}$ (we assume $m_a \geq m_p$), $p_1 = E_a^0 m_p^2 R_a^2 / (k^2 R_a^2 + 1)$ and $p_2 = 2E_a^0 m_p^2 R_a^3 / (k^2 R_a^2 + 1)^2$, where k denotes the momentum of radiation in the electron gas with plasma frequency m_p . The momentum k is not equal to the frequency m_a of radiation in the plasma. The coefficient p_2 of the term $\propto \exp(-im_a t + ikr)$ is determined by the regularity at $r = 0$ of the electric field E_{rd} . The term represents the radiation propagating far from the axon star.

The magnetic field \vec{B}_{rd} is also obtained using the formula, $\vec{\partial} \times \vec{E}_{rd} = -\partial_t \vec{B}_{rd} = im_a \vec{B}_{rd}$,

$$\vec{B}_{rd} = \frac{1}{im_a} (\partial_x E_{rd}, -\partial_y E_{rd}, 0) = \frac{1}{im_a} \left(\frac{y}{r}, -\frac{x}{r}, 0 \right) \exp(-im_a t) \epsilon \quad (14)$$

$$\epsilon \equiv p_2 \left(\frac{-ik}{r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \exp(ikr) - \left(\frac{p_a}{r^2} + \frac{p_1 r + p_2}{R_a r} \right) \exp(-\frac{r}{R_a}) \quad (15)$$

The field strengths at the large distance $r \gg R_a$ behave as

$$\vec{E}_{rd} \rightarrow \exp(-im_a t + ikr) \frac{-p_2}{r} (0, 0, 1), \quad \vec{B}_{rd} \rightarrow \exp(-im_a t + ikr) \frac{-kp_2}{m_a r} \left(\frac{y}{r}, \frac{x}{r}, 0 \right) \quad \text{for } r \gg R_a \quad (16)$$

Because $p_2 \simeq E_a^0 m_p^2 R_a^3 \propto n_e R_a^3 \gg 1$ for $kR_a < 1$, the radiation is coherently emitted by electrons with their number $N_e \sim n_e R_a^3$. The field strength is very large. This is the situation mentioned above in the naive argument where N_e electrons coherently oscillate by the electric field \vec{E}_a . On the other hand, $p_2 \sim E_a^0 m_p^2 k^{-4} R_a^{-1} \sim n_e R_a^3 / (kR_a)^4 \ll n_e R_a^3$ for $kR_a \gg 1$. Thus, the radiation is suppressed. The momentum range $k < 1/R_a$ ($k/m_a \sim 10^{-7}$) for the enhancement is extremely small. It implies that the emission of a large amount of the radiation occurs only in such a narrow range. This is similar to resonant conversion of axion into radiations.

In other words, when the coherent length $1/k$ of the radiation $\vec{E}_{rd} \propto \exp(ikr)$ is larger than or similar equal to the coherent length $R_a \sim 100\text{km}$ of the electric field $\vec{E}_a \propto \exp(-r/R_a)$ i.e. $kR_a \leq 1$, the coherent oscillation by \vec{E}_a is not disturbed by the radiation \vec{E}_{rd} . Thus, the coherent radiations arise. This corresponds to the resonant conversion of axion into radiations. On the other hand, when $1/k$ is much smaller than R_a , such coherent radiations do not arise. The radiation field \vec{E}_{rd} emitted by electrons disturbs the coherent oscillation by \vec{E}_a . Thus, the radiations emitted by the electrons are very weak.

At the first glance, our emission mechanism of the radio burst is different from the emission due to axion resonant conversion. Our mechanism requires coherent oscillation of electrons, while the resonant conversion does the mixing of photon and axion with identical mass. When plasma frequency is equal to the axion mass, both wave lengths of the photon $1/k_{rd}$ and axion $1/k_a$ are identical, i.e. $k_{rd} = k_a$. Thus, electric field induced by the axion makes electron coherently oscillate over the wave length $1/k_a$ and the coherent oscillation is never disturbed by the electric field of the photon emitted by the electrons themselves. Thus, physically, the resonant conversion of axion into radiations takes place owing to the coherent emission by electrons.

As we have obtained electromagnetic fields propagating at large distance, we can find the flux of the radiations,

$$F = \frac{1}{2} \int d\vec{S} \cdot (\vec{E}_{rd} \times \vec{B}_{rd}^\dagger) = \frac{4\pi k}{3m_a} p_2^2 = \frac{4\pi k}{3m_a} \left(\frac{2E_a^0 m_p^2 R_a^3}{(k^2 R_a^2 + 1)^2} \right)^2 \simeq \frac{\pi k}{3m_a} (E_a^0 m_p^2 R_a^3)^2 = \frac{9}{4} \frac{k}{m_a} \dot{\omega} N_e^2 \quad (17)$$

with $kR_a \simeq 1$ ($m_p \simeq m_a$), where we consider the region with plasma frequency m_p being nearly equal to m_a in which the flux is enhanced due to the coherent emission by $N_e = 4\pi n_e R_a^3/3$ electrons.

We should notice that the flux in eq(17) is different with the naive one in eq(10) by the factor $k/m_a \simeq k/m_p$. The factor $k/m_p = 1/m_p R_a \sim 10^{-7} (260\text{km}/R_a) (10^{-5}\text{eV}/m_a)$ describes the velocity of the radiations inside the plasma. Thus, the flux is much smaller than the naive one because the velocity is much small; the naive estimation assumes the light velocity of the radiations in the vacuum.

We now proceed to examine the radiation flux from the Andromeda Galaxy in which the axion star collides main sequence star with magnetic field $\sim 10^2\text{G}$. Because the distance to the Andromeda Galaxy is $\sim 2.5 \times 10^6\text{ly}$, the observed flux density S_ν of the radiation is estimated in the following,

$$S_\nu = \frac{F}{4\pi(2.5 \times 10^6\text{ly})^2 \delta\nu} \simeq 1.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{Jy} \left(\frac{g_\gamma}{1.0} \right)^2 \left(\frac{10^{-12} M_\odot}{M_a} \right) \left(\frac{10^{-5} \text{eV}}{m_a} \right)^2 \left(\frac{B}{10^2 \text{G}} \right)^2 \sqrt{\frac{3 \times 10^3 \text{K}}{T}} \quad (18)$$

with $1\text{Jy} = 10^{-26} \text{W}/(\text{m}^2 \text{Hz})$, and $g_\gamma = 0.37$ ($g_\gamma = -0.96$) for DFSZ (KSVZ), where the bandwidth $\delta\nu$ of the line spectrum is caused by the temperature T of electron gas: $\delta\nu = (m_a/2\pi)(\sqrt{T/m_e}) \simeq 1.7\text{MHz}(m_a/10^{-5}\text{eV})\sqrt{T/(3 \times 10^3\text{K})}$. The line spectrum is thermally broadened. We tentatively use the value $T = 3 \times 10^3\text{K}$ of the temperature, although real ones would be different in each collision. (We consider red dwarf with low surface temperature such as $T = 3 \times 10^3\text{K}$.) The emission of the burst lasts for the time in which it takes for the axion star to pass such a region with $m_p \simeq m_a$. It would be more than 1 second because the velocity of the axion star is of the order of $3 \times 10^2\text{km/s}$.

When the axion star collides a main sequence star, at first it enters the atmosphere of the star in which the plasma frequency is much smaller than m_a and the radiation from electrons is very weak because $k \simeq m_a$ or $kR_a \gg 1$. Then, it proceeds to deep inside the atmosphere and reaches the critical region with $m_p \simeq m_a$, in which coherent radiations with the large flux in eq(17) are emitted. In other words, the momentum k (velocity) of the radiations gradually decreases as the plasma frequency increases. Finally, enhanced coherent radiations are emitted in the critical region with $m_p \simeq m_a$, where the momentum (velocity $= k/m_p$) is very small, $k \sim R_a^{-1}$.

We now estimate the event rate of the axion star collisions with main sequence stars in the Andromeda Galaxy. Especially we consider K and M types star because they would make up more than a half of stars in the galaxy and they have strong surface magnetic field[38] of the order of 10^3G . We suppose that the number of $N_s = 10^{11}$ such stars collide the axion star and that the fraction of the axion star in the dark matter is $1/2$. That is, the number density n_a of the axion star is given by $n_a = 0.5 \times \rho_d/M_a$ where we denote the dark matter density as ρ_d . It is assumed that $\rho_d \simeq 0.3\text{GeV}/\text{cm}^3$. Thus, we estimate the event rate of the collisions per hour in the Andromeda galaxy is

$$\text{event rate of collision per hour} = n_a \times N_s \times Dv \simeq 0.06/\text{hour} \left(\frac{10^{-12}M_\odot}{M_a} \right) \left(\frac{\rho_a}{0.5\rho_d} \right) \left(\frac{N_s}{10^{11}} \right) \quad (19)$$

with relative velocity $v = 10^{-3}$ between axion star and the main sequence star, where the collision cross section D is given by

$$D = \pi(R_s + R_a)^2 \left(1 + \frac{2GM_s}{v^2(R_s + R_a)} \right) \simeq \pi R_s^2 \left(1 + \frac{2GM_s}{v^2 R_s} \right) \simeq 5.3\pi R_s^2, \quad (20)$$

supposing radius $R_s = 0.5R_\odot \simeq 3.5 \times 10^5\text{km}$ and mass $M_s = 0.5M_\odot$ of the colliding star. Therefore, such a collision between the axion star and K, M type main sequence star in the Andromeda Galaxy occurs so frequently that we can observe the collision using existing radio telescopes.

We have several comments on the above discussions.

First, we have assumed the mass of the axion star $M_a \sim 10^{-12}M_\odot$. Such an axion star is stable[25]. (The critical mass beyond which axion stars are unstable is approximately given by $10^{-11}M_a$ for $m_a = 10^{-5}\text{eV}$.) The mass is consistent with the estimation[15, 16] of the mass of axion minicluster. The minicluster is discussed[44, 45] to become axion star by axion condensation. It is reasonable to use the mass. Additionally, it is proposed[28, 34, 46, 47] that fast radio bursts arise by axion star collisions with neutron star. The event rate of the collisions is consistent with the burst event when $M_a \sim 10^{-12}M_\odot$. These are the reason for our choice of the mass $M_a \sim 10^{-12}M_\odot$.

Secondly, The above estimation involves some ambiguities. The most ambiguous one is the assumption of number density $n_a = 0.5 \times \rho_d/M_a$ of axion star. It might be a fraction of the dark matter such as $n_a \sim 0.1 \times \rho_d/M_a$. Furthermore, we have used the parameter $B = 10^2\text{G}$. But, in general, K and M types main sequence stars would possess stronger magnetic field than 10^2G . Then, the flux density S_ν is much larger than the estimation $\sim 10^{-3}\text{Jy}(B/10^2\text{G})^2$. For instance, $S_\nu \sim 10^{-1}\text{Jy} (B/10^3\text{G})^2$. The signal of the collision is much clearer. Additionally, in general, we have no detailed observation of magnetic field configuration and the distribution of electron plasma in star. Thus, we cannot definitely anticipate the duration of the radio burst. It is given by the time which it takes for axion star to pass the region with $m_p \simeq m_a$ and $B \simeq 10^2\text{G}$.

Thirdly, although we have examined radio bursts arising the Andromeda Galaxy, we may consider the bursts arising in our Milky Way Galaxy. Then, the radiation flux of the bursts are 4 orders of magnitude larger than that in the Andromeda Galaxy because the distance to the burst in our galaxy is of the order of 10^2 times smaller than that to burst in the Andromeda Galaxy. Thus, we expect large flux density $S_\nu \sim 10\text{Jy}(B/10^2\text{G})^2$, although the detection efficiency is much small. We cannot observe the whole of our galaxy in one time.

Finally, we would like to make a comment on the deformation of the axion star by tidal force caused by the main sequence star. The deformation begins to occur when the axion star approaches the star within the distance[48] $R_c = R_a(0.5M_\odot/M_a)^{1/3} \simeq 1.9 \times 10^6\text{km}$. The distance is roughly equal to the radius $R_s = 3.5 \times 10^5\text{km}$ of the star. Thus, the use of the spherical form $\tilde{a}(t, r)$ of the axion star is good approximation. The deform from the spherical form is not so large for the deformation to make an effect on the above estimation.

To summarize, our emission mechanism (radiation burst from axion star collision with magnetic main sequence star) is a promising way for the observation of the axion star. Indeed, the axion star collision with K and M types main sequence stars in the Andromeda Galaxy frequently occurs $\sim 1/\text{day}$. The flux density $\sim 10^{-1}\text{Jy}(B/10^3\text{G})^2$ of the radiation burst would be large enough for the detection if the duration is longer than 1 second. The burst shows line spectrum with very small width $\delta\nu \sim 1\text{MHz}$. Therefore, the burst may be detectable with existing radio telescopes. The observation of the burst reveals the existence of the axion and determines the value of the axion mass.

The author expresses thanks to S. Kisaka for useful information and members of theory group in KEK for their

hospitality. This work is supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI), No.19K03832.

-
- [1] R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38 (1977) 1440.
S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40 (1978) 223.
F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40 (1978) 279.
 - [2] T. Braine et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, (2020) 101303.
 - [3] K. Yamamoto, et al. hep-ph/0101200.
 - [4] L. Zhong, et al. Phys. Rev. D97 (2018) 092001.
 - [5] J. L. Ouellet, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (2020) 12, 121802.
 - [6] B. T. McAllister, et al. Phys. Dark Univ. 18 (2017) 67.
 - [7] X. Li, et al. PoS ICHEP2020 (2021) 645.
 - [8] D. Horns, J. Jaeckel, A. Lindner, A. Lobanov, J. Redondo, and A. Ringwald, JCAP 04 (2013) 016.
 - [9] V. Anastassopoulos, et al. Nature Phys. 13 (2017) 584.
 - [10] R. Ohta, et al. Nucl. Instr. Meth. A670 (2012) 73.
 - [11] A. Iwazaki, arXiv: 2111.09468.
 - [12] J. Preskill, M. B. Wise and F. Wilczek, Phys. Lett. 120B (1983) 127.
 - [13] L. F. Abbott and P. Sikivie, Phys. Lett. B120 (1983) 133.
 - [14] M. Dine and W. Fischler, Phys. Lett. B120 (1983) 137.
 - [15] E. W. Kolb and I. I. Tkachev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 3051; Astrophys. J. 460 (1996) L25.
 - [16] J. Enander, A. Pargner and T. Schwetz, JCAP 12 (2017) 038.
 - [17] Bogolubsky IL, and V.G. Makhankov, JETP Lett., 24, (1976) 12.
 - [18] I. I. Tkachev (1991), Phys. Lett., B261 (1991) 289.
 - [19] J. Barranco, A. Bernal, Phys.Rev. D83 (2011) 043525.
 - [20] E. Braaten and H. Zhang, Rev. Mod. Phys. 91, 4 (2019) 041002.
 - [21] D. J. Kaup, Phys. Rev. 172 (1968) 1331.
 - [22] R. Ruffini and S. Bonazzola, Phys. Rev. 187 (1969) 1767.
 - [23] J. Breit, S. Gupta and A. Zaks, Phys Lett. 140 (1984) 329.
 - [24] M. Colpi, S. L. Shapiro, and I. Wasserman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, (1986) 2485.
 - [25] Chavanis P-H and L. Delfini, Phys. Rev. D84 (2011) 043532.
 - [26] A. Iwazaki, arXiv:1412.7825.
 - [27] A. Iwazaki, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 025001.
 - [28] A. iwazaki, Phys. Rev.D 91 (2015) 2, 023008.
 - [29] I. I. Tkachev, JETP Lett. 101 (2015) 1.
 - [30] M. S. Pshirkov and S. B. Popov, J.Exp.Theor. Phys.108 (2009) 384.
 - [31] A. Hook, Y. Kahn, B. R. Safdi and Z. Sun, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 241102.
 - [32] B. R. Safdi, Z. Sun and A. Y. Chen, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 123021.
 - [33] Y. Bai, and Y. Hamada, Phys. Lett. B 781 (2018) 187.
 - [34] J. H. Buckley, P. S. B. Dev, F. Ferrer and F. P. Huang, Phys. Rev.D 103 (2021) 043015.
 - [35] A. Prabhu and N. M. Rapidis, JCAP 10 (2020) 054.
 - [36] M. A. Amin, A. J. Long, Z-G. Mou and P. Saffin, JHEP 06 (2021) 182.
 - [37] F. P. Huang, K. Kadota, T. Sekiguchi and H. Tashiro, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 123001.
 - [38] A. Reiners, Living Rev. Sol. Phys. 9 (2012) 1.
 - [39] S. C. Marsden, et.al., MNRAS, 444, (2014) 3517.
 - [40] M. Dine, W. Fischler and M. Srednicki, Phys. Lett. 104B (1981) 199.
 - [41] A. R. Zhitnitsky, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 31 (1980) 260.
 - [42] J. E. Kim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, (1979) 103.
 - [43] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B166 (1980) 493.
 - [44] D. G. Levkov, A. G. Panin, and I. I. Tkachev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 151301.
 - [45] B. Eggemeier and J. Niemeyer, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 6.
 - [46] A. Iwazaki, PTEP 2020 (2020) 1.
 - [47] A. Iwazaki, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 043022.
 - [48] A. Iwazaki, arXiv:1512.06245.