

t -ADIC SYMMETRIC MULTIPLE ZETA VALUES FOR INDICES IN WHICH 1 AND 3 APPEAR ALTERNATELY

MINORU HIROSE, HIDEKI MURAHARA, AND SHINGO SAITO

ABSTRACT. We consider the symmetric multiple zeta values in \mathcal{S}_m without modulo π^2 reduction for indices in which 1 and 3 appear alternately. We investigate those values that can be expressed as a polynomial of the Riemann zeta values, and give a conjecturally complete list of explicit formulas for such values.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Multiple zeta values and t -adic multiple zeta values	1
1.2. Statements of our main theorems	2
2. Proofs of our main theorems	5
2.1. Algebraic setup	5
2.2. Alternating sums of shuffle products	6
2.3. Harmonic product and multiple zeta-star values	7
2.4. Computation of generating series	8
2.5. Proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5	16
2.6. Proof of Theorem 1.1	19
Acknowledgements	22
References	22

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Multiple zeta values and t -adic multiple zeta values. An index is a finite (possibly empty) sequence of positive integers. We say that an index is admissible if either it is empty or its last component is greater than 1.

For each admissible index $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$, the multiple zeta value (MZV) is defined by

$$\zeta(\mathbf{k}) = \zeta(k_1, \dots, k_r) = \sum_{1 \leq n_1 < \dots < n_r} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1} \dots n_r^{k_r}} \in \mathbb{R},$$

where we understand that $\zeta(\emptyset) = 1$. Let \mathcal{Z} denote the \mathbb{Q} -linear subspace of \mathbb{R} spanned by all MZVs (including 1).

For each (not necessarily admissible) index \mathbf{k} , we write $\zeta^*(\mathbf{k})$ and $\zeta^\square(\mathbf{k})$ for the real numbers in \mathcal{Z} obtained by taking the constant terms of the harmonic and shuffle regularizations, respectively (see [8] for details). Note that $\zeta^*(1) = \zeta^\square(1) = 0$ and that $\zeta^*(\mathbf{k}) = \zeta^\square(\mathbf{k}) = \zeta(\mathbf{k})$ if \mathbf{k} is admissible.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M32; Secondary 05A19.

Key words and phrases. multiple zeta values, symmetric multiple zeta values, t -adic symmetric multiple zeta(-star) values.

For each index $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$ and each symbol $\bullet \in \{*, \mathfrak{m}\}$, we define

$$\zeta_m^\bullet(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\substack{l_1, \dots, l_r \geq 0 \\ l_1 + \dots + l_r = m}} \zeta^\bullet(k_1 + l_1, \dots, k_r + l_r) \prod_{i=1}^r \binom{k_i + l_i - 1}{l_i}$$

for each nonnegative integer m , define the t -adic symmetric multiple zeta value (t -adic SMZV) by

$$\zeta_{\hat{\mathcal{S}}}^\bullet(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{k_{i+1} + \dots + k_r} \zeta^\bullet(k_1, \dots, k_i) \sum_{m \geq 0} \zeta_m^\bullet(k_r, \dots, k_{i+1}) t^m \in \mathcal{Z}[[t]],$$

and define the SMZV in \mathcal{S}_m by

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{S}_m}^\bullet(\mathbf{k}) = \pi_m(\zeta_{\hat{\mathcal{S}}}^\bullet(\mathbf{k})) \in \mathcal{Z}[[t]]/t^m$$

for each positive integer m , where $\pi_m: \mathcal{Z}[[t]] \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}[[t]]/t^m$ is the natural projection. Here we understand that $\zeta_{\hat{\mathcal{S}}}^\bullet(\emptyset) = 1$. The t -adic SMZVs have been studied in [9], [16], and [14] as a generalization of ordinary SMZVs (the t -adic SMZVs for $t = 0$; see [10] and [11]).

In this paper, we concentrate on indices \mathbf{k} in which 1 and 3 appear alternately, such as (3), (1, 3, 1), and (3, 1, 3, 1). As a consequence, for all indices that appear in this paper, the values of ζ^* and $\zeta^\mathfrak{m}$ coincide, and so do the values of $\zeta_{\hat{\mathcal{S}}}^*$ and $\zeta_{\hat{\mathcal{S}}}^\mathfrak{m}$ (see Proposition 2.3); we therefore often omit $*$ and \mathfrak{m} hereinafter.

Let \mathbf{k} be an index in which 1 and 3 appear alternately. It is known that $\zeta(\mathbf{k})$ can be written as polynomials of the Riemann zeta values (see [5], [6], [2], and [1]). It turns out, however, that this is not always the case for $\zeta_{\hat{\mathcal{S}}}(\mathbf{k})$. Our results, to be described in precise terms in the next subsection, explicitly write the value $\zeta_{\mathcal{S}_m}(\mathbf{k})$ as a polynomial of the Riemann zeta values for as large m as practically possible.

We remark that our theorems and conjectures given in the next subsection concerning the t -adic SMZVs modulo π^2 may also well be valid for the \mathbf{p} -adic finite MZVs since the t -adic SMZVs modulo π^2 and the \mathbf{p} -adic finite MZVs are conjecturally isomorphic (for details, see [10], [11], and [14]; see also [17] and [12] for previous works on \mathbf{p} -adic finite MZVs).

1.2. Statements of our main theorems. We now give precise statements of our main theorems and conjectures. The proofs of the theorems will be given in the next section.

Let \mathbf{k} be an index in which 1 and 3 appear alternately. According to its first and last components, we divide into the following four cases: $\mathbf{k} = (\{3, 1\}^n, 3)$, $(\{1, 3\}^n)$, $(\{3, 1\}^n)$, $(\{1, 3\}^n, 1)$, where n is a nonnegative integer and $\{a, b\}^n$ denotes the n times repetition of a, b , e.g., $\{a, b\}^2 = (a, b, a, b)$.

1.2.1. Case of $\mathbf{k} = (\{3, 1\}^n, 3)$. Consider the case where \mathbf{k} both starts and ends with 3, i.e., \mathbf{k} is of the form $(\{3, 1\}^n, 3)$. Then we have $\zeta_{\mathcal{S}_1}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ by definition. Since the coefficient of t in $\zeta_{\mathcal{S}_2}(3, 1, 3)$ is congruent to

$$-5\zeta(3)\zeta(5) - \zeta(3, 5)$$

modulo π^2 (here and throughout, we have used [3] in numerical computations), it is reasonable to believe that $\zeta_{\mathcal{S}_2}(3, 1, 3)$ cannot be written as a polynomial of the Riemann zeta values even when reduced modulo π^2 , and so we do not investigate $\zeta_{\mathcal{S}_m}(\{3, 1\}^n, 3)$ for $m \geq 2$ in this paper.

1.2.2. *Case of $\mathbf{k} = (\{1, 3\}^n)$.* Consider the case where \mathbf{k} starts with 1 and ends with 3, i.e., \mathbf{k} is of the form $(\{1, 3\}^n)$. Then we can compute $\zeta_{S_2}(\mathbf{k})$ explicitly as follows (remember that $\zeta(1) = 0$):

Theorem 1.1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{S_2}(\{1, 3\}^n) = & \frac{2(-4)^n}{(4n+2)!} \pi^{4n} + \left(\sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_0 + n_1 = n}} \frac{(-4)^{n_0+1} (2 - (-4)^{-n_1})}{(4n_0+2)!} \pi^{4n_0} \zeta(4n_1+1) \right. \\ & \left. - (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_0 + n_1 = 2n \\ n_0, n_1: \text{odd}}} \frac{2^{n_0-n_1+2}}{(2n_0+2)!} \pi^{2n_0} \zeta(2n_1+1) \right) t \end{aligned}$$

for every nonnegative integer n .

Recall that Ono, Sakurada, and Seki [13, Theorem 4.1] computed the values of ζ_{S_2} modulo π^2 for a wider class of indices. What makes Theorem 1.1 interesting is that it computes the values without modulo π^2 reduction.

Since the coefficient of t^2 in $\zeta_{S_3}(1, 3, 1, 3)$ is

$$\frac{1}{2} \zeta(2) \zeta(3) \zeta(5) + \zeta(2) \zeta(3, 5) - \frac{1}{2} \zeta(3)^2 \zeta(4) - \frac{1}{4} \zeta(3) \zeta(7) + \frac{81}{8} \zeta(5)^2 - \frac{103}{10} \zeta(10),$$

it is reasonable to believe that $\zeta_{S_3}(1, 3, 1, 3)$ cannot be written as a polynomial of the Riemann zeta values. Nevertheless, numerical experiments suggest that $\zeta_{S_3}(\{1, 3\}^n)$ modulo π^2 can always be written as a polynomial of the Riemann zeta values, and we make the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.2. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{S_3}(\{1, 3\}^n) \equiv & \delta_{n,0} + (2(-4)^{-n} - 4) \zeta(4n+1) t \\ & + \left(-2(-4)^{-n} \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 = n-1}} \zeta(4n_1+3) \zeta(4n_2+3) \right. \\ & \left. + 2 \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 = n}} ((-4)^{-n_1} - 2)((-4)^{-n_2} - 2) \zeta(4n_1+1) \zeta(4n_2+1) \right) t^2 \pmod{\pi^2} \end{aligned}$$

for every nonnegative integer n , where $\delta_{n,0}$ denotes the Kronecker delta.

Since the coefficient of t^3 in $\zeta_{S_4}(1, 3, 1, 3)$ is congruent to

$$-\frac{845}{4} \zeta(11) - \frac{9}{4} \zeta(3)^2 \zeta(5) - \zeta(3) \zeta(3, 5) + 2 \zeta(3, 3, 5)$$

modulo π^2 , it is reasonable to believe that $\zeta_{S_4}(1, 3, 1, 3)$ cannot be written as a polynomial of the Riemann zeta values even when reduced modulo π^2 , and so we do not investigate $\zeta_{S_m}(\{1, 3\}^n)$ for $m \geq 4$ in this paper.

1.2.3. *Case of $\mathbf{k} = (\{3, 1\}^n)$.* Consider the case where \mathbf{k} starts with 3 and ends with 1, i.e., \mathbf{k} is of the form $(\{3, 1\}^n)$. Then we can compute $\zeta_{S_3}(\mathbf{k})$ explicitly as follows:

Theorem 1.3. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\mathcal{S}_3}(\{3, 1\}^n) &= \frac{2(-4)^n}{(4n+2)!} \pi^{4n} + (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_0 + n_1 = 2n}} \frac{(-1)^{n_0} 2^{n_0 - n_1 + 2}}{(2n_0 + 2)!} \pi^{2n_0} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) t \\ &\quad + (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_0 + n_1 + n_2 = 2n}} \frac{(-1)^{n_0} 2^{n_0 - n_1 - n_2 + 2}}{(2n_0 + 2)!} \pi^{2n_0} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) \zeta(2n_2 + 1) t^2 \end{aligned}$$

for every nonnegative integer n .

Corollary 1.4. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\mathcal{S}_3}(\{3, 1\}^n) &\equiv \delta_{n,0} - 2(-4)^{-n} \zeta(4n+1)t + 2(-4)^{-n} \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 = 2n}} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) \zeta(2n_2 + 1) t^2 \pmod{\pi^2} \end{aligned}$$

for every nonnegative integer n .

Since the coefficient of t^3 in $\zeta_{\mathcal{S}_4}(3, 1, 3, 1)$ is congruent to

$$\frac{605}{4} \zeta(11) + \frac{19}{4} \zeta(3)^2 \zeta(5) + 2\zeta(3) \zeta(3, 5) - 2\zeta(3, 3, 5)$$

modulo π^2 , it is reasonable to believe that $\zeta_{\mathcal{S}_4}(3, 1, 3, 1)$ cannot be written as a polynomial of the Riemann zeta values even when reduced modulo π^2 , and so we do not investigate $\zeta_{\mathcal{S}_m}(\{3, 1\}^n)$ for $m \geq 4$ in this paper.

1.2.4. *Case of $\mathbf{k} = (\{1, 3\}^n, 1)$.* Consider the case where \mathbf{k} both starts and ends with 1, i.e., \mathbf{k} is of the form $(\{1, 3\}^n, 1)$. Then we can compute $\zeta_{\mathcal{S}_3}(\mathbf{k})$ explicitly as follows:

Theorem 1.5. *We have*

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{S}_3}(\{1, 3\}^n, 1) = \frac{(-4)^{n+1}}{(4n+4)!} \pi^{4n+2} t + (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_0 + n_1 = 2n+1}} \frac{(-1)^{n_1} 2^{n_0 - n_1 + 2}}{(2n_0 + 2)!} \pi^{2n_0} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) t^2$$

for every nonnegative integer n .

Corollary 1.6. *We have*

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{S}_3}(\{1, 3\}^n, 1) \equiv -(-4)^{-n} \zeta(4n+3) t^2 \pmod{\pi^2}$$

for every nonnegative integer n .

Since the coefficient of t^3 in $\zeta_{\mathcal{S}_4}(1, 3, 1)$ is congruent to

$$\frac{9}{2} \zeta(3) \zeta(5) + \zeta(3, 5)$$

modulo π^2 , it is reasonable to believe that $\zeta_{\mathcal{S}_4}(1, 3, 1)$ cannot be written as a polynomial of the Riemann zeta values even when reduced modulo π^2 , and so we do not investigate $\zeta_{\mathcal{S}_m}(\{1, 3\}^n, 1)$ for $m \geq 4$ in this paper.

1.2.5. Summary.

Conjecture 1.7. *The pairs (\mathbf{k}, m) of an index \mathbf{k} in which 1 and 3 appear alternately and a positive integer m such that $\zeta_{\mathcal{S}_m}(\mathbf{k})$ can be written as a polynomial of the Riemann zeta values are exhausted by those deduced from Theorems 1.1, 1.3, and 1.5 and the following equations:*

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_{\mathcal{S}_1}(\{3, 1\}^n, 3) &= 0 \quad (n \geq 0), \\ \zeta_{\mathcal{S}}(1) &= -\sum_{m \geq 1} \zeta(m+1)t^m, \quad \zeta_{\mathcal{S}}(3) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \geq 1} (m+1)(m+2)\zeta(m+3)t^m, \\ \zeta_{\mathcal{S}_3}(1, 3) &= -\frac{\pi^4}{90} + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6}\zeta(3) - \frac{9}{2}\zeta(5)\right)t + \left(-\frac{19\pi^6}{3780} + \frac{1}{2}\zeta(3)^2\right)t^2 + \left(\frac{\pi^4}{90}\zeta(3) + \pi^2\zeta(5) - 17\zeta(7)\right)t^3, \\ \zeta_{\mathcal{S}_3}(3, 1) &= -\frac{\pi^4}{90} + \left(-\frac{\pi^2}{6}\zeta(3) + \frac{1}{2}\zeta(5)\right)t - \frac{1}{2}\zeta(3)^2t^2 + \left(\frac{\pi^4}{45}\zeta(3) - 3\zeta(7)\right)t^3.\end{aligned}$$

2. PROOFS OF OUR MAIN THEOREMS

2.1. Algebraic setup. We use Hoffman's algebraic setup with a slightly different convention (see [7]). Set $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{Q}\langle x, y \rangle$, $\mathfrak{H}^1 = \mathbb{Q} + y\mathfrak{H}$, and $\mathfrak{H}^0 = \mathbb{Q} + y\mathfrak{H}x$. We define the shuffle product as the \mathbb{Q} -bilinear product $\mathfrak{m}: \mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}1 \mathfrak{m} w &= w \mathfrak{m} 1 = w, \\ uw \mathfrak{m} u'w' &= u(w \mathfrak{m} u'w') + u'(uw \mathfrak{m} w'),\end{aligned}$$

where $w, w' \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $u, u' \in \{x, y\}$. This product makes \mathfrak{H} a commutative \mathbb{Q} -algebra, which we denote by $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ (see [15]). The subspaces \mathfrak{H}^1 and \mathfrak{H}^0 become subalgebras of $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, which we denote by $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^1$ and $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^0$, respectively.

For a positive integer k , put $z_k = yx^{k-1}$. We define the \mathbb{Q} -linear map $Z: \mathfrak{H}^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$Z(z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_r}) = \zeta(k_1, \dots, k_r),$$

where (k_1, \dots, k_r) is an admissible index. Note that $Z(w_1 \mathfrak{m} w_2) = Z(w_1)Z(w_2)$ holds for $w_1, w_2 \in \mathfrak{H}^0$.

We define the algebra homomorphism $\text{reg}_{\mathfrak{m}}: \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^0$ by the properties that it is the identity on \mathfrak{H}^0 , maps x to 0, and maps y to 0. We also define $Z^{\mathfrak{m}}: \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$Z^{\mathfrak{m}} = Z \circ \text{reg}_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Proposition 2.1. *For a nonnegative integer m and positive integers k_1, \dots, k_r , we have*

$$x^m y x^{k_1-1} \cdots y x^{k_r-1} \equiv (-1)^m \sum_{\substack{l_1, \dots, l_r \geq 0 \\ l_1 + \dots + l_r = m}} y x^{k_1+l_1-1} \cdots y x^{k_r+l_r-1} \prod_{j=1}^r \binom{k_j + l_j - 1}{l_j}$$

modulo $x \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{H}$.

Proof. Note that induction shows

$$x^m y w = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^{m-i} x^i \mathfrak{m} y (x^{m-i} \mathfrak{m} w)$$

for all $w \in \mathfrak{H}$. Setting $w = x^{k_1-1}yx^{k_2-1} \dots yx^{k_r-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^m yx^{k_1-1} \dots yx^{k_r-1} &= x^m yw \equiv (-1)^m y(x^m \boxplus w) \\ &= (-1)^m \sum_{\substack{l_1, \dots, l_r \geq 0 \\ l_1 + \dots + l_r = m}} yx^{k_1+l_1-1} \dots yx^{k_r+l_r-1} \prod_{j=1}^r \binom{k_j + l_j - 1}{l_j} \end{aligned}$$

modulo $x \boxplus \mathfrak{H}$. □

From the previous proposition, we immediately have the following.

Proposition 2.2. *For a nonnegative integer m and positive integers k_1, \dots, k_r , we have*

$$\zeta_m^{\boxplus}(k_1, \dots, k_r) = (-1)^m Z^{\boxplus}(x^m yx^{k_1-1} \dots yx^{k_r-1}).$$

Proposition 2.3. *Let \mathbf{k} be an index with no adjacent ones. Then we have*

$$\zeta^*(\mathbf{k}) = \zeta^{\boxplus}(\mathbf{k}), \quad \zeta_{\mathfrak{S}}^*(\mathbf{k}) = \zeta_{\mathfrak{S}}^{\boxplus}(\mathbf{k}).$$

Proof. By [8, Theorem 1], we have

$$\zeta^*(\mathbf{l}, 1) = \zeta^{\boxplus}(\mathbf{l}, 1)$$

for an index \mathbf{l} whose last component is greater than 1. Then, by definitions, we easily see the result. □

Let τ be the anti-automorphism on \mathfrak{H} with $\tau(x) = y$ and $\tau(y) = x$. Then the duality formula of MZVs says $Z(w) = Z(\tau(w))$ for $w \in \mathfrak{H}^0$, which is generalized as follows.

Proposition 2.4. *For $w \in \mathfrak{H}$, we have*

$$Z^{\boxplus}(w) = Z^{\boxplus}(\tau(w)).$$

Proof. Since reg_{\boxplus} and τ commute, we have

$$Z^{\boxplus}(w) = Z(\text{reg}_{\boxplus}(w)) = Z(\tau(\text{reg}_{\boxplus}(w))) = Z(\text{reg}_{\boxplus}(\tau(w))) = Z^{\boxplus}(\tau(w)),$$

as required. □

2.2. Alternating sums of shuffle products. In this subsection, we compute several alternating sums of shuffle products to be used later in the proof of the main theorems.

Lemma 2.5. *For a nonnegative integer n , we have*

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i x(yx)^i \boxplus (yx)^{n-i} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n/2} 2^n x(y^2 x^2)^{n/2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (-1)^{(n-1)/2} 2^n y(x^2 y^2)^{(n-1)/2} x^2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Put $a_n = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i x(yx)^i \boxplus (yx)^{n-i}$. If $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= xy \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i x(yx)^{i-1} \boxplus (yx)^{n-i} + xy \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i (yx)^i \boxplus x(yx)^{n-i-1} \\ &\quad + yx \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i (yx)^i \boxplus x(yx)^{n-i-1} + yx \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i x(yx)^i \boxplus (yx)^{n-i-1} \\ &= (-(1 + (-1)^n)xy + (1 - (-1)^n)yx)a_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_0 = x$, we obtain the result by induction on n . □

Lemma 2.6. *For a nonnegative integer n , we have*

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i x(yx)^i \text{III} (xy)^{n-i} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n/2} 2^n (x^2 y^2)^{n/2} x & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (-1)^{(n-1)/2} 2^n x^2 (y^2 x^2)^{(n-1)/2} y & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By looking at the reversal of Lemma 2.5, we find the result. \square

Lemma 2.7. *For a nonnegative integer n , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i x(yx)^i \text{III} (yx)^{n-i} y \\ &= \begin{cases} (-1)^{n/2} 2^n (x(y^2 x^2)^{n/2} y + (yx^2 y)^{n/2} yx) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (-1)^{(n-1)/2} 2^n (-xy^2 (x^2 y^2)^{(n-1)/2} x + y(x^2 y^2)^{(n-1)/2} x^2 y) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let a_n and a'_n be the left-hand sides of Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, respectively. Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i x(yx)^i \text{III} (yx)^{n-i} y = (-1)^n x \tau(a_n) + y a'_n.$$

Thus the claim follows from Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6. \square

Lemma 2.8. *For a nonnegative integer n , we have*

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i x(yx)^i \text{III} x(yx)^{n-i} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n/2} 2^{n+1} x^2 (y^2 x^2)^{n/2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let a_n be the left-hand side of Lemma 2.5. Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i x(yx)^i \text{III} x(yx)^{n-i} = (1 + (-1)^n) x a_n.$$

Thus the claim follows from Lemma 2.5. \square

2.3. Harmonic product and multiple zeta-star values. Let \mathcal{I} be the \mathbb{Q} -vector space freely generated by all indices. We define the \mathbb{Q} -bilinear product $*$ on \mathcal{I} inductively by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k} * \emptyset &= \emptyset * \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}, \\ (\mathbf{k}, k) * (\mathbf{l}, l) &= (\mathbf{k} * (\mathbf{l}, l), k) + (\mathbf{k} * \mathbf{l}, k + l) + ((\mathbf{k}, k) * \mathbf{l}, l) \end{aligned}$$

for all indices \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l} and all positive integers k, l . Note that $\zeta(\mathbf{k} * \mathbf{l}) = \zeta(\mathbf{k})\zeta(\mathbf{l})$ holds for any indices \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{l} whose last component is greater than 1.

For each admissible index $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$, the multiple zeta-star value (MZSV) is defined by

$$\zeta^*(\mathbf{k}) = \zeta^*(k_1, \dots, k_r) = \sum_{1 \leq n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_r} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1} \dots n_r^{k_r}} \in \mathbb{R},$$

where we understand that $\zeta^*(\emptyset) = 1$. It is well known that

$$\sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \zeta(k_1, \dots, k_i) \zeta^*(k_r, \dots, k_{i+1}) = \delta_{r,0}$$

for every index $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$ satisfying $k_1, \dots, k_r \geq 2$, which is sometimes referred to as the antipode formula.

2.4. Computation of generating series. In this subsection, we compute several generating series to be used later in the proof of our main theorems. It turns out all generating series that we will need can be expressed in terms of the four generating series $F_+, F_-, G_+, G_- \in \mathbb{R}[[u]]$ defined by

$$F_{\pm} = \sum_{n \geq 0} (\pm 2)^{-n} \zeta(2n+1) u^{2n+1}, \quad G_{\pm} = \sum_{n \geq 0} (\pm 2)^{-n} \zeta(\{2\}^n) u^{2n}.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} F_+ + F_- &= 2 \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ n: \text{even}}} 2^{-n} \zeta(2n+1) u^{2n+1} = 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} 4^{-n} \zeta(4n+1) u^{4n+1}, \\ F_+ - F_- &= 2 \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ n: \text{odd}}} 2^{-n} \zeta(2n+1) u^{2n+1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} 4^{-n} \zeta(4n+3) u^{4n+3}, \\ G_+ + G_- &= 2 \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ n: \text{even}}} 2^{-n} \zeta(\{2\}^n) u^{2n} = 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} 4^{-n} \zeta(\{2\}^{2n}) u^{4n}, \\ G_+ - G_- &= 2 \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ n: \text{odd}}} 2^{-n} \zeta(\{2\}^n) u^{2n} = \sum_{n \geq 0} 4^{-n} \zeta(\{2\}^{2n+1}) u^{4n+2} \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (\pm 2)^{-n} \zeta^{\star}(\{2\}^n) u^{2n} = G_{\mp}^{-1}$$

by the antipode formula.

Lemma 2.9. *We have*

$$G_+ G_- = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \zeta(\{1, 3\}^n) u^{4n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-4)^{-n} \zeta(\{4\}^n) u^{4n} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 4^{-n} \zeta^{\star}(\{4\}^n) u^{4n} \right)^{-1}$$

and

$$G_{\pm}^2 = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(\pm 1)^n 2^{n+1} \pi^{2n}}{(2n+2)!} u^{2n}, \quad \frac{G_+^2 + G_-^2}{2} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \zeta(\{4\}^n) u^{4n}.$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\zeta(\{2\}^n) = \frac{\pi^{2n}}{(2n+1)!}, \quad \zeta(\{1, 3\}^n) = \frac{2\pi^{4n}}{(4n+2)!}, \quad \zeta(\{4\}^n) = \frac{2^{2n+1} \pi^{4n}}{(4n+2)!}$$

for every nonnegative integer n (see Borwein, Bradley, Broadhurst, and Lisoněk [5, Example 2.2] for the last two identities). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_+G_- &= \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} \frac{1}{(2n_1 + 1)!} \left(\frac{\pi^2 u^2}{2} \right)^{n_1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_2 \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{n_2}}{(2n_2 + 1)!} \left(\frac{\pi^2 u^2}{2} \right)^{n_2} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\sum_{\substack{n_1 + n_2 = n \\ n_1, n_2 \geq 0}} \frac{(-1)^{n_2}}{(2n_1 + 1)!(2n_2 + 1)!} \right) \left(\frac{\pi^2 u^2}{2} \right)^n \\
 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\operatorname{Im}((1 + i)^{2n+2})}{(2n + 2)!} \left(\frac{\pi^2 u^2}{2} \right)^n \quad (\text{binomial theorem}) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ n: \text{even}}} \frac{(-1)^{n/2} 2^{n+1}}{(2n + 2)!} \left(\frac{\pi^2 u^2}{2} \right)^n \\
 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2(-1)^n \pi^{4n}}{(4n + 2)!} u^{4n},
 \end{aligned}$$

which together with the antipode formula implies that

$$G_+G_- = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \zeta(\{1, 3\}^n) u^{4n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-4)^{-n} \zeta(\{4\}^n) u^{4n} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 4^{-n} \zeta^*(\{4\}^n) u^{4n} \right)^{-1}.$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{\pm}^2 &= \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} \frac{1}{(2n_1 + 1)!} \left(\pm \frac{\pi^2 u^2}{2} \right)^{n_1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_2 \geq 0} \frac{1}{(2n_2 + 1)!} \left(\pm \frac{\pi^2 u^2}{2} \right)^{n_2} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\sum_{\substack{n_1 + n_2 = n \\ n_1, n_2 \geq 0}} \frac{1}{(2n_1 + 1)!(2n_2 + 1)!} \right) \left(\pm \frac{\pi^2 u^2}{2} \right)^n \\
 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2^{2n+1}}{(2n + 2)!} \left(\pm \frac{\pi^2 u^2}{2} \right)^n \quad (\text{binomial theorem}) \\
 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(\pm 1)^n 2^{n+1} \pi^{2n}}{(2n + 2)!} u^{2n},
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{G_+^2 + G_-^2}{2} = \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ n: \text{even}}} \frac{2^{n+1} \pi^{2n}}{(2n + 2)!} u^{2n} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2^{2n+1} \pi^{4n}}{(4n + 2)!} u^{4n} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \zeta(\{4\}^n) u^{4n}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.10. *We have*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \zeta(\{3, 1\}^n, 3) u^{4n+3} = (F_+ - F_-) G_+ G_-.$$

Proof. Recall that Bowman and Bradley [6, Theorem 1] showed that

$$\zeta(\{3, 1\}^n, 3) = 4^{-n} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \zeta(4i + 3) \zeta(\{4\}^{n-i})$$

for every nonnegative integer n . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \zeta(\{3, 1\}^n, 3) u^{4n+3} &= \sum_{n \geq 0} (-4)^{-n} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \zeta(4i+3) \zeta(\{4\}^{n-i}) u^{4n+3} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} 4^{-n_1} \zeta(4n_1+3) u^{4n_1+3} \right) \left(\sum_{n_2 \geq 0} (-4)^{-n_2} \zeta(\{4\}^{n_2}) u^{4n_2} \right) \\ &= (F_+ - F_-) G_+ G_- \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.9. □

Lemma 2.11. *We have*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \zeta(\{1, 3\}^n, 1) u^{4n+1} = (F_+ + F_-) G_+ G_-.$$

Proof. Recall that Bachmann and Charlton [1, Proposition 4.2] showed that

$$\zeta(\{1, 3\}^n, 1) = 2^{-2n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \zeta(4i+1) \zeta(\{4\}^{n-i})$$

for every nonnegative integer n (note that the summand is equal to 0 if $i = 0$). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \zeta(\{1, 3\}^n, 1) u^{4n+1} &= 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} (-4)^{-n} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \zeta(4i+1) \zeta(\{4\}^{n-i}) u^{4n+1} \\ &= \left(2 \sum_{n_1 \geq 0} 4^{-n_1} \zeta(4n_1+1) u^{4n_1+1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_2 \geq 0} (-4)^{-n_2} \zeta(\{4\}^{n_2}) u^{4n_2} \right) \\ &= (F_+ + F_-) G_+ G_- \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.9. □

Lemma 2.12. *We have*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \zeta(\{3, 1\}^n) u^{4n} = \frac{G_+^2 + G_-^2}{2G_+ G_-} - (F_+^2 - F_-^2) G_+ G_-.$$

Proof. Recall that Bachmann and Charlton [1, Proposition 4.2] showed that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(\{3, 1\}^n) &= (-1)^n \sum_{i=0}^n 4^{-i} \zeta^*(\{4\}^i) \zeta(\{4\}^{n-i}) \\ &\quad + 2^{-2n+3} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq n-i-1}} (-1)^{i+j} \zeta(4i+1) \zeta(4j+3) \zeta(\{4\}^{n-i-j-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for every nonnegative integer n . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \zeta(\{3, 1\}^n) u^{4n} \\
&= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{i=0}^n 4^{-i} \zeta^\star(\{4\}^i) \zeta(\{4\}^{n-i}) u^{4n} \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} (-4)^{-(n-1)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq n-i-1}} (-1)^{i+j} \zeta(4i+1) \zeta(4j+3) \zeta(\{4\}^{n-i-j-1}) \\
&= \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} 4^{-n_1} \zeta^\star(\{4\}^{n_1}) u^{4n_1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_2 \geq 0} \zeta(\{4\}^{n_2}) u^{4n_2} \right) \\
&\quad - \left(2 \sum_{n_1 \geq 0} 4^{-n_1} \zeta(4n_1+1) u^{4n_1+1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_2 \geq 0} 4^{-n_2} \zeta(4n_2+3) u^{4n_2+3} \right) \left(\sum_{n_3 \geq 0} (-4)^{-n_3} \zeta(\{4\}^{n_3}) u^{4n_3} \right) \\
&= G_+^{-1} G_-^{-1} \frac{G_+^2 + G_-^2}{2} - (F_+ + F_-)(F_+ - F_-) G_+ G_- \quad (\text{Lemma 2.9}) \\
&= \frac{G_+^2 + G_-^2}{2G_+ G_-} - (F_+^2 - F_-^2) G_+ G_-. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.13. *We have*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} 4^{-n} (4n+1) \zeta(4n+2) u^{4n+2} = \frac{G_-^{-2} - G_+^{-2}}{2}.$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \zeta^\star(\{2\}^n) v^{2n} \right)^2 &= \left(\frac{\pi v}{\sin(\pi v)} \right)^2 = -\pi v^2 \frac{d}{dv} \cot(\pi v) = 2v^2 \frac{d}{dv} \sum_{n \geq 0} \zeta(2n) v^{2n-1} \\
&= 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} (2n-1) \zeta(2n) v^{2n}
\end{aligned}$$

(here we understand $\zeta(0) = -1/2$), setting $v^2 = \mp u^2/2$ we have

$$G_\pm^{-2} = 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} (\mp 2)^{-n} (2n-1) \zeta(2n) u^{2n}.$$

Then we get

$$G_-^{-2} - G_+^{-2} = 4 \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ n: \text{odd}}} 2^{-n} (2n-1) \zeta(2n) u^{2n} = 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} 4^{-n} (4n+1) \zeta(4n+2) u^{4n+2},$$

as required. \square

Lemma 2.14. *We have*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \zeta(\{3, 1\}^n, 2) u^{4n+2} = \frac{G_+^2 - G_-^2}{2G_+ G_-} - (F_+ - F_-)^2 G_+ G_-.$$

Proof. Recall that Bowman and Bradley [6, Theorem 2] showed that

$$\zeta(\{3, 1\}^n, 2) = 4^{-n} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \zeta(\{4\}^{n-i}) \left((4i+1) \zeta(4i+2) - 4 \sum_{j=1}^i \zeta(4j-1) \zeta(4i-4j+3) \right)$$

for every nonnegative integer n . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \zeta(\{3, 1\}^n, 2) u^{4n+2} \\
&= \sum_{n \geq 0} (-4)^{-n} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \zeta(\{4\}^{n-i}) (4i+1) \zeta(4i+2) u^{4n+2} \\
&\quad - 4 \sum_{n \geq 0} (-4)^{-n} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \zeta(\{4\}^{n-i}) \sum_{j=1}^i \zeta(4j-1) \zeta(4i-4j+3) u^{4n+2} \\
&= \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} (-4)^{-n_1} \zeta(\{4\}^{n_1}) u^{4n_1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_2 \geq 0} 4^{-n_2} (4n_2+1) \zeta(4n_2+2) u^{4n_2+2} \right) \\
&\quad - \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} (-4)^{-n_1} \zeta(\{4\}^{n_1}) u^{4n_1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_2 \geq 0} 4^{-n_2} \zeta(4n_2+3) u^{4n_2+3} \right) \left(\sum_{n_3 \geq 0} 4^{-n_3} \zeta(4n_3+3) u^{4n_3+3} \right) \\
&= G_+ G_- \cdot \frac{G_-^{-2} - G_+^{-2}}{2} - G_+ G_- (F_+ - F_-)^2 \quad (\text{Lemmas 2.9 and 2.13}) \\
&= \frac{G_+^2 - G_-^2}{2G_+ G_-} - (F_+ - F_-)^2 G_+ G_-. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.15. *We have*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \zeta_1(\{1, 3\}^n) u^{4n+1} = -(F_+ + F_-) G_+ G_-.$$

Proof. Since Propositions 2.2 and 2.4 show that

$$\zeta_1(\{1, 3\}^n) = -Z^{\mathfrak{m}}(x(y^2 x^2)^n) = -Z^{\mathfrak{m}}((y^2 x^2)^n y) = -\zeta(\{1, 3\}^n, 1)$$

for every nonnegative integer n , the lemma follows from Lemma 2.11. \square

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ denote the shuffle of indices, e.g., $(a, b) \tilde{\mathfrak{m}} (c) = (a, b, c) + (a, c, b) + (c, a, b)$.

Lemma 2.16. *If a and b are positive integers, then we have*

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i (ai + b) * (\{a\}^{n-i}) = (b) \tilde{\mathfrak{m}} (\{a\}^n)$$

for every nonnegative integer n .

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i (ai + b) * (\{a\}^{n-i}) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i ((ai + b) \tilde{\mathfrak{m}} (\{a\}^{n-i}) + (a(i+1) + b) \tilde{\mathfrak{m}} (\{a\}^{n-i-1})) + (-1)^n (an + b) \\
&= (b) \tilde{\mathfrak{m}} (\{a\}^n),
\end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Lemma 2.17. *We have*

$$\zeta_1(\{2\}^n) = -2 \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \zeta(2i+1) \zeta(\{2\}^{n-i})$$

for every nonnegative integer n .

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_1(\{2\}^n) &= \binom{2+1-1}{1} \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta(\{2\}^{i-1}, 3, \{2\}^{n-i}) \\ &= 2\zeta((1) * (\{2\}^n) - (1) \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}(\{2\}^n)) \\ &= -2\zeta((1) \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}(\{2\}^n)) \\ &= -2 \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \zeta(2i+1) \zeta(\{2\}^{n-i})\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.16 with $a = 2$ and $b = 1$. □

Lemma 2.18. *We have*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (\pm 2)^{-n} \zeta_1(\{2\}^n) u^{2n+1} = -2F_{\mp} G_{\pm}.$$

Proof. Lemma 2.17 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}& \sum_{n \geq 0} (\pm 2)^{-n} \zeta_1(\{2\}^n) u^{2n+1} \\ &= -2 \sum_{n \geq 0} (\pm 2)^{-n} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \zeta(2i+1) \zeta(\{2\}^{n-i}) u^{2n+1} \\ &= -2 \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} (\mp 2)^{-n_1} \zeta(2n_1+1) u^{2n_1+1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_2 \geq 0} (\pm 2)^{-n_2} \zeta(\{2\}^{n_2}) u^{2n_2} \right) \\ &= -2F_{\mp} G_{\pm}.\end{aligned}$$
□

Lemma 2.19. *We have*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \zeta_1(\{1, 3\}^n, 1) u^{4n+2} = \frac{G_+^2 - G_-^2}{2G_+ G_-} - (F_+ + F_-)^2 G_+ G_-.$$

Proof. Propositions 2.2 and 2.4 and Lemma 2.7 show that

$$\begin{aligned}& \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \zeta_1(\{2\}^i) \zeta_1(\{2\}^{n-i}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i Z^{\mathfrak{m}}(x(yx)^i) Z^{\mathfrak{m}}(x(yx)^{n-i}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i Z^{\mathfrak{m}}(x(yx)^i) Z^{\mathfrak{m}}((yx)^{n-i}y) \\ &= \begin{cases} (-1)^{n/2} 2^n (Z^{\mathfrak{m}}(x(y^2x^2)^{n/2}y) + Z^{\mathfrak{m}}((yx^2y)^{n/2}yx)) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (-1)^{(n-1)/2} 2^n (-Z^{\mathfrak{m}}(xy^2(x^2y^2)^{(n-1)/2}x) + Z^{\mathfrak{m}}(y(x^2y^2)^{(n-1)/2}x^2y)) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (-1)^{n/2} 2^n (-\zeta_1(\{1, 3\}^{n/2}, 1) + \zeta(\{3, 1\}^{n/2}, 2)) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n (-\zeta_1(\{1, 3\}^n, 1) + \zeta(\{3, 1\}^n, 2)) u^{4n+2} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ n: \text{even}}} (-1)^{n/2} (-\zeta_1(\{1, 3\}^{n/2}, 1) + \zeta(\{3, 1\}^{n/2}, 2)) u^{2n+2} \\
&= \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{-n} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \zeta_1(\{2\}^i) \zeta_1(\{2\}^{n-i}) u^{2n+2} \\
&= \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} (-2)^{-n_1} \zeta_1(\{2\}^{n_1}) u^{2n_1+1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_2 \geq 0} 2^{-n_2} \zeta_1(\{2\}^{n_2}) u^{2n_2+1} \right) \\
&= (-2F_+G_-)(-2F_-G_+) \quad (\text{Lemma 2.18}) \\
&= 4F_+F_-G_+G_-.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.14, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \zeta_1(\{1, 3\}^n, 1) u^{4n+2} \\
&= \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \zeta(\{3, 1\}^n, 2) u^{4n+2} - 4F_+F_-G_+G_- \\
&= \frac{G_+^2 - G_-^2}{2G_+G_-} - (F_+ - F_-)^2 G_+G_- - 4F_+F_-G_+G_- \\
&= \frac{G_+^2 - G_-^2}{2G_+G_-} - (F_+ + F_-)^2 G_+G_-.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.20. *We have*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (\pm 2)^{-n} Z^{\boxplus}((xy)^n) u^{2n} = G_{\pm}^{-1} \pm 2F_{\mp}^2 G_{\pm}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{n,0} &= 1 \boxplus (xy)^n - y \boxplus (xy)^{n-1}x + yx \boxplus (xy)^{n-1} - \cdots - (yx)^{n-1}y \boxplus x + (yx)^n \boxplus 1 \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n (yx)^i \boxplus (xy)^{n-i} - \sum_{i=1}^n (yx)^{i-1}y \boxplus (xy)^{n-i}x
\end{aligned}$$

for every nonnegative integer n . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} (\pm 2)^{-n} \delta_{n,0} u^{2n} \\
&= \sum_{n \geq 0} (\pm 2)^{-n} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n Z^{\boxplus}((yx)^i) Z^{\boxplus}((xy)^{n-i}) - \sum_{i=1}^n Z^{\boxplus}((yx)^{i-1}y) Z^{\boxplus}((xy)^{n-i}x) \right) u^{2n} \\
&= \sum_{n \geq 0} (\pm 2)^{-n} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \zeta(\{2\}^i) Z^{\boxplus}((xy)^{n-i}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_1(\{2\}^{i-1}) \zeta_1(\{2\}^{n-i}) \right) u^{2n} \\
&\quad (\text{Propositions 2.2 and 2.4}) \\
&= \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} (\pm 2)^{-n_1} \zeta(\{2\}^{n_1}) u^{2n_1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_2 \geq 0} (\pm 2)^{-n_2} Z^{\boxplus}((xy)^{n_2}) u^{2n_2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mp 2^{-1} \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} (\pm 2)^{-n_1} \zeta_1(\{2\}^{n_1}) u^{2n_1+1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_2 \geq 0} (\pm 2)^{-n_2} \zeta_1(\{2\}^{n_2}) u^{2n_2+1} \right) \\
 &= G_{\pm} \sum_{n \geq 0} (\pm 2)^{-n} Z^{\square}((xy)^n) u^{2n} \mp 2^{-1} (-2F_{\mp} G_{\pm})^2 \quad (\text{Lemma 2.18}) \\
 &= G_{\pm} \sum_{n \geq 0} (\pm 2)^{-n} Z^{\square}((xy)^n) u^{2n} \mp 2F_{\mp}^2 G_{\pm}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.21. *We have*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \zeta_2(\{1, 3\}^n) u^{4n+2} = 2F_+ F_- G_+ G_-.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \zeta_2(\{1, 3\}^n) u^{4n+2} \\
 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n Z^{\square}(x^2(y^2x^2)^n) u^{4n+2} \quad (\text{Proposition 2.2}) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ n: \text{even}}} (-1)^{n/2} Z^{\square}(x^2(y^2x^2)^{n/2}) u^{2n+2} \\
 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{-n-1} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i Z^{\square}(x(yx)^i) Z^{\square}(x(yx)^{n-i}) u^{2n+2} \quad (\text{Lemma 2.8}) \\
 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{-n-1} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \zeta_1(\{2\}^i) \zeta_1(\{2\}^{n-i}) u^{2n+2} \quad (\text{Proposition 2.2}) \\
 &= 2^{-1} \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} (-2)^{-n_1} \zeta_1(\{2\}^{n_1}) u^{2n_1+1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_2 \geq 0} 2^{-n_2} \zeta_1(\{2\}^{n_2}) u^{2n_2+1} \right) \\
 &= 2^{-1} (-2F_+ G_-) (-2F_- G_+) \quad (\text{Lemma 2.18}) \\
 &= 2F_+ F_- G_+ G_-.
 \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 2.22. *We have*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \zeta_2(\{1, 3\}^n, 1) u^{4n+3} = \frac{F_+ G_-^2 - F_- G_+^2}{G_+ G_-} + 2F_+ F_- (F_+ + F_-) G_+ G_-.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \zeta_2(\{1, 3\}^n, 1) u^{4n+3} \\
 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n Z^{\square}(x^2(y^2x^2)^n y) u^{4n+3} \quad (\text{Proposition 2.2}) \\
 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{-2n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} (-1)^i Z^{\square}(x(yx)^i) Z^{\square}((xy)^{2n-i+1}) u^{4n+3} \quad (\text{Lemma 2.6}) \\
 &= - \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^{-2n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} (-1)^i \zeta_1(\{2\}^i) Z^{\square}((xy)^{2n-i+1}) u^{4n+3} \quad (\text{Proposition 2.2})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2: \text{odd}}} 2^{-n_1-n_2} (-1)^{-n_1} \zeta_1(\{2\}^{n_1}) Z^{\text{III}}((xy)^{n_2}) u^{2(n_1+n_2)+1} \\
&= -2^{-1} \sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} (1 - (-1)^{n_1+n_2}) 2^{-n_1-n_2} (-1)^{-n_1} \zeta_1(\{2\}^{n_1}) Z^{\text{III}}((xy)^{n_2}) u^{2(n_1+n_2)+1} \\
&= -2^{-1} \left(\left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} (-2)^{-n_1} \zeta_1(\{2\}^{n_1}) u^{2n_1+1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_2 \geq 0} 2^{-n_2} Z^{\text{III}}((xy)^{n_2}) u^{2n_2} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} 2^{-n_1} \zeta_1(\{2\}^{n_1}) u^{2n_1+1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_2 \geq 0} (-2)^{-n_2} Z^{\text{III}}((xy)^{n_2}) u^{2n_2} \right) \right) \\
&= -2^{-1} (-2F_+G_-(G_+^{-1} + 2F_-^2G_+) + 2F_-G_+(G_-^{-1} - 2F_+^2G_-)) \quad (\text{Lemmas 2.18 and 2.20}) \\
&= \frac{F_+G_-^2 - F_-G_+^2}{G_+G_-} + 2F_+F_-(F_+ + F_-)G_+G_-. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

2.5. Proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\zeta_{\mathcal{S}_3}(\{3, 1\}^n) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n \zeta(\{3, 1\}^i) \sum_{m=0}^2 \zeta_m(\{1, 3\}^{n-i}) t^m - \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta(\{3, 1\}^{i-1}, 3) \sum_{m=0}^2 \zeta_m(\{1, 3\}^{n-i}, 1) t^m
\end{aligned}$$

for every nonnegative integer n , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \zeta_{\mathcal{S}_3}(\{3, 1\}^n) u^{4n} \\
&= \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \sum_{i=0}^n \zeta(\{3, 1\}^i) \sum_{m=0}^2 \zeta_m(\{1, 3\}^{n-i}) t^m u^{4n} \\
&\quad - \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta(\{3, 1\}^{i-1}, 3) \sum_{m=0}^2 \zeta_m(\{1, 3\}^{n-i}, 1) t^m u^{4n} \\
&= \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} (-1)^{n_1} \zeta(\{3, 1\}^{n_1}) u^{4n_1} \right) \left(\sum_{m=0}^2 \sum_{n_2 \geq 0} (-1)^{n_2} \zeta_m(\{1, 3\}^{n_2}) u^{4n_2} t^m \right) \\
&\quad + \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} (-1)^{n_1} \zeta(\{3, 1\}^{n_1}, 3) u^{4n_1+3} \right) \left(\sum_{m=0}^2 \sum_{n_2 \geq 0} (-1)^{n_2} \zeta_m(\{1, 3\}^{n_2}, 1) u^{4n_2+1} t^m \right) \\
&= \left(\frac{G_+^2 + G_-^2}{2G_+G_-} - (F_+^2 - F_-^2)G_+G_- \right) \left(G_+G_- - (F_+ + F_-)G_+G_- \frac{t}{u} + 2F_+F_-G_+G_- \frac{t^2}{u^2} \right) \\
&\quad + (F_+ - F_-)G_+G_- \left((F_+ + F_-)G_+G_- + \left(\frac{G_+^2 - G_-^2}{2G_+G_-} - (F_+ + F_-)^2G_+G_- \right) \frac{t}{u} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\frac{F_+G_-^2 - F_-G_+^2}{G_+G_-} + 2F_+F_-(F_+ + F_-)G_+G_- \right) \frac{t^2}{u^2} \right) \\
&= \frac{G_+^2 + G_-^2}{2} - (F_+G_-^2 + F_-G_+^2) \frac{t}{u} + (F_+^2G_-^2 + F_-^2G_+^2) \frac{t^2}{u^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemmas 2.9, 2.10, 2.11, 2.12, 2.15, 2.19, 2.21, and 2.22.

Now since Lemma 2.9 implies that

$$\frac{G_+^2 + G_-^2}{2} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2^{2n+1} \pi^{4n}}{(4n+2)!} u^{4n},$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} -(F_+ G_-^2 + F_- G_+^2) \frac{t}{u} &= - \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} 2^{-n_1} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) u^{2n_1+1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_0 \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{n_0} 2^{n_0+1} \pi^{2n_0}}{(2n_0+2)!} u^{2n_0} \right) \frac{t}{u} \\ &\quad - \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} (-2)^{-n_1} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) u^{2n_1+1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_0 \geq 0} \frac{2^{n_0+1} \pi^{2n_0}}{(2n_0+2)!} u^{2n_0} \right) \frac{t}{u} \\ &= - \sum_{n_0, n_1 \geq 0} \frac{((-1)^{n_0} + (-1)^{n_1}) 2^{n_0-n_1+1}}{(2n_0+2)!} \pi^{2n_0} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) u^{2n_0+2n_1} t \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_0+n_1: \text{even}}} \frac{(-1)^{n_0} 2^{n_0-n_1+2}}{(2n_0+2)!} \pi^{2n_0} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) u^{2n_0+2n_1} t, \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} (F_+^2 G_-^2 + F_-^2 G_+^2) \frac{t^2}{u^2} &= \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} 2^{-n_1} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) u^{2n_1+1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_2 \geq 0} 2^{-n_2} \zeta(2n_2 + 1) u^{2n_2+1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_0 \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{n_0} 2^{n_0+1} \pi^{2n_0}}{(2n_0+2)!} u^{2n_0} \right) \frac{t^2}{u^2} \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} (-2)^{-n_1} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) u^{2n_1+1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_2 \geq 0} (-2)^{-n_2} \zeta(2n_2 + 1) u^{2n_2+1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_0 \geq 0} \frac{2^{n_0+1} \pi^{2n_0}}{(2n_0+2)!} u^{2n_0} \right) \frac{t^2}{u^2} \\ &= \sum_{n_0, n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \frac{((-1)^{n_0} + (-1)^{n_1+n_2}) 2^{n_0-n_1-n_2+1}}{(2n_0+2)!} \pi^{2n_0} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) \zeta(2n_2 + 1) u^{2(n_0+n_1+n_2)} t^2 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_0+n_1+n_2: \text{even}}} \frac{(-1)^{n_0} 2^{n_0-n_1-n_2+2}}{(2n_0+2)!} \pi^{2n_0} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) \zeta(2n_2 + 1) u^{2(n_0+n_1+n_2)}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^n \zeta_{S_3}(\{3, 1\}^n) &= \frac{2^{2n+1}}{(4n+2)!} \pi^{4n} - \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_0+n_1=2n}} \frac{(-1)^{n_0} 2^{n_0-n_1+2}}{(2n_0+2)!} \pi^{2n_0} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) t \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_0+n_1+n_2=2n}} \frac{(-1)^{n_0} 2^{n_0-n_1-n_2+2}}{(2n_0+2)!} \pi^{2n_0} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) \zeta(2n_2 + 1) t^2, \end{aligned}$$

from which the theorem follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{S_3}(\{1, 3\}^n, 1) &= - \sum_{i=0}^n \zeta(\{1, 3\}^i) \sum_{m=0}^2 \zeta_m(\{1, 3\}^{n-i}, 1) t^m + \sum_{i=0}^n \zeta(\{1, 3\}^i, 1) \sum_{m=0}^2 \zeta_m(\{1, 3\}^{n-i}) t^m \end{aligned}$$

for every nonnegative integer n , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \zeta_{S_3}(\{1, 3\}^n, 1) u^{4n+1} \\
&= - \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \sum_{i=0}^n \zeta(\{1, 3\}^i) \sum_{m=0}^2 \zeta_m(\{1, 3\}^{n-i}, 1) t^m u^{4n+1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \sum_{i=0}^n \zeta(\{1, 3\}^i, 1) \sum_{m=0}^2 \zeta_m(\{1, 3\}^{n-i}) t^m u^{4n+1} \\
&= - \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} (-1)^{n_1} \zeta(\{1, 3\}^{n_1}) u^{4n_1} \right) \left(\sum_{m=0}^2 \sum_{n_2 \geq 0} (-1)^{n_2} \zeta_m(\{1, 3\}^{n_2}, 1) u^{4n_2+1} t^m \right) \\
&\quad + \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} (-1)^{n_1} \zeta(\{1, 3\}^{n_1}, 1) u^{4n_1+1} \right) \left(\sum_{m=0}^2 \sum_{n_2 \geq 0} (-1)^{n_2} \zeta_m(\{1, 3\}^{n_2}) u^{4n_2} t^m \right) \\
&= -G_+ G_- \left((F_+ + F_-) G_+ G_- + \left(\frac{G_+^2 - G_-^2}{2G_+ G_-} - (F_+ + F_-)^2 G_+ G_- \right) \frac{t}{u} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\frac{F_+ G_-^2 - F_- G_+^2}{G_+ G_-} + 2F_+ F_- (F_+ + F_-) G_+ G_- \right) \frac{t^2}{u^2} \right) \\
&\quad + (F_+ + F_-) G_+ G_- \left(G_+ G_- - (F_+ + F_-) G_+ G_- \frac{t}{u} + 2F_+ F_- G_+ G_- \frac{t^2}{u^2} \right) \\
&= -\frac{G_+^2 - G_-^2}{2} \cdot \frac{t}{u} + (-F_+ G_-^2 + F_- G_+^2) \frac{t^2}{u^2}
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemmas 2.9, 2.11, 2.15, 2.19, 2.21, and 2.22.

Now since Lemma 2.9 implies that

$$-\frac{G_+^2 - G_-^2}{2} \cdot \frac{t}{u} = - \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ n: \text{odd}}} \frac{2^{n+1} \pi^{2n}}{(2n+2)!} u^{2n-1} t = - \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{2^{2n+2} \pi^{4n+2}}{(4n+4)!} u^{4n+1} t$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-F_+ G_-^2 + F_- G_+^2) \frac{t^2}{u^2} \\
&= - \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} 2^{-n_1} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) u^{2n_1+1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_0 \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{n_0} 2^{n_0+1} \pi^{2n_0}}{(2n_0+2)!} u^{2n_0} \right) \frac{t^2}{u^2} \\
&\quad + \left(\sum_{n_1 \geq 0} (-2)^{-n_1} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) u^{2n_1+1} \right) \left(\sum_{n_0 \geq 0} \frac{2^{n_0+1} \pi^{2n_0}}{(2n_0+2)!} u^{2n_0} \right) \frac{t^2}{u^2} \\
&= \sum_{n_0, n_1 \geq 0} \frac{(-(-1)^{n_0} + (-1)^{n_1}) 2^{n_0-n_1+1}}{(2n_0+2)!} \pi^{2n_0} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) u^{2(n_0+n_1)-1} t^2 \\
&= \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_0+n_1: \text{odd}}} \frac{(-1)^{n_1} 2^{n_0-n_1+2}}{(2n_0+2)!} \pi^{2n_0} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) u^{2(n_0+n_1)-1} t^2,
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(-1)^n \zeta_{S_3}(\{1, 3\}^n, 1) = -\frac{2^{2n+2} \pi^{4n+2}}{(4n+4)!} t + \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_0 + n_1 = 2n+1}} \frac{(-1)^{n_1} 2^{n_0 - n_1 + 2}}{(2n_0 + 2)!} \pi^{2n_0} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) t^2$$

from which the theorem follows. \square

2.6. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We define \mathbb{Q} -linear maps $I_0, I_1: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} I_0(k_1, \dots, k_r) &= \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{k_{i+1} + \dots + k_r} (k_1, \dots, k_i) * (k_r, \dots, k_{i+1}), \\ I_1(k_1, \dots, k_r) &= \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{k_{i+1} + \dots + k_r} (k_1, \dots, k_i) * \sigma(k_r, \dots, k_{i+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for all indices (k_1, \dots, k_r) , where $\sigma: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ is the \mathbb{Q} -linear map defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(k_1, \dots, k_r) &= \sum_{\substack{l_1, \dots, l_r \geq 0 \\ l_1 + \dots + l_r = 1}} (k_1 + l_1, \dots, k_r + l_r) \prod_{i=1}^r \binom{k_i + l_i - 1}{l_i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r k_i (k_1, \dots, k_{i-1}, k_i + 1, k_{i+1}, \dots, k_r). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\zeta_{S_2}^*(k_1, \dots, k_r) = \zeta^*(I_0(k_1, \dots, k_r)) + \zeta^*(I_1(k_1, \dots, k_r))t$$

and that

$$\sigma(\mathbf{k} * \mathbf{l}) = \sigma(\mathbf{k}) * \mathbf{l} + \mathbf{k} * \sigma(\mathbf{l})$$

for every $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{I}$.

Lemma 2.23. *If a and b are odd positive integers, then we have*

$$I_0(\{a, b\}^n) = (-1)^n (\{a + b\}^n)$$

for every nonnegative integer n .

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . The assertion is obvious for $n = 0$; suppose that it is true for n . Write $k_i = a$ for odd i and $k_i = b$ for even i . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_0(\{a, b\}^{n+1}) \\
&= I_0(k_1, \dots, k_{2n+2}) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{2n+2} (-1)^{k_{i+1} + \dots + k_{2n+2}} (k_1, \dots, k_i) * (k_{2n+2}, \dots, k_{i+1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{2n+2} (-1)^i (k_1, \dots, k_i) * (k_{2n+2}, \dots, k_{i+1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} (-1)^i (((k_1, \dots, k_{i-1}) * (k_{2n+2}, \dots, k_{i+1}), k_i) + ((k_1, \dots, k_i) * (k_{2n+2}, \dots, k_{i+2}), k_{i+1})) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} (-1)^i ((k_1, \dots, k_{i-1}) * (k_{2n+2}, \dots, k_{i+2}), k_i + k_{i+1}) \\
&\quad + (k_{2n+2}, \dots, k_1) + (k_1, \dots, k_{2n+2}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} (-1)^i ((k_1, \dots, k_{i-1}) * (k_{2n+2}, \dots, k_{i+2}), k_i + k_{i+1}) \\
&= - \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i (k_1, \dots, k_i) * (k_{2n}, \dots, k_{i+1}), a + b \right) \\
&= -(I_0(k_1, \dots, k_{2n}), a + b) \\
&= -(I_0(\{a, b\}^n), a + b) \\
&= -(-1)^n (\{a + b\}^n, a + b) \quad (\text{induction hypothesis}) \\
&= (-1)^{n+1} (\{a + b\}^{n+1}). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.24. *If k_1, \dots, k_r are positive integers with $k_1 + \dots + k_r$ even, then we have*

$$I_1(k_1, \dots, k_r) + I_1(k_r, \dots, k_1) = \sigma(I_0(k_1, \dots, k_r)).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_1(k_1, \dots, k_r) + I_1(k_r, \dots, k_1) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{k_{i+1} + \dots + k_r} (k_1, \dots, k_i) * \sigma(k_r, \dots, k_{i+1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{k_1 + \dots + k_i} (k_r, \dots, k_{i+1}) * \sigma(k_1, \dots, k_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{k_{i+1} + \dots + k_r} ((k_1, \dots, k_i) * \sigma(k_r, \dots, k_{i+1}) + \sigma(k_1, \dots, k_i) * (k_r, \dots, k_{i+1})) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{k_{i+1} + \dots + k_r} \sigma((k_1, \dots, k_i) * (k_r, \dots, k_{i+1})) \\
&= \sigma(I_0(k_1, \dots, k_r)). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.25. *We have*

$$I_1(\{1, 3\}^n) + I_1(\{3, 1\}^n) = 4(-1)^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i (4i + 5) * (\{4\}^{n-i-1})$$

for every positive integer n .

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(\{1, 3\}^n) + I_1(\{3, 1\}^n) &= \sigma(I_0(\{1, 3\}^n)) \quad (\text{Lemma 2.24}) \\ &= (-1)^n \sigma(\{4\}^n) \quad (\text{Lemma 2.23}) \\ &= \binom{4+1-1}{1} (-1)^n ((\{4\}^{n-1}) \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}(5)) \\ &= 4(-1)^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i (4i + 5) * (\{4\}^{n-i-1}) \quad (\text{Lemma 2.16}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Lemma 2.23 shows that

$$\zeta_{S_1}(\{1, 3\}^n) = \zeta^*(I_0(\{1, 3\}^n)) = (-1)^n \zeta(\{4\}^n) = \frac{2(-4)^n}{(4n+2)!} \pi^{4n}$$

for every nonnegative integer n .

We now compute $\zeta(I_1(\{1, 3\}^n))$, the coefficient of t in $\zeta_{S_2}(\{1, 3\}^n)$, for nonnegative integers n . Since we obviously have $\zeta(I_1(\{1, 3\}^0)) = 0$, we assume that $n \geq 1$. Lemma 2.25 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\zeta(I_1(\{1, 3\}^n)) + \zeta(I_1(\{3, 1\}^n)) \\ &= 4(-1)^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \zeta(4i + 5) \zeta(\{4\}^{n-i-1}) \\ &= -4 \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_0 + n_1 = n}} (-1)^{n_0} \zeta(\{4\}^{n_0}) \zeta(4n_1 + 1) \\ &= -4 \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_0 + n_1 = n}} \frac{2(-4)^{n_0}}{(4n_0 + 2)!} \pi^{4n_0} \zeta(4n_1 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since Theorem 1.3 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\zeta(I_1(\{3, 1\}^n)) \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_0 + n_1 = 2n}} \frac{(-1)^{n_0} 2^{n_0 - n_1 + 2}}{(2n_0 + 2)!} \pi^{2n_0} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) \\ &= -(-1)^n \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_0 + n_1 = 2n \\ n_0, n_1: \text{even}}} \frac{2^{n_0 - n_1 + 2}}{(2n_0 + 2)!} \pi^{2n_0} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) + (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_0 + n_1 = 2n \\ n_0, n_1: \text{odd}}} \frac{2^{n_0 - n_1 + 2}}{(2n_0 + 2)!} \pi^{2n_0} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) \\ &= -(-1)^n \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_0 + n_1 = n}} \frac{2^{2n_0 - 2n_1 + 2}}{(4n_0 + 2)!} \pi^{4n_0} \zeta(4n_1 + 1) + (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_0 + n_1 = 2n \\ n_0, n_1: \text{odd}}} \frac{2^{n_0 - n_1 + 2}}{(2n_0 + 2)!} \pi^{2n_0} \zeta(2n_1 + 1), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \zeta(I_1(\{1, 3\}^n)) \\
&= -4 \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_0 + n_1 = n}} \frac{2(-4)^{n_0}}{(4n_0 + 2)!} \pi^{4n_0} \zeta(4n_1 + 1) \\
&\quad + (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_0 + n_1 = n}} \frac{2^{2n_0 - 2n_1 + 2}}{(4n_0 + 2)!} \pi^{4n_0} \zeta(4n_1 + 1) - (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_0 + n_1 = 2n \\ n_0, n_1: \text{odd}}} \frac{2^{n_0 - n_1 + 2}}{(2n_0 + 2)!} \pi^{2n_0} \zeta(2n_1 + 1) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_0 + n_1 = n}} \frac{(-4)^{n_0 + 1} (2 - (-4)^{-n_1})}{(4n_0 + 2)!} \pi^{4n_0} \zeta(4n_1 + 1) - (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{n_0, n_1 \geq 0 \\ n_0 + n_1 = 2n \\ n_0, n_1: \text{odd}}} \frac{2^{n_0 - n_1 + 2}}{(2n_0 + 2)!} \pi^{2n_0} \zeta(2n_1 + 1),
\end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP18K03243 and JP18K13392 and by Grant for Basic Science Research Projects from The Sumitomo Foundation.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bachmann and S. Charlton, *Generalized Jacobi-Trudi determinants and evaluations of Schur multiple zeta values*, European J. Combin. **87** (2020), 103133, 15.
- [2] H. Bachmann and Y. Yamasaki, *Checkerboard style Schur multiple zeta values and odd single zeta values*, Math. Z. **290** (2018), no. 3-4, 1173–1197.
- [3] J. Blümlein, D. J. Broadhurst, and J. A. M. Vermaseren, *The multiple zeta value data mine*, Comput. Phys. Comm. **181** (2010), no. 3, 582–625.
- [4] J. M. Borwein, D. M. Bradley, D. J. Broadhurst, and P. Lisoněk, *Combinatorial aspects of multiple zeta values*, Electron. J. Combin. **5** (1998), Research Paper 38, 12.
- [5] J. M. Borwein, D. M. Bradley, D. J. Broadhurst, and P. Lisoněk, *Special values of multiple polylogarithms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), no. 3, 907–941.
- [6] D. Bowman and D. M. Bradley, *Resolution of some open problems concerning multiple zeta evaluations of arbitrary depth*, Compositio Math. **139** (2003), no. 1, 85–100.
- [7] M. E. Hoffman, *The algebra of multiple harmonic series*, J. Algebra **194** (1997), no. 2, 477–495.
- [8] K. Ihara, M. Kaneko, and D. Zagier, *Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values*, Compos. Math. **142** (2006), no. 2, 307–338.
- [9] D. Jarossay, *Adjoint cyclotomic multiple zeta values and cyclotomic multiple harmonic values*, arXiv:1412.5099v5.
- [10] M. Kaneko, *An introduction to classical and finite multiple zeta values*, Publications mathématiques de Besançon. Algèbre et théorie des nombres, no. 1 (2019), 103–129.
- [11] M. Kaneko and D. Zagier, *Finite multiple zeta values*, in preparation.
- [12] H. Murahara, T. Onozuka, and S. Seki, *Bowman-Bradley type theorem for finite multiple zeta values in \mathcal{A}_2* , Osaka J. Math. **57** (2020), no. 3, 647–653.
- [13] M. Ono, K. Sakurada, and S. Seki, *A note on \mathcal{F}_n -multiple zeta values*, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli **69** (2021), 51–74.
- [14] M. Ono, S. Seki, and S. Yamamoto, *Truncated t -adic symmetric multiple zeta values and double shuffle relations*, Res. Number Theory **7** (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 15, 28.
- [15] C. Reutenauer, *Free Lie algebras*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 7, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993, Oxford Science Publications.
- [16] J. Rosen, *The completed finite period map and Galois theory of supercongruences*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2019), no. 23, 7379–7405.

- [17] S. Saito and N. Wakabayashi, *Bowman-Bradley type theorem for finite multiple zeta values*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **68** (2016), no. 2, 241–251.

(Minoru Hirose) INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED RESEARCH, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, FURO-CHO, CHIKUSA-KU, NAGOYA, 464-8602, JAPAN

Email address: `minoru.hirose@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp`

(Hideki Murahara) THE UNIVERSITY OF KITAKYUSHU, 4-2-1 KITAGATA, KOKURAMINAMI-KU, KITAKYUSHU, FUKUOKA, 802-8577, JAPAN

Email address: `hmurahara@mathformula.page`

(Shingo Saito) FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCE, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, 744, MOTOOKA, NISHI-KU, FUKUOKA, 819-0395, JAPAN

Email address: `ssaito@artsci.kyushu-u.ac.jp`