

ON EXCHANGE MATRICES FROM STRING DIAGRAMS

PEIGEN CAO

ABSTRACT. Inspired by Fock-Goncharov's amalgamation procedure [FG06], Shen-Weng introduced string diagrams in [SW21], which are very useful to describe many interesting skew-symmetrizable matrices closely related with Lie theory. In this paper, we prove that the skew-symmetrizable matrices from string diagrams are in the smallest class \mathcal{P}' of skew-symmetrizable matrices containing the 1×1 zero matrix and closed under mutations and source-sink extensions. This result applies to the exchange matrices of cluster algebras from double Bruhat cells, unipotent cells, double Bott-Samelson cells and so on.

Our main result can be used to explain why many skew-symmetrizable matrices from Lie theory have reddening sequences. It can be also used to prove some interesting results regarding non-degenerate potentials on many quivers from Lie theory.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	2
2.1. Mutations of matrices and quivers	2
2.2. Source-sink extensions and the class \mathcal{P}'	3
3. Triangulations, string diagrams and their exchange matrices	4
3.1. Exchange matrices from string diagrams	4
3.2. Examples for the case $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) = (\emptyset, \mathbf{j})$	7
4. Exchange matrices from string diagrams are in the class in \mathcal{P}'	9
4.1. Reduce (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) to $(\emptyset, \mathbf{i}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{j})$	9
4.2. Exchange matrices from string diagrams are in the class in \mathcal{P}'	11
References	17

1. INTRODUCTION

Cluster algebras \mathcal{A} were invented by Fomin and Zelevinsky [FZ02] as a combinatorial approach to the dual canonical bases of quantized enveloping algebras [Lus90, Lus91, Kas90]. Such algebras often arise as the coordinate rings of spaces, such as double Bruhat cells [BFZ05], unipotent cells [GLS11], double Bott-Samelson cells [SW21] and arise as the Grothendieck rings of certain monoidal subcategories of the representations of quantum affine algebras [HL10, HL13].

An important input to define a cluster algebra \mathcal{A} is a skew-symmetrizable matrix B , called an *exchange matrix* of \mathcal{A} . In this paper, we show that the exchange matrices of many interesting cluster algebras from Lie theory are in the smallest class \mathcal{P}' of skew-symmetrizable matrices containing the 1×1 zero matrix and closed under mutations and source-sink extensions. This can be used to explain why many skew-symmetrizable matrices from Lie theory have reddening sequences in the sense of [KD20]. It can be also used to prove some interesting results regarding non-degenerate potentials [DWZ08] on many quivers from Lie theory.

We remark that source-sink extensions play an important role in Muller's study [Mul13] of locally acyclic cluster algebras and in Fei-Weyman's study [FW] of cluster models of upper cluster algebras.

Now we are going to clarify the set-up to introduce our main result in this paper. Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_{\ell(\mathbf{i})})$ be a sequence with $1 \leq i_j \leq n$, where n is a fixed positive integer. Such a sequence is called a $[1, n]$ -sequence with length $\ell(\mathbf{i})$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13F60.

Key words and phrases. cluster algebra, exchange matrix, string diagram, source-sink extension.

Let $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ be a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix and (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) a pair of $[1, n]$ -sequences. Then we have a trapezoid $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$ and we can consider the triangulations of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$ (see Section 3 for details). We remark that one can just identify a triangulation of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$ with a shuffle of the pair (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) of $[1, n]$ -sequences.

For each triangulation T of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$, we can define a string diagram $D(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A), T)$. Then we can use the string diagram $D(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A), T)$ to define a skew-symmetrizable matrix B , which is called the *exchange matrix* of T . The matrix B is closely related with the matrices (or quivers, in skew-symmetric case) appearing in [BFZ05, BIRS09, GLS11, SW21]. More precisely:

- If A is a Cartan matrix of finite type and the triangulation T (identified with a shuffle of (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})) of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$ corresponds a reduced word for a pair (u, v) of elements of the Weyl group of the Cartan matrix A , then the matrix B here is exactly the same with the principal part (i.e., exchangeable part) of the extended exchange matrix constructed in [BFZ05] (see Example 3.6).
- If A is symmetric, then the matrix B is skew-symmetric and thus corresponds to a quiver Q_B . In the case of $\mathbf{i} = \emptyset$ and \mathbf{j} corresponding to a reduced word of an element of the Weyl group of the Cartan matrix A , the quiver Q_B corresponds to the principal part of the ice quiver constructed in [BIRS09, GLS11] (see Example 3.9).
- Generally, the matrix $-B^T$ corresponds to the principal part of the matrix constructed in [SW21, Section 3], because we slightly changed the construction of the exchange matrix of a string diagram in [SW21] so that it fits well with the matrices or quivers appearing in [BFZ05, GLS11].

The following is our main result in this paper.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 4.8). *Let T be a triangulation of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$ and B the exchange matrix of T . Then B is in the smallest class \mathcal{P}' of skew-symmetrizable matrices containing the 1×1 zero matrix and closed under mutations and source-sink extensions.*

As applications, we have the following corollaries. We refer to [KD20, BM20] for the notation of reddening sequences and [DWZ08] for the basic notions on quivers with potentials (Q, W) and Jacobian algebras $J(Q, W)$.

Corollary 1.2 (Corollary 4.9, [SW21, Section 4]). *Let B be the exchange matrix of a triangulation T of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$. Then B has a reddening sequence.*

Corollary 1.3 (Corollary 4.10). *Let B be the exchange matrix of a triangulation T of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$. Suppose that A is symmetric. In this case, B is skew-symmetric and thus corresponds to a quiver Q_B . Then Q_B has a unique non-degenerate potential W_B (up to right equivalence) which is rigid and its Jacobian algebra $J(Q_B, W_B)$ is finite-dimensional.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the definitions of mutations of matrices and quivers. Then we give the definitions of source-sink extensions and the class \mathcal{P}' . In Section 3 we recall the string diagrams introduced by Shen-Weng [SW21] and explain how to construct a skew-symmetrizable matrix from a string diagram. In Section 4 we give the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and its corollaries.

Acknowledgement. I am very grateful to Prof. Kazhdan for providing me with a very comfortable working environment and to Linhui Shen for his helpful comments and interesting discussions. I would also like to thank Jiarui Fei for answering my questions and Fan Qin for discussions on cluster algebras. This project is supported by the ERC (Grant No. 669655) and the NSF of China (Grant No. 12071422).

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Mutations of matrices and quivers. Given a set of vertices I and let $B = (b_{ij})_{i, j \in I}$ be an integer matrix. We say that B is *skew-symmetrizable* if there is an integer diagonal matrix $S = \text{Diag}(s_i)_{i \in I}$ with $s_i > 0$ such that SB is skew-symmetric.

Let $B = (b_{ij})_{i, j \in I}$ be a skew-symmetrizable integer matrix. The *mutation* of B in direction $k \in I$ is defined to be the new integer matrix $\mu_k(B) = B' = (b'_{ij})_{i, j \in I}$ given by

$$b'_{ij} = \begin{cases} -b_{ij}, & i = k \text{ or } j = k; \\ b_{ij} + \text{sgn}(b_{ik}) \max\{b_{ik} b_{kj}, 0\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It can be checked that $\mu_k(B)$ is still skew-symmetrizable and $\mu_k\mu_k(B) = B$.

If $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ is skew-symmetric, we can represent it using a quiver Q_B . The vertex set of Q_B is I . The entry $b_{ij} > 0$ if and only if there are b_{ij} many arrows from j to i in Q_B . We call Q_B the *usual quiver* of B to distinguish the terminology ‘‘coloured quiver’’ defined in Section 3. Notice that we can recover B from Q_B , because

$$b_{ij} = |j \rightarrow i| - |i \rightarrow j|.$$


A quiver Q is called a *cluster quiver*, if it has no cycles of length ≤ 2 . Clearly, the usual quiver Q_B of a skew-symmetric matrix B is a cluster quiver.

Let Q be a cluster quiver with vertex set I . The *mutation* of Q at vertex $k \in I$ is the new quiver $\mu_k(Q)$ obtained from Q by the following steps.

- (i) For each subquiver $i \xrightarrow{a} k \xrightarrow{b} j$, add a new arrow $i \xrightarrow{[ba]} j$;
- (ii) Reverse all arrows incident with k ;
- (iii) Remove the arrows in a maximal set of pairwise disjoint 2-cycles (e.g. $\bullet \rightleftarrows \bullet$ yields $\bullet \longrightarrow \bullet$, ‘2-reduction’).

Notice that the resulting quiver $\mu_k(Q)$ is still a cluster quiver and we have $\mu_k(\mu_k(Q)) = Q$.

Example 2.1. The following picture shows how the first quiver changes under the above three steps when we do mutation at 1.



Let B be a skew-symmetric matrix and Q_B its usual quiver. The mutation μ_k of B is compatible with that of Q_B , that is, we have

$$\mu_k(Q_B) = Q_{\mu_k(B)}.$$


2.2. Source-sink extensions and the class \mathcal{P}' . Let $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ and $B' = (b'_{ij})_{i,j \in I'}$ be two skew-symmetrizable matrices. Put $J = I \sqcup I'$ and let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a $J \times J$ skew-symmetrizable matrix. We say that A is a *triangular extension* of B and B' if the following three conditions hold.

- $a_{ij} = b_{ij}$ for any $i, j \in I$;
- $a_{ij} = b'_{ij}$ for any $i, j \in I'$;
- Either $a_{ij} \geq 0$ holds for any $i \in I, j \in I'$ or $a_{ij} \leq 0$ holds for any $i \in I, j \in I'$.


Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a triangular extension of $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ and $B' = (b'_{ij})_{i,j \in I'}$. If I' has only one element, that is, B' is the 1×1 zero matrix, then we say that A is a *source-sink extension* of B .

Notice that the notation of triangulation extensions and source-sink extensions make sense for quivers if we restrict to skew-symmetric case.

Example 2.2. Let $Q_B = 1 \longrightarrow 2$ and $Q_{B'} = 3 \longrightarrow 4$. Then the following quiver is a triangular extension of Q_B and $Q_{B'}$



The following quiver is a source-sink extension of Q_B by the single point 5.



Let \mathcal{P} be the class of skew-symmetrizable matrices defined by the following properties.

- The 1×1 zero matrix belongs to \mathcal{P} ;
- The class \mathcal{P} is closed under mutations;
- If $B, B' \in \mathcal{P}$ and A is a triangular extension of B and B' , then $A \in \mathcal{P}$. In other words, the class \mathcal{P} is closed under triangular extensions.

Let \mathcal{P}' be the class of skew-symmetrizable matrices defined by the following properties.

- (a) The 1×1 zero matrix belongs to \mathcal{P}' ;

- (b) The class \mathcal{P}' is closed under mutations;
- (c) The class \mathcal{P}' is closed under source-sink extensions.

Remark 2.3. (i) The classes \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' were studied in [Lad, BM20]. Clearly, we have $\mathcal{P}' \subseteq \mathcal{P}$.

(ii) The skew-symmetrizable matrices in \mathcal{P} enjoy many nice properties inherited from the 1×1 zero matrix, for example, the existence of reddening sequences in the sense of [KD20], cf. [BM20, Theorem 3.3], and the uniqueness of non-degenerate potential (resp. rigid potential) up to right equivalence [DWZ08] in the quiver case, cf. [Lad, Theorem 4.6].

3. TRIANGULATIONS, STRING DIAGRAMS AND THEIR EXCHANGE MATRICES

Inspired by Fock-Goncharov's amalgamation procedure [FG06], Shen-Weng introduced string diagrams in [SW21], which are very useful to describe many interesting skew-symmetrizable matrices closely related with Lie theory.

3.1. Exchange matrices from string diagrams. Recall that a *symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix* $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ is an integer matrix satisfying

- $a_{ii} = 2$ for any $i = 1, \dots, n$;
- $a_{ij} \leq 0$ for any $i \neq j$;
- $a_{ij} = 0$ if and only if $a_{ji} = 0$;
- there is an integer diagonal matrix $S = \text{Diag}(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ with $s_i > 0$ such that SA is a symmetric matrix.

From now on, by a Cartan matrix we always mean a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix.

Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_{\ell(\mathbf{i})})$ be a sequence with $1 \leq i_j \leq n$. Such a sequence is called a $[1, n]$ -*sequence* with length $\ell(\mathbf{i})$.


Given a pair (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) of $[1, n]$ -sequences, we can draw a trapezoid $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}} := S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$ with bases of lengths $\ell(\mathbf{i})$ and $\ell(\mathbf{j})$. We label the i -th unit interval of the top base using the i -th integer in \mathbf{i} and label the j -th unit interval of the bottom base using the j -th integer in \mathbf{j} .

A *triangulation* T of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$ a collection of line segments called *diagonals*, each of which connects a marked point on the top to a marked point on the bottom and they divide the trapezoid $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$ into triangles.

Remark 3.1. (i) The Cartan matrix A plays no role in $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}} = S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$ and its triangulations T , but it will be used when we try to define a skew-symmetrizable matrix from $(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A), T)$.

(ii) We can identify a triangulation T of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}} = S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$ with a shuffle of the pair (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) of $[1, n]$ -sequences, see the example followed.

Example 3.2. Below is a triangulation T of the trapezoid $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}} = S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$, where $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) = ((1, 2, 3), (3, 1, 1, 3, 2))$.



The triangulation above is identified with the shuffle $(3, 1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 2)$ of $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) = ((1, 2, 3), (3, 1, 1, 3, 2))$.

Let T be a triangulation of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$, we can define a *string diagram* $D(T) = D(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A), T)$, which is given as follows:

- Draw n horizontal lines across the trapezoid $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$; call the k -th line from the top the k -th *level*.
- For each triangle whose bottom edge labeled by $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we put a node labeled by k on the k -th level within the triangle.
- For each triangle whose top edge labeled by $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we put a node labeled by $-k$ on the k -th level within the triangle.
- The nodes cut the horizontal lines into line segments called *string*. Strings with nodes at both ends are called *closed strings*. The remaining strings are called *open strings*.

We remark that the Cartan matrix A still plays no role in the definition of string diagrams.




FIGURE 1. An example of string diagram.

Example 3.3. Blue part of Figure 1 shows the string diagram $D(S_j^i(A), T)$ associated to $(S_j^i(A), T)$ in Example 3.2.

In a string diagram, we always label the closed strings on the k -th level from left to right by $\binom{k}{1}, \binom{k}{2}, \binom{k}{3}, \dots$. Denote by I the set of closed strings in a string diagram. We always view I as an ordered set with the order: $\binom{k_1}{i} \preceq \binom{k_2}{j}$ if $k_1 < k_2$ or $k_1 = k_2$ and $i \leq j$. For example, in Figure 1

$$I = \left\{ \binom{1}{1} \prec \binom{1}{2} \prec \binom{2}{1} \prec \binom{3}{1} \prec \binom{3}{2} \right\}.$$

This order will be used when we try to write down a matrix indexed by I .

To every string diagram $D(S_j^i(A), T)$ (and hence to every pair $(S_j^i(A), T)$), we construct a skew-symmetrizable matrix $B = (b_{ij})_{i, j \in I}$, where I is the set of closed strings of the string diagram. The entries of B are determined by

$$B = \sum_{\text{nodes } m} B^{(m)},$$

where each $B^{(m)} = (b_{ij}^{(m)})$ is defined as follows: Suppose that the node m belongs to the k -th level and x and y are the strings in k -th level with the node m an endpoint. Namely, we have

$$\text{---} x \text{---} m \text{---} y \text{---}$$

Denote by

$$\epsilon(m) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m \text{ is labeled by } k; \\ -1, & \text{if } m \text{ is labeled by } -k. \end{cases}$$

- If both x and y are closed strings, then we define

$$b_{xy}^{(m)} = -b_{yx}^{(m)} = 1 \cdot \epsilon(m).$$

- Let z be a closed string on the j -th level with $j \neq k$ such that z intersects with the triangle containing the node m . If x is a closed string, we set

$$b_{xz}^{(m)} = \frac{a_{kj}}{2} \cdot \epsilon(m) \quad \text{and} \quad b_{zx}^{(m)} = -\frac{a_{jk}}{2} \cdot \epsilon(m).$$

If y is a closed string, we set

$$b_{yz}^{(m)} = -\frac{a_{kj}}{2} \cdot \epsilon(m) \quad \text{and} \quad b_{zy}^{(m)} = \frac{a_{jk}}{2} \cdot \epsilon(m).$$

- The remain entries of $B^{(m)}$ are zero.

The matrix B constructed above is called the *exchange matrix* of T .

Now we explain why B is skew-symmetrizable (see also [SW21, Section 3.1]). Let $S = \text{Diag}(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ be an integer diagonal matrix with $s_i > 0$ such that SA is symmetric. If x is a closed string of $D(S_j^i(A), T)$ on the k -th level, we define $s'_x := s_k$. Then $S' = \text{Diag}(s'_x)_{x \in I}$ is a skew-symmetrizer of B , that is, $S'B$ is skew-symmetric. Thus B is skew-symmetrizable. Clearly, if A is symmetric, then B is skew-symmetric.

Remark 3.4. (i) By the construction of B , we know that if x with endpoints m_1, m_2 and z with endpoints n_1, n_2 are two closed strings on different levels, then the (x, z) -entry b_{xz} of B is given by

$$b_{xz} = b_{xz}^{(m_1)} + b_{xz}^{(m_2)} + b_{xz}^{(n_1)} + b_{xz}^{(n_2)}.$$

(ii) Although $B^{(m)}$ have entries with denominator 2, when we sum up all nodes m , the resulting matrix B is an integer matrix. In fact, if x and y are on the same level, then $b_{xy} = -b_{yx} \in \{0, \pm 1\}$. If x is on the level k and z is on the level j with $j \neq k$, then $b_{xz} \in \{0, \pm a_{kj}\}$.

(iii) We can use a *coloured quiver* to represent B . Because the absolute values of non-zero entries b_{xz} of B are determined by the levels data of x and z , the only extra data we need to record are the signs of b_{xz} . We record the signs using two different types of arrows. If x and z are on the same level and $b_{xz} = -1$, then we draw an (horizontal) arrow of the form $x \longrightarrow z$. If x is on the level k and z is on the level $j \neq k$ and $b_{xz} = a_{kj} < 0$, then we draw an (inclined) arrow of the form $x \rightsquigarrow z$.

(iv) The matrix $-B^T$ corresponds to the principal part of the matrix constructed in [SW21, Section 3], because we slightly changed the construction of the exchange matrix of a string diagram in [SW21].


Example 3.5. Let $D(S_j^i(A), T)$ be the string diagram in Figure 1. The set of closed strings is the following ordered set.

$$I = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

We will take different Cartan matrices and look at the coloured quivers and the exchange matrices of $D(S_j^i(A), T)$.

(i) Take the Cartan matrices $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -5 & -7 \\ -5 & 2 & -9 \\ -7 & -9 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $A' = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -6 & -8 \\ -3 & 2 & -10 \\ -4 & -10 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. Then the string


diagrams $D(S_j^i(A), T)$ and $D(S_j^i(A'), T)$ have the same coloured quiver. Their common coloured quiver is as follows:




However, $D(S_j^i(A), T)$ and $D(S_j^i(A'), T)$ has different exchange matrices, which are given as follows:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -9 & 9 \\ 0 & -7 & 9 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -9 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -10 & 10 \\ 0 & -4 & 10 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -10 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$


(ii) Take the Cartan matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -6 & -8 \\ -3 & 2 & 0 \\ -4 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. Then the coloured quiver and the exchange matrix of $D(S_j^i(A), T)$ are given as follows:



Example 3.6. Take the Cartan matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ and consider the following string diagram $D(S_j^1(A), T)$.



Then the coloured quiver and the exchange matrix of $D(S_j^1(A), T)$ are given as follows:




Under the new order $\{ \binom{1}{1} < \binom{2}{1} < \binom{1}{2} < \binom{1}{3} \}$ of the vertex set $I = \{ \binom{1}{1} \prec \binom{2}{1} \prec \binom{1}{2} \prec \binom{1}{3} \}$, the exchange matrix B becomes

$$B' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

One can see that B' is exactly the same with the exchange matrix in [BFZ05, Example 2.18].

Proposition 3.7. [SW21, Proposition 3.7] *Let T be a triangulation of $S_j^1(A)$ and T' a triangulation of $S_j^1(A)$ obtained from T by flip a diagonal inside a quadrilateral whose top edge and bottom edge are labelled by i and j respectively (see as follows).*




- (i) *If $i \neq j$, then the exchange matrices of T and T' are the same;*
- (ii) *If $i = j$, then the exchange matrix of T and that of T' are obtained from each other by mutating the vertex corresponding to the closed string on the i -th level with endpoints $j = i$ and $-i$ inside the quadrilateral.*

Proposition 3.8. [SW21, Proposition 3.3] *Let T, T' be two triangulations of $S_j^1(A)$ and B, B' their exchange matrices. Then*

- (i) *T and T' can be obtained from each other by a sequence of flips;*
- (ii) *B and B' can be obtained from each other by a sequence of mutations.*


3.2. Examples for the case $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) = (\emptyset, \mathbf{j})$. In this subsection, we focus on the case $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) = (\emptyset, \mathbf{j})$. In this case, the trapezoid $S_j^0(A)$ is in fact a triangle and there is only one available triangulation T_j in this case, which is as follows:




Thus for each $[1, n]$ -sequence \mathbf{j} , there is an exchange matrix $B_{\mathbf{j}}$ associated with $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\theta}(A)$. If A is symmetric, then $B_{\mathbf{j}}$ is skew-symmetric. In this case, we can represent $B_{\mathbf{j}}$ using its usual quiver $Q_{\mathbf{j}}$. One can see that the quiver $Q_{\mathbf{j}}$ corresponds to the principal part of the ice quivers appearing in [BIRS09, GLS11].

Example 3.9. Take the Cartan matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 & -2 \\ -3 & 2 & -2 \\ -2 & -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_{10}) = (1, 2, 1, 3, 1, 2, 1, 2, 3, 2)$.

Then the triangulation $T_{\mathbf{j}}$ and the string diagram of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\theta}(A)$ are as follows:




Because the Cartan matrix A here is symmetric, we know that the exchange matrix $B_{\mathbf{j}}$ of the above triangulation is skew-symmetric. The usual quiver $Q_{\mathbf{j}}$ of $B_{\mathbf{j}}$ is as follows:




where $j \xrightarrow{q} i$ means that there are q arrows from j to i . (The readers can compare this example with the one in [GLS11, Section 13.2, Page 405].)

Example 3.10. Take the Cartan matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -6 & -8 \\ -3 & 2 & -10 \\ -4 & -10 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_7) = (1, 2, 1, 3, 1, 3, 2)$.

Then the triangulation $T_{\mathbf{j}}$ and the string diagram of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\theta}(A)$ are as follows:



Then the coloured quiver and the exchange matrix of the above triangulation are given as follows:



4. EXCHANGE MATRICES FROM STRING DIAGRAMS ARE IN THE CLASS IN \mathcal{P}'


4.1. **Reduce (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) to $(\emptyset, \mathbf{i}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{j})$.** Let $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$ be the trapezoid associated with a pair (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) of $[1, n]$ -sequences, say

$$\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_{\ell(\mathbf{i})}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_{\ell(\mathbf{j})}).$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} L_d(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)) &:= S_{\mathbf{j}'}^{\mathbf{i}'}(A), \text{ where } \mathbf{i}' = (j_1, i_1, \dots, i_{\ell(\mathbf{i})}) \text{ and } \mathbf{j}' = (j_2, \dots, j_{\ell(\mathbf{j})}); \\ L_u(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)) &:= S_{\mathbf{j}'}^{\mathbf{i}'}(A), \text{ where } \mathbf{i}' = (i_2, \dots, i_{\ell(\mathbf{i})}) \text{ and } \mathbf{j}' = (i_1, j_1, \dots, j_{\ell(\mathbf{j})}); \\ R_d(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)) &:= S_{\mathbf{j}'}^{\mathbf{i}'}(A), \text{ where } \mathbf{i}' = (i_1, \dots, i_{\ell(\mathbf{i})}, j_{\ell(\mathbf{j})}) \text{ and } \mathbf{j}' = (j_1, \dots, j_{\ell(\mathbf{j})-1}); \\ R_u(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)) &:= S_{\mathbf{j}'}^{\mathbf{i}'}(A), \text{ where } \mathbf{i}' = (i_1, \dots, i_{\ell(\mathbf{i})-1}) \text{ and } \mathbf{j}' = (j_1, \dots, j_{\ell(\mathbf{j})}, i_{\ell(\mathbf{i})}). \end{aligned}$$

We know that each triangulation T of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$ cuts the trapezoid $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$ into $\ell(\mathbf{i}) + \ell(\mathbf{j})$ small triangles. Each triangle of T is labeled by an integer in \mathbf{i} or \mathbf{j} and it looks like




Clearly, the leftmost triangle of T is either labeled by the first integer in \mathbf{i} or labeled by the first integer in \mathbf{j} .

If T is a triangulation of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$ such that the leftmost triangle of T is labeled by the first integer in \mathbf{j} , then we define

$$L_d(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A), T) := (L_d(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)), T'),$$


where T' is obtained from T by the following replacement for the leftmost triangle of T .



If T is a triangulation of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$ such that the leftmost triangle of T is labeled by the first integer in \mathbf{i} , then we define

$$L_u(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A), T) := (L_u(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)), T'),$$

where T' is obtained from T by the following replacement for the leftmost triangle of T .



One can similarly define $R_d(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A), T)$ for T whose rightmost triangle is labeled by the last integer in \mathbf{j} and $R_u(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A), T)$ for T whose rightmost triangle is labeled by the last integer in \mathbf{i} .

We remark that the definitions of L_d, L_u, R_d, R_u follow the ideas of the definitions of reflections in [SW21, Section 2.3].


Example 4.1. Take $(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A), T)$ as the one in Example 3.2, then $L_d(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A), T)$ and its string diagram are given in Figure 2. If we further apply L_u to $L_d(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A), T)$, then we go back to $(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A), T)$.

Lemma 4.2. *Given an $N \in \{L_u, L_d, R_u, R_d\}$. If $N(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A), T)$ is defined for T , then the exchange matrices of $(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A), T)$ and $N(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A), T)$ are the same.*


Proof. The proof here is essentially contained in the proof of [SW21, Proposition 4.2]. We prove the case $N = L_d$. By the similar arguments, one can prove the other cases.

Let B be the exchange matrix of $(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A), T)$. Recall that B is defined by

$$B = \sum_{\text{nodes } m \text{ in } T} B^{(m)}.$$

FIGURE 2. After applying L_d to $(S_j^i(A), T)$.

A basic observation is that there is no closed strings in the string diagram of T intersect the leftmost triangle of T transversely. Thanks to this observation and by the definition of $B^{(m)}$, we know that the following replacement



for the leftmost triangle of T preserves each $B^{(m)}$. Hence, the exchange matrices of $(S_j^i(A), T)$ and $N(S_j^i(A), T) = L_d(S_j^i(A), T)$ are the same. \square

Let (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) be a pair of $[1, n]$ -sequences, say

$$\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_{\ell(\mathbf{i})}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_{\ell(\mathbf{j})}).$$

We denote by $\mathbf{i}^{-1} := (i_{\ell(\mathbf{i})}, \dots, i_1)$ and $\mathbf{i}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{j} := (i_{\ell(\mathbf{i})}, \dots, i_1, j_1, \dots, j_{\ell(\mathbf{j})})$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let B be the exchange matrix of a triangulation T of $S_j^i(A)$ and $B_{\mathbf{i}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{j}}$ the exchange matrix of the (unique) triangulation $T_{\mathbf{i}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{j}}$ of $S_{\mathbf{i}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{j}}^0(A)$. Then B and $B_{\mathbf{i}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{j}}$ can be obtained from each other by a sequence of mutations.*

Proof. If $\mathbf{i} = \emptyset$, then $S_j^i(A) = S_{\mathbf{i}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{j}}^0(A) = S_j^0(A)$. Then by the fact that $S_j^0(A)$ has a unique triangulation, we get $T = T_{\mathbf{i}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{j}}$ and $B = B_{\mathbf{i}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{j}}$.

If $\mathbf{i} \neq \emptyset$, then there exists a triangulation M of $S_j^i(A)$ such that the leftmost triangle of M is labeled by the first integer in \mathbf{i} . Denote by B_M the exchange matrix of M . By Proposition 3.8, we know that B and B_M can be obtained from each other by a sequence of mutations.

Notice that $R_u(S_j^i(A), M)$ is defined for M by the choice of M . Then by Lemma 4.2, we know that B_M is also the exchange matrix of $R_u(S_j^i(A), M) = (S_j^{i'}(A), M')$, where $\mathbf{i}' = (i_2, \dots, i_{\ell(\mathbf{i})})$ and $\mathbf{j}' = (i_1, j_1, \dots, j_{\ell(\mathbf{j})})$. So we reduce (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) to $(\mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}')$.

By repeating the above process, we get that B and $B_{\mathbf{i}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{j}}$ can be obtained from each other by a sequence of mutations. \square

We remark that Lemma 4.2 is very useful in practice, because some interesting mutation sequences can be visualized thanks to Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 3.7. We give an example to explain the ideas.

Example 4.4. Keep the notations in Example 3.9. The vertices of Q_j is


$$I = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

We consider the mutation sequence

$$\overleftarrow{\mu} = \mu_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}} \circ \mu_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}} \circ \mu_{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}}.$$

We want to realize each mutation in the above mutation sequence as a flip in a suitable triangulation of some trapezoid.

The triangulation T in Example 3.9 gives the quiver Q_j . However, the triangulation in Example 3.9 clearly can not be flipped. Thanks to Lemma 4.2, we know that Q_j can be also viewed as the quiver of $L_d(S_j^0(A), T) = (L_d(S_j^0(A)), T')$, which is as follows:



In the above triangulation of $L_d(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\emptyset}(A))$, we flip the diagonals


$$(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5, d_6, d_7, d_8, d_9)$$

in the given order and this corresponds to the sequence


$$(\text{id}, \mu_{\binom{1}{1}}, \text{id}, \mu_{\binom{1}{2}}, \text{id}, \mu_{\binom{1}{3}}, \text{id}, \text{id}, \text{id})$$

acting on $Q_{\mathbf{j}}$ by Proposition 3.7. Thus the mutation sequence $\overleftarrow{\mu} = \mu_{\binom{1}{3}} \circ \mu_{\binom{1}{2}} \circ \mu_{\binom{1}{1}}$ can be visualized.

After the sequence of flips, the new triangulation T'' of $L_d(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\emptyset}(A))$ is as follows:



If we further apply R_u to $(L_d(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\emptyset}(A)), T'')$, we get the following triangulation of $R_u L_d(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\emptyset}(A))$.



4.2. Exchange matrices from string diagrams are in the class in \mathcal{P}' . In this section, we give the proof of our main theorem.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $T_{\mathbf{j}}$ be the (unique) triangulation of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\emptyset}(A)$ and $D(T_{\mathbf{j}})$ the string diagram of $T_{\mathbf{j}}$. Let j_1 be the first integer in \mathbf{j} and $m \geq 0$ the number of closed strings on the j_1 -th level of $D(T_{\mathbf{j}})$. Suppose that $m \geq 1$ and put*

$$\overleftarrow{\mu} := \mu_{\binom{j_1}{2}} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_{\binom{j_1}{m-1}} \circ \mu_{\binom{j_1}{m}}.$$

Let $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be the exchange matrix of $T_{\mathbf{j}}$, then the column of $B' := \overleftarrow{\mu}(B) = (b'_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ indexed by the closed string $\binom{j_1}{1}$ is a non-negative vector.

Proof. If $m = 1$, then $\overleftarrow{\mu} = \text{id}$ and $B' = B$. In this case, the result follows from the definition of B . So we can assume that $m \geq 2$.

Denote by I_{j_1} the set of closed strings on the j_1 -th level and by $z_i := \binom{j_1}{i}$ the i -th closed string in I_{j_1} . In the mutation sequence

$$\overleftarrow{\mu} = \mu_{\binom{j_1}{2}} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_{\binom{j_1}{m-1}} \circ \mu_{\binom{j_1}{m}} = \mu_{z_2} \cdots \mu_{z_{m-1}} \mu_{z_m},$$

the vertices outside I_{j_1} are not mutated. So we can just freeze the vertices outside I_{j_1} . This means that we can just set $b_{xz} = 0$ in B for any $z \notin I_{j_1}$. Now B takes the following form:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_{j_1}^\circ & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $B_{j_1} := \begin{bmatrix} B_{j_1}^\circ \\ C \end{bmatrix}$ and $B_{j_1}^\circ$ are the $I \times I_{j_1}$ and $I_{j_1} \times I_{j_1}$ submatrices of B respectively. Notice that the usual quiver of $B_{j_1}^\circ$ is as follows:

$$z_1 \longleftarrow z_2 \longleftarrow z_3 \longleftarrow \cdots \longleftarrow z_{m-1} \longleftarrow z_m$$

Recall that we want to prove that the column of $B' = \overleftarrow{\mu}(B) = (b'_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ indexed by the closed string $z_1 = \binom{j_1}{1}$ is a non-negative vector. It suffices to prove $b'_{xz_1} \geq 0$ for each $x \in I$. We distinguish it into two cases: Case (i): $x \in I_{j_1}$ and Case (ii): $x \notin I_{j_1}$.

Case (i): Assume $x \in I_{j_1}$. We want to prove $b'_{xz_1} \geq 0$. By $x \in I_{j_1}$, we can just set $C = 0$ and then B takes the form

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_{j_1}^\circ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

After the mutation sequence $\overleftarrow{\mu} = \mu_{z_2} \cdots \mu_{z_{m-1}} \mu_{z_m}$, the usual quiver of $B_{j_1}^\circ$ is mutated to the following quiver.

$$z_1 \longrightarrow z_2 \longleftarrow z_3 \longleftarrow \cdots \longleftarrow z_{m-1} \longleftarrow z_m$$

So we have $b'_{xz_1} \in \{0, 1\}$ and thus $b'_{xz_1} \geq 0$ holds in this case.

Case (ii): Assume $x \notin I_{j_1}$, say, x is on the k -th level ($k \neq j_1$). We want to prove $b'_{xz_1} \geq 0$. In this case, we can set the rows of C not indexed by x to the zero vector. Then B takes the form

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_{j_1}^\circ & 0 \\ \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where α is the x -th row of C .

Notice that in the coloured quiver of the exchange matrix of $(S_{\mathbf{j}}^\emptyset(A), T_{\mathbf{j}})$, if the inclined arrows between the levels j_1 and k exist, then they look like as follows.



(The inclined arrow $z_{a_s} \rightsquigarrow x_{b_t}$ does not exist necessarily. But the leftmost inclined arrow between level j_1 and level k always start at the level j_1 .) Since x is a closed string on the level k and α is the x -th row of C , we get that α has at most two non-zero entries.

If $\alpha = 0$, then after the mutation sequence $\overleftarrow{\mu} = \mu_{z_2} \cdots \mu_{z_{m-1}} \mu_{z_m}$, we have

$$\overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_{j_1}^\circ \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} = \overleftarrow{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} B_{j_1}^\circ \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} * \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus $b'_{xz_1} = 0$ and $b'_{xz_1} \geq 0$ holds in this case.


It remains to deal with the case $\alpha \neq 0$. Because the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} B_{j_1}^\circ & -\alpha^T \\ \alpha & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is actually a skew-symmetric matrix, we can represent it using a usual quiver.

If α has exactly one non-zero entry, then the usual quiver of $\begin{bmatrix} B_{j_1}^\circ & -\alpha^T \\ \alpha & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ takes the form

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} z_1 & \longleftarrow & z_2 & \longleftarrow & \cdots & \longleftarrow & z_p & \longleftarrow & z_{p+1} & \longleftarrow & \cdots & \longleftarrow & z_q & \longleftarrow & z_{q+1} & \longleftarrow & \cdots & \longleftarrow & z_m \\ & & & & & & \downarrow & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & -a_{kj_1} & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & x & & & & & & & & & & & & \end{array}$$

where $a_{kj_1} < 0$ is the (k, j_1) -entry of the Cartan matrix A and the $-a_{kj_1}$ arrows from z_p to x correspond to the non-zero entry of α .

the quiver $Q_{\mathbf{j}}$ in Example 3.9 is mutated to the following quiver.




One can see that the vertex $\binom{1}{1}$ becomes a source vertex.

After the mutation sequence

$$\overleftarrow{\mu}' := \mu_{\binom{j_\ell}{m'-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_{\binom{j_\ell}{2}} \circ \mu_{\binom{j_\ell}{1}} = \mu_{\binom{2}{2}} \circ \mu_{\binom{2}{1}},$$

the quiver $Q_{\mathbf{j}}$ in Example 3.9 is mutated to the following quiver.



One can see that the vertex $\binom{2}{3}$ becomes a sink vertex.

Theorem 4.8. *Let B be the exchange matrix of a triangulation T of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$. Then B is in the class \mathcal{P}' .*

Proof. We first deal with the case $\mathbf{i} = \emptyset$. Then we use the result for $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\emptyset}(A)$ to deduce the result for the general case.

Now we assume $\mathbf{i} = \emptyset$. We prove the result for $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\emptyset}(A)$ by induction on the length $\ell(\mathbf{j})$ of

$$\mathbf{j} = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{\ell(\mathbf{j})}).$$

If $\ell(\mathbf{j}) = 1$, then the string diagram of $(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\emptyset}(A), T)$ has no closed strings. So the vertex set of B is empty. In this case, B is in the class \mathcal{P}' following the usual convention.

Assume by induction that the result holds for $(S_{\mathbf{j}'}^{\emptyset}(A), T')$ with $\ell(\mathbf{j}') < \ell(\mathbf{j})$.

For $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{\ell(\mathbf{j})})$, we denote by $\mathbf{j}_{\geq 2} := (j_2, \dots, j_{\ell(\mathbf{j})})$. Let $T_{\geq 2}$ be the (unique) triangulation of $S_{\mathbf{j}_{\geq 2}}^{\emptyset}(A)$ and $B_{\geq 2}$ the exchange matrix of $T_{\geq 2}$. Since $\ell(\mathbf{j}_{\geq 2}) < \ell(\mathbf{j})$, we can apply the inductive hypothesis and get that $B_{\geq 2}$ is in the class \mathcal{P}' .

Let $D(T)$ be the string diagram of $(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\emptyset}(A), T)$ and denote by $m \geq 0$ the number of closed string on the j_1 -th level of $D(T)$. If $m = 0$, then $B = B_{\geq 2}$. Since $B_{\geq 2}$ is in the class \mathcal{P}' , so is B .

So we can assume $m \geq 1$. In this case, $\binom{j_1}{1}$ is a non-empty closed string and thus a vertex of B . By the definition of B and $B_{\geq 2}$, we know that $B_{\geq 2}$ is obtained from B by deleting its row and column indexed by the closed string $\binom{j_1}{1}$.

Let $\overleftarrow{\mu} = \mu_{\binom{j_1}{2}} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_{\binom{j_1}{m-1}} \circ \mu_{\binom{j_1}{m}}$ be the mutation sequence in Lemma 4.5. By Lemma 4.5, we know that $\overleftarrow{\mu}(B)$ is a source-sink extension of $\overleftarrow{\mu}(B_{\geq 2})$. Since $B_{\geq 2}$ is in the class \mathcal{P}' and the class \mathcal{P}' is closed under mutations and source-sink extension, we get $\overleftarrow{\mu}(B)$ is in the class \mathcal{P}' and thus B is in the class \mathcal{P}' . This completes the induction.

Hence, the exchange matrix B of $(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\emptyset}(A), T)$ is in the class \mathcal{P}' for any \mathbf{j} . Now we use this result to deduce the result for the case $\mathbf{i} \neq \emptyset$.

By Proposition 4.3, we know that the exchange matrix B of $(S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A), T)$ can be obtained from the exchange matrix $B_{\mathbf{i}-1\circ\mathbf{j}}$ of $(S_{\mathbf{i}-1\circ\mathbf{j}}^{\emptyset}(A), T_{\mathbf{i}-1\circ\mathbf{j}})$ by a sequence of mutations, where $T_{\mathbf{i}-1\circ\mathbf{j}}$ is the unique triangulation of $S_{\mathbf{i}-1\circ\mathbf{j}}^{\emptyset}(A)$. Notice that we have proved that $B_{\mathbf{i}-1\circ\mathbf{j}}$ is in the class \mathcal{P}' . Because the class \mathcal{P}' is closed under mutations, we get B is in the class \mathcal{P}' . This completes the proof. \square

As applications of Theorem 4.8, we have the following corollaries. We refer to [KD20, BM20] for the notation of reddening sequences and [DWZ08] for the basic notions on quivers with potentials (Q, W) and Jacobian algebras $J(Q, W)$.

Corollary 4.9 ([SW21, Section 4]). Let B be the exchange matrix of a triangulation T of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$. Then B has a reddening sequence.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.8 and [BM20, Theorem 3.3]. □

Corollary 4.10. Let B be the exchange matrix of a triangulation T of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{i}}(A)$. Suppose that A is symmetric. In this case, B is skew-symmetric and thus corresponds to a quiver Q_B . Then Q_B has a unique non-degenerate potential W_B (up to right equivalence) which is rigid and its Jacobian algebra $J(Q_B, W_B)$ is finite-dimensional.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.8 and [Lad, Theorem 4.6]. □


Remark 4.11. In the case of $\mathbf{i} = \emptyset$ and \mathbf{j} corresponding to a reduced word of an element of the Weyl group of the Cartan matrix A , Buan-Iyama-Reiten-Smith constructed a rigid potential W on Q_B in [BIRS11, Section 6]. Then the above corollary tells us that W and W_B are the same up to right equivalence in this case.

Let us end this paper with a very concrete example of Theorem 4.8.


Example 4.12. Take the Cartan matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 & -3 \\ -2 & 2 & -4 \\ -3 & -4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ and

$$\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_{10}, j_{11}) = (2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 3, 1, 3, 2, 2, 1).$$


The string diagram of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\emptyset}(A)$ can be found in [SW21, Page 51] and the usual quiver $Q_{\mathbf{j}}$ of the exchange matrix $B_{\mathbf{j}}$ of $S_{\mathbf{j}}^{\emptyset}(A)$ is the following quiver.




By applying $\mu_{(2)} \circ \mu_{(3)}$ to the above quiver, we get the following quiver.




By removing the source vertex (2_1) and applying $\mu_{(3)} \circ \mu_{(2)}$ to the resulting quiver, we get the quiver associated with $S_{\mathbf{j}_{\geq 2}}^{\emptyset}(A)$, where $\mathbf{j}_{\geq 2} = (j_2, \dots, j_{10}, j_{11}) = (1, 3, 2, 1, 3, 1, 3, 2, 2, 1)$.




By applying $\mu_{(2)}^{(1)} \circ \mu_{(3)}^{(1)}$ to the above quiver, we get the following quiver.




By removing the source vertex $(1)_1$ and applying $\mu_{(3)}^{(1)} \circ \mu_{(2)}^{(1)}$ to the resulting quiver, we get the quiver associated with $S_{\mathbf{j}_{\geq 3}}^{\emptyset}(A)$, where $\mathbf{j}_{\geq 3} = (j_3, \dots, j_{10}, j_{11}) = (3, 2, 1, 3, 1, 3, 2, 2, 1)$.




By applying $\mu_{(2)}^{(3)}$ to the above quiver, we get the following quiver.




By removing the source vertex $(3)_1$ and applying $\mu_{(3)}^{(2)}$ to the resulting quiver, we get the quiver associated with $S_{\mathbf{j}_{\geq 4}}^{\emptyset}(A)$, where $\mathbf{j}_{\geq 4} = (j_4, \dots, j_{10}, j_{11}) = (2, 1, 3, 1, 3, 2, 2, 1)$.




By applying $\mu_{(2)}^{(3)}$ to the above quiver, we get the following quiver.



By removing the source vertex $(2)_2$ and applying $\mu_{(3)}^{(2)}$ to the resulting quiver, we get the quiver associated with $S_{\mathbf{j}_{\geq 5}}^{\emptyset}(A)$, where $\mathbf{j}_{\geq 5} = (j_5, \dots, j_{10}, j_{11}) = (1, 3, 1, 3, 2, 2, 1)$.



By applying $\mu_{\binom{1}{3}}$ to the above quiver, we get the following quiver.



We can continue the previous process or we just stop here, because the above quiver is acyclic and thus is already in the class \mathcal{P}' .

REFERENCES

- [BFZ05] A. Berenstein, S. Fomin, and A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras III: Upper bounds and double Bruhat cells*, Duke Math. J. **126** (2005), no. 1, 1–52.
- [BIRS09] A. B. Buan, O. Iyama, I. Reiten, and J. Scott, *Cluster structures for 2-Calabi-Yau categories and unipotent groups*, Compositio Mathematica **145** (2009), no. 4, 1035–1079.
- [BIRS11] A. B. Buan, O. Iyama, I. Reiten, and D. Smith, *Mutation of cluster-tilting objects and potentials*, Amer. J. Math. **133** (2011), no. 4, 835–887.
- [BM20] E. Bucher and J. Machacek, *Reddening sequences for Banff quivers and the class \mathcal{P}* , SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **16** (2020), 11 pages.
- [DWZ08] H. Derksen, J. Weyman, and A. Zelevinsky, *Quivers with potentials and their representations I: Mutations*, Sel. math., New ser. **14** (2008), 59–119.
- [FG06] V.V. Fock and A.B. Goncharov, *Cluster \mathcal{X} -varieties, amalgamation, and Poisson-Lie groups*, Algebraic geometry and number theory, 2006, pp. 27–68.
- [FW] J. Fei and J. Weyman, *Extending upper cluster algebras*. arXiv:1707.04661 (2017).
- [FZ02] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras I: Foundations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), 497–529.
- [GLS11] C. Geiß, B. Leclerc, and J. Schröer, *Kac-Moody groups and cluster algebras*, Adv. Math. **228** (2011), no. 1, 329–433.
- [HL10] D. Hernandez and B. Leclerc, *Cluster algebras and quantum affine algebras*, Duke Math. J. **154** (2010), no. 2, 265–341.
- [HL13] ———, *Monoidal categorifications of cluster algebras of type A and D*, Symmetries, integrable systems and representations, 2013, pp. 175–193.
- [Kas90] M. Kashiwara, *Bases cristallines*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **311** (1990), no. 6, 277–280.
- [KD20] B. Keller and L. Demonet, *A survey on maximal green sequences*, Contemp. Math. **758** (2020), 267–286.
- [Lad] S. Ladkani, *On cluster algebras from once punctured closed surfaces*. arXiv:1310.4454 (2013).
- [Lus90] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), no. 2, 447–498.
- [Lus91] ———, *Quivers, perverse sheaves, and quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), no. 2, 365–421.
- [Mul13] G. Muller, *Locally acyclic cluster algebras*, Advances in Mathematics **233** (2013), no. 1, 207–247.
- [SW21] L. Shen and D. Weng, *Cluster structures on double Bott–Samelson cells*, Forum Math. Sigma **9** (2021), Paper No. e66, 89 pp.

EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, EDMOND J. SAFRA CAMPUS, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, JERUSALEM 91904, ISRAEL

Email address: peigengcao@126.com