

Complex Supersymmetry in Graphene

Miguel Castillo-Celeita*, Alonso Contreras-Astorga† and David J. Fernández C.‡

*‡ Physics Department, Cinvestav, P.O. Box. 14-740, 07000 Mexico City, Mexico

† CONACyT-Physics Department, Cinvestav, P.O. Box. 14-740, 07000 Mexico City, Mexico

Abstract

This work analyzes monolayer graphene in external electromagnetic fields, which is described by the Dirac equation with minimal coupling. Supersymmetric quantum mechanics allows building new Dirac equations with modified magnetic fields. Here, we will use complex factorization energies and iterate the method in order to arrive at Hermitian graphene Hamiltonians. Finally, we compare these results with the matrix supersymmetric quantum mechanics approach.

1 Introduction

In solid-state physics, graphene is the most recent discovery of allotropes of carbon. Despite its recent finding in lab, its band structure is known since 1947 [1]. When it is studied at low energies, the dispersion relation is linear in the momentum, thus the corresponding Hamiltonian becomes the one for a massless Dirac electron. For years this material was considered unrealistic, because previous theoretical works suggested the instability of 2D crystals at finite temperature [2–4], but its existence was confirmed in 2004 [5, 6]. Since then, there have been a huge amount of research on the topic from both, theoretical and experimental viewpoints. Moreover, since charge carriers in graphene can be modeled by the Dirac equation, it has allowed us to explore with tabletop experiments interesting phenomena of $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional quantum electrodynamics, as the Klein tunneling or the quantum Hall effect [7–10].

To confine or control the charge carriers in a graphene sample, electromagnetic fields have to be applied [11–14]; it has been shown that mechanical deformations can be used as well for that purpose [15–19]. To explore and enrich the configurations where the Dirac equation can be solved exactly (or quasiexactly), supersymmetric quantum mechanics have been used from different approaches [20–32]. From a mathematical perspective, a charge carrier in a graphene layer under an external magnetic field obeys simply a Dirac equation of the form $H\Phi = E\Phi$, where H is a 2×2 matrix Hamiltonian and Φ is a two-entry spinor. By writing the eigenvalue equation in components and decoupling the resulting system of differential equations, it is found that each component must fulfill a Schrödinger equation, where the potentials are supersymmetric partners from each other [21]. Supersymmetry allows, in general, to modify the spectra of the Dirac Hamiltonians through a set of parameters ϵ_i , called factorization energies, that are closely related with the spectral modifications. The case where ϵ_i are real has been widely studied in the above cited references. In this work, we will focus in the case where the ϵ_i are complex parameters, but the Hermiticity of the Dirac Hamiltonians produced by the supersymmetric technique is not completely lost, thus producing Hamiltonians having real energy spectra [33, 34]. We will study two different approaches, the first one where we take advantage of the Schrödinger equations satisfied by each component of the eigenspinor, and the second approach where the matrix nature of the Hamiltonian is used and exploited. This article is organized as follows: first we present details of the complex supersymmetric quantum mechanics applied to the Schrödinger equation as an introduction (see Section 2); in Section 3 we give a general framework to

*mfcastillo@fis.cinvestav.mx

†alonso.contreras@conacyt.mx

‡david@fis.cinvestav.mx

use the complex supersymmetry for the Dirac equation, and we illustrate this procedure with an interesting example; Section 4 introduces a matrix approach to supersymmetry, which is a more general framework containing the treatment of Section 3, and it is also illustrated with an example; finally, we summarize our conclusions in the last section.

2 Complex supersymmetric quantum mechanics

Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics (SUSY) is a technique that allows us to find solutions of a new Schrödinger equation given that we know the solution of an initial Schrödinger equation [35–40]. The simplest SUSY transformation involves a first-order differential operator that intertwines two Schrödinger Hamiltonians. The technique can add a new energy level below the ground state, delete the initial ground state, or produce isospectral Hamiltonians. Those modifications of the spectrum depend on the value of so called factorization energy ϵ and the election of an auxiliary function $u(x)$. Note that the value of ϵ becomes the position of the ground state in the generated Hamiltonian. On the other hand, through a second-order differential intertwining operator it is possible to build isospectral Hermitian Hamiltonians using a complex factorization energy ϵ . Although, the goal of this work is to study the Dirac equation, for the sake of completeness let us give a brief review of the complex case of SUSY; more details can be found in [37].

2.1 First-order complex supersymmetry

We start by considering the Schrödinger equation for a Hermitian Hamiltonian h_0 with a time-independent potential v_0 :

$$h_0\phi = \epsilon\phi, \quad h_0 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + v_0(x). \quad (1)$$

As a first step, we propose the intertwining relation [35–38]

$$h_1 l_1^+ = l_1^+ h_0, \quad (2)$$

where

$$h_1 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + v_1(x), \quad l_1^+ = -\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{u_1'}{u_1}, \quad (3)$$

with $u_1 = u_1(x)$ being an arbitrary function called seed solution or transformation function. By substituting Eq. (3) into the intertwining relation (2) we find that u_1 and v_1 must fulfill

$$-u_1'' + v_0 u_1 = \epsilon_1 u_1, \quad v_1(x) = v_0(x) - 2\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln u_1, \quad (4)$$

where ϵ_1 is an integration constant called factorization energy. Notice that u_1 satisfies the initial Schrödinger equation for the factorization energy ϵ_1 , but we will not impose any physical boundary condition on this solution. It is important to remark also that if h_0 is Hermitian v_0 must be a real function, thus a natural assumption is that both u_1 and ϵ_1 should be real. This will not be the case in this work, and we will refer to this case as *complex supersymmetry*.

By applying the operator l_1^+ onto the solutions ϕ of Eq. (1) we obtain solutions $\hat{\phi} \propto l_1^+ \phi$ of the new Schrödinger equation $h_1 \hat{\phi} = \epsilon \hat{\phi}$. This is guaranteed by the intertwining relation (2): the operator l_1^+ maps the space of solutions of $h_0 \phi = \epsilon \phi$ onto the space of solutions of $h_1 \hat{\phi} = \epsilon \hat{\phi}$. In general, the mapped functions $\hat{\phi}$ do not satisfy the boundary conditions and the potential v_1 is not regular. These conditions are strongly related with the election of the transformation function u_1 . In first-order SUSY, u_1 must be nodeless in the domain of v_0 in order to produce a regular potential v_1 .

There is an intertwining relation making the opposite map, $h_0 l_1 = l_1 h_1$, where $l_1 = d/dx + u_1'/u_1$. If u_1 is a real function, this intertwining relation arises by taking formally the adjoint of Eq. (2). In

complex supersymmetry, this relation remains valid, although for this case $l_1 \neq (l_1^+)^\dagger$. The operators l_1 and l_1^+ factorize the Hamiltonians h_0 and h_1 as follows:

$$l_1 l_1^+ = h_0 - \epsilon_1, \quad l_1^+ l_1 = h_1 - \epsilon_1. \quad (5)$$

Once we know that $l_1 l_1^+ = h_0 - \epsilon_1$ and assuming that $||\phi||^2 = 1$, in the real case it turns out that the normalized eigenfunctions of h_1 are given by

$$\hat{\phi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon - \epsilon_1}} l_1^+ \phi. \quad (6)$$

From now on we assume valid this expression for complex supersymmetry.

The operator l_1 is useful as well to find the so called missing state, which is annihilated by l_1 and could be an eigenfunction of h_1 . From the factorization $l_1^+ l_1 = h_1 - \epsilon_1$, we identify the missing state as the wave function such that $l_1 \hat{\phi}_{\epsilon_1} = 0$ and $h_1 \hat{\phi}_{\epsilon_1} = \epsilon_1 \hat{\phi}_{\epsilon_1}$. By solving the first-order differential equation, it is found that

$$\hat{\phi}_{\epsilon_1} \propto \frac{1}{u_1}. \quad (7)$$

Let us stress that in complex supersymmetry $\epsilon_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ and u_1 is a complex function, as a result the Hermiticity of h_1 is not guaranteed.

2.2 Second-order complex supersymmetry

We perform now a second step of the SUSY algorithm, using an intertwining operator l_2^+ which intertwines h_1 with a Hamiltonian h_2 as follows:

$$h_2 l_2^+ = l_2^+ h_1, \quad (8)$$

where

$$h_2 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + v_2(x), \quad l_2^+ = -\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{\vartheta'}{\vartheta}, \quad (9)$$

and the seed solution ϑ satisfies $-\vartheta'' + v_1 \vartheta = \epsilon_2 \vartheta$. Since ϑ obeys $h_1 \vartheta = \epsilon_2 \vartheta$, for $\epsilon_2 \neq \epsilon_1$ there must be a preimage u_2 such that $\vartheta = l_1^+ u_2$ fulfilling $-u_2'' + v_0 u_2 = \epsilon_2 u_2$. The potential v_2 thus takes the form

$$v_2 = v_1 - 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln \vartheta = v_0 - 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} (\ln u_1 \vartheta) = v_0 - 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln W(u_1, u_2), \quad (10)$$

where $W(f, g) = fg' - f'g$ is the Wronskian of f and g . Moreover, combining Eqs. (2) and (8) we can see that the second-order differential operator $l^+ = l_2^+ l_1^+$ intertwines h_0 and h_2 in the way:

$$h_2 l^+ = l^+ h_0. \quad (11)$$

Let us study the case where $\epsilon_2 \neq \epsilon_1$ and v_0 is a real potential. We would like to construct a Hermitian Hamiltonian h_2 , thus v_2 has to be real. This can be accomplished by asking $W(u_1, u_2)$ to be either real or a pure imaginary function. The first case has been extensively studied elsewhere [41, 42]. The second condition can be fulfilled by asking that $u_2 = u_1^* = u^*$ and $\epsilon_2 = \epsilon_1^* = \epsilon^* \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, we can see that $W(u_1, u_2)^* = (uu^* - u'u^*)^* = -W(u, u^*)$. Thus, by applying the first-order complex supersymmetry twice we can obtain a real potential v_2 and a Hermitian Hamiltonian h_2 , even though the intermediate potential v_1 is complex. The second-order operator l^+ maps solutions of $h_0 \phi = \epsilon \phi$ into solutions of $h_2 \tilde{\phi} = \epsilon \tilde{\phi}$, where

$$\tilde{\phi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\epsilon - \epsilon)(\epsilon - \epsilon^*)}} l^+ \phi. \quad (12)$$

The coefficient before $l^+ \phi$ has been included for normalization. There are now formally two missing states, one for each first-order transformation. To calculate the first one we map the missing state

(7) of the first SUSY step using the second intertwining operator, $\tilde{\phi}_\epsilon \propto l_2^+(1/u_1)$. The second missing state is analogous to (7), $\tilde{\phi}_{\epsilon^*} \propto 1/\vartheta$. Both can be expressed in terms of the seed solution u as follows:

$$\tilde{\phi}_\epsilon \propto \frac{u^*}{W(u, u^*)}, \quad \tilde{\phi}_{\epsilon^*} \propto \frac{u}{W(u, u^*)}. \quad (13)$$

Since we are looking for Hermitian Hamiltonians, the missing states $\tilde{\phi}_\epsilon, \tilde{\phi}_{\epsilon^*}$ must not be eigenfunctions of h_2 , i.e. they cannot be square integrable. We can accomplish this by choosing a transformation function u vanishing at one end of the domain of the initial potential v_0 . Thus, the Hamiltonian h_2 is isospectral to h_0 .

3 Complex supersymmetry in graphene: Schrödinger equation approach

In this section we introduce step by step the notion of complex supersymmetry applied to the Dirac equation. First we note that each component of the eigenspinor of the stationary Dirac equation fulfills a Schrödinger equation. Then, we implement the algorithm of first-order complex supersymmetry to the Dirac Hamiltonians, where the obtained Hamiltonian is not Hermitian. It is seen that the algorithm must be iterated two more times to arrive at Hermitian Hamiltonians. We exemplify the procedure using as initial system a graphene layer in the $x - y$ plane placed in an orthogonal magnetic field of the form $\vec{B} = (0, 0, \nu k^2 \text{sech}^2(kx))$.

3.1 Dirac equation and its supersymmetric transformation

Let us first consider the following two-dimensional stationary Dirac equation in a magnetic field perpendicular to the $x - y$ plane:

$$H_0 \Phi = [\sigma_1 (-i\partial_x + A_x) + \sigma_2 (-i\partial_y + A_y) + m\sigma_3] \Phi = E\Phi, \quad (14)$$

where m defines a mass term, σ_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ are the Pauli matrices, A_x, A_y are the components of the vector potential such that the magnetic field is $\vec{B} = B_0(x)\hat{k} = \nabla \times \vec{A}_0$, and Φ is a two-entry spinor [24, 43, 44]. Suppose also that we know the solution of (14) for a certain magnetic field, thus our goal is to generate the SUSY partner H_1 of H_0 using complex supersymmetry. To simplify the problem, we can use the so-called Landau gauge where $\vec{A} = (0, A_0(x), 0)$. Since, the Hamiltonian possesses translational symmetry along y -direction, it is natural to express our spinor as $\Phi = \exp(iky)\Psi_0 = \exp(iky) (\psi_0^+(x), \psi_0^-(x))^T$, where k is the wavenumber in y direction. Thus, Eq. (14) simplifies to:

$$H_0 \Psi_0 = [-i\sigma_1 \partial_x + \sigma_2 (k + A_y) + m\sigma_3] \Psi_0 = E\Psi_0, \quad (15)$$

which is equivalent to the following linear system of coupled equations:

$$-i\partial_x \psi_0^- - i(k + A_0)\psi_0^- + m\psi_0^+ = E\psi_0^+, \quad (16)$$

$$-i\partial_x \psi_0^+ + i(k + A_0)\psi_0^+ - m\psi_0^- = E\psi_0^-. \quad (17)$$

Solving Eq. (17) for ψ_0^- and substituting the result in Eq. (16) we arrive to

$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + A_0' + (k + A_0)^2 \right] \psi_0^+ = (E^2 - m^2)\psi_0^+, \quad (18)$$

$$\psi_0^- = \frac{i}{E + m} \left[-\frac{d}{dx} + (k + A_0) \right] \psi_0^+. \quad (19)$$

As we can see, the upper component ψ_0^+ fulfills the Schrödinger equation:

$$H_0^+ \psi_0^+ = \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_0^+ \right) \psi_0^+ = \varepsilon \psi_0^+, \quad \varepsilon = E^2 - m^2, \quad (20)$$

where $V_0^+ = A_0' + (k + A_0)^2$. The lower component ψ_0^- can be calculated using Eq. (19), once ψ_0^+ is known. Alternatively, we could also solve Eq. (16) for ψ_0^+ and substitute it in Eq. (17), then the lower component must satisfy the Schrödinger equation

$$H_0^- \psi_0^- = \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_0^- \right) \psi_0^- = \varepsilon \psi_0^-, \quad (21)$$

where $V_0^- = -A_0' + (k + A_0)^2$. By comparing Eqs. (20) and (21), we can see that V_0^\pm are natural first-order SUSY partner potentials. If we define $k + A_0 = u_0'/u_0$ and substitute it in $V_0^+ = A_0' + (k + A_0)^2$, we can see that u_0 is in fact the seed solution of the SUSY transformation, which fulfills $-u_0'' + V_0^+ u_0 = \varepsilon_0 u_0$.¹ The operator $L_0^+ = -\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{u_0'}{u_0}$ intertwines H^\pm as $H_0^- L_0^+ = L_0^+ H_0^+$.

3.2 First-order complex supersymmetry

Let us start from equation (21) by defining

$$H_1^+ = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_1^+ = H_0^-, \quad (22)$$

where we are calling $V_1^+ \equiv V_0^-$, $H_1^+ \equiv H_0^-$. The eigenfunctions of the initial Schrödinger Hamiltonian H_0^- are denoted as $\psi_{0,n}^-$, while the eigenfunctions to the new Hamiltonian H_1^- as $\psi_{1,n}^-$. To build a new Dirac Hamiltonian we need H_1^- , $\psi_{1,n}^-$, A_1 and B_1 . First we select a seed solution u_1 fulfilling $-u_1'' + V_1^+ u_1 = \varepsilon_1 u_1$, or equivalently $-u_1'' + V_0^- u_1 = \varepsilon_1 u_1$. Since we will focus on the complex SUSY algorithm, u_1 must be a complex function vanishing at one end of the x -domain of V_0^\pm and $\varepsilon_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, the intertwining operator L_1^+ and the SUSY partner potential V_1^- become

$$L_1^+ = -\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{u_1'}{u_1}, \quad V_1^- = V_1^+ - 2\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln u_1 = V_0^- - 2\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln u_1, \quad (23)$$

and $H_1^- = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_1^-$. The solutions of $H_1^- \psi_{1,n}^- = \varepsilon_n \psi_{1,n}^-$ can be found through

$$\psi_{1,n}^- = \frac{i}{E_n + m} L_1^+ \psi_{0,n}^-, \quad (24)$$

where $E_n^2 = \varepsilon_n + m^2$; notice that the spectrum of H_1^- is the same as $Sp(H_1^+) = Sp(H_0^-)$ [45, 46]. The y component of the vector potential and the magnetic field amplitude of $\vec{B}_1 = B_1 \hat{k}$ are

$$A_1 = \frac{u_1'}{u_1} - k, \quad B_1 = \frac{d}{dx} A_1 = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln u_1. \quad (25)$$

Finally, taking into account that $\psi_{1,n}^+ \equiv \psi_{0,n}^-$ the spinor $\Psi_{1,n} = (\psi_{1,n}^+, \psi_{1,n}^-)^T$ fulfills the Dirac equation

$$H_1 \Psi_{1,n} = [-i\sigma_1 \partial_x + \sigma_2 (k + A_1) + m\sigma_3] \Psi_{1,n} = E_n \Psi_{1,n}. \quad (26)$$

It is important to remark that, in general, neither of H_1^\pm are Hermitian operators because ε_1 is complex, and thus u_1 is a complex function. Only when ε_1, u_1 become real H_1 , could be Hermitian.

3.3 Second-order complex supersymmetry

Let us take now $H_1 \Psi_{1,n} = E_n \Psi_{1,n}$ as the starting problem and repeat the complex supersymmetric algorithm. We define

$$H_2^+ = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_2^+ = H_1^+ = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_0^- - 2\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln u_1, \quad (27)$$

¹Typically ε_0 is made equal to zero, since in case that $\varepsilon_0 \neq 0$ it is always possible to absorb it in the potential V_0^+ by defining $\tilde{V}_0^+ = V_0^+ - \varepsilon_0$.

where the eigenfunctions $\psi_{2,n}^+ = \psi_{1,n}^-$ solve the equation $H_2^+ \psi_{2,n}^+ = \varepsilon_n \psi_{2,n}^+$. The next step is to choose a seed solution fulfilling $-u_2^{(1)''} + V_2^+ u_2^{(1)} = \epsilon_2 u_2^{(1)}$. To obtain $u_2^{(1)}$ we use the solution $-u_2'' + V_0^- u_2 = \epsilon_2 u_2$ and map it using the operator L_1^+ in the way

$$u_2^{(1)} = L_1^+ u_2 = \frac{W(u_1, u_2)}{u_1}. \quad (28)$$

Then

$$V_2^- = V_2^+ - 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln u_2^{(1)} = V_0^- - 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln W(u_1, u_2). \quad (29)$$

Here we will choose $\epsilon = \epsilon_1$, $u = u_1$ and fix $\epsilon_2 = \epsilon^*$, $u_2 = u^*$. With this selection, the potential V_2^- becomes real. Moreover, the intertwining operator L_2^+ and the solutions $\psi_{2,n}^-$ of $H_2^- \psi_{2,n}^- = \varepsilon_n \psi_{2,n}^-$ will be given by

$$L_2^+ = -\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{u_2^{(1)'}}{u_2^{(1)}}, \quad \psi_{2,n}^- = \frac{i}{E_n + m} L_2^+ \psi_{2,n}^+ = -\frac{1}{(E_n + m)^2} L_2^+ L_1^+ \psi_{0,n}^-, \quad (30)$$

where $E_n = \varepsilon_n + m^2$. The vector potential A_2 and the magnetic field amplitude B_2 will be analogue expression to (25),

$$A_2 = -k + \frac{d}{dx} \ln \frac{W(u_1, u_2)}{u_1}, \quad B_2 = \frac{d}{dx} A_2 = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln \frac{W(u_1, u_2)}{u_1}. \quad (31)$$

Since they are still complex, it will be needed a third transformation to obtain a Hermitian Dirac Hamiltonian.

3.4 Third-order complex supersymmetry

For the third SUSY step let us select ϵ_3 to be a real constant. Then

$$V_3^+ = V_2^- - \epsilon_3 = V_0^- - 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln W(u_1, u_2) - \epsilon_3. \quad (32)$$

Note that H_3^+ is already Hermitian. The solutions of $H_3^+ \psi_{3,n}^+ = (\varepsilon_n - \epsilon_3) \psi_{3,n}^+$ are the eigenfunctions $\psi_{3,n}^+ = \psi_{2,n}^-$ given in Eq. (30). The seed solution fulfilling $-u_3^{(2)''} + V_3^+ u_3^{(2)} = 0$, or equivalent $-u_3^{(2)''} + V_2^- u_3^{(2)} = \epsilon_3 u_3^{(2)}$, can be obtained via the intertwining operators as $u_3^{(2)} = L_2^+ L_1^+ u_3$, where u_3 fulfills $-u_3'' + V_0^- u_3 = \epsilon_3 u_3$. The seed solution $u_3^{(2)}$ must be a nodeless function, then $\epsilon_3 \leq \varepsilon_0$. The potential associated to H_3^- reads:

$$V_3^- = V_0^- - 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln W(u_1, u_2, u_3) - \epsilon_3. \quad (33)$$

The intertwining operator L_3^+ and the solutions of equation $H_3^- \psi_n^{(3)-} = (\varepsilon_n - \epsilon_3) \psi_n^{(3)-}$ become

$$L_3^+ = -\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{u_3^{(2)'}}{u_3^{(2)}}, \quad (34)$$

$$\psi_{3,n}^- = \frac{i}{\bar{E}_n + m} L_3^+ \psi_{3,n}^+ = -\frac{i}{(\bar{E}_n + m)(E_n + m)^2} L_3^+ L_2^+ L_1^+ \psi_{0,n}^- \quad (35)$$

where $\bar{E}_n^2 = \varepsilon_n - \epsilon_3 + m^2 = E_n^2 - \epsilon_3$. In this case the SUSY algorithm could erase the ground state or add a new level at ϵ_3 . The former happens if we take $\epsilon_3 = \varepsilon_0$ and the latter when the missing state $1/u_3^{(2)}$ is square integrable. The magnetic field for this step is then characterized by

$$A_3 = \frac{u_3^{(2)'}}{u_3^{(2)}} - k, \quad B_3 = \frac{d}{dx} A_3 = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln u_3^{(2)}, \quad (36)$$

or, when expressed in terms of the seed solutions u_1, u_2, u_3 , by:

$$A_3 = -k + \frac{d}{dx} \ln \frac{W(u_1, u_2, u_3)}{W(u_1, u_2)}, \quad B_3 = \frac{d}{dx} A_3 = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln \frac{W(u_1, u_2, u_3)}{W(u_1, u_2)}. \quad (37)$$

The spinor $\Psi_{3,n} = (\psi_{3,n}^+, \psi_{3,n}^-)^T$ will solve the Dirac equation

$$H_3 \Psi_{3,n} = [-i\sigma_1 \partial_x + \sigma_2 (k + A_3) + m\sigma_3] \Psi_{3,n} = \bar{E}_n \Psi_{3,n}. \quad (38)$$

If in the last SUSY step we create a level in $Sp(H_3^-)$, then the spinor $\Psi_m^{(3)} \propto (0, 1/u_3^{(2)})^T$ is also a solution of the Eq. (38) associated to $\bar{E} = \pm m$.

3.5 Example: Graphene in a hyperbolic magnetic barrier

Let us consider a vector potential $\vec{A} = (0, A_0(x), 0)$ where $k + A_0 = k\nu \tanh(kx)$ and $\nu > 0$. Such potential produces the magnetic field $\vec{B} = (0, 0, \nu k^2 \text{sech}^2(kx))$, thus $B_0(x) = \nu k^2 \text{sech}^2(kx)$. To solve the Dirac equation (15) for a massless particle, we first decouple the system of equations that the components of $\Psi_{0,n} = (\psi_{0,n}^+, \psi_{0,n}^-)^T$ fulfill, see Eqs. (18) and (19). Both components satisfy the Schrödinger Eqs. (20) and (21) with the hyperbolic Pöschl-Teller potentials [45, 46]

$$V_0^\pm = k^2 \nu^2 - k^2 \nu (\nu \mp 1) \text{sech}^2(kx). \quad (39)$$

The general solution ψ_0^- of Eq. (21) can be built as a superposition of the two linearly independent even and odd solutions:

$$\psi_e = \cosh^{\nu+1}(kx) {}_2F_1\left(a, b, \frac{1}{2}, -\sinh^2(kx)\right), \quad (40)$$

$$\psi_o = \cosh^{\nu+1}(kx) \sinh(kx) {}_2F_1\left(a + \frac{1}{2}, b + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, -\sinh^2(kx)\right), \quad (41)$$

where

$$a = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nu + 1 - \frac{\eta}{k} \right), \quad b = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nu + 1 + \frac{\eta}{k} \right), \quad \eta = \sqrt{k^2 \nu^2 - \varepsilon}. \quad (42)$$

The well known bound state energies are given by $\varepsilon_n = k^2 \nu^2 - k^2 (\nu - n)^2$, with n being a non-negative integer such that $n < \nu$. Thus, the square integrable solutions $\psi_{0,n}^-$ are

$$\psi_{0,n}^- = \begin{cases} \psi_e, & n \text{ even}, \\ \psi_o, & n \text{ odd}. \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

The upper component $\psi_{0,n}^+$ can be calculated using Eq. (16). Since $m = 0$, the energies of the Dirac Hamiltonian become $E_n = \pm \sqrt{k^2 \nu^2 - k^2 (\nu - n)^2}$. Figure 1 shows plots of the potentials V_0^\pm and the probability densities $|\Psi_{0,0}|^2$, $|\Psi_{0,1}|^2$, $|\Psi_{0,2}|^2$ (left); the corresponding vector potential and magnetic field amplitudes are shown to the right.

To apply the SUSY technique, we are going to choose as transformation functions $u_j(x, \epsilon_j) = \psi_e + C_{j\pm} \psi_o$, satisfying the equation $H_0^- u_j = \epsilon_j u_j$. The constants $C_{j\pm}$ read

$$C_{j\pm} = \pm \frac{\Gamma(1/2) \Gamma(1 - b_j) \Gamma(a_j + 1/2)}{\Gamma(3/2) \Gamma(1/2 - b_j) \Gamma(a_j)}, \quad (44)$$

where the subscript $j = 1, 2, 3$ depends on the SUSY step and the factorization energies $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3$. They were carefully chosen so that $u_j(x, \epsilon_j, C_+) \rightarrow 0$ when $x \rightarrow -\infty$ and $u_j(x, \epsilon_j, C_-) \rightarrow 0$ when $x \rightarrow \infty$. For the first SUSY step a_1, b_1 take the form of Eq. (42) with $\varepsilon \rightarrow \epsilon_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we build the second SUSY step using the complex conjugate seed solution $u_2 = u_1^*$. The SUSY partner potentials V_3^\pm , obtained after the three iterations of the complex SUSY technique read:

$$V_3^+ = V_2^- - \epsilon_3 = k^2 \nu^2 - k^2 \nu (\nu - 1) \text{sech}^2(kx) - 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln W[\mathcal{F}_1(x), \mathcal{F}_1^*(x)] - \epsilon_3. \quad (45)$$




Figure 1: Graphene in a hyperbolic magnetic barrier. (Left) Shape invariant potentials V^- (blue line) and V^+ (dashed line). (Right) Vector potential $A_0(x)$ (blue line) and magnetic field amplitude $B_0(x)$ (red line).




Figure 2: Complex supersymmetry of a hyperbolic magnetic barrier. (Left) Third-order SUSY partner potentials V_3^- (blue line) and V_3^+ (dashed line), as well as the probability densities of the first three bound states with positive energies (gray lines). (Right) Vector potential (blue line) and magnetic field amplitude (red line). The factorization energies taken are $\epsilon_1 = k^2\nu^2 - k^2(\nu + 2i)^2$, $\epsilon_2 = \epsilon_1^*$ and $\epsilon_3 = k^2\nu^2 - k^2(\nu + 2/3)^2$ with $k = 1$, $\nu = 6$.

$$V_3^- = k^2\nu^2 - k^2\nu(\nu - 1) \operatorname{sech}^2(kx) - 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln W[\mathcal{F}_1(x), \mathcal{F}_1^*(x), \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_3(x)] - \epsilon_3. \quad (46)$$

with

$$\mathcal{F}_j(x) = {}_2F_1[a_j, b_j, \frac{1}{2}, -z^2] + C_{j\pm} z {}_2F_1[a_j + \frac{1}{2}, b_j + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, -z^2], \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (47)$$

and we have chosen $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_3 = \mathcal{F}_3(x, C_{3+}) + \mathcal{F}_3(x, C_{3-})$. As a result, there is a normalizable “missing state” and the energy spectrum is modified. This system is governed by the vector potential

$$A_3 = \frac{d}{dx} \ln \frac{W[\mathcal{F}_1(x), \mathcal{F}_1^*(x), \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_3(x)]}{W[\mathcal{F}_1(x), \mathcal{F}_1^*(x)]}. \quad (48)$$

Once the vector potential is calculated, the magnetic field can be straightforwardly obtained; unfortunately its explicit expression is too long to be presented in this article. As an alternative, Figure 2 (left) shows the transformed system arising after the three complex supersymmetry steps. The plot shows the Schrödinger potentials V_3^\pm and the probability density of the first three eigenspinors of the corresponding Dirac Hamiltonian. The potential V_3^- shows a clear modification as compared with V_0^- , meanwhile V_3^+ lost the parity symmetry $x \rightarrow -x$ of V_0^+ (compare with Figure 1). Figure 2 (right) shows the profiles of the vector potential and the magnetic field amplitude.

4 Matrix complex supersymmetry

Up to here, the SUSY method has been applied to the Dirac equation starting from Schrödinger equations. Next, we will implement an alternative SUSY technique to the Dirac equation, as it was done by Nieto, Pecheritsin and Samsonov some years ago [20], but such method will be generalized by considering complex factorization energies. Moreover, it will be shown that this matrix approach

contains the algorithm exposed in Section 3, again through three iteration steps of the formalism. Finally, a first-order matrix SUSY will be used to construct Hermitian Hamiltonians but only the zero energy modes will be found.

4.1 First-order matrix complex supersymmetry

Let us consider a one-dimensional Dirac Hamiltonian of the form:

$$H_0 = -i\sigma_2\partial_y + V_0, \quad (49)$$

where σ_2 is the standard Pauli matrix, V_0 is an arbitrary 2×2 symmetric matrix that may contain a mass term and whose entries are not necessarily real. In this section, the Dirac Hamiltonian will depend of the y variable, to be consistent with [20]. However, it is possible to recover a Hamiltonian similar to Eq. (15) through a unitary rotation $\mathcal{R} = \exp(i\pi\sigma_3/4)$ and a variable change $y \rightarrow x$ in the way

$$H(x) = \mathcal{R}H(y)\mathcal{R}^{-1}|_{y \rightarrow x}, \quad \Psi(x) = \mathcal{R}\Psi(y)|_{y \rightarrow x}. \quad (50)$$

The matrix SUSY approach aims to generate a new potential V_1 starting from V_0 . The eigenfunctions of both Hamiltonians will be connected by the matrix *intertwining* operator \mathcal{L}^+ , except by two extra states in the new Hamiltonian H_1 [20]. Thus, the supersymmetric Dirac Hamiltonians H_0 and H_1 fulfill the intertwining relation:

$$H_1\mathcal{L}^+ = \mathcal{L}^+H_0, \quad (51)$$

where $H_1 = -i\sigma_2\partial_y + V_1$. The matrix intertwining operator is similar to the conventional operator in the Schrödinger approach, see Eq. (23),

$$\mathcal{L}^+ = -\partial_y + U_y U^{-1}, \quad (52)$$

with the subscript y representing the derivative with respect to y . We assume that U^{-1} exists, thus the relation $\mathcal{L}^+U = 0$ is fulfilled. Moreover, the auxiliary matrix U , which will be called *transformation matrix* or *matrix seed solution*, must fulfill the matrix Dirac equation

$$H_0U = -i\sigma_2U_y + V_0U = U\Lambda, \quad (53)$$

where

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} \\ u_{21} & u_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (54)$$

Here, we will focus on the case $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, which will be called *matrix complex supersymmetry*. The intertwining relation (51) leads to the new potential,

$$V_1 = V_0 + i[U_y U^{-1}, \sigma_2] = \sigma_2 V_0 \sigma_2 + U\Lambda U^{-1} - \sigma_2 U\Lambda U^{-1} \sigma_2, \quad (55)$$

which is also symmetric. The intertwining operator \mathcal{L} fulfilling the intertwining relation $H_0\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}H_1$, taking back eigenspinors of H_1 to eigenspinors of H_0 , is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_y + (U_y U^{-1})^T, \quad (56)$$

and fulfills $\mathcal{L}(U^T)^{-1} = 0$. It is worth to note that the Hamiltonian H_1 has two extra bound states, also called *missing states*, corresponding to each column of the matrix $(U^T)^{-1}$.

In the Schrödinger approach, the intertwining operators factorize H_0^- and H_1^- . There are also two factorizations in the matrix approach: the operators \mathcal{L}^+ and \mathcal{L} factorize the Hamiltonians H_0 and H_1 as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^+ = (H_0 - \lambda_1\mathbf{1})(H_0 - \lambda_2\mathbf{1}), \quad (57)$$

$$\mathcal{L}^+\mathcal{L} = (H_1 - \lambda_1\mathbf{1})(H_1 - \lambda_2\mathbf{1}). \quad (58)$$

This property was proven in [20] for λ_1, λ_2 being real numbers. For the sake of completeness, we will show that such factorizations are still valid for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. In order to prove Eqs. (57-58) we use the explicit expressions for \mathcal{L}^+ and \mathcal{L} to obtain

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^+ = -\partial_y^2 + U_{yy}U^{-1} + \Omega(\partial_y - U_yU^{-1}), \quad (59)$$

where $\Omega = U_yU^{-1} - (U_yU^{-1})^T$. Making use of Eq. (53) we calculate an alternative expression for Ω :

$$\Omega = -i(\sigma_2V_0 - (\sigma_2V_0)^T) + i(\sigma_2U\Lambda U^{-1} - (\sigma_2U\Lambda U^{-1})^T). \quad (60)$$

If we consider now the symmetry of the initial potential, $V_0 = V_0^T$, it is found that $\sigma_2V_0 - (\sigma_2V_0)^T = 0$, which leads to a simple expression for Ω in terms of the eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 :

$$\Omega = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)i\sigma_2. \quad (61)$$

Now we derive Eq. (53) with respect to y and then solve for $U_{yy}U^{-1}$:

$$U_{yy}U^{-1} = V_0^2 - i\sigma_2V_{0y} - U\Lambda^2U^{-1}. \quad (62)$$

We substitute then this expressions in the factorization formula (59) to obtain

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^+ = -\partial_y^2 + V_0^2 - i\sigma_2V_{0y} - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(-i\sigma_2\partial_y + V_0) - U(\Lambda^2 - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\mathbf{1})U^{-1}. \quad (63)$$

Since the last term is equal to $-\lambda_1\lambda_2\mathbf{1}$, it turns out that

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^+ = -\partial_y^2 + V_0^2 - i\sigma_2V_{0y} - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(-i\sigma_2\partial_y + V_0) + \lambda_1\lambda_2\mathbf{1}, \quad (64)$$

which coincides with the expression we were looking for:

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^+ = H_0^2 - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)H_0 + \lambda_1\lambda_2. \quad (65)$$

For the second factorization (58) let us calculate $\mathcal{L}^+\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^+$ and use then the intertwining relation $H_1\mathcal{L}^+ = \mathcal{L}^+H_0$ to obtain

$$(\mathcal{L}^+\mathcal{L})\mathcal{L}^+\Psi = (H_1 - \lambda_1\mathbf{1})(H_1 - \lambda_2\mathbf{1})\mathcal{L}^+\Psi. \quad (66)$$

Then, the intertwining operators \mathcal{L}^+ and \mathcal{L} supply us the simplest factorization for the partner Dirac Hamiltonians H_0 and H_1 .

4.2 Second-order matrix complex supersymmetry

The second-order matrix supersymmetry can be reached by iterating the first-order method, thus the second intertwining relation $H_2\mathcal{L}_2^+ = \mathcal{L}_2^+H_1$ looks similar to its predecessor. To determine the transformation matrix \mathcal{U}_2 of the second SUSY step it is used the matrix U_2 that solves the equation $H_0U_2 = U_2\Lambda_2$, with Λ_2 being a diagonal matrix whose elements $\tilde{\lambda}_1, \tilde{\lambda}_2$ fulfill $(\tilde{\lambda}_1, \tilde{\lambda}_2) \neq (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$. The second transformation matrix that replaces the first transformation matrix ($U \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_2$) is built through $\mathcal{U}_2 = \mathcal{L}^+U_2$. Therefore, the second order potential is given by the expression

$$V_2 = V_1 + i[\mathcal{U}_{2y}\mathcal{U}_2^{-1}, \sigma_2]. \quad (67)$$

The second-order matrix SUSY method generates, in principle, four new eigenspinors, as compared with the original Dirac Hamiltonian H_0 . They are given by the columns of the matrices $(\mathcal{U}_2^T)^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{L}^+[(U^T)^{-1}]$.

4.3 The matrix SUSY method contains the Schrödinger SUSY method

We will show that the matrix SUSY method reduces to the Schrödinger SUSY approach, exposed in Section 3, for an appropriate choice of transformation matrix. Let us consider the following potential with a Dirac mass term

$$V_0 = q_0 \sigma_1 + m \sigma_3. \quad (68)$$

where $q_0 = q_0(y)$. The eigenfunctions $\Psi_0 = (\psi_0^+, \psi_0^-)^T$ must satisfy the eigenvalue equation,

$$H_0 \Psi_0 = E \Psi_0, \quad (69)$$

thus, the corresponding components ψ_0^\pm fulfill:

$$(\pm \partial_y + q_0) \psi_0^\pm = (E \pm m) \psi_0^\mp \quad \Rightarrow \quad (-\partial_y^2 + q_0^2 \mp q_0') \psi_0^\pm = (E^2 - m^2) \psi_0^\pm. \quad (70)$$

Moreover, since the transformation matrix U fulfills Eq. (53) its components u_{ij} must obey a similar system of equations:

$$u'_{1j} + q_0 u_{1j} = (\lambda_j + m) u_{2j}, \quad (71)$$

$$-u'_{2j} + q_0 u_{2j} = (\lambda_j - m) u_{1j}, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (72)$$

These equations relate the four components of U by pairs: the two equations with $j = 1$ couple u_{11} and u_{21} , while the ones with $j = 2$ relate u_{12} and u_{22} . Hence, if we supply just two components, let us say u_{21} and u_{22} , then the other two are found through

$$u_{1j} = (-u'_{2j} + q_0 u_{2j}) / (\lambda_j - m), \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (73)$$

Moreover, the free components u_{21} and u_{22} fulfill the following Schrödinger equations,

$$-u''_{2j} + (q_0^2 + q_0') u_{2j} = (\lambda_j^2 - m^2) u_{2j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (74)$$

while u_{11} and u_{12} obey the complementary Schrödinger equations:

$$-u''_{1j} + (q_0^2 - q_0') u_{1j} = (\lambda_j^2 - m^2) u_{1j}, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (75)$$

We will consider several steps of the matrix SUSY method, thus we will pick out different matrix seed solutions, which are denoted as U_i , associated to the matrix eigenvalues Λ_i (we add the same superindex to the corresponding components). To apply the first SUSY step, let us choose $\Lambda_1 = \text{diag}(m, -m_1)$, $m_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. As we want to avoid the trivial solution we must take $u_{21}^{(1)} = 0$ so that the transformation matrix U_1 reads

$$U_1 = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11}^{(1)} & u_{12}^{(1)} \\ 0 & u_{22}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (76)$$

A straightforward calculation leads to

$$U_{1y} U_1^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} [\ln(u_{11}^{(1)})]' & \frac{(u_{12}^{(1)})' u_{11}^{(1)} - (u_{11}^{(1)})' u_{12}^{(1)}}{u_{11}^{(1)} u_{22}^{(1)}} \\ 0 & [\ln(u_{22}^{(1)})]' \end{pmatrix}. \quad (77)$$

Since $u_{11}^{(1)}, u_{12}^{(1)}, u_{22}^{(1)}$ fulfill Eqs. (71-72) with $\tilde{\lambda}_1 = m$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_2 = -m_1$, it is obtained that

$$U_{1y} U_1^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -q_0 & m - m_1 \\ 0 & -q_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (78)$$

where $q_1 \equiv -[\ln(u_{22}^{(1)})]'$. The expression (55) for the potential V_1 leads to

$$V_1 = q_1 \sigma_1 + m_1 \sigma_3. \quad (79)$$

The components ψ_1^\pm of the eigenfunction $\Psi_1 = (\psi_1^+, \psi_1^-)^T$, such that $H_1\Psi_1 = E\Psi_1$, fulfill the new pair of Schrödinger equations

$$(-\partial_y^2 + q_1^2 \mp q_1')\psi_1^\pm = (E^2 - m_1^2)\psi_1^\pm. \quad (80)$$

Since $\Psi_1 = \mathcal{L}_1^+\Psi_0$, where Ψ_0 satisfies Eq. (69), ψ_1^\pm can be expressed in terms of the corresponding components of Ψ_0 :

$$\mathcal{L}_1^+\Psi_0 = (-\partial_y + U_{1y}U_1^{-1})\Psi_0 = -\begin{pmatrix} (E + m_1)\psi_0^- \\ (\psi_0^-)' + q_1\psi_0^- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (81)$$

This spinor is the analogue to the transformed eigenvector in the Schrödinger SUSY approach.

Let us note that the effect of the matrix SUSY transformation is to change q_0 by q_1 and m by m_1 in V_0 to obtain V_1 . This offers a clue on how to select the next matrix seed solution U_2 and the associated matrix eigenvalue Λ_2 . In order to implement the second SUSY step, suppose that V_1 is the initial potential, the transformed matrix seed solution is $\mathcal{U}_2 = \mathcal{L}_1U_2$, where U_2 is a solution of Eq. (53) for $\Lambda_2 = \text{diag}(m_1, -m_2)$, $m_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. As it was discussed previously, the transformed matrix seed solution \mathcal{U}_2 has two free components, one of which will be chosen null, $(\mathcal{U}_2)_{21} = 0$. Since $(\mathcal{U}_2)_{21} = W(u_{22}^{(1)}, u_{21}^{(2)})/u_{22}^{(1)}$, in order to fulfill this condition we must take $u_{21}^{(2)} = u_{22}^{(1)}$. Thus, an explicit calculation leads to

$$\mathcal{U}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2m_1u_{22}^{(1)} & (m_1 - m_2)u_{22}^{(2)} \\ 0 & W(u_{22}^{(1)}, u_{22}^{(2)})/u_{22}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (82)$$

which involves the two free seed solutions $u_{22}^{(1)}$ and $u_{22}^{(2)}$ used in the first and second SUSY steps respectively. Since \mathcal{U}_2 has the structure given in Eq. (76), the matrix $(\mathcal{U}_2)_y\mathcal{U}_2^{-1}$ looks similar to the one of Eq. (77). By using now that $H_1\mathcal{U}_2 = \mathcal{U}_2\Lambda_2$, it turns out that

$$\mathcal{U}_{2y}\mathcal{U}_2^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -q_1 & m_1 - m_2 \\ 0 & -q_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (83)$$

where $q_2 = -\partial_y \ln[W(u_{22}^{(1)}, u_{22}^{(2)})/u_{22}^{(1)}]$. A straightforward calculation leads to the new potential V_2 , which looks similar to V_0 and V_1 :

$$V_2 = q_2\sigma_1 + m_2\sigma_3. \quad (84)$$

The components ψ_2^\pm of the eigenfunction of H_2 , such that $H_2\Psi_2 = E\Psi_2$, fulfill the Schrödinger equations

$$(-\partial_y^2 + q_2^2 \mp q_2')\psi_2^\pm = (E^2 - m_2^2)\psi_2^\pm. \quad (85)$$

Let us note that although $u_{22}^{(2)} = (u_{22}^{(1)})^*$ and $m_2 = m_1^*$, q_2 is still complex. Thus, it is required an extra SUSY step to obtain a Hermitian potential. Therefore, let us take as transformation matrix $\mathcal{U}_3 = \mathcal{L}_2\mathcal{L}_1U_3$, where U_3 fulfills Eq. (53) with $\Lambda_3 = \text{diag}(m_2, -m_3)$, $m_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, and the intertwining operator reads $\mathcal{L}_3^+ = -\partial_y + \mathcal{U}_{3y}\mathcal{U}_3^{-1}$. This time the mass parameter m_3 has to be real. Similarly as in the previous steps, the component $(\mathcal{U}_3)_{21}$ must be zero. Since $(\mathcal{U}_3)_{21} = W(u_{22}^{(1)}, u_{22}^{(2)}, u_{21}^{(3)})/W(u_{22}^{(1)}, u_{22}^{(2)})$, we must take $u_{21}^{(3)} = u_{22}^{(2)}$ in order to fulfill this requirement. An explicit calculation leads to

$$\mathcal{U}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2m_2W(u_{22}^{(1)}, u_{22}^{(2)})}{u_{22}^{(1)}} & \frac{(m_2 - m_3)W(u_{22}^{(1)}, u_{22}^{(3)})}{u_{22}^{(1)}} \\ 0 & \frac{W(u_{22}^{(1)}, u_{22}^{(2)}, u_{22}^{(3)})}{W(u_{22}^{(1)}, u_{22}^{(2)})} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (86)$$

$$\mathcal{U}_{3y}\mathcal{U}_3^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -q_2 & m_2 - m_3 \\ 0 & -q_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (87)$$

where $q_3 = -\partial_y \ln[W(u_{22}^{(1)}, u_{22}^{(2)}, u_{22}^{(3)})/W(u_{22}^{(1)}, u_{22}^{(2)})]$. Finally, the new Hermitian potential reads

$$V_3 = q_3\sigma_1 - m_3\sigma_3. \quad (88)$$

We can compare this potential with the one in Eq. (38), recall that the notation in this section is slightly different from Section 3.

4.4 Zero energy modes of graphene in electromagnetic fields via matrix complex supersymmetry

The zero energy-modes of graphene have been studied for different settings [27, 30, 47, 48]. As final application, we will use the matrix SUSY approach with imaginary components of Λ to find such modes. Let us start out from a Dirac Hamiltonian of the form:

$$H_0 = -i\sigma_2\partial_y + q_0\sigma_1 + m\sigma_3, \quad (89)$$

where $q_0 = q_0(y)$ and m is a constant mass. In order to implement the SUSY transformation, let us consider the following matrices U and Λ :

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} \\ u_{21} & u_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (90)$$

Since U and Λ satisfy Eq. (53), then the components u_{ij} fulfill the coupled system of Eqs. (71-72). The matrix $U_y U^{-1}$ needed to perform the SUSY transformation (see Eqs. (52),(55)) acquire the form:

$$U_y U^{-1} = \frac{1}{|U|} \begin{pmatrix} u'_{11} & u'_{12} \\ u'_{21} & u'_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{22} & -u_{12} \\ -u_{21} & u_{11} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (91)$$

Replacing the derivatives of u_{ij} using Eqs. (71-72), we can simplify the previous expression

$$U_y U^{-1} = \frac{1}{|U|} (f_0\sigma_0 + f_1\sigma_1 + f_2\sigma_2 + f_3\sigma_3), \quad (92)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &= \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(u_{11}u_{12} + u_{21}u_{22}), \\ f_1 &= \frac{1}{2}[(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 - 2m)u_{12}u_{21} + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 + 2m)u_{11}u_{22}], \\ f_2 &= \frac{1}{2i}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(u_{12}u_{21} - u_{11}u_{22}) = \frac{i}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)|U|, \\ f_3 &= \frac{1}{2}\{u_{21}[2q_0u_{12} + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)u_{22}] - u_{11}[2q_0u_{22} + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)u_{22}]\}. \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

The expression (55) for the new potential V_1 becomes now

$$V_1 = V_0 + i[U_y U^{-1}, \sigma_2] = q_0\sigma_1 + m\sigma_3 + \frac{2f_3}{|U|}\sigma_1 - \frac{2f_1}{|U|}\sigma_3 = q_1\sigma_1 + m_1\sigma_3, \quad (94)$$

with $q_1 = q_0 + 2f_3/|U|$ and $m_1 = m - 2f_1/|U|$.

Let us restrict ourselves to the case where λ_1, λ_2 are pure imaginary numbers, $m = 0$ and the function q_0 is real. Under these conditions, and selecting u_{21}, u_{22} to be real functions (we can always choose the functions u_{21}, u_{22} to be real, since they are solutions of the Schrödinger equation $-u''_{2j} + (q_0^2 + q_0')u_{2j} = \lambda_j^2 u_{2j}$ with real potential and factorization energies), the functions q_1 and m_1 become real and pure imaginary, respectively. To make sense of H_1 we perform the following transformation of the eigenvalue equation for the zero-energy modes, $H_1\Psi_{1,0} = 0$. Consider first the unitary operator $\mathcal{R} = \exp(i\pi\sigma_3/4)$, then apply the following chain of operations:

$$-i\mathcal{R}\sigma_3 H_1 \mathcal{R}^{-1} \mathcal{R}\Psi_{1,0}(y) = \tilde{H}_1 \tilde{\Psi}_{1,0}(y) = (-i\sigma_2\partial_y + q_1\sigma_1 - im_1\sigma_0) \tilde{\Psi}_{1,0}(y) = 0, \quad (95)$$

where $\tilde{H}_1 = -i\mathcal{R}\sigma_3 H_1 \mathcal{R}^{-1}$, $\tilde{V}_1 = q_1\sigma_1 - im_1\sigma_0$ and $\tilde{\Psi}_{1,0}(y) = \mathcal{R}\Psi_{1,0}(y)$. Since q_1 and $-im_1$ are real functions, the Hamiltonian \tilde{H}_1 is Hermitian, the function q_1 can be associated to a magnetic field while $-im_1$ plays the role of an electric potential. The spinor $\tilde{\Psi}_{1,0} = \mathcal{R}\Psi_{1,0} = \mathcal{R}\mathcal{L}^+\Psi_{0,0}$ corresponds to the zero-energy mode of this system.




Figure 3: Zero-energy mode of the Hamiltonian \tilde{H}_1 . (Left) The magnetic terms q_1 in blue and $q_0 = r$ in black dashed. (Center) The electric potential $-im_1$ and (Right) the zero energy mode $||\tilde{\Psi}_0^{(1)}||^2$. The parameters used in the transformation are $\lambda_1 = i\sqrt{2}$, $\lambda_2 = i\sqrt{6}$, $C_1 = 2$, $C_2 = -1$.

4.5 Example: Zero energy-mode of a asymptotically constant electromagnetic field

Our starting point in this example is the graphene layer in a constant magnetic field $\vec{A} = (-B_0 y, 0, 0)$. This system can be modeled through the minimal coupling rule applied to the Dirac equation, which leads to the following Hamiltonian

$$H_0 = -i\sigma_2 \partial_y + (-i\partial_x + \frac{\alpha}{2}y)\sigma_1, \quad (96)$$

where $\alpha = 2eB_0/\hbar$. The eigenspinors take the form

$$\Psi_{0,n} = e^{ik_x x} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{0,n}^+(y) \\ \psi_{0,n-1}^-(y) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Psi_{0,0} = e^{ik_x x} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{0,0}^+(y) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (97)$$

with $\psi_{0,n}^\pm$ being given by

$$\psi_{0,n}^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n \pi^{1/2} n!}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}r(y)^2} H_n(r(y)), \quad (98)$$

$r(y) = \sqrt{\alpha/2}(y + 2k_x/\alpha)$, and $H_n(\cdot)$ are the Hermite polynomials. The Landau levels are given by $E_n = \sqrt{\alpha n}$. Using now the variable r , the Hamiltonian (96) reads

$$H_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (-i\sigma_2 \partial_r + r\sigma_1) = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2}} H_{0,r}. \quad (99)$$

Let us apply a first-order SUSY transformation to $H_{0,r}$ using pure imaginary constants λ_1, λ_2 . The entries u_{21} and u_{22} of the transformation matrix U are general solutions for the harmonic oscillator potential

$$u_{2j}(r) = \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2}\right) \left(H_{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_j^2-2)}(y) + C_j H_{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_j^2-2)}(-y) \right), \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (100)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are real constants. The other two components of U can be obtained from Eqs. (71-72):

$$u_{1j} = \frac{1}{\lambda_j} (-u'_{2j} + r u_{2j}). \quad (101)$$

To produce a regular transformation, we need to fix C_1 and C_2 such that $\det U \neq 0$. For this example we have used the parameters $\lambda_1 = i\sqrt{2}$, $\lambda_2 = i\sqrt{6}$, $C_1 = 2$, $C_2 = -1$. After u_{11} , u_{12} are calculated through Eq. (101), the direct substitution in Eqs. (93) and (94) provides the explicit expressions for q_1 , m_1 and $\tilde{\Psi}_{1,0}$ which, unfortunately, are lengthy. To find the zero energy mode of H_1 it is necessary to apply the operator $\mathcal{L}^+ = -\partial_y + U_y U^{-1}$ onto the zero energy mode $\Psi_{0,0}$ of H_0 and then apply the unitary transformation \mathcal{R} . Plots of the functions $q_0, q_1, -im_1$ and the normalized probability density $||\tilde{\Psi}_{1,0}||^2$ are shown in Figure 3.

5 Summary

We analyzed the behavior of charge carriers in a graphene layer under external electromagnetic fields by introducing the concept of complex supersymmetry applied to the Dirac equation using two different approaches. First, we implemented the Schrödinger complex SUSY approach to generate exactly solvable systems. We realized that the first SUSY step produces a non-Hermitian Dirac Hamiltonian, since the considered external magnetic field has non-trivial imaginary part. To cancel such imaginary part, it is needed to iterate the method until a third step to obtain a Hermitian Dirac Hamiltonian with a real external magnetic field B_3 . This magnetic field shows small finite deformation with respect to the initial one, and both have the same asymptotic behavior. Secondly, we extended the matrix supersymmetry approach proposed by Nieto, Pecheritsin, and Samsonov [20], by including factorization energies in the complex plane. Then, we proved that the Schrödinger SUSY method is a particular case of the matrix complex supersymmetry. Once again, three matrix SUSY steps are needed to recover the results of the Schrödinger SUSY method. As final application of the matrix SUSY method, we showed that a single matrix SUSY step plus an unitary transformation are needed to generate quasi-exactly solvable Dirac Hamiltonians for a charge carrier in graphene placed in an external electromagnetic field where its zero-energy modes are going to be calculated [25, 42].

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the support of Conacyt, grant FORDECYT-PRONACES/61533/2020. M. C-C. acknowledges as well the Conacyt fellowship 301117.

References

- [1] P.R. Wallace. The band theory of graphite. *Phys. Rev.* **71**, 622 (1947).
- [2] R. Peierls. Quelques propriétés typiques des corps solides. *Annales de l'institut Henri Poincaré* **5**, 177-222 (1935).
- [3] L.D. Landau. Zur Theorie der phasenumwandlungen II. *Phys. Z. Sowjetunion* **11**, 26-35 (1937).
- [4] M.V. Fischetti and W.G. Vandenberghe. Mermin-wagner theorem, flexural modes, and degraded carrier mobility in two-dimensional crystals with broken horizontal mirror symmetry. *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 155413 (2016).
- [5] K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva, and A. A. Firsov. Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films. *Science* **306**, 666 (2004).
- [6] K. S. Novoselov, D. Jiang, F. Schedin, T. J. Booth, V. V. Khotkevich, S. V. Morozov, and A. K. Geim. Two-dimensional atomic crystals. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 10451-10453 (2005).
- [7] Y. Zhang, Y.-W. Tan, H. L. Stormer, and P. Kim. Experimental observation of the quantum Hall effect and Berry's phase in graphene. *Nat.* **438**, 201-204 (2005).
- [8] M. I. Katsnelson, K. S. Novoselov, and A. K. Geim. Chiral tunnelling and the Klein paradox in graphene. *Nat. Phys.* **2**, 620-625 (2006).
- [9] C.W.J. Beenakker. Colloquium: Andreev reflection and Klein tunneling in graphene. *Reviews of Modern Phys.* **80**, 1337 (2008).
- [10] A. H. Castro Neto, F. Guinea, N. M. R. Peres, K. S. Novoselov, and A. K. Geim. The electronic properties of graphene. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 109-162 (2009).
- [11] V. Lukose, R. Shankar, and G. Baskaran. Novel Electric Field Effects on Landau Levels in Graphene. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 116802 (2007).

- [12] N. Stander, B. Huard, and D. Goldhaber-Gordon. Evidence for Klein Tunneling in Graphene p-n Junctions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 026807 (2009).
- [13] R. R. Hartmann, N. J. Robinson, and M. E. Portnoi. Smooth electron waveguides in graphene. *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 245431 (2010).
- [14] R. Kraft, M.-H. Liu, and P. B. Selvasundaram, S.-C. Chen, R. Krupke, K. Richter, and R. Danneau. Anomalous Cyclotron Motion in Graphene Superlattice Cavities. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125** 217701 (2020).
- [15] M.A.H. Vozmediano, M. I. Katsnelson, and F. Guinea. Gauge fields in graphene. *Phys. Rep.* **496**, 109-148 (2010).
- [16] G. Naumis, S. Barraza-Lopez, M. Oliva-Leyva, and H. Terrones. Electronic and optical properties of strained graphene and other strained 2D materials: a review. *Reports on Progress Phys.* **80**, 096501 (2017).
- [17] Y. Betancur-Ocampo. Partial positive refraction in asymmetric Veselago lenses of uniaxially strained graphene. *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 205421 (2018).
- [18] A. Contreras-Astorga, V. Jakubský, and A. Raya. On the propagation of Dirac fermions in graphene with strain-induced inhomogeneous Fermi velocity. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **32**, 295301 (2020).
- [19] J.C. Pérez-Pedraza, E. Díaz-Bautista, A. Raya and D. Valenzuela. Critical behavior for point monopole and dipole electric impurities in uniformly and uniaxially strained graphene. *Phys. Rev. B.* **102**, 045131 (2020).
- [20] L.M. Nieto, A.A. Pecheritsin and B. Samsonov. Intertwining technique for the one-dimensional stationary Dirac equation. *Ann. Phys.* **305**, 151-189 (2003).
- [21] Ş. Kuru, J. Negro, L.M. Nieto. Exact analytic solutions for a Dirac electron moving in graphene under magnetic fields. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter.* **21**, 455305 (2009).
- [22] V. Jakubský, L.M. Nieto, and M.S. Plyushchay, Klein tunneling in carbon nanostructures: A free-particle dynamics in disguise. *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 047702 (2011).
- [23] B. Midya and D.J. Fernández C. Dirac electron in graphene under supersymmetry generated magnetic fields. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **47**, 285302 (2014).
- [24] A. Schulze-Halberg and B. Roy. Darboux partners of pseudoscalar Dirac potentials associated with exceptional orthogonal polynomials. *Ann. Phys.* **349**, 159-170 (2014).
- [25] A. Contreras-Astorga and A. Schulze-Halberg. The confluent supersymmetry algorithm for Dirac equations with pseudoscalar potentials. *J. Math. Phys.* **55**, 103506 (2014).
- [26] F. Correa and V. Jakubský. Confluent Crum-Darboux transformations in Dirac Hamiltonians with PT -symmetric Bragg gratings. *Phys. Rev. A* **95**, 033807 (2017).
- [27] M.V. Ioffe, D.N. Nishnianidze and E. V. Prokhvatilov. New solutions for graphene with scalar potentials by means of generalized intertwining, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **134**, 450 (2019).
- [28] G. Junker. Supersymmetric Dirac Hamiltonians in (1+1) dimensions revisited. *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **135** 464 (2020).
- [29] M. Castillo-Celeita and D.J. Fernandez C. Dirac electron in graphene with magnetic fields arising from first-order intertwining operators. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **53**, 035302 (2020).
- [30] A. Contreras-Astorga, F. Correa and V. Jakubský. Super-Klein tunneling of Dirac fermions through electrostatic gratings in graphene, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 115429 (2020).

- [31] D.J. Fernández C., J.D. García, D. O-Campa. Electron in bilayer graphene with magnetic fields leading to shape invariant potentials. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **53**, 435202 (2020).
- [32] D.J. Fernández C., J.D. García, D. O-Campa. Bilayer graphene in magnetic fields generated by supersymmetry. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **54**, 245302 (2021).
- [33] M-A. Miri, M. Heinrich, and D. Christodoulides. Supersymmetry-generated complex optical potentials with real spectra. *Phys. Rev. A* **87**, 043819 (2013).
- [34] M-A. Miri, M. Heinrich, R. El-Ganainy, and D. Christodoulides. Supersymmetric optical structures. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 233902 (2013).
- [35] F. Cooper, A. Khare, U. Sukhatme, Supersymmetry and quantum mechanics, *Phys. Rep.* **251**, 268-385 (1995).
- [36] B.K. Bagchi, Supersymmetry in quantum and classical mechanics, *Chapman and Hall/CRC*, Boca Raton (2000).
- [37] D.J. Fernández C. and N. Fernández-García, Higher-order supersymmetric quantum mechanics, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **744**, 236-273 (2005).
- [38] D.J. Fernández C., Supersymmetric quantum mechanics, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1287**, 3-36 (2010).
- [39] G. Junker, Supersymmetric Methods in Quantum, Statistical and Solid State Physics. *IOP Publishing*, (2019).
- [40] D.J. Fernández C. Trends in Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics, in Integrability, Supersymmetry and Coherent states, Ş. Kuru, J. Negro, L.M. Nieto. eds., *CRM series in Mathematical Physics*, Springer, Cham pp. 37-68 (2019).
- [41] D. Bermudez, D.J. Fernández C. and N. Fernández-García. Wronskian differential formula for confluent supersymmetric quantum mechanics. *Phys. Lett. A* **376**, 692-696 (2012).
- [42] A. Contreras-Astorga and A. Schulze-Halberg. Recursive representation of Wronskians in confluent supersymmetric quantum mechanics. *J. Phys. A* **50**, 105301 (2017).
- [43] V. Jakubský and D. Krejčířík. Qualitative analysis of trapped Dirac fermions in graphene. *Ann. Phys.* **349**, 268-287 (2014).
- [44] V. Jakubský. Spectrally isomorphic Dirac systems: Graphene in an electromagnetic field. *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 045039 (2015).
- [45] G. Lévai and M. Znojil. Systematic search for PT-symmetric potentials with real energy spectra. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **33**, 7165 (2000).
- [46] A. Contreras-Astorga and V. Jakubský, Multimode Two-Dimensional PT-Symmetric Waveguides, *J. Phys: Conf. Ser.* **1540**, 012018 (2020).
- [47] A. Schulze-Halberg. Higher-order Darboux transformations for the massless Dirac equation at zero energy, *J. Math. Phys.* **60**, 073505 (2019).
- [48] A. Schulze-Halberg and M. Ojel. Darboux transformations for the massless Dirac equation with matrix potential: Construction of zero-energy states, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **134**, 1-12 (2019).