

CATEGORY \mathcal{O} FOR VERTEX ALGEBRAS OF $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$

THOMAS CREUTZIG, NAOKI GENRA, AND ANDREW LINSHAW

ABSTRACT. We show that the affine vertex superalgebra $V^k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ at generic level k embeds in the equivariant \mathcal{W} -algebra of \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} times $4n$ free fermions. This has two corollaries: (1) it provides a new proof that for generic k , the coset $\text{Com}(V^k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}), V^k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}))$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ for $\ell = -(n+1) + \frac{k+n+1}{2k+2n+1}$, and (2) we obtain the decomposition of ordinary $V^k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ -modules into $V^k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -modules. Next, if k is an admissible level and ℓ is a non-degenerate admissible level for \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} , we show that the simple algebra $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ is an extension of the simple subalgebra $L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$. Using the theory of vertex superalgebra extensions, we prove that the category of ordinary $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ -modules is a semisimple, rigid vertex tensor supercategory with only finitely many inequivalent simple objects. It is equivalent to a certain subcategory of $\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -modules. A similar result also holds for the category of Ramond twisted modules. Due to a recent theorem of Robert McRae, we get as a corollary that categories of ordinary $L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -modules are rigid.

1. INTRODUCTION

The simple Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ behaves in many respects like a simple Lie algebra. For example the category of finite-dimensional representations of a simple Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} is semisimple if and only if \mathfrak{g} is of type $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ or a Lie algebra. It is thus reasonable to expect that the representation theory of affine vertex algebras of $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ is similar to that of affine vertex algebras of simple Lie algebras. Indeed the simple affine vertex algebra of $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ at positive integral level n is rational and lisse [24, Theorem 7.1], while no other simple affine vertex superalgebra at non-zero level can be lisse [33]. There are quite a few interesting results on ordinary modules of affine vertex algebras at admissible levels. For this let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra and k an admissible level. Denote by $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$ the simple affine vertex algebra of \mathfrak{g} at level k and by $\mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ its category of ordinary modules. Then

- (1) $\mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ is semisimple with a finite number of inequivalent simple objects [1].
- (2) $\mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ is a braided tensor category [17].
- (3) If \mathfrak{g} is simply-laced then $\mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ is a fusion category [15].
- (4) $\mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ is a fusion category if \mathfrak{g} is of type B and if the denominator of the admissible level is 2 [21].
- (5) If \mathfrak{g} is simply-laced, then a simple current modification of $\mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ is braided tensor equivalent to a subcategory of the principal \mathcal{W} -algebra of \mathfrak{g} at level $1 - h^\vee + \frac{1}{k+h^\vee}$ with h^\vee the dual Coxeter number of \mathfrak{g} [15].

We prove analogues of all these results for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ as well as that $\mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ (for many admissible levels) is a fusion category. The proof goes in two steps. First, we realize $V^k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ from the equivariant \mathcal{W} -algebra of \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} and second, we pass to interesting rational levels and use the theory of vertex superalgebra extensions.

1.1. $V^k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ from the equivariant \mathcal{W} -algebra of \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} . The starting point is the algebra of chiral differential operators (CDOs) $\mathcal{D}_{G,\kappa}^{\text{ch}}$ at level κ , for $G = Sp(2n)$ [35, 47]. This is a vertex algebra with an action of $V^k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V^\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ with \mathfrak{g} the Lie algebra of G and $\kappa = k + h^\vee$, $-\kappa = \ell + h^\vee$. It satisfies

$$\mathcal{D}_{G,\kappa}^{\text{ch}} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+} V^k(\lambda) \otimes V^\ell(\lambda^*).$$

The $V^k(\lambda)$ are Weyl modules at level k of highest weight λ and P^+ is the set of dominant weights of \mathfrak{g} . The equivariant (principal) \mathcal{W} -algebra is obtained from $\mathcal{D}_{G,\kappa}^{\text{ch}}$ by quantum Hamiltonian reduction with respect to the

principal nilpotent element in the first factor [5].

$$\mathcal{W}_{G,\kappa} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+} T_{\lambda,0}^\kappa \otimes V^\ell(\lambda^*), \quad T_{\lambda,0}^\kappa = H_{\text{DS}}^0(V^k(\lambda))$$

Let F^{2n+1} be the vertex superalgebra of $2n+1$ free fermions. We consider $\mathcal{W}_{G,\kappa} \otimes F^{2n+1}$. Recall Feigin-Frenkel duality of type B, C , that is $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \cong W^{\check{\kappa}}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ with $2\kappa\check{\kappa} = 1$ and $\check{\kappa} = \check{k} + 2n - 1$. Quantum Hamiltonian reduction can be twisted by spectral flow; the corresponding functor is denoted by $H_{\text{DS},\mu}^0$ for μ a coweight of \mathfrak{g} . A special case of Arakawa-Frenkel duality [12, Thm. 2.2] is $T_{\lambda,0}^\kappa \cong H_{\text{DS},\lambda}^0(V^{\check{k}}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}))$. The Urod property is that the twisted reduction functor commutes with tensoring with integrable representations [6, Thm. 7.2]. Applying this to $V^{\check{k}}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) \otimes F^{2n+1}$ gives us an explicit decomposition of $T_{\lambda,0}^\kappa \otimes F^{2n+1}$ into modules of $W^{\check{k}+1}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) \otimes \mathcal{W}^t(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$. Here the principal \mathcal{W} -algebra of $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ at a certain level t related to k appears due to the coset realization $\mathcal{W}^t(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}) \cong \text{Com}(V^{\check{k}+1}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}), V^{\check{k}}(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) \otimes F^{2n+1})$ [24]. Inserting this into $\mathcal{W}_{G,\kappa} \otimes F^{2n+1}$ gives us an explicit decomposition of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{W}_{Sp(2n),\kappa} \otimes F^{2n+1} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} C_\lambda^\ell \otimes N_{\lambda,0}^t$$

with $C^\ell = \text{Com}(\mathcal{W}^t(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}), \mathcal{W}_{Sp(2n),\kappa} \otimes F^{2n+1})$ with C_λ^ℓ and $N_{\lambda,0}^t$ certain C^ℓ and $\mathcal{W}^t(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ -modules. Here R denotes the set of non-spin representations of \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1} which is naturally identified with the set of dominant weights of $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$. Under this identification we have

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.2). *For generic ℓ , $C^\ell \cong V^\ell(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ and $C_\lambda^\ell \cong V^\ell(\lambda)$.*

The proof of this theorem can be reduced to a character computation that we do in the appendices as it is quite technical. By construction we get that the coset $\text{Com}(V^\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}), V^\ell(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}))$ is $\mathcal{W}^s(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ with $\frac{1}{\ell+n+1} + \frac{s}{n+1} = 2$ (this was first proven in [24]) as well as the decomposition of $V^\ell(\lambda)$ into $V^\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathcal{W}^s(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -modules, see Theorem 3.1. The above construction can be generalized substantially and in particular leads to a new and quick proof of the coset realization of principal \mathcal{W} -algebras of type ADE, this will appear in [25].

We turn now to the category of ordinary modules at admissible levels.

1.2. The category \mathcal{O} of $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ at admissible levels. While the above results hold for generic level, we are now interested in special rational levels. In particular we want the affine subalgebra of type \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} to be at admissible level and the \mathcal{W} -algebra to be at non-degenerate admissible level. We then can prove that the simple subalgebras act on the simple affine VOA $L_\ell(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ and this gives us the full power of the theory of vertex superalgebra extensions. Using this theory, we get

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 4.1). *Let $k = -h^\vee + \frac{u}{v}$ be admissible and $\ell = -h^\vee + \frac{u}{2u-v}$ be non-degenerate admissible for \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} . Let $P^B := P^B(q, r^\vee p)$ if ℓ is principal admissible and $P^B := P^B(q/r^\vee, p)$ if ℓ is coprincipal admissible and $P_Q^B := P^B \cap Q$. Then*

- (1) $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ is an $L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -module.
- (2) Denote the simple quotient of $T_{\lambda,\mu}^\kappa$ by $\mathbf{L}_k(\lambda, \mu)$;

$$L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(u,v)} L_k(\lambda) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(\lambda, 0)$$

and for $\mu \in P_Q^B$

$$L_k(\mu) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(u,v)} L_k(\lambda) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(\lambda, \mu)$$

as $L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -modules.

- (3) The $L_k(\mu)$ with $\mu \in P_Q^B$ are a complete list of inequivalent simple ordinary $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ -modules
- (4) The category of ordinary $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ -modules is a semisimple, rigid vertex tensor supercategory, i.e. it is a fusion supercategory.

For the definition of the sets of weights in this theorem see Section 2.2. Two immediate corollaries are

Corollary 1.3 (Corollary 4.2). *Let k be principal or coprincipal admissible for \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} .*

- (1) $\mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ is rigid.
- (2) $\mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ is generated by $L_k(\omega_1)$.
- (3) There is a super-braid-reversed equivalence $\mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_L$ sending $L_k(\lambda)$ to $\mathbf{L}_\ell(\lambda, 0)^*$.
- (4) There is a super-braided equivalence $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_R^Q \cong \mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ sending $\mathbf{L}_\ell(0, \mu)$ to $L_k(\mu)$.

Here $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_L$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_R^Q \cong \mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ are certain full tensor subcategories of the \mathcal{W} -algebra and are introduced in Section 4. The final corollary is about the category of Ramond twisted modules of $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$

Corollary 1.4 (Corollary 4.3). *The category of Ramond twisted modules is semisimple, the simple objects are parameterized by $\mu \in P^B \setminus P_Q^B$, and they decompose as*

$$L_k(\mu) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(u,v)} L_k(\lambda) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(\lambda, \mu)$$

1.3. Outline. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2.1, we collect the necessary results on vertex tensor supercategories. In Section 2.2, we introduce several notations for subsets of admissible weights of Lie algebras and show the isomorphisms (2.3) and (2.4). In Section 2.3, we introduce the modules $T_{\lambda, \mu}^\kappa$ and $\mathbf{L}_k(\lambda, \mu)$ of principal \mathcal{W} -algebras, recall the fusion rules and some properties of the subcategories $\mathcal{C}_L(p, q)$ and $\mathcal{C}_R(p, q)$ of the category of $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules from [15]; see Remark 2.8. In Section 3, we recall the equivariant \mathcal{W} -algebras, prove Theorem 3.1 by using results in [6, 12], and prove Theorem 3.2 by using Theorem 3.1 and Theorem C.2. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 4.1 by using results in Section 2.1, (2.3), (2.4) and Remark 2.8, and derive Corollary 4.2 and Corollary 4.3. In the Appendix, we compute the characters of modules of principal \mathcal{W} -algebras of type C and show Theorem C.2.

Acknowledgements We thank Shigenori Nakatsuka for very helpful discussions. The work of T.C. is supported by NSERC Grant Number RES0048511. N.G. is supported by World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI), MEXT, Japan and JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP21K20317. A.L. is supported by Simons Foundation Grant 635650 and NSF Grant DMS-2001484.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Vertex tensor category theory. This section explains useful results on the theory of vertex superalgebra extensions [18]. A good summary of the most important theorems is Section 2.4 [26].

Let V be a vertex operator algebra and \mathcal{C}_V be a semisimple category of V modules that is a vertex tensor category in the sense of [36–43]. Denote by $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}_V)$ the set of inequivalent simple objects in \mathcal{C}_V . Let W be a strongly rational vertex operator algebra; in particular, its category \mathcal{C}_W of W -modules is a modular tensor category [44, 45]. The dual of an object M is denoted by M^* . Assume that A is a simple vertex operator superalgebra extending $V \otimes W$ and

$$(2.1) \quad A \cong \bigoplus_{X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}_V)} X \otimes \tau(X)^*$$

as a $V \otimes W$ -module, where the $\tau(X)$ are inequivalent simple W -modules. Denote by \mathcal{D}_W the subcategory of W -modules whose objects are direct sums of simple modules appearing in the decomposition of A . We assume that $V \otimes W$ is a subalgebra of the even subalgebra A_0 of A . This set-up is assumed to hold throughout this section.

Theorem 2.1. (Special case of Theorem 4.9 of [48])

\mathcal{C}_V is rigid and super-braid-reversed equivalent to the subcategory \mathcal{D}_W of \mathcal{C}_W whose simple objects are the $\tau(X)$ for $X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}_V)$.

Set $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}_V \boxtimes \mathcal{C}_W$. Note that the Deligne product of vertex tensor categories is a vertex tensor category provided that at least one of the two categories is semisimple [19, Thm. 5.5]. We have just seen that \mathcal{C} is rigid. There is a \mathcal{C} -module category associated to A , denoted by \mathcal{C}_A . A certain subcategory of local modules, denoted

by $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$, is in fact a braided tensor category itself. One of the main results of [18] is that this category is equivalent as a braided tensor category to the category of modules of the vertex operator superalgebra A that lie in \mathcal{C} . Moreover there is a functor \mathcal{F} from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{C}_A and $\mathcal{F}(X)$ for X an object in \mathcal{C} is local if and only if the monodromy $M_{A,X} = c_{X,A} \circ c_{A,X}$ of X with A is trivial. Here $c_{\bullet,\bullet}$ denotes the braiding in \mathcal{C} . The induction functor has the important property that it preserves duality [18, Prop. 2.77], in particular a rigid object induces to a rigid object. Similarly we have the category of modules and local modules for A_0 and corresponding induction functor \mathcal{F}_{A_0} . There is then also an induction functor, call it \mathcal{F}_0 from local A_0 -modules to A -modules. For a simple object M in $\mathcal{C}_{A_0}^{\text{loc}}$ the monodromy with A_1 is either one or minus one, since monodromy respects tensor products [20, Thm. 2.11]. The Ramond twisted A -modules are objects in \mathcal{C}_A that are local as \mathcal{C}_{A_0} -modules and for which the monodromy with A_1 is minus one. The monodromy respects tensor products, in particular if X, Y have each monodromy minus one with A_1 , then $X \boxtimes Y$ has trivial monodromy. Since induction is monoidal and every object is a subquotient of an induced object this means that the tensor product of two Ramond twisted modules is always local. The same type of argument yields that the tensor product of a local module with a Ramond twisted module is always Ramond twisted. Proposition 2.77 of [18] says that $\mathcal{F}(X)^* \cong \mathcal{F}(X^*)$. Moreover Lemma 2.78 of [18] states that if $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is local then so is $\mathcal{F}(X^*)$ and the same proof with an additional minus sign gives that if $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is Ramond twisted, then so is $\mathcal{F}(X^*)$.

The category of Ramond twisted modules is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{tw}}$ and we will sometimes omit the word Ramond. By Yoneda's Lemma one has $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{A_0} \circ \mathcal{F}_0$, see Lemma 9.1 of [7] for a proof. In particular $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is in $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{tw}}$ if $M_{A_0,X} = \text{Id}_{A_0 \boxtimes X}$ and $M_{A_1,X} = -\text{Id}_{A_1 \boxtimes X}$. We summarize properties as just explained

Remark 2.2.

- (1) $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is rigid if X is rigid. The dual is $\mathcal{F}(X)^* \cong \mathcal{F}(X^*)$ and if $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is local (resp. Ramond twisted) then so is $\mathcal{F}(X)^*$.
- (2) $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{tw}}$ is a $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$ -module category.
- (3) The tensor product of two Ramond twisted modules is always local.
- (4) $\mathcal{F}(X)$ for X in \mathcal{C}_A is Ramond twisted if $M_{A_0,X} = \text{Id}_{A_0 \boxtimes X}$ and $M_{A_1,X} = -\text{Id}_{A_1 \boxtimes X}$.

Theorem 2.3. (Prop. 2.1 of [24] or equivalently Thm. 5.2 and Cor. 5.3 of [49]) *The categories of local and Ramond twisted modules of A are semisimple.*

We continue with the same set-up as before. Let us set $N_X := X \otimes \tau(X)^*$. The multiplication rule $M_{X,Y}^Z$ is defined to be one if N_Z appears in the operator product of N_X with N_Y , and it is zero otherwise. This is rephrased in categorical terms in Definition 2.5 of [19]. For an algebra in a rigid vertex tensor category of the form (2.1), Theorem 3.5 of [19] says that $M_{X,Y}^Z = 1$ if and only if $\tau(Z)^*$ is a summand of $\tau(X)^* \boxtimes \tau(Y)^*$. This statement is only proven if A is an algebra in [19], however the argument (which is Section 3.3 of [19]) is exactly the same in the superalgebra case. In particular, N_X together with $V \otimes W$ generates A under operator products if and only if $\tau(X)^*$ generates \mathcal{D}_W as a fusion ring.

Lemma 2.4. (Consequence of Main Theorem 3.5 of [19]) *A is generated under operator products by $V \otimes W$ together with any field in $X \otimes \tau(X)^*$ if and only if \mathcal{D}_W is generated as a fusion ring by the object $\tau(X)^*$.*

Finally a very useful theorem is Frobenius reciprocity.

Theorem 2.5. (Lemma 2.61 of [18])

Let $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{C}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the forgetful functor, then for any object X in \mathcal{C} and Y in \mathcal{C}_A , the two spaces

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, \mathcal{G}(Y)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_A}(\mathcal{F}(X), Y)$$

are naturally isomorphic.

2.2. Lie algebras. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} of rank n and let $k \in \mathbb{C}$. We denote by $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ the universal affine vertex operator algebra of \mathfrak{g} at level k , and by $L_k(\mathfrak{g})$ its unique graded simple quotient. Let $(\cdot|\cdot)$ be the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} . Let h, h^\vee be the Coxeter and dual Coxeter number of \mathfrak{g} and r^\vee the lacity, that is $r^\vee = 1$ in type A, D, E , $r^\vee = 2$ in type B, C, F_4 and $r^\vee = 3$ for G_2 . A level is called admissible if

$$k + h^\vee = \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}, \quad (p, q) = 1, \quad p, q > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} p \geq h^\vee & (q, r^\vee) = 1, \\ p \geq h & (q, r^\vee) = r^\vee. \end{cases}$$

The first case is called principal admissible and the second one coprincipal. A principal admissible level is non-degenerate if $q \geq h$ and a coprincipal one is non-degenerate if $q \geq r^\vee h^\vee$. Let $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be the set of positive simple roots, normalized such that the longest root has norm 2. Let Q be the root lattice. The fundamental coweights $\omega_1^\vee, \dots, \omega_n^\vee$ are the duals of the positive simple roots and they span the coweight lattice P^\vee . The coroots are defined by

$$\alpha_i^\vee = \frac{2}{(\alpha_i | \alpha_i)} \alpha_i$$

and the coroot lattice is denoted by Q^\vee . Its dual is the weight lattice P , spanned by the fundamental weights $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$. Let θ, θ_s^\vee be the longest root and longest short coroot. Let $k + h^\vee = \frac{p}{q}$ be admissible, define the sets of admissible weights

$$\begin{aligned} P(p, q) &:= \{\lambda \in P \mid (\lambda | \alpha_i^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, i = 1, \dots, n, (\lambda | \theta) \leq p - h^\vee\}, \quad \text{if } (q, r^\vee) = 1, \\ P(p, q) &:= \{\lambda \in P \mid (\lambda | \alpha_i^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, i = 1, \dots, n, (\lambda | \theta_s^\vee) \leq p - h\}, \quad \text{if } (q, r^\vee) = r^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

Let ${}^L\mathfrak{g}$ be the Langlands dual Lie algebra of \mathfrak{g} . This means the roots of ${}^L\mathfrak{g}$ are the coroots of \mathfrak{g} and vice versa. We denote roots, coroots, weights, coweights and their lattices and all other quantities associated to ${}^L\mathfrak{g}$ by an additional symbol L . Since long roots are normalized to have norm 2 and the short roots have norm $2/r^\vee$ one has the relations

$${}^LQ^\vee = \sqrt{r^\vee}Q \quad \text{and} \quad {}^LP^\vee = \sqrt{r^\vee}P.$$

The dual level to k is defined by

$$r^\vee({}^Lk + {}^Lh^\vee)(k + h^\vee) = 1.$$

In particular, if $k + h^\vee = \frac{p}{q}$ is non-degenerate principal admissible, then ${}^Lk + {}^Lh^\vee = \frac{q}{r^\vee p}$ is coprincipal for ${}^L\mathfrak{g}$. Define the sets

$$\begin{aligned} {}^LP^\vee(p, q) &:= \{\lambda \in {}^LP^\vee \mid (\lambda | \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, i = 1, \dots, n, (\lambda | {}^L\theta_s^\vee) \leq r^\vee(p - h^\vee)\}, \quad \text{if } (q, r^\vee) = 1, \\ {}^LP^\vee(p, q) &:= \{\lambda \in {}^LP^\vee \mid (\lambda | \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, i = 1, \dots, n, (\lambda | {}^L\theta) \leq p - h\}, \quad \text{if } (q, r^\vee) = r^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

Since ${}^L\theta_s^\vee = \sqrt{r^\vee}\theta$ rescaling by $\sqrt{r^\vee}$ gives the isomorphism

$$P(p, q) \cong {}^LP^\vee(p, q).$$

In particular, if k is principal admissible, then (note that $h = {}^Lh$ and $h \geq h^\vee$ for any simple Lie algebra)

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad {}^LP(q, r^\vee p) &\cong P^\vee(q, r^\vee p) \\ &= \{\lambda \in P^\vee \mid (\lambda | \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, i = 1, \dots, n, (\lambda | \theta) \leq q - h\} \\ &\subset \{\lambda \in P \mid (\lambda | \alpha_i^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, i = 1, \dots, n, (\lambda | \theta) \leq q - h\} \\ &\subset \{\lambda \in P \mid (\lambda | \alpha_i^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, i = 1, \dots, n, (\lambda | \theta) \leq q - h^\vee\} = P(q, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we define the subset of admissible weights that lie in the root lattice $P_Q(p, q) := P(p, q) \cap Q$. We specialize to type B_n, C_n . Let $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{Z}^n and we view roots as embedded in \mathbb{Z}^n or a rescaling of it. Some data for B_n is

- (1) simple roots $\alpha_1 = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_{n-1} - \epsilon_n, \epsilon_n$,
- (2) simple coroots $\alpha_1^\vee = \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}^\vee = \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n^\vee = 2\alpha_n$,
- (3) longest root $\theta = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$,
- (4) longest short coroot $\theta_s^\vee = 2\epsilon_1$,
- (5) Coxeter number $h = 2n$, dual Coxeter number $h^\vee = 2n - 1$.

The corresponding data for C_n is

- (1) simple roots $\alpha_1 = \frac{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \dots, \frac{\epsilon_{n-1} - \epsilon_n}{\sqrt{2}}, \sqrt{2}\epsilon_n$,
- (2) simple coroots $\alpha_1^\vee = 2\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}^\vee = 2\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n^\vee = \alpha_n$,
- (3) longest root $\theta = \sqrt{2}\epsilon_1$,
- (4) longest short coroot $\theta_s^\vee = \sqrt{2}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)$,
- (5) Coxeter number $h = 2n$, dual Coxeter number $h^\vee = n + 1$.

We want to compare the sets of admissible weights of B_n and C_n , so we add a superscript B, C to indicate the type. Let $p \geq n + 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} P^C(p, 1) &= \left\{ \lambda \in \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{Z} \mid (\lambda|\sqrt{2}(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1})) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, i = 1, \dots, n-1, (\lambda|\sqrt{2}\epsilon_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, (\lambda|\sqrt{2}\epsilon_1) \leq p - n - 1 \right\}, \\ P^B(2p-1, 2p) &= \left\{ \mu \in \mathbb{Z} \mid (\mu|\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, i = 1, \dots, n-1, (\mu|2\epsilon_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, (\mu|2\epsilon_1) \leq 2p - 2n - 1 \right\}, \\ P_Q^B(2p-1, 2p) &= \left\{ \mu \in \mathbb{Z} \mid (\mu|\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, i = 1, \dots, n-1, (\mu|\epsilon_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, (\mu|\epsilon_1) \leq p - n - \frac{1}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that the map $\lambda \mapsto \sqrt{2}\lambda$ provides the isomorphism

$$(2.3) \quad P^C(p, 1) \cong P_Q^B(2p-1, 2p).$$

Next, let $p \geq 2n$ be odd. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P^C(p, 2) &= \left\{ \lambda \in \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathbb{Z} \mid (\lambda|\sqrt{2}(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1})) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, i = 1, \dots, n-1, (\lambda|\sqrt{2}\epsilon_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, (\lambda|\sqrt{2}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)) \leq p - 2n \right\}, \\ P^B(p-1, p) &= \left\{ \mu \in \mathbb{Z} \mid (\mu|\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, i = 1, \dots, n-1, (\mu|2\epsilon_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, (\mu|\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \leq p - 2n \right\}, \\ P_Q^B(p-1, p) &= \left\{ \mu \in \mathbb{Z} \mid (\mu|\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, i = 1, \dots, n-1, (\mu|\epsilon_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, (\mu|\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \leq p - 2n \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that the map $\lambda \mapsto \sqrt{2}\lambda$ provides the isomorphism

$$(2.4) \quad P^C(p, 2) \cong P_Q^B(p-1, p).$$

Let now $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$. This is not a Lie algebra, but a Lie superalgebra. Its simple roots can be identified with the simple roots of B_n where the short roots are odd roots and the long ones are even. Note that 2α for α an odd root is an even root and it can be identified with a long root of C_n . Weights of $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ can be thus identified with weights of B_n and it turns out that there is a one-to-one correspondence between irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ -modules and irreducible finite-dimensional non-spinor representations of \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1} [50]. They are both parameterized by weights in $R = Q \cap P^+$, the set of dominant weights that lie in the root lattice of B_n .

Remark 2.6. Let E_μ be the simple highest-weight module of $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ of highest weight μ and let v_μ be the highest-weight vector. Then E_μ contains the \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} simple highest-weight module E_ν with $\sqrt{2}\nu = \mu$ as submodule and this submodule is generated by v_μ .

Similarly let $\tilde{V}^k(\mu)$ be a $V^k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ -module whose top level is isomorphic to E_μ . Then the highest-weight vector generates a $V^k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -module whose top level is E_ν .

2.3. Fusion categories of \mathcal{W} -algebras. Let $V^k(\lambda)$ denote the universal Weyl module of $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ whose top level is the irreducible highest-weight representation E_λ of \mathfrak{g} of highest weight λ . Let $L_k(\lambda)$ be its unique graded quotient. Let $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ the principal \mathcal{W} -algebra of \mathfrak{g} at level k . It is obtained via quantum Hamiltonian reduction from $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ and the reduction functor is denoted by $H_{\text{DS}}^0(\bullet)$. Then the reduction $H_{\text{DS}}^0(V^k(\lambda))$ of $V^k(\lambda)$ is a $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. More generally, there is the twisted quantum Hamiltonian reduction of Arakawa and Frenkel [12]. These reductions are labelled by elements μ in the set of dominant coweights, ${}^L P^+$ and one denotes them as

$$T_{\lambda, \mu}^\kappa = H_{\text{DS}, \mu}^0(V^k(\lambda)) \quad \kappa = k + h^\vee.$$

One has $T_{\lambda, \mu}^\kappa = {}^L T_{\mu, \lambda}^{L\kappa}$ by [12, Thm. 2.2], where ${}^L \kappa = {}^L k + {}^L h^\vee$.

Let $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ be the unique simple quotient of $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ and denote the simple quotient of $T_{\lambda, \mu}^\kappa$ by $\mathbf{L}_k(\lambda, \mu)$. Let k be non-degenerate admissible. If k is principal or coprincipal non-degenerate admissible, then $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ is strongly rational [4] and the simple objects are in the principal admissible case the $\mathbf{L}_k(\lambda, \mu)$ with $(\lambda, \mu) \in P(p, q) \times {}^L P(q, r^\vee p) \cong P(p, q) \times P^\vee(q, r^\vee p)$ and in the coprincipal case the $\mathbf{L}_k(\lambda, \mu)$ with $(\lambda, \mu) \in P(p, q) \times {}^L P(q/r^\vee, p) \cong P(p, q) \times P^\vee(q/r^\vee, p)$. Call a non-degenerate admissible level of coboundary type if $q = h$ in the principal admissible case or $q = r^\vee L h^\vee$ in the coprincipal admissible case. If the non-degenerate admissible level is not coboundary then two simple modules are isomorphic if and only if they are in the same orbit under

a certain diagonal Weyl group action [32], in particular $\mathbf{L}_k(\lambda, 0) \cong \mathbf{L}_k(\lambda', 0)$ if and only if $\lambda = \lambda'$. The modular transformations of characters have been computed in [10] and been used to compute fusion rules in [15].

Theorem 2.7. [15] *Let*

$$(2.5) \quad L_{\ell-h^\vee}(\lambda) \boxtimes L_{\ell-h^\vee}(\nu) \cong \bigoplus_{\phi \in P(\ell, 1)} N_{\lambda, \nu}^{\mathfrak{g}_\ell, \phi} L_{\ell-h^\vee}(\phi).$$

be the fusion rules of $L_{\ell-h^\vee}(\mathfrak{g})$ for $\ell - h^\vee \in Z_{>0}$. Let $k = -h^\vee + \frac{p}{q}$ be principal non-degenerate admissible. Then simple modules are parameterized by a quotient of the subset $P(p, 1) \times P^\vee(q, r^\vee)$ of the set $P(p, 1) \times P(q, 1)$ by (2.2). Set $\mathbf{L}_k(\lambda, \lambda') = 0$ for $(\lambda, \lambda') \in P(p, 1) \times P(q, 1) \setminus P(p, 1) \times P^\vee(q, r^\vee)$. With this parameterization the fusion rules are for $(\lambda, \lambda'), (\nu, \nu') \in P(p, 1) \times P^\vee(q, r^\vee)$

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbf{L}_k(\lambda, \lambda') \boxtimes \mathbf{L}_k(\nu, \nu') \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\phi \in P(p, 1) \\ \phi' \in P(q, 1)}} N_{\lambda, \nu}^{\mathfrak{g}_p, \phi} N_{\lambda', \nu'}^{\mathfrak{g}_q, \phi'} \mathbf{L}_k(\phi, \phi').$$

The proof of this Theorem used a variant of Verlinde's formula in modular tensor categories, namely that there is an isomorphism given by open Hopf links between the Grothendieck ring of the category and the endomorphism ring of the direct sum of all inequivalent modules. The open Hopf links are given by normalized modular S -matrix coefficients. Let $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/p}$, then the map $\zeta \mapsto \zeta^q$, maps the open Hopf links $L_{p-h^\vee}(\lambda)$ of the affine vertex algebra $L_{p-h^\vee}(\mathfrak{g})$ at level $p - h^\vee$ to the corresponding ones, that is $\mathbf{L}_k(\lambda, 0)$, of the \mathcal{W} -algebra $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g})$. This map provides a homomorphism of rings. The cokernel of this ring homomorphism has not been discussed in [15], but it is known: Note that these Hopf links are given by q -characters and in particular coincide with the ones of the corresponding quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ for $q = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{2r^\vee(k+h^\vee)}}$, see e.g. the proof of Theorem 3.3.9 of [14]. For k non-degenerate principal or coprincipal admissible, the Hopf links vanish for all negligible objects and the non-negligible simple objects are exactly the highest-weight modules of admissible weight at that level, see e.g. Theorem 2 together with Lemma 7 of [51].

Remark 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ be the category of $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules at the non-degenerate admissible level $k = -h^\vee + \frac{p}{q}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_L(p, q)$ be the subcategory whose simple objects are isomorphic to modules of type $\mathbf{L}_k(\lambda, 0)$. Similarly we denote by $\mathcal{C}_R(p, q)$ be the subcategory whose simple objects are isomorphic to modules of type $\mathbf{L}_k(0, \lambda')$. We note the properties, see [15, Theorem 6.1]*

- (1) *The categories $\mathcal{C}_L(p, q)$ and $\mathcal{C}_R(p, q)$ are fusion subcategories of $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$.*
- (2) *Let $k = -h^\vee + \frac{p}{v}$ be non-degenerate admissible with $(q, r^\vee) = (v, r^\vee)$, then there is the isomorphism $K[\mathcal{C}_L(p, q)] \cong K[\mathcal{C}_L(p, v)]$ of Grothendieck rings if both levels are not coboundary.*
- (3) *$\mathbf{L}_k(0, \lambda')$ is in the centralizer of $\mathcal{C}_L(p, q)$ if $\lambda' \in Q$.*
- (4) *$\mathbf{L}_k(\lambda, 0)$ is in the centralizer of $\mathcal{C}_R(p, q)$ if $\lambda \in Q$.*

3. THE UNIVERSAL AFFINE VERTEX SUPERALGEBRA $V^k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$

We recall the notion of equivariant \mathcal{W} -algebras using [5]. Let G be a compact simple Lie group and $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$. The CDO algebra at level κ , $\mathcal{D}_{G, \kappa}^{\text{ch}}$ is a vertex algebra that has an action of $V^k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V^\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ with \mathfrak{g} the Lie algebra of G and $\kappa = k + h^\vee$, $-\kappa = \ell + h^\vee$. It satisfies

$$\mathcal{D}_{G, \kappa}^{\text{ch}} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+} V^k(\lambda) \otimes V^\ell(\lambda^*)$$

as a vector space graded by conformal weights and weights of $V^k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V^\ell(\mathfrak{g})$. Moreover it is a direct sum of both $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ and $V^\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules in the categories $\text{KL}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\text{KL}^\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ [5, Prop. 5.6]. Since these categories are completely reducible for non-rational k, ℓ , it follows that

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{D}_{G, \kappa}^{\text{ch}} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+} V^k(\lambda) \otimes V^\ell(\lambda^*)$$

as $V^k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes V^\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ for non-rational k, ℓ , or equivalently as deformable families. The equivariant \mathcal{W} -algebra of G at level κ with respect to the nilpotent element f in \mathfrak{g} is the quantum Hamiltonian reduction corresponding to

f on the first factor. In particular

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{W}_{G,f,\kappa} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P^+} T_{\lambda,0}^{\kappa,f} \otimes V^\ell(\lambda^*), \quad T_{\lambda,0}^{\kappa,f} = H_{\text{DS},f}^0(V^k(\lambda)).$$

If f is principal nilpotent we will omit the symbol f . $\mathcal{W}_{G,\kappa}$ is a strict chiralization of a smooth symplectic variety [5] and hence simple by [13, Cor. 9.3].

Theorem 3.1. *Let F^{2n+1} be the vertex superalgebra of $2n+1$ free fermions and consider $G = Sp(2n)$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$. Let τ, t and ℓ be related by $\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{\ell+h^\vee} = 2$ and $\ell + t = -2h_{\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}}^\vee$. Then*

$$C^\ell = \text{Com}(\mathcal{W}^t(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}), \mathcal{W}_{Sp(2n),\kappa} \otimes F^{2n+1})$$

is a simple vertex superalgebra, and its even and odd parts decompose as $\mathcal{W}^{\tau-h^\vee}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes V^\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -modules as follows:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} C_{\text{even}}^\ell &\cong \bigoplus_{\mu \in P^+ \cap Q} T_{\mu,0}^\tau \otimes V^\ell(\mu), \\ C_{\text{odd}}^\ell &\cong \bigoplus_{\mu \in P^+ \cap P \setminus Q} T_{\mu,0}^\tau \otimes V^\ell(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda \in \check{P}^+$ and $C_\lambda^\ell = C_{\lambda,\text{even}}^\ell \oplus C_{\lambda,\text{odd}}^\ell$, such that

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} C_{\lambda,\text{even}}^\ell &\cong \bigoplus_{\mu \in P^+ \cap Q} T_{\mu,\lambda}^\tau \otimes V^\ell(\mu), \\ C_{\lambda,\text{odd}}^\ell &\cong \bigoplus_{\mu \in P^+ \cap P \setminus Q} T_{\mu,\lambda}^\tau \otimes V^\ell(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Then the C_λ^ℓ are C^ℓ -modules and

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{W}_{Sp(2n),\kappa} \otimes F^{2n+1} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \check{P}^+ \cap \check{Q}} C_\lambda^\ell \otimes N_{\lambda,0}^t,$$

with $N_{\lambda,0}^t$ certain inequivalent simple $\mathcal{W}^t(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ -modules.

Proof. We recall the twisted quantum Hamiltonian reduction of Arakawa and Frenkel [12] for principal f . These reductions are labelled by elements μ in the set of dominant coweights, \check{P}^+ and one denotes

$$T_{\lambda,\mu}^\kappa = H_{\text{DS},\mu}^0(V^k(\lambda)).$$

One has $T_{\lambda,\mu}^\kappa = \check{T}_{\mu,\lambda}^{\check{\kappa}}$ by [12, Thm. 2,2] with the right hand side reductions of modules of $V^\ell(L\mathfrak{g})$ with $L\mathfrak{g}$ the Langlands dual Lie algebra of \mathfrak{g} and $\check{\kappa} = \ell + {}^L h^\vee$ the shifted level and $r^\vee \check{\kappa} \kappa = 1$ the lacity. In particular if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, then $L\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$ and $h^\vee = n+1$, ${}^L h^\vee = 2n-1$ and $r^\vee = 2$. The twisted reduction commutes with tensoring with integrable representations in the following sense,

$$(3.6) \quad H_{\text{DS},\mu}^0(V^k(\lambda) \otimes L) \cong H_{\text{DS},\mu}^0(V^k(\lambda)) \otimes \sigma_\mu^* L$$

as $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L$ -modules [6, Thm. 7.2], where L is an integrable module (at level $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$) for \mathfrak{g} and the left-hand side is the diagonal reduction at level $k+n$. $\sigma_\mu^* L$ is the spectrally flown module of L . This is defined in terms of Li's Δ -operator [46] and it is again an integrable module at the same level. It is the module twisted by the automorphism induced from the Weyl translation

$$t_\mu : \lambda \mapsto \lambda + \lambda(K)\mu - \left((\lambda|\mu) + \frac{(\mu|\mu)}{2} \lambda(K) \right) \delta.$$

If $L = V_N$ is a lattice VOA, then one has $\sigma_\mu^*(V_N) \cong V_{N+\mu}$ and more generally for a module $V_{N+\nu}$ of V_N one has $\sigma_\mu^*(V_{N+\nu}) \cong V_{N+\nu+\mu}$. In particular one has $\sigma_\mu^* V_N \cong V_N$ if $\mu \in N$. Let $N = \mathbb{Z}^n$, so that V_N is the vertex superalgebra of n -pairs of free fermions. Then N coincides with the weight lattice P of \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} , that is let $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$ be an orthonormal basis of N and set $\alpha_i = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $\alpha_n = \epsilon_n$. Then $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, 2\alpha_n$ span a sublattice of N , that coincides with the root lattice Q of \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} . V_N is a subalgebra of F^{2n+1} , the vertex

superalgebra of $2n + 1$ free fermions and $F^{2n+1} \cong L_1(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) \oplus L_1(\omega_1)$ is an integrable module for \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1} at level one. The even part is $L_1(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})$ and the odd one $L_1(\omega_1)$. We thus see that

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_\mu^*(L_1(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})) &\cong \begin{cases} L_1(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) & \text{if } \mu \in Q \\ L_1(\omega_1) & \text{if } \mu \in P \setminus Q \end{cases}, \\ \sigma_\mu^*(L_1(\omega_1)) &\cong \begin{cases} L_1(\omega_1) & \text{if } \mu \in Q \\ L_1(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) & \text{if } \mu \in P \setminus Q \end{cases}, \\ \sigma_\mu^*(F^{2n+1}) &\cong \begin{cases} F^{2n+1} & \text{if } \mu \in Q \\ \pi F^{2n+1} & \text{if } \mu \in P \setminus Q. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

with πF^{2n+1} the parity reverse of F^{2n+1} . Recall that $R = Q \cap P^+$ denotes the set of highest weights of tensor representations of \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1} , i.e. of modules appearing as direct summands of the iterated tensor product of the standard representation. Let k be generic, then by [24, Theorem 4.1]

$$(3.8) \quad V^k(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) \otimes F^{2n+1} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} V^{k+1}(\lambda) \otimes N_{\lambda,0}^t$$

with $N_{\lambda,0}^t$ certain modules of $\mathcal{W}^t(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ at level t determined by

$$2t + 2n + 1 = \frac{k + 2n}{k + 2n - 1}.$$

The decomposition (3.8) is completely reducible by [23, Theorem 4.12]. Applying $H_{\text{DS},\mu}^0$ to (3.8) and using (3.6) and $T_{\lambda,\mu}^\kappa = \check{T}_{\mu,\lambda}^{\check{\kappa}}$ one gets

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{\mu,0}^\kappa \otimes F^{2n+1} &\cong T_{0,\mu}^{\check{\kappa}} \otimes F^{2n+1} \cong H_{\text{DS},\mu}^0(V^k(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})) \otimes F^{2n+1} \\ &\cong H_{\text{DS},\mu}^0(V^k(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) \otimes F^{2n+1}) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} H_{\text{DS},\mu}^0(V^{k+1}(\lambda)) \otimes N_{\lambda,0}^t \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} T_{\lambda,\mu}^{\check{\kappa}+1} \otimes N_{\lambda,0}^t \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} T_{\mu,\lambda}^\tau \otimes N_{\lambda,0}^t. \end{aligned}$$

with $\check{\kappa} = k + 2n - 1$ and τ dual to $\check{\kappa} + 1$, that is $2\tau(\check{\kappa} + 1) = 1$. This equality holds for $\mu \in Q$, while for $\mu \in P \setminus Q$ one has to add an additional parity reversal.

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{\mu,0}^\kappa \otimes F^{2n+1} &\cong T_{0,\mu}^{\check{\kappa}} \otimes F^{2n+1} \cong H_{\text{DS},\mu}^0(V^k(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1})) \otimes F^{2n+1} \\ &\cong H_{\text{DS},\mu}^0(V^k(\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}) \otimes \pi F^{2n+1}) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} H_{\text{DS},\mu}^0(V^{k+1}(\lambda)) \otimes \pi N_{\lambda,0}^t \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} T_{\lambda,\mu}^{\check{\kappa}+1} \otimes \pi N_{\lambda,0}^t \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} T_{\mu,\lambda}^\tau \otimes \pi N_{\lambda,0}^t. \end{aligned}$$

It thus follows that (note that $V^\ell(\mu^*) \cong V^\ell(\mu)$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$),

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{Sp(2n),\kappa} \otimes F^{2n+1} &\cong \bigoplus_{\mu \in P^+} T_{\mu,0}^\kappa \otimes V^\ell(\mu) \otimes F^{2n+1} \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\mu \in P^+ \cap Q} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} T_{\mu,\lambda}^\tau \otimes N_{\lambda,0}^t \otimes V^\ell(\mu) \oplus \bigoplus_{\mu \in P^+ \cap P \setminus Q} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in R} T_{\mu,\lambda}^\tau \otimes \pi N_{\lambda,0}^t \otimes V^\ell(\mu) \end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain that

$$(3.12) \quad C^\ell := \text{Com}(\mathcal{W}^t(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}), \mathcal{W}_{Sp(2n),\kappa} \otimes F^{2n+1}) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \in P^+ \cap Q} T_{\mu,0}^\tau \otimes V^\ell(\mu) \oplus \bigoplus_{\mu \in P^+ \cap P \setminus Q} \pi(T_{\mu,0}^\tau \otimes V^\ell(\mu)).$$

Since $\mathcal{W}_{Sp(2n),\kappa} \otimes F^{2n+1}$ is a simple vertex superalgebra on which $\mathcal{W}^t(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ acts completely reducibly, we have that $\text{Com}(\mathcal{W}^t(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}), \mathcal{W}_{Sp(2n),\kappa} \otimes F^{2n+1})$ is simple [16, Proposition 5.4]. Recall that $2\tau(\check{\kappa} + 1) = 1 = 2\kappa\check{\kappa}$ and $\ell + h^\vee = -\kappa$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{\ell + h^\vee} = 2(\check{\kappa} + 1) - \frac{1}{\kappa} = 2 + \frac{1}{\kappa} - \frac{1}{\kappa} = 2$$

and $t2 + t\ell + 4n + 2 = \frac{\check{\kappa}+1}{\check{\kappa}} - \kappa - 1 = 0$. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let ℓ be generic, then $C^\ell \cong V^\ell(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ and $C_\lambda^\ell \cong V^\ell(\lambda)$.*

Proof. C^ℓ and $V^\ell(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ are simple vertex operator superalgebras whose graded characters coincide by Theorems C.2 and 3.1. In particular their weight one subspaces coincide as \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} -modules. The weight one subspace of C^ℓ must generate an affine vertex superalgebra and the only possibility for this is to be of type $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ at level ℓ . Thus $C^\ell \cong V^\ell(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ must hold. The C^ℓ are $V^\ell(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ -modules whose graded character coincides with the one of $V^\ell(\lambda)$ by Theorems C.2 and 3.1. In particular their top levels coincide as \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} -modules and hence as $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ -modules. This is only possible if $C_\lambda^\ell \cong V^\ell(\lambda)$. \square

4. THE SIMPLE AFFINE VERTEX SUPERALGEBRA $L_\ell(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ and $\ell = -h^\vee + \frac{p}{q}$ be non-degenerate admissible. We prefer to parameterize modules by weight labels (λ, μ) with λ a weight of \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} and μ one of \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1} , i.e. the simple modules are denoted by $\mathbf{L}_\ell(\lambda, \mu)$ with $\lambda \in P^C(p, q)$ and $\mu \in P^B(q, r^\vee p)$ if ℓ is principal admissible and $\mu \in P^B(q/r^\vee, p)$ if ℓ is coprincipal admissible.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $k = -h^\vee + \frac{u}{v}$ be admissible and $\ell = -h^\vee + \frac{u}{2u-v}$ non-degenerate admissible for \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} . Let $P^B := P^B(q, r^\vee p)$ if ℓ is principal admissible and $P^B := P^B(q/r^\vee, p)$ if ℓ is coprincipal admissible and $P_Q^B := P^B \cap Q$. Then*

(1) $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ is a $L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -module.

(2)

$$L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(u, v)} L_k(\lambda) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(\lambda, 0)$$

and for $\mu \in P_Q^B$

$$L_k(\mu) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(u, v)} L_k(\lambda) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(\lambda, \mu)$$

as $L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -modules.

(3) The $L_k(\mu)$ with $\mu \in P_Q^B$ are a complete list of inequivalent simple ordinary $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ -modules.

(4) The category of ordinary $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ -modules is a semisimple, rigid vertex tensor supercategory, i.e. it is a fusion supercategory.

Proof.

(1) Let $\tilde{L}_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ be the image of $V^k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ in $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$. By [22, Theorem 8.1] the coset $\text{Com}(\tilde{L}_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}), L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}))$ is a homomorphic image of $\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$. By [7, Theorem 4.1] this coset is simple, i.e. $\text{Com}(\tilde{L}_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}), L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})) \cong \mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$. Since $\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ is strongly rational, $\text{Com}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}), L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}))$ is simple by [8, Lemma 2.1]. It follows that $\tilde{L}_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \cong L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ by [11, Theorem 3.4]. Hence $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ is a $L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -module.

(2) for $v = 1, 2$. Let \mathcal{C} be the category of ordinary $L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -modules. The category of ordinary $L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -modules is semisimple [1] and a vertex tensor category [17] and since the category of $\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -modules is a modular tensor category [44, 45] as $\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ is rational [4]. Thus Theorem 5.5 of [19] applies, that is \mathcal{C} is the Deligne product of the category of ordinary modules of $L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ and the category of $\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -modules. It follows that $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ is a commutative superalgebra object, call it A , in \mathcal{C} by [20]. Hence the category of ordinary $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ -modules is a vertex tensor category and equivalent to the category $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$ of local A -modules in \mathcal{C} [18].

Since $A = L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ is a homomorphic image of $V^k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ it must be of the form

$$A = L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(u, v)} L_k(\lambda) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(\lambda, 0) \otimes M(\lambda)$$

with $M(\lambda) \in \{0, \mathbb{C}\}$. We have to show that $M(\lambda) = \mathbb{C}$. The subcategory of ordinary $L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -modules whose simple objects are the $L_k(\lambda)$ with $M(\lambda) = \mathbb{C}$ is a rigid tensor subcategory of ordinary $L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -modules by Theorem 2.1. Let \mathcal{D} be the corresponding subcategory of \mathcal{C} , i.e. simple objects are simple $L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -modules in this rigid subcategory times simple $\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -modules. In particular $A = L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ is an object in \mathcal{D} and we can consider the category of local A -modules in \mathcal{D} , which we denote by $\mathcal{D}_A^{\text{loc}}$. The category $\mathcal{D}_A^{\text{loc}}$ is semisimple

by Theorem 2.3. $\mathcal{D}_A^{\text{loc}}$ is a subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$ that is closed under submodules; in particular, a simple object in $\mathcal{D}_A^{\text{loc}}$ is also simple in $\mathcal{C}_A^{\text{loc}}$. Let \mathcal{F} be the induction functor from \mathcal{D} to $\mathcal{D}_A^{\text{loc}}$. Note that

$$X_\mu = A \boxtimes (L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(0, \nu)) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(u, v)} L_k(\lambda) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(\lambda, \mu) \otimes M(\lambda)$$

as object in \mathcal{C} . Let $P^B := P^B(q, r^\vee p)$ if ℓ is principal admissible and $P^B := P^B(q/r^\vee, p)$ if ℓ is coprincipal admissible. The $X_\mu := \mathcal{F}(L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(0, \mu))$ is in $\mathcal{D}_A^{\text{loc}}$ if $\mu \in P_Q^B$ by Remark 2.8 (3). Let $P_Q^B := P^B \cap Q$. By Frobenius reciprocity, Theorem 2.5, for $\mu, \nu \in P_Q^B$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_A^{\text{loc}}}(X_\mu, X_\nu) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_A^{\text{loc}}}(\mathcal{F}(L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(0, \mu)), \mathcal{F}(L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(0, \nu))) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(0, \mu), A \boxtimes (L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(0, \nu))) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(0, \mu), L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(0, \nu)) \\ &\cong \delta_{\mu, \nu} \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the X_μ are simple ordinary $A = L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ -modules. On the other hand the ordinary module of highest weight μ must be of the form

$$L_k(\mu) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(u, v)} L_k(\lambda) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(\lambda, \mu) \otimes M(\lambda, \mu)$$

with $M(\lambda, \mu) \in \{0, \mathbb{C}\}$. and so we can conclude that $M(\lambda, \mu) = M(\lambda)$ and $X_\mu \cong L_k(\mu)$. By Remark 2.6 and semisimplicity of ordinary $L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -modules, the $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ -module $L_k(\mu)$ viewed as an $L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -module contains the $L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -module $L_k(\nu)$ with $\mu = \sqrt{2}\nu$ as submodule. It follows that $M(\nu) = \mathbb{C}$ if $\sqrt{2}\nu \in P_Q^B$. Since $P_Q^B \cong P(u, v)$ for $v = 1, 2$ by (2.3) and (2.4) we have proven our second claim in these two cases.

(2) for general v . Let $\mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ be the category of ordinary modules of $L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))$ be the category of $\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -modules. $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ is weakly generated by the odd dimension one fields, these correspond to the top level of $L_k(\omega_1) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(\omega_1, 0)$ (ω_1 is the first fundamental weight which is the highest weight of the standard representation of \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}). By Lemma 2.4 $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_L$ is generated by $\mathbf{L}_\ell(\omega_1, 0)$ for $v = 1, 2$. By Remark 2.8 the Grothendieck ring only depends on the numerator of the shifted level and whether the level is principal or coprincipal non-degenerate admissible. Hence $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_L$ is generated by $\mathbf{L}_\ell(\omega_1, 0)$ for all v . By Lemma 2.4 all simple $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_L$ -modules appear in the decomposition of $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$, i.e. $M(\lambda) = \mathbb{C}$ for all $\lambda \in P(u, v)$.

(3) Let X be a simple ordinary $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ -module. Since any indecomposable ordinary module of the universal affine vertex operator superalgebra is a homomorphic image of an universal Weyl module $V^k(\mu)$ with μ a dominant weight of \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1} that is non-spinor and since X is necessarily a $L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -module it follows that

$$X \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(u, v)} L_k(\lambda) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(\lambda, \mu) \otimes M(\lambda, \mu)$$

with $\mu \in P_Q^B$ and we already proved that in this case $X \cong L_k(\mu)$.

(4) Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_R^Q$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_R$ whose weight labels lie in Q (i.e. they are non-spinor). The functor $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_R^Q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ defined by

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{H}(X) = \mathcal{F}(L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes X), \quad \mathcal{H}(f) = \mathcal{F}(\text{Id}_{L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})} \otimes f)$$

for X an object and f a morphism in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_R^Q$ is braided monoidal as a composition of braided monoidal functors. It is fully faithful by Frobenius reciprocity and it is essentially surjective, i.e. it is an equivalence. Thus the stated properties of $\mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ are true as they are true for $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_R^Q$. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let k be principal or coprincipal admissible for \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} .*

- (1) $\mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ is rigid.
- (2) $\mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ is generated by $L_k(\omega_1)$.
- (3) There is a super-braid-reversed equivalence $\mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_L$ sending $L_k(\lambda)$ to $\mathbf{L}_\ell(\lambda, 0)^*$.
- (4) There is a super-braided equivalence $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_R^Q \cong \mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ sending $\mathbf{L}_\ell(0, \mu)$ to $L_k(\mu)$.

Proof. The first and third statement follow from Theorem 2.1. The second one from Lemma 2.4. The last equivalence is given by the functor sending $\mathbf{L}_\ell(0, \mu)$ to $\mathcal{F}(L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(0, \mu)) \cong L_k(\mu)$ which is essentially surjective by the previous theorem and fully faithful by Frobenius reciprocity, Theorem 2.5. \square

Corollary 4.3. *The category of Ramond twisted modules is semisimple and the simple objects are parameterized by $\mu \in P^B \setminus P_Q^B$ and they decompose as*

$$L_k(\mu) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(u, v)} L_k(\lambda) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(\lambda, \mu).$$

Proof. We use the properties stated in Remark 2.2 in our setting.

Let $\mu \in P^B \setminus P_Q^B$, then $e^{2\pi i \mu \lambda}$ is one for $\lambda \in Q$ and minus one otherwise. This means that the monodromy of $L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(0, \mu)$ with the even part of $L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ is one and with the odd part it is minus one. This is precisely the condition that $L_k(\mu) := \mathcal{F}(L_k(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}) \otimes \mathbf{L}_\ell(0, \mu))$ is a Ramond twisted module.

Let $\mathcal{O}_k^R(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ be the category whose simple objects are such $L_k(\mu)$. Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_R^{P \setminus Q}$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_R$ whose simple objects are the $\mathbf{L}_\ell(0, \nu)$ with $\nu \in P^B \setminus P_Q^B$. Since monodromy respects tensor products, $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_R^{P \setminus Q}$ must be a module category for $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_R^Q$ and the tensor product of two objects in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_R^{P \setminus Q}$ must be in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_R^Q$. Since induction is monoidal, it follows that $\mathcal{O}_k^R(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ is a $\mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ -module category as well and the tensor product of two objects in $\mathcal{O}_k^R(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ must be local. With $\mathcal{W}_\ell(0, \mu)$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{W}_\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}))_R^{P \setminus Q}$, the same must be true for its dual. Induction preserves duality and hence the dual of any object in $\mathcal{O}_k^R(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ is in $\mathcal{O}_k^R(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ as well.

Consider an arbitrary twisted module X and Y in $\mathcal{O}_k^R(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$. Let Y^* be the dual of Y , then

$$X \cong L_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}) \boxtimes X \subset (Y^* \boxtimes Y) \boxtimes X \cong Y^* \boxtimes (Y \boxtimes X).$$

Since the tensor product of two twisted modules is local and since $\mathcal{O}_k^R(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ is a $\mathcal{O}_k(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$ -module category, it follows that X is in $\mathcal{O}_k^R(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n})$. \square

APPENDIX A. FORMAL CHARACTERS

A.1. Characters of Modules in \mathcal{O} . Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a Kac-Moody Lie superalgebra in the sense of Serganova [52] and $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ be the Cartan subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then we can define the category \mathcal{O} as certain full subcategory of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules in the same way as in [27], and the characters

$$\text{ch } M = \sum_{\lambda \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}^*} (\dim M_\lambda) e^\lambda, \quad M \in \mathcal{O},$$

where M_λ is the weight space of M for $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ of the weight λ . See e.g. [34, Section 1] for the details.

A.2. Characters of $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebra with the normalized even supersymmetric invariant bilinear form $(\cdot | \cdot)$ such that $(\theta | \theta) = 2$ for the highest root θ of \mathfrak{g} , and $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ be the affine Lie superalgebra of \mathfrak{g} with the central element K . For any finite-dimensional highest-weight \mathfrak{g} -module E and $k \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the induced $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $V_{\mathfrak{g}}^k(E)$ by

$$V_{\mathfrak{g}}^k(E) = U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K)} E,$$

where we consider E as a $\mathfrak{g}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ -module by $\mathfrak{g}[t]t = 0$ and $K = k$, and $U(\mathfrak{a})$ denotes the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{a} for any Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{a} . $V_{\mathfrak{g}}^k(E)$ is called the local Weyl $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module induced from E at level k . Set

$$V^k(\mathfrak{g}) = V_{\mathfrak{g}}^k(\mathbb{C})$$

for the trivial \mathfrak{g} -module \mathbb{C} . It is well-known that $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ has a vertex superalgebra structure, and then $V_{\mathfrak{g}}^k(E)$ is a $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. Let h^\vee be the dual Coxeter number of \mathfrak{g} . If $k + h^\vee \neq 0$, the Sugawara construction defines the Virasoro field $L(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L_m z^{-m-2}$ on $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ so that any $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ -module has a Virasoro module structure

with the central charge $\frac{k \operatorname{sdim}(\mathfrak{g})}{k+h^\vee}$. From the point of view of vertex superalgebras, the formal character of $V_{\mathfrak{g}}^k(E)$ is defined as follows:

$$\tilde{\operatorname{ch}} V_{\mathfrak{g}}^k(E) = q^{m_k^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mu)} \operatorname{ch} V_{\mathfrak{g}}^k(E), \quad m_k^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mu) = \frac{(\mu | \mu + 2\rho)}{2(k+h^\vee)},$$

where μ is the highest weight of E , and ρ is the Weyl vector of \mathfrak{g} . By definition, the specialization of $\tilde{\operatorname{ch}} V_{\mathfrak{g}}^k(E)$ by $e^\alpha \mapsto e^{(\alpha|\lambda)}$ coincides with the trace function of $q^{L_0} e^\lambda$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ on $V_{\mathfrak{g}}^k(E)$.

A.3. Characters of $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. Suppose that \mathfrak{g} is a simple Lie algebra. Let \mathfrak{n}_+ (resp. \mathfrak{n}_-) be the sum of positive (resp. negative) root vector spaces of \mathfrak{g} , f a principal nilpotent element of \mathfrak{g} in \mathfrak{n}_- , and $H_{DS}^\bullet(?)$ the Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction cohomology functor associated to f , which is defined by

$$H_{DS}^\bullet(M) = H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\mathfrak{n}_+, M \otimes \mathbb{C}_\chi), \quad M \in \mathcal{O},$$

where $L\mathfrak{n}_+ = \mathfrak{n}_+[t, t^{-1}]$, $H^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\mathfrak{n}_+, ?)$ is the semi-infinite cohomology of $L\mathfrak{n}_+$ -modules, and \mathbb{C}_χ is the one-dimensional $L\mathfrak{n}_+$ -module by $xt^m \mapsto \delta_{m,-1}(f|x)$. Then

$$\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}) = H_{DS}^\bullet(V^k(\mathfrak{g}))$$

has a vertex algebra structure, called the (principal) \mathcal{W} -algebra associated to \mathfrak{g} at level k . We have $H_{DS}^i(V^k(\mathfrak{g})) = 0$ unless $i = 0$. If $k+h^\vee \neq 0$, $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ also has a Virasoro field of the central charge $\operatorname{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) - 12 \frac{|\rho - (k+h^\vee)\rho^\vee|^2}{k+h^\vee}$, where ρ^\vee is the Weyl covector of \mathfrak{g} . For any $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ -module W whose L_0 -eigenspaces are finite-dimensional, we define the formal character of W by

$$\tilde{\operatorname{ch}} W = \operatorname{tr}_W(q^{L_0}).$$

Consider a $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $H_{DS}^\bullet(M)$ for a highest-weight $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ -module M with the highest weight μ . Using the Euler-Poincaré principle, we have

$$\tilde{\operatorname{ch}} H_{DS}^\bullet(M) = \frac{q^{m_k^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mu)}}{\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1-q^j)^{\operatorname{rank}(\mathfrak{g})}} (\widehat{R} \operatorname{ch} M) \Big|_{e^\alpha \mapsto q^{-(\alpha|\rho^\vee)},}$$

where \widehat{R} is the Weyl denominator of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

APPENDIX B. CHARACTERS OF MODULES OF $\widehat{\mathfrak{osp}}_{1|2n}$ AND $\widehat{\mathfrak{sp}}_{2n}$

B.1. Settings for $\widehat{\mathfrak{osp}}_{1|2n}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{sp}}_{2n}$. Consider the cases that $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{osp}}_{1|2n}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{sp}}_{2n}$. Since $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n} \subset \mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$, we may identify the Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} with that of $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$, which we denote by \mathfrak{h} . Let $\Pi^{\mathfrak{osp}} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be a set of simple roots of $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ such that $\Pi^{\mathfrak{sp}} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, 2\alpha_n\}$ forms a set of simple roots of \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} . The Dynkin diagrams corresponding to $\Pi^{\mathfrak{osp}}, \Pi^{\mathfrak{sp}}$ are the following:

$$\begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \text{---} \bigcirc \text{---} \dots \text{---} \bigcirc \text{---} \bullet \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2 \quad \quad \quad \alpha_{n-1} \quad \alpha_n \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \text{---} \bigcirc \text{---} \dots \text{---} \bigcirc \text{---} \bigcirc \\ \alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2 \quad \quad \quad \alpha_{n-1} \quad 2\alpha_n \end{array}$$

The normalized invariant form $(\cdot|\cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ satisfies that $(2\alpha_n|2\alpha_n) = 2$. Then the invariant form restricted to \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} is the same as the standard normalized form on \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} . Let $Q^{\mathfrak{osp}}$ (resp. $Q^{\mathfrak{sp}}$) be the root lattice of $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ (resp. \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}), and $P^{\mathfrak{osp}}$ (resp. $P^{\mathfrak{sp}}$) be the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ (resp. \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}). It is easy to see that $Q^{\mathfrak{osp}} = P^{\mathfrak{osp}} = P^{\mathfrak{sp}}$, which we denote by P . Let $\Delta_+, \Delta_{+, \bar{0}}, \Delta_{+, \bar{1}}$ be the set of positive roots, even positive roots, odd positive roots of $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ respectively. Set

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{osp}} = \rho_{\mathfrak{sp}} - \rho_{\bar{1}}, \quad \rho_{\mathfrak{sp}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{+, \bar{0}}} \alpha, \quad \rho_{\bar{1}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{+, \bar{1}}} \alpha.$$

Let $\rho_{\mathfrak{sp}}^\vee$ be the element in \mathfrak{h}^* corresponding to the Weyl covector of \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} , that is, $(\rho_{\mathfrak{sp}}^\vee|\alpha) = 1$ for all $\alpha \in \Pi^{\mathfrak{sp}}$. Then we have

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{osp}} = \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\mathfrak{sp}}^\vee$$

by direct computations.

B.2. Verma modules. For $k \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let $M_{\mathfrak{osp}}^k(\lambda)$ (resp. $M_{\mathfrak{sp}}^k(\lambda)$) be the Verma module of $\widehat{\mathfrak{osp}}_{1|2n}$ (resp. $\widehat{\mathfrak{sp}}_{2n}$) with the highest weight λ at level k . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch } M_{\mathfrak{osp}}^k(\lambda) &= \frac{e^\lambda}{\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{osp}}}, & \text{ch } M_{\mathfrak{sp}}^k(\lambda) &= \frac{e^\lambda}{\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{sp}}}, \\ \widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{osp}}^{-1} &= \widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{sp}}^{-1} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_{+, \bar{1}}} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + e^\alpha q^j)(1 + e^{-\alpha} q^{j-1}), \\ \widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{sp}} &= \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_{+, \bar{0}}} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j)^n (1 - e^\alpha q^j)(1 - e^{-\alpha} q^{j-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $q = e^{-\delta}$ as usual.

Lemma B.1.

$$\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{osp}}^{-1} = \frac{\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{sp}}^{-1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j)^n} \sum_{\lambda \in P} e^\lambda q^{(\lambda | \lambda + 2\rho_{\bar{1}})}.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda_i = \sum_{j=i}^n \alpha_j$. Then $\Delta_{+, \bar{1}} = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$. We have $(\lambda_i | \lambda_j) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{i,j}$, $2\rho_{\bar{1}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$ and $P = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \lambda_i$. Using the Jacobi triple product identity

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j)^n (1 + u q^j)(1 + u^{-1} q^{j-1}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} u^m q^{\frac{1}{2} m(m+1)}$$

for $u = e^{\lambda_i}$, it follows that

$$\widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{sp}} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j)^n \widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{osp}}^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{m_i \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{m_i \lambda_i} q^{\frac{1}{2} m_i(m_i+1)} = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \lambda_i} q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(m_i+1)} = \sum_{\lambda \in P} e^\lambda q^{(\lambda | \lambda + 2\rho_{\bar{1}})}.$$

□

Corollary B.2. For any $\mu \in P$,

$$\text{ch } M_{\mathfrak{osp}}^k(\mu) = \sum_{\lambda \in P} \text{ch } M_{\mathfrak{sp}}^k(\lambda) B_\mu^\lambda, \quad B_\mu^\lambda = \frac{q^{(\lambda - \mu | \lambda - \mu + 2\rho_{\bar{1}})}}{\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j)^n}.$$

Let W be the Weyl group of \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} and $|\lambda|^2 = (\lambda | \lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Since $|\rho_{\bar{1}}|^2 = \frac{n}{8}$, we have

$$B_\mu^\lambda = \frac{q^{|\lambda - \mu + \rho_{\bar{1}}|^2 - \frac{n}{8}}}{\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j)^n}.$$

Thus,

$$B_\mu^\lambda = B_{\mu+\nu}^{\lambda+\nu}, \quad \nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*; \quad B_{w(\mu)}^\lambda = B_\mu^{w^{-1}(\lambda + \rho_{\bar{1}}) - \rho_{\bar{1}}}, \quad w \in W.$$

B.3. Local Weyl modules. Let P_+ be the set of dominant weights in P . For $k \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mu \in P_+$, let $E_\mu^{\mathfrak{osp}}$ (resp. $E_\mu^{\mathfrak{sp}}$) be the finite-dimensional simple $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}$ -module (resp. \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} -module) with the highest weight μ , and $V_{\mathfrak{osp}}^k(\mu)$ (resp. $V_{\mathfrak{sp}}^k(\mu)$) be the local Weyl module of $\widehat{\mathfrak{osp}}_{1|2n}$ (resp. $\widehat{\mathfrak{sp}}_{2n}$) induced from E_μ at level k . See Section A.2 for the definitions of local Weyl modules. We have

$$V_{\mathfrak{osp}}^k(\mu) \simeq U(\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}[t^{-1}]t^{-1}) \otimes E_\mu^{\mathfrak{osp}}, \quad V_{\mathfrak{sp}}^k(\mu) \simeq U(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}[t^{-1}]t^{-1}) \otimes E_\mu^{\mathfrak{sp}}$$

as vector spaces. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch } V_{\mathfrak{osp}}^k(\mu) &= \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} \text{ch } M_{\mathfrak{osp}}^k(w(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{osp}}) - \rho_{\mathfrak{osp}}), \\ \text{ch } V_{\mathfrak{sp}}^k(\mu) &= \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} \text{ch } M_{\mathfrak{sp}}^k(w(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{sp}}) - \rho_{\mathfrak{sp}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $l(w)$ is the length of w in W . Using the equalities

$$B_{w(\mu+\rho_{\mathfrak{osp}})-\rho_{\mathfrak{osp}}}^\lambda = B_{w(\mu+\rho_{\mathfrak{osp}})}^{\lambda+\rho_{\mathfrak{osp}}} = B_{\mu+\rho_{\mathfrak{osp}}}^{w^{-1}(\lambda+\rho_{\mathfrak{osp}})-\rho_{\mathfrak{osp}}} = B_\mu^{w^{-1}(\lambda+\rho_{\mathfrak{osp}})-\rho_{\mathfrak{osp}}},$$

and Corollary B.2, it follows that

$$(B.1) \quad \text{ch } V_{\mathfrak{osp}}^k(\mu) = \sum_{\lambda \in P} \text{ch } M_{\mathfrak{sp}}^k(\lambda) \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} B_\mu^{w(\lambda+\rho_{\mathfrak{osp}})-\rho_{\mathfrak{osp}}}.$$

Define a real form $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ of \mathfrak{h}^* and a Weyl chamber C in $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ by

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^* = P \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}, \quad C = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^* \mid (\lambda|\alpha^\vee) > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta_+\},$$

where $\alpha^\vee = 2\alpha/(\alpha|\alpha) \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then

$$W(C) = \bigcup_{w \in W} w(C) = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^* \mid (\lambda|\alpha) \neq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta\}, \quad W(\overline{C}) = \bigcup_{w \in W} w(\overline{C}) = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*.$$

An element $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ is called regular if $\lambda \in W(C)$, and is called singular unless λ is regular.

Lemma B.3. *Let P^{reg} be the set of regular elements in P , P^{sing} the set of singular elements in P , $P_+^{\text{reg}} = P_+ \cap P^{\text{reg}}$, and $P_+^{\text{sing}} = P_+ \cap P^{\text{sing}}$. Then*

- (1) $P = P^{\text{reg}} \sqcup P^{\text{sing}}$ and $P_+ = P_+^{\text{reg}} \sqcup P_+^{\text{sing}}$.
- (2) $P_+^{\text{reg}} = P_+ + \rho_{\mathfrak{sp}}$.
- (3) $P^{\text{reg}} = W(P_+^{\text{reg}}) \simeq W \times P_+^{\text{reg}}$.
- (4) $P^{\text{sing}} = W(P_+^{\text{sing}})$.

Proof. (1) is trivial. First, we consider (2). Since $P_+ = P \cap \overline{C}$, (2) follows from the fact that P_+ coincides with the set of integral dominant weights of \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} . Next, we consider (3) and (4). Since $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^* = W(\overline{C})$ and P is W -invariant, we have $P = W(P \cap \overline{C}) = W(P_+)$. Hence $P^{\text{reg}} = W(P_+^{\text{reg}})$ and $P^{\text{sing}} = W(P_+^{\text{sing}})$. This proves (4) and the first equality of (3). Finally, we show the last isomorphism of (3). Using the fact that W acts transitively on the set of Weyl chambers of \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} , it follows that the map $W \times P_+^{\text{reg}} \ni (w, \lambda) \mapsto w(\lambda) \in P^{\text{reg}}$ gives an isomorphism. Therefore (3) follows. \square

Set

$$w \circ \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho_{\mathfrak{sp}}) - \rho_{\mathfrak{sp}}, \quad w \in W, \quad \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

Proposition B.4. *Suppose that $k \notin \mathbb{Q}$. For $\mu \in P_+$, we have*

$$\text{ch } V_{\mathfrak{osp}}^k(\mu) = \sum_{\lambda \in P_+} \text{ch } V_{\mathfrak{sp}}^k(\lambda) \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} B_\mu^{w \circ \lambda}.$$

Proof. By (B.1) and Lemma B.3, we have

$$(B.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{ch } V_{\mathfrak{osp}}^k(\mu) &= \sum_{\lambda \in P_+} \sum_{w_1 \in W} \text{ch } M_{\mathfrak{sp}}^k(w_1 \circ \lambda) \sum_{w_2 \in W} (-1)^{l(w_2)} B_\mu^{w_2 \circ w_1 \circ \lambda} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\lambda \in P_+^{\text{sing}} - \rho_{\mathfrak{sp}}} \sum_{w_1 \in S_\lambda} \text{ch } M_{\mathfrak{sp}}^k(w_1 \circ \lambda) \sum_{w_2 \in W} (-1)^{l(w_2)} B_\mu^{w_2 \circ w_1 \circ \lambda} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in P_+} \text{ch } V_{\mathfrak{sp}}^k(\lambda) \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} B_\mu^{w \circ \lambda} + \sum_{\lambda \in P_+^{\text{sing}} - \rho_{\mathfrak{sp}}} \sum_{w_1 \in S_\lambda} (-1)^{l(w_1)} \text{ch } M_{\mathfrak{sp}}^k(w_1 \circ \lambda) \sum_{w_2 \in W} (-1)^{l(w_2)} B_\mu^{w_2 \circ \lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

where S_λ is a set of representatives of $W/\text{Ker}(W \ni w \mapsto w(\lambda + \rho_{\mathfrak{sp}}) \in W(\lambda + \rho_{\mathfrak{sp}}))$ in W . Since $k \notin \mathbb{Q}$, the Kazhdan-Lusztig category is semisimple and every simple object is isomorphic to $V_{\mathfrak{sp}}^k(\lambda)$ for certain $\lambda \in P_+$ so that

$$V_{\mathfrak{osp}}^k(\mu) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_+} V_{\mathfrak{sp}}^k(\lambda) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sp}}_{2n}}(V_{\mathfrak{sp}}^k(\lambda), V_{\mathfrak{osp}}^k(\mu)).$$

Then each coefficient of $e^{w \circ \lambda}$ for $w \in W$ and $\lambda \in P_+^{\text{sing}} - \rho_{\mathfrak{sp}}$ in $\text{ch } V_{\mathfrak{osp}}^k(\mu)$ must be zero. This implies that the second term in the last equation in (B.2) is equal to zero. Therefore we complete the proof. \square

Corollary B.5. For $\lambda \in P_+^{\text{sing}} - \rho_{\text{sp}}$ and $\mu \in P_+$,

$$\sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} B_\mu^{w \circ \lambda} = 0.$$

APPENDIX C. MAIN RESULTS

C.1. Branching functions. Let $h_{\text{osp}}^\vee, h_{\text{sp}}^\vee$ be the dual Coxeter numbers of $\mathfrak{osp}_{1|2n}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ respectively. Then $h_{\text{osp}}^\vee = n + \frac{1}{2}, h_{\text{sp}}^\vee = n + 1$. From now on, we assume that $k \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Especially, $k + h_{\text{osp}}^\vee \neq 0$ and $k + h_{\text{sp}}^\vee \neq 0$. Set

$$m_k^{\text{osp}}(\mu) = \frac{(\mu | \mu + 2\rho_{\text{osp}})}{2(k + h_{\text{osp}}^\vee)}, \quad m_k^{\text{sp}}(\mu) = \frac{(\mu | \mu + 2\rho_{\text{sp}})}{2(k + h_{\text{sp}}^\vee)}, \quad \mu \in P_+.$$

Now, it follows from Proposition B.4 (see Corollary B.2 for the definitions of B_μ^λ) that

$$(C.1) \quad \tilde{\text{ch}} V_{\text{osp}}^k(\mu) = \sum_{\lambda \in P_+} \tilde{\text{ch}} V_{\text{sp}}^k(\lambda) \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} \tilde{B}_\mu^{w \circ \lambda}, \quad \tilde{B}_\mu^{w \circ \lambda} = \frac{q^{\Delta_{w \circ \lambda, \mu}}}{\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j)^n},$$

$$(C.2) \quad \Delta_{w \circ \lambda, \mu} = m_k^{\text{osp}}(\mu) - m_k^{\text{sp}}(\lambda) + (w \circ \lambda - \mu | w \circ \lambda - \mu + 2\rho_{\bar{1}}).$$

We call the coefficients of $\tilde{\text{ch}} V_{\text{sp}}^k(\lambda)$ in $\tilde{\text{ch}} V_{\text{osp}}^k(\mu)$ the branching functions. Define $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(C.3) \quad \frac{1}{k + h_{\text{osp}}^\vee} + \frac{1}{\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^\vee} = 2.$$

Lemma C.1.

$$\Delta_{w \circ \lambda, \mu} = m_\ell^{\text{sp}}(w \circ \lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^\vee)\mu) - (w \circ \lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^\vee)\mu | \rho_{\text{sp}}^\vee).$$

Proof. Direct computations. □

C.2. Characters of simple $\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -modules. For $\nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let $L_\ell^{\text{sp}}(\nu)$ be the simple quotient of $M_{\text{sp}}^\ell(\nu)$, and $\mathbb{W}_{\text{sp}}^\ell(\nu)$ be the Wakimoto module of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sp}}_{2n}$ with the highest weight ν at level ℓ [28, 31, 53]. Consider a $\widehat{\mathfrak{sp}}_{2n}$ -module $L_\ell^{\text{sp}}(\lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^\vee)\mu)$ for $\lambda, \mu \in P_+$. By [30] (see also [9, Proposition 4.2]), we have a resolution of $L_\ell^{\text{sp}}(\lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^\vee)\mu)$ of the form

$$(C.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow L_\ell^{\text{sp}}(\lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^\vee)\mu) \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_{n^2} \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(C.5) \quad C_i = \bigoplus_{\substack{w \in W \\ l(w)=i}} \mathbb{W}_{\text{sp}}^\ell(w \circ \lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^\vee)\mu).$$

Thus,

$$\text{ch } L_\ell^{\text{sp}}(\lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^\vee)\mu) = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} \text{ch } \mathbb{W}_{\text{sp}}^\ell(w \circ \lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^\vee)\mu).$$

Recall the Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction cohomology functor $H_{DS}^\bullet(?)$ introduced in Section A.3. It follows from [3] that $H_{DS}^0(L_\ell^{\text{sp}}(\lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^\vee)\mu))$ is a simple $\mathcal{W}^\ell(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n})$ -module, which we denote by $\mathbf{L}_\ell(\chi_{\lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^\vee)\mu})$.

Theorem C.2. Suppose that $k \notin \mathbb{Q}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ defined by (C.3). For $\mu \in P_+$,

$$\tilde{\text{ch}} V_{\text{osp}}^k(\mu) = \sum_{\lambda \in P_+} \tilde{\text{ch}} V_{\text{sp}}^k(\lambda) \tilde{\text{ch}} \mathbf{L}_\ell(\chi_{\lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^\vee)\mu}).$$

Proof. First of all, we have $H_{DS}^i(L_\ell^{\text{sp}}(\lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^\vee)\mu)) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$ [2] and $H_{DS}^i(\mathbb{W}_{\text{sp}}^\ell(w \circ \lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^\vee)\mu)) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$ [29]. By applying the functor $H_{DS}^0(?)$ to (C.4), we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_\ell(\chi_{\lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^\vee)\mu}) \rightarrow H_{DS}^0(C_0) \rightarrow H_{DS}^0(C_1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H_{DS}^0(C_{n^2}) \rightarrow 0,$$

and, using the Euler-Poincaré principle, the character formulas

$$\tilde{\text{ch}} H_{DS}^0(C_i) = \sum_{\substack{w \in W \\ l(w)=i}} \frac{q^{m_{\ell}^{\text{sp}}(w \circ \lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^{\vee})\mu)}}{\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j)^n} \left(\widehat{R}_{\text{sp}} \text{ch } \mathbb{W}_{\text{sp}}^{\ell}(w \circ \lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^{\vee})\mu) \right) \Big|_{e^{\alpha \mapsto q^{-(\alpha | \rho_{\text{sp}}^{\vee})}}}$$

Hence

$$(C.6) \quad \tilde{\text{ch}} \mathbf{L}_{\ell}(\chi_{\lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^{\vee})\mu}) = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} \frac{q^{m_{\ell}^{\text{sp}}(w \circ \lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^{\vee})\mu)}}{\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j)^n} \times \left(\widehat{R}_{\text{sp}} \text{ch } \mathbb{W}_{\text{sp}}^{\ell}(w \circ \lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^{\vee})\mu) \right) \Big|_{e^{\alpha \mapsto q^{-(\alpha | \rho_{\text{sp}}^{\vee})}}$$

Now, it is easy to see from the definitions of the Wakimoto modules that

$$(C.7) \quad \text{ch } \mathbb{W}_{\text{sp}}^{\ell}(\nu) = \text{ch } M_{\text{sp}}^{\ell}(\nu), \quad \nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

Using (C.6), (C.7) and Lemma C.1, it follows that

$$\tilde{\text{ch}} \mathbf{L}_{\ell}(\chi_{\lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^{\vee})\mu}) = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} \frac{q^{\Delta_{w \circ \lambda, \mu}}}{\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j)^n}$$

Comparing this with (C.1), we see immediately that the branching functions coincide with the characters of $\mathbf{L}_{\ell}(\chi_{\lambda - 2(\ell + h_{\text{sp}}^{\vee})\mu})$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Tomoyuki Arakawa. Rationality of admissible affine vertex algebras in the category \mathcal{O} . *Duke Math. J.*, 165(1):67–93, 2016.
- [2] Tomoyuki Arakawa. Vanishing of cohomology associated to quantized Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (15):730–767, 2004.
- [3] Tomoyuki Arakawa. Representation theory of \mathcal{W} -algebras. *Invent. Math.*, 169(2):219–320, 2007.
- [4] Tomoyuki Arakawa. Rationality of W -algebras: principal nilpotent cases. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 182(2):565–604, 2015.
- [5] Tomoyuki Arakawa. Chiral algebras of class \mathcal{S} and Moore-Tachikawa symplectic varieties. 11 2018, 1811.01577.
- [6] Tomoyuki Arakawa, Thomas Creutzig, and Boris Feigin. Urod algebras and Translation of W -algebras. 10 2020, 2010.02427.
- [7] Tomoyuki Arakawa, Thomas Creutzig, and Kazuya Kawsetsu. in preparation.
- [8] Tomoyuki Arakawa, Thomas Creutzig, Kazuya Kawsetsu, and Andrew R. Linshaw. Orbifolds and cosets of minimal W -algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 355(1):339–372, 2017.
- [9] Tomoyuki Arakawa, Thomas Creutzig, and Andrew R. Linshaw. W -algebras as coset vertex algebras. *Invent. Math.*, 218(1):145–195, 2019.
- [10] Tomoyuki Arakawa and Jethro van Ekeren. Modularity of relatively rational vertex algebras and fusion rules of principal affine W -algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 370(1):205–247, 2019.
- [11] Tomoyuki Arakawa, Jethro van Ekeren, and Anne Moreau. Singularities of nilpotent slodowy slices and collapsing levels of W -algebras, 2021, 2102.13462.
- [12] Tomoyuki Arakawa and Edward Frenkel. Quantum Langlands duality of representations of W -algebras. *Compos. Math.*, 155(12):2235–2262, 2019.
- [13] Tomoyuki Arakawa and Anne Moreau. Arc spaces and chiral symplectic cores. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 57 (2021), no. 3, 795–829.
- [14] Bojko Bakalov and Alexander Kirillov, Jr. *Lectures on tensor categories and modular functors*, volume 21 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [15] Thomas Creutzig. Fusion categories for affine vertex algebras at admissible levels. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 25(2):Paper No. 27, 21, 2019.
- [16] Thomas Creutzig, Naoki Genra, and Shigenori Nakatsuka. Duality of subregular W -algebras and principal W -superalgebras. *Adv. Math.*, 383:107685, 52, 2021.
- [17] Thomas Creutzig, Yi-Zhi Huang, and Jinwei Yang. Braided tensor categories of admissible modules for affine Lie algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 362(3):827–854, 2018.
- [18] Thomas Creutzig, Shashank Kanade, and Robert McRae. Tensor categories for vertex operator superalgebra extensions. 5 2017, 1705.05017. to appear in *Memoirs. Am. Math. Soc.*
- [19] Thomas Creutzig, Shashank Kanade, and Robert McRae. Glueing vertex algebras. *Adv. Math.* 396 (2022), Paper No. 108174.
- [20] Thomas Creutzig, Shashank Kanade, and Andrew R. Linshaw. Simple current extensions beyond semi-simplicity. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 22(1):1950001, 49, 2020.
- [21] Thomas Creutzig, Vladimir Kovalchuk, and Andrew R. Linshaw. Generalized parafermions of orthogonal type. *J. Algebra* 593 (2022), 178–192.
- [22] Thomas Creutzig and Andrew R. Linshaw. Cosets of affine vertex algebras inside larger structures. *J. Algebra*, 517:396–438, 2019.
- [23] Thomas Creutzig and Andrew R. Linshaw. Trialities of W -algebras. 5 2020, 2005.10234. to appear in *Cambridge J. Math.*

- [24] Thomas Creutzig and Andrew R. Linshaw. Trialities of orthosymplectic \mathcal{W} -algebras. 2 2021, 2102.10224.
- [25] Thomas Creutzig and Shigenori Nakatsuka. in preparation.
- [26] Thomas Creutzig and Jinwei Yang. Tensor categories of affine Lie algebras beyond admissible levels. *Math. Ann.* 380 (2021), no. 3-4, 1991-2040.
- [27] Vinay V. Deodhar, Ofer Gabber, and Victor Kac. Structure of some categories of representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. *Adv. in Math.*, 45(1):92–116, 1982.
- [28] Boris Feigin and Edward Frenkel. A family of representations of affine Lie algebras. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 43(5(263)):227–228, 1988.
- [29] Boris Feigin and Edward Frenkel. Affine Kac-Moody algebras at the critical level and Gelfand-Dikiĭ algebras. In *Infinite analysis, Part A, B (Kyoto, 1991)*, volume 16 of *Adv. Ser. Math. Phys.*, pages 197–215. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1992.
- [30] Edward Frenkel. \mathcal{W} -algebras and Langlands-Drinfeld correspondence. In *New symmetry principles in quantum field theory (Cargèse, 1991)*, volume 295 of *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B Phys.*, pages 433–447. Plenum, New York, 1992.
- [31] Edward Frenkel. Wakimoto modules, opers and the center at the critical level. *Adv. Math.*, 195(2):297–404, 2005.
- [32] Edward Frenkel, Victor Kac, and Minoru Wakimoto. Characters and fusion rules for W -algebras via quantized Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 147(2):295–328, 1992.
- [33] Maria Gorelik and Victor Kac. On simplicity of vacuum modules. *Adv. Math.*, 211(2):621–677, 2007.
- [34] Maria Gorelik and Vera Serganova. Snowflake modules and Enright functor for Kac-Moody superalgebras. arXiv:1906.07074.
- [35] Vassily Gorbounov, Fyodor Malikov, and Vadim Schechtman. Gerbes of chiral differential operators. *Math. Res. Lett.* 7 (2000), no. 1, 55-66.
- [36] Yi-Zhi Huang, James Lepowsky, and Lin Zhang. Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, I: introduction and strongly graded algebras and their generalized modules. In *Conformal field theories and tensor categories*, Math. Lect. Peking Univ., pages 169–248. Springer, Heidelberg, 2014.
- [37] Yi-Zhi Huang, James Lepowsky, and Lin Zhang. Logarithmic tensor category theory, II: Logarithmic formal calculus and properties of logarithmic intertwining operators. 12 2010, 1012.4196.
- [38] Yi-Zhi Huang, James Lepowsky, and Lin Zhang. Logarithmic tensor category theory, III: Intertwining maps and tensor product bifunctors. 12 2010, 1012.4197.
- [39] Yi-Zhi Huang, James Lepowsky, and Lin Zhang. Logarithmic tensor category theory, IV: Constructions of tensor product bifunctors and the compatibility conditions. 12 2010, 1012.4198.
- [40] Yi-Zhi Huang, James Lepowsky, and Lin Zhang. Logarithmic tensor category theory, V: Convergence condition for intertwining maps and the corresponding compatibility condition. 12 2010, 1012.4199.
- [41] Yi-Zhi Huang, James Lepowsky, and Lin Zhang. Logarithmic tensor category theory, VI: Expansion condition, associativity of logarithmic intertwining operators, and the associativity isomorphisms. 12 2010, 1012.4202.
- [42] Yi-Zhi Huang, James Lepowsky, and Lin Zhang. Logarithmic tensor category theory, VII: Convergence and extension properties and applications to expansion for intertwining maps. 10 2011, 1110.1929.
- [43] Yi-Zhi Huang, James Lepowsky, and Lin Zhang. Logarithmic tensor category theory, VIII: Braided tensor category structure on categories of generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra. 10 2011, 1110.1931.
- [44] Yi-Zhi Huang. Rigidity and modularity of vertex tensor categories. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 10(suppl. 1):871–911, 2008.
- [45] Yi-Zhi Huang. Vertex operator algebras and the Verlinde conjecture. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 10(1):103–154, 2008.
- [46] Haisheng Li. The physics superselection principle in vertex operator algebra theory. *J. Algebra*, 196(2):436–457, 1997.
- [47] Fyodor Malikov, Vadim Schechtman, and Arkady Vaintrob. Chiral de Rham Complex. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 204 (1999), no. 2, 439-473.
- [48] Robert McRae. A general mirror equivalence theorem for coset vertex operator algebras, 2021, 2107.06577.
- [49] Robert McRae. On semisimplicity of module categories for finite non-zero index vertex operator subalgebras, 2021, 2103.07657.
- [50] Vladimir Rittenberg and Manfred Scheunert. A remarkable connection between the representations of the Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{osp}(1, 2n)$ and the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{o}(2n + 1)$. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 83(1):1–9, 1982.
- [51] Stephen F. Sawin. Quantum groups at roots of unity and modularity. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 15(10):1245–1277, 2006.
- [52] Vera Serganova. Kac-Moody superalgebras and integrability. In *Developments and trends in infinite-dimensional Lie theory*, volume 288 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 169–218. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2011.
- [53] Minoru Wakimoto. Fock representations of the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 104(4):605–609, 1986.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, 632 CAB, EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA T6G 2G1

Email address: creutzig@ualberta.ca

KAVLI INSTITUTE FOR THE PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS OF THE UNIVERSE (WPI), THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO INSTITUTES FOR ADVANCED STUDY, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KASHIWA, CHIBA 277-8583, JAPAN

Email address: naoki.genra@ipmu.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DENVER, DENVER, CO 80210

Email address: andrew.linshaw@du.edu