On zero-density estimates of zeta-functions associated with Fourier coefficients of cusp forms Wei Zhang

Abstract Let f(z) be a holomorphic cusp form for the full modular group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and let L(s, f) be the corresponding automorphic L-function associated to f. In this paper, we show that

$$N_f(\sigma, T) \ll T^{A(\sigma)(1-\sigma)+\varepsilon}$$

with

$$A(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{4\sigma - 1} & \text{for } \frac{21}{22} \le \sigma \le 1, \\ \frac{2}{13\sigma - 11} & \text{for } \frac{17}{18} \le \sigma \le \frac{21}{22}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$N_f(\sigma, T) := \sum_{\substack{L(\beta + i\gamma, f) = 0 \\ \sigma \le \beta \le 1, \ 10 \le |\gamma| \le T}} 1.$$

When σ is near to 1, this improves the result of Ivić [7] and gives a best possible estimate from the exhausted Weyl bounds. Moreover, such type results cannot be obtained from the general results of Perelli [11].

Keywords Automorphic L-function, Zero-density estimate 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification 11F30, 11F11, 11F66

1. Introduction

The zero-density estimates for L-functions are interesting and important problems in number theory which has significant applications in many topics. For example, one can refer to [1, 3, 5, 8]. In this paper, we are interested in the zero-density problem for Lfunctions associated to a holomorphic cusp form f with respect to the full modular group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. L(s,f) can be defined as

$$L(s,f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 - \lambda_f(p)p^{-s} + p^{-2s}\right)^{-1}, \quad \Re(s) > 1,$$

where $\lambda_f(n) \in \mathbb{R}$ $(n = 1, 2, \cdots)$ are eigenvalues of the Hecke operators T(n) and is normalized so that $\lambda_f(1) = 1$. It is well known that the above series converges absolutely for $\Re(s) > 1$, and L(s, f) can be continued analytically to the whole complex plane. One can refer to [4] for these facts. The Generalized Riemann Hypothesis predicts that the non-trivial zeros of L(s, f) all lie on the critical line $\Re(s) = 1/2$. Ivić considered such type problems and showed in [7] that $N_f(\sigma,T) \ll T^{8/3(1-\sigma)+\varepsilon}$, where

$$N_f(\sigma, T) := \sum_{\substack{L(\beta + i\gamma, f) = 0 \\ \sigma \le \beta \le 1, \ 10 \le |\gamma| \le T}} 1. \tag{1.1}$$

And the zero density hypothesis implies that $A(\sigma) = 2$. Moreover, when σ is close to 1, much shaper bounds were given. For example, in [7], Ivić proved that

$$N_f(\sigma, T) \ll T^{A(\sigma)(1-\sigma)+\varepsilon},$$

where

$$A(\sigma) = \frac{4}{8\sigma - 5} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{11}{12} \le \sigma \le 1. \tag{1.2}$$

When σ is near to 1, this upper bound is the best possible estimate so far in the existing literature. However, the so-called Weyl bound (especially the L^6 -norm of Jutila [9]) was not exhausted. In this paper we will prove the follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let f be a holomorphic cusp form for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Then we have

$$N_f(\sigma, T) \ll T^{A(\sigma)(1-\sigma)+\varepsilon}$$

with

$$A(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{4\sigma - 1} & \text{for } \frac{1 + \lambda - 4\kappa}{2 - 6\kappa} \le \sigma \le 1, \\ \frac{4\kappa(1 - \sigma)}{(2 - 2\kappa)\sigma + (3\kappa - \lambda - 1)} & \text{for } \frac{1 + \lambda + \kappa}{2(1 + \kappa)} \le \sigma \le \frac{1 + \lambda - 4\kappa}{2 - 6\kappa}, \end{cases}$$

where (κ, λ) is any exponent pair and the implied constant depends only on f and ε .

If we choose $(\kappa, \lambda) = (1/14, 11/14)$, we will obtain the follows. When σ is near to 1 (for $21/22 \le \sigma \le 1$), we obtain a best possible estimate, which improves (1.2) with exhausted Weyl bounds.

Corollary 1.2. Let f be a holomorphic cusp form for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Then we have

$$N_f(\sigma, T) \ll T^{A(\sigma)(1-\sigma)+\varepsilon}$$

with

$$A(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{4\sigma - 1} & \text{for } \frac{21}{22} \le \sigma \le 1, \\ \frac{2}{13\sigma - 11} & \text{for } \frac{17}{18} \le \sigma \le \frac{21}{22}, \end{cases}$$

where the implied constant depends only on f and ε .

Remark 1. We remark that for the Wely's bound, we obtain a best possible upper bound $A(\sigma) = 4/(4\sigma - 1)$. And we give nontrivial improvement for the previous results in $17/18 \le \sigma < 1$.

Remark 2. One can also obtain a little better result by using the estimate

$$\int_0^T |\zeta(5/7 + it)|^{196} dt \ll T^{14+\varepsilon}$$

in [12]. By using such an idea, one may also improve some related results slightly.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In order to estimate the number of zeros of L(s, f), the usual procedure of zero-detecting technique will be used. For L(s, f), we have

$$\frac{1}{L(s,f)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_f(n)}{n^s}, \Re s > 1,$$

where the multiplicative function $\mu_f(n)$ is

$$\mu_f(p^a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = 0, 2, \\ -\lambda_f(p) & \text{if } a = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

Write

$$M_X(s,f) = \sum_{n \le X} \frac{\mu_f(n)}{n^s},$$

where X, Y > 2 are some powers of T which will be chosen later. Then we can obtain the following

$$L(s, f)M_X(s, f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_X(n)}{n^s}, \Re s > 1,$$

where

$$C_X(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } 2 \le n \le X, \\ D_X(n) & \text{if } n > X \end{cases}$$
 (2.1)

with

$$D_X(n) = \sum_{d|n, \ d < X} \mu_f(d) \lambda_f(\frac{n}{d}).$$

By the well known Mellin's transform

$$e^{-x} = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \Gamma(w) x^{-w} dw, \qquad (2.2)$$

we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_X(n)}{n^s} e^{-\frac{n}{Y}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_X(n)}{n^{s+w}} Y^w \Gamma(w) dw$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} L(s+w, f) M_X(s+w, f) Y^w \Gamma(w) dw.$$

Shifting the integral to $\Re w = 1/2 - \sigma$ where $\sigma = \Re s > 1/2$, and leaving the residue at w = 0, the above expression becomes

$$L(s,f)M_X(s,f) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma)} L(s+w,f)M_X(s+w,f)Y^w\Gamma(w)dw.$$

Let $s = \rho = \beta + i\gamma$ be a zero of L(s, f) with $\sigma \leq \beta \leq 1$. Then by (2.1) we get

$$e^{-\frac{1}{Y}} + \sum_{n>X} \frac{D_X(n)}{n^{\rho}} e^{-\frac{n}{Y}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} L(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma + i\omega, f) M_X(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma + i\omega, f) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \beta + i\omega) Y^{\frac{1}{2} - \beta + i\omega} d\omega.$$

By Stirling's formula, one finds that a non-trivial zero counted in $N_f(\sigma, T)$ satisfies either

$$\left| \sum_{X < n < Y \log^2 Y} \frac{D_X(n)}{n^{\rho}} e^{-\frac{n}{Y}} \right| \gg 1, \tag{2.3}$$

or

$$Y^{\frac{1}{2}-\beta} \int_{-\log^2 T}^{\log^2 T} \left| L(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma + i\omega, f) M_X(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma + i\omega, f) \right| d\omega \gg 1.$$
 (2.4)

Now we divide rectangle $A = [\sigma, 1] \times [-T, T]$ into a series of consecutive rectangles A_i of height $3 \log^4 T$, starting from $A_1 = [\sigma, 1] \times [0, 3 \log^4 T]$, $A_2 = [\sigma, 1] \times [-3 \log^4 T, 0]$. By Theorem 1 in [11], each rectangle has at most $O(\log^5 T)$ zeros of L(s, f). Denote by R_1 the number of the class-1 zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma \in A$ for which (2.3) is satisfied, and R_2 for the class-2 zeros which satisfy (2.4). Moreover, we assume that any two zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$, $\rho' = \beta' + i\gamma'$ counted in R_i satisfy $|\gamma - \gamma'| \ge 2 \log^4 T$. Then we have

$$N_f(\sigma, T) \ll (1 + R_1 + R_2) \log^5 T.$$
 (2.5)

To bound $N_f(\sigma, T)$, one need to bound R_1 and R_2 , respectively. In order to bound R_2 , we also need a result related to Jutila [9].

Lemma 2.1. Let T > 1 and $|t_r| \le T$ $(1 \le r \le R)$. Suppose $|t_r - t_s| \ge (\log T)^2$ for $r \ne s \le R$. Then we have

$$\sum_{r=1}^{R} \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it_r, f\right) \right|^6 \ll T^{2+\varepsilon}. \tag{2.6}$$

Lemma 2.2. We have

$$R_2 \ll T^{2+\epsilon} Y^{3-6\sigma}.$$

Proof. By Deligne's bound $\lambda_f(n) \ll n^{\varepsilon}$, for $X = T^{\varepsilon}$ and $|\omega| \leq \log^2 T$, we have

$$M_X\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma + i\omega, f\right) \ll T^{\varepsilon}.$$

By (2.4), there exists $\gamma' \in (\gamma - \log^2 T, \gamma + \log^2 T)$ such that

$$T^{\varepsilon}(\log^2 T)Y^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}\left|L\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma',f\right)\right|\gg 1.$$

Taking the sixth power on both sides and summing over all the class-2 zeros in R_2 we get, by Lemma 2.1,

$$R_2 \ll T^{2\varepsilon} (\log^4 T) Y^{3-6\sigma} \sum_{r=1}^{R_2} \left| L\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_r, f\right) \right|^6 \ll T^{2+3\varepsilon} Y^{3-6\sigma}.$$

This finishes the estimate of R_2 .

We will also prove the following lemma in the next section.

Lemma 2.3. For $1/2 < \sigma < 1$, we have

$$R_1 \ll T^{\varepsilon} \left(N^{2-2\sigma} + R_1 N^{1+\lambda-\kappa-2\sigma} T^{\kappa+\varepsilon} \right),$$
 (2.7)

for some N satisfying

$$Y^{\frac{1}{2}}\log Y < N \le Y\log^2 Y,\tag{2.8}$$

where (κ, λ) is any exponent pair.

To bound R_1 , the interval [-T, T] can be divided into consecutive intervals of length T_0 . Denote by R_0 the number of class-1 zero in R_1 with $|t| \leq T_0$. Then Huxley's subdivision [3], we have

$$R_1 \ll R_0 \left(1 + \frac{T}{T_0} \right).$$

Set

$$T_0 = N^{\frac{2\sigma - 1 - (\lambda - \kappa)}{\kappa} + \varepsilon}.$$

By Lemma 2.3, one can obtain

$$R_0 \ll T^{\varepsilon} N^{2-2\sigma}$$
.

Therefore, for

$$\frac{1+\lambda+\kappa}{2(1+\kappa)} \le \sigma \le 1,$$

we have

$$R_1 \ll T^{\varepsilon} N^{2-2\sigma} \left(1 + \frac{T}{T_0} \right) \ll T^{\varepsilon} \left(N^{2-2\sigma} + T N^{2-2\sigma - \frac{2\sigma - 1 - (\lambda - \kappa)}{\kappa}} \right).$$

By Lemma 2.2,

$$R_2 \ll T^{2+\varepsilon} Y^{3-6\sigma}. (2.9)$$

Setting

$$Y = T^{2/(4\sigma - 1)},$$

for

$$\frac{1+\lambda-4\kappa}{2-6\kappa} \le \sigma \le 1,$$

we get

$$R_1 + R_2 \ll T^{\varepsilon} \left(N^{2-2\sigma} + T N^{2-2\sigma - \frac{2\sigma - 1 - (\lambda - \kappa)}{\kappa}} + T^{2+\varepsilon} Y^{3-6\sigma} \right)$$

$$\ll T^{\varepsilon} \left(Y^{2-2\sigma} + T Y^{1-\sigma - \frac{2\sigma - 1 - (\lambda - \kappa)}{2\kappa}} + T^2 Y^{3-6\sigma} \right)$$

$$\ll T^{\varepsilon} \left(T^{\frac{4(1-\sigma)}{4\sigma - 1}} + T^{1+\frac{2\left(1-\sigma - \frac{2\sigma - 1 - (\lambda - \kappa)}{2\kappa}\right)}{4\sigma - 1}} \right)$$

$$\ll T^{\frac{4(1-\sigma)}{4\sigma - 1} + \varepsilon},$$

where we assume that $\kappa < 1/3$. Note that when $\kappa + 1 \le 4\lambda$, we have

$$\frac{1+\lambda-4\kappa}{2-6\kappa} \ge \frac{1+\lambda+\kappa}{2(1+\kappa)}.$$

Setting

$$Y = T^{\frac{2\kappa}{(2-2\kappa)\sigma + (3\kappa - \lambda - 1)}}$$

and apply (2.9), for

$$\frac{1+\lambda+\kappa}{2(1+\kappa)} \le \sigma \le \frac{1+\lambda-4\kappa}{2-6\kappa},$$

we get

$$R_{1} + R_{2} \ll T^{\varepsilon} \left(N^{2-2\sigma} + T N^{2-2\sigma - \frac{2\sigma - 1 - (\lambda - \kappa)}{\kappa}} + T^{2} Y^{3-6\sigma} \right)$$

$$\ll T^{\varepsilon} \left(Y^{2-2\sigma} + T Y^{1-\sigma - \frac{2\sigma - 1 - (\lambda - \kappa)}{2\kappa}} + T^{2} Y^{3-6\sigma} \right)$$

$$\ll T^{\varepsilon} \left(T^{\frac{4\kappa(1-\sigma)}{(2-2\kappa)\sigma + (3\kappa - \lambda - 1)}} + T^{2+\frac{6\kappa(1-2\sigma)}{(2-2\kappa)\sigma + (3\kappa - \lambda - 1)}} \right)$$

$$\ll T^{\frac{4\kappa(1-\sigma)}{(2-2\kappa)\sigma + (3\kappa - \lambda - 1)} + \varepsilon}.$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

3. Proof of Lemma 2.3

To prove Lemma 2.3, we will follow the argument in Ivić [6]. By dyadic interval we split the sum in (2.3) into $O(\log Y)$ sums of the form $\sum_{M < n \leq 2M} \frac{D_X(n)}{n^{\rho}} e^{-\frac{n}{Y}}$. Hence each $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ counted in R_1 satisfies

$$\sum_{M_j < n \le 2M_j} \frac{D_X(n)}{n^{\rho}} e^{-\frac{n}{Y}} \gg \frac{1}{\log Y}$$
(3.1)

for some M_i satisfying

$$X \le M_j = 2^{-j} Y \log^2 Y \le Y \log^2 Y, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., J,$$

where $J = [\log(X^{-1}Y\log^2 Y)/(\log 2)] + 1$. Denote by \mathcal{R}_j the number of zeros satisfying (3.1) in R_1 , and let $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{j_0}$ be the largest among \mathcal{R}_j for $1 \le j \le J$. Then

$$R_1 \ll \mathcal{R} \log Y. \tag{3.2}$$

Write $M = M_{j_0}$ and choose the large enough positive integer $k \ge r \ge 1$ such that (k is a natural number depended on M and r is a fixed integer)

$$M^k \ll Y^r \log^{2r} Y \ll M^{k+1}$$

and then raise both sides of (3.1) to the power k, we get

$$\left| \sum_{M^k < n \le (2M)^k} \frac{E_X(n)}{n^{\rho}} \right| \gg \frac{1}{\log^k Y},$$

where

$$E_X(n) = \sum_{\substack{n_1 n_2 \cdots n_k = n \\ M < n_1 < 2M}} D_X(n_1) D_X(n_2) \cdots D_X(n_k) e^{-\frac{n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k}{Y}}.$$

Write $N = M^k, N_1 = (2M)^k$. Then

$$Y^{\frac{r^2}{r+1}} \log^{\frac{2r^2}{r+1}} Y \ll N \ll Y^r \log^{2r} Y.$$

As the upper bound of 2-th power moment for the cusp forms, we know that choosing r=1 is suitable. Then \mathcal{R} counts the number of ρ which satisfies

$$\left| \sum_{N < n \le N_1} \frac{E_X(n)}{n^{\rho}} \right| \gg \frac{1}{\log^k Y}. \tag{3.3}$$

Now we show that

$$\sum_{N < n < N_1} \frac{|E_X(n)|^2}{n^{2\sigma}} \ll N^{1 - 2\sigma + \epsilon}.$$
 (3.4)

By Deligne's [2] bound, we have

$$\sum_{N < n \le N_1} \frac{|E_X(n)|^2}{n^{2\sigma}} \ll N^{1 - 2\sigma + \epsilon}.$$

To bound \mathcal{R} , we let $\rho = \beta_r + i\gamma_r$ in (3.3), where $\sigma \leq \beta_r \leq 1$, $|\gamma_r| \leq T$. Then

$$\mathcal{R} \ll \log^k Y \sum_{r \leq \mathcal{R}} \left| \sum_{N < n \leq N_1} \frac{E_X(n)}{n^{\beta_r + i\gamma_r}} \right| = \log^k Y \sum_{r \leq \mathcal{R}} \left| \sum_{N < n \leq N_1} \frac{E_X(n)}{n^{\sigma + i\gamma_r}} n^{\sigma - \beta_r} \right|.$$

By partial summation we get

$$\mathcal{R} \ll \log^k Y \max_{N < u \le N_1} \sum_{r \le \mathcal{R}} \left| \sum_{N < n \le u} \frac{E_X(n)}{n^{\sigma + i\gamma_r}} \right|. \tag{3.5}$$

To bound the above double sum, we will use the following well known lemma, which can be seen in [6, 12, 10].

Lemma 3.1. (Halász-Montgomery inequality) Let a_n, b_n (n = 1, 2, ...) be complex numbers. For $a = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}, b = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}, define the inner product$

$$(a,b) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \overline{b}_n, \quad ||a||^2 = (a,a).$$

Let $\xi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, ..., \varphi_R$ be arbitrary vectors in \mathbb{C}^{∞} . Then we have

$$\sum_{r < R} |(\xi, \varphi_r)| \le \|\xi\| \left(\sum_{r, s < R} (\varphi_r, \varphi_s) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.6}$$

For $\xi = \{\xi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\xi_n = \begin{cases} E_X(n)e^{\frac{n}{2N}}n^{-\sigma} & \text{if } N < n \le u, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and $\varphi_r = \{\varphi_{r,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with $\varphi_{r,n} = e^{-\frac{n}{2N}} n^{-i\gamma_r}$. By (3.4) one has $||\xi||^2 \ll N^{1-2\sigma+\varepsilon}$. Thus by (3.5) and Lemma 3.1 we get

$$\mathcal{R}^2 \ll Y^{\varepsilon} \left(\mathcal{R} N^{2-2\sigma} + N^{1-2\sigma} \sum_{r \neq s \leq \mathcal{R}} |G(i\gamma_r - i\gamma_s)| \right). \tag{3.7}$$

where $G(it) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{n}{N}} n^{-it}$. Here we have used the fact that $G(0) \ll N$ for bounding the diagonal terms. By (2.2) we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{n}{Y}} n^{-s} = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \zeta(s+\omega) \Gamma(\omega) Y^{\omega} d\omega.$$

Thus

$$G(it) = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \zeta(\omega + it) \Gamma(\omega) N^{\omega} d\omega.$$

Let (κ, λ) be any exponent pair. Then move the integration line to $\Re(\omega) = \lambda - \kappa$, and note that the residue at the simple pole $\omega = 1 - it$ is $O(Ne^{-|t|})$, we get

$$G(it) = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\lambda - \kappa - i\infty}^{\lambda - \kappa + i\infty} \zeta(\omega + it) \Gamma(\omega) N^{\omega} d\omega + O\left(Ne^{-|t|}\right).$$

By Stiring's formula, it is easy to check that the contribution from $|v| \ge \log^2 T$ to the above integral is O(1), where $v = \Im \omega$. Moreover for $|v| \le \log^2 T$, one has $\Gamma(\lambda - \kappa + iv) \ll 1$. Therefore, we get

$$\sum_{r \neq s \leq \mathcal{R}} |G(i\gamma_r - i\gamma_s)|$$

$$\ll N \sum_{r \neq s \leq \mathcal{R}} e^{-|\gamma_r - \gamma_s|} + \mathcal{R}^2 + N^{\lambda - \kappa} \int_{-\log^2 T}^{\log^2 T} \sum_{r \neq s \leq \mathcal{R}} |\zeta(\lambda - \kappa + i\gamma_r - i\gamma_s + iv)| dv$$

$$\ll \mathcal{R}N + \mathcal{R}^2 + N^{\lambda - \kappa} \mathcal{R}^2 T^{\kappa + \varepsilon},$$

where we have used

$$\zeta(\lambda - \kappa + it) \ll (|t| + 1)^{\kappa + \varepsilon},$$

which can be seen in [6, 12].

Back to (3.7), we get

$$\mathcal{R} \ll T^{\varepsilon} \left(N^{2-2\sigma} + \mathcal{R}^2 + N^{1-2\sigma} N^{\lambda-\kappa} \mathcal{R} T^{\kappa+\varepsilon} \right)$$

$$\ll T^{\varepsilon} \left(N^{2-2\sigma} + \mathcal{R}^2 + \mathcal{R} N^{1+\lambda-\kappa-2\sigma} T^{\kappa+\varepsilon} \right).$$

This together with (3.2) proves (2.7) with N satisfies (2.8). \square

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank the referee for going through the manuscript and valuable suggestions.

Deeply regret that Professor Aleksandar Ivić, who made significant contribution to the theory of Riemann zeta function, passed away on 27th December 2020.

References

- [1] J. Bourgain, On large values estimates for Dirichlet polynomials and the density hypothesis for the Riemann zeta function. IMRN 3(2000), 133-146.
- [2] P. Deligne, La conjecture de Weil. I. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 43(1974), 273-307.
- [3] M. N. Huxley, On the difference between consective primes. Invent. Math. 15(1972), 164-170.
- [4] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*. Am. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publ. vol.53.Am. Math. Soc., Providence, 2004.
- [5] A. E. Ingham, On the estimation of $N(\sigma, T)$. Quarterly Journal of Mathematics 15(1940), 291-292.
- [6] A. Ivić, The Riemann Zeta-function. The Theory of Riemann Zeta-function with Applications. John Wiley, New York, 1985.

- [7] A. Ivić, On zeta-functions associated with Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, in: Proceedings of the Amalfi Conference on Analytic Number Theory, E. Bombieri et al. (eds.), Universitá di Salerno, 1992, pp.231-246.
- [8] M. Jutila, Zero-density estimates for L-functions. Acta Arith. 32(1977), 55-62.
- [9] M. Jutila, Lectures on a method in the theory of exponential sums, Tata Inst. Fundam. Res. Lect. Math. and Physics 80, Springer (1987).
- [10] H. L. Montgomery, Topics in Multiplicative Number Theory, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [11] A. Perelli, General L-functions. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 130(1982), 287-306.
- [12] E.C. Titchmarch, The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function, 2nd. edn University Press, Oxford 1986.

Wei Zhang, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, Henan, China

 $Email\ address: {\tt zhangweimath@126.com}$