

# ENHANCEMENT OF THE ZAKHAROV-GLASSEY'S METHOD FOR BLOW-UP IN NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS

ANDREA SACCHETTI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we give a sharper condition for blow-up of the solution to a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with free/Stark/quadratic potential by improving the well known Zakharov-Glassey's method.

PACS number(s): 05.45.-a, 03.65.-w, 03.65.Db, 03.75.Lm

MSC 2020 number(s): 35Qxx, 81Qxx

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider, in dimension one, the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_t}{\partial t} = H\psi_t + \nu |\psi_t|^{2\mu} \psi_t \\ \psi_t(x)|_{t=t_0} = \psi_0(x), \quad \|\psi_0\|_{L^2} = 1, \end{cases}, \quad \psi_t \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx), \quad (1)$$

where  $H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(x)$  is the linear Schrödinger operator with potential  $V(x)$ ;  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$  represents the strength of the nonlinear perturbation and  $\mu > 0$  is the nonlinearity power. Hereafter, for sake of simplicity, we fix the units such that  $\hbar = 1$  and  $m = 1$ , we further assume that  $t_0 = 0$ . The restriction to dimension one is just to simplify the discussion, but extension to higher dimensions of the ideas in this paper could be possible; however, we do not dwell on this problem here.

The first fundamental question that arises when dealing with a nonlinear Schrödinger equation (1) is the existence of a solution locally in time in some functional space. Thus, for  $\psi_0$  in such a space and under some assumptions on the potential  $V(x)$ , there exists  $0 < t_+^* \leq +\infty$  such that  $\psi_t \in C([0, t_+^*))$ ; furthermore, conservation of the norm

$$\mathcal{N}(\psi_t) = \mathcal{N}(\psi_0) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{N}(\psi) := \|\psi\|_{L^2}, \quad (2)$$

and of the energy

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi_t) = \mathcal{E}(\psi_0) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{E}(\psi) := \langle \psi, H\psi \rangle_{L^2} + \frac{\nu}{\mu+1} \|\psi\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2}, \quad (3)$$

are satisfied. Concerning global existence in the future three possibilities may occur:

- $t_+^* = +\infty$  and  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\psi_t\|_{H^1} < +\infty$ , that is the solution is global and bounded;

---

*Date:* March 29, 2022.

*Key words and phrases.* Nonlinear Schrödinger equation; blow-up solutions; Zakharov-Glassey's method; Ehrenfest's Theorem.

The author thanks R.Carles and C.Sparber for helpful comments. This work is partially supported by the GNFM-INdAM and the UniMoRe-FIM project "Modelli e metodi della Fisica Matematica".

- $t_+^* = +\infty$  and  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|\psi_t\|_{H^1} = +\infty$ , that is the solution blows up in infinite time;
- $0 < t_+^* < +\infty$  and  $\|\psi_t\|_{H^1} \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow t_+^* - 0$ , that is the solution blows up in finite time.

A similar analysis can be considered in the past for  $t \leq 0$ .

Our purpose in this paper is to give a blow-up criterion by improving the Zakharov(-Shabat)-Glassey's method. The method introduced by Zakharov and Shabat [22] and by Glassey [9] (see also the papers by [12, 14, 16]) is quite simple in the case where the virial identity takes a simple form.

Let

$$\mathcal{I}(t) = \langle \psi_t, x^2 \psi_t \rangle_{L^2}$$

be the *moment of inertia*. It should be noticed that some textbooks denote (improperly)  $\mathcal{I}$  by the name of *variance*; in fact, we will introduce the *variance* later. Eventually, in analogy with the usual definition in Classical Mechanics the term  $\mathcal{I}$  should be properly called (*polar*) *moment of inertia*.

If it can be shown that  $\mathcal{I}(T_+^{\mathcal{I}}) = 0$  (resp.  $\mathcal{I}(T_-^{\mathcal{I}}) = 0$ ) for some  $\pm T_{\pm}^{\mathcal{I}} > 0$  then blow-up occurs in the future at some  $t_+^* \in (0, T_+^{\mathcal{I}}]$  (resp. in the past at some  $t_-^* \in [T_-^{\mathcal{I}}, 0)$ ). This fact is a consequence of the functional inequality (31) and of the conservation of the norm (2). In order to prove that  $\mathcal{I}(t)$  can take zero value at some instant  $t$  one usually makes use of the virial identity, which in the one-dimensional free model where  $V \equiv 0$  takes the form

$$\frac{d^2 \mathcal{I}}{dt^2} = C_{\mathcal{I}} + 2\nu \frac{\mu - 2}{\mu + 1} \|\psi_t\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2}, \quad C_{\mathcal{I}} = 4\mathcal{E}(\psi_0). \quad (4)$$

If, for example,  $\mu = 2$  and  $\psi_0$  is such that  $\mathcal{E}(\psi_0) < 0$ , then by the virial identity (4) and by the conservation of the energy, the positive quantity  $\mathcal{I}(t)$  is an inverted parabola that must then become negative in finite times  $T_{\pm}^{\mathcal{I}}$ ,  $-\infty < T_-^{\mathcal{I}} < 0 < T_+^{\mathcal{I}} < +\infty$ , and thus the solution cannot exist for all time and blows up at finite time in the future as well as in the past [15].

This argument is very powerful because of its simplicity, in fact it is based on a pure Hamiltonian information  $\mathcal{E}(\psi_0) < 0$ , and it also applies to the super-critical case  $\mu > 2$ . On the other hand, it strongly depends on the virial identity (4) and thus it cannot simply be applied when an external potential  $V(x)$  is present. However, in a sequence of seminal papers by Carles [3, 4, 5, 6] this method has been applied to the case where  $V(x)$  is a quadratic or Stark potential in any dimension.

Our proposal of enhancement of the Zakharov-Glassey's method is based on a quite simple idea. Let

$$\langle \hat{x} \rangle^t := \langle \psi_t, x \psi_t \rangle_{L^2} \quad (5)$$

be the expectation value of the position observable  $x$ , where  $\hat{x}$  is the associated operator. Let

$$\mathcal{V}(t) = \left\langle \psi_t, (\hat{x} - \langle \hat{x} \rangle^t)^2 \psi_t \right\rangle = \mathcal{I}(t) - [\langle \hat{x} \rangle^t]^2$$

be the *variance*. If it can be shown that  $\mathcal{V}(T_+^{\mathcal{V}}) = 0$  (resp.  $\mathcal{V}(T_-^{\mathcal{V}}) = 0$ ) at some  $\pm T_{\pm}^{\mathcal{V}} > 0$  then blow-up occurs in the future for some  $t_+^* \in (0, T_+^{\mathcal{V}}]$  (resp. in the past for some  $t_-^* \in [T_-^{\mathcal{V}}, 0)$ ), by (32). Since  $\mathcal{V}(t) \leq \mathcal{I}(t)$  then we expect to give a sharper condition for the occurrence of the blow-up; the price to pay is to give an expression of the expectation value  $\langle x \rangle^t$ , but this problem can be easily overcome

using the (generalized) Ehrenfest's Theorem where  $\langle x \rangle^t$  is nothing but the solution of the "classical mechanics equation". Finally, we must also emphasize the fact that the enhanced Zakharov-Glassey's method not only gives sharper conditions for the occurrence of blow-up but also allows us to give a better estimate of the instants  $t_\pm^*$  at which the solution becomes singular because  $|T_\pm^\mathcal{V}| \leq |T_\pm^\mathcal{I}|$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the Ehrenfest's generalized Theorem; in Section 3 we review the standard blow-up conditions in the free model where  $V(x) \equiv 0$  and we show that these conditions can be easily improved by applying the virial equation for the variance  $\mathcal{V}(t)$ ; in Section 4 we consider the case where  $V(x) = \alpha x$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , is a Stark potential; in Section 5 we review the blow-up conditions in the case where  $V(x) = \alpha x^2$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , is a quadratic potential and we show that again these conditions can be easily improved by applying the virial equation for the variance  $\mathcal{V}(t)$ . Finally, Appendix A is about some functional inequalities, Appendix B is about a comparison result for ordinary differential equations and Appendix C is about the formal derivation of the virial identity; some results in Appendices B and C are due to the papers [3, 4, 5, 6], I collect these results in two short Appendices for reader's benefit.

Hereafter, for the sake of simplicity, we omit the dependence on the variable  $t$  when this fact does not cause misunderstandings, e.g.  $\psi$  instead of  $\psi_t$ ,  $\langle \hat{x} \rangle$  instead of  $\langle \hat{x} \rangle^t$ ,  $\langle \hat{p} \rangle$  instead of  $\langle \hat{p} \rangle^t$ ,  $\mathcal{I}$  instead of  $\mathcal{I}(t)$ ,  $\mathcal{V}$  instead of  $\mathcal{V}(t)$ , and so on.

By  $f' = \frac{df}{dx}$  we denote the derivative with respect to  $x$ , by  $\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2}$  we denote the scalar product  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{f}(x)g(x)dx$ , and it is sometimes denoted simply by  $\langle f, g \rangle$ ; also  $\|f\|$  sometimes simply denotes  $\|f\|_{L^2}$ .

## 2. EHRENFEST'S GENERALIZED THEOREM FOR NLS

The extension of the Ehrenfest's Theorem to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (1) has already been considered by [2, 11]. In fact, by means of a straightforward calculation it follows that

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $a = a(x, p)$ ,  $x, p \in \mathbb{R}$ , be a classical observable function with associated operator  $A$ , let*

$$\langle A \rangle = \langle \psi_t, A \psi_t \rangle_{L^2} \quad (6)$$

*be its expectation value. Then*

$$\frac{d\langle A \rangle}{dt} = i \langle \psi_t, [H, A] \psi_t \rangle_{L^2} + i\nu \langle \psi_t, [|\psi_t|^{2\mu}, A] \psi_t \rangle_{L^2}, \quad (7)$$

*where  $[H, A] = HA - AH$  is the commutator operator between the operators  $H$  and  $A$ , and where  $[|\psi|^{2\mu}, A] \psi = |\psi|^{2\mu} A(\psi) - A(|\psi|^{2\mu} \psi)$ . Equation (7) is usually called "Ehrenfest's generalized Theorem".*

As a consequence it follows that

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $x$  be the position observable and let  $\hat{x} = x$  be the associated multiplication operator, then*

$$\frac{d\langle \hat{x} \rangle^t}{dt} = \langle \hat{p} \rangle^t \quad (8)$$

*where  $\hat{p} = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  is the associated operator to the momentum observable  $p$ .*

*Proof.* Corollary 1 immediately follows from (7) since  $[\psi^{2\mu}, \hat{x}] = 0$ ; hence

$$\frac{d\langle \hat{x} \rangle}{dt} = i \langle \psi, [H, \hat{x}] \psi \rangle = i \left\langle \psi, \left[ \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2}, \hat{x} \right] \psi \right\rangle = \langle \hat{p} \rangle.$$

□

Similarly

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $p$  be the momentum observable with associated operator  $\hat{p} = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ , then*

$$\frac{d\langle \hat{p} \rangle}{dt} = - \left\langle \frac{dV}{dx} \right\rangle^t, \quad \text{where} \quad \left\langle \frac{dV}{dx} \right\rangle^t = \left\langle \psi_t, \frac{dV}{dx} \psi_t \right\rangle_{L^2}. \quad (9)$$

*Proof.* Corollary 2 follows from (7) if we prove that  $\langle \psi, [|\psi|^{2\mu}, \hat{p}] \psi \rangle = 0$ ; indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, [|\psi|^{2\mu}, \hat{p}] \psi \rangle &= -i \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{\psi} \left[ |\psi|^{2\mu} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial (|\psi|^{2\mu} \psi)}{\partial x} \right] dx = \\ &= -i \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi|^{2\mu} \left[ \bar{\psi} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + \psi \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial x} \right] dx = -i \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^\mu \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho = |\psi|^2$ . Hence

$$\frac{d\langle \hat{p} \rangle}{dt} = i \langle \psi, [H, \hat{p}] \psi \rangle = i \langle \psi, [V, \hat{p}] \psi \rangle = - \left\langle \frac{dV}{dx} \right\rangle.$$

□

**Remark 1.** *Let  $\psi_t$  be the solution to the NLS (1); then the expectation values  $\langle \hat{x} \rangle$  of the position observable and  $\langle \hat{p} \rangle$  of the momentum observable satisfy to the “classical canonical equation of motion” (8-9). In the case where  $V(x)$  is a free, Stark or quadratic potential then the system (8-9) has an explicit solution that does not depend on the nonlinearity parameter  $\nu$ .*

**Remark 2.** *Ehrenfest’s generalized Theorem was also proved by [1] for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with a 2 or 3-dimensional confining harmonic potential and under the effect of a rotating force. In such a framework it has also been proved that, under some circumstances (see Proposition 4.3 by [1]), the solution is such that  $\langle \hat{x} \rangle^t$  and  $\langle \hat{p} \rangle^t$  go to  $+\infty$  when  $t$  goes to  $\pm\infty$ .*

**Remark 3.** *However, we should point out that the Ehrenfest’s generalized Theorem (7) for nonlinear Schrödinger does not give the same result of the usual one for linear Schrödinger equations*

$$\frac{d\langle A \rangle}{dt} = i \langle [H, A] \rangle \quad (10)$$

*if the classical observable is the Hamiltonian function  $h(x, p) = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + V(x)$  with associated operator  $H$ ; indeed, in such a case*

$$\frac{d\langle H \rangle}{dt} = i\nu \langle \psi, [|\psi|^{2\mu}, H] \psi \rangle = -\nu \Im \langle \psi, |\psi|^{2\mu} \hat{p}^2 \psi \rangle$$

*is not generically zero. In fact,  $\langle H \rangle$  is an integral of motion only when  $\nu = 0$ ; otherwise the integral of motion is the energy  $\mathcal{E}(\psi)$  defined by (3).*

## 3. BLOW-UP FOR THE FREE NLS

We consider now the case where the external potential is zero:  $V(x) \equiv 0$ . We assume that

$$\psi_0 \in \Sigma := H^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{D}(\hat{x}), \quad (11)$$

where  $\mathcal{D}(\hat{x})$  is the domain of the operator  $\hat{x}$ . Then the solution  $\psi(x, t)$  to (1) locally exists and it belongs to  $C((t_-^*, t_+^*), \Sigma)$  and the conservation of the norm  $\|\psi\|_{L^2}$  and of the energy  $\mathcal{E}$  hold true (see, e.g., Theorem 3.10 by [19]). If  $t_\pm^* = \pm\infty$  then the solution globally exists; if not, i.e.  $t_+^* < +\infty$  (resp.  $t_-^* > -\infty$ ) then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_\pm^* \mp 0} \|\psi\|_{H^1} = \infty$$

and thus blow-up occurs in the future (resp. in the the past). We observe that blow-up cannot occur when  $\nu \geq 0$  because of the conservation of the energy (3). Furthermore, we can also point out that when blow-up occurs for  $\nu < 0$  then we also have that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_\pm^* \mp 0} \|\psi\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2} = \infty$$

because conservation of the energy.

## 3.1. Criterion for blow-up by means of the Zakharov-Glassey method.

Estimates of the momentum of inertia can be obtained by means of the one-dimensional virial identity (4) the with initial conditions

$$\mathcal{I}_0 := \mathcal{I}(0) = \|x\psi_0\|_{L^2}^2 \quad (12)$$

and

$$\dot{\mathcal{I}}_0 := \frac{d\mathcal{I}(0)}{dt} = 2\Im \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} x \bar{\psi}_0(x) \frac{\partial \psi_0(x)}{\partial x} dx \right] = 2\Re \langle \hat{x}\psi_0, \hat{p}\psi_0 \rangle. \quad (13)$$

Theorem 5.1 by [19] gives a condition for blow-up in the future (and similarly in the past). Specifically, when  $\nu < 0$  and  $\mu \geq 2$  then there exists a  $t_+^* \in (0, +\infty)$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_+^* - 0} \|\psi\|_{H^1} = \infty$$

if any of the following conditions is satisfied:

- i.  $C_{\mathcal{I}} < 0$ ;
- ii.  $C_{\mathcal{I}} = 0$  and  $\dot{\mathcal{I}}_0 < 0$ ;
- iii.  $C_{\mathcal{I}} > 0$  and  $\dot{\mathcal{I}}_0 \leq -\sqrt{2C_{\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{I}_0}$ ;

where  $C(\mathcal{I}) = 4\mathcal{E}(\psi_0)$ .

The proof of Theorem 5.1 by [19] is quite simple: if  $\mu \geq 2$  and  $\nu \leq 0$  then (4) implies that

$$\frac{d^2\mathcal{I}}{dt^2} \leq C_{\mathcal{I}}$$

and thus

$$\mathcal{I}(t) \leq M(t) := \frac{1}{2}C_{\mathcal{I}}t^2 + \dot{\mathcal{I}}_0t + \mathcal{I}_0 \quad (14)$$

If any of the three conditions i.-iii. are satisfied then there exists  $\tilde{T}_+^{\mathcal{I}} > 0$  such that  $M(\tilde{T}_+^{\mathcal{I}}) = 0$  and thus there exists a  $0 < T_+^{\mathcal{I}} < \tilde{T}_+^{\mathcal{I}}$  such that  $\mathcal{I}(T_+^{\mathcal{I}}) = 0$ . From this fact and from (31) the occurrence of blow-up in the future follows at some  $t_+^* < T_+^{\mathcal{I}}$ .

**3.2. Criterion for blow-up by means of the enhanced Zakharov-Glassey method.** We improve now the previous criterion by applying the same argument to the analysis of the variance and making use of the Ehrenfest's generalized Theorem. Indeed, if the potential  $V(x)$  is exactly zero then (8-9) imply that

$$\langle \hat{p} \rangle \equiv \hat{p}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \hat{x} \rangle = \hat{p}_0 t + \hat{x}_0, \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{x}_0 := \langle \hat{x} \rangle^t|_{t=0} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{p}_0 := \langle \hat{p} \rangle^t|_{t=0}. \quad (15)$$

**Remark 4.** We point out that in the free NLS problem the conservation of the momentum  $\langle \hat{p} \rangle$  and the fact that the center of mass of the wavepacket  $\langle \hat{x} \rangle$  moves at constant speed can be derived by making use of arguments of invariance of space translation (see, e.g. §2.3 by [19]).

Since (15) we have that

$$\mathcal{V}(t) = \mathcal{I}(t) - \langle \hat{x} \rangle^2 = \mathcal{I}(t) - [\hat{p}_0 t + \hat{x}_0]^2 \leq N(t)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N(t) &:= M(t) - [\hat{p}_0 t + \hat{x}_0]^2 \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{2} C_{\mathcal{I}} - \hat{p}_0^2 \right] t^2 + [\dot{\mathcal{I}}_0 - 2\hat{p}_0 \hat{x}_0] t + [\mathcal{I}_0 - \hat{x}_0^2] \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Thus we have the following improvement of Theorem 5.1 by [19].

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\nu < 0$  and  $\mu \geq 2$ , let  $\psi_0 \in \Sigma$ ; then we have blow-up in the future if any of the following conditions is satisfied:

- i'.  $C_{\mathcal{I}} < 2\hat{p}_0^2$ ;
- ii'.  $C_{\mathcal{I}} = 2\hat{p}_0^2$  and  $\dot{\mathcal{I}}_0 < 2\hat{p}_0 \hat{x}_0$ ;
- iii'.  $C_{\mathcal{I}} > 2\hat{p}_0^2$  and

$$[\dot{\mathcal{I}}_0 - 2\hat{p}_0 \hat{x}_0] \leq -2\sqrt{\left[ \frac{1}{2} C_{\mathcal{I}} - \hat{p}_0^2 \right] [\mathcal{I}_0 - \hat{x}_0^2]}$$

*Proof.* Indeed, if any of the three conditions i.-iii. are satisfied then there exists  $\tilde{T}_+^{\mathcal{V}} > 0$  such that  $N(\tilde{T}_+^{\mathcal{V}}) = 0$  and thus there exists  $0 < T_+^{\mathcal{V}} < \tilde{T}_+^{\mathcal{V}}$  such that  $\mathcal{V}(T_+^{\mathcal{V}}) = 0$ . From this fact and from (32) the occurrence of blow-up follows for some  $t_+^* \leq T_+^{\mathcal{V}}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.** In fact, under condition i'. we have blow-up in the future and in the past, too; under conditions ii'. and iii'. we have blow-up in the future only.

**Remark 6.** We remark that condition i'. for blow-up is not new and it has been already proved under some circumstances, see e.g. Corollary 1.2 by [8] and Theorem 7 by [16].

#### 4. BLOW-UP FOR THE NLS WITH STARK POTENTIAL

Let the potential  $V(x) = \alpha x$  be a Stark potential, where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , the occurrence of blow-up in such a case has been considered by [5, 13, 17]. Again we assume (11).

**4.1. Criterion for blow-up by means of the Zakharov-Glassey method.** In the case of Stark potentials it has been proved that the solutions to the NLS (1) with a Stark potential can be derived from the ones of the free NLS, see Theorem 2.1 by [5]. Then one can make use of the results obtained in Section 3.1; in particular, Corollary 3.3 by [5] states that blow-up occurs in the past and in future when

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\psi'_0\|_{L^2} + \frac{\nu}{\mu+1}\|\psi_0\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2} < 0. \quad (17)$$

**4.2. Criterion for blow-up by means of the enhanced Zakharov-Glassey method.** If the potential  $V(x) = \alpha x$  is a Stark potential, where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  then (8-9) imply that

$$\langle \hat{p} \rangle^t = -\alpha t + \hat{p}_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \hat{x} \rangle^t = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha t^2 + \hat{p}_0 t + \hat{x}_0 \quad (18)$$

where

$$\hat{x}_0 = \langle \hat{x} \rangle^t|_{t=0} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{p}_0 = \langle \hat{p} \rangle^t|_{t=0}.$$

Estimates of the momentum of inertia can be obtained by means of the one-dimensional virial identity (38) with initial conditions (12-13).

If  $\nu(\mu-2) \leq 0$  then (18) and (38) imply that

$$\frac{d^2 \mathcal{I}}{dt^2} \leq 4\mathcal{E} - 6\alpha \left( -\frac{1}{2}\alpha t^2 + \hat{p}_0 t + \hat{x}_0 \right)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2}\|\psi'_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha \langle \hat{x} \rangle + \frac{\nu}{\mu+1}\|\psi_0\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2},$$

and thus

$$\mathcal{I}(t) \leq \frac{1}{4}\alpha^2 t^4 - \alpha \hat{p}_0 t^3 + [2\mathcal{E} - 3\alpha \hat{x}_0] t^2 + \dot{\mathcal{I}}_0 t + \mathcal{I}_0,$$

$\mathcal{I}_0$  and  $\dot{\mathcal{I}}_0$  are given by (12) and (13). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(t) &= \mathcal{I}(t) - [\langle \hat{x} \rangle^t]^2 \\ &\leq \left[ \|\psi'_0\|^2 + \frac{2\nu}{\mu+1}\|\psi_0\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2} - \hat{p}_0^2 \right] t^2 + 2[\Re \langle \hat{x}\psi_0, \hat{p}\psi_0 \rangle - \hat{p}_0 \hat{x}_0] t + \mathcal{V}(0) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can conclude that

**Theorem 2.** *If*

- i.  $\|\psi'_0\|^2 + \frac{2\nu}{\mu+1}\|\psi_0\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2} < \hat{p}_0^2$  *then we have blow-up in the past and in the future;*
- ii.  $\|\psi'_0\|^2 + \frac{2\nu}{\mu+1}\|\psi_0\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2} = \hat{p}_0^2$  *and*  $\Re \langle \hat{x}\psi_0, \hat{p}\psi_0 \rangle - \hat{p}_0 \hat{x}_0 \neq 0$  *we have blow-up in the past or in the future;*
- iii.  $\|\psi'_0\|^2 + \frac{2\nu}{\mu+1}\|\psi_0\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2} > \hat{p}_0^2$  *and*

$$[\Re \langle \hat{x}\psi_0, \hat{p}\psi_0 \rangle - \hat{p}_0 \hat{x}_0]^2 > \left[ \|\psi'_0\|^2 + \frac{2\nu}{\mu+1}\|\psi_0\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2} - \hat{p}_0^2 \right] \mathcal{V}(0)$$

*we have blow-up in the past or in the future.*

**Remark 7.** *Since  $\|\psi'_0\|^2 = \|\hat{p}\psi_0\|^2$  and  $|\hat{p}_0| = |\langle \psi_0, \hat{p}\psi_0 \rangle| \leq \|\hat{p}\psi_0\|$  then conditions i. and ii. holds true only when  $\nu < 0$ .*

**Remark 8.** We remark that the blow-up condition (17) given by [5] agrees with Theorem 2, indeed (17) implies i..

## 5. BLOW-UP FOR NLS WITH HARMONIC/INVERTED OSCILLATOR POTENTIAL

In this section we consider the cases of *harmonic oscillator* potential  $V(x) = \alpha x^2$ , where  $\alpha > 0$ , and *inverted oscillator* potential, where  $\alpha < 0$ . The occurrence of blow-up in these cases has been considered by several authors under different assumptions [3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 18, 20, 21, 23].

In this Section we consider the blow-up conditions obtained by means of the enhanced Zakharov-Glassey's method and then we compare these results with the previous ones obtained by Carles [3, 4, 6].

We require now some preliminary results.

Also in this case assume (11), then local in time existence of the solution to (1) in  $\Sigma$  and conservation of the norm and of the energy  $\mathcal{E}$  follows (see, e.g., [6]).

Corollary 2 implies that  $\frac{d\langle \hat{p} \rangle^t}{dt} = -2\alpha \langle \hat{x} \rangle^t$ ; hence the expectation value of the position observable coincides with the classical solution. More precisely, let

$$\lambda^2 = 2|\alpha|, \quad \hat{x}_0 = \langle \hat{x} \rangle^t|_{t=0} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{p}_0 = \langle \hat{p} \rangle^t|_{t=0};$$

then the Ehrenfest's generalized Theorem implies that:

- In the case of the *harmonic oscillator* where  $\alpha > 0$ , then

$$\begin{cases} \langle \hat{x} \rangle^t &= \hat{x}_0 \cos(\lambda t) + \frac{\hat{p}_0}{\lambda} \sin(\lambda t) \\ \langle \hat{p} \rangle^t &= -\lambda \hat{x}_0 \sin(\lambda t) + \hat{p}_0 \cos(\lambda t) \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

- In the case of the *inverted oscillator* where  $\alpha < 0$ , then

$$\begin{cases} \langle \hat{x} \rangle^t &= \hat{x}_0 \cosh(\lambda t) + \frac{\hat{p}_0}{\lambda} \sinh(\lambda t) \\ \langle \hat{p} \rangle^t &= \lambda \hat{x}_0 \sinh(\lambda t) + \hat{p}_0 \cosh(\lambda t) \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

In a previous paper [4] devoted to the analysis of the occurrence of blow-up it has been found that, in the case of harmonic/inverted potential, the momentum of inertia  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfies to the following equation

$$\frac{d^2 \mathcal{I}}{dt^2} + 8\alpha \mathcal{I} = C_{\mathcal{I}} + 2\nu \frac{\mu - 2}{\mu + 1} \|\psi\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2}, \quad C_{\mathcal{I}} = 4\mathcal{E}(\psi_0). \quad (21)$$

As in the free case we consider now the equation for the variance  $\mathcal{V}$ .

**Lemma 1.** *The variance  $\mathcal{V}$  satisfies to the following equation*

$$\frac{d^2 \mathcal{V}}{dt^2} + 8\alpha \mathcal{V} = C_{\mathcal{V}} + 2\nu \frac{\mu - 2}{\mu + 1} \|\psi\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2}, \quad (22)$$

where

$$C_{\mathcal{V}} = -2\hat{p}_0^2 - 4\alpha \hat{x}_0^2 + C_{\mathcal{I}}. \quad (23)$$

*Proof.* Indeed, from (21) it turns out that the variance is a solution to the equation

$$\frac{d^2 \mathcal{V}}{dt^2} + 8\alpha \mathcal{V} = -\frac{d^2 \langle \hat{x} \rangle^2}{dt^2} - 8\alpha \langle \hat{x} \rangle^2 + C_{\mathcal{I}} + 2\nu \frac{\mu - 2}{\mu + 1} \|\psi\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2},$$

where  $\langle \hat{x} \rangle$  simply denotes  $\langle \hat{x} \rangle^t$  and it is given by (19) (resp. (20)) when  $\alpha > 0$  (resp.  $\alpha < 0$ ). We may remark that the term

$$C = -\frac{d^2 \langle \hat{x} \rangle^2}{dt^2} - 8\alpha \langle \hat{x} \rangle^2$$



is constant. Indeed,

$$C = -2 \left( \frac{d\langle \hat{x} \rangle}{dt} \right)^2 - 2\langle x \rangle \frac{d^2 \langle \hat{x} \rangle}{dt^2} - 8\alpha \langle x \rangle^2 = -2 \left( \frac{d\langle \hat{x} \rangle}{dt} \right)^2 - 4\alpha \langle x \rangle^2$$

since  $\frac{d^2 \langle \hat{x} \rangle}{dt^2} = -2\alpha \langle \hat{x} \rangle$  and thus

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = -4 \frac{d\langle \hat{x} \rangle}{dt} \frac{d^2 \langle \hat{x} \rangle}{dt^2} - 8\alpha \langle \hat{x} \rangle \frac{d\langle \hat{x} \rangle}{dt} = 0.$$

Hence,

$$C = -2 \left( \frac{d\langle \hat{x} \rangle}{dt} \right)_{t=0}^2 - 4\alpha \hat{x}_0^2 = -2\hat{p}_0^2 - 4\alpha \hat{x}_0^2$$

and (22) follows.  $\square$

We recall that the initial condition associated to (21) and (22) are

$$\mathcal{V}_0 := \mathcal{V}(0) = \mathcal{I}_0 - \hat{x}_0^2 = \|\hat{x}\psi_0\|^2 - \hat{x}_0^2 \quad (24)$$

and

$$\dot{\mathcal{V}}_0 := \frac{d\mathcal{V}(0)}{dt} = 2 [\Re \langle \hat{x}\psi_0, \hat{p}\psi_0 \rangle - \hat{x}_0 \hat{p}_0]. \quad (25)$$

Let us consider now the differential equation (22) for  $\mu \geq 2$  and  $\nu < 0$ . From Lemma 3 in Appendix B we have that  $0 \leq \mathcal{V}(t) \leq \zeta(t)$  where  $\zeta(t)$  is the solution to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d^2 \zeta}{dt^2} + 8\alpha \zeta = C_{\mathcal{V}} \\ \zeta(0) = \mathcal{V}_0 \text{ and } \frac{d\zeta(0)}{dt} = \dot{\mathcal{V}}_0 \end{cases}.$$

If we set  $\Omega = 2\lambda = \sqrt{8|\alpha|}$  then the solution  $\zeta(t)$  is given by

$$\zeta(t) = \begin{cases} \zeta_H(t) := \frac{\dot{\mathcal{V}}_0}{\Omega} \sin(\Omega t) + \mathcal{V}_0 \cos(\Omega t) + \frac{1}{\Omega^2} C_{\mathcal{V}} [1 - \cos(\Omega t)] & , \text{ if } \alpha > 0 \\ \zeta_I(t) := \frac{\dot{\mathcal{V}}_0}{\Omega} \sinh(\Omega t) + \mathcal{V}_0 \cosh(\Omega t) - \frac{1}{\Omega^2} C_{\mathcal{V}} [1 - \cosh(\Omega t)] & , \text{ if } \alpha < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Now, we are ready to apply the enhanced Zakharov-Glassey method.

**5.1. Harmonic oscillator - Criterion for blow-up.** In the case of the harmonic oscillator potential, where  $\alpha > 0$ , from Lemma 3 in Appendix B it follows that the variance  $\mathcal{V}(t)$  is bounded from above by the function

$$\zeta_H(t) = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \sin(\Omega t + \varphi) + c$$

for any  $t$  such that  $\Omega|t| \leq \pi$ , where  $\varphi$  is a phase term such that

$$\frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} = \cos \varphi, \quad \frac{b}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}} = \sin \varphi, \quad a := \frac{\dot{\mathcal{V}}_0}{\Omega}, \quad b := \mathcal{V}_0 - \frac{C_{\mathcal{V}}}{\Omega^2}, \quad c := \frac{C_{\mathcal{V}}}{\Omega^2}.$$

Since  $\zeta_H(\pm\pi/\Omega) = \frac{2}{\Omega^2} C_{\mathcal{V}} - \mathcal{V}_0$  then we have blow-up in the future and in the past if

$$2\frac{C_{\mathcal{V}}}{\Omega^2} - \mathcal{V}_0 \leq 0. \quad (26)$$

If not, since by means of a straightforward calculation it follows that

$$\min_{t \in [-\pi/\Omega, +\pi/\Omega]} \mathcal{V}(t) \leq \min_{t \in [-\pi/\Omega, +\pi/\Omega]} \zeta_H(t) = \frac{C_{\mathcal{V}}}{\Omega^2} - \sqrt{\frac{\dot{\mathcal{V}}_0^2}{\Omega^2} + \left( \mathcal{V}_0 - \frac{C_{\mathcal{V}}}{\Omega^2} \right)^2}$$

and then there exists blow-up in the past or in the future if

$$\dot{\mathcal{V}}_0^2 + \mathcal{V}_0^2 \Omega^2 - 2\mathcal{V}_0 C_{\mathcal{V}} \geq 0. \quad (27)$$

Thus, we have proved the following results.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\psi_0 \in \Sigma$  be the normalized initial wavefunction; let  $\mu \geq 2$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\Omega = \sqrt{8\alpha}$ ; let  $C_{\mathcal{V}}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_0$  and  $\dot{\mathcal{V}}_0$  defined as in (23), (24) and (25). Then, in the focusing nonlinearity case such that  $\nu < 0$  blow-up occurs in the past and in the future at some instants  $\tilde{T}_- \leq t^* < 0 < t^* \leq \tilde{T}_+$  if (26) is satisfied; where  $\tilde{T}_{\pm}$  are the solutions to the equation  $\zeta_H(t) = 0$  in the interval  $[-\pi/\Omega, \pi/\Omega]$ . If (26) is not satisfied, but (27) holds true then blow-up occurs in the past or in the future in the interval  $[-\pi/\Omega, +\pi/\Omega]$ .*

**Remark 9.** *We compare now the results above with the ones given by Proposition 3.2 [3] where occurrence of blow-up in the past and in the future was proved in the case of harmonic potential where  $\alpha > 0$ , focusing nonlinearity where  $\nu < 0$ , and under the conditions  $\mu \geq 2$  and*

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \psi_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\nu}{\mu+1} \|\psi_0\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2} \leq 0. \quad (28)$$

*In fact, condition (28) implies that (since  $\mathcal{V}_0 \geq 0$ )*

$$\Omega^2 \mathcal{I}_0 \geq 8\mathcal{E} \Leftrightarrow \Omega^2 \mathcal{V}_0 - 2C_{\mathcal{V}} \geq 4\hat{p}_0^2.$$

*That is, if (28) occurs then (26) is satisfied (but not vice versa).*

**5.2. Inverted oscillator - Criterion for blow-up.** In the case of the inverted oscillator potential where  $\alpha < 0$  a similar argument proves that the variance  $\mathcal{V}(t)$  is bounded from above by the function  $\zeta_I(t)$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, it follows that

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\psi_0 \in \Sigma$  be the normalized initial wavefunction; let  $\mu \geq 2$ ,  $\alpha < 0$  and  $\Omega = \sqrt{8|\alpha|}$ ; let  $C_{\mathcal{V}}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_0$  and  $\dot{\mathcal{V}}_0$  defined as in (23), (24) and (25). Let it now*

$$a := \frac{\dot{\mathcal{V}}_0}{\Omega}, \quad b := \mathcal{V}_0 + \frac{C_{\mathcal{V}}}{\Omega^2} \quad \text{and} \quad c := -\frac{C_{\mathcal{V}}}{\Omega^2}.$$

*Then, in the focusing nonlinearity case such that  $\nu < 0$  blow-up occurs if*

- i.  $b < -|a|$ ; in that case blow-up occurs in both the past and in the future.
- ii.  $|a| < b$  and  $\sqrt{b^2 - a^2} + c \leq 0$ ; in that case blow-up occurs only in the future (if  $a < 0$ ) or only in the past (if  $a > 0$ ).
- iii.  $|a| > |b|$ ; in that case we have blow-up in the past if  $a > 0$  or in the future if  $a < 0$ .
- iv.  $|a| = |b|$ ; in that case we have blow-up if  $bc < 0$ , in particular we have blow-up in the past if  $a > 0$  or in the future if  $a < 0$ .

*Proof.* Let us introduce the function  $\zeta(\tau) = \zeta_I(t)$  where  $\tau = \Omega t$ , then

$$\zeta(\tau) := a \sinh(\tau) + b \cosh(\tau) + c, \quad \zeta(0) = \mathcal{V}(0) > 0,$$

and where  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  are defined above. If

- 1)  $|a| < |b|$  then  $\frac{d\zeta(\tau_1)}{d\tau} = 0$  where  $\tau_1 = \operatorname{arctanh}(-\frac{a}{b})$ . In particular, if:
  - 1a)  $b < 0$  then  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \pm\infty} \zeta(\tau) = -\infty$  and thus there exists  $\mathcal{T}_- < 0 < \mathcal{T}_+$  such that  $\zeta(\mathcal{T}_{\pm}) = 0$ . In such a case we have blow-up in the past and in the future.

1b)  $0 < b$  then  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \pm\infty} \zeta(\tau) = +\infty$ . We compute now

$$\zeta(\tau_1) = \sqrt{b^2 - a^2} + c.$$

Thus, if

$$\sqrt{b^2 - a^2} + c \leq 0$$

then we have blow-up in the future if  $a < 0$  or in the past if  $a > 0$ .

2)  $|a| > |b|$  then  $\zeta(\tau)$  is a monotone increasing (resp. decreasing) function if  $a > 0$  (resp.  $a < 0$ ) such that  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \pm\infty} \zeta(\tau) = \pm\infty$  (resp.  $\mp\infty$ ); therefore there exists  $\mathcal{T}_- < 0$  (resp.  $0 < \mathcal{T}_+$ ) such that  $\zeta(\mathcal{T}_-) = 0$  (resp.  $\zeta(\mathcal{T}_+) = 0$ ), and thus we have blow-up in the past (resp. in the future).

3)  $a = b$  then  $\frac{d\zeta(\tau)}{d\tau} \neq 0$  for any  $\tau$ . Hence, if:

3a)  $a > 0$  then  $\frac{d\zeta(0)}{d\tau} > 0$  and then  $\frac{d\zeta(\tau)}{d\tau} > 0$  for any  $\tau$ ; furthermore,  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} \zeta(\tau) = c$  and  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \zeta(\tau) = +\infty$ . Thus, if  $c < 0$  then there exists  $\mathcal{T}_- < 0$  such that  $\zeta(\mathcal{T}_-) = 0$  and so we have blow-up in the past.

3b)  $a < 0$  then  $\frac{d\zeta(0)}{d\tau} < 0$  and then  $\frac{d\zeta(\tau)}{d\tau} < 0$  for any  $\tau$ ; furthermore,  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} \zeta(\tau) = c$  and  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \zeta(\tau) = -\infty$ . Thus, if  $c > 0$  then there exists  $0 < \mathcal{T}_+$  such that  $\zeta(\mathcal{T}_+) = 0$  and so we have blow-up in the future.

4)  $a = -b$  then  $\frac{d\zeta(\tau)}{d\tau} \neq 0$  for any  $\tau$ . Hence, if:

4a)  $a > 0$  then  $\frac{d\zeta(0)}{d\tau} > 0$  and then  $\frac{d\zeta(\tau)}{d\tau} > 0$  for any  $\tau$ ; furthermore,  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} \zeta(\tau) = -\infty$  and  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \zeta(\tau) = c$ . Thus, if  $c > 0$  then there exists  $\mathcal{T}_- < 0$  such that  $\zeta(\mathcal{T}_-) = 0$  and so we have blow-up in the past.

4b)  $a < 0$  then  $\frac{d\zeta(0)}{d\tau} < 0$  and then  $\frac{d\zeta(\tau)}{d\tau} < 0$  for any  $\tau$ ; furthermore,  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} \zeta(\tau) = +\infty$  and  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \zeta(\tau) = c$ . Thus, if  $c < 0$  then there exists  $\mathcal{T}_- < 0$  such that  $\zeta(\mathcal{T}_-) = 0$  and so we have blow-up in the past.

Collecting all these results then Theorem 4 follows.  $\square$

**Remark 10.** We compare now the results above with those given by Theorem 1.1 [6]. For example, [6] proved that in the case of inverted potential, where  $\alpha < 0$ , and focusing nonlinearity, where  $\nu < 0$ , under the condition  $\mu \geq 2$  and

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \psi_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\nu}{\mu+1} \|\psi_0\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2} < -|\alpha| \|x\psi_0\|_{L^2}^2 - \sqrt{2|\alpha|} |\Re \langle \hat{x}\psi_0, \hat{p}\psi_0 \rangle| \quad (29)$$

then blow-up occurs in the future and in the past at some instant. By means of a straightforward calculation it can be proved that if condition (29) is satisfied, then condition i. of Theorem 4 holds true, but not vice versa.

#### APPENDIX A. FUNCTIONAL INEQUALITIES

**Lemma 2.** The following inequality holds true: let  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and let

$$\Gamma := \Gamma(y) = \langle f, (x-y)^2 f \rangle_{L^2}$$

for any test function  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  such that  $xf \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ . Then, for any  $q \geq 0$ :

$$\|f\|_{L^{2q+2}}^{2q+2} \leq C\sqrt{\Gamma} \|f\|_{L^2}^q \|f'\|_{L^2}^{q+1}, \quad (30)$$

for some positive constant  $C$ , where  $f' = \frac{df}{dx}$ .

*Proof.* Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{L^{2q+2}}^{2q+2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial(x-y)}{\partial x} f^{q+1} \bar{f}^{q+1} dx \\ &= -(q+1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x-y) |f|^{2q} [f' \bar{f} + f \bar{f}'] dx.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|f\|_{L^{2q+2}}^{2q+2} \leq 2(q+1) \|(x-y)f\|_{L^2} \|f\|_{L^\infty}^{2q} \|f'\|_{L^2}.$$

Now, recalling that from the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality one has that

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq \sqrt{2} \|f'\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^2}^{1/2}$$

then it follows that

$$\|f\|_{L^{2q+2}}^{2q+2} \leq C \sqrt{\Gamma} \|f\|_{L^2}^q \|f'\|_{L^2}^{q+1},$$

for some positive constant  $C$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *In particular, if  $y = 0$  and  $q = 0$  then we have that for some positive constant  $C$ :*

$$\|f\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \sqrt{\mathcal{I}} \|f'\|_{L^2}, \quad (31)$$

where  $\Gamma(0) = \|xf\|_{L^2}^2 = \mathcal{I}$  is the moment of inertia; if  $y = \langle \hat{x} \rangle = \langle f, xf \rangle_{L^2}$  and  $q = 0$  then we have that:

$$\|f\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \sqrt{\mathcal{V}} \|f'\|_{L^2}, \quad (32)$$

where  $\Gamma(\langle \hat{x} \rangle) = \|(x - \langle \hat{x} \rangle)f\|_{L^2}^2 = \mathcal{V}$  is the variance.

## APPENDIX B. COMPARISON BETWEEN SOLUTIONS OF THE HARMONIC/INVERTED OSCILLATOR

Let  $\mathcal{V}_\pm(t)$  be the solution to the differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d^2 \mathcal{V}_\pm}{dt^2} \pm \Omega^2 \mathcal{V}_\pm = C + f(t) \\ \mathcal{V}_\pm(0) = \mathcal{V}_{\pm,0} \text{ and } \frac{d\mathcal{V}_\pm(0)}{dt} = \dot{\mathcal{V}}_{\pm,0} \end{cases}, \quad (33)$$

where  $C$  is a constant factor and  $f(t) \leq 0$  for any  $t$ ; and let  $\zeta_\pm(t)$  be the solution to the differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d^2 \zeta_\pm}{dt^2} \pm \Omega^2 \zeta_\pm = C \\ \zeta_\pm(0) = \mathcal{V}_{\pm,0} \text{ and } \frac{d\zeta_\pm(0)}{dt} = \dot{\mathcal{V}}_{\pm,0} \end{cases}. \quad (34)$$

Then, the difference  $\mathcal{Z}_\pm(t) = \mathcal{V}_\pm(t) - \zeta_\pm(t)$  solves the differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d^2 \mathcal{Z}_\pm}{dt^2} \pm \Omega^2 \mathcal{Z}_\pm = f(t) \\ \mathcal{Z}_\pm(0) = 0 \text{ and } \frac{d\mathcal{Z}_\pm(0)}{dt} = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Hence, we have that

$$\mathcal{Z}_+(t) = \frac{1}{\Omega} \int_0^t \sin[\Omega(t-s)] f(s) ds \leq 0 \text{ if } \Omega|t| \leq \pi$$

and

$$\mathcal{Z}_-(t) = \frac{1}{\Omega} \int_0^t \sinh[\Omega(t-s)] f(s) ds \leq 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In conclusion,

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{V}_\pm$  be the solution to (33), and let*

$$\zeta_+(t) = \frac{\dot{\mathcal{V}}_{+,0}}{\Omega} \sin(\Omega t) + \mathcal{V}_{+,0} \cos(\Omega t) + \frac{1}{\Omega^2} C_\zeta [1 - \cos(\Omega t)]$$

and

$$\zeta_-(t) = \frac{\dot{\mathcal{V}}_{-,0}}{\Omega} \sinh(\Omega t) + \mathcal{V}_{-,0} \cosh(\Omega t) - \frac{1}{\Omega^2} C_\zeta [1 - \cosh(\Omega t)]$$

be the solution to (34). Then

$$\mathcal{V}_+(t) \leq \zeta_+(t), \quad \forall t \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{\Omega}, +\frac{\pi}{\Omega}\right]$$

and

$$\mathcal{V}_-(t) \leq \zeta_-(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

### APPENDIX C. A FORMAL TOUCH - THE VIRIAL IDENTITY

Here we formally derive the virial identity for any real-valued potential  $V(x)$ .

Hereafter, we denote  $\psi_t$  by  $\psi$  and  $\psi' = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}$ ,  $\psi'' = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2}$ ,  $\dot{\psi} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}$ ,  $\dot{\mathcal{I}} = \frac{d\mathcal{I}}{dt}$ ,  $\ddot{\mathcal{I}} = \frac{d^2 \mathcal{I}}{dt^2}$ , and so on.

Let (3) be the energy integral of motion (here we make no assumptions about the values of the mass  $m$  and of the Planck constant  $\hbar$ ):

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi) := \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \langle \psi', \psi' \rangle + \langle \psi, V\psi \rangle + \frac{\nu}{\mu+1} \|\psi\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2},$$

Let

$$\mathcal{I}(t) = \langle \hat{x}^2 \rangle^t = \langle \psi_t, x^2 \psi_t \rangle_{L^2}$$

be the momentum of inertia. It satisfies to the following *virial identity*:

$$\frac{d^2 \mathcal{I}}{dt^2} = \frac{4}{m} \mathcal{E} - \frac{2}{m} [\langle \psi, x V' \psi \rangle + 2 \langle \psi, V \psi \rangle] + \frac{2\nu(\mu-2)}{m(\mu+1)} \|\psi\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2}. \quad (35)$$

In order to compute the derivatives of  $\mathcal{I}(t)$  from (7) it follows that

$$\dot{\mathcal{I}} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle \psi, [H, \hat{x}^2] \psi \rangle$$

since  $[\|\psi\|^{2\mu}, \hat{x}^2] = 0$ . From this fact and since

$$[H, \hat{x}^2] \psi = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (2\psi + 4x\psi')$$

then

$$\dot{\mathcal{I}} = -i \frac{\hbar}{m} \|\psi\|^2 - 2i \frac{\hbar}{m} \langle x\psi, \psi' \rangle. \quad (36)$$

From equation (36) and since the norm  $\|\psi\|$  is a constant function with respect to the time then

$$\ddot{\mathcal{I}} = -2i \frac{\hbar}{m} \langle x\dot{\psi}, \psi' \rangle - 2i \frac{\hbar}{m} \langle x\psi, \dot{\psi}' \rangle = 2i \frac{\hbar}{m} \langle \psi, \dot{\psi} \rangle + 4 \frac{\hbar}{m} \Im [\langle x\dot{\psi}, \psi' \rangle]$$

where

$$\langle \psi, \dot{\psi} \rangle = \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle \psi, H\psi + \nu |\psi|^{2\mu} \psi \rangle = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{E} - \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{\nu\mu}{\mu+1} \|\psi\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2}$$

because  $\dot{\psi} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}H\psi - i\frac{\nu}{\hbar}|\psi|^{2\mu}\psi$ , and

$$\langle x\dot{\psi}, \psi' \rangle = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\langle H\psi + \nu|\psi|^{2\mu}\psi, x\psi' \rangle = \frac{i}{\hbar}B + \frac{i\nu}{\hbar}A,$$

where

$$B = \langle H\psi, x\psi' \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad A = \langle |\psi|^{2\mu}\psi, x\psi' \rangle.$$

By integrating by parts then

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} x\bar{\psi}^{\mu+1}\psi^\mu\psi' dx \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi^{\mu+1}\bar{\psi}^{\mu+1} dx - (\mu+1) \int_{\mathbb{R}} x\bar{\psi}^\mu\psi^{\mu+1}\bar{\psi}' dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}} x\bar{\psi}^{\mu+1}\psi^\mu\psi' dx \\ &= -\|\psi\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2} - (\mu+1)\bar{A} - \mu A \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$(A + \bar{A}) = -\frac{1}{\mu+1}\|\psi\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2}.$$

Now, let

$$B = B_1 + B_2 \quad \text{where} \quad B_1 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\langle \psi'', x\psi' \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad B_2 = \langle V\psi, x\psi' \rangle.$$

A straightforward calculation yields to

$$B_2 = -\langle V\psi', x\psi \rangle - \langle (xV)'\psi, \psi \rangle = -\bar{B}_2 - \langle (xV)'\psi, \psi \rangle,$$

hence

$$(B_2 + \bar{B}_2) = -\langle (xV)'\psi, \psi \rangle.$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\langle \psi'', x\psi' \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\langle \psi', \hat{x}\psi'' \rangle + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\langle \psi', \psi' \rangle \\ &= -\bar{B}_1 + \mathcal{E} - \langle \psi, V\psi \rangle - \frac{\nu}{\mu+1}\|\psi\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2} \end{aligned}$$

from which follows that

$$(B_1 + \bar{B}_1) = \mathcal{E} - \langle \psi, V\psi \rangle - \frac{\nu}{\mu+1}\|\psi\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2}.$$

In conclusion:

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{\mathcal{I}} &= 2i\frac{\hbar}{m}\left[-\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathcal{E} - \frac{i}{\hbar}\frac{\nu\mu}{\mu+1}\|\psi\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2}\right] + 4\frac{\hbar}{m}\Im\left[\frac{i}{\hbar}A + \frac{i\nu}{\hbar}B\right] \\ &= \frac{2}{m}\mathcal{E} + \frac{2\nu\mu}{m(\mu+1)}\|\psi\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2} + \frac{4}{m}\Re[B + \nu A] \\ &= \frac{4}{m}\mathcal{E} - \frac{2}{m}[\langle \psi, xV'\psi \rangle + 2\langle \psi, V\psi \rangle] + \frac{2\nu(\mu-2)}{m(\mu+1)}\|\psi\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus (35) follows.

**Remark 11.** We remark that the virial identity (35) in the particular cases  $V(x) \equiv 0$ ,  $V(x) = \alpha x$  and  $V(x) = \alpha x^2$ , for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , respectively becomes

$$\frac{d^2\mathcal{I}}{dt^2} = \frac{4}{m}\mathcal{E} + \frac{2\nu(\mu-2)}{m(\mu+1)}\|\psi\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2}, \quad \text{if } V(x) \equiv 0, \quad (37)$$

$$\frac{d^2\mathcal{I}}{dt^2} = \frac{4}{m}\mathcal{E} - \frac{6\alpha}{m}\langle\hat{x}\rangle^t + \frac{2\nu(\mu-2)}{m(\mu+1)}\|\psi\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2}, \quad \text{if } V(x) = \alpha x, \quad (38)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2\mathcal{I}}{dt^2} &= \frac{4}{m}\mathcal{E} - \frac{8\alpha}{m}\langle\hat{x}^2\rangle^t + \frac{2\nu(\mu-2)}{m(\mu+1)}\|\psi\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2} \\ &= \frac{4}{m}\mathcal{E} - \frac{8\alpha}{m}\mathcal{I} + \frac{2\nu(\mu-2)}{m(\mu+1)}\|\psi\|_{L^{2\mu+2}}^{2\mu+2}, \quad \text{if } V(x) = \alpha x^2. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J.Arbutich, I.Nenciu, and C.Sparber, *Stability and instability properties of rotating Bose–Einstein condensates*, Letters in Mathematical Physics **109** 1415-1432 (2019).
- [2] T.Bodurov, *Generalized Ehrenfest Theorem for Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations*, International Journal of Theoretical Physics **37** 1299-1306 (1998).
- [3] R.Carles, *Remarks on Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations with Harmonic Potential*, Annales Henri Poincaré **3** 757-772 (2002).
- [4] R.Carles, *Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations with Repulsive Harmonic Potential and Applications*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis **35** 823-843 (2004).
- [5] R.Carles, and N.Yoshihisa, *Nonlinear Schrödinger equations with Stark potential*, Hokkaido Mathematical Journal **33** 719-729 (2004).
- [6] R.Carles, *Global existence results for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with quadratic potentials*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems **13** 385-398 (2005).
- [7] X.Cheng, and Y.Gao, *Blow-up for the focusing energy critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation with confining harmonic potential*, Colloquium Mathematicum **134** 143-149 (2014).
- [8] D.Du, Y.Wu, and K. Zhang, *On blow-up criterion for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems **36** 3639-3650 (2016).
- [9] R.T.Glassey, *On the blowing-up of solutions to the Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **18** 1794-1797 (1977).
- [10] C.Jao, *The energy-critical quantum harmonic oscillator*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations **41** 79-133 (2016).
- [11] G.Kälbermann, *Ehrenfest theorem, Galilean invariance and nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General **37** 2999-3002 (2004).
- [12] O.Kavian, *A Remark on the Blowing-Up of Solutions to the Cauchy Problem for Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **299** 193-203 (1987).
- [13] X.Li, and S.Zhu, *Blow-up rate for critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation with Stark potential*, Applicable Analysis **87** 303-310 (2008).
- [14] F.Merle, *Blow-up Results of Viriel Type for Zakharov Equations*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **175** 433-455 (1996).
- [15] T.Ogawa, and Y.Tsutsumi, *Blow-up of  $H^1$  solution for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Journal of Differential Equations **92** 317-330 (1991).
- [16] Pierre Raphaël, *On the blow up phenomenon for the  $L^2$  critical nonlinear Schrödinger Equation*, Lecture on Nonlinear Dispersive Equations I (ed. T.Ozawa, and Y.Tsutsumi), Hokkaido University technical report series in mathematics **85** (2004).
- [17] Z.Shihui, and Z.Jian, *On the concentration properties for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a Stark potential*, Acta Mathematica Scientia **31** 1923-1938 (2011).
- [18] J.Shu, and J.Zhang, *Nonlinear Schrödinger equation with harmonic potential*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **47** 063503 (2006).
- [19] C.Sulem, and P.-L. Sulem, *The nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Self-focusing and wave collapse*. Springer-Verlag (1999).
- [20] R.Xu, and Y.Liu, *Remarks on nonlinear Schrödinger equation with harmonic potential*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **49** 043512:1-5 (2008).
- [21] Z.Yue, X.Li, and J.Zhang, *A new blow-up criterion for Gross-Pitaevskii equation*, Applied Mathematics Letters **62** 16-22 (2016).

- [22] V.E.Zakharov, and A.B.Shabat, *Exact theory of two-dimensional self-focusing and one-dimensional self-modulation of waves in non-linear media*, Soviet Physics-JETP **34** 62-69 (1972).
- [23] M.Zhang, and S.Ahmed, *Sharp conditions of global existence for nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a harmonic potential*, Advances in Nonlinear Analysis **9** 882-894 (2020).

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, INFORMATICS AND MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MODENA AND REGGIO EMILIA, MODENA, ITALY.

*Email address:* `andrea.sacchetti@unimore.it`