

Measurement-based quantum computation using two-component BECs

Genji Fujii

Department of Nuclear Engineering, Kyoto University, 6158540 Kyoto, Japan
(Dated: November 11, 2022)

We propose measurement-based quantum computation (MBQC) using two-component Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs). When constructing our theory, we need to introduce creation and annihilation operators for MBQC. We realized by considering the Stokes operator (Schwinger boson). As a result, we find that the state after a measurement depends on the number of particles.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, applications in quantum computing using atom chips or optical lattices are gaining attention [1]. As a method that can be related to this work, preparing many-body systems and performing quantum tasks by measuring particle angle are known as measurement-based quantum computation (MBQC) [2][3].

In MBQC, when we do an appropriate measurement on graph state, we can operate a unitary gate to a system. Also, graph states used for MBQC are said to be a many-body system well known in condensed matter physics. Considering quantum field theory, which deals with many-body systems using a second quantization. What happens if the second quantization is incorporated into the graph states?

MBQC has some interesting calculating processes. Preparing graph states, separating classical and quantum calculation phases, and constructing graph structures. In particular, MBQC has a process that prepares graph states beforehand. It has interesting point that graph states are related to many-body systems. In this concept, so far many ideas of related to MBQC, matrix product state representation [4,5,6], correlation space [7,8] valens bond solids states [9], and projected entangled pair states [10,11,12] have been studied.

On the other hand, two-component Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) quantum computing theory was proposed [13]. The authors of Ref. [13] used two modes by the hyperfine state for quantum computing, and they proved that quantum computing can be done with their encoding using BEC by an appropriate time evolution.

In this paper, we propose MBQC using BECs. Constructing our theory, we need to introduce many-body effects to MBQC. We realize this by incorporating creation and annihilation operators for MBQC using Stokes operator. Thus, we can manipulate many-body systems in MBQC. Our formulation incorporates genuine many-body effects. Also, we define two types of controlled-Z gates. By one of them, we can do quantum computing by manipulating the bose particle numbers. That is, we realize particle number quantum computing via creation and annihilation operators.

II. PRELIMINARIES

A. MBQC and Graph States

In the MBQC, when preparing graph states, we put $|+\rangle = \frac{(|1\rangle+|0\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}}$ on a vertex, and implement a Controlled-Z (CZ) gate between two vertices to create entanglement. Graph states as follow

$$|G\rangle \equiv \left(\prod_{e \in E} CZ_e \right) |+\rangle^{\otimes |V|}, \quad (1)$$

where CZ_e affects two vertices belonging to edge e , and V is the vertex. Measurements of qubit Z, X basis change graph state structure. We can perform edge addition and deletion by the CZ gate graphically.

We can calculate any qubit states using graph states just by local measurement. Let us for an explicit example two-qubit case. Prepared graph states are

$$|G\rangle = |0\rangle_1 |+\rangle_2 + |1\rangle_1 |-\rangle_2. \quad (2)$$

Then we measure qubit one in $|0\rangle_1 \pm e^{i\theta}|1\rangle_1$ basis, if we are projected into $|0\rangle + e^{i\theta}|1\rangle$ basis, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |+\rangle_2 + e^{-i\theta}|-\rangle_2 \\ &= H e^{i\theta Z/2} |+\rangle_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where, H is Hadamard gate. Any one qubit unitary operator can be realized with H and $e^{i\theta Z/2}$.

Also, we can implement 2 qubit gates. The CZ gate can be implemented by applying the CZ gate beforehand and then one measure left four qubits in X basis, since the measurement and the CZ gate can be replaced (Fig1).




Fig1(Color online). We can implement the CZ gate beforehand, since the measurement and the CZ gate are commuted.

B. Two component BECs

Quantum computation using BECs was proposed [13]. BECs quantum computation denote by two levels of hyperfine state via creation operator $a^\dagger$ and $b^\dagger$. Arbitrary BECs qubit states are represented by the following definition

$$|\alpha, \beta\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}}(\alpha a^\dagger + \beta b^\dagger)^N |vac\rangle. \quad (4)$$

Here $a^\dagger$ and $b^\dagger$ are creation bose operators, obeying commutation relations $[a, a^\dagger] = [b, b^\dagger] = 1$. α, β are arbitrary complex number satisfying $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ and $|vac\rangle$ is a vacuum states. We take a boson number $\mathcal{N} = a^\dagger a + b^\dagger b$ to be a conserved quantity. Then in order to manipulate two-component BECs, we introduce the Stokes operator

$$\begin{aligned} S^x &= a^\dagger b + b^\dagger a \\ S^y &= -ia^\dagger b + ib^\dagger a \\ S^z &= a^\dagger a - b^\dagger b. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Those operators satisfy commutation relation same as spin-1/2 particles, $[S^i, S^j] = 2i\epsilon_{ijk}S^k$ where ϵ_{ijk} is the Levi-Civita antisymmetric tensor $i, j, k = x, y, z$. Entangled state generates by $S_1^z S_2^z$ interaction

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{-iS_1^z S_2^z t} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_1 \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^N}} \sum_{k_2=0}^N \sqrt{\binom{N}{k_2}} \left| \frac{e^{-i(2k_2-N)t}}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{e^{i(2k_2-N)t}}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_1 |k_2\rangle_2, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where k is a fock state defined by

$$|k\rangle \equiv \frac{(a^\dagger)^k (b^\dagger)^{N-k}}{\sqrt{k!(N-k)!}} |vac\rangle. \quad (7)$$

Another important operator is a BECs type CZ gate. That is operating to two BECs. which is described by Hamiltonian time evolution

$$H = -S_1^z S_2^z + \mathcal{N} S_1^z - \mathcal{N} S_2^z + \mathcal{N}^2, \quad (8)$$

which affects two BECs and for a time evolution $t = \pi/4N$, this gives

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^N}} \sum_{k_2=0}^N \sqrt{\binom{N}{k_2}} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{e^{-\frac{i\pi k_2}{N}}}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_1 |k_2\rangle_2. \quad (9)$$

III. MBQC WITH TWO COMPONENT BECS

A. Formalism

We propose BECs graph states. We put BECs qubit $|\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\rangle$ in vertex, instead of $|+\rangle$. We implement the

CZ gate between two connected BECs qubits, same as usual MBQC. Let us define two types of BECs CZ gates. Those Hamiltonian are defined by

$$H_1 = -S_1^z S_2^z + \mathcal{N} S_1^z - \mathcal{N} S_2^z + \mathcal{N}^2 \quad (10)$$

$$H_2 = S_1^z S_2^z + \mathcal{N} S_1^z + \mathcal{N} S_2^z + \mathcal{N}^2. \quad (11)$$

In this paper, we take the time evolution $t = \pi/4$. A Hamiltonian (10) time evolution acts on two BECs qubits, as follows

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp(-iH_1 t) \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_1 \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^N}} \sum_{k_1=0}^N \sqrt{\binom{N}{k_1}} |k_1\rangle_1 \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{e^{-i\pi k_1}}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_2. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We call this type of Hamiltonian time evolution as a right-hand component phase shift CZ gate (r-CZ). On the contrary, a left-hand component phase shift CZ gate (l-CZ) Hamiltonian (11) can be defined. This act on between two BECs qubit,

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp(-iH_2 t) \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_1 \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^N}} \sum_{k_2=0}^N \sqrt{\binom{N}{k_2}} \left| \frac{e^{-i\pi k_2}}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_1 |k_2\rangle_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^N}} \sum_{k_1=0}^N \sqrt{\binom{N}{k_1}} |k_1\rangle_1 \left| \frac{e^{-i\pi k_1}}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_2. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The above two CZ gates are described by a similar formalism. However, those are different calculation results after the measurement steps. Hamiltonian (10) results in N dependence any logical qubit state. On the contrary, (11) is independent. Now, we shall construct graph states using BECs

$$|G\rangle = \left(\prod_{e \in E} \exp(-iH_1 t)_e \right) \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle^{\otimes |V|}, \quad (14)$$

where, we use the r-CZ gate Hamiltonian, and $CZ_e = \exp(-iH_1 t)_e$ affects two vertices belonging to an edge e . After discussion, we neglect binomial coefficient factors. Indeed, that's the only a one difference between odd's and even's binomial coefficient. Let us for an explicit example of two BECs cases, and after summing over k_1 , then

$$|G\rangle = |e\rangle_1 \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_2 + |o\rangle_1 \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_2. \quad (15)$$

We define a total even number ket $|e\rangle$, and a total odd number ket $|o\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} |e\rangle &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{k \in \text{even}} \sqrt{N C_k} |k\rangle \\ |o\rangle &\equiv \sum_{k=1}^{k \in \text{odd}} \sqrt{N C_k} |k\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Equation (15) is a simple extension of equation (2). Indeed, one can confirm to replace $|0\rangle \rightarrow |e\rangle$, $|1\rangle \rightarrow |o\rangle$, $|+\rangle \rightarrow |\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\rangle$, and $|-\rangle \rightarrow |\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}\rangle$

B. Three Body Measurement




Fig2 (Color online). A black circle denotes BECs before summing over k . On the other hand, a white circle denotes BECs executed summing over k . We can get any one logical qubit state consuming two BECs qubits by measurement. Also, after discussion, we refer to BECs qubits simply as BECs

We demonstrate three-body measurement (Fig 2). By this procedure, we can implement any rotation Bloch sphere on a logical qubit. Three-body measurement consists of the following eight steps [protocol1].

[protocol1: Three body measurement]

- 1, implement CZ gate between BECs1 and BECs2.
- 2, implement CZ gate between BECs2 and BECs3.
- 3, sum over BECs3.
- 4, measure by $|e\rangle \pm e^{i\phi}|o\rangle$ basis at BECs3.
- 5, sum over BECs2.
- 6, measure by $|e\rangle \pm e^{i\theta}|o\rangle$ basis at BECs2.
- 7, implement BECs type Hadamard gate on BECs1.
- 8, projection into logical qubit on BECs1.

Firstly, we implement the r-CZ between a BECs1 and a BECs2. Then we implement the r-CZ gate between the BECs2 and a BECs3. The system becomes

$$|G\rangle = \sum_{k_2=0}^N \sum_{k_3=0}^N \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{e^{-i\pi k_2}}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_1 |k_2, e^{-i\pi k_3}\rangle_2 |k_3\rangle_3, \quad (17)$$

where we define

$$|k, e^{-i\pi k'}\rangle \equiv \frac{(a^\dagger)^k (b^\dagger e^{-i\pi k'})^{N-k}}{\sqrt{k!(N-k)!}} |vac\rangle. \quad (18)$$

We take a summation over k_3 in eq.(17). Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k_2=0}^N \left(\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{e^{-i\pi k_2}}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_1 | -k_2\rangle_2 |o\rangle_3 \right. \\ & \left. + \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{e^{-i\pi k_2}}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_1 |k_2\rangle_2 |e\rangle_3 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Next step is odd-even measurement. We measure the BECs3 by $|e\rangle \pm e^{i\phi}|o\rangle$ basis. If we projected $|e\rangle + e^{i\phi}|o\rangle$ basis

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k_2=0}^N \left(e^{-i\phi} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{e^{-i\pi k_2}}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_1 | -k_2\rangle_2 + \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{e^{-i\pi k_2}}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_1 |k_2\rangle_2 \right) \\ & = \sum_{k_2=0}^N e^{-i(\phi+N\pi-k_2\pi)} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{e^{-i\pi k_2}}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_1 |k_2\rangle_2 \\ & + \sum_{k_2=0}^N \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{e^{-i\pi k_2}}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_1 |k_2\rangle_2. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Same procedure we do according to the BECs2, if we projected the BECs 2 $|e\rangle + e^{i\theta}|o\rangle$ basis. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + e^{-i(\phi+N\pi)}) \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_1 \\ & + e^{-i\theta} (1 - e^{-i(\phi+N\pi)}) \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_1 \\ & = 2e^{-i\frac{\phi+N\pi}{2}} \left(\cos \frac{\phi+N\pi}{2} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_1 \right. \\ & \left. + e^{-i\theta} i \sin \frac{\phi+N\pi}{2} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Next, we implement BECs type Hadamard gate on BECs1.

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-i3\pi S^y/4} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle = |1, 0\rangle, \\ & e^{-i3\pi S^y/4} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle = |0, 1\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Finally, we project the BECs1 ($N+1$ dimensional Hilbert space) into a logical qubit (2-dimensional Hilbert space),

$$|\tilde{0}\rangle \equiv |1, 0\rangle, |\tilde{1}\rangle \equiv |0, 1\rangle. \quad (23)$$

Thus, we can implement any single-qubit state, after the three-body measurement. An outcome state depends on N . This result implies that the outcome state is determined beforehand in the prepared boson number. After all steps are implemented, we obtain the following outcome states, ignoring the overall phase.

$$\left(\cos \frac{\phi+N\pi}{2} |\tilde{0}\rangle_1 + e^{-i\theta} i \sin \frac{\phi+N\pi}{2} |\tilde{1}\rangle_1 \right). \quad (24)$$

When we measure BECs3 and BECs2 orthogonal basis, if we projected $|e\rangle_3 - e^{i\phi}|o\rangle_3$ and $|e\rangle_2 - e^{i\theta}|o\rangle_2$, we get

$$\left(i \sin \frac{\phi+N\pi}{2} |\tilde{0}\rangle_1 - e^{-i\theta} \cos \frac{\phi+N\pi}{2} |\tilde{1}\rangle_1 \right). \quad (25)$$

Indeed, in our formalism, to measure two BECs on two bases, measurement results have four patterns. On the other hand, explicitly we can project $|e\rangle \pm |o\rangle$ basis, if

we projected BECs2 and BECs3 into $|e\rangle + |o\rangle$ basis. We obtain the outcome states

$$\cos \frac{N\pi}{2} |\tilde{0}\rangle_1 + i \sin \frac{N\pi}{2} |\tilde{1}\rangle_1. \quad (26)$$

The state can be specified in advance and depends only on the number of particles initially.

C. Measurement and controlled-Z gate




Fig3 (Color online). The black circle denotes BECs before summing over k . On the other hand, The white circle denotes BECs executed summing over k . A blue line represents the l-CZ. On the other hand, a red line represents the r-CZ.

Similar procedures for MBQC, we can implement the CZ gate for MBQC using BECs(Fig.3). The measurement and the CZ gates are commuted, so if we want to implement the CZ gate. We can implement the CZ gates beforehand. Thus, we projection into $|e\rangle_3 \pm |o\rangle_3$ basis on the left four BECs qubit. Note that one needed to choose two BECs CZ gates (r-CZ or l-CZ) carefully. These are related to the implementation of BECs type CZ gates. However, what can we do when we want to implement a logical type of CZ gate?




Fig4 (Color online). We can implement a logical type CZ gate. Measuring the two white circles in the center at $|e\rangle \pm |o\rangle$ basis. The blue line connected the two white circles and the red line connected white circle and the black circle.

We can implement the logical CZ gate(Fig4).

Firstly, a four BECs qubit graph state is

$$|G\rangle = \sum_{k_2=0}^N \sum_{k_3=0}^N \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{e^{-i\pi k_2}}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_1 |e^{-i\pi k_3}, k_2\rangle_2 \otimes |k_3\rangle_3 \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{e^{-i\pi k_3}}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_4, \quad (27)$$

where we define

$$|e^{-i\pi k'}, k\rangle \equiv \frac{(a^\dagger e^{-i\pi k'})^k (b^\dagger)^{N-k}}{\sqrt{k!(N-k)!}} |vac\rangle. \quad (28)$$

Then, after taking a sum of k_2 and k_3 , we measure the two BECs in the middle at $|e\rangle \pm |o\rangle$ basis. We obtain

$$|G\rangle = |\tilde{0}\rangle|\tilde{0}\rangle + (-1)^{s_1}|\tilde{0}\rangle|\tilde{1}\rangle + (-1)^{s_2}|\tilde{1}\rangle|\tilde{0}\rangle - (-1)^{s_1}(-1)^{s_2}|\tilde{1}\rangle|\tilde{1}\rangle \quad (29)$$

Where s_1 and s_2 are measurement results. It is a logical type CZ gate when projected into a logical qubit if $s_1 = s_2 = 0$. The logical type CZ gate implemented in the BECs graph state for logical qubits(Fig5).




Fig5 (Color online). Implementation of logical type CZ gate in the BECs graph state.

A general graph state in the MBQC using BECs, which connected between the three-body measurement part and the CZ gate part(Fig.6).




Fig6 (Color online). The example of the general BECs graph state. The black circle denotes BECs before summing over k . The white circle denotes BECs executed sum over k . The red part represents the three-body measurement part, and the white part represents the CZ gate part.

D. Systems with different numbers of particles

Let us consider a different number particle systems. Firstly, we suggest a different number CZ gate. We can define two BECs CZ gates

$$H'_1 = -S_1^z S_2^z + \mathcal{N}_2 S_1^z - \mathcal{N}_1 S_2^z + \mathcal{N}_1 \mathcal{N}_2 \quad (30)$$

$$H'_2 = S_1^z S_2^z + \mathcal{N}_2 S_1^z + \mathcal{N}_1 S_2^z + \mathcal{N}_1 \mathcal{N}_2, \quad (31)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_1 = a_1^\dagger a_1 + b_1^\dagger b_1$, $\mathcal{N}_2 = a_2^\dagger a_2 + b_2^\dagger b_2$, $S_1^z = a_1^\dagger a_1 - b_1^\dagger b_1$ and $S_2^z = a_2^\dagger a_2 - b_2^\dagger b_2$ are also define. Those Hamiltonian are asymmetric concerning BECs1 and BECs2. The same procedures we do the three-body measurement. After those Hamiltonian time evolution, we obtain the following outcome states.

$$\begin{aligned} & |e\rangle_{2,3} + e^{i\phi, \theta} |o\rangle_{2,3} \\ & \rightarrow \left(\cos \frac{\phi + N_2 \pi}{2} |\tilde{0}\rangle_1 + e^{-i\theta} i \sin \frac{\phi + N_2 \pi}{2} |\tilde{1}\rangle_1 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & |e\rangle_{2,3} - e^{i\phi, \theta} |o\rangle_{2,3} \\ & \rightarrow \left(i \sin \frac{\phi + N_2 \pi}{2} |\tilde{0}\rangle_1 - e^{-i\theta} \cos \frac{\phi + N_2 \pi}{2} |\tilde{1}\rangle_1 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where N_2 is a middle Bose particle number in the three-body measurement, and (in $|e\rangle_{2,3} + e^{i\theta} |o\rangle_{2,3}$) index 2, 3 is adjacent measurements BECs2 and BECs3. We can change the phase of cos and sin by controlling the number of middle particles. For example, evolve $N_2 \rightarrow N_2 - 1$

$$(32) \rightarrow \left(\sin \frac{\phi + N_2 \pi}{2} |\tilde{0}\rangle_1 - e^{-i\theta} i \cos \frac{\phi + N_2 \pi}{2} |\tilde{1}\rangle_1 \right) \quad (34)$$

$$(33) \rightarrow \left(-i \cos \frac{\phi + N_2 \pi}{2} |\tilde{0}\rangle_1 - e^{-i\theta} i \sin \frac{\phi + N_2 \pi}{2} |\tilde{1}\rangle_1 \right). \quad (35)$$

E. Another protocol

The measurement and the BECs CZ gate commute each other, same as usual MBQC. Where, we break the rule of preparing the graph state in advance, and in the middle of the measurement. We consider the task of removing the particles from the central BECs. Then we can If the additional CZ gate operations to the graph state after measurement. We can control the outcome state by depending on the middle BECs qubit particle number. We consider protocol 2 which is changed from protocol1.

[protocol2: state controlling by middle BECs particles]

- 1, implement CZ gate between BECs2 and BECs3.
- 2, sum over BECs3.
- 3, measure by $|e\rangle \pm e^{i\phi} |o\rangle$ basis at BECs3
- 4, remove the number of particles in BECs2
- 5, implement CZ gate between BECs1 and BECs2.
- 6, sum over BECs2.
- 7, measure by $|e\rangle \pm e^{i\theta} |o\rangle$ basis at BECs2
- 8, implement BECs type Hadamard gate on BECs1.
- 9, projection into logical qubit on BECs1.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we constructed MBQC using BECs. Introducing the effect of many-body systems by the creation and annihilation operators formalisms of BECs. We define the BECs graph state. The BECs graph state takes the BECs system time evolution at $t = \pi/4$. As a result, we obtain the maximum entangle state between logical Qubits. Specifically, when performing quantum calculations, there are two types of CZ (r-CZ and l-CZ) gates. the r-CZ is formulated by a right-hand component phase shifts CZ gate. This type CZ gate generates a particle number factor in the middle of the calculation step. In addition, we have considered the three-body measurement, which showed that any one logical qubit state obtained consuming two BECs qubit. Moreover, the BECs type CZ gate and the logical type CZ gate can be implemented similar way to standard graph states, because the measurement and the CZ gate in BECs are commuted. The general graph state constructed by combining the three-body measurement and the CZ gate part.

However, there are some difficulties with implementation. One of the implementation difficulties of our theory is how to measure the odd and even numbers mixture states. To overcome this difficulty, We suggest using atom number fluctuation[14,15], instead of directly counting the state of the particle, a method such as measuring a state such as a temperature. Then we indirectly measure the state of the particle in some range. Another difficulty is that the final state of the three-body measurement is Schrodinger cat state. Then it is necessary to take measures for the state that has reached the final state immediately.

Finally, we note that the key to the realization of our theory in a laboratory will depend on the ability to manipulate the particle dissipation of the system. In recent experiments on optical lattices, there are researches to control dissipation in the cold atomic system as inelastic collisions and light scattering of particles [16,17]. These studies have the potential to be promising realization milestones for our study.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Takayuki Miyadera for many helpful comments, and we are grateful to Ryo Takakura

and Yuichi Sano for important advice regarding protocols.

-
- [1] P. Treutlein et al. Fortschr. Phys. **54**, 702 (2006)
 - [2] R. Raussendorf, H. J. Briegel, Phys. Rev. Lett **86**, 5188 (2001)
 - [3] R. Raussendorf, D. E. Browne, H. J. Briegel, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 022312 (2003)
 - [4] U.Schollwoeck, Annals of Physics **326**, 96 (2011)
 - [5] D. Sauerwein, A. Molnar, J. I. Cirac and B. Kraus, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 170504 (2019)
 - [6] D. P. Garcia, F. Verstraete, M. M. Wolf and J. I. Cirac, Quantum. Inf. Compt. **7**, 401 (2007)
 - [7] D. Gross, J. Eisert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 220503 (2007)
 - [8] T. Morimae, Phys. Rev. A **83**, 042337 (2011)
 - [9] F. Verstraete, J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 060302 (2004)
 - [10] F. Verstraete, V.Murg, and J.I.Cirac, Adv. Phys, **57**, 2, 143 (2008)
 - [11] T.Nishino, Y. Hieida, K. Okunishi, N. Maeshima, Y. Akutsu and A.Gendiar, Prog. Theor. Phys, **105**, 409 (2001)
 - [12] N.Maeshima, Y. Hieida, Y. Akutsu, T. Nishino and K. Okunishi, Phys. Rev. E **64**, 016705 (2001)
 - [13] T. Byrnes, K. Wen, Y. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 040306 (2012)
 - [14] M. A. Kristensen et al. Phys. Rev. Lett . **122**, 163601 (2019)
 - [15] M. A. Klawunn et al. Phys. Rev. A **84**, 033612 (2011)
 - [16] T.Tomita et al. Sci. Adv. **3**, e1701513 (2017)
 - [17] R. Bouganne et al. Nat. Phys. **16**, 21 (2020)