

Rational tangle replacements and knot Floer homology

Eaman Eftekhary

Abstract. From the link Floer complex of a link K , we extract a lower bound $t'_q(K)$ for the rational unknotting number of K (i.e. the minimum number of rational replacements required to unknot K). Moreover, we show that the torsion obstruction $t_q(K) = \widehat{t}(K)$ from an earlier paper of Alishahi and the author is a lower bound for the proper rational unknotting number. Moreover, $t_q(K \# K') = \max\{t_q(K), t_q(K')\}$ and $t'_q(K \# K') = \max\{t'_q(K), t'_q(K')\}$. For the torus knot $K = T_{p,pk+1}$ we compute $t'_q(K) = \lfloor p/2 \rfloor$ and $t_q(K) = p - 1$.

1 Introduction

As a byproduct of the study of cobordism maps in Heegaard Floer theory of tangles, Alishahi and the author introduced lower bounds for the Gordian distance $u(K, K')$ of a pair of knots K, K' , and in particular the unknotting number $u(K)$ of K [AE16], which developed into the independent paper [AE20a]. Alishahi applied the strategy of [AE20a] to bound $u(K, K')$ using Khovanov homology [Ali19]. Her work was followed by other lower bounds with roots in Khovanov homology (c.f. [AD19] and [CGL⁺20]). Recently, Iltgen, Lewark and Marino proved that their invariant λ [ILM21], the best known unknotting bound from Khovanov homology, is in fact a lower bound for the *proper rational distance* u_q , defined as follows:

Definition 1.1. The oriented links K and K' are related by a *rational replacement* (or an RR) if replacing a rational tangle T in K with another rational tangle T' gives K' . The replacement is called an *orientation-preserving rational replacement* (or an ORR) if it respects the orientations, and is called a *proper rational replacement* (or a PRR) if the arcs of T and T' connect the same tangle end points. The *rational distance* $u'_q(K, K')$ (resp. the *PR-distance* $u_q(K, K')$ and the *OR-distance* $u''_q(K, K')$) is defined as the minimum number of RRs (resp. PRRs and ORRs) required to change K to K' . The *rational unknotting number* $u'_q(K)$, the *PR-unknotting number* $u_q(K)$ and the *OR-unknotting number* $u''_q(K)$ of K are defined as the minimum rational distance, PR-distance and OR-distance of K from an unlink, respectively.

Rational unknotting was considered by Lines [Lin96] and McCoy [McC15]. More, recently, McCoy and Zenter adapted the so called Montesinos trick, to study proper rational unknotting as well [MZ21]. The work of Iltgen, Lewark and Marino [ILM21] is the first connection between (proper) rational unknotting and the quantum invariants.

In this paper, we use link Floer homology to bound rational distance and OR-distance (and thus, PR-distance) from below. Let K be an oriented link and \vec{p} denote a *marking* of K , i.e. a collection of $|\vec{p}|$ marked points on K which includes at least one marked point on each connected component. Let $\text{CF}(K, \vec{p})$ denote the link chain complex for (K, \vec{p}) , constructed from the Heegaard diagram $(\Sigma, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}, \vec{z}, \vec{w})$. Thus, \vec{z} and \vec{w} are collections of $|\vec{p}|$ marked points on Σ which correspond to \vec{p} (c.f. [AE15] and [OS08]). $\text{CF}(K, \vec{p})$ is generated over $\mathbb{F}[u, w]$ by the intersection points in $\mathbb{T}_{\vec{\alpha}} \cap \mathbb{T}_{\vec{\beta}}$ (where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}/2$) and is equipped with the differential

$$d(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{T}_{\vec{\alpha}} \cap \mathbb{T}_{\vec{\beta}}} \sum_{\substack{\phi \in \pi_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \\ \mu(\phi)=1}} \#(\hat{M}(\phi)) u^{n_{\vec{z}}(\phi)} w^{n_{\vec{w}}(\phi)} \cdot \mathbf{y}.$$

For a $\mathbb{F}[u, w]$ -algebra \mathbb{A} , $\text{HF}(K, \vec{p}, \mathbb{A})$ denotes the homology of $\text{CF}(K, \vec{p}, \mathbb{A}) := \text{CF}(K, \vec{p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u, w]} \mathbb{A}$. The pseudometric $l_{\mathbb{A}}(K, K')$ between the oriented links K and K' is defined as the least $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that there are markings \vec{p} of K and \vec{p}' of K' with $|\vec{p}| = |\vec{p}'|$ and chain maps

$$f : \text{CF}(K, \vec{p}, \mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \text{CF}(K', \vec{p}', \mathbb{A}) \quad \text{and} \quad f' : \text{CF}(K', \vec{p}', \mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \text{CF}(K, \vec{p}, \mathbb{A}),$$

with $f \circ f'$ and $f' \circ f$ chain homotopic to u^k . Throughout the paper, set $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}' = \mathbb{F}[u]$, while the action of w on \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{A}' is defined as multiplication by 0 and u , respectively. We denote $l_{\mathbb{A}}(K, K')$ and $l_{\mathbb{A}'}(K, K')$ by $t_q(K, K')$ and $t'_q(K, K')$, respectively. If $u(K, K')$ denotes the Gordian distance between K and K' , Alishahi and the author prove (see [AE20a]):

$$t_q(K, K'), t'_q(K, K') \leq l_{\mathbb{F}[u, w]}(K, K') \leq u(K, K').$$

Theorem 1.2. *Given the oriented links K, K' we have*

$$t_q(K, K') \leq u''_q(K, K') \leq u_q(K, K') \quad \text{and} \quad t'_q(K, K') \leq u'_q(K, K').$$

In particular, $t_q(K) := t_q(K, U) \leq u_q(K)$ and $t'_q(K) := t'_q(K, U) \leq u'_q(K)$, where U is the unknot.

Given relatively prime integers $1 < p < q$, write $(p, q) \rightsquigarrow (p - 2i, q - 2j)$, where $0 < i \leq p/2$ and j are chosen so that $iq = jp \pm 1$. Let $k(p, q)$ denote the smallest $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ so that

$$(p, q) = (p_0, q_0) \rightsquigarrow (p_1, q_1) \rightsquigarrow (p_2, q_2) \rightsquigarrow \cdots \rightsquigarrow (p_k, q_k),$$

with $p_k \in \{0, 1\}$. In particular, $k(p, q) \leq \lfloor p/2 \rfloor$ for all $1 < p < q$, $k(p, pn + 1) = \lfloor p/2 \rfloor$ for all $p > 1$ and $k(p, pn + 2) = 1$ for odd values of $p > 1$.

Theorem 1.3. *If $1 < p < q$ are relatively prime integers we have*

$$t_q(T_{p, q}) = p - 1 \leq u''_q(T_{p, q}) \leq u_q(T_{p, q}) \quad \text{and} \quad t'_q(p, q) \leq u'_q(T_{p, q}) \leq k(p, q).$$

Moreover, given the integers $p > 1$ and $n > 0$, we have

$$t'_q(T_{p, pn+1}) = u'_q(T_{p, pn+1}) = k(p, pn + 1) = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

In fact, in all our computations the equality $\mathfrak{t}'_q(T_{p,q}) = u'_q(T_{p,q}) = k(p, q)$ is satisfied. On the other hand, the computation of the invariants for knots with at most 10 crossings implies that $\mathfrak{t}_q(K) = \mathfrak{t}'_q(K) = 1$, unless K is one of the knots 8_{19} , 10_{124} , 10_{128} , 10_{139} , 10_{152} , 10_{154} and 10_{161} . If K is any of these latter 7 knots we have $\mathfrak{t}_q(K) = 2$.

Given a knot K (i.e. under the assumption that K has one component), let

$$Q_K(q, t) := \sum_{i,j} \dim(\widehat{\text{HFK}}_j(K, i)) \cdot q^j t^i$$

denote the polynomial encoding the rank of $\widehat{\text{HFK}}(K)$ in different Alexander and homological gradings. K has *thin knot Floer homology* if $q^i Q_K(q, t)$ is a polynomial in qt for some integer i . Denote the set of all knots with thin knot Floer homology by \mathcal{Q} . In particular, $K \in \mathcal{Q}$ if K is alternating, or even quasi-alternating, by [OS03a] and [MO08]. Since $\mathfrak{t}_q(K) = \mathfrak{t}'_q(K) = 1$ for every $K \in \mathcal{Q}$, the following corollary follows from Theorem 1.2:

Corollary 1.4. *Given an arbitrary knot K ,*

$$u_q(K, \mathcal{Q}) \geq u''_q(K, \mathcal{Q}) \geq \mathfrak{t}_q(K) - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad u'_q(K, \mathcal{Q}) \geq \mathfrak{t}'_q(K) - 1.$$

In particular, $T_{p,q}$ may not be changed to a quasi-alternating knot with less than $p - 2$ PRRs.

We also obtain the following obstruction for unknotting a knot with a single PRR, which is useful since τ and Q_K are known for knots with few crossings (e.g. see [BG12]).

Proposition 1.5. *$u''_q(K) > 1$ unless $Q_K(q, t) - t^{\tau(K)}$ is divisible by $1 + qt$.*

Since $\text{CF}(K \# K') = \text{CF}(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u,w]} \text{CF}(K')$, we obtain the following connected sum formula:

Proposition 1.6. *For every two knots K and K' we have*

$$\mathfrak{t}_q(K \# K') = \max\{\mathfrak{t}_q(K), \mathfrak{t}_q(K')\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{t}'_q(K \# K') = \max\{\mathfrak{t}'_q(K), \mathfrak{t}'_q(K')\}.$$

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Lukas Lewark for bringing up the potential connection between torsion invariants from knot Floer homology and rational distance of knots, and helpful discussions.

2 Heegaard triples for rational tangle replacements

Let us assume that $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is an oriented knot or link and the marking \vec{p} on K is also fixed. We further assume that the intersection of a ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with K is the trivial 2-tangle T and that under the projection $\pi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ over the xy -plane \mathbb{R}^2 (from a fixed point in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathbb{R}^2$), the image of $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ gives a knot diagram for K . Moreover, the image of $(B, K \cap B)$ is $(D, \pi(K) \cap D)$, where D is a disk and $\pi(K) \cap D$ is a pair of disjoint line segments. Let J denote a line segment in D which connects the two line segments in $\pi(K) \cap D$. For simplicity, we assume that $\vec{q} = \pi(\vec{p})$

includes at least one marked point on each line segment connecting two self-intersections of $\pi(K)$. We also fix a marked point q' on J and a distinguished marked point $q'' \in \vec{q}$. Let \bar{K} denote the union of $\pi(K)$ with J , and Σ denote the boundary of the ϵ -neighborhood of $\bar{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ for a sufficiently small value of $\epsilon > 0$. Σ is a closed surface of genus $g + 1$ if $\pi(K)$ has $g - 1$ self-intersections. The intersection of Σ with the plane \mathbb{R}^2 is a 1-manifold, which is a collection of $g + 2$ circles $\alpha_{-1}, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_g$ in \mathbb{R}^2 . We choose the labels so that α_{-1} is the distinguished circle which includes all other circles in its interior (as a curve on \mathbb{R}^2).

Each crossing in the diagram of K , as illustrated in Figure 1 (left), corresponds to a self intersection p of \bar{K} . The intersection of the ball of radius 5ϵ around p with Σ is then a sphere with 4 disks removed, as illustrated in Figure 1 (right). Associated with each such crossing, we may then introduce a simple closed curve β_p , which is included on the aforementioned (punctured) sphere, as illustrated in Figure 1 (right). Each such β -curve has 4 intersections with some α -curves $\alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k$ and α_l . Note that i, j, k and l are not necessarily different, and some of them may be equal to -1 . Associated with the $g - 1$ crossings p_1, \dots, p_{g-1} of the knot diagram for K , we thus obtain the β -curves $\beta_1 = \beta_{p_1}, \dots, \beta_{g-1} = \beta_{p_{g-1}}$. Moreover, associated every $q \in \vec{q}$, and also associated with q' , we obtain the simple closed meridians $\{\beta_q\}_{q \in \vec{q}}$ and $\beta_0 = \beta_{q'}$ on Σ (see Figure 1). For each $q \in \vec{q}$, we place a pair of marked points z_q and w_q on Σ on the two sides of β_q , so that traversing K in the direction determined by its orientation determines a small arc from w_q to z_q . Let α_q denote a small circle on Σ which bounds a disk that contains w_q and z_q . The diagram $H_0 = (\Sigma, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}, \vec{z}, \vec{w})$ is then a Heegaard diagram representing the pointed link (K, \vec{p}) , where $\vec{z} = \{z_q \mid q \in \vec{q}\}$, $\vec{w} = \{w_q \mid q \in \vec{q}\}$ and

$$\vec{\alpha} = \{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_g\} \cup \{\alpha_q \mid q \in \vec{q} \text{ and } q \neq q'\} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{\beta} = \{\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{g-1}\} \cup \{\beta_q \mid q \in \vec{q}\}.$$

Consider the intersection Σ_0 of Σ with the 2ϵ -neighborhood of the disk $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ in \mathbb{R}^3 , as illustrated in Figure 2 (top-left and right). Let μ_0 denote a simple closed curve on Σ which




Figure 1. A crossing in a knot diagram for K (left) corresponds to a self intersection p of \bar{K} (middle). The ball of radius 5ϵ around p cuts Σ_0 in a subsurface (right). Associated with p is β_p and associated with the marked point q is a meridian β_q .




Figure 2. The intersection of Σ with the 2ϵ -neighborhood of D is illustrated. Changing the tangle $K \cap B$ to another rational tangle corresponds to applying a sequence of (Dehn) half twists along μ and β_0 to β_0 or μ_0 , which gives the simple closed curve γ_0 .

projects to (an ϵ -extension) of J under π . Denote the (Dehn) half twist along β_0 by f_0 and the half twist along μ_0 by f_1 . Given any sequence of integers $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, b_1, \dots, a_k, b_k)$, set

$$f = f_{\mathbf{a}} = f_0^{a_1} \circ f_1^{b_1} \circ f_0^{a_2} \circ f_1^{b_2} \circ \dots \circ f_0^{a_k} \circ f_1^{b_k}, \quad \gamma_0 = \gamma_{\mathbf{a}} = f(\beta_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_0 = \mu_{\mathbf{a}} = f(\mu_0).$$

For instance, the green simple closed curve in Figure 2 illustrates $\gamma_0 = f_1^3(\beta_0)$. Let γ_{\bullet} denote a Hamiltonian isotope of β_{\bullet} for $\bullet = 1, \dots, g-1$ or $\bullet \in \vec{q}$ and set

$$\vec{\gamma}_{\mathbf{a}} = \{\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{g-1}\} \cup \{\gamma_q \mid q \in \vec{q}\}.$$

We choose a different generic Hamiltonian isotopy for each sequence \mathbf{a} . Associated with f_0 and f_1 are the vertical and the horizontal half twists f'_0 and f'_1 which may be applied to $(B, K \cap B)$. Correspondingly, the sequence \mathbf{a} also determined the diffeomorphism $f'_{\mathbf{a}} : B \rightarrow B$ which preserves $K \cap \partial B$ and takes $K \cap B$ to a rational tangle $T = T_{\mathbf{a}} \subset B$. The Heegaard diagram

$$H_{\mathbf{a}} = (\Sigma, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\gamma}, \vec{z}, \vec{w})$$

then represents the pointed link $(K_{\mathbf{a}} = (K \setminus B) \cup T_{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{p})$ obtained by replacing $K \cap B$ with the rational tangle $T_{\mathbf{a}}$, provided that this rational replacement is orientation-preserving. If the RR is not orientation-preserving, the diagram $H'_{\mathbf{a}} = (\Sigma, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\gamma}, \vec{z} \cup \vec{w})$ represents the unoriented link $(K_{\mathbf{a}} = (K \setminus B) \cup T_{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{p})$. One should also note that every RR (for $K \cap B$) is obtained in this way or by doing the same procedure with γ_0 replaced with μ_0 (see [Con70] or [KL04]). The latter case (where we use μ_0 instead of γ_0) may be handled in a completely similar manner, and will not be discussed below. Associated with the Heegaard diagrams $H_{\mathbf{a}}$ (in the case where \mathbf{a} corresponds to an ORR) and $H'_{\mathbf{a}}$ we then obtain the chain complexes

$$(C_{\mathbf{a}}, d_{\mathbf{a}}) = CF(K_{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u, w]} \mathbb{A} \quad \text{and} \quad (C'_{\mathbf{a}}, d'_{\mathbf{a}}) = CF(H'_{\mathbf{a}}) = CF(K_{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{p}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u, w]} \mathbb{A}'.$$

The homology group $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$ of $(C_{\mathbf{a}}, d_{\mathbf{a}})$ and the homology $\mathbb{H}'_{\mathbf{a}}$ of $(C'_{\mathbf{a}}, d'_{\mathbf{a}})$ are then modules over $\mathbb{F}[u]$. For $\mathbf{a} = 0 = (0, 0)$, we denote $C_{\mathbf{a}}, \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{a}}, C'_{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\mathbb{H}'_{\mathbf{a}}$ by $C_{K, \vec{p}}, \mathbb{H}_{K, \vec{p}}, C'_{K, \vec{p}}$ and $\mathbb{H}'_{K, \vec{p}}$ respectively. Associated with the RR $K_{\mathbf{a}} \rightsquigarrow K_{\mathbf{b}}$, we obtain the Heegaard triple

$$H_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} = (\Sigma, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\gamma}_{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{\gamma}_{\mathbf{b}}, \vec{z}, \vec{w}) \quad \text{and} \quad H'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} = (\Sigma, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\gamma}_{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{\gamma}_{\mathbf{b}}, \vec{z} \cup \vec{w}).$$

In using the diagram $H_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$, we implicitly assume that $K_{\mathbf{a}} \rightsquigarrow K_{\mathbf{b}}$ is orientation-preserving. The Heegaard diagrams $(\Sigma, \vec{\gamma}_{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{\gamma}_{\mathbf{b}}, \vec{z}, \vec{w})$ and $(\Sigma, \vec{\gamma}_{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{\gamma}_{\mathbf{b}}, \vec{z} \cup \vec{w})$ determine the chain complexes

$$C_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} = \text{CF}(\Sigma, \vec{\gamma}_{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{\gamma}_{\mathbf{b}}, \vec{z}, \vec{w}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u, w]} \mathbb{A} \quad \text{and} \quad C'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} = \text{CF}(\Sigma, \vec{\gamma}_{\mathbf{a}}, \vec{\gamma}_{\mathbf{b}}, \vec{z} \cup \vec{w}),$$

i.e. the variable associated with \vec{w} is set equal to 0 in $C_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$, and is set equal to u in $C'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$. Again, the homology of $C_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$ is denoted by $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$ and the homology of $C'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$ is denoted by $\mathbb{H}'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$. The holomorphic triangle maps give the $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} : \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} &\rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{b}}, & \Phi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}} : \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \otimes \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}} &\rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}}, \\ \Phi'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} : \mathbb{H}'_{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \mathbb{H}'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} &\rightarrow \mathbb{H}'_{\mathbf{b}}, & \Phi'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}} : \mathbb{H}'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \otimes \mathbb{H}'_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}} &\rightarrow \mathbb{H}'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}}, \end{aligned} \quad \text{and}$$

where the first two maps are defined only if the rational replacements $K_{\mathbf{a}} \rightsquigarrow K_{\mathbf{b}} \rightsquigarrow K_{\mathbf{c}}$ are orientation-preserving. Moreover, in the level of homology groups we have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}}(\Phi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}) \otimes \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}}) &= \Phi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x} \otimes \Phi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \otimes \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}})), & \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{a}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}} \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}}, \\ \Phi'_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}}(\Phi'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}) \otimes \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}}) &= \Phi'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x} \otimes \Phi'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \otimes \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}})), & \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{H}'_{\mathbf{a}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \in \mathbb{H}'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}} \in \mathbb{H}'_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}}. \end{aligned}$$

When $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$, both $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$ and $\mathbb{H}'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$ are isomorphic to V^{g+1} , where $V = \mathbb{F}[u] \oplus \mathbb{F}[u]$ is generated by a top generator θ_{ν} and a bottom generator θ'_{ν} (with respect to the homological grading). This gives the unique top classes $\theta_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}}$ and $\theta'_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{H}'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}}$ (c.f. [AE15, Section 6.2]). Moreover,

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{a}} = \Phi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}}(\cdot \otimes \theta_{\mathbf{a}}) : \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{a}} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{a}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi'_{\mathbf{a}} = \Phi'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}}(\cdot \otimes \theta'_{\mathbf{a}}) : \mathbb{H}'_{\mathbf{a}} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}'_{\mathbf{a}}$$

Lemma 2.1. *For every $\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{b}$ as above, there are classes $\theta'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \in \mathbb{H}'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$ and $\theta'_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{H}'_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}}$ such that*

$$\Phi'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}}(\theta'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \otimes \theta'_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}}) = u^i \cdot \theta_{\mathbf{a}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi'_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\theta'_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}} \otimes \theta'_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}) = u^i \cdot \theta_{\mathbf{b}}$$

for some $i \in \{0, 1\}$. Moreover, if the rational replacement $K_{\mathbf{a}} \rightsquigarrow K_{\mathbf{b}}$ is orientation-preserving, there are classes $\theta_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}$ and $\theta_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}}$ such that for some $i \in \{0, 1\}$

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}}(\theta_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}} \otimes \theta_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}}) = u^i \cdot \theta_{\mathbf{a}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}(\theta_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}} \otimes \theta_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}}) = u^i \cdot \theta_{\mathbf{b}}$$

Lemma 2.1, which is proved in the following section, implies the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *If the marked link (K', \vec{p}') is obtained from the marked link (K, \vec{p}) by a single rational replacement away from the markings, there are $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -homomorphisms*

$$\phi : \mathbb{H}'_{K, \vec{p}} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}'_{K', \vec{p}'} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi' : \mathbb{H}'_{K', \vec{p}'} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}'_{K, \vec{p}} \quad \text{with} \quad \phi \circ \phi' = u \cdot \text{Id}_{\mathbb{H}'_{K', \vec{p}'}} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi' \circ \phi = u \cdot \text{Id}_{\mathbb{H}'_{K, \vec{p}}}.$$

If the links are oriented and the replacement is an ORR, there are also $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -homomorphisms

$$\psi : \mathbb{H}_{K, \vec{p}} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{K', \vec{p}'} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi' : \mathbb{H}_{K', \vec{p}'} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{K, \vec{p}} \quad \text{with} \quad \psi \circ \psi' = u \cdot \text{Id}_{\mathbb{H}_{K', \vec{p}'}} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi' \circ \psi = u \cdot \text{Id}_{\mathbb{H}_{K, \vec{p}}}.$$

3 Top generators in some special Heegaard diagrams

This section is devoted to the proof of Lemma 2.1. Fix a Heegaard triple $(\Sigma, \vec{\gamma}_a, \vec{\gamma}_b, \vec{\gamma}_c, \vec{z}, \vec{w})$. Later, we will further assume that $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{a}$ (i.e. $\vec{\gamma}_c = \vec{\gamma}'_a$ is just a small Hamiltonian isotope of $\vec{\gamma}_a$). Let S denote the sphere component containing β_0 in the surface obtained by cutting Σ along the curves in $\vec{\beta} \setminus \{\beta_0\}$ and gluing disks to the resulting boundary components. Four of the marked points, two from \vec{z} and two from \vec{w} , are in S . We may label these marked points $\vec{z}_0 = \{z_1, z_2\} \subset \vec{z}$ and $\vec{w}_0 = \{w_1, w_2\} \subset \vec{w}$. The diagram $(S, \beta_0, \mu_0, \vec{z}_0, \vec{w}_0)$ is illustrated in Figure 2 (bottom-left). Then $(S, \gamma_a, \gamma_b, \gamma_c, \vec{z}_0 \cup \vec{w}_0)$ gives the chain complexes $E'_{a,b}, E'_{b,c}$ and $E'_{a,c}$ with coefficients in \mathbb{A}' , as well as the triangle map

$$\Psi'_{a,b,c} : E'_{a,b} \otimes E'_{b,c} \rightarrow E'_{a,c}.$$

If the RRs $K_a \rightsquigarrow K_b \rightsquigarrow K_c$ are orientation-preserving, the diagram $(S, \gamma_a, \gamma_b, \gamma_c, \vec{z}_0, \vec{w}_0)$ determines the chain complexes $E_{a,b}, E_{b,c}$ and $E_{a,c}$ with coefficients in \mathbb{A} , and the maps

$$\Psi_{a,b,c} : E_{a,b} \otimes E_{b,c} \rightarrow E_{a,c}.$$

Moreover, by choosing the almost complex structure appropriately (see the argument of [AE20b, Proposition 5.1]), we may assume that

$$C_{\star, \bullet} = E_{\star, \bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u]} V^{g+|\vec{\beta}|-1} \quad \text{and} \quad C'_{\star, \bullet} = E'_{\star, \bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u]} V^{g+|\vec{\beta}|-1} \quad \text{for } (\star, \bullet) \in \{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}), (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c})\},$$

while under these identifications $\Phi_{a,b,c} = \Psi_{a,b,c} \otimes Id$ and $\Phi'_{a,b,c} = \Psi'_{a,b,c} \otimes Id$. The proof of Lemma 2.1 is thus reduced to the following lemma, about diagrams on a sphere.

Lemma 3.1. *For \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} as above, there are closed classes $\tilde{\theta}_{a,b} \in E'_{a,b}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_{b,a} \in E'_{b,a}$ such that*

$$\Psi'_{a,b,a}(\tilde{\theta}_{a,b} \otimes \tilde{\theta}_{b,a}) = u^i \cdot \bar{\theta}_a, \quad \text{for some } i \in \{0, 1\},$$

where $\bar{\theta}_a$ denotes the top generator for $(S, \gamma_a, \gamma'_a, \vec{z}_0 \cup \vec{w}_0)$. Moreover, if $K_a \rightsquigarrow K_b$ is orientation-preserving, there are closed classes $\bar{\theta}_{a,b} \in E_{a,b}$ and $\bar{\theta}_{b,a} \in E_{b,a}$ such that

$$\Psi_{a,b,a}(\bar{\theta}_{a,b} \otimes \bar{\theta}_{b,a}) = u^i \cdot \bar{\theta}_a, \quad \text{for some } i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Proof. We prove the lemma in the case where $\mathbf{a} = 0$ (the general case is proved similarly). We set $\beta_0 = \gamma_a$, and note that $\gamma_0 = \gamma_b$ is obtained by applying \mathbf{f}_b to β_0 , while $\delta_0 = \gamma_c$ is a small Hamiltonian isotope of β_0 . The Heegaard diagram $(S, \beta_0, \gamma_0, \delta_0, \vec{z}_0 \cup \vec{w}_0)$ is of the form described below. Let $R_1 = \mathbb{D}$ denote the unit disk in the complex plane and for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $x_k = \exp(\pi i k / n) \in \mathbb{R}_1$ denote $2n$ points on the boundary of R_1 for some integer n (note that $x_{k+2n} = x_k$). For $k = 1, \dots, n$, connect x_k to x_{2n+1-k} using the path

$$\epsilon_k = \left\{ r e^{\frac{\pi i k}{n}} \mid 0 \leq 1-r \leq \frac{k}{2n} \right\} \cup \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{k}{2n}\right) e^{\frac{\pi i t}{n}} \mid t \in [1-k, k] \right\} \cup \left\{ r e^{\frac{\pi i (1-k)}{n}} \mid 0 \leq 1-r \leq \frac{k}{2n} \right\}.$$

Place a marked point w_1 at 0 (i.e. center of R_1) and a marked point z_1 at $r \exp(\pi i/(2n))$ for some $0 < r < 1$ which is sufficiently close to 1. The disk R_1 then contains two marked points, and n arcs $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$ with legs on its boundary. Let R_2 denote another copy of R_1 with reverse orientation, and with x_k, ϵ_k, z_1 and w_1 renamed y_k, δ_k, w_2 and z_2 , respectively. Finally, choose an integer ℓ and identify the boundaries of R_1 and R_2 so that y_k glues to $x_{\ell+k}$. Let β'_0 denote the closed curve which is the common boundary of R_1 and R_2 . If ℓ and n are relatively prime, it follows that the union of $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ is a simple closed curve γ'_0 . Every Heegaard diagram $(S, \beta_0, \gamma_0, \vec{z}_0, \vec{w}_0)$ is equivalent (isotopic) to one of

$$H_{n,\ell} = (S' = R_1 \cup R_2, \beta'_0, \gamma'_0, \vec{z}_0, \vec{w}_0).$$

for some relatively prime integers (ℓ, n) , unless γ_0 is isotopic to β_0 in $S - \vec{z}_0 \cup \vec{w}_0$. We abuse the notation and make this identification. The diagram $H_{7,3}$ is illustrated in Figure 3. Denote the chain complexes associated with $(S, \beta_0, \gamma_0, \vec{z}_0, \vec{w}_0)$ and the algebras \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{A}' by $(E_{0,\mathbf{b}}, \partial_{0,\mathbf{b}})$ and $(E'_{0,\mathbf{b}}, \partial'_{0,\mathbf{b}})$ respectively, while the complexes associated with $(S, \gamma_0, \delta_0, \vec{z}_0, \vec{w}_0)$ and the algebras \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{A}' are denoted by $(E_{\mathbf{b},0}, \partial_{\mathbf{b},0})$ and $(E'_{\mathbf{b},0}, \partial'_{\mathbf{b},0})$, respectively. In order for $E_{0,\mathbf{a}}$ and $E_{\mathbf{a},0}$ to be chain complexes, it is necessary that w_1 and w_2 are separated by γ_0 , which is the case if and only if $K = K_{\mathbf{a}} \leadsto K_{\mathbf{b}}$ is orientation-preserving.

The domain of every Whitney disk which contributes to $\partial'_{\mathbf{b},0}$ or $\partial'_{0,\mathbf{b}}$ is the union of one of the bigons containing z_1, z_2, w_1 or w_2 , with some of the rectangles in the diagram. Denote the chain complexes associated with $(S, \beta_0, \gamma_0, z_2, w_1)$ and $(S, \gamma_0, \delta_0, z_2, w_1)$ by

$$(E, d_E) = \text{CF}(S, \beta_0, \gamma_0, z_2, w_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u,w]} \mathbb{A}'' \quad \text{and} \quad (F, d_F) = \text{CF}(S, \gamma_0, \delta_0, z_2, w_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u,w]} \mathbb{A}'',$$

respectively, where $\mathbb{A}'' = \mathbb{F}$ and the action of both u and w on \mathbb{A}'' is multiplication by 1. The chain complexes E and $E'_{0,\mathbf{b}}$ have the same set of generators. However, the above




Figure 3. The Heegaard diagram $H_{7,4}$ is illustrated. The domain of a holomorphic triangle in $\pi_2(x_8, x'_{11}, \theta_0)$ is shaded.

observation implies that for every such generator \mathbf{x} we have $\partial'_{0,\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u} \cdot d_E(\mathbf{x})$. Removing the marked points z_1 and w_2 allows us to change $(S, \beta_0, \gamma_0, z_2, w_1)$ to $(S, \beta_0, \delta_0, z_2, w_1)$ by isotopy. Therefore, $E = \langle \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{2n} \rangle_{\mathbb{A}'}$ for some generators \mathbf{x}_i , with $d_E(\mathbf{x}_i) = 0$ if i is even or $i = 1$, and $d_E(\mathbf{x}_{2i-1}) = \mathbf{x}_{2i}$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$. Moreover, the homological degree of \mathbf{x}_1 is one more than the homological degree of \mathbf{x}_2 . It thus follows that $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{2n}$ generate $E'_{0,\mathbf{b}}$ over \mathbb{A}' and that

$$\partial'_{0,\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x}_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is even or } i = 1 \\ \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{i+1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

We then set $\tilde{\theta}_{0,\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{x}_1$. Similarly, we may assume that $F = \langle \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{2n} \rangle_{\mathbb{A}'}$, $d_F(\mathbf{y}_i) = 0$ if i is even or $i = 1$, $d_F(\mathbf{y}_{2i-1}) = \mathbf{y}_{2i}$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$, and the homological degree of \mathbf{y}_1 is one more than the homological degree of \mathbf{y}_2 . Then $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{2n}$ generate $E'_{\mathbf{b},0}$ over \mathbb{A}' and $\partial'_{\mathbf{a},0}(\mathbf{y}_i) = 0$ unless $i > 1$ is odd when we have $\partial'_{\mathbf{a},0}(\mathbf{y}_i) = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{y}_{i+1}$. Again, we set $\bar{\theta}_{\mathbf{b},0} = \mathbf{y}_1$. To complete the proof of the first part of lemma, we need to show that $\Psi'_{0,\mathbf{b},0}(\mathbf{x}_1 \otimes \mathbf{y}_1) = \mathbf{u} \cdot \bar{\theta}_0$ and $\Psi'_{\mathbf{b},0,\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{y}_1 \otimes \mathbf{x}_1) = \mathbf{u} \cdot \bar{\theta}_{\mathbf{b}}$. We only prove the first statement as the proofs are similar.

Every holomorphic triangle which contributes to $\Psi'_{0,\mathbf{b},0}(\mathbf{x}_1 \otimes \mathbf{y}_1)$ is in correspondence with a holomorphic triangle which contributes to $\Psi(\mathbf{x}_1 \otimes \mathbf{y}_1)$, where

$$\Psi : E \otimes F \rightarrow \text{CF}(S, \beta_0, \delta_0, z_2, w_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u,w]} \mathbb{A}''$$

is the map associated with the diagram $(S, \beta_0, \gamma_0, \delta_0, z_2, w_1)$. Note that $\Psi(\mathbf{x}_1 \otimes \mathbf{y}_1) = \bar{\theta}_0$. The domain $\mathcal{D}(\phi)$ of every holomorphic triangle ϕ which contributes to $\Psi_{0,\mathbf{b},0}(\mathbf{x}_1 \otimes \mathbf{y}_1)$ is of the form illustrated in Figure 3, in the following sense. The illustrated domain belongs to $\pi_2(x_8, x'_1, \theta_0)$, where $x'_i \in \delta_0 \cap \gamma_0$ corresponds to $x_i \in \beta_0 \cap \gamma_0$, and is in correspondence with the domain of a Whitney disk in $\pi_2(x_8, x_1)$ which contributes to $d_E(x_3)$. More generally, for every contributing $\phi \in \pi_2(x_i, x'_j, \bar{\theta}_0)$, $\mathcal{D}(\phi)$ is obtained from $\mathcal{D}(\phi')$ for some $\phi' \pi_2(x_i, x_j)$ which contributes to d_E , by adding/removing some of the small domains bounded between the curves β_0 and δ_0 . As such, $n_{\bar{z}_0}(\phi) + n_{\bar{w}_0}(\phi) = 1$. Therefore,

$$\Psi'_{0,\mathbf{b},0}(\mathbf{x}_1 \otimes \mathbf{y}_1) = \mathbf{u} \cdot \Psi(\mathbf{x}_1 \otimes \mathbf{y}_1) = \mathbf{u} \cdot \bar{\theta}_0.$$

Let us now assume that the rational replacement $K_0 = K_{\mathbf{a}} \rightsquigarrow K_{\mathbf{b}}$ is orientation-preserving. In this case, either of the three curves β_0 , γ_0 and δ_0 separates z_1 from z_2 and separates w_1 from w_2 . Therefore, $(S, \beta_0, \gamma_0, w_1, w_2)$ and $(S, \gamma_0, \delta_0, w_1, w_2)$ are both admissible Heegaard diagrams for the same sutured manifold, which is also determined by $(S, \beta_0, \delta_0, w_1, w_2)$. This time we let (E, d_E) denote the chain complex $\widehat{\text{CF}}(S, \beta_0, \gamma_0, w_1, w_2)$ and (F, d_F) denote the chain complex $\widehat{\text{CF}}(S, \gamma_0, \delta_0, w_1, w_2)$. The chain complexes E and $E_{0,\mathbf{b}}$ have the same set of generators and for every generator \mathbf{x} of $E_{0,\mathbf{b}}$, we have $\partial_{0,\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u} \cdot d_E(\mathbf{x})$. As discussed earlier, this implies that $E_{0,\mathbf{b}}$ includes a top generator $\bar{\theta}_{0,\mathbf{b}}$. Similarly, $E_{\mathbf{b},0}$ includes a top generator $\bar{\theta}_{\mathbf{b},0}$. Moreover, if Ψ denotes the triangle map associated with the punctured Heegaard triple $(S, \beta_0, \gamma_0, \delta_0, w_1, w_2)$, it follows that

$$\Psi_{0,\mathbf{b},0}(\bar{\theta}_{0,\mathbf{b}} \otimes \bar{\theta}_{\mathbf{b},0}) = \mathbf{u} \cdot \Psi(\bar{\theta}_{0,\mathbf{b}} \otimes \bar{\theta}_{\mathbf{b},0}) = \mathbf{u} \cdot \bar{\theta}_0.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

4 The torsion invariants and their basic properties

Let us assume that K is an oriented link and that \vec{p} is a marking of K . Let $H = (\Sigma, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}, \vec{z}, \vec{w})$ denote a corresponding Heegaard diagram. Let u act on \mathbb{F} by multiplication by 1 , giving \mathbb{F} the structure of a $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -module. Then $C_{K, \vec{p}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u]} \mathbb{F}$ is identified with $\widehat{CF}(\Sigma, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}, \vec{w})$ and $C'_{K, \vec{p}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u]} \mathbb{F}$ is identified with $CF^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}, \vec{w}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u]} \mathbb{F}$. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{F} \oplus \mathbb{F})^{|\vec{p}|-1} &= H_*(\widehat{CF}(\Sigma, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}, \vec{w})) = H_*(C_{K, \vec{p}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u]} \mathbb{F}) = \mathbb{H}_{K, \vec{p}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u]} \mathbb{F} \quad \text{and} \\ (\mathbb{F} \oplus \mathbb{F})^{|\vec{p}|-1} &= H_*(CF^\infty(\Sigma, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}, \vec{w}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u]} \mathbb{F}) = H_*(C'_{K, \vec{p}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u]} \mathbb{F}) = \mathbb{H}'_{K, \vec{p}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u]} \mathbb{F}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $|K|$ denote the number of connected components of K . For some sequences of positive integers $\vec{n}_K = (t_q(K) = n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k > 0)$ and $\vec{m}_K = (t'_q(K) = m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_{k'} > 0)$ we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}_{K, \vec{p}} &= \left((\mathbb{F}[u] \oplus \mathbb{F}[u])^{|K|-1} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \frac{\mathbb{F}[u]}{\langle u^{n_i} \rangle} \right) \otimes (\mathbb{F}[u] \oplus \mathbb{F}[u])^{|\vec{p}|-|K|} \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbb{H}'_{K, \vec{p}} &= \left((\mathbb{F}[u] \oplus \mathbb{F}[u])^{|K|-1} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k'} \frac{\mathbb{F}[u]}{\langle u^{m_i} \rangle} \right) \otimes (\mathbb{F}[u] \oplus \mathbb{F}[u])^{|\vec{p}|-|K|}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, the sequences \vec{n}_K and \vec{m}_K (together with $|\vec{p}|$ and $|K|$) determine $\mathbb{H}_{K, \vec{p}}$ and $\mathbb{H}'_{K, \vec{p}}$ respectively. In fact, since \mathbb{F} is a field and $\mathbb{F}[u]$ is a PID, the chain homotopy types of $(C_{K, \vec{p}}, d_{K, \vec{p}})$ and $(C'_{K, \vec{p}}, d'_{K, \vec{p}})$ are determined by \vec{n}_K and \vec{m}_K , respectively. Moreover, note that the sequences \vec{n}_K and \vec{m}_K do not depend on \vec{p} , and that \vec{n}_K is even independent of the orientation of K . One should of course note that we are dropping the homological grading from our discussion to simplify the discussions.

For $\vec{n} = (n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k > 0)$, set $|\vec{n}| = k$ and $\vec{n} - 1 = (n_1 - 1 \geq \dots \geq n_l - 1)$, where l is the largest index so that $n_l > 1$. Define $\vec{n} - (p + 1)$ recursively by $(\vec{n} - p) - 1$ for $p \geq 1$. We also set

$$\vec{n} \cdot p := \underbrace{(n_1, n_1, \dots, n_1)}_{p \text{ times}} \underbrace{(n_2, n_2, \dots, n_2)}_{p \text{ times}} \dots \underbrace{(n_k, n_k, \dots, n_k)}_{p \text{ times}}.$$

For $\vec{n} = (n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k > 0)$ and $\vec{n}' = (n'_1 \geq \dots \geq n'_{k'} > 0)$ we write $\vec{n} \geq \vec{n}'$ if $k \geq k'$ and $n_i \geq n'_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Define $d(\vec{n}, \vec{n}') = \ell$ if ℓ is the smallest integer with $\vec{n} \geq \vec{n}' - \ell$ and $\vec{n}' \geq \vec{n} - \ell$.

If K_i is obtained from K_{i-1} by an RR for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, set $\vec{m}_i = \vec{m}_{K_i} \cdot 2^{\ell - |K_i|}$, where ℓ is the largest of $|K_i|$. If each K_i is oriented and the RRs are orientation-preserving, we also set $\vec{n}_i = \vec{n}_{K_i} \cdot 2^{\ell - |K_i|}$. We equip each K_i with a marking \vec{p}_i with $|\vec{p}_i| = \ell$ so that (K_i, \vec{p}_i) is obtained from (K_{i-1}, \vec{p}_{i-1}) by an RR. Theorem 2.2 implies that there are homomorphisms

$$\phi_i : \mathbb{H}'_{K_{i-1}, \vec{p}_{i-1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}'_{K_i, \vec{p}_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_i : \mathbb{H}'_{K_i, \vec{p}_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}'_{K_{i-1}, \vec{p}_{i-1}} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \ell,$$

such that $\phi_i \circ \psi_i = u$ and $\psi_i \circ \phi_i = u$. Therefore, $\vec{m}_i \geq \vec{m}_{i-1} - 1$ and $\vec{m}_{i-1} \geq \vec{m}_i - 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. If $K = K_0$ and $K' = K_\ell$, these inequalities together imply

$$\vec{m}_K \cdot 2^{|\vec{K}'|} \geq \vec{m}_{K'} \cdot 2^{|\vec{K}|} - \ell \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{m}_{K'} \cdot 2^{|\vec{K}|} \geq \vec{m}_K \cdot 2^{|\vec{K}'|} - \ell.$$

If each K_i is obtained from K_{i-1} by an ORR, a similar conclusion is obtained for \vec{n}_K and $\vec{n}_{K'}$. As a consequence, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *For any pair of (oriented) links K, K' , we have the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{t}'_q(K, K') &= d\left(\vec{m}_K \cdot 2^{|K'|}, \vec{m}_{K'} \cdot 2^{|K|}\right) \leq u'_q(K, K') \quad \text{and} \\ \mathfrak{t}_q(K, K') &= d\left(\vec{n}_K \cdot 2^{|K'|}, \vec{n}_{K'} \cdot 2^{|K|}\right) \leq u''_q(K, K') \leq u_q(K, K'). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{t}'_q(K) \leq u'_q(K)$ and $\mathfrak{t}_q(K) \leq u_q(K)$.

If $K \# K'$ denotes the connected sum of two links K and K' (where a distinguished component of each link is connected to the other link), a Heegaard diagram for $K \# K'$ may be constructed by taking the connected sum of Heegaard diagrams for K and K' in an appropriate sense. This implies that $\text{CF}(K \# K')$ is chain homotopy equivalent to $\text{CF}(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u, w]} \text{CF}(K')$. The Künneth formula implies the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *For every two oriented links K and K' , we have*

$$\mathfrak{t}_q(K \# K') = \max\{\mathfrak{t}_q(K), \mathfrak{t}_q(K')\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{t}'_q(K \# K') = \max\{\mathfrak{t}'_q(K), \mathfrak{t}'_q(K')\}.$$

Suppose that K has thin Floer homology, $\vec{n}_K = (n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k)$ and $\vec{m}_K = (m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_{k'})$. Then $n_1 = \dots = n_k = m_1 = \dots = m_{k'} = 1$. Therefore, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 4.3. *The rational distance of a link K from the space \mathcal{Q} of links with thin link Floer homology is at least $\mathfrak{t}'_q(K) - 1$, while its OR-distance from \mathcal{Q} is at least $\mathfrak{t}_q(K) - 1$. In particular, the rational distance and the OR-distance of K from the set of quasi-alternating knots is bounded below by $\mathfrak{t}'_q(K) - 1$ and $\mathfrak{t}_q(K) - 1$, respectively.*

Let the marking \vec{p} of a knot K consist of a single marked point. Since \mathbb{F} is a field, $C_{K, \vec{p}}$ is filtered chain homotopy equivalent to a complex generated by $\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{2n}$ in Alexander gradings s_0, \dots, s_{2n} and homological gradings μ_0, \dots, μ_{2n} respectively, with the differential

$$d_{K, \vec{p}}(\mathbf{x}_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is even} \\ u^{s_i - s_{i+1}} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{i+1} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases},$$

while $s_i - s_{i+1} > 0$ for all odd values of i (i.e. we start with the E_2 term of the corresponding spectral sequence). In particular, $\mu_{i+1} = \mu_i - 1$ if i is odd, and $\mu_0 = 0$. Therefore,

$$\tau(K) = s_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{t}_q(K) = \max\{s_{2j-1} - s_{2j} \mid j = 1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

where $\tau(K)$ denotes the Ozsváth-Szabó tau invariant [OS03b]. Let us now assume that $\mathfrak{t}_q(K) = 1$. It then follows that $s_{2j-1} - s_{2j} = \mu_{2j-1} - \mu_{2j} = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore,

$$Q_K(q, t) := \sum_{i,j} \dim(\widehat{\text{HFK}}_j(K, i)) \cdot q^j t^i = q^{\mu_0} t^{\tau(K)} + (1 + qt) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n q^{\mu_{2j}} t^{s_{2j}},$$

which is of the form $t^{\tau(K)} + (1 + qt) \cdot P_K(q, t)$. This observation implies the following corollary.

Corollary 4.4. *If $\mathfrak{t}_q(K) = 1$ for a knot K , then $Q_K(q, t) - t^{\tau(K)}$ is divisible by $1 + qt$ and all the coefficients of $(Q_K(q, t) - t^{\tau(K)})/(1 + qt)$ are non-negative integers.*

5 Examples and sample computations

In this section, we examine the bounds constructed in the previous sections in a number of examples. The first example is of course the case of the torus knots.

Example 5.1. Let $K = T_{p,q}$ be the (p, q) torus knot with $0 < p < q$. Assume that

$$\frac{(t^{pq} - 1)(t - 1)}{(t^p - 1)(t^q - 1)} = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i t^{a_i}$$

for a sequence $(p-1)(q-1) = a_0 > a_1 > \dots > a_{2n} = 0$ of integers. Let us define $b_i = a_{i-1} - a_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2n$ and set $\vec{b} = \vec{b}_{p,q} = (b_1, \dots, b_{2n})$. Note that b_i are all positive integers. The knot Floer complex associated with K is then determined by \vec{b} ([OS05] and [AE20a, Example 5.1]). In particular, (C_K, d_K) and (C'_K, d'_K) are freely generated over $\mathbb{F}[u]$ by the generators $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=0}^{2n}$ and are equipped with the differential

$$d_K(\mathbf{x}_i) = \begin{cases} u^{b_i} \mathbf{x}_{i-1} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is even} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad d'_K(\mathbf{x}_i) = \begin{cases} u^{b_i} \mathbf{x}_{i-1} + u^{b_{i+1}} \mathbf{x}_{i+1} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, \mathbb{H}_K is generated by $\mathbf{h}_i = [\mathbf{x}_{2i}]$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$, with \mathbf{h}_n free and \mathbf{h}_i a torsion element of order b_{2i+1} for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. Moreover, \mathbb{H}'_K is generated by $\mathbf{h}'_i = [\mathbf{x}_{2i}]$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$, while

$$u^{b_{2i-1}} \mathbf{h}'_{i-1} = u^{b_{2i}} \mathbf{h}'_i \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

In particular, it follows that

$$\mathfrak{t}_q(K) = \max\{b_{2i+1} \mid i = 1, \dots, n\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{t}'_q(K) = \max\{\min\{b_{2i-1}, b_{2i}\} \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Let us restrict our attention to the case where $q = pk + 1$. In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{b}_{p,pk+1} &= (\underbrace{1, p-1, \dots, 1, p-1}_{k \text{ times}}, \underbrace{2, p-2, \dots, 2, p-2}_{k \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{p-1, 1, \dots, p-1, 1}_{k \text{ times}}) \\ \Rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_q(T_{p,pk+1}) &= p-1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{t}'_q(T_{p,pk+1}) = \max\{\min\{i, p-i\} \mid i = 1, \dots, p-1\} = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5.1. *For the torus knot $T_{p,pk+1}$ we have*

$$u_q(T_{p,pk+1}) \geq u''_q(T_{p,pk+1}) \geq p-1 \quad \text{and} \quad u'_q(T_{p,pk+1}) = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Proof. The above observation implies that $u''_q(T_{p,pk+1}) \geq p-1$ while $u'_q(T_{p,pk+1}) \geq \lfloor p/2 \rfloor$. To see the equality in the latter inequality, note that $T_{p,pk+1}$ may be unknotted by $\lfloor p/2 \rfloor$ RRs. Figure 4 illustrates how a single RR (which is in fact a resolution of one of the crossings) changes $T_{p,pk+1}$ to $T_{p-2,(p-2)k+1}$. Therefore, by resolving $\lfloor p/2 \rfloor$ crossings which are chosen appropriately, we arrive at the unknot. \square




Figure 4. A single RR changes $T_{p,pk+1}$ (the left diagram) to $T_{p-2,(p-2)k+1}$ (the right diagram). The case $p = 6$ and $k = 1$ is illustrated.

For other values of $q > p$, $u'_q(T_{p,q})$ may be smaller than $\lfloor p/2 \rfloor$. In fact, if we resolve one of the crossings in $T_{p,q}$ so that the connectivity is preserved, we obtain $T_{p',q'}$, where p' and q' are determined as follows. Suppose that i is the least positive integer so that $iq = jp \pm 1$ for some positive integer j (thus, $i \leq p/2$). Then $q' = q - 2j$ and $p' = p - 2i$. In this situation we write $(p, q) \rightsquigarrow (p', q')$. Let $k(p, q)$ denote the least number k so that

$$(p, q) = (p_0, q_0) \rightsquigarrow (p_1, q_1) \rightsquigarrow (p_2, q_2) \rightsquigarrow \cdots \rightsquigarrow (p_k, q_k),$$

where $p_k \in \{0, 1\}$. This means that $T_{p,q}$ may be turned into the unknot by $k(p, q)$ crossing resolutions. In particular, $u_q(T_{p,q})$ is at most $k(p, q) \leq p/2$.

Corollary 5.2. *If $1 < p < q$ are relatively prime integers, we have*

$$t'_q(T_{p,q}) \leq u'_q(T_{p,q}) \leq k(p, q) \quad \text{and} \quad t_q(T_{p,q}) = p - 1.$$

Proof. We have already proved the first claim. For the second claim, note that the number of terms in $\vec{b}_{p,q}$ is always even and that the last two terms in $\vec{b}_{p,q}$ are always $p - 1$ and 1 . Moreover, no b_i is greater than $p - 1$. These observations suffice to give the second claim. \square

Example 5.2. The $(1, 1)$ knot $K = 12n_{404}$, which is illustrated on the left-hand-side of Figure 5 is given by the quadruple $[29, 7, 14, 1]$ in Rasmussen's notation [Ras05, page 14], and the corresponding knot chain complex may be computed combinatorially (c.f. [AE20a, Example 5.4]). The right-hand-side of Figure 5 describes the knot chain complex associated with K . Each dot in the diagram represents a generator. An arrow which connects a dot corresponding to a generator \mathbf{x} to a dot representing a generator \mathbf{y} and cuts i vertical lines and j horizontal lines corresponds to the contribution of $u^i w^j \mathbf{y}$ to $d(\mathbf{x})$. The blue dots generate a sub-complex which is more interesting for us. When we set $w = u$ (to obtain the chain complex C_K) it follows that the homology of the sub-complex generated by the blue dots is $\mathbb{F}^2 \oplus (\mathbb{F}[u] / \langle u^2 \rangle)$. In fact, we may quickly compute

$$\mathbb{H}'_K = \mathbb{F}^{13} \oplus \mathbb{F}[u] \oplus \frac{\mathbb{F}[u]}{\langle u^2 \rangle}.$$




Figure 5. The knot $12n_{404}$ and the corresponding knot chain complex.

In particular, $\mathfrak{t}'_q(12n_{404}) = 2$. Note that the PR-unknotted number of $12n_{404}$ is at most 2. To see this, use the two balls determined by red disks in Figure 5 (left), for rational replacements, which give the unknot illustrated on the bottom-left of the aforementioned Figure. Since $\mathfrak{t}'_q(12n_{404}) = 2$, it follows that both the rational unknotting number and the PR-unknotted number of $12n_{404}$ are equal to $2 = \mathfrak{t}'_q(12n_{404}) = \mathfrak{t}_q(12n_{404})$. As discussed in [AE20a, Example 5.4], the unknotting number of $12n_{404}$ is not known, while it satisfies $2 \leq u(12n_{404}) \leq 3$.

Example 5.3. The chain complexes associated with the $(2, -1)$ cable of $T_{2,3}$, which is denoted by $K = T_{2,3;2,-1}$ and the $(2, -3)$ cable of $T_{2,3}$, which is denoted by $K' = T_{2,3;2,-3}$ are studied in [AE20a] and are both illustrated in Figure 6. It follows that

$$u_q(K), u_q(K') \geq \mathfrak{t}_q(K) = \mathfrak{t}_q(K') = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad u'_q(K) = u'_q(K') = \mathfrak{t}'_q(K) = \mathfrak{t}'_q(K') = 1.$$

Note that the a single RR is sufficient for unknotting the $(2, 2k + 1)$ -cable of any knot.

Example 5.4. According to Knotinfo tables [LM22], among all the knots with at most 10 crossings the only knots which are not quasi-alternating are the ones in

$$\mathcal{A}_{10} = \{8_{19}, 9_{42}, 9_{46}, 10_{124}, 10_{128}, 10_{132}, 10_{136}, 10_{139}, 10_{140}, 10_{145}, 10_{152}, 10_{153}, 10_{154}, 10_{161}\}.$$

For any non-trivial knot K with at most 10 crossings which is not in \mathcal{A}_{10} , we thus have $\mathfrak{t}'_q(K) = \mathfrak{t}_q(K) = 1$. Moreover, the unknotting numbers of 9_{42} , 10_{132} and 10_{136} are 1 (c.f.




Figure 6. The chain complexes associated with $T_{2,3;2,-1}$ (left) and $T_{2,3;2,-3}$ (right).

[LM22]). So, for these three knots we have $\mathfrak{t}_q(K) = \mathfrak{t}'_q(K) = u(K) = 1$, as well. Since $8_{19} = T_{3,4}$ and $10_{124} = T_{3,5}$, Corollary 5.2 implies

$$\mathfrak{t}_q(8_{19}) = \mathfrak{t}_q(10_{124}) = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{t}'_q(8_{19}) = \mathfrak{t}'_q(10_{124}) = 1.$$

One can check by hand that the remaining 9 knots (i.e. 9_{46} , 10_{128} , 10_{139} , 10_{140} , 10_{145} , 10_{152} , 10_{153} , 10_{154} and 10_{161}) may be changed to an alternating knot with a single PRR. Therefore, for these latter 9 knots we have $\mathfrak{t}_q(K), \mathfrak{t}'_q(K) \leq 2$. On the other hand, the value of the Ozsváth-Szabó polynomial $Q_K(q, t)$ for these 9 knots are listed in the following table (these computations are borrowed from [BG12]):

Name	$\tau(K)$	$Q_K(q, t)$
9_{46}	0	$2q^{-1}t^{-1} + 5 + 2qt$
10_{128}	3	$2q^{-6}t^{-3} + 3q^{-5}t^{-2} + q^{-4}t^{-1} + q^{-2} + q^{-2}t + 3q^{-1}t^2 + 2t^3$
10_{139}	4	$q^{-8}t^{-4} + q^{-7}t^{-3} + 2q^{-4}t^{-1} + 3q^{-3} + 2q^{-2}t + q^{-1}t^3 + t^4$
10_{140}	0	$q^{-2}t^{-2} + 2q^{-1}t^{-1} + 3 + 2qt + q^2t^2$
10_{145}	-2	$t^{-2} + 2t^{-1} + qt^{-1} + 4q + q^2 + 2q^2t + q^3t + q^4t^2$
10_{152}	-4	$t^{-4} + qt^{-3} + qt^{-2} + 4q^2t^{-1} + 5q^3 + 4q^4t + q^5t^2 + q^7t^3 + q^8t^4$
10_{153}	0	$q^{-2}t^{-3}(1 + t + 2qt + 2qt^2) + t^{-1} + 3 + 2qt + q^2t + q^2t^2 + 2q^3t^2 + q^4t^3$
10_{154}	3	$q^{-6}t^{-3}(1 + qt + q^2t) + 4q^{-3}t^{-1} + 7q^{-2} + 4q^{-1}t + q^{-1}t^2 + t^2 + t^3$
10_{161}	-3	$t^{-3} + t^{-2} + qt^{-2} + 2qt^{-1} + 3q^2 + 2q^3t + q^4t^2 + q^5t^2 + q^6t^3$

(1)

It follows that 9_{46} and 9_{140} have thin knot Floer homology (therefore, $\mathfrak{t}_q(K) = \mathfrak{t}'_q(K) = 1$ for these two knots). For $K = 10_{128}, 10_{139}, 10_{152}, 10_{154}, 10_{161}$, the polynomial $Q_K(q, t) - t^{\tau(K)}$ is not divisible by $1 + qt$. Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{t}_q(10_{128}) = \mathfrak{t}_q(10_{139}) = \mathfrak{t}_q(10_{152}) = \mathfrak{t}_q(10_{154}) = \mathfrak{t}_q(10_{161}) = 2.$$

For the remaining knots $K = 10_{145}, 10_{153}$, it follows from the computation of $Q_K(q, t)$ that $\mathfrak{t}_q(K)$ is forced to be 1.

References

- [AD19] Akram Alishahi and Nathan Dowlin. The Lee spectral sequence, unknotting number, and the knight move conjecture. *Topology Appl.*, 254:29–38, 2019.
- [AE15] Akram S. Alishahi and Eaman Eftekhary. A refinement of sutured Floer homology. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 13(3):609–743, 2015.
- [AE16] Akram Alishahi and Eaman Eftekhary. Tangle Floer homology and cobordisms between tangles. *arXiv:1610.07122v1*, 2016.
- [AE20a] Akram Alishahi and Eaman Eftekhary. Knot Floer homology and the unknotting number. *Geom. Topol.*, 24(5):2435–2469, 2020.
- [AE20b] Akram Alishahi and Eaman Eftekhary. Tangle Floer homology and cobordisms between tangles. *J. Topol.*, 13(4):1582–1657, 2020.
- [Ali19] Akram Alishahi. Unknotting number and Khovanov homology. *Pacific J. Math.*, 301(1):15–29, 2019.
- [BG12] John A. Baldwin and William D. Gillam. Computations of Heegaard-Floer knot homology. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 21(8):1250075, 65, 2012.
- [CGL⁺20] Carmen Caprau, Nicolle González, Christine Ruey Shan Lee, Adam M. Lowrance, Radmila Sazdanović, and Melissa Zhang. On Khovanov homology and related invariants. *arXiv:2002.05247*, 2020.
- [Con70] J. H. Conway. An enumeration of knots and links, and some of their algebraic properties. In *Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra (Proc. Conf., Oxford, 1967)*, pages 329–358. Pergamon, Oxford, 1970.
- [ILM21] Damian Iltgen, Lukas Lewark, and Laura Marino. Khovanov homology and rational unknotting. *arXiv:2110.15107*, 2021.
- [KL04] Louis H. Kauffman and Sofia Lambropoulou. On the classification of rational tangles. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 33(2):199–237, 2004.

- [Lin96] Daniel Lines. Knots with unknotting number one and generalised Casson invariant. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 5(1):87–100, 1996.
- [LM22] Charles Livingston and Allison H. Moore. Knotinfo: Table of knot invariants. URL: knotinfo.math.indiana.edu, March 2022.
- [McC15] Duncan McCoy. Non-integer surgery and branched double covers of alternating knots. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 92(2):311–337, 2015.
- [MO08] Ciprian Manolescu and Peter Ozsváth. On the Khovanov and knot Floer homologies of quasi-alternating links. In *Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2007*, pages 60–81. Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2008.
- [MZ21] D. McCoy and R. Zentner. The montesinos trick for proper rational tangle replacement. *preprint, arXiv:2110.15106*, 2021.
- [OS03a] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. Heegaard-Floer homology and alternating knots. *Geom. Topol.*, 7:225–254, 2003.
- [OS03b] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. Knot Floer homology and the four-ball genus. *Geom. Topol.*, 7:615–639, 2003.
- [OS05] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. On knot Floer homology and lens space surgeries. *Topology*, 44(6):1281–1300, 2005.
- [OS08] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. Holomorphic disks, link invariants and the multi-variable Alexander polynomial. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 8(2):615–692, 2008.
- [Ras05] Jacob Rasmussen. Knot polynomials and knot homologies. In *Geometry and topology of manifolds*, volume 47 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pages 261–280. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

Eaman Eftekhary

School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P. O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran

email: eaman@ipm.ir