

# EXOTIC $K(h)$ -LOCAL PICARD GROUPS WHEN $2p - 1 = h^2$ AND THE VANISHING CONJECTURE

DOMINIC LEON CULVER AND NINGCHUAN ZHANG

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we study the exotic  $K(h)$ -local Picard group  $\kappa_h$  when  $2p - 1 = h^2$ . Using Gross-Hopkins duality, we relate it to certain Greek letter element computations in chromatic homotopy theory. The classical computations of Miller-Ravenel-Wilson then imply that an exotic element at height 3 and prime 5 is not detected by the type-2 complex  $V(1)$ . The same method is applied to study the Chromatic Vanishing Conjecture in the zeroth degree homology when  $p - 1$  does not divide  $h$ . We show that this special case of the Vanishing Conjecture implies the exotic Picard group is zero at height 3 and prime 5.

## 0. INTRODUCTION

**0.1. Statement of main results.** The study of Picard groups in chromatic homotopy theory was initiated by Hopkins-Mahowald-Sadofsky in [15]. By analyzing the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence for the  $K(h)$ -local sphere, they proved the following:

**Theorem** ([15, Proposition 7.5]). *The exotic  $K(h)$ -local Picard group  $\kappa_h$  is zero when  $p - 1$  does not divide  $h$  and  $2p - 1 > h^2$ .*

In this paper, we study  $\kappa_h$  when  $2p - 1 = h^2$ . The smallest of such pairs is  $h = 3$  and  $p = 5$ . Notice that this assumption already implies  $(p - 1) \nmid h$ .

**Remark.** It is an open question in number theory whether there are infinitely primes  $p$  such that  $2p - 1$  is a perfect square ([18, page 171]). Using SageMath [31], the authors are able to find 35, 528, 083 positive integers  $h$  less than  $10^9$  such that  $\frac{h^2+1}{2}$  is a prime number.

Our first main result is:

**Theorem** (A, Theorem 3.27, Corollary 3.29). *Let  $2p - 1 = h^2$ . Suppose the type- $(h - 1)$  Smith-Toda complex  $V(h - 2) = S^0 / (p, v_1, \dots, v_{h-2})$  exists at prime  $p$ . Then an exotic element  $X \in \kappa_h$  cannot be detected by  $V(h - 2)$ , i.e.*

$$L_{K(h)}(X \wedge V(h - 2)) \simeq L_{K(h)}V(h - 2).$$

In particular,

- (1) At height 3 and prime 5, an exotic element  $X$  in  $\text{Pic}_{K(3)}$  cannot be detected by  $V(1) = S^0/(5, v_1)$ .
- (2) At height 5 and prime 13, an exotic element  $X$  in  $\text{Pic}_{K(5)}$  cannot be detected by  $V(3) = S^0/(13, v_1, v_2, v_3)$ .

Assuming the following special case of the Chromatic Vanishing Conjecture (2.26):

**Conjecture** (Reduced Homological Vanishing Conjecture, (RHVC)).

$$\mathbf{F}_p \simeq H_0(\mathbf{G}_h; \mathbf{F}_{p^h}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_0(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)/p).$$

We then have our second main result:

**Theorem** (B, Theorem 3.30). *If RHVC holds at height 3 and prime 5, then  $\kappa_3 = 0$  when  $p = 5$ .*

For general height and prime such that  $2p - 1 = h^2$ , we have

**Theorem** (C, Theorem 3.15). *Let  $y_{h,N}$  be some replacement<sup>1</sup> of  $v_h^{p^N} \in \pi_0(E_h)$ . If for all open invariant ideals of the form  $(p, v_1^{d_1}, \dots, v_{h-1}^{d_{h-1}}, y_{h,N}^s) \trianglelefteq \pi_0(E_h)$ , we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{h-1} d_i |v_i| < \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1} - 2h,$$

*then RHVC holds and  $\kappa_h = 0$  when  $2p - 1 = h^2$ .*

**0.2. General strategy.** A summary of our strategy is as follows. Assume  $2p - 1 = h^2$ , we will show successively each claim below is implied by the following one:

- I.  $\kappa_h = 0$ .
- II.  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{S}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)) = H_c^{2p-1}(\mathbf{S}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)) = 0$ .
- III.  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{S}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)/p) = 0$ .
- IV.  $H_c^0(\mathbf{S}_h; \pi_{2h-2p+2}(E_h)\langle \det \rangle / (p, u_1^\infty, \dots, u_{h-1}^\infty)) = 0$ .
- V.  $H_c^0\left(\mathbf{S}_h; \pi_{2h-2p+2-\frac{p^N|v_h|}{p-1}}(E_h)/J\right) = 0$  for any open invariant ideal  $J \trianglelefteq \pi_0(E_h)$  containing  $p$  such that  $v_h^{p^N}$  is invariant mod  $J$ .
- VI.  $\text{Ext}_{BP_*BP}^{0, 2h-2p+2-\frac{p^N|v_h|}{p-1}}(BP_*, v_h^{-1}BP_*/J) = 0$  for any invariant ideal  $J \trianglelefteq v_h^{-1}BP_*$  containing  $p$  such that  $v_h^{p^N}$  is invariant mod  $J$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>See proof of Proposition 3.3

VII.  $H^{0,t}(M_1^{h-1}) = 0$  for any  $t \equiv 2h - 2p + 2 - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1} \pmod{p^N |v_h|}$  and all integers  $N \geq 0$ , where  $M_1^{h-1} := v_h^{-1} BP_*/(p, v_1^\infty, \dots, v_{h-1}^\infty)$ .

**II  $\implies$  I:** In [10], Goerss-Henn-Mahowald-Rezk defined a map that detects the exotic Picard group  $\kappa_h$ :

$$\text{ev}_2: \kappa_h \rightarrow H_c^{2p-1}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)).$$

Using the same argument as in [15], we will show this map is injective when  $(p-1) \nmid h$  and  $4p-3 > h^2$  in Proposition 1.19. As a result,  $\kappa_h$  vanishes if  $H_c^{2p-1}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)) = 0$  when  $2p-1 = h^2$ . One can show that

$$H_c^s(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_t(E_h)) \simeq H_c^s(\mathbf{S}_h; \pi_t(E_h))^{\text{Gal}} \text{ for any } s \text{ and } t,$$

where  $\mathbf{S}_h \leq \mathbf{G}_h$  is the automorphism group of the height  $h$ -Honda formal group. This indicates we just need to show the relevant group cohomology of  $\mathbf{S}_h$  is zero.

**III  $\implies$  II:** Now suppose  $2p-1 = h^2$ . By Theorem 2.8 of Lazard and the fact  $\mathbf{S}_h$  has no finite  $p$ -group,  $\text{cd}_p(\mathbf{S}_h) = h^2$ . When  $(p-1) \nmid h$ , The cohomology we are computing  $H_c^{2p-1}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)) = H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h))$  is a top degree cohomology. Using a Hochschild-Lyndon-Serre spectral sequence and the explicit formula of the action by the center  $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$  of  $\mathbf{S}_h$ , we show in Proposition 2.3 that

$$H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)/p).$$

Alternatively, the above isomorphism can be proved using the Poincaré duality between top degree cohomology and zero degree homology.

**IV  $\implies$  III:** There is another Poincaré duality between top and zero degree cohomology groups for any  $\mathbf{G}_h$ -module  $M$ :

$$H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{S}_h; M) \simeq H_c^0(\mathbf{S}_h; M^\vee)^\vee,$$

where  $(-)^\vee := \text{hom}(-, \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p)$  is the Pontryagin dual. For  $M = \pi_t(E_h)$ , the dual  $M^\vee$  is identified by Gross-Hopkins duality:

$$\pi_t(E_h)^\vee \simeq \pi_{2h-t}(E_h) \langle \det \rangle / \mathfrak{m}^\infty,$$

where  $\mathfrak{m} = (p, u_1, \dots, u_{h-1}) \trianglelefteq \pi_0(E_h)$  is the maximal ideal and  $\langle \det \rangle$  is the determinant twist. In the case when  $t = 2p-2$ , we further have:

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{S}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)) &\simeq H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{S}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)/p) \\ &\simeq H_c^0(\mathbf{S}_h; \pi_{2h-2p+2}(E_h) \langle \det \rangle / (p, u_1^\infty, \dots, u_{h-1}^\infty))^\vee. \end{aligned}$$

**V  $\implies$  IV:** In [14], Gross-Hopkins identified the determinant twist mod  $p$  with a limit of finite suspensions:

$$\pi_0(E_h) \langle \det \rangle / p \simeq \varinjlim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1} \pi_0(E_h) / p.$$

More precisely, let  $J \trianglelefteq \pi_0(E_h)$  be an open invariant ideal containing  $p$ , such that  $v_h^{p^N}$  is invariant modulo  $J$ , then

$$\pi_0(E_h)\langle \det \rangle / J \simeq \Sigma^{\frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}} \pi_0(E_h) / J.$$

By Proposition 2.24, we now have

$$H_c^0(\mathbf{S}_h; \pi_{2h-2p+2}(E_h)\langle \det \rangle / (p, u_1^\infty, \dots, u_{h-1}^\infty)) \\ \text{simeq} \operatorname{colim}_{p \in J \trianglelefteq \pi_0(E_h)} H_c^0 \left( \mathbf{S}_h; \pi_{2h-2p+2 - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}}(E_h) \Big/ J \right).$$

As a result, to show the LHS is zero, it suffices to show every single term in the colimit system on RHS is zero.

**VI**  $\implies$  **V** Using a Change of Rings theorem, Theorem 3.1, we relate the group cohomology of  $\mathbf{G}_h$  with Ext-groups of  $BP_*BP$ -comodules:

$$H^s(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_t(E_h)/J) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*BP}^{s,t}(BP_*, v_h^{-1}BP_*/J')$$

for some invariant ideal  $J' \trianglelefteq v_h^{-1}BP_*$ . As a result, we need to compute  $\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*BP}^{0,t}(BP_*, v_h^{-1}BP_*/J')$  for certain values of  $t$ .

**VII**  $\implies$  **VI** For a  $BP_*BP$ -comodule  $M$ , denote  $\operatorname{Ext}_{BP_*BP}^{s,t}(BP_*, M)$  by  $H^{s,t}(M)$ . The colimit of  $H^{0,t}(v_h^{-1}BP_*/J)$  all invariant ideal  $J \trianglelefteq v_h^{-1}BP_*$  containing  $p$  is  $H^{0,t}(M_1^{h-1})$ . This is the group of mod- $p$  Greek letter elements at height  $h$ . Keeping track of the degree  $t$ , we have reduced our computation to the following:

**Proposition.** *Suppose  $2p - 1 = h^2$ . If  $H^{0,t}(M_1^{h-1}) = 0$  whenever  $t \equiv 2h - 2p + 2 - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1} \pmod{p^N |v_h|}$  for some integer  $N \geq 0$ , then  $\kappa_h = 0$ .*

The argument above can also be used to study the Reduced Homological Vanishing Conjecture. Using Gross-Hopkins duality and Change of Rings theorem, we have:

**Proposition.** *Suppose  $p - 1$  does not divide  $h$ . If  $H^{0,t}(M_1^{h-1}) = \mathbf{F}_p$  whenever  $t \equiv 2h - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1} \pmod{p^N |v_h|}$  for some integer  $N \geq 0$ , then  $H_0(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)/p) = \mathbf{F}_p$  and RHVC holds.*

**0.3. Greek letter element computations.** We now need to compute the Greek letter elements  $H^{0,t}(M_1^{h-1})$ . Elements in this group are classified into three families in Proposition 3.3.

- (1) Family I elements are of the form  $\frac{v_h^s}{pv_1 \cdots v_{h-1}}$ , where  $(s, p) = 1$ . In Corollary 3.7, we prove Family I elements contribute to a copy  $\mathbf{F}_p$  in  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)/p)$  via Gross-Hopkins duality, which is predicted in RHVC. This family does not contribute to  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)/p)$ .

- (2) Family II elements are of the form  $\frac{1}{pv_1^{d_1} \cdots v_{h-1}^{d_{h-1}}}$ , where  $(p, v_1^{d_1}, \dots, v_{h-1}^{d_{h-1}})$  is an invariant ideal. In Corollary 3.11, we show this family does not contribute to either  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)/p)$  or  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)/p)$ .
- (3) Family III elements are of the form  $\frac{y_{h,N}^s}{pv_1^{d_1} \cdots v_{h-1}^{d_{h-1}}}$ , where  $y_{h,N}$  is some replacement of  $v_h^{p^N}$ ,  $(s, p) = 1$  and  $(p, v_1^{d_1}, \dots, v_{h-1}^{d_{h-1}}, y_{h,N}^s)$  is an invariant regular ideal. While the precise conditions on the  $d_i$ 's are out of reach in the general situation, we established some bounds in Proposition 3.12 which would imply this family does not contribute to either  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)/p)$  or  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)/p)$ .

Combining the three cases above, we obtain Theorem C (3.15).

In [21], Miller-Ravenel-Wilson computed  $H^{0,*}(M_{h-1}^1)$ , where  $M_{h-1}^1 := v_h^{-1}BP_*/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{h-2}, v_{h-1}^\infty)$ . Using Gross-Hopkins duality and Morava's Change of Rings Theorem, the Miller-Ravenel-Wilson computation yields when  $(p-1) \nmid h$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h, \pi_0(E_h)/I_{h-1}) &= \mathbf{F}_p, \\ H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h, \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)/I_{h-1}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The first isomorphism means RHVC holds modulo the ideal  $I_{h-2} = (p, u_1, \dots, u_{h-2}) \trianglelefteq \pi_0(E_h)$  (Corollary 3.26). The second group cohomology measures if there is an exotic element in  $\text{Pic}_{K(h)}$  detected by the type- $(h-1)$  Smith-Toda complex  $V(h-2) := S^0/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{h-2})$ , provided the latter exists. Consequently, its vanishing yields Theorem A (3.27). At height 3 and prime 5, we further show in Theorem B (3.30) that RHVC implies  $\kappa_3 = 0$ . This proof relies on the Miller-Ravenel-Wilson results.

**0.4. Notations and Conventions.** Throughout, we will let  $E_h$  denote a fixed Morava  $E$ -theory based on a height  $h$  formal group, typically the height  $h$  Honda formal group  $\Gamma_h$ . For a  $K(h)$ -local spectrum  $X$ , we will write  $(E_h)_*X$  for the completed  $E_h$ -homology of  $X$ . That is, we write

$$(E_h)_*X := \pi_*(L_{K(h)}(E_h \wedge X)).$$

Denote by  $\mathbf{W} := \mathbf{WF}_{p^h}$  the ring of Witt vectors over  $\mathbf{F}_{p^h}$ . We will write  $\mathbf{S}_h$  for the Morava stabilizer group, i.e. the automorphisms of a  $\Gamma_h$ , and we will write  $\mathbf{G}_h$  for the extended Morava stabilizer group

**0.5. Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank Matt Ando, Agnès Beaudry, Mark Behrens, Paul Goerss, Hans-Werner Henn, Guchuan Li, Doug Ravenel, and Vesna Stojanoska for helpful discussions related to this work. Some of this work was done while the first author was at

the Max-Planck-Institute for Mathematics, and the second author was at University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign as a visiting scholar. We would like to thank both institutes for their hospitality and support.

## 1. THE $K(h)$ -LOCAL PICARD GROUP

**1.1. Definitions.** In chromatic homotopy theory, we study the stable homotopy category of spectra  $\mathbf{Sp}$  via the height filtration of the moduli stack of formal groups at each prime  $p$ . One such layer in this filtration is the category of  $K(h)$ -local spectra  $\mathbf{Sp}_{K(h)}$ , where  $K(h)$  is the Morava  $K$ -theory at  $h$  and prime  $p$ . Like  $\mathbf{Sp}$ , the category  $\mathbf{Sp}_{K(h)}$  also has a symmetric monoidal structure

$$X \otimes_{K(h)} Y := L_{K(h)}(X \wedge Y).$$

For  $\mathbf{Sp}$ , its Picard group is given by

**Theorem 1.1** ([15, page 90]). *The map  $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{Sp}), n \mapsto S^n$  is an isomorphism of groups.*

The Picard group  $\mathrm{Pic}_{K(h)}$  for  $\mathbf{Sp}_{K(h)}$ , however, is still not fully understood. Here we give a filtration on  $\mathrm{Pic}_{K(h)}$  via a sequence of algebraic detection maps  $\mathrm{ev}_i$ . The first fact is:

**Theorem 1.2** ([15, Theorem 1.3]). *The followings are equivalent:*

- $X \in \mathbf{Sp}_{K(h)}$  is invertible.
- $(E_h)_*(X)$  is an invertible graded  $(E_h)_*$ -module.

As  $E_h$  is even periodic, an invertible graded  $(E_h)_*$ -module is either itself or its suspension. This yields the zeroth detection map:

$$\mathrm{ev}_0: \mathrm{Pic}_{K(h)} \xrightarrow{X \mapsto (E_h)_*(X)} \mathrm{Pic}(\text{graded } (E_h)_*\text{-modules}) = \mathbf{Z}/2.$$

**Proposition 1.3.**  *$\mathrm{ev}_0$  is a surjective group homomorphism.*

*Proof.* We can check  $\mathrm{ev}_0$  is a group homomorphism using the Künneth theorem. It is surjective since  $\mathrm{ev}_0(S^1) = \pi_*(\Sigma E_h)$  is concentrated in odd degrees.  $\square$

Denote the kernel of  $\mathrm{ev}_0$  by  $\mathrm{Pic}_{K(h)}^0$ . This is the group of invertible  $K(h)$ -local spectra whose  $E_h$ -homology is concentrated in even degrees. For any spectrum  $X$ , its  $E_h$ -homology is not only a graded  $(E_h)_*$ -module, but also a graded  $\pi_*(E_h \wedge E_h)$ -comodule. In the case when  $X \in \mathrm{Pic}_{K(h)}^0$ , this graded comodule structure is determined by  $(E_h)_0(X)$  as an ungraded  $\pi_0(E_h \wedge E_h)$ -comodule. This gives rise to the first detection map:

$$\mathrm{ev}_1: \mathrm{Pic}_{K(h)}^0 \xrightarrow{X \mapsto (E_h)_0(X)} \mathrm{Pic}((\pi_0(E_h), \pi_0(E_h \wedge E_h))\text{-comodules}).$$

To identify the target of  $\text{ev}_1$ , we use the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.4** ([17]). *There is a isomorphism of Hopf algebroids:*

$$(\pi_0(E_h), \pi_0(E_h \wedge E_h)) \cong (\pi_0(E_h), \text{Map}_c(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h))),$$

where  $\mathbf{G}_h = \mathbf{S}_h \rtimes \text{Gal}(\mathbf{F}_{p^h}/\mathbf{F}_p)$  and  $\mathbf{S}_h$  is the automorphism group of the height- $h$  Honda formal group.

It follows that a  $\pi_0(E_h \wedge E_h)$ -comodule  $M$  is equivalent to a  $\pi_0(E_h)$ -module together with a  $\mathbf{G}_h$ -action such that the following diagram commutes for all  $g \in \mathbf{G}_h$ : ([15, page 118])

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_0(E_h) \otimes M & \xrightarrow{g \otimes g} & \pi_0(E_h) \otimes M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{g} & M \end{array}$$

The Picard group of such  $\mathbf{G}_h$ - $\pi_0(E_h)$ -modules is computed by a continuous group cohomology of  $\mathbf{G}_h$ :

**Proposition 1.5** ([15, Proposition 8.4]).

$$\text{Pic}(\mathbf{G}_h\text{-}\pi_0(E_h)\text{-modules}) \simeq H_c^1(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)^\times).$$

As a result, the first detection map is a group homomorphism:

$$\text{ev}_1: \text{Pic}_{K(h)}^0 \rightarrow H_c^1(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)^\times).$$

**Definition 1.6.** The Picard group of *graded*  $\mathbf{G}_h$ - $(E_h)_*$ -modules is called the **algebraic  $K(h)$ -local Picard group**, denoted by  $\text{Pic}_{K(h)}^{\text{alg}}$ . The Picard group of *ungraded*  $\mathbf{G}_h$ - $\pi_0(E_h)$ -modules is denoted by  $\text{Pic}_{K(h)}^{\text{alg},0}$ .

Thus, by Proposition 1.5, we have

$$\text{Pic}_{K(h)}^{\text{alg},0} = H_c^1(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)^\times).$$

The first detection map  $\text{ev}_1$  then extends to the full Picard group  $\text{Pic}_{K(h)}$ , which we will also denote by  $\text{ev}_1$ .

**Proposition 1.7.** *The  $K(h)$ -local Picard groups we have introduced so far are related by a map of short exact sequences:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{K(h)}^0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{K(h)} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z}/2 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{ev}_1 & & \downarrow \text{ev}_1 & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{K(h)}^{\text{alg},0} & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{K(h)}^{\text{alg}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z}/2 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

**Remark 1.8.** *It is known that the short exact sequences do not split at height  $h = 1$  for all primes [15], and at height 2 for  $p \geq 3$  [10].*

**Corollary 1.9.** *The two  $\text{ev}_1$  maps in the diagram above have isomorphic kernels and cokernels.*

This corollary justifies the usage of  $\text{ev}_1$  for both detection maps.

**1.2. Exotic Picard groups.** Now the question turns to whether  $\text{ev}_1$  is injective or surjective. The surjectivity problem is hard and involves obstruction theory. In certain cases, we can show  $\text{ev}_1$  is injective.

**Definition 1.10.** The **exotic  $K(h)$ -local Picard group**  $\kappa_h$  is the kernel of  $\text{ev}_1$ .

**Theorem 1.11** ([15, Proposition 7.5]). *The exotic Picard group  $\kappa_h$  vanishes when  $(p-1) \nmid h$  and  $2p-1 > h^2$ .*

The detection of elements in  $\kappa_h$  lies in the **homotopy fixed point spectral sequence** (HFPSS) to compute the  $\pi_*(X)$  for  $X \in \mathbf{Sp}_{K(h)}$ :

$$(1.12) \quad E_2^{s,t} = H_c^s(\mathbf{G}_h; (E_h)_t(X)) \implies \pi_{t-s}(X).$$

By definition, for any  $X \in \kappa_h$ , the HFPSS to compute its homotopy groups has the same  $E_2$ -page as that for  $S_{K(h)}^0$ . The potential differences between the two spectral sequences are the higher differentials. We will show that the higher differentials are necessarily zero under the assumption  $2p-1 > h^2$  and  $(p-1) \nmid h$ . To see this, we need the following basic facts about the HFPSS:

**Lemma 1.13** ([11, Page 12]). *For any  $\mathbf{G}_h$ - $\pi_0(E_h)$ -module  $M$ , we have an isomorphism  $H_c^s(\mathbf{G}_h; M) \simeq H_c^s(\mathbf{S}_h; M)^{\text{Gal}}$ .*

**Lemma 1.14** (Sparseness, [25, Theorem 6.2.10]). *The continuous group cohomology  $H_c^s(\mathbf{S}_h; \pi_t(E_h)) = 0$  unless  $2(p-1)$  divides  $t$ .*

**Lemma 1.15** (Horizontal vanishing line, [11, Proposition 1.6]). *The  $p$ -adic Lie group  $\mathbf{S}_h$  has cohomological dimension  $h^2$  if  $(p-1) \nmid h$ .*

It follows that the HFPSS (1.12) has a horizontal vanishing line at  $s = h^2$  when  $(p-1) \nmid h$ .

**Lemma 1.16** (0-line).  $H_c^0(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_t(E_h)) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}_p, & t = 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

*Proof of Theorem 1.11.* We need to show that when  $(p-1) \nmid h$  and  $h^2 < 2p-1$ , a  $K(h)$ -local spectrum  $X$  is weakly equivalent to  $S_{K(h)}^0$  if there is a  $\mathbf{G}_h$ -equivariant isomorphism  $(E_h)_*(X) \simeq (E_h)_*$ .

Under this assumption, HFPSS for  $X$  collapses at  $E_2$ -page by sparseness (Lemma 1.14). As a result, any unit  $[\iota_X] \in E_2^{0,0}(X) = \mathbf{Z}_p$  is a permanent cycle and induces a map  $S^0 \rightarrow X$ . This map factors as



$S^0 \rightarrow S_{K(h)}^0 \xrightarrow{\iota_X} X$  since  $X$  is  $K(h)$ -local. As  $\iota_X: S_{K(h)}^0 \rightarrow X$  induces an isomorphism on the  $E_2$ -page of the HFPSS, it is a weak equivalence by [7, Theorem 5.3].  $\square$

In the general case, the first possible non-trivial differential in (1.12) for  $X \in \kappa_h$  is  $d_{2p-1}$ . Let's consider the possible  $d_{2p-1}$ -differentials supported by  $E_{2p-1}^{0,0}(X) = E_2^{0,0}(X) = \mathbf{Z}_p$ .

**Construction 1.17** ([10, Construction 3.2]). Fix an  $\mathbf{G}_h$ -equivariant isomorphism  $f^X: (E_h)_* \xrightarrow{\sim} (E_h)_*(X)$  and let  $\iota_X = f^X(1) \in (E_h)_0(X)$ . The differential

$$d_{2p-1}^X: E_{2p-1}^{0,0}(X) \longrightarrow E_{2p-1}^{2p-1,2p-2}(X)$$

is determined by the image of  $\iota_X$ . Define a homomorphism  $\phi^X$  via the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_c^0(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)) & \xrightarrow{\phi^X} & H_c^{2p-1}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)) \\ (f^X)_* \downarrow \simeq & & \simeq \downarrow (f^X)_* \\ H_c^0(\mathbf{G}_h; (E_h)_0(X)) & \xrightarrow{d_{2p-1}^X} & H_c^{2p-1}(\mathbf{G}_h; (E_h)_{2p-2}(X)) \end{array}$$

One can check that  $\phi^X(1)$  is independent of the choice of  $f^X$ . We define a map  $\text{ev}_2: \kappa_h \rightarrow H_c^{2p-1}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h))$  by setting  $\text{ev}_2(X) := \phi^X(1)$ .

**Proposition 1.18.** *The map  $\text{ev}_2: \kappa_h \rightarrow H_c^{2p-1}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h))$  is a group homomorphism.*

*Proof.* It suffices to check  $\text{ev}_2(X \wedge Y) = \text{ev}_2(X) + \text{ev}_2(Y)$ . This follows from the Künneth isomorphism which is compatible with the  $\mathbf{G}_h$ -actions:

$$(E_h)_*(X \wedge Y) \simeq (E_h)_*X \otimes_{(E_h)_*} (E_h)_*Y.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} E_{2p-1}^{s,t}(X \wedge Y) &= E_2^{s,t}(X \wedge Y) \\ &\simeq E_2^{s,t}(X) \otimes_{E_2^{0,0}(S^0)} E_2^{s,t}(Y) \\ &= E_{2p-1}^{s,t}(X) \otimes_{E_{2p-1}^{0,0}(S^0)} E_{2p-1}^{s,t}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Now by the multiplicative structure of the spectral sequence and the Leibniz rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2p-1}^{X \wedge Y}(\iota_X \otimes \iota_Y) &= d_{2p-1}^X(\iota_X) \otimes \iota_Y + \iota_X \otimes d_{2p-1}^Y(\iota_Y) \\ \implies \text{ev}_2(X \wedge Y) &= \phi^{X \wedge Y}(1) = \phi^X(1) + \phi^Y(1) = \text{ev}_2(X) + \text{ev}_2(Y). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Proposition 1.19.** *The map  $\text{ev}_2: \kappa_h \rightarrow H_c^{2p-1}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h))$  is injective when  $4p-3 > h^2$  and  $(p-1) \nmid h$ . In particular, it is injective when  $2p-1 = h^2$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $X \in \ker \text{ev}_2$ , a unit  $[\iota_X]$  in  $E_2^{0,0}(X)$  does not support a  $d_{2p-1}$ -differential. By Sparseness (Lemma 1.14), the next possible non-trivial differential is  $d_{4p-3}^X: E_{4p-3}^{0,0}(X) \rightarrow E_{4p-3}^{4p-3,4p-2}(X)$ . The target of this differential is zero, since it is above the horizontal vanishing line at  $s = h^2$  under our assumption. The same argument shows  $[\iota_X]$  does not support any higher differentials and is thus a permanent cycle. The rest of the proof is identical to that of Theorem 1.11.  $\square$

This finishes the first implication  $\text{II} \implies \text{I}$  in Section 0.2. The goal of this paper is to answer the following question:

**Question 1.20.** Is  $\kappa_h = 0$  when  $2p-1 = h^2$ ?

Proposition 1.19 implies this would be true if

$$H_c^{2p-1}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)) = H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)) = 0.$$

**1.3. A filtration on  $K(h)$ -local Picard groups.** The main results of this paper do not depend on this subsection. Following the construction above, one can define  $\kappa_h^{(1)} := \ker \text{ev}_2$  and construct the next algebraic detection map using the  $d_{4p-3}$ -differential:

$$\text{ev}_3: \kappa_h^{(1)} \longrightarrow E_{2p}^{4p-3,4p-4}(S^0) = E_{4p-3}^{4p-3,4p-4}(S^0).$$

Eventually, we get a filtration on  $\text{Pic}_{K(h)}$ :

(1.21)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \dots & & \dots \\ \text{Pic}_{K(h)}^{(m)} & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{m+2}} & E_{2m(p-1)+2}^{2(m+1)(p-1)+1, 2(m+1)(p-1)} \\ \text{Pic}_{K(h)}^{(1)} & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_3} & E_{2p}^{4p-3,4p-4} \\ \text{Pic}_{K(h)}^0 & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_2} & E_2^{2p-1, 2p-2} = H_c^{2p-1}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)) \\ \text{Pic}_{K(h)} & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_1} & \text{Pic}(\mathbf{G}_h\text{-}\pi_0(E_h)\text{-modules}) \simeq H_c^1(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)^\times) \\ \text{Pic}_{K(h)} & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_0} & \text{Pic}(\text{graded } (E_h)_*\text{-modules}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/2. \end{array}$$

Each term in this tower is the kernel of the horizontal detection map right below it. This filtration is Hausdorff since if  $X \in \bigcap_m \kappa_h^{(m)}$ , then

any unit in  $E_2^{0,0}(X)$  is a permanent cycle and the proof of Theorem 1.11 shows  $X$  is weakly equivalent to  $S_{K(h)}^0$ .

**Remark 1.22.** For each fixed  $p$  and  $h$ , (1.21) is actually a finite filtration on  $\kappa_h$ . This is because the HFPSS (1.12) for  $S_{K(h)}^0$  has a horizontal vanishing line on the  $E_n$ -page when  $n$  is large enough by [4, Theorem 2.3.9]. As a result, the target of  $ev_m$  will eventually be zero and  $\kappa_h^{(m)} = \kappa_h^{(m+1)} = \dots = 0$  when  $m \gg 0$ .

The right column in (1.21) is the 0-stem of a spectral sequence (similar to the one found in [20, Theorem 3.2.1]) to compute the homotopy groups of the Picard *spectrum*  $\mathbf{pic}_{K(h)}$  for  $\mathbf{Sp}_{K(h)}$ . Indeed,  $\pi_0(\mathbf{pic}_{K(h)}) = \text{Pic}_{K(h)}$ . In a recent preprint [12], Heard has claimed the following:

**Theorem 1.23.** *There is a descent spectral sequence for  $\mathbf{pic}_{K(h)}$  that converges when  $t - s \geq 0$ , whose  $E_2$ -page is:*

$$E_2^{s,t} = \begin{cases} 0, & t < 0; \\ H_c^s(\mathbf{G}_h; \mathbf{Z}/2), & t = 0; \\ H_c^s(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)^\times), & t = 1; \\ H_c^s(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{t-1}(E_h)), & t \geq 2, \end{cases} \implies \pi_{t-s}(\mathbf{pic}_{K(h)}).$$

**Remark 1.24.** *This spectral sequence is constructed using the following facts:*

- (1) *The functor  $\mathbf{pic}$  satisfies Galois descent (see [20, Theorem 2.3.2ff]). Since  $S_{K(h)}^0 \simeq E^{h\mathbf{G}}$ , we have  $\mathbf{pic}_{K(h)} \simeq \tau_{\geq 0}\mathbf{pic}(E)^{h\mathbf{G}}$ .*
- (2) *The spectrum  $E_h$  is an even-periodic  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring spectrum and  $\pi_0(E_h)$  is regular Noetherian. This yields*


$$\mathbf{pic}(E_h) \simeq \text{Pic}((E_h)_*) \times \Sigma\text{GL}_1(E_h) = \mathbf{Z}/2 \times \Sigma\text{GL}_1(E_h).$$

- (3) *The spectrum  $\text{GL}_1(E_h)$  above is the connective spectrum of units in the ring spectrum  $E_h$ , whose homotopy groups are:*

$$\pi_k(\text{GL}_1(E_h)) = \begin{cases} \pi_0(E_h)^\times, & k = 0; \\ \pi_k(E_h), & k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let's analyze the  $-1, 0, 1$ -columns on the  $E_2$ -page of the descent spectral sequence Theorem 1.23, illustrated below in Adams grading. On this page of the spectral sequence:

- $E_2^{0,0} = H_c^0(\mathbf{G}_h; \mathbf{Z}/2) = \mathbf{Z}/2$ . The non-zero element is a permanent cycle, since it represents  $S^1$  in  $\text{Pic}_{K(h)}$ . So  $E_\infty^{0,0} = E_2^{0,0} = \mathbf{Z}/2$ .
- $E_2^{0,1} = H_c^0(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)^\times) = \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ . This term does not support any higher differential, because they represent permanent cycles.



- $E_2^{1,0} = H_c^1(\mathbf{G}_h; \mathbf{Z}/2) = \mathbf{Z}/2$  when  $p > 2$ , and is  $\mathbf{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2$  when  $p = 2$ .
- $E_2^{1,1} = H_c^1(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)^\times) = \text{Pic}_{K(h)}^{alg,0}$ . For degree reasons, this term cannot be hit by a differential. But it may support one. As a result,  $E_\infty^{1,1}$  is a subgroup of  $H_c^1(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)^\times)$ .
- By Lemma 1.14, the next possibly nonzero terms in the  $-1, 0, 1$ -stems are when  $t = 2p - 1$ . In the  $0$ -stem, it is  $E_2^{2p-1,2p-1} = H_c^{2p-1}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h))$ . The only possible differential that could hit this term is  $d_{2p-1}: E_2^{0,1} \rightarrow E_2^{2p-1,2p-1}$ . But since elements in  $E_2^{0,1} = \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$  are all permanent cycles, this differential is zero. On the other hand, there is room for  $E_2^{2p-1,2p-1}$  to support a differential. As a result,  $E_\infty^{2p-1,2p-1}$  is a subgroup of  $E_2^{2p-1,2p-1} = H_c^{2p-1}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h))$ .

Now we can compare the  $E_\infty$ -page of the DSS in Theorem 1.23 and the filtration in (1.21). Notice when  $t \geq 2$ , the  $E_2^{s,t}$  in the DSS in Theorem 1.23 is the the same as  $E_2^{s,t-1}$  in HFPSS (1.12) for  $X = S_{K(h)}^0$ . The Picard group  $\text{Pic}_{K(h)} = \pi_0(\mathbf{pic}_{K(h)})$  is an extension of the

terms  $E_\infty^{s,s}$  in the DSS. More precisely, we have a descending filtration  $\text{Pic}_{K(h)} = F^0 \supseteq F^1 \supseteq F^2 \supseteq F^3 \supseteq \dots$  where the layers are related by short exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow F^{s+1} \longrightarrow F^s \longrightarrow E_\infty^{s,s} \longrightarrow 0, \quad s \geq 0.$$

As is mentioned in Remark 1.22, this is essentially a finite filtration since  $E_\infty^{s,s} = 0$  when  $s \gg 0$ . In this filtration, we have  $F^1 = \text{Pic}_{K(h)}^0$  and  $F^2 = F^3 = \dots = F^{2p-1} = \kappa_h$  is the exotic  $K(h)$ -local Picard group. The ev-maps can then be defined as composite maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & E_\infty^{0,0} \\ & \nearrow & \parallel \\ F^0 = \text{Pic}_{K(h)} & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_0} & E_2^{0,0} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & E_\infty^{2p-1,2p-1} \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ F^{2p-1} = \kappa_h & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_2} & E_2^{2p-1,2p-1} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & E_\infty^{1,1} \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ F^1 = \text{Pic}_{K(h)}^0 & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_1} & E_2^{1,1} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & E_\infty^{4p-3,4p-3} \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ F^{4p-3} = \kappa_h^{(1)} & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_3} & E_{2p}^{4p-3,4p-3} \end{array}$$

For  $\text{ev}_3$ , the only differential that can hit  $E_2^{4p-3,4p-3}$  is  $d_{2p-1}$ . So  $E_{2p}^{4p-3,4p-3}$  cannot be hit by a differential, but it may support one. As a result,  $E_\infty^{4p-3,4p-3}$  is a subgroup of  $E_{2p}^{4p-3,4p-3}$ .

From the factorizations above, we can see  $\text{ev}_1$  and  $\text{ev}_2$  are surjective precisely when  $E_2^{1,1} = E_\infty^{1,1}$  and  $E_2^{2p-1,2p-1} = E_\infty^{2p-1,2p-1}$ . This will be the case if the targets of the potential differentials supported at  $E_2^{1,1}$  and  $E_2^{2p-1,2p-1}$  are above the horizontal vanishing line on the  $E_2$ -page.

**Proposition 1.25.** *Suppose  $(p-1) \nmid h$ . Theorem 1.23 implies:*

- (1) [23, Remark 2.6] *The map  $\text{ev}_1: \text{Pic}_{K(h)}^0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{K(h)}^{\text{alg},0} := H_c^1(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)^\times)$  is an isomorphism when  $2p-1 > h^2$  and is a surjection when  $2p-1 = h^2$ .*
- (2) *The map  $\text{ev}_2: \kappa_h \rightarrow H_c^{2p-1}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h))$  is an isomorphism when  $4p-3 > h^2$  and is a surjection when  $4p-3 = h^2$ .*

*Proof.* The injectivity part are from Theorem 1.11 and Proposition 1.19, respectively.

By sparseness (Lemma 1.14), the first possible non-trivial differentials supported at the two terms are

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2p-1}: E_2^{1,1} &\longrightarrow E_2^{2p,2p-1} = H_c^{2p}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)), \\ d_{2p-1}: E_2^{2p-1,2p-1} &\longrightarrow E_2^{4p-2,4p-3} = H_c^{4p-2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{4p-4}(E_h)). \end{aligned}$$

Under the assumptions, the targets of the two  $d_{2p-1}$ -differentials are above the horizontal vanishing line at  $s = h^2$  in the respective cases. As a result, their targets vanish and  $E_2^{1,1} = E_\infty^{1,1}$ ,  $E_2^{2p-1,2p-1} = E_\infty^{2p-1,2p-1}$ . This proves the surjectivity part.  $\square$

**Remark 1.26.** *While the proof of Proposition 1.25 depends on Theorem 1.23, the statements have been verified independent of the descent spectral sequence in many cases, sometimes even without the assumption that  $(p-1) \nmid h$ :*

- (1) *The map  $\text{ev}_1$  is known to be surjective when*
  - $h = 1$  [15, Corollary 2.6 for  $p > 2$ , Lemma 3.4 for  $p = 2$ ].
  - $h = 2, p > 2$  [10, Theorem 2.9].
  - $2(p-1) > h^2 + h$  for general  $h$  and  $p$  [23, Theorem 2.5].
- (2) *The map  $\text{ev}_2$  is known to be an isomorphism when*
  - $h = 1, p = 2$  [10, Remark 3.3].
  - $h = 2, p = 3$  [10, Theorem 3.4].

We conclude this subsection by noting Theorem 1.23 implies the following:

**Corollary 1.27.** *When  $2p-1 = h^2$ , then the followings are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\text{ev}_1: \text{Pic}_{K(h)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}_{K(h)}^{\text{alg}}$  *is an isomorphism.*
- (2)  $\text{ev}_1: \text{Pic}_{K(h)}^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}_{K(h)}^{\text{alg},0}$  *is an isomorphism.*
- (3)  $\kappa_h := \ker \text{ev}_1 = 0$ .
- (4)  $H_c^{2p-1}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)) = H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)) = 0$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\iff$  (2) follows from Corollary 1.9. By Proposition 1.25,  $\text{ev}_1$  is surjective and  $\text{ev}_2$  is an isomorphism when  $2p-1 = h^2$ . This implies (2)  $\iff$  (3) and (3)  $\iff$  (4), respectively.  $\square$

## 2. DUALITY

At this point, we have established that there is an injection

$$\text{ev}_2: \kappa_h \rightarrow H_c^{2p-1}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h))$$

under the conditions that  $4p-3 > h^2$  and  $h$  is not divisible by  $p-1$  in Proposition 1.19. In particular, this is true when  $2p-1 = h^2$ . In light of this injection, we are thus interested in determining the group  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h))$ . The purpose of this section is reduce this computation using duality argument. We will prove the successive implications  $\text{II} \iff \text{III} \iff \text{IV} \iff \text{V}$  mentioned in Section 0.2:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Suppose  $(p-1) \nmid h$ .*

- (1)  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)) \simeq H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)/p)$ .

(2) For a general  $t \in \mathbf{Z}$ , we have

$$H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_t(E_h)/p) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{p \in J \trianglelefteq \pi_0(E_h)} H_c^0 \left( \mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2h-t-\frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}}(E_h) / J \right),$$

where  $J \trianglelefteq \pi_0(E_h)$  ranges through all opening invariant ideals containing  $p$  and  $N$  is the smallest integer such that  $v_h^{p^N}$  is invariant mod  $J$ .

**2.1. Reduction to mod- $p$  coefficients.** The purpose of this subsection is to prove (1) in Proposition 2.1. This is the second step III  $\implies$  II in Section 0.2.

**Lemma 2.2** (Bounded torsion, [11, page 8]). *The continuous group cohomology  $H_c^*(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)) = 0$  is  $p$ -torsion.*

**Proposition 2.3.** *If  $(p-1) \nmid h$ , then  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)/p)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M = \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)$ . There is a short exact sequence of  $\mathbf{G}_h$ - $\pi_0(E_h)$ -modules

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{p} M \longrightarrow M/p \longrightarrow 0.$$

This short exact sequence induces a long exact sequence in cohomology

$$(2.5) \quad \cdots \rightarrow H_c^k(\mathbf{G}_h; M) \xrightarrow{p} H_c^k(\mathbf{G}_h; M) \rightarrow H^k(\mathbf{G}_h; M/p) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^{k+1}(\mathbf{G}_h; M) \rightarrow \cdots$$

By Lemma 2.2, all the multiplication-by- $p$  maps in (2.5) are zero. Since  $p-1$  does not divide  $h$ ,  $\operatorname{cd}_p(\mathbf{G}) = h^2$  by Lemma 1.15. As a result, the cohomology groups  $H_c^s(\mathbf{G}_h; -) = 0$  when  $s > h^2$ . This means the long exact sequence (2.5) ends with

$$0 \rightarrow H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; M) \rightarrow H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; M/p) \rightarrow 0$$

and we get the desired isomorphism.  $\square$

**Remark 2.6.** *Let  $M = \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)$  as above. When  $s = 0$ , we have  $\delta: H_c^0(\mathbf{G}_h; M/p) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^1(\mathbf{G}_h; M)$ . When  $1 \leq s \leq h^2 - 1$ , there is a short exact sequence instead:*

$$0 \rightarrow H_c^s(\mathbf{G}_h; M) \rightarrow H_c^s(\mathbf{G}_h; M/p) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_c^{s+1}(\mathbf{G}_h; M) \rightarrow 0.$$

*Since all three groups above are  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -vector spaces, the short exact sequence splits (non-canonically). As a result, we have  $H_c^s(\mathbf{G}_h; M/p) \simeq H_c^s(\mathbf{G}_h; M) \oplus H_c^{s+1}(\mathbf{G}_h; M)$  for  $1 \leq s \leq h^2 - 1$ .*

**Remark 2.7.** *The claims above hold for any  $M = \pi_t(E_h)$ , where  $t = 2m(p-1)$  and  $p \nmid m$ .*

**2.2. Poincaré duality.** The Morava stabilizer group  $\mathbf{G}_h$  is not just a profinite group, but is also a compact  $p$ -adic Lie group of dimension  $h^2$ . This imposes a great deal more structure on its (co-)homology. Recall that for a property  $P$ , a profinite group  $G$  is said to be virtually  $P$  if there is an open normal subgroup of  $G$  which is  $P$ . Recall that a profinite group  $G$  has Poincaré duality of dimension  $d$  if

$$H_c^d(G, \mathbf{Z}_p[[G]]) \cong \mathbf{Z}_p$$

as abelian groups.

**Theorem 2.8** (Lazard, [30, Theorem 5.1.9]). *Let  $G$  be a compact  $p$ -adic analytic group. Then  $G$  is a virtual Poincaré duality group of dimension  $d = \dim G$ .*

In the case of the Morava stabilizer group,  $\mathbf{S}_h$  is a virtual Poincaré duality group of dimension  $h^2$ . When  $p - 1 \nmid h$ , then  $\mathbf{S}_h$  contains no  $p$ -torsion subgroups. In fact, its maximal finite subgroup is cyclic of order  $p^h - 1$  [1, Table 5.3.1]. Under this situation,  $\mathbf{S}_h$  is in fact a Poincaré duality group of dimension  $h^2$  (as opposed to a *virtual* one).

Now  $G$  being a profinite group having Poincaré duality of dimension  $n$  implies that there is a *dualizing module*  $D(G)$  such that there are natural isomorphisms

$$H_c^{n-k}(G; -) \longrightarrow H_k^c(G; D(G) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{Z}_p} -)$$

and

$$H_{n-k}^c(G; -) \longrightarrow H_c^k(G; \text{hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(D_p(G), -)).$$

The dualizing module  $D(G)$  is given by

$$D(G) = H_c^n(G; \mathbf{Z}_p[[G]]).$$

Note that, as the coefficients  $\mathbf{Z}_p[[G]]$  has a left  $G$ -action, the dualizing module  $D(G)$  has a corresponding right  $G$ -action. See [5, §4.5] for further details.

In the case when  $G$  is the Morava Stabilizer group  $\mathbf{G}_h$ , Strickland has calculated the dualizing module  $D(\mathbf{G}_h)$  along with its  $\mathbf{G}_h$ -action.

**Theorem 2.9** (Strickland, [29]). *As a  $\mathbf{G}_h$ -module,  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \mathbf{Z}_p[[\mathbf{G}]])) \cong \mathbf{Z}_p$  has the trivial  $\mathbf{G}_h$ -action.*

**Corollary 2.10.** *Assume  $(p - 1) \nmid h$ . The dualizing module for  $\mathbf{G}_h$  is  $\mathbf{Z}_p^\vee \cong \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$  with the trivial  $\mathbf{G}_h$ -action. Hence, we have a duality*

$$H_c^{h^2-k}(\mathbf{G}_h; M) \cong H_k^c(\mathbf{G}_h; M)$$

*that is natural in  $M$ .*



As usual, this also induces a version of Poincaré duality in purely cohomological terms when  $(p-1) \nmid h$ : (see [27, Proposition 30 in §I.4.5] and [5, Theorem 4.26])

$$(2.11) \quad H_c^k(\mathbf{G}_h; M) \cong H_c^{h^2-k}(\mathbf{G}_h; M^\vee)^\vee,$$

where  $(-)^\vee$  denotes the Pontryagin dual  $\text{hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(M, \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p)$ . We endow  $M^\vee$  with a left  $G$ -action via

$$(g \cdot f)(x) := f(g^{-1}x).$$

**Corollary 2.12.** *Assume  $(p-1) \nmid h$ . We have the following duality:*

$$(2.13) \quad H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{S}_h; \pi_t(E_h)) \simeq H_0(\mathbf{S}_h; \pi_t(E_h)), \quad H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{S}_h; \pi_t(E_h)/p) \simeq H_0(\mathbf{S}_h; \pi_t(E_h)/p);$$

$$(2.14) \quad H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{S}_h; \pi_t(E_h)) \simeq H_c^0(\mathbf{S}_h; \pi_t(E_h)^\vee)^\vee, \quad H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{S}_h; \pi_t(E_h)/p) \simeq H_c^0(\mathbf{S}_h; (\pi_t(E_h)/p)^\vee)^\vee.$$

**Remark 2.15.** *Using the duality (2.13), we can give another proof of Proposition 2.3 by showing:*

- (1) *The group homology  $H_*(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h))$  is  $p$ -torsion. This is because the orbit of the action by  $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times \subseteq \mathbf{S}_h$  is already  $p$ -torsion.*
- (2) *Apply  $H_*$  to the short exact sequence (2.4) to get the a long exact sequence like (2.5). Equivalently, we are essentially applying (2.13) to every term in (2.5).*

**2.3. Gross-Hopkins duality.** Now we want to use (2.14) to compute  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; M/p)$  where  $M = E_t$ . To do so, we have to identify the  $\mathbf{G}_h$ -equivariant Pontryagin dual of  $M$ . This is realized by Gross-Hopkins duality.

**Remark 2.16.** *For the purpose of Question 1.20, we only need to study the case when  $t = 2p - 2$ . Later for the Vanishing Conjecture, we also need the  $t = 0$  case. So we will give a uniform treatment for all  $t \in \mathbf{Z}$  in the remainder of this section.*

We remind the reader the definition of the determinant twist. The group  $\mathbf{S}_h$  can be realized as a subgroup of  $\text{GL}_h(\mathbf{W})$ . Thus, taking the determinant, we have a map

$$\det: \mathbf{S}_h \rightarrow \mathbf{W}^\times.$$

It turns out that this map actually factors through  $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ . We extend this to the extended Morava stabilizer group via the composite

$$\det: \mathbf{G}_h \cong \mathbf{S}_h \rtimes \text{Gal} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^\times \times \text{Gal} \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} \mathbf{Z}_p^\times.$$

This results in a  $\mathbf{G}_h$ -action on  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ .

**Definition 2.17.** The  $\mathbf{G}_h$ -action on  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  above is denoted by  $\mathbf{Z}_p\langle\det\rangle$ . Given a Morava module  $M$  we write  $M\langle\det\rangle$  for the Morava module

$$M\langle\det\rangle \cong M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathbf{Z}_p\langle\det\rangle$$

with the diagonal  $\mathbf{G}_h$ -action. We refer to  $M\langle\det\rangle$  as the *determinant twist* of  $M$ .

**Theorem 2.18** (Gross-Hopkins, [29]). *Let  $\mathfrak{m} \trianglelefteq \pi_0(E_h)$  be the maximal ideal.*

(1) *There is a  $\mathbf{G}_h$ -equivariant perfect pairing of  $\mathbf{G}_h$ - $\pi_0(E_h)$ -modules:*

$$\rho: \pi_0(E_h)/\mathfrak{m}^\infty \otimes_{\pi_0(E_h)} \Omega^{h-1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p,$$

where  $\Omega^{h-1}$  is the  $\mathbf{G}_h$ -equivariant canonical line bundle over  $LT_h$ , the Lubin-Tate deformation space of height  $h$  formal groups.

(2) *The canonical line bundle  $\Omega^{h-1}$  is  $\mathbf{G}_h$ -equivariantly equivalent to the bundle  $\omega^{\otimes h}\langle\det\rangle$  over the Lubin-Tate deformation, where  $\omega = \pi_2(E_h)$  is the sheaf of invariant of differentials and  $\langle\det\rangle$  is the determinant twist.*

**Corollary 2.19.** *The  $\mathbf{G}_h$ -equivariant Pontryagin dual of  $\pi_t(E_h)$  is*

$$(\pi_t(E_h))^\vee \simeq (\pi_{2h-t}(E_h))\langle\det\rangle/\mathfrak{m}^\infty.$$

Applying (2.11), we have proved:

$$(2.20) \quad H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_t(E_h)) \simeq H_c^0(\mathbf{G}_h; (\pi_{2h-t}(E_h))\langle\det\rangle/\mathfrak{m}^\infty)^\vee.$$

The formula holds with  $\pi_t(E_h)$  replaced by  $\pi_t(E_h)/p$ . This yields the third implication IV  $\implies$  III in Section 0.2 when  $t = 2p - 2$ . We now describe the quotient mod  $\mathfrak{m}^\infty$ . Let  $M$  be a  $\mathbf{G}_h$ - $\pi_0(E_h)$ -module, we define

$$M/\mathfrak{m}^\infty := \operatorname{colim}_{J \trianglelefteq \pi_0(E_h)} M/J$$

where  $J$  ranges over all invariant ideals of  $\pi_0(E_h)$ . Similarly, in the mod- $p$  case, we have

$$M/(p) + \mathfrak{m}^\infty := \operatorname{colim}_{p \in J \trianglelefteq \pi_0(E_h)} M/J,$$

where  $J$  ranges over all invariant ideals of  $\pi_0(E_h)$  containing  $p$ . Notice this is a filtered colimit, and the group  $\mathbf{G}_h$  is topologically finitely generated (since it is a finite dimensional  $p$ -adic Lie group), we have

**Proposition 2.21.** *There are isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{colim}_{J \trianglelefteq E_h} H_c^0(\mathbf{G}_h; M/J) &\xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^0(\mathbf{G}_h; M/\mathfrak{m}^\infty), \\ \operatorname{colim}_{p \in J \trianglelefteq E_h} H_c^0(\mathbf{G}_h; M/J) &\xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^0(\mathbf{G}_h; M/(p) + \mathfrak{m}^\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Now set  $M = E_{2h-2p+2}\langle \det \rangle$ . In order to prove

$$H_c^0(\mathbf{G}_h; M/(p) + \mathfrak{m}^\infty)^\vee = 0,$$

it suffices to show  $H_c^0(\mathbf{G}_h; M/J) = 0$  for a cofinal system of invariant ideals  $J \trianglelefteq \pi_0(E_h)$  containing  $p$ . To do that, we need to identify the determinant twist  $\pi_0(E_h)\langle \det \rangle \bmod p$ . The following theorem was originally stated in [14, Corollary 7] and a nice proof appears in [11, Theorem 1.32]:

**Theorem 2.22.** *There is an isomorphism of  $\mathbf{G}_h$ - $\pi_0(E_h)$ -modules:*

$$\pi_0(E_h)\langle \det \rangle/p \simeq \pi_0 \left( \varinjlim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1} E_h \right) / p.$$

More precisely, let  $J \trianglelefteq \pi_0(E_h)$  be an open invariant ideal containing  $p$ , such that  $v_h^{p^N}$  is invariant modulo  $J$ , then

$$\pi_0(E_h)\langle \det \rangle/J \simeq \pi_0 \left( \Sigma \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1} E_h \right) / J.$$

**Remark 2.23.** *Suppose  $v_h^{p^{N'}}$  is also invariant mod  $J$  for some  $N' < N$ . Then*

$$\pi_0(E_h)\langle \det \rangle/J \simeq \pi_0 \left( \Sigma \frac{p^{N'} |v_h|}{p-1} E_h \right) / J.$$

*This is compatible with the statement in Theorem 2.22. This is because*

$$\frac{p^{N'} |v_h|}{p-1} \equiv \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1} \pmod{p^{N'} |v_h|} \implies \pi_0 \left( \Sigma \frac{p^{N'} |v_h|}{p-1} E_h \right) / J \simeq \pi_0 \left( \Sigma \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1} E_h \right) / J.$$

For each open invariant ideal  $J$ , there is a minimum  $N$  such that  $v_h^{p^N}$  is invariant mod  $J$ . It follows from this proposition that

$$M/J = \pi_{2h-2p+2}(E_h)\langle \det \rangle/J \simeq \pi_{2h-2p+2-\frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}}(E_h) / J.$$

Combining all the duality arguments in Corollary 2.12 and Corollary 2.19 with the identification of  $\pi_0(E_h)\langle \det \rangle \bmod p$  in Theorem 2.22, we have proved part (2) in Proposition 2.1.

**Proposition 2.24.** *Suppose  $(p-1) \nmid h$ . Then there is an isomorphism:*

$$H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_t(E_h)/p) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{p \in J \trianglelefteq \pi_0(E_h)} H_c^0 \left( \mathbf{S}_h; \pi_{2h-t-\frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}}(E_h) / J \right)^{\text{Gal}},$$

where  $J \trianglelefteq \pi_0(E_h)$  ranges through all opening invariant ideals containing  $p$  and  $N$  is the smallest integer such that  $v_h^{p^N}$  is invariant mod  $J$ .

From this, we get the implication  $V \implies IV$  in Section 0.2. Consequently, Question 1.20 now reduces to checking

$$(2.25) \quad H_c^0 \left( \mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2h-2p+2-\frac{p^N|v_h|}{p-1}}(E_h) \Big/ J \right) = 0$$

for a confinal system of invariant ideals  $J$  containing  $p$ , where  $N$  is the smallest number such that  $v_h^{p^N}$  is invariant mod  $J$ .

**2.4. The Chromatic Vanishing Conjecture.** A closely related computation is the Chromatic Vanishing Conjecture. Consider the natural inclusion  $\iota : \mathbf{W} \hookrightarrow \pi_0(E_h)$ , which is  $\mathbf{G}_h$ -equivariant. Explicit computations at height 2 in [2, 4, 10, 13, 19, 28] show that this inclusion induces isomorphisms in group cohomology of  $\mathbf{G}_2$  for all primes and degrees. Observing this phenomenon, Hans-Werner Henn first raised the question if there is a conceptual reason for the isomorphisms. This leads to a more general conjecture:

**Conjecture 2.26** (Chromatic Vanishing Conjecture, [3, Conjecture 1.1], [4, Conjecture 1.1.4]). The followings are true for all heights  $h$ , primes  $p$ , and (co)-homological degrees  $s$ :

- (1) (Integral) The continuous group cohomology and homology of  $\text{coker}(\iota)$  vanish so that

$$\iota_* : H_c^s(\mathbf{G}_h; \mathbf{W}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^s(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)), \quad \iota_* : H_s(\mathbf{G}_h; \mathbf{W}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_s(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)).$$

- (2) (Reduced) The continuous group cohomology and homology of  $\text{coker}(\iota \otimes \mathbf{W}/p)$  vanish so that

$$\iota_* : H_c^s(\mathbf{G}_h; \mathbf{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^s(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)/p), \quad \iota_* : H_s(\mathbf{G}_h; \mathbf{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_s(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)/p).$$

**Remark 2.27** ([3, page 692]).

- (1) By Corollary 2.10 and (2.11), the cohomological and homological versions of Conjecture 2.26 are equivalent when  $(p-1) \nmid h$ .
- (2) The reduced version of conjecture implies the integral version by the Five Lemma and a  $\lim^1$  exact sequence.
- (3) The conjecture is a tautology when  $h = 1$ , since  $\mathbf{Z}_p^\times$  acts on  $\pi_0(E_1) \simeq \mathbf{Z}_p = \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{F}_p)$  trivially.
- (4) At  $h = 2$ , the conjecture has been proved for all primes.
- (5) The proof for  $s = 0$  at all heights can be found in [8, Lemma 1.33].

**Remark 2.28** (Hopkins, [16, §5.3]; See also [6, Theorem 8.1]). When  $h = 2$  and  $p \geq 3$ , the Vanishing Conjecture in cohomological degree 1 can be used to show

$$\text{Pic}_{K(2)}^{alg,0} \simeq H_c^1(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)^\times) \xleftarrow[\sim]{\iota_*} H_c^1(\mathbf{G}_h; \mathbf{W}^\times) \simeq \mathbf{Z}_p \oplus \mathbf{Z}_p \oplus \mathbf{Z}/(p^2 - 1).$$

Combined with Proposition 1.19 and Remark 1.26, we know  $\mathrm{Pic}_{K(2)}^{\mathrm{alg}} \cong \mathrm{Pic}_{K(2)} \cong \mathbf{Z}_p \oplus \mathbf{Z}_p \oplus \mathbf{Z}/|v_2|$  when  $p \geq 5$ . The summand  $\mathbf{Z}/|v_2|$  reflects the periodicity of the finite complex  $V(1) := S^0/(p, v_1)$ . In addition, the group is topologically generated by  $S_{K(2)}^1$  and  $S_{K(2)}^0 \langle \det \rangle$ . Those two generators are related by Theorem 2.22 and the fact that  $\mathrm{ev}_1: \mathrm{Pic}_{K(2)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Pic}_{K(2)}^{\mathrm{alg}}$  is an isomorphism when  $p \geq 5$ :

$$S^0 \langle \det \rangle \otimes_{K(2)} V(1) \simeq S^{2(p+1)} \otimes_{K(2)} V(1).$$

The case of Conjecture 2.26 relevant to Question 1.20 is if the following holds when  $(p-1) \nmid h$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_*: \mathbf{F}_p &= H_0(\mathbf{G}_h; \mathbf{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_0(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)/p) \\ \iff \iota_*: \mathbf{F}_p &= H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \mathbf{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)/p). \end{aligned}$$

As this is the reduced version of Conjecture 2.26 in homological degree 0, we will call it the **Reduced Homological Vanishing Conjecture** (RHVC). It follows immediately that

$$\text{(RHVC)} \quad H_0(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)/p) \simeq H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)/p) \simeq \mathbf{F}_p.$$

This is the formula we want to prove. Setting  $t = 0$  in Proposition 2.24, we get an isomorphism when  $(p-1) \nmid h$ :

$$H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)/p) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{p \in J \leq \pi_0(E_h)} H_c^0 \left( \mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2h - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}}(E_h) / J \right).$$

As a result, to prove (RHVC), it suffices to show that

$$(2.29) \quad H_c^0 \left( \mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2h - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}}(E_h) / J \right) = \mathbf{F}_p$$

for a confinal system of invariant ideals  $J$  containing  $p$ , where  $N$  is the smallest number such that  $v_h^{p^N}$  is invariant mod  $J$ , and that the structure maps in the colimit are non-zero.

### 3. GREEK LETTER ELEMENTS

**3.1. The change of rings theorem.** In this section we will prove the main theorems. The first step is to translate (2.25) and (2.29) to **Greek letter element** computations in chromatic homotopy theory. We refer readers to [21, §1 and §3] and [25, §5.1] for an introduction. The transition from  $\mathbf{G}_h$ - $\pi_0(E_h)$ -modules to  $BP_*BP$ -comodules is achieved by the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.1** (Morava's Change of Rings Theorem, [9, Theorem 6.5]).  
 Let  $M$  be a  $BP_*BP$ -comodule that is  $I_h = (p, v_1, \dots, v_{h-1})$ -nilpotent.  
 Then there is a natural isomorphism:

$$\text{Ext}_{BP_*BP}^{s,t}(BP_*, v_h^{-1}M) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^s(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_t(E_h) \otimes_{BP_*} M),$$

$$r(v_i) = \begin{cases} u_i u^{1-p^i}, & i < h; \\ u^{1-p^h}, & i = h; \\ 0, & i > h. \end{cases}$$

Let  $p \in J \trianglelefteq \pi_0(E_h)$  be an open invariant ideal containing  $p$ . For our computation,  $M$  is a  $BP_*BP$ -comodule such that

$$\pi_0(E_h) \otimes_{BP_*} M \simeq \pi_0(E_h)/J.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** When  $J = (p, u_1^{j_1}, \dots, u_{h-1}^{j_{h-1}})$ , we can take  $M := BP_*/J'$ , where  $J' = (p, v_1^{j_1}, \dots, v_{h-1}^{j_{h-1}})$ .

The implication VI  $\implies$  V in Section 0.2 then follows from Theorem 3.1. We now need to compute  $\text{Ext}_{BP_*BP}^{0,t}(BP_*, v_h^{-1}BP_*/J')$  for a family of invariant ideals  $J'$  and certain values of  $t$ .

**3.2. Families of Greek letter elements.** From now on, for a graded  $BP_*BP$ -comodule  $M$ , we will write

$$H^{0,t}(v_h^{-1}M) := \text{Ext}_{BP_*BP}^{0,t}(BP_*, v_h^{-1}M).$$

Suppose  $J' = (p, v_1^{j_1}, \dots, v_{h-1}^{j_{h-1}})$  for some  $j_i \geq 0$ . The right hand term can be more explicitly identified as the the primitives of degree  $t$  in the comodule  $M_1^{h-1} := v_h^{-1}BP_*/(p, v_1^\infty, \dots, v_{h-1}^\infty)$ , such that the orders of  $v_i$ 's in the denominator are at most  $j_i$ . From this we establish the final implication VII  $\implies$  VI in Section 0.2.

As a result, we need to compute  $H^{0,t}(M_1^{h-1})$ . The computation of this Ext-group in general heights are beyond our reach in general, but we can at least place elements within three distinct families.

**Proposition 3.3.** As an  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -vector space,  $H^{0,*}(M_1^{h-1})$  is generated by elements of the following families:

- I.  $\frac{v_h^s}{pv_1 \cdots v_{h-1}}$ , where  $(s, p) = 1$ .
- II.  $\frac{1}{pv_1^{d_1} \cdots v_{h-1}^{d_{h-1}}}$ , where  $(p, v_1^{d_1}, \dots, v_{h-1}^{d_{h-1}})$  is an invariant ideal.
- III.  $\frac{y_{h,N}^s}{pv_1^{d_1} \cdots v_{h-1}^{d_{h-1}}}$ , where  $y_{h,N}$  is some replacement of  $v_h^{p^N}$ ,  $(s, p) = 1$  and  $(p, v_1^{d_1}, \dots, v_{h-1}^{d_{h-1}}, y_{h,N}^s)$  is invariant regular ideal.

Here, the degrees of elements are given by:

$$\left| \frac{y_{h,N}^s}{pv_1^{d_1} \cdots v_{h-1}^{d_{h-1}}} \right| = sp^N |v_h| - \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} d_i |v_i|.$$

*Proof.* Recall that there are short exact sequences (see cf. [21])

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{k+1}^{i-1} \xrightarrow{j} M_k^i \xrightarrow{\cdot v_k} M_k^i \longrightarrow 0,$$

which leads to the  $v_k$ -Bockstein spectral sequence

$$H^{s,t}(M_{k+1}^{i-1}) \otimes \mathbf{F}_p[v_k]/(v_k^\infty) \implies H^{s,t}(M_k^i).$$

We then have a sequence of Bockstein spectral sequences which take us from the cohomology of  $M_h^0$  to  $M_1^{h-1}$ . That we have the first two families follows from well-known  $\text{BP}_* \text{BP}$  formulas.

For the third family, note that in any given Bockstein spectral sequence the 0-line of the  $E_\infty$ -page is spanned by elements of the form

$$v_k^{-d_k} \frac{v_h^{sp^N}}{pv_1 \cdots v_{k-1} v_{k+1}^{d_{k+1}} \cdots v_{h-1}^{d_{h-1}}},$$

where  $(s, p) = 1$ . Of course, as this a spectral sequence, this is not the answer, but rather the associated graded of the answer. In particular, to get a genuine element of  $H^0(M_k^i)$ , we may have to add terms in higher Bockstein filtration. This accounts for the third family.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** For a particular example where one has to add correcting terms, a classic example arises from the  $v_1$ -Bockstein spectral sequence

$$H^*(M_2^0) \otimes \mathbf{F}_p[v_1]/(v_1^\infty) \implies H^*(M_1^1)$$

for primes  $p \geq 5$ . For example, as shown in [25] and [21] (cf. [6] for another account) the class  $v_1^{-(p^2+1)} v_2^{p^2}$  in the  $E_1$ -page of the  $v_1$ -BSS is a permanent cycle and so detects a class in  $H^0(M_1^1)$ . However, the element it detects is

$$\frac{v_2^{p^2}}{v_1^{p^2+1}} - \frac{v_2^{p^2-p+1}}{v_1^2} - \frac{v_2^{-p} v_3^p}{v_1} \in M_1^1.$$

The point here is that the correcting terms are in lower Bockstein filtration.

We now analyze degrees of elements in the three families in  $H^0(M_1^{h-1})$  and study the degrees of corresponding elements in  $H^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_*(E_h))$  under duality. In **Family I**, the degrees of elements are given by:

$$(3.5) \quad \left| \frac{v_h^s}{pv_1 \cdots v_{h-1}} \right| = s|v_h| - \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} |v_i| = s|v_h| + 2h - \frac{|v_h|}{p-1}.$$

**Proposition 3.6.** *For each open invariant ideal  $J \trianglelefteq \pi_0(E_h)$  containing  $p$ , elements in Family I determine a copy of  $\mathbf{F}_p$  in  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)/J)$  via Gross-Hopkins duality Proposition 2.24 and the change-of-rings Theorem 3.1.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $v_h^{p^N}$  is invariant modulo  $J$ . By (2.29) and Theorem 3.1, we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)/J) &\simeq \left( H_c^0 \left( \mathbf{G}_h; E_{2h - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}} / J \right) \right)^\vee \\ &\simeq \left( H^{0, 2h - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}}(M_1^{h-1}/J') \right)^\vee, \end{aligned}$$

where  $J' \trianglelefteq BP_*$  is an invariant ideal corresponding to  $J$  as in Lemma 3.2. By construction, elements in Family I are in  $H^{0,*}(M_1^{h-1}/J')$  for all  $J'$ . To prove the claim, we need to compare the degrees of Family I elements (3.5) and the target degree  $2h - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}$  above. Notice the  $BP_*BP$ -comodule  $M_1^{h-1}/J'$  is  $p^N |v_h|$ -periodic by assumption. This means we need to solve for  $s$  from the residue equation:

$$2h - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1} = s|v_h| + 2h - \frac{|v_h|}{p-1} \pmod{p^N |v_h|}.$$

This yields  $s \equiv -\frac{p^N - 1}{p-1} \pmod{p^N |v_h|}$ , which is coprime to  $p$ . As a result, the element

$$\frac{v_h^{mp^N} \cdot v_h^{-\frac{p^N - 1}{p-1}}}{pv_1 \cdots v_{h-1}} \in H^{0, 2h - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}}(M_1^{h-1})$$

contributes to a copy of  $\mathbf{F}_p \subseteq H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)/J)$  for some  $m$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *Elements in Family I contribute to  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_t(E_h)/p)$  via Gross-Hopkins duality Proposition 2.24 and the change-of-rings Theorem 3.1 only when  $|v_h|$  divides  $t$ .*

As a result, we can prove (2.25) and (2.29) by showing elements in Families II and III do not contribute to  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)/J)$  and  $H_0(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)/J)$  for any open invariant ideal  $J$  containing  $p$ .

Now suppose an element  $\frac{1}{pv_1^{d_1} \cdots v_{h-1}^{d_{h-1}}}$  in **Family II** determines a non-zero element in  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_t(E_h)/J)$ , where  $v_h^{p^N}$  is invariant modulo  $J$ .



Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\sum_{i=1}^{h-1} d_i |v_i| &\equiv 2h - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1} - t \pmod{p^N |v_h|} \\ \implies t &\equiv 2h + \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} d_i |v_i| - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1} \pmod{p^N |v_h|}. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the bounds for  $t$ , we use the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.8** (Baird, [21, Lemma 7.6]). *Let  $s_1, \dots, s_h$  be a sequence of positive integers, and let  $p^{e_i}$  be the largest power of  $p$  dividing  $s_i$ . Then the sequence*

$$p, v_1^{s_1}, \dots, v_n^{s_n}$$

*is an invariant ideal if and only if  $s_i \leq p^{e_i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i < n$ .*

In our case  $s_h = p^N$ , so the largest possible values of  $d_i$  is when  $d_1 = d_2 = \dots = d_{h-1} = p^N$ . The smallest possible value is when all the  $d_i$ 's are 1. From this we get:

$$(3.9) \quad -\frac{(p^N - 1)|v_h|}{p-1} \leq t \leq 2h(1 - p^N) \pmod{p^N |v_h|}.$$

**Proposition 3.10.** *Elements in Family II contribute to  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_t(E_h)/J)$  via Gross-Hopkins duality Proposition 2.24 and the change-of-rings Theorem 3.1 only when  $t$  satisfies (3.9), where  $v_h^{p^N}$  is invariant modulo  $J$ .*

**Corollary 3.11.** *As the residue class of  $t = 0$  or  $2p - 2$  never falls into the bounds in (3.9), elements in Family II do not contribute to  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)/p)$  or  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)/p)$ .*

Now it remains to analyze elements in **Family III**. The precise computation of elements in the family is out of the reach of current technology in general. When  $h = 2$ , this was computed by Miller-Ravenel-Wilson in [21]. In the next subsection, we will study the implications of their computations. Nevertheless, we can get some general bounds for the  $d_i$ 's that would imply the RHVC and vanishing of  $\kappa_h$  when  $2p - 1 = h^2$ .

**Proposition 3.12.**

(1) *Elements in Family III do not contribute through Gross-Hopkins duality and the change-of-rings theorem to  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)/p)$  if for all invariant ideals of the form  $J = (p, v_1^{d_1}, \dots, v_{h-1}^{d_{h-1}}, y_{h,N}^s)$ , we have*

$$(3.13) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} d_i |v_i| < \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1} - 2h.$$

(2) Similarly, these elements do not contribute through Gross-Hopkins duality and the change-of-rings theorem to  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)/p)$  if for all invariant ideals of the form  $(p, v_1^{d_1}, \dots, v_{h-1}^{d_{h-1}}, y_{h,N}^s)$ , we have

$$(3.14) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} d_i |v_i| < \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1} - 2h + 2p - 2.$$

*Proof.* Similar to the Family II cases, suppose an element  $\frac{y_{h,N}^s}{p v_1^{d_1} \dots v_{h-1}^{d_{h-1}}}$  in Family III corresponds to non-zero element in  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_t(E_h)/J)$ , where  $v_h^{p^N}$  is invariant modulo  $J$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} s|y_{h,N}| - \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} d_i |v_i| &\equiv 2h - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1} - t \pmod{p^N |v_h|} \\ \implies t &\equiv 2h + \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} d_i |v_i| - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1} \pmod{p^N |v_h|}. \end{aligned}$$

We want to show  $t$  cannot be congruent to 0 or  $2p-2$  from this residue equation. Similar to the Family II case, the smallest possible values of  $d_i$ 's is 1. From this, we get the same lower bound for  $t$  as in (3.9):

$$t \geq 2h + \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} |v_i| - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1} = \frac{(1-p^N)|v_h|}{p-1}.$$

The RHS of this inequality is greater than both  $-p^N |v_h|$  and  $-p^N |v_h| + 2p - 2$ . The bounds (3.13) implies  $t < 0$  in the residue equation. The lower and upper bounds together show that  $t \not\equiv 0$  in the residue equation. Similarly, we can show the other bound (3.14) implies  $t \not\equiv 2p - 2$  in the residue equation.  $\square$

The analysis above yields:

**Theorem 3.15** (Main Theorem C).

- (1) Suppose  $p-1 \nmid h$ . If the bounds (3.13) hold, then RHVC is true.
- (2) Suppose  $2p-1 = h^2$ . If the bounds (3.14) hold, then the bounds imply  $\kappa_h = 0$ . In particular, the first bounds (3.13) imply both RHVC and  $\kappa_h = 0$  in this case.

*Proof.* In Proposition 2.24, we showed there is an isomorphism of groups using the duality theorems:

$$H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_t(E_h)/p) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{p \in J \trianglelefteq \pi_0(E_h)} H_c^0 \left( \mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2h-t-\frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}}(E_h) / J \right)^\vee,$$

where  $J \trianglelefteq \pi_0(E_h)$  ranges through all open invariant ideals containing  $p$  and  $v_h^{p^N}$  is invariant mod  $J$ . Recall:

- (1) Combining the Poincaré duality between homology and cohomology (2.13) and the isomorphism above, we proved in (2.29) RHVC reduces the computation:

$$H_c^0 \left( \mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2h - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}}(E_h) / J \right) = \mathbf{F}_p.$$

- (2) By Proposition 1.19,  $\kappa_h$  injects into  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h))$  when  $2p-1 = h^2$ . The latter is isomorphic to  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)/p)$  by Proposition 2.3. In (2.29), we concluded the vanishing of  $\kappa_h$  would follow from

$$H_c^0 \left( \mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2h - (2p-2) - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}}(E_h) / J \right) = 0.$$

By the Change-of-Rings Theorem 3.1, the two degree-zero cohomology groups are identified with Ext-groups of  $BP_*BP$ -comodule  $BP_*/J'$  in the corresponding internal degrees. They can be further viewed as a subgroups of  $H^{0,*}(M_1^{h-1})$ . So we need to show

$$H^{0,*}(M_1^{h-1}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{F}_p & * = 2h - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}, & \text{for RHVC;} \\ 0 & * = 2h - (2p-2) - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}, & \text{for } \kappa_h = 0. \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 3.3, elements in  $H^{0,*}(M_1^{h-1})$  are classified into three families:

- Corollary 3.7 says elements in Family I contribute a copy of  $\mathbf{F}_p$  to  $H^{0,*}(M_1^{h-1})$  when  $* = 2h - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}$ . They have no contribution when  $* = 2h - (2p-2) - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}$ .
- Corollary 3.11 shows elements in Family II do not contribute to  $H^{0,*}(M_1^{h-1})$  when  $* = 2h - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}$  or  $2h - (2p-2) - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}$ .
- The two bounds (3.13) and (3.14) in Proposition 3.12 would respectively imply Family III elements do not contribute to  $H^{0,*}(M_1^{h-1})$  when  $* = 2h - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}$  or  $2h - (2p-2) - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}$ .

Combining the three families above, we conclude the two bounds (3.13) and (3.14) in Proposition 3.12 would respectively imply

$$\begin{aligned} H^{0, 2h - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}}(M_1^{h-1}) = \mathbf{F}_p &\implies \text{RHVC}, \\ H^{0, 2h - (2p-2) - \frac{p^N |v_h|}{p-1}}(M_1^{h-1}) = 0 &\implies \kappa_h = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As the first bound (3.13) is stronger than the second (3.14), it would imply both RHVC and  $\kappa_h = 0$  when  $2p-1 = h^2$ .  $\square$

### 3.3. Consequences of the Miller-Ravenel-Wilson computation.

Let  $M_{h-1}^1 := v_h^{-1}BP_*/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{h-2}, v_{h-1}^\infty)$ . In this subsection, we discuss some consequences of the computations in [21] on RHVC when  $(p-1) \nmid h$  and the exotic Picard groups when  $2p-1 = h^2$ . The computations at height 2 are given by:

**Theorem 3.16** (Miller-Ravenel-Wilson, [21, Theorem 5.3]).

$$H^{0,*}(M_1^1) \simeq_{\mathbf{F}_p} \left\{ \frac{v_2^s}{pv_1} \mid s \in \mathbf{Z}, p \nmid s \right\} \oplus \mathbf{F}_p \left\{ \frac{1}{pv_1^j} \mid j \geq 1 \right\} \\ \oplus \mathbf{F}_p \left\{ \frac{x_N^s}{pv_1^{e_1}} \mid N \geq 1, s \in \mathbf{Z}, p \nmid s, 1 \leq e_1 \leq p^N + p^{N-1} - 1 \right\},$$

where  $\left| \frac{x_N^s}{pv_1^{e_1}} \right| = sp^N|v_2| - e_1|v_1|$ .

Using Gross-Hopkins duality Proposition 2.24, the results above imply the top degree cohomology groups of  $\mathbf{G}_2$  with coefficients in  $\pi_t(E_2)/p$  are:

**Proposition 3.17.** *Let  $[\alpha] \in H_c^4(\mathbf{G}_2; \pi_t(E_2)/p)$  be a non-zero cohomology class. If  $[\alpha]$  corresponds to an element  $\frac{x_N^s}{pv_1^{e_1}} \in H^{0,*}(M_1^1)$  for some  $N \geq 1$  via Gross-Hopkins duality, then*

$$t \equiv -\frac{(p^N - 1)|v_2|}{p-1} + (e_1 - 1)|v_1| \pmod{p^N|v_2|}.$$

*Proof.* By assumption, the element  $\frac{x_N^s}{pv_1^{e_1}}$  is in the image of  $H^{0, sp^N|v_2| - e_1|v_1|}(M_1^1/J)$  for some  $J$  containing  $p$  where  $BP_*/J$  has a  $v_2^{p^N}$ -self map. The Poincaré duality (2.13) gives an isomorphism:

$$H_c^4(\mathbf{G}_2; \pi_t(E_2)/p) \cong H_c^0(\mathbf{G}_2; \pi_{4-t}(E_2)\langle \det \rangle / (p, u_1^\infty))^\vee.$$

By Theorem 2.22, the determinant twist mod  $J$  is identified with:

$$\pi_{4-t}(E_2)\langle \det \rangle / J = \pi_{4-t} \left( \Sigma^{\frac{p^N|v_2|}{p-1}} E_2 \right) / J = \pi_{4-t - \frac{p^N|v_2|}{p-1}}(E_2) / J.$$

The claim now follows by solving for  $t$  in the residue equation:

$$4 - t - \frac{p^N|v_2|}{p-1} \equiv sp^N|v_2| - e_1|v_1| \pmod{p^N|v_2|}.$$

□

In this way, we have recovered the patterns of the top-degree cohomology  $H_c^4(\mathbf{G}_2, \pi_t(E_2)/p)$  in the computation by Behrens in [6, Figure 3.2] when  $p \geq 5$ .

**Corollary 3.18.**  $H_c^4(\mathbf{G}_2; \pi_t(E_2)/p) \neq 0$  iff either  $|v_2|$  divides  $t$ , or  $|v_1|$  divides  $t$  and there is an  $N \geq 1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{(p^N - 1)|v_2|}{p - 1} \leq t \leq -\frac{(p^N - 1)|v_2|}{p - 1} + |v_1|(p^N + p^{N-1} - 2) & \pmod{p^N|v_2|} \\ & = -2p^N - 2p^{N-1} - 2p + 6 \pmod{p^N|v_2|}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* In degrees divisible by  $|v_2|$ , we have elements corresponding to  $\frac{v_2^s}{pv_1}$ . When  $|v_2| \nmid t$ , this follows from Proposition 3.17 and the bounds for  $e_1$  in Theorem 3.16:  $1 \leq e_1 \leq p^N + p^{N-1} - 1$ .  $\square$

We have therefore recovered the following result of Shimomura and Yabe in [28]:

**Corollary 3.19.** *RHVC holds when  $h = 2$  and  $p \geq 5$ .*

**Remark 3.20.** *Shimomura and Yabe proved the cohomological version of Conjecture 2.26 at  $h = 2$  and  $p \geq 5$ , which is equivalent to the homological version by Poincaré duality Corollary 2.10.*

*Proof.* When  $|v_2| \nmid t$ , the upper bounds for  $t$  above are always negative, which implies when  $p \geq 5$

$$\begin{aligned} H_0(\mathbf{G}_2; \pi_0(E_2)/p) & \simeq H_c^4(\mathbf{G}_2; \pi_0(E_2)/p) = \mathbf{F}_p, \\ H_0(\mathbf{G}_2; \pi_{2p-2}(E_2)) & \simeq H_c^4(\mathbf{G}_2; \pi_{2p-2}(E_2)) \simeq H_c^4(\mathbf{G}_2; \pi_{2p-2}(E_2)/p) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have therefore verified (RHVC)  $\square$

At height  $h \geq 3$ ,  $H^0(M_{h-1}^1)$  is described as follows:

**Theorem 3.21** (Miller-Ravenel-Wilson, [21, Theorem 5.10]). *Define  $a_{h,N}$  by the recursive formula:  $a_{h,0} = 1$ ,  $a_{h,1} = p$ , and*

$$a_{h,N} = \begin{cases} pa_{h,N-1}, & 1 < N \not\equiv 1 \pmod{h-1}; \\ pa_{h,N-1} + p - 1, & 1 < N \equiv 1 \pmod{h-1}. \end{cases}$$

*Then  $H^0(M_{h-1}^1)$  is an  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -vector space generated by*

- I.  $\frac{v_h^s}{pv_1 \cdots v_{h-1}}$ , where  $p \nmid s \in \mathbf{Z}$ .
- II.  $\frac{1}{pv_1 \cdots v_{h-2} v_{h-1}^j}$ , where  $j \geq 1$ .
- III.  $\frac{x_{h,N}^s}{pv_1 \cdots v_{h-2} v_{h-1}^{e_{h-1}}}$ , where  $p \nmid s \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $1 \leq e_{h-1} \leq a_{h,N}$ , and  $x_{h,N}$  is a suitable replacement of  $v_h^{p^N}$ .

**Lemma 3.22.** *The closed formula of  $a_{h,N}$  is given by:*

$$(3.23) \quad a_{h,N} = p^N + \frac{(p-1)(p^{N-1} - p^{r-1})}{p^{h-1} - 1},$$

where  $1 \leq r \leq h-1$  is an integer such that  $N \equiv r \pmod{h-1}$ .<sup>2</sup>

Like Corollary 3.18, we now have:

**Proposition 3.24.** *Assume  $(p-1) \nmid h$  and let  $I_{h-1} = (p, u_1, \dots, u_{h-2}) \trianglelefteq \pi_0(E_h)$ . Then  $H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_t(E_h)/I_{h-1}) = 0$  unless  $|v_h|$  divides  $t$ , or there is an  $N \geq 1$  such that*

$$t \equiv -\frac{(p^N - 1)|v_h|}{p-1} + k \cdot |v_{h-1}| \pmod{p^N |v_h|} \text{ for some } 0 \leq k \leq a_{h,N} - 1.$$

In particular, the closed formula for  $a_{h,N}$  in Lemma 3.22 implies the upper bounds for  $t$  above are always negative. This shows that when  $(p-1) \nmid h$ :

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_0(E_h)/I_{h-1}) &= \mathbf{F}_p, \\ H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)/I_{h-1}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 3.26.** *When  $(p-1) \nmid h$ , the Homological Vanishing Conjecture is true mod ideal  $I_{h-1}$ .*

**3.4. Conclusions at small heights and primes.** Recall that we have showed there is an injection (isomorphism if Theorem 1.23 holds) when  $2p-1 = h^2$ :

$$\kappa_h \xrightarrow[(1.19)]{\text{ev}_2} H_c^{2p-1}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)) \xrightarrow[(2.3)]{\sim} H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)/p).$$

At  $p=3$  and  $h=5$ , to use our method to compute  $H_c^9(\mathbf{G}_3; \pi_8(E_3)/5)$ , we need to know  $H^{0,*}(M_1^2)$ . This was attempted in Yexin Qu's unpublished thesis [24], which is yet to be verified. Nevertheless, the vanishing result in (3.25) does have implications on exotic elements in  $\text{Pic}_{K(h)}$  when  $2p-1 = h^2$ , provided the relevant Smith-Toda complexes exist.

**Theorem 3.27** (Main Theorem A). *Let  $2p-1 = h^2$ . Suppose the type- $(h-1)$  Smith-Toda complex  $V(h-2) = S^0/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{h-2})$  exists at prime  $p$ . Then an exotic element  $X \in \kappa_h$  cannot be detected by  $V(h-2)$ , i.e.*

$$X \otimes_{K(h)} V(h-2) \simeq L_{K(h)} V(h-2).$$

**Remark 3.28.** *In [22], Nave proved the non-existence of the Smith-Toda complex  $V(h)$  when  $2h = p+1$ . This does not overlap with our consideration of the potential Smith-Toda complexes  $V(h-2)$  when  $h^2 = 2p-1$ .*

---

<sup>2</sup> $r$  is NOT the usual residue of  $N \pmod{h-1}$  since  $r = h-1$  when  $(h-1) \mid N$ .

*Proof.* Using the topology of  $\text{Pic}_{K(h)}$  described in [11, Remark 1.13], we know that if the image of  $X \in \kappa_h$  under the composite

$$\kappa_3 \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_2} H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_h; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)) \twoheadrightarrow H_c^{h^2}(\mathbf{G}_3; \pi_{2p-2}(E_h)/I_{h-1})$$

is zero, then  $X \otimes_{K(h)} V(h-2) = L_{K(h)}V(h-2)$ , provided  $V(h-2) = S^0/(p, v_1, \dots, v_{h-2})$  exists. Since the target of this map is zero by (3.25), the equivalence above is true for any  $X \in \kappa_h$  when  $2p-1 = h^2$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.29.**

- (1) *At height 3 and prime 5, an exotic element  $X$  in  $\text{Pic}_{K(3)}$  cannot be detected by  $V(1) = S^0/(5, v_1)$ .*
- (2) *At height 5 and prime 13, an exotic element  $X$  in  $\text{Pic}_{K(5)}$  cannot be detected by  $V(3) = S^0/(13, v_1, v_2, v_3)$ .*

*Proof.* The Smith-Toda complexes  $V(1)$  and  $V(3)$  have been constructed at  $p = 5$  and  $p = 13$  by Adams-Toda and Smith-Toda, respectively [26, Example 2.4.1].  $\square$

**Theorem 3.30** (Main Theorem B). *At height 3 and prime 5, RHVC implies  $\kappa_3 = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We will prove the contra-positive statement. Suppose  $\kappa_3 \neq 0$  at  $p = 5$ . By Proposition 1.19 and Proposition 2.3, we know  $H_c^9(\mathbf{G}_3; \pi_8(E_3)/5) \neq 0$ . Let  $x$  be a nonzero element in this group. Under the isomorphism in Proposition 2.24,  $x$  corresponds to a family of non-zero elements (2.25)

$$\xi_J \in H_c^0 \left( \mathbf{G}_3; \pi_{2,3-(2,5-2)-\frac{5^N(2,5^3-2)}{5-1}}(E_3) \Big/ J \right)$$

for cofinal system of open invariant ideals  $J$  in  $\pi_0(E_3)$  that contains 5. By Proposition 3.24:

$$H_c^0 \left( \mathbf{G}_3; \pi_{2,3-(2,5-2)-\frac{5^N(2,5^3-2)}{5-1}}(E_3) \Big/ (5, v_1, v_2^\infty) \right) = 0,$$

which implies the element  $\xi_J$  cannot be  $v_1$ -torsion. By Corollary 3.7 and Corollary 3.11,  $\xi_J$ 's are necessarily Family III Greek letter elements in Proposition 3.3. As result, we obtain a compatible family of non-zero Family-III elements

$$\xi'_J = v_1 \alpha_J \in H_c^0 \left( \mathbf{G}_3; \pi_{2,3-\frac{5^N(2,5^3-2)}{5-1}}(E_3) \Big/ J \right).$$

Again by Proposition 2.24,  $\xi'_J$  corresponds a non-zero element  $x' \in H_c^9(\mathbf{G}_3; \pi_0(E_3)/5)$ . Recall from Corollary 3.7, this group already has a copy of  $\mathbf{F}_5$  coming from Family I elements through Gross-Hopkins

duality. The new addition of  $x'$  in this group from Family III elements shows that its dimension is at least 2, which contradicts RHVC.

In this way, we conclude  $\kappa_3 \neq 0$  at  $p = 5$  implies RHVC is false. This is the contra-positive statement of this theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 3.31.** *This proof relies on Proposition 3.24, a consequence of the Miller-Ravenel-Wilson computation Theorem 3.21. In general, the implication would hold at height  $h$  if we knew*

$$(3.32) \quad H^{0,2h-(2p-2)-\frac{p^N|v_h|}{p-1}}(M_2^{h-2}) = 0$$

for all  $N$ . Miller-Ravenel-Wilson have calculated  $H^{0,*}(M_{h-1}^1)$  for all  $h$ . To prove (3.32) one would have to calculate  $h - 3$  many Bockstein spectral sequences, which seems dizzyingly beyond our reach with current technology.

We can also use the same technique to study  $\kappa_3$  at  $p = 3$ . In this case, we need to consider the third detection map:

$$\text{ev}_3: \kappa_3^{(1)} := \ker \text{ev}_2 \longrightarrow E_6^{9,8}.$$

This is an injection because the target of the next detection map is above the horizontal vanishing line at  $s = 9$ . This horizontal vanishing line also implies that  $E_2^{9,8}$  does not support a differential. From sparseness of the HFPSS Lemma 1.14, we can see

$$E_6^{9,8} = \text{coker}(d_5: E_2^{4,4} \longrightarrow E_2^{9,8}).$$

As a result, if  $E_2^{9,8} = H_c^9(\mathbf{G}_3; \pi_8(E_3))$  vanishes at  $p = 3$ , so does  $E_6^{9,8}$ . By (3.25), we know  $H_c^9(\mathbf{G}_3; \pi_8(E_3))/(3, u_1) = 0$ , which implies:

**Theorem 3.33.** *Let  $X$  be an exotic element in  $\text{Pic}_{K(3)}$  at  $p = 3$  and  $V(1) := S^0/(3, v_1)$ . If  $X \in \ker \text{ev}_2$ , i.e. the  $E_2^{0,0}(X)$ -term in the HF-PSS (1.12) does not support a  $d_5$ -differential, then  $X \otimes_{K(3)} V(1) \simeq L_{K(3)}V(1)$ .*

## REFERENCES

- [1] Tobias Barthel and Agnès Beaudry. Chromatic structures in stable homotopy theory. In *Handbook of Homotopy Theory*, Chapman & Hall/CRC handbooks in mathematics series. [CRC Press], Boca Raton, Florida, 2019.
- [2] Agnès Beaudry. Towards the homotopy of the  $K(2)$ -local Moore spectrum at  $p = 2$ . *Adv. Math.*, 306:722–788, 2017.
- [3] Agnès Beaudry, Naiche Downey, Connor McCranie, Luke Meszar, Andy Riddle, and Peter Rock. Computations of orbits for the Lubin-Tate ring. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 14(3):691–718, 2019.
- [4] Agnès Beaudry, Paul G. Goerss, and Hans-Werner Henn. Chromatic splitting for the  $K(2)$ -local sphere at  $p = 2$ . *Geom. Topol.*, 26(1):377–476, 2022.



- [5] Agnès Beaudry, Paul G. Goerss, Michael J. Hopkins, and Vesna Stojanoska. Dualizing spheres for compact  $p$ -adic analytic groups and duality in chromatic homotopy, 2020.
- [6] Mark Behrens. The homotopy groups of  $S_{E(2)}$  at  $p \geq 5$  revisited. *Adv. Math.*, 230(2):458–492, 2012.
- [7] J. Michael Boardman. Conditionally convergent spectral sequences. In *Homotopy invariant algebraic structures (Baltimore, MD, 1998)*, volume 239 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 49–84. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [8] Irina Bobkova and Paul G. Goerss. Topological resolutions in  $K(2)$ -local homotopy theory at the prime 2. *J. Topol.*, 11(4):918–957, 2018.
- [9] Ethan S. Devinatz. Morava’s change of rings theorem. In *The Čech centennial (Boston, MA, 1993)*, volume 181 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 83–118. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.
- [10] Paul Goerss, Hans-Werner Henn, Mark Mahowald, and Charles Rezk. On Hopkins’ Picard groups for the prime 3 and chromatic level 2. *J. Topol.*, 8(1):267–294, 2015.
- [11] Paul G. Goerss and Michael J. Hopkins. Comparing dualities in the  $K(n)$ -local category. In *Equivariant topology and derived algebra*, volume 474 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 1–38. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2022.
- [12] Drew Heard. The  $Sp_{k,n}$ -local stable homotopy category, 2021.
- [13] Hans-Werner Henn, Nasko Karamanov, and Mark Mahowald. The homotopy of the  $K(2)$ -local Moore spectrum at the prime 3 revisited. *Math. Z.*, 275(3–4):953–1004, 2013.
- [14] M. J. Hopkins and B. H. Gross. The rigid analytic period mapping, Lubin-Tate space, and stable homotopy theory. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 30(1):76–87, Jan 1994.
- [15] Michael J. Hopkins, Mark Mahowald, and Hal Sadofsky. Constructions of elements in Picard groups. In Eric M. Friedlander and Mark E. Mahowald, editors, *Topology and representation theory (Evanston, IL, 1992)*, volume 158 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 89–126. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [16] Mike Hopkins. Lectures on Lubin-Tate spaces, 2019. Lectures from the 2019 Arizona Winter School.
- [17] Mark Hovey. Operations and co-operations in Morava  $E$ -theory. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 6(1):201–236, 2004.
- [18] Henryk Iwaniec. Almost-primes represented by quadratic polynomials. *Invent. Math.*, 47(2):171–188, 1978.
- [19] Jan Kohlhaase. On the Iwasawa theory of the Lubin-Tate moduli space. *Compos. Math.*, 149(5):793–839, 2013.
- [20] Akhil Mathew and Vesna Stojanoska. The Picard group of topological modular forms via descent theory. *Geom. Topol.*, 20(6):3133–3217, 2016.
- [21] Haynes R. Miller, Douglas C. Ravenel, and W. Stephen Wilson. Periodic phenomena in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 106(3):469–516, 1977.
- [22] Lee S. Nave. The Smith-Toda complex  $V((p+1)/2)$  does not exist. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 171(1):491–509, 2010.
- [23] Piotr Pstrągowski. Chromatic Picard groups at large primes, 2018.

- [24] Yexin Qu. *Towards the 3 line in Adams-Novikov spectral sequence*. PhD thesis, University of Rochester, 2018.
- [25] Douglas C. Ravenel. *Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres*, volume 121 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1986.
- [26] Douglas C. Ravenel. *Nilpotence and periodicity in stable homotopy theory*, volume 128 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1992. Appendix C by Jeff Smith.
- [27] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Galois cohomology*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997. Translated from the French by Patrick Ion and revised by the author.
- [28] Katsumi Shimomura and Atsuko Yabe. The homotopy groups  $\pi_*(L_2S^0)$ . *Topology*, 34(2):261–289, 1995.
- [29] N.P. Strickland. Gross–Hopkins duality. *Topology*, 39(5):1021 – 1033, 2000.
- [30] Peter Symonds and Thomas Weigel. Cohomology of  $p$ -adic analytic groups. In *New horizons in pro- $p$  groups*, volume 184 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 349–410. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000.
- [31] The Sage Developers. *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 9.3)*, 2021. <https://www.sagemath.org>.

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK  
*Email address:* dculver@mpim-bonn.mpg.de

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA  
*Email address:* nc Zhang@sas.upenn.edu