

Quantum sensors for high precision measurements of spin-dependent interactions

Dmitry Budker^{1,2}, Thomas Cecil³, Timothy E. Chupp⁴, Andrew A. Geraci⁵,
Derek F. Jackson Kimball⁶, Shimon Kolkowitz⁷, Surjeet Rajendran⁸, Jaideep T. Singh⁹, and
Alexander O. Sushkov¹⁰

¹Helmholtz-Institut und Johannes Gutenberg-Universität, 55128 Mainz, Germany

²Department of Physics, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720-7300, USA

³Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL 60439, USA

⁴Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA

⁵Center for Fundamental Physics, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA

⁶Department of Physics, California State University – East Bay, Hayward, California 94542, USA

⁷Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA

⁸Department of Physics and Astronomy, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218, USA

⁹Facility for Rare Isotope Beams, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, 48824, USA

¹⁰Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, USA

ABSTRACT

The applications of spin-based quantum sensors to measurements probing fundamental physics are surveyed. Experimental methods and technologies developed for quantum information science have rapidly advanced in recent years, and these tools enable increasingly precise control and measurement of spin dynamics. Theories of beyond-the-Standard-Model physics predict, for example, symmetry violating electromagnetic moments aligned with particle spins, exotic spin-dependent forces, coupling of spins to ultralight bosonic dark matter fields, and changes to the local environment that affect spins. Spin-based quantum sensors can be used to search for these myriad phenomena, and offer a methodology for tests of fundamental physics that is complementary to particle colliders and large scale particle detectors. Areas of technological development that can significantly enhance the sensitivity of spin-based quantum sensors to new physics are highlighted.

1 Executive Summary

There are disparate profound mysteries in fundamental physics, ranging from the nature of dark matter and dark energy to the origin of the matter-antimatter asymmetry of the

universe, and, in turn, a plethora of theoretical proposals to explain these mysteries. Unfortunately there are currently few if any clear experimental signatures indicating how best to unravel these mysteries. Consequently, in this era it is advantageous to cast a wide net in the search for new physics. A powerful, versatile, and relatively low-cost approach is to use the techniques, systems, and devices developed in the rapidly-growing field of quantum information science (QIS). Quantum systems can be made extremely sensitive to external perturbations. Indeed, much of the work in quantum science is focused on how to minimize this sensitivity, in order to prevent decoherence. Here we outline a complementary approach, which seeks to optimize the sensitivity of quantum systems to new fundamental physics.

There is a growing number of experiments that make use of quantum resources and systems to search for spin-dependent interactions of novel origin, which are predicted by a wide variety of beyond-the-Standard-Model physics theories [1, 2]. Experimental techniques for precision measurement of such spin-dependent interactions have substantially advanced over recent decades, in no small part because they share a common foundation with the robust program of research on spin-based quantum sensors for measurement of magnetic fields, magnetic resonance phenomena, and related phenomena. Furthermore, control and measurement of spins, spin ensembles, and quantum materials is at the heart of QIS and quantum computing schemes [3–5]. Thus the development of spin-based quantum sensors offers an opportunity for cross-fertilization between fundamental and applied research.

In the context of searches for beyond-the-Standard-Model physics, precision measurements using the tools of QIS, magnetic resonance, and atomic, molecular, and optical (AMO) physics are complementary to collider-based high energy physics research. Precision experiments searching for discrete-symmetry-violating permanent electric dipole moments (EDMs), exotic spin-dependent interactions mediated by new light bosons, and spin-dependent couplings to ultralight bosonic dark matter fields [e.g., axions, axionlike particles (ALPs), and dark/hidden photons] can probe new physics associated with energy scales far beyond that capable with modern particle colliders [1, 2]. This is because precision measurement experiments are designed to detect extremely subtle energy shifts (at scales $\sim 10^{-26}$ eV). Because of their energy resolution, such experiments can be sensitive to physics generated by new high-mass particles. For example, EDM searches are now sensitive to CP-violation due to virtual particles with masses $M \gtrsim 10$ TeV/ c^2 . Precision magnetic resonance-based search for axion-like dark matter are sensitive to axion-like particles arising from spontaneous symmetry breaking at scales f_a reaching up to the GUT and Planck energy scales (a feature, for example, of string theories and various solutions to the hierarchy problem).

Improving the sensitivity of spin-based sensors will extend the reach of such experiments to higher energy scales as coupling constants typically scale proportionally to $1/M$ or $1/f_a$. Spin-based sensors can also be used as particle detectors by precisely measuring and characterizing changes to the environment caused by new particle interactions. Because precision experiments are often carried out at the “table-top” scale involving relatively small teams of researchers and relatively fast timelines from conception to data, they offer affordable opportunities to explore many creative theoretical scenarios of beyond-the-Standard-Model

physics.

In terms of technological development of instrumentation essential for expanding the reach of precision spin-based sensors for fundamental physics research, there are a number of high priority areas:

- Find ways to enhance the number of polarized spins N via optical pumping and other hyperpolarization methods and quantum control techniques, as the shot-noise-limited sensitivities of spin-based sensors generally scale proportionally to $1/\sqrt{N}$ [6];
- Develop methods and find systems to achieve the longest possible spin coherence times τ , since measurement sensitivity generally scales as $1/\sqrt{\tau}$ [6];
- Improve fundamental sensitivity of spin-based sensors via new measurement schemes involving, for example, quantum back-action evasion and rapid averaging of quantum uncertainty in highly correlated spin systems (e.g., ferromagnets);
- Study new atomic, molecular, and condensed-matter systems that feature enhanced sensitivity to beyond-the-Standard-Model physics, such as non-centrosymmetric crystals, polyatomic molecules, and deformed nuclei;
- Advance tools to control and eliminate systematic errors and spurious technical noise, such as comagnetometers and quantum sensor networks;
- Find techniques to increase the bandwidth of spin-based sensors to explore higher frequencies and therefore higher boson masses in dark matter haloscope searches;
- Develop methods to speed up the scanning rate of magnetic-resonance-based dark matter haloscope searches in order to explore larger ranges of boson masses over a given measurement time;
- Design and implement new strategies for spin-based sensors at smaller length scales to probe higher mass exotic bosons that mediate forces with smaller length scales;
- Enhance the accuracy of spectroscopic measurements and theoretical calculations of atomic, molecular, and nuclear systems to enable new tests of fundamental interactions.

2 Science targets

Measurements of spins can probe new physics in three primary ways:

- First, new physics may break symmetries of the Standard Model, giving rise to novel responses of Standard Model spins to other Standard Model fields (Sec. 3).
- Second, the new physics may directly affect the spin, for example, via an interaction between a new field and the spin (Secs. 4 – 6).

- Third, the environment of the spin may be affected by the new physics and the spin can discover the new physics by sensing changes to its environment (Sec. 7).

The canonical science target for the first kind of effect, namely, the breaking of Standard Model symmetries by new physics, is the search for the electric dipole moment of fundamental particles. If fundamental particles carried an electric dipole moment, an applied electric field will cause the spin of fundamental particles to precess. Such a dipole moment violates CP symmetry (the combined symmetry of charge conjugation, C, and parity, P) and it is a natural facet of many theories of physics beyond the Standard Model. Indeed, the existence of such CP violation is indicated by the existence of the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the universe.

Key science targets that cause the second kind of effect, namely, direct effects on the spin itself, include particles such as axions, axionlike particles (ALPs), massive vector bosons and other ultra-light bosons. Particles of this kind emerge in several theoretical frameworks that are aimed at solving outstanding problems of the Standard Model such as the strong CP and hierarchy problems. They are also predicted to emerge as a generic consequence of string theory. The key reason for the ubiquity of such particles in these extensions of the Standard Model is due to effective field theory. Given a light field, interactions with the spin of Standard Model fermions is one of the dominant operators that would allow this light field to interact with the Standard Model in a technically natural way. These fields are thus natural portals into the ultra-violet. They can be detected by sourcing them in the laboratory with test masses or by looking for a cosmological abundance of them. The latter possibility is well motivated since many cosmological scenarios (such as inflation) naturally produce a cosmic abundance of these particles. If discovered, these particles thus have the potential of solving both the problem of dark matter as well as unveiling the mysteries of the early universe. In addition, it is also possible that complex dark sectors could directly source these long range fields giving rise to new long range interactions between the dark matter and Standard Model spins. In light of poor observational constraints on the masses of such particles, it is vital to invest in technological probes that are able to cover wide swaths of parameter space. The extraordinary developments in QIS technologies over the past decade has now made such a broad probe of parameter space experimentally feasible.

Science targets for the third possibility, namely, the use of spins to detect the effects of new physics on the environment of the spin, includes the detection of crystal damage caused by dark matter interactions and the ability to use spins to detect changes caused to surfaces at the single atom level, with the changes being produced as a result of dark matter interactions. The former phenomenon could conceivably be used to identify the direction of dark matter induced nuclear recoil while the latter could potentially be used to detect light dark matter.

3 Searches for parity- and time-reversal-violating electric dipole moments (EDMs)

The first way that precision measurements of spin dynamics can probe new physics identified in Sec. 2 is via searches for discrete symmetry violations. The primary focus of recent research has been measurement of *permanent* electric dipole moments (EDMs) in atomic, molecular, and nuclear systems. There have been a number of reviews on the topic of EDMs, see for example Refs. [1, 2, 7–15]. A nonzero EDM $\mathbf{d}$ of an elementary or composite particle must be proportional to the total angular momentum $\mathbf{F}$ of the system (a fact that follows from the Wigner-Eckart theorem and the fact that no additional quantum numbers are required to describe the system, see, for example, Refs. [7, 16]). Since $\mathbf{d}$ is odd with respect to mirror-symmetry (parity, P) and even under time-reversal (T) while $\mathbf{F}$ is even under P and odd under T, the existence of an EDM violates P and T symmetries. Thus an EDM is a result of P- and T-violating fundamental interactions, and assuming CPT invariance, CP-violating interactions. Such symmetry-violating interactions can endow elementary particles such as electrons and quarks with EDMs, which can in turn create EDMs of atoms, molecules, and nuclei. Symmetry-violating interactions between constituent particles of composite systems can also induce electrical polarization along $\mathbf{F}$ and generate EDMs.

The predominance of matter over antimatter is incompatible with Standard Model mechanisms of baryogenesis [17], and it is widely believed that the missing ingredient is a new, larger source of CP violation that would also generate EDMs. A wide variety of beyond-the-Standard-Model theories predict EDMs near present experimental sensitivities. For instance, existing experimental limits have established some of the most stringent constraints on supersymmetric theories, in many scenarios beyond constraints from collider experiments [18]. A companion Snowmass white paper, Ref. [19], provides a comprehensive review of EDM experiments. In the present work we provide a summary of the key experimental technology as it relates to precision measurements of spin dynamics.

Depending on whether the atomic or molecular system studied is *paramagnetic* (with unpaired electron spins) or *diamagnetic* (with closed electron shells but nonzero nuclear spin), different types of physics can be probed: EDM experiments with paramagnetic systems can target electron EDMs and CP-violating electron-nucleon interactions; diamagnetic systems can target nuclear EDMs and CP-violating hadronic and other semileptonic interactions. Thus it is valuable to develop techniques and experiments to study both paramagnetic and diamagnetic systems.

The general approach of EDM experiments is to search for the combined effect of a P- and T-odd Hamiltonian and an applied electric field $\mathbf{E}$, which results in an energy shift $\pm\Delta E_{\text{edm}}$ for a given quantum state of the atom or molecule, where the sign of the effect depends on the projection of the spin along the quantization axis. A preliminary consideration is that in the nonrelativistic limit there is no energy shift when $\mathbf{E}$ is applied to a neutral system composed of particles possessing nonzero EDMs. This is because particles will rearrange upon application of the applied field $\mathbf{E}$ so that the system's internal field $\mathbf{E}_{\text{int}}$ cancels $\mathbf{E}$ at the positions of the constituent particles, a result known as Schiff's theorem [20]. However,

relativistic effects not only evade Schiff’s theorem but can even lead to enhancement of EDM observables [10, 21]. Because relativistic effects are more prominent in heavy atoms, ΔE_{edm} can be significantly enhanced in systems with large atomic number Z [10, 21], and thus EDM experiments employ heavy atoms such as Tl, Th, Cs, Hg, and Xe. Typically the system is spin polarized via optical pumping or some other hyperpolarization technique such that the system is an a superposition of quantum states with opposite EDM-induced energy shifts. Thus a nonzero EDM will cause the polarized spins to precess in the presence of $\mathbf{E}$ by an angle $\phi = 2\Delta E_{\text{edm}}\tau/\hbar$, where for maximum precession the time τ is given by the spin-coherence time. The minimum achievable energy resolution for a single-particle measurement is $\hbar/(4\tau)$; measuring with N uncorrelated systems for a total time T gives an energy resolution of δE

$$\delta E \approx \frac{\hbar}{4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau TN}} . \quad (1)$$

Considering this general approach, there are several general areas of technological development that can advance the fundamental sensitivity of EDM measurements:

- increase ΔE_{edm} by finding atomic and molecular systems with maximal enhancement factors;
- improving hyperpolarization and quantum control techniques so that the total number N of polarized atoms/molecules can be increased;
- achieve longer spin-coherence times τ .

At least equally important is improving control of systematic errors that could mimic EDM signals. Among the most pernicious systematic effects that have plagued generations of EDM experiments are those due to uncontrolled magnetic fields $\mathbf{B}$ that couple to the magnetic dipole moments of the atoms or molecules, causing Larmor precession of spins. While many magnetic field effects can be distinguished from effects due to EDMs by reversal of the direction of $\mathbf{E}$, there can be $\mathbf{B}$ -fields correlated with the direction of $\mathbf{E}$ due to leakage currents as well as motional magnetic fields $\propto \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{v}/c$, where $\mathbf{v}$ is the particle velocity in the lab frame. Magnetic-field-related systematic errors are generally reduced using the technique of *comagnetometry* [22], where simultaneous measurements in the same volume are carried out on either different species [23] or different quantum states of the same species [24].

In addition to comagnetometry, controlling and monitoring the magnetic environment of an EDM experiment should also make use of ultra-stable and sensitive magnetometers surrounding the experiment. Optically pumped atomic magnetometers [25, 26] and in general magnetometers probed by light are subject to shifts that challenge their stability. Nuclear spin magnetometers, in particular those based on ^3He [27, 28], have the potential as quantum sensors to provide the unprecedented stability required for future EDM experiments.

Earlier generations of electron-EDM experiments generally employed paramagnetic atomic systems like Cs [29] and Tl [23, 30], and there are ongoing atomic EDM experiments employing advances in laser-cooled and trapped atoms and other state-of-the-art QIS methods

[31–33]. However, in recent years the focus has shifted to molecular systems such as YbF [34], ThO [35, 36], and HfF⁺ [37]. The molecular systems have enabled orders-of-magnitude improvements in sensitivities to electron EDMs through their larger enhancement factors which increase ΔE_{edm} as compared to atomic systems as well as opening a variety of techniques to control and reduce systematic errors. Efficient systematic error control in molecular EDM experiments is accomplished by experimenting on particular molecular states that have reduced sensitivities to magnetic perturbations while retaining sensitivity to EDM-induced effects, and by using optical and radio-frequency fields for quantum control to switch between different quantum states that allow rapid measurement and cancellation of many systematic errors. Further improvements in cooling [38] and control of molecules [4, 39], extending spin-coherence times [40, 41], increasing the number of polarized molecules [42–44], and advances in comagnetometry [45] and other methods to control systematic effects are among the paths toward further advances. In addition to ongoing experiments [34–37], a number of new experiments are under development [46].

The leading diamagnetic (nuclear) EDM experiment has employed Hg atoms [47], complementary to direct measurements of the neutron EDM [48]. The sensitivity of the Hg EDM experiment results from a relatively high density of optically polarized atoms ($N \sim 10^{14}$) and long coherence times (hundreds of seconds), as well as a variety of auxiliary measurements and techniques developed over the years to reduce systematic errors [49]. Searches for EDMs of diamagnetic atoms in other systems have been carried out [50–54]; many of these are ongoing efforts with the prospect of improving measurement accuracy by orders of magnitude, such as the radium EDM search in which several upgrades are in the process of being implemented [55, 56]. There are also a number of new experiments that have the potential to explore unconstrained parameter space for symmetry violating effects in the nuclear sector [45, 57–63], such as the CENTREX experiment that employs a cold beam of TlF molecules [64], a search particularly sensitive to the proton EDM [65, 66].

Technological improvements that can enhance the sensitivity of EDM experiments include any methods that result in longer spin-coherence times, such as longer beam lines, slower/colder beams, and trapping of molecules which can lengthen spin-coherence times by orders of magnitude. Sensitivity can also be improved by increasing count rates via beam cooling and focusing, more efficient probing/detection methods, improved trapping techniques, and brighter molecular sources. It is important to note that all three of the leading electron EDM searches with molecules [34, 36, 37] are presently statistics limited, meaning that technological advances in the aforementioned areas can lead directly to improved sensitivity.

An important area of technological development is toward the use of deformed nuclei for EDM searches [67]. Because the motion of a nucleus within an atom or molecule is deeply nonrelativistic, Schiff’s theorem [20] implies that any nuclear EDM is mostly screened from external fields. Nonetheless, symmetry violating nuclear interactions can change the nuclear charge and current distributions, and lead to nonzero energy shifts described by the Schiff moment [68]. Deformed nuclei that possess a reflection antisymmetric shape, such as Fr, Ra, Th, and Pa that have static octopole deformations, have enhanced nuclear Schiff moments (by orders of magnitude) and therefore lead to comparably larger atomic and molecular

EDMs [67, 69, 70].

Another rapidly developing technology, useful not only for nuclear EDM experiments but also for a wide range of searches for beyond-the-Standard-Model physics, are new methods for nuclear spin comagnetometry [53, 71–73]. These techniques can improve control of systematic errors, often the limiting factor in EDM experiments.

A new direction of particular interest is the use of polyatomic molecules for EDM searches, which can enable application of laser cooling techniques [74] in conjunction with internal comagnetometry and full polarization [45, 75]. Polyatomic molecules show considerable promise for both electron and nuclear EDM experiments.

A different approach is to develop solid-state systems for EDM experiments [76, 77]. Such solid-state EDM experiments sacrifice the long spin-coherence times possible in gas-phase atomic and molecular experiments for a significantly larger signal due to the higher density of spins in a solid. As first suggested in Refs. [78, 79], an electron EDM search can be carried out using unpaired electron spins bound to a crystal lattice: when an electric field $\mathbf{E}$ is applied, if the electrons possess a non-zero EDM the spins will become oriented parallel to $\mathbf{E}$ and generate a nonzero magnetization [80–82]. The inverse experiment can also be performed, where a material is magnetized (spin-polarized) and one searches for electric polarization due to a nonzero electron EDM [83]. Technological improvements are needed to reduce systematic errors in such solid-state EDM experiments, for example due to heating and dielectric relaxation.

In the longer term, it is likely that advances along multiple fronts will allow the frontiers of EDM searches to be pushed even further. For example, using heavy polar molecules with deformed nuclei in an EDM experiment taking full advantage of state-of-the-art cooling, trapping, and molecular production could allow sensitivity to symmetry-violating interactions many orders of magnitude beyond what is possible today [63]. Combining the Schiff moment enhancement of an octopole-deformed nucleus with the relativistic enhancement, there are molecular species such as ^{229}ThO , $^{229}\text{ThOH}$, $^{229}\text{ThF}^+$, and $^{225,223}\text{RaOH}^+$, $^{225,223}\text{RaOCH}_3^+$, $^{225,223}\text{RaF}$, $^{225,223}\text{RaAg}$, and $^{223}\text{FrAg}$ that are up to 10^6 times more sensitive per particle to CP-violating physics than ^{199}Hg [84–86]. Note that dedicated institutes for low-energy nuclear science research, such as the Facility for Rare Isotope Beams (FRIB), TRI-University Meson Facility (TRIUMF), and Isotope mass Separator On-Line (ISOLDE), have the capability to produce these isotopes for use in practical quantities and enable precursor spectroscopic studies [87]. Excellent candidates are Ra-containing molecules [88], since Ra has a well-studied nuclear deformation [89, 90], and many Ra-containing molecules can be laser cooled. For example, RaOCH_3^+ was recently synthesized, trapped and cooled in an ion trap [62], opening the potential for an experiment that takes advantage of the advanced quantum control techniques possible with cold ions [37, 63, 91]. A novel related concept is to use the radioactive species ^{229}Pa , which may be a highly deformed nucleus, embedded in an optical crystal to search for its strongly enhanced symmetry-violating magnetic quadrupole moment [57].

Another route is to combine the advantages of the long coherence times and quantum control possible in gas-phase atomic and molecular experiments with the high spin densities

possible in solid-state systems [92–98]. The idea is to trap atoms and molecules with high intrinsic sensitivity to symmetry-violating interactions within inert cryogenic crystal matrices. In order for an EDM experiment based on this approach to surpass the sensitivities of gas-phase experiments, it is essential that both high density of the target species is achieved and that the target species retains all the key properties that enable quantum control and sensing in the inert crystal environment (long coherence times and efficient polarization and read-out of spin states). While experiments with alkali atoms in solid hydrogen and solid helium have demonstrated long coherence times and efficient optical pumping and probing [99–101], the alkali atom densities so far have been low. On the other hand, both high alkali atom density and relatively long spin-coherence times ($\tau \equiv T_2 \sim 0.1$ s) have been demonstrated in solid parahydrogen [102–104]. While there are experimental hurdles yet to be overcome, such as relatively short spin ensemble dephasing times (T_2^*) due to the polycrystalline nature of the parahydrogen samples used so far [102, 103], there are viable paths forward to taking full advantage of the possibilities of this system by, for example, creating single-crystal cryogenic samples [105].

Many of the EDM experiments described here rely on quantum sensing and control of spin ensembles, analogous to those used in QIS, and can therefore borrow new tools from this rapidly-advancing field [3–5]. It is widely believed that new sources of CP-violation are required to explain the cosmological matter-antimatter asymmetry [106], and, consequently, the wide range of beyond-the-Standard-Model theories predicting observable EDMs “just around the corner” of present experimental sensitivities [18]. Discovery of a nonzero EDM would herald the existence of new particles, and can explore new physics from particles with masses beyond the direct reach of any conceived accelerator [1, 2]. Therefore this area of research is of highest priority for the precision measurement community.

4 Searches for exotic spin-dependent interactions using magnetometry and comagnetometry

The second class of precision experiments highlighted in Sec. 2 are direct searches for exotic spin-dependent interactions originating from beyond-the-Standard-Model physics. Many theories predict the existence of new force-mediating bosons that couple to the spins of Standard Model particles [2]. Regardless of the specifics of the fundamental theory, if the new interaction respects rotational invariance, there are only a relatively small number of long-range interaction potentials that can exist as described in detail in Refs. [107–109]. The range of such a fundamental interaction is parameterized by the Compton wavelength of the force-mediating boson: $\lambda_c = \hbar/(mc)$, where m is the boson mass. For example, exchange of an exotic spin-0 boson (such as an axion [107]) with pseudoscalar coupling to fermion 1 and scalar coupling to fermion 2 leads to a monopole-dipole potential of the form:

$$\mathcal{V}_{ps}(r) = \frac{g_p^{(1)} g_s^{(2)} \hbar}{8\pi m_1 c} \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \left(\frac{1}{r\lambda_c} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) e^{-r/\lambda_c} , \quad (2)$$

where $g_p^{(1)}$ and $g_s^{(2)}$ parameterize the vertex-level pseudoscalar and scalar couplings, respectively, $\mathbf{S}_1$ is the spin of fermion 1, m_1 is mass of fermion 1, and $\mathbf{r} = r\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ is the displacement

vector between the fermions. The potential $\mathcal{V}_{ps}(r)$ causes an associated spin-dependent energy shift. The basic experimental program is thus to hunt for all possible types of interactions at various length scales between Standard Model fermions (typically electrons, protons, and neutrons in the case of AMO experiments). Through the framework of Refs. [107–109], the results of experiments can be interpreted in terms of fundamental physics theories [2].

One of the primary experimental strategies is to employ a sensitive detector of torques on spins and then bring that spin-based torque sensor within $\sim \lambda_c$ of an object that acts as a local source of an exotic field (e.g., a large mass or highly polarized spin sample). Such experiments are closely analogous to spin-based magnetometry [25, 26], where the effect of an ambient magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ is measured by sensing the $\boldsymbol{\mu} \times \mathbf{B}$ torque on spins with magnetic moment $\boldsymbol{\mu}$. This is equivalent to measuring the magnetic-field-induced energy shift between Zeeman sublevels via observation of the time-evolution of a coherent superposition of spin states in the probed system. Exotic spin-dependent interactions act as “pseudo-magnetic fields” and generate analogous effects, albeit with couplings to Standard Model particles that can be completely different from those from a real magnetic field [110, 111].

The central technology in these experiments is the spin-based sensor employed. The parameter space accessible depends on the overall sensitivity, which determines how small a coupling can be observed, as well as the size and geometry of the sensor, which determines what interaction range λ_c (boson mass m) can be probed. Since the observable in these experiments is a spin-dependent energy shift, just as in the case of the EDM experiments discussed in Sec. 3, a sensor employing N independent spins with coherence time τ has a shot-noise-limited sensitivity described by Eq. (1). However, as noted in Ref. [112], a practical benchmark for comparison of different magnetometer technologies is the *energy resolution limit* (ERL) given by

$$\frac{(\delta B)^2 VT}{2\mu_0} \gtrsim \hbar, \quad (3)$$

where δB is the magnetometric sensitivity per root Hz, V is the sensing volume, T is the measurement time, and μ_0 is the vacuum permeability. Heuristic arguments for the ERL are given in Refs. [112]: as can be seen from Eq. (3), the ERL is based on equating the energy associated magnetic field fluctuations in volume V over time T with $\hbar$. The ERL, while not a fundamental limit, is empirically a difficult level for magnetometers to surpass, as can be seen from the survey of current technology shown in Fig. 1.

Therefore, a major technological leap in the search for exotic spin-dependent interactions at various length scales would be to find methods to surpass the ERL. One promising technology along these lines is the development of levitated ferromagnetic torque sensors (LeFTS) [138–144]. The active sensing element consists of a hard ferromagnet, well isolated from the environment by, for example, levitation over a superconductor via the Meissner effect. The mechanical response of the levitated ferromagnet to an exotic spin-dependent interaction can be precisely measured using a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID). For sufficiently slow rotational motion, the ferromagnet’s angular momentum is dominated by its intrinsic spin, and it behaves as a gyroscope [138]. For faster motion, the levitated ferromagnet’s dynamics are dominated by pendulum-like librational motion [139].




Figure 1: Summary of the size and sensitivity of spin-based magnetometers. Experimentally demonstrated magnetometers are represented by filled markers, projected sensitivity of proposed magnetometers are represented by unfilled markers. The gray line indicates the energy resolution limit (ERL) described by Eq. (3). The purple circles correspond to nitrogen-vacancy diamond (NVD) magnetometers [113–119], the green triangles correspond to atomic Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) magnetometers [120–128], and the blue diamonds correspond to optical atomic magnetometers (OAM) [129–136]. The red triangle represents the sensitivity of the recently demonstrated single-domain ferromagnetic BEC magnetometer (FBEC) that surpasses the ERL [137]. Levitated ferromagnetic torque sensors (LeFTS), represented by the unfilled black squares, are predicted to surpass the ERL by many orders of magnitude [138, 139]. Figure adapted from Ref. [112]; does not include non-spin-based magnetic sensors based on, for example, superconducting quantum interference devices (SQUIDs).

In either regime, LeFTS are predicted to be able to surpass both the ERL and even the standard quantum limit (SQL) for uncorrelated spins described by Eq. (1). The ability of LeFTS to achieve this sensitivity is a result of the high correlation of the electron spins in a ferromagnet, which are locked together along a well-defined local direction by magnetic anisotropy, ultimately converting the field measurement into a mechanical measurement [139]. The quantum uncertainty in the spin orientation is rapidly averaged by the strong internal interactions in the ferromagnet [138].

Recently, a magnetic field sensor surpassing the ERL was demonstrated: a single domain spinor Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) [137]. Similar to the LeFTS concept, ultracold two-body interactions in the BEC create a fully coherent, single-domain state of the atomic spins that enables the system to evade the ERL that limits traditional spin-based sensors. The experiment described in Ref. [137] confirms the principles underlying the promise of next-generation torque sensors such as LeFTS.

A variety of other directions to improve fundamental and practical sensitivity of spin-based magnetometers are being explored, including bandwidth enhancement via spin squeezing [145–147] and methods to utilize many-body collective correlation among spins [148]. A new high-frequency magnetometer based on electron spin resonance, operating in the MHz – GHz region, has demonstrated precision at the pT level and has the potential to reach sub-fT sensitivity [149].

Beyond the intrinsic sensitivity, the principal challenge in experiments searching for exotic spin-dependent interactions is understanding and eliminating systematic errors: clearly distinguishing exotic spin-dependent interactions from mundane effects due to, for example, magnetic interactions. This is a theme in common with the EDM searches discussed in Sec. 3, and many similar technical approaches avail themselves. Ideally, the local source of the exotic field can be manipulated in such a way as to modulate its effects, thereby providing a signal with a well-characterized time-dependence that can be distinguished from background. In addition, a variety of independent measurements can be used to monitor, control, and identify systematic errors. Importantly, in searches for exotic spin-dependent potentials, the sought-after effect is not due to a real magnetic field, but rather a *pseudo-magnetic field*. Therefore, by comparing the response of two different systems, effects from magnetic fields can be distinguished from effects due to exotic spin-dependent interactions. This is the essence of *comagnetometry* [150], where the same field, magnetic or otherwise, is simultaneously measured using two different ensembles of atomic or nuclear spins, reviewed in Ref. [22].

Comagnetometers are in fact the most sensitive devices for measuring energy differences between quantum states, in some cases achieving precision at the $\sim 10^{-26}$ eV level [151–153]. Presently the most sensitive alkali-atom/noble-gas comagnetometers are based on *spin-exchange-relaxation-free* (SERF) atomic magnetometry combined with a scheme where the magnetization of a noble gas species self-compensates the magnetic field, and enabling nearly background-free searches for exotic spin-dependent interactions [154, 155]. Other methods have reached similar sensitivity using a variety of atomic systems via simultaneous measurement of spin-precession in different samples [153, 47].

Presently, comagnetometer technology is limited by effects due to the combination of magnetic field gradients and imperfect sample overlap, atomic collisions, surface interactions that differentially affect the atomic species, and quantum back-action. A number of techniques to circumvent these limitations are being explored. For example, in Ref. [73], quantum control methods are used to average away deleterious effects and precession is measured “in the dark” without external fields applied in order to reduce background effects. In Ref. [72], the problem of magnetic field gradients is overcome in a liquid ensemble of identical molecules by carrying out comagnetometry with different nuclear spins in each identical molecule, suppressing effects of gradients by over an order-of-magnitude as compared to overlapping samples of different atoms/molecules.

Magnetometer and comagnetometer technology has been applied to a wide variety of experiments searching for new spin-dependent interactions. Experiments using spin-based sensors and spectroscopy have been able to search for interactions with ranges from the nanometer-scale [166–171] to the Earth-scale [156, 157, 172–175], and have probed interactions of protons [166, 170, 175, 176], neutrons [151, 156, 157, 177, 178], electrons [179–192], and even antimatter [193, 194]. To get an overall idea of the state-of-the-art in experimental methods, a representative survey of the use of spin-based sensor technology in searches for the monopole-dipole interaction described by Eq. (2) for neutron spins [156–164] is shown in Fig. 2. In Fig. 2, constraints on the dimensionless coupling constant $|g_p^{(n)} g_s^{(N)}|/(\hbar c)$ using comagnetometers are indicated by the black lines, and constraints obtained using ^3He magnetometry are indicated by red lines. Parameter space excluded by laboratory experiments is indicated by the light blue shaded region and astrophysical constraints [165] are shown by the double green lines and green hatched region. It is evident that the best laboratory constraints are obtained using comagnetometry techniques, and these techniques are now at the level of precision where for many boson masses they can begin to explore parameter space outside of astrophysical constraints, highlighting the importance of further technological improvements.

If λ_c is at or below the atomic or molecular scale, experimental searches often rely on comparing high-precision measurements to high-accuracy atomic and molecular calculations based on Standard Model physics, as described, for example, in Refs. [167–169, 171, 194, 195]. The idea in these studies is that disagreement between theory and experiment can be interpreted as a possible hint of new physics, while good agreement between theory and experiment can be interpreted as a constraint on new physics scenarios. In these cases, improvements in spectroscopic measurement techniques must be accompanied by similar improvements in calculations, and thus there are usually advantages to studying simpler atomic and molecular systems that can be well understood. This is a situation similar in many respects to the long-running program of atomic parity violation measurements and calculations used to test electroweak unification [2], which, of course, can also be used to place bounds on exotic parity-violating interactions [196]. Note also that EDM measurements (Sec. 3) can be used to constrain atomic- and molecular-scale symmetry violating interactions [197].




Figure 2: Constraints on the axionlike-particle-mediated monopole-dipole interaction between nucleons and neutrons, $|g_p^{(n)} g_s^{(N)}|/(\hbar c)$, as described by Eq. (2), adapted and updated from Ref. [2]. Experiments using comagnetometry [156–161] are indicated by black lines, experiments using magnetometry are indicated by red lines [162–164], astrophysical constraints are indicated by the green double line [165]. Experiments at different length scales measure interaction ranges corresponding to different axionlike particle (ALP) Compton wavelengths λ_c , and thus different ALP masses m .

5 Spin-based sensor networks

The searches for exotic spin-dependent interactions mediated by new bosons described in Sec. 4 employ a local source for the new potential and a spin-based sensor to detect the effects of that potential. Another possibility is that the new bosons can be abundantly generated by astrophysical processes: for example, as dark matter produced in the early universe [198], or through some cataclysmic astrophysical process such as those occurring near black holes [199–201]. In these scenarios, the existence of the new bosons could be directly detected through their interactions with electronic or nuclear spins as reviewed in Ref. [202].

If exotic ultralight bosons ($m \lesssim 1 \text{ eV}/c^2$) such as axions, axionlike particles (ALPs), or dark/hidden photons make up the majority of dark matter and have negligible self-interactions, their phenomenology is well-described by a classical field oscillating at the Compton frequency $\omega_c = mc^2/\hbar$. However, due to topology or self-interactions, such ultralight bosonic fields can form stable, macroscopic field configurations in the form of boson stars [203–205] or topological defects (e.g., domain walls, strings, or monopoles [206]). Even in the absence of topological defects or self-interactions, bosonic dark matter fields exhibit stochastic fluctuations [207]. Additionally, as noted above, it is possible that high-energy astrophysical events could produce intense bursts of exotic ultralight bosonic fields [208]. In any of these scenarios, instead of being bathed in a uniform flux, terrestrial detectors will witness transient events when ultralight bosonic fields pass through Earth [209].

Such transient phenomena could easily be missed by experimenters when data are averaged over long times to increase the signal-to-noise ratio as is done in the searches described in Secs. 3 and 4. Detecting such unconventional events presents several challenges. If a transient signal heralding new physics is observed with a single detector, it would be exceedingly difficult to confidently distinguish the exotic-physics signal from the many sources of noise that generally plague precision spin-based sensor measurements. However, if transient interactions occur over a global scale, a network of spin-based sensors geographically distributed over Earth could search for specific patterns in the timing, amplitude, phase, and polarization of such signals that would be unlikely to occur randomly, as illustrated in Fig. 3. By correlating the readouts of many sensors, local effects can be filtered away and exotic physics could be distinguished from prosaic Standard-Model physics [210–212].

This idea forms the basis for the Global Network of Optical Magnetometers for Exotic physics searches (GNOME), an international collaboration operating spin-based sensors all over the world, specifically targeting beyond-the-Standard-Model physics [213, 214]. The magnetometric sensitivity of each GNOME sensor is $\approx 100 \text{ fT}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ over a bandwidth of $\approx 100 \text{ Hz}$ [214]. Each magnetometer is located within a multi-layer magnetic shield to reduce the influence of magnetic noise and perturbations while still retaining sensitivity to many exotic fields [111]. Even with the magnetic shielding, there is inevitably some level of transient signals and noise associated with the local environment (and possibly with global effects like the solar wind, changes to the Earth’s magnetic field, etc.). Therefore, each GNOME sensor uses auxiliary unshielded magnetometers and other sensors (such as accelerometers and gyroscopes) to measure relevant environmental conditions, enabling




Figure 3: (a) Schematic representation of a ALP field topological defect (domain wall) passing through the Earth, with the location and sensitive direction of GNOME sensors marked by arrows. (b) As the topological defect passes through various GNOME stations, signals appear in the magnetometer data at particular times. The sign and amplitude of the signals depend on the orientation of the sensor with respect to the domain wall and the atomic species used. Figure from Ref. [211].

exclusion/vetoing of data with known systematic issues [214]. The signals from GNOME sensors are recorded with accurate timing provided by the global positioning system (GPS) using a custom GPS-disciplined data acquisition system [215] with temporal resolution $\lesssim 10$ ms (determined by the magnetometer bandwidth), enabling reconstruction of events that propagate at $\lesssim c$ across the Earth ($R_E/c \approx 40$ ms). The broad geographical distribution of sensors enables GNOME to achieve good spatial resolution and act as an “exotic physics telescope” with a baseline comparable to the diameter of the Earth [208].

GNOME searches for a class of signals different from that probed by most other experiments, namely transient and stochastic effects that could arise from ALP fields of astrophysical origin passing through the Earth during a finite time. Depending on the particular hypothesis tested, GNOME is sensitive to ALPs with masses between $\approx 10^{-17}$ eV and $\approx 10^{-9}$ eV, and can probe parameter space unconstrained by existing laboratory experiments and astrophysical observations discussed in Sec. 4. A search for ALP domain walls has already been carried out [211, 216], and there are ongoing efforts to search for boson stars [217], carry out intensity interferometry using GNOME to detect stochastic fluctuations of dark matter fields [218], perform multimessenger “exotic physics” astronomy [208], and probe other scenarios [219]. New data analysis efforts and upgrades of GNOME magnetometers to noble gas comagnetometers [154, 155] are underway. Most importantly, correlated searches with spin-based sensors offer the possibility to hunt for the unexpected.

Another interesting scenario is the case of kinetically-mixed hidden-photon dark matter. It turns out that the Earth itself can act as a transducer to convert hidden photon dark matter into a monochromatic oscillating magnetic field at the surface of the Earth [220]. The induced magnetic field from the hidden photons has a characteristic global vectorial pattern that can be searched for with unshielded magnetometers dispersed over the surface of the Earth. GNOME is insensitive to such kinetically-mixed hidden-photon dark matter because of the magnetic shields enclosing the magnetometers [111, 221]. Instead, a network of unshielded magnetometers is required. Searches for dark/hidden photons and ALPs using a publicly available dataset from the SuperMAG Collaboration [222, 223] established experimental constraints on such scenarios that are competitive with astrophysical limits [224–226] and the CAST experiment [227] in the probed mass ranges (from around 10^{-18} eV to 10^{-16} eV). A dedicated unshielded magnetometer network targeting hidden photon dark matter may be able to extend the probed parameter space.

6 Magnetic resonance searches for ultralight bosonic dark matter fields

In contrast to the some of the scenarios discussed in Sec. 5, the simplest assumption for the nature of ultralight ($m \lesssim 1$ eV/ c^2) bosonic dark matter postulates that the bosons are virialized in the gravitational potential of galaxies such as the Milky Way and manifest as classical fields oscillating at the Compton frequency ω_c . The bosonic dark matter field can cause spin precession via couplings to nuclear and electron spins, and since the field oscillates at a particular frequency the broad and versatile tools of *magnetic resonance* can

be used to detect the spin interaction.

An axion (or ALP) field $a(\mathbf{r}, t)$, which to be dark matter must be nonrelativistic, can be described approximately by

$$a(\mathbf{r}, t) = a_0 \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega_c t + \phi_0) , \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{k} \approx m\mathbf{v}/\hbar$ is the axion wave vector ($\mathbf{v}$ is the relative velocity between the sensor and the field), ϕ_0 is a random phase offset, and a_0 is the average field amplitude, which can be estimated by assuming the average energy of the axion field comprises the totality of the local dark matter energy density $\rho_{dm} \approx 0.4 \text{ GeV/cm}^3$

$$\langle a_0^2 \rangle \approx \frac{2\hbar^2}{c^2} \frac{\rho_{dm}}{m^2} . \quad (5)$$

The axion field has a finite coherence time due to the random kinetic energy of the constituent axions, leading to a broadening of the line shape to a part in $\sim 10^6 \approx c^2/v^2$ as discussed in Refs. [228, 229], as well as stochastic amplitude fluctuations [207].

The canonical axion of quantum chromodynamics (QCD), a consequence of the Peccei-Quinn mechanism introduced to solve the strong-CP problem [230, 231], naturally couples to the gluon field and generates an oscillating EDM $\mathbf{d}_n(t)$ along the nuclear spin orientation $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_n$ [232],

$$\mathbf{d}_n(t) = g_d a(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_n , \quad (6)$$

where g_d is the coupling parameter (inversely proportional to the associated symmetry-breaking scale f_a). Axions can also couple directly to Standard Model spins $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ through the gradient interaction [232], described for nuclear spins by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_g = g_{aNN} \nabla a(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_n , \quad (7)$$

which, in analogy with the Zeeman effect, shows that $\nabla a(\mathbf{r}, t)$ acts as a pseudo-magnetic field with amplitude B_a :

$$B_a \approx \frac{g_{aNN}}{\hbar \gamma_n} \sqrt{2\hbar^3 v^2 c \rho_{dm}} , \quad (8)$$

where γ_n is the nuclear gyromagnetic ratio. (An analogous situation occurs for other fermions, but characterized by different coupling constants.)

In either case, there appears an oscillating torque on spins due to the axion field. For the axion-gluon (EDM) interaction of Eq. (6) this torque is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\text{EDM}} = \mathbf{d}_n(t) \times \mathbf{E}^* , \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{E}^*$ is an effective electric field, which depends on the atomic and nuclear structure of the spin system under study [234]. For the axion-fermion interaction of Eq. (7) this torque is

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\text{grad}} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_n \times \mathbf{B}_a(t) , \quad (10)$$




Figure 4: *Left-hand side*: Schematic diagram of the CASPER experiment. When the Larmor frequency matches the axion Compton frequency, $\Omega_L \approx \omega_c$, the nuclear spins in the sample are tipped away from their initial orientation along B_0 due to the axion-induced torque. The precessing magnetization at Ω_L can be detected with a magnetometer (such as a SQUID) placed near the sample. *Right-hand side*: Experimental geometries for CASPER Electric (top) and CASPER Gradient (bottom). In both cases, the nuclear spins $\hat{\sigma}_n$ are oriented along a leading magnetic field B_0 . An oscillating torque, $\tau_{\text{EDM}} = d_n(t) \times E$ in the case of CASPER Electric and $\tau_{\text{grad}} = \mu_n \times B_a(t)$ in the case of CASPER Gradient, tips the nuclear spins away from B_0 if the Larmor frequency Ω_L matches ω_c . Figure adapted from Ref. [233].

where $\mu_n \propto \hat{\sigma}_n$ being the nuclear magnetic moment. Therefore the interaction between an axion dark matter field and nuclear spins is equivalent to that of an oscillating magnetic field as illustrated in Fig. 4, and consequently the tools of magnetic resonance can be used to search for axion dark matter. This is the central concept of the Cosmic Axion Spin Precession Experiment (CASPER) [235–238, 234].

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiments involve measuring nuclear spin dynamics in an applied bias field B_0 that determines the Larmor frequency $\Omega_L = \gamma_n B_0$, although B_0 can be near zero in zero-to-ultralow field (ZULF) NMR experiments [239] – a technique used in Refs. [237, 238]. In CASPER, like other dark matter haloscope experiments, the oscillating field is assumed to always be present, corresponding to case of continuous-wave (cw) NMR. The magnetic field is scanned, and if $\Omega_L \approx \omega_c$, a resonance occurs and the spins are tilted away from the direction of B_0 and precess at Ω_L , generating a time-dependent magnetization that can be measured, for example, by induction through a pick-up loop or with a SQUID.

The CASPER experimental program is divided into two branches: CASPER Electric, which searches for an oscillating EDM $d_n(t)$, and CASPER Gradient, which searches for an oscillating pseudo-magnetic field $B_a(t)$ [233]. A key to CASPER’s sensitivity is the coherent “amplification” of the effects of the axion dark matter field through a large number of polarized nuclear spins. Therefore an important technological development is the ability to carry out NMR on the largest possible number of spins: this requires large nuclear spin ensembles with high polarization, a focus of CASPER research efforts, which include thermal

polarization, optical polarization, and dynamic nuclear polarization [240]. Another area of focus is optimization of spin ensemble coherence time, making use of quantum control and decoupling schemes [240]. Identifying the optimal spin species and materials with large effective electric fields is especially important for CASPER Electric, where the detectable signal is proportional to E^* . Optimal atomic systems are heavy (large atomic number Z) and optimal materials have broken inversion symmetry, such as ferroelectric solids [234]. Optimizing the coupling of the spin ensemble to the readout sensor that measures its dynamics is yet another area of focus. Quantum back-action effects will eventually limit the sensitivity of NMR experiments to axion dark matter, and therefore back-action evasion techniques will need to be developed for CASPER experiments approaching fundamental spin projection noise sensitivity limits [240].

The QUAX (QUAerere AXion) experiment [241–243] searches for axion dark matter in a manner similar to CASPER but by exploiting the interaction of axions with electron spins. The QUAX experiment searches for a coupling of the form (7) but with the nuclear coupling g_{aNN} replaced by the electron coupling g_{aee} , and the electron spin $\hat{\sigma}_e$ playing the role of the nuclear spin $\hat{\sigma}_n$. Ten spherical yttrium iron garnet (YIG) samples are coupled to a cylindrical copper cavity by means of an applied static magnetic field, and the resulting photon-magnon hybrid system acts as an axion-to-electromagnetic field transducer. This transducer is then coupled to a sensitive rf detector (a quantum-limited Josephson parametric amplifier). The QUAX experiment is one of the most sensitive rf spin magnetometers ever realized, able to measure fields as small as 5.5×10^{-19} T with nine hours of integration time [243].

Clearly, there is significant overlap between CASPER and QUAX techniques and those used to search for static EDMs (Sec. 3) and exotic spin-dependent interactions (Sec. 4). Indeed, in Refs. [244–249], noble gas comagnetometers, a spin-polarized torsion pendulum, and apparatuses used for EDM experiments were used as spin-based haloscopes to place limits on axionlike dark matter in the low mass range, corresponding to low Compton frequencies. Of note are the development of Floquet masers [250] and spin-amplifiers [251] that may expand the nominal bandwidth of noble gas comagnetometers and enable parallel dark matter searches in different frequency ranges.

The Axion Resonant InterAction Detection Experiment (ARIADNE) experiment [252, 253] is another example of how spin-based sensors can be employed to search for new physics. ARIADNE, like CASPER and QUAX, aims to use magnetic resonance techniques to search for axions and ALPs, and specifically targets the QCD axion. ARIADNE employs an unpolarized source mass and a spin-polarized ^3He low-temperature gas to search for a QCD-axion-mediated spin-dependent interaction: the monopole-dipole coupling described by Eq. (2) and discussed in Sec. 4. In contrast to dark matter haloscopes like CASPER and QUAX, whose signals depend on the local dark matter density at the Earth, the signal in the ARIADNE experiment does not require axions to constitute dark matter and can be modulated in a controlled way. ARIADNE probes QCD axion masses in the higher end of the traditionally allowed axion window, up to 6 meV, a mass range inaccessible to any other existing experiment. Thus ARIADNE fills an important gap in the search for the QCD axion in this important region of parameter space.

For the QCD axion, the scalar and dipole coupling constants $g_s^{(N)}$ and $g_p^{(N)}$ appearing in Eq. (2) are correlated with the axion mass m . As discussed earlier, the axion-mediated spin-dependent interaction manifests as a pseudo-magnetic field $\mathbf{B}_a$ and can be used to resonantly drive spin precession in the laser-polarized cold ^3He gas. This is accomplished by spinning an unpolarized tungsten mass sprocket near the ^3He vessel. As the teeth of the sprocket pass by the sample at Ω_L , the magnetization in the longitudinally polarized He gas begins to precess about the axis of an applied field. This precessing transverse magnetization is detected with a SQUID). The ^3He sample acts as an amplifier to transduce the small fictitious magnetic field B_a into a larger real magnetic field detectable by the SQUID, similar to the approach of the CASPER Gradient experiment [233]. Superconducting shielding is needed around the sample to screen it from ordinary magnetic field noise which would otherwise limit the sensitivity of the measurement. The ARIADNE experiment sources the axion field in the lab, and can explore all mass ranges in the sensitivity band simultaneously, unlike other haloscope experiments which must scan over the possible axion oscillation frequencies ω_c by tuning a magnetic field [235, 234] or cavity [254, 255].

Future prospects for improvements in the search for novel spin dependent interactions could include investigations with a spin polarized source mass, or improved sensitivity with new cryogenic or quantum technologies. Spin squeezing or coherent collective modes in ^3He could offer prospects for improved sensitivity beyond the Standard quantum limit of spin projection noise in experiments such as ARIADNE, potentially allowing sensitivity all the way down to the SQUID-limited sensitivity. This would allow one to rule out the axion over a wide range of masses, and when combined with other promising techniques [235, 234, 256–258], and existing experiments [254, 255] already at QCD axion sensitivity, could allow in principle the QCD axion to be searched for over its entire allowed mass range.

7 Spin-based sensors as dark matter particle detectors

The scattering of dark matter in crystals is a well developed approach to search for canonical weakly interacting massive particle (or WIMP) dark matter. Searches for WIMP dark matter are soon expected to hit an irreducible background, namely, the coherent scattering of neutrinos from the Sun. This problem is particularly acute for low mass WIMPs with the mass of a few GeV. There are important scientific reasons to probe WIMP cross-sections below the neutrino floor since such cross-sections are natural in models where the WIMP interacts with the Standard Model via the Higgs boson. One way to probe the dark matter parameter space below the neutrino floor is to develop detectors that are able to identify the direction of the nuclear recoil caused by the scattering of dark matter. Since the location of the Sun is known, one may veto all scattering events that point away from the Sun, rejecting all events due to solar neutrinos. The dark matter, being isotropic, will induce scattering events in all directions, permitting an unambiguous detection. The key challenge that needs to be overcome to implement this concept is that directional detection needs to be accomplished in a sample with a large enough (ton scale) target mass since the WIMP cross-sections of interest are tiny. For a practical detector, this requires the ability to perform directional detection in the solid/liquid state so that the detector is sufficiently

compact.

This challenge could conceivably be met in a solid state detector via the following concept [259]. The scattering of the dark matter displaces an atom off its lattice location and the displaced atom kicks many other atoms off their locations. This causes a tell tale damage track, $\sim 10 - 100$ nm, in the crystal that points to the direction of the incoming dark matter. The created damage can be measured using techniques established in the fields of solid-state quantum sensing and quantum information processing. The detection concept would utilize conventional localization techniques to identify the location of an event of interest to within $\sim$ mm precision. Diffraction limited optics can then be used to achieve micron scale localization. Optical superresolution or high resolution X-ray nanoscopy techniques can then be used to measure the damage track at the nanometer scale. One way to accomplish this superresolution imaging is to use NV center spin spectroscopy in polycrystalline diamond. This technique can also be implemented in a variety of other wide bandgap semiconductors such as divacancies in silicon carbide.

In the near term, work towards such a solid-state, WIMP detector with directional sensitivity is centered around demonstrating the capability to locate and determine the direction of nuclear recoil damage tracks in diamond or other crystals. This requires adaptation and development of existing techniques, but the current state of the art is not far from the requisite sensitivity and resolution. In the medium term, such a detector will require position-sensitive instrumentation with spatial resolution at the millimeter scale, as well as development of crystal growth techniques to create large volumes of radiopure, structurally homogeneous crystals. With appropriate development, this approach offers a viable path towards directional WIMP detection with sensitivity below the neutrino limit.

Spin-based sensors may also be useful as low-mass dark matter particle detectors. For low-mass dark matter particles, not only are interactions rare because of the exceedingly small cross-sections but also the deposited energy in the detector is extremely small, so both high sensitivity and low background are required. In Ref. [260], a new method for detecting low-mass dark matter particles is proposed. The idea is that if a dark matter particle deposits a small amount of energy ($\gtrsim 1$ meV) into a high-quality crystalline solid, that energy will eventually be converted into ballistic phonons travelling to the crystal surface. If the crystal surface is covered by a van der Waals liquid helium film, the phonons can cause quantum evaporation of He atoms. At low temperature (below ~ 100 mK) ^3He atoms in liquid helium reside at the surface in Andreev bound states [261]. After being evaporated, the ^3He atoms can be collected on another surface covered with a van der Waals film of isotopically enriched ^4He . The ^3He atoms can be localized at mK temperatures to bound electron states on this second helium film [262], and subsequently detected by sensing their magnetic moments, by measuring, for example, decoherence of electron spin qubits [263]. This methodology opens the possibility of single ^3He atom detection and dark matter particle detection at the ~ 1 meV scale [260].

8 Conclusion

Much of what is now known about the structure and composition of molecules and materials was originally revealed through spin-based measurements such as nuclear magnetic resonance and electron spin resonance. As quantum information science (QIS) continues to advance the level of control over spin systems, new opportunities are emerging to use the same techniques to search for new fundamental physics in a parallel and complementary manner to large scale particle accelerators and direct particle detectors. There are a range of spin-based experiments that can be employed to search for a variety of effects. Searches for permanent electric dipole moments with atoms, molecules, and spins in solids can search for symmetry violations and thereby test possible explanations for the matter-antimatter imbalance in the universe. Spin-based magnetometers and global networks of such detectors can search for and constrain new particles and fields. Spins in solids can also serve as novel particle detectors by using them as *in-situ* probes for the signatures left behind from particle impacts, and ^3He spins evaporated from liquid helium films on crystal surfaces could be used as low-mass dark matter particle detectors. While many such efforts are already underway, there remain tremendous opportunities for innovations in spin-based quantum sensors that will enhance their sensitivity, accuracy, and range of potential fundamental physics targets.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are sincerely grateful for insightful comments and suggestions from Nicolò Crescini, Reuben Shuker, and Andrew Jayich.

References

- [1] D. DeMille, J.M. Doyle and A.O. Sushkov, *Probing the frontiers of particle physics with tabletop-scale experiments*, *Science* **357** (2017) 990.
- [2] M. Safronova, D. Budker, D. DeMille, D.F. Jackson Kimball, A. Derevianko and C.W. Clark, *Search for new physics with atoms and molecules*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90** (2018) 025008.
- [3] D. DeMille, *Quantum computation with trapped polar molecules*, *Physical Review Letters* **88** (2002) 067901.
- [4] C.P. Koch, M. Leshchko and D. Sugny, *Quantum control of molecular rotation*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91** (2019) 035005.
- [5] L. Henriot, L. Beguin, A. Signoles, T. Lahaye, A. Browaeys, G.-O. Reymond et al., *Quantum computing with neutral atoms*, *Quantum* **4** (2020) 327.
- [6] M. Auzinsh, D. Budker, D. Kimball, S. Rochester, J. Stalnaker, A. Sushkov et al., *Can a quantum nondemolition measurement improve the sensitivity of an atomic magnetometer?*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004) 173002.
- [7] I.B. Khriplovich and S.K. Lamoreaux, *CP violation without strangeness: electric dipole moments of particles, atoms, and molecules*, Springer Science & Business Media (2012).
- [8] J. Ginges and V.V. Flambaum, *Violations of fundamental symmetries in atoms and tests of unification theories of elementary particles*, *Phys. Rep.* **397** (2004) 63.
- [9] M. Pospelov and A. Ritz, *Electric dipole moments as probes of new physics*, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **318** (2005) 119.
- [10] E.D. Commins, J.D. Jackson and D.P. DeMille, *The electric dipole moment of the electron: An intuitive explanation for the evasion of Schiff's theorem*, *Am. J. Phys.* **75** (2007) 532.
- [11] E.D. Commins, *Electric dipole moments of elementary particles, nuclei, atoms, and molecules*, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **76** (2007) 111010.
- [12] T. Chupp, *Permanent electric dipole moments of atoms and molecules*, in *Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys.*, vol. 59, p. 129, Elsevier (2010).

- [13] J. Engel, M.J. Ramsey-Musolf and U. Van Kolck, *Electric dipole moments of nucleons, nuclei, and atoms: The standard model and beyond*, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **71** (2013) 21.
- [14] K. Jungmann, *Searching for electric dipole moments*, *Ann. Phys. (Berl.)* **525** (2013) 550.
- [15] T. Chupp, P. Fierlinger, M. Ramsey-Musolf and J. Singh, *Electric dipole moments of atoms, molecules, nuclei, and particles*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **91** (2019) 015001.
- [16] D. Budker, D. Kimball and D.P. DeMille, *Atomic physics: an exploration through problems and solutions*, Oxford University Press, USA (2008).
- [17] M. Shaposhnikov, *Baryon asymmetry of the universe in standard electroweak theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **287** (1987) 757.
- [18] T. Chupp and M. Ramsey-Musolf, *Electric dipole moments: a global analysis*, *Physical Review C* **91** (2015) 035502.
- [19] R. Alarcon, J. Alexander, V. Anastassopoulos, T. Aoki, R. Baartman, S. Baeßler et al., *Electric dipole moments and the search for new physics*, *arXiv:2203.08103* (2022) .
- [20] L. Schiff, *Measurability of nuclear electric dipole moments*, *Phys. Rev.* **132** (1963) 2194.
- [21] P.G.H. Sandars, *The electric dipole moment of an atom*, *Phys. Lett.* **14** (1965) 194.
- [22] W. Terrano and M. Romalis, *Comagnetometer probes of dark matter and new physics*, *Quantum Sci. Technol.* **7** (2021) 014001.
- [23] B. Regan, E.D. Commins, C.J. Schmidt and D. DeMille, *New limit on the electron electric dipole moment*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88** (2002) 071805.
- [24] S. Eckel, P. Hamilton, E. Kirilov, H. Smith and D. DeMille, *Search for the electron electric dipole moment using Ω -doublet levels in PbO*, *Phys. Rev. A* **87** (2013) 052130.
- [25] D. Budker and M. Romalis, *Optical magnetometry*, *Nature Phys.* **3** (2007) 227.
- [26] D. Budker and D.F. Jackson Kimball, eds., *Optical Magnetometry*, Cambridge University Press (2013).
- [27] M. Farooq, T. Chupp, J. Grange, A. Tewsley-Booth, D. Flay, D. Kawall et al., *Absolute magnetometry with He-3*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** (2020) 223001.
- [28] C. Abel, G. Bison, W.C. Griffith, W. Heil, K. Kirch, H.-C. Koch et al., *PicoTesla absolute field readings with a hybrid $^3\text{He}/^{87}\text{Rb}$ magnetometer*, *Eur. Phys. J. D* **73** (2019) 150.

- [29] S. Murthy, D. Krause Jr, Z. Li and L. Hunter, *New limits on the electron electric dipole moment from cesium*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63** (1989) 965.
- [30] E.D. Commins, S.B. Ross, D. DeMille and B. Regan, *Improved experimental limit on the electric dipole moment of the electron*, *Phys. Rev. A* **50** (1994) 2960.
- [31] K. Zhu, N. Solmeyer, C. Tang and D.S. Weiss, *Absolute polarization measurement using a vector light shift*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 243006.
- [32] B.J. Wundt, C.T. Munger and U.D. Jentschura, *Quantum dynamics in atomic-fountain experiments for measuring the electric dipole moment of the electron with improved sensitivity*, *Phys. Rev. X* **2** (2012) 041009.
- [33] T. Inoue, S. Ando, T. Aoki, H. Arikawa, S. Ezure, K. Harada et al., *Experimental search for the electron electric dipole moment with laser cooled francium atoms*, *Hyperfine Int.* **231** (2015) 157.
- [34] J.J. Hudson, D.M. Kara, I. Smallman, B.E. Sauer, M.R. Tarbutt and E.A. Hinds, *Improved measurement of the shape of the electron*, *Nature* **473** (2011) 493.
- [35] J. Baron, W.C. Campbell, D. DeMille, J. Doyle, G. Gabrielse, Y. Gurevich et al., *Order of magnitude smaller limit on the electric dipole moment of the electron*, *Science* **343** (2014) 269.
- [36] V. Andreev, D.G. Ang, D. DeMille, J.M. Doyle, G. Gabrielse, J. Haefner et al., *Improved limit on the electric dipole moment of the electron*, *Nature* **562** (2018) 355.
- [37] W.B. Cairncross, D.N. Gresh, M. Grau, K.C. Cossel, T.S. Roussy, Y. Ni et al., *Precision measurement of the electron's electric dipole moment using trapped molecular ions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119** (2017) 153001.
- [38] L.D. Carr, D. DeMille, R.V. Krems and J. Ye, *Cold and ultracold molecules: science, technology and applications*, *New J. Phys.* **11** (2009) 055049.
- [39] J.L. Bohn, A.M. Rey and J. Ye, *Cold molecules: Progress in quantum engineering of chemistry and quantum matter*, *Science* **357** (2017) 1002.
- [40] L. Caldwell, H. Williams, N. Fitch, J. Aldegunde, J.M. Hutson, B. Sauer et al., *Long rotational coherence times of molecules in a magnetic trap*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** (2020) 063001.
- [41] Y. Zhou, Y. Shagam, W.B. Cairncross, K.B. Ng, T.S. Roussy, T. Grogan et al., *Second-scale coherence measured at the quantum projection noise limit with hundreds of molecular ions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** (2020) 053201.
- [42] P.S. Julienne, *Quo vadis now, cold molecules?*, *Nature Phys.* **14** (2018) 873.
- [43] X. Wu, Z. Han, J. Chow, D.G. Ang, C. Meisenhelder, C.D. Panda et al., *The metastable $Q\ 3\Delta^2$ state of ThO : a new resource for the ACME electron EDM search*, *New Journal of Physics* **22** (2020) 023013.

- [44] L. Anderegg, B.L. Augenbraun, E. Chae, B. Hemmerling, N.R. Hutzler, A. Ravi et al., *Radio frequency magneto-optical trapping of CaF with high density*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119** (2017) 103201.
- [45] I. Kozyryev and N.R. Hutzler, *Precision measurement of time-reversal symmetry violation with laser-cooled polyatomic molecules*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119** (2017) 133002.
- [46] P. Aggarwal, H.L. Bethlem, A. Borschevsky, M. Denis, K. Esajas, P.A. Haase et al., *Measuring the electric dipole moment of the electron in baf*, *Eur. Phys. J. D* **72** (2018) 197.
- [47] B. Graner, Y. Chen, E. Lindahl, B. Heckel et al., *Reduced limit on the permanent electric dipole moment of Hg-199*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** (2016) 161601.
- [48] C. Abel, S. Afach, N.J. Ayres, C.A. Baker, G. Ban, G. Bison et al., *Measurement of the permanent electric dipole moment of the neutron*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** (2020) 081803.
- [49] M. Swallows, T. Loftus, W. Griffith, B. Heckel, E. Fortson and M.V. Romalis, *Techniques used to search for a permanent electric dipole moment of the 199 hg atom and the implications for cp violation*, *Phys. Rev. A* **87** (2013) 012102.
- [50] D. Cho, K. Sangster and E. Hinds, *Search for time-reversal-symmetry violation in thallium fluoride using a jet source*, *Phys. Rev. A* **44** (1991) 2783.
- [51] R. Parker, M. Dietrich, M. Kalita, N. Lemke, K. Bailey, M. Bishof et al., *First measurement of the atomic electric dipole moment of Ra-225*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015) 233002.
- [52] M. Bishof, R.H. Parker, K.G. Bailey, J.P. Greene, R.J. Holt, M.R. Kalita et al., *Improved limit on the Ra-225 electric dipole moment*, *Phys. Rev. C* **94** (2016) 025501.
- [53] N. Sachdeva, I. Fan, E. Babcock, M. Burghoff, T. Chupp, S. Degenkolb et al., *New Limit on the Permanent Electric Dipole Moment of Xe-129 Using He-3 Comagnetometry and SQUID Detection*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123** (2019) 143003.
- [54] F. Allmendinger, I. Engin, W. Heil, S. Karpuk, H.-J. Krause, B. Niederländer et al., *Measurement of the permanent electric dipole moment of the Xe 129 atom*, *Phys. Rev. A* **100** (2019) 022505.
- [55] D. Booth, T. Rabga, R. Ready, K. Bailey, M. Bishof, M. Dietrich et al., *Spectroscopic study and lifetime measurement of the 6d7p 3F2o state of radium*, *Spectrochim. Acta Part B: At. Spectrosc.* **172** (2020) 105967.
- [56] R.A. Ready, G. Arrowsmith-Kron, K.G. Bailey, D. Battaglia, M. Bishof, D. Coulter et al., *Surface processing and discharge-conditioning of high voltage electrodes for the Ra EDM experiment*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods. Phys. Res. A* **1014** (2021) 165738.

- [57] J.T. Singh, *A new concept for searching for time-reversal symmetry violation using Pa-229 ions trapped in optical crystals*, *Hyperfine Interact.* **240** (2019) 29.
- [58] D.E. Maison, L.V. Skripnikov and V.V. Flambaum, *Theoretical study of YbOH 173 to search for the nuclear magnetic quadrupole moment*, *Phys. Rev. A* **100** (2019) 032514.
- [59] M. Denis, Y. Hao, E. Eliav, N.R. Hutzler, M.K. Nayak, R.G. Timmermans et al., *Enhanced P, T-violating nuclear magnetic quadrupole moment effects in laser-coolable molecules*, *J. Chem. Phys.* **152** (2020) 084303.
- [60] A. Jadbabaie, N.H. Pilgram, J. Klos, S. Kotochigova and N.R. Hutzler, *Enhanced molecular yield from a cryogenic buffer gas beam source via excited state chemistry*, *New J. Phys.* **22** (2020) 022002.
- [61] R.F. Garcia Ruiz, R. Berger, J. Billowes, C. Binnersley, M. Bissell, A. Breier et al., *Spectroscopy of short-lived radioactive molecules*, *Nature* **581** (2020) 396.
- [62] M. Fan, C. Holliman, X. Shi, H. Zhang, M. Straus, X. Li et al., *Optical mass spectrometry of cold $raoh+$ and $raoch\ 3+$* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** (2021) 023002.
- [63] P. Yu and N.R. Hutzler, *Probing fundamental symmetries of deformed nuclei in symmetric top molecules*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** (2021) 023003.
- [64] O. Grasdjik, O. Timgren, J. Kastelic, T. Wright, S. Lamoreaux, D. DeMille et al., *Centrex: a new search for time-reversal symmetry violation in the $205Tl$ nucleus*, *Quantum Sci. Technol.* **6** (2021) 044007.
- [65] P. Sandars, *Measurability of the proton electric dipole moment*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19** (1967) 1396.
- [66] D.A. Wilkening, N.F. Ramsey and D.J. Larson, *Search for p and t violations in the hyperfine structure of thallium fluoride*, *Phys. Rev. A* **29** (1984) 425.
- [67] N. Auerbach, V. Flambaum and V. Spevak, *Collective T-and P-odd electromagnetic moments in nuclei with octupole deformations*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76** (1996) 4316.
- [68] V. Flambaum and J. Ginges, *Nuclear schiff moment and time-invariance violation in atoms*, *Phys. Rev. A* **65** (2002) 032113.
- [69] V. Spevak, N. Auerbach and V. Flambaum, *Enhanced t-odd, p-odd electromagnetic moments in reflection asymmetric nuclei*, *Phys. Rev. C* **56** (1997) 1357.
- [70] M. Chishti, D. O'Donnell, G. Battaglia, M. Bowry, D. Jaroszynski, B. Singh et al., *Direct measurement of the intrinsic electric dipole moment in pear-shaped thorium-228*, *Nature Phys.* **16** (2020) 853.
- [71] M. Ledbetter, S. Pustelny, D. Budker, M.V. Romalis, J. Blanchard and A. Pines, *Liquid-state nuclear spin comagnetometers*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 243001.

- [72] T. Wu, J.W. Blanchard, D.F. Jackson Kimball, M. Jiang and D. Budker, *Nuclear-spin comagnetometer based on a liquid of identical molecules*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** (2018) 023202.
- [73] M. Limes, D. Sheng and M.V. Romalis, *He-3-Xe-129 Comagnetometry using Rb-87 Detection and Decoupling*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** (2018) 033401.
- [74] D. Mitra, N.B. Vilas, C. Hallas, L. Anderegg, B.L. Augenbraun, L. Baum et al., *Direct laser cooling of a symmetric top molecule*, *Science* **369** (2020) 1366.
- [75] N.R. Hutzler, *Polyatomic molecules as quantum sensors for fundamental physics*, *Quantum Sci. Technol.* **5** (2020) 044011.
- [76] D. Budker, S. Lamoreaux, A. Sushkov and O. Sushkov, *Sensitivity of condensed-matter p - and t -violation experiments*, *Phys. Rev. A* **73** (2006) 022107.
- [77] A. Leggett, *Macroscopic effect of P - and T -nonconserving interactions in ferroelectrics: A possible experiment?*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **41** (1978) 586.
- [78] F.L. Shapiro, *Electric dipole moments of elementary particles*, *Sov. Phys. Usp.* **11** (1968) 345.
- [79] V. Ignatovich, *Amplification of the electron electric dipole moment in atoms*, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz* **56** (1969) 2019.
- [80] B. Vasil'Ev and E. Kolycheva, *Measurement of the electric dipole moment of the electron with a quantum interferometer*, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **47** (1978) .
- [81] Y. Kim, C. Liu, S. Lamoreaux and G. Reddy, *Experimental search for the electron electric dipole moment using solid state techniques*, in *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 312, p. 102009, IOP Publishing, 2011.
- [82] S. Eckel, A. Sushkov and S. Lamoreaux, *Limit on the electron electric dipole moment using paramagnetic ferroelectric $\text{Eu}_{0.5}\text{Ba}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 193003.
- [83] B. Heidenreich, O. Elliott, N. Charney, K. Virgien, A. Bridges, M. McKeon et al., *Limit on the electron electric dipole moment in gadolinium-iron garnet*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** (2005) 253004.
- [84] O. Sushkov, V. Flambaum and I. Khriplovich, *Possibility of investigating p - and t -odd nuclear forces in atomic and molecular experiments*, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz* **87** (1984) 1521.
- [85] V. Flambaum, *Enhanced nuclear schiff moment and time-reversal violation in th 229-containing molecules*, *Phys. Rev. C* **99** (2019) 035501.
- [86] J. Kłos, H. Li, E. Tiesinga and S. Kotochigova, *Prospects for assembling ultracold radioactive molecules from laser-cooled atoms*, *New J. Phys.* **24** (2022) 025005.

- [87] E.P. Abel, M. Avilov, V. Ayres, E. Birnbaum, G. Bollen, G. Bonito et al., *Isotope harvesting at FRIB: additional opportunities for scientific discovery*, *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.* **46** (2019) 100501.
- [88] T. Fleig and D. DeMille, *Theoretical aspects of radium-containing molecules amenable to assembly from laser-cooled atoms for new physics searches*, *New J. Phys.* **23** (2021) 113039.
- [89] L.P. Gaffney, P.A. Butler, M. Scheck, A.B. Hayes, F. Wenander, M. Albers et al., *Studies of pear-shaped nuclei using accelerated radioactive beams*, *Nature* **497** (2013) 199.
- [90] P.A. Butler, L. Gaffney, P. Spagnoletti, K. Abrahams, M. Bowry, J. Cederkäll et al., *Evolution of octupole deformation in radium nuclei from coulomb excitation of radioactive Ra-222 and Ra-228 beams*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** (2020) 042503.
- [91] C.-w. Chou, C. Kurz, D.B. Hume, P.N. Plessow, D.R. Leibbrandt and D. Leibfried, *Preparation and coherent manipulation of pure quantum states of a single molecular ion*, *Nature* **545** (2017) 203.
- [92] C. Pryor and F. Wilczek, “Artificial vacuum” for *T*-violation experiment, *Phys. Lett. B* **194** (1987) 137.
- [93] M. Arndt, S. Kanorsky, A. Weis and T. Hänsch, *Can paramagnetic atoms in superfluid helium be used to search for permanent electric dipole moments?*, *Phys. Lett. A* **174** (1993) 298.
- [94] M. Kozlov and A. Derevianko, *Proposal for a sensitive search for the electric dipole moment of the electron with matrix-isolated radicals*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** (2006) 063001.
- [95] C.-Y. Xu, S.-M. Hu, J. Singh, K. Bailey, Z.-T. Lu, P. Mueller et al., *Optical excitation and decay dynamics of ytterbium atoms embedded in a solid neon matrix*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011) 093001.
- [96] S. Upadhyay, A.N. Kanagin, C. Hartzell, T. Christy, W.P. Arnott, T. Momose et al., *Longitudinal spin relaxation of optically pumped rubidium atoms in solid parahydrogen*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** (2016) 175301.
- [97] A. Vutha, M. Horbatsch and E. Hessels, *Oriented polar molecules in a solid inert-gas matrix: a proposed method for measuring the electric dipole moment of the electron*, *Atoms* **6** (2018) 3.
- [98] A. Vutha, M. Horbatsch and E. Hessels, *Orientation-dependent hyperfine structure of polar molecules in a rare-gas matrix: A scheme for measuring the electron electric dipole moment*, *Phys. Rev. A* **98** (2018) 032513.
- [99] M. Arndt, S. Kanorsky, A. Weis and T. Hänsch, *Long electronic spin relaxation times of Cs atoms in solid He-4*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74** (1995) 1359.

- [100] S. Kanorsky, S. Lang, S. Lücke, S. Ross, T. Hänsch and A. Weis, *Millihertz magnetic resonance spectroscopy of Cs atoms in body-centered-cubic He-4*, *Phys. Rev. A* **54** (1996) R1010.
- [101] S. Lang, S. Kanorsky, T. Eichler, R. Müller-Siebert, T. Hänsch and A. Weis, *Optical pumping of Cs atoms in solid ^4He* , *Phys. Rev. A* **60** (1999) 3867.
- [102] S. Upadhyay, U. Dargyte, R.P. Prater, V.D. Dergachev, S.A. Varganov, T.V. Tscherbul et al., *Enhanced spin coherence of rubidium atoms in solid parahydrogen*, *Phys. Rev. B* **100** (2019) 024106.
- [103] S. Upadhyay, U. Dargyte, V.D. Dergachev, R.P. Prater, S.A. Varganov, T.V. Tscherbul et al., *Spin coherence and optical properties of alkali-metal atoms in solid parahydrogen*, *Phys. Rev. A* **100** (2019) 063419.
- [104] S. Upadhyay, U. Dargyte, D. Patterson and J.D. Weinstein, *Ultralong spin-coherence times for rubidium atoms in solid parahydrogen via dynamical decoupling*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125** (2020) 043601.
- [105] D. Batchelder, D. Losee and R. Simmons, *Measurements of lattice constant, thermal expansion, and isothermal compressibility of neon single crystals*, *Phys. Rev.* **162** (1967) 767.
- [106] M. Dine and A. Kusenko, *Origin of the matter-antimatter asymmetry*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **76** (2003) 1.
- [107] J. Moody and F. Wilczek, *New macroscopic forces?*, *Phys. Rev. D* **30** (1984) 130.
- [108] B.A. Dobrescu and I. Mocioiu, *Spin-dependent macroscopic forces from new particle exchange*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2006** (2006) 005.
- [109] P. Fadeev, Y.V. Stadnik, F. Ficek, M.G. Kozlov, V.V. Flambaum and D. Budker, *Revisiting spin-dependent forces mediated by new bosons: Potentials in the coordinate-space representation for macroscopic-and atomic-scale experiments*, *Phys. Rev. A* **99** (2019) 022113.
- [110] D.F. Jackson Kimball, *Nuclear spin content and constraints on exotic spin-dependent couplings*, *New J. Phys.* **17** (2015) 073008.
- [111] D.F. Jackson Kimball, J. Dudley, Y. Li, S. Thulasi, S. Pustelny, D. Budker et al., *Magnetic shielding and exotic spin-dependent interactions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016) 082005.
- [112] M.W. Mitchell and S.P. Alvarez, *Colloquium: Quantum limits to the energy resolution of magnetic field sensors*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **92** (2020) 021001.
- [113] K. Fang, V.M. Acosta, C. Santori, Z. Huang, K.M. Itoh, H. Watanabe et al., *High-sensitivity magnetometry based on quantum beats in diamond nitrogen-vacancy centers*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 130802.

- [114] M.E. Trusheim, L. Li, A. Laraoui, E.H. Chen, H. Bakhru, T. Schröder et al., *Scalable fabrication of high purity diamond nanocrystals with long-spin-coherence nitrogen vacancy centers*, *Nano Lett.* **14** (2014) 32.
- [115] H. Clevenson, M.E. Trusheim, C. Teale, T. Schröder, D. Braje and D. Englund, *Broadband magnetometry and temperature sensing with a light-trapping diamond waveguide*, *Nature Phys.* **11** (2015) 393.
- [116] I. Lovchinsky, A. Sushkov, E. Urbach, N.P. de Leon, S. Choi, K. De Greve et al., *Nuclear magnetic resonance detection and spectroscopy of single proteins using quantum logic*, *Science* **351** (2016) 836.
- [117] J.F. Barry, M.J. Turner, J.M. Schloss, D.R. Glenn, Y. Song, M.D. Lukin et al., *Optical magnetic detection of single-neuron action potentials using quantum defects in diamond*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **113** (2016) 14133.
- [118] S. Ahmadi, H.A. El-Ella, J.O. Hansen, A. Huck and U.L. Andersen, *Pump-enhanced continuous-wave magnetometry using nitrogen-vacancy ensembles*, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **8** (2017) 034001.
- [119] H. Zhou, J. Choi, S. Choi, R. Landig, A.M. Douglas, J. Isoya et al., *Quantum metrology with strongly interacting spin systems*, *Phys. Rev. X* **10** (2020) 031003.
- [120] S. Wildermuth, S. Hofferberth, I. Lesanovsky, E. Haller, L.M. Andersson, S. Groth et al., *Microscopic magnetic-field imaging*, *Nature* **435** (2005) 440.
- [121] S. Wildermuth, S. Hofferberth, I. Lesanovsky, S. Groth, P. Krüger, J. Schmiedmayer et al., *Sensing electric and magnetic fields with bose-einstein condensates*, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **88** (2006) 264103.
- [122] M. Vengalattore, J. Higbie, S. Leslie, J. Guzman, L. Sadler and D. Stamper-Kurn, *High-resolution magnetometry with a spinor Bose-Einstein condensate*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** (2007) 200801.
- [123] C.F. Ockeloen, R. Schmied, M.F. Riedel and P. Treutlein, *Quantum metrology with a scanning probe atom interferometer*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 143001.
- [124] Y. Eto, H. Ikeda, H. Suzuki, S. Hasegawa, Y. Tomiyama, S. Sekine et al., *Spin-echo-based magnetometry with spinor Bose-Einstein condensates*, *Phys. Rev. A* **88** (2013) 031602.
- [125] W. Muessel, H. Strobel, D. Linnemann, D. Hume and M. Oberthaler, *Scalable spin squeezing for quantum-enhanced magnetometry with Bose-Einstein condensates*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 103004.
- [126] A. Wood, L. Bennie, A. Duong, M. Jasperse, L. Turner and R. Anderson, *Magnetic tensor gradiometry using ramsey interferometry of spinor condensates*, *Phys. Rev. A* **92** (2015) 053604.

- [127] F. Yang, A.J. Kollár, S.F. Taylor, R.W. Turner and B.L. Lev, *Scanning quantum cryogenic atom microscope*, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **7** (2017) 034026.
- [128] M. Jasperse, M. Kewming, S. Fischer, P. Pakkiam, R. Anderson and L. Turner, *Continuous faraday measurement of spin precession without light shifts*, *Phys. Rev. A* **96** (2017) 063402.
- [129] I. Kominis, T. Kornack, J. Allred and M.V. Romalis, *A subfemtotesla multichannel atomic magnetometer*, *Nature* **422** (2003) 596.
- [130] P.D. Schwindt, S. Knappe, V. Shah, L. Hollberg, J. Kitching, L.-A. Liew et al., *Chip-scale atomic magnetometer*, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **85** (2004) 6409.
- [131] P.D. Schwindt, B. Lindseth, S. Knappe, V. Shah, J. Kitching and L.-A. Liew, *Chip-scale atomic magnetometer with improved sensitivity by use of the M_x technique*, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **90** (2007) 081102.
- [132] W.C. Griffith, S. Knappe and J. Kitching, *Femtotesla atomic magnetometry in a microfabricated vapor cell*, *Opt. Express* **18** (2010) 27167.
- [133] H. Dang, A.C. Maloof and M.V. Romalis, *Ultrahigh sensitivity magnetic field and magnetization measurements with an atomic magnetometer*, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **97** (2010) 151110.
- [134] R. Sewell, M. Koschorreck, M. Napolitano, B. Dubost, N. Behbood and M. Mitchell, *Magnetic sensitivity beyond the projection noise limit by spin squeezing*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 253605.
- [135] D. Sheng, S. Li, N. Dural and M.V. Romalis, *Subfemtotesla scalar atomic magnetometry using multipass cells*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 160802.
- [136] N. Behbood, F. Martin Ciurana, G. Colangelo, M. Napolitano, M.W. Mitchell and R.J. Sewell, *Real-time vector field tracking with a cold-atom magnetometer*, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **102** (2013) 173504.
- [137] S. Palacios Alvarez, P. Gomez, S. Coop, R. Zamora-Zamora, C. Mazzinghi and M.W. Mitchell, *Single-domain bose condensate magnetometer achieves energy resolution per bandwidth below $\hbar$* , *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **119** (2022) e2115339119.
- [138] D.F. Jackson Kimball, A.O. Sushkov and D. Budker, *Precessing ferromagnetic needle magnetometer*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** (2016) 190801.
- [139] A. Vinante, C. Timberlake, D. Budker, D.F. Jackson Kimball, A.O. Sushkov and H. Ulbricht, *Surpassing the Energy Resolution Limit with ferromagnetic torque sensors*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** (2021) 070801.
- [140] T. Wang, S. Lourette, S.R. O’Kelley, M. Kayci, Y. Band, D.F. Jackson Kimball et al., *Dynamics of a ferromagnetic particle levitated over a superconductor*, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **11** (2019) 044041.

- [141] J. Gieseler, A. Kabcenell, E. Rosenfeld, J. Schaefer, A. Safira, M.J. Schuetz et al., *Single-spin magnetomechanics with levitated micromagnets*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** (2020) 163604.
- [142] A. Vinante, P. Falferi, G. Gasbarri, A. Setter, C. Timberlake and H. Ulbricht, *Ultralow mechanical damping with meissner-levitated ferromagnetic microparticles*, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **13** (2020) 064027.
- [143] P. Fadeev, T. Wang, Y. Band, D. Budker, P.W. Graham, A.O. Sushkov et al., *Gravity probe spin: Prospects for measuring general-relativistic precession of intrinsic spin using a ferromagnetic gyroscope*, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) 044056.
- [144] P. Fadeev, C. Timberlake, T. Wang, A. Vinante, Y.B. Band, D. Budker et al., *Ferromagnetic gyroscopes for tests of fundamental physics*, *Quantum Sci. Technol.* **6** (2021) 024006.
- [145] V. Shah, G. Vasilakis and M.V. Romalis, *High bandwidth atomic magnetometry with continuous quantum nondemolition measurements*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104** (2010) 013601.
- [146] W. Wasilewski, K. Jensen, H. Krauter, J.J. Renema, M. Balabas and E.S. Polzik, *Quantum noise limited and entanglement-assisted magnetometry*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104** (2010) 133601.
- [147] L. Pezze, A. Smerzi, M.K. Oberthaler, R. Schmied and P. Treutlein, *Quantum metrology with nonclassical states of atomic ensembles*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90** (2018) 035005.
- [148] R. Shuker and G.A. Koganov, *Photon-induced correlations of quantum systems via an excitation exchange operator*, *Laser Phys Lett.* **18** (2021) 055205.
- [149] N. Crescini, G. Carugno and G. Ruoso, *Phase-modulated cavity magnon polaritons as a precise magnetic field probe*, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **16** (2021) 034036.
- [150] S.K. Lamoreaux, *The electric dipole moments of atoms: Limits on P , T violating interactions within the atom*, *Nucl. Inst. and Meth. in Phys. Research A* **284** (1989) 43.
- [151] G. Vasilakis, J. Brown, T. Kornack and M. Romalis, *Limits on New Long Range Nuclear Spin-Dependent Forces Set with a K -He-3 Comagnetometer*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** (2009) 261801.
- [152] J. Brown, S. Smullin, T. Kornack and M. Romalis, *New limit on Lorentz-and CPT-violating neutron spin interactions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 151604.
- [153] F. Allmendinger, W. Heil, S. Karpuk, W. Kilian, A. Scharth, U. Schmidt et al., *New Limit on Lorentz-Invariance-and $C P T$ -Violating Neutron Spin Interactions Using a Free-Spin-Precession He-3/Xe-129 Comagnetometer*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 110801.

- [154] T. Kornack and M. Romalis, *Dynamics of two overlapping spin ensembles interacting by spin exchange*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89** (2002) 253002.
- [155] T. Kornack, R. Ghosh and M.V. Romalis, *Nuclear spin gyroscope based on an atomic comagnetometer*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** (2005) 230801.
- [156] D.J. Wineland, J.J. Bollinger, D.J. Heinzen, W.M. Itano and M.G. Raizen, *Search for Anomalous Spin-Dependent Forces Using Stored Ion Spectroscopy*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** (1991) 1735.
- [157] B.J. Venema, P.K. Majumder, S.K. Lamoreaux, B.R. Heckel and E.N. Fortson, *Search for a Coupling of Earth's Gravitational Field to Nuclear Spins in Atomic Mercury*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68** (1992) 135.
- [158] A.N. Youdin, D. Krause, K. Jagannathan, L.R. Hunter and S.K. Lamoreaux, *Limits on Spin-Mass Couplings within the Axion Window*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** (1996) 2170.
- [159] K. Tullney, F. Allmendinger, M. Burghoff, W. Heil, S. Karpuk, W. Kilian et al., *Constraints on spin-dependent short-range interaction between nucleons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 100801.
- [160] M. Bulatowicz, R. Griffith, M. Larsen, J. Mirijanian, C.B. Fu, E. Smith et al., *Laboratory Search for a Long-Range T-Odd, P-Odd Interaction from Axionlike Particles Using Dual-Species Nuclear Magnetic Resonance with Polarized ^{129}Xe and ^{131}Xe Gas*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 102001.
- [161] J. Lee, A. Almasi and M. Romalis, *Improved limits on spin-mass interactions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** (2018) 161801.
- [162] A.K. Petukhov, G. Pignol, D. Jullien and K.H. Andersen, *Polarized ^3He as a Probe for Short-Range Spin-Dependent Interactions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 170401.
- [163] P.-H. Chu, A. Dennis, C.B. Fu, H. Gao, R. Khatriwada, G. Laskaris et al., *Laboratory search for spin-dependent short-range force from axionlike particles using optically polarized ^3He gas*, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 011105(R).
- [164] M. Guigue, D. Jullien, A.K. Petukhov and G. Pignol, *Constraining short-range spin-dependent forces with polarized ^3He* , *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 114001.
- [165] G. Raffelt, *Limits on a CP-violating scalar axion-nucleon interaction*, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 015001.
- [166] N.F. Ramsey, *The tensor force between two protons at long range*, *Physica A (Amsterdam)* **96** (1979) 285.
- [167] S.G. Karshenboim, *Precision physics of simple atoms and constraints on a light boson with ultraweak coupling*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104** (2010) 220406.
- [168] S.G. Karshenboim, *Constraints on a long-range spin-independent interaction from precision atomic physics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 073003.

- [169] S.G. Karshenboim, *Constraints on a long-range spin-dependent interaction from precision atomic physics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 113013.
- [170] M.P. Ledbetter, M.V. Romalis and D.F. Jackson Kimball, *Constraints on Short-Range Spin-Dependent Interactions from Scalar Spin-Spin Coupling in Deuterated Molecular Hydrogen*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 040402.
- [171] F. Ficek, D.F. Jackson Kimball, M.G. Kozlov, N. Leefer, S. Pustelny and D. Budker, *Constraints on exotic spin-dependent interactions between electrons from helium fine-structure spectroscopy*, *Phys. Rev. A* **95** (2017) 032505.
- [172] B.R. Heckel, E.G. Adelberger, C.E. Cramer, T.S. Cook, S. Schlamminger and U. Schmidt, *Preferred-frame and cp -violation tests with polarized electrons*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 092006.
- [173] L. Hunter, J. Gordon, S. Peck, D. Ang and L. J.-F., *Using the earth as a polarized electron source to search for long-range spin-spin interactions*, *Science* **339** (2013) 928.
- [174] L. Hunter and D. Ang, *Using geoelectrons to search for velocity-dependent spin-spin interactions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 091803.
- [175] D.F. Jackson Kimball, J. Dudley, Y. Li, D. Patel and J. Valdez, *Constraints on long-range spin-gravity and monopole-dipole couplings of the proton*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) 075004.
- [176] E. Salumbides, J. Koelemeij, J. Komasa, K. Pachucki, K. Eikema and W. Ubachs, *Bounds on fifth forces from precision measurements on molecules*, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 112008.
- [177] A.G. Glenday, C.E. Cramer, D.F. Phillips and R.L. Walsworth, *Limits on anomalous spin-spin couplings between neutrons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** (2008) 261801.
- [178] H. Su, Y. Wang, M. Jiang, W. Ji, P. Fadeev, D. Hu et al., *Search for exotic spin-dependent interactions with a spin-based amplifier*, *Sci. Adv.* **7** (2021) eabi9535.
- [179] R.C. Ritter, L. Winkler and G. Gillies, *Search for anomalous spin-dependent forces with a polarized-mass torsion pendulum*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70** (1993) 701.
- [180] W.T. Ni, T.C.P. Chui, S.-S. Pan and B.-Y. Cheng, *Search for anomalous spin-spin interactions between electrons using a DC SQUID*, *Physica B (Amsterdam)* **194** (1994) 153.
- [181] S. Hoedl, F. Fleischer, E. Adelberger and B. Heckel, *Improved constraints on an axion-mediated force*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 041801.
- [182] S. Kotler, R. Ozeri and D.F. Jackson Kimball, *Constraints on exotic dipole-dipole couplings between electrons at the micrometer scale*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (2015) 081801.

- [183] W.A. Terrano, E.G. Adelberger, J.G. Lee and B.R. Heckel, *Short-range, spin-dependent interactions of electrons: A probe for exotic pseudo-goldstone bosons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (2015) 201801.
- [184] N. Crescini, C. Braggio, G. Carugno, P. Falferi, A. Ortolan and G. Ruoso, *Improved constraints on monopole–dipole interaction mediated by pseudo-scalar bosons*, *Phys. Lett. B* **773** (2017) 677.
- [185] P. Luo, J. Ding, J. Wang and X. Ren, *Constraints on spin-dependent exotic interactions between electrons at the nanometer scale*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) 055028.
- [186] Y.J. Kim, P.-H. Chu and I. Savukov, *Experimental constraint on an exotic spin-and velocity-dependent interaction in the sub-mev range of axion mass with a spin-exchange relaxation-free magnetometer*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** (2018) 091802.
- [187] X. Rong, M. Wang, J. Geng, X. Qin, M. Guo, M. Jiao et al., *Searching for an exotic spin-dependent interaction with a single electron-spin quantum sensor*, *Nature Comm.* **9** (2018) 739.
- [188] X. Rong, M. Jiao, J. Geng, B. Zhang, T. Xie, F. Shi et al., *Constraints on a spin-dependent exotic interaction between electrons with single electron spin quantum sensors*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** (2018) 080402.
- [189] J. Ding, J. Wang, X. Zhou, Y. Liu, K. Sun, A.O. Adeyeye et al., *Constraints on the velocity and spin dependent exotic interaction at the micrometer range*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** (2020) 161801.
- [190] A. Almasi, J. Lee, H. Winarto, M. Smiciklas and M.V. Romalis, *New limits on anomalous spin-spin interactions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125** (2020) 201802.
- [191] X. Ren, J. Wang, R. Luo, L. Yin, J. Ding, G. Zeng et al., *Search for an exotic parity-odd spin-and velocity-dependent interaction using a magnetic force microscope*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 032008.
- [192] N. Crescini, G. Carugno, P. Falferi, A. Ortolan, G. Ruoso and C. Speake, *Search of spin-dependent fifth forces with precision magnetometry*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 022007.
- [193] T.M. Leslie, E. Weisman, R. Khatriwada and J.C. Long, *Prospects for electron spin-dependent short-range force experiments with rare earth iron garnet test masses*, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 114022.
- [194] F. Ficek, P. Fadeev, V.V. Flambaum, D.F. Jackson Kimball, M.G. Kozlov, Y.V. Stadnik et al., *Constraints on exotic spin-dependent interactions between matter and antimatter from antiprotonic helium spectroscopy*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** (2018) 183002.
- [195] P. Fadeev, F. Ficek, M.G. Kozlov, D. Budker and V.V. Flambaum, *Pseudovector and pseudoscalar spin-dependent interactions in atoms*, *Phys. Rev. A* **105** (2022) 022812.

- [196] D. Antypas, A. Fabricant, J.E. Stalnaker, K. Tsigutkin, V. Flambaum and D. Budker, *Isotopic variation of parity violation in atomic ytterbium*, *Nature Phys.* **15** (2019) 120.
- [197] Y. Stadnik, V. Dzuba and V. Flambaum, *Improved limits on axionlike-particle-mediated P , T -violating interactions between electrons and nucleons from electric dipole moments of atoms and molecules*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** (2018) 013202.
- [198] J. Preskill, M.B. Wise and F. Wilczek, *Cosmology of the invisible axion*, *Phys. Lett. B* **120** (1983) 127.
- [199] A. Arvanitaki and S. Dubovsky, *Exploring the string axiverse with precision black hole physics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 044026.
- [200] A. Arvanitaki, M. Baryakhtar and X. Huang, *Discovering the QCD axion with black holes and gravitational waves*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 084011.
- [201] M. Baryakhtar, M. Galanis, R. Lasenby and O. Simon, *Black hole superradiance of self-interacting scalar fields*, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) 095019.
- [202] P.W. Graham, D.E. Kaplan, J. Mardon, S. Rajendran, W.A. Terrano, L. Trahms et al., *Spin precession experiments for light axionic dark matter*, *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018) 055006.
- [203] E.W. Kolb and I.I. Tkachev, *Axion miniclusters and bose stars*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (1993) 3051.
- [204] E. Braaten, A. Mohapatra and H. Zhang, *Dense axion stars*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** (2016) 121801.
- [205] J. Eby, M. Leembruggen, L. Street, P. Suranyi and L. Wijewardhana, *Global view of QCD axion stars*, *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 063002.
- [206] A. Vilenkin, *Cosmic strings and domain walls*, *Phys. Rep.* **121** (1985) 263.
- [207] G.P. Centers, J.W. Blanchard, J. Conrad, N.L. Figueroa, A. Garcon, A.V. Gramolin et al., *Stochastic fluctuations of bosonic dark matter*, *Nature Comm.* **12** (2021) 7321.
- [208] C. Dailey, C. Bradley, D.F. Jackson Kimball, I.A. Sulai, S. Pustelny, A. Wickenbrock et al., *Quantum sensor networks as exotic field telescopes for multi-messenger astronomy*, *Nat. Astron.* **5** (2021) 150.
- [209] M. Pospelov, S. Pustelny, M.P. Ledbetter, D.F. Jackson Kimball, W. Gawlik and D. Budker, *Detecting Domain Walls of Axionlike Models Using Terrestrial Experiments*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 021803.
- [210] H. Masia-Roig, J.A. Smiga, D. Budker, V. Dumont, Z. Grujic, D. Kim et al., *Analysis method for detecting topological defect dark matter with a global magnetometer network*, *Phys. Dark Universe* **28** (2020) 100494.

- [211] S. Afach, B.C. Buchler, D. Budker, C. Dailey, A. Derevianko, V. Dumont et al., *Search for topological defect dark matter with a global network of optical magnetometers*, *Nature Phys.* **17** (2021) 1396.
- [212] Y. Chen, M. Jiang, J. Shu, X. Xue and Y. Zeng, *Dissecting axion and dark photon with a network of vector sensors*, *arXiv:2111.06732* (2021) .
- [213] S. Pustelny, D.F. Jackson Kimball, C. Pankow, M.P. Ledbetter, P. Włodarczyk, P. Wcisło et al., *The Global Network of Optical Magnetometers for Exotic physics (GNOME): A novel scheme to search for physics beyond the Standard Model*, *Annalen der Physik* **525** (2013) 659.
- [214] S. Afach, D. Budker, G. DeCamp, V. Dumont, Z.D. Grujić, H. Guo et al., *Characterization of the Global Network of Optical Magnetometers to Search for Exotic Physics (GNOME)*, *Phys. Dark Universe* **22** (2018) 162.
- [215] P. Włodarczyk, S. Pustelny, D. Budker and M. Lipiński, *Multi-channel data acquisition system with absolute time synchronization*, *Nucl. Inst. Meth. Phys. Res. A* **763** (2014) 150.
- [216] D. Kim, D.F. Jackson Kimball, H. Masia-Roig, J.A. Smiga, A. Wickenbrock, D. Budker et al., *A machine learning algorithm for direct detection of axion-like particle domain walls*, *arXiv:2110.00139* (2021) .
- [217] D.F. Jackson Kimball, D. Budker, J. Eby, M. Pospelov, S. Pustelny, T. Scholtes et al., *Searching for axion stars and Q-balls with a terrestrial magnetometer network*, *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018) 043002.
- [218] H. Masia-Roig, N.L. Figueroa, A. Bordon, J.A. Smiga, D. Budker, G.P. Centers et al., *Intensity interferometry for ultralight bosonic dark matter detection*, *arXiv:2202.02645* (2022) .
- [219] D.M. Grabowska, T. Melia and S. Rajendran, *Detecting dark blobs*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 115020.
- [220] M.A. Fedderke, P.W. Graham, D.F. Jackson Kimball and S. Kalia, *Earth as a transducer for dark-photon dark-matter detection*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 075023.
- [221] S. Chaudhuri, P.W. Graham, K. Irwin, J. Mardon, S. Rajendran and Y. Zhao, *Radio for hidden-photon dark matter detection*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** 075012.
- [222] M.A. Fedderke, P.W. Graham, D.F. Jackson Kimball and S. Kalia, *Search for dark-photon dark matter in the supermag geomagnetic field dataset*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 095032.
- [223] A. Arza, M.A. Fedderke, P.W. Graham, D.F. Jackson Kimball and S. Kalia, *Earth as a transducer for axion dark-matter detection*, *arXiv:2112.09620* (2021) .
- [224] A. Payez, C. Evoli, T. Fischer, M. Giannotti, A. Mirizzi and A. Ringwald, *Revisiting the SN1987A gamma-ray limit on ultralight axion-like particles*, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **2015** (2015) 006.

- [225] S.D. McDermott and S.J. Witte, *Cosmological evolution of light dark photon dark matter*, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020) 063030.
- [226] D. Wadekar and G.R. Farrar, *Gas-rich dwarf galaxies as a new probe of dark matter interactions with ordinary matter*, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) 123028.
- [227] C. Collaboration, *New CAST limit on the axion–photon interaction*, *Nature Phys.* **13** (2017) 584.
- [228] L. Krauss, J. Moody, F. Wilczek and D.E. Morris, *Calculations for cosmic axion detection*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55** (1985) 1797.
- [229] A.V. Gramolin, A. Wickenbrock, D. Aybas, H. Bekker, D. Budker, G.P. Centers et al., *Spectral signatures of axionlike dark matter*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 035029.
- [230] R. Peccei and H. Quinn, *CP conservation in the presence of pseudoparticles*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **38** (1977) 1440.
- [231] R. Peccei and H. Quinn, *Constraints imposed by CP conservation in the presence of pseudoparticles*, *Phys. Rev. D* **16** (1977) 1791.
- [232] P.W. Graham and S. Rajendran, *New observables for direct detection of axion dark matter*, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013) 035023.
- [233] D.F. Jackson Kimball, S. Afach, D. Aybas, J. Blanchard, D. Budker, G. Centers et al., *Overview of the cosmic axion spin precession experiment (CASPER)*, in *Microwave Cavities and Detectors for Axion Research*, G. Carosi, G. Rybka and K. van Bibber, eds., pp. 105–121, Springer (2020).
- [234] D. Aybas, J. Adam, E. Blumenthal, A.V. Gramolin, D. Johnson, A. Kleyheeg et al., *Search for axionlike dark matter using solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** (2021) 141802.
- [235] D. Budker, P.W. Graham, M. Ledbetter, S. Rajendran and A.O. Sushkov, *Proposal for a cosmic axion spin precession experiment (casper)*, *Phys. Rev. X* **4** (2014) 021030.
- [236] A. Garcon, D. Aybas, J.W. Blanchard, G. Centers, N.L. Figueroa, P.W. Graham et al., *The Cosmic Axion Spin Precession Experiment (CASPER): a dark-matter search with nuclear magnetic resonance*, *Quantum Sci. Technol.* **3** (2017) 014008.
- [237] T. Wu, J.W. Blanchard, G.P. Centers, N.L. Figueroa, A. Garcon, P.W. Graham et al., *Search for axionlike dark matter with a liquid-state nuclear spin comagnetometer*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019) 191302.
- [238] A. Garcon, J.W. Blanchard, G.P. Centers, N.L. Figueroa, P.W. Graham, D.F. Jackson Kimball et al., *Constraints on bosonic dark matter from ultralow-field nuclear magnetic resonance*, *Science Advances* **5** (2019) eaax4539.
- [239] M. Ledbetter and D. Budker, *Zero-field nuclear magnetic resonance*, *Physics Today* **66** (2013) 44.

- [240] D. Aybas, H. Bekker, J. Blanchard, D. Budker, G. Centers, N. Figueroa et al., *Quantum sensitivity limits of nuclear magnetic resonance experiments searching for new fundamental physics*, *Quantum Sci. Technol.* **6** (2021) 034007.
- [241] R. Barbieri, C. Braggio, G. Carugno, C.S. Gallo, A. Lombardi, A. Ortolan et al., *Searching for galactic axions through magnetized media: the QUAX proposal*, *Phys. Dark Universe* **15** (2017) 135.
- [242] N. Crescini, D. Alesini, C. Braggio, G. Carugno, D. Di Gioacchino, C. Gallo et al., *Operation of a ferromagnetic axion haloscope at $m_a = 58 \mu\text{eV}$* , *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) 703.
- [243] N. Crescini, D. Alesini, C. Braggio, G. Carugno, D. D’Agostino, D. Di Gioacchino et al., *Axion search with a quantum-limited ferromagnetic haloscope*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** (2020) 171801.
- [244] I.M. Bloch, Y. Hochberg, E. Kuflik and T. Volansky, *Axion-like relics: new constraints from old comagnetometer data*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2020** (2020) 1.
- [245] M. Jiang, H. Su, A. Garcon, X. Peng and D. Budker, *Search for axion-like dark matter with spin-based amplifiers*, *Nature Phys.* **17** (2021) 1402.
- [246] I.M. Bloch, G. Ronen, R. Shaham, O. Katz, T. Volansky and O. Katz, *New constraints on axion-like dark matter using a Floquet quantum detector*, *Sci. Adv.* **8** (2022) eabl8919.
- [247] W.A. Terrano, E.G. Adelberger, C.A. Hagedorn and B.R. Heckel, *Constraints on axionlike dark matter with masses down to $10^{-23} \text{ eV}/c^2$* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019) 231301.
- [248] C. Abel, N.J. Ayres, G. Ban, G. Bison, K. Bodek, V. Bondar et al., *Search for axionlike dark matter through nuclear spin precession in electric and magnetic fields*, *Phys. Rev. X* **7** (2017) 041034.
- [249] T.S. Roussy, D.A. Palken, W.B. Cairncross, B.M. Brubaker, D.N. Gresh, M. Grau et al., *Experimental constraint on axionlike particles over seven orders of magnitude in mass*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** (2021) 171301.
- [250] M. Jiang, H. Su, Z. Wu, X. Peng and D. Budker, *Floquet maser*, *Sci. Adv.* **7** (2021) eabe0719.
- [251] M. Jiang, Y. Qin, X. Wang, Y. Wang, H. Su, X. Peng et al., *Floquet spin amplification*, *arXiv:2112.06190* (2021) .
- [252] A. Arvanitaki and A.A. Geraci, *Resonantly detecting axion-mediated forces with nuclear magnetic resonance*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 161801.
- [253] H. Fosbinder-Elkins, C. Lohmeyer, J. Dargert, M. Cunningham, M. Harkness, E. Levenson-Falk et al., *Progress on the ARIADNE Axion Experiment*, in *Microwave Cavities and Detectors for Axion Research*, G. Carosi, G. Rybka and K. van Bibber, eds., pp. 151–161, Springer International Publishing, 2018.

- [254] N. Du, N. Force, R. Khatiwada, E. Lentz, R. Ottens, L. Rosenberg et al., *Search for invisible axion dark matter with the axion dark matter experiment*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** (2018) 151301.
- [255] L. Zhong, S. Al Kenany, K. Backes, B. Brubaker, S. Cahn, G. Carosi et al., *Results from phase 1 of the HAYSTAC microwave cavity axion experiment*, *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018) 092001.
- [256] A.V. Gramolin, D. Aybas, D. Johnson, J. Adam and A.O. Sushkov, *Search for axion-like dark matter with ferromagnets*, *Nature Phys.* **17** (2021) 79.
- [257] J.L. Ouellet, C.P. Salemi, J.W. Foster, R. Henning, Z. Bogorad, J.M. Conrad et al., *First Results from ABRACADABRA-10 cm: A Search for Sub- μ eV Axion Dark Matter*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** (2019) 121802.
- [258] B. Godfrey, J.A. Tyson, S. Hillbrand, J. Balajthy, D. Polin, S.M. Tripathi et al., *Search for dark photon dark matter: Dark E field radio pilot experiment*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 012013.
- [259] S. Rajendran, N. Zobrist, A.O. Sushkov, R. Walsworth and M. Lukin, *A method for directional detection of dark matter using spectroscopy of crystal defects*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) 035009.
- [260] S. Lyon, K. Castoria, E. Kleinbaum, Z. Qin, A. Persaud, T. Schenkel et al., *Single Phonon Detection for Dark Matter via Quantum Evaporation and Sensing of $^3\text{Helium}$* , *arXiv:2201.00738* (2022) .
- [261] A. Andreev, *Surface tension of weak helium isotope solutions*, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **23** (1966) 939.
- [262] R. Williams and R. Crandall, *Deformation of the surface of liquid helium by electrons*, *Phys. Lett. A* **36** (1971) 35.
- [263] S. Lyon, *Spin-based quantum computing using electrons on liquid helium*, *Phys. Rev. A* **74** (2006) 052338.