

# LOCAL-GLOBAL PRINCIPLE FOR HERMITIAN SPACES OVER SEMI-GLOBAL FIELDS

JAYANTH GUHAN

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $K$  be a complete discrete valued field with residue field  $k$  and  $F$  the function field of a curve over  $K$ . Let  $A \in {}_2Br(F)$  be a central simple algebra with an involution  $\sigma$  of any kind and  $F_0 = F^\sigma$ . Let  $h$  be an hermitian space over  $(A, \sigma)$  and  $G = SU(A, \sigma, h)$  if  $\sigma$  is of first kind and  $G = U(A, \sigma, h)$  if  $\sigma$  is of second kind. Suppose that  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$  and  $\text{ind}(A) \leq 4$ . Then we prove that projective homogeneous spaces under  $G$  over  $F_0$  satisfy a local-global principle for rational points with respect to discrete valuations of  $F$ .

Let  $K$  be a complete discrete valued field and  $F$  the function field of a curve over  $K$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a set of discrete valuations of  $F$ . For  $\nu \in \Omega$ , let  $F_\nu$  denote the completion of  $F$  at  $\nu$ . Let  $G$  be a connected linear algebraic group over  $F$  and  $X$  a projective homogeneous variety under  $G$  over  $F$ . We say that a *local-global principle* holds for  $X$  with respect to  $\Omega$  if  $X(F_\nu) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\nu \in \Omega$  implies  $X(F) \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $K$  is a  $p$ -adic field,  $F$  the function field of a curve over  $K$  and  $G$  a connected linear algebraic group which of classical type with characteristic of the residue field  $k$  a 'good' prime for  $G$ , then local-global principle holds for projective homogeneous spaces under  $G$  over  $F$  with respect to the set  $\Omega_F$  of all 'divisorial' discrete valuations ([5], [20], [25], [18]). The aim of this paper is to obtain analogous results for certain unitary groups if  $K$  is a complete discrete valued field with any residue field.

Suppose  $K$  is any complete discrete valued field with residue field  $k$ . Let  $q$  be a quadratic form over  $F$  of rank at least 3 and  $G = SO(q)$ . If  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ , then Colliot-Thélène-Parimala-Suresh proved that local-global principle holds for projective homogeneous spaces under  $G$  over  $F$  with respect to  $\Omega_F$  ([5, Theorem 3.1]). Let  $A$  be a central simple algebra over  $F$  of degree  $n$  and  $G = PGL_1(A)$ . If  $n$  is coprime to  $\text{char}(k)$  and  $k$  contains a primitive  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of unity, then Reddy-Suresh proved that local-global principle holds for projective homogeneous spaces under  $G$  over  $F$  with respect to  $\Omega_F$  ([20, Theorem 2.6]).

Let  $A \in {}_2Br(F)$  be a central simple algebra over  $F$  with an involution  $\sigma$  and  $h$  a hermitian form over  $(A, \sigma)$  and  $G = SU(A, \sigma, h)$  if  $\sigma$  is of first kind and  $G = U(A, \sigma, h)$  if  $\sigma$  is of second kind. Suppose that  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . A theorem of Wu ([25, Theorem 1.2]) asserts that if  $\text{ind}(A) \leq 2$  or for every finite extension  $\ell/k$ ,  $|\ell^*/\ell^{*2}| \leq 2$ , then local-global principle holds for projective homogeneous spaces under  $G$  over  $F$  with respect to  $\Omega_F$ . Since for every finite field  $\mathbb{F}$  of characteristic not 2,  $|\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2}| = 2$ , if  $K$  is a  $p$ -adic field with  $p \neq 2$ , then local-global principle holds for projective homogeneous spaces under  $G$  over  $F$  with respect to  $\Omega_F$ .

These results are in the direction of a Hasse principle for projective homogeneous spaces over general semiglobal fields. We however point out that a Hasse principle for principal or projective homogeneous spaces over function fields of  $p$ -adic curves is a conjecture in ([5]) and proved in many cases (cf. [18]). There are examples to show that a Hasse principle may fail for principal homogeneous space for arbitrary semiglobal field ([6], [7]).

In this paper we prove the following (cf. 7.1, 7.2).

**Theorem 0.1.** *Let  $K$  be a complete discretely valued field with valuation ring  $T$  and residue field  $k$ . Suppose that  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . Let  $F$  be the function field of a smooth projective geometrically integral curve over  $K$ . Let  $A \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  be a central simple algebra over  $F$  with an involution  $\sigma$  of any kind,  $F_0 = F^\sigma$  and  $h$  a hermitian form over  $(A, \sigma)$ . Let  $G = \text{SU}(A, \sigma, h)$  if  $\sigma$  is first kind or  $\text{U}(A, \sigma, h)$  if  $\sigma$  is of second kind. Suppose that one of the following holds:*

- i)  $\text{ind}(A) \leq 4$*
- ii) for every finite extension  $\ell/k$ , every element  $D \in {}_2\text{Br}(\ell)$  has index at most 2. Let  $X$  be a projective homogeneous variety under  $G$  over  $F_0$ . If  $X(F_{0\nu}) \neq \emptyset$  for all divisorial discrete valuations  $\nu$  of  $F$ , then  $X(F_0) \neq \emptyset$ .*

As a consequence we get the following (7.4).

**Corollary 0.2.** *Let  $K$  be a complete discretely valued field with valuation ring  $T$  and residue field  $k$ . Suppose that  $k$  is a local field with the characteristic of the residue field not equal 2. Let  $F$  be the function field of a smooth projective geometrically integral curve over  $K$ . Let  $A \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  be a central simple algebra over  $F$  with an involution  $\sigma$  and  $h$  a hermitian form over  $(A, \sigma)$  and  $F_0 = F^\sigma$ . If  $h \otimes L$  is isotropic for some odd degree extension  $L/F_0$ , then  $h$  is isotropic.*

The main technique in the proof of the main theorem is the patching technique of Harbater, Hartman and Krashen ([8, Theorem 3.7]) and the existence of maximal orders over two dimensional complete regular local rings for some suitable division algebras. We now give a brief description of the structure of paper. In §(1), we recall the patching set up and some known results. Let  $R$  be a 2-dimensional complete regular local ring with residue field  $k$ , maximal ideal  $(\pi, \delta)$  and field of fractions  $F$ . Suppose that  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . Let  $D$  be a division algebra over  $F$  which is unramified on  $R$  except possibly at  $(\pi)$  and  $(\delta)$ . If  $D$  has an involution of any kind, in §2 and §3, following Saltman ([22, Theorem 2.1] & [23, Theorem 1.2]), we give a description of  $D$  if  $\text{ind}(D) \leq 4$  or if for every finite extension  $\ell$  of  $k$ , every element of  ${}_2\text{Br}(\ell)$  has index at most 2. In §4, we produce some suitable maximal  $R$ -orders for such algebras. In §5, under some assumptions on the existence of maximal  $R$ -orders in  $D$ , we show that hermitian spaces satisfy a certain local-global principle. In §6, we study the behavior of the maximal  $R$ -orders constructed in §4 under blowups. Finally using all these we prove the main results in §7.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall some basic facts about hermitian forms ([24, Chapter 7 & 8]), projective homogeneous spaces under unitary groups ([15], [16]) and patching techniques ([8]).

Let  $F$  be a field and  $A$  a central simple algebra with an involution  $\sigma$ . Let  $F_0 = F^\sigma = \{a \in F \mid \sigma(a) = a\}$ . Then  $[F : F_0] \leq 2$ . If  $F = F_0$ , then  $\sigma$  is called an involution of the *first kind* if  $[F : F_0] = 2$ , then  $\sigma$  is called an involution of the *second kind*. We also say that the involution  $\sigma$  is an  $F/F_0$ -involution. Let  $h$  be an hermitian form over  $(A, \sigma)$ . Let  $G(A, \sigma, h) = \text{SU}(A, \sigma, h)$  if  $F = F_0$  and  $G(A, \sigma, h) = \text{U}(A, \sigma, h)$  if  $[F : F_0] = 2$ . Then  $G(A, \sigma, h)$  is a connected linear algebraic group over  $F_0$  which is rational ([4, Lemma 5]).

By a theorem of Wedderburn ([24, Chapter 8, Corollary 1.6 & Theorem 1.9]), we have  $A \simeq M_n(D)$  for a central division algebra over  $D$  over  $F$ . Since  $A$  has a  $F/F_0$ -involution,  $D$  has a  $F/F_0$ -involution ([24, Chapter 8, Corollary 8.3]). Let  $\sigma_0$  be a  $F/F_0$ -involution on  $D$ .

Then, by Morita equivalence ([12, Chapter 1, 9.3.5]),  $h$  corresponds to a hermitian  $h_0$  over  $(D, \sigma_0)$ .

Let  $X$  be a projective homogeneous space under  $G(A, \sigma, h)$  over  $F_0$ . Then  $X$  corresponds to a projective homogeneous space  $X_0$  under  $G(D, \sigma_0, h_0)$  over  $F_0$  such that for any extension  $L/F_0$ ,  $X(L) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $X_0(L) \neq \emptyset$  ([15, Section 5], [16, Section 9], [11, Theorem 16.10]). Hence the study of rational points on projective homogeneous space under  $G(A, \sigma, h)$  reduces to the study of rational points on projective homogeneous space under  $G(D, \sigma_0, h_0)$ . Suppose  $\sigma'$  is an involution on  $A$  of the same kind as  $\sigma$ . Then  $\sigma' = \text{int}(u)\sigma$  for some  $u \in A^*$  and  $h' = uh$  is an hermitian form over  $(A, \sigma')$ . Further  $X$  corresponds to a projective homogeneous space  $X'$  under  $G(A, \sigma', h')$  over  $F_0$  such that for any extension  $L/F_0$ ,  $X(L) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $X'(L) \neq \emptyset$ . For more details on the structure of projective homogeneous space under  $G(A, \sigma, h)$ , we refer to ([15], [16]).

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a regular integral scheme with function field  $F$ . For a point  $P$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_P$  denote the local ring at  $P$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P$  the completion of the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_P$ . Let  $A$  be a central simple algebra over  $F$ . Let  $P \in \mathcal{X}$ . We say that  $A$  is *unramified* at  $P$ , if there exists an Azumaya algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  over the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_P$  at  $P$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \otimes F \simeq A$ . If  $A$  is not unramified at  $P$ , we say that  $A$  is *ramified* at  $P$ .

Let  $T$  be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field  $k$  and field of fractions  $K$ . Let  $F$  be the function field of a smooth projective geometrically integral curve over  $K$ . A two dimensional projective scheme  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(T)$  with function field  $F$  is called a *model* of  $F$ . The fibre  $X_0$  over the closed point of  $\text{Spec}(T)$  is called the *closed fibre* of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a model of  $F$  with  $X_0$  its closed fibre. Every codimension one point  $x$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  gives a discrete valuation  $\nu_x$  on  $F$ . A discrete valuation of  $F$  is called a *divisorial discrete valuation* of  $F$ , if it is given by a codimension one point of a model of  $F$ . For any discrete valuation  $\nu$  of  $F$ , we denote the completion of  $F$  at  $\nu$  by  $F_\nu$ .

Let  $A$  be a central simple algebra over  $F$ . The *ramification divisor*  $\text{ram}_{\mathcal{X}}(A)$  of  $A$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  is the union of codimension one points of  $\mathcal{X}$  where  $A$  is ramified. Note that there are only finitely many codimension one point of  $\mathcal{X}$  where  $A$  ramified.

For any point  $P \in \mathcal{X}$ , let  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P$  be the completion of the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_P$  at  $P$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $F_P$  the field of fractions of  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P$ . Let  $U \subset X_0$  be a nonempty open subset of the nonsingular points of  $X_0$  which is properly contained in an irreducible component of  $X_0$ . Let  $R_U$  be the set of  $f \in F$  which are regular at every point of  $U$ . Then  $T \subset R_U$ . Let  $t \in T$  be a parameter and  $\hat{R}_U$  be the  $(t)$ -adic completion of  $R_U$ . Let  $F_U$  be the field of fractions of  $\hat{R}_U$ . For any nonempty subset  $V$  of  $U$ , we have  $F_U \subset F_V$ . For any point  $P \in U$ , we have  $F_U \subset F_P$ . For more details about  $F_U$  and  $F_P$ , we refer to [8].

We record the following theorem from ([9, Proposition 5.8]).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $T$  be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field  $k$  and field of fractions  $K$ . Let  $F$  be the function field of a smooth projective geometrically integral curve over  $K$  and  $\mathcal{X}$  a model of  $F$  with  $X_0$  its closed fibre. Let  $Y$  be a variety over  $F$ . Suppose that  $Y(F_\nu) \neq \emptyset$  for all divisorial discrete valuations  $\nu$  of  $F$ . For every irreducible component  $C$  of  $X_0$ , there exists a nonempty proper open subset  $U$  of  $C$  such that  $Y(F_U) \neq \emptyset$ . In particular there exists a finite subset  $\mathcal{P}$  of closed points of  $X_0$  such that  $Y(F_P) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $P \in X_0 \setminus \mathcal{P}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C$  be an irreducible component of  $X_0$ . Then for the generic point  $\eta$  of  $C$ ,  $F_\eta$  is the completion of  $F$  at the discrete valuation given by  $\eta$  and hence  $Y(F_\eta) \neq \emptyset$ . Then, by ([9, Proposition 5.8]), there exists a nonempty proper open subset  $U_\eta$  of  $C$  such that

$Y(F_{U_\eta}) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{P} = X_0 \setminus \cup_\eta U_\eta$ . Since  $U_\eta$  is a nonempty open subset of the irreducible curve  $C$ ,  $C - U_\eta$  is a finite set. Since there are only finitely many irreducible components of  $X_0$ ,  $\mathcal{P}$  is a finite set. Let  $P \in X_0 \setminus \mathcal{P}$ . Then  $P \in U_\eta$  for some  $\eta$ . Since  $F_{U_\eta} \subset F_P$  and  $Y(F_{U_\eta}) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $Y(F_P) \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

We record the following from ([20, Proposition 2.4]).

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a 2-dimensional complete regular ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = (\pi, \delta)$ , field of fractions  $F$  and residue field  $k$ . Let  $D \in {}_d\text{Br}(F)$  be a division algebra over  $F$  which is unramified on  $R$  except possibly at  $\langle \pi \rangle$  or  $\langle \delta \rangle$ . Suppose that  $d$  is coprime to  $\text{char}(k)$  and  $F$  contains a primitive  $d^{\text{th}}$  root of unity. Then  $\text{ind}(A) = \text{ind}(A \otimes F_\pi)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n = \deg(A)$ . This result is proved in ([20, Proposition 2.4]) under the assumption that  $F$  contains a primitive  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of unity. However we note that the same proof goes through if  $F$  contains a primitive  $d^{\text{th}}$  root of unity.  $\square$

We end this section with the following.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $T$  be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field  $k$  and field of fractions  $K$ . Suppose that  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . Let  $F$  be the function field of a smooth projective geometrically integral curve over  $K$  and  $\mathcal{X}$  a model of  $F$ . Let  $A$  be a central simple algebra over  $F$  of period at most 2. Let  $L$  be the field  $F$  or  $F_P$  for some closed point  $P$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $Z$  be a projective homogeneous space under  $\text{PGL}(A)$  over  $L$ . If  $Z(L_\nu) \neq \emptyset$  for all divisorial discrete valuations of  $L$ , then  $Z(L) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the proof of ([18, Theorem 10.1]) and (1.2).  $\square$

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $L$  and  $A$  be as in (1.3). Let  $Z$  be a projective homogenous space under  $\text{GL}(A)$  over  $L$ . If  $Z(L_\nu) \neq \emptyset$  for all divisorial discrete valuations of  $L$ , then  $Z(L) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the proof of ([18, Corollary 10.3]) and (1.3).  $\square$

## 2. DIVISION ALGEBRAS WITH INVOLUTION OF FIRST KIND OVER TWO DIMENSIONAL LOCAL FIELDS

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a 2-dimensional complete regular ring with maximal ideal  $(\pi, \delta)$ , field of fractions  $F$  and residue field  $k$ . Suppose that  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . Let  $D \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  be a division algebra over  $F$  which is unramified on  $R$  except possibly at  $\langle \pi \rangle$  or  $\langle \delta \rangle$ . In this section we show that if  $\text{ind}(D) = 4$ , then  $D$  is a tensor product of two quaternion algebras with some properties. Suppose that for any central simple algebra  $A \in {}_2\text{Br}(k)$ ,  $\text{ind}(A) \leq 2$ . Then we show that  $\text{ind}(D) \leq 8$ . Further we show that if  $\text{ind}(D) = 8$ , then  $D$  is isomorphic to a tensor product of three quaternion algebras with some properties.

We begin with the following well know lemma

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $A \in {}_2\text{Br}(k)$  be a central division algebra over  $k$ .*

1) *If  $A \otimes_k k(\sqrt{a})$  has index at most 2 for some  $a \in k^*$ , then  $A = (a, c) \otimes (b, d) \in \text{Br}(k)$  for some  $b, c, d, \in k^*$ .*

2) *If  $A \otimes_k k(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$  is a matrix algebra for some  $a, b \in k^*$ , then  $A = (a, c) \otimes (b, d) \in \text{Br}(k)$  for some  $c, d, \in k^*$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\deg(A) = 1$  or  $2$ , then 1) and 2) are immediate. Suppose that  $\deg(A) \geq 4$ .

Suppose  $A \otimes_k k(\sqrt{a})$  has index at most 2 for some  $a \in k^*$ . Then  $\deg(A) = \text{ind}(A) = 4$  and  $K = k(\sqrt{a})$  is a subfield of  $A$ . Let  $A_1$  be the commutant of  $K$  in  $A$ . Then  $A_1$  is a quaternion algebra over  $K$  ([24, Theorem 5.4]). Since  $A \in {}_2\text{Br}(k)$ ,  $A$  admits an involution ([24, Chapter 8, Theorem 8.4]) and the non trivial automorphism of  $K/k$  can be extended to an involution  $\sigma$  on  $A$  ([24, Chapter 8, Theorem 10.1]). Since  $\sigma(K) = K$ , the restriction of  $\sigma$  to  $A_1$  is an involution of second kind. Thus, by a theorem of Albert ([2, Chapter 10, Theorem 21]),  $A_1 = K \otimes Q_1$  for some quaternion algebra  $Q_1$ . Let  $Q_2$  be the commutant of  $Q_1$  in  $A$ . Then  $K \subset Q_2$  and  $A = Q_2 \otimes Q_1$ . Since  $K \subset Q_2$ ,  $Q_2 = (a, c)$  for some  $c \in k^*$ . Since  $Q_1$  is a quaternion algebra,  $Q_1 = (b, d)$  for some  $b, d \in k^*$ . Hence  $A = (a, c) \otimes (b, d)$ .

Suppose  $A \otimes_k k(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$  is a matrix algebra for some  $a, b \in k^*$ . Then  $\deg(A) = \text{ind}(A) = 4$  and  $K = k(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$  is a maximal subfield of  $A$ . Then as above we have  $A = Q_2 \otimes Q_1$  with  $K = k(\sqrt{a}) \subset Q_2$ . Since  $k(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b})$  is a maximal subfield of  $A$ , it follows that  $k(\sqrt{b}) \subset Q_1$ . Hence  $Q_2 = (a, c)$  and  $Q_1 = (b, d)$  for some  $c, d \in k^*$ . Thus  $A = (a, c) \otimes (b, d)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a complete regular local ring with residue field  $k$  and field of fractions  $F$ . Suppose that  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . Let  $\Gamma_0$  be an Azumaya algebra over  $R$  and  $D_0 = \Gamma_0 \otimes_R F \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$ . Let  $u \in R$  be a unit. If  $\text{ind}(D_0 \otimes_F (F(\sqrt{u}))) \leq 2$ . Then there exists  $v, w, t \in R^*$  such that  $D_0 = (u, v) \otimes (w, t) \in \text{Br}(F)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\text{ind}(D_0 \otimes_F (F(\sqrt{u}))) \leq 2$ . Let  $\mathfrak{D}_0 = \Gamma_0 \otimes_R k$ . Since  $\text{ind}(D_0 \otimes F(\sqrt{u})) \leq 2$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}_0 \otimes k(\sqrt{\bar{u}}) \leq 2$  ([20, Lemma 1.1]), where  $\bar{u}$  is the image of  $u$  in  $k$ . Thus there exist  $b, c, d \in k^*$  such that  $\mathfrak{D}_0 = (\bar{u}, c) \otimes (b, d)$  (cf. 2.1). Let  $v, w, t \in R^*$  be the lifts of  $b, c, d \in k^*$ . Since  $R$  is a complete regular local ring,  $\text{Br}(R) \cong \text{Br}(k)$  ([3], 6.5). Hence  $D_0 = (u, v) \otimes (w, t) \in \text{Br}(F)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a complete regular local ring with residue field  $k$  and field of fractions  $F$ . Suppose that  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . Let  $\Gamma_0$  be an Azumaya algebra over  $R$  with  $D_0 = \Gamma_0 \otimes_R F \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$ . Let  $u, v \in R$  be units. If  $D_0 \otimes_F (F(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{v}))$  is a matrix algebra, then there exists  $w, t \in R$  units such that  $D_0 = (u, w) \otimes (v, t) \in \text{Br}(F)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{D}_0 = \Gamma_0 \otimes_R k$ . Since  $D_0 \otimes_F (F(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{v}))$  is a matrix algebra,  $\mathfrak{D}_0 \otimes k(\sqrt{\bar{u}}, \sqrt{\bar{v}})$  is a matrix algebra. Hence  $\mathfrak{D}_0 = (\bar{u}, c) \otimes (\bar{v}, d) \in \text{Br}(k)$  (cf. 2.1). Let  $w, t \in R^*$  be lifts of  $c, d \in k^*$ . Since  $R$  is a complete regular local ring,  $D_0 = (u, w) \otimes (v, t) \in \text{Br}(F)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a two dimensional complete regular local ring with residue field  $k$ , maximal ideal  $(\pi, \delta)$  and field of fractions  $F$ . Suppose that  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . Let  $\Gamma_0$  be an Azumaya algebra on  $R$  and  $D_0 = \Gamma_0 \otimes F$ . Suppose that  $D_0 \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$ . Let  $u, v \in R$  units.*

- i) *If  $D = D_0 \otimes (u, \pi) \otimes (v, \delta)$ , then  $\text{ind}(D) = \text{ind}(D_0 \otimes F(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{v}))[F(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{v}) : F]$ .*
- ii) *If  $D = D_0 \otimes (u\pi, v\delta)$ , then  $\text{ind}(D) = 2 \text{ind}(D_0)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\kappa(\pi)$  be the residue field at  $\pi$ . Then  $\kappa(\pi)$  is the field of fractions of the complete discrete valuation ring  $R/(\pi)$  and the the image  $\bar{\delta}$  of  $\delta$  in  $\kappa(\pi)$  is a parameter.

Suppose  $D = D_0 \otimes (u, \pi) \otimes (v, \delta)$ . Since  $D_0 \otimes (v, \delta)$  is unramified at  $\pi$ , by ([20, Lemma 2.1]), we have  $\text{ind}(D \otimes F_\pi) = \text{ind}((D_0 \otimes (v, \delta) \otimes F_\pi(\sqrt{u}))[F_\pi(\sqrt{u}) : F_\pi])$ . Since  $\Gamma_0 \otimes \kappa(\pi)$  is unramified on  $R/(\delta)$ , by ([20, Lemma 2.1]), we have  $\text{ind}(\Gamma_0 \otimes (\bar{v}, \bar{\delta}) \otimes \kappa(\pi)(\sqrt{\bar{u}})) = \text{ind}(\Gamma_0 \otimes \kappa(\pi)(\sqrt{\bar{u}}, \sqrt{\bar{v}}))[\kappa(\pi)(\sqrt{\bar{u}}, \sqrt{\bar{v}}) : \kappa(\pi)(\sqrt{\bar{u}})]$ . Since  $F_\pi$  is complete, we have

$$\text{ind}((D_0 \otimes (v, \delta) \otimes F_\pi(\sqrt{u})) = \text{ind}(D_0 \otimes F_\pi(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{v}))[F_\pi(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{v}) : F_\pi(\sqrt{u})].$$



Hence  $\text{ind}(D \otimes F_\pi) = \text{ind}((D_0 \otimes F_\pi(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{v}))[F_\pi(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{v}) : F_\pi])$ . By (1.2), we have  $\text{ind}(D) = \text{ind}(D \otimes F_\pi)$ ,  $\text{ind}(D_0 \otimes F_\pi(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{v})) = \text{ind}((D_0 \otimes F_\pi(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{v})))$  and  $[F_\pi(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{v}) : F_\pi] = [F(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{v}) : F]$ . Thus  $\text{ind}(D) = \text{ind}(D_0 \otimes F(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{v}))[F(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{v}) : F]$ .

Suppose  $D = D_0 \otimes (u\pi, v\delta)$ . Then as above, we have  $\text{ind}(D) = \text{ind}(D_0 \otimes F(\sqrt{v\delta}))[F(\sqrt{v\delta}) : F]$ . Since  $D_0$  is unramified at  $\delta$ , we have  $\text{ind}(D_0 \otimes F_\delta(\sqrt{v\delta})) = \text{ind}(D_0 \otimes F_\delta)$ . Once again, by ([20]), we have  $\text{ind}(D_0 \otimes F(\sqrt{v\delta})) = \text{ind}(D_0 \otimes F_\delta(\sqrt{v\delta})) = \text{ind}(D_0 \otimes F_\delta) = \text{ind}(D_0)$ . Since  $[F(\sqrt{v\delta}) : F] = 2$ , we have  $\text{ind}(D) = 2 \text{ind}(D_0)$ .  $\square$

We recall the following.

**Lemma 2.5.** ([25, Lemma 3.6]) *Let  $R$  be a two dimensional complete regular local ring with residue field  $k$ , maximal ideal  $(\pi, \delta)$  and field of fractions  $F$ . Suppose that  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . Let  $D$  be quaternion division algebra over  $F$  which is unramified on  $R$  except possibly at  $(\pi)$  and  $(\delta)$ . Then  $D$  is isomorphic to one of the following:*

- i)  $(u, w)$
- ii)  $(u, v\pi)$  or  $(u, v\delta)$
- iii)  $(u, v\pi\delta)$
- iv)  $(u\pi, v\delta)$

for some units  $u, v, w \in R$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $R$  be a two dimensional complete regular local ring with residue field  $k$ , maximal ideal  $(\pi, \delta)$  and field of fractions  $F$ . Suppose that  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . Let  $D \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  be a division algebra over  $F$  which is unramified on  $R$  except possibly at  $(\pi)$  and  $(\delta)$ . Suppose that  $\text{ind}(D) = 4$ . Then  $D$  is isomorphic to one of the following:*

- i)  $(u, w) \otimes (v, t)$
- ii)  $(u, w) \otimes (v, t\pi)$  or  $(u, w) \otimes (v, t\delta)$
- iii)  $(u, v) \otimes (w, t\pi\delta)$
- iv)  $(u, w\pi) \otimes (v, t\delta)$
- v)  $(u, v) \otimes (w\pi, t\delta)$

for some units  $u, v, w, t \in R$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $D$  is unramified on  $R$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be an Azumaya algebra on  $R$  with  $\Gamma \otimes F \simeq D$  ([3, Theorem 7.4]). Since  $\text{ind}(D) = 4$ , we have  $\text{ind}(\Gamma \otimes k) = 4$ . Hence  $\Gamma \otimes k = (a, b) \otimes (c, d)$  for some  $a, b, c, d \in k^*$ . Let  $u, w, v, t \in R$  be lifts of  $a, b, c, d$ . Since  $R$  is complete, we have  $\Gamma = (u, w) \otimes (v, t) \in \text{Br}(R)$  and hence  $D = (u, w) \otimes (v, t) \in \text{Br}(F)$ . Since  $\deg(D) = 4$ ,  $D \simeq (u, w) \otimes (v, t)$ .

Suppose that  $D$  is ramified only at  $\langle \pi \rangle$ . Then, by Saltman's classification ([25], Proposition 3.5), we have  $D = D_0 \otimes (u, \pi)$ , where  $D_0$  is unramified on  $R$  and  $u \in R$  a unit which is not a square. Since  $D = D_0 \otimes (u, \pi) \otimes (1, \delta)$ , by (2.4(i)), we have  $\text{ind}(D) = 2 \text{ind}(D_0 \otimes F(\sqrt{u}))$ . Since  $\text{ind}(D) = 4$ , we have  $\text{ind}(D_0 \otimes F(\sqrt{u})) = 2$ . Hence, by (2.2), we have  $D_0 = (u, w) \otimes (v, t)$  for some  $u, v, w, t \in R$  units. We have  $D = D_0 \otimes (u, \pi) = (u, w) \otimes (v, t) \otimes (u, \pi) = (u, w\pi) \otimes (v, t)$ . Since  $\text{ind}(D) = 4$ ,  $D \simeq (u, w\pi) \otimes (v, t)$ . Similarly if  $D$  is ramified only at  $\langle \delta \rangle$ , then  $D \simeq (u, w\delta) \otimes (v, t)$ .

Suppose that  $D$  is ramified both at  $\langle \pi \rangle$  and  $\langle \delta \rangle$ . Then by ([22, Theorem 2.1] & [23, Theorem 1.2]), we have  $D = D_0 \otimes (u, \pi) \otimes (v, \delta)$  or  $D = D_0 \otimes (w\pi, t\delta)$  for some  $u, v \in R - R^2$  units,  $w, t \in R$  units and  $D_0$  unramified on  $R$ .

Suppose  $D = D_0 \otimes (u, \pi) \otimes (v, \delta)$ . Suppose that  $uv \in R$  is a square. Then  $D = D_0 \otimes (u, \pi\delta)$ . By (2.4(i)), we have  $\text{ind}(D) = 2 \text{ind}(D_0 \otimes F(\sqrt{u}))$  and hence  $\text{ind}(D_0 \otimes F(\sqrt{u})) = 2$ . Thus,

by (2.2), we have  $D_0 = (u, w) \otimes (v, t)$  for some  $u, v, w, t \in R$  units. In particular  $D \simeq (v, t) \otimes (u, w\pi\delta)$ .

Suppose that  $uv$  not a square in  $R$ . By (2.4(i)), we have  $\text{ind}(D) = 4 \text{ind}(D_0 \otimes F(\sqrt{u}))$  and hence  $\text{ind}(D_0 \otimes F(\sqrt{u})) = 1$ . Hence  $D_0 \otimes F(\sqrt{u}, \sqrt{v})$  is a matrix algebra. Thus, by (2.3), we have  $D_0 = (u, w) \otimes (v, t)$  for some units  $w, t \in R$ . In particular  $D \simeq (u, w\pi) \otimes (v, t\delta)$ .

Suppose  $D = D_0 + (w\pi, t\delta)$ . By (2.4(ii)), we have  $\text{ind}(D) = 2 \text{ind}(D_0)$  and hence  $\text{ind}(D_0) = 2$ . Thus  $D_0 = (u, v)$  and  $D \simeq (u, v) \otimes (w\pi, t\delta)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $R$  be a two dimensional complete regular local ring with residue field  $k$ , maximal ideal  $(\pi, \delta)$  and field of fractions  $F$ . Suppose that  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$  and every central simple algebra in  ${}_2\text{Br}(k)$  has index at most 2. Let  $D \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  be a division algebra over  $F$  which is unramified on  $R$  except possibly at  $(\pi)$  and  $(\delta)$ . Then  $\text{ind}(D) \leq 8$ . Further if  $\text{ind}(D) = 8$ , then  $D \simeq (w, t) \otimes (u, \pi) \otimes (v, \delta)$  for some units  $u, v, w, t \in R$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $D$  is unramified on  $R$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be an Azumaya algebra on  $R$  with  $\Gamma \otimes F \simeq D$  ([3, Theorem 7.4]). By the assumption on  $k$ ,  $\text{ind}(\Gamma \otimes k) \leq 2$ . Since  $R$  is complete, we have  $\text{ind}(D) \leq 2$  ([3, Theorem 6.5]).

Suppose that  $D$  is ramified only at  $\langle \pi \rangle$ . Then, by Saltman's classification ([25], Proposition 3.5), we have  $D = D_0 \otimes (u, \pi)$ , where  $D_0$  is unramified on  $R$  and  $u \in R$  a unit which is not a square. Since  $D_0$  is unramified on  $R$ , by the assumption on  $k$ ,  $\text{ind}(D_0) \leq 2$  and hence  $\text{ind}(D) \leq 4$ . Similarly if  $D$  is ramified only at  $\langle \delta \rangle$ , then  $\text{ind}(D) \leq 4$ .

Suppose that  $D$  is ramified both at  $\langle \pi \rangle$  and  $\langle \delta \rangle$ . Then by ([22, Theorem 2.1] & [23, Theorem 1.2]), we have  $D = D_0 \otimes (u, \pi) \otimes (v, \delta)$  or  $D = D_0 \otimes (w\pi, t\delta)$  for some  $u, v \in R - R^2$  units,  $w, t \in R$  units and  $D_0$  unramified on  $R$ . Since  $D_0$  is unramified on  $R$ , by the assumption on  $k$ ,  $\text{ind}(D_0) \leq 2$ . In particular  $\text{ind}(D) \leq 8$ .

Suppose  $\text{ind}(D) = 8$ . Then  $D = D_0 \otimes (u, \pi) \otimes (v, \delta)$  for some units  $u, v \in R$  units and  $D_0$  unramified on  $R$ . Once again by the assumption on  $k$ , we have  $D_0 = (w, t)$  for some units  $w, t \in R$ . Hence  $D \simeq (w, t) \otimes (u, \pi) \otimes (v, \delta)$ .  $\square$

### 3. TWO TORSION DIVISION ALGEBRAS WITH INVOLUTION OF SECOND KIND OVER TWO DIMENSIONAL LOCAL FIELDS

Let  $R_0$  be a 2-dimensional complete regular local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_0 = (\pi_0, \delta_0)$  and residue field  $k_0$ . Suppose that  $\text{char}(k_0) \neq 2$ . Let  $F_0$  be the field of fractions of  $R_0$  and let  $F = F_0(\sqrt{\lambda})$  be an extension of degree 2, with  $\lambda$  a unit in  $R_0$  or  $\lambda = w\pi_0$  for some unit  $w \in R_0$ . Let  $D \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  be a division algebra with  $F/F_0$ -involution  $\sigma$ . In this section we show that if  $\text{ind}(D) = 4$ , then  $D$  is a tensor product of two quaternion algebras with some properties. Suppose that for any central simple algebra  $A \in {}_2\text{Br}(k)$ ,  $\text{ind}(A) \leq 2$ . Then we show that  $\text{ind}(D) \leq 8$ . Further we show that if  $\text{ind}(D) = 8$ , then  $D$  is isomorphic to a tensor product of three quaternion algebras with some properties.

Let  $R$  be the integral closure of  $R_0$  in  $F$ . By the assumption on  $\lambda$ ,  $R$  is a 2-dimensional regular local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = (\pi, \delta)$  ([19, Theorem 3.1, 3.2]), where; if  $\lambda$  is a unit in  $R_0$ , then  $\pi = \pi_0$  and  $\delta = \delta_0$  and if  $\lambda = w\pi_0$ , then  $\pi = \sqrt{\lambda}$  and  $\delta = \delta_0$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $R$  and  $F$  be as above. Let  $D \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  with an  $F/F_0$  involution. Suppose that  $D$  is unramified on  $R$  except possibly at  $(\pi)$  and  $(\delta)$ . If  $\lambda = w\pi_0$  for some unit  $w \in R_0$ , then  $D = (D_0 \otimes (v_0, \delta_0)) \otimes_{F_0} F$  for some  $D_0 \in {}_2\text{Br}(F_0)$  which is unramified on  $R_0$  and  $v_0 \in R_0$  a unit.*

*Proof.* Since  $F/F_0$  is ramified at  $\pi_0$ , by ([18, Lemma 6.3]),  $D$  is unramified at  $\pi$ . Hence, by ([25, Proposition 3.5]),  $D = D' \otimes (v, \delta)$  for some unit  $v \in R$  and  $D'$  unramified on  $R$ . Let  $\Gamma'$  be an Azumaya  $R$ -algebra with  $\Gamma' \otimes F \simeq D'$ . Since  $R/\mathfrak{m} = R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0$  and  $R$  is complete, there exists an Azumaya  $R_0$ -algebra  $\Gamma_0$  with  $\Gamma' \simeq \Gamma_0 \otimes R$ . Let  $D_0 = \Gamma_0 \otimes F_0$ . Since  $R/\mathfrak{m} = R_0/\mathfrak{m}_0$ , we have  $v = v_0 v_1^2$  for some  $v_1 \in R_0$  a unit. Since  $\delta = \delta_0$ , we have  $D = (D_0 \otimes (v_0, \delta_0)) \otimes F$  as required.  $\square$

For the rest of the section, we assume that  $\lambda \in R_0$  is a unit. In particular  $\pi = \pi_0$  and  $\delta = \delta_0$  and  $F/F_0$  is unramified on  $R_0$ . Let  $\tau$  denote the non trivial automorphism of  $F/F_0$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $\Gamma'$  be an Azumaya  $R$ -algebra and  $D' = \Gamma' \otimes F$ . Suppose that  $D' \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$ . Let  $D = D' \otimes (u, \pi) \otimes (v, \delta)$  (resp.  $D = D' \otimes (u\pi, v\delta)$ ). If  $D$  has a  $F/F_0$ -involution, then  $D'$ ,  $(u, \pi)$  and  $(v, \delta)$  (resp.  $D'$  and  $(u\pi, v\delta)$ ) have  $F/F_0$ -involutions.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $D = D' \otimes (u, \pi) \otimes (v, \delta)$  has a  $F/F_0$ -involution. Since  $\text{cores}_{F/F_0}(D) = 0$ , by ([18, Lemma 6.4]),  $\text{cores}_{F_\delta/F_0\delta_0}(D' \otimes (u, \pi)) = 0$  and  $\text{cores}_{F_\delta/F_0\delta_0}(v, \delta) = 0$ . Since  $D'$  is unramified on  $R$ ,  $\text{cores}_{F/F_0}(D')$  is unramified on  $R_0$ . Since  $\pi = \pi_0 \in R_0$  and  $\delta = \delta_0 \in R_0$ ,  $\text{cores}_{F/F_0}(u, \pi) = (N_{F/F_0}(u), \pi_0) \otimes F_0$  and  $\text{cores}_{F/F_0}(v, \delta) = (N_{F/F_0}(v), \delta_0) \otimes F_0$ . In particular  $\text{cores}_{F/F_0}(D' \otimes (u, \pi))$  and  $\text{cores}_{F/F_0}(v, \delta)$  are unramified on  $R_0$  except possibly at  $(\pi_0)$ . Hence, by (1.2),  $\text{cores}_{F/F_0}(D' \otimes (u, \pi)) = 0$  and  $\text{cores}_{F/F_0}(v, \delta) = 0$ . The same argument implies that  $\text{cores}_{F/F_0}(D') = 0$  and  $\text{cores}_{F/F_0}(u, \pi) = 0$ . Hence  $D'$ ,  $(u, \pi)$  and  $(v, \delta)$  have  $F/F_0$ -involutions.

The case  $D = D' \otimes (u\pi, v\delta)$  is similar.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $D_1 = (u, \pi)$  (resp.  $(u\pi, v\delta)$ ,  $(u, v)$ ) for some units  $u, v \in R$ . If  $D_1$  has a  $F/F_0$  involution, then  $D_1 = (u_0, \pi)$  (resp.  $(u_0\pi, v_0\delta)$ ,  $(u_0, v_0)$ ) for some  $u_0, v_0 \in R_0$  units.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $D_1 = (u, \pi)$  for some  $u \in R$  unit. Since  $\pi = \pi_0 \in R_0$ , we have  $\text{cores}_{F/F_0}(u, \pi) = (N_{F/F_0}(u), \pi_0)$ . Since  $D_1$  has a  $F/F_0$ -involution, we have  $(N_{F/F_0}(u), \pi_0) = 0 \in \text{Br}(F_0)$ . Since the residue of  $(N_{F/F_0}(u), \pi_0)$  at  $\pi_0$  is the image of  $N_{F/F_0}(u)$  in  $\kappa(\pi_0)^*/\kappa(\pi_0)^{*2}$ , the image of  $N_{F/F_0}(u)$  is a square in  $\kappa(\pi_0)$ . Since  $R_0$  is a complete local ring with  $\pi_0$  a regular prime,  $N_{F/F_0}(u)$  is a square in  $R_0$ . Let  $w_0 \in R_0$  be such that  $N_{F/F_0}(u) = w_0^2$ . Then  $N_{F/F_0}(w_0^{-1}u) = 1$  and hence  $w_0^{-1}u = \theta\tau(\theta)^{-1}$  for some  $\theta \in R$ . We have  $u\tau(\theta)^2 = w_0\theta\tau(\theta) = u_0 \in R_0$  and  $(u, \pi) = (u_0, \pi)$ .

Suppose  $D_1 = (u\pi, v\delta)$ . As above, by taking the residues at  $\pi$  and  $\delta$ , we see that  $N_{F/F_0}(u)$  and  $N_{F/F_0}(v)$  are squares. Hence as above, we can replace  $u$  and  $v$  by  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  for some  $u_0, v_0 \in R_0$  units.

Suppose that  $D_1 = (u, v)$  for some  $u, v \in R$ . Since  $D_1$  has an  $F/F_0$ -involution and  $D_1$  is unramified on  $R$ ,  $D_1 = D_0 \otimes F$  for some quaternion algebra  $D_0$  over  $F_0$  which is unramified on  $R_0$  ([3, Theorem 7.4]). In particular  $D_0 = (u_0, v_0)$  for some units  $u_0, v_0 \in R_0$   $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $D \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  with an  $F/F_0$ -involution. Suppose that  $D$  is unramified on  $R$  except possibly at  $(\pi)$  and  $(\delta)$  and  $\text{ind}(D) = 2$ . Then one of the following holds*

- i)  $D$  is unramified on  $R$
  - ii)  $D \simeq (u_0, u_1\pi_0)$  or  $D \simeq (v_0, v_1\delta_0)$
  - iii)  $D \simeq (u_0, u_1\pi_0\delta_0)$
  - iv)  $D \simeq (u_0\pi_0, v_0\delta_0)$
- for some units  $w_i, u_i, v_i \in R_0$

*Proof.* Follows from (2.5), (3.2) and (3.3).  $\square$



**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $D \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  with an  $F/F_0$ -involution. Suppose that  $D$  is unramified on  $R$  except possibly at  $(\pi)$  and  $(\delta)$  and  $\text{ind}(D) = 4$ . Then one of the following holds*

- i)  $D$  is unramified on  $R$*
  - ii)  $D \simeq (w_0, w_1) \otimes (u_0, u_1\pi_0)$  or  $D \simeq (w_0, w_1) \otimes (v_0, v_1\delta_0)$*
  - iii)  $D \simeq (w_0, w_1) \otimes (u_0, u_1\pi_0\delta_0)$*
  - iv)  $D \simeq (u_0, u_1\pi_0) \otimes (v_0, v_1\delta_0)$*
  - v)  $D \simeq (w_0, w_1) \otimes (u_0\pi_0, v_0\delta_0)$*
- for some units  $w_i, u_i, v_i \in R_0$*

*Proof.* Follows from (2.6), (3.2) and (3.3).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $D \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  with an  $F/F_0$ -involution. Suppose that  $D$  is unramified on  $R$  except possibly at  $(\pi)$  and  $(\delta)$  and every element of  ${}_2\text{Br}(k)$  has index at most 2. If  $\text{ind}(D) = 8$ , then  $D \simeq (w_0, w_1) \otimes (u_0, \pi_0) \otimes (v_0, \delta_0)$  for some units  $w_0, w_1, u_0, v_0 \in R_0$ .*

*Proof.* By the assumptions on  $k$  and  $D$ , by (2.7),  $D \simeq (w_0, w_1) \otimes (u_0, \pi) \otimes (v_0, \delta)$  for some units  $w_0, w_1, u_0, v_0 \in R$ . Since  $D$  has a  $F/F_0$ -involution, by (3.2),  $(w_0, w_1)$ ,  $(u_0, \pi)$  and  $(v_0, \delta)$  have  $F/F_0$ -involutions. As in the proof of (3.5), we can assume  $w_0, w_1, u_0, v_0 \in R_0$ .  $\square$

#### 4. MAXIMAL ORDERS

Let  $R$  be a complete regular local ring with residue field  $k$ ,  $(\pi, \delta)$  maximal ideal and field of fractions  $F$ . Suppose that  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . Let  $D \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  be a division algebra which is unramified on  $R$  except possibly at  $(\pi)$  and  $(\delta)$ . By ([25], Proposition 3.5), we know that  $D = D_0 \otimes D_1$  for some  $D_0 \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  which is unramified on  $R$  and  $D_1$  is  $(u, v\pi)$  or  $(u, v\delta)$  or  $(u, w\pi) \otimes (v, t\delta)$  or  $(u, v\pi\delta)$  or  $(u\pi, v\delta)$  for some units  $u, v \in R$ . If  $D \simeq D_0 \otimes D_1$ , then in this section we show that there is a maximal  $R$ -order with some properties.

For an integral domain  $R$  and  $a, b \in R$  non zero elements, let  $R(a, b)$  be the  $R$ -algebra generated by  $i, j$  with  $i^2 = a$ ,  $j^2 = b$  and  $ij = -ji$ . Suppose that  $2 \in R$  is a unit. Then  $R(a, b)$  is a  $R$ -order in the quaternion algebra  $(a, b)$  over  $F$ . Further note that if  $a, b \in R$  are units, then  $R(a, b)$  is an Azumaya  $R$ -algebra.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $R$  be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field  $k$  and field of fractions  $F$ . Suppose that  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . Let  $\Gamma_0$  be an Azumaya algebra over  $R$  and  $D_0 = \Gamma_0 \otimes_R K$ . Let  $u \in R$  be a unit and  $\pi \in R$  a parameter. If  $D = D_0 \otimes (u, \pi)$  is a division algebra, then  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \otimes_R R(u, \pi)$  is the maximal  $R$ -order of  $D$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $D$  is division. Let  $d = \deg(D)$  and  $d_0 = \deg(D_0)$ . Then  $d = 2d_0$ .

There is a discrete valuation on  $D$  given by  $\nu_D(z) = \nu(\text{Nrd}_D(z))$  ([21, p. 139]). Furthermore  $\Gamma' = \{z \in D^* \mid \nu_D(z) \geq 0\} \cup \{0\} = \{z \in D \mid z \text{ is integral over } R\}$  is the unique maximal  $R$ -order of  $D$  ([21, Theorem 12.8]).

Since  $\Gamma_0$  and  $R(u, \pi)$  are finitely generated  $R$ -modules,  $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module and hence every element of  $\Gamma$  is integral over  $R$ . Hence  $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$ . We now show that  $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$ .

Let  $i, j \in (u, \pi)$  be the standard generators with  $i^2 = u$ ,  $j^2 = \pi$  and  $ij = -ji$ . Let  $D_1 = D_0 \otimes F(i) \subset D$  and  $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_0 \otimes R[i]$ . Then  $D = D_1 + D_1j$  and  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_1j$ . Since  $D_0$  is unramified,  $D_1$  is unramified. Since  $D$  is a division algebra,  $D_1$  is a division algebra. Since  $\Gamma_0$  is an Azumaya algebra over  $R$ ,  $\Gamma_1$  is an Azumaya algebra over  $R[i]$ . In particular  $\Gamma_1$  is the maximal  $R[i]$ -order in  $D_1$ . Since  $F(i)/F$  is an unramified extension and  $D_0$  is unramified on

$R$ ,  $\pi$  is a parameter in  $D_1$ . Therefore,  $\nu_D(z)$  is a multiple of  $2d_0$  for all  $z \in D_1$  ([21, p. 139]). Since  $j^2 = \pi$  and  $Nrd_D(j) = \pi^{d_0}$ , we have  $\nu_D(j) = d_0$ .

Let  $z \in \Gamma'$ . Then  $z = z_1 + z_2 j$  for some  $z_1, z_2 \in D_1$ . Suppose that  $\nu_D(z_1) = \nu_D(z_2 j)$ . Then  $\nu_D(z_1) - \nu_D(z_2) = \nu_D(j) = d_0$ . This is a contradiction, since  $\nu_D(z_1)$  and  $\nu_D(z_2)$  are multiple of  $2d_0$ . Hence  $\nu_D(z_1) \neq \nu_D(z_2 j)$ . Then  $\nu_D(z) = \min\{\nu_D(z_1), \nu_D(z_2 j)\} \geq 0$ . In particular  $\nu_D(z_1) \geq 0$  and hence  $z_1 \in \Gamma_1$ . Since  $\nu_D(z_2 j) = \nu_D(z_2) + \nu_D(j) = \nu_D(z_2) + d_0$ , we have  $\nu_D(z_2) \geq -d_0$ . Since  $\nu_D(z_2)$  is a multiple of  $2d_0$ , it follows that  $\nu_D(z_2) \geq 0$  and hence  $z_2 \in \Gamma_1$ . Thus  $z \in \Gamma$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $R$  be a discrete valuation ring with residue field  $k$ , field of fractions  $F$  and  $\hat{F}$  the completion of  $F$ . Suppose that  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . Let  $\Gamma_0$  be an Azumaya algebra over  $R$  and  $D_0 = \Gamma_0 \otimes_R F$ . Let  $u \in R$  be a unit and  $\pi \in R$  a parameter. If  $(D_0 \otimes_F (u, \pi)) \otimes_F \hat{F}$  is a division algebra, then  $\Gamma_0 \otimes_R R(u, \pi)$  is the maximal  $R$ -order of  $D_0 \otimes_F (u, \pi)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{R}$  be the completion of  $R$ . Let  $\hat{\Gamma}_0 = \Gamma_0 \otimes \hat{R}$ . Then  $\hat{\Gamma}_0$  is an Azumaya algebra over  $\hat{R}$ . Since  $(D_0 \otimes_F (u, \pi)) \otimes_F \hat{F}$  is a division algebra, by (4.1),  $\hat{\Gamma}_0 \otimes \hat{R}(u, \pi)$  is a maximal  $\hat{R}$ -order of  $(D_0 \otimes_F (u, \pi)) \otimes_F \hat{F}$ . Thus, by ([21], Theorem 11.5),  $\Gamma_0 \otimes_R R(u, \pi)$  is the maximal  $R$ -order of  $D_0 \otimes_F (u, \pi)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $R$  be a two dimensional complete regular local ring with residue field  $k$ , field of fractions  $F$  and maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = (\pi, \delta)$ . For units  $u, v \in R$ , let  $D_1$  and  $\Gamma_1$  be one of the following:*

- i)  $D_1 = (u, v)$ ,  $\Gamma_1 = R(u, v)$
- ii)  $D_1 = (u, \pi)$ ,  $\Gamma_1 = R(u, \pi)$
- iii)  $D_1 = (\pi, \delta)$ ,  $\Gamma_1 = R(\pi, \delta)$
- iv)  $D_1 = (u, \pi\delta)$ ,  $\Gamma_1 = R(u, \pi\delta)$
- v)  $D_1 = (u, \pi) \otimes (v, \delta)$ ,  $\Gamma_1 = R(u, \pi) \otimes R(v, \delta)$ .

*Let  $\Gamma_0$  be an Azumaya algebra over  $R$  and  $D_0 = \Gamma_0 \otimes_R F$ . If  $D_0 \otimes_F D_1$  is a division algebra, then  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \otimes_R \Gamma_1$  is a maximal  $R$ -order of  $D_0 \otimes_F D_1$ .*

*Proof.* An order of a Noetherian integrally closed domain is maximal if and only if it is reflexive and its localization at all height one prime ideals are maximal orders ([21], Theorem 11.4). Since  $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated free module, it is reflexive. Furthermore,  $R$  is a regular local ring, hence it is Noetherian and integrally closed. We only need to show that  $\Gamma_P$  is a maximal  $R_P$ -order for all height one prime ideals  $P$ .

Suppose that  $D = D_0 \otimes_F D_1$  is a division algebra. Let  $P$  be a height one prime ideal of  $R$ . Suppose  $P \neq \langle \pi \rangle, \langle \delta \rangle$ . Since  $u, v \in R$  are units,  $u, v, \pi, \delta$  are units in  $R_P$  and hence  $\Gamma_1 \otimes R_P$  is an Azumaya  $R_P$ -algebra. In particular  $\Gamma \otimes R_P$  is an Azumaya  $R_P$ -algebra. Hence  $\Gamma_P$  is a maximal  $R_P$ -order of  $D$ . Suppose that  $P = \langle \pi \rangle, \langle \delta \rangle$ .

- i) Since  $u, v \in R$  are units,  $(\Gamma_1)_P$  is an Azumaya algebra over  $R_P$ . Hence  $\Gamma_P$  is a maximal  $R_P$ -order on  $D$ .
- ii) If  $P \neq \langle \pi \rangle$ , then  $\Gamma_1$  is an Azumaya  $R_P$ -algebra and hence  $\Gamma_P$  is a maximal  $R_P$ -order on  $D$ . If  $P = \langle \pi \rangle$ , then  $\Gamma_P$  is a maximal  $R_P$ -order on  $D$  by (4.2).
- iii), iv) If  $P = \langle \pi \rangle$  or  $P = \langle \delta \rangle$ , then  $\Gamma_P$  is a maximal  $R_P$ -order on  $D$  by (4.2).
- v) Suppose  $P = \langle \pi \rangle$ . Let  $\Gamma'_0 = \Gamma_0 \otimes R_P(v, \delta)$ . Since  $v, \delta$  are units in  $R_P$ ,  $R_P(v, \delta)$  is an Azumaya  $R_P$ -algebra. Since  $D = (D_0 \otimes (v, \delta)) \otimes (u, \pi)$  and  $\Gamma = (\Gamma_0 \otimes R_P(v, \delta)) \otimes R_P(u, \pi)$ , by (4.2),  $\Gamma_P$  is a maximal  $R_P$ -order on  $D$ . If  $P = \langle \delta \rangle$ , a similar argument holds.

□

## 5. A LOCAL GLOBAL PRINCIPLE FOR HERMITIAN FORMS OVER TWO DIMENSIONAL LOCAL FIELDS

Let  $R_0$  be a 2-dimensional complete regular local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_0 = (\pi_0, \delta_0)$  and residue field  $k_0$ . Suppose that  $\text{char}(k_0) \neq 2$ . Let  $F_0$  be the field of fractions of  $R_0$  and let  $F = F_0(\sqrt{\lambda})$  be an extension of degree at most 2, with  $\lambda$  a unit in  $R_0$  or a unit times  $\pi_0$ . Let  $D \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  be a division algebra with  $F/F_0$ -involution  $\sigma$  and  $h$  an hermitian form over  $(D, \sigma)$ . In this section, under some assumptions on  $D$ ,  $F_0$  and  $h$ , we prove that if  $h \otimes F_{0\pi}$  or  $h \otimes F_{0\delta}$  is isotropic, then  $h$  is isotropic.

Let  $R$  be the integral closure of  $R_0$  in  $F$ . By the assumption on  $\lambda$ ,  $R$  is a 2-dimensional regular local ring with maximal ideal  $(\pi, \delta)$  ([19, pp. 3.1, 3.2]), where; if  $\lambda$  is a unit in  $R_0$ , then  $\pi = \pi_0$  and  $\delta = \delta_0$  and if  $\lambda$  is a unit times  $\pi_0$ , then  $\pi = \sqrt{\lambda}$  and  $\delta = \delta_0$ .

Let  $G(D, \sigma, h) = SU(D, \sigma, h)$  if  $F = F_0$  and  $G(D, \sigma, h) = U(A, \sigma, h)$  if  $[F : F_0] = 2$ .

We begin with the following, which is proved by Wu ([25, Corollary 3.12]) for  $D$  a quaternion algebra.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $F_0$  and  $F$  be as above. Let  $D \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  and  $\sigma$  an  $F/F_0$ -involution. Suppose that  $D$  is a division algebra which is unramified on  $R$  except possibly at  $(\pi)$  and  $(\delta)$ . Let  $d = \deg(D)$ ,  $e_0$  the ramification index of  $D$  at  $\pi$  and  $e_1$  the ramification index of  $D$  at  $\delta$ . Suppose that there exists a maximal  $R$ -order  $\Gamma$  of  $D$  and  $\pi_D, \delta_D \in \Gamma$  such that  $\sigma(\pi_D) = \pm\pi_D$ ,  $\sigma(\delta_D) = \pm\pi_D$  and  $\pi_D\delta_D = \pm\delta_D\pi_D$  and  $\text{Nrd}(\pi_D) = \theta_0\pi^{\frac{d}{e_0}}$  and  $\text{Nrd}(\delta_D) = \theta_1\delta^{\frac{d}{e_1}}$  for some units  $\theta_0, \theta_1 \in R$ . Let  $h = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  be an hermitian form over  $(D, \sigma)$ . Suppose that for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $a_i \in \Gamma$  and  $\text{Nrd}(a_i)$  is a product of a unit in  $R$ , a power of  $\pi$  and a power of  $\delta$ . If  $h \otimes F_\pi$  or  $h \otimes F_\delta$  is isotropic, then  $h$  is isotropic.*

*Proof.* Follows from ([25, Corollary 3.3]). □

As a consequence we have the following (cf. [25, Corollary 3.12])

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $F_0$  and  $F$  be as above. Let  $D \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  and  $\sigma$  an  $F/F_0$ -involution. Suppose that  $D$  is a division algebra which is unramified on  $R$  except possibly at  $(\pi)$  and  $(\delta)$ . Let  $d = \deg(D)$ ,  $e_0$  the ramification index of  $D$  at  $\pi$  and  $e_1$  the ramification index of  $D$  at  $\delta$ . Suppose that there exists a maximal  $R$ -order  $\Gamma$  of  $D$  and  $\pi_D, \delta_D \in \Gamma$  such that  $\sigma(\pi_D) = \pm\pi_D$ ,  $\sigma(\delta_D) = \pm\pi_D$ ,  $\pi_D\delta_D = \pm\delta_D\pi_D$ ,  $\text{Nrd}(\pi_D) = \theta_0\pi^{\frac{d}{e_0}}$  and  $\text{Nrd}(\delta_D) = \theta_1\delta^{\frac{d}{e_1}}$  for some units  $\theta_0, \theta_1 \in R$ . Let  $h = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  be an hermitian form over  $(D, \sigma)$ . Suppose that for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $a_i \in \Gamma$  and  $\text{Nrd}(a_i)$  is a product of a unit in  $R$ , a power of  $\pi$  and a power of  $\delta$ . Let  $X$  be a projective homogeneous space under  $G(D, \sigma, h)$ . If  $X(F_{0\pi}) \neq \emptyset$  or  $X(F_{0\delta}) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $X(F_0) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Since the period of  $D$  divides 2 by our assumption on  $D$ , we have  $\text{ind}(D) = \text{ind}(D \otimes F_\pi)$  (1.2). Suppose that  $X(F_{0\pi}) \neq \emptyset$  or  $X(F_{0\delta}) \neq \emptyset$ . Using, (5.1), the rest of the proof of ([25, Corollary 3.12]) can be applied here to show that  $X(F_0) \neq \emptyset$ . □

We fix the following.

**Notation 5.3.** Let  $R_0$  be a 2-dimensional complete regular local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_0 = (\pi_0, \delta_0)$  and residue field  $k_0$ . Suppose that  $\text{char}(k_0) \neq 2$ . Let  $F_0$  be the field of fractions of  $R_0$  and let  $F = F_0(\sqrt{\lambda})$  be an extension of degree at most 2, with  $\lambda$  a unit in  $R_0$  or a unit times  $\pi_0$ . Let  $R$  be the integral closure of  $R_0$  in  $F$ . By the assumption on  $\lambda$ ,  $R$  is a 2-dimensional regular local ring with maximal ideal  $(\pi, \delta)$  ([19, pp. 3.1, 3.2]), where; if  $\lambda$  is a unit in  $R_0$ , then  $\pi = \pi_0$  and  $\delta = \delta_0$  and if  $\lambda$  is a unit times  $\pi_0$ , then  $\pi = \sqrt{\lambda}$  and  $\delta = \delta_0$ . Let  $u_i, v_i \in R_0$  be units and  $D_1, \Gamma_1$  and  $\sigma_1$  denote one of the following:

- i)  $D_1 = F_0, \Gamma_1 = R, \sigma_1 = \text{id}$
- ii)  $D_1 = (u_0, u_1\pi_0), \Gamma_1 = R(u_0, u_1\pi_0), \sigma_1$  the canonical involution
- iii)  $D_1 = (u_0\pi_0, v_0\delta_0), \Gamma_1 = R(u_0\pi_0, v_0\delta_0), \sigma_1$  the canonical involution
- iv)  $D_1 = (u_0, u_1\pi_0) \otimes (v_0, v_1\delta_0), \Gamma_1 = R(u_0, u_1\pi_0) \otimes R(v_0, v_1\delta_0), \sigma_1$  the tensor product of the canonical involutions

Let  $\Gamma_0$  be an Azumaya algebra over  $R$  with an  $R/R_0$ -involution  $\tilde{\sigma}_1$ . Let  $D_0 \simeq \Gamma_0 \otimes F$  and  $\sigma_0 = \tilde{\sigma}_0 \otimes 1$ . Let  $D \simeq D_0 \otimes D_1, \Gamma = \Gamma_0 \otimes \Gamma_1$  and  $\sigma = \sigma_0 \otimes \sigma_1$ . Then  $\sigma$  is a  $F/F_0$ -involution on  $D$  and  $\sigma(\Gamma) = \Gamma$ . Let  $d_i$  denote the degree of  $D_i$ . The following table gives a choice of  $\pi_D, \delta_D \in \Gamma$  and some of their properties.

| $D$  | $\pi_D$                   | $\delta_D$                | $\text{Nrd}(\pi_D)$ | $\text{Nrd}(\delta_D)$ | $\sigma(\pi_D)$ | $\sigma(\delta_D)$ | $\sigma(\pi_D\delta_D)$ |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| $D_0$  | $\pi_0$                   | $\delta_0$                | $\pi_0^{d_0}$       | $\delta_0^{d_0}$       | $\pi_D$         | $\delta_D$         | $\pi_D\delta_D$         |
| $D_0 \otimes (u_0, u_1\pi_0)$                            | $1 \otimes j$             | $1 \otimes \delta$        | $(u_1\pi_0)^{d_0}$  | $\delta_0^{2d_0}$      | $-\pi_D$        | $\delta_D$         | $-\pi_D\delta_D$        |
| $D_0 \otimes (u_0\pi_0, v_0\delta_0)$                    | $1 \otimes i$             | $1 \otimes j$             | $(u_0\pi_0)^{d_0}$  | $(v_0\delta_0)^{d_0}$  | $-\pi_D$        | $-\delta_D$        | $-\pi_D\delta_D$        |
| $D_0 \otimes (u_0, u_1\pi_0) \otimes (v_0, v_1\delta_0)$ | $1 \otimes j_1 \otimes 1$ | $1 \otimes 1 \otimes j_2$ | $(u_1\pi_0)^{2d_0}$ | $(v_1\delta_0)^{2d_0}$ | $-\pi_D$        | $-\delta_D$        | $\pi_D\delta_D$         |

**Corollary 5.4.** Let  $F, D, \sigma$  and  $\Gamma$  be as in (5.3). Suppose that  $D$  is a division algebra. Let  $h = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  be a hermitian form over  $(D, \sigma)$  with  $a_i \in \Gamma$ . Suppose  $\text{Nrd}(a_i)$  is a unit times a power of  $\pi$  and a power of  $\delta$ . Let  $X$  be a projective homogeneous space under  $G(D, \sigma, h)$  over  $F_0$ . If  $X(F_{0\pi_0}) \neq \emptyset$  or  $X(F_{0\delta}) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $X(F_0) \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* By (4.3),  $\Gamma$  is a maximal  $R$ -order of  $D$ . Let  $e_0$  be the ramification index of  $D$  at  $\pi$  and  $e_1$  be the ramification index of  $D$  at  $\delta$ . If  $D_1$  as in (5.3(i)), then  $e_0 = e_1 = 1$ . If  $D_1$  is as in (5.3(ii)), then  $e_0 = 2$  and  $e_1 = 1$ . If  $D_1$  as in (5.3(iii) or (iv)), then  $e_0 = e_1 = 2$ . Let  $\pi_D$  and  $\delta_D$  be as in (5.3). Then  $\pi_D$  and  $\delta_D$  satisfy the assumptions of (5.2). Hence, by (5.2),  $X(F_0) \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

## 6. BEHAVIOR UNDER BLOWUPS

Let  $R_0$  be a 2-dimensional complete regular local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_0 = (\pi_0, \delta_0)$  and residue field  $k_0$ . Suppose that  $\text{char}(k_0) \neq 2$ . Let  $F_0$  be the field of fractions of  $R_0$  and let  $F = F_0(\sqrt{\lambda})$  be an extension of degree at most 2. Let  $D \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  be a division algebra with  $F/F_0$ -involution  $\sigma$  and  $h$  an hermitian form over  $(D, \sigma)$  and  $G(A, \sigma, h)$  be as in (§5). Let  $X$  be a projective homogeneous variety under  $G(A, \sigma, h)$  over  $F_0$ . Suppose that  $X(F_{0\nu}) \neq \emptyset$  for all divisorial discrete valuations  $\nu$  of  $F_0$ . Under some assumptions on  $D$ , in this section we prove that there exists a sequence of blowups  $\mathcal{Y}$  of  $\text{Spec}(R_0)$  such that  $X(F_{0P}) \neq \emptyset$  for all closed points  $P$  of  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}_0 = \text{Proj}(R_0[x, y]/(\pi_0 x - \delta_0 y))$ . Let  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  be the closed points of  $\mathcal{X}_0$  given by the homogeneous ideals  $(\pi_0, \delta_0, y)$  and  $(\pi_0, \delta_0, x)$ . Let  $\tau$  be the nontrivial automorphism of  $F/F_0$  if  $F \neq F_0$  and let  $\tau$  be the identity if  $F = F_0$ .

We begin with the following.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Suppose that  $\lambda$  a unit in  $R_0$  or a unit times  $\pi_0$ . Let  $a, b \in R_0$  be nonzero and square free. Suppose that the support of  $a$  and  $b$  is at most  $\pi_0$  and  $\delta_0$  and have no common factors. Then for any closed point  $P \in \mathcal{X}_0$ , there exist  $a', b', \pi', \delta' \in \mathcal{O}_P$  such that the maximal ideal at  $P$  is generated by  $\pi'$  and  $\delta'$ ,  $a'$  and  $b'$  are square free, have no common factors, the support is at most  $(\pi')$  or  $(\delta')$  and  $(a, b) \otimes F_{0P} = (a', b')$  and  $R_0(a, b) \subset \hat{\mathcal{O}}_P(a', b')$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $a$  is a unit in  $R_0$ . Then  $b = v_0$  or  $v_0\pi_0$  or  $v_0\delta_0$  or  $v_0\pi_0\delta_0$  for some unit  $v_0 \in R_0$ . If  $b = v_0$  or  $v_0\pi_0$  or  $v_0\delta_0$ , then it is easy to see that  $a' = a$  and  $b' = b$  have the required properties. Suppose  $b = v_0\pi_0\delta_0$ . Suppose  $P \neq Q_1, Q_2$ . Then the maximal ideal at  $P$  is given by  $(\pi_0, \delta')$  with  $\pi_0 = w'\delta_0$  for some unit  $w'$  in  $\mathcal{O}_P$ . We have  $(a, b) = (a, v_0\pi_0\delta_0) = (a, v_0w')$ . In this case it is easy to see that  $a' = a$  and  $b' = v_0w'$  have the required property. Suppose  $P = Q_1$ . Then the maximal ideal at  $P$  is given by  $(t, \delta_0)$  with  $\pi_0 = t\delta_0$ . We have  $(a, b) = (a, v_0t\delta_0)$  and  $a' = a, b' = v_0t\delta_0$  have the required properties. The case  $P = Q_2$  is similar.

Suppose neither  $a$  nor  $b$  is a unit in  $R_0$ . Then by the assumption on  $a, b$ , we have  $\{a, b\} = \{u_0\pi_0, v_0\delta_0\}$  for some units  $u_0, v_0 \in R_0$ . Suppose  $P = Q_1$ . Since the maximal ideal of  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Q_1}$  is given by  $(t, \delta_0)$  with  $\pi_0 = t\delta_0$ , we have  $(a, b) \otimes F_{0Q_1} = (u_0\pi_0, v_0\delta_0) \otimes F_{0Q_1} = (u_0t\delta_0, v_0\delta_0) \otimes F_{0Q_1} \simeq (-u_0v_0t, v_0\delta_0)$ . It is easy to see that  $a' = -u_0v_0t$  and  $b' = v_0\delta_0$  have the required properties. The case  $P = Q_2$  is similar. Suppose  $P \neq Q_1, Q_2$ . Since the maximal ideal at  $P$  is given by  $(\pi_0, \delta')$  with  $\pi_0 = w'\delta_0$  for some unit  $w'$  in  $\mathcal{O}_P$  and  $(a, b) = (u_0\pi_0, v_0\delta_0) = (u_0\pi_0, v_0w'\pi_0) = (u_0\pi_0, v_0w'u_0)$ ,  $a' = u_0\pi_0$  and  $b' = v_0w'u_0$  have the required properties.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2.** *Suppose that  $\lambda$  a unit in  $R_0$  or a unit times  $\pi_0$ . Suppose  $D = (u_0, u_1\pi_0) \otimes (v_0, v_1\delta_0)$  is a division algebra for some units  $u_i, v_i \in R_0$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the tensor product of canonical involutions on  $(u_0, u_1\pi_0), (v_0, v_1\delta_0)$ . Then for  $P = Q_1$  or  $Q_2$ , there exist an isomorphism  $\phi_P : D \otimes F_{0P} \rightarrow (u'_0, u'_1\pi') \otimes (v'_0, v'_1\delta')$  for some  $u'_i, v'_i$  units in the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_P$  at  $P$  and the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_P$  is given by  $(\pi', \delta')$  such that  $\phi_P(R_0(u_0, u_1\pi) \otimes R_0(v_0, v_1\delta)) \subset \mathcal{O}_P(u'_0, u'_1\pi') \otimes \mathcal{O}_P(v'_0, v'_1\delta')$ . Further if  $\sigma'$  is the tensor product of canonical involutions on  $(u'_0, u'_1\pi')$  and  $(v'_0, v'_1\delta')$ , then there exists  $\phi_P \in \mathcal{O}_P(u'_0, u'_1\pi') \otimes \mathcal{O}_P(v'_0, v'_1\delta')$  such that  $\text{int}(\theta_P)\sigma' = \phi_P\sigma\phi_P^{-1}$  and the support of  $\text{Nrd}(\theta_P)$  at most  $(\pi')$  and  $(\delta')$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $Q_1$  is the closed point given by the homogeneous ideal  $(\pi_0, \delta_0, y)$ , the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{Q_1}$  is given by  $(t, \delta_0)$  with  $\pi_0 = t\delta_0$ . Thus we have  $D \otimes F_{0P} = (u_0, u_1\pi_0) \otimes (v_0, v_1\delta_0) = (u_0, u_1t\delta_0) \otimes (v_0, v_1\delta_0) \simeq (u_0, u_1v_1^{-1}t) \otimes (u_0v_0, v_1\delta_0)$ .

Let  $i_1, j_1 \in (u_0, u_1\pi_0)$ ,  $i_2, j_2 \in (v_0, v_1\delta_0)$ ,  $i_3, j_3 \in (u_0, u_1v_1^{-1}t)$  and  $i_4, j_4 \in (u_0v_0, v_1\delta_0)$  be the standard generators. Then we have an isomorphism  $\phi_P : (u_0, u_1\pi_0) \otimes (v_0, v_1\delta_0) \rightarrow (u_0, u_1v_1^{-1}t) \otimes (u_0v_0, v_1\delta_0)$  given by  $\phi(i_1 \otimes 1) = i_3 \otimes 1$ ,  $\phi(j_1 \otimes 1) = j_3 \otimes j_4$ ,  $\phi(1 \otimes i_2) = u_0^{-1}(i_3 \otimes i_4)$  and  $\phi(1 \otimes j_2) = 1 \otimes j_4$ . Since  $u_0$  is a unit in  $R_0$ ,  $\phi(R_0(u_0, u_1\pi_0) \otimes R_0(v_0, v_1\delta_0)) \subset \mathcal{O}_{Q_i}(u_0, u_1v_1^{-1}t) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Q_i}(u_0v_0, v_1\delta_0)$ . Let  $\theta_{Q_1} = i_3 \otimes j_4$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\theta_{Q_1}$  has the required properties. A similar computation gives the required  $\theta_{Q_2}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.3.** *Suppose that  $\lambda$  a unit in  $R_0$  or a unit times  $\pi_0$ . Suppose  $D = (u_0, u_1\pi_0) \otimes (v_0, v_1\delta_0)$  is a division algebra for some units  $u_i, v_i \in R_0$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the tensor product of canonical involutions on  $(u_0, u_1\pi_0), (v_0, v_1\delta_0)$ . Let  $P \in \mathcal{X}_0$  be a closed point not equal to*



$Q_1$  or  $Q_2$ . Then there exists an isomorphism  $\phi_P : D \otimes F_{0P} \simeq (u'_0, u'_1) \otimes (v'_0, v'_1 \pi')$  for some  $u'_0, v'_0, u'_1, v'_1$  units in  $\mathcal{O}_P$  and  $m_P = (\pi', \delta')$  such that  $\phi_P(R_0(u, \pi) \otimes R_0(v, \delta)) \subset \mathcal{O}_P(u'_0, u'_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_P(v'_0, v'_1 \pi)$ . Further if  $\sigma'$  is the tensor product of canonical involutions on  $(u'_0, u'_1)$  and  $(v'_0, v'_1 \pi')$ , then there exists  $\theta_P \in \mathcal{O}_P(u'_0, u'_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_P(v'_0, v'_1 \pi)$  such that  $\text{int}(\theta_P)\sigma' = \phi_P \sigma \phi_P^{-1}$  and the support of  $\text{Nrd}(\theta_P)$  at most  $(\pi')$  and  $(\delta')$ .

*Proof.* Since  $P$  is a closed point not equal to  $Q_1$  or  $Q_2$ , the maximal ideal at  $P$  is given by  $(\pi_0, \delta')$  and  $\delta_0 = w'\pi_0$  for some unit  $w'$  in  $\mathcal{O}_P$ . Thus we have  $D \otimes F_{0P} = (u_0, u_1 \pi_0) \otimes (v_0, v_1 \delta_0) = (u_0, u_1 \pi_0) \otimes (v_0, v_1 w' \pi_0) \simeq (v_0, v_1 w' u_1^{-1}) \otimes (u_0 v_0, u_1 \pi_0)$ .

Let  $i_1, j_1 \in (u_0, u_1 \pi)$ ,  $i_2, j_2 \in (v_0, v_1 \delta_0)$ ,  $i_3, j_3 \in (v_0, v_1 w' u_1^{-1})$  and  $i_4, j_4 \in (u_0 v_0, u_1 \pi_0)$  be the standard generators. Then we have an isomorphism  $\phi_P : (u_0, u_1 \pi) \otimes (v_0, v_1 \delta_0) \rightarrow (v_0, v_1 w' u_1^{-1}) \otimes (u_0 v_0, u_1 \pi_0)$  given by  $\phi(i_1 \otimes 1) = v_0^{-1}(i_3 \otimes i_4)$ ,  $\phi(j_1 \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes j_4$ ,  $\phi(1 \otimes i_2) = (i_3 \otimes 1)$  and  $\phi(1 \otimes j_2) = j_3 \otimes j_4$ . Since  $v_0 \in R_0$  is a unit, we have  $\phi_P(R_0(u_0, u_1 \pi) \otimes R_0(v_0, v_1 \delta)) \subset \mathcal{O}_P(v_0, v_1 w' u_1^{-1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_P(u_0 v_0, u_1 \pi)$ . Let  $\theta_P = i_3 \otimes j_4$ . Then  $\theta_P$  has the required properties.  $\square$

The following two results are extracted from ([25, §4]).

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $F_0$  and  $F$  be as above. Let  $D \in \text{Br}(F)$  be a quaternion division algebra over  $F$  with a  $F/F_0$ -involution  $\sigma$ . Then there exists a sequence of blowups  $\mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec} R_0$  such that, the integral closure  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $\mathcal{X}_0$  in  $F$  is regular and  $\text{ram}_{\mathcal{X}}(D)$  is a union of regular curves with normal crossings. Further for every closed point  $P$  of  $\mathcal{X}_0$  with  $D \otimes F_{0P}$  division,  $D \otimes F_{0P}$  is as in (2.5 or 3.4) and not of the type (2.5(iii) or 3.4(iii)).*

*Proof.* There exists a sequence of blowups  $\mathcal{Y}_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0)$  such that the integral closure  $\mathcal{Y}$  of  $\mathcal{Y}_0$  is regular and  $\text{ram}_{\mathcal{Y}}(D)$  is a union of regular curves with normal crossings (cf. [18, Corollary 11.3]). Let  $P$  be a closed point of  $\mathcal{Y}_0$ . Since the integral closure of  $\mathcal{Y}_0$  in  $F$  is regular, the maximal ideal at  $P$  is generated by  $(\pi_P, \delta_P)$  and  $F = F_0(\sqrt{\lambda_P})$  for some  $\lambda_P = u$  or  $u\pi_P$  for some unit  $u$  at  $P$ .

Suppose that  $D \otimes F_{0P}$  is division. In particular  $F \otimes F_{0P}$  is a field. Let  $R_P$  be the integral closure of  $\mathcal{O}_P$  in  $F$ . Then  $R_P$  is a regular two dimensional local ring with maximal ideal  $(\pi'_P, \delta'_P)$  with  $\delta'_P = \delta_P$ ,  $\pi'_P = \pi_P$  if  $\lambda_P$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{O}_P$  and  $\pi'_P = \sqrt{\lambda_P}$  if  $\lambda_P$  is not a unit in  $\mathcal{O}_P$ . Further  $D$  is unramified on  $\mathcal{O}_P$  except possibly at  $(\pi'_P)$  or  $(\delta'_P)$ . In particular  $D \otimes F_{0P}$  is as in (2.5 or 3.4).

Suppose  $D \otimes F_{0P}$  as in (2.5(iii) or 3.4(iii)). Note that there are only finitely many such closed points.

Suppose  $F \otimes F_{0P}/F_{0P}$  is ramified. Then, by (3.1), we can assume that  $D \otimes F_{0P}$  is not of type (3.5(iii)).

Suppose that  $F \otimes F_{0P}/F_{0P}$  is unramified. Let  $\mathcal{X}_P \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_P)$  be the simple blow up. Then, it is easy to see that for every closed point  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{X}_P$ ,  $D \otimes F_{0Q}$  is not of type (3.5(iii) or 3.4(iii)) (cf. [25, Lemma 4.1]).  $\square$

**Proposition 6.5.** *Let  $D$  be a central simple algebra over  $F$  with a  $F/F_0$ -involution  $\sigma$  and  $h$  an hermitian form over  $(D, \sigma)$ . Let  $X$  be a projective homogeneous variety under  $G(D, \sigma, h)$  over  $F_0$ . Suppose that  $\text{ind}(D) \leq 2$ . If that  $X(F_{0\nu}) \neq \emptyset$  for all divisorial discrete valuations  $\nu$  of  $F_0$ , then there exists a sequence of blowups  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0)$  such that for every closed point  $P$  of  $\mathcal{Y}$ ,  $X(F_{0P}) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* By Morita equivalence ([13, Theorem 3.1, 3.11, 3.20] & [12, Chapter 1, 9.3.5]), we assume that  $D$  is division. If  $D = F$ , then  $X(F_0) \neq \emptyset$  ([25, Corollary 3.12]) and hence any blowup of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  has the required property.

Suppose  $\text{ind}(D) = 2$ . Then  $D \simeq D_0 \otimes_{F_0} F$  for some quaternion division algebra over  $F_0$ . Without loss of generality we assume that  $\sigma$  is the canonical involution. Then using (6.4), we get a sequence of blowups  $\mathcal{Y}_0$  of  $\text{Spec}(R_0)$  such that for every closed point  $P$  of  $\mathcal{Y}$  with  $D \otimes F_{0P}$  division,  $D \otimes F_{0P}$  is as in (2.5 or 3.4) and not of the type (2.5(iii) or 3.4(iii)).

Suppose that  $D \otimes F_{0P}$  is not division. Suppose  $F \otimes F_{0P}$  is a field. Since  $\text{ind}(D) \leq 2$ ,  $D \otimes F_{0P}$  is a matrix algebra and hence by Morita equivalence,  $h$  corresponds to a quadratic form over  $F$ . Thus  $X(F_{0P}) \neq \emptyset$  ([5, Theorem 3.1]). Suppose  $F \otimes F_{0P}$  is not a field. Then  $F \otimes F_{0P} \simeq F_{0P} \times F_{0P}$ ,  $D \otimes F_{0P} \simeq D_0 \otimes F_{0P} \times D_0^{\text{op}} \otimes F_{0P}$  and  $G(D, \sigma, h) \otimes F_{0P} \simeq GL(M_n(D_0 \otimes F_{0P}))$  ([13, p. 346]). Hence by (1.4),  $X(F_{0P}) \neq \emptyset$ .

Suppose that  $D \otimes F_{0P}$  is division. Then  $D \otimes F_P = (a_P, b_P)$  for some  $a_P, b_P$  as in (6.1). In particular  $\mathcal{O}_P(a_P, b_P) \otimes R$  is a maximal  $\mathcal{O}_P$ -order of  $D \otimes F_{0P}$ . We have  $h \otimes F_{0P} = \langle a_{1P}, \dots, a_{nP} \rangle$  for some  $a_{iP} \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_P(a, b) \otimes R$ .

Let  $Y_0$  be the special fibre of  $\mathcal{Y}_0$ . By (1.1), there exists a finite subset  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $Y_0$  such that  $X(F_P) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $P \notin \mathcal{P}_0$ . Thus replacing  $R$  by  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P$ , we assume that  $D = (a, b)$  for some  $a, b$  as in (6.1) and  $h = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  for some  $a_i \in R(a, b)$ .

By ([25, Lemma 4.2]), there exists a sequence of blowups  $\mathcal{Y}_1 \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0)$  such that the support of  $\text{Nrd}(a_i)$  is a union of regular curves with normal crossings and for every closed point  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{Y}_1$  with  $D \otimes F_{0Q}$  is division,  $D \otimes F_{0Q}$  is not of the form ([25, Lemma 3.6(5)]).

Let  $Q$  be a closed point of  $\mathcal{Y}_1$ . If  $D \otimes F_{0Q}$  is a matrix algebra, then by ([10, Corollary 4.7]) and Morita equivalence,  $X(F_{0Q}) \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose  $D \otimes F_{0Q}$  is a division algebra. Then, by (6.1),  $R(a, b)$  is contained in the corresponding maximal order  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_Q(a_Q, b_Q)$ . Since  $h = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  with  $a_i \in R(a, b)$  with support of  $\text{Nrd}(a_i)$  is a union of regular curves with normal crossings, by (5.4),  $X(F_{0Q}) \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.6.** *Let  $D \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  be a central simple algebra over  $F$  with a  $F/F_0$ -involution  $\sigma$  and  $h$  an hermitian form over  $(D, \sigma)$ . Suppose that  $\text{ind}(D) = 4$ . Then there exists a sequence of blowups  $\mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec} R_0$  such that, the integral closure  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $\mathcal{X}_0$  in  $F$  is regular and  $\text{ram}_{\mathcal{X}}(D)$  is a union of regular curves with normal crossings. Further for every closed point  $P$  of  $\mathcal{X}_0$  with  $\text{ind}(D \otimes F_{0P}) = 4$ ,  $D \otimes F_{0P}$  is as in (2.6 or 3.5) and not of the type (2.6(iii) or 3.5(iii)).*

*Proof.* There exists a sequence of blowups  $\mathcal{Y}_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0)$  such that the integral closure  $\mathcal{Y}$  of  $\mathcal{Y}_0$  is regular and  $\text{ram}_{\mathcal{Y}}(D)$  is a union of regular curves with normal crossings (cf. [18, Corollary 11.3]).

Let  $P$  be a closed point of  $\mathcal{Y}_0$ . In particular if  $\text{ind}(D \otimes F_{0P}) = 4$ , then  $D \otimes F_{0P}$  is as in (2.6 or 3.5). Suppose  $D \otimes F_{0P} = (u_P, v_P) \otimes (w_P, w'_P \pi_P \delta_P)$  as in (2.6(iii) or 3.5(iii)) for some units  $u_P, v_P, w_P, w'_P \in \mathcal{O}_P$ . Note that there are only finitely many such closed points.

Suppose  $F \otimes F_{0P}/F_{0P}$  is ramified. Then, by (3.1), we can assume that  $D \otimes F_{0P}$  is not of type (3.5(iii)).

Suppose that  $F \otimes F_{0P}/F_{0P}$  is unramified. Let  $\mathcal{X}_P \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_P)$  be the simple blow up. Then, it is easy to see that for every closed point  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{X}_P$ ,  $D \otimes F_{0Q}$  is not of type (2.6(iii) or 3.5(iii)).  $\square$

**Proposition 6.7.** *Let  $D \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  be a central simple algebra over  $F$  with a  $F/F_0$ -involution  $\sigma$  and  $h$  an hermitian form over  $(D, \sigma)$ . Let  $X$  be a projective homogeneous variety under  $G(D, \sigma, h)$  over  $F_0$ . Suppose that  $\text{ind}(D) \leq 4$ . If  $X(F_{0\nu}) \neq \emptyset$  for all divisorial discrete valuations  $\nu$  of  $F_0$ , then there exists a sequence of blowups  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0)$  such that for every closed point  $P$  of  $\mathcal{Y}$ ,  $X(F_{0P}) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* By (6.5), we assume that  $\text{ind}(D) = 4$ . As in the proof of (6.5), we assume that  $D$  is division as in (2.6 or 3.5) and not of the type (2.6(iii) or 3.5(iii)). Let  $\Gamma$  be the maximal  $R$ -order of  $D$  as in (4.3) and write  $h = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  with  $a_i \in \Gamma$ .

Let  $\mathcal{Y}_1 \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0)$  be a sequence of blowups such that the support of  $\text{Nrd}(a_i)$  is a union of regular curves with normal crossings. Further replacing  $\mathcal{Y}$  by a sequence of blow ups (6.6), we assume that for every closed point  $P$  of  $\mathcal{Y}$ ,  $D \otimes F_{0P}$  is not of the form (2.6(iii) or 3.5(iii)). Once again we have a finite set of closed points  $\mathcal{P}_1$  of  $\mathcal{Y}_1$  such that  $X(F_{0P}) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $P \notin \mathcal{P}_1$ .

Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_1$  be a closed point. Suppose that  $F \otimes F_{0P}$  is not a field. Then as in the proof of (6.5),  $X(F_{0P}) \neq \emptyset$ .

Suppose that  $F \otimes F_{0P}$  is a field. If  $\text{ind}(D \otimes F_{0P}) \leq 2$ , then by (6.5), there exists a sequence of blowups  $\mathcal{X}_P$  of  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_P)$  such that for every closed point  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{X}_P$ ,  $X(F_{0Q}) \neq \emptyset$ .

Suppose  $\text{ind}(D \otimes F_P) = 4$ .

Suppose that  $D$  is not of type (2.6(iv) or 3.5(iv)). Then  $D \simeq (u_0, w_0) \otimes (a, b)$  for some units  $u, v, \in R_0$  and  $a, b \in R_0$  as in (6.1). Then, by the choice, we have  $\Gamma = R(u_0, v_0) \otimes R(a, b)$ . By (6.1),  $(a, b) \otimes F_{0P} \simeq (a_P, b_P)$  for some  $a_P, b_P \in \mathcal{O}_P$  as in (6.1) and  $R_0(a, b) \subset \hat{\mathcal{O}}_P(a_P, b_P)$ . In particular  $\Gamma \subset \hat{\mathcal{O}}_P(u_0, v_0) \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_P(a_P, b_P)$ . Since  $a_i \in \Gamma$  and  $D \otimes F_{0P}$  is not of type (2.6(iii)), by (5.4),  $X(F_{0P}) \neq \emptyset$ .

Suppose that  $D$  is of type (2.6(iv) or 3.5(iv)). Then  $D \simeq (u_0, u_1\pi_0) \otimes (v_0, v_1\delta_0)$  for some units  $u_i, v_i \in R_0$ .

Suppose  $P$  is a nodal point of  $\mathcal{Y}_1$ . Then, by (6.2), there exists an isomorphism  $\phi_P : D \otimes F_{0P} \rightarrow (u'_0, w'_1\pi_P) \otimes (v'_0, v'_1\delta_P)$  and  $\theta_P \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_P(u'_0, w'_1\pi_P) \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_P(v'_0, v'_1\delta_P)$  such that  $\phi_P(R_0(u_0, u_1\pi_0) \otimes R_0(v_0, v_1\pi_0)) \subset \hat{\mathcal{O}}_P(u'_0, w'_1\pi_P) \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_P(v'_0, v'_1\delta_P)$  and  $\text{int}(\theta_P)\sigma = \phi_P^{-1}\sigma'\phi_P$ , where  $\sigma'$  is the product of the canonical involutions on the right hand side. Let  $h'$  be the hermitian form on  $((u'_0, w'_1\pi_P) \otimes (v'_0, v'_1\delta_P), \phi_P\sigma\phi_P^{-1})$  which is the image of  $h$  under  $\phi_P$ . Since  $h = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ , we have  $h_1 = \langle \phi_P(a_1), \dots, \phi_P(a_n) \rangle$ . Let  $h' = \theta_P h_1$ . Then  $h'$  is an hermitian form with respect  $\sigma'$ . Let  $X'$  be the projective homogeneous variety under  $G((u'_0, w'_1\pi_P) \otimes (v'_0, v'_1\delta_P), \sigma', h')$  associated to  $X$ . Then  $X(F_{0P}) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $X'(F_{0P}) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\phi_P(a_i), \theta_P \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_P(u'_0, w'_1\pi_P) \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_P(v'_0, v'_1\delta_P)$ , by (5.4),  $X'(F_{0P}) \neq \emptyset$  and hence  $X(F_{0P}) \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $P$  is a non-nodal point, then using (6.3), we get  $X(F_{0P}) \neq \emptyset$  as above.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.8.** *Let  $k, F_0$  and  $F$  be as above. Suppose that for finite extension  $\ell/k$ , every element in  ${}_2\text{Br}(\ell)$  has index at most 2. Let  $D \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  be a central simple algebra over  $F$  with a  $F/F_0$ -involution  $\sigma$  and  $h$  an hermitian form over  $(D, \sigma)$ . Let  $X$  be a projective homogeneous variety under  $G(D, \sigma, h)$  over  $F_0$ . If  $X(F_{0\nu}) \neq \emptyset$  for all divisorial discrete valuations  $\nu$  of  $F_0$ , then there exists a sequence of blowups  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0)$  such that for every closed point  $P$  of  $\mathcal{Y}$ ,  $X(F_{0P}) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* By (6.7), we assume that  $\text{ind}(D) > 4$ . As in the proof of (6.5), we assume that  $D$  is unramified on  $R_0$  except possibly at  $(\pi_0)$  and  $(\delta_0)$ . Then, by (2.7, 3.6),  $\text{ind}(D) = 8$  and  $D \simeq (u_0, u_1) \otimes (v_0, v_1\pi_0) \otimes (w_0, w_1\delta_0) \otimes F$  for some units  $u, v_i, w_i \in R_0$ . Without loss

of generality we assume that  $\sigma$  is the tensor product of canonical involutions on  $(u_0, u_1)$ ,  $(v_0, v_1\pi)$  and  $(w_0, w_1\delta)$  and  $\tau$ . Let  $\Gamma = R(u_0, u_1) \otimes R(v_0, v_1\pi) \otimes R(w_0, w_1\delta)$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a maximal  $R$ -order of  $D$ . We have  $h = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  for some  $a_i \in \Gamma$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0)$  be a sequence of blowups such that the integral closure of  $\mathcal{Y}_0$  in  $F$  is regular and the support of  $\text{Nrd}(a_i)$  and  $\text{ram}_{\mathcal{Y}}(D)$  is a union of regular curves with normal crossings. By (1.1), there exists a finite subset  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $Y_0$  such that  $X(F_{0P}) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $P \notin \mathcal{P}$ .

Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . Suppose that  $F \otimes F_{0P}$  is not a field. Then as in the proof of (6.5),  $X(F_{0P}) \neq \emptyset$ .

Suppose that  $F \otimes F_{0P}$  is a field. If  $\text{ind}(D \otimes F_{0P}) \leq 4$ , then by (6.7), there exists a sequence of blowups  $\mathcal{X}_P$  of  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_P)$  such that for every closed point  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{X}_P$ ,  $X(F_{0Q}) \neq \emptyset$ .

Suppose  $\text{ind}(D \otimes F_{0P}) > 4$ . Then as above we have  $\text{ind}(D \otimes F_{0P}) = 8$ . Arguing as in the proof of (6.7), we get that  $X(F_{0P}) \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

## 7. MAIN THEOREM

In this section we prove the main theorems.

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $K$  be a complete discretely valued field with valuation ring  $T$  and residue field  $k$ . Suppose that  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . Let  $F$  be the function field of a smooth projective geometrically integral curve over  $K$ . Let  $A \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  be a central simple algebra over  $F$  with an involution  $\sigma$  of any kind,  $F_0 = F^\sigma$  and  $h$  a hermitian form over  $(A, \sigma)$ . Suppose that  $\text{ind}(A) \leq 4$ . Let  $G = \text{SU}(A, \sigma, h)$  if  $\sigma$  is first kind or  $\text{U}(A, \sigma, h)$  if  $\sigma$  is of second kind. Let  $X$  be a projective homogeneous variety under  $G$  over  $F_0$ . If  $X(F_{0\nu}) \neq \emptyset$  for all divisorial discrete valuations  $\nu$  of  $F$ , then  $X(F_0) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $F$  is the function field of a curve over  $K$ ,  $F_0$  is also the function field of a curve over  $K$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R_0)$  be a regular proper model of  $F_0$  with the closed fibre  $X_0$  a union of regular curves with normal crossings ([1]). Let  $\eta \in X_0$  be a generic point. Then  $\eta$  gives a divisorial discrete valuation  $\nu$  of  $F$ . Since  $X(F_{0\eta}) \neq \emptyset$ , by ([9, p. 5.8]), there exists a nonempty open set  $U_\eta$  of the closure of  $\eta$  in  $X_0$  such that  $X(F_{0U_\eta}) \neq \emptyset$ . By shrinking  $U_\eta$ , we assume that  $U_\eta$  does not contain any singular points of  $X_0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P} = X_0 \setminus \bigcup_\eta U_\eta$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}$  is a finite set of closed points of  $X_0$  containing all the singular points of  $X_0$ . Let  $P$  be a closed point of  $X_0$ . Suppose  $P \notin \mathcal{P}$ . Then  $P \in U_\eta$  for some  $\eta$ . Since  $F_{0U_\eta} \subset F_{0P}$ ,  $X(F_{0P}) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . Since  $\text{ind}(A) \leq 4$  and  $A \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$ , by (6.7), there exists a sequence of blowups  $\mathcal{X}_P$  of  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_P)$  such that  $X(F_{0Q}) \neq \emptyset$  for all closed points of  $\mathcal{X}_P$ . Thus replacing  $\mathcal{X}$  by these finitely many sequences of blowups at all  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , we assume that  $X(F_{0Q}) \neq \emptyset$  for all closed points  $Q$  of  $X_0$ . Since for any generic point  $\eta$  of  $X_0$ ,  $X(F_{0\eta}) \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $X(F_{0x}) \neq \emptyset$  for all points  $x \in X_0$ . Since  $G$  is a connected rational group ([4, Lemma 5]), by ([8, Theorem 3.7]), we have  $X(F) \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let  $K$  be a complete discretely valued field with valuation ring  $T$  and residue field  $k$ . Suppose that  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . Let  $F$  be the function field of a smooth projective geometrically integral curve over  $K$ . Let  $A$  be a central simple algebra over  $F$  with an  $\sigma$  of any kind,  $F_0 = F^\sigma$  and  $h$  a hermitian form over  $(A, \sigma)$ . Suppose that for every finite extension  $\ell/k$ , every element in  ${}_2\text{Br}(\ell)$  has index at most 2. Let  $G = \text{SU}(A, \sigma, h)$  if  $\sigma$  is first kind or  $\text{U}(A, \sigma, h)$  if  $\sigma$  is of second kind. Let  $X$  be a projective homogeneous variety under  $G$  over  $F_0$ . If  $X(F_{0\nu}) \neq \emptyset$  for all divisorial discrete valuations  $\nu$  of  $F_0$ , then  $X(F_0) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Using (6.8), the proof is similar to the proof of (7.1).  $\square$

**Corollary 7.3.** *Let  $K$  be a complete discretely valued field with valuation ring  $T$  and residue field  $k$ . Suppose that  $k$  is a global field, local field or a  $C_2$ -field with  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . Let  $F$  be the function field of a smooth projective geometrically integral curve over  $K$ . Let  $A \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  be a central simple algebra over  $F$  with an involution  $\sigma$  of any kind and  $h$  a hermitian form over  $(A, \sigma)$ . Let  $F_0 = F^\sigma$ ,  $G = \text{SU}(A, \sigma, h)$  if  $\sigma$  is first kind and  $G = \text{U}(A, \sigma, h)$  if  $\sigma$  is of second kind. Let  $X$  be a projective homogeneous variety under  $G$  over  $F_0$ . If  $X(F_{0\nu}) \neq \emptyset$  for all divisorial discrete valuations  $\nu$  of  $F_0$ , then  $X(F_0) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $k$  is a global field or a local field or a  $C_2$ -field, then any finite extension  $\ell$  of  $k$  is also same type and hence every element in  ${}_2\text{Br}(k)$  is of index at most 2 ([24, Chapter 10, 2.3(vi)], [24, Chapter 10, 2.2(i)], [14, Theorem 4.8]). Hence the corollary follows from (7.2).  $\square$

**Corollary 7.4.** *Let  $K$  be a complete discretely valued field with valuation ring  $T$  and residue field  $k$ . Suppose that  $k$  is a local field with the characteristic of the residue field not equal 2. Let  $F$  be the function field of a smooth projective geometrically integral curve over  $K$ . Let  $A \in {}_2\text{Br}(F)$  be a central simple algebra over  $F$  with an involution  $\sigma$  and  $h$  a hermitian form over  $(A, \sigma)$  and  $F = F^\sigma$ . If  $h \otimes L$  is isotropic for some odd degree extension  $L/F_0$ , then  $h$  is isotropic.*

*Proof.* Let  $\nu$  be a divisorial discrete valuation of  $F_0$ . Then the residue field  $\kappa(\nu)$  is either a finite extension of  $K$  or a function field of a curve over a finite extension of  $k$  ([17, Theorem 8.1]).

Let  $\kappa'$  be an extension of  $\kappa(\nu)$  with  $[\kappa' : \kappa(\nu)] \leq 2$ . Let  $D$  be a central simple algebra over  $\kappa'$  with a  $\kappa'/\kappa(\nu)$ -involution  $\theta$  and  $f$  an hermitian form over  $(D, \theta)$ . Let  $\ell$  be an extension of  $\kappa(\nu)$  of odd degree. Suppose that  $f$  is isotropic over  $\ell$ .

Suppose  $\kappa(\nu)$  is a finite extension of  $K$ . Since  $K$  is a complete discretely valued field with residue field  $k$ ,  $\kappa(\nu)$  is a complete discretely valued field with residue field a finite extension of  $k$ . Then by ([25, Lemma 5.6] and [25, Lemma 5.1]), we get that  $f$  is isotropic.

Suppose the residue field  $\kappa(\nu)$  is a function field of a curve over a finite extension of  $k$ . Since  $k$  is local field, by ([25, Lemma 5.1]) and ([25, Theorem 4.4]),  $f$  is isotropic.

Suppose that  $h \otimes L$  is isotropic for some odd degree extension  $L$  of  $F_0$ . Then, as in the proof of ([25, Theorem 5.8]) and using (7.3), we get that  $h$  is isotropic.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Shreeram Shankar Abhyankar. “Resolution of singularities of algebraic surfaces”. In: *Algebraic Geometry (Internat. Colloq., Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1968)*. Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1969, pp. 1–11.
- [2] A. Adrian Albert. *Structure of algebras*. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXIV. Revised printing. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1961, pp. xi+210.
- [3] Maurice Auslander and Oscar Goldman. “The Brauer group of a commutative ring”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 97 (1960), pp. 367–409. ISSN: 0002-9947. DOI: [10.2307/1993378](https://doi.org/10.2307/1993378). URL: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1993378>.



- [4] Vladimir I. Chernousov and Vladimir P. Platonov. “The rationality problem for semisimple group varieties”. In: *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 504 (1998), pp. 1–28. ISSN: 0075-4102. DOI: [10.1515/crll.1998.108](https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1998.108). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1998.108>.
- [5] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène, Raman Parimala, and Venapally Suresh. “Patching and local-global principles for homogeneous spaces over function fields of  $p$ -adic curves”. In: *Comment. Math. Helv.* 87.4 (2012), pp. 1011–1033. ISSN: 0010-2571. DOI: [10.4171/CMH/276](https://doi.org/10.4171/CMH/276). URL: <https://doi.org/10.4171/CMH/276>.
- [6] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène et al. *Local-global principles for constant reductive groups over semi-global fields*. 2021. arXiv: [2108.12349](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.12349) [math.AG].
- [7] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène et al. “Local-global principles for tori over arithmetic curves”. In: *Algebraic Geometry* (Sept. 2020), pp. 607–633. ISSN: 2214-2584. DOI: [10.14231/ag-2020-022](https://doi.org/10.14231/ag-2020-022). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.14231/AG-2020-022>.
- [8] David Harbater, Julia Hartmann, and Daniel Krashen. “Applications of patching to quadratic forms and central simple algebras”. In: *Invent. Math.* 178.2 (2009), pp. 231–263. ISSN: 0020-9910. DOI: [10.1007/s00222-009-0195-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-009-0195-5). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-009-0195-5>.
- [9] David Harbater, Julia Hartmann, and Daniel Krashen. “Local-global principles for torsors over arithmetic curves”. In: *Amer. J. Math.* 137.6 (2015), pp. 1559–1612. ISSN: 0002-9327. DOI: [10.1353/ajm.2015.0039](https://doi.org/10.1353/ajm.2015.0039). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1353/ajm.2015.0039>.
- [10] David Harbater, Julia Hartmann, and Daniel Krashen. “Refinements to patching and applications to field invariants”. In: *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 20 (2015), pp. 10399–10450. ISSN: 1073-7928. DOI: [10.1093/imrn/rnu278](https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnu278). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnu278>.
- [11] N. A. Karpenko. “Cohomology of relative cellular spaces and of isotropic flag varieties”. In: *Algebra i Analiz* 12.1 (2000), pp. 3–69. ISSN: 0234-0852.
- [12] Max-Albert Knus. *Quadratic and Hermitian forms over rings*. Vol. 294. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. With a foreword by I. Bertuccioni. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991, pp. xii+524. ISBN: 3-540-52117-8. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-75401-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-75401-2). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-75401-2>.
- [13] Max-Albert Knus et al. *The book of involutions*. Vol. 44. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications. With a preface in French by J. Tits. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998, pp. xxii+593. ISBN: 0-8218-0904-0. DOI: [10.1090/coll/044](https://doi.org/10.1090/coll/044). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/coll/044>.
- [14] T. Y. Lam. *Introduction to quadratic forms over fields*. Vol. 67. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005, pp. xxii+550. ISBN: 0-8218-1095-2. DOI: [10.1090/gsm/067](https://doi.org/10.1090/gsm/067). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/gsm/067>.
- [15] A. S. Merkurjev, I. A. Panin, and A. R. Wadsworth. “Index reduction formulas for twisted flag varieties. I”. In: *K-Theory* 10.6 (1996), pp. 517–596. ISSN: 0920-3036. DOI: [10.1007/BF00537543](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00537543). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00537543>.
- [16] A. S. Merkurjev, I. A. Panin, and A. R. Wadsworth. “Index reduction formulas for twisted flag varieties. II”. In: *K-Theory* 14.2 (1998), pp. 101–196. ISSN: 0920-3036. DOI: [10.1023/A:1007793218556](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1007793218556). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1007793218556>.

- [17] R. Parimala. “A Hasse principle for quadratic forms over function fields”. In: *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 51.3 (2014), pp. 447–461. ISSN: 0273-0979. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0273-0979-2014-01443-0>.
- [18] R. Parimala and V. Suresh. *Local-Global Principle for Unitary Groups Over Function Fields of  $p$ -adic Curves*. 2020. arXiv: [2004.10357](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.10357) [math.NT].
- [19] R. Parimala and V. Suresh. “Period-index and  $u$ -invariant questions for function fields over complete discretely valued fields”. In: *Invent. Math.* 197.1 (2014), pp. 215–235. ISSN: 0020-9910. DOI: [10.1007/s00222-013-0483-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-013-0483-y). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-013-0483-y>.
- [20] B. Surendranath Reddy and V. Suresh. “Admissibility of groups over function fields of  $p$ -adic curves”. In: *Adv. Math.* 237 (2013), pp. 316–330. ISSN: 0001-8708. DOI: [10.1016/j.aim.2012.12.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2012.12.017). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2012.12.017>.
- [21] I. Reiner. *Maximal orders*. Vol. 28. London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series. Corrected reprint of the 1975 original, With a foreword by M. J. Taylor. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003, pp. xiv+395. ISBN: 0-19-852673-3.
- [22] David J. Saltman. “Cyclic algebras over  $p$ -adic curves”. In: *J. Algebra* 314.2 (2007), pp. 817–843. ISSN: 0021-8693. DOI: [10.1016/j.jalgebra.2007.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2007.03.003). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2007.03.003>.
- [23] David J. Saltman. “Division algebras over  $p$ -adic curves”. In: *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* 12.1 (1997), pp. 25–47. ISSN: 0970-1249.
- [24] Winfried Scharlau. *Quadratic and Hermitian forms*. Vol. 270. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985, pp. x+421. ISBN: 3-540-13724-6. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-642-69971-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-69971-9). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-69971-9>.
- [25] Zhengyao Wu. “Hasse principle for hermitian spaces over semi-global fields”. In: *J. Algebra* 458 (2016), pp. 171–196. ISSN: 0021-8693. DOI: [10.1016/j.jalgebra.2016.02.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2016.02.027). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2016.02.027>.