

Performance of Coherent Frequency-Shift Keying for Classical Communication on Quantum Channels

Matteo Rosati

Departament de Física: Grup d'Informació Quàntica
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona
 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain
 matteo.rosati@uab.cat

Abstract—We evaluate the performance of coherent frequency-shift keying (CFSK) [1], [2] alphabets for communication on quantum channels. We show that, contrarily to what previously thought, the square-root-measurement (SRM) is sub-optimal for discriminating CFSK states. Furthermore, we compute the maximum information transmission rate of the CFSK alphabet, observing that it employs at least as many frequency modes as the signal states, and compare it with standard phase-shift-keying. Finally, we introduce a discretized CFSK alphabet with higher mode-efficiency, exhibiting comparable error-probability performance with respect to CFSK and better rate performance. Our results suggest the existence of a tradeoff between the CFSK reduced error-probability and its mode efficiency.

I. INTRODUCTION

The recently introduced coherent frequency-shift keying (CFSK) alphabet has shown promising potential to decrease the error rate in long-distance communication on quantum channels [1], [2]. In particular, in Ref. [2] it was shown experimentally that the error probability attainable with CFSK and adaptive detection can outperform the standard phase-shift keying (PSK) alphabet. Here we provide an initial analysis of CFSK for communication purposes, taking into account both the optimal discrimination error probability and the achievable information-transmission rate.

II. COHERENT FREQUENCY-SHIFT KEYING ALPHABET

The CFSK alphabet, $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cfsk}} := \{|\alpha_m\rangle\}_{m=0}^{M-1}$, comprises coherent-state signals with variable shape in the time and frequency domain, of the form

$$|\alpha\rangle := e^{-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left[\int d\omega \tilde{\alpha}(\omega) a^\dagger(\omega) \right]^{\otimes n} |0\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where $a^\dagger(\omega)$ is the photon-creation operator at frequency ω , $|0\rangle$ is a tensor-product of vacuum states at all frequencies and $|\alpha|^2$ is the total number of photons of the signal. The specific frequency-shape can be determined by Fourier-transform of the time-shape: $\tilde{\alpha}(\omega) := \int \frac{dt}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \alpha(t) e^{i\omega t}$. In particular, CFSK signals have a temporal shape

$$\alpha_m(t) := \alpha f(t) e^{-i(\theta_m + \omega_m t)}, \quad (2)$$

with $\theta_m = m\Delta\theta$ and $\omega_m = \omega_0 + m\Delta\omega$. Note that the total-photon-number constraint $|\alpha|^2 = \int dt |\alpha_m(t)|^2$ implies the normalization $\int dt |f(t)|^2 = 1$.

A key object in evaluating the discrimination error and Holevo rate of an alphabet is the Gram matrix G , whose elements are given by the states' overlaps. For the CFSK alphabet we have

$$G_{jk} := \langle \alpha_j | \alpha_k \rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\int d\omega \tilde{\alpha}_j(\omega)^* \tilde{\alpha}_k(\omega)]^n}{n!}, \quad (3)$$

where we used that $[a(\omega), a^\dagger(\omega')] = \delta(\omega - \omega')$. The integral can be computed as follows:

$$\int d\omega \tilde{\alpha}_j(\omega)^* \tilde{\alpha}_k(\omega) = |\alpha|^2 \int d\omega \frac{dtdt'}{2\pi} f(t)f(t') \cdot \quad (4)$$

$$\cdot e^{-i[(k-j)\Delta\theta + (\omega_k - \omega)t - (\omega_j - \omega)t']} \quad (5)$$

$$= |\alpha|^2 \int dt |f(t)|^2 e^{-i(k-j)(\Delta\theta + \Delta\omega t)} \quad (6)$$

$$= |\alpha|^2 e^{i(j-k)\Delta\theta} \sqrt{2\pi} \tilde{F}((j-k)\Delta\omega), \quad (7)$$

having defined $F(t) := |f(t)|^2$. Hence the CFSK Gram matrix is a positive-definite Toeplitz matrix, i.e., whose elements depend only on the difference between their indices:

$$G_{jk} = g_{j-k} = \exp[-|\alpha|^2 (1 - e^{i(j-k)\Delta\theta} \sqrt{2\pi} \tilde{F}((j-k)\Delta\omega))]. \quad (8)$$

In the original proposal [1], [2], the authors consider a rectangular shape of duration T : $f_r(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}$ for $t \in [0, T]$ and zero otherwise, so that

$$\tilde{\alpha}_m(\omega) = \alpha \sqrt{\frac{T}{2\pi}} e^{-i\theta_m + i\frac{\omega - \omega_m}{2}T} \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\omega - \omega_m}{2}T\right), \quad (9)$$

$$\tilde{F}_r(\omega) = \frac{e^{i\frac{\omega T}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \text{sinc}\left(\frac{\omega T}{2}\right). \quad (10)$$

Moreover, the values of the parameters are restricted to the range $\Delta\theta, \Delta\omega T \in [0, 2\pi)$. Note that for $\Delta\theta = 2\pi/M$ and $\Delta\omega = 0$ we obtain the standard phase-shift keying (PSK) alphabet with circulant Gram matrix.




Fig. 1. Top - Plot of points in the plane $((G^{1/2})_{11}, (G^{1/2})_{22})$, as determined by the CFSK alphabet for $M = 16$ with several values of $\Delta\theta, \Delta\omega T \in [0, 2\pi)$ (red), and of the line $G^{1/2}_{11} = G^{1/2}_{22}$ (black). Bottom - Plot of the upper bound [7] (black) on the optimal CFSK success probability, the SRM (blue) success probability for CFSK and the optimal success probability for PSK (red). The alphabet size is $M = 16$ and the values of $\Delta\theta, \Delta\omega T$ for CFSK are the optimal ones of Ref. [2].

III. SUB-OPTIMALITY OF THE SQUARE-ROOT-MEASUREMENT

The square-root-measurement (SRM) is a well-known quantum measurement employed in communication and state discrimination [3], [4]. It can be constructed from the Gram matrix of the states as follows [5]: let $\Phi := \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\alpha_m\rangle \langle \alpha_m|$ be the sum of all states in the alphabet \mathcal{A} ; then the SRM is comprised of rank-one projectors $|\mu_m\rangle := \Phi^{-1/2} |\alpha_m\rangle$. The Gram matrix is exactly the matrix representation of Φ in the basis $\{|\mu_m\rangle\}_{m=1}^M$. Then the SRM success probability in discriminating the states is

$$P_{\text{succ}}^{\text{sr}}(\mathcal{A}) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |\langle \mu_m | \alpha_m \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} |(G^{1/2})_{mm}|^2. \quad (11)$$

The conditions for its optimality in state discrimination have been recently formulated in terms of the Gram matrix of the states to be discriminated:

Theorem III.1 (Optimality of the SRM [6]). *The SRM is optimal for the minimum-error discrimination of a set of states with Gram matrix G if and only if $(G^{1/2})_{mm}$ is constant for all m .*

It is easy to check numerically that the Gram matrix of the CFSK states in general does not satisfy the theorem above, hence the SRM cannot be optimal for these states, contrarily




Fig. 2. Plot of the ratio $R/C(n)$ between CFSK, PSK maximum rates and the channel capacity at the corresponding average energy, for several values of alphabet size. For CFSK, we set the optimal values of $\Delta\theta, \Delta\omega T$ for each M provided in Ref. [2].

to what stated in Refs. [1], [2], see Fig. 1(top). Nevertheless, Ref. [7] provides general upper and lower bounds on the optimal error probability:

$$P_{\text{succ}}^{\text{op}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \left(\frac{\text{tr}[G^{1/2}]}{M} \right)^2 + \sqrt{\gamma_{\text{max}}} \|\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{u}\|_1, \quad (12)$$

$$P_{\text{succ}}^{\text{op}}(\mathcal{A}) \geq P_{\text{succ}}^{\text{sr}}(\mathcal{A}) \geq \left(\frac{\text{tr}[G^{1/2}]}{M} \right)^2, \quad (13)$$

where $q_m := \frac{(G^{1/2})_{mm}}{\text{tr}[G^{1/2}]}$, $u_m := \frac{1}{M}$ and γ_{max} is the maximum eigenvalue of G . A numerical calculation of the upper bound shows that the SRM might be underperforming with respect to the optimal measurement, especially at low energies, see Fig. 1(bottom).

IV. COMMUNICATION RATE

Note that knowing the Gram matrix, in particular its spectrum, also allows a straightforward calculation of the Holevo rate of the alphabet, i.e., the maximum information-transmission rate attainable asymptotically by sending typical sequences of signals picked from $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cfsk}}$ and decoding them with collective quantum measurements [8], [9]. Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\mathcal{A}) &:= H\left(\frac{\Phi}{M}\right) - \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} H(|\alpha_m\rangle \langle \alpha_m|) \\ &= H\left(\frac{G}{M}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $H(\cdot)$ is the Von Neumann entropy in base 2.

This quantity determines the maximum rate of the classical-quantum channel induced by the CFSK alphabet:

$$\mathcal{N}_{\text{cfsk}} : m \in \{0, \dots, M-1\} \mapsto |\alpha_m\rangle \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cfsk}}. \quad (15)$$

Indeed, since the corresponding signals are centered around M distinct frequencies, we have to consider that $\mathcal{N}_{\text{cfsk}}$ uses at least M parallel and distinct frequency modes (or uses of the communication line). Hence, fixing the average number of photons per mode employed by $\mathcal{N}_{\text{cfsk}}$ to n , the

constraint on the total number of photons of each signal reads $|\alpha|^2 = Mn$. The mode efficiency, i.e., maximum number of transmitted bits per second per mode, of the CFSK channel at average photon number per mode n then is

$$R_{\text{cfsk}}(n; M) := \frac{1}{M} \chi(\mathcal{A}_{\text{cfsk}}) \Big|_{|\alpha|^2 = Mn}. \quad (16)$$

In Fig. 2 we compare this quantity with the narrowband channel capacity of a lossy bosonic channel [10], modeling optical fiber and free-space links, with a received number of photons per mode equal to n ,

$$C(n) := (n+1) \log_2(n+1) - n \log_2 n. \quad (17)$$

Moreover, we compare with the maximum rate attainable by a PSK constellation [11]. We observe that the superior discrimination performance of the CFSK alphabet with respect to the PSK one does not translate in a superior communication performance. This might be due to the fact that PSK states can occupy a single frequency mode, i.e., a single use of the communication line, whereas CFSK states require at least M distinct modes to transmit a single signal. Hence, CFSK states appear to make an inefficient use of the available bandwidth, which increases their distinguishability. In order to investigate this delicate issue further, in the next section we provide a narrowband approximation of the CFSK alphabet, which allows to tune the number of occupied modes independently of the number of signals.

V. DISCRETE-MODE ALPHABET

Here we consider a simplified alphabet, named discrete CFSK (dCFSK), that aims at reproducing the main features of CFSK within a narrowband discrete-mode setting. The new alphabet comprises M signals on $2L+1$ channel uses, $\mathcal{A}_{\text{dcfsk}}(L) := \{|\vec{\alpha}_m\rangle := \otimes_{\ell=-L}^L |\alpha_{m,\ell}\rangle\}_{m=0}^{M-1}$, where each signal is a product of single-mode coherent states with amplitudes $\alpha_{m,\ell} := a_\ell e^{i\theta_{m,\ell}}$, where $a_\ell \geq 0$ and $\theta_{m,\ell} \in [0, 2\pi)$, so that $\vec{\alpha}_m = (\alpha_{m,\ell})_{\ell=-L}^L$. The total number of photons is constant and equal to $a^2 := \sum_{\ell=-L}^L a_\ell^2$. The Gram matrix of the states is

$$\begin{aligned} G'_{m,n}(a) &= \exp - \sum_{\ell=-L}^L a_\ell^2 \left[1 - e^{i(\theta_{m,\ell} - \theta_{n,\ell})} \right] \\ &= \exp - a^2 \left[1 - \sum_{\ell=-L}^L F_\ell e^{i(\theta_{m,\ell} - \theta_{n,\ell})} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

so that, by setting $\theta_{m,\ell} = m \cdot (\Delta\theta + \ell \cdot \Delta)$ and controlling the energy distribution on each mode through the fractions $F_\ell := (a_\ell/a)^2$, with $\sum_{\ell=-L}^L F_\ell = 1$, we can approximate the Gram matrix of CFSK states, Eq. (8). Indeed, let us define the L -th order Fourier series expansion of a function $\tilde{F}(t)$ as

$$S_L(t) := \sum_{\ell=-L}^L c_\ell e^{it\ell\Delta}, \quad (19)$$

$$c_\ell := \left(\frac{\Delta}{2\pi} \right) \int_{-\frac{\pi}{\Delta}}^{\frac{\pi}{\Delta}} dt \tilde{F}(t) e^{-it\ell\Delta}, \quad (20)$$




Fig. 3. Plot of the ratio between the SRM success probability of $\mathcal{A}_{\text{dcfsk}}$, for several values of L , and that of other alphabets $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cfsk}}$ for $M=4$. The parameter values are the same of Fig. 2.

with $\Delta = \pi/(M-1)$. Then, for increasing L , the sums $S_L(t)$ provide increasingly better approximations of $F(t)$ in the interval $t \in [-M-1, M-1]$, provided that $F(t)$ satisfies a sufficient condition for the convergence of the Fourier series, e.g., differentiability at every t . Consequently, when the coefficients c_ℓ are positive we can normalize them by $S_L(0) = \sum_{\ell=-L}^L c_\ell$ and identify $F_\ell = c_\ell/S_L(0)$, obtaining

$$\tilde{G}_{m,n}(a) = \exp - a^2 \left[1 - e^{i\Delta\theta(m-n)} \frac{S_L(m-n)}{S_L(0)} \right], \quad (21)$$

which approximates the CFSK Gram matrix (8). Using $\Delta\theta' = \Delta\theta + \pi/2$ and the shape $F(t) = \text{sinc}(t\Delta\omega T/2)$ in order to reconstruct the shape described above, one can evaluate success probabilities and information transmission rates of $\mathcal{A}'_{\text{cfsk}}(L)$, for L and M such that the corresponding Fourier coefficients are positive.

In Fig. 3 we show the ratio between the SRM success probability of the dCFSK alphabet and the CFSK one for $M=4$ and $L=1, 2$. Already $L=1$ is sufficient to reproduce the same discrimination performance of CFSK with dCFSK, and even slightly surpass it. Observe that, as in the case of $\mathcal{A}_{\text{cfsk}}$, the SRM for $\mathcal{A}_{\text{dcfsk}}(L)$ will not be optimal in general and a further increase of the advantage over PSK can be expected.

The advantage of the dCFSK alphabet is that we can tune the number of modes it employs, independently of M . To study this potential, we then compute the Holevo rate of the dCFSK alphabet, similarly to Eq. (14), and define the dCFSK channel

$$\mathcal{N}_{\text{dcfsk}} : m \in \{0, \dots, M-1\} \mapsto |\vec{\alpha}_m\rangle \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{dcfsk}}. \quad (22)$$

Finally, we compute the mode-efficiency of this channel, which employs $2L+1$ parallel and distinct modes, with an average photon number per mode n and total photon number per signal $a^2 = (2L+1)n$:

$$R_{\text{dcfsk}}(n; M; L) := \frac{1}{(2L+1)} \chi(\mathcal{A}_{\text{dcfsk}}) \Big|_{|\alpha|^2 = (2L+1)n}. \quad (23)$$

A comparison of this rate for $L=1$ with PSK rates, per unit of channel capacity is shown in Fig. 4. The performance of the dCFSK alphabet clearly improves with respect to the




Fig. 4. Plot of the ratio $R/C(n)$ between dCFSK ($L = 1$), PSK maximum rates and the channel capacity at the corresponding average energy, for several values of alphabet size.

CFSK one, showing now an increase of the communication rate as M increases, and it is even able to beat a binary PSK modulation. However, by increasing the size of the PSK alphabet we can still always surpass dCFSK rates.

VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We have analyzed the error probability and maximum information transmission rate of the recently introduced CFSK alphabet. For this alphabet, we showed that the gain in success probability with respect to PSK can be enhanced beyond the SRM limit, contrarily to what previously thought in Refs. [1], [2]. Moreover, we observed that such gain comes at the cost of a reduced mode- (or bandwidth-) efficiency of the alphabet for communication purposes, which seriously decreases its communication rate with respect to PSK.

We then introduced a discrete version of CFSK where the number of occupied modes can be tuned at will, which maintains the CFSK advantage in discrimination. At the same time, we showed that the dCFSK alphabet has enhanced communication performance with respect to CFSK. Still, in absolute terms, the PSK alphabet seems to be always advantageous for a sufficiently large number of signals.

Future work will focus on further studying the potential of dCFSK constellations, searching for other possible adaptations of the alphabet with better communication performance and analyzing practical decoder designs for the discrete case.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 845255. We acknowledge useful discussions with J. Calsamiglia and A. Winter.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. A. Burenkov, O. V. Tikhonova, and S. V. Polyakov, "Quantum receiver for large alphabet communication," *Optica*, vol. 5, no. 3, p. 227, mar 2018. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1802.08287><http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OPTICA.5.000227>
- [2] I. Burenkov, M. Jabir, A. Battou, and S. Polyakov, "Time-Resolving Quantum Measurement Enables Energy-Efficient, Large-Alphabet Communication," *PRX Quantum*, vol. 1, no. 1, p. 010308, sep 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PRXQuantum.1.010308>

- [3] P. Hausladen and W. K. Wootters, "A 'Pretty Good' Measurement for Distinguishing Quantum States," *J. Mod. Opt.*, vol. 41, no. March 2015, pp. 2385–2390, 1994.
- [4] P. Hausladen, R. Jozsa, B. Schumacher, M. Westmoreland, and W. K. Wootters, "Classical information capacity of a quantum channel," *Phys. Rev. A - At. Mol. Opt. Phys.*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 1869–1876, sep 1996. [Online]. Available: <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.54.1869>
- [5] M. Ban, K. Kurokawa, R. Momose, and O. Hirota, "Optimum measurements for discrimination among symmetric quantum states and parameter estimation," *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 1269–1288, 1997. [Online]. Available: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/BF02435921>
- [6] N. Dalla Pozza and G. Pierobon, "Optimality of square-root measurements in quantum state discrimination," *Phys. Rev. A - At. Mol. Opt. Phys.*, vol. 91, no. 4, apr 2015. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1504.04908><http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.042334>
- [7] G. Sentís, E. Bagan, J. Calsamiglia, G. Chiribella, and R. Muñoz-Tapia, "Quantum Change Point," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 117, no. 15, pp. 1–12, 2016.
- [8] A. S. Holevo, *Quantum Systems, Channels, Information*. Berlin, Boston: DE GRUYTER, jan 2012. [Online]. Available: [http://www.degruyter.com/view/books/9783110273403/9783110273403.xml](http://www.degruyter.com/view/books/9783110273403/9783110273403/9783110273403.xml)<https://www.degruyter.com/view/books/9783110273403/9783110273403/9783110273403.xml>
- [9] M. Rosati and V. Giovannetti, "Achieving the Holevo bound via a bisection decoding protocol," *J. Math. Phys.*, vol. 57, no. 6, p. 062204, jun 2015. [Online]. Available: <http://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1.4953690><http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.04999><http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4953690>
- [10] V. Giovannetti, S. Guha, S. Lloyd, L. Maccone, J. H. Shapiro, and H. P. Yuen, "Classical capacity of the lossy bosonic channel: the exact solution," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 92, no. 2, p. 4, aug 2003. [Online]. Available: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.027902><http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0308012><http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.027902>
- [11] K. Kato, M. Osaki, M. Sasaki, and O. Hirota, "Quantum detection and mutual information for QAM and PSK signals," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 248–254, 1999. [Online]. Available: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/752130/>