

NON-INVARIANCE OF WEAK APPROXIMATION WITH BRAUER–MANIN OBSTRUCTION

HAN WU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction with respect to extensions of number fields. For any nontrivial extension L/K , assuming a conjecture of M. Stoll, we prove that there exists a K -threefold satisfying weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction off all archimedean places, while its base change to L fails. Then we illustrate this construction with an explicit unconditional example.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background. Let X be a proper algebraic variety ([Har97, Definition P. 105]) defined over a number field K . Let Ω_K be the set of all nontrivial places of K . Let $\infty_K \subset \Omega_K$ be the subset of all archimedean places, and let $S \subset \Omega_K$ be a finite subset. Let K_v be the completion of K at $v \in \Omega_K$. Let \mathbb{A}_K be the ring of adèles of K . We assume that $X(K) \neq \emptyset$. Let $pr^S: \mathbb{A}_K \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_K^S$ be the natural projection of adèles to adèles without S components, which induces a natural projection $pr^S: X(\mathbb{A}_K) \rightarrow X(\mathbb{A}_K^S)$. Since X is proper, the set of adelic points $X(\mathbb{A}_K^S)$ is equal to $\prod_{v \in \Omega_K \setminus S} X(K_v)$, and the adelic topology of $X(\mathbb{A}_K^S)$ is indeed the product of v -adic topologies. Viewing $X(K)$ as a subset of $X(\mathbb{A}_K)$ (respectively of $X(\mathbb{A}_K^S)$) by the diagonal embedding, we say that X satisfies **weak approximation** (respectively **weak approximation off S**) if $X(K)$ is dense in $X(\mathbb{A}_K)$ (respectively in $X(\mathbb{A}_K^S)$), cf. [Sko01, Chapter 5.1].

Cohomological obstructions have been used to explain the failure of density of $X(K)$ in $X(\mathbb{A}_K^S)$. Let $\text{Br}(X) = H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ be the Brauer group of X . The Brauer–Manin pairing

$$\begin{aligned} X(\mathbb{A}_K) \times \text{Br}(X) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \\ (A, \{P_v\}_{v \in \Omega_K}) &\mapsto \sum_{v \in \Omega_K} \text{inv}_v(A(P_v)), \end{aligned}$$

suggested by Manin [Man71], is provided by local class field theory. The left kernel of this pairing is denoted by $X(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{Br}}$, which is a closed subset of $X(\mathbb{A}_K)$. By the global reciprocity in class field theory, there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Br}(K) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in \Omega_K} \text{Br}(K_v) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

It induces an inclusion: $X(K) \subset pr^S(X(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{Br}})$. We say that X satisfies **weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction** (respectively **weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction off S**) if $X(K)$ is dense in $X(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{Br}}$ (respectively in $pr^S(X(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{Br}})$).

For an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} of analytic rank one, it satisfies weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction, cf. [Wan96]. For an abelian variety defined over K , if its Tate–Shafarevich group is finite, then it satisfies weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction off ∞_K , cf. [Sko01, Proposition 6.2.4]. For any smooth, proper and rationally connected variety defined over a number field, it is conjectured by Colliot–Thélène [CT03] that it satisfies weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction. Colliot–Thélène’s conjecture holds for Châtelet surfaces, cf. [CTSSD87a, CTSSD87b]. For any smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curve defined over a number field K , it is conjectured

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11G35, 14G12, 14G25, 14G05.

Key words and phrases. rational points, weak approximation, Brauer–Manin obstruction, Châtelet surfaces, Châtelet surface bundles over curves.

by Stoll [Sto07, Conjecture 9.1] that it satisfies weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction off ∞_K : see Conjecture 4.0.1 for more details.

1.2. Question. Given a nontrivial extension of number fields L/K , and a finite subset $S \subset \Omega_K$, let $S_L \subset \Omega_L$ be the subset of all places above S . Let X be a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected variety defined over K . Let $X_L = X \times_{\text{Spec } K} \text{Spec } L$ be the base change of X to L . In this paper, we consider the following question.

Question 1.2.1. If a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected variety X has a K -rational point, and satisfies weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction off S , must X_L also satisfy weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction off S_L ?

1.3. A negative answer to Question 1.2.1. For any number field K and some quadratic extension L/K , assuming [Sto07, Conjecture 9.1], a Châtelet surface bundle over a curve was constructed by Liang[Lia18], which gives a negative answer to Question 1.2.1. Also an unconditional example with explicit equations was given for $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ in loc. cit. We generalize Liang’s work to any nontrivial extension of number fields in this paper.

For any nontrivial extension of number fields L/K , assuming [Sto07, Conjecture 9.1], we have the following theorem to give a negative answer to Question 1.2.1.

Theorem 1.3.1 (Theorem 5.2.1). For any nontrivial extension of number fields L/K , and any finite subset $T \subset \Omega_L$, assuming [Sto07, Conjecture 9.1], there exist a curve C and a Châtelet surface bundle: $X \rightarrow C$ defined over K such that

- X has a K -rational point, and satisfies weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction off ∞_K ,
- X_L does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction off T .

For $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$, based on the method given in Theorem 5.2.1, we give an explicit unconditional example in Section 6. One can use Liang’s method to construct an explicit unconditional example satisfying Theorem 5.2.1 for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})/\mathbb{Q}$. But, we use different method to prove Theorem 5.2.1 and construct an explicit unconditional example. The 3-fold X is a smooth compactification of the following 3-dimensional affine subvariety in \mathbb{A}^5 with affine coordinates (x, y, z, x', y') given by equations

$$\begin{cases} y^2 - 73z^2 = (1 - x^2)(x^2 - 73)(x' - 4)^2 + (5x^2 + 1)(5334x^2/5329 + 1/5329) \\ y'^2 = x'^3 - 16 \end{cases}.$$

We explain the idea of proving Theorem 5.2.1. Given a nontrivial extension of number fields L/K , we start with a curve C such that $C(K)$ and $C(L)$ are both finite, nonempty and $C(K) \neq C(L)$. Combining arithmetic of Châtelet surfaces with a construction method from Poonen [Poo10], we choose two Châtelet surfaces denoted by V_0 and V_∞ over K , and construct a Châtelet surface bundle: $\beta: X \rightarrow C$ such that the fiber of each point in $C(K)$ is isomorphic to V_∞ , and that the fiber of each point in $C(L) \setminus C(K)$ is isomorphic to V_0 . Roughly speaking, $X(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{Br}}$ (respectively $X_L(\mathbb{A}_L)^{\text{Br}}$) is the union of adelic points of rational fibers by [Poo10, Proposition 5.4] together with [Sto07, Conjecture 9.1]. Using fibration methods, the arithmetic properties of V_∞ and V_0 will determine that of X .

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation. Given a number field K , let \mathcal{O}_K be the ring of its integers, and let Ω_K be the set of all its nontrivial places. Let $\infty_K \subset \Omega_K$ be the subset of all archimedean places, and let $2_K \subset \Omega_K$ be the subset of all 2-adic places. Let $\infty_K^f \subset \infty_K$ be the subset of all real places. Let $\Omega_K^f = \Omega_K \setminus \infty_K$ be the set of all finite places of K . An **odd place** will mean a finite place not contained in 2_K . Let K_v be the completion of K at $v \in \Omega_K$. For $v \in \infty_K$, let $\tau_v: K \hookrightarrow K_v$ be the embedding of K into its completion. For $v \in \Omega_K^f$, let \mathcal{O}_{K_v} be its valuation ring, and let \mathbb{F}_v be its residue field. We say that an element is a **prime element**, if the ideal generated by this element is a prime ideal. For a prime element $p \in \mathcal{O}_K$, we

denote its associated place by v_p . Let \mathbb{A}_K (respectively \mathbb{A}_K^S) be the ring of adèles (adèles without S components) of K .

2.2. Hilbert symbol. We use the Hilbert symbol $(a, b)_v \in \{\pm 1\}$, for any $a, b \in K_v^\times$ and $v \in \Omega_K$. By definition, $(a, b)_v = 1$ if and only if $x_0^2 - ax_1^2 - bx_2^2 = 0$ has a K_v -solution in \mathbb{P}^2 with homogeneous coordinates $(x_0 : x_1 : x_2)$, which equivalently means that the curve defined over K_v by the equation $x_0^2 - ax_1^2 - bx_2^2 = 0$ in \mathbb{P}^2 , is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 . The Hilbert symbol gives a symmetric bilinear form on $K_v^\times / K_v^{\times 2}$ with value in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, cf. [Ser79, Chapter XIV, Proposition 7].

We state the following lemmas for the Hilbert symbol. The first two lemmas have already been given in the paper [Wu22]. We give the statement here for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 2.2.1. Let K be a number field, and let v be an odd place of K . Let $a, b \in K_v^\times$ such that $v(a), v(b)$ are even. Then $(a, b)_v = 1$.

Lemma 2.2.2. Let K be a number field, and let v be an odd place of K . Let $a, b, c \in K_v^\times$ such that $v(b) < v(c)$. Then $(a, b + c)_v = (a, b)_v$.

Lemma 2.2.3. Let K be a number field. Let p be its prime element such that

- $\tau_v(p) > 0$ for all $v \in \infty_K^r$,
- $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8\mathcal{O}_K}$.

Then $(p, -1)_{v_p} = 1$.

Proof. The condition that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8\mathcal{O}_K}$ implies $p \in K_v^{\times 2}$ for all $v \in 2_K$. By the product formula $\prod_{v \in \Omega_K} (p, -1)_v = 1$ and Lemma 2.2.1, this lemma follows. \square

2.3. Poonen's proposition. Since our result is based on [Poo10, Proposition 5.4]. We recall Poonen's general result. There exist some remarks on it in [Lia18, Section 4.1]. Colliot-Thélène [CT10, Proposition 2.1] gave another proof of his proposition.

Recall 2.3.1. Let B be a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected variety over a number field K . Let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on B such that the set of global sections $\Gamma(B, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}) \neq 0$. Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}$. Let a be a constant in K^\times , and let s be a nonzero global section in $\Gamma(B, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2})$. The zero locus of $(1, -a, -s) \in \Gamma(B, \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}) \subset \Gamma(B, \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E})$ in the projective space bundle $\text{Proj}(\mathcal{E})$ is a projective and geometrically integral variety, denoted by X with the natural projection $X \rightarrow B$. Let \overline{K} be an algebraic closure of K . Denote $B \times_{\text{Spec } K} \text{Spec } \overline{K}$ by \overline{B} .

Proposition 2.3.2. [Poo10, Proposition 5.3] Given a number field K , we use the notation as in Recall 2.3.1. Let $\alpha: X \rightarrow B$ be the natural projection. Assume that

- the locus defined by $s = 0$ in B is smooth, projective, and geometrically connected,
- $\text{Br } \overline{B} = 0$ and $X(K) \neq \emptyset$.

Then X is smooth, projective, and geometrically connected. Moreover, the group homomorphism $\alpha^*: \text{Br}(B) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X)$ is an isomorphism.

3. CHÂTELET SURFACES

Let K be a number field. Châtelet surfaces are defined to be smooth projective models of conic bundle surfaces defined by the equation

$$(1) \quad y^2 - az^2 = P(x)$$

in $K[x, y, z]$ such that $a \in K^\times$, and that $P(x)$ is a separable degree-4 polynomial in $K[x]$. Given an equation (1), let V^0 be the affine surface in \mathbb{A}_K^3 defined by this equation. Let V be the natural smooth compactification of V^0 given in [Sko01, Section 7.1], which is called the Châtelet surface given by this equation, cf. [Poo09, Section 5].

Remark 3.0.1. For any local field K_v , if $a \in K_v^{\times 2}$, then V is birationally equivalent to \mathbb{P}^2 over K_v . By the implicit function theorem, there exists a K_v -point on V .

Remark 3.0.2. For any local field K_v , by smoothness of V , the implicit function theorem implies that the nonemptiness of $V^0(K_v)$ is equivalent to the nonemptiness of $V(K_v)$, and that $V^0(K_v)$ is open dense in $V(K_v)$ with the v -adic topology. Given an element $A \in \text{Br}(V)$, the evaluation of A on $V(K_v)$ is locally constant. By the properness of V , the space $V(K_v)$ is compact. So the set of all possible values of the evaluation of A on $V(K_v)$ is finite. Indeed, by [Sko01, Proposition 7.1.2], there exist only two possible values. They are determined by the evaluation of A on $V^0(K_v)$. In particular, if the evaluation of A on $V^0(K_v)$ is constant, then it is constant on $V(K_v)$.

Remark 3.0.3. If the polynomial $P(x)$ has a factor $x^2 - a$, i.e. there exists a degree-2 polynomial $f(x)$ such that $P(x) = f(x)(x^2 - a)$, then $Y = \frac{xy+az}{x^2-a}$ and $Z = \frac{y+xz}{x^2-a}$ give a birational equivalence between V and a quadratic surface given by $Y^2 - aZ^2 = f(x)$ with affine coordinates (x, Y, Z) . By the Hasse–Minkowski theorem, the surface V satisfies weak approximation.

Given a number field K , Liang [Lia18, Proposition 3.4] constructed a Châtelet surface over K , which has a K -rational point and does not satisfy weak approximation off ∞_K . From Liang’s construction, there exists an element in the Brauer group of this surface, which has two different local invariants on a given finite place, i.e. this element gives an obstruction to weak approximation for this surface. In [Wu22, Proposition 4.1], the author generalized Liang’s construction. Although it is enough for us, for the convenience of the reader, we use Čebotarev’s density theorem, global class field theory and Dirichlet’s theorem on arithmetic progressions to simplify it.

We construct a Châtelet surface explicitly having the following weak approximation property. It will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.2.1.

Proposition 3.0.4. (compare to [Wu22, Proposition 4.3]) For any extension of number fields L/K , and any finite subset $T_0 \subset \Omega_L$, there exists a Châtelet surface V_0 defined over K such that the set $V_0(K) \neq \emptyset$, and that the surface V_{0L} does not satisfy weak approximation off T_0 .

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 3.0.4. We construct the Châtelet surface V_0 explicitly.

3.0.1. Choosing elements for the parameters in the equation (1). By Čebotarev’s density theorem and global class field theory applied to a ray class field, we can find a prime element $p_1 \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that

- $\tau_v(p_1) > 0$ for all $v \in \infty_K^r$,
- $p_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{8\mathcal{O}_K}$,
- p_1 splits completely in L ,
- $v' \nmid v_{p_1}$ for all $v' \in T_0$.

We refer to [Wu21, Lemma 2.0.1] for more details. By the generalised Dirichlet’s theorem on arithmetic progressions, we find another prime element $p_2 \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $(p_1, p_2)_{v_{p_1}} = -1$. We refer to [Lia18, Proposition 2.1] for more details.

Let V_0 be the Châtelet surface given by $y^2 - p_1z^2 = (p_2x^2 + 1)((1 + p_2/p_1^2)x^2 + 1/p_1^2)$.

Lemma 3.0.5. (compare to [Wu22, Proposition 4.1]) The Châtelet surface V_0 defined over K , has the following properties.

- (1) The subset $V_0(K) \subset V_0(L)$ is nonempty. The natural maps $\text{Br}(K) \rightarrow \text{Br}(V_0)$ and $\text{Br}(L) \rightarrow \text{Br}(V_{0L})$ are injective. The Brauer group $\text{Br}(V_0)/\text{Br}(K) \cong \text{Br}(V_{0L})/\text{Br}(L) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, is generated by an element $A \in \text{Br}(V_0)$.
- (2) For the place $v = v_{p_1}$, there exist P_v and Q_v in $V_0(K_v)$ such that the local invariants $\text{inv}_v(A(P_v)) = 0$ and $\text{inv}_v(A(Q_v)) = 1/2$. For any other $v \neq v_{p_1}$, and any $P_v \in V_0(K_v)$, the local invariant $\text{inv}_v(A(P_v)) = 0$.
- (3) For any $v' \nmid v_{p_1}$, there exist $P_{v'}$ and $Q_{v'}$ in $V_0(L_{v'})$ such that the local invariants $\text{inv}_{v'}(A(P_{v'})) = 0$ and $\text{inv}_{v'}(A(Q_{v'})) = 1/2$. For any other $v' \nmid v_{p_1}$, and any $P_{v'} \in V_0(L_{v'})$, the local invariant $\text{inv}_{v'}(A(P_{v'})) = 0$.

Proof. (1) For $(x, y, z) = (0, 1/p_1, 0)$ is a rational point on V_0^0 , the set $V_0(K)$ is nonempty. We denote this rational point by Q_0 . And Q_0 gives sections of maps $\text{Br}(K) \rightarrow \text{Br}(V_0)$ and $\text{Br}(L) \rightarrow \text{Br}(V_{0L})$, so they are injective.

By the choice of p_1 and Lemma 2.2.3, we have $(p_1, -1)_{v_{p_1}} = 1$. By the choice of p_2 , we have $(p_1, p_2)_{v_{p_1}} = -1$. So $(p_1, -p_2)_{v_{p_1}} = (p_1, -p_2)_{v_{p_1}} (p_1, -1)_{v_{p_1}} = -1$. Hence $-p_2 \notin K_{p_1}^{\times 2}$. The chosen condition that p_1 splits in L implies $-p_2 \notin L^{\times 2}$. So the polynomial $p_2x^2 + 1$ is irreducible over K and L . According to [Sko01, Proposition 7.1.1], the Brauer group $\text{Br}(V_0)/\text{Br}(K) \cong \text{Br}(V_{0L})/\text{Br}(L) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, by Proposition 7.1.2 in loc. cit, we take the quaternion algebra $A = (p_1, p_2x^2 + 1) \in \text{Br}(V_0)$ as a generator element of this group. Then we have the equality $A = (p_1, p_2x^2 + 1) = (p_1, (1 + p_2/p_1^2)x^2 + 1/p_1^2)$ in $\text{Br}(V_0)$.

(2) For any $v \in \Omega_K$, since $(p_1, 1)_v = 1$, the local invariant $\text{inv}_v(A(Q_0)) = 0$. By Remark 3.0.2, it suffices to compute the local invariant $\text{inv}_v(A(P_v))$ for all $P_v \in V_0^0(K_v)$.

(a) Suppose that $v \in \infty_K^r \cup 2_K$. Then $p_1 \in K_v^{\times 2}$, so $\text{inv}_v(A(P_v)) = 0$ for all $P_v \in V_0(K_v)$.

(b) Suppose that $v \in \Omega_K^f \setminus (v_{p_1} \cup 2_K)$. Take an arbitrary $P_v \in V_0^0(K_v)$. If $\text{inv}_v(A(P_v)) = 1/2$, then $(p_1, p_2x^2 + 1)_v = -1 = (p_1, (1 + p_2/p_1^2)x^2 + 1/p_1^2)_v$ at P_v . For $v(p_1) = 0$, by Lemma 2.2.1, the first equality implies that $v(p_2x^2 + 1)$ is odd. So $v(x) \leq 0$. Hence $v(p_2 + x^{-2})$ is odd and positive. For $v(p_1) = 0$, by Hensel's lemma, we have $1 + (p_2 + x^{-2})/p_1^2 \in K_v^{\times 2}$. So $(p_1, (1 + p_2/p_1^2)x^2 + 1/p_1^2)_v = (p_1, x^2)_v (p_1, 1 + (p_2 + x^{-2})/p_1^2)_v = 1$, which is a contradiction. So $\text{inv}_v(A(P_v)) = 0$.

(c) Suppose that $v = v_{p_1}$. Take $P_v = Q_0$, then $\text{inv}_v(A(P_v)) = 0$. Take $x_0 \in K_v$ such that $v(x_0) < 0$. For $v(p_2) = 0$, by Lemma 2.2.2, we have $(p_1, p_2x_0^2 + 1)_v = (p_1, p_2x_0^2)_v = (p_1, p_2)_v$ and $(p_1, (1 + p_2/p_1^2)x_0^2 + 1/p_1^2)_v = (p_1, p_2x_0^2/p_1^2)_v = (p_1, p_2)_v$. So $(p_1, (p_2x_0^2 + 1)((1 + p_2/p_1^2)x_0^2 + 1/p_1^2))_v = (p_1, p_2)_v (p_1, p_2)_v = 1$. Hence, there exists a $Q_v \in V_0^0(K_v)$ with $x = x_0$. For $(p_1, p_2)_v = -1$, we have $\text{inv}_v(A(Q_v)) = 1/2$.

(3) For any $v' \in \Omega_L$, the local invariant $\text{inv}_{v'}(A(Q_0)) = 0$.

Suppose that $v' \nmid v_{p_1}$. By the assumption that v_{p_1} splits completely in L , we have $K_{v_{p_1}} = L_{v'}$. So $V_0(K_{v_{p_1}}) = V_0(L_{v'})$. By the argument already shown, there exist $P_v, Q_v \in V_0(K_v)$ such that $\text{inv}_v(A(P_v)) = 0$ and $\text{inv}_v(A(Q_v)) = 1/2$. View P_v, Q_v as elements in $V_0(L_{v'})$, and let $P_{v'} = P_v$ and $Q_{v'} = Q_v$. Then $\text{inv}_{v'}(A(P_{v'})) = \text{inv}_v(A(P_v)) = 0$ and $\text{inv}_{v'}(A(Q_{v'})) = \text{inv}_v(A(Q_v)) = 1/2$.

Suppose that $v' \nmid v_{p_1}$. This local computation is the same as the case $v \in \Omega_K \setminus \{v_{p_1}\}$. □

With the help of Lemma 3.0.5, we now prove that the Châtelet surface V_0 has the property of Proposition 3.0.4.

Proof. Let $T' \subset \Omega_L$ be the subset of all places above v_{p_1} . We take a place $v'_0 \in T'$. Since p_1 splits completely in L , we have $L_{v'_0} = K_{v_{p_1}}$. Let $U_{v'_0} = \{P_{v'_0} \in V_0(L_{v'_0}) \mid \text{inv}_{v'_0}(A(P_{v'_0})) = 1/2\}$. For $v' \in T' \setminus \{v'_0\}$, let $U_{v'} = \{P_{v'} \in V_0(L_{v'}) \mid \text{inv}_{v'}(A(P_{v'})) = 0\}$. For any $v' \in T'$, by Lemma 3.0.5, the set $U_{v'}$ is a nonempty open subset of $V_0(L_{v'})$. Let $M = \prod_{v' \in T'} U_{v'} \times \prod_{v' \notin T'} V_0(L_{v'})$. It is a nonempty open subset of $V_0(\mathbb{A}_L)$. For any $(P_{v'})_{v' \in \Omega_L} \in M$, by Lemma 3.0.5 and the choice of $U_{v'}$, the sum $\sum_{v' \in \Omega_L} \text{inv}_{v'}(A(P_{v'})) = 1/2$ is nonzero in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} . So $V_{0L}(\mathbb{A}_L)^{\text{Br}} \cap M = \emptyset$, which implies $V_0(L) \cap M = \emptyset$. By the choice of p_1 , we have $v' \nmid v_{p_1}$ for all $v' \in T_0$. Then $T_0 \cap T' = \emptyset$. Hence V_{0L} does not satisfy weak approximation off T_0 . □

Using the constructional method, we have the following example, which is a special case of Proposition 3.0.4. It will be used for further discussion.

Example 3.0.6. For $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$, and let $T_0 \subset \Omega_L \setminus \{73\text{-adic places}\}$ be a finite subset. We choose prime elements: $p_1 = 73$ splitting completely in L and $p_2 = 5$. Then the Châtelet surface given by $y^2 - 73z^2 = (5x^2 + 1)(5334x^2/5329 + 1/5329)$, has the properties of Propositions 3.0.4.

4. STOLL'S CONJECTURE FOR CURVES

For a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curve defined over a number field, Stoll [Sto07, Conjecture 9.1] made the following conjecture.

Given a curve C defined over a number field K , let $C(\mathbb{A}_K)_\bullet = \prod_{v \in \infty_K} \{\text{connected components of } C(K_v)\} \times C(\mathbb{A}_K^{\infty_K})$. The product topology of $\prod_{v \in \infty_K} \{\text{connected components of } C(K_v)\}$ with discrete topology and $C(\mathbb{A}_K^{\infty_K})$ with adelic topology, gives a topology for $C(\mathbb{A}_K)_\bullet$. For any $A \in \text{Br}(C)$, and any $v \in \infty_K$, the evaluation of A on each connected component of $C(K_v)$ is constant. So, the notation $C(\mathbb{A}_K)_\bullet^{\text{Br}}$ makes sense.

Conjecture 4.0.1. [Sto07, Conjecture 9.1] For any smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curve C defined over a number field K , the set $C(K)$ is dense in $C(\mathbb{A}_K)_\bullet^{\text{Br}}$. In particular, the curve C satisfies weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction off ∞_K .

Remark 4.0.2. For an elliptic curve defined over K , if its Tate–Shafarevich group is finite, then by the dual sequence of Cassels–Tate, Conjecture 4.0.1 holds for this elliptic curve, cf. [Sko01, Chapter 6.2]. With the effort of Kolyagin [Kol90, Kol91], Gross and Zagier [GZ86], and many others, for an elliptic curve E over \mathbb{Q} , if its analytic rank equals zero or one, then its Mordell–Weil rank equals its analytic rank, and its Tate–Shafarevich group $\text{III}(E, \mathbb{Q})$ is finite. So Conjecture 4.0.1 holds for E .

Definition 4.0.3. Given a nontrivial extension of number fields L/K , let C be a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curve defined over K . We say that a triple (C, K, L) is of **type I** if $C(K)$ and $C(L)$ are both finite nonempty sets, $C(K) \neq C(L)$ and Stoll's Conjecture 4.0.1 holds for the curve C .

Lemma 4.0.4. Given a nontrivial extension of number fields L/K , if Conjecture 4.0.1 holds for all smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curves defined over K , then there exists a curve C defined over K such that the triple (C, K, L) is of type I.

Proof. Since L is a finite separable extension over K , there exists a $\theta \in L$ such that $L = K(\theta)$. Let $f(x)$ be the monic minimal polynomial of θ . Let $n = \deg(f)$, then $n = [L : K] \geq 2$. Let $\tilde{f}(w_0, w_1)$ be the homogenization of f . If n is odd, we consider a curve C defined over K by a homogeneous equation: $w_2^{n+2} = \tilde{f}(w_0, w_1)(w_1^2 - w_0^2)$ with homogeneous coordinates $(w_0 : w_1 : w_2) \in \mathbb{P}^2$. For the polynomials $f(x)$ and $x^2 - 1$ are separable and coprime in $K[x]$, the curve C is smooth, projective, and geometrically connected. By genus formula for a plane curve, the genus of C equals $g(C) = n(n+1)/2 > 1$. By Faltings's theorem [Fal83, Satz 7], the sets $C(K)$ and $C(L)$ are both finite. It is easy to check that $(w_0 : w_1 : w_2) = (1 : 1 : 0) \in C(K)$ and $(\theta : 1 : 0) \in C(L) \setminus C(K)$. By the assumption that Conjecture 4.0.1 holds for all smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curves over K , we have that the triple (C, K, L) is of type I. \square

Remark 4.0.5. For some nonsquare integer d , let $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Consider an elliptic curve E_d defined by a Weierstraß equation: $y^2 = x^3 + d$. Let $E_d^{(d)}$ be the quadratic twist of E_d by d . It is easy to check that the point $(x, y) = (0, \sqrt{d}) \in C(L) \setminus C(K)$. If both $E_d(\mathbb{Q})$ and $E_d^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q})$ are finite, then the set $E_d(L)$ is finite, cf. [Sil09, Exercise 10.16]. If additionally, the Tate–Shafarevich group $\text{III}(E_d, \mathbb{Q})$ is finite, then the triple (E_d, K, L) is of type I.

5. MAIN RESULTS FOR CHÂTELET SURFACE BUNDLES OVER CURVES

5.1. Preparation Lemmas. We state the following lemmas, which will be used for the proof of our theorems.

Fibration methods are used in [CTX13, Proposition 3.1], [CX18, Lemma 5.1] and [CLX18, Section 4]. We modify those fibration methods to fit into our context.

Lemma 5.1.1. Let K be a number field, and let $S \subset \Omega_K$ be a finite subset. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a K -morphism of proper K -varieties X and Y . We assume that

- (1) the set $Y(K)$ is finite,
- (2) the variety Y satisfies weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off S ,
- (3) for any $P \in Y(K)$, the fiber X_P of f over P satisfies weak approximation off S .

Then X satisfies weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off S .

Proof. For any finite subset $S' \subset \Omega_K \setminus S$, take an open subset $N = \prod_{v \in S'} U_v \times \prod_{v \notin S'} X(K_v) \subset X(\mathbb{A}_K)$ such that $N \cap X(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{Br}} \neq \emptyset$. Let $M = \prod_{v \in S'} f(U_v) \times \prod_{v \notin S'} f(X(K_v))$, then by the functoriality of Brauer-Manin pairing, $M \cap Y(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{Br}} \neq \emptyset$. By Assumptions (1) and (2), we have $Y(K) = \text{pr}^S(Y(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{Br}})$. So there exists $P_0 \in \text{pr}^S(M) \cap Y(K)$. Consider the fiber X_{P_0} . Let $L = \prod_{v \in S'} [X_{P_0}(K_v) \cap U_v] \times \prod_{v \notin S' \cup S} X_{P_0}(K_v)$, then it is a nonempty open subset of $X_{P_0}(\mathbb{A}_K^S)$. By Assumption (3), there exists $Q_0 \in L \cap X_{P_0}(K)$. So $Q_0 \in X(K) \cap N$, which implies that X satisfies weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off S . \square

Lemma 5.1.2. Let K be a number field, and let $S \subset \Omega_K$ be a finite subset. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a K -morphism of proper K -varieties X and Y . We assume that

- (1) the set $Y(K)$ is finite,
- (2) the morphism $f^*: \text{Br}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X)$ is surjective,
- (3) there exists some $P \in Y(K)$ such that the fiber X_P of f over P does not satisfy weak approximation off S , and that $\prod_{v \in S} X_P(K_v) \neq \emptyset$.

Then X does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off S .

Proof. By Assumption (3), take a $P_0 \in Y(K)$ such that the fiber X_{P_0} does not satisfy weak approximation off S , and that $\prod_{v \in S} X_{P_0}(K_v) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exist a finite nonempty subset $S' \subset \Omega_K \setminus S$ and a nonempty open subset $L = \prod_{v \in S'} U_v \times \prod_{v \notin S'} X_{P_0}(K_v) \subset X_{P_0}(\mathbb{A}_K)$ such that $L \cap X_{P_0}(K) = \emptyset$. By Assumption (1), the set $Y(K)$ is finite, so we can take a Zariski open subset $V_{P_0} \subset Y$ such that $V_{P_0}(K) = \{P_0\}$. For any $v \in S'$, since U_v is open in $X_{P_0}(K_v) \subset f^{-1}(V_{P_0})(K_v)$, we can take an open subset W_v of $f^{-1}(V_{P_0})(K_v)$ such that $W_v \cap X_{P_0}(K_v) = U_v$. Consider the open subset $N = \prod_{v \in S'} W_v \times \prod_{v \notin S'} X(K_v) \subset X(\mathbb{A}_K)$, then $L \subset N$. By the functoriality of Brauer-Manin pairing and Assumption (2), we have $L \subset N \cap X(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{Br}}$. So $N \cap X(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{Br}} \neq \emptyset$. But $N \cap X(K) = N \cap X_{P_0}(K) = L \cap X_{P_0}(K) = \emptyset$, which implies that X does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer-Manin obstruction off S . \square

We use the following lemma to choose a dominant morphism from a given curve to \mathbb{P}^1 .

Lemma 5.1.3. Given a nontrivial extension of number fields L/K , let C be a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curve defined over K . Assume that the triple (C, K, L) is of type I (Definition 4.0.3). For any finite K -subscheme $R \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}$, there exists a dominant K -morphism $\gamma: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\gamma(C(L) \setminus C(K)) = \{0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1(K)$, $\gamma(C(K)) = \{\infty\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1(K)$, and that γ is étale over R .

Proof. Let $K(C)$ be the function field of C . For $C(K)$ and $C(L)$ are both finite nonempty sets and $C(L) \setminus C(K) \neq \emptyset$, by Riemann-Roch theorem, we can choose a rational function $\phi \in K(C)^\times \setminus K^\times$ such that the set of its poles contains $C(K)$, and that the set of its zeros contains $C(L) \setminus C(K)$. This rational function ϕ gives a dominant K -morphism $\gamma_0: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\gamma_0(C(L) \setminus C(K)) = \{0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ and $\gamma_0(C(K)) = \{\infty\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1(K)$. We can choose an automorphism $\varphi_{\lambda_0}: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, (u: v) \mapsto (\lambda_0 u: v)$ with $\lambda_0 \in K^\times$ such that the branch locus of γ_0 has no intersection with $\varphi_{\lambda_0}(R)$. Let $\gamma = (\varphi_{\lambda_0})^{-1} \circ \gamma_0$. Then the morphism γ is étale over R and satisfies other conditions. \square

The following lemma is well known.

Lemma 5.1.4. Let C be a curve over a number field, and let $B = C \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $\text{Br} \overline{B} = 0$.

Proof. By [Gro68, III, Corollary 1.2], the Brauer group for a given curve over an algebraic closed field is zero. So $\text{Br}(\overline{C} \times \overline{\mathbb{P}^1}) \cong \text{Br}(\overline{C}) = 0$. \square

Definition 5.1.5. Let C be a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curve defined over a number field. We say that a morphism $\beta: X \rightarrow C$ is a **Châtelet surface bundle** over the curve C , if

- X is a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected variety,
- the morphism β is faithfully flat and proper,
- the generic fiber of β is a Châtelet surface over the function field of C .

Next, we construct Châtelet surface bundles over curves to give a negative answer to Question 1.2.

5.2. Non-invariance of weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction.

For any number field K , assuming Conjecture 4.0.1, Liang [Lia18, Theorem 4.5] found a quadratic extension L , and constructed a Châtelet surface bundle over a curve to give a negative answer to Question 1.2.1. For a given number field K , by choosing prime elements, Liang found a quadratic extension L , and constructed a Châtelet surface defined over K such that the property of weak approximation is not invariant under the extension L/K . Then choosing a higher genus curve, Liang combined this Châtelet surface with the construction method of Poonen [Poo10] to get Liang’s result. In this subsection, we generalize Liang’s result for quadratic extensions to any nontrivial extension of number fields L/K .

Theorem 5.2.1. For any nontrivial extension of number fields L/K , and any finite subset $T \subset \Omega_L$, assuming that Conjecture 4.0.1 holds over K , there exist a curve C and a Châtelet surface bundle: $X \rightarrow C$ defined over K such that

- X has a K -rational point, and satisfies weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction off ∞_K ,
- X_L does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction off T .

Proof. Firstly, we construct two Châtelet surfaces. Let $T_0 = T \cup \infty_L$. By Proposition 3.0.4, let V_0 be a Châtelet surface defined by some equation $y^2 - az^2 = P_0(x)$ over K has the properties of Proposition 3.0.4. Let $P_\infty(x) = (1 - x^2)(x^2 - a)$, and let V_∞ be the Châtelet surface defined by $y^2 - az^2 = P_\infty(x)$. Choose any polynomial $P_0(x) \in K[x]$ coprime to $P_\infty(x)$ which exists as in the proof of Proposition 3.0.4.

Secondly, we construct a Châtelet surface bundle over a curve. Let $\tilde{P}_\infty(x_0, x_1)$ and $\tilde{P}_0(x_0, x_1)$ be the homogenizations of $P_\infty(x)$ and $P_0(x)$. Let $(u_0 : u_1) \times (x_0 : x_1)$ be the bi-homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and let $s' = u_0^2 \tilde{P}_\infty(x_0, x_1) + u_1^2 \tilde{P}_0(x_0, x_1) \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1, 2)^{\otimes 2})$. For $P_0(x)$ and $P_\infty(x)$ are coprime in $K[x]$, by Jacobian criterion, the locus Z' defined by $s' = 0$ in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is smooth. Then the branch locus of the composition $Z' \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{pr_1} \mathbb{P}^1$, denoted by R , is finite over K . Since Conjecture 4.0.1 holds over K by assumption, using Lemma 4.0.4, we can take a curve C defined over K such that the triple (C, K, L) is of type I. By Lemma 5.1.3, we can choose a K -morphism $\gamma: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\gamma(C(L) \setminus C(K)) = \{0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1(K)$, $\gamma(C(K)) = \{\infty\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1(K)$, and that γ is étale over R . Let $B = C \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and let $(\gamma, id): B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $\mathcal{L} = (\gamma, id)^* \mathcal{O}(1, 2)$, and let $s = (\gamma, id)^*(s') \in \Gamma(B, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2})$. For γ is étale over the branch locus R , the locus Z defined by $s = 0$ in B is smooth. Since Z is defined by the support of the global section s , it is an effective divisor. The invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}(Z')$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(2, 4)$, which is a very ample sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. And (γ, id) is a finite morphism, so the pull back of this ample sheaf is again ample, which implies that the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}(Z)$ on $C \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is ample. By [Har97, Chapter III. Corollary 7.9], the curve Z is geometrically connected. So the curve Z is smooth, projective, and geometrically connected. By Lemma 5.1.4, the Brauer group $\text{Br}(\overline{B}) = 0$. Let X be the zero locus of $(1, -a, -s) \in \Gamma(B, \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}) \subset \Gamma(B, \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E})$ in the projective space bundle $\text{Proj}(\mathcal{E})$ with the natural projection $\alpha: X \rightarrow B$. Using Proposition 2.3.2, the variety X is smooth, projective, and geometrically connected. Let $\beta: X \xrightarrow{\alpha} B = C \times \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{pr_1} C$ be the composition of α and pr_1 . Then the morphism β is a Châtelet surface bundle over the curve C .

At last, we check that the variety X has the properties.

We show that X has a K -rational point. For any $P \in C(K)$, the fiber $\beta^{-1}(P) \cong V_\infty$. The surface V_∞ has a K -rational point $(x, y, z) = (0, 0, 1)$, so the set $X(K) \neq \emptyset$.

We show that X satisfies weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction off ∞_K . By Remark 3.0.3, the surface V_∞ satisfies weak approximation. So, for the morphism β , Assumption (3) of Lemma 5.1.1 holds. Since Conjecture 4.0.1 holds for the curve C , using Lemma 5.1.1 for the morphism β , the variety X satisfies weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction off ∞_K .

We show that X_L does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction off T . By Proposition 2.3.2, the map $\alpha_L^*: \text{Br}(B_L) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X_L)$ is an isomorphism, so $\beta_L^*: \text{Br}(C_L) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X_L)$ is an isomorphism. By the choice of the curve C and morphism β , for any $Q \in C(L) \setminus C(K)$, the fiber $\beta^{-1}(Q) \cong V_{0L}$. By Proposition 3.0.4, the surface V_{0L} does not satisfy weak approximation off $T \cup \infty_L$. For $V_0(L) \neq \emptyset$, by Lemma 5.1.2, the variety X_L does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction off $T \cup \infty_L$. So it does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction off T . \square

6. AN EXPLICIT UNCONDITIONAL EXAMPLE

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$. In this section, we give an explicit unconditional (without assuming Conjecture 4.0.1) example for Theorem 5.2.1.

6.1. Choosing an elliptic curve. Let E be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} by a homogeneous equation:

$$w_1^2 w_2 = w_0^3 - 16w_2^3$$

with homogeneous coordinates $(w_0 : w_1 : w_2) \in \mathbb{P}^2$. This is an elliptic curve with complex multiplication. Its quadratic twist $E^{(3)}$ is isomorphic to an elliptic curve defined by a homogeneous equation: $w_1^2 w_2 = w_0^3 - 432w_2^3$ with homogeneous coordinates $(w_0 : w_1 : w_2) \in \mathbb{P}^2$. These elliptic curves E and $E^{(3)}$ defined over \mathbb{Q} , are of analytic rank 0. Then the Tate–Shafarevich group $\text{III}(E, \mathbb{Q})$ is finite, so E satisfies weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction off ∞_K . The Mordell–Weil groups $E(K)$ and $E^{(3)}(K)$ are both finite, so $E(L)$ is finite. Indeed, the Mordell–Weil groups $E(K) = \{(0 : 1 : 0)\}$ and $E(L) = \{(4 : \pm 4\sqrt{3} : 1), (0 : 1 : 0)\}$. So the triple (E, K, L) is of type I .

6.2. Choosing a dominant morphism. Let $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{(0 : 1 : 0)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a morphism over \mathbb{Q} given by $(w_0 : w_1 : w_2) \mapsto (w_0 - 4w_2 : w_2)$. Composing the natural inclusion $E \setminus \{(0 : 1 : 0)\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{(0 : 1 : 0)\}$ with it, we get a morphism $E \setminus \{(0 : 1 : 0)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, which can be extended to a dominant morphism $\gamma: E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree 2. One can check that the morphism γ maps $E(K)$ to $\{\infty\} = \{(1 : 0)\}$, and maps $(4 : \pm 4\sqrt{3} : 1)$ to 0 point: $(0 : 1)$. By Bézout’s Theorem [Har97, Chapter I. Corollary 7.8] or Hurwitz’s Theorem [Har97, Chapter IV. Corollary 2.4], the branch locus of γ is $\{(1 : 0), (2\sqrt[3]{2} - 4 : 1), (2\sqrt[3]{2}e^{2\pi i/3} - 4 : 1), (2\sqrt[3]{2}e^{-2\pi i/3} - 4 : 1)\}$.

6.3. Construction of a Châtelet surface bundle. Let $P_\infty(x) = (1 - x^2)(x^2 - 73)$, and let $P_0(x) = (5x^2 + 1)(5334x^2/5329 + 1/5329)$. Notice that these polynomials $P_\infty(x)$ and $P_0(x)$ are separable. Let V_∞ be the Châtelet surface given by $y^2 - 73z^2 = P_\infty(x)$. As mentioned in Example 3.0.6, let V_0 be the Châtelet surface given by $y^2 - 73z^2 = P_0(x)$. Let $\tilde{P}_\infty(x_0, x_1)$ and $\tilde{P}_0(x_0, x_1)$ be the homogenizations of $P_\infty(x)$ and $P_0(x)$. Let $(u_0 : u_1) \times (x_0 : x_1)$ be the bi-homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and let $s' = u_0^2 \tilde{P}_\infty(x_0, x_1) + u_1^2 \tilde{P}_0(x_0, x_1) \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(1, 2)^{\otimes 2})$. For $P_0(x)$ and $P_\infty(x)$ are coprime in $K[x]$, by Jacobian criterion, the locus Z' defined by $s' = 0$ in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is smooth. Then the branch locus of the composition $Z' \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} \mathbb{P}^1$, denoted by R , is finite, and contained in $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{(1 : 0)\}$. Let $B = E \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and let $(\gamma, \text{id}): B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $\mathcal{L} = (\gamma, \text{id})^* \mathcal{O}(1, 2)$, and let $s = (\gamma, \text{id})^*(s') \in \Gamma(B, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2})$. With the notation, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.3.1. The curve Z defined by $s = 0$ in B is smooth, projective, and geometrically connected.

Proof. For smoothness of Z , we need to check that the branch locus R does not intersect with the branch locus of $\gamma: E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. For R is contained in $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{(1:0)\}$, we can assume the homogeneous coordinate $u_1 = 1$, then the point $(u_0:1)$ in R satisfies one of the following equations: $5329u_0^2 - 26670 = 0$, $389017u_0^2 - 1 = 0$, $27625536u_0^4 + 8577816u_0^2 + 5329 = 0$. The polynomials of these equations are irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . By comparing the degree $[\mathbb{Q}(u_0) : \mathbb{Q}]$ with the branch locus of γ , we get the conclusion that these two branch loci do not intersect. The same argument as in the proof of Theorem 5.2.1, the locus Z defined by $s = 0$ in B is geometrically connected. So it is smooth, projective, and geometrically connected. \square

Let X be the zero locus of $(1, -a, -s) \in \Gamma(B, \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}) \subset \Gamma(B, \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E})$ in the projective space bundle $\text{Proj}(\mathcal{E})$ with the natural projection $\alpha: X \rightarrow B$. By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 5.2.1, the variety X is smooth, projective, and geometrically connected. Let $\beta: X \rightarrow E$ be the composition of α and pr_1 . Then it is a Châtelet surface bundle over the curve E . For this variety X , we have the following proposition.

Proposition 6.3.2. For $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$, the 3-fold X has the following properties.

- X has a K -rational point, and satisfies weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction off ∞_K .
- X_L does not satisfy weak approximation with Brauer–Manin obstruction off ∞_L .

Proof. This is the same as in the proof of Theorem 5.2.1. \square

The 3-fold X that we constructed, has an affine open subvariety defined by the following equations, which is a closed subvariety of \mathbb{A}^5 with affine coordinates (x, y, z, x', y') .

$$\begin{cases} y^2 - 73z^2 = (1 - x^2)(x^2 - 73)(x' - 4)^2 + (5x^2 + 1)(5334x^2/5329 + 1/5329) \\ y'^2 = x'^3 - 16 \end{cases}.$$

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank his thesis advisor Y. Liang for proposing the related problems, papers and many fruitful discussions, and thank the anonymous referees for their careful scrutiny and valuable suggestions. The author is partially supported by NSFC Grant No. 12071448.

REFERENCES

- [CLX18] Y. Cao, Y. Liang, and F. Xu, *Arithmetic purity of strong approximation for homogeneous spaces*, Preprint, arXiv:1701.07259v3 [math.AG] (2018). $\uparrow 5.1$
- [CT03] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, *Points rationnels sur les fibrations*. In: *Higher dimensional varieties and rational points*, Bolyai Society Mathematical studies, vol. 12, Springer-Verlag, 2003. pp. 171–221. $\uparrow 1.1$
- [CT10] ———, *Zéro-cycles de degré 1 sur les solides de Poonen*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **138** (2010), 249–257 (French). $\uparrow 2.3$
- [CTSSD87a] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc, and S. Swinnerton-Dyer, *Intersections of two quadrics and Châtelet surfaces I*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **373** (1987), 37–107. $\uparrow 1.1$
- [CTSSD87b] ———, *Intersections of two quadrics and Châtelet surfaces II*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **374** (1987), 72–168. $\uparrow 1.1$
- [CTX13] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and F. Xu, *Strong approximation for the total space of certain quadric fibrations*, Acta Arith. **157** (2013), 169–199. $\uparrow 5.1$
- [CX18] Y. Cao and F. Xu, *Strong approximation with brauer-manin obstruction for toric varieties*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **5** (2018), 1879–1908. $\uparrow 5.1$
- [Fal83] G. Faltings, *Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern*, Invent. math. **73** (1983), 349–366. $\uparrow 4$
- [Gro68] A. Grothendieck, *Le groupe de Brauer III: Exemples et compléments*. In: *Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas*, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, vol. 3, North-Holland, 1968 (French). pp. 88–188. $\uparrow 5.1$
- [GZ86] B. Gross and D. Zagier, *Heegner points and derivatives of L-series*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), 225–320. $\uparrow 4.0.2$
- [Har97] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, 1997. $\uparrow 1.1, 5.2, 6.2$
- [Kol90] V. Kolyvagin, *Euler systems*. In: *The Grothendieck festschrift II*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 87, Birkhäuser, 1990. pp. 435–483. $\uparrow 4.0.2$

- [Kol91] ———, *On the Mordell-Weil and the Shafarevich-Tate group of modular elliptic curves*. In: *Proceedings of the international congress of mathematicians*, Vol. I, Springer-Verlag, 1991. pp. 429–436. ↑4.0.2
- [Lia18] Y. Liang, *Non-invariance of weak approximation properties under extension of the ground field*, Michigan Math. J. (2018). ↑1.3, 2.3, 3, 3.0.1, 5.2
- [Man71] Y. Manin, *Le groupe de Brauer-Grothendieck en géométrie diophantienne*. In: *Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens*, Vol. 1, Gauthier-Villars, 1971 (French). pp. 401–411. ↑1.1
- [Poo09] B. Poonen, *Existence of rational points on smooth projective varieties*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **11** (2009), 529–543. ↑3
- [Poo10] ———, *Insufficiency of the Brauer-Manin obstruction applied to étale covers*, Ann. of Math. **171** (2010), 2157–2169. ↑1.3, 2.3, 2.3.2, 5.2
- [Ser79] J.-P. Serre, *Local fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 67, Springer-Verlag, 1979. ↑2.2
- [Sil09] J. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 106, Springer-Verlag, 2009. ↑4.0.5
- [Sko01] A. Skorobogatov, *Torsors and rational points*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 144, Cambridge University Press, 2001. ↑1.1, 3, 3.0.2, 1, 4.0.2
- [Sto07] M. Stoll, *Finite descent obstructions and rational points on curves*, Algebra Number Theory **1** (2007), 349–391. ↑1.1, 1.3, 1.3.1, 1.3, 4, 4.0.1
- [Wan96] L. Wang, *Brauer-Manin obstruction to weak approximation on abelian varieties*, Israel J. Math. **94** (1996), 189–200. ↑1.1
- [Wu21] H. Wu, *Non-invariance of the Brauer-Manin obstruction for surfaces*, Preprint, arXiv:2103.01784v2 [math.NT] (2021). ↑3.0.1
- [Wu22] ———, *Arithmetic of Châtelet surfaces under extensions of base fields*, Preprint, arXiv:2203.09156 [math.NT] (2022). ↑2.2, 3, 3.0.4, 3.0.5

UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NO.96, JINZHAI ROAD, BAOHE DISTRICT, HEFEI, ANHUI, 230026. P.R.CHINA.

Email address: wuhan90@mail.ustc.edu.cn