

A MASS FORMULA FOR ARTIN–SCHREIER CURVES OVER FINITE FIELDS

ANNE M. HO AND RACHEL PRIES

ABSTRACT. We study a mass formula for Artin–Schreier curves of genus g defined over a finite field k of characteristic p . For an odd prime p and for small g , we determine the number of k -isomorphism classes of Artin–Schreier curves of genus g , weighted by the order of the centralizer of the Artin–Schreier involution in the automorphism group. This extends earlier results by several authors in characteristic $p = 2$.

Keywords: Artin–Schreier curve, finite field, automorphism, Mass formula, moduli space, arithmetic statistics

MSC10: 11G20, 11T06, 12F10, 14G15, 14H10, 14H37, 62R01.

1. INTRODUCTION

Lang and Weil [13] showed that the number of points of a variety over a finite field gives a lot of information about its geometry. For a prime p , let $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field whose cardinality q is a power of p . If V is an irreducible projective algebraic variety of dimension d over k , then q^d is the dominant term for the number of k -points of V . Recently, people developed an interest in the number of k -points on moduli spaces of curves, because this yields information about the cohomology of these moduli spaces; see, e.g. [21] for a survey of many results in this area.

Suppose C is a curve over k . We assume throughout this paper that C is smooth, projective, and geometrically irreducible. Let g be the genus of C and suppose that $g \geq 1$.

For small g , the number of isomorphism classes $[C]$ of curves C of genus g over k is known. This number is given by a mass formula, in which the contribution from $[C]$ is weighted by $|\mathrm{Aut}_k(C)|^{-1}$, where $\mathrm{Aut}_k(C)$ denotes the automorphism group of C over k .

When $g = 1$, an elliptic curve E over k defines a k -point of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$. For every prime power q , Howe proved that $\sum_{[E] \in \mathcal{M}_{1,1}(k)/\simeq_k} |\mathrm{Aut}_k(E)|^{-1} = q$ [11, Corollary 2.2].

This was generalized for hyperelliptic curves of arbitrary genus. A hyperelliptic curve of genus g over k defines a k -point of the moduli space \mathcal{H}_g . As in [1, Definition 2.2], let:

$$a(\mathcal{H}_g)_0 \mid_{g=} \sum_{[C] \in \mathcal{H}_g(k)/\simeq_k} |\mathrm{Aut}_k(C)|^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

Brock and Granville proved that $a(\mathcal{H}_g)_0 \mid_{g=} q^{2g-1}$ when q is odd, [2, Proposition 7.1]. Bergström proved the same formula is true when q is even, [1, Theorem 10.3].

For q even and $g = 2, 3$, the fact that $a(\mathcal{H}_g)_0 \mid_{g=} q^{2g-1}$ was proven earlier: when $g = 2$ by [6, Theorem 18], building on [9, Corollary 5.3]; and when $g = 3$ by [17, Theorem 8].

In characteristic $p = 2$, hyperelliptic curves are Artin–Schreier curves by definition. A curve C is an *Artin–Schreier curve* if there is a Galois cover $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree p . In this paper, we extend the results about hyperelliptic curves in characteristic 2 to the case of Artin–Schreier curves of low genus in arbitrary characteristic p . To do this, we consider the moduli space \mathcal{AS}_g of Artin–Schreier curves of genus g as in [16, Proposition 2.7].

We now state the results more precisely. An Artin–Schreier curve C over k has an equation of the form $y^p - y = u(x)$ for some rational function $u(x) \in k(x)$. If C is an Artin–Schreier curve, then the genus of C is a multiple of $(p-1)/2$ by the wild Riemann–Hurwitz formula. Let ι denote the order p automorphism $\iota := (x, y) \mapsto (x, y+1)$, which generates the Galois group of π . Let $\text{Cent}_{\text{Aut}_k(C)}(\iota)$ be the centralizer of $\langle \iota \rangle$ in $\text{Aut}_k(C)$. The reason for introducing the centralizer is that $\langle \iota \rangle$ may not be in the center of $\text{Aut}_k(C)$ when p is odd.

We consider the mass formula:

$$Z_g(q) := a(\mathcal{AS}_g)_0|_g := \sum_{[C] \in \mathcal{AS}_g(k)/\simeq_k} |\text{Cent}_{\text{Aut}_k(C)}(\iota)|^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

where $[C] = (C, \iota)$ ranges over the k -isomorphism classes of Artin–Schreier curves with automorphism such that C has genus g . Note that (2) specializes to (1) when $p = 2$ since ι is in the center of $\text{Aut}_k(C)$ in that case.

The main result of this paper is Theorem 5.3 (see also Theorem 5.2). In it, we determine the mass formula $Z_g(q)$ for an arbitrary odd prime power q when the genus is $g = d(p-1)/2$ for $1 \leq d \leq 5$. For $p \geq 7$, the result states that

$$Z_g(q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g = 1(p-1)/2, \\ 2q - 1 & \text{if } g = 2(p-1)/2, \\ 2q^2 - q & \text{if } g = 3(p-1)/2, \\ 4q^3 - 3q^2 & \text{if } g = 4(p-1)/2, \\ 4q^4 - 4q^3 + q^2 & \text{if } g = 5(p-1)/2. \end{cases}$$

These formulas have geometric significance, as explained in Section 2.6. The degree of $Z_g(q)$ as a polynomial in q is the dimension of \mathcal{AS}_g ; if $g = d(p-1)/2$, every irreducible component of \mathcal{AS}_g has dimension $d-1$ [16, Corollary 3.16]. The leading coefficient of $Z_g(q)$ is the number of irreducible components of \mathcal{AS}_g ; this number is determined in [18]. One interesting fact is that the number of irreducible components is sometimes different for small primes p and this is reflected in the formulas we find. The lower order terms of our formulas provide new information about the cohomology of \mathcal{AS}_g .

The proof of Theorem 5.3 builds on Theorem 4.7, in which we re-express $Z_g(q)$ as an average over conjugacy classes in a symmetric group.

To obtain the formulas, we use similar methods as in [6] and [17]. We separate into cases indexed by discrete information for the cover $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, namely the ramification data and splitting behavior. In each case, we study the orbits and stabilizers for the action of $\text{PGL}_2(k)$ on the Artin–Schreier equations. In Proposition 5.1, we determine the mass formula for the set of Artin–Schreier curves of arbitrary genus g over k when the number of branch points of π is at most 3. This allows us to finish the proof of Theorem 5.3.

We also have some results when π has 4 branch points. This case is more difficult because it is more complicated to study the group action on covers branched at 4-sets of $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})$. We count the number of orbits of 4-sets in Section 6. This leads to some concluding formulas in Section 7.1

Remark 1.1. Most of the material in this paper appeared in the thesis of Ho in 2015 but was not published before. We finished this paper after hearing several people express interest in moduli spaces of curves with wildly ramified automorphisms. It is possible that this paper will shed light on the rational points and cohomology for $B\mu_p/\mathbb{Z}$, whose fiber over p is not a Deligne–Mumford stack. See [12] and [7, Section 4.1] for a stacky perspective on this.

Remark 1.2. Other perspectives on the arithmetic statistics of Artin–Schreier curves can be found in recent work. For example, the papers [3], [4], and [8] are about the distribution of the zeros of the L -functions. In [5], [19], the authors study the question of whether a randomly chosen curve is ordinary, or more generally whether the distribution of the p -divisible groups of curves matches that of the p -divisible groups of Dieudonné modules.

We would like to thank Jeff Achter, Jeremy Booher, Bryden Cais, and Beth Malmskog for many inspiring conversations about Artin–Schreier curves. Pries was supported by NSF grants DMS-15-02227 and DMS-19-01819.

2. BACKGROUND ON RAMIFICATION DATA AND SPLITTING BEHAVIOR

We first clarify notation about automorphisms and isomorphisms in Sections 2.1–2.3. In Sections 2.4 and 2.5, we define the ramification data and splitting behavior of Artin–Schreier covers. We review geometric results about the moduli space \mathcal{AS}_g in Section 2.6. This provides some context for earlier mass formula results of [6, 17] in characteristic $p = 2$, which we summarize in Section 2.7.

Let k be a finite field of cardinality $q = p^n$ and let \bar{k} be an algebraic closure of k . Suppose $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is an Artin–Schreier cover over k with affine equation $y^p - y = u(x)$ for some $u(x) \in k(x)$.

2.1. Automorphisms of the projective line. We describe $\gamma \in \text{Aut}_k(\mathbb{P}^1) \simeq \text{PGL}_2(k)$ using fractional linear transformations: for $a, b, c, d \in k$ with $ad - bc \neq 0$, write

$$\gamma(x) = \frac{ax + b}{cx + d}. \quad (3)$$

The action of γ on $u(x) \in k(x)$ is given by $\gamma \cdot u(x) = u(\gamma(x))$.

We identify the symmetric group S_3 with the set of 6 fractional linear transformations which stabilize $\{0, 1, \infty\}$. The orbit of $x \in \bar{k} - \{0, 1\}$ under the action of $\sigma \in S_3$ is given by

σ	id	(1, 2)	(2, 3)	(1, 3)	(1, 2, 3)	(1, 3, 2)
$\sigma(x)$	x	$1/x$	$1 - x$	$x/(x - 1)$	$1/(1 - x)$	$(x - 1)/x$

The following result is well-known.

Lemma 2.1. *The orbits of $x \in \bar{k} - \{0, 1\}$ under the S_3 -action each have size 6 except:*

- (1) if $p = 2$, there is one orbit of size 2, namely $\{\zeta_3, \zeta_3^2\}$;
- (2) if $p = 3$, there is one orbit of size 1, namely $\{-1\}$;
- (3) if $p \geq 5$, there is one orbit of size 3, namely $\{-1, 2, 1/2\}$, and one orbit of size 2, namely $\{\zeta_6, \zeta_6^5\}$.

For $t \in k - \{0, 1\}$, write $B_t = \{0, 1, \infty, t\}$. Let Γ_t be the stabilizer of B_t under the $\text{PGL}_2(k)$ -action. Note $\Gamma_t \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}(B_t) \simeq S_4$.

Define $\gamma_{1,t}, \gamma_{2,t} \in \text{PGL}_2(k)$ by $\gamma_{1,t}(x) = t/x$ and $\gamma_{2,t}(x) = (x - t)/(x - 1)$. Then $\gamma_{1,t}$ and $\gamma_{2,t}$ commute, have order 2, and stabilize B_t . As permutations of B_t , we see that $\gamma_{1,t} = (0, \infty)(1, t)$ and $\gamma_{2,t} = (0, t)(1, \infty)$. Let $K = \langle \gamma_{1,t}, \gamma_{2,t} \rangle \simeq C_2 \times C_2$.

Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ -1 & \text{if } q \equiv -1 \pmod{3} \\ 0 & \text{if } 3 \mid q. \end{cases}$$

The next lemma can essentially be found in [22, Table 1].

Lemma 2.2. *If $t \in k - \{0, 1\}$, then $\Gamma_t = K$ except in the following cases.*

- (1) *If $p = 2$ and $t \in \{\zeta_3, \zeta_3^2\}$, then $\Gamma_t \simeq A_4$.
The number of orbits with $\Gamma_t = K$ is $(q - 3 + \binom{-3}{q})/6$.*
- (2) *If $p = 3$ and $t = -1$, then $\Gamma_t \simeq S_4$. The number of orbits with $\Gamma_t = K$ is $(q - 3)/6$.*
- (3) *If $p \geq 5$ and $t \in \{-1, 2, 1/2\}$, then $\Gamma_t \simeq D_4$. If $p \geq 5$ and $t \in \{\zeta_6, \zeta_6^5\}$, then $\Gamma_t \simeq A_4$.
The number of orbits with $\Gamma_t = K$ is $(q - 6 - \binom{-3}{q})/6$.*

Proof. It is clear that $K \subset \Gamma_t$. If $\Gamma_t \neq K$, then Γ_t is isomorphic to D_4 , A_4 , or S_4 . This implies that some $\gamma \in \Gamma_t$ fixes an element of B_t . Without loss of generality, we can suppose that γ fixes t and stabilizes $\{0, 1, \infty\}$. This is only possible if t is one of the special values from Lemma 2.1 and that result also shows the following.

If $p = 2$ and $t \in \{\zeta_3, \zeta_3^2\}$, then Γ_t contains a 3-cycle and has index 2 in $\text{Sym}(B_t)$, and so $\Gamma_t \simeq A_4$. The same is true if $p \geq 5$ and $t = \zeta_6, \zeta_6^5$.

If $p = 3$ and $t = -1$, then Γ_t contains $\text{Sym}(\{0, 1, \infty\})$ in $\text{Sym}(B_t)$, and so $\Gamma_t \simeq S_4$.

If $p \geq 5$ and $t \in \{-1, 2, 1/2\}$ then Γ_t contains a 2-cycle and has index 3 in $\text{Sym}(B_t)$, and so $\Gamma_t \simeq D_4$. \square

2.2. Isomorphisms. There are different kinds of isomorphisms between Artin–Schreier objects and we clarify our definitions of these. Define $\text{AS}(k(x)) := \{z^p - z \mid z \in k(x)\}$. For $i = 1, 2$, suppose $\pi_i : C_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is given by the equation $y_i^p - y_i = u_i(x)$ with the automorphism $\iota_i : (x, y_i) \mapsto (x, y_i + 1)$.

Artin–Schreier covers: We say that π_1 and π_2 are isomorphic over k as Artin–Schreier covers if there exists an automorphism $\varphi : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ over k such that $\pi_2 \circ \varphi = \pi_1$. This is equivalent to the condition $u_2(x) - u_1(x) = z^p - z \in \text{AS}(k(x))$ for some $z \in k(x)$; in this case, the isomorphism is given by the change of variables $y_2 = y_1 + z$. In particular, C is geometrically irreducible if and only if $u(x) \notin \text{AS}(k(x))$.

Artin–Schreier curves with automorphism: We say that the pairs (C_1, ι_1) and (C_2, ι_2) are isomorphic over k as Artin–Schreier curves with automorphism if there exists an isomorphism $\varphi : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ over k such that $\iota_2 \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \iota_1$. This is true if and only if φ descends to the quotient \mathbb{P}^1 , meaning that there exists $\gamma \in \text{Aut}_k(\mathbb{P}^1)$ such that $\pi_2 \circ \varphi = \gamma \circ \pi_1$. Viewing γ as a change of coordinates on the variable x , then (C_1, ι_1) and (C_2, ι_2) are isomorphic over k if and only if $u_2(x) \equiv \gamma \cdot u_1(x) \pmod{\text{AS}(k(x))}$.

In particular, an automorphism of an Artin–Schreier curve (C, ι) with automorphism is an isomorphism $\varphi : C \rightarrow C$ such that $\iota \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \iota$ or, equivalently, $\varphi \in \text{Cent}_{\text{Aut}_k(C)}(\iota)$.

An Artin–Schreier curve with automorphism determines an Artin–Schreier cover $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, but this is only well-defined up to a change of variables on \mathbb{P}^1 .

Artin–Schreier curves: We say that C_1 and C_2 are isomorphic over k as Artin–Schreier curves if there exists an isomorphism $\varphi : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ over k . This is the case if there exists $c \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ and $\gamma \in \text{Aut}_k(\mathbb{P}^1)$ such that $u_2(x) \equiv c\gamma \cdot u_1(x) \pmod{\text{AS}(k(x))}$.

For example, if $u_1(x) = x^e$ and $u_2(x) = cx^e$, then C_1 and C_2 are isomorphic over k as Artin–Schreier curves, where φ identifies $y_2 = cy_1$; but not as Artin–Schreier curves with automorphism since φ identifies $\iota_2 = \iota_1^c$.

2.3. Remarks. Suppose C is an Artin–Schreier curve.

When $p = 2$, there is a unique choice for ι , namely, the hyperelliptic involution of C ; by [10, Theorem 11.98], ι is in the center of $\text{Aut}_k(C)$. In other words, $\text{Cent}_{\text{Aut}_k(C)}(\iota) = \text{Aut}_k(C)$.

Also, the data of an Artin–Schreier curve is equivalent to the data of an Artin–Schreier curve with automorphism. So the mass formula $Z_g(q)$ in (2) specializes to (1) when $p = 2$.

When p is odd, there are two subtleties with the definition of the mass formula. The first is that we weight by $\text{Cent}_{\text{Aut}_k(C)}(\iota)$; this is because some automorphisms of C may not descend to the quotient projective line, as seen in the following result.

Lemma 2.3. [10, Theorem 11.93] *Suppose p is odd and $g > 1$. Then $\text{Aut}_k(C)$ is an extension of $\langle \iota \rangle$ by a finite group of k -automorphisms of $k(x)$ except in the following cases:*

- (1) $y^p - y = a/(x^p - x)$ for $a \in k^*$,
- (2) $y^3 - y = b/x(x - 1)$ with $b^2 = 2$, or
- (3) $y^p - y = 1/x^c$ with $c \mid (p + 1)$.

The second subtlety is that we consider the weighted sum over isomorphism classes of Artin–Schreier curves with automorphism. The data of the automorphism is useful for defining the ramification data as in Section 2.4. Note that each Artin–Schreier curve has only finitely many possible choices for ι . So the geometric facts in Section 2.6 about the dimension of \mathcal{AS}_g and the number of its components apply equally well in the context of Artin–Schreier curves with automorphism.

2.4. Ramification Data. If $u(x)$ has a pole at a point $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})$, let e_Q be the order of the pole and $\epsilon_Q = e_Q + 1$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $p \nmid e_Q$; this may require a change of coordinates of the form $y \mapsto y + z$ and uses the fact that every element of \mathbb{F}_q is a p th power. Then the branch locus of π is the set of poles of $u(x)$. We denote by D the degree of the ramification divisor of π .

Proposition 2.4. (Wild Riemann–Hurwitz formula) see [20, Proposition 3.7.8] *Let π be an Artin–Schreier cover with equation $y^p - y = u(x)$ where $u(x) \in k(x) - \text{AS}(k(x))$. Suppose $\text{div}_\infty(u(x)) = \sum e_Q Q$ where each e_Q is a positive prime-to-integer. Then*

$$2g = -2(p - 1) + D, \text{ where } D = \sum (e_Q + 1)(p - 1).$$

Let r be the number of poles of $u(x)$. We label the branch points of π by Q_1, \dots, Q_r . Let e_i be the order of the pole of $u(x)$ at Q_i and $\epsilon_i = e_i + 1$. The *ramification data* of π is the tuple $\vec{\epsilon} = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_r)$; without the labeling of the branch points, it can be viewed as a multiset $R = \{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_r\}$.

For a fixed genus g , there can be several possibilities for the ramification data R . By Proposition 2.4, these correspond to partitions of $2 + 2g/(p - 1)$ into numbers $\epsilon_i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Example 2.5. If $g = 2(p - 1)$, then the possibilities for R are $\{6\}$ (if $p \neq 5$), $\{4, 2\}$ (if $p \neq 3$), $\{3, 3\}$ (if $p \neq 2$), and $\{2, 2, 2\}$.

2.5. Splitting Behavior. Let $\text{Fr}(\alpha) = \alpha^q$ denote the absolute Frobenius of \bar{k} over k . If $u(x) \in k(x)$, the poles of $u(x)$ are not necessarily in k ; it is possible that the set of poles includes an orbit under Frobenius of points defined over a finite extension of k . In this case, the orders of the poles of $u(x)$ at these points are the same.

The *splitting behavior* S is the data of the fields of definition of the poles of $u(x)$. The branch locus is *split* if all the poles of $u(x)$ are in k . If not, we need some notation to keep track of the field of definition of the branch points. For example, when $r = 2$, we denote the split case by (ϵ, ϵ) and the non-split case by $((\epsilon - \epsilon))$. A point of degree m is the Frobenius

orbit of m distinct points defined over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} ; to denote this, we replace the m values of ϵ in the ramification data by $(\epsilon - \dots - \epsilon)$.

Let s be the number of orbits under Frobenius of the set of poles of $u(x)$. Let m_1, \dots, m_s denote the degrees of representatives of points in the orbits; then $\sum_{i=1}^s m_i = r$.

Example 2.6. For 3 branch points with the same pole order, the splitting behavior can be:

- (i) $(\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)$, meaning 3 points of degree 1;
- (ii) $(\epsilon, (\epsilon - \epsilon))$, meaning 1 point of degree 1 and 1 point of degree 2;
- (iii) or $((\epsilon - \epsilon - \epsilon))$ meaning 1 point of degree 3.

2.6. Dimension of p -rank strata of moduli space. Let $d \geq 1$. Let \mathcal{AS}_g denote the moduli space of Artin–Schreier curves of genus $g = d(p-1)/2$. There are geometric results about \mathcal{AS}_g ; for example, the number of its irreducible components and their dimensions are known.

The moduli space \mathcal{AS}_g can be stratified by the number of branch points r of the Artin–Schreier cover or, equivalently, by the p -rank. Here the p -rank of C is the integer σ such that p^σ is the number of p -torsion points on $\text{Jac}(C)$; equivalently, it is the number of slopes of 0 in the Newton polygon of C . By the Deuring–Shafarevich formula, $\sigma = (r-1)(p-1)$.

Consider the weighted count $Z_g(q) := a(\mathcal{AS}_g)_0|_g$ as in (2). If $Z_g(q)$ is a polynomial in q , then its degree is the dimension of \mathcal{AS}_g and its leading coefficient is the number of irreducible components of \mathcal{AS}_g having that dimension.

Theorem 2.7. [18, Theorem 1.1] *Let $g = d(p-1)/2$ and $\sigma = (r-1)(p-1)$ for $d, r \geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{AS}_{g,\sigma}$ denote the p -rank σ stratum of the moduli space of Artin–Schreier curves of genus g .*

- (1) *The set of irreducible components of $\mathcal{AS}_{g,\sigma}$ is in bijection with the set of partitions $R = \{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_r\}$ of $d+2$ into r positive integers such that each $\epsilon_i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.*
- (2) *The irreducible component of $\mathcal{AS}_{g,\sigma}$ for the partition $R = \{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_r\}$ has dimension*

$$\delta_R = d - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^r \left\lfloor \frac{\epsilon_i - 1}{p} \right\rfloor.$$

Remark 2.8. In particular, C is ordinary (meaning that $\sigma = g$) if and only if $R = \{2, \dots, 2\}$. It follows directly from Theorem 2.7 that the ordinary locus is not open and dense in \mathcal{AS}_g if $p \geq 3$ and $d \geq 2$ (with $d \geq 6$ if $p = 3$). See [19, Corollary 3.5] for another perspective on this.

Example 2.9. If $g = 2(p-1)$, then $d = 4$ and here are the dimensions for each partition:

R	$\{2, 2, 2\}$	$\{3, 3\}, p \neq 2$	$\{4, 2\}, p \neq 3$	$\{6\}, p \neq 5$
δ_R	3	3	3 if $p \geq 5$ 2 if $p = 2$	3 if $p \geq 7$ 2 if $p = 3$ 1 if $p = 2$

2.7. Earlier Results when $p = 2$. We describe some earlier results when q is a power of $p = 2$ and $g = 2, 3$. In these results, $Z_g(q)$ was computed by separating into cases based on the ramification data R and splitting behavior S . (We combine the various splitting behaviors for brevity). These results were generalized for any genus g when $p = 2$ using a different method [1, Sections 8–10]. However, the case-based method is still valuable since it illustrates the connection with the geometry of the p -rank strata as discussed in Section 2.6.

Theorem 2.10. [6, Theorem 18] *If k is a finite field of cardinality $q = 2^n$ and $g = 2$, then*

$$Z_2(q) = \sum_{[C] \in \mathcal{AS}_2(k)/\simeq_k} |\mathrm{Aut}_k(C)|^{-1} = q^3.$$

This result was obtained by combining the following data.

R	δ_R	Mass formula
$\{2, 2, 2\}$	3	$q^3 - q^2$
$\{2, 4\}$	2	$q^2 - q$
$\{6\}$	1	q

Theorem 2.11. [17, Theorem 8] *If k is a finite field of cardinality $q = 2^n$ and $g = 3$, then*

$$Z_3(q) = \sum_{[C] \in \mathcal{AS}_3(k)/\simeq_k} |\mathrm{Aut}_k(C)|^{-1} = q^5.$$

This result was obtained by combining the following data.

R	δ_R	Mass formula
$\{2, 2, 2, 2\}$	5	$q^5 - q^4$
$\{2, 2, 4\}$	4	$q^4 - 2q^3 + q^2$
$\{4, 4\}$	3	$q^3 - q^2$
$\{2, 6\}$	3	$q^3 - q^2$
$\{8\}$	2	q^2

3. COUNTING ARTIN-SCHREIER EQUATIONS

The main result of this section is Proposition 3.5, in which we determine the number of equations for an Artin–Schreier cover with fixed ramification data, splitting behavior and branch divisor. This relies on Lemma 3.1, which provides a useful way to describe the equation for an Artin–Schreier cover when the branch points are not rational.

3.1. Orbit of rational functions under Frobenius. Recall that $\mathrm{Fr}(\alpha) = \alpha^q$ is the absolute Frobenius of \bar{k} over k . If the branch points of π are not defined over k , the condition that $u(x) \in k(x)$ places constraints on the partial fraction decomposition of $u(x)$ over \bar{k} . In particular, the terms in the partial fraction decomposition must respect the action of Fr .

For example, suppose $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $u(x) = (x^2 + 1)^{-1}$. Let i denote $\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Then

$$u(x) = \frac{i/2}{x+i} + \frac{-i/2}{x-i} = \frac{i/2}{x+i} + \mathrm{Fr}\left(\frac{i/2}{x+i}\right).$$

The next lemma generalizes this example.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $u(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q(x)$ be such that $\mathrm{div}_\infty(u(x)) = eP$, where P is an \mathbb{F}_q -point of degree m . Then there exist a constant $c_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q$, a rational function $v(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(x)$, and an \mathbb{F}_{q^m} -point P_0 of degree one such that $\mathrm{div}_\infty(v(x)) = eP_0$ and*

$$u(x) = c_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathrm{Fr}^j(v(x)).$$

Proof. The \mathbb{F}_q -point P consists of an orbit $\{\theta_j\}_{0 \leq j \leq m-1}$ of \mathbb{F}_{q^m} -points under Frobenius, where $\text{Fr}(\theta_j) = \theta_{j+1 \bmod m}$. Let $P_0 = \theta_0$. The partial fraction decomposition of $u(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} has the form

$$u(x) = c_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{v_j(x)}{(x - \theta_j)^e},$$

where $v_j(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[x - \theta_j]$ is a polynomial in $x - \theta_j$ of degree at most $e - 1$ with non-zero constant term. Thus

$$u(x) = \text{Fr}(u(x)) = \text{Fr}(c_0) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\text{Fr}(v_j(x))}{(x - \theta_{j+1})^e}.$$

Matching up the terms shows that $\text{Fr}(c_0) = c_0$ and $\text{Fr}(v_j(x)) = v_{j+1 \bmod m}(x)$ for $0 \leq j \leq m - 1$. The result follows by letting $v(x) = v_0(x)/(x - \theta_0)^e$. \square

3.2. The constant term.

Lemma 3.2. *Fix $u(x) \in k(x) - \text{AS}(k(x))$. For $c \in k$, let $\pi_c : C_c \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be given by the equation $y^p - y = u(x) + c$. Then there are exactly p isomorphism classes of Artin–Schreier covers of the form π_c .*

Proof. Two such covers π_{c_1} and π_{c_2} are isomorphic if and only if $c_1 - c_2 = z^p - z \in \text{AS}(k(x))$ for some $z \in k$. So the number of isomorphism classes equals the number of cosets of $\text{AS}(k)$ in k . Since there are q/p elements in $\text{AS}(k)$, the number of cosets of $\text{AS}(k)$ in k is p . \square

3.3. Counting rational functions. Given a pole θ_0 of $u(x)$, we find the number of possibilities for its contribution to the partial fraction decomposition of $u(x)$. This number does not depend on the location of the pole but only on the order e of the pole at θ_0 and the degree m of the point θ_0 .

Given $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$, write $\bar{x} = x$ if $\theta_0 = \infty$ and write $\bar{x} = (x - \theta_0)^{-1}$ otherwise. Recall the notation in Lemma 3.1. We write $v(x) = \sum_{i=1}^e a_i \bar{x}^i$ for some constants $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. Without loss of generality, we can adjust $u(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_q to remove any monomial $a_i \bar{x}^i$ in $v(x)$ with $p \mid i$. We count the remaining number of possibilities for $v(x)$.

Definition 3.3. Given m and e , let $V_{m,e}$ be the subset of $\{v \in \bar{x}\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[\bar{x}] \mid \deg(v) = e\}$ consisting of polynomials v that contain no monomials $a_i \bar{x}^i$ with $p \mid i$.

Lemma 3.4. *Then $\#V_{m,e} = (q^m - 1)q^{m(e-1-\lfloor \frac{e}{p} \rfloor)}$.*

Proof. Write $v = \sum_{i=1}^e a_i \bar{x}^i$ where $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. Since $\deg(v) = e$, there are $q^m - 1$ choices for the leading coefficient a_e and q^m choices for the coefficient a_i if $1 \leq i < e$. Also, the number of monomials is $\#\{1 \leq i \leq e - 1 \mid p \nmid i\} = e - 1 - \lfloor \frac{e}{p} \rfloor$. \square

3.4. Counting Artin–Schreier covers with fixed branch divisor. Fix a ramification data R of length r as in Section 2.4 and a splitting behavior S as in Section 2.5. We count the number of Artin–Schreier covers of type R, S and with fixed branch divisor.

Write $R := \{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_r\}$. Recall that $e_i = \epsilon_i - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Define

$$E := E(R) = \sum_{i=1}^r \left(e_i - 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{e_i}{p} \right\rfloor \right). \quad (4)$$

Fix a weighted branch divisor $W_\circ = \sum e_Q \cdot Q$ of type R, S . Let B_\circ be its support. Recall that s is the number of orbits of the points in B_\circ under Frobenius. Recall that m_1, \dots, m_s are the degrees of these points and $\sum_{i=1}^s m_i = r$.

Define N_{W_\circ} to be the set of $u(x) \in k(x)$ such that $\text{div}_\infty(u(x)) = W_\circ$ modulo $\text{AS}(k(x))$.

Proposition 3.5. *With notation as above, the number of Artin–Schreier covers $y^p - y = u(x)$ with $\text{div}_\infty(u(x)) = W_\circ$ is*

$$|N_{W_\circ}| = p \cdot q^{E(R)} \prod_{i=1}^s (q^{m_i} - 1).$$

Proof. It suffices to count the number of $u(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q(x)$ of type R, S such that $\text{div}_\infty(u(x)) = W_\circ$ up to Artin–Schreier equivalence. The partial fraction decomposition of $u(x)$ has the form $u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^s u_i(x)$ where $u_i(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q(x)$ and $\text{div}_\infty(u_i(x)) = e_i P_i$ for some \mathbb{F}_q -point P_i of degree m_i . Using Lemma 3.1, we write $u_i(x) = c_{0,i} + \sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} \text{Fr}^j(v_i)$ with $v_i \in V_{m_i, e_i}$. There are q choices for the combined constant term $\sum_{i=1}^s c_{0,i}$. By Lemma 3.2, the number of choices for the constant term up to Artin–Schreier equivalence is p . So $|N_{W_\circ}| = p \prod_{i=1}^s |V_{m_i, e_i}|$. This simplifies to the given formula by Lemma 3.4. \square

4. THE MASS FORMULA AS AN AVERAGE

Fix $g = d(p-1)/2$. Fix a ramification data R of length r as in Section 2.4 and a splitting behavior S as in Section 2.5. Given an Artin–Schreier curve (C, ι) with automorphism, the ramification data and splitting behavior of the resulting Artin–Schreier cover are well-defined, because they do not depend on the choice of the parameter on the quotient \mathbb{P}^1 .

Define a mass formula

$$Z_{R,S}(q) := \sum_{[C] \in \text{AS}_g(k)/\simeq_k, \text{ type } R,S} |\text{Cent}_{\text{Aut}_k(C)}(\iota)|^{-1},$$

where the sum is over all isomorphism classes $[C] = (C, \iota)$ of Artin–Schreier curves with automorphism over k such that the cover has type R, S . The main result in this section is Theorem 4.7, in which we re-interpret this mass formula as an average over conjugacy classes in the symmetric group S_r . This result was inspired by [9, Corollary 5.3].

4.1. Stabilizers. Suppose $W = \sum e_Q Q$ is a weighted branch divisor of type R, S .

Definition 4.1. Let $\Gamma_W = \{\gamma \in \text{Aut}_k(\mathbb{P}^1) \mid \gamma(W) = W\}$.

For example, consider the case that $r \leq 3$. Then we can fix a convenient choice of branch locus B because the action of $\text{PGL}_2(k)$ is triply transitive on the points of degree 1, and is transitive on the points of degree 2 (resp. 3). We fix a point ω_2 of degree 2 and a point ω_3 of degree 3. We compute the order of the stabilizer Γ_W in these cases.

Let $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3$ be distinct positive integers with $p \nmid \epsilon_i = e_i - 1$. Write $\epsilon = \epsilon_1$.

Lemma 4.2. *The following table provides the order of the stabilizer Γ_W in each case.*

r	R and S	B	$ \Gamma_W $
1	(ϵ)	$\{\infty\}$	$q(q-1)$
2	(ϵ, ϵ_2)	$\{0, \infty\}$	$q-1$
2	(ϵ, ϵ)	$\{0, \infty\}$	$2(q-1)$
2	$((\epsilon - \epsilon))$	$\{\omega_2\}$	$2(q+1)$
3	$(\epsilon, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3)$	$\{0, 1, \infty\}$	1
3	$(\epsilon, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_2)$	$\{0, 1, \infty\}$	2
3	$(\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)$	$\{0, 1, \infty\}$	6
3	$(\epsilon, (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_2))$ or $(\epsilon, (\epsilon - \epsilon))$	$\{\infty, \omega_2\}$	2
3	$((\epsilon - \epsilon - \epsilon))$	$\{\omega_3\}$	3

Proof. For $r = 1$, then $W = e\{\infty\}$ and so $\Gamma_W = \Gamma_\infty := \{x \mapsto ax + b \mid a \in k^*, b \in k\}$.

For $r = 2$, (ϵ, ϵ_2) , then $W = e\{0\} + e_2\{\infty\}$ and so $\Gamma_W = \{x \mapsto ax \mid a \in k^*\}$.

For $r = 2$, (ϵ, ϵ) , then $W = e\{0\} + e\{\infty\}$ and so

$$\Gamma_W = \{x \mapsto ax \mid a \in k^*\} \cup \{x \mapsto b/x \mid b \in k^*\}.$$

For $r = 2$, $((\epsilon - \epsilon))$, then $W = e\{\omega_2\}$. Let T_2 be the set of points of degree 2 over k . Then $|T_2| = (q^2 - q)/2$. Since the action of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(k)$ on T_2 is transitive, $|\Gamma_W| = |\mathrm{PGL}_2(k)|/\#T_2 = 2(q+1)$, by the orbit-stabilizer theorem.

For $r = 3$, in the split cases, then $B = \{0, 1, \infty\}$. For $(\epsilon, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3)$, then $\Gamma_W = \mathrm{Id}$. For $(\epsilon, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_2)$, let $W = e\{1\} + e_2\{0\} + e_2\{\infty\}$. Then Γ_W also includes $\{x \mapsto 1/x\}$. For $(\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)$, there are 6 fractional linear transformations fixing $W = e\{0\} + e\{1\} + e\{\infty\}$.

For the two quadratic cases with $r = 3$, consider $B = \{\infty, \theta_2\}$, for an arbitrary point θ_2 of degree 2. There are exactly two automorphisms $\gamma \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ that fix ∞ and stabilize θ_2 ; these are defined over \mathbb{F}_q and so are contained in Γ_∞ . Furthermore, because $|\Gamma_\infty| = 2|T_2|$, the action of Γ_∞ on the set of branch divisors $\{\infty, \theta_2\}$ is transitive.

For the cubic case with $r = 3$, then $W = e\{\omega_3\}$. Let T_3 be the set of points of degree 3 over k . Then $|T_3| = (q^3 - q)/3$. Since the action of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(k)$ on T_3 is transitive, $|\Gamma_{\omega_3}| = |\mathrm{PGL}_2(k)|/|T_3| = 3$, by the orbit-stabilizer theorem. \square

4.2. The action on rational functions. If $\gamma \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(k)$, then γ acts on rational functions in $k(x)$. Specifically, $\gamma \cdot f(x) = f(\gamma(x))$.

Definition 4.3. If $u(x) \in k(x)$, let $\Gamma_{u(x)} = \{\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}_k(\mathbb{P}^1) \mid u(\gamma(x)) = u(x)\}$.

If $\mathrm{div}_\infty(u(x)) = W$, then $\Gamma_{u(x)} \subset \Gamma_W$.

Lemma 4.4. Suppose $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is an Artin-Schreier cover with equation $y^p - y = u(x)$. If $\varphi \in \mathrm{Cent}_{\mathrm{Aut}_k(C)}\langle \iota \rangle$, then there exists an automorphism $\gamma : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\pi \circ \varphi = \gamma \circ \pi$. The map $\psi : \mathrm{Cent}_{\mathrm{Aut}_k(C)}\langle \iota \rangle \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is a homomorphism with image $\Gamma_{u(x)}$. There is a short exact sequence of groups:

$$1 \rightarrow \langle \iota \rangle \xrightarrow{I} \mathrm{Cent}_{\mathrm{Aut}_k(C)}\langle \iota \rangle \xrightarrow{\psi} \Gamma_{u(x)} \rightarrow 1. \quad (5)$$

Proof. Suppose $\varphi \in \mathrm{Cent}_{\mathrm{Aut}_k(C)}\langle \iota \rangle$. For $x \in \mathbb{P}^1$, choose $y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$, and define $\gamma(x) = \pi(\varphi(y))$. We check that γ is well-defined: if $y' \in \pi^{-1}(x)$, then $y' = \iota^e(y)$ for some $0 \leq e \leq p-1$; then $\varphi(y') = \varphi(\iota^e(y)) = \iota^e(\varphi(y))$ so $\pi(\varphi(y')) = \pi(\varphi(y))$. One can check that γ is an automorphism and ψ is a homomorphism.

By Section 2.2, the condition $\varphi \in \mathrm{Cent}_{\mathrm{Aut}_k(C)}\langle \iota \rangle$ implies that $u(\gamma(x)) = u(x)$, so $\gamma \in \Gamma_{u(x)}$. Conversely, if $\gamma \in \Gamma_{u(x)}$, then the map $(x, y) \mapsto (\gamma(x), y)$ defines an automorphism $\varphi \in$

$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Cent}_{\text{Aut}_k(C)}\langle \iota \rangle$. Thus $\text{Im}(\psi) = \Gamma_{u(x)}$. The sequence in (5) is exact because $\varphi \in \ker(\psi)$ if and only if $\pi(\varphi(y)) = \pi(y)$ if and only if $\varphi \in \langle \iota \rangle$. \square

By Lemma 4.4,

$$|\text{Cent}_{\text{Aut}_k(C)}\langle \iota \rangle| = p|\Gamma_{u(x)}|. \quad (6)$$

4.3. Orbits of weighted branch divisors. Fix a ramification data R of length r and a splitting behavior S . An Artin–Schreier cover $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of type R, S determines a weighted branch divisor $W = \sum e_Q Q$ of type R, S , namely $W = \text{div}_\infty(u(x))$ where $y^p - y = u(x)$ is the equation for π .

Fix one weighted branch divisor W_\circ of type R, S . Let Γ_{W_\circ} be the stabilizer of W_\circ in $\text{PGL}_2(k)$. Recall that N_{W_\circ} is the set of $u(x) \in k(x)$ such that $\text{div}_\infty(u(x)) = W_\circ$ modulo $\text{AS}(k(x))$. Note that Γ_{W_\circ} acts on N_{W_\circ} . By the orbit-stabilizer theorem,

$$|\text{Orb}_{\Gamma_{W_\circ}}(u(x))||\Gamma_{u(x)}| = |\Gamma_{W_\circ}|. \quad (7)$$

If two Artin–Schreier curves with automorphism are isomorphic over k , then their weighted branch divisors W_1 and W_2 are in the same orbit under $\text{PGL}_2(k)$. Thus the stabilizers Γ_{W_1} and Γ_{W_2} are conjugate in the symmetric group S_r .

Definition 4.5. Consider the set of weighted branch divisors W over k of type R, S . Let θ be the set of orbits of W under $\text{PGL}_2(k)$. Given $H \subset S_r$, let θ_H be the set of orbits for W under $\text{PGL}_2(k)$ having the property that Γ_W is conjugate to H . Let $T = \sum_H \frac{|\theta_H|}{|H|}$, where the sum ranges over a set of representatives of conjugacy classes of subgroups $H \subset S_r$.

Example 4.6. If $r \leq 3$, for given R and S , recall the choice of weighted branch divisor W and Γ_W from (the proof of) Lemma 4.2. Note that θ_H is empty unless H is conjugate to Γ_W , in which case $|\theta_H| = 1$. Thus $T = |\Gamma_W|^{-1}$ if $r \leq 3$.

4.4. Main Theorem.

Theorem 4.7. As $[C] = (C, \iota)$ ranges over the k -isomorphism classes of Artin–Schreier curves with automorphism having type R, S , then

$$\sum_{[C]/\simeq k, \text{ type } R, S} |\text{Cent}_{\text{Aut}_k(C)}\langle \iota \rangle|^{-1} = p^{-1}|N_{W_\circ}| \cdot T.$$

Proof. We specify the information needed to define an Artin–Schreier curve with automorphism of type R, S . First, for each orbit in θ , we choose a representative weighted branch divisor W of type R, S in that orbit. Then we choose $u(x) \in k(x)$ such that $\text{div}_\infty(u(x)) = W$ up to Artin–Schreier equivalence. Then we divide by $|\text{Orb}_{\Gamma_W}(u(x))|$ to avoid over-counting. So

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{[C]/\simeq k, \text{ type } R, S} \frac{1}{|\text{Cent}_{\text{Aut}_k(C)}\langle \iota \rangle|} &= \sum_{W \in \theta} \sum_{u(x)} \frac{1}{|\text{Orb}_{\Gamma_W}(u(x))| \cdot |\text{Cent}_{\text{Aut}_k(C)}\langle \iota \rangle|} \\ &= \sum_{W \in \theta} \sum_{u(x)} \frac{1}{|\text{Orb}_{\Gamma_W}(u(x))| \cdot p|\Gamma_{u(x)}|} \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{W \in \theta} \frac{1}{|\Gamma_W|} \sum_{u(x)} 1, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.4 and the orbit-stabilizer theorem.

The number of $u(x)$ such that $\text{div}_\infty(u(x)) = W$ is independent of W when R and S are fixed. Up to Artin–Schreier equivalence, this cardinality equals $|N_{W_\circ}|$. Using a set of representatives of conjugacy classes of subgroups $H \subset S_r$, we re-organize the sum by combining the contributions from all W such that Γ_W is conjugate to H . Since $|\Gamma_W| = |H|$, this yields

$$\sum_{[C]/\simeq k, \text{ type } R, S} \frac{1}{|\text{Cent}_{\text{Aut}_k(C)}\langle \iota \rangle|} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_H \frac{|\theta_H|}{|H|} |N_{W_\circ}| = p^{-1} |N_{W_\circ}| \cdot T.$$

□

5. NEW RESULTS FOR ARBITRARY PRIME p AND $r \leq 3$ BRANCH POINTS

In this section, we suppose that the number of branch points of π satisfies $r \leq 3$.

5.1. Arbitrary genus with $r \leq 3$ branch points. Recall the definition of $E(R)$ from (4).

Proposition 5.1. *Given R with length $1 \leq r \leq 3$, this table gives the mass formula*

$$Z_R(q) = \sum_{[C]/\simeq k, \text{ type } R} |\text{Cent}_{\text{Aut}_k(C)}\langle \iota \rangle|^{-1}$$

for k -isomorphism classes of Artin–Schreier curves with automorphism with ramification data R .

R	$Z_R(q)$
$\{\epsilon\}$	$q^{E(R)-1}$
$\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}$	$(q-1)q^{E(R)}$
$\{\epsilon, \epsilon\}$	$(q-1)q^{E(R)}$
$\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3\}$	$(q-1)^3 q^{E(R)}$
$\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_2\}$	$(q-1)^2 q^{E(R)+1}$
$\{\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon\}$	$(q-1)q^{E(R)+2}$

Proof. For fixed R and S , define the branch locus B as in Lemma 4.2 and consider a weighted branch divisor W supported on B as in the proof of that result. By Theorem 4.7 and Example 4.6, $Z_{R,S}(q) = p^{-1} |N_W| |\Gamma_W|^{-1}$. The formula for $|N_W|$ is in Proposition 3.5. This yields the table below.

R, S	$ N_W $	$ \Gamma_W $
(ϵ)	$p(q-1)q^{E(R)}$	$q(q-1)$
(ϵ_1, ϵ_2)	$p(q-1)^2 q^{E(R)}$	$q-1$
(ϵ, ϵ)	$p(q-1)^2 q^{E(R)}$	$2(q-1)$
$((\epsilon - \epsilon))$	$p(q^2-1)q^{E(R)}$	$2(q+1)$
$(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3)$	$p(q-1)^3 q^{E(R)}$	1
$(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_2)$	$p(q-1)^3 q^{E(R)}$	2
$(\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)$	$p(q-1)^3 q^{E(R)}$	6
$(\epsilon_1, (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_2))$	$p(q-1)(q^2-1)q^{E(R)}$	2
$(\epsilon, (\epsilon - \epsilon))$	$p(q-1)(q^2-1)q^{E(R)}$	2
$((\epsilon - \epsilon - \epsilon))$	$p(q^3-1)q^{E(R)}$	3

If some values in R repeat, we combine contributions from different splitting behaviors:
 If $R = \{\epsilon, \epsilon\}$, the split and non-split cases sum to $(q-1)q^{E(R)}$.
 If $R = \{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_2\}$, the split and split-quadratic case sum to $(q-1)^2 q^{E(R)+1}$.
 If $R = \{\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon\}$, the split, split/quadratic, and cubic cases sum to $(q-1)q^{E(R)+2}$.

□

5.2. Results for moduli of Artin–Schreier curves with $r \leq 3$. We compute the mass formula when the Artin–Schreier curve has low genus g by allowing the ramification data R and splitting behavior S to vary. The leading terms of the formulas are compatible with the information about irreducible components of \mathcal{AS}_g from Section 2.6. The lower degree terms provide new and more subtle information about the cohomology of \mathcal{AS}_g .

Theorem 5.2. *Let $p = 3$. As $[C] = (C, \iota)$ ranges over the k -isomorphism classes of Artin–Schreier curves with automorphism such that C has genus g , then*

$$Z_g(q) := \sum_{[C] \in \mathcal{AS}_g(k)/\simeq k} |\text{Cent}_{\text{Aut}_k(C)} \langle \iota \rangle|^{-1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g = 1 \\ q-1 & \text{if } g = 2 \\ q^2 & \text{if } g = 3 \\ 2q^3 - q^2 & \text{if } g = 4 \\ q^4 - q^3 + q^2 & \text{if } g = 5. \end{cases}$$

This is immediate by combining the following data.

$g = d$	R	δ_R	Mass formula
1	$\{3\}$	0	1
2	$\{2, 2\}$	1	$q-1$
3	$\{2, 3\}$	2	$q^2 - q$
	$\{5\}$	1	q
4	$\{2, 2, 2\}$	3	$q^3 - q^2$
	$\{3, 3\}$	3	$q^3 - q^2$
	$\{6\}$	2	q^2
5	$\{2, 2, 3\}$	4	$q^4 - 2q^3 + q^2$
	$\{2, 5\}$	3	$q^3 - q^2$
	$\{7\}$	2	q^2

Proof. The proof follows from Proposition 5.1. Some lines include several choices of S . □

Theorem 5.3. *Let $p \geq 5$. As $[C] = (C, \iota)$ ranges over the k -isomorphism classes of Artin–Schreier curves with automorphism such that C has genus g , then*

$$Z_g(q) := \sum_{[C] \in \mathcal{AS}_g(k)/\simeq k} |\text{Cent}_{\text{Aut}_k(C)} \langle \iota \rangle|^{-1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g = 1(p-1)/2 \\ 2q-1 & \text{if } g = 2(p-1)/2 \\ 2q^2 - q & \text{if } g = 3(p-1)/2 \\ 3q^3 - 3q^2 & \text{if } g = 4(p-1)/2, p = 5 \\ 4q^3 - 3q^2 & \text{if } g = 4(p-1)/2, p \geq 7 \\ 3q^4 - 3q^3 + q^2 & \text{if } g = 5(p-1)/2, p = 5 \\ 4q^4 - 4q^3 + q^2 & \text{if } g = 5(p-1)/2, p \geq 7. \end{cases}$$

This is immediate by combining the following data.

g	R	δ_R	Mass formula
$1(p-1)/2$	$\{3\}$	0	1
$2(p-1)/2$	$\{2,2\}$	1	$q-1$
	$\{4\}$	1	q
$3(p-1)/2$	$\{2,3\}$	2	$q^2 - q$
	$\{5\}$	2	q^2
$4(p-1)/2$	$\{2,2,2\}$	3	$q^3 - q^2$
	$\{2,4\}$	3	$q^3 - q^2$
	$\{3,3\}$	3	$q^3 - q^2$
	$\{6\}$	3	q^3 if $p \geq 7$
$5(p-1)/2$	$\{2,2,3\}$	4	$q^4 - 2q^3 + q^2$
	$\{2,5\}$	4	$q^4 - q^3$
	$\{3,4\}$	4	$q^4 - q^3$
	$\{7\}$	3	q^3 if $p = 5$
		4	q^4 if $p \geq 7$

Proof. The proof follows from Proposition 5.1. For example, for the $R = \{2, 2, 3\}$ line, we combine the contributions from the split and the quadratic case to obtain

$$(1/2)((q-1)^3q + (q-1)(q^2-1)q) = q^2(q-1)^2.$$

□

Remark 5.4. In Theorem 5.3, when $g = 4(p-1)$, the case $p = 5$ is different because \mathcal{AS}_g has one additional component of dimension 3 when $p \geq 7$, namely that for $R = \{6\}$.

Remark 5.5. The ordinary locus (curves with p -rank g) corresponds to the ramification data $R = \{2, 2, \dots, 2\}$. When the leading coefficient of $Z_g(q)$ is bigger than 1, this reflects the fact that \mathcal{AS}_g has more than 1 irreducible component. This means that the ordinary locus is not open and dense in \mathcal{AS}_g .

For example, when $p = 3$ and $g = 4$, then the leading coefficient in Theorem 5.2 is 2; the 3-rank is 4 for the Artin-Schreier curves with $R = \{2, 2, 2\}$ but is only 2 for $R = \{3, 3\}$.

6. ORBITS OF 4-SETS

Recall that k is a finite field of cardinality q . A *4-set* is a set of 4 distinct points of $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})$ which is stabilized by the Frobenius action over k . In this section, we study the action of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(k)$ on 4-sets. The main result is Proposition 6.4, which gives a formula for the number of orbits of 4-sets with fixed splitting behavior. We expect this material is well-understood by experts in finite geometry, but we did not find a reference that applied directly. In particular, we extend results found in [14], [15], and [17].

In Section 7, we use Proposition 6.4 to determine the mass formula when the Artin-Schreier cover has $r = 4$ branch points, after determining additional information about the sizes of the stabilizers of representatives of the orbits.

6.1. The total number of orbits. Let N_{orbit} be the total number of orbits of 4-sets of $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})$ under $\mathrm{PGL}_2(k)$. Let

$$\binom{-3}{q} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ -1 & \text{if } q \equiv -1 \pmod{3} \\ 0 & \text{if } 3 \mid q. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 6.1. [14, Theorem 2.2] *The total number of orbits is*

$$N_{orbit} = \begin{cases} 2q + 2 + \binom{-3}{q} & \text{if } p \geq 5 \\ 2q + 2 & \text{if } p = 3 \text{ and } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 2q + 1 & \text{if } p = 3 \text{ and } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ 2q + \binom{-3}{q} & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

6.2. The splitting behavior when $r = 4$. We name the cases as follows.

S	Description	Notation
Split	four points of degree 1	$(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$
Split/Quad	two points of degree 1 and one of degree 2	$(\cdot, \cdot, (\cdot - \cdot))$
Quad	two points of degree 2	$((\cdot - \cdot), (\cdot - \cdot))$
Cubic	one point of degree 1 and one of degree 3	$(\cdot, (\cdot - \cdot - \cdot))$
Quartic	one point of degree 4	$((\cdot - \cdot - \cdot - \cdot))$

Given S , let $N_{orbit}(S)$ denote the number of orbits of 4-sets of $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})$ with splitting behavior S under $\text{PGL}_2(k)$.

6.3. The case $p = 2$.

Proposition 6.2. [17, Proposition 3] *If $p = 2$, then $N_{orbit}(S)$ is given by:*

S	$Split$	$Split/Quad$	$Quad$	$Cubic$	$Quartic$
$N_{orbit}(S)$	$(q + 2\binom{-3}{q})/6$	$q/2$	$(q - 2)/2$	$(q + 3 + 2\binom{-3}{q})/3$	$q/2$

Note that these sum to the quantity N_{orbit} when $p = 2$ in Theorem 6.1.

6.4. Number of split orbits. Let $N_{orbit}(split)$ be the number of split orbits, meaning the number of orbits of 4 distinct points of $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$ under the action of $\text{PGL}_2(k)$.

Theorem 6.3. [15, Theorem C] *If p is odd, then $N_{orbit}(split) = (q + 3 + 2\binom{-3}{q})/6$.*

6.5. The number of orbits in the non-split cases when p is odd. We now determine the number of orbits $N_{orbit}(S)$ when p is odd and S is not split.

Recall that $\binom{-1}{q}$ equals 1 if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and equals -1 if $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Proposition 6.4. *Let p be odd. For each non-split S , the number $N_{orbit}(S)$ of orbits of 4-sets of $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})$ with splitting behavior S under $\text{PGL}_2(k)$ is as follows:*

- (1) (S split/quadratic) $N_{orbit}(S) = (q + 1)/2$.
- (2) (S quadratic) $N_{orbit}(S) = (q - 1)/2$.
- (3) (S cubic) $N_{orbit}(S) = (q + 3 + 2\binom{-3}{q})/3$.
- (4) (S quartic) $N_{orbit}(S) = (q + \binom{-1}{q})/2$ if $p = 3$ and $N_{orbit}(S) = (q + 1)/2$ if $p \geq 5$.

Note that N_{orbit} equals the sum of $N_{orbit}(S)$ over the different splitting behaviors S (including the split one from Theorem 6.3).

The proof of Proposition 6.4 is contained in the following subsections. Recall Burnside's Lemma: if Γ is a group acting on a set I , then the number of orbits of I under Γ is

$$|I/\Gamma| = |\Gamma|^{-1} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\text{Fix}_\gamma|.$$

6.6. Split/quadratic case.

Lemma 6.5. *If p is odd and S is split/quadratic then $N_{\text{orbit}}(S) = (q+1)/2$.*

Proof. Let I be the set of irreducible monic degree 2 polynomials $f(x) \in k[x]$. If $\theta, \theta' \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ are the roots of some $f(x) \in I$, then $W = \{0, \infty, \theta, \theta'\}$ is a split/quadratic 4-set. Conversely, the orbit of every split/quadratic 4-set contains a representative of the form $W = \{\infty, 0, \theta, \theta'\}$. So it suffices to determine the number of different orbits among 4-sets of the form W . For this, it suffices to determine the number of orbits of $f(x) \in I$ under Γ_W .

If $\gamma \in \Gamma_W$, then either γ fixes 0 and ∞ or γ transposes 0 and ∞ . So $\Gamma_W = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_\infty$ where $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma_a : x \mapsto ax \mid a \in k^*\}$ and $\Gamma_\infty = \{\bar{\gamma}_b : x \mapsto b/x \mid b \in k^*\}$.

The action of Γ_W on I is given as follows. If $\gamma_a \in \Gamma_0$, then $\gamma_a(f(x)) = f(ax)/a^2$. To define the action of $\bar{\gamma}_b \in \Gamma_\infty$ on I , we think of I as the set of irreducible (possibly not monic) degree 2 polynomials $f(x) \in k[x]$, up to equivalence \sim , where $f_1(x) \sim f_2(x)$ if and only if $f_1(x) = \lambda f_2(x)$ for some $\lambda \in k^*$. Then $\bar{\gamma}_b(f(x)) = x^2 f(\frac{b}{x})$. Writing $f(x) = A_0 x^2 + Ax + B$, then $\bar{\gamma}_b(f(x)) = A_0 b^2 + Abx + Bx^2$.

Claim 1: Let $I_0 = \{f(x) = x^2 + B \mid -B \in k^* \text{ is a quadratic non-residue}\}$. Then $I_0 \subset I$ and $\#I_0 = (q-1)/2$. The elements of I_0 are in one orbit under the action of Γ_W .

Proof of Claim 1: It suffices to show that the elements of I_0 are in one orbit under the action of Γ_0 . If $f(x) \in I_0$ is in Fix_{γ_a} then

$$x^2 + B = f(x) = \gamma_a(f(x)) = f(ax)/a^2 = x^2 + B/a^2.$$

Thus, $\text{Fix}_{\gamma_a} = \emptyset$ unless $a = \pm 1$ and $\text{Fix}_{\gamma_1} = \text{Fix}_{\gamma_{-1}} = I_0$. By Burnside's lemma, $|I_0/\Gamma_0| = (q-1)^{-1} 2(q-1)/2 = 1$.

Claim 2: Let $I_{\neq 0} = I - I_0$. Then $\#I_{\neq 0} = (q-1)^2/2$. The elements of $I_{\neq 0}$ form $(q-1)/2$ orbits under the action of Γ_W .

Proof of Claim 2: Note that $\#I_{\neq 0} = \#I - \#I_0 = (q^2 - q)/2 - (q-1)/2 = (q-1)^2/2$. We apply Burnside's Theorem to the action of Γ_W on $I_{\neq 0}$. If $f(x) \in \text{Fix}_{\gamma_a}$, then

$$x^2 + Ax + B = f(x) = \gamma_a(f(x)) = f(ax)/a^2 = x^2 + Ax/a + B/a^2.$$

So Fix_{γ_a} is trivial unless $a = 1$ and $\text{Fix}_{\gamma_1} = I_{\neq 0}$. If $f(x) \in \text{Fix}_{\bar{\gamma}_b}$, then

$$A_0 x^2 + Ax + B \sim x^2 f\left(\frac{b}{x}\right) = A_0 b^2 + Abx + Bx^2,$$

which is true if and only if $b = B/A_0$. So each $f(x) \in I_{\neq 0}$ is fixed by exactly one $\bar{\gamma}_b$. Thus,

$$|I_{\neq 0}/\Gamma_W| = (2(q-1))^{-1} (2(q-1)^2/2) = (q-1)/2.$$

□

6.7. Quadratic case. The goal of this section is to prove Lemma 6.9, which states that the number of orbits of quadratic 4-sets of $\mathbb{P}^1(\bar{k})$ under $\text{PGL}_2(k)$ is $(q-1)/2$ when p is odd.

Fix a quadratic non-residue $s \in k$. Let $f_1(x) = x^2 - s$. The orbit of every quadratic 4-set contains a 4-set of the form $W_s = \{\pm\sqrt{s}, \tau, \tau'\}$ where $\{\tau, \tau'\}$ are the roots of an irreducible monic degree 2 polynomial $f_2(x)$ that is not equal to $f_1(x)$.

We investigate the action of Γ_{W_s} on the set of such polynomials $f_2(x)$.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $W_s = \{\pm\sqrt{s}\}$, then $|\Gamma_{W_s}| = 2(q+1)$. The elements of Γ_{W_s} are represented by the equivalence classes in $\text{PGL}_2(k)$ of these matrices (for $a, c \in k$ not both equal to 0):*

$$\gamma_1(a, c) = \begin{pmatrix} a & cs \\ c & a \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \gamma_2(a, c) = \begin{pmatrix} a & -cs \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Proof. If $\gamma \in \Gamma_{W_s}$, then $\gamma(f_1(x)) \sim f_1(x)$. This implies that $x^2 - s$ is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned} (cx + d)^2 f_1\left(\frac{ax + b}{cx + d}\right) &= (a^2x^2 + 2abx + b^2) - s(c^2x^2 + 2cdx + d^2) \\ &= x^2(a^2 - sc^2) + x(2ab - 2cds) + b^2 - sd^2. \end{aligned}$$

So $ab = cds$ and $(b^2 - sd^2)/(a^2 - sc^2) = -s$.

If $a = 0$, then $cds = 0$ which implies $d = 0$ since $c \neq 0$. Then $b = \pm cs$.

If $a \neq 0$, then $b = cds/a$ and one computes that $s(a^2 - sc^2)(a^2 - d^2) = 0$. Since s is a quadratic non-residue, this implies that $a = \pm d$.

Thus γ is either $\gamma_1(a, c)$ or $\gamma_2(a, c)$ for some pair $(a, c) \in k^2$, with a, c not both 0. There are $2(q^2 - 1)/(q - 1)$ equivalence classes of γ in $\text{PGL}_2(k)$. Representatives of these are $\gamma_1(a, c)$ and $\gamma_2(a, c)$ where either $(a, c) = (0, 1)$ or $a = 1$ with $c \in k$. \square

Let Γ_1 be the subgroup of $\text{PGL}_2(k)$ of elements γ that fix each of \sqrt{s} and $-\sqrt{s}$. Note that $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ if and only if $\gamma = \gamma_1(a, c)$ for some pair (a, c) not both zero.

Let Γ_2 be the non-trivial coset of Γ_1 in Γ_{W_s} . Note that every $\gamma \in \Gamma_2$ exchanges \sqrt{s} and $-\sqrt{s}$. Also $\gamma \in \Gamma_2$ if and only if $\gamma = \gamma_2(a, c)$ for some pair (a, c) not both zero.

Lemma 6.7. *Then $\Gamma_1 \simeq C_{q+1}$ and $\Gamma_{W_s} \simeq D_{q+1}$, the dihedral group of order $2(q+1)$.*

Proof. Consider the subgroup $\tilde{\Gamma}_{0,\infty}$ of elements of $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ that fix each of 0 and ∞ ; it is cyclic of order $q^2 - 1$. The subgroup $\tilde{\Gamma}_1$ of elements of $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ that fix each of \sqrt{s} and $-\sqrt{s}$ is conjugate to $\tilde{\Gamma}_{0,\infty}$, and thus is also cyclic of order $q^2 - 1$. Then $\Gamma_1 = \tilde{\Gamma}_1 \cap \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ which must also be cyclic. By Lemma 6.6, $|\Gamma_1| = q + 1$.

The non-identity coset Γ_2 of Γ_1 in Γ_{W_s} is represented by $\bar{\gamma}_2 : x \mapsto -x$. The conjugation action of $\bar{\gamma}_2$ on Γ_1 is inversion: $\bar{\gamma}_2 \gamma_1 \bar{\gamma}_2^{-1} = \gamma_1^{-1}$. Thus $\Gamma_{W_s} \simeq D_{q+1}$. \square

Lemma 6.8. *Let $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(a, c) \in \Gamma_1$ as in (8). Let $f_2(x) = x^2 + Ax + B$ be an irreducible monic degree 2 polynomial in $k[x]$ with $f_2(x) \neq f_1(x)$. Then:*

(1)

$$\gamma_1(f_2(x)) = x^2 + x \frac{A(a^2 + c^2s) + 2ac(B + s)}{a^2 + Aac + Bc^2} + \frac{c^2s^2 + Aacs + Ba^2}{a^2 + Aac + Bc^2}. \quad (9)$$

(2) *If $\gamma_1 \neq \text{Id}$, then $f_2(x) \in \text{Fix}_{\gamma_1}$ if and only if $a = 0$ and $B = s$.*

Proof. (1) The polynomial on the right of (9) equals $(cx + a)^2 f_2((ax + cs)/(cx + a))$.

(2) Suppose $f_2(x) \in \text{Fix}_{\gamma_1}$. Then $f_2(x) = \gamma_1(f_2(x))$. By part(1), this implies

$$\begin{aligned} A^2ac + ABc^2 - 2acs - Ac^2s - 2Bac &= 0, \\ ABac + B^2c^2 - c^2s^2 - Aacs &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma_1 \neq \text{Id}$, we can suppose $c \neq 0$. If $a = 0$, without loss of generality, take $c = 1$; then $A(B - s) = 0$ and $B^2 - s^2 = 0$, so $B = \pm s$. If $B = -s$, then $A = 0$, which contradicts the fact $f_2(x) \neq f_1(x)$. Thus $B = s$.

If $ac \neq 0$; without loss of generality, take $a = 1$. We will show that the hypothesis $f_2(x) \in \text{Fix}_{\gamma_1}$ leads to a contradiction. Dividing the equations above by c yields

$$\begin{aligned} Ac(B - s) - 2(B + s) + A^2 &= 0, \\ c(B^2 - s^2) + A(B - s) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $B \neq s$, some arithmetic shows $A = -c(B + s)$ and then $(B + s)(c^2s - 1) = 0$. Thus $B = -s$, which contradicts the fact $f_2(x) \neq f_1(x)$. If $B = s$, some arithmetic shows that $A^2 - 4B = 0$, which contradicts the fact that $f_2(x)$ is irreducible. \square

Lemma 6.9. *If p is odd and S is quadratic, then $N_{\text{orbit}}(S) = (q - 1)/2$.*

Proof. Every quadratic orbit contains a 4-set of the form $W = \{\pm\sqrt{s}, \tau, \tau'\}$ where $\{\tau, \tau'\}$ are the roots of an irreducible monic quadratic polynomial $f_2(x)$ (not equal to $f_1(x)$). Let

$$I = \{f_2(x) = x^2 + Ax + B \mid A, B \in k, f_2(x) \text{ irreducible}, f_2(x) \neq x^2 - s\}.$$

Then $\#I = (q^2 - q)/2 - 1 = (q + 1)(q - 2)/2$.

Claim 3: The number of orbits of I under Γ_1 is $(q - 1)/2$.

The reason for this is that $|\text{Fix}_{\text{Id}}| = \#I$ and Fix_{γ_1} has size $(q + 1)/2$ if $(a, c) = (0, 1)$ and is trivial otherwise by Lemma 6.8. By Burnside's Lemma,

$$|I/\Gamma_1| = (q + 1)^{-1} ((q + 1)(q - 2)/2 + (q + 1)/2) = (q - 1)/2.$$

To finish the proof of Lemma 6.9, note that Γ_2 is a coset of Γ_1 in Γ_{W_s} . A representative of this coset is the map $\bar{\gamma}_2(x) = -x$.

Claim 4: Given $f_2(x) = x^2 + Ax + B \in I$, there exists $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(a, c) \in \Gamma_1$ such that $\gamma_1 \cdot f_2(x) = \bar{\gamma}_2 \cdot f_2(x)$.

To see this, note that $\bar{\gamma}_2 \cdot f_2(x) = f_2(-x) = x^2 - Ax + B$. If $A = 0$, the claim is true, taking $\gamma_1 = \text{Id}$. Let $A \neq 0$. By (9), the condition $\gamma_1 \cdot f_2(x) = x^2 - Ax + B$ is equivalent to

$$B = \frac{c^2s^2 + Aacs + Ba^2}{a^2 + Aac + Bc^2}, \text{ and } -A = \frac{A(a^2 + c^2s) + 2ac(B + s)}{a^2 + Aac + Bc^2}. \quad (10)$$

If $a^2 + Aac + Bc^2 \neq 0$, this is equivalent to

$$0 = c^2(B^2 - s^2) + Aac(B - s), \text{ and } 0 = a^2(2A) + a(2cs + 2Bc + A^2c) + Ac^2(B + s).$$

The two equations are satisfied when $c = 1$ and $a = -(B + s)/A$.

Claim 4 implies that the number of orbits under Γ_{W_s} is the same as the number of orbits under Γ_1 , namely $(q - 1)/2$. \square

6.8. Cubic case.

Lemma 6.10. *If p is odd and S is cubic, then $N_{\text{orbit}}(S)$ equals $(q + 5)/3$ if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and equals $(q + 1)/3$ if $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$.*

Proof. Let I be the set of irreducible monic degree 3 polynomials $f(x) \in k[x]$. If $\theta, \theta', \theta'' \in \mathbb{F}_{q^3} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ are the roots of some $f(x) \in I$, then $W = \{\infty, \theta, \theta', \theta''\}$ is a cubic 4-set. Conversely, the orbit of every cubic 4-set contains a representative of the form $W = \{\infty, \theta, \theta', \theta''\}$.

It suffices to find the number of orbits among 4-sets of the form W . For this, it suffices to find the number of orbits of $f(x) \in I$ under Γ_W . Here $\Gamma_W = \{\gamma : x \rightarrow ax + b, a \in k^*, b \in k\}$ and $|\Gamma_W| = q(q - 1)$.

If $f(x) \in I$, write $f(x) = x^3 + Ax^2 + Bx + C$. Then $f(x) \in \text{Fix}_\gamma$ if and only if $f(ax+b)/a^3 = f(x)$, which is equivalent to

$$A = (3b + A)/a, \quad B = (3b^2 + 2Ab + B)/a^2, \quad C = (b^3 + Ab^2 + Bb + C)/a^3,$$

or

$$(a-1)A = 3b, \quad (a^2-1)B = 3b^2 + 2Ab, \quad (a^3-1)C = b^3 + Ab^2 + Bb. \quad (11)$$

Case 1: If $a = 1$, then $b = 0$ and $\gamma = \text{Id}$, with $|\text{Fix}_{\text{Id}}| = |I| = (q^3 - q)/3$.

Case 2: If $a = -1$, then $\gamma(x) = -x + b$ has order 2, which cannot stabilize a cubic point, so $\text{Fix}_\gamma = \emptyset$.

Case 3: If $a^3 = 1$ with $a \neq 1$, then $f(x) \in \text{Fix}_\gamma$ if and only if

$$A = 3b/(a-1) \text{ and } B = 3b^2/(a-1)^2.$$

Let $x = y - A/3$ then $f(y - A/3) = y^3 + C - (\frac{b}{a-1})^3$, which is irreducible if and only if $(\frac{b}{a-1})^3 - C$ is not a cube in k^* . If $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, this is impossible so $\text{Fix}_\gamma = \emptyset$. If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, there are $2(q-1)/3$ non-cubes and $2q$ choices of $\gamma(x) = ax + b$ for each of these, which contributes in total $4q(q-1)/3$.

Case 4: If $a^3 \neq 1, a \neq -1$, we compute that

$$A = 3b/(a-1), \quad B = 3b^2/(a-1)^2, \quad C = b^3/(a-1)^3.$$

Let $x = y - A/3$. Then $f(y - A/3) = y^3$, which is reducible. So this case does not occur.

Hence, the total number of orbits is

$$|I/\Gamma_W| = \begin{cases} (q(q-1))^{-1}((q^3-q)/3 + 4q(q-1)/3) = (q+5)/3 & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ (q(q-1))^{-1}((q^3-q)/3) = (q+1)/3 & \text{if } q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

□

Now consider the cubic case when k has characteristic 3. The following fact about $f(x) = x^3 + Bx + C \in k[x]$ will be useful: $f(x)$ is irreducible in $k[x]$ if and only if $-B = w^2$ for some $w \in k$ and $\text{tr}(C/w^3) \neq 0$ [23, Theorem 2].

Lemma 6.11. *If $p = 3$ and S is cubic, then $N_{\text{orbit}}(S) = (q+3)/3$.*

Proof. From (11) in the previous proof, $f(x) \in \text{Fix}_\gamma$ if and only if

$$(a-1)A = 0, \quad (a^2-1)B = 2Ab, \quad (a^3-1)C = b^3 + Ab^2 + Bb.$$

Suppose $f(x) \in \text{Fix}_\gamma$ with $\gamma(x) = ax + b$.

If $a \neq \pm 1$, then $A = B = 0$. Since $f(x) = x^3 + C$ factors in $k[x]$, this implies $\text{Fix}_\gamma = \emptyset$.

If $a = 1$ and $b = 0$, then $|\text{Fix}_{\text{Id}}| = (q^3 - q)/3$.

If $a = 1$ and $b \neq 0$, then $A = 0$ and $B = -b^2$. So $f(x) = x^3 - b^2x + C$, which is irreducible if and only if $\text{tr}(C/b^3) \neq 0$. Let $\kappa = \text{Fr}^{-1}(C)$; then $C = \kappa^3$. Then $\text{tr}(C/b^3) = \text{tr}(\text{Fr}(\kappa/b)) = \text{tr}(\kappa/b)$. Recall that $\text{tr} : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3$ is a surjective group homomorphism. So, for each $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, the number of $C \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $f(x)$ is irreducible is $2q/3$ and $|\text{Fix}_\gamma| = 2q/3$.

If $a = -1$, then $A = 0$ and $C = b(b^2 + B)$. If $-B = w^2$ for some $w \in k^*$, let $z = b/w$. Then $\text{tr}(b(b^2 - w^2)/w^3) = \text{tr}(z(z-1)(z-2)) = 0$ so $f(x)$ factors in $k[x]$. This implies $\text{Fix}_\gamma = \emptyset$.

Thus

$$|I/\Gamma| = (q(q-1))^{-1}((q^3-q)/3 + (q-1)2q/3) = (q+3)/3.$$

□

6.9. Quartic case.

Lemma 6.12. (*Quartic Case*) *If S is quartic, then $N_{\text{orbit}}(S)$ equals $(q-1)/2$ if $p=3$ and $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ and equals $(q+1)/2$ otherwise.*

Proof. The total number of orbits is found in Theorem 6.1 ([14, Theorem 2.2]). From this, we subtract the number of orbits from the non-quartic splitting behaviors found in Theorem 6.3 ([15, Theorem C]), and Lemmas 6.5, 6.9, and 6.11. \square

7. THE MASS FORMULA FOR 4 BRANCH POINTS

In this section, we consider the mass formula for Artin-Schreier curves with automorphism when the number of branch points of the cover is 4. For a ramification data R of length $r=4$, we provide formulas for

$$Z_{R,S}(q) := \sum_{[C], \text{ type } R, S/\simeq k} |\text{Cent}_{\text{Aut}_k(C)} \langle \iota \rangle|^{-1},$$

when S is split in Section 7.2, when S is non-split and $p=2$ in Section 7.3, and some cases when S is non-split and p is odd in Section 7.4.

From a moduli-theoretic perspective, it is natural to fix the ramification data R and allow the splitting behavior S to vary. By combining the results in this section, we obtain the following conclusions about

$$Z_R(q) := \sum_{[C] \text{ type } R/\simeq k} |\text{Cent}_{\text{Aut}_k(C)} \langle \iota \rangle|^{-1}.$$

7.1. Conclusions. Consider ramification data $R = \{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4\}$ with $\epsilon_i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Let $e_i = \epsilon_i - 1$ and define

$$E := E(R) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \left(e_i - 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{e_i}{p} \right\rfloor \right).$$

Corollary 7.1. *The mass formula $Z_R(q)$ has the following formulas:*

- (1) *If $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4$ are distinct, then $Z_R(q) = (q-1)^4 q^{E(R)} T$ where $T = (q+3+2\binom{-3}{q})/6$ if $p \geq 5$ and $T = (q+2\binom{-3}{q})/6$ if $p=2$.*
- (2) *If $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3$ are distinct and $\epsilon_3 = \epsilon_4$, then $Z_R(q) = (q-1)^3 q^{E(R)} T'$, where $T' = (1/3)(2q^2 + q + (q-1)\binom{-3}{q})$.*
- (3) *If $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3$ and $\epsilon_4 \neq \epsilon_3$, then $Z_R(q) = (q-1)^2 q^{E(R)} (q^3 + q + \gamma)$, where $\gamma = (2/3)(q^2 + q + 1)$ if $p=3$ and $\gamma = (-2/3)(q^2 - 2q + 1)$ if $p \geq 5$ and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ and $\gamma = 0$ otherwise.*

Proof. (1) Since all pole orders are distinct, then S is split. The result follows from Case 1 of Proposition 7.2.

(2) The condition on the pole orders implies that S is either split or split/quadratic. The result follows by adding Case 2 of Proposition 7.2 and the case of having different pole orders from Propositions 7.3 and 7.4.

(3) The condition on the pole orders implies that S is either split, split/quadratic with different pole orders, or cubic. The result follows by adding Case 3 of Proposition 7.2 and the appropriate cases from Propositions 7.3 and 7.4. \square

7.2. The split case with $r = 4$ points.

Proposition 7.2. *When S is split, then $Z_{R,S}(q) = (q-1)^4 q^{E(R)} T$, where T is as follows:*

Case	Condition on R	T
1	$\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4$ distinct	$(q + 3 + 2\binom{-3}{q})/6$, p odd; $(q + 2\binom{-3}{q})/6$, $p = 2$
2	$\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3$ distinct, $\epsilon_3 = \epsilon_4$	$(q + 2\binom{-3}{q})/6$
3	$\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3 \neq \epsilon_4$	$(q-2)/6$
4	$\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 \neq \epsilon_3 = \epsilon_4$	$(q + 2\binom{-3}{q})/12$
5	$\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3 = \epsilon_4$	$(q-2)/24$

Proof. The action of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(k)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$ is triply transitive. So each split orbit contains a representative of the form $B_t = \{0, 1, \infty, t\}$ for some $t \in k - \{0, 1\}$. Let $\Gamma_t = \mathrm{Stab}(B_t) \subset S_4$. Recall the information about Γ_t from Lemma 2.2.

Let W_\circ be a weighted branch divisor with ramification data R supported on B_t . By Theorem 4.7, $Z_{R,S}(q) = p^{-1}|N_{W_\circ}| \cdot T$ where $T = \sum_H \frac{|\theta_H|}{|H|}$. By Proposition 3.5, $|N_{W_\circ}| = p(q-1)^4 q^{E(R)}$.

Let $\Gamma_{W_\circ} = \mathrm{Stab}(W_\circ) \subset \mathrm{PGL}_2(k)$. This subgroup depends on the values in R because if the pole orders of two branch points are different, then no automorphism in Γ_{W_\circ} can map one of them to the other. For each representative H of a conjugacy class in S_4 , we consider the set θ_H of orbits of t for which Γ_{W_\circ} is conjugate to H . We need to compute T .

The proof is then a case-by-case analysis using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 and Theorem 6.3.

Case 1: then θ_H is empty unless $H = \{\mathrm{id}\}$, so $T = N_{\mathrm{orbit}}(\mathrm{split})$.

Case 2: Without loss of generality, the two branch points with pole order $\epsilon_3 - 1$ are 0 and ∞ . Then $|H_t| = 1$ unless $t = -1$. If $p = 2$, then this does not happen and $T = N_{\mathrm{orbit}}(\mathrm{split})$. If p is odd, then there is one orbit where $|H_t| = 2$, so $T = N_{\mathrm{orbit}}(\mathrm{split}) - 1 + 1/2$.

Case 3: Set the three branch points with pole order $\epsilon_1 - 1$ to be 0, 1, ∞ .

If $p = 2$, then $\zeta_3, \zeta_3^2 \in k$ if and only if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$; this orbit has $|H_t| = 3$, so $T = (1/3) + (q+2)/6 - 1$ if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $T = (q-2)/6$ if $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$.

If $p = 3$, then the orbit of -1 has $|H_t| = 6$ so $T = (1/6) + (q+3)/6 - 1$.

If $p = 5$, then the orbit of -1 has $|H_t| = 2$ and $\zeta_6 \in k$ if and only if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, in which case it has $|H_t| = 3$; so $T = ((q+5)/6 - 2) + (1/2) + (1/3) = (q-2)/6$ if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $T = ((q+1)/6 - 1) + (1/2) = (q-2)/6$ if $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$.

Case 4: Set the two branch points with pole order $\epsilon_1 - 1$ to be 0, ∞ and the two branch points with pole order $\epsilon_3 - 1$ to be 1, t . Then $\gamma_{1,t} = (0, \infty)(1, t) \in H_t$ for all t . The only other elements of H_t are automorphisms $x \mapsto 1/x$ and $x \mapsto -x$ when $t = -1$. So when $p = 2$, then $|H_t| = 2$ for all orbits. When p is odd then $|H_t| = 2$ for all but one orbit, for which $|H_t| = 4$. So $T = (N_{\mathrm{orbit}}(\mathrm{split}) - 1)/2 + (1/4)$.

Case 5: then $|H_t| = \Gamma_t$ is as in Lemma 2.2. So $|H_t| = 4$ except in the special cases.

When $p = 2$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then the orbit of ζ_3 has $|H_t| = 12$; so $T = (q-2)/24$ when $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ and $T = ((q+2)/6 - 1)/4 + (1/12)$ when $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.

When $p = 3$, then the orbit of -1 has $|H_t| = 24$; so $T = ((q+3)/6 - 1)/4 + (1/24)$.

When $p \geq 5$, then the orbit of -1 has $|H_t| = 8$ and when $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ then the orbit of ζ_6 has $|H_t| = 12$. So $T = ((q+1)/6 - 1)/4 + (1/8)$ when $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ and $T = ((q+5)/6 - 2)/4 + (1/8) + (1/12)$ when $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. \square

7.3. The case when $p = 2$. In the next result, we generalize the case $R = \{2, 2, 2, 2\}$ when $p = 2$ found in [17, Section 3.1].

Proposition 7.3. *Let $p = 2$. Let $R = \{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4\}$ with ϵ_i even. If S is non-split, then $Z_{R,S}(q)$ is given by:*

S	$Z_{R,S}(q)$
<i>split/quad if split points have different pole orders</i>	$(q-1)^2(q^2-1)q^{E+1}/2$
<i>split/quad if split points have the same pole order</i>	$(q-1)^2(q^2-1)q^{E+1}/4$
<i>quadratic</i>	$(q^2-1)^2q^E(q-2)/8$
<i>cubic</i>	$(q-1)(q^3-1)q^E(q+1)/3$
<i>quartic</i>	$(q^4-1)q^{E+1}/4$

As a check, when $R = \{2, 2, 2, 2\}$, these values sum to $q^5 - q^4$; when combined with the $r \leq 3$ data, the sum is q^5 as in Theorem 2.11.

Proof. By Theorem 4.7, $Z_{R,S}(q) = p^{-1}|N_{W_o}| \cdot T$ where $T = \sum_H \frac{|\theta_H|}{|H|}$. In this case, $|N_{W_o}|$ depends on S as in Proposition 3.5. The computation of T follows from the following table, which is mostly contained in [17, Section 3.1].

Case	H	Number of Orbits
split/quad	$\{\text{id}\}$ if split points have different pole orders	$q/2$
split/quad	C_2 if split points have same pole order	$q/2$
quadratic	$C_2 \times C_2$	$q/2 - 1$
cubic	μ_3 if $t = \infty$ when $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$	1
	μ_3 if $t = 0$ when $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$	1
	$\{\text{id}\}$	$(q+1)/3$
quartic	C_2	$q/2$

□

7.4. Some non-split cases when p is odd.

Proposition 7.4. *If p is odd and S is non-split, then $Z_{R,S}(q)$ is given by:*

S	$Z_{R,S}(q)$
<i>split/quad if split points have different pole orders</i>	$(q-1)^2(q^2-1)q^{E+1}/2$
<i>split/quad if split points have same pole orders</i>	$(q-1)^2(q^2-1)q^{E+1}/4$
<i>cubic if $p \neq 3$</i>	$(q-1)(q^3-1)(q+1)q^E/3$
<i>cubic if $p = 3$</i>	$(q-1)(q^3-1)(q+3)q^E/3$

Proof. By Theorem 4.7, $Z_{R,S}(q) = p^{-1}|N_{W_o}| \cdot T$ where $T = \sum_H \frac{|\theta_H|}{|H|}$. In this case, $|N_{W_o}|$ depends on S as in Proposition 3.5.

Consider the split/quadratic case. The orbit of every split/quadratic 4-set contains a representative $W_o = \{0, \infty, \theta, \theta'\}$ where θ, θ' are the roots of an irreducible polynomial of the form $f(x) = x^2 + Ax + B \in k[x]$. Note that $\theta\theta' = B$. Then $|N_{W_o}| = p(q-1)^2(q^2-1)q^E$.

Consider the cubic case. The orbit of every cubic 4-set contains a representative $W = \{\infty, \theta, \theta', \theta''\}$ where $\theta, \theta', \theta''$ are the roots of an irreducible polynomial of the form $f(x) = x^3 + Ax^2 + Bx + C \in k[x]$. In this case, $|N_{W_o}| = p(q-1)(q^3-1)q^E$.

To determine T , for each representative H of a conjugacy class in S_4 , we find the number of orbits for which Γ_W is conjugate to H .

Case	H	Number of Orbits
split/quad when split points have different pole orders	$\{\pm x\}$ when $\theta' = -\theta$ $\{x\}$	1 $(q-1)/2$
split/quad when split points have same pole orders	$\{\pm x, \pm B/x\}$ when $\theta' = -\theta$ $\{x, B/x\}$	1 $(q-1)/2$
cubic when $p \neq 3$	$\{x, \zeta_3 x, \zeta_3^2 x\}$ when $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ $\{x\}$ when $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ $\{x\}$ when $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$	2 $(q-1)/3$ $(q+1)/3$
cubic when $p = 3$	$\{x\}$	$(q+3)/3$

We give the details of the proof in two cases.

Case: split/quad when split points have same pole orders.

By Claim 1 of Lemma 6.5, the set I_0 of irreducible polynomials of the form $f(x) = x^2 + B$ forms one orbit under the action of Γ_{W_0} . Then $\Gamma_{W_0} = \{\pm x, \pm B/x\}$ and $|\Gamma_{W_0}| = 4$.

By Lemma 6.5, there are $(q-1)/2$ other orbits. For these, $\Gamma_{W_0} = \{x, B/x\}$ and $|\Gamma_{W_0}| = 2$.

Thus $T = (1/4) + ((q-1)/2)/2$ and $p^{-1}|N_{W_0}| \cdot T = (q-1)^2(q^2-1)q^E/4$.

Case: cubic when $p \neq 3$ and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.

There are $2(q-1)/3$ irreducible polynomials of the form $f(x) = x^3 + C$. The group k^* acts on these by $\gamma \cdot f(x) = f(ax)/a^3$ for $a \in k^*$. This scales C by a cube. Each is stabilized by $\mu_3 \subset k^*$. So these polynomials form two orbits, each with $|H| = 3$. There are $(q+5)/3 - 2$ orbits for which $H = \{x\}$ and $|H| = 1$. Thus $T = 2/3 + (q+5)/3 - 2 = (q+1)/3$ and $p^{-1}|N_{W_0}| \cdot T = (q-1)(q^3-1)q^E(q+1)/3$. \square

Remark 7.5. Here is a problem for someone interested in finite geometry. For each orbit in the quadratic and quartic 4-branch points cases, determine the size of the stabilizers of the orbits. Specifically, for each $H \subset S_4$, the goal is to find the number of orbits of the branch locus W for which Γ_W is conjugate to H . With this data, it would be possible to extend the results in this paper to the case of Artin-Schreier covers with genus $g = 6(p-1)/2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jonas Bergström, *Equivariant counts of points of the moduli spaces of pointed hyperelliptic curves*, Doc. Math. **14** (2009), 259–296. MR 2538614
- [2] Bradley W. Brock and Andrew Granville, *More points than expected on curves over finite field extensions*, Finite Fields Appl. **7** (2001), no. 1, 70–91.
- [3] Alina Bucur, Chantal David, Brooke Feigon, and Matilde Lalín, *Statistics for ordinary Artin-Schreier covers and other p -rank strata*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), no. 4, 2371–2413. MR 3449243
- [4] Alina Bucur, Chantal David, Brooke Feigon, Matilde Lalín, and Kaneenika Sinha, *Distribution of zeta zeroes of Artin-Schreier covers*, Math. Res. Lett. **19** (2012), no. 6, 1329–1356. MR 3091611
- [5] Bryden Cais, Jordan S. Ellenberg, and David Zureick-Brown, *Random Dieudonné modules, random p -divisible groups, and random curves over finite fields*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **12** (2013), no. 3, 651–676. MR 3062874
- [6] Gabriel Cardona, Enric Nart, and Jordi Pujolàs, *Curves of genus two over fields of even characteristic*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **250** (2005), no. 1, 177–201.
- [7] Jordan Ellenberg, Matthew Satriano, and David Zureick-Brown, *Heights on stacks and a generalized Batyrev-Manin-Malle conjecture*, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2106.11340.pdf>.
- [8] Alexei Entin, *On the distribution of zeroes of Artin-Schreier L -functions*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **22** (2012), no. 5, 1322–1360. MR 2989435
- [9] Gerard van der Geer and Marcel van der Vlugt, *Supersingular curves of genus 2 over finite fields of characteristic 2*, Mathematische Nachrichten **159** (1992), 73–81.

- [10] J. W. P. Hirschfeld, G. Korchmáros, and F. Torres, *Algebraic curves over a finite field*, Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2008.
- [11] Everett W. Howe, *On the group orders of elliptic curves over finite fields*, *Compositio Math.* **85** (1993), no. 2, 229–247.
- [12] Andrew Kobin, *Artin-Schreier root stacks*, *J. Algebra* **586** (2021), 1014–1052. MR 4296227
- [13] Serge Lang and André Weil, *Number of points of varieties in finite fields*, *Amer. J. Math.* **76** (1954), 819–827. MR 65218
- [14] Amparo López, Daniel Maisner, Enric Nart, and Xavier Xarles, *Orbits of Galois invariant n -sets of \mathbb{P}^1 under the action of PGL_2* , *Finite Fields Appl.* **8** (2002), no. 2, 193–206.
- [15] Amparo López and Enric Nart, *Classification of Goppa codes of genus zero*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **517** (1999), 131–144.
- [16] Sylvain Maugeais, *Quelques résultats sur les déformations équivariantes des courbes stables*, *Manuscripta Math.* **120** (2006), no. 1, 53–82. MR 2223481
- [17] Enric Nart and Daniel Sadornil, *Hyperelliptic curves of genus three over finite fields of even characteristic*, *Finite Fields and their Applications* **10** (2004), no. 2, 198–220.
- [18] Rachel Pries and Hui June Zhu, *The p -rank stratification of Artin-Schreier curves*, *Annales de l’Institut Fourier* **61** (2012).
- [19] Soumya Sankar, *Proportion of ordinarity in some families of curves over finite fields*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.12173v2>.
- [20] Henning Stichtenoth, *Algebraic function fields and codes*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 254, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.
- [21] Gerard Van der Geer, *Curves over finite fields and moduli spaces*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.08704>.
- [22] D. C. van Leijenhorst, *Orbits on the projective line*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **31** (1981), no. 2, 146–154.
- [23] Kenneth S Williams, *Note on cubics over $GF(2n)$ and $GF(3n)$* , *Journal of Number Theory* **7** (1975), no. 4, 361 – 365.

Email address: aho5@utk.edu

UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE AT KNOXVILLE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 227 AYRES HALL, 1403 CIRCLE DRIVE, KNOXVILLE, TN 37996-1320

Email address: pries@colostate.edu

COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WEBER 101, FORT COLLINS, CO 80523-1874