

HIGHER TOPOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY AND THE EXT EILENBERG-MOORE FUNCTOR

SMAIL BENZAKI AND YOUSSEF RAMI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, using Sullivan's approach to rational homotopy theory of simply-connected finite type CW complexes, we endow the \mathbb{Q} -vector space $\mathcal{E}xt_{C^*(X;\mathbb{Q})}(\mathbb{Q}, C^*(X;\mathbb{Q}))$ with a graded commutative algebra structure. This leads us to introduce the $\mathcal{E}xt$ -version of higher (resp. module, homology) topological complexity of X_0 , the rationalisation of X (resp. of X over \mathbb{Q}). We then establish several inequalities between these new invariants and the ordinary ones for Gorenstein spaces. We also highlight, in this context, the benefit of Adams-Hilton models (over any coefficients field) through two cases, the first one when the space is a 2-cell CW-complex and the second one when it is a suspension.

1 INTRODUCTION

Gorenstein spaces were introduced to provide new characterizations of spaces that satisfy Poincaré duality ([9], Theorem 3.1). It appears that they generalize Poincaré duality spaces ([9] Proposition 3.4, [11] Theorem A, [13] Proposition A). Particularly, they give so much interest to the invariant

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{C^*(X;\mathbb{K})}(\mathbb{K}, C^*(X;\mathbb{K})),$$

where \mathbb{K} is a field and $\mathcal{E}xt$ stands for the generalization by Eilenberg and Moore of the classical Ext functor to the category of modules over a differential graded algebra (cf §2).

Given a 1-connected space X with finite Betti numbers over a field \mathbb{K} , there is an isomorphism of (graded) \mathbb{K} -vector spaces ([9], Theorem 2.1)

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{E}xt_{C^*(X;\mathbb{K})}(\mathbb{K}, C^*(X;\mathbb{K})) \cong \mathcal{E}xt_{C_*(\Omega X;\mathbb{K})}(\mathbb{K}, C_*(\Omega X;\mathbb{K})).$$

The second author established the convergence of the cohomological Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence of $C_*(\Omega X;\mathbb{K})$ ([12], Proposition 3.3):

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{E}xt_{H_*(\Omega X;\mathbb{K})}^{p,q}(\mathbb{K}, H_*(\Omega X;\mathbb{K})) \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{C_*(\Omega X;\mathbb{K})}^{p+q}(\mathbb{K}, C_*(\Omega X;\mathbb{K}))$$

and associated, when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$ (or in the range of Anick (see §4.2)), to a given Sullivan minimal model $(\Delta V, d)$ of X the following spectral sequence:

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{E}xt_{(\Delta V, d_k)}^{p,q}(\mathbb{K}, (\Delta V, d_k)) \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{(\Delta V, d)}^{p+q}(\mathbb{K}, (\Delta V, d))$$

which turns out to be isomorphic to (2) and therefore gives an approximation of the invariant above. Here d_k is the first non-zero homogeneous part of the differential d .

Moreover, the Milnor-Moore spectral sequence serves to approximate LS-category (cf. [6], [8], [7]), an analogous work applied to the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence of $C_*(\Omega X;\mathbb{K})$ yields a homotopical invariant $\mathbf{r}(X, \mathbb{K})$ ([12]) that interpolates $\text{depth}(H_*(\Omega X;\mathbb{K}))$ and the Toomer invariant $e_{\mathbb{K}}(X)$. In this work, the commutative algebra structure of $(\Delta V, d)$ is crucial and in some way $\mathbf{r}(X, \mathbb{K})$ can be thought of as an $\mathcal{E}xt$ -version of $e_{\mathbb{K}}(X)$ ([12, Remark 3.4]).

Recently, J. Carrasquel, studied in [4] rational approximations of sectional category of any continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between finite type simply connected spaces ([10], §1 for definition). He especially uses the characterisation à la Félix-Halperin to give explicit definitions of $TC_n(X_0)$ (resp. $mTC_n(X)$, $HTC_n(X)$), higher (resp. module, homology) topological complexity of X_0 ($n \geq 2$). These homotopy

Address: Moulay Ismaïl University, Department of Mathematics B. P. 11 201 Zitoune, Meknès, Morocco

Emails: smail.benzaki@edu.umi.ac.ma and y.rami@umi.ac.ma

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 55M30; Secondary 55P62.

Key words and phrases. Gorenstein spaces, Higher Topological complexity, Ext Eilenberg-Moore functor.

invariants are indeed similar to $cat_{LS}(X_0)$, $mcat_{LS}(X)$ (the module LS-category) and $e_{\mathbb{K}}(X)$ respectively. He also remarked that since $\Delta_{X_0}^n = (\Delta_X^n)_0$, the rationalization of the n diagonal map Δ_X^n , then

$$nil \ker H^*(\Delta_X^n) = \sup\{m \mid (\ker H^*(\Delta_X^n))^m \neq 0\}$$

is in fact a lower bound of the above invariants.

In this paper, we first provide the \mathbb{Q} -vector space $A =: Hom_{(\Lambda V, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (\Lambda V, d))$ with a homotopy graded commutative differential algebra structure with unit denoted μ_A . We establish the compatibility of this structure with the (chain) evaluation map:

$$ev_{(\Lambda V, d)} : Hom_{(\Lambda V, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (\Lambda V, d)) \rightarrow H(\Lambda V, d)$$

introduced in [9] (see §1 for the definition). In the sequel, we adopt the notation $\mathcal{A} = H(A) = \mathcal{E}xt_{(\Lambda V, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (\Lambda V, d))$.

Our first main result is:

Theorem 1.1. *The \mathbb{Q} -vector space A endowed with the product $\mu_A := H^*(\mu_A)$ becomes a graded commutative algebra with unit. Moreover, the evaluation map*

$$ev_{(\Lambda V, d)} : \mathcal{E}xt_{(\Lambda V, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (\Lambda V, d)) \rightarrow H(\Lambda V, d)$$

is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra morphism.

Then, inspired by definitions of $TC_n(X_0)$, $mTC_n(X)$ and $HTC_n(X)$ we introduce their $\mathcal{E}xt$ -version in terms of A and \mathcal{A} respectively, as well as their lower bound, as follows:

(a): $TC_n^{\mathcal{E}xt}(X_0)$ is the least m such that the projection

$$\Gamma_m : (A^{\otimes n}, d) \rightarrow \left(\frac{A^{\otimes n}}{(\ker(\mu_{A, n}))^{m+1}}, \bar{d} \right)$$

admits a homotopy retraction.

(b): $mTC_n^{\mathcal{E}xt}(X)$ is the least m such that Γ_m admits a homotopy retraction as $(A^{\otimes n}, d)$ -module.

(c): $HTC_n^{\mathcal{E}xt}(X)$ is the least m such that $H(\Gamma_m)$ is injective.

(d): $nil \ker(\mu_{A, n})$ is the longest non trivial product of elements of $\ker(\mu_{A, n})$.

Next, using the evaluation map, our study of the aforementioned invariants would be carried over to the context of Gorenstein spaces over \mathbb{Q} (cf. §2) which generalize rationally elliptic spaces (i.e. spaces having both rational homotopy and cohomology finite-dimensional). Recall for instance that any 1-connected topological space X with finite-dimensional rational homotopy is Gorenstein and, $\dim H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$ if and only if $ev_{(\Lambda V, d)} \neq 0$ [11]. A recent paper by Félix and Halperin presents more general classes of spaces satisfying the property of Gorenstein [5].

Our second main result is stated as follows (cf. corollary 1):

Theorem 1.2. *For each of the following conditions:*

- (a) X is rationally elliptic,
- (b) $H_{>N}(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, for some N , and X is a Gorenstein space over \mathbb{Q} ,
- (c) X is a finite 1-connected CW-complex and its Spivak fiber F_X has finite dimensional cohomology,

we have $HTC_n^{\mathcal{E}xt}(X) \leq HTC_n(X)$ for any integer $n \geq 2$.

Moreover, for any minimal Sullivan model $(\Lambda V, d)$ of X , $N = fd(X)$ its formal dimension and $m = HTC_n(X_0)$, the equality holds if and only if $H^{nN-1}(\frac{(\Lambda V)^{\otimes n}}{(\ker \mu_n)^{m+1}}) = 0$.

To make this work constructive enough, we summarize in the next section most of the results established on algebras and Gorenstein spaces.

2.1 Eilenberg-Moore Ext: Fix \mathbb{K} any field.

A differential graded algebra (dga) is a graded algebra A together with a differential in A that is a derivation. A morphism of differential graded algebras $f : (A, d) \rightarrow (B, d)$ is a morphism of graded algebras compatible with the grading and d .

A (left) module over a differential graded algebra (A, d) is an A -module M , together with a differential d in M satisfying $d(a \cdot m) = da \cdot m + (-1)^{|a|} a \cdot dm$, $m \in M$, $a \in A$. A morphism of (left) modules over a dga (A, d) , is a morphism $f : (M, d) \rightarrow (N, d)$ of graded A -modules satisfying $df = (-1)^{|f|} f d$.

A left (A, d) -module (M, d) is semifree if it is the union of an increasing sequence $M(0) \subset M(1) \subset M(2) \cdots \subset M(n) \subset \cdots$ of sub (A, d) -modules such that $M(0)$ and each $M(i)/M(i-1)$ is A -free on a basis of cycles. Such an increasing sequence is called a semifree filtration of (M, d) .

A semifree resolution of an (A, d) -module (M, d) is an (A, d) -semifree module (P, d) together with a quasi-isomorphism (i.e. a morphism inducing an isomorphism in homology) $m : (P, d) \xrightarrow{\simeq} (M, d)$ of (A, d) -modules. $M(0)$ and each $M(i)/M(i-1)$ has the form $(A, d) \otimes (V(i), 0)$ where $V(i)$ is a free k -module. Thus the surjections $M(n) \rightarrow A \otimes V(n)$ split

$$M(n) = M(n-1) \oplus (A \otimes V(n)), \quad \text{and} \quad d : V(n) \rightarrow M(n-1).$$

Let (A, d) be a differential graded algebra and $(P, d) \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\mathbb{Q}, 0)$ is an (A, d) -semifree resolution of $(\mathbb{Q}, 0)$. This defines the graded (A, d) -module

$$Hom_A((P, d), (A, d)) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} Hom_A^{p,*}((P, d), (A, d)) = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} Hom_A(P^i, A^{i+p}),$$

endowed with the differential

$$D(f) = d \circ f - (-1)^p f \circ d; \quad f \in Hom_A^{p,*}((P, d), (A, d))$$

yields

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{(A,d)}(\mathbb{K}, (A, d)) = H^*(Hom_A((P, d), (A, d)), D).$$

This is an invariant up to homotopy of differential graded algebras (see [9, Appendix] or [5, Appendix]). A more useful property of this invariant reads as follows [9, Remark 1.3]: Let $(A, d) \xrightarrow{\simeq} (B, d)$ be a quasi-isomorphism of differential graded algebras, then $\mathcal{E}xt_{(A,d)}(\mathbb{K}, (A, d))$ is identified with $\mathcal{E}xt_{(B,d)}(\mathbb{K}, (B, d))$ via natural (induced) isomorphisms

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{E}xt_{(A,d)}(\mathbb{K}, (A, d)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{E}xt_{(A,d)}(\mathbb{K}, (B, d)) \xleftarrow{\cong} \mathcal{E}xt_{(B,d)}(\mathbb{K}, (B, d)).$$

2.2 Evaluation map and Gorenstein spaces. Let $\rho : (P, d) \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\mathbb{K}, 0)$ be a minimal (A, d) -semifree resolution of $(\mathbb{K}, 0)$. Consider the chain map

$$Hom_{(A,d)}((P, d), (A, d)) \longrightarrow (A, d)$$

given by $f \mapsto f(z)$, where $z \in P$ is a cocycle representing 1 in \mathbb{K} . Passing to homology, we obtain the natural map

$$ev_{(A,d)} : \mathcal{E}xt_{(A,d)}(\mathbb{K}, (A, d)) \longrightarrow H^*(A, d),$$

called the evaluation map of (A, d) . The definition of $ev_{(A,d)}$ is independent of the choice of (P, d) and z . The evaluation map of X over \mathbb{K} is by definition the evaluation map of $(C^*(X, \mathbb{K}), d)$.

A differential graded algebra (A, d) is called Gorenstein if the vector space $\mathcal{E}xt_{(A,d)}(\mathbb{K}, (A, d))$ has dimension one. A space is called Gorenstein over \mathbb{K} if the cochain algebra $C^*(X; \mathbb{K})$ is a Gorenstein algebra. For a simply connected finite CW complex, $C^*(X; \mathbb{K})$ is Gorenstein if and only if $H^*(X; \mathbb{K})$ is a Poincare duality algebra. If X is simply connected topological space X with $\dim \pi_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} < \infty$, then X is Gorenstein and we have $\dim H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$ if and only if $ev_{(\Lambda V, d)} \neq 0$.

3 THE \mathbb{Q} -ALGEBRA $\mathcal{E}xt_{(\Lambda V, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (\Lambda V, d))$

Along this section, the ground field is \mathbb{Q} . Let (X, x_0) be a based simply-connected finite type CW-complex and denote by $(S, d) =: (\Lambda V, d) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ its minimal Sullivan model. Recall that S has the structure of an (unitary) augmented commutative differential graded algebra with multiplication $\mu_S : S \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} S \rightarrow S$ induced by the diagonal map $\Delta_X : X \rightarrow X \times X$ and the augmentation $\varepsilon_S : (S, d) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}, 0)$ induced by $\iota : \{x_0\} \hookrightarrow X$. Thereafter, $(P, d) = (\Lambda V \otimes \Lambda sV, d) \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\mathbb{Q}, 0)$ will designate an (S, d) -semifree resolution of $(\mathbb{Q}, 0)$.

We next define on $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E}xt_{(S, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (S, d))$ a multiplicative structure denoted by $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$ and establish Theorem 1.2 in two parts. We first prove the

Theorem 3.1. *The \mathbb{Q} -vector space \mathcal{A} , endowed with $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$, is a graded commutative algebra with unit.*

Proof. Let $f, g : P \rightarrow S$ be representatives of two classes in $\mathcal{E}xt_S(\mathbb{Q}, S)$. Since S is commutative, the left S -module P is also a right S -module by setting $x \cdot a = (-1)^{|x||a|} a \cdot x$, $x \in P$ and $a \in S$.

• Multiplicative structure: First, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} f \otimes g : P \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} P &\longrightarrow S \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} S \\ x \otimes y &\longmapsto (-1)^{|g||x|} f(x) \otimes g(y), \end{aligned}$$

and I the ideal generated by $x \cdot a \otimes y - x \otimes a \cdot y$; $x, y \in P$ and $a \in S$. It is straightforward that the map $\mu_S \circ (f \otimes g)$ sends I to zero, which then induces on the quotient $P \otimes_S P = P \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} P / I$, the dashed map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} P & \xrightarrow{f \otimes g} & S \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} S & \xrightarrow{\mu_S} & S \\ \downarrow & & & \nearrow & \\ P \otimes_S P & & & \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(f \otimes g) & \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(f \otimes g) &=: f \cdot g : P \otimes_S P \longrightarrow S \\ x \otimes y &\longmapsto (-1)^{|g||x|} f(x)g(y), \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $a \in S$ and $x, y \in P$, we have $(f \cdot g)((a \cdot x) \otimes y) = (-1)^{|f \cdot g||a|} a(f \cdot g)(x \otimes y)$. Therefore $f \cdot g$ is an S -morphism.

Next, we show that $Q = P \otimes_S P$ is an S -semifree resolution. Recall that a semifree resolution (P, d) of \mathbb{Q} has the form $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} S$ with $V = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{+\infty} V(i)$ and each $V(i)$ is a free graded \mathbb{Q} -module and $d : V(k) \rightarrow P(k-1)$, with the semifree filtration given by $P(k) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^k V(i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} S$. Therefore,

$$Q = (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} S) \otimes_S (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} S) = (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} S.$$

Denote then $W = V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} V$ and put $Q(k) = \bigotimes_{i=0}^k W(i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} S$ where $W(l) = \bigoplus_{i+j=l} V(i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} V(j)$ is obviously a free graded \mathbb{Q} -module since each $V(i)$ is. For any $x \otimes y \in V(i) \otimes V(j)$, we verify easily that

$$dx \otimes y \in P(i-1) \otimes V(j) \subseteq Q(k-1) \text{ and } x \otimes dy \in V(i) \otimes P(j-1) \subseteq Q(k-1),$$

whence $D : W(k) \rightarrow Q(k-1)$. It results that $(Q, D) \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\mathbb{Q}, 0)$ is an S -semi-free resolution of $(\mathbb{Q}, 0)$. This defines a homotopy multiplication on $\mathcal{A} = \text{Hom}_{(\Lambda V, d)}(\Lambda V \otimes \Lambda sV, \Lambda V)$ and when passing to cohomology we acquire a well-defined map of vector spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{E}xt_{(\Lambda V, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (\Lambda V, d)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{E}xt_{(\Lambda V, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (\Lambda V, d)) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{(\Lambda V, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (\Lambda V, d)) \\ [f] \otimes [g] &\longmapsto [f \cdot g] \end{aligned}$$

which gives rise to a multiplication in $\mathcal{E}xt_{(\Lambda V, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (\Lambda V, d))$ and we can readily check that it is associative since the product in ΛV is.

• Unit element:

Let $\varepsilon : \Lambda V \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ be the augmentation. We know that $P = \Lambda V \otimes \Lambda sV$ is a $(\Lambda V, d)$ -semifree resolution of $(\mathbb{Q}, 0)$.

We extend ε to $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon_{\Lambda sV} : \Lambda V \otimes \Lambda sV \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, then we compose it with the injection $i : \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \Lambda V$ and obtain $\tilde{\varepsilon} : \Lambda V \otimes \Lambda sV \rightarrow \Lambda V$ a representative of a class in $\mathcal{E}xt_{(\Lambda V, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (\Lambda V, d))$. Now, for $f : \Lambda V \otimes \Lambda sV \rightarrow \Lambda V$, a representative of an arbitrary class in $\mathcal{E}xt_{(\Lambda V, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (\Lambda V, d))$, we have

$$f \cdot \tilde{\varepsilon} : (\Lambda V \otimes \Lambda sV) \otimes_{\Lambda V} (\Lambda V \otimes \Lambda sV) = \Lambda V \otimes \Lambda sV \otimes \Lambda sV \rightarrow \Lambda V$$

and the map

$$\begin{aligned} \theta = Id_{\Lambda V \otimes \Lambda sV} \otimes \varepsilon_{\Lambda sV} : \Lambda V \otimes \Lambda sV \otimes \Lambda sV &\longrightarrow \Lambda V \otimes \Lambda sV \\ 1 \otimes sv \otimes 1 &\longmapsto 1 \otimes sv; \\ 1 \otimes sv \otimes sw &\longmapsto 0; \\ 1 \otimes 1 \otimes sv &\longmapsto 0 \end{aligned}$$

makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Q} & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & \Lambda V \otimes \Lambda sV \\ \simeq \uparrow & \nearrow \theta & \downarrow f \\ \Lambda V \otimes \Lambda sV \otimes \Lambda sV & \xrightarrow{f \cdot \tilde{\varepsilon}} & \Lambda V. \end{array}$$

Thus, it defines a homotopy unit element for $\mathbb{A} = Hom_{(\Lambda V, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (\Lambda V, d))$. Passing to cohomology, we get $[f] \cdot [\tilde{\varepsilon}] = [f]$ and similarly $[\tilde{\varepsilon}] \cdot [f] = [f]$. Henceforth, the class $[\tilde{\varepsilon}]$ defines a unit element for $\mu_{\mathbb{A}}$.

• Commutativity: Let τ be the flip map $\tau : P \otimes_S P \rightarrow P \otimes_S P$; $x \otimes y \mapsto (-1)^{|x||y|} y \otimes x$. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & P \otimes_S P \\ & \nearrow \tau & \downarrow (-1)^{|f||g|} g \cdot f \\ P \otimes_S P & \xrightarrow{f \cdot g} & \Lambda V \end{array}$$

is commutative.

clearly τ being a quasi-isomorphism, $f \cdot g \sim g \cdot f$ and $[f \cdot g] = (-1)^{|f||g|} [g \cdot f]$ so that, the multiplication on \mathbb{A} is homotopy commutative and that on \mathcal{A} is indeed commutative.

We respectively conclude that \mathbb{A} is a homotopy commutative differential graded algebra with unit and $\mathcal{E}xt_{(\Lambda V, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (\Lambda V, d))$ is a graded commutative \mathbb{Q} -algebra with unit. \square

Now, a bridge between the graded commutative algebras $(\mathcal{A}, \mu_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}), \smile)$ is given by the second part of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 3.2. *The evaluation map $ev_{(\Lambda V, d)} : \mathcal{E}xt_{(\Lambda V, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (\Lambda V, d)) \rightarrow H(\Lambda V, d)$ is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra morphism.*

Proof. The multiplication map $\mu_{\Lambda V} : (\Lambda V, d) \otimes (\Lambda V, d) \rightarrow (\Lambda V, d)$ is a relative Sullivan model of the diagonal map $\Delta_X : X \rightarrow X \times X$. Therefore we have a homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Lambda V, d) \otimes (\Lambda V, d) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\Lambda V}} & (\Lambda V, d) \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ A_{PL}(X \otimes X) & \xrightarrow{A_{PL}(\Delta_X)} & A_{PL}(X), \end{array}$$

and composing with the (cochain) evaluation map, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Hom_{\Lambda V}((P, d), (\Lambda V, d)) \otimes Hom_{\Lambda V}((P, d), (\Lambda V, d)) & \longrightarrow & Hom_{\Lambda V}((Q, d), (\Lambda V, d)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(\Lambda V, d) \otimes (\Lambda V, d) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\Lambda V}} & (\Lambda V, d) \\
\downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\
A_{PL}(X \times X) & \xrightarrow{A_{PL}(\Delta_X)} & A_{PL}(X).
\end{array}$$

Passing to homology yields the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Ext_{(\Lambda V, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (\Lambda V, d)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} Ext_{(\Lambda V, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (\Lambda V, d)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_A} & Ext_{(\Lambda V, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (\Lambda V, d)) \\
\downarrow ev \otimes ev & & \downarrow ev \\
H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\smile} & H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}),
\end{array}$$

therefore, the evaluation map is a morphism of algebras. \square

4 USE OF THE ADAMS-HILTON MODEL.

The Adams-Hilton model has proved to be a powerful tool for calculating the Pontryagin algebra of CW-complexes. There are spaces having Adams-Hilton models that are well-adapted to computations. In this section, we work with such spaces and investigate the structure of \mathcal{A} .

Recall that the Adams-Hilton model (cf. [1]), over any field \mathbb{K} , of a simply connected finite type CW-complex X is a chain algebra morphism $\theta_X : (TV, d) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C_*(\Omega X)$ such that $H_*(\theta_X)$ is an isomorphism of graded algebras with V such that $H_{i-1}(V, d_1) \cong H_i(X; \mathbb{K})$ and $d_1 : V \rightarrow V$ is the linear part of d .

4.1 Case of a suspension. In connection with what has been achieved in the previous section, we fix $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$ and $(\Lambda W, d)$ a minimal Sullivan model of X . By (1), we have:

$$(5) \quad Ext_{(\Lambda W, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (\Lambda W, d)) \cong Ext_{(TV, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (TV, d)).$$

Now let $Y = \Sigma X$ be the suspension of X and (S, d) a Sullivan model for Y , and $\sigma : X \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma X$ be the adjoint of $id_{\Sigma X}$, it induces a morphism of graded modules

$$\sigma_* : H_*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H_*(\Omega \Sigma X; \mathbb{Q}),$$

and σ_* extends to a morphism of graded algebras

$$T(\sigma_*) : TH_*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H_*(\Omega \Sigma X; \mathbb{Q}).$$

If $H_*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ is a free graded \mathbb{Q} -modules, then $T(\sigma_*)$ is an isomorphism of algebras. Therefore ([3])

$$(TH_*(X; \mathbb{Q}), 0) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C_*(\Omega \Sigma X; \mathbb{Q})$$

is an Adams-Hilton model of ΣX .

Put $V = H_*(X; \mathbb{Q})$. Then $Ext_{C_*(\Omega \Sigma X; \mathbb{Q})}(\mathbb{Q}, C_*(\Omega \Sigma X; \mathbb{Q}))$ reduces to ordinary Ext

$$Ext_{TV}(\mathbb{Q}, TV) = H(Hom_{TV}(TV \otimes (sV \oplus \mathbb{Q}), TV)).$$

We obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Ext}_{TV}(\mathbb{Q}, TV) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Ext}_{TV}(\mathbb{Q}, TV) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}} & \text{Ext}_{TV}(\mathbb{Q}, TV) \\
\cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\
\mathcal{E}xt_{(S,d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (S, d)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{E}xt_{(S,d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (S, d)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}} & \mathcal{E}xt_{(S,d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (S, d))
\end{array}$$

This permits the use of Adams-Hilton models to explicitly describe the algebra structure on \mathcal{A} in this case.

4.2 When X is an n -cell CW complex. In this subsection, we showcase another use of the Adams-Hilton models to help picture the algebra structure on \mathcal{A} . Indeed, referring to [2], for any q -connected ($q \geq 1$) finite CW-complex X and \mathbb{K} a coefficients field with finite characteristic satisfying $\dim X \leq q \cdot \text{char}(\mathbb{K})$, there exist a minimal Sullivan model $(\Lambda W, d) \xrightarrow{\cong} C^*(X, \mathbb{K})$. such a space is said to be in the range of Anick. Thus, by (4), for any free model (TV, ∂) of X we have the isomorphism:

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{E}xt_{(\Lambda W, d)}(\mathbb{K}, (\Lambda W, d)) \cong \mathcal{E}xt_{(TV, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (TV, d)).$$

which allows $\mathcal{E}xt_{(TV, d)}(\mathbb{Q}, (TV, d))$ to inherit a commutative algebra structure with unit and therefore permits, again, the use of free models to explicitly determine the various defined invariants.

To simplify computations, we restrict to a 2-cell complex. Then let $X = S^m \cup_{\varphi} e^{m+1}$, $m \geq 2$, be the space where the cell e^{m+1} is attached by a map of degree r . For any coefficient field \mathbb{K} , the Adams-Hilton model of X is (TV, d) where V is the \mathbb{K} -vector space generated by a and a' with $\text{deg}(a) = m-1$, $\text{deg}(a') = m$, $da = 0$ and $da' = -ra$.

The acyclic closure of \mathbb{K} has the form $(TV \otimes (\mathbb{K} \oplus sV), \delta)$, where the differential δ is given in terms of that of TV by $\delta s + sd = id$. That is, for any element $z \otimes sv$ of $TV \otimes (\mathbb{K} \oplus sV)$, we have:

$$\delta(z \otimes sv) = dz \otimes sv + (-1)^{|z|} zv \otimes 1 - (-1)^{|z|} z \otimes sdv.$$

Now, since $\text{deg}(d) = -1$, $\mathcal{A}_* = \left(\text{Hom}_{(TV, d)}((TV \otimes (\mathbb{K} \oplus sV), \delta), (TV, d)), D \right)$ is a DGA_* in the sense of [9] which leads to DGA^* applying the convention $\mathcal{A}^{-q} = \mathcal{A}_q$, for all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. This define the cohomology \mathbb{K} -modules:

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{(TV, d)}^{-p}(\mathbb{Q}, (TV, d)) = H_p \left(\text{Hom}_{(TV, d)}((TV \otimes (\mathbb{K} \oplus sV), \delta), (TV, d)), D \right)$$

We shall describe $\text{Im}(D)$ and $\text{Ker}(D)$ for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}$:

An element f in $\text{Hom}_{(TV, d)}^p((TV \otimes (\mathbb{K} \oplus sV), \delta), (TV, d))$ is entirely determined by its image of $1 \otimes (\mathbb{Q} \oplus sV)$ since $TV \otimes (\mathbb{K} \oplus sV)$ is a left (TV, d) -module acting on the first factor. Therefore,

(a) $\text{Im}(D)$: An element g in $\text{Im}(D)$ has the form $g = D(f)$ for a certain f , and satisfies the following

$$\begin{aligned}
(D(f))(1 \otimes sv) &= d \circ f(1 \otimes sv) - (-1)^p f \circ \delta(1 \otimes sv) \\
&= df(1 \otimes sv) - (-1)^{p(|v|+1)} v f(1) + (-1)^p f(1 \otimes sdv).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently:

$$(7) \quad g = D(f) \Leftrightarrow g(1 \otimes sv) = df(1 \otimes sv) - (-1)^{p(|v|+1)} v f(1) + (-1)^p f(1 \otimes sdv).$$

(b) $\text{Ker}(D)$: Let $f \in \ker D$ is such that:

$$\begin{cases} (Df)(1) = 0 \\ (Df)(1 \otimes sa) = 0 \\ (Df)(1 \otimes sa') = 0. \end{cases}$$

Applying equation (7) to both a and a' respectively yield

$$(D(f))(1 \otimes sa) = df(1 \otimes sa) - (-1)^{pm} a f(1) = 0,$$

and

$$(D(f))(1 \otimes sa') = df(1 \otimes sa') - (-1)^{pr}rf(1 \otimes sa) - (-1)^{p(m+1)}a'f(1) = 0.$$

Since the converse is clearly satisfied, we conclude that

$$(8) \quad f \in \ker D \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} d(f(1)) = 0 \\ df(1 \otimes sa) = (-1)^{pm}af(1) \\ df(1 \otimes sa') = (-1)^{p(m+1)}a'f(1) + (-1)^{pr}rf(1 \otimes sa). \end{cases}$$

Remark first that, by (1), we have X is a Gorenstein space over \mathbb{K} if and only if (TV, d) is a Gorenstein graded algebra. Hence, since X is a finite CW-complex, it satisfies hypothesis of [9, Theorem 3.1] which states that X is a Gorenstein space over \mathbb{K} if and only if $H^*(X, \mathbb{K})$ is a Poincaré duality algebra. Recall that to any pointed topological space, it is associated an invariant called *formal dimension* with respect to a field \mathbb{K} defined as follows

$$fd(X, \mathbb{K}) = \sup\{N \in \mathbb{Z} \mid [\mathcal{E}xt_{(TV,d)}^p(\mathbb{K}, (TV, d))]^N \neq 0\}.$$

or $fd(X, \mathbb{K}) = -\infty$ if such integer does not exist ([9]). Clearly, when (TV, d) is a Gorenstein graded algebra, we have necessarily $[\mathcal{E}xt_{(TV,d)}(\mathbb{K}, (TV, d))]^N = \mathcal{E}xt_{(TV,d)}^N(\mathbb{K}, (TV, d)) \cong \mathbb{K}$ with $N = fd(X, \mathbb{K})$.

We have to discuss two cases:

- (i) If $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$ or co-prime with r , we have $H^*(X, \mathbb{K}) = H^0(X, \mathbb{K}) \cong \mathbb{K}$. In this case, $H^*(X, \mathbb{K})$ is clearly Poincaré duality space with formal dimension $fd(X) = 0$ ([9, Proposition 5.1]). Therefore, $\mathcal{E}xt_{(TV,d)}^k(\mathbb{Q}, (TV, d)) = 0$, for all $k > 0$.
- (ii) If $\text{char}(\mathbb{K})$ divides r so that $r \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{char}(\mathbb{K})}$ and then, $da = da' = 0$. Thus, $H^*(X, \mathbb{K}) = H^0(X, \mathbb{K}) \oplus H^m(X, \mathbb{K}) \oplus H^{m+1}(X, \mathbb{K}) \cong \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}$ which is neither a Poincaré duality space nor a Gorenstein space [5, Theorem 1]. In this case, $fd(X) = m + 1$ ([9, Proposition 5.1], so that $\mathcal{E}xt_{(TV,d)}^k(\mathbb{Q}, (TV, d)) = 0, \forall k > m + 1$.

Example: In this example, we give an explicit computation of $\mathcal{E}xt_{(TV,d)}^*(\mathbb{K}, (TV, d))$.

Suppose $m = 2$, so we have $|a| = 1$ and $|a'| = 2$, $X = S^2 \cup_{\varphi} e^3$.

i. Assume that $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$ or co-prime with r so that r is invertible (we specialize in the case where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$).

Let f be a cycle of degree 0, we have $df(1) = 0$, then $f(1)$ can only be a scalar $f(1) = \gamma$. The second equation in (8) implies that $df(sa) = \gamma a$, therefore $f(sa) = -\frac{\gamma}{r}a' + \gamma a^2$. The last equation in (8) gives, after a simple simplification, $df(sa') = r\gamma a^2$, then $f(sa') = -\gamma_1' a' \cdot a + \gamma_2' a \cdot a' + \gamma'' a^3$,

$$\text{Ker}(D) = \frac{\mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}a' \oplus \mathbb{Q}a^2 \oplus \mathbb{Q}a' \cdot a \oplus \mathbb{Q}a \cdot a' \oplus \mathbb{Q}a^3}{\langle x_1 = -rx_2; x_3 = -x_4 + x_5 \rangle}.$$

Now let g be an arbitrary element of degree 1

$$\begin{cases} g(1) = \alpha_1 a \\ g(sa) = \alpha_2 a' \cdot a + \alpha_3 a \cdot a' + \alpha_4 a^3 \\ g(sa') = \alpha_5 a'^2 + \alpha_6 a' \cdot a^2 + \alpha_7 a \cdot a' \cdot a + \alpha_8 a^2 \cdot a' + \alpha_9 a^4, \end{cases}$$

hence

$$\begin{cases} D(g)(1) = 0 \\ D(g)(sa) = (-\alpha_1 - r\alpha_2 + r\alpha_3)a^2 \\ D(g)(sa') = (\alpha_1 + r\alpha_2 - r\alpha_5)a' \cdot a + (r\alpha_3 - r\alpha_5)a \cdot a' + (r\alpha_4 - r\alpha_6 + r\alpha_7 - r\alpha_8)a^3, \end{cases}$$

therefore

$$\text{Im}(D) = \frac{\mathbb{Q}a^2 \oplus \mathbb{Q}a' \cdot a \oplus \mathbb{Q}a \cdot a' \oplus \mathbb{Q}a^3}{\langle x_1 = -x_2 + x_3 \rangle}.$$

We consequently obtain $\mathcal{E}xt_{(TV,d)}^0(\mathbb{Q}, (TV, d)) = \mathbb{Q}$.

Now let f be a cycle of degree 1, $df(1) = 0$ implies $f(1) = \gamma a$. With the same argument as in degree 0, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} f(1) = \gamma a \\ f(sa) = -\frac{\gamma_1}{r}a' \cdot a + \frac{\gamma_2}{r}a \cdot a' + \gamma' a^3 \\ f(sa') = \frac{\gamma_2}{r}a'^2 + \gamma'_1 a' \cdot a^2 - \gamma'_2 a \cdot a' \cdot a + \gamma'_3 a^2 \cdot a' + \gamma'' a^4, \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ and $\gamma' = \gamma'_1 + \gamma'_2 + \gamma'_3$. Then

$$Ker(D) = \frac{\mathbb{Q}a \oplus \mathbb{Q}a' \cdot a \oplus \mathbb{Q}a \cdot a' \oplus \mathbb{Q}a^3 \oplus \mathbb{Q}a'^2 \oplus \mathbb{Q}a' \cdot a^2 \oplus \mathbb{Q}a \cdot a' \cdot a \oplus \mathbb{Q}a^2 \cdot a' \oplus \mathbb{Q}a^4}{\langle x_1 = -rx_2 + rx_3; x_3 = x_5; x_4 = x_6 - x_7 + x_8 \rangle}.$$

We take an arbitrary element of degree 2, applying the same argument yields

$$Im(D) = \frac{\mathbb{Q}a \oplus \mathbb{Q}a' \cdot a \oplus \mathbb{Q}a \cdot a' \oplus \mathbb{Q}a^3 \oplus \mathbb{Q}a'^2 \oplus \mathbb{Q}a' \cdot a^2 \oplus \mathbb{Q}a \cdot a' \cdot a \oplus \mathbb{Q}a^2 \cdot a' \oplus \mathbb{Q}a^4}{\langle x_1 = -rx_2 + rx_3; x_3 = x_5; x_4 = x_6 - x_7 + x_8 \rangle},$$

therefore $\mathcal{E}xt_{(TV,d)}^{-1}(\mathbb{Q}, (TV, d)) = 0$.

Similarly, we can conclude that $\mathcal{E}xt_{(TV,d)}^{-i}(\mathbb{Q}, (TV, d)) = 0$ for any $i > 0$. On the other hand, for $i < 0$, the same computations imply that $\mathcal{E}xt_{(TV,d)}^{-i}(\mathbb{Q}, (TV, d)) = 0$.

ii. Assume $char(\mathbb{K})$ divides r so that $r = 0 \pmod{char(\mathbb{K})}$ and $da = da' = 0$.

Let f be a cycle of degree 0, then we have $df(1) = 0$, so $f(1)$ can only be a scalar, $f(1) = \gamma$. The second equation in (8) implies $df(sa) = \gamma a$, therefore by degree reason, $f(sa) = \gamma' a' + \gamma'' a^2$, which implies that $df(sa) = 0$ hence, $\gamma = 0$ and $f(1) = 0$. The last equation in (8) gives $df(sa') = \gamma a' = 0$, then, also by degree reason, $f(sa') = \gamma'_1 a' \cdot a + \gamma'_2 a \cdot a' + \gamma'' a^3$. Consequently,

$$Ker(D) = \mathbb{K}a^2 \oplus \mathbb{K}a' \oplus \mathbb{K}a' \cdot a \oplus \mathbb{K}a \cdot a' \oplus \mathbb{K}a^3.$$

Now let g be an arbitrary element of degree 1

$$\begin{cases} g(1) = \alpha_1 a \\ g(sa) = \alpha_2 a' \cdot a + \alpha_3 a \cdot a' + \alpha_4 a^3 \\ g(sa') = \alpha_5 a'^2 + \alpha_6 a' \cdot a^2 + \alpha_7 a \cdot a' \cdot a + \alpha_8 a^2 \cdot a' + \alpha_9 a^4, \end{cases}$$

hence

$$\begin{cases} D(g)(1) = 0 \\ D(g)(sa) = -ag(1) = -\alpha_1 a^2 \\ D(g)(sa') = a'g(1) = \alpha_1 a' \cdot a, \end{cases}$$

therefore

$$Im(D) = \frac{\mathbb{K}a^2 \oplus \mathbb{K}a' \cdot a}{\langle x_1 = -x_2 \rangle} \cong \mathbb{K}(a' \cdot a - a^2).$$

we obtain $\mathcal{E}xt_{(TV,d)}^0(\mathbb{K}, (TV, d)) \cong \mathbb{K}a^2 \oplus \mathbb{K}a' \oplus \mathbb{K}a \cdot a' \oplus \mathbb{K}a^3 \cong \mathbb{K}^4$.

Now let f be a cycle of degree 1, then we have $df(1) = 0$, so $f(1) = \gamma a$. Following the same path, we get

$$\begin{cases} f(1) = 0 \\ f(sa) = \gamma_1 a' \cdot a + \gamma_2 a \cdot a' + \gamma_3 a^3 \\ f(sa') = \gamma_4 a'^2 + \gamma_5 a' \cdot a^2 + \gamma_6 a \cdot a' \cdot a + \gamma_7 a^2 \cdot a' + \gamma_8 a^4, \end{cases}$$

$$Ker(D) = \mathbb{K}a^3 \oplus \mathbb{K}a \cdot a' \oplus \mathbb{K}a' \cdot a \oplus \mathbb{K}a'^2 \oplus \mathbb{K}a' \cdot a^2 \oplus \mathbb{K}a \cdot a' \cdot a \oplus \mathbb{K}a^2 \cdot a' \oplus \mathbb{K}a^4.$$

An application of the same argument to an arbitrary element of degree 2 yields

$$Im(D) = \frac{\mathbb{K}a^3 \oplus \mathbb{K}a' \cdot a^2}{\langle x_1 = -x_2 \rangle} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{K}a'^2 \oplus \mathbb{K}a \cdot a'}{\langle x_3 = x_4 \rangle} \cong \mathbb{K}(a^3 - a' \cdot a^2) \oplus \mathbb{K}(a'^2 - a \cdot a').$$

In consequence

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{(TV,d)}^{-1}(\mathbb{K}, (TV, d)) \cong \mathbb{K}a^3 \oplus \mathbb{K}a \cdot a' \oplus \mathbb{K}a' \cdot a \oplus \mathbb{K}a \cdot a' \cdot a \oplus \mathbb{K}a^2 \cdot a' \oplus \mathbb{K}a^4 \cong \mathbb{K}^6.$$

An identical argument allows us to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}xt_{(TV,d)}^{-2}(\mathbb{K}, (TV, d)) &\cong \mathbb{K}a^4 \oplus \mathbb{K}a^2 \cdot a' \oplus \mathbb{K}a \cdot a' \cdot a \oplus \mathbb{K}a' \cdot a^2 \oplus \mathbb{K}a'^2 \oplus \\ &\quad \mathbb{K}a \cdot a'^2 \oplus \mathbb{K}a^3 \cdot a' \oplus \mathbb{K}a^2 \cdot a' \cdot a \oplus \mathbb{K}a \cdot a' \cdot a^2 \oplus \mathbb{K}a^5 \\ &\cong \mathbb{K}^{10}. \end{aligned}$$

From the previous cases, it is obvious that $\mathcal{E}xt_{(TV,d)}^{-i}(\mathbb{K}, (TV, d)) \neq 0$, $\forall i \geq 0$. It remains to treat cases where $i = -1, -2, -3$. Applying the same arguments we obtain successively $\mathcal{E}xt_{(TV,d)}^1(\mathbb{K}, (TV, d)) \cong \mathbb{K}a' \oplus \mathbb{K}a^2$; $\mathcal{E}xt_{(TV,d)}^2(\mathbb{K}, (TV, d)) \cong \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}a$ and $\mathcal{E}xt_{(TV,d)}^3(\mathbb{K}, (TV, d)) \cong \mathbb{K}$.

However, with these computing techniques at hand, we acquire the following $\mathcal{E}xt_{(TV,d)}^i(\mathbb{K}, (TV, d)) = 0$, $\forall i \geq 4$.

5 $\mathcal{E}xt$ -HOMOLOGY TOPOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY

Let (A, d) be any commutative differential graded algebra model for a space X , $(\Lambda V, d)$ its minimal Sullivan model and $\theta : (\Lambda V, d) \xrightarrow{\sim} (A, d)$ a quasi-isomorphism. Referring to [4], the cdga morphism

$$\mu_n^\theta := (\text{Id}_A, \theta, \dots, \theta) : (A, d) \otimes (\Lambda V, d)^{\otimes n-1} \rightarrow (A, d)$$

is a special model, called an s -model, for the path fibration $\pi_n : X^I \rightarrow X^n$. This allows the following:

Definition 5.1. (a): $\text{TC}_n(X_0)$ is the least m such that the projection

$$\rho_m : \left(A \otimes (\Lambda V)^{\otimes n-1}, d \right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{A \otimes (\Lambda V)^{\otimes n-1}}{(\ker \mu_n^\theta)^{m+1}}, \bar{d} \right)$$

admits an algebra retraction.

(b): $\text{mTC}_n(X)$ is the least m such that ρ_m admits a retraction as $(A \otimes (\Lambda V)^{\otimes n-1}, d)$ -module.

(c): $\text{HTC}_n(X)$ is the least m such that $H(\rho_m)$ is injective.

(d): $\text{nil ker } H^*(\Delta_X^n, \mathbb{Q})$ is the longest non trivial product of elements of $\ker H^*(\Delta_X^n, \mathbb{Q})$.

It is well known (cf. for instance [4]) that:

$$(9) \quad \text{nil ker } H^*(\Delta_X^n, \mathbb{Q}) \leq \text{HTC}_n(X) \leq \text{mTC}_n(X) \leq \text{TC}_n(X_0) \leq \text{TC}_n(X).$$

Now, if we take θ to be the identity of ΛV , $\mu_n^\theta = \mu_n^{\text{Id}_{\Lambda V}}$ becomes the n -fold multiplication in ΛV denoted by $\mu_n : (\Lambda V)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \Lambda V$. Therefore, $\text{nil ker } H^*(\Delta_X^n, \mathbb{Q}) = \text{nil ker } H^*(\mu_n)$.

In a similar way, we put $\mu_{A,n} : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{A},n} : \mathcal{A}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ where $A = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda V}((P, d), (\Lambda V, d))$ and $\mathcal{A} := H(A) = \mathcal{E}xt_{(\Lambda V, d)}((P, d), (\Lambda V, d))$. Then (cf. Introduction), inspired by the above definition, we introduce the following $\mathcal{E}xt$ -version of the well-known previous invariants:

Definition 5.2. (a): $\text{TC}_n^{\mathcal{E}xt}(X_0)$ is the least m such that the projection

$$\Gamma_m : (A^{\otimes n}, d) \rightarrow \left(\frac{A^{\otimes n}}{(\ker(\mu_{A,n}))^{m+1}}, \bar{d} \right)$$

admits a homotopy retraction.

(b): $\text{mTC}_n^{\mathcal{E}xt}(X)$ is the least m such that Γ_m admits a homotopy retraction as $(A^{\otimes n}, d)$ -module.

(c): $\text{HTC}_n^{\mathcal{E}xt}(X)$ is the least m such that $H(\Gamma_m)$ is injective.

(d): $\text{nil ker } (\mu_{A,n}, \mathbb{Q})$ is the longest non trivial product of elements of $\ker(\mu_{A,n})$.

The same arguments used to establish the inequalities in (9) allows the following:

$$(10) \quad \text{nil ker } (\mu_{A,n}, \mathbb{Q}) \leq \text{HTC}_n^{\mathcal{E}xt}(X) \leq \text{mTC}_n^{\mathcal{E}xt}(X) \leq \text{TC}_n^{\mathcal{E}xt}(X_0).$$

The projections

$$\Gamma_m : (A^{\otimes n}, d) \rightarrow \left(\frac{A^{\otimes n}}{(\ker(\mu_{A,n}))^{m+1}}, \bar{d} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_m : ((\Lambda V)^{\otimes n}, d) \rightarrow \left(\frac{(\Lambda V)^{\otimes n}}{(\ker \mu_n)^{m+1}}, \bar{d} \right)$$

induce two short exact sequences linked by (chain) evaluation maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & (\ker(\mu_{A,n}))^{m+1} & \longrightarrow & A^{\otimes n} & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_m} & \frac{A^{\otimes n}}{(\ker(\mu_{A,n}))^{m+1}} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (\ker \mu_n)^{m+1} & \longrightarrow & (\Lambda V)^{\otimes n} & \xrightarrow{\rho_m} & \frac{(\Lambda V)^{\otimes n}}{(\ker \mu_n)^{m+1}} \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

As a consequence, we have:

Theorem 5.1. *Let X be a 1-connected finite type CW-complex. If X is a Gorenstein space over \mathbb{Q} and $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ satisfies Poincaré duality property, then $HTC_n^{\mathcal{E}xt}(X) \leq HTC_n(X)$ for any integer $n \geq 2$. The equality holds if and only if $H^{nN-1}(\frac{(\Lambda V)^{\otimes n}}{(\ker \mu_n)^{m+1}}) = 0$.*

Before giving the proof, we list as a corollary, almost all known cases where X satisfies hypothesis of the theorem.

Corollary 1. *For each of the following conditions:*

- (a) X is rationally elliptic,
- (b) $H_{>N}(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, for some N , and X is a Gorenstein space over \mathbb{Q} ,
- (c) X is a finite 1-connected CW-complex and its Spivak fiber F_X has finite dimensional cohomology,

we have $HTC_n^{\mathcal{E}xt}(X) \leq HTC_n(X)$, for any integer $n \geq 2$.

Recall from [9] that for a finite n -dimensional subcomplex X (i.e. an n -dimensional CW-complex of \mathbb{R}^{n+k}), its Spivak fiber F_X is the homotopy fiber of the inclusion of the boundary of a regular neighbourhood of X . It is a homotopy invariant introduced by M. Spivak in [14] where it is shown that X is a Poincaré complex if and only if F_X is a homotopy sphere. For the sake of completeness, we present a sketch of the proof for each case cited in the corollary (referring essentially to [9]):

- (a) If X is rationally elliptic, then it is Gorenstein thanks to [9, Proposition 3.4] and by [9, Theorem 3.6], its cohomology satisfies Poincaré duality property (cf. also [13, Lemme 3.1]).
- (b) Referring to [5], the (general) recent result about "Gorenstein condition" (cf. also [9, Theorem 3.6]), under the hypothesis $H_{>N}(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for some N , X is a Gorenstein space over \mathbb{Q} if and only if $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is Poincaré duality algebra.
- (c) This is a consequence of [9, Corollary 4.5] and the fact that $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is Poincaré duality algebra implies $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is Gorenstein algebra implies that X is Gorenstein over \mathbb{Q} [9, Proposition 3.2].

Proof. (of Theorem 5.1): Let $(\Lambda V, d)$ the minimal Sullivan model of X . Since X is Gorenstein, $\mathcal{E}xt_{\Lambda V}^*(\mathbb{Q}, \Lambda V)$ is one dimensional concentrated in degree N , the formal dimension (cf. §5, [9]). Now, $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ being a Poincaré duality algebra, it is finite dimensional, hence by [9, Proposition 5.1], $N = \sup\{r \mid H^r(X, \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0\}$. In particular, the (long) exact cohomology sequence associated to

$$0 \rightarrow (\ker(\mu_{A,n}))^{m+1} \rightarrow A^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{\Gamma_m} \frac{A^{\otimes n}}{(\ker(\mu_{A,n}))^{m+1}} \rightarrow 0$$

is indeed short and concentrated at degree nN . Consequently, it suffices to consider (Definition 5.2 (c)) at degree nN . Moreover, since X is 1-connected, passing to cohomology, the above diagram gives two

short exact sequences linked by evaluation maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H^{nN}(\ker(\mu_{A,n})^{m+1}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{A}^N)^{\otimes n} & \xrightarrow{H^{nN}(\Gamma_m)} & H^{nN}\left(\frac{A^{\otimes n}}{\ker(\mu_{A,n})^{m+1}}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ev}_{(\Lambda V, d)}^{\otimes n} & & \downarrow \\
H^{nN-1}\left(\frac{(\Lambda V)^{\otimes n}}{(\ker \mu_n)^{m+1}}\right) & \longrightarrow & H^{nN}((\ker \mu_n)^{m+1}) & \longrightarrow & H^N(\Lambda V)^{\otimes n} & \xrightarrow{H^{nN}(\rho_m)} & H^{nN}\left(\frac{(\Lambda V)^{\otimes n}}{(\ker \mu_n)^{m+1}}\right) \longrightarrow 0,
\end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrow $ev_{(\Lambda V, d)}^{\otimes n}$ is an isomorphism. It is then immediate that assuming $H^{nN}(\rho_m)$ is injective implies that $H^{nN}(\Gamma_m)$ is also injective. Hence $HTC_n^{\mathcal{E}xt}(X) \leq HTC_n(X)$. Conversely, if $H^{nN}(\Gamma_m)$ is injective, the obstruction to injectivity of $H^{nN}(\rho_m)$ is given by $H^{nN-1}\left(\frac{(\Lambda V)^{\otimes n}}{(\ker \mu_n)^{m+1}}\right)$. \square

Remark 1. An equivalent definition of $HTC(X)$ when X is a Gorenstein space reads as follows: It is the smallest integer $m \geq 0$ such that some cocycle ω representing Ω , the fundamental class of $(\Lambda V \otimes \Lambda V, d)$, can be written as a product of m elements of $\ker(\mu_{\Lambda V})$ (not necessarily cocycles).

Similarly (for any Gorenstein space X), $HTC^{\mathcal{E}xt}(X)$ is the smallest integer m' such that some cocycle representing the fundamental class of $A \otimes A$, namely $\Omega' = [f] \otimes [f]$, (where $[f]$ designate the generating element of \mathcal{A}^N) can be written as a product of length m' of elements in $\ker(\mu_A)$.

Therefore, assuming $\dim V < \infty$ and $\dim H(\Lambda V, d) = \infty$ and referring to [11, Theorem A], $(\Lambda V, d)$ is Gorenstein and $ev_{(\Lambda V, d)} = 0$, however, it is not a Poincaré duality algebra. Hence, to compare the invariants $HTC_n^{\mathcal{E}xt}(X)$ and $HTC_n(X)$ we should determine them separately using the description above.

Sequel of Example (ii): We have $X = S^2 \cup_{\varphi} e^3$ and all fields are in the range of Anick, i.e. $char(\mathbb{K}) \geq \dim X = 3$. As it has been mentioned at the beginning of §4.2, there exists a minimal Sullivan model $(\Lambda W, d)$ of X (at \mathbb{K}) so that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E}xt_{(TV, d)}^*(\mathbb{K}, (TV, d))$ inherits a commutative \mathbb{K} -algebra structure with unit. This permits to extend definition 5.2 to all fields in Anick's range which gives rise to similar invariants ordered as follows:

$$(11) \quad nil \ker(\mu_{\mathcal{A}, n}, \mathbb{K}) \leq HTC_n^{\mathcal{E}xt}(X, \mathbb{K}) \leq mTC_n^{\mathcal{E}xt}(X, \mathbb{K}) \leq TC_n^{\mathcal{E}xt}(X_0, \mathbb{K}).$$

(It is immediate that (11) generalizes to any q -connected finite CW-complex X and any finite field \mathbb{K} such that $\dim X \leq q \cdot char(\mathbb{K})$).

Referring to [9, Proposition 1.6] and using above calculation made in this case, while X is neither a Poincaré duality space nor a Gorenstein space at \mathbb{K} we have $ev_{(\Lambda W, d)} \neq 0$ which makes it possible to (partially) order $HTC_n^{\mathcal{E}xt}(X, \mathbb{K})$ and $HTC_n(X, \mathbb{K})$.

Indeed, using the right square in the diagram above at $N = fd(X) = 3$, it is clear that the injectivity of $H^{3n}(\rho_m)$ implies that of $H^{3n}(\Gamma_m)$. Knowing that $H^*(X, \mathbb{K})$ is concentrated in degrees $i = 0, 2, 3$, it remains to compare m and m' , the least integers such that, for $i = 2n$ and $i = 0$, $H^i((\ker \mu_n)^{m+1}) = 0$ and $H^i(\ker(\mu_A)_n^{m'+1}) = 0$ respectively. On one hand, the structure of $H^*(X, \mathbb{K})$ implies that $m = 1$. On the other hand, since $H^{3n}(\rho_1)$ is injective, we have $H^{3n}(\Gamma_1)$ is also injective, we deduce that $m' = 1$. Consequentially, $HTC_n^{\mathcal{E}xt}(X, \mathbb{K}) = HTC_n(X, \mathbb{K})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adams J. F., Hilton P. J., *On the chain algebra of a loop space*, Comment. Math. Helv. 30 (1955),305-330.
- [2] D. Anick, *Hopf algebras up to homotopy*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1989) 417-453.
- [3] R. Bott and H. Samelson, *On the Pontryagin product in spaces of paths*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, Vol.27 (1), 320-337, (1953).

- [4] José Carrasquel, *Rational methods applied to sectional category and topological complexity*, Contemporary Mathematics, volume 702, 2018.
- [5] Yves Félix and Steve Halperin, *A note on Gorenstein spaces*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 223 (2019) 4937-4953.
- [6] Y. Félix and S. Halperin, *Rational LS-category and its applications*, Transactions of the American mathematical society Volume 273, Number I, September 1982.
- [7] Y. Félix, S. Halperin and Jean-Michel Lemaire, *The rational LS-category of products and of Poincaré duality complexes*, Topology vol. 37, No. 4, pp. 749-756, 1998.
- [8] Y. Félix, S Halperin and Jean-Claude Thomas, *LS-catégorie et suite spectrale de Milnor-Moore*, Bulletin de la S. M. F., tome 111 (1983), p. 89-96.
- [9] Y. Félix, S. Halperin and Jean-Claude Thomas, *Gorenstein spaces*. Adv. Math. 71, 92-112 (1988).
- [10] Yves Félix, Steve Halperin and Jean-Claude Thomas, *Rational Homotopy Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 215, Springer Verlag, 2000.
- [11] A. Murillo, *The evaluation map of some Gorenstien algebras*, J. Pure. Appl. Algebra 91 (1994) 209-218.
- [12] Youssef Rami, *A new lower bound for LS-category*, Arab. J. Math. (2018) 7:39-47.
- [13] Youssef Rami, *Dimension globale et classe fondamentale d'un espace*, Annales de l'institut Fourier, tome 49, no° 1 (1999), p. 333-350.
- [14] M. Spivak, *Spaces satisfying Poincaré duality*, Topology 6 (1967), 77-102.