

How is a graph not like a manifold?

Anton Ayzenberg, Mikiya Masuda, and Grigory Solomadin

ABSTRACT. For an equivariantly formal action of T^k on a smooth manifold X^{2n} with isolated fixed points we investigate the global homological properties of the graded poset S_X of face submanifolds. We prove that the condition of j -independency of tangent weights at each fixed point implies $(j+1)$ -acyclicity of the skeleta $(S_X)_r$ for $r > j+1$. This result provides a necessary topological condition for a GKM-graph to be a GKM-graph of some GKM-manifold. We use particular acyclicity arguments to describe the equivariant cohomology algebra of an equivariantly formal manifold X^{2n} with an $(n-1)$ -independent action of T^{n-1} , for $n \geq 5$. This description relates the equivariant cohomology algebra to the face algebra of a certain simplicial poset. This observation relates actions of complexity one to the theory of torus manifolds.

1. Introduction

Toric topology studies actions of a compact torus T^k on closed smooth manifolds X^{2n} in terms of the related combinatorial structures. The classical examples are given by smooth toric varieties which are classified by their simplicial fans, and their topological analogues — quasitoric manifolds classified by characteristic pairs. In both cases the torus T^n acts on a manifold X^{2n} with $H^{\text{odd}}(X^{2n}) = 0$, and it happens that the poset S_X of T^n -invariant submanifolds in X^{2n} is a certain cell subdivision of a topological disc. The poset S_X has nice acyclicity properties: not only S_X is acyclic (which is obvious since it has the greatest element), but also its skeleta, the links of its simplices, and other natural related objects are acyclic as well. These acyclicity properties are intimately related to the Cohen–Macaulay property of Stanley–Reisner algebras, which are isomorphic to equivariant cohomology of X .

In this paper we study torus actions of arbitrary complexity. For a general action of $T = T^k$ on $X = X^{2n}$ having isolated fixed points, we consider the poset of face submanifolds S_X . In [5], the local structure of S_X was studied: it was proved that $(S_X)_{\geq s}$ is a geometric

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 57S12, 55N91, 13F55, 06A06 Secondary: 55P91, 55U10, 55T25, 57R91, 13H10, 55R20.

Key words and phrases. Torus action, invariant submanifold, homology of posets, GKM-theory, homotopy colimits.

The article was prepared within the framework of the HSE University Basic Research Program.

lattice for any element $s \in S_X$, in particular, such “local” poset is acyclic due to the result of Björner [7].

In this paper we concentrate on global topological structure of S_X , however, restrict the class of actions under consideration. We assume that torus actions satisfy the following properties.

- (1) The fixed point set X^T is finite and nonempty.
- (2) The action is *equivariantly formal*. With item 1 satisfied, equivariant formality is equivalent to the condition $H^{\text{odd}}(X) = 0$.
- (3) We fix an integer j , and call the action j -independent, if every j tangent weights, at every fixed point $x \in X^T$ are linearly independent. In the previous works [3, 4] we used a different terminology: actions with this property were called actions in j -general position.

With these properties satisfied, there holds

THEOREM 1. *If an action of T on X is equivariantly formal and j -independent, then*

- (1) *the poset $(S_X)_r = \{F \in S_X \mid \text{rk } F \leq r\}$ is $\min(\dim(S_X)_r - 1, j + 1)$ -acyclic for any $r > 0$;*
- (2) *the poset $(S_X)_{<s} = \{t \in S_X \mid t < s\}$ is $\min(\dim(S_X)_{<s} - 1, j + 1)$ -acyclic for any $s \in S_X$.*

This theorem gives a necessary condition for a GKM-graph to be a GKM-graph of some (equivariantly formal) GKM-manifold for j large enough. Indeed, if Γ is a j -independent GKM-graph, then every $j - 1$ edges adjacent to a vertex span a face, as follows e.g. from the results of [5]. Therefore the poset $(S_X)_{j-1}$ can be reconstructed only from a GKM-graph data. If the poset is not $(j - 2)$ -acyclic, then the graph does not correspond to any equivariantly formal manifold.

It should be noted that the problem of reconstruction a manifold with the given fixed points data, in particular with the given equivariant 1-skeleton, is well known in equivariant topology, see [11]. The classical approach to solve this problem is via Atiyah–Bott–Berline–Vergne formula (the instance of the localization formula in cohomology). Sometimes it is possible to reconstruct a manifold with torus action geometrically — by extending the action from lower dimensional strata to higher dimensions. This approach, however, does not guarantee that the resulting manifold is equivariantly formal, and that GKM-theory itself is applicable. This is an important issue we wanted to address in our paper.

As an application of Theorem 1, we describe equivariant cohomology algebra for complexity one actions in general position. Acyclicity arguments are applied to extend the study of complexity one actions in general position, started in [3]. An action of T^{n-1} on X^{2n} is called *an action in general position*, if it is $(n - 1)$ -independent.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that $n \geq 5$ and an action of T^{n-1} on X^{2n} is equivariantly formal and in general position. Then there exists a simplicial poset \tilde{S}_X and a regular linear element η in the face ring $\mathbb{Q}[\tilde{S}_X]$, such that*

$$H_T^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\tilde{S}_X]/(\eta)$$

as $H^*(BT; \mathbb{Q})$ -algebras.

The poset \tilde{S}_X is constructed from S_X by adding some extra elements and reversing the order. The poset \tilde{S}_X is Gorenstein: this follows from the Gorenstein property of the algebra $H_T^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$. In some sense, Theorem 2 tells that complexity one actions in general position behave much like restrictions of T^n -actions on X^{2n} to actions of generic subtori $T^{n-1} \subset T^n$ for $n \geq 5$, — at least from the viewpoint of equivariant cohomology.

The paper has the following structure. In Section 2 we recall the necessary definitions including that of a faces of a torus action. In Section 3 we prove several statements about homological properties of orbit spaces of torus actions. Most of the arguments there follow the lines of [3]. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1. In Section 5 we provide necessary definitions and prove Theorem 2.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Orbit type filtrations. In this section we rigorously define the faces of an action and list their main properties.

Let a torus $T = T^k$ act on a topological space X which is always assumed connected. Let $S(T)$ denote the set of all closed subgroups of T . For a point $x \in X$, $T_x \in S(T)$ denotes the stabilizer (the stationary subgroup) of x , and $Tx \subset X$ — the orbit of x . In the following we assume that X is a T -CW-complex (see [1, Def.1.1]). In particular, this holds for smooth torus actions on smooth manifolds.

CONSTRUCTION 2.1. For an action of T on X we define the *fine subdivision* X by orbit types:

$$X = \bigsqcup_{H \in S(T)} X^{(H)},$$

where H is a closed subgroup of T , and $X^{(H)} = \tilde{\lambda}^{-1}(H) = \{x \in T \mid T_x = H\}$. Moreover, for $H \in S(T)$ define

$$X^H = \bigsqcup_{\tilde{H} \supseteq H} X^{(\tilde{H})} = \{x \in X \mid hx = x \ \forall h \in H\}.$$

Therefore X^H is the set of H -fixed points of X .

Each closed subgroup of a compact torus $T \cong T^k$ is isomorphic to a direct product of some torus T^s (the continuous component) and finite abelian group (the finite component). We say that a T -action on X has connected stabilizers, if all stabilizers T_x are connected, i.e. finite components are trivial.

When working with homology we will follow the assumption that for actions with connected stabilizers the coefficients are taken in \mathbb{Z} (or any field), but in general the coefficients are taken in \mathbb{Q} .

CONSTRUCTION 2.2. For a T -action on a topological space X consider the filtration

$$(2.1) \quad X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \cdots \subset X_k$$

where X_i is the union of all orbits of the action having dimension $\leq i$. In other words,

$$X_i = \{x \in X \mid \dim T_x \geq k - i\} = \bigsqcup_{H \in S(T), \dim H \geq k-i} X^{(H)}$$

according to the natural homeomorphism $Tx \cong T^k/T_x$. Filtration (2.1) is called the orbit type filtration, and X_i the equivariant i -skeleton of X . Each X_i is T -stable. Orbit type filtration induces the filtration on the orbit space $Q = X/T$:

$$(2.2) \quad Q_0 \subset Q_1 \subset \cdots \subset Q_k, \quad \text{where } Q_i = X_i/T$$

2.2. Smooth actions.

DEFINITION 2.3. The lattice $N = \text{Hom}(T, S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$ is called *the weight lattice*, and its dual lattice $N^* = \text{Hom}(S^1, T)$ is called *the lattice of 1-dimensional subgroups*.

There are canonical isomorphisms

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(T^k, S^1) &\cong H^1(T^k; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(BT^k; \mathbb{Z}), \\ \text{Hom}(S^1, T^k) &\cong H_1(T^k; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(BT^k; \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Let X be a smooth closed connected orientable manifold, and T acts smoothly and effectively on X . If $x \in X^T$ is a fixed point, we have an induced representation of T in the tangent space $T_x X$ called *the tangent representation*. Let $\alpha_{x,1}, \dots, \alpha_{x,n} \in \text{Hom}(T^k, S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$ be the weights of the tangent representation at x , which means by definition that

$$T_x X \cong V(\alpha_{x,1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus V(\alpha_{x,n}) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{\dim X - 2n},$$

where $V(\alpha)$ is the standard 1-dimensional complex representation given by $tz = \alpha(t) \cdot z$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and the action on $\mathbb{R}^{\dim X - 2n}$ is trivial (see [20, Cor.I.2.1]). It is assumed that all weight vectors $\alpha_{x,i}$ are nonzero since otherwise the corresponding summands contribute to $\mathbb{R}^{\dim X - 2n}$. If there is no T -invariant complex structure on X , then there is an ambiguity in the choice of signs of vectors α_i . For the statements of this paper the choice of signs is nonessential. We can also assume that the weight vectors $\alpha_{x,1}, \dots, \alpha_{x,n}$ linearly span the weight lattice $\text{Hom}(T^k, S^1)$ (otherwise there would exist an element λ of the dual lattice $\text{Hom}(S^1, T^k)$ such that $\langle \alpha_{x,1}, \lambda \rangle = 0$, which implies that the corresponding 1-dimensional subgroup λ lies in the noneffective kernel). This observation implies that, if the action has fixed points, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \dim X \geq 2n \geq 2k.$$

Each fixed point $x \in X^T$ has a neighborhood equivariantly diffeomorphic to the tangent representation $T_x X$. In particular, $x \in X^T$ is isolated if and only if $\dim X = 2n$ and all tangent weights $\alpha_{x,1}, \dots, \alpha_{x,n}$ are nonzero.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let T act effectively on a smooth manifold X , and the fixed point set X^T is finite and nonempty. The nonnegative integer $\text{compl } X = \frac{1}{2} \dim X - \dim T$ is called *the complexity of the action*.

If the action is noneffective, the symbol $\text{compl } X$ denotes the complexity of the corresponding effective action: the action of the quotient by noneffective kernel.

CONSTRUCTION 2.5. For each closed subgroup $H \subset T$, the subset X^H is a closed smooth submanifold in X . This submanifold is T -stable as follows from the commutativity of T . A connected component of X^H is called an *invariant* submanifold.

CONSTRUCTION 2.6. For a smooth T -action on X , consider the canonical projection $p: X \rightarrow Q$ to the orbit space, and the filtration (2.2) on the orbit space. The closure of a connected component of $Q_i \setminus Q_{i-1}$ is called a *face* F if it contains at least one fixed point. The number i is called the rank of a face F , it is equal to the dimension of a generic T -orbit in F .

The proof of the following lemma can be found in [5].

LEMMA 2.7. *For a T -action on X , the full preimage $X_F = p^{-1}(F)$ of any face $F \subset Q$ is a smooth submanifold in X .*

DEFINITION 2.8. Let F be a face of $Q = X/T$. The submanifold $X_F = p^{-1}(F) \subset X$ is called a *face submanifold* corresponding to F .

Notice that the definition of a face implies that each face submanifold necessarily has a T -fixed point. Some details and formalism about the notions of faces and face submanifolds can be found in the recent preprint [5].

CONSTRUCTION 2.9. It is known [12, Thm.5.11] that a smooth action of T^k on a compact smooth manifold has only finite number of possible stabilizers. This implies that there exist only finite number of faces. The set of faces of Q (equiv. face submanifolds of X) is partially ordered by inclusion and graded by ranks. We denote this poset by S_X .

CONSTRUCTION 2.10. Let F be a face and X_F the corresponding face submanifold. The action of T on X_F has noneffective kernel

$$T_F = \{t \in T \mid tx = x \ \forall x \in X_F\},$$

which we call a common stabilizer of points from F . The number $\dim T/T_F$ equals the rank of F .

The effective action of T/T_F on X_F satisfies the general assumption from Definition 2.4: its fixed point set is nonempty (due to the definition of a face) and finite (because it is a subset of X^T , and the latter is assumed finite). Therefore, the induced complexity $\text{compl } X_F$ is well defined:

$$\text{compl } X_F = \dim X_F - \dim(T/T_F) = \dim X_F - \text{rk } F.$$

Notice that each face F of rank r is a subset of the filtration term Q_r . It is tempting to say that Q_r is the union of all faces of rank r . However, this may be false in general, since faces are required to contain a fixed point by the definition; and some invariant submanifolds of rank r contributing to Q_r may fail to be face submanifolds. Nevertheless, this problem vanishes for equivariantly formal actions as explained in Remark 3.5 below.

3. Acyclicity for independent actions

3.1. Equivariant formality. The definitions and statements of this subsection are well known and given for convenience of the reader.

For $T \cong T^k$ we have the universal principal T -bundle $ET \rightarrow BT$, $BT \simeq (\mathbb{C}P^\infty)^k$. The T -action on X determines the Borel construction $X_T = X \times_T ET$, the Serre fibration $p: X_T \xrightarrow{X} BT$, and the equivariant cohomology ring $H_T^*(X; R) = H^*(X_T; R)$ which is a module over the polynomial ring $H^*(BT; R) \cong R[k] = R[v_1, \dots, v_k]$ (the module structure is induced by p^*). The fibration p induces the Serre spectral sequence:

$$(3.1) \quad E_2^{p,q} \cong H^p(BT^k; R) \otimes H^q(X; R) \Rightarrow H_T^{p+q}(X; R).$$

DEFINITION 3.1. The T -action on X is called *cohomologically equivariantly formal* (over R) in the sense of Goresky–Kottwitz–MacPherson, if the spectral sequence (3.1) collapses at E_2 .

We simply call such actions and spaces *equivariantly formal*. The definition of equivariant formality was given by Goresky–Kottwitz–MacPherson in [17] in case of coefficients in \mathbb{R} .

For convenience, we provide a lemma proved in [15] which gives equivalent reformulation of equivariant formality.

LEMMA 3.2 ([15]). *The following conditions are equivalent (all coefficients are either in \mathbb{Z} or a field):*

- (1) *A T -action on X is equivariantly formal.*
- (2) *The inclusion of fiber into the Borel construction $\iota: X \rightarrow X_T$ induces the surjective map $\iota^*: H_T^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X)$.*
- (3) *The homomorphism $H_T^*(X) \otimes_{H^*(BT)} R \rightarrow H^*(X)$, induced by ι^* , is an isomorphism.*
- (4) *$\text{Tor}_{H^*(BT)}^j(H_T^*(X); R) = 0$ for all $j > 0$.*
- (5) *$\text{Tor}_{H^*(BT)}^1(H_T^*(X); R) = 0$.*

If the coefficients are in a field, then these conditions are equivalent to freeness of the $H^(BT)$ -module $H_T^*(X)$.*

Over \mathbb{Z} , the freeness of $H^*(BT)$ -module is strictly stronger than equivariant formality (see [14]).

In the following we only consider the actions with isolated fixed points. In this case, the characterization of equivariant formality becomes easier.

LEMMA 3.3 ([23, Lm.2.1]). *Consider a smooth T -action on X , such that X^T is finite and nonempty. Then the following conditions are equivalent*

- (1) *The T -action on X is cohomologically equivariantly formal.*
- (2) *$H^{\text{odd}}(X) = 0$.*
- (3) *$H_T^*(X)$ is a free $H^*(BT)$ -module.*

In these cases there is an isomorphism of graded $H^(BT)$ -modules $H_T^*(X) \cong H^*(BT) \otimes H^*(X)$.*

Another important statement asserts that equivariant formality is inherited by invariant submanifolds.

LEMMA 3.4 ([23, Lem.2.2]). *Let T act on X , and Y is an invariant submanifold (a connected component of X^H for some $H \in S(T)$). Then condition $H^{\text{odd}}(X) = 0$ implies $H^{\text{odd}}(Y) = 0$ and $Y^T \neq \emptyset$.*

REMARK 3.5. Lemma 3.4 implies that the requirement for a face submanifold (and for a face) to contain a fixed point is automatically satisfied for equivariantly formal actions with isolated fixed points. This, in turn, implies that the equivariant r -skeleton Q_r is exactly the union of all faces F of rank r , when we deal with equivariantly formal actions.

3.2. j -independent actions.

DEFINITION 3.6. A T -action on a manifold X is j -independent¹ if, for any fixed point $x \in X^T$, any $\leq j$ of the tangent weights $\alpha_{x,1}, \dots, \alpha_{x,n} \in \text{Hom}(T, S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} .

REMARK 3.7. If $\text{compl } X = 0$, that is $T = T^n$ acts on $X = X^{2n}$, then, at each fixed point $x \in X^T$ we have n weights $\alpha_{x,1}, \dots, \alpha_{x,n} \in \text{Hom}(T, S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ which linearly span \mathbb{Q}^n . Hence these actions are n -independent, and even ∞ -independent.

Several technical statements about j -independent actions were proved in [3].

LEMMA 3.8 ([3, Lm.3.1]). *Consider a j -independent action of T on X , $j \geq 1$. Let X_F be a face submanifold. Then*

- (1) $\text{compl } X_F \leq \text{compl } X$;
- (2) If $\text{rk } F < j$, then $\text{compl } X_F = 0$;
- (3) If $\text{rk } F \geq j$, then the action of T/T_F on X_F is j -independent.

CONSTRUCTION 3.9. Let F be a face of Q for an action of T on X . For simplicity, we denote by F_{-1} the union of lower-rank subfaces of F . In the case of equivariantly formal actions the set F_{-1} can be equivalently defined by

$$F_{-1} = \{x \in F \mid \dim T_x > \text{rk } F\}.$$

Indeed, every r -dimensional orbit is contained in some invariant submanifold (hence a face submanifold in the equivariantly formal case) of rank r .

Similarly, we define $(X_F)_{-1}$ as the union of lower-rank face submanifolds. In equivariantly formal case this subset coincides with $\{x \in X_F \mid \dim T_x > \text{rk } F\}$.

PROPOSITION 3.10 ([23]). *Consider an equivariantly formal T -action on X of complexity 0. Let R be a coefficient ring, which is \mathbb{Z} if the action has connected stabilizers, or \mathbb{Q} otherwise. Then, for each face F (including Q itself), there holds*

- (1) $\dim F = \text{rk } F$;
- (2) $\tilde{H}^*(F; R) = 0$;
- (3) $H^i(F, F_{-1}; R) = 0$ for $i \neq \text{rk } F$ and $H^{\text{rk } F}(F, F_{-1}; R) \cong R$.

¹In [3] and [4] we also called such actions the actions in j -general position.

In other words, each face F is a homology cell, and the filtration $\{Q_i\}$ is a homological cell complex.

Combining Lemma 3.8, Proposition 3.10 and some inductive arguments we can prove the following statement.

PROPOSITION 3.11. *Assume that the action of $T = T^k$ on $X = X^{2n}$ is equivariantly formal and j -independent, $j \geq 1$. Let R be a coefficient ring, which is \mathbb{Z} if the action has connected stabilizers, or \mathbb{Q} otherwise. The faces F of the orbit space $Q = X/T$ have the following homological properties.*

- (1) $H^i(F, F_{-1}) = 0$ for $i < \text{rk } F$.
- (2) If $\text{rk } F < j$, then $H^*(F, F_{-1}) \cong H^*(D^{\text{rk } F}, \partial D^{\text{rk } F})$. If $\text{rk } F = j$, then $H^i(F, F_{-1})$ vanishes for $i < j$ and $i = j + 1$.
- (3) Q is $(j + 1)$ -acyclic (that is $\tilde{H}^i(Q; R) = 0$ for $i \leq j + 1$).
- (4) Q_r is $\min(r - 1, j + 1)$ -acyclic.

PROOF. Item (1) is proved in [3, Lm.3.3]. Item (2) is proved in [3, Lm.3.2]. Item (3) is [3, Thm.2]. Item (4) is not stated in [3] explicitly, however, its proof follows the same lines as the proof of item (3). We outline the main ideas of this proof.

Consider the cohomology spectral sequence associated with the filtration

$$(3.2) \quad Q_0 \subset Q_1 \subset \dots \subset Q_{r-1} \subset Q_r \subset \dots \subset Q_k = Q,$$

that is $E_1^{p,q} = H^{p+q}(Q_p, Q_{p-1})$. Notice that $H^*(Q_p, Q_{p-1}) \cong \bigoplus_{F|\text{rk } F=p} H^*(F, F_{-1})$. Items 1 and 2 imply the vanishing of E_1 as shown on Fig. 1.




FIGURE 1. The first page of the spectral sequence.

The 0-th row $(E_1^{p,0}, d^1)$ coincides with the differential complex

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_T^0(X_0) \xrightarrow{\delta_0} H_T^1(X_1, X_0) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \dots \xrightarrow{\delta_{k-2}} H_T^{k-1}(X_{k-1}, X_{k-2}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{k-1}} H_T^k(X, X_{k-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

which is the degree 0 part of the non-augmented version of Atiyah–Bredon–Franz–Puppe sequence

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_T^*(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} H_T^*(X_0) \xrightarrow{\delta_0} H_T^{*+1}(X_1, X_0) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \dots \\ \dots \xrightarrow{\delta_{k-2}} H_T^{*+k-1}(X_{k-1}, X_{k-2}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{k-1}} H_T^{*+k}(X, X_{k-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

(see details in [3]). The sequence (3.4) is acyclic for equivariantly formal actions according to [10] (for rational coefficients) and Franz–Puppe [15] (over integers presuming connectedness of stabilizers). Therefore, when passing from $E_1^{*,*}$ to $E_2^{*,*} = H(E_1^{*,*}, d^1)$ the whole 0-th row disappears except for $E_2^{0,0} \cong H_T^0(X) \cong R$. So far, the second page has the form shown on Fig. 2




FIGURE 2. The second page of the spectral sequence.

This implies $E_\infty^{p,q} = 0$ for $0 < p + q \leq j + 1$ and therefore $\tilde{H}_i(Q) = 0$ for $i \leq j + 1$ which proves item (3) of the proposition.

To prove item (4), consider the spectral sequence associated with the filtration (3.2) cut at r -th term. In this case, passing from E_1 to E_2 may result in additional nonzero entry $E_2^{r,0}$ at the rightmost position of 0-th row. If $r \geq j + 2$, we still have $E_\infty^{p,q} = 0$ for $p + q \leq j + 1$ and therefore $\tilde{H}_i(Q_r) = 0$ for $i \leq j + 1$. Otherwise, if $r < j + 2$, the vanishing in the spectral sequence only implies $(r - 1)$ -acyclicity of Q_r . This completes the proof of item (4). \square

COROLLARY 3.12. *If a T -action on X is equivariantly formal and j -independent, then each face $F \subset Q = X/T$ is $(j + 1)$ -acyclic.*

PROOF. If $\text{rk } F < j$ then $\text{compl } X_F = 0$ (by Lemma 3.8), so $F = X_F/T$ is acyclic by Proposition 3.10. If $\text{rk } F \geq j$, then the induced action on X_F is j -independent (again by Lemma 3.8), so $F = X_F/T$ is $(j + 1)$ -acyclic by Proposition 3.11. \square

4. Topology of face posets

Recall that S_X denotes the face poset of Q (or the poset of face submanifolds in X) ordered by inclusion. Let $(S_X)_r$ denote the subposet of all faces with rank $\leq r$.

The symbol $|S|$ denotes the geometrical realization of a poset S that is the geometrical realization of the order complex $\text{ord } S$ (the simplicial complex whose simplices are chains in S). An almost immediate corollary from Proposition 3.11 is the following.

COROLLARY 4.1. *Assume that T -action on X is equivariantly formal and j -independent. Then the geometrical realization $|(S_X)_r|$ is $(r - 1)$ -acyclic for $r < j$.*

PROOF. As was noticed earlier, if $r < j$, the orbit type filtration on Q_r is a homological cell filtration with regular cells (essentially due to Proposition 3.10). Standard arguments with the spectral sequences are used to prove the isomorphisms $H_* (|(S_X)_r|) \cong H_*(Q_r)$ for regular homological cell complexes (see e.g. [23, Prop.5.14] or [2, Prop.2.7]). The rest follows from item (4) of Proposition 3.11. \square

With a bit more complicated arguments we can prove a more general statement.

THEOREM 4.2. *Assume that T -action on X is equivariantly formal and j -independent. Then the geometrical realization $|(S_X)_r|$ is $\min(r - 1, j + 1)$ -acyclic for any r .*

To prove this result, we recall several useful statements about homotopy colimits.

CONSTRUCTION 4.3. Let S be a finite poset, and $\text{cat}(S)$ the finite category, whose objects are elements $s \in S$ and there is exactly one morphism $s_1 \rightarrow s_2$ if $s_1 \leq s_2$ (and no morphisms otherwise). An S -shaped topological diagram is a functor $D: \text{cat}(S) \rightarrow \text{Top}$ to the category of topological spaces. Two topological spaces can be associated with each topological diagram D : the colimit $\text{colim}_S D$ and homotopy colimit $\text{hocolim}_S D$. Colimit is a synonym for the direct limit of a diagram in the category of topological spaces. Homotopy colimit is the modified version of the colimit, well behaved under homotopy equivalences. The accessible exposition of homotopy colimits and their use in combinatorial topology can be found in [25].

There exists a constant diagram $*$: $\text{cat}(S) \rightarrow \text{Top}$, which maps each $s \in S$ to a point pt . From the definitions it easily follows that $\text{colim}_S *$ is a finite set of points corresponding to connected components of $|S|$, while $\text{hocolim}_S * = |S|$.

CONSTRUCTION 4.4. Let T act on a smooth manifold X . Consider the diagram $D_Q: \text{cat}(S_X) \rightarrow \text{Top}$, which maps each face F (as an abstract element of the face poset S_X) to the face F (as a topological space) with morphisms — the natural inclusions of faces. Since the poset S_X has the greatest element (the space Q itself), we have $\text{colim}_{S_X} D_Q = Q$.

Smooth toric actions always admit equivariant cell structures [21]. This implies that inclusions of subfaces $F_1 \hookrightarrow F_2$ of the orbit space $Q = X/T$ admit cellular structures, hence they are cofibrations. Moreover, this argument shows that the diagram D_Q is cofibrant. Therefore,

$$(4.1) \quad \text{hocolim}_{S_X} D_Q \simeq \text{colim}_{S_X} D_Q.$$

Let t be a fixed nonnegative integer.

DEFINITION 4.5. A map $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$ of topological spaces is called a t -equivalence, if the induced map $\psi_*: \pi_r(X, b) \rightarrow \pi_r(Y, \psi(b))$ is an isomorphism for all $r < t$, and surjective for $r = t$, and for all basepoints b .

LEMMA 4.6 (Strong Homotopy Lemma [8, Lm.2.8]). *Let D_1, D_2 be S -shaped diagrams. Let $\alpha: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ be a map of diagrams such that for each $s \in S$, the map $\alpha_s: D_1(s) \rightarrow D_2(s)$ is a t -equivalence. Then the induced map from $\text{hocolim}_S D_1$ to $\text{hocolim}_S D_2$ is a t -equivalence.*

As usual, this statement has a homological version.

DEFINITION 4.7. A map $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a homological t -equivalence (over coefficient ring R), if the induced map $\psi_*: H_r(X; R) \rightarrow H_r(Y; R)$ is an isomorphism for all $r < t$ and surjective for $r = t$.

LEMMA 4.8. *Let D_1, D_2 be S -shaped diagrams. Let $\alpha: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ be a map of diagrams such that for each $s \in S$, the map $\alpha_s: D_1(s) \rightarrow D_2(s)$ is a homological t -equivalence. Then the induced map from $\text{hocolim}_S D_1$ to $\text{hocolim}_S D_2$ is a homological t -equivalence.*

Although the arguments of [8] used to prove Lemma 4.6 work for homology version, we provide an alternative proof based on spectral sequences. Recall that any diagram of spaces (CW-complexes) induces the spectral sequence.

PROPOSITION 4.9 ([13, Prop.15.12]). *Let $D: I \rightarrow \text{Top}$ be a diagram over a small category I , and $h_*(\cdot)$ — a generalized homology theory. Then there is a spectral sequence*

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(I; h_q(D)) \Rightarrow h_{p+q}(\text{hocolim}_I D).$$

The differentials have the form $d_r: E_{p,q}^r \rightarrow E_{p-r, q+r-1}^r$.

Here $h_q(D)$ denotes the diagram of abelian groups obtained by applying the functor $h_q(\cdot)$ to the topological diagram D element-wise. The module $H_p(I; \mathcal{A})$ denotes the homology of a small category I with coefficients in a functor \mathcal{A} , which can be defined by one of the equivalent constructions observed below.

- (1) $H_p(I; \cdot) = \varinjlim_p(\cdot)$ is the p -th left derived functor of the direct limit functor

$$\varinjlim: \text{Funct}(I, \text{Ab}) \rightarrow \text{Ab},$$

where Ab is the category of abelian groups and $\text{Funct}(I, \text{Ab})$ is the category of I -diagrams of abelian groups.

- (2) $H_p(I; \mathcal{A})$ is the homology of the chain complex

$$C_p(I; \mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{x_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_p} \mathcal{A}(x_0),$$

defined on the nerve of the category I .

For equivalence of these constructions we refer to [24]. Let us prove Proposition 4.9.

PROOF. We can now prove Lemma 4.8 by applying Proposition 4.9. The diagram map $\alpha: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ induces the morphism of spectral sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E_{p,q}^2(D_1) & \equiv & H_p(I; H_q(D_1)) & \Longrightarrow & H_{p+q}(\text{hocolim}_I D_1) \\ \downarrow \alpha_* & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_* \\ E_{p,q}^2(D_2) & \equiv & H_p(I; H_q(D_2)) & \Longrightarrow & H_{p+q}(\text{hocolim}_I D_2) \end{array}$$

If α acts on each diagram entry $D(i)$ as a homology t -equivalence, the induced map $\alpha_*: E_{p,q}^r(D_1) \rightarrow E_{p,q}^r(D_2)$ is an isomorphism for $p + q < t$ or $(p + q = t) \& (q < t)$, while it is surjective for $(p, q) = (0, t)$. This can be proved inductively on the page index r . Finally, this implies that $\alpha_*: H_{p+q}(\text{hocolim}_I D_1) \rightarrow H_{p+q}(\text{hocolim}_I D_2)$ is an isomorphism for $p + q < t$ and surjective for $p + q = t$. Hence α induces a t -equivalence of homotopy colimits. \square

Now we prove Theorem 4.2.

PROOF. Let $D_Q: \text{cat}(S_X)_r \rightarrow \text{Top}$ be the diagram of faces, described in Construction 4.4, and $*$: $\text{cat}(S_X)_r \rightarrow \text{Top}$ be the constant diagram (which maps every element to a single point). We have a natural morphism of diagrams $\alpha: D_Q \rightarrow *$. Each face $F \in S_X$ is $(j + 1)$ -acyclic by Corollary 3.12. Therefore α is a $(j + 2)$ -equivalence on each entry of the diagram. Then Lemma 4.8 states that the induced map

$$\text{hocolim}_{(S_X)_r} D_Q \rightarrow \text{hocolim}_{(S_X)_r} *$$

is a homology $(j + 2)$ -equivalence. However $\text{hocolim}_{(S_X)_r} D_Q \simeq \text{colim}_{(S_X)_r} D_Q$ since D_Q is cofibrant. The colimit $\text{colim}_{(S_X)_r} D_Q$ is homeomorphic to the r -skeleton Q_r by construction. The space Q_r is $\min(r - 1, j + 1)$ -acyclic by Proposition 3.11. Therefore $\text{hocolim}_{(S_X)_r} * \cong |(S_X)_r|$ is $\min(r - 1, j + 1)$ -acyclic as well. \square

These arguments prove item 1 of Theorem 1 from the introduction. Item 2 follows easily, since $(S_X)_{\leq s}$ is naturally isomorphic to S_Y , whenever Y is a face submanifold corresponding to $s \in S_X$. This finishes the proof Theorem 1.

5. Actions of complexity one in general position

In this section we prove Theorem 2 from the Introduction. We apply a very particular case of acyclicity argument to describe the equivariant cohomology algebra of a manifold X^{2n} with an equivariantly formal $(n - 1)$ -independent action of T^{n-1} , when $n \geq 5$. In this particular case the description boils down to the theory of Gorenstein face algebras, similar to the complexity zero actions studied in [23]. As in the case of complexity zero, we start with the GKM-description of equivariant cohomology.

Let us recall the basics of GKM-theory (see details in [17, 22]). While usually GKM-manifolds refer to complex algebraic varieties with the action of an algebraic torus, we deal with the topological version of the GKM-theory.

DEFINITION 5.1. A $2n$ -dimensional (orientable connected) compact manifold X with an action of $T = T^k$ is called a *GKM-manifold* (named after Goresky–Kottwitz–MacPherson), if the following conditions hold:

- (1) X is equivariantly formal;
- (2) The fixed point set $X_0 = X^T$ is finite and nonempty;
- (3) The action is 2-independent.

The next proposition is often taken as a definition of GKM-manifold and is quite standard.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *The 1-dimensional equivariant skeleton X_1 of a GKM-manifold is a union of T -invariant 2-spheres. Each invariant 2-sphere connects 2 fixed points.*

COROLLARY 5.3. *The 1-skeleton $Q_1 = X_1/T$ is a graph on the vertex set $Q_0 \cong X_0$, whose edges correspond to 2-spheres between fixed points.*

Let $\text{star}(p)$ denote the set of edges emanating from a given vertex v of a graph. If $e \in \text{star}(p)$ is an edge emanating from a fixed point $p \in Q_0$ to a fixed point q , this edge comes equipped with the weight $\alpha(pq) \in \text{Hom}(T^k, T^1)$. This weight corresponds to the summand of the tangent representation $T_p X$ which is tangent to the invariant 2-sphere. It easily follows that $\alpha(pq) = \pm\alpha(qp)$.

DEFINITION 5.4. A GKM-graph Γ is a finite graph (V, E) equipped with a function $\alpha: E \rightarrow \text{Hom}(T^k, T^1)$, which satisfies $\alpha(pq) = \pm\alpha(qp)$ for all edges $e = (pq)$. The function α is called *an axial function*.

DEFINITION 5.5. A GKM-graph Γ with connection is a GKM-graph, equipped with additional data, the connection. A *connection* θ , is a collection of bijections $\theta_{(pq)}: \text{star}(p) \rightarrow \text{star}(q)$ for all edges (pq) of a graph, satisfying the properties:

- (1) $\theta_e e = e$ for all edges e ;
- (2) $\theta_{(qp)}^{-1} = \theta_{(pq)}$;
- (3) The integral vector $\alpha(\theta_{(pq)}e) - \alpha(e)$ is collinear to $\alpha(pq)$ for any $e \in \text{star}(p)$.

PROPOSITION 5.6 ([9, Thm.3.4]). *If X is a GKM-manifold, its 1-skeleton Q_1 is a GKM-graph. If, moreover, the action on X is 3-independent, then Q_1 is a GKM-graph equipped with a canonical connection.*

Let Γ be an n -valent GKM graph. We assume that any j of the vectors attached to the n edges emanating from a common vertex are linearly independent, that is Γ is j -independent. We note two things:

- (1) If $j \geq 3$, then the connection θ on Γ is uniquely determined.
- (2) Any $j - 1$ edges emanating from a common vertex determine a $(j - 1)$ -face of $\Gamma(X)$, that is a $(j - 1)$ -valent GKM subgraph containing the given $j - 1$ edges.

An edge $e \in E$ emanating from a vertex of a face Ξ in Γ , is called a *transversal edge to the face Ξ* of (Γ, θ) if $e \notin E_\Xi$.

Therefore, if $j \geq 3$, then any two edges emanating from a common vertex determine a 2-face Ξ and hence a monodromy map on the transverse $n - 2$ edges by translating them using the connection θ along the 2-face Ξ .

LEMMA 5.7. *If $j \geq 4$, then the monodromy map above is the identity.*

PROOF. Take an arbitrary transversal edge e to Ξ at a vertex p . This transversal edge together with the two edges of Ξ emanating from p determines a 3-face since $j \geq 4$, so that if we translate e along Ξ , it comes back to e . Since e is arbitrary, this proves the lemma. \square

Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension 2 face of Γ and let e_1, e_2 be the transversal vectors to \mathcal{F} at a vertex p . The connection θ defines a monodromy homomorphism

$$\mu_{\mathcal{F}}: \pi_1(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Pi(e_1, e_2)$$

where $\Pi(e_1, e_2)$ denotes the permutation group on e_1 and e_2 . Since $\Pi(e_1, e_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ is abelian, $\mu_{\mathcal{F}}$ factors through $H_1(\mathcal{F})$; so we may think of $\mu_{\mathcal{F}}$ as

$$\mu_{\mathcal{F}}: H_1(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Pi(e_1, e_2).$$

Then the following follows from Lemma 5.7

LEMMA 5.8. *If $j \geq 4$, then $\mu_{\mathcal{F}}$ is trivial on the subgroup of $H_1(\mathcal{F})$ generated by 2-faces of \mathcal{F} .*

REMARK 5.9. The map $\mu_{\mathcal{F}}$ is not necessarily trivial when $j = 3$ as is seen for the GKM graph of the Grassmann manifold $G_{4,2}$ of 2-planes in \mathbb{C}^4 .

Now let X be a connected closed smooth manifold with smooth effective action of $T = T^{n-1}$ of complexity one. We assume

- (1) $H^{\text{odd}}(X) = 0$ (equivariant formality),
- (2) the T -action is in general position, that is $(n-1)$ -independent.

Condition (2) above is equivalent to the GKM graph $\Gamma(X)$ associated to X being $(n-1)$ -independent. We identify the vertex set of $\Gamma(X)$ with the T -fixed point set X^T .

The GKM graph $\Gamma(G_{4,2})$ of $G_{4,2}$ does not have a facet. In contrast, we have

LEMMA 5.10. *If $n \geq 5$, then for any edge e of $\Gamma(X)$, there is a facet of $\Gamma(X)$ which has e as a transversal edge.*

PROOF. Let p be an endpoint of e and take any edge f of $\Gamma(X)$ emanating from p . Since the T -action is in general position, there is a circle subgroup S of T which fixes the remaining $(n-2)$ directions except for e and f at p . Then the S -fixed point component in X containing p , denoted by Y , has e and f as transversal directions. (Note. The induced action of T/S on Y is of complexity 0 and moreover $H^{\text{odd}}(Y) = 0$ because $H^{\text{odd}}(X) = 0$ and Y is an S -fixed point set component, see Lemma 3.4. Therefore, Y/T is a homology polytope.) The one-skeleton of the orbit space Y/T provides a codimension 2 face F of $\Gamma(X)$ which has e and f as the transversal edges. Since $n \geq 5$, the GKM graph $\Gamma(X)$ is 4-independent so that the monodromy map $\mu_{\mathcal{F}}$ is trivial on the subgroup of $H_1(\mathcal{F})$ generated by 2-faces of \mathcal{F} by Lemma 5.8. However, the 2-faces of \mathcal{F} generate $H_1(\mathcal{F})$ because of the following exact sequence

$$H_2(Y/T) \rightarrow H_2(Y/T, F) \rightarrow H_1(F) \rightarrow H_1(Y/T)$$

where $H_1(Y/T)$ vanishes and $H_2(Y/T, F)$ is generated by the geometric 2-faces of Y/T since Y/T is a homology polytope.

Thus, the edge e is invariant under translation by the connection θ along any closed path in \mathcal{F} . We translate e using the connection θ as much as we can in any direction different from e . Since the monodromy map $\mu_{\mathcal{F}}$ is trivial for any codimension 2 face F , we will obtain a facet of $\Gamma(X)$ with e as a transversal edge. \square

COROLLARY 5.11. *If $n \geq 5$, then the facets of $\Gamma(X)$ in Lemma 5.10 together with the faces arisen from facial submanifold of X form a simplicial poset.*

In the following, cohomology rings are taken with $R = \mathbb{Q}$ coefficients. Let $R[\Gamma(X)]$ be the face ring of the simplicial poset $\Gamma(X)$, i.e.

$$R[\Gamma(X)] = R[v_F \mid F \text{ a face of } \Gamma(X)] / \left(v_F v_H - \sum_{E \subset F \cap H} v_E \right).$$

For a face F of $\Gamma(X)$, we denote its Thom class by $\tau_F \in H_T^*(X)$ (precisely speaking we need to choose some omniorientation on $\Gamma(X)$). The assignment $v_F \rightarrow \tau_F$ defines a homomorphism

$$\varphi: R[\Gamma(X)] \rightarrow H_T^*(X).$$

LEMMA 5.12. *The map φ is surjective.*

PROOF. The same argument as [23, Proposition 7.4] shows that $H_T^*(X)$ is generated by τ_F 's as a module over $H^*(BT)$ and $H^2(BT)$ is generated by τ_G 's over R where G 's are facets. This implies the lemma. \square

THEOREM 5.13. *Suppose that $n \geq 5$ and $H^{\text{odd}}(X) = 0$. Then there is a non-zero linear form $\eta \in R[\Gamma(X)]$ such that $\varphi(\eta) = 0$ and φ induces an isomorphism*

$$\bar{\varphi}: R[\Gamma(X)]/(\eta) \rightarrow H_T^*(X).$$

PROOF. We consider the commutative diagram

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R[\Gamma(X)] & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & H_T^*(X) \\ \downarrow s & & \downarrow r \\ \bigoplus_{p \in X^T} R[\Gamma(X)]/(v_H \mid p \notin H) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \bigoplus_{p \in X^T} H^*(BT) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are restriction maps which are injective and ψ is induced by $v_F \rightarrow \tau_F(p)$ for each $p \in X^T$. The map ψ is surjective but not injective (even on degree two) because $\dim T < n$. Therefore the commutativity of the above diagram implies that $\ker \varphi$ has a non-zero linear form $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \tau_i$ where $c_i \in R$ and τ_i 's are the Thom classes of the facets of $\Gamma(X)$. Then $r(\varphi(\eta)) = 0$ and hence $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i \tau_i(p) = 0$ for any $p \in X^T$. Here $\tau_i(p) \neq 0$ if and only if p is a vertex of the facet corresponding to τ_i . Since $\tau_i(p)$'s span $H^2(BT)$ which is of rank $n - 1$, the coefficient vector (c_1, \dots, c_m) is uniquely determined up to scalar multiple. (Note also that any c_i is non-zero because the action is in general position.) This shows that the epimorphism

$$\bar{\varphi}: R[\Gamma(X)]/(\eta) \rightarrow H_T^*(X)$$

induced from φ is an isomorphism on degree two.

The commutative diagram (5.1) induces a commutative diagram

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R[\Gamma(X)]/(\eta) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & H_T^*(X) \\ \bar{s} \downarrow & & \downarrow r \\ \bigoplus_{p \in X^T} R[\Gamma(X)]/(\eta)/(v_H \mid p \notin H) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} & \bigoplus_{p \in X^T} H^*(BT) \end{array}$$

where \bar{s} is injective since so is s .

Claim. $\bar{\psi}$ is an isomorphism.

Indeed, since $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \tau_i$ and $\tau_i(p) \neq 0$ if and only if the corresponding facet contains p , we have

$$(5.3) \quad R[\Gamma(X)]/(\eta)/(v_H \mid p \notin H) = R[\tau_i \mid i \in I(p)] / \left(\sum_{i \in I(p)} c_i \tau_i \right)$$

where $I(p) = \{i \mid \tau_i(p) \neq 0\}$. Since $|I(p)| = n$ and the coefficients c_i are non-zero, the ring in (5.3) is isomorphic to $H^*(BT)$. This together with the surjectivity of $\bar{\psi}$ implies that $\bar{\psi}$ is an isomorphism, proving the claim. Since both \bar{s} and $\bar{\psi}$ are injective, the commutativity of the diagram above shows that the epimorphism $\bar{\varphi}$ is indeed injective on any degree, proving the theorem. \square

Theorem 5.13 implies that if Y is a face submanifold of X such that any intersection of faces of $\Gamma(X)$ with $\Gamma(Y)$ is connected unless empty, then the restriction map

$$(5.4) \quad \iota^*: H_T^*(X) \rightarrow H_T^*(Y)$$

is surjective when $n \geq 5$. This is not true for $n \leq 4$ in general. For instance, when $X = G_{4,2}$, Y can be $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ so that the restriction map above is not surjective in degree two. However, the surjectivity holds in higher degrees.

PROPOSITION 5.14. *If any intersection of geometric faces of $\Gamma(X)$ with $\Gamma(Y)$ is connected unless empty, then ι^* in (5.4) is surjective in degrees ≥ 4 for any n .*

PROOF. We think of $H_T^*(X)$ and $H_T^*(Y)$ as the cohomology of the GKM graphs $\Gamma(X)$ and $\Gamma(Y)$. For any face G of $\Gamma(Y)$ which is of codimension ≥ 2 , there exists a face F of $\Gamma(X)$ such that F and $\Gamma(Y)$ intersect “transversally” and $G = F \cap \Gamma(Y)$. This means that $\iota^*(\tau_F) = \tau_G$, proving the proposition. \square

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Fedor Pavutnitskiy from whom we knew about the works of Quillen about spectral sequences of homotopy colimits. The last author would like to thank Shintarô Kuroki for many fruitful discussions of GKM-theory.

References

- [1] A. Adem, J. F. Davis, *Topics in transformation groups*, in Handbook of Geometric Topology, editors R. J. Daverman, R. B. Sher, 2001.
- [2] A. Ayzenberg, *Locally standard torus actions and h' -vectors of simplicial posets*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 68:4 (2016), 1–21 (preprint: arXiv:1501.07016).

- [3] A. Ayzenberg, M. Masuda, *Orbit spaces of equivariantly formal torus actions*, preprint: arXiv:1912.11696.
- [4] A. Ayzenberg, V. Cherepanov, *Torus actions of complexity one in non-general position*, Osaka Journal of Mathematics 58:4 (2021), 839-853. (preprint: arXiv:1905.04761).
- [5] A. Ayzenberg, V. Cherepanov, *Matroids in toric topology*, preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.06282>.
- [6] A. Bialynicki-Birula, *Some Theorems on Actions of Algebraic Groups*, Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, Vol. 98:3 (1973), 480–497.
- [7] A. Björner, *Homology and Shellability of Matroids and Geometric Lattices*, In N. White (Ed.), Matroid Applications (Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications) (1992), pp. 226-283. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [8] A. Björner, M. L. Wachs, V. Welker, *Poset fiber theorems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 357:5 (2005), 1877–1899.
- [9] D. Bolker, V. W. Guillemin, T. Holm, *How is a graph like a manifold?*, preprint arXiv:math/0206103.
- [10] G. E. Bredon, *The free part of a torus action and related numerical equalities*, Duke Math. J. 41 (1974), 843–854.
- [11] J. D. Carlson, E. A. Gamse, Y. Karshon, *Realization of fixed-point data for GKM actions*, preprint <https://www.ma.imperial.ac.uk/~jcarlson/realization.pdf>
- [12] T. tom Dieck. Transformation groups. Walter de Gruyter, 1987.
- [13] D. Dugger, *A primer on homotopy colimits*, preprint <http://math.uoregon.edu/~ddugger/hocolim.pdf>
- [14] M. Franz, V. Puppe, *Freeness of equivariant cohomology and mutants of compactified representations* in Contemporary Mathematics 460 “Toric Topology”.
- [15] M. Franz, V. Puppe, *Exact cohomology sequences with integral coefficients for torus actions*, Transformation Groups 12 (2007), 65–76, preprint arXiv:math/0505607.
- [16] M. Franz, *A quotient criterion for syzygies in equivariant cohomology*, Transformation Groups 22 (2017), 933–965 (preprint arXiv:1205.4462)
- [17] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, R. MacPherson, *Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem*, Invent. math. 131 (1998), 25–83.
- [18] V. Guillemin, T. Holm, C. Zara *A GKM description of the equivariant cohomology ring of a homogeneous space*, J. Algebr. Comb. 23 (2006), 21–41.
- [19] V. Guillemin, C. Zara *One-skeleta, Betti number and equivariant cohomology*, Duke Math. J. 107 (2001), 283–349.
- [20] Wu Yi Hsiang, Cohomology Theory of Topological Transformation Groups, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- [21] S. Illman, *The Equivariant Triangulation Theorem for Actions of Compact Lie Groups*, Mathematische Annalen 262, (1983), 487–502.
- [22] S. Kuroki, *Introduction to GKM-theory*, Trends in Mathematics - New Series 11:2 (2009), 111–126.
- [23] M. Masuda, T. Panov, *On the cohomology of torus manifolds*, Osaka J. Math. 43 (2006), 711–746 (preprint arXiv:math/0306100).
- [24] D. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory. I*, in Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972), Lecture Notes in Math, 341, Berlin, New York: Springer-Verlag, pp. 85–147.
- [25] V. Welker, G. M. Ziegler, R. T. Živaljević, *Homotopy colimits — comparison lemmas for combinatorial applications*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal) 999:509 (1999), 117–149.

FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Email address: ayzenberga@gmail.com

OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY ADVANCED MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, JAPAN, AND FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Email address: masuda@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Email address: grigory.solomadin@gmail.com