

Joint Probabilities within Random Permutations

STEVEN FINCH

May 1, 2022

ABSTRACT. A celebrated analogy between prime factorizations of integers and cycle decompositions of permutations is explored here. Asymptotic formulas characterizing semismooth numbers (possessing at most several large factors) carry over to random permutations. We offer a survey of practical methods for computing relevant probabilities of a bivariate or trivariate flavor.

Let Λ_r denote the length of the r^{th} longest cycle in an n -permutation, chosen uniformly at random. If the permutation has no r^{th} cycle, then its r^{th} longest cycle is defined to have length 0. The case $r = 1$ has attracted widespread attention [1, 2]. We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \{ \Lambda_1 \leq x n \} = \rho \left(\frac{1}{x} \right), \quad 0 < x \leq 1$$

where $\rho = \rho_1$ is Dickman's function:

$$\xi \rho'_1(\xi) + \rho_1(\xi - 1) = 0 \text{ for } \xi > 1, \quad \rho_1(\xi) = 1 \text{ for } 0 \leq \xi \leq 1.$$

Also $\rho_1(\xi) = 0$ for $\xi < 0$. More generally [3, 4],

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \{ \Lambda_2 \leq y n \} = \rho_2 \left(\frac{1}{y} \right), \quad 0 < y \leq \frac{1}{2};$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \{ \Lambda_3 \leq z n \} = \rho_3 \left(\frac{1}{z} \right), \quad 0 < z \leq \frac{1}{3};$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \{ \Lambda_4 \leq w n \} = \rho_4 \left(\frac{1}{w} \right), \quad 0 < w \leq \frac{1}{4}$$

where

$$\xi \rho'_r(\xi) + \rho_r(\xi - 1) = \rho_{r-1}(\xi - 1) \text{ for } \xi > 1, \quad \rho_r(\xi) = 1 \text{ for } 0 \leq \xi \leq 1$$

for $r = 2, 3, 4$. It is known that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the infinite sequence $\frac{1}{n} (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Lambda_3, \Lambda_4, \dots)$ converges to what is called the Poisson-Dirichlet distribution with parameter 1. Our

⁰Copyright © 2022 by Steven R. Finch. All rights reserved.

interest is in the practicalities of computing this distribution, not for infinite sequences, but merely the finite section $\frac{1}{n}(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Lambda_3, \Lambda_4)$. A special case of Billingsley's formula for the corresponding density is [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]:

$$f_{1234}(x, y, z, w) = \frac{1}{x y z w} \rho \left(\frac{1 - x - y - z - w}{w} \right),$$

$$1 > x > y > z > w > 0, \quad x + y + z + w < 1.$$

More special cases include

$$f_{123}(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{x y z} \rho \left(\frac{1 - x - y - z}{z} \right), \quad 1 > x > y > z > 0, \quad x + y + z < 1;$$

$$f_{12}(x, y) = \frac{1}{x y} \rho \left(\frac{1 - x - y}{y} \right), \quad 1 > x > y > 0, \quad x + y < 1;$$

$$f_1(x) = \frac{1}{x} \rho \left(\frac{1 - x}{x} \right) = \frac{d}{dx} \rho_1 \left(\frac{1}{x} \right), \quad 1 > x > 0;$$

$$f_2(y) = \frac{d}{dy} \rho_2 \left(\frac{1}{y} \right), \quad \frac{1}{2} > y > 0$$

and likewise for $f_3(z)$, $f_4(w)$, but no compact representations for $f_{13}(x, z)$, $f_{14}(x, w)$, $f_{23}(y, z)$ seem to be available.

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2} \ \& \ \frac{\Lambda_2}{n} \leq \frac{1}{3} \right\} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2} \ \& \ \frac{1}{3} < \frac{\Lambda_2}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\} \\ &= \int_0^{1/2} f_1(x) dx - \int_{1/3}^{1/2} \int_{1/3}^x f_{12}(x, y) dy dx = \rho_1(2) - \int_{1/3}^{1/2} \int_{1/3}^x \frac{dy dx}{x y} \\ &= (1 - \ln(2)) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)^2 = 0.224651842493.... \end{aligned}$$

Call this probability A . It is associated with the blue \cup magenta \cup green trapezoid in Figure 1, i.e., the large isosceles triangle to the left of $y = \frac{1}{2}$ with the small orange triangle removed. The probability B associated with the orange \cup brown triangle is clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_2}{n} > \frac{1}{3} \right\} &= 1 - \rho_2(3) = -\frac{\pi^2}{12} + \frac{\ln(3)^2}{2} + \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1}{3} \right) \\ &= 0.147220676959.... \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_1}{n} > \frac{1}{2} \ \& \ \frac{\Lambda_2}{n} \leq \frac{1}{3} \right\} = 1 - A - B = 0.628127480547...$$

which is associated with the yellow \cup red \cup cyan trapezoid, i.e., the large isosceles triangle to the right of $y = \frac{1}{2}$ with the small brown triangle removed. Such tractable symbolics (for this specific case) tend to obscure difficult numerics (in general) when integrating, due to an explosive singularity of f_{12} at $(x, y) = (1, 0)$. We shall devote the rest of this paper to simple methods for computing probabilities quickly and accurately.

1. DENSITY

Difficulties presented by the numerical integration of $f_{12}(x, y)$ are evident in Figure 2. The surface appears to touch the xy -plane only when $y = 0$; its prominent ridge occurs along the line $y = (1 - x)/2$ because $(1 - x - y)/y = 1$ corresponds to a unique point of nondifferentiability for $\xi \mapsto \rho(\xi)$; its remaining boundary hovers over the broken line $y = \min\{x, 1 - x\}$, everywhere finite except in the vicinity of $x = 0$.

Complications are compounded for the three other densities (which are, in themselves, approximations). Figure 3 contains a plot of

$$f_{13}(x, z) = \int_z^x f_{123}(x, y, z) dy.$$

The surface appears to touch the xz -plane when $z = 0$ and $0 < x < 1/2$ simultaneously, as well as everywhere along the broken line $z = \min\{x, (1 - x)/2\}$.

Figure 4 contains a plot of

$$f_{14}(x, w) = \int_w^{\min\{x, 1/3\}} \int_z^x f_{1234}(x, y, z, w) dy dz.$$

The (precipitously rising) surface appears to touch the xw -plane only when $w = 0$ and $0 < x < 1/2$ simultaneously; its remaining boundary hovers over the broken line $w = \min\{x, (1 - x)/3\}$, everywhere finite except in the vicinity of $x = 0$. The vertical scale is more expansive here than for the other plots.

Figure 5 contains a plot of

$$f_{23}(y, z) = \int_y^1 f_{123}(x, y, z) dx.$$

The (fairly undulating) surface appears to touch the yz -plane only when $z = 1 - 2y$. Unlike the other densities, a singularity here occurs at $(y, z) = (0, 0)$.




Figure 1: Domain of integration for (Λ_1, Λ_2) example.




Figure 2: Probability density of (Λ_1, Λ_2) , over $0 \leq y \leq 1/2$ and $y \leq x \leq 1 - y$.




Figure 3: Probability density of (Λ_1, Λ_3) , over $0 \leq z \leq 1/3$ and $z \leq x \leq 1 - 2z$.




Figure 4: Probability density of (Λ_1, Λ_4) , over $0 \leq w \leq 1/4$ and $w \leq x \leq 1 - 3w$.




Figure 5: Probability density of (Λ_2, Λ_3) , over $0 \leq z \leq 1/3$ and $z \leq y \leq (1 - z)/2$.

2. CORRELATION

Let

$$E(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt = -\text{Ei}(-x), \quad x > 0$$

be the exponential integral. Upon normalization, the h^{th} moment of the r^{th} longest cycle length is [10, 11, 12]

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(\Lambda_r^h)}{n^h} = \frac{1}{h!(r-1)!} \int_0^\infty x^{h-1} E(x)^{r-1} \exp[-E(x) - x] dx$$

(in this paper, rank $r = 1, 2, 3$ or 4 ; height $h = 1$ or 2). The cross-correlation between r^{th} longest and s^{th} longest cycle lengths is

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{r,s} &= \frac{\mathbb{E}(\Lambda_r \Lambda_s) - \mathbb{E}(\Lambda_r) \mathbb{E}(\Lambda_s)}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\Lambda_r^2) - \mathbb{E}(\Lambda_r)^2} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\Lambda_s^2) - \mathbb{E}(\Lambda_s)^2}} \\ &\rightarrow \begin{cases} -0.75803584\dots & \text{if } r = 1 \text{ and } s = 2, \\ -0.78421290\dots & \text{if } r = 1 \text{ and } s = 3, \\ -0.68442819\dots & \text{if } r = 1 \text{ and } s = 4, \\ +0.35549741\dots & \text{if } r = 2 \text{ and } s = 3 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

with cross-moments given by [13, 14]

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2)}{n^2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^x \exp[-E(y) - x - y] dy dx,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(\Lambda_1 \Lambda_3)}{n^2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^x \int_0^y \frac{1}{y} \exp[-E(z) - x - y - z] dz dy dx,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(\Lambda_1 \Lambda_4)}{n^2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^x \int_0^y \int_0^z \frac{1}{yz} \exp[-E(w) - x - y - z - w] dw dz dy dx,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(\Lambda_2 \Lambda_3)}{n^2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^x \int_0^y \frac{1}{x} \exp[-E(z) - x - y - z] dz dy dx.$$

The fact that Λ_1 is negatively correlated with other Λ_r , yet Λ_2 is positively correlated with other Λ_s , is due to longest cycles typically occupying a giant-size portion of permutations, but second-longest cycles less so.

3. DISTRIBUTION

Bach & Peralta [15] discussed a remarkable heuristic model, based on random bisection, that simplifies the computation of joint probabilities involving Λ_1 and Λ_2 . In the same paper, they rigorously proved that asymptotic predictions emanating from the model are valid. Subsequent researchers extended the work to Λ_1 and Λ_3 , to Λ_1 and Λ_4 , and to Λ_2 and Λ_3 . We shall not enter into details of the model nor its absolute confirmation, preferring instead to dwell on numerical results and certain relative verifications.

3.1. First and Second. For $0 < a \leq b \leq 1$, Bach & Peralta [15] demonstrated that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_2}{n} \leq a \ \& \ \frac{\Lambda_1}{n} \leq b \right\} = \underbrace{\rho \left(\frac{1}{a} \right)}_{=I_0(a)} + \underbrace{\int_a^b \rho \left(\frac{1-x}{a} \right) \frac{dx}{x}}_{=I_1(a,b)}.$$

Note the slight change from earlier – writing Λ_2 before Λ_1 – a convention we adopt so as to be consistent with the literature. Let $J_1(a, b) = I_0(a) + I_1(a, b)$. Return now to the example from the introduction. Evaluating

$$J_1 \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2} \right) = \rho(3) + \int_{1/3}^{1/2} \rho \left(\frac{1-x}{1/3} \right) \frac{dx}{x}$$

is less numerically problematic than evaluating

$$\underbrace{\int_0^{1/3} \int_0^x f_{12}(x, y) dy dx}_{=\rho(3)} + \int_{1/3}^{1/2} \int_0^{1/3} f_{12}(x, y) dy dx$$

for two reasons:

- a double integral has been miraculously reduced to a single integral,
- the argument of ρ within the integral is $(1-x)/a$ rather than $(1-x-y)/y$, which is unstable as $y \rightarrow 0$.

The advantages of using the Bach & Peralta formulation will become more apparent as we move forward (incidentally, their G is the same as our J_1).

$u \backslash v$	1	1	2	3	4	5
2	0.30685282	0.69314718				
3	0.04860839	0.80417093	0.17604345			
4	0.00491093	0.61877013	0.09148808	0.01974468		
5	0.00035472	0.46286746	0.03043740	0.00578984	0.00149456	
6	0.00001965	0.36519810	0.00849154	0.00107262	0.00029307	0.00008552

Table 1: $I_0(1/u)$ and $I_1(1/u, 1/v)$ for $2 \leq u \leq 6$, $1 \leq v < u$

$u \backslash v$	1	2	3	4	5	6
2	1.00000000	0.30685282				
3	0.85277932	0.22465184	0.04860839			
4	0.62368106	0.09639901	0.02465561	0.00491093		
5	0.46322219	0.03079212	0.00614457	0.00184928	0.00035472	
6	0.36521775	0.00851119	0.00109227	0.00031272	0.00010517	0.00001965

Table 2: $J_1(1/u, 1/v)$ for $2 \leq u \leq 6$, $1 \leq v \leq u$

A verification of $J_1(a, b)$ is as follows:

$$\frac{\partial J_1}{\partial b} = \rho \left(\frac{1-b}{a} \right) \frac{1}{b}$$

by the Second Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, hence

$$\frac{\partial^2 J_1}{\partial a \partial b} = -\rho' \left(\frac{1-b}{a} \right) \frac{1-b}{a^2} \frac{1}{b} = \frac{\rho \left(\frac{1-b}{a} - 1 \right)}{\frac{1-b}{a}} \frac{1-b}{a^2 b} = \frac{\rho \left(\frac{1-a-b}{a} \right)}{a b} = f_{12}(b, a)$$

as anticipated by Billingsley [5]. An interpretation of $I_1(a, b)$ is helpful:

$$I_1(a, b) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_2}{n} \leq a \text{ \& } a < \frac{\Lambda_1}{n} \leq b \right\}$$

i.e., the probability that exactly one cycle has length in the interval $(a n, b n]$ and all others have length $\leq a n$. We have, for instance,

$$\left. \frac{\partial I_1}{\partial a} \right|_{b=1} = 0, \quad I_1(a, 1) \approx 0.8285$$

when $a \approx 0.3775 \approx 1/(2.649)$, the value maximizing $\mathbb{P} \{ \Lambda_2 \leq a n < \Lambda_1 \}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

3.2. First and Third. For $0 < a \leq 1/2$ and $a \leq b \leq 1$, Lambert [16] demonstrated that

$$J_2(a, b) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_3}{n} \leq a \ \& \ \frac{\Lambda_1}{n} \leq b \right\} = J_1(a, b) + \underbrace{\int_a^b \int_y^b \rho \left(\frac{1-x-y}{a} \right) \frac{dx}{x} \frac{dy}{y}}_{=I_2(a,b)}.$$

(Incidentally, his G_2 is the same as our $J_2 - J_1 = I_2$.)

$u \backslash v$	1	2	3	4	5
3	0.14722068	0.08220098			
4	0.36143259	0.19556747	0.01998464		
5	0.46463747	0.20709082	0.02278925	0.00201596	
6	0.48588944	0.16644726	0.01263312	0.00136571	0.00013356

Table 3: $I_2(1/u, 1/v)$ for $3 \leq u \leq 6$, $1 \leq v < u$

$u \backslash v$	1	2	3	4	5	6
3	1.00000000	0.30685282	0.04860839			
4	0.98511365	0.29196647	0.04464025	0.00491093		
5	0.92785965	0.23788294	0.02893382	0.00386524	0.00035472	
6	0.85110720	0.17495845	0.01372538	0.00167843	0.00023872	0.00001965

Table 4: $J_2(1/u, 1/v)$ for $3 \leq u \leq 6$, $1 \leq v \leq u$

A verification of $J_2(a, b)$ is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial I_2}{\partial b} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \int_a^b \int_a^b \rho \left(\frac{1-x-y}{a} \right) \frac{dx}{x} \frac{dy}{y} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \rho \left(\frac{1-b-y}{a} \right) \frac{1}{b} \frac{dy}{y} + \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \rho \left(\frac{1-x-b}{a} \right) \frac{1}{b} \frac{dx}{x} = \int_a^b \rho \left(\frac{1-x-b}{a} \right) \frac{1}{b} \frac{dx}{x} \end{aligned}$$

by symmetry; thus by Leibniz's Rule,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 I_2}{\partial a \partial b} &= - \int_a^b \rho' \left(\frac{1-x-b}{a} \right) \frac{1-x-b}{a^2} \frac{1}{b} \frac{dx}{x} - \rho \left(\frac{1-a-b}{a} \right) \frac{1}{ab} \\ &= \int_a^b \frac{\rho \left(\frac{1-a-x-b}{a} \right)}{\frac{1-x-b}{a}} \frac{1-x-b}{a^2 x b} dx - \frac{\partial^2 J_1}{\partial a \partial b} \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\frac{\partial^2 J_2}{\partial a \partial b} = \int_a^b \frac{\rho\left(\frac{1-a-x-b}{a}\right)}{a x b} dx = \int_a^b f_{123}(b, x, a) dx = f_{13}(b, a),$$

as was to be shown. An interpretation of $I_2(a, b)$ is helpful:

$$I_2(a, b) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_3}{n} \leq a \text{ \& } a < \frac{\Lambda_2}{n} \leq \frac{\Lambda_1}{n} \leq b \right\}$$

i.e., the probability that exactly two cycles have length in the interval $(a n, b n]$ and all others have length $\leq a n$.

3.3. First and Fourth. For $0 < a \leq 1/3$ and $a \leq b \leq 1$, Cavallar [17] and Zhang [18] independently demonstrated that

$$J_3(a, b) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_4}{n} \leq a \text{ \& } \frac{\Lambda_1}{n} \leq b \right\} = J_2(a, b) + \underbrace{\int_a^b \int_z^b \int_y^b \rho\left(\frac{1-x-y-z}{a}\right) \frac{dx}{x} \frac{dy}{y} \frac{dz}{z}}_{=I_3(a, b)}.$$

(Incidentally, Cavallar's G_3 is the same as our $J_3 - J_2 = I_3$ while Zhang's G_3 is the same as our J_3 .)

$u \backslash v$	1	2	3	4	5
4	0.01488635	0.01488635	0.00396814		
5	0.07126587	0.06809540	0.01884107	0.00094238	
6	0.14082221	0.12382378	0.02870816	0.00222512	0.00009015

Table 5: $I_3(1/u, 1/v)$ for $4 \leq u \leq 6$, $1 \leq v < u$

$u \backslash v$	1	2	3	4	5	6
4	1.00000000	0.30685282	0.04860839	0.00491093		
5	0.99912552	0.30597834	0.04777489	0.00480762	0.00035472	
6	0.99192941	0.29878222	0.04243355	0.00390355	0.00032887	0.00001965

Table 6: $J_3(1/u, 1/v)$ for $4 \leq u \leq 6$, $1 \leq v \leq u$

We omit details of the verification of $J_3(a, b)$, except to mention the start point

$$\frac{\partial I_3}{\partial b} = \frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_a^b \rho\left(\frac{1-x-y-z}{a}\right) \frac{dx}{x} \frac{dy}{y} \frac{dz}{z}$$

and the end point $\partial^2 J_3 / \partial a \partial b = f_{14}(b, a)$. An interpretation of $I_3(a, b)$ is helpful:

$$I_3(a, b) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_4}{n} \leq a \text{ \& } a < \frac{\Lambda_3}{n} \leq \frac{\Lambda_1}{n} \leq b \right\}$$

i.e., the probability that exactly three cycles have length in the interval $(a n, b n]$ and all others have length $\leq a n$.

3.4. Second and Third. For $0 < a < 1/3$, $a \leq b < 1/2$ and $b \leq c \leq 1$, Ekkelkamp [19, 20] demonstrated that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_3}{n} \leq a, a < \frac{\Lambda_2}{n} \leq b \text{ \& } \frac{\Lambda_1}{n} \leq c \right\} = \int_a^b \int_y^c \rho \left(\frac{1-x-y}{a} \right) \frac{dx dy}{x y}$$

under the additional condition $a+b+c \leq 1$. If we were to suppose that this condition is unnecessary and set $c = 1$, then by definition of ρ_2 , we would have

$$L_1(a, b) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_3}{n} \leq a \text{ \& } \frac{\Lambda_2}{n} \leq b \right\} = \underbrace{\rho_2 \left(\frac{1}{a} \right)}_{=K_0(a)} + \underbrace{\int_a^b \int_y^1 \rho_1 \left(\frac{1-x-y}{a} \right) \frac{dx dy}{x y}}_{=K_1(a,b)}$$

where K_1 is similar (but not identical) to I_2 :

$$K_1(a, b) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_3}{n} \leq a \text{ \& } a < \frac{\Lambda_2}{n} \leq b \right\}.$$

On the one hand, our supposition is evidently false. In the following, we compare provisional theoretical values (eight digits of precision) against simulated values (just two digits):

$u \backslash v$	3	3	4	5
4	0.62368106	0.27362816 > 0.21		
5	0.46322219	0.40043992 > 0.32	0.17285583 > 0.14	
6	0.36521775	0.43489680 > 0.35	0.24479052 > 0.20	0.10650591 > 0.09

Table 7: $K_0(1/u)$ and $K_1(1/u, 1/v)$ for $4 \leq u \leq 6$, $3 \leq v < u$

$u \backslash v$	2	3	4	5	6
3	1.00000000	0.85277932			
4	0.98511365	0.89730922 > 0.84	0.62368106		
5	0.92785965	0.86366210 > 0.79	0.63607802 > 0.60	0.46322219	
6	0.85110720	0.80011455 > 0.72	0.61000827 > 0.56	0.47172366 > 0.45	0.36521775

Table 8: $L_1(1/u, 1/v)$ for $3 \leq u \leq 6$, $2 \leq v \leq u$

where special cases

$$L_1(a, b) = \begin{cases} \rho_2(1/b) & \text{if } a = b \leq 1/3, \\ \rho_3(1/a) & \text{if } a \leq 1/3 \text{ and } b = 1/2 \end{cases}$$

are surely true.

On the other hand, a verification of $L_1(a, b)$ is as follows:

$$\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial b} = \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \int_a^b \int_y^1 \rho \left(\frac{1-x-y}{a} \right) \frac{dx}{x} \frac{dy}{y} = \int_b^1 \rho \left(\frac{1-x-b}{a} \right) \frac{1}{b} \frac{dx}{x}$$

hence by Leibniz's Rule,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 L_1}{\partial a \partial b} &= - \int_b^1 \rho' \left(\frac{1-x-b}{a} \right) \frac{1-x-b}{a^2} \frac{1}{b} \frac{dx}{x} = \int_b^1 \frac{\rho \left(\frac{1-a-b-x}{a} \right)}{\frac{1-b-x}{a}} \frac{1-b-x}{a^2 b x} dx \\ &= \int_b^1 \frac{\rho \left(\frac{1-a-b-x}{a} \right)}{a b x} dx = \int_b^1 f_{123}(x, b, a) dx = f_{23}(b, a), \end{aligned}$$

as was to be shown. If a correction term of the form $\varphi(a) + \psi(b)$ could be incorporated into $K_1(a, b)$, rendering it suitably smaller, then the above argument would still go through. Determining such expressions $\varphi(a)$, $\psi(b)$ is an open problem.

For $0 < \alpha < 1/4$, $\alpha \leq \beta < 1/3$, $\beta \leq \gamma < 1/2$ and $\gamma \leq \delta \leq 1$, Ekkelkamp [19, 20] further demonstrated that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_4}{n} \leq \alpha, \alpha < \frac{\Lambda_3}{n} \leq \beta, \beta < \frac{\Lambda_2}{n} \leq \gamma \text{ \& } \frac{\Lambda_1}{n} \leq \delta \right\} \\ &= \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \int_z^{\gamma} \int_y^{\delta} \rho \left(\frac{1-x-y-z}{\alpha} \right) \frac{dx}{x} \frac{dy}{y} \frac{dz}{z} \end{aligned}$$

under the additional condition $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta \leq 1$. Such a formula might eventually assist in calculating

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_4}{n} \leq \alpha \text{ \& } \frac{\Lambda_2}{n} \leq \gamma \right\}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_4}{n} \leq \alpha \text{ \& } \frac{\Lambda_3}{n} \leq \beta \right\}.$$

We leave this task for others. Accuracy can be improved by including a subordinate term – we have studied only main terms of asymptotic expansions – this fact was mentioned in [21], citing [19], but for proofs one must refer to [20]. It is striking that so much of this material remains unpublished (seemingly abandoned but thankfully preserved in doctoral dissertations; see [22, 23] for more).

An odd confession is necessary at this point and it is almost surely overdue. The multivariate probabilities discussed here were originally conceived not in the context of n -permutations as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but instead in the difficult realm of integers $\leq N$ (prime factorizations with cryptographic applications) as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Knuth & Trabb Pardo [3, 24, 25] were the first to tenuously observe this analogy. Lloyd [26, 27] reflected, “They do not explain the coincidence... No isomorphism of the problems is established”. Early in his article, Tao [28] wrote how a certain calculation doesn’t offer understanding for “*why* there is such a link”, but later gave what he called a “satisfying conceptual (as opposed to computational) explanation”. After decades of waiting, the fog has apparently lifted.

4. ADDENDUM: MAPPINGS

A counterpart of Billingsley’s f_{1234} :

$$g_{1234}(x, y, z, w) = \frac{1}{16xyzw} \sigma\left(\frac{1-x-y-z-w}{w}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{w}},$$

$$1 > x > y > z > w > 0, \quad x + y + z + w < 1;$$

$$\xi \sigma'(\xi) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma(\xi) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma(\xi - 1) = 0 \text{ for } \xi > 1, \quad \sigma(\xi) = 1/\sqrt{\xi} \text{ for } 0 < \xi \leq 1$$

is applicable to the study of connected components in random mappings [6, 8]. Let Λ_1 and Λ_2 denote the largest and second-largest such components. We use similar notation, but different techniques (because not as much is known about σ as about ρ .) For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{\Lambda_1}{n} > \frac{1}{2}\right\} &= \int_{1/2}^1 g_1(x) dx = \int_{1/2}^1 \frac{1}{2x} \sigma\left(\frac{1-x}{x}\right) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{1/2}^1 \frac{1}{x\sqrt{1-x}} dx = \ln(1 + \sqrt{2}). \end{aligned}$$

Call this probability Q . The analog here of what we called A in the introduction is

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{\Lambda_1}{n} > \frac{1}{2}\right\} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{\Lambda_1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2} \ \&\ \frac{1}{3} < \frac{\Lambda_2}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\} \\ &= 1 - Q - \int_{1/3}^{1/2} \int_{1/3}^x g_{12}(x, y) dy dx = 1 - Q - \int_{1/3}^{1/2} \int_{1/3}^x \frac{1}{4xy} \sigma\left(\frac{1-x-y}{y}\right) \frac{dy dx}{\sqrt{y}} \\ &= 1 - Q - \frac{1}{4} \int_{1/3}^{1/2} \int_{1/3}^x \frac{dy dx}{xy\sqrt{1-x-y}} = 0.065484671719... \end{aligned}$$

and the analog of we called $1 - A - B$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_1}{n} > \frac{1}{2} \right\} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_1}{n} > \frac{1}{2} \ \& \ \frac{1}{3} < \frac{\Lambda_2}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2} \right\} \\
&= Q - \int_{1/2}^{2/3} \int_{1/3}^{1-x} g_{12}(x, y) dy dx = Q - \int_{1/2}^{2/3} \int_{1/3}^{1-x} \frac{1}{4xy} \sigma \left(\frac{1-x-y}{y} \right) \frac{dy dx}{\sqrt{y}} \\
&= Q - \frac{1}{4} \int_{1/2}^{2/3} \int_{1/3}^{1-x} \frac{dy dx}{xy \sqrt{1-x-y}} = 0.780087954710....
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the analog of B (associated with the orange \cup brown triangle in Figure 1) is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{\Lambda_2}{n} > \frac{1}{3} \right\} = 1 - A - (1 - A - B) = 0.154427373569...$$

and should lead in due course to a formula for σ_2 , generalizing $\sigma_1 = \sigma$.

5. ADDENDUM: SHORT CYCLES

Given a random n -permutation, let S_r denote the length of the r^{th} shortest cycle (0 if the permutation has no r^{th} cycle) and C_ℓ denote the number of cycles of length ℓ . Since, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the distribution of C_ℓ approaches Poisson($1/\ell$) and C_1, C_2, C_3, \dots become asymptotically independent [29], we can calculate corresponding probabilities for S_r . For example,

$$\mathbb{P} \{S_1 = 1\} = \mathbb{P} \{C_1 \geq 1\} = 1 - \mathbb{P} \{C_1 = 0\} = 1 - e^{-1},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P} \{S_1 = 2\} &= \mathbb{P} \{C_1 = 0 \ \& \ C_2 \geq 1\} = \mathbb{P} \{C_1 = 0\} - \mathbb{P} \{C_1 = 0 \ \& \ C_2 = 0\} \\
&= \mathbb{P} \{C_1 = 0\} (1 - \mathbb{P} \{C_2 = 0\}) = e^{-1} (1 - e^{-1/2}) = e^{-1} - e^{-3/2}
\end{aligned}$$

and, more generally,

$$\mathbb{P} \{S_1 = i\} = e^{-H_{i-1}} - e^{-H_i}, \quad H_m = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k}.$$

It is understood that these are limiting quantities as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As another example,

$$\mathbb{P} \{S_2 = 1\} = \mathbb{P} \{C_1 \geq 2\} = 1 - \mathbb{P} \{C_1 \leq 1\} = 1 - 2e^{-1},$$




Figure 6: $f_1(x) = \frac{1}{x} \rho\left(\frac{1-x}{x}\right)$ and $g_1(x) = \frac{1}{2x^{3/2}} \sigma\left(\frac{1-x}{x}\right)$ comparison;
the differential expression $g_1(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{x^{1/2}} \sigma\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \right)$ is akin to $f_1(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \rho\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$.




Figure 7: $g_{12}(x, y) = \frac{1}{4xy^{3/2}}\sigma\left(\frac{1-x-y}{y}\right)$ over $0 \leq y \leq 1/2$ and $y \leq x \leq 1 - y$; this contrasts sharply from plot of $f_{12}(x, y)$ in Figure 2 along diagonal segment $x = y$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\{S_2 = 2\} &= \mathbb{P}\{C_1 = 1 \ \& \ C_2 \geq 1\} + \mathbb{P}\{C_1 = 0 \ \& \ C_2 \geq 2\} \\
&= \mathbb{P}\{C_1 = 1\} - \mathbb{P}\{C_1 = 1 \ \& \ C_2 = 0\} + \mathbb{P}\{C_1 = 0\} - \mathbb{P}\{C_1 = 0 \ \& \ C_2 \leq 1\} \\
&= e^{-1} (1 - e^{-1/2}) + e^{-1} (1 - \tfrac{3}{2}e^{-1/2}) = 2e^{-1} - \tfrac{5}{2}e^{-3/2}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_2 = j\} = (H_{j-1} + 1)e^{-H_{j-1}} - (H_j + 1)e^{-H_j}.$$

Similar reasoning leads to

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_1 = i \ \& \ S_2 = j\} = \begin{cases} e^{-H_{i-1}} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{i}\right)e^{-H_i} & \text{if } i = j, \\ \frac{1}{i}(e^{-H_{j-1}} - e^{-H_j}) & \text{if } i < j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

enabling a conjecture: $\mathbb{E}(S_1 S_2) = O(\ln(n)^3)$. A proof still remains out of reach.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to Michael Rogers, Josef Meixner, Nicholas Pippenger, Eran Tromer, John Kingman, Andrew Barbour, Ross Maller and Joseph Blitzstein for helpful discussions. The creators of Mathematica, as well as administrators of the MIT Engaging Cluster, earn my gratitude every day. Interest in this subject has, for me, spanned many years [30, 31]. A sequel to this paper will be released soon [32].

REFERENCES

- [1] S. R. Finch, Permute, Graph, Map, Derange, arXiv:2111.05720.
- [2] S. R. Finch, Rounds, Color, Parity, Squares, arXiv:2111.14487.
- [3] D. E. Knuth and L. Trabb Pardo, Analysis of a simple factorization algorithm, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 3 (1976) 321–348; also in *Selected Papers on Analysis of Algorithms*, CSLI, 2000, pp. 303–339; MR0498355.
- [4] S. R. Finch, Second best, Third worst, Fourth in line, arXiv:2202.07621.
- [5] P. Billingsley, On the distribution of large prime divisors, *Period. Math. Hungar.* 2 (1972) 283–289; MR0335462.
- [6] G. A. Watterson, The stationary distribution of the infinitely-many neutral alleles diffusion model, *J. Appl. Probab.* 13 (1976) 639–651; 14 (1977) 897; MR0504014 and MR0504015.

- [7] A. M. Vershik, Asymptotic distribution of factorizations of natural numbers into prime divisors (in Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* v. 289 (1986) n. 2, 269–272; Engl. transl. in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* v. 34 (1987) 57–61; MR0856456.
- [8] R. Arratia, A. D. Barbour and S. Tavaré, Random combinatorial structures and prime factorizations, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* 44 (1997) 903–910; MR1467654.
- [9] J. F. C. Kingman, Poisson processes revisited, *Probab. Math. Statist.* 26 (2006) 77–95; MR2301889.
- [10] L. A. Shepp and S. P. Lloyd, Ordered cycle lengths in a random permutation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 121 (1966) 340–357; MR0195117.
- [11] R. Arratia, A. D. Barbour and S. Tavaré, *Logarithmic Combinatorial Structures: a Probabilistic Approach*, Europ. Math. Society, 2003, pp. 21–24, 52, 87–89, 118; MR2032426.
- [12] R. G. Pinsky, A view from the bridge spanning combinatorics and probability, arXiv:2105.13834.
- [13] R. C. Griffiths, On the distribution of allele frequencies in a diffusion model, *Theoret. Population Biol.* 15 (1979) 140–158; MR0528914.
- [14] T. Shi, Cycle lengths of θ -biased random permutations, B.S. thesis, Harvey Mudd College, 2014, http://scholarship.claremont.edu/hmc_theses/65/.
- [15] E. Bach and R. Peralta, Asymptotic semismoothness probabilities, *Math. Comp.* 65 (1996) 1701–1715; MR1370848.
- [16] R. Lambert, *Computational Aspects of Discrete Logarithms*, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Waterloo, 1996.
- [17] S. H. Cavallar, *On the Number Field Sieve Integer Factorisation Algorithm*, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. Leiden, 2002; ch. 2 also in *The Three-Large-Primes Variant of the Number Field Sieve*, CWI report MAS-R0219, 2002, <http://ir.cwi.nl/pub/4222>.
- [18] C. Zhang, *An Extension of the Dickman Function and its Application*, Ph.D. thesis, Purdue Univ., 2002; Distribution of k -semismooth integers, *PanAmer. Math. J.* 18 (2008) 45–60; MR2467928.
- [19] W. H. Ekkelkamp, The role of semismooth numbers in factoring large numbers, *Proc. Conf. on Algorithmic Number Theory*, ed. A.-M. Ernvall-Hytönen, M. Jutila, J. Karhumäki and A. Lepistö, Turku Centre for Computer Science, 2007, pp. 40–44; <http://oldtucs.abo.fi/publications/>.

- [20] W. H. Ekkelkamp, *On the Amount of Sieving in Factorization Methods*, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. Leiden, 2010; <http://www.universiteitleiden.nl/en/research/research-output/>.
- [21] E. Bach and J. Sorenson, Approximately counting semismooth integers, *Proc. 38th Internat. Symp. on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (ISSAC)*, ACM, 2013, pp. 23–30; arXiv:1301.5293; MR3206336.
- [22] E. H. Cliffe, *Reflections on the Number Field Sieve*, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Bath, 2007; <http://researchportal.bath.ac.uk/en/studentTheses/>.
- [23] E. Tromer, *Hardware-Based Cryptanalysis*, Ph.D. thesis, Weizmann Institute of Science, 2007; <http://www.cs.tau.ac.il/~tromer/phd-dissertation/>.
- [24] A. Granville, The anatomy of integers and permutations, unpublished note, 2008, <http://dms.umontreal.ca/~andrew/PDF/Anatomy.pdf>.
- [25] A. Granville, J. Granville and R. J. Lewis, *Prime Suspects. The Anatomy of Integers and Permutations*, Princeton Univ. Press, 2019, pp. 200–201; MR3966460.
- [26] S. P. Lloyd, Ordered prime divisors of a random integer, *Annals of Probab.* 12 (1984) 1205–1212; MR0757777.
- [27] J. F. C. Kingman, The Poisson-Dirichlet distribution and the frequency of large prime divisors, unpublished note, 2004, <http://www.newton.ac.uk/documents/preprints/>.
- [28] T. Tao, Cycles of a random permutation, and irreducible factors of a random polynomial, unpublished note, 2015, <http://terrytao.wordpress.com/2015/07/15/>.
- [29] R. Arratia and S. Tavaré, The cycle structure of random permutations, *Annals of Probab.* 20 (1992) 1567–1591; MR1175278.
- [30] S. R. Finch, Golomb-Dickman constant, *Mathematical Constants*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003, pp. 284–292; MR2003519.
- [31] S. R. Finch, Extreme prime factors, *Mathematical Constants II*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2019, pp. 171–172; MR3887550.
- [32] S. R. Finch, Components and cycles of random mappings, *forthcoming*.

Steven Finch
MIT Sloan School of Management
Cambridge, MA, USA
steven_finch@harvard.edu