

Computing the trace of an algebraic point on an elliptic curve

Nicolas Mascot*, Denis Simon†

March 22, 2022

Abstract

We present a simple and efficient algorithm to compute the sum of the algebraic conjugates of a point on an elliptic curve.

Keywords: Trace, elliptic curve, algebraic conjugates, algorithm.

1 Introduction

Let E be the elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} defined by the equation $y^2 = x^3 + x + 15$, and let θ be a root of the irreducible polynomial $T(t) = t^3 - 135t - 408 \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$, so that the point $P = (\frac{\theta}{8} - 1, \frac{\theta^2}{32} - \frac{11\theta}{32} - \frac{19}{4})$ lies on E . The sum in E of the three algebraic conjugates of P must then lie in $E(\mathbb{Q})$, but which point is it exactly?

A computer package such as [PARI/GP] reveals that the images of P under the three embeddings of $\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ into \mathbb{C} are

$$(-2.202 \dots, 1.451 \dots), (-1.410 \dots, -3.283 \dots), (0.613 \dots, -3.980 \dots),$$

which add up in E to $(2.000 \dots, -5.000 \dots)$, so the answer must be $(2, -5)$. However, this approach is not rigorous since it involves approximations. Besides, if we had been working over another ground field than \mathbb{Q} , such as $\mathbb{Q}(t)$

2020 *Mathematics subject classification:* 11Y40, 11G05, 12F10, 12F15.

*Trinity College Dublin, mascotn@tcd.ie

†Université de Caen-Normandie, denis.simon@unicaen.fr

for example, it would not have been so easy to find an analogue of \mathbb{C} in which explicit computations are easy.

Another approach would consist in working in a splitting field of $T(t)$; however, this approach is ineffective since in general, the degree of the splitting field of a polynomial of degree n may be as large as $n!$, which is prohibitive even for moderate values of n .

The purpose of this article is to present a simple and efficient algorithm to compute the sum of the algebraic conjugates of a point on an elliptic curve and which is valid over any ground field.

Our implementation of these algorithms has been available since March 2022 in the development version of [PARI/GP] under the name `elltrace`.

2 Formal definition and first properties

Fix a field K and an elliptic curve E over K .

Definition 2.1. Let Ω be an algebraic closure of K , and let $i \in \text{Hom}(K, \Omega)$ be an embedding. Let L be a finite extension of K of inseparable degree $[L : K]_{\text{ins}}$, and let $P \in E(L)$. The *trace* $\text{Tr}(L/K, i, P)$ of P relative to the extension L/K and to the embedding i is the point $T \in E(K)$ such that

$$T^i = [L : K]_{\text{ins}} \sum_{j \in \text{Hom}_i(L, \Omega)} P^j, \quad (\dagger)$$

where the sum is meant in the sense of the group law of E and ranges over the embeddings j of L into Ω which extend i . We will prove in Proposition 2.4 below that the right hand side of (\dagger) indeed lies in $E(i(K))$.

Example 2.2. Suppose that $L = K(\theta)$ for some $\theta \in L$. Let

$$\prod_{n=1}^{[L:K]_{\text{sep}}} (t - \theta_n)^{[L:K]_{\text{ins}}} \in \Omega[t]$$

be the factorisation over Ω of the image by i of the minimal polynomial of θ over K , and write $P = (x(\theta), y(\theta))$ where $x(t), y(t) \in K[t]$. Then

$$\text{Tr}(L/K, i, P) = i^{-1} \left([L : K]_{\text{ins}} \sum_{n=1}^{[L:K]_{\text{sep}}} (x(\theta_n), y(\theta_n)) \right).$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let K be a field of characteristic $p > 0$, and let L be a finite extension of K of inseparable degree $[L : K]_{\text{ins}} = p^d$. Then for all $\alpha \in L$, α^{p^d} is separable over K .*

Proof. Let $A(t) \in K[t]$ be the minimal polynomial of α , and let $e \geq 0$ be the largest integer such that $A(t) \in K[t^{p^e}]$. Then $A(t) = B(t^{p^e})$ for some separable $B(t) \in K[t]$, so α^{p^e} is separable over K . Besides,

$$p^e = [K(\alpha) : K]_{\text{ins}} \mid [L : K]_{\text{ins}} = p^d,$$

so $e \leq d$. □

Proposition 2.4. *Definition 2.1 makes sense.*

Proof. Let $Q = [L : K]_{\text{ins}} P$. We must prove that the point

$$R = \sum_{j \in \text{Hom}_i(L, \Omega)} Q^j,$$

which *a priori* merely lies in $E(\Omega)$, actually lies in $E(i(K))$.

Identifying K with $i(K)$, we may assume without loss of generality that $K \subseteq \Omega$ and that $i = \text{Id}$.

We first prove that for all $j \in \text{Hom}_i(L, \Omega)$, the point Q^j is defined over the separable closure K^{sep} of K in Ω . If $\text{char } K = 0$ there is nothing to prove, so assume that $\text{char } K = p > 0$. Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be such that $[L : K]_{\text{ins}} = p^d$. Recall [DS05, p. 320] that the multiplication-by- p map $[p]$ on E factors through the Frobenius map $(x, y) \mapsto (x^p, y^p)$; Lemma 2.3 thus implies that $Q^j = [L : K]_{\text{ins}} P^j = [p]^d P^j$ lies in $E(K^{\text{sep}})$, as desired.

As a result, R is an element of $E(K^{\text{sep}})$, which is fixed by $\text{Aut}_K(K^{\text{sep}})$ since $\text{Aut}_K(K^{\text{sep}})$ permutes the elements of $\text{Hom}_K(L, K^{\text{sep}})$, and hence actually an element of $E(K)$. □

Lemma 2.5. *The trace $\text{Tr}(L/K, i, P)$ does not depend on Ω nor on i .*

Proof. Let i' be another embedding of K into another algebraic closure Ω' of K . There exists at least one morphism $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$ such that $i' = \varphi i$; it is then clear that the maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_i(L, \Omega) & \longleftrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{i'}(L, \Omega') \\ j & \longmapsto & \varphi j \\ \varphi^{-1} j' & \longleftarrow & j' \end{array}$$

are bijections that are inverses of each other. Therefore

$$\mathrm{Tr}(L/K, i', P)^{i'} = [L : K]_{\mathrm{ins}} \sum_{j' \in \mathrm{Hom}_{i'}(L, \Omega')} P^{j'} = \left([L : K]_{\mathrm{ins}} \sum_{j \in \mathrm{Hom}_i(L, \Omega)} P^j \right)^\varphi = (\mathrm{Tr}(L/K, i, P)^i)^\varphi,$$

as wanted. \square

Lemma 2.5 justifies the notation $\mathrm{Tr}_K^L P$ without reference to i , which we adopt from now on.

Proposition 2.6. Tr_K^L is a group morphism from $E(L)$ to $E(K)$.

Proof. Obvious from Definition 2.1. \square

Theorem 2.7. Suppose we have a tower $K \subseteq L \subseteq M$ of finite extensions and a point $P \in E(M)$. Then

$$\mathrm{Tr}_K^M P = \mathrm{Tr}_K^L \mathrm{Tr}_L^M P.$$

Proof. We compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}(M/K, i, P)^i &= [M : K]_{\mathrm{ins}} \sum_{k \in \mathrm{Hom}_i(M, \Omega)} P^k \\ &= [L : K]_{\mathrm{ins}} \sum_{j \in \mathrm{Hom}_i(L, \Omega)} [M : L]_{\mathrm{ins}} \sum_{k \in \mathrm{Hom}_j(M, \Omega)} P^k \\ &= [L : K]_{\mathrm{ins}} \sum_{j \in \mathrm{Hom}_i(L, \Omega)} \mathrm{Tr}(M/L, j, P)^j \\ &= [L : K]_{\mathrm{ins}} \sum_{j \in \mathrm{Hom}_i(L, \Omega)} (\mathrm{Tr}_L^M P)^j \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}(L/K, i, \mathrm{Tr}_L^M P)^i. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Corollary 2.8. If $K \subseteq L \subseteq M$ and if $P \in E(L)$, then

$$\mathrm{Tr}_K^M P = [M : L] \mathrm{Tr}_K^L P.$$

Proof. It is clear from Definition 2.1 that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_L^M P = [M : L]_{\mathrm{ins}} [M : L]_{\mathrm{sep}} P = [M : L] P,$$

so the result follows from Theorem 2.7 and Proposition 2.6. \square

3 The algorithm

We are now going to describe an algorithm to compute the trace of a point, which we may assume is not the point at infinity O in view of Proposition 2.6.

3.1 Assumptions and prerequisites

We suppose that the ground field K is such that its elements may be represented exactly on a computer, and that algorithms are known for the four field operations in K . For example, K could be a finite field, a number field, or a field of rational fractions in finitely many variables over such a field.

It is then possible to perform computations in extensions of K of the form $K[t]/T(t)$ where $T(t) \in K[t]$ is irreducible, and thus in simple extensions of K .

It is also possible to perform linear algebra computations over K , and, in particular, given a matrix M with coefficients in K , to compute a *kernel matrix* Z of M in *echelon form*, that is to say a matrix whose columns represent a basis of the (right) kernel of M , and which is such that the number of consecutive zeroes at the bottom of the j -th column of Z is a strictly decreasing function of j . This is the convention followed by the [PARI/GP] function `matker`.

In particular, this makes it possible to determine the minimal polynomial over K of an algebraic element.

We restrict ourselves to the case of a point defined over a simple extension of K , that is to say an extension $K(\theta)/K$ generated by a single element θ , as we expect this to cover most practical applications. If needed, the general case can be handled by a repeated application of Theorem 2.7.

3.2 The separable case

We begin by the simpler case where the extension is separable. We will show in the next section how to reduce to this case.

Algorithm: EllTraceSep

Input: An elliptic curve $E : y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$ with the $a_i \in K$, an irreducible *and separable* polynomial $T(t) \in K[t]$, and a pair of polynomials $x_P(t), y_P(t) \in K[t]$ of degree $< \deg T$ such that $P = (x_P(\theta), y_P(\theta)) \in E(K(\theta))$ where θ is the class of t in $K[t]/T(t)$.

Output: The trace $\text{Tr}_K^{K(\theta)} P \in E(K)$.

```

1  $d \leftarrow \deg T$ ;
2 if  $x_P(t)$  is constant then
3   if  $y_P(t)$  is also constant then
4     return  $[d]P$ ;
5   else
6     return  $O$ ;
7  $\mathcal{L} \leftarrow$  a vector of length  $d + 1$ ;
8  $\mathcal{L}[1] \leftarrow 1$ ;  $\mathcal{L}[2] \leftarrow x_P(\theta)$ ;  $\mathcal{L}[3] \leftarrow y_P(\theta)$ ;
9 for  $j \leftarrow 4$  to  $d + 1$  do
10    $\mathcal{L}[j] = x_P(\theta)\mathcal{L}[j - 2]$ ;
11  $M \leftarrow$  a matrix of size  $d \times (d + 1)$ ;
12 for  $j \leftarrow 1$  to  $d + 1$  do
13    $j$ -th column of  $M \leftarrow$  coefficients of  $\mathcal{L}[j]$  wrt.  $1, \theta, \theta^2, \dots, \theta^{d-1}$ ;
14  $Z \leftarrow$  leftmost column of a kernel matrix of  $M$  in echelon form;
15  $U(x) \leftarrow Z[1] + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor} Z[2j]x^j$ ;  $V(x) \leftarrow \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-2}{2} \rfloor} Z[2j+3]x^j$ ;
16 if  $V(x)$  is the 0 polynomial then
17   return  $O$ ;
18  $X(x) \leftarrow$  minimal polynomial of  $x_P(\theta)$  over  $K$ ;
19  $R(x) \leftarrow (x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6)V(x)^2 + (a_1x + a_3)U(x)V(x) - U(x)^2$ ;
20  $S(x) \leftarrow R(x)/X(x)$ ;
21 if  $\deg S(x) = 0$  then
22   return  $O$ ;
23  $x_Q \leftarrow$  unique root of  $S(x)$ ;
24  $y_Q \leftarrow -U(x_Q)/V(x_Q)$ ;
25  $Q \leftarrow (x_Q, y_Q)$ ;
26  $d_P \leftarrow$  largest  $j \leq d$  such that  $Z[j + 1] \neq 0$ ;
27 return  $[-d/d_P]Q$ ;
```

Algorithm 1: Trace of an algebraic point, separable case

Proof. Let $L = K(\theta)$, and let $\Omega \supseteq K$ be an algebraic closure of K . In view of Proposition 2.4, we may compute $\text{Tr}_K^L P$ as $\text{Tr}(L/K, \text{Id}, P)$.

Let $K(P) = K(x_P(\theta), y_P(\theta)) \subseteq L$ be the field of definition of P , and let $d_P = [K(P) : K]$, so that $d_P \mid d = [L : K]$. We will prove below that line 26 correctly determines d_P . Let $Q' = \text{Tr}_K^{K(P)} P$, and let $Q = [-1]Q'$, so that

$$\text{Tr}_K^L P = [L : K(P)]Q' = [-d/d_P]Q \quad (\star)$$

by Corollary 2.8.

By assumption, L , and therefore $K(P)$, are separable over K ; therefore the d_P points P^j , $j \in \text{Hom}_K(K(P), \Omega)$, are pairwise distinct.

Suppose that $x_P(\theta) \in K$. If we also have $y_P(\theta) \in K$, then $P \in E(K)$, so trivially $\text{Tr}_K^L P = [d]P$ by Definition 2.1, whereas if $y_P(\theta) \notin K$, then necessarily $d_P = 2$ and the two P^j , which are distinct, are thus negatives of each other, so $\text{Tr}_K^{K(P)} P = O$. This justifies the lines up to line 6.

We assume that $x_P(\theta) \notin K$ from now on. The divisor

$$D = \sum_{j \in \text{Hom}_K(K(P), \Omega)} P^j + Q - (d_P + 1)O$$

is defined over K by separability, and is principal by definition of Q . Let $f_P \in K(E)$ have divisor D ; its degree is $d_P + 1$ if $Q \neq O$, and is d_P if $Q = O$. Conversely, any function $f \in K(E)$ which vanishes at all the P^j satisfies $\deg f \geq \deg f_P$. Thus f_P is a function of *minimal degree* among those that vanish at all of the P^j . In other words, f_P has minimal degree among the functions that are defined over K and vanish at P , and any element of $K(E)$ which has the same degree as f_P , vanishes at P , and has no other pole than O must be a scalar multiple of f_P .

Since $d_P \leq d$, the function f_P lies in the Riemann-Roch space attached to the divisor $(d + 1)O$, which has dimension $d + 1$ and admits the K -basis

$$\mathcal{B} = \begin{cases} \{1, x, y, x^2, xy, \dots, x^{\frac{d}{2}}, x^{\frac{d}{2}-1}y\}, & d \text{ even,} \\ \{1, x, y, x^2, xy, \dots, x^{\frac{d-1}{2}-1}y, x^{\frac{d+1}{2}}\}, & d \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Number the elements of \mathcal{B} as $b_1 = 1$, $b_2 = x$, $b_3 = y$, $b_4 = x^2$, and so on, so that $\deg b_j = j$ for all $j \geq 2$. Besides, $b_j = xb_{j-2}$ for all $4 \leq j \leq d + 1$, so \mathcal{L} is the vector of values in L of the elements of \mathcal{B} at P . The algorithm then expresses these values on the K -basis $1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{d-1}$ of L , whence the matrix M whose kernel consists of the coordinates on \mathcal{B} of the functions in the K -span of \mathcal{B} that vanish at P . As $\deg b_j$ is a strictly increasing function

of j , the leftmost column in a kernel matrix of M in echelon form contains the coordinates on \mathcal{B} of some nonzero scalar multiple of f_P , which we may assume is exactly f_P since f_P is only defined up to scaling. We express f_P as $U(x) + V(x)y$ at line 15.

• Suppose for now that $V(x) = 0$. We claim that $2P \neq O$ in this case. Indeed, if we had $2P = O$, then the 2-division polynomial

$$\psi_2 = 2y + a_1x + a_3 \in K(E)^\times$$

would vanish at P . If $\text{char } K = 2$, this would contradict our assumption that $x_P(\theta) \notin K$. If $\text{char } K \neq 2$, then ψ_2 cannot be a scalar multiple of f_P since $V(x) = 0$, so we would have $\deg f_P < \deg \psi_2 = 3$ whence $\deg f_P = 2$, so $f_P \in K(E)$ would be a nonzero scalar multiple of $x - x_P(\theta)$, which would again contradict our assumption that $x_P(\theta) \notin K$.

As $f_P = U(x)$ is invariant by the elliptic involution $[-1]$, so is its divisor of zeroes. As $P \notin E(K)$ whereas $Q \in E(K)$, it follows that for each $j \in \text{Hom}_K(K(P), \Omega)$, there exists $j' \in \text{Hom}_K(K(P), \Omega)$ such that $P^{j'} = [-1]P^j$. As we cannot have $P^{j'} = P^j$ since $2P \neq O$, the P^j can be partitioned into pairs of opposites, so $\text{Tr}_K^{K(P)} P = O$ by Definition 2.1. Theorem 2.7 thus shows that $\text{Tr}_K^L P = O$, so we return O at line 17.

• Suppose from now on that $V(x) \neq 0$. In this case, we claim that $x_P(\theta)$ must generate $K(P)$ over K . For else its minimal polynomial $X(x)$ over K would be of degree $\leq d_P/2$ in x , and thus of degree $\leq d_P$ as a function on E , so that f_P would be a nonzero multiple of $X(x)$ by minimality of $\deg f_P$, contradicting our assumption that $V(x) \neq 0$. In particular, it follows that

$$X(x) = \prod_{j \in \text{Hom}(K(P), \Omega)} (x - x_P(\theta^j)).$$

Let $f'_P = f_P \circ [-1] = U(x) + V(x)(-y - a_1x - a_3) \in K(E)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} -f_P f'_P &= (V(x)y + U(x))(V(x)y - U(x)) + (V(x)y + U(x))V(x)(a_1x + a_3) \\ &= V(x)^2 y^2 - U(x)^2 + V(x)^2(a_1x + a_3)y + U(x)V(x)(a_1x + a_3) \\ &= V(x)^2(x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6) - U(x)^2 + U(x)V(x)(a_1x + a_3) \\ &= R(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the divisor of $R(x)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
(R(x)) &= D + [-1]^\times D \\
&= \sum_{j \in \text{Hom}(K(P), \Omega)} (P^j + [-1]P^j) + Q + Q' - 2(d_P + 1)O \\
&= \left(\prod_{j \in \text{Hom}(K(P), \Omega)} (x - x_P(\theta^j)) \right) + Q + Q' - 2O \\
&= (X(x)) + Q + Q' - 2O.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $S(x) = R(x)/X(x)$ is constant if and only if $Q = O$. In particular, in view of (\star) , it is legitimate to return O at line 22.

Suppose from now on that $Q \neq O$, and write $Q = (x_Q, y_Q)$. Then $S(x)$ is a nonzero scalar multiple of $x - x_Q$, so we correctly recover x_Q at line 23. If we had $V(x_Q) = 0$, then as $f_P = U(x) + V(x)y$ vanishes at Q , we would also have $U(x_Q) = 0$, so we could write $f_P = (x - x_Q)g_P$ for some $g_P \in K(E)$. But as $x_P(\theta) \notin K$, g_P would vanish at all the P^j , which would violate the minimality of $\deg f_P$. Therefore $V(x_Q) \neq 0$, so we are able to recover y_Q at line 24.

Finally, we determine d_P at line 26 from the fact that $\deg b_j = j$ for all $j \neq 1$ and that $\deg f_P = d_P + 1$ since $Q \neq O$, which allows us to determine $\text{Tr}_K^L P$ by (\star) . \square

The complexity of this algorithm is dominated by the cost of writing down the list \mathcal{L} , of determining a kernel matrix of M in echelon form, and of determining the minimal polynomial $X(x)$. Each of these steps requires $O(d^3)$ operations in K if one uses naive algorithms for arithmetic in $K[t]$ and linear algebra over K . This complexity can be reduced to $O(dM(d) + d^\omega)$ if one uses fast algorithms for polynomial arithmetic and linear algebra, where polynomials of degree d can be multiplied in $O(M(d))$ and ω is a complexity exponent for linear algebra in dimension d .

Example 3.1. Let us demonstrate the execution of the algorithm on the example in the introduction. In this example, we have $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $L = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, E is given by $y^2 = x^3 + x + 15$, and $P = (\frac{1}{8}\theta - 1, \frac{1}{32}\theta^2 - \frac{11}{32}\theta - \frac{19}{4})$ where θ has minimal polynomial $T(t) = t^3 - 135t - 408 \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$.

We have $d = \deg T = 3$. Since $x_P(\theta) \notin \mathbb{Q}$, we begin by computing

$$\mathcal{L} = [1, x_P(\theta), y_P(\theta), x_P(\theta)^2] = \left[1, \frac{1}{8}\theta - 1, \frac{1}{32}\theta^2 - \frac{11}{32}\theta - \frac{19}{4}, \frac{1}{64}\theta^2 - \frac{1}{4}\theta + 1\right].$$

Thus M is the matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -\frac{19}{4} & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{8} & -\frac{11}{32} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{32} & \frac{1}{64} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We find that $\ker M$ has dimension 1 and is spanned by $[-22, 5, -4, 8]$, so we may take $f_P = -22 + 5x - 4y + 8x^2 = U(x) + V(x)y$ where $U(x) = 8x^2 + 5x - 22$ and $V(x) = -4$.

As $V(x) \neq 0$, we determine that the minimal polynomial of $x_P(\theta)$ over \mathbb{Q} is

$$X(x) = x^3 + 3x^2 + \frac{57}{64}x - \frac{61}{32},$$

and we compute that

$$R(x) = (x^3 + x + 1)V(x)^2 - U(x)^2 = -64x^4 - 64x^3 + 327x^2 + 236x - 244.$$

This leads to $S(x) = R(x)/X(x) = -64x + 128 = -64(x - 2)$, so $x_Q = 2$, and $y_Q = -U(x_Q)/V(x_Q) = 5$, meaning that $Q = (2, 5)$.

Finally, since $d_P + 1 = \deg f_P = 4$, we have $d_P = 3$, whence as expected

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\mathbb{Q}(\theta)} P = [-1]Q = (2, -5) \in E(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Example 3.2. As a second example, let E be the elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 + x^2 + 1$ over $K = \mathbb{F}_3$, let $L = \mathbb{F}_{3^6} = K(\theta)$ where θ is a root of $T(t) = t^6 + t^5 + t^4 + t^3 + t^2 + t + 1 \in K[t]$, and let

$$P = (\theta^5 + \theta^2, \theta^4 + \theta^3 + 2) \in E(L).$$

This time we have $d = 6$, so we compute

$$\mathcal{L} = [1, x_P(\theta), y_P(\theta), x_P(\theta)^2, x_P(\theta)y_P(\theta), x_P(\theta)^3, x_P(\theta)^2y_P(\theta)]$$

which leads to

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We find that $\dim \ker M = 4$, and that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a kernel matrix of M in echelon form, so we take $f_P = 2y + x^2$, which corresponds to $U(x) = x^2$ and $V(x) = 2$.

As $V(x) \neq 0$, we determine that the minimal polynomial of $x_P(\theta)$ over K is

$$X(x) = x^3 + x^2 + x + 2,$$

and we compute that

$$R(x) = (x^3 + x^2 + 1)V(x)^2 - U(x)^2 = 2x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1 = 2(x+1)X(x).$$

Therefore $x_Q = 2$, and $y_Q = -U(x_Q)/V(x_Q) = 1$.

Besides, we have $d_P = \deg f_P - 1 = 3$, so this time $K(P) = \mathbb{F}_{3^3} \subsetneq L$. We conclude that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_3}^{\mathbb{F}_{3^6}} P = [-2]Q = (2, 1).$$

Example 3.3. As a last example, take $K = \mathbb{F}_2(\lambda)$ where λ is an indeterminate, consider the elliptic curve E of equation

$$y^2 + \lambda xy + y = x^3 + \lambda x^2 + \lambda x,$$

and let

$$P = (\theta^4 + \lambda\theta^2 - \theta + \lambda, \lambda\theta^4 + \theta^3 + \lambda^2\theta^2 + \lambda^2 + 1) \in E(L),$$

where $L = K(\theta)$ and θ is a root of the irreducible polynomial

$$T(t) = t^5 + \lambda t^3 + \lambda t + \lambda \in K[t].$$

We find that

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda & \lambda^2 + 1 & \lambda^2 & \lambda^3 + \lambda^2 + \lambda & \lambda^2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \lambda^2 & \lambda^3 + 1 & \lambda^3 + \lambda \\ 0 & \lambda & \lambda^2 & \lambda^2 + 1 & \lambda^3 & \lambda^3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \lambda & \lambda^2 & \lambda^2 + 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \lambda & \lambda & \lambda^2 & \lambda^2 + \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

has kernel of dimension 1 which is spanned by

$$[\lambda^3 + \lambda^2 + 1, \lambda^3 + \lambda^2, 1, \lambda^4 + \lambda^2, \lambda^3 + \lambda^2 + \lambda, \lambda^2 + 1],$$

whence $U(x) = (\lambda^2 + 1)x^3 + (\lambda^4 + \lambda^2)x^2 + (\lambda^3 + \lambda^2)x + (\lambda^3 + \lambda^2 + 1)$ and $V(x) = (\lambda^3 + \lambda^2 + \lambda)x + 1$.

This leads to

$$R(x) = (x^3 + \lambda x^2 + \lambda x)V(x)^2 + (\lambda x + 1)U(x)V(x) + U(x)^2 = X(x)((\lambda^4 + 1)x + (\lambda^4 + \lambda^3 + \lambda)),$$

so $x_Q = \frac{\lambda^4 + \lambda^3 + \lambda}{\lambda^4 + 1}$ and $y_Q = U(x_Q)/V(x_Q) = \frac{\lambda^7 + \lambda^5 + \lambda^4 + \lambda^2 + 1}{\lambda^6 + \lambda^4 + \lambda^2 + 1}$.

As $d_P = \deg f_P - 1 = 5$, we conclude that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_2(\lambda)}^{\mathbb{F}_2(\lambda, \theta)} P = [-1]Q = \left(\frac{\lambda^4 + \lambda^3 + \lambda}{\lambda^4 + 1}, \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda^6 + \lambda^4 + \lambda^2 + 1} \right).$$

Note that $T(t)$ happens to have Galois group S_5 over K , so an approach based on the explicit construction of its splitting field would have been extremely laborious.

3.3 The general case

We now present an algorithm to handle the general case, when $T(t)$ is not necessarily separable.

Algorithm: EllTrace

Input: An elliptic curve $E : y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$ with the $a_i \in K$, an irreducible polynomial $T(t) \in K[t]$, and a pair of polynomials $x_P(t), y_P(t) \in K[t]$ of degree $< \deg T$ such that $P = (x_P(\theta), y_P(\theta)) \in E(K(\theta))$ where θ is the class of t in $K[t]/T(t)$.

Output: The trace $\text{Tr}_K^{K(\theta)} P \in E(K)$.

```

1  $p \leftarrow \text{char } K$ ;
2 if  $p \neq 0$  then
3    $d \leftarrow$  largest integer  $\geq 0$  such that  $T(t) \in K[t^{p^d}]$ ;
4    $S(t) \leftarrow$  polynomial such that  $T(t) = S(t^{p^d})$ ;
5    $Q \leftarrow [p^d]P$ ;
6   if  $Q = O$  then
7     return  $O$ ;
8    $x_Q(t), y_Q(t) \leftarrow$  polynomials of degree  $< \deg S$  such
     that  $Q = (x_Q(\theta^{p^d}), y_Q(\theta^{p^d}))$ ;
9   return EllTraceSep( $E, S, (x_Q, y_Q)$ );
10 else
11   return EllTraceSep( $E, T, (x_P, y_P)$ );

```

Algorithm 2: Trace of an algebraic point

Proof. (Compare with the proof of Proposition 2.4) Let $L = K[t]/T(t)$, and let $K \subseteq L_{\text{sep}} \subseteq L$ be the separable closure of K in L .

As L/L_{sep} is purely inseparable, the point $Q = [p^d]P$ satisfies

$$Q = [L : K]_{\text{ins}} P = [L : L_{\text{sep}}] P = \text{Tr}_{L_{\text{sep}}}^L P \in E(L_{\text{sep}})$$

by Definition 2.1. Theorem 2.7 thus ensures that

$$\text{Tr}_K^L P = \text{Tr}_K^{L_{\text{sep}}} \text{Tr}_{L_{\text{sep}}}^L P = \text{Tr}_K^{L_{\text{sep}}} Q.$$

Finally, observe that while the θ^n for $0 \leq n < \deg T$ form a K -basis of L , the $(\theta^{p^d})^n$ for $0 \leq n < \deg S$ form a K -basis of L_{sep} ; therefore the polynomials $x_Q(t)$ and $y_Q(t)$ introduced at line 8 exist and are unique, and can be read directly off the coordinates of Q . \square

The complexity of this algorithm is dominated by the multiplication by p^d at line 5 and by the execution of `EllTraceSep`, and is therefore bounded by $O([L : K]^2 \log[L : K]_{\text{ins}} + [L : K]_{\text{sep}}^3)$ operations in K , and by

$$O(M([L : K]) \log[L : K]_{\text{ins}} + [L : K]_{\text{sep}} M([L : K]_{\text{sep}}) + [L : K]_{\text{sep}}^\omega)$$

if one uses fast algorithms for polynomial arithmetic and for linear algebra.

Example 3.4. Let $E : y^2 + xy + \lambda y = x^3 + x^2$ over $K = \mathbb{F}_2(\lambda)$ where λ is an indeterminate, let $L = K(\theta)$ where θ is a root of the irreducible polynomial

$$T(t) = t^4 + t^2 + \lambda^4 + \lambda^3 \in K[t],$$

and let

$$P = (\theta^2 + \theta, \theta^3 + (\lambda + 1)\theta + \lambda^2 + \lambda) \in E(L).$$

We have $p = 2$, $d = 1$, $[L : K] = 4$, $[L : K]_{\text{sep}} = [L : K]_{\text{ins}} = 2$, and $L_{\text{sep}} = K(\theta^2)$ where the minimal polynomial of θ^2 is $S(t) = t^2 + t + \lambda^4 + \lambda^3$.

We calculate

$$Q = [2]P = (\lambda^4 + \lambda^3 + \lambda^2 + \lambda + 1, (\lambda^4 + \lambda^3 + \lambda^2 + 1)\theta^2 + \lambda^5 + \lambda)$$

which lies in $E(L_{\text{sep}})$ as expected, so we call `EllTraceSep` with

$$x_Q(t) = \lambda^4 + \lambda^3 + \lambda^2 + \lambda + 1, \quad y_Q(t) = (\lambda^4 + \lambda^3 + \lambda^2 + 1)t + \lambda^5 + \lambda.$$

As $x_Q(t)$ is actually constant as a polynomial in t whereas y_Q is not, `EllTraceSep` immediately reports that $\text{Tr}_K^{L_{\text{sep}}} Q = O$, so we conclude that

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_2(\lambda)}^{\mathbb{F}_2(\lambda, \theta)} P = O.$$

Acknowledgements

We thank Christophe Delaunay for having asked, in relation to his work on [BBD21], how to efficiently compute the trace of a point on an elliptic curve; and Bill Allombert for having relayed this question during an informal online [PARI/GP] workshop.

Our work on the answer provided in this article was initiated during the January 2022 [PARI/GP] workshop, and we express our gratitude to the organisers of this conference.

References

- [BBD21] Francesco Battistoni, Sandro Bettin, and Christophe Delaunay, **Ranks of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}(T)$ of small degree in T** , arXiv preprint 2109.00738.
- [DS05] Diamond, Fred; Shurman, Jerry, **A First Course in Modular Forms**. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 228. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [PARI/GP] The PARI Group, **PARI/GP** version 2.14.0, Univ. Bordeaux, 2022, <http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/>.