

THE RATIONAL HOMOTOPY TYPE OF HOMOTOPY FIBRATIONS OVER CONNECTED SUMS

SEBASTIAN CHENERY

ABSTRACT. We provide a simple condition on rational cohomology for the total space of a pullback fibration over a connected sum to have the rational homotopy type of a connected sum, after looping. This takes inspiration from recent work of Jeffrey and Selick, in which they study pullback fibrations of this type, but under stronger hypotheses compared to our result.

1. INTRODUCTION

Taking inspiration from [8], we begin with a homotopy fibration $F \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} C$ in which all spaces have the homotopy type of Poincaré Duality complexes; that is to say simply connected, finite dimensional CW-complexes whose cohomology rings satisfy Poincaré Duality. Writing $\dim(C) = n$ and $\dim(L) = m$, let B be another n -dimensional Poincaré Duality complex. Form the connected sum $B\#C$, and take the natural collapsing map $p : B\#C \rightarrow C$. Defining the m -dimensional complex M as the pullback of f across p , we have a homotopy fibration diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} F & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & B\#C \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ F & \longrightarrow & L & \xrightarrow{f} & C. \end{array}$$

A natural question follows: to what extent does M behave like a connected sum?

Jeffrey and Selick give a partial answer to the above question in [8]. They consider the question when each space is a closed, oriented, smooth, simply connected manifold, but in the stricter setting of fibre bundles, and construct a space X' with the property that there is an isomorphism of homology groups

$$H_k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_k(X'; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_k(L; \mathbb{Z})$$

for $0 < k < m$ [8, Theorem 3.3]. This suggests that in certain circumstances we might expect there to be an m -dimensional manifold X , such that $M \simeq X\#L$. Jeffrey and Selick show that there are contexts in which such an X exists, and others where it cannot exist.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55P35; Secondary 57N65.

Key words and phrases. Loop spaces, connected sums.

Similar questions to the above have been asked recently. Duan [2] approaches the topic from a much more geometric, surgery theoretic viewpoint. In this work, the principal objects of concern are manifolds which exhibit a regular circle action; namely, a free circle action on an n -dimensional closed, oriented, smooth, simply connected manifold whose quotient space is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional closed, oriented, smooth, simply connected manifold. Translated into the context of [8], Duan studies the situation when $F \simeq S^1$. If L is of dimension at least 5, it is shown in [2] that the total space of the pullback fibration is indeed always diffeomorphic to a connected sum. Although the thrust of [2] is mainly concerned with constructing smooth manifolds that admit regular circle actions, it is interesting to remark that its strategy yields a specific class of examples for the situation as in Diagram (1). Other recent work includes that of Huang and Theriault [7], in which they consider the loop space homotopy type of manifolds after stabilisation by connected sum with a projective space. They do so by combining the results of [2] with a homotopy theoretic analysis of special cases of Diagram (1).

In this paper we give a special circumstance, recorded in Proposition 3.3, in which the based loop space of M is homotopy equivalent to the based loops of a connected sum. This takes its most dramatic form in the context of rational homotopy theory, which we state in the Main Theorem below. Let \bar{C} and \bar{L} denote the $(n-1)$ - and $(m-1)$ -skeleta of C and L , respectively.

Main Theorem (Theorem 4.2). *Given spaces and maps as in Diagram (1), if*

- (i) *the fibre map $F \rightarrow M$ is (rationally) null homotopic, and,*
- (ii) *both $H^*(\bar{C}; \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^*(\bar{L}; \mathbb{Q})$ are generated by more than one element,*

there is a rational homotopy equivalence $\Omega M \simeq \Omega(X\#L)$.

Thus we are able to give an affirmative answer in this situation, but after looping and up to rational homotopy equivalence. Examples of homotopy fibrations that fulfil the criteria of the Main Theorem include certain sphere bundles. Furthermore, note that a consequence of the Main Theorem is that there is an isomorphism of rational homotopy groups: $\pi_*(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \pi_*(X\#L) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

The author would like to thank their PhD supervisor, Stephen Theriault, for the many enlightening discussions during the preparation of this work, as well as Paul Selick and Lisa Jeffrey for their generosity. The author also wishes to thank the reviewer for their helpful and insightful comments.

Competing Interests Declaration: the author declares none.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For two path connected and based spaces X and Y , the (left) *half-smash* of X and Y is the quotient space

$$X \ltimes Y = (X \times Y)/(X \times y_0)$$

where y_0 denotes the basepoint of Y . Furthermore, it is a well known result that if Y is a co- H -space, then there is a homotopy equivalence $X \times Y \simeq (X \wedge Y) \vee Y$.

We now move to another definition. For a homotopy cofibration $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{j} C$, the map f is called *inert* if Ωj has a right homotopy inverse. This is an integral version of a notion used in rational homotopy theory, namely *rational inertness*, which we define in Section 4. We will make use of the following result, due to Theriault [9].

Theorem 2.1 (Theriault). *Let $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{j} C$ be a homotopy cofibration of simply connected spaces, where the map f is inert. Then there is a homotopy fibration*

$$\Omega C \times A \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{j} C.$$

Moreover, this homotopy fibration splits after looping, so there is a homotopy equivalence $\Omega B \simeq \Omega C \times \Omega(\Omega C \times A)$. \square

Take now a different situation, in which we have two homotopy cofibrations of simply connected spaces

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{j} C \quad \text{and} \quad Y \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{p} X.$$

In the diagram below, each complete row and column is a homotopy cofibration, and the bottom-right square is a homotopy pushout, defining the new space Q and the maps h and q :

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & Y & \xlongequal{\quad} & Y \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow j \circ i \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{j} & C \\ \parallel & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow h \\ A & \xrightarrow{p \circ f} & X & \xrightarrow{q} & Q. \end{array}$$

We record an elementary fact in the following lemma, for ease of reference.

Lemma 2.2. *Take the setup of Diagram (2). If the maps Ωp and Ωq have right homotopy inverses, then so does Ωh . Moreover, there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$\Omega C \simeq \Omega Q \times \Omega(\Omega Q \times Y).$$

Proof. Let us denote the right homotopy inverses of Ωq and Ωp by s and t , respectively. Then, by the homotopy commutativity of Diagram (2), Ωh has right homotopy inverse given by the composite $\Omega j \circ t \circ s$.

As Y and C are simply connected, the space Q is as well, and the map $j \circ i$ is by definition inert. Hence we may apply Theorem 2.1 to the right-most column of (2), obtaining the asserted homotopy equivalence. \square

Finally, recall that the spaces considered by Jeffrey and Selick in [8] have the homotopy type of oriented, smooth, closed, simply connected manifolds, and are thus Poincaré Duality complexes; that is to say, they have the homotopy type of simply connected CW -complexes whose cohomology rings satisfy Poincaré Duality. For such a complex there exists a CW structure having a single top-dimensional cell. For brevity, given a k -dimensional Poincaré Duality complex Y , let \bar{Y} denote its $(k-1)$ -skeleton, and note that there exists a homotopy cofibration

$$S^{k-1} \xrightarrow{f} \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{Y} \cup_f e^k \simeq Y$$

where f is the attaching map of the top-cell of Y . Furthermore, given two k -dimensional Poincaré Duality complexes X and Y , whose top-dimensional cells are attached by maps f and g (respectively), one forms their connected sum by means of the composite

$$f + g : S^{k-1} \xrightarrow{\sigma} S^{k-1} \vee S^{k-1} \xrightarrow{f \vee g} \bar{X} \vee \bar{Y}$$

where σ is the usual comultiplication. The homotopy cofibre of $f + g$ is defined to be $X \# Y$. In particular, $\overline{X \# Y} \simeq \bar{X} \vee \bar{Y}$, and there is a homotopy cofibration

$$\overline{X \# Y} \rightarrow X \# Y \rightarrow Y.$$

3. PULLBACKS OVER CONNECTED SUMS

The situation we wish to study begins with a homotopy fibration $F \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} C$, in which each space has the homotopy type of a Poincaré Duality complex. As in the introduction, let $\dim(C) = n$ and $\dim(L) = m$, and let B be another n -dimensional Poincaré Duality complex. We form the connected sum $B \# C$, and take the natural collapsing map $p : B \# C \rightarrow C$. Defining the m -dimensional complex M as the pullback of f across p , we have a homotopy fibration diagram

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} F & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & M & \longrightarrow & B \# C \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow p \\ F & \longrightarrow & L & \xrightarrow{f} & C \end{array}$$

where we denote the induced map $M \rightarrow L$ by π and the fibre map $F \rightarrow M$ by α .

Lemma 3.1. *With spaces and maps as in Diagram (3), there is a homotopy pushout square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F \times \bar{B} & \longrightarrow & M \\ \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow \pi \\ F & \longrightarrow & L. \end{array}$$

Where the map p_1 is projection to the first factor. In particular, if α is null homotopic, there is a homotopy cofibration $F \times \bar{B} \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\pi} L$.

Proof. To prove the existence of the asserted homotopy pushout, we will use Mather's Cube Lemma. Indeed, consider the following diagram

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} F \times \bar{B} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & M & & \\ \downarrow p_2 & \searrow p_1 & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ \bar{B} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F & \xrightarrow{\quad} & L \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ & & B \# C & \xrightarrow{p} & C \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & * & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C. \end{array}$$

We must show is that (4) commutes up to homotopy, that bottom face is a homotopy pushout and that the four vertical faces are homotopy pullbacks.

The bottom face of (4) arises from the homotopy cofibration $\bar{B} \rightarrow B \# C \xrightarrow{p} C$, and so is homotopy pushout. The front face is evidently a homotopy pullback, because it comes from the homotopy fibration we began with, as is the right-hand face of the cube, which is the right-hand square in Diagram (3). Furthermore, it is an elementary fact that the left-hand face of the cube, together with the projection maps, is also homotopy pullback.

What remains to show is that the map $\beta : F \times \bar{B} \rightarrow M$ is chosen such that the diagram commutes up to homotopy and that the rear face is a homotopy pullback. Indeed, as the right-hand face is a homotopy pullback, β is induced by the existence of the composites $F \times \bar{B} \rightarrow F \rightarrow L$ and $F \times \bar{B} \rightarrow \bar{B} \rightarrow B \# C$, so the diagram does indeed homotopy commute. One then applies [1, Theorem 6.3.3], which forces the rear face to be a homotopy pullback. In the special case in which the fibre map α is null homotopic, we may pinch out a copy of F in the asserted pushout, giving the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F \times \bar{B} & \longrightarrow & M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ * & \longrightarrow & L. \end{array}$$

which is equivalent to the stated homotopy cofibration. \square

Remark 3.2. Note that, because Diagram (3) is homotopy commutative, requiring α to be null homotopic forces the fibre map $F \rightarrow L$ to have also been null homotopic to begin with.

We now give the thrust of this section, providing a circumstance in which the based loop space of the Poincaré Duality complex M is homotopy equivalent to the based loop space of a connected sum. Let $X' = F \times \bar{B}$ and $X = X' \cup e^m$ (the homotopy class of the attaching map $S^{m-1} \rightarrow X'$ plays no role in what is to follow, so we suppress it in the definition of X).

Proposition 3.3. *Take the situation as in Diagram (1), and suppose that the map Ωp has a right homotopy inverse. Then the map $\Omega\pi$ has a right homotopy inverse. Moreover, if α is null homotopic and the attaching map of the top cell of L is inert, then*

$$\Omega M \simeq \Omega(X\#L).$$

Proof. Denoting the right homotopy inverse of Ωp by $s : \Omega C \rightarrow \Omega(B\#C)$, consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Omega L & \xrightarrow{\Omega f} & \Omega C \\
 \downarrow \lambda & & \searrow s \\
 & & \Omega(B\#C) \\
 \Omega L & \xrightarrow{\Omega f} & \Omega C \\
 \downarrow \Omega\pi & & \downarrow \Omega p \\
 \Omega L & \xrightarrow{\Omega f} & \Omega C
 \end{array}$$

(Note: The diagram above is a simplified representation of the commutative diagram in the image. The top-left node is ΩL , the top-right is ΩC , the middle-left is ΩM , the middle-right is $\Omega(B\#C)$, the bottom-left is ΩL , and the bottom-right is ΩC . Arrows: $\Omega L \xrightarrow{\Omega f} \Omega C$, $\Omega M \xrightarrow{\quad} \Omega(B\#C)$, $\Omega L \xrightarrow{\Omega f} \Omega C$, $\Omega M \xrightarrow{\Omega\pi} \Omega L$, $\Omega(B\#C) \xrightarrow{\Omega p} \Omega C$, $\Omega C \xrightarrow{s} \Omega(B\#C)$, and a dashed arrow $\Omega L \xrightarrow{\lambda} \Omega M$. A curved arrow also points from the top-left ΩL to the bottom-left ΩL .)

where the map λ will be detailed momentarily. Since the right-hand square of Diagram (3) is a homotopy pullback, so is the square in the above. Furthermore, since $\Omega p \circ s \simeq 1_{\Omega C}$, the diagram commutes. As ΩM is the homotopy pullback of Ωf across Ωp , the map λ exists and we have that $\Omega\pi \circ \lambda \simeq 1_{\Omega L}$. In other words, the map λ is a right homotopy inverse for $\Omega\pi$.

Consequently, in the case when α is null homotopic, we apply Theorem 2.1 to the homotopy cofibration

$$X' \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\pi} L$$

from the special case of Lemma 3.1. Indeed, since $\Omega\pi$ has a right homotopy inverse, the map $X' \rightarrow M$ is by definition inert, so Theorem 2.1 immediately gives us that

$$\Omega M \simeq \Omega L \times \Omega(\Omega L \times X').$$

On the other hand, let us now consider the connected sum $X\#L$. Take two homotopy cofibrations: one is the attaching map of the top-cell of $X\#L$, and the other is from inclusion of a wedge summand

$$S^{m-1} \rightarrow X' \vee \bar{L} \rightarrow X\#L \quad \text{and} \quad X' \hookrightarrow X' \vee \bar{L} \xrightarrow{q} \bar{L}.$$

We combine these to give a cofibration diagram, in the sense of (2)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & X' & \xlongequal{\quad} & X' \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 S^{m-1} & \longrightarrow & X' \vee \bar{L} & \longrightarrow & X\#L \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow q & & \downarrow \\
 S^{m-1} & \longrightarrow & \bar{L} & \xrightarrow{j} & L.
 \end{array}$$

The map q pinches to the second wedge summand, and therefore has a right homotopy inverse given by inclusion; therefore Ωq also has a right homotopy inverse. Moreover, if the attaching map of the top-cell of L is inert, the map Ωj has a right homotopy inverse, by definition. Thus Lemma 2.2 applies, implying there is a homotopy equivalence

$$\Omega(X\#L) \simeq \Omega L \times \Omega(\Omega L \ltimes X').$$

Thus ΩM and $\Omega(X\#L)$ are both homotopy equivalent to $\Omega L \times \Omega(\Omega L \ltimes X')$, and are therefore homotopy equivalent to each other. \square

Example 3.4. A general class of examples that satisfy the requirement that $\alpha \simeq *$ are sphere bundles, $S^r \rightarrow L \rightarrow C$, where the pullback M has trivial r^{th} homotopy group. Consider for example the classical Hopf bundle $S^1 \rightarrow S^3 \xrightarrow{\eta} S^2$. Taking products with the trivial fibration $* \rightarrow S^4 \rightarrow S^4$ yields a new homotopy fibration

$$S^1 \rightarrow S^3 \times S^4 \xrightarrow{\eta \times 1} S^2 \times S^4.$$

Applying our construction with $B = S^3 \times S^3$, we have the following pullback diagram of homotopy fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^1 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & (S^3 \times S^3)\#(S^2 \times S^4) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow p \\ S^1 & \longrightarrow & S^3 \times S^4 & \xrightarrow{\eta \times 1} & S^2 \times S^4. \end{array}$$

Using techniques from [9, Section 9] it can be shown that $\Omega(S^2 \times S^4)$ retracts off $\Omega((S^3 \times S^3)\#(S^2 \times S^4))$ via a right homotopy inverse for Ωp . Moreover, the attaching map for the top-cell of the product $S^3 \times S^4$ is known to be inert.

Now we show that $\pi_1(M) \cong 0$. The existence of a right homotopy inverse for the map Ωp implies that its homotopy fibre (and consequently the homotopy fibre of π) is homotopy equivalent to $\Omega(\Omega(S^2 \times S^4) \ltimes (S^3 \vee S^3))$, by Theorem 2.1. It is now easy to check that the long exact sequence of homotopy groups induced by the fibration sequence $\Omega(\Omega(S^2 \times S^4) \ltimes (S^3 \vee S^3)) \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\pi} S^3 \times S^4$ forces $\pi_1(M)$ to be trivial. Therefore Proposition 3.3 applies, with

$$X' \simeq S^1 \ltimes (S^3 \vee S^3) \simeq S^3 \vee S^3 \vee S^4 \vee S^4.$$

By gluing a 7-cell to X' , we may take $X = (S^3 \times S^4)\#(S^3 \times S^4)$. Hence we obtain a homotopy equivalence

$$\Omega M \simeq \Omega((S^3 \times S^4)\#(S^3 \times S^4)\#(S^3 \times S^4)).$$

To conclude this example, we remark that many of the situations considered by Duan in [2] also fit into this framework.

4. THE RATIONAL HOMOTOPY PERSPECTIVE

We wish to apply Proposition 3.3 in the context of rational homotopy theory. Let

$$S^{k-1} \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{i} Y \cup_f e^k$$

be a homotopy cofibration, where the map f attaches a k -cell to Y and i is the inclusion. The map f is *rationally inert* if Ωi induces a surjection in rational homology. This implies that, rationally, Ωi has a right homotopy inverse. The following theorem was first proved in [6, Theorem 5.1], though we prefer the statement found in [3].

Theorem 4.1 (Halperin-Lemaire). *If $Y \cup_f e^k$ is a Poincaré Duality complex and $H^*(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by more than one element, then the attaching map f is rationally inert.* \square

This leads us to the statement and proof of the Main Theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Given spaces and maps as in Diagram (3), if*

- (i) *the map α is (rationally) null homotopic, and,*
- (ii) *both $H^*(\bar{C}; \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^*(\bar{L}; \mathbb{Q})$ are generated by more than one element,*

there is a rational homotopy equivalence $\Omega M \simeq \Omega(X\#L)$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, the attaching maps for the top-cells of C and L are rationally inert. We have the homotopy pullback below, which is the right-hand square of (3)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \longrightarrow & B\#C \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow p \\ L & \xrightarrow{f} & C. \end{array}$$

Rationalising spaces and maps in this pullback square, we see that Proposition 3.3 would apply if the map Ωp has a rational right homotopy inverse, as the attaching map for the top-cell of L is rationally inert. Thus we would have a rational homotopy equivalence $\Omega M \simeq \Omega(X\#L)$.

It therefore remains to show that the map Ωp has a rational right homotopy inverse. With this in mind, consider the following homotopy cofibration diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \bar{B} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \bar{B} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \bar{B} \vee \bar{C} & \longrightarrow & B\#C \\ \parallel & & \downarrow q & & \downarrow p \\ S^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \bar{C} & \xrightarrow{i_C} & C. \end{array}$$

As the pinch map q has a right homotopy inverse, so does Ωq . Furthermore, the attaching map of the top-cell of C is rationally inert, and therefore Ωi_C has a right homotopy inverse after rationalisation. Therefore the map Ωp also has a (rational) right homotopy inverse, by Lemma 2.2. \square

Remark 4.3. Recall that a simply connected space Y is called *rationally elliptic* if $\dim(\pi_*(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$, and called *rationally hyperbolic* otherwise [4]. We remark briefly on the rational hyperbolicity of the spaces discussed above.

Indeed, suppose that the skeleton \bar{B} is a suspension. Then, as $X' = F \times \bar{B}$, we have a homotopy equivalence $X' \simeq (F \wedge \bar{B}) \vee \bar{B}$, which is again a suspension. Thus, rationally, X' is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres. Assuming X' is rationally homotopy equivalent to a wedge containing more than one sphere of dimension greater than 1, this would imply the rational hyperbolicity of ΩX . Indeed, this is guaranteed if the ring $H^*(\bar{B}; \mathbb{Q})$ has more than one generator of degree 2 or more, or if $H^*(\bar{B}; \mathbb{Q})$ has one such generator and F is not rationally contractible. Since X' homotopy retracts off $\Omega L \times X'$, by Theorem 4.2 we have that $\Omega X'$ retracts off ΩM . With the assumptions on X' above, this implies that ΩM is rationally hyperbolic.

As a final observation, note that a natural situation in which \bar{B} has the homotopy type of a suspension would be when B is sufficiently highly connected: by [5], if B is k -connected, \bar{B} has the homotopy type of a suspension if $n \leq 3k + 1$. For example, take B to be an $(n - 1)$ -connected $2n$ -dimensional Poincaré Duality complex.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Arkowitz, *Introduction to homotopy theory*, 2011.
- [2] H. Duan, *Circle actions and suspension operations on smooth manifolds*, arXiv:2202.06225.
- [3] Y. Felix and S. Halperin, *Aspherical completions and rationally inert elements*, arXiv:1904.08714.
- [4] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, and J.-C. Thomas, *Rational homotopy theory*, Vol. 205, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [5] T. Ganea, *Cogroups and suspensions*, *Inventiones mathematicae* **9** (1970), no. 3, 185–197.
- [6] S. Halperin and J.-M. Lemaire, *Suites inertes dans les algèbres de lie graduées ("autopsie d'un meurtre ii")*, *Mathematica Scandinavica* **61** (1987), 39–67.
- [7] R. Huang and S. Theriault, *Homotopy of manifolds stabilized by projective spaces*, arXiv:2204.04368.
- [8] L. Jeffrey and P. Selick, *Bundles over connected sums*, arXiv:2112.05714.
- [9] S. Theriault, *Homotopy fibrations with a section after looping*, arXiv:2005.11570.

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON, SOUTHAMPTON SO17 1BJ, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: s.d.chenery@soton.ac.uk