

IRREDUCIBILITY OF THE ZERO POLYNOMIALS OF EISENSTEIN SERIES

OSCAR E. GONZÁLEZ

ABSTRACT. Let E_k be the normalized Eisenstein series of weight k on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let φ_k be the polynomial that encodes the j -invariants of non-elliptic zeros of E_k . In 2001, Gekeler observed that the polynomials φ_k seem to be irreducible (and verified this claim for $k \leq 446$). We show that φ_k is irreducible for infinitely many k .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let E_k be the Eisenstein series of even weight $k \geq 2$ on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ given by

$$E_k(z) := 1 - \frac{2k}{B_k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) e^{2\pi i n z}, \quad (1)$$

and $\Delta := \frac{1}{1728}(E_4^3 - E_6^2)$. Let $j := \frac{E_4^3}{\Delta}$ and define the polynomial $\varphi_k(X)$ by

$$\varphi_k(X) := \prod_{\substack{E_k(z)=0 \\ j(z) \neq 0, 1728}} (X - j(z)). \quad (2)$$

For example, $\varphi_{16}(X) = X - \frac{3456000}{3617}$ and $\varphi_{24}(X) = X^2 - \frac{340364160000}{236364091}X + \frac{30710845440000}{236364091}$. Gekeler observed that the polynomials φ_k seem to be irreducible, and verified this claim for $k \leq 446$ ([Gek01]). Here we show that the polynomials φ_k are irreducible for infinitely many k . More precisely, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let φ_k be as in (2). Then $\varphi_{12 \cdot 2^\ell}$ is irreducible for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.*

Our method employs Dumas' irreducibility criterion and a recurrence for the Eisenstein series G_k due to Popa [Pop11].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $G_k := 2\zeta(k)E_k$ where $\zeta(k) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^k}$. For $k \geq 2$ write

$$G_k = \sum_{4a+6b=k} w_{a,k} G_4^a G_6^b, \quad (3)$$

where $w_{a,k} \in \mathbb{Q}$. We have the following recurrence for G_k .

Proposition 2. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} c_k d_k G_k &= \sum_{\substack{j=3 \\ j \text{ odd}}}^{k/2-2} \left(\binom{k/2}{j} + \binom{k/2-2}{j} \right) d_{j+1} d_{k-j-1} G_{j+1} G_{k-j-1} \\ &\quad + (k-2) d_2 d_{k-2} G_2 G_{k-2} + \frac{\pi^2 d_{k-2}}{2} G'_{k-2} + \frac{k}{2} d_{k/2}^2 G_{k/2}^2, \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f' := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{df}{dz},$$

$$c_k = \frac{k}{2(k/2 + 1)(k/2 - 1)} + (-1)^{k/2} \frac{(k/2)!(k/2 - 2)!}{2(k - 1)!}, \quad (5)$$

and

$$d_k = \frac{(-1)^{k/2}(k - 1)!}{2^{k+1}}. \quad (6)$$

Proof. This follows after some computation from equation (A.3) in [Pop11] with $m = k/2$, $\tilde{m} = k/2 - 2$, and $w = k - 2$. \square

Remark. The Eisenstein series \widetilde{G}_k in [Pop11] are normalized so that the coefficient of q in their Fourier expansion equals 1. This differs from our G_k by a factor of π^k/d_k , so that $G_k = \frac{\pi^k}{d_k} \widetilde{G}_k$. The identity in Proposition 2 is equivalent (via Rankin's identity) to the Eichler-Shimura relations for odd periods of cusp forms and G_k .

The following proposition allows us to relate $w_{a,k}$ to the coefficients of φ_k .

Proposition 3 ([Gek01], (1.17.1)). *For $k \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ we have*

$$2\zeta(k)\varphi_k(j) = \frac{G_k}{\Delta_{\frac{k}{12}}}.$$

Let $\nu_p(m)$ be the highest power of the prime p that divides a non-zero integer m . Extend this definition to \mathbb{Q} by defining $\nu_p(a/b) := \nu_p(a) - \nu_p(b)$ and $\nu_p(0) = \infty$. We will use the following criterion of Dumas.

Proposition 4 ([JK17, Corollary 1.3]). *Let $F(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$ be a monic polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{Q} . If there exists a prime p satisfying*

- (i) $\frac{\nu_p(a_r)}{n-r} \geq \frac{\nu_p(a_0)}{n}$ for $0 \leq r \leq n-1$, and
- (ii) $(\nu_p(a_0), n) = 1$,

then $F(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

3. DIVISIBILITY RESULTS

In this section we prove results about the divisibility of certain binomial coefficients which will be needed in the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 5. *For any even $k \geq 4$ we have*

$$\nu_2 \left((-1)^{k/2} + \binom{k}{k/2-1} \right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k+2 = 2^\ell \text{ for some } \ell \geq 3, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Lucas' theorem (see, for example, [Fin47, Thm. 1]) we have

$$\binom{k}{k/2-1} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{2} & \text{if } k+2 = 2^\ell \text{ for some } \ell \geq 3, \\ 0 \pmod{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

When $k+2 = 2^\ell$ with $\ell \geq 3$ we see from [Gra97, Theorem 1] that $\binom{k}{k/2-1} \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then, $(-1)^{k/2} + \binom{k}{k/2-1} \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and the result follows. \square

Lemma 6. *Let c_k and d_k be as in (5) and (6), respectively. Then, for any even $k \geq 4$ and any $j \leq k/2 - 2$ the following inequalities hold:*

$$\nu_2 \left(\frac{d_{k-2}}{2c_k d_k} \right) \geq 1, \quad (7)$$

$$\nu_2 \left(\frac{k d_{k/2}^2}{2c_k d_k} \right) \geq 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\nu_2 \left(\left(\binom{k/2}{j} + \binom{k/2-2}{j} \right) \frac{d_{j+1} d_{k-j-1}}{c_k d_k} \right) \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

Proof. Recall that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\nu_2(m!) = m - s_2(m), \quad (10)$$

where $s_2(m)$ is the sum of the digits of m in base 2. We begin by rewriting $\frac{d_{k-2}}{2c_k d_k}$ as

$$\frac{d_{k-2}}{2c_k d_k} = \frac{-2(k-2)!}{(k/2-1)!(k/2)! \left((-1)^{k/2} + \binom{k}{k/2-1} \right)}.$$

Using (10) and Lemma 5 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2 \left(\frac{d_{k-2}}{2c_k d_k} \right) &= 1 + \nu_2((k-2)!) - \nu_2((k/2-1)!) - \nu_2((k/2)!) - \nu_2 \left((-1)^{k/2} + \binom{k}{k/2-1} \right) \\ &= s_2(k/2) - \nu_2 \left((-1)^{k/2} + \binom{k}{k/2-1} \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} s_2(k/2) - 1 & \text{if } k+2 = 2^\ell, \\ s_2(k/2) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $s_2(k/2) \geq 1$, so we only need to consider the case when $k+2 = 2^\ell$. Since in this case $s_2(k/2) = s_2(2^{\ell-1} - 1) \geq 2$, we conclude that $\nu_2 \left(\frac{d_{k-2}}{2c_k d_k} \right) \geq 1$, proving (7).

To show (8), we start by rewriting $\frac{k d_{k/2}^2}{2c_k d_k}$ as

$$\frac{k d_{k/2}^2}{2c_k d_k} = \frac{(k/2-1)}{(-1)^{k/2} + \binom{k}{k/2-1}}.$$

From this we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2 \left(\frac{k d_{k/2}^2}{2c_k d_k} \right) &= \nu_2(k/2-1) - \nu_2 \left((-1)^{k/2} + \binom{k}{k/2-1} \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \nu_2(k/2-1) - 1 & \text{if } k+2 = 2^\ell, \\ \nu_2(k/2-1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

When $k+2 = 2^\ell$ we have $\nu_2(k/2-1) = \nu_2(2^{\ell-1}-2) = 1$. Thus, $\nu_2 \left(\frac{d_{k-2}}{2c_k d_k} \right) \geq 0$.

Finally, to prove (9) we work with $\binom{k/2}{j} \frac{d_{j+1}d_{k-j-1}}{c_k d_k}$ and $\binom{k/2-2}{j} \frac{d_{j+1}d_{k-j-1}}{c_k d_k}$ separately. First, rewrite $\binom{k/2}{j} \frac{d_{j+1}d_{k-j-1}}{c_k d_k}$ as

$$\binom{k/2}{j} \frac{d_{j+1}d_{k-j-1}}{c_k d_k} = \frac{\binom{k-j-2}{k/2-2}}{(-1)^{k/2} + \binom{k}{k/2-1}}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2 \left(\binom{k/2}{j} \frac{d_{j+1}d_{k-j-1}}{c_k d_k} \right) &= \nu_2 \left(\binom{k-j-2}{k/2-2} \right) - \nu_2 \left((-1)^{k/2} + \binom{k}{k/2-1} \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \nu_2 \left(\binom{k-j-2}{k/2-2} \right) - 1 & \text{if } k+2 = 2^\ell, \\ \nu_2 \left(\binom{k-j-2}{k/2-2} \right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

When $k+2 = 2^\ell$ we can use Lucas' theorem to obtain

$$\binom{k-j-2}{k/2-2} = \binom{2^\ell-j-4}{2^{\ell-1}-3} \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{2} & \text{if } j < k/2-2, \\ 1 \pmod{2} & \text{if } j = k/2-2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, when $k+2 = 2^\ell$ and $j < k/2-2$ we have $\nu_2 \left(\binom{k/2}{j} \frac{d_{j+1}d_{k-j-1}}{c_k d_k} \right) \geq 0$ and when $j = k/2-2$ we have $\nu_2 \left(\binom{k/2}{j} \frac{d_{j+1}d_{k-j-1}}{c_k d_k} \right) = -1$. We will see below that in the case $j = k/2-2$ we have $\nu_2 \left(\binom{k/2-2}{j} \frac{d_{j+1}d_{k-j-1}}{c_k d_k} \right) = -1$, so that $\nu_2 \left(\left(\binom{k/2}{j} + \binom{k/2-2}{j} \right) \frac{d_{j+1}d_{k-j-1}}{c_k d_k} \right) \geq 0$.

Now we calculate $\nu_2 \left(\binom{k/2-2}{j} \frac{d_{j+1}d_{k-j-1}}{c_k d_k} \right)$. We start by rewriting $\binom{k/2-2}{j} \frac{d_{j+1}d_{k-j-1}}{c_k d_k}$ as

$$\binom{k/2-2}{j} \frac{d_{j+1}d_{k-j-1}}{c_k d_k} = \frac{\binom{k-j-2}{k/2}}{(-1)^{k/2} + \binom{k}{k/2-1}}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2 \left(\binom{k/2-2}{j} \frac{d_{j+1}d_{k-j-1}}{c_k d_k} \right) &= \nu_2 \left(\binom{k-j-2}{k/2} \right) - \nu_2 \left((-1)^{k/2} + \binom{k}{k/2-1} \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \nu_2 \left(\binom{k-j-2}{k/2} \right) - 1 & \text{if } k+2 = 2^\ell, \\ \nu_2 \left(\binom{k-j-2}{k/2} \right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

When $k+2 = 2^\ell$ we have

$$\binom{k-j-2}{k/2} = \binom{2^\ell-j-4}{2^{\ell-1}-1} \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{2} & \text{if } j < k/2-2, \\ 1 \pmod{2} & \text{if } j = k/2-2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, when $k+2 = 2^\ell$ and $j < k/2-2$ we have $\nu_2 \left(\binom{k/2-2}{j} \frac{d_{j+1}d_{k-j-1}}{c_k d_k} \right) \geq 0$ and when $j = k/2-2$ we have $\nu_2 \left(\binom{k/2-2}{j} \frac{d_{j+1}d_{k-j-1}}{c_k d_k} \right) = -1$. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We start by showing that $\min_a(\nu_2(w_{a,k})) \geq 0$ for all even k .

Theorem 7. *Let $w_{a,k}$ be as in (3) and let $k \geq 4$ be an even integer. Then $\min_a(\nu_2(w_{a,k})) \geq 0$.*

Proof. By induction. The base case is clear. Suppose that r is even and that $\min_a(\nu_2(w_{a,k})) \geq 0$ for all even $k \leq r-2$. We will show that $\min_a(\nu_2(w_{a,r})) \geq 0$. From (4) we have

$$G_r = \sum_{\substack{j=3 \\ j \text{ odd}}}^{r/2-2} \left(\binom{r/2}{j} + \binom{r/2-2}{j} \right) \frac{d_{j+1}d_{r-j-1}}{c_r d_r} G_{j+1} G_{r-j-1} \\ + (r-2) \frac{d_2 d_{r-2}}{c_r d_r} G_2 G_{r-2} + \frac{\pi^2 d_{r-2}}{2c_r d_r} G'_{r-2} + \frac{r d_{r/2}^2}{2c_r d_r} G_{r/2}^2.$$

Note that $\pi^2 G'_4 = G_2 G_4 - \frac{7}{2} G_6$ and $\pi^2 G'_6 = \frac{3}{2} G_2 G_6 - \frac{15}{7} G_4^2$. From (3) we see that $G_{r-2} = \sum_{4a+6b=r-2} w_{a,r-2} G_4^a G_6^b$. Since $(G_4^a G_6^b)' = a G_4^{a-1} G_6^b G'_4 + b G_4^a G_6^{b-1} G'_6$ and $d_2 = -1/8$ we have

$$(r-2) \frac{d_2 d_{r-2}}{c_r d_r} G_2 G_{r-2} + \frac{\pi^2 d_{r-2}}{2c_r d_r} G'_{r-2} \\ = \frac{d_{r-2}}{2c_r d_r} \sum_{4a+6b=r-2} w_{a,r-2} \left(G_2 G_4^a G_6^b \left(2d_2(r-2) + a + \frac{3b}{2} \right) - \frac{7a}{2} G_4^{a-1} G_6^{b+1} - \frac{15b}{7} G_4^{a+2} G_6^{b-1} \right) \\ = -\frac{d_{r-2}}{2c_r d_r} \sum_{4a+6b=r-2} w_{a,r-2} \left(\frac{7a}{2} G_4^{a-1} G_6^{b+1} + \frac{15b}{7} G_4^{a+2} G_6^{b-1} \right).$$

We also see from (3) that

$$G_{j+1} G_{r-j-1} = \left(\sum_{4a+6b=j+1} w_{a,j+1} G_4^a G_6^b \right) \left(\sum_{4a+6b=r-j-1} w_{a,r-j-1} G_4^a G_6^b \right).$$

Using Lemma 6 and the induction hypothesis we conclude that $\min_a(\nu_2(w_{a,r})) \geq 0$. \square

We have the following precise conjecture about the value of $\min_a(\nu_2(w_{a,k}))$.

Conjecture 8. *Let $w_{a,k}$ be as in (3) and let $k \geq 4$ be an even integer. Then,*

$$\min_a(\nu_2(w_{a,k})) = \begin{cases} s(k) - 2 & \text{if } k \neq 2^j, \\ 0 & \text{if } k = 2^j. \end{cases}$$

This has been verified for $k \leq 3500$.

Lemma 9. *Let $k \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ and let $r \geq 0$. Write*

$$\varphi_k(X) = X^{\frac{k}{12}} + t_{k, \frac{k}{12}-1} X^{\frac{k}{12}-1} + \cdots + t_{k,1} X + t_{k,0}. \quad (11)$$

Then,

$$t_{k,r} = \frac{\pi^k}{\zeta(k)} (-1)^{k/12-r} \sum_{a=0}^r w_{3a,k} \frac{2^{2k/3-6r-2a-1}}{3^{k/4+3r} 5^{a+k/6} 7^{k/6-2a}} \binom{k/12-a}{k/12-r}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{E_k}{\Delta^{\frac{k}{12}}} &= \frac{G_k}{2\zeta(k)\Delta^{\frac{k}{12}}} = \frac{\sum_{a=0}^{k/12} w_{3a,k} G_4^{3a} G_6^{k/6-2a}}{2\zeta(k)\Delta^{k/12}} \\
&= \frac{\pi^k}{3^{k/2}\zeta(k)} \sum_{a=0}^{k/12} \frac{w_{3a,k} \cdot 2^{k/6-2a-1}}{5^{k/6+a} 7^{k/6-2a}} j^a (j-1728)^{k/12-a} \\
&= \frac{\pi^k}{3^{k/2}\zeta(k)} \sum_{a=0}^{k/12} \sum_{i=0}^{k/12-a} \frac{w_{3a,k} \cdot 2^{k/6-2a-1}}{5^{k/6+a} 7^{k/6-2a}} \binom{k/12-a}{i} j^{k/12-i} (-1728)^i.
\end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 3 we see that

$$\frac{E_k}{\Delta^{\frac{k}{12}}} = \varphi_k(j) = j^{\frac{k}{12}} + t_{k, \frac{k}{12}-1} j^{\frac{k}{12}-1} + \cdots + t_{k,1} j + t_{k,0}.$$

Comparing coefficients, the result follows. \square

Lemma 10. *Let $k = 12 \cdot 2^\ell$ with $\ell \geq 0$ and let $w_{a,k}$ be as in (3). Then $\nu_2(w_{0,k}) = 0$ and $\nu_2(w_{3a,k}) \geq 1$ for $1 \leq a \leq \frac{k}{12} - 1$.*

Proof. By induction on ℓ . From [Rad73, (59.6)], we have

$$(k/2 - 3)(k-1)(k+1)G_k = 3 \sum_{p=2}^{k/2-2} (2p-1)(k-2p-1)G_{2p}G_{k-2p}. \quad (12)$$

Note that $G_{12} = \frac{25}{143}G_6^2 + \frac{18}{143}G_4^3$, so the result holds for $\ell = 0$. Suppose that for some $m \geq 0$ we have $\nu_2(w_{0,12 \cdot 2^m}) = 0$ and $\nu_2(w_{3a,12 \cdot 2^m}) \geq 1$ for $1 \leq a \leq \frac{k}{12} - 1$. Then, paring the terms arising from p and $12 \cdot 2^m - p$ in (12) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{12 \cdot 2^{m+1}} &= \frac{3}{(12 \cdot 2^m - 3)(12 \cdot 2^{m+1} - 1)(12 \cdot 2^{m+1} + 1)} \\
&\quad \left(2 \sum_{p=2}^{12 \cdot 2^{m-1}-1} (2p-1)(12 \cdot 2^{m+1} - 2p-1)G_{2p}G_{12 \cdot 2^{m+1}-2p} + (12 \cdot 2^m - 1)^2 G_{12 \cdot 2^m}^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, using Theorem 7, we have $\nu_2(w_{0,12 \cdot 2^{m+1}}) = 0$ and $\nu_2(w_{3a,12 \cdot 2^{m+1}}) \geq 1$ for $1 \leq a \leq \frac{k}{12} - 1$. \square

Corollary 11. *Let $k = 12 \cdot 2^\ell$ with $\ell \geq 0$ and let $t_{k,r}$ be as in (11). Then, $\nu_2(t_{k,0}) = \frac{2k-3}{3}$ and for $1 \leq r \leq k/12 - 1$ we have $\nu_2(t_{k,r}) \geq \frac{2k}{3} - 8r$.*

Proof. Recall (see, for example, [Rad73, (5.5), (9.1)]) that

$$\nu_2(B_{2n}) = -1,$$

and that

$$\zeta(2n) = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(2\pi)^{2n} B_{2n}}{2(2n)!}.$$

Thus, $\nu_2\left(\frac{\zeta(k)}{\pi^k}\right) = 0$. The result now follows from Lemmas 10 and 9. \square

We can now prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1: Let $t_{k,r}$ be as in (11). For $0 \leq r \leq \frac{k}{12} - 1$, Corollary 11 gives

$$\frac{\nu_2(t_{k,0})}{k/12} \leq \frac{\nu_2(t_{k,r})}{k/12 - r} \quad (13)$$

and

$$\left(v_2(t_{k,0}), \frac{k}{12} \right) = (2k/3 - 1, 2^\ell) = (2^{2\ell+1} \cdot 3^{\ell-1} - 1, 2^\ell) = 1.$$

Thus, the result follows from Proposition 4 with $p = 2$.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Scott Ahlgren for his insightful suggestions. The author was partially supported by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation's MPhD Program, awarded in 2017.

REFERENCES

- [Fin47] N. J. Fine. Binomial coefficients modulo a prime. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 54:589–592, 1947.
- [Gek01] Ernst-Ulrich Gekeler. Some observations on the arithmetic of Eisenstein series for the modular group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 77(1):5–21, 2001. Festschrift: Erich Lamprecht.
- [Gra97] Andrew Granville. Arithmetic properties of binomial coefficients. I. Binomial coefficients modulo prime powers. In *Organic mathematics (Burnaby, BC, 1995)*, volume 20 of *CMS Conf. Proc.*, pages 253–276. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [JK17] Bablesh Jhorar and Sudesh K. Khanduja. A generalization of the Eisenstein-Dumas-Schönemann irreducibility criterion. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)*, 60(4):937–945, 2017.
- [Pop11] Alexandru A. Popa. Rational decomposition of modular forms. *Ramanujan J.*, 26(3):419–435, 2011.
- [Rad73] Hans Rademacher. *Topics in analytic number theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973. Edited by E. Grosswald, J. Lehner and M. Newman, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 169.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, URBANA, IL 61801

Email address: oscareg2@illinois.edu