

THE SECOND COHOMOLOGY OF REGULAR SEMISIMPLE HESSENBERG VARIETIES FROM GKM THEORY

ANTON AYZENBERG, MIKIYA MASUDA, AND TAKASHI SATO

ABSTRACT. We describe the second cohomology of a regular semisimple Hessenberg variety by generators and relations explicitly in terms of GKM theory. The cohomology of a regular semisimple Hessenberg variety becomes a module of a symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n by the dot action introduced by Tymoczko. As an application of our explicit description, we give a formula describing the isomorphism class of the second cohomology as an \mathfrak{S}_n -module. Our formula is not exactly the same as the known formula by Chow or Cho-Hong-Lee but they are equivalent. We also discuss its higher degree generalization.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\text{Fl}(n)$ denote the variety of all complete flags in \mathbb{C}^n . A regular semisimple Hessenberg variety $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ is a smooth subvariety of $\text{Fl}(n)$. It is determined by a square matrix S of size n with distinct eigenvalues and a function h (called a *Hessenberg function*) from the set of integers $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ to itself satisfying the condition:

$$h(1) \leq h(2) \leq \dots \leq h(n) \quad \text{and} \quad h(j) \geq j \quad (\forall j \in [n]).$$

The topology of $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ depends only on h , i.e. does not depend on the choice of S . The maximal \mathbb{C}^* -torus T in the general linear group $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, which commutes with S , naturally acts on $\text{Hess}(S, h)$. Using this T -action, one can study the cohomology $H^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$. Specifically, Tymoczko ([17]) constructed an \mathfrak{S}_n -action (called the *dot action*) on $H^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ making it an \mathfrak{S}_n -module.

A theorem of Brosnan-Chow [5] (the solution of Shareshian-Wachs conjecture [14]) says that the graded \mathfrak{S}_n -module $H^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ is equivalent to the (graded) chromatic symmetric function $X_{G_h}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ of a graph G_h associated to h , where $X_{G_h}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is a polynomial in t with symmetric functions in infinitely many variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ as coefficients. Moreover, it is shown in [9] that the Stanley-Stembridge conjecture on the e -positivity of $(3 + 1)$ -incomparability graphs is reduced to showing the e -positivity of the graph G_h for any Hessenberg function h . Thus, we are led to the study of $H^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$.

In this paper, we investigate the second cohomology $H^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ using GKM theory [13] when $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ is connected. We exhibit its generators explicitly in terms of GKM theory and give a formula describing the isomorphism class of the \mathfrak{S}_n -module in terms of h . Prior to our work, Chow [7] gave a formula for the

Date: March 23, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 57S12, Secondary: 14M15.

Key words and phrases. Hessenberg variety, torus action, GKM theory, equivariant cohomology, permutation module.

coefficient of t in $X_{G_h}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ using P -tableaux. Through the theorem by Brosnan-Chow mentioned above, Chow's formula is equivalent to ours. After Chow's work, Cho-Hong-Lee [6] exhibited generators of $H^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ geometrically using the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition of $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ and gave a formula describing the isomorphism class of the \mathfrak{S}_n -module $H^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$. Their formula is also equivalent to ours. However, the methods are different and the relation between their generators of $H^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ and ours is unclear.

Since we obtain explicit generators of $H^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ in this paper, we are able to characterize Hessenberg functions h for which the whole cohomology ring $H^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ is generated in degree two as a graded ring. It turns out that the graph G_h associated to such h is what is called a (double) lollipop ([8], [10]). We will discuss this subject in a forthcoming paper [4].

As mentioned above, we describe $H^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ in terms of explicit generators and relations when $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ is connected. It is known that $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ is connected, in other words, the restriction map $\iota^*: H^0(\text{Fl}(n)) \rightarrow H^0(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ is an isomorphism if and only if $h(j) \geq j+1$ for any $j \in [n-1]$. As a generalization of this setting, we consider the case where $h(j) \geq j+d$ for any $j \in [n-d]$, where d is an integer ≥ 2 . In this case, we show that the restriction map $\iota^*: H^{2p}(\text{Fl}(n)) \rightarrow H^{2p}(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ is an isomorphism for $p < d$ and describe $H^{2d}(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ in terms of explicit generators and relations.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we review necessary facts on regular semisimple Hessenberg varieties and labeled graphs associated to them. Section 3 discusses an inductive formula to compute the Poincaré polynomial of $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ and a formula on the second Betti number of $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ in terms of h . In Section 4, we provide three types of elements in the T -equivariant cohomology $H_T^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ using GKM theory, discuss relations among them, and observe the dot action of \mathfrak{S}_n on them. We prove that these three types of elements together with $H^2(BT)$ generate $H_T^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$. In Section 5, we explicitly describe $H^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ in terms of generators and relations, and give a formula describing the isomorphism class of the \mathfrak{S}_n -module $H^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ in terms of h . In Section 6 we discuss the generalization of the result on the second cohomology to the higher degree cohomology mentioned above.

2. REGULAR SEMISIMPLE HESSENBERG VARIETIES

2.1. Hessenberg variety. The flag variety $\text{Fl}(n)$ is defined as the set of nested linear subspaces of \mathbb{C}^n :

$$\text{Fl}(n) = \{V_\bullet = (V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_n = \mathbb{C}^n) \mid \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_i = i \quad \forall i \in [n]\}.$$

Given a square matrix A of size n and a function $h: [n] \rightarrow [n]$ (called a *Hessenberg function*) satisfying

$$h(1) \leq h(2) \leq \cdots \leq h(n) \quad \text{and} \quad h(j) \geq j \quad (\forall j \in [n]),$$

the Hessenberg variety $\text{Hess}(A, h)$ is defined by

$$\text{Hess}(A, h) := \{V_\bullet \in \text{Fl}(n) \mid A(V_j) \subset V_{h(j)} \quad \text{for } \forall j \in [n]\},$$

where the matrix A is regarded as a linear transformation on \mathbb{C}^n . We often express the Hessenberg function h as a vector $(h(1), \dots, h(n))$ by listing the values of h . When $h = (n, \dots, n)$, it is obvious from the definition that $\text{Hess}(A, h)$ is the flag variety $\text{Fl}(n)$ regardless of the choice of A .

As illustrated in the following example, we can visualize a Hessenberg function h by drawing a configuration of the shaded boxes on a square grid of size $n \times n$, which consists of boxes in the i -th row and the j -th column satisfying $i \leq h(j)$. Since it is assumed that $j \leq h(j)$ for any $j \in [n]$, the essential part is the shaded boxes below the diagonal.

Example 2.1. Let $n = 5$. The Hessenberg function $h = (3, 3, 4, 5, 5)$ corresponds to the configuration of the shaded boxes drawn on the left grid and the essential shaded boxes (i.e. below the diagonal) are drawn on the right grid in Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. The configuration corresponding to $h = (3, 3, 4, 5, 5)$.

The Hessenberg variety $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ for a square matrix S of size n with distinct eigenvalues is called *regular semisimple*.

Theorem 2.1 ([11]).

- (1) $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ is smooth.
- (2) $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hess}(S, h) = \sum_{j=1}^n (h(j) - j)$.
- (3) $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ is connected if and only if $h(j) \geq j + 1$ for $\forall j \in [n - 1]$.
- (4) $H^{\text{odd}}(\text{Hess}(S, h)) = 0$ and the $2k$ -th Betti number of $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ is given by

$$\#\{w \in \mathfrak{S}_n \mid \ell_h(w) = k\}$$

where

$$(2.1) \quad \ell_h(w) = \#\{1 \leq j < i \leq n \mid w(j) > w(i), i \leq h(j)\}.$$

Since S commutes with a maximal torus T of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, the restricted action of T on $\text{Fl}(n)$ leaves $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ invariant. One sees that

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Hess}(S, h)^T = \text{Fl}(n)^T = \mathfrak{S}_n.$$

2.2. Equivariant cohomology. We shall briefly review equivariant cohomology. For a T -space X , the equivariant cohomology $H_T^*(X)$ is defined as

$$H_T^*(X) := H^*(ET \times_T X)$$

where $ET \rightarrow BT$ is the universal principal T -bundle and $ET \times_T X$ is the orbit space of $ET \times X$ by the diagonal T -action. Since T is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, BT is homeomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)^n$ and hence $H^*(BT)$ is a polynomial ring in n elements of $H^2(BT)$ which form a generator of $H^2(BT)$. Note that for a one-point space pt , we have

$$H_T^*(pt) = H^*(BT).$$

Since the T -action on ET is free, the projection $ET \times X \rightarrow ET$ on the first factor induces a fibration

$$X \xrightarrow{\iota} ET \times_T X \xrightarrow{\pi} ET/T = BT.$$

The equivariant cohomology $H_T^*(X)$ is not only a ring but also an algebra over $H^*(BT)$ through $\pi^*: H^*(BT) \rightarrow H_T^*(X)$. As easily seen, the restriction map $\iota^*: H_T^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X)$ sends $H^2(BT)$ to zero. Therefore, it induces a ring homomorphism

$$(2.3) \quad H_T^*(X)/(H^2(BT)) \rightarrow H^*(X),$$

where $(H^2(BT))$ denotes the ideal generated by $\pi^*(H^2(BT))$. If $H^{odd}(X) = 0$ (this is the case when $X = \text{Hess}(S, h)$), then the map (2.3) above is an isomorphism.

2.3. GKM theory and labeled graph. We choose and fix a set of generators of $H^2(BT)$ and denote them by t_1, \dots, t_n , they correspond to the choice of coordinates in the ambient space \mathbb{C}^n of flags. Since $H^{odd}(\text{Hess}(S, h)) = 0$, the torus action is cohomologically equivariantly formal [13]. Therefore the restriction map to the T -fixed point set

$$\begin{aligned} H_T^*(\text{Hess}(S, h)) \rightarrow H_T^*(\text{Hess}(S, h)^T) &= \bigoplus_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_n} H_T^*(w) = \bigoplus_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n] \\ &= \text{Map}(\mathfrak{S}_n, \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n]) \end{aligned}$$

is injective, where $\text{Map}(P, Q)$ denotes the set of all maps from P to Q . Since the restriction map above is injective, we think of $H_T^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ as a subset of $\text{Map}(\mathfrak{S}_n, \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n])$.

Proposition 2.2 ([17]). *An element $f \in \text{Map}(\mathfrak{S}_n, \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n])$ is in $H_T^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ if and only if*

$$f(v) \equiv f(w) \pmod{t_{w(i)} - t_{w(j)}} \quad \text{whenever } v = w \cdot (i, j) \text{ for } j < i \leq h(j)$$

where (i, j) denotes the transposition interchanging i and j .

To a Hessenberg function h , one associates a graph (V, E) with a label on the edge set E

$$\alpha: E \rightarrow H^2(BT) \setminus \{0\}$$

where

- (1) $V = \mathfrak{S}_n$,
- (2) $E = \{\{v, w\} \mid v, w \in V, v = w \cdot (i, j) \text{ for some } j < i \leq h(j)\}$,
- (3) $\alpha(\{v, w\}) = t_{w(i)} - t_{w(j)}$ up to sign for $v = w \cdot (i, j)$.

We denote the triple (V, E, α) by $\Gamma(h)$ and call a *labeled graph* associated to h . This is a slight variant of the notion of GKM graph. The set of elements in $\text{Map}(\mathfrak{S}_n, \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n])$ satisfying the congruence relation in Proposition 2.2 is sometimes called the *graph cohomology* of $\Gamma(h)$. Proposition 2.2 says that $H_T^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ agrees with the graph cohomology of $\Gamma(h)$. In particular, $H_T^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ is independent of the choice of the regular semisimple matrix S . Notice, that even the equivariant diffeomorphism type of $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ is independent of S , see [2].

We often think of t_i as an element of $\text{Map}(\mathfrak{S}_n, \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n])$ by regarding it as a constant map. Obviously, t_i satisfies the congruence relation in Proposition 2.2, so it is in $H_T^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$. Then

$$H^*(\text{Hess}(S, h)) = H_T^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))/(t_1, \dots, t_n)$$

because $H^{odd}(\text{Hess}(S, h)) = 0$, where (t_1, \dots, t_n) is the ideal generated by t_1, \dots, t_n .

Example 2.2. Let $n = 3$. For $h = (2, 3, 3)$ and $h' = (3, 3, 3)$, the corresponding labeled graphs $\Gamma(h)$ and $\Gamma(h')$ are depicted in Figure 2 where we use the one-line notation for each vertex.




FIGURE 2. The labeled graphs $\Gamma(h)$ and $\Gamma(h')$

Both graphs $\Gamma(h)$ and $\Gamma(h')$ in Example 2.2 are connected. In general, it is not difficult to see that $\Gamma(h)$ is connected if and only if $h(j) \geq j + 1$ for any $j \in [n - 1]$.

3. THE SECOND BETTI NUMBER OF $\text{Hess}(S, h)$


In this section, we give an inductive formula to compute the Poincaré polynomial of $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ using Theorem 2.1(4) and apply it to obtain an explicit formula of the second Betti number of $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ in terms of the Hessenberg function h .

3.1. An inductive formula. For a space X such that $H^{odd}(X) = 0$ and the rank of $H^*(X)$ over \mathbb{Z} is finite, we define

$$\text{Poin}(X, \sqrt{q}) := \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} b_{2r}(X)q^r$$

where $b_{2r}(X)$ denotes the $2r$ -th Betti number over \mathbb{Z} . Let h^j be the Hessenberg function obtained by removing all the boxes in the j -th row and all the boxes in the j -th column (See Figure 3). To be precise,

$$h^j(i) = \begin{cases} h(i) & (i < j, h(i) < j) \\ h(i) - 1 & (i < j, h(i) \geq j) \\ h(i + 1) - 1 & (i \geq j) \end{cases}$$

FIGURE 3. The configuration corresponding to h^j .

Proposition 3.1. *Under the above understanding, we have*

$$\text{Poin}(\text{Hess}(S, h), \sqrt{q}) = \sum_{j=1}^n q^{h(j)-j} \text{Poin}(\text{Hess}(S', h^j), \sqrt{q})$$

where S' denotes a matrix of size $n - 1$ with distinct eigenvalues.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.1(4) that

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Poin}(\text{Hess}(S, h), \sqrt{q}) = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\ell_h(w)}$$

where

$$\ell_h(w) = \#\{1 \leq j < i \leq n \mid w(j) > w(i), i \leq h(j)\}.$$

For $j \in [n]$ we set

$$\mathfrak{S}_n^j := \{w \in \mathfrak{S}_n \mid w(j) = n\}$$

and consider the following decomposition of \mathfrak{S}_n :

$$\mathfrak{S}_n = \mathfrak{S}_n^1 \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n.$$

If w is in \mathfrak{S}_n^j , then $w(j) = n$ so that $w(j) > w(i)$ for any $j < i \leq h(j)$. Therefore, if we denote by w^j the permutation on $[n - 1]$ obtained by removing $w(j) = n$ from w , then we have

$$\ell_h(w) = h(j) - j + \ell_{h^j}(w^j).$$

This together with (3.1) implies the formula in the proposition. \square

For a Hessenberg function h , we consider the following two sets:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \perp(h) &:= \{j \in [n - 1] \mid h(j - 1) = h(j) = j + 1\} \\ \mathbf{L}(h) &:= \{j \in [n - 1] \mid h(j - 1) = j \text{ and } h(j) = j + 1\} \end{aligned}$$

where we understand $h(0) = 1$.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $h(j) \geq j + 1$ for $j \in [n - 1]$. Then the second Betti number $b_2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ of $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ can be expressed as*

$$b_2(\text{Hess}(S, h)) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{L}(h)} \binom{n}{j} + (n - 1)|\perp(h)| - |\mathbf{L}(h)|.$$

Example 3.1. For the Hessenberg function $h = (3, 3, 4, 5, 5)$ in Example 2.1, we have

$$\perp(h) = \{2\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{L}(h) = \{3, 4\}.$$

Therefore

$$b_2(\text{Hess}(S, h)) = \binom{5}{3} + \binom{5}{4} + (5-1) \cdot 1 - 2 = 17.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.2. We prove the lemma by induction on n . When $n = 2$, $h = (2, 2)$ since $h(j) \geq j+1$ for $j \in [n-1]$ by assumption. Therefore, $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ is $\mathbb{C}P^1$ while $\perp(h) = \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{L}(h) = \{1\}$. This shows that the lemma holds when $n = 2$.

Suppose that $n \geq 3$. It follows from Proposition 3.1 that

$$(3.3) \quad b_2(\text{Hess}(S, h)) = \sum_{h(j)=j+1} b_0(\text{Hess}(S', h^j)) + b_2(\text{Hess}(S', h^n)).$$

Here, $\text{Hess}(S', h^j)$ is connected if $h(j-1) = j+1$ and has $\binom{n-1}{j-1}$ many connected components if $h(j-1) = j$ (the detailed description of connected components can be found in [16]). This means that

$$(3.4) \quad b_0(\text{Hess}(S', h^j)) = \begin{cases} 1 & (\text{if } j \in \perp(h)) \\ \binom{n-1}{j-1} & (\text{if } j \in \mathbb{L}(h)) \end{cases}$$

since $h(j) = j+1$ for j in the sum of (3.3).

On the other hand, by the induction assumption, we have

$$(3.5) \quad b_2(\text{Hess}(S', h^n)) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{L}(h^n)} \binom{n-1}{j} + (n-2)|\perp(h^n)| - |\mathbb{L}(h^n)|.$$

Here, by looking at the $(n-2, n-1)$ and $(n-1, n)$ boxes in the configuration associated to h , one sees that

$$(3.6) \quad \perp(h^n) = \begin{cases} \perp(h) & (\text{if } h(n-2) = n-1) \\ \perp(h) \setminus \{n-1\} & (\text{if } h(n-2) = n, h(n-3) = n-2) \\ (\perp(h) \setminus \{n-1\}) \cup \{n-2\} & (\text{if } h(n-2) = n, h(n-3) \geq n-1) \end{cases}$$

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbb{L}(h^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{L}(h) \setminus \{n-1\} & (\text{if } h(n-2) = n-1) \\ \mathbb{L}(h) \cup \{n-2\} & (\text{if } h(n-2) = n, h(n-3) = n-2) \\ \mathbb{L}(h) & (\text{if } h(n-2) = n, h(n-3) \geq n-1). \end{cases}$$

We consider three cases according to the cases above and plug (3.6) and (3.7) in the right hand side of (3.5) in each case. Then, this together with (3.4) will show that the right hand side of (3.3) agrees with the right hand side of the identity in the lemma. For instance, when $h(n-2) = n-1$, it follows from (3.4), (3.5), (3.6),

and (3.7) that the right hand side of (3.3) turns into

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j \in \mathbf{L}(h)} \binom{n-1}{j-1} + |\perp(h)| \\
& + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{L}(h) \setminus \{n-1\}} \binom{n-1}{j} + (n-2)|\perp(h)| - |\mathbf{L}(h) \setminus \{n-1\}| \\
& = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{L}(h)} \left(\binom{n-1}{j-1} + \binom{n-1}{j} \right) - \binom{n-1}{n-1} + (n-1)|\perp(h)| - |\mathbf{L}(h)| + 1 \\
& = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{L}(h)} \binom{n}{j} + (n-1)|\perp(h)| - |\mathbf{L}(h)|,
\end{aligned}$$

which coincides with the right hand side of the identity in the lemma. The other two cases can be proved similarly, so we omit the proof. \square

4. GENERATORS OF $H^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$

4.1. Three types of elements in $H_T^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$. The following three types of elements play a role in our argument.

Lemma 4.1. *The elements $x_i, y_{j,k}, \tau_A$ in $\text{Map}(\mathfrak{S}_n, \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n])$ defined below are in $H_T^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$.*

- (1) For $i \in [n]$, $x_i(w) := t_{w(i)}$.
- (2) For $j \in \perp(h)$ and $k \in [n]$,

$$y_{j,k}(w) := \begin{cases} t_k - t_{w(j+1)} & (\text{if } k \in \{w(1), \dots, w(j)\}) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

- (3) For $A \subset [n]$ with $|A| \in \perp(h)$,

$$\tau_A(w) := \begin{cases} t_{w(j)} - t_{w(j+1)} & (\text{if } \{w(1), \dots, w(j)\} = A) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Proof. What we have to do is to check that the elements in the lemma satisfy the congruence relation in Proposition 2.2. The check for x_i is straightforward and that for $y_{j,k}$ is done in [1, Lemma 10.2] in a more general setting. Therefore, we shall check the congruence relation for τ_A . We set $j = |A|$.

Suppose that $v = w \cdot (r, s)$ for $r < s \leq h(r)$. We consider three cases.

Case 1. The case where $r = j$. In this case, $s = j+1$ because $h(r) = h(j) = j+1$, so that $t_{w(r)} - t_{w(s)} = t_{w(j)} - t_{w(j+1)}$. Therefore, looking at the definition of τ_A , we see that the congruence relation is obviously satisfied in this case.

Case 2. The case where $r \leq j-1$. In this case, $s \leq j$ since $s \leq h(r) \leq h(j-1) = j$ where $h(j-1) = j$ is because $j \in \perp(h)$. Therefore, $\{w(1), \dots, w(j)\} = \{v(1), \dots, v(j)\}$ and hence $\tau_A(w) = \tau_A(v)$, thereby the congruence relation is trivially satisfied.

Case 3. The case where $r \geq j+1$. In this case, $s \geq j+2$ as $s > r$. Therefore, $\{w(1), \dots, w(j)\} = \{v(1), \dots, v(j)\}$ in this case, too. Hence the congruence relation is satisfied. \square

Remark 4.1. (1) The elements x_i lie in $H_T^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ for any Hessenberg function. Indeed, when $h = (n, \dots, n)$, $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ is the flag variety $\text{Fl}(n)$ and x_i is

the equivariant first Chern class of the line bundle E_i/E_{i-1} where E_i is the i -th tautological vector bundle over the flag variety $\text{Fl}(n)$:

$$E_i := \{(V_\bullet, v) \in \text{Fl}(n) \times \mathbb{C}^n \mid v \in V_i\}.$$

(2) The element $y_{j,k}$ exists in $H_T^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ for any j with $h(j) = j + 1$ by the same definition as above but for our purpose it suffices to consider $y_{j,k}$ for $j \in \perp(h)$.

Lemma 4.2. *For $x_i, y_{j,k}, \tau_A$ in Lemma 4.1, the following holds.*

- (1) $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i$.
- (2) $\sum_{k=1}^n y_{j,k} = \sum_{i=1}^j i(x_i - x_{i+1}) = x_1 + \cdots + x_j - jx_{j+1}$.
- (3) $\sum_{|A|=j} \tau_A = x_j - x_{j+1}$.
- (4) *Let m be the maximum element in $\perp(h)$ if $\perp(h) \neq \emptyset$ and 0 otherwise. Then*

$$y_{m,k} + \sum_{k \in A, m < |A| \leq n-1} \tau_A = t_k - x_n \quad \text{for any } k \in [n]$$

where we understand $y_{0,k} = 0$ and the sum is 0 when $m = n - 1$.

Proof. For each identity, we check that the left hand side and the right hand side take the same value at every $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. The check for the identities in (1)–(3) is straightforward, so we leave it for the reader and shall check the identity in (4).

It follows from the definition of τ_A in Lemma 4.1 that

$$\left(\sum_{k \in A, |A|=j} \tau_A \right) (w) = \begin{cases} t_{w(j)} - t_{w(j+1)} & (\text{if } k \in \{w(1), \dots, w(j)\}) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\sum_{k \in A, m < |A| \leq n-1} \tau_A \right) (w) = \begin{cases} t_{w(m+1)} - t_{w(n)} & (\text{if } k \in \{w(1), \dots, w(m)\}) \\ t_k - t_{w(n)} & (\text{if } k \in \{w(m+1), \dots, w(n-1)\}) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

This together with the definition of $y_{m,k}$, that is

$$y_{m,k}(w) = \begin{cases} t_k - t_{w(m+1)} & (\text{if } k \in \{w(1), \dots, w(m)\}) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases}$$

shows that the left hand side at the identity in (4) evaluated at w agrees with $t_k - t_{w(n)}$, proving the desired identity. \square

4.2. Dot action. We consider an action of $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ on $\mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ sending t_i to $t_{\sigma(i)}$ for $i \in [n]$ and define an action of $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ on $f \in \text{Map}(\mathfrak{S}_n, \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n])$ by

$$(4.1) \quad (\sigma \cdot f)(w) := \sigma(f(\sigma^{-1}w)).$$

One can check that if f is in $H_T^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$, then so is $\sigma \cdot f$. The action of \mathfrak{S}_n on $H_T^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ preserves the ideal (t_1, \dots, t_n) generated by t_1, \dots, t_n , so the action descends to an action of \mathfrak{S}_n on

$$H^*(\text{Hess}(S, h)) = H_T^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))/(t_1, \dots, t_n).$$

This action, called the *dot action*, was introduced by Tymoczko [17].

Lemma 4.3. *Let $x_i, y_{j,k}, \tau_A$ be as in Lemma 4.1. Then, for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we have*

$$\sigma \cdot x_i = x_i, \quad \sigma \cdot y_{j,k} = y_{j, \sigma(k)}, \quad \sigma \cdot \tau_A = \tau_{\sigma(A)}.$$

Proof. The proof is straightforward. Indeed, we have

$$(\sigma \cdot x_i)(w) = \sigma(x_i(\sigma^{-1}w)) = \sigma(t_{\sigma^{-1}w(i)}) = t_{\sigma\sigma^{-1}w(i)} = t_{w(i)},$$

proving $\sigma \cdot x_i = x_i$. As for $y_{j,k}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma \cdot y_{j,k})(w) &= \sigma(y_{j,k}(\sigma^{-1}w)) \\ &= \begin{cases} \sigma(t_k - t_{\sigma^{-1}w(j+1)}) & (\text{if } k \in \{\sigma^{-1}w(1), \dots, \sigma^{-1}w(j)\}) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} t_{\sigma(k)} - t_{w(j+1)} & (\text{if } \sigma(k) \in \{w(1), \dots, w(j)\}) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

proving $\sigma \cdot y_{j,k} = y_{j,\sigma(k)}$. Similarly, as for τ_A with $|A| = j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma \cdot \tau_A)(w) &= \sigma(\tau_A(\sigma^{-1}w)) \\ &= \begin{cases} \sigma(t_{\sigma^{-1}w(j)} - t_{\sigma^{-1}w(j+1)}) & (\text{if } \{\sigma^{-1}w(1), \dots, \sigma^{-1}w(j)\} = A) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} t_{w(j)} - t_{w(j+1)} & (\text{if } \{w(1), \dots, w(j)\} = \sigma(A)) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

proving $\sigma \cdot \tau_A = \tau_{\sigma(A)}$. □

4.3. Generators of $H_T^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$. Remember that

$$\begin{aligned} \perp(h) &= \{j \in [n-1] \mid h(j-1) = h(j) = j+1\} \\ \mathsf{L}(h) &= \{j \in [n-1] \mid h(j-1) = j, h(j) = j+1\} \end{aligned}$$

where $h(0) = 1$, and $y_{j,k}$ is defined for $j \in \perp(h)$ and τ_A is defined for $A \subset [n]$ with $|A| \in \mathsf{L}(h)$.

Proposition 4.4. *Suppose that $h(j) \geq j+1$ for any $j \in [n-1]$. Then $H_T^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ is generated by t_i, x_i ($i \in [n]$), $y_{j,k}$ ($j \in \perp(h), k \in [n]$), and τ_A ($|A| \in \mathsf{L}(h)$).*

Proof. Recall that $\Gamma(h)$ is the labeled graph introduced at the end of Section 3. As before, we consider the decomposition of \mathfrak{S}_n :

$$\mathfrak{S}_n = \mathfrak{S}_n^1 \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n \quad (\mathfrak{S}_n^j := \{w \in \mathfrak{S}_n \mid w(j) = n\})$$

and the Hessenberg function h^j obtained by removing all the boxes in the j -th row and all the boxes in the j -th column from the configuration corresponding to h . Note that the full subgraph of $\Gamma(h)$ with vertices \mathfrak{S}_n^j is $\Gamma(h^j)$.

We prove the proposition by induction on n following the idea developed in [12]. The idea is also used in [3]. Let z be an arbitrary element of $H_T^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$.

Step 1. Since \mathfrak{S}_n^n is isomorphic to \mathfrak{S}_{n-1} , it follows from the inductive assumption that $H_T^2(\text{Hess}(S', h^n))$ is generated by t_i 's and elements corresponding to $x_\bullet, y_\bullet, \tau_\bullet$, where S' is a square matrix of size $n-1$ with distinct eigenvalues. Indeed, those elements in $H_T^2(\text{Hess}(S', h^n))$, denoted with (n) as superscript, are defined as

follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^{(n)}(w) &:= x_i(w) \quad (i \in [n-1]) \\ y_{j,k}^{(n)}(w) &:= y_{j,k}(w) \quad (j \in \perp(h^n)) \\ \tau_B^{(n)}(w) &:= \tau_B(w) \quad (B \subset [n-1], |B| \in \mathbb{L}(h^n)) \end{aligned}$$

where $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^n$. This shows that any element of $H_T^2(\text{Hess}(S', h^n))$ is the restriction image of a linear combination of $t_\bullet, x_\bullet, y_\bullet, \tau_\bullet$ to $H_T^2(\text{Hess}(S', h^n))$. Therefore, we may assume that $z = 0$ on \mathfrak{S}_n^n by subtracting an appropriate linear combination of $t_\bullet, x_\bullet, y_\bullet, \tau_\bullet$ from z .

Step 2. Suppose that $z = 0$ on $\mathfrak{S}_n^{r+1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$ for some $1 \leq r \leq n-1$. Then we shall show that z minus an appropriate linear combination of $t_\bullet, x_\bullet, y_\bullet, \tau_\bullet$ vanishes on $\mathfrak{S}_n^{r+1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. The case where $h(r) \geq r+2$ (so $r < n-1$). In this case, the $(r+1, r)$ and $(r+2, r)$ boxes are shaded in the configuration associated to h . This means that at each vertex $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^r$, there are edges in $\Gamma(h)$ emanating from w to $w \cdot (r, r+1) \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{r+1}$ and $w \cdot (r, r+2) \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{r+2}$, where the labels on those edges are respectively $t_{w(r)} - t_{w(r+1)}$ and $t_{w(r)} - t_{w(r+2)}$ up to sign. Since $z = 0$ on $\mathfrak{S}_n^{r+1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$, $z(w)$ must be divisible by these linear polynomials. However, since the cohomological degree of z is two, this implies that z must be 0 on \mathfrak{S}_n^r .

Case 2. The case where $h(r) = r+1$. In this case, each vertex w in \mathfrak{S}_n^r is joined by an edge to a vertex $w \cdot (r, r+1)$ of \mathfrak{S}_n^{r+1} . Since the label on the edge is $t_{w(r)} - t_{w(r+1)} = t_n - t_{w(r+1)}$ up to sign and $z = 0$ on \mathfrak{S}_n^{r+1} , $z(w)$ for $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^r$ is a constant multiple of $t_n - t_{w(r+1)}$. Note that $h(r-1) \leq h(r) = r+1$ and

$$t_n - t_{w(r+1)} = \begin{cases} y_{r,n}(w) & (\text{when } h(r-1) = r+1) \\ \tau_{\{w(1), \dots, w(r)\}}(w) & (\text{when } h(r-1) = r). \end{cases}$$

We distinguish two cases according to the above.

(i) The case where $h(r-1) = r+1$. In this case, $h^r(j) \geq j+1$ for any $j \in [n-2]$, so the graph $\Gamma(h^r)$ is connected. By the observation above, we have $z(w) = c_w y_{r,n}(w)$ ($w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^r$) with some integer c_w .

Claim. $c_w = c_v$ for any $w, v \in \mathfrak{S}_n^r$.

Proof of the claim. Since $\Gamma(h^r)$ is connected, it suffices to prove the identity for a pair of w and v joined by an edge of $\Gamma(h^r)$. Then, $v = w \cdot (p, q)$ for some $p, q \in [n] \setminus \{r\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) \quad z(w) - z(v) &= c_w y_{r,n}(w) - c_v y_{r,n}(v) \\ &= c_w (t_n - t_{w(r+1)}) - c_v (t_n - t_{v(r+1)}) \\ &= (c_w - c_v) t_n - c_w t_{w(r+1)} + c_v t_{v(r+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

which must be divisible by $t_{w(p)} - t_{w(q)} = t_{v(q)} - t_{v(p)}$. Here, $n = w(r) = v(r)$, $p \neq r$ and $q \neq r$, so the coefficient $c_w - c_v$ of t_n in (4.2) must be zero. This proves the claim.

By the claim, we may write c_w as c and $z - cy_{r,n} = 0$ on \mathfrak{S}_n^r . Furthermore, $y_{r,n} = 0$ on $\mathfrak{S}_n^{r+1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$ because $y_{r,n}(v) = 0$ for $v \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ with $n \in \{v(r+1), \dots, v(n)\}$ by the definition of $y_{r,n}$ in Lemma 4.1 (2). Therefore, $z - cy_{r,n} = 0$ on $\mathfrak{S}_n^r \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$.

(ii) The case where $h(r-1) = r$. In this case, the graph $\Gamma(h^r)$ is disconnected since $h^r(r-1) = r-1$. Indeed, there are $\binom{n-1}{r-1}$ many connected components because $h^r(j) \geq j+1$ for any $1 \leq j \leq n-2$ with $j \neq r-1$. The vertex set of a connected component of $\Gamma(h^r)$ is

$$\mathfrak{S}_n^r(A) := \{w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^r \mid \{w(1), \dots, w(r)\} = A\}$$

for some $n \in A \subset [n]$ with $|A| = r$. On this connected component, $z(w) = c_A \tau_A(w)$ ($w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^r(A)$) with some integer c_A , where c_A is independent of $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^r(A)$ by a similar argument to the claim above, and τ_A vanishes on $\bigsqcup_{B \neq A} \mathfrak{S}_n^r(B) = \mathfrak{S}_n^r \setminus \mathfrak{S}_n^r(A)$. Therefore,

$$(4.3) \quad z - \sum_{n \in A \subset [n], |A|=r} c_A \tau_A = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathfrak{S}_n^r(A).$$

Furthermore, since $n \in A$ and $|A| = r$, τ_A in (4.3) vanishes on $\mathfrak{S}_n^{r+1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$ by the definition of τ_A in Lemma 4.1(3). Therefore, the left hand side in (4.3) vanishes on $\mathfrak{S}_n^r \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$.

The inductive argument developed above shows that one can change z into 0 on the whole set \mathfrak{S}_n by subtracting an appropriate linear combination of $t_\bullet, x_\bullet, y_\bullet, \tau_\bullet$. Since z is an arbitrary element of $H_T^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$, this proves the proposition. \square

5. STRUCTURE OF $H^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$

5.1. Explicit presentation of $H^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$. The generators of $H_T^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ in Proposition 4.4 can be decreased. Indeed, it follows from Lemma 4.2(4) that we can drop $y_{n-1, k}$ when $n-1 \in \perp(h)$ and τ_A with $|A| = n-1$ when $n-1 \in \mathbb{L}(h)$, i.e. it suffices to consider $\perp(h) \setminus \{n-1\}$ and $\mathbb{L}(h) \setminus \{n-1\}$ as index sets of $y_{j, k}$ and τ_A . This is also true for the ordinary cohomology $H^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ because

$$H^2(\text{Hess}(S, h)) = H_T^2(\text{Hess}(S, h)) / \mathbb{Z}\langle t_1, \dots, t_n \rangle$$

where $\mathbb{Z}\langle t_1, \dots, t_n \rangle$ denotes the module generated by t_1, \dots, t_n over \mathbb{Z} . Thus, we have a surjective homomorphism

$$\Phi: \mathbb{Z}\langle X_i, Y_{j, k}, T_A \rangle \rightarrow H^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$$

sending X_i to x_i , $Y_{j, k}$ to $y_{j, k}$, and T_A to τ_A , where

- (1) $i \in [n]$,
- (2) $j \in \perp(h) \setminus \{n-1\}$, $k \in [n]$,
- (3) $A \subset [n]$, $|A| \in \mathbb{L}(h) \setminus \{n-1\}$.

By Lemma 4.2, the submodule U of the free module $\mathbb{Z}\langle X_i, Y_{j, k}, T_A \rangle$ generated by the following elements maps to zero by Φ :

- (R1) $X_1 + \dots + X_n$,
- (R2) $\sum_{k=1}^n Y_{j, k} - (X_1 + \dots + X_j - jX_{j+1}) \quad (j \in \perp(h) \setminus \{n-1\})$,
- (R3) $\sum_{|A|=j} T_A - (X_j - X_{j+1}) \quad (j \in \mathbb{L}(h) \setminus \{n-1\})$.

Therefore, the map Φ induces a surjective homomorphism

$$(5.1) \quad \bar{\Phi}: \mathbb{Z}\langle X_i, Y_{j, k}, T_A \rangle / U \rightarrow H^2(\text{Hess}(S, h)).$$

With this understanding, we have the following.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that $h(j) \geq j + 1$ for any $j \in [n - 1]$. Then, the map $\bar{\Phi}$ in (5.1) is an isomorphism.*

Proof. First we note that the quotient module $\mathbb{Z}\langle X_i, Y_{j,k}, T_A \rangle / U$ is free. Indeed, if V is the submodule of $\mathbb{Z}\langle X_i, Y_{j,k}, T_A \rangle$ generated by

- (1) X_i ($i \neq n$),
- (2) $Y_{j,k}$ ($k \neq n$),
- (3) T_A ($A \neq [A]$),

then $\mathbb{Z}\langle X_i, Y_{j,k}, T_A \rangle = U \oplus V$. Therefore, $\mathbb{Z}\langle X_i, Y_{j,k}, T_A \rangle / U \cong V$, which is free.

The rank of the free module $\mathbb{Z}\langle X_i, Y_{j,k}, T_A \rangle$ is

$$n + n(|\perp(h) \setminus \{n-1\}|) + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{L}(h) \setminus \{n-1\}} \binom{n}{j} = n|\perp(h)| + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{L}(h)} \binom{n}{j}$$

while the elements in (R1), (R2), (R3) are linearly independent so that the rank of U is

$$1 + |\perp(h) \setminus \{n-1\}| + |\mathbf{L}(h) \setminus \{n-1\}| = |\perp(h)| + |\mathbf{L}(h)|.$$

Therefore, the rank of the source module $\mathbb{Z}\langle X_i, Y_{j,k}, T_A \rangle / U$ of $\bar{\Phi}$ is

$$(n-1)|\perp(h)| + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{L}(h)} \binom{n}{j} - |\mathbf{L}(h)|$$

which agrees with the second Betti number of $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ by Lemma 3.2. This implies that $\bar{\Phi}$ is an isomorphism because $\bar{\Phi}$ is surjective and both the source and target modules of $\bar{\Phi}$ are free. \square

Following Lemma 4.3, we define an action of \mathfrak{S}_n on the variables $X_i, Y_{j,k}, T_A$ by

$$(5.2) \quad \sigma \cdot X_i := X_i, \quad \sigma \cdot Y_{j,k} := Y_{j, \sigma(k)}, \quad \sigma \cdot T_A := T_{\sigma(A)}$$

and extend the action to the free module $\mathbb{Z}\langle X_i, Y_{j,k}, T_A \rangle$ linearly. Then, \mathfrak{S}_n acts on the submodule U trivially, so that the \mathfrak{S}_n -action on $\mathbb{Z}\langle X_i, Y_{j,k}, T_A \rangle$ descends to an \mathfrak{S}_n -action on the quotient $\mathbb{Z}\langle X_i, Y_{j,k}, T_A \rangle / U$ and the isomorphism $\bar{\Phi}$ becomes \mathfrak{S}_n -equivariant.

5.2. \mathfrak{S}_n -module structure on $H^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ be a partition of n , denoted by $\lambda \vdash n$, and let $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda = \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_1} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{S}_{\lambda_\ell}$ the Young subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_n associated to λ . We set

$$M^\lambda = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_\lambda]} \mathbb{C}$$

where $\mathbb{C}[G]$ denotes the group ring of a finite group G over \mathbb{C} . As is well-known, $\{M^\lambda \mid \lambda \vdash n\}$ forms an additive basis of the complex representation ring $R(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ of \mathfrak{S}_n . Therefore, any \mathfrak{S}_n -module over \mathbb{C} can be expressed uniquely as a linear combination of M^λ 's over \mathbb{Z} .

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose that $h(j) \geq j + 1$ and for $1 \leq j \leq n - 2$, let*

$$\beta_j := \begin{cases} (n-j, j) & \text{if } h(j-1) = j, \ h(j) = j+1, \\ (n-1, 1) & \text{if } h(j-1) = h(j) = j+1, \\ (n) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $h(0) = 1$. Then

$$H^2(\text{Hess}(S, h)) \otimes \mathbb{C} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} M^{\beta_j} + M^{(n)} \quad \text{in } R(\mathfrak{S}_n).$$

Proof. Since the action of \mathfrak{S}_n on $X_i, Y_{j,k}, T_A$ is given by (5.2), $\mathbb{C}\langle X_i, Y_{j,k}, T_A \rangle$ decomposes into a direct sum

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{C}\langle X_i \mid i \in [n] \rangle \\ & \oplus \mathbb{C}\langle Y_{j,k} \mid j \in \perp(h) \setminus \{n-1\}, k \in [n] \rangle \\ & \oplus \mathbb{C}\langle T_A \mid A \subset [n], |A| \in \mathbb{L}(h) \setminus \{n-1\} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

as an \mathfrak{S}_n -module and the submodule $U \otimes \mathbb{C}$ of $\mathbb{C}\langle X_i, Y_{j,k}, T_A \rangle$ is trivial as an \mathfrak{S}_n -module. The space $\mathbb{C}\langle Y_{j,k} \mid k \in [n] \rangle$ is isomorphic to $M^{(n-1,1)}$ for $j \in \perp(h)$ while the space $\mathbb{C}\langle T_A \mid |A| = j \rangle$ is isomorphic to $M^{(n-j,j)}$ for $j \in \mathbb{L}(h)$. There is no more non-trivial \mathfrak{S}_n -module in $\mathbb{C}\langle X_i, Y_{j,k}, T_A \rangle / U \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and one can see that the dimension of the complementary module is the number of $j \in [n-2] \setminus (\perp(h) \sqcup \mathbb{L}(h))$ plus 1 (this 1 comes from $j = n-1$ and corresponds to $M^{(n)}$ in the last part of the right hand side in the theorem). This proves the theorem. \square

Example 5.1 (cf. Example 6.2 in [6]). Let $n = 8$ and $h = (2, 3, 6, 6, 6, 7, 8, 8)$. Then

$$\beta_1 = (7, 1), \beta_2 = (6, 2), \beta_3 = (8), \beta_4 = (8), \beta_5 = (7, 1), \beta_6 = (2, 6).$$

Therefore,

$$H^2(\text{Hess}(S, h)) \otimes \mathbb{C} = 3M^{(8)} + 2M^{(7,1)} + 2M^{(6,2)} \quad \text{in } R(\mathfrak{S}_8).$$

6. A GENERALIZATION

In the previous sections, we studied $H^2(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ under the condition that $h(j) \geq j+1$ for any $j \in [n-1]$. In this section, we will study $H^{2d}(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ under the condition that $h(j) \geq j+d$ for any $j \in [n-d]$, where $d \geq 2$.

For $j \in [n]$ and $k \in [n]$, there is an element $y_{j,k} \in H_T^{2(h(j)-j)}(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ defined by

$$(6.1) \quad y_{j,k}(w) := \begin{cases} \prod_{\ell=j+1}^{h(j)} (t_k - t_{w(\ell)}) & (\text{if } k \in \{w(1), \dots, w(j)\}) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

The element $y_{j,k}$ is introduced in [1] and proved to be in $H_T^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ ([1, Lemma 10.2]). Note that when $d = 1$ and $h(j) = j+1$, the element $y_{j,k}$ above agrees with the $y_{j,k}$ in Lemma 4.1(2) (see also Remark 4.1(2)). We use the same notation $y_{j,k}$ for its image in the ordinary cohomology $H^{2d}(\text{Hess}(S, h))$. The dot action on $y_{j,k}$ is the same as before, i.e.

$$(6.2) \quad \sigma \cdot y_{j,k} = y_{j, \sigma(k)} \quad \text{for } \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n.$$

Therefore, $\sum_{k=1}^n y_{j,k}$ is \mathfrak{S}_n -invariant. In fact, the sum has the following expression:

$$(6.3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n y_{j,k} = \sum_{i=1}^j \prod_{\ell=j+1}^{h(j)} (x_i - x_\ell),$$

which can be proved by checking that both sides take the same value at each $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$.

We set

$$\Lambda_d(h) := \{j \in [n-d] \mid h(j) = j+d\}.$$

Our main result in this section is the following.

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose that $d \geq 2$ and $h(j) \geq j+d$ for any $j \in [n-d]$. Then, the restriction map*

$$\iota^*: H^{2p}(\mathrm{Fl}(n)) \rightarrow H^{2p}(\mathrm{Hess}(S, h))$$

is an isomorphism for $p < d$. For $p = d$, the restriction map is an injective and we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} & H^{2d}(\mathrm{Hess}(S, h)) \\ \cong & (\iota^*(H^{2d}(\mathrm{Fl}(n))) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\langle Y_{j,k} \mid j \in \Lambda_d(h), k \in [n] \rangle) / \mathbb{Z}\langle \sum_{k=1}^n Y_{j,k} - y_j \mid j \in \Lambda_d(h) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where $Y_{j,k}$ corresponds to $y_{j,k}$ in $H^*(\mathrm{Hess}(S, h))$ and $y_j = \sum_{i=1}^j \prod_{\ell=j+1}^{h(j)} (x_i - x_\ell)$.

Remark 6.1. (1) Theorem 2.1(3) says that $\mathrm{Hess}(S, h)$ is connected, in other words, the restriction map

$$\iota: H^0(\mathrm{Fl}(n)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathrm{Hess}(S, h))$$

is an isomorphism if and only if $h(j) \geq j+1$ for any $j \in [n-1]$. Therefore, Theorem 6.1 can be regarded as a generalization of Theorem 2.1(3) and Theorem 5.1.

(2) When $d = 1$, the elements τ_A appear in $H^2(\mathrm{Hess}(S, h))$ as shown in Theorem 5.1 but such type of elements does not appear when $d \geq 2$.

Since the action of \mathfrak{S}_n on x_i 's is trivial, so is that on $\iota^*(H^{2d}(\mathrm{Fl}(n)))$ while that on $y_{j,k}$ is given by (6.2). Therefore, we obtain the following corollary from Theorem 6.1.

Corollary 6.2. *Let the situation be as in Theorem 6.1. Then, the action of \mathfrak{S}_n on $H^{2p}(\mathrm{Hess}(S, h))$ is trivial while*

$$H^{2d}(\mathrm{Hess}(S, h)) \otimes \mathbb{C} = m_d M^{(n)} + |\Lambda_d(h)| M^{(n-1,1)} \quad \text{in } R(\mathfrak{S}_n)$$

where $m_d = b_{2d}(\mathrm{Fl}(n)) - |\Lambda_d(h)|$.

Remark 6.2. Since

$$\mathrm{Poin}(\mathrm{Fl}(n), \sqrt{q}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1-q^i}{1-q},$$

we have $b_{2d}(\mathrm{Fl}(n)) \geq n-1$ while $|\Lambda_d(h)| \leq n-2$. Therefore, m_d in the corollary is positive.

The idea of the proof of Theorem 6.1 is the same as before. We compute the Betti numbers of $\mathrm{Hess}(S, h)$ up to degree $2d$ using Proposition 3.1 and observe that $H^*(\mathrm{Hess}(S, h))$ is generated by x_i 's and $y_{j,k}$'s as a graded ring up to degree $2d$.

For the first part of Theorem 6.1, we have a homotopical version which is proved easier and may be of independent interest.

Proposition 6.3. *Suppose that $d \geq 1$ and $h(j) \geq j+d$ for any $j \in [n-d]$. Then, the natural inclusion $\iota: \mathrm{Hess}(S, h) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fl}(n)$ induces isomorphisms of homotopy groups π_q in degrees $q \leq 2d-1$.*

To prove this, it is sufficient to construct cellular structures on $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ and $\text{Fl}(n)$ which are consistent under ι and coincide in small dimensions. Instead of cellular structures, one can use affine pavings. There is an affine paving of $\text{Fl}(n)$ by even-dimensional Bruhat cells indexed by permutations $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Intersecting a Bruhat cell C_w of $\text{Fl}(n)$ with the subvariety $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ gives an affine cell C'_w of $\text{Hess}(S, h)$. The dimensions of cells C_w and C'_w can be computed from Bialynicki-Birula theory, see [11]. The dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} C_w$ equals the number $\ell(w)$ of inversions of w , while $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} C'_w$ equals $\ell_h(w)$, the number of inversions $j < i$, $w(j) > w(i)$, satisfying $i \leq h(j)$, see (2.1).

Lemma 6.4. *Let $h(j) \geq j + d$ for any $j \in [n - d]$. Then for any permutation w , each one of the conditions $\ell_h(w) < d$ or $\ell(w) < d$ implies $\ell_h(w) = \ell(w)$.*

Proof. Since $\ell_h(w) \leq \ell(w)$, it suffices to prove $\ell_h(w) = \ell(w)$ when $\ell_h(w) < d$. Suppose that $\ell_h(w) \neq \ell(w)$. Then there is an inversion $\{j, i\}$ ($j < i$) in w which contributes to $\ell(w)$ but does not contribute to $\ell_h(w)$. This means $j + d \leq h(j) < i$.

We assume that the difference $i - j (> d)$ is minimum among those inversions. Any number j' such that $j < j' \leq j + d$ either produces an inversion $\{j, j'\}$ or $\{j', i\}$. Obviously $j' - j \leq d$ and if $\{j', i\}$ is an inversion, then $i - j' \leq d$ which follows from the minimality of $i - j$. In any case, each j' produces an inversion which contributes to $\ell_h(w)$. Since there are d many such j' , we have $\ell_h(w) \geq d$. However, this contradicts the condition $\ell_h(w) < d$. Therefore $\ell_h(w) = \ell(w)$. \square

Let us prove Proposition 6.3.

Proof. Since the cell C'_w of $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ is the intersection of the Bruhat cell C_w of $\text{Fl}(n)$ with $\text{Hess}(S, h)$, Lemma 6.4 implies that the spaces $\text{Fl}(n)$ and $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ have the same $(2d - 1)$ -skeleta and that any $2d$ -dimensional cell C_w of $\text{Fl}(n)$ agrees with the cell C'_w of $\text{Hess}(S, h)$. Therefore

$$\iota_*: H_q(\text{Hess}(S, h)) \rightarrow H_q(\text{Fl}(n))$$

an isomorphism for $q \leq 2d - 1$ and an epimorphism for $q = 2d$. Moreover, both $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ and $\text{Fl}(n)$ are simply connected. Therefore the proposition follows from the Whitehead theorem ([15, Theorem in p.399]). \square

We now proceed with the more detailed analysis of homology needed to prove Theorem 6.1.

6.1. Betti numbers. The Betti numbers $b_{2i}(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ of $\text{Hess}(S, h)$ for $i \leq d$ are given as follows.

Lemma 6.5. *Suppose that $d \geq 2$ and $h(j) \geq j + d$ for any $j \in [n - d]$. Then*

$$b_{2i}(\text{Hess}(S, h)) = \begin{cases} b_{2i}(\text{Fl}(n)) & (\text{if } i < d) \\ b_{2i}(\text{Fl}(n)) + (n - 1)|\Lambda_d(h)| & (\text{if } i = d). \end{cases}$$

Proof. For a polynomial $f(q)$ in q , we denote by $f(q)^{\leq d}$ the polynomial obtained from $f(q)$ by truncating terms of degree $> d$. Then, the lemma is equivalent to

$$(6.4) \quad \text{Poin}(\text{Hess}(S, h), \sqrt{q})^{\leq d} = \text{Poin}(\text{Fl}(n), \sqrt{q})^{\leq d} + (n - 1)|\Lambda_d(h)|q^d.$$

We prove the identity (6.4) by induction on $n + d$ where $d \geq 2$. Since $d \geq 2$ and $h(j) \geq j + d$ for any $j \in [n - d]$ by assumption, n is greater than or equal to 3 and

when $(n, d) = (3, 2)$, h must be $(3, 3, 3)$. In this case, $\text{Hess}(S, h) = \text{Fl}(3)$, $\Lambda_d(h) = \emptyset$ and hence lemma holds.

Suppose that $n + d \geq 6$ and the lemma holds for any pair (n', d') such that $n' + d' < n + d$. It follows from Proposition 3.1 that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.5) \quad \text{Poin}(\text{Hess}(S, h), \sqrt{q})^{\leq d} &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-d-1} \left(q^{h(j)-j} \text{Poin}(\text{Hess}(S', h^j), \sqrt{q}) \right)^{\leq d} \\
&+ \sum_{j=n-d}^n \left(q^{h(j)-j} \text{Poin}(\text{Hess}(S', h^j), \sqrt{q}) \right)^{\leq d} \\
&= |\Lambda_d(h)|q^d + \sum_{j=n-d}^n \left(q^{n-j} \text{Poin}(\text{Hess}(S', h^j), \sqrt{q}) \right)^{\leq d}
\end{aligned}$$

because $h(j) \geq j + d$ for any $j \in [n - d]$ and $h(j) = j + d < n$ if and only if $j \in \Lambda_d(h)$. Applying the induction assumption (and Lemma 3.2 when $d = 2$) to the last sum in (6.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.6) \quad &\sum_{j=n-d}^n \left(q^{n-j} \text{Poin}(\text{Hess}(S', h^j), \sqrt{q}) \right)^{\leq d} \\
&= \sum_{j=n-d}^{n-2} \left(q^{n-j} \text{Poin}(\text{Fl}(n-1), \sqrt{q}) \right)^{\leq d} \\
&+ \left(q \left(\text{Poin}(\text{Fl}(n-1), \sqrt{q}) + (n-2)|\Lambda_{d-1}(h^{n-1})|q^{d-1} \right) \right)^{\leq d} \\
&+ \left(\text{Poin}(\text{Fl}(n-1), \sqrt{q}) + (n-2)|\Lambda_d(h^n)|q^d \right)^{\leq d} \\
&= \sum_{j=n-d}^n \left(q^{n-j} \text{Poin}(\text{Fl}(n-1), \sqrt{q}) \right)^{\leq d} \\
&+ (n-2) \left(|\Lambda_{d-1}(h^{n-1})| + |\Lambda_d(h^n)| \right) q^d \\
&= \text{Poin}(\text{Fl}(n), \sqrt{q})^{\leq d} + (n-2) \left(|\Lambda_{d-1}(h^{n-1})| + |\Lambda_d(h^n)| \right) q^d,
\end{aligned}$$

where we can see the last identity above by applying Proposition 3.1 to the flag variety $\text{Fl}(n)$. Thus, if we prove

$$(6.7) \quad |\Lambda_{d-1}(h^{n-1})| + |\Lambda_d(h^n)| = |\Lambda_d(h)|,$$

then the identity (6.4) follows from (6.5) and (6.6). However, one can easily see that

$$(|\Lambda_{d-1}(h^{n-1})|, |\Lambda_d(h^n)|) = \begin{cases} (0, |\Lambda_d(h)|) & \text{(if } h(n-d-1) = n) \\ (1, |\Lambda_d(h)| - 1) & \text{(if } h(n-d-1) = n-1) \end{cases}$$

and this implies (6.7). \square

6.2. Complementary elements. We introduce complementary elements $y_{i,k}^*$ which will make our argument perspective.

The element $y_{j,k}$ in (6.1) is defined by looking at the j -th column of the configuration associated to the Hessenberg function h . Similarly, one can define an

element $y_{i,k}^*$ of $H_T^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ by looking at the i -th row of the configuration as follows. For $1 < i \leq n$, we define

$$h^*(i) := \min\{j \in [n] \mid h(j) \geq i\}.$$

This definition tells us that the shaded boxes in the i -th row and under the diagonal in the configuration associated to h are at positions (i, ℓ) ($h^*(i) \leq \ell < i$). Looking at those shaded boxes, we define

$$(6.8) \quad y_{i,k}^*(w) := \begin{cases} \prod_{\ell=h^*(i)}^{i-1} (t_k - t_{w(\ell)}) & (k \in \{w(i), \dots, w(n)\}) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

One can see that $y_{i,k}^*$ is in $H_T^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ similarly to $y_{j,k}$.

Note that

- (1) $h(j) \geq j + d$ for $\forall j \in [n - d] \iff h^*(i) \leq i - d$ for $d + 1 \leq \forall i \leq n$.
- (2) Under the assumption that $h(j) \geq j + d$ for any $j \in [n - d]$, we have

$$h(j) = j + d < n \text{ (i.e. } j \in \Lambda_d(h)) \iff h^*(j + 1 + d) = j + 1.$$

Based on this observation, we define

$$\Lambda^*(h) = \{i \mid d + 2 \leq i \leq n, h^*(i) = i - d\}.$$

Then, (2) above can be restated that $j \in \Lambda_d(h) \iff j + 1 + d \in \Lambda_d^*(h)$.

The elements $y_{i,k}^*$ ($i \in \Lambda_d^*(h)$) do not provide new elements as is seen from the following lemma.

Lemma 6.6. *Suppose that $h(j) \geq j + d$ for any $j \in [n - d]$. Then, for $j \in \Lambda_d(h)$ we have*

$$y_{j,k} + y_{j+1+d,k}^* = \prod_{\ell=j+1}^{j+d} (t_k - x_\ell).$$

Proof. It immediately follows from the definitions of $y_{j,k}$, $y_{i,k}^*$, and x_i that the both sides in the lemma take the same value at every $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. \square

6.3. Generators of $H_T^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$. We show that the elements $t_i, x_i, y_{j,k}$ generate $H_T^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ up to $* \leq 2d$ as a graded ring under our assumption.

Lemma 6.7. *Suppose that $h(j) \geq j + d$ for any $j \in [n - d]$ and $d \geq 2$. Then $H_T^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ is generated by t_i, x_i ($i \in [n]$) and $y_{j,k}$ ($j \in \Lambda_d(h), k \in [n]$) up to $* \leq 2d$ as a graded ring, where the degree of x_i is 2 while that of $y_{j,k}$ is $2d$.*

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on $n + d$ similarly to the proof of Lemma 6.5. When $(n, d) = (3, 2)$, $\text{Hess}(S, h) = \text{Fl}(3)$ and the lemma holds since $H_T^*(\text{Fl}(n))$ is generated by t_i, x_i ($i \in [n]$).

Suppose that $n + d \geq 6$ and the lemma holds for any pair (n', d') such that $n' + d' < n + d$. Similarly to the proof of Proposition 4.4, we consider the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{S}_n = \mathfrak{S}_n^1 \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n \quad (\mathfrak{S}_n^j = \{w \in \mathfrak{S}_n \mid w(j) = n\}).$$

Let z be an arbitrary element of $H_T^{2p}(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ for $p \leq d$.

Step 1. By the same reasoning as Step 1 in the proof of Proposition 4.4, we may assume $z = 0$ on \mathfrak{S}_n^n by subtracting an appropriate polynomial in $t_\bullet, x_\bullet, y_\bullet$.

Step 2. Suppose that $z = 0$ on \mathfrak{S}_n^n . We will show that z minus an appropriate polynomial of $t_\bullet, x_\bullet, y_\bullet$ vanishes on $\mathfrak{S}_n^{n-1} \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$.

Since $h(n-1) = n$, each vertex $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{n-1}$ is connected to the vertex $w \cdot (n, n-1)$ of \mathfrak{S}_n^n by an edge of the labeled graph $\Gamma(h)$. Since $z = 0$ on \mathfrak{S}_n^n , $z(w)$ must be divisible by the label $t_{w(n)} - t_{w(n-1)} = t_{w(n)} - t_n$ on the edge. Therefore, there is a homogeneous element $g(w) \in \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ of degree $2(p-1)$ such that

$$(6.9) \quad z(w) = (t_{w(n)} - t_n)g(w) \quad \text{for } w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{n-1}.$$

We express

$$(6.10) \quad g(w) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{p-1} g_\ell(w) t_n^\ell$$

with homogeneous polynomial $g_\ell(w)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}]$ of degree $2(p-1-\ell)$.

Claim. If $v, w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{n-1}$ are joined by an edge of the labeled graph $\Gamma(h^{n-1})$, i.e. $v = w \cdot (i, j)$ for some transposition (i, j) with $j < i \leq h(j)$, $j \neq n-1$ and $i \neq n-1$, then

$$g_\ell(v) \equiv g_\ell(w) \pmod{t_{w(i)} - t_{w(j)}}.$$

Proof of Claim. Since z is an element of $H_T^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$, it satisfies the congruence relation

$$(6.11) \quad z(v) \equiv z(w) \pmod{t_{w(i)} - t_{w(j)}}.$$

Since $v = w \cdot (i, j)$, we have $v(i) = w(j)$, $v(j) = w(i)$ and $v(s) = w(s)$ for $s \neq i, j$. Moreover, $w(i)$ and $w(j)$ are not equal to n because i and j are not equal to $n-1$ and $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{n-1}$. Therefore,

$$t_{v(n)} - t_n \equiv t_{w(n)} - t_n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{t_{w(i)} - t_{w(j)}}.$$

This together with (6.9), (6.10), and (6.11) implies the congruence relation in the claim.

By the claim above, each g_ℓ is an element of $H_T^*(\text{Hess}(S', h^{n-1}))$ by Proposition 2.2. Since $\ell \geq 0$ and $p \leq d$, we have

$$(6.12) \quad \text{the degree of } g_\ell = 2(p-1-\ell) \leq 2(d-1).$$

We note that $h(n-d-1) = n$ or $n-1$ by the assumption $h(j) \geq j+d$ for any $j \in [n-d]$. Now we take two cases according to the value of $h(n-d-1)$.

Case 1. The case where $h(n-d-1) = n$. In this case, $h^{n-1}(j) \geq j+d$ for any $j \in [n-d-1]$. Therefore, by the induction assumption and (6.12), any g_ℓ can be written as a polynomial in $t_i, x_i^{(n-1)}$ ($i \in [n-1]$), where for $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{n-1}$,

$$x_i^{(n-1)}(w) = \begin{cases} x_i(w) & (i \leq n-2) \\ x_n(w) & (i = n-1). \end{cases}$$

This shows that there is a polynomial G_ℓ in t_i, x_i 's whose restriction to \mathfrak{S}_n^{n-1} agrees with g_ℓ . Therefore, it follows from (6.9) that

$$z = (x_n - t_n) \sum_{\ell=0}^{p-1} G_\ell t_n^\ell \quad \text{on } \mathfrak{S}_n^{n-1}.$$

Both sides above vanish on \mathfrak{S}_n^n , so they agree on $\mathfrak{S}_n^{n-1} \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$. Therefore, subtracting the right hand side above from z , we may assume $z = 0$ on $\mathfrak{S}_n^{n-1} \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$.

Case 2. The case where $h(n-d-1) = n-1$. In this case, $h^{n-1}(j) \geq j+(d-1)$ for any $j \in [n-1-(d-1)]$ and $\Lambda_{d-1}(h^{n-1}) = \{n-d-1\}$. Therefore, by the

induction assumption and (6.12), any g_ℓ can be written as a polynomial in $t_i, x_i^{(n-1)}$ and $y_{n-d-1,k}^{(n-1)}$, where $k \in [n-1]$ and

$$y_{n-d-1,k}^{(n-1)}(w) = y_{n-d-1,k}(w)/(t_k - t_{w(n-1)}) \quad \text{for } w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{n-1}.$$

By Lemma 6.6, we may use $y_{n-1,k}^{*(n-1)}$ instead of $y_{n-d-1,k}^{(n-1)}$, where

$$y_{n-1,k}^{*(n-1)} = y_{n,k}^*/(t_k - t_{w(n-1)}).$$

We note that since

$$\deg g_\ell = 2(p-1-\ell), \quad \deg y_{n-1,k}^{*(n-1)} = 2(d-1), \quad p \leq d,$$

$y_{n-1,k}^{*(n-1)}$ does not appear in the polynomial expression of g_ℓ unless $p = d$ and $\ell = 0$. Therefore, it follows from (6.9) and (6.10) that we can write

$$(6.13) \quad \begin{aligned} z(w) &= (t_{w(n)} - t_n) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_k y_{n-1,k}^{*(n-1)}(w) + \sum_{\ell=0}^{p-1} f_\ell(w) t_n^\ell \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_k (t_{w(n)} - t_n) y_{n-1,k}^{*(n-1)}(w) + (t_{w(n)} - t_n) \sum_{\ell=0}^{p-1} f_\ell(w) t_n^\ell \end{aligned}$$

where $c_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and f_ℓ is a polynomial in $t_i, x_i^{(n-1)}$'s ($i \in [n-1]$).

Similarly to Case 1, $f_\ell \in H^*(\text{Hess}(S', h^{n-1}))$ in (6.13) is the image of some $F_\ell \in H^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$. Although $y_{n-1,k}^{*(n-1)}$ may not be in the image of the restriction map, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (t_{w(n)} - t_n) y_{n-1,k}^{*(n-1)}(w) &= \begin{cases} \prod_{\ell=h^*(n)}^n (t_k - t_{w(\ell)}) & (k = w(n)) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases} \\ &= y_{n,k}^*(w) \end{aligned}$$

for $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{n-1}$ (so $t_n = t_{w(n-1)}$), where $h^*(n) = n-d$ because $(n-d-1) = n-1$ and $h(j) = n$ for $j \geq n-d$. This observation and (6.13) show that

$$(6.14) \quad z = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_k y_{n,k}^* + (x_n - t_n) \sum_{\ell=0}^{p-1} F_\ell t_n^\ell \quad \text{on } \mathfrak{S}_n^{n-1}.$$

Here, $z = 0$ on \mathfrak{S}_n^n by assumption and the right hand side above also vanishes on \mathfrak{S}_n^n . Indeed, since $w(n) = n$ for $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^n$, we have $y_{n,k}^*(w) = 0$ for $k \neq n$ and $(x_n - t_n)(w) = t_{w(n)} - t_n = 0$. Thus, the identity (6.14) holds on $\mathfrak{S}_n^{n-1} \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$. This together with Lemma 6.6 shows that z minus an appropriate polynomial in $t_\bullet, x_\bullet, y_\bullet$ vanishes on $\mathfrak{S}_n^{n-1} \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$.

Step 3. Suppose that $z = 0$ on $\mathfrak{S}_n^{r+1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$ for some r with $n-d \leq r \leq n-2$. Then, since $h(r) = n$, there are shaded boxes at positions $(r+1, r), (r+2, r), \dots, (n, r)$ in the configuration associated to h . This means that each vertex $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^r$ is connected to \mathfrak{S}_n^ℓ for $r+1 \leq \ell \leq n$ by an edge with label $t_{w(\ell)} - t_{w(r)} = t_{w(\ell)} - t_n$. Since $z = 0$ on $\mathfrak{S}_n^{r+1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$, $z(w)$ for $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^r$ must be divisible by $\prod_{\ell=r+1}^n (t_{w(\ell)} - t_n)$. Therefore, there is a homogeneous element $g(w) \in \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ such that

$$(6.15) \quad z(w) = \left(\prod_{\ell=r+1}^n (t_{w(\ell)} - t_n) \right) g(w) \quad \text{for } w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^r.$$

The same argument as in the claim in Step 2 shows that the g in (6.15) satisfies the congruence relation for the labeled graph $\Gamma(h^r)$. Since $p \leq d$ and $n - r \geq 2$, we have

$$\text{the degree of } g = 2(p - n + r) \leq 2(d - 2).$$

Moreover, $h^r(j) \geq j + (d - 1)$ for any $j \in [n - 1 - (d - 1)]$. Therefore, by the induction assumption, g can be expressed as a polynomial in t_i and $x_i^{(r)}$ where

$$x_i^{(r)}(w) := \begin{cases} x_i(w) & (i < r) \\ x_{i+1}(w) & (r \leq i) \end{cases}$$

for $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^r$. Since $x_i^{(r)}$ is in the image of the restriction map from $H^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ to $H^*(\text{Hess}(S', h))$, there is an element $G \in H^*(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ whose restriction to $H^*(\text{Hess}(S', h^r))$ agrees with g . It follows from (6.15) that

$$(6.16) \quad z = \left(\prod_{\ell=r+1}^n (x_\ell - t_n) \right) G \quad \text{on } \mathfrak{S}_n^r.$$

Here, $z = 0$ on $\mathfrak{S}_n^{r+1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$ by assumption and the right hand side above also vanishes on $\mathfrak{S}_n^{r+1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$. Indeed, since $x_\ell(w) = t_{w(\ell)} = t_n$ for $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^\ell$, $\prod_{\ell=r+1}^n (x_\ell - t_n)(w) = 0$ for $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{r+1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$. Thus, the identity (6.16) holds on $\mathfrak{S}_n^r \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$, so that z minus an appropriate polynomial in $t_\bullet, x_\bullet, y_\bullet$ vanishes on $\mathfrak{S}_n^r \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$.

Step 4. Suppose that $z = 0$ on $\mathfrak{S}_n^{r+1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$ for some r with $1 \leq r \leq n - d - 1$. Then, similarly to Step 3, $z(w)$ for $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^r$ must be divisible by $\prod_{\ell=r+1}^{h(r)} (t_n - t_{w(\ell)})$. Here, the degree of z is $2p \leq 2d$ and $h(r) - r \geq d$, so $z(w) = 0$ unless $p = d$ and $h(r) = r + d$. When $p = d$ and $h(r) = r + d$, we have

$$(6.17) \quad z(w) = c \left(\prod_{\ell=r+1}^{r+d} (t_n - t_{w(\ell)}) \right) \quad \text{for } w \in \mathfrak{S}_n^r,$$

where $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since $r \leq n - d - 1$ by assumption, $h(r) = r + d < n$. Therefore, $r \in \Lambda_d(h)$ so that we have an element $y_{r,k} \in H_T^{2d}(\text{Hess}(S, h))$ for any $k \in [n]$. We take $k = n$. Since $h(r) = r + d$, we have

$$y_{r,n}(w) = \begin{cases} \prod_{\ell=r+1}^{r+d} (t_n - t_{w(\ell)}) & (n \in \{w(1), \dots, w(r)\}) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}$$

by definition. This together with (6.17) shows that

$$z = cy_{r,n} \quad \text{on } \mathfrak{S}_n^r.$$

Here, $z = 0$ on $\mathfrak{S}_n^{r+1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$ by assumption and the right hand side above also vanishes because $y_{r,n}(w) = 0$ if $n \in \{w(r+1), \dots, w(n)\}$ by definition. Therefore, z minus $cy_{r,n}$ vanishes on $\mathfrak{S}_n^r \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n^n$.

This completes the induction step and the lemma has been proven. \square

6.4. Proof of Theorem 6.1. Under these preparations, we prove Theorem 6.1. When $p < d$, the restriction map

$$\iota^*: H^{2p}(\text{Fl}(n)) \rightarrow H^{2p}(\text{Hess}(S, h))$$

is surjective by Lemma 6.7 and indeed an isomorphism by Lemma 6.4 (or Lemma 6.5).

When $p = d$, we consider the homomorphism

$$\Phi: \iota^*(H^{2d}(\mathrm{Fl}(n))) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\langle Y_{j,k} \mid j \in \Lambda_d(h), k \in [n] \rangle \rightarrow H^{2d}(\mathrm{Hess}(S, h))$$

sending $Y_{j,k}$ to $y_{j,k}$. The map Φ is surjective by Lemma 6.7 and $\sum_{k=1}^n Y_{j,k} - y_j$ for $j \in \Lambda_d(h)$ are in the kernel of Φ by (6.3). Therefore, the map Φ induces a surjective homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Phi}: \left(\iota^*(H^{2d}(\mathrm{Fl}(n))) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\langle Y_{j,k} \mid j \in \Lambda_d(h), k \in [n] \rangle \right) / \mathbb{Z}\langle \sum_{k=1}^n Y_{j,k} - y_j \rangle \\ \rightarrow H^{2d}(\mathrm{Hess}(S, h)). \end{aligned}$$

Here, the rank of the source module is at most the rank of the target module by Lemma 6.5. Moreover, one can easily see that the source module is torsion free and we know that $H^*(\mathrm{Hess}(S, h))$ is also torsion free. Thus, the surjective homomorphism $\bar{\Phi}$ must be an isomorphism, proving the theorem.

Acknowledgement. The work of first and second authors was done within the framework of the HSE University Basic Research Program.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Abe, M. Harada, T. Horiguchi, and M. Masuda, *The cohomology rings of regular nilpotent Hessenberg varieties in Lie type A*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2019), no. **17**, 5316–5388.
- [2] H. Abe, T. Horiguchi, *A survey of recent developments on Hessenberg varieties*, In Schubert calculus and its applications in combinatorics and representation theory, volume 332 of Springer Proc. Math. Stat., pages 251–279. Springer, Singapore, [2020] ©2020.
- [3] H. Abe, T. Horiguchi, and M. Masuda, *The cohomology rings of regular semisimple Hessenberg varieties for $h = (h(1), n, \dots, n)$* , J. Comb. **10.1** (2019), pp. 27–59.
- [4] A. Ayzenberg, M. Masuda, and T. Sato, *Regular semisimple Hessenberg varieties with cohomology generated in degree two*, in preparation.
- [5] P. Brosnan and T. Chow, *Unit interval orders and the dot action on the cohomology of regular semisimple Hessenberg varieties*, Adv. Math. **329** (2018), 955–1001.
- [6] S. Cho, J. Hong, and E. Lee, *Permutation module decomposition of the second cohomology of a regular semisimple Hessenberg variety*, arXiv:2107.00863
- [7] T. Chow, *e-positivity of the coefficient of t in $X_G(t)$* , <http://timothychow.net/h2.pdf>
- [8] S. Dahlberg and S. van Willigenburg, *Lollipop and lariat symmetric functions*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **32** (2) (2018) 1029–1039.
- [9] M. Guay-Paquet, *A modular law for the chromatic symmetric functions of $(3+1)$ -free posets*, arXiv:1306.2400v1.
- [10] J. Huh, S-Y. Nam, and M. Yoo, *Melting lollipop chromatic quasisymmetric functions and Schur expansion of unicellular LLT polynomials*, Discrete Math. **343** (2020), 111728.
- [11] F. De Mari, C. Procesi, and M. A. Shayman, *Hessenberg varieties*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **332** (1992), no. 2, 529–534.
- [12] Y. Fukukawa, H. Ishida, and M. Masuda, *The cohomology ring of the GKM graph of a flag manifold of classical type*, Kyoto J. Math. **54** (2014), 653–677.
- [13] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, and R. MacPherson, *Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem*, Invent. Math. **131** (1998), no. 1, 25–83.
- [14] J. Shareshian and M. L. Wachs, *Chromatic quasisymmetric functions*, Adv. Math. **295** (2016), 497–551.
- [15] E. H. Spanier, Algebraic Topology, TMH Edition 1971, McGraw-Hill.
- [16] N. Teff, *Representations on Hessenberg varieties and Young’s rule*, 23-rd International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (FPSAC 2011), 903–914, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. Proc., AO, Assoc. Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci., Nancy, 2011.
- [17] J. Tymoczko, *Permutation actions on equivariant cohomology of flag varieties*, Toric topology, 365–384, Contemp. Math., **460**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, MOSCOW, RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Email address: ayzenberga@gmail.com

OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY ADVANCED MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SUMIYOSHI-KU, OSAKA 558-8585, JAPAN.

Email address: masuda@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN, AND OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY ADVANCED MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SUMIYOSHI-KU, OSAKA 558-8585, JAPAN.

Email address: 00tkshst00@gmail.com