Supercharacters for parabolic contractions of finite groups of A, B, C, D Lie types

A.N. Panov

Mathematical Department, Samara University, Samara, Russia, apanov@list.ru

We construct supercharacter theories for the finite groups constructed by parabolic construction from simple groups of A, B, C, D Lie types. In terms of rook placements in the root systems we classify supecharacters and superclasses.

Key Words: group representations, supercharacter theory, group contraction, irreducible character, superclasses

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20C15, 17B22

1 Introduction

The notion of a supercharacter theory was introduced by P. Diaconis and I.M. Isaacs in the paper [1] in 2008. By definition, a supercharacter theory for a given finite group G is a pair $(\mathfrak{S}, \mathcal{K})$, where $\mathfrak{S} = \{\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_M\}$ is a system of pairwise disjoint characters (representations) of G and $\mathcal{K} = \{K_1, \ldots, K_M\}$ is a partition of G such that the characters from \mathfrak{S} are constant on subsets from \mathcal{K} and $\{1\} \in \mathcal{K}$. The characters from \mathfrak{S} are called *supercharacters*, and subsets from \mathcal{K} – superclasses (see [1]). Observe the number of supercharacters equals to the number of superclasses. An example of supercharacter theory is the pair that consists of the system of irreducible characters and the decomposition of group into conjugacy classes. If a classification of irreducible representations is considered an extremely difficult, "wild" problem, we aim to construct a constructive supercharacter theory. The classical example is the theory of basic characters for the unitriangular group $UT(n, \mathbb{F}_{q})$ developed by C. André in [2, 3, 4]. This example was generalized to algebra groups in the same paper [1] (by definition, an algebra group is a group of the form $\mathfrak{G} = 1 + J$, where J is a finite dimensional associative nilpotent algebra over a finite field).

In the present paper, we construct a supercharacter theory for parabolic contractions of the groups GL(n), O(n) and Sp(n) defined over a finite field

 \mathbb{F}_q . For each group we classify supercharacters and superclasses.

To construct a supercharacter theory for the parabolic contraction $\operatorname{GL}^{a}(n)$, we use the method of [10] (see Theorem 3.8). The case of Borel contraction is studed in [11], where we apply the other method from [12, 13, 14] that gives rise a supercharacter theory that is slightly different from the one constructed in the present paper. Also, we classify supercharacters and superclasses for the unipotent radical U^{a} (see Theorems 3.3 and 3.6). For the parabolic radicals of $\operatorname{GL}(n)$, the similar classification is verified in [16].

A supercharacter theory for the Sylow subgroups in the orthogonal and symplectic groups is constructed in [5, 6, 7, 17]. The author proposed a supercharacter theory for parabolic subgroups in O(n) and Sp(n) in the paper [15]. This approach is developing in the present paper for parabolic contractions of orthogonal and symplectic groups. We obtain a description of supercharacters and superclasses in the exact form (see Theorems 4.10 and 4.13).

2 Parabolic contractions

Let G be a split reductive groups defined over a field K and \mathfrak{g} be its Lie algebra. Fix the Cartan subgroup H contained in the Borel subgroup B. The group B has the unipotent radical N_+ with the Lie algebra \mathfrak{n}_+ . Applying the Killing form (\cdot, \cdot) of \mathfrak{g} , we identify \mathfrak{n}^* with the opposite subalgebra \mathfrak{n}_- .

Let P be a parabolic subgroup containing B. The subgroup P is a semidirect product $P = LU_+$, where L is the Levi subgroup containing H, and U_+ is the unipotent radical contained in N_+ . The Lie algebra \mathfrak{p} of the group Pis a semidirect sum $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_+$ of the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{l} = \operatorname{Lie}(L)$ and the ideal $\mathfrak{u}_+ = \operatorname{Lie}(U_+)$.

As above we identify \mathfrak{u}_- with \mathfrak{u}_+^* . Consider the commutative Lie algebra \mathfrak{u}_-^a that coincides with \mathfrak{u}_- as a linear space and the abelian group $U_-^a = 1 + \mathfrak{u}_-^a$ with multiplication $(1 + \lambda_1)(1 + \lambda_2) = 1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$.

A parabolic contraction of the group G is a semidirect product $G^a = P \ltimes U_-^a$; the subgroup P acts on U_-^a by the formula $p(1+\lambda)p^{-1} = 1 + \operatorname{Ad}_p^*(\lambda)$, where $p \in P$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{u}_-^a = \mathfrak{u}^*$. Respectively, its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^a = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_-^a$ (a parabolic contraction of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}) is a semidirect sum of the Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{p} and the ideal \mathfrak{u}_-^a . These definitions are matched with the general definition of Lie algebra contraction from [8, 9].

3 Supercharacters and superclasses of the group $GL^{a}(n)$

Let $\operatorname{GL}(n)$ be the general linear group over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q of q elements and of characteristic $\neq 2$. Fix a parabolic subgroup P of $\operatorname{GL}(n)$ and consider its parabolic contraction $\operatorname{GL}^a(n)$. The parabolic subgroup P is defined by a decomposition of [1, n] into the system of consecutive segments $\mathcal{I} = I_1 \sqcup$ $\ldots \sqcup I_{\ell}$. Let $n_i = |I_i|$. Then $L = \operatorname{GL}(n_1) \times \ldots \times \operatorname{GL}(n_{\ell})$.

Emphasize the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}^a(n)$ of the group $\mathrm{GL}^a(n)$ is an associative algebra with respect to the multiplication for which \mathfrak{p} is a subalgebra with matrix multiplication, \mathfrak{u}_{-}^a is a subalgebra with zero multiplication and

$$t\lambda(x) = \lambda(xt)$$
 and $\lambda t(x) = \lambda(tx),$ (1)

where $t \in \mathfrak{p}$, $x \in \mathfrak{u}_+$, and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{u}_-^a$. The group $\mathrm{GL}^a(n)$ is realized as the subgroup of invertible elements of the associative algebra $\mathfrak{gl}^a(n)$.

The group $\operatorname{GL}^{a}(n)$ is a semidirect product $\operatorname{GL}^{a}(n) = L \ltimes U^{a}$, where $U^{a} = U_{+} \ltimes U_{-}^{a}$. The subgroup U^{a} is an algebra subgroup $U^{a} = 1 + \mathfrak{u}^{a}$, where $\mathfrak{u}^{a} = \mathfrak{u}_{+} + \mathfrak{u}_{-}^{a}$ is the nilradical of $\mathfrak{gl}^{a}(n)$.

Our goal is to construct a supercharacter theory for the group $\operatorname{GL}^{a}(n)$ following the general method from the paper [10] (where it is referred to as the *GG*-supercharacter theory).

3.1 Supercharacter theory for U^a

Because U^a is an algebra subgroup, it has the supercharacter theory of P. Diaconis and I.M. Isaacs from [1]. The superclasses in algebra group $\mathfrak{G} = 1+J$ is the subsets of the form $1+\mathfrak{G}x\mathfrak{G}$, where $x \in J$. The supercharacters χ_{λ} of \mathfrak{G} are constructed by the following procedure. Fix the nontrivial character $t \mapsto \varepsilon^t$ of the additive group of field \mathbb{F}_q with values in \mathbb{C}^* . Consider the system of representatives $\{\lambda\}$ of the left-right orbits of the group $\mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{G}$ in J^* . By each λ , we construct the linear character

$$\xi_{\lambda}(1+x) = \varepsilon^{\lambda(x)}$$

of the stabilizer $\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda,\text{right}}$ of the linear form λ with respect to the right action of \mathfrak{G} on J^* (see formula (1)). The supercharacter χ_{λ} of the algebra group \mathfrak{G} is induced from ξ_{λ} to \mathfrak{G} . There is a formula for supercharacter

$$\chi_{\lambda}(1+x) = \frac{|\mathfrak{G}\lambda|}{|\mathfrak{G}\lambda\mathfrak{G}|} \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{G}\lambda\mathfrak{G}} \varepsilon^{\mu(x)}.$$

So, the superclasses and supercharacters of an algebra group \mathfrak{G} are uniquely determined by $(\mathfrak{G} \times \mathfrak{G})$ -orbits in J and J^* respectively.

In our case, $\mathfrak{G} = U^a$ and a classification of $(U^a \times U^a)$ -orbits in \mathfrak{u}^a and $(\mathfrak{u}^a)^*$ is considered an extremely difficult problem (see [10, 14, 16]). Therefore, we consider the more "coarse" supercharacter theory (see Theorem 3.6) in which superclasses are attached to the $(\operatorname{GL}^a(n) \times \operatorname{GL}^a(n))$ -orbits in \mathfrak{u}^a , and supercharacters a up to a constant multiple equal to sums of functions $\varepsilon^{\mu(x)}$, where μ runs through a $(\operatorname{GL}^a(n) \times \operatorname{GL}^a(n))$ -orbit in $(\mathfrak{u}^a)^*$.

Let Δ denote the root system of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$. We attach to each root $\alpha = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j$, the pair (i, j). To simplify notations, we write $\alpha = (i, j)$. We refer to *i* as a row number of the root α and to *j* as a column number of root α . Notations: $i = \operatorname{row}(\alpha)$ and $j = \operatorname{col}(\alpha)$.

A positive root $\alpha \in \Delta_+$ is attached to a pair with i < j. Respectively, for an negative root $\alpha \in \Delta_-$, we have i > j.

We introduce the definition of sum of roots, which if different from usual one: a root γ is a sum $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$ if $\alpha = (i, j)$, $\beta = (j, k)$ and $\gamma = (i, k)$.

To each root $\alpha \in \Delta_+$, we attach the matrix unit $E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{n}_+$ and to each root $\alpha \in \Delta_-$ – the matrix unit $F_\alpha \in \mathfrak{n}_-^a$.

Consider the subset $\Delta_+(\mathfrak{u}^a)$ which consists of $\alpha \in \Delta_+$ such that $E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{u}_+$. Respectively, $\Delta_-(\mathfrak{u}^a) = \{\alpha \in \Delta_- : F_\alpha \in \mathfrak{u}_-^a\}$ and $\Delta(\mathfrak{u}^a) = \Delta_+(\mathfrak{u}^a) \cup \Delta_-(\mathfrak{u}^a)$.

We realize \mathfrak{u}^a as the subspace of the linear space of $(n \times n)$ -matrices spanned by the union of two systems of matrix units

$$\{E_{\alpha}: \ \alpha \in \Delta_{+}(\mathfrak{u}^{a})\} \cup \{F_{\alpha}: \ \alpha \in \Delta_{-}(\mathfrak{u}^{a})\}.$$

The structure relations have the form

- $E_{\alpha}E_{\beta} = E_{\alpha+\beta}$ if $\alpha + \beta$ is defined, otherwise $E_{\alpha}E_{\beta} = 0$.
- $F_{\alpha}F_{\beta}=0.$
- $E_{\alpha}F_{\beta} = F_{\alpha+\beta}$ if $\alpha+\beta$ is defined and belongs to $\Delta_{-}(\mathfrak{u}^{a})$, otherwise $E_{\alpha}F_{\beta} = 0$.
- $F_{\alpha}E_{\beta} = F_{\alpha+\beta}$ if $\alpha+\beta$ is defined and belongs to $\Delta_{-}(\mathfrak{u}^{a})$, otherwise $F_{\alpha}E_{\beta} = 0$.

Observe that $\mathfrak{n}_+ = \mathfrak{u}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+(L)$, where $\mathfrak{n}_+(L) = \mathfrak{n}_+ \cap \mathfrak{l}$. Respectively, $\mathfrak{n}_-^a = \mathfrak{u}_-^a \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-^a(L)$, where $\mathfrak{n}_-^a(L) = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{n}_-^a : \lambda(\mathfrak{u}_+) = 0\}$.

Lemma 3.1. 1) $\mathfrak{n}_{-}^{a}(L)$ is a two sided ideal in the associative algebra $\mathfrak{gl}^{a}(n)$. 2) $\mu_{L}x = x\nu_{L} = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{u}_{+}$ and $\mu_{L}, \ \nu_{L} \in \mathfrak{n}_{-}^{a}(L)$.

PROOF. The both statements follows from the fact that \mathfrak{u}_+ is a two sided ideal in \mathfrak{n}_+ . \Box

For an arbitrary element $u = 1 + X \in U^a$, where $X \in \mathfrak{u}^a$, we consider the class

$$K(u) = \{1 + AXB : A, B \in GL^{a}(n)\}.$$

The following Theorem 3.3 gives a classification of classes K(u). We need new definitions.

A rook spacement in Δ is a subset $D \subset \Delta$ that has at most one root in any row and column. The rook spacement D is a union $D = D_+ \cup D_-$, where $D_{\pm} = D \cap \Delta_{\pm}$. In his papers, C. André used the other term *basic subset* for the root placements in Δ_+ .

To each rook placement $D \subset \Delta(\mathfrak{u}^a)$ and a map $\phi : D \to \mathbb{F}_q^*$, we attach the element $X_{D,\phi} \in \mathfrak{u}^a$ of the form

$$X_{D,\phi} = \sum_{\gamma_{+} \in D_{+}} \phi(\gamma_{+}) E_{\gamma_{+}} + \sum_{\gamma_{-} \in D_{-}} \phi(\gamma_{-}) F_{\gamma_{-}}.$$
 (2)

If ϕ is identically equals to one on D, we take $X_{D,\phi} = X_D$. Denote $u_D = 1 + X_D$.

For any rook placement $D \subset \Delta$ and pairs of segments I_k, I_m , where $k \neq m$, we define

$$r_{ij} = r_{ij}(D) = \operatorname{rank} X_D(I_k \times I_m) = |D \cap (I_k \times I_m)|,$$
$$n'_{km} = \sum_{s < k} n_s + \sum_{t < m} r_{kt},$$
$$n''_{km} = \sum_{s < m} n_s + \sum_{t < k} r_{tm}.$$

We say that a rook placement D_c has a *canonical type* if for each pair $1 \leq k, m \leq \ell, k \neq m$, the subset $D_c \cap (I_k \times I_m)$ consists of roots

$$(n'_{km}+1, n''_{km}+1), \ldots, (n'_{km}+r_{km}, n''_{km}+r_{km}).$$

Let W_L be a Weyl group in L. For each $w \in W_L$, the action of w on the row numbers (respectively, the action of w^{-1} on the column numbers) of roots from Δ defines the left (respectively, the right) action of w on Δ .

Lemma 3.2. For any rook placement D there exist elements $w_1, w_2 \in W_L$ such that w_1Dw_2 is a rook placement D_c of canonical type. The rook placement D_c is uniquely determined by D.

PROOF. For any rook placement D, there exists $w_1 \in W_L$ such that the system row (w_1D) has the required ordering. Multiplying by a suitable $w_2 \in W_L$ we obtain the rook placement $D_c = w_1Dw_2$ of canonical type. Uniqueness follows from the fact that the numbers $\{r_{km}\}$ don't change if we replace D by w_1Dw_2 . \Box

In the case P = B, the next theorem is proved in [11].

Theorem 3.3. 1) Each class contains the element u_D for some rook placement D in the rook system $\Delta(\mathfrak{u}^a)$.

2) Two elements u_D and $u_{D'}$ belong to a common class if and only if D and D' are conjugate with respect to the left-right action of the double Weyl group $W_L \times W_L$ on the set of roots $\Delta(\mathfrak{u}^a)$.

PROOF. Item 1. Let u = 1 + X and $X \in \mathfrak{u}^a$. The associative algebra \mathfrak{u}^a is a subalgebra in the factor algebra of $\mathfrak{n}^a = \mathfrak{n}_+ \ltimes \mathfrak{n}_-^a$ modulo the two sided ideal $\mathfrak{n}_-^a(L)$. There exists $\overline{X} \in \mathfrak{n}^a$ such that $X = \overline{X} \mod \mathfrak{n}_-^a(L)$. It implies from [11, Theorem 3.4] there exists $A, B \in \mathrm{UT}(n) \ltimes N_-^a$ such that

$$A\overline{X}B = X_{\overline{D},\overline{\phi}}$$

for some rook placement $\overline{D} \subset \Delta$ and a map $\overline{\phi} : \overline{D} \to \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Decompose $A = A_1(1 + \mu_L)$ and $B = (1 + \nu_L)B_1$, where $A_1, B_1 \in \mathrm{UT}(n) \ltimes U_-^a$ and $\mu_L, \nu_L \in \mathfrak{n}_-^a(L)$. Since $\overline{X} = x + \lambda$ with $x \in \mathfrak{u}_+$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{u}_-^a$, by Lemma 3.1, we get $(1 + \mu_L)\overline{X}(1 + \nu_L) = \overline{X}$. Then

$$A_1 \overline{X} B_1 = X_{\overline{D}, \overline{\phi}}.$$
(3)

We denote $D = \overline{D} \cap \Delta(\mathfrak{u}^a)$ and $\phi = \overline{\phi}|_D$. Taking the equality (3) modulo the ideal $\mathfrak{n}^a_-(L)$, we obtain $A_1XB_1 = X_{D,\phi}$. Acting by a suitable $h \in H$, we get $hX_{D,\phi} = X_D \in K(u)$, this proves 1).

Item 2. Suppose that $AX_DB = X_{D'}$, where $A, B \in \operatorname{GL}^a(n)$. For any $X \in \mathfrak{u}^a$ and $1 \leq k, m \leq n, \ k \neq m$, we denote by $r_{km}(X)$ rank of the $I_k \times I_m$ block of the matrix X. By Lemma 3.2, to prove statement 2), it is sufficient to show that $r_{km}(X_D) = r_{km}(X_{D'})$ for all $k \neq m$. We need the other realization of $\mathfrak{gl}^a(n)$ and also \mathfrak{u}^a .

Consider the subalgebra $\underline{\mathfrak{gl}}^{a}(n)$ of Mat(2n) that consists of matrices $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$, where $A \in \mathfrak{p}$. The algebra $\mathfrak{gl}^{a}(n)$ is isomorphic to the factor algebra of $\underline{\mathfrak{gl}}^{a}(n)$ modulo the ideal $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$, where $B \in \mathfrak{p}$. Consider the decomposition of segment [1, 2n] into consecutive segments

$$I_1,\ldots,I_\ell,\tilde{I}_1,\ldots,\tilde{I}_\ell,$$

where I_1, \ldots, I_ℓ as above and for each $1 \leq m \leq \ell$ if $I_m = [a, b]$ then $\tilde{I}_m = [a+n, b+n]$. We realize the algebra $\mathfrak{gl}^a(n)$ as the subspace in $\mathfrak{gl}^a(n)$ consisting of matrices with zero $I_k \times \tilde{I}_m$, $k \leq m$, blocks. **Example.** If n = 2 and \mathfrak{p} is a Borel subalgebra, then

$$\mathfrak{gl}^{a}(n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & a_{22} & b_{22} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & a_{11} & a_{12}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad \mathfrak{u}^{a} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & b_{22} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{12}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Let $X \in \mathfrak{u}^a$. For any $1 \leq k < m \leq \ell$, we denote by $R_{km}(X)$ the rank of submatrix of X with the system of rows and columns $I_k \cup \ldots \cup I_m$. For any $1 \leq m < k \leq \ell$, we denote by $\tilde{R}_{km}(X)$ the rank of submatrix of Xwith the system of rows and columns $I_k \cup \ldots \cup I_\ell \cup \tilde{I}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \tilde{I}_m$. Observe that the ranks of $R_{km}(X)$ and $\tilde{R}_{km}(X)$ preserve if we replace X by AXBfor any $A, B \in \operatorname{GL}^a(n)$. We have $r_{km}(X_D) = r_{km}(X_{D'})$ for any $k \neq m$. The canonical forms D and D' coincide (see Lemma 3.2). \Box

The proof of Theorem 3.3 implies the following consequence.

Corollary 3.4. The elements u_D and $u_{D'}$ belong to a common class if and only if $r_{ij}(D) = r_{ij}(D')$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq l, i \neq j$.

Turn to a classification of the $(\operatorname{GL}^a(n) \times \operatorname{GL}^a(n))$ -orbits in $(\mathfrak{u}^a)^*$ and construction of supercharacters for U^a . We define the non-degenerate bilinear form on \mathfrak{u}^a by the formula

$$(X_1, X_2) = \lambda_2(x_1) + \lambda_1(x_2), \tag{4}$$

where $X_1 = x_1 + \lambda_1$ and $X_2 = x_2 + \lambda_2$. One can directly calculate

$$(gX_1, X_2) = (X_1, X_2g), (5)$$

for all $g \in G^a$. For any linear form $\Lambda \in (\mathfrak{u}^a)^*$, there exists $X_\Lambda \in \mathfrak{u}^a$ such that $\Lambda(Y) = (X_\Lambda, Y)$ for any $Y \in \mathfrak{u}^a$. Taking into account formula (5), one can identify the left-right ($\operatorname{GL}^a(n) \times \operatorname{GL}^a(n)$)-orbit of Λ in $(\mathfrak{u}^a)^*$ with the left-right orbit of X_Λ in \mathfrak{u}^a .

For an arbitrary rook placement D and a map $\phi: D \to \mathbb{F}_q^*$, we define the linear form

$$\Lambda_{D,\phi} = \sum_{\gamma_{+} \in D_{+}} \phi(\gamma_{+}) E^{*}_{\gamma_{+}} + \sum_{\gamma_{-} \in D_{-}} \phi(\gamma_{-}) F^{*}_{\gamma_{-}}.$$
 (6)

If ϕ is identically equal to one, we denote $\Lambda_{D,\phi} = \Lambda_D$.

Observe that $\Lambda_D(Y) = (X_{D^t}, Y)$, where D^t consists of all roots opposite to the roots from D. It follows from Theorem 3.3 that any $(\operatorname{GL}^a(n) \times \operatorname{GL}^a(n))$ orbit in $(\mathfrak{u}^a)^*$ contains a unique element of the form Λ_D .

Let us describe the stabilizer of Λ_D with respect to the right action of U^a on $(\mathfrak{u}^a)^*$. For each $\gamma \in \Delta(\mathfrak{u}^a)$, consider the subset $\mathcal{S}(\gamma) = \mathcal{S}_+(\gamma) \cup \mathcal{S}_-(\gamma)$, where $\mathcal{S}_{\pm}(\gamma) = \mathcal{S}(\gamma) \cap \Delta_{\pm}(U^a)$ is defined as follows:

- 1. If $\gamma \in I_k \times I_m$ for some k < m (i.e. $\gamma > 0$), then $\mathcal{S}_{-}(\gamma) = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{S}_{+}(\gamma)$ consists of all roots $\alpha \in I_k \times I_t$ such that $\operatorname{row}(\alpha) = \operatorname{row}(\gamma)$ and k < t < m.
- 2. If $\gamma \in I_k \times I_m$ for some k > m (i.e. $\gamma < 0$), then $\mathcal{S}_{-}(\gamma)$ consists of all roots $\alpha \in I_k \times I_t$ such that $\operatorname{row}(\alpha) = \operatorname{row}(\gamma)$ and $1 \leq t < m$; $\mathcal{S}_{+}(\gamma)$ consists of all roots $\alpha \in I_k \times I_t$ such that $\operatorname{row}(\alpha) = \operatorname{row}(\gamma)$ and $k < t \leq \ell$.

Let \mathfrak{u}^a_{γ} be the subspace spanned by the matrix units E_{β} , $\beta \notin S_+(\gamma)$, and F_{β} , $\beta \notin S_-(\gamma)$. The subspace \mathfrak{u}^a_{γ} is a subalgebra (more precisely, right ideal) in \mathfrak{u}^a . Respectively, $U^a_{\gamma} = 1 + \mathfrak{u}^a_{\gamma}$ is an algebra subgroup in U^a . Define

$$\mathcal{S}(D) = \bigcup_{\gamma \in D} \mathcal{S}(\gamma), \qquad \mathfrak{u}_D^a = \bigcap_{\gamma \in D} \mathfrak{u}_{\gamma}^a, \qquad U_D^a = 1 + \mathfrak{u}_D^a = \bigcap_{\gamma \in D} U_{\gamma}^a.$$

The next statement is proved by direct calculations.

Proposition 3.5. The subgroup U_D^a is the stabilizer of Λ_D with respect to the right action of U^a on $(\mathfrak{u}^a)^*$.

The formula

$$\xi_D = \sum_{p \in L} \varepsilon^{p\Lambda_D(X)}, \quad u = 1 + X, \quad X \in \mathfrak{u}_D^a$$

defines a character of the group U_D^a . Consider the character χ_D of $\operatorname{GL}^a(n)$ induced from the character ξ_D of the subgroup U_D^a . The following formula is true for χ_D :

$$\zeta_D(u) = \frac{|\Lambda_D U^a|}{|\mathrm{GL}^a(n)\Lambda_D \mathrm{GL}^a(n)|} \sum_{\mu \in \mathrm{GL}^a(n)\Lambda_D \mathrm{GL}^a(n)} \varepsilon^{\mu(X)}$$
(7)

where u = 1+X (see [10, Corollary 3.1]). Denote $K_D = 1+\mathrm{GL}^a(n)X_D\mathrm{GL}^a(n)$. **Theorem 3.6**. The system of characters $\{\zeta_D\}$ and the decomposition of the group U^a into classes $\{K_D\}$ give rise to a supercharacter theory of the group U^a .

PROOF. It follows from Theorem 3.3 and [10, theorem 3.3]. \Box

3.2 Supercharacter theory for $GL^a(n)$

In this subsection, following the general method of paper [10], we construct a supercharacter theory the group $\operatorname{GL}^{a}(n)$.

For each $\gamma \in \Delta(\mathfrak{u}^a)$, we consider the subgroup H_{γ} as follows. If $\gamma > 0$ and $\gamma \in I_k \times I_m$, $1 \leq k < m \leq \ell$, then the subgroup H_{γ} consists of all $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_\ell) \in L$, $h_i \in \operatorname{GL}(n_i)$ such that (h_k, \ldots, h_m) is a scalar submatrix.

If $\gamma < 0$ and $\gamma \in I_k \times I_m$, $1 \leq m < k \leq \ell$, then the subgroup H_{γ} consists of all $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_\ell) \in L$ such that $(h_1, \ldots, h_m, h_k, \ldots, h_\ell)$ is a scalar submatrix. **Example.** If n = 4, P = B, $\gamma_1 = (1, 3)$, and $\gamma_2 = (3, 1)$, then

$$H_{\gamma_1} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b \end{array} \right) \right\}, \quad H_{\gamma_2} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a \end{array} \right) \right\}$$

For a rook placement D, we define

$$H_D = \bigcap_{\gamma \in D} H_{\gamma}.$$

The next statement if proved by direct calculations.

Proposition 3.7. For any rook placement $D \subset \Delta(\mathfrak{u}^a)$, the subgroup H_D consists of all $h \in L$ such that Ad_h^* stabilizes all linear forms from $\operatorname{GL}^a(n)\Lambda_D\operatorname{GL}^a(n)$.

Consider the subset \mathcal{A} of all pairs (D, θ) , where D is a rook placement contained in $\Delta(\mathfrak{u}^a)$, and θ is an irreducible character of the subgroup H_D . Construct the subgroup $G_D^a = H_D U_D^a$. The formula

$$\xi_{\theta,\Lambda_D}(hu) = \theta(h)\varepsilon^{\Lambda_D(X)}, \quad u = 1 + X, \quad X \in \mathfrak{u}_D^a$$

defines the character of subgroup G_D^a . Consider the character χ_α of group $\operatorname{GL}^a(n)$ induced from the character

$$\sum_{p \in L} \xi_{\theta, p\Lambda_D}$$

of subgroup G_D^a . There is a formula for the character χ_α (see. [10, Corollary 3.1]):

$$\chi_{\alpha}(g) = \frac{|L|^2}{|H_D|} \cdot \dot{\theta}(h) \zeta_D(u),$$

where g = hu, $\zeta_D(u)$ are from (7), $\dot{\theta}(h) = \theta(h)$ for $h \in H_D$ and equals to zero for $h \notin H_D$.

Turn to superclasses of the group $\operatorname{GL}^{a}(n)$. Let $h \in L$. Consider the smallest $\operatorname{GL}^{a}(n) \times \operatorname{GL}^{a}(n)$ -invariant ideal \mathfrak{u}_{h}^{a} in \mathfrak{u}^{a} such that Ad_{h} is identical on $\mathfrak{u}^{a}/\mathfrak{u}_{h}^{a}$.

Example. Let n = 5, P = B. We have

$$h = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a \end{array}\right), \text{where } a \neq b, \quad \mathfrak{u}_h^a = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & \ast & \ast \\ \ast & 0 & \ast & \ast \\ \ast & \ast & 0 & \ast \\ 0 & 0 & \ast & 0 \end{array}\right) \right\}$$

Consider the subset \mathcal{B} of all pairs (D, h), where D is a rook placement contained in $\Delta(\mathfrak{u}^a)$, and $h \in H_D$. Let ω_D be an orbit of the element X_D with respect to $\mathrm{GL}^a(n) \times \mathrm{GL}^a(n)$. We denote

$$K_{\beta} = CL_L(h) \cdot (1 + \pi_h^{-1} \pi_h(\omega_D)),$$

where $CL_L(h)$ is the conjugacy class of h in L.

Theorem 3.8. The system of characters $\{\chi_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and the decomposition into classes $\{K_{\beta} : \beta \in \mathcal{B}\}$ give rise to a supercharacter theory of the group $\operatorname{GL}^{a}(n)$.

PROOF. It implies from [10, Theorem 3.3]. \Box

4 Contractions of the orthogonal and symplectic groups

As above \mathbb{F}_q is a finite field of q elements and of characteristic $\neq 2$. In this section, we use the notation $\mathbb{G} = \mathrm{GL}(M, \mathbb{F}_q)$. Respectively, for the subgroups in \mathbb{G} we use new notations $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{L}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{U}_{\pm}$ and \mathbb{N}_{\pm} . The orthogonal (symplectic) subgroup G is defined by the involutive antiautomorphism $X \to X^{\dagger}$.

Let M = 2n + 1 for case B_n and M = 2n for C_n and D_n . Denote by \mathbb{I}_M the $N \times N$ matrix in which all entries on the antidiagonal are equal to 1 and all other entries are zeros. Consider the involutive antiautomorphism $X^{\dagger} = \mathbb{I}_M X^t \mathbb{I}_M$ of the algebra of $M \times M$ matrices over the field \mathbb{F}_q . The orthogonal group O(M) consists of all matrices $g \in \mathbb{G}$ such that $g^{\dagger} = g^{-1}$. The symplectic group $\operatorname{Sp}(M)$ is defined similarly applying the involutive automorphism $X^{\dagger} = \mathbb{J}_{2n} X^t \mathbb{J}_{2n}$, where M = 2n and $\mathbb{J}_{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I}_n \\ -\mathbb{I}_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Respectively, the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of group G coincides with the Lie algebra $\{X \in \mathfrak{gl}(M) : X^{\dagger} = -X\}$.

We enumerate the rows and columns of $M \times M$ matrices as follows:

$$n > \ldots > 1 > 0 > -1 > \ldots > -n$$
 for $M = 2n + 1$,
 $n > \ldots > 1 > -1 > \ldots > -n$ for $M = 2n$.

Consider the partition \mathcal{I} of segment I = [-n, n] in case B_n (respectively, $I = [-n, n] \setminus \{0\}$ in cases C_n and D_n) into the system of consecutive segments. We enumerate the components of partition $\mathcal{I} = \{I_\ell, \ldots, I_0, \ldots, I_{-\ell}\}$ comparably with the enumeration of rows and columns. Suppose that the partition \mathcal{I} is symmetric relative to zero. By the partition \mathcal{I} , we construct the parabolic subgroup $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{L}\mathbb{U}_+$ and the complementary unipotent subgroup \mathbb{U}_- . As above $\mathbb{U}_{\pm} = 1 + \mathcal{U}_{\pm}$ are the algebra subgroups.

Intersection of these subgroups with G defined the parabolic subgroup $P = LU_+$ and the complementary unipotent subgroup U_- . The subgroup L consists of all matrices diag $(A_{\ell}, \ldots, A_0, \ldots, A_{-\ell})$, where for each $\ell \ge i \ge -\ell$ the transpose of matrix A_i about its anti-diagonal coincides with A_{-i}^{-1} . We preserve notations \mathfrak{u}_{\pm} for Lie algebras of unipotent groups U_{\pm} . We identify \mathfrak{u}_- with \mathfrak{u}^* .

We extend the involutive antiautomorphism of subgroup \mathbb{P} to the one of $\mathbb{G}^a = \mathbb{P} \ltimes \mathbb{U}^a_-$ setting $(1 + \lambda)^{\dagger} = 1 - \lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{U}^a_-$, and $(gv)^{\dagger} = v^{\dagger}g^{\dagger}$, $g \in \mathbb{P}$, $v = 1 + \lambda$. The parabolic contraction G^a of orthogonal and symplectic groups consists of all elements $gv \in \mathbb{G}^a$, $g \in \mathbb{P}$ and $v \in \mathbb{U}^a_-$ obeying $(gv)^{\dagger} = (gv)^{-1}$.

The group G^a is a semidirect product of L and the unipotent subgroup $U^a = U \ltimes U^a_-$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}^a = \mathfrak{u}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{u}^a_-$.

The group \mathbb{G}^a acts on \mathfrak{u}^a by the formula

$$g \cdot X = g X g^{\dagger}, \tag{8}$$

where $g \in \mathbb{G}^a$ and $X \in \mathfrak{u}^a$. We define the action of \mathbb{G}^a on $(\mathfrak{u}^a)^*$ by

$$g \cdot \lambda(X) = \lambda(g^{\dagger}Xg).$$

Consider the Cayley map $f: \mathbb{U}^a \to \mathcal{U}^a$; its value f(u) at $u = 1 + X \in \mathbb{U}^a$ is calculated by the formula

$$f(u) = \frac{2(u-1)}{u+1} = \frac{2X}{X+2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{X^{k+1}}{2^k}.$$

4.1 Supercharacter theory for orthogonal and symplectic U^a

In this section, we classify \mathbb{G}^a -orbits in \mathfrak{u}^a and $(\mathfrak{u}^a)^*$ and construct supercharacter theory for U^a . We need definition of rook placements for orthogonal and symplectic groups.

Let Δ be the root system of the orthogonal or symplectic group G. Ordering of the segment I = [-n, n] defines the system Δ_+ of positive roots (respectively, the system of negative roots Δ_-).

With each root α , we associate some element of $I \times I$ according to the following rule. For positive roots $\alpha = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$, i > j, and $\alpha = \epsilon_i + \epsilon_j$ we associate the pairs (i, j) and (i, -j) respectively. Analogously, for $\alpha = \epsilon_i$, it is the pair (i, 0), for $\alpha = 2\epsilon_i$ – the pair (i, -i). With the negative root $-\alpha < 0$ we associate the pair transpose to the pair of α . For example, for $\alpha = -\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$, it is (-j, i). For simplicity, if $\alpha = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$, i > j, we write $\alpha = (i, j)$ (similarly in other cases).

For each root $\alpha = (i, j)$, we consider the pair $\alpha' = (-j, -i)$ (observe that the last pair does not associate with any root, except $\alpha = \alpha' = (i, -i)$).

To each root $\alpha \in \Delta_+$, we attach the root vector $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha} = E_{\alpha} + \eta(\alpha)E_{\alpha'}$, where $\eta(\alpha) \in \{1, 0, -1\}$. Respectively, to a root $\alpha \in \Delta_-$, we attach the root vector $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha} = F_{\alpha} + \eta(\alpha)F_{\alpha'}$. The system of root vectors $\{\mathcal{E}_{\alpha}\} \cup \{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}\}$ is a basis in \mathfrak{u}^a . The relative elements of the dual basis we denote by \mathcal{E}_{α}^* and \mathcal{F}_{α}^* .

Similarly to the previous section, we define $\Delta_+(\mathfrak{u}^a)$ that consists of all $\alpha \in \Delta_+$ such that $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{u}_+$. Respectively, $\Delta_-(\mathfrak{u}^a) = \{\alpha \in \Delta_- : \mathcal{F}_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{u}_-^a\}$. We define $\Delta(\mathfrak{u}^a) = \Delta_+(\mathfrak{u}^a) \cup \Delta_-(\mathfrak{u}^a)$.

Definition 4.1. We say that a subset $D \subset \Delta$ is a rook placement if there is at most one pair $(i, j) \in D \cup D'$ in any row and column. In other words, D is a rook placement in orthogonal or symplectic case if and only if $D \cup D'$ is a rock placement in the root system of GL(M). **Example 4.2.** Let $G = C_2$, $\Delta_+ = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}$, $D = \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, -\alpha_2\}$. On the diagram the pairs from $D \cup D'$ are marked by \otimes . On the diagonal we put the numbers of relative rows (columns).

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc} 2 & \cdot & \otimes & \cdot \\ \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \otimes \\ \cdot & \otimes & -1 & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & -2 \end{array}\right)$$

A rook placement $D \subset \Delta$ splits $D = D_+ \cup D_-$, where $D_{\pm} = D \cap \Delta_{\pm}$.

To the rook placement $D \subset \Delta$ and map $\phi : D \to \mathbb{F}_q^*$, we attach the element $\mathcal{X}_{D,\phi} \in \mathfrak{u}^a$ and $\Lambda_{D,\phi} \in (\mathfrak{u}^a)^*$ defined by the formulas

$$\mathcal{X}_{D,\phi} = \sum_{\gamma \in D_+} \phi(\gamma) \mathcal{E}_{\gamma} + \sum_{\gamma \in D_-} \phi(\gamma) \mathcal{F}_{\gamma},$$
$$\Lambda_{D,\phi} = \sum_{\gamma \in D_+} \phi(\gamma) \mathcal{E}_{\gamma}^* + \sum_{\gamma \in D_-} \phi(\gamma) \mathcal{F}_{\gamma}^*.$$

Fix the non-square element $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. In the case C_n , we say that a root γ belongs to anti-diagonal if $\gamma = (i, -i)$ for some $n \ge i \ge -n$.

In the case B_n and D_n , we refer to pair (D, ϕ) as a *basic pair*, if $\phi(\gamma) = 1$ for any $\gamma \in D$.

Definition 4.3. In the case C_n , we refer to pair (D, ϕ) as a basic pair if 1) $\phi(\gamma) \in \{1, \delta\}$.

2) $\phi(\gamma) = \delta$ implies γ belongs to anti-diagonal.

3) For any $\ell \ge k \ge -\ell$, the subset $D \cap (I_k \times I_{-k})$ is contained in the antidiagonal, and there is at most one root γ in this subset such that $\phi(\gamma) = \delta$.

By definition, we let $d_k(\phi)$ equal to -1 if there is a root from 3), otherwise we take $d_k(\phi) = 1$. Below we introduce notations that are similar to the relative notations for series A (the difference in substitution $D \cup D'$ for Dand in changing the row and column enumeration). For any rock placement $D \subset \Delta$ and pair of segments I_k, I_m , where $k \neq m$ and $k + m \ge 0$, we define

$$r_{ij} = r_{ij}(D) = |(D \cup D') \cap (I_k \times I_m)|,$$
$$n'_{km} = n - \sum_{s>k} n_s - \sum_{t>m} r_{kt},$$
$$n''_{km} = n - \sum_{s>m} n_s - \sum_{t>k} r_{tm}.$$

Definition 4.4. We say that a basic pair (D_c, ϕ_c) has canonical type if for each pair $k \neq m$, $k + m \ge 0$, the subset $D_c \cap (I_k \times I_m)$ coincides with the subset

$$\{(n'_{km}-1, n''_{km}-1), \dots, (n'_{km}-r_{km}, n''_{km}-r_{km})\},$$
(9)

in the cases B_n , D_n and C_n (for k + m > 0). In the case C_n and m = -k, the subset $D_c \cap (I_k \times I_{-k})$ coincides with

$$\{(n'_{k,-k}-1,-n'_{k,-k}+1)),\ldots,(n'_{k,-k}-r_{k,-k},-n'_{k,-k}+r_{k,-k})\},$$
 (10)

furthermore the values of ϕ_c at all roots from (10) apart from possibly the first root equal to one.

Let $W_{\mathbb{L}}$ be the Weyl group of the subgroup \mathbb{L} in \mathbb{G} . The action of $W_{\mathbb{L}}$ on \mathfrak{u}^a is obtained by restriction of the \mathbb{G}^a -action on \mathfrak{u}^a (see formula (8)). Under this action the elements $\mathcal{X}_{D,\phi}$ transform to elements of the same type; this fact defines an action of $W_{\mathbb{L}}$ on the set of basic pairs $\{(D,\phi)\}$.

Lemma 4.5. For any basic pair (D, ϕ) , there exists an element $w \in W_{\mathbb{L}}$ such that $w \cdot (D, \phi)$ has the canonical type (D_c, ϕ_c) . The canonical pair (D_c, ϕ_c) is uniquely determined by (D, ϕ) .

PROOF. We proceed the proof for D_n . The other series are treated similarly. We prove by the induction method on n.

Let $\mathcal{D} = D \cup D'$. Decompose the square $I \times I$ into four parts $I \times I = I_{11} \cup I_{12} \cup I_{21} \cup I_{22}$, where $I_{11} = [0, n] \times [1, n]$, $I_{12} = [0, n] \times [-n, 0]$, $I_{21} = [-n, -1] \times [1, n]$, $I_{22} = [-n, -1] \times [-n, 0]$.

We take $\mathcal{D}_{ij} = \mathcal{D} \cap I_{ij}$. Observe that $\mathcal{D}'_{11} = \mathcal{D}_{22}$, $\mathcal{D}'_{12} = \mathcal{D}_{12}$ and $\mathcal{D}'_{21} = \mathcal{D}_{21}$. Each element $w \in \mathbb{L}$ has the form $w = \text{diag}(A_{\ell}, \ldots, A_0, \ldots, A_{-\ell})$, where $A_i \in \text{GL}(n_i)$. Decompose $w = w_1 w_2$, where $w_1 = \text{diag}(A_{\ell}, \ldots, A_0, E, \ldots, E)$ and $w_2 = \text{diag}(E, \ldots, E, A_{-1}, \ldots, A_{-\ell})$.

Then $w \cdot \mathcal{D}_{11} = w_1 \mathcal{D}_{11} w_2^{\dagger}$, $w \cdot \mathcal{D}_{12} = w_1 \mathcal{D}_{12} w_1^{\dagger}$, $w \cdot \mathcal{D}_{21} = w_2 \mathcal{D}_{21} w_2^{\dagger}$. Replacing \mathcal{D} by suitable $w \cdot \mathcal{D}$, we consider \mathcal{D}_{11} to be of canonical type in I_{11} (see Lemma 3.2).

Suppose that I_{12} is a square obtained by deleting in I_{12} the rows from $\operatorname{row}(\mathcal{D}_{11})$ and columns from $\operatorname{col}(\mathcal{D}_{22})$ (here the number of rows equals to the number of columns as $\mathcal{D}_{22} = \mathcal{D}'_{11}$). Since there are no roots from \mathcal{D}_{12} in rows from $\operatorname{row}(\mathcal{D}_{11})$ and columns from $\operatorname{col}(\mathcal{D}_{22})$, we have $\mathcal{D}_{12} \subset \tilde{I}_{12}$. Applying the induction assumpsion, we can replace \mathcal{D}_{12} by some $w_1 \mathcal{D}_{12} w_1^{\dagger}$ of canonical type, where w_1 stabilizes the rows from $\operatorname{row}(\mathcal{D}_{11})$. Analogously for w_2 and \mathcal{D}_{21} .

Uniqueness follows from the fact that the numbers $\{r_{km}\}$ does not change under the action of \mathbb{L} . \Box

For parabolic subgroups, the next theorem is proved in [15, Proposition 3.3].

Theorem 4.6. 1) Each G-orbit in \mathfrak{u}^a contains an element of the form $\mathcal{X}_{D,\phi}$ for some basic pair $\{D, \phi\}$.

2) Two elements $\mathcal{X}_{D,\phi}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{D',\phi'}$ for basic pairs (D,ϕ) and (D',ϕ') belong to a common \mathbb{G}^a -orbit if and only if (D,ϕ) and (D',ϕ') belong to a common

 $W_{\mathbb{L}}$ -orbit.

PROOF. Item 1. Our goal is to prove statement 1) for the Borel contraction. In this case P = B = HN is a Borel subgroup in the orthogonal or symplectic group, and $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{B} = \mathbb{H}\mathbb{N}$ is a Borel subgroup in \mathbb{G} . The unitriangular group \mathbb{N} is an algebra subgroup $\mathbb{N} = 1 + \mathcal{N}$. The group \mathbb{G}^a acts on $\mathcal{N}^a = \mathcal{N}_+ \ltimes \mathcal{N}_-^a$. To prove statement 1), we use the method analogous to [11, Proposition 3.3]. 1) Let $X = x + \lambda \in \mathfrak{n}^a$, where $x \in \mathfrak{n}_+$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{n}_-^a$. We consider X, x, λ as the elements from \mathcal{N}^a obeying $Y^{\dagger} = -Y$. It follows from [5, 6] that there exists $a \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that $axa^{\dagger} = \mathcal{X}_{D_+,\phi_+}$, where D_+ is a rock placement in Δ_+ and $\phi: D_+ \to \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Take $x_+ = \mathcal{X}_{D_+,\phi_+}$. We obtain $aXa^{\dagger} = x_+ + a\lambda a^{\dagger}$. 2) In what follows $X = x_+ \pm \lambda$. In this subitem, we consider decomposition

2) In what follows $X = x_+ + \lambda$. In this subitem, we consider decomposition (11) for $\mathcal{N}_{-}^a = \mathcal{N}_{+}^*$ and dual decomposition \mathfrak{n}_{-}^a (see (12) and (13)); we show that applying \mathbb{N}^a -action we get a similar element with λ in the subspace (13). As above $\mathcal{D} = D \cup D'$.

Consider decomposition $\mathcal{N}_+ = \mathcal{L}_+ \oplus \mathcal{L}_0$ into direct sum of two left ideals

$$\mathcal{L}_{+} = \operatorname{span}\{E_{ij}: j \notin \operatorname{row}(\mathcal{D}_{+})\} = \{y \in \mathcal{N}_{+}: yx_{+} = 0\},\$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{0} = \operatorname{span}\{E_{ij}: j \in \operatorname{row}(\mathcal{D}_{+})\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{N}_{-}^{a} = \mathcal{L}_{+}^{\perp} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{0}^{\perp}$, where

$$\mathcal{L}_{+}^{\perp} = \operatorname{span}\{F_{km}: k \in \operatorname{row}(\mathcal{D}_{+})\} = x_{+}\mathcal{N}_{-}^{a}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{0}^{\perp} = \operatorname{span}\{F_{km}: k \notin \operatorname{row}(\mathcal{D}_{+})\}$$
We have $\mathcal{N}^{a} = x$, $\mathcal{N}^{a} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\perp}$

We have $\mathcal{N}_{-}^{a} = x_{+}\mathcal{N}_{-}^{a} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{0}^{\perp}$.

Similarly, consider decomposition $\mathcal{N}_+ = \mathcal{R}_+ \oplus \mathcal{R}_0$ into direct sum of two right ideals, where $\mathcal{R}_+ = \mathcal{L}_+^{\dagger}$ and $\mathcal{R}_0 = \mathcal{L}_0^{\dagger}$.

Since the subspaces \mathcal{L}_+ , \mathcal{L}_0 , \mathcal{R}_+ , and \mathcal{R}_0 are spanned by vectors from standard basis $\{E_{ij}\}$ in \mathcal{N}_+ , we obtain

$$\mathcal{N}_{-}^{a} = (x_{+}\mathcal{N}_{-}^{a} + \mathcal{N}_{-}^{a}x_{+}) \oplus \left(\mathcal{L}_{0}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{R}_{0}^{\perp}\right)$$
(11)

Both subspaces in this sum are invariant under action of involutive antiautomorphism. Therefore, \mathfrak{n}_{-}^{a} is a direct sum of intersections of these subspaces with \mathfrak{n}_{-}^{a} :

$$(x_{+}\mathcal{N}_{-}^{a} + \mathcal{N}_{-}^{a}x_{+}) \cap \mathfrak{n}_{-}^{a} = \left\{ x_{+}Q^{\dagger} + Qx_{+} : Q \in \mathcal{N}_{-}^{a} \right\},$$
(12)

$$\left(\mathcal{L}_0^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{R}_0^{\perp}\right) \cap \mathfrak{n}_-^a = \left\{ V \in \mathfrak{n}_-^a : \ V(\mathcal{L}_0) = 0 \right\} = \left\{ V \in \mathfrak{n}_-^a : \ V(\mathcal{R}_0) = 0 \right\}.$$
(13)

Return to the element $X = x_+ + \lambda \in \mathfrak{n}$. The linear form $\lambda \in \mathfrak{n}_-^a$ splits $\lambda = x_+Q^{\dagger} + Qx_+ + \lambda_0$, where λ_0 belongs to subspace (13) in decomposition \mathfrak{n}_-^a . We get

$$(1-Q)X(1-Q^{\dagger}) = x_{+} - x_{+}Q^{\dagger} - Qx_{+} + \lambda = x_{+} + \lambda_{0}.$$

3) So, we can assume that $X = x_+ + \lambda_0$, where $\lambda_0(\mathcal{L}_0) = \lambda_0(\mathcal{R}_0) = 0$ (recall that $\mathcal{R}_0 = \mathcal{L}_0^{\dagger}$). Let us show that in \mathbb{G}^a -orbit of the element X there is an element of the form $x_+ + \lambda'$, where

$$\lambda' = \mathcal{X}_{D'_{-},\phi'_{-}}$$

for some rook placement $D'_{-} \subset \Delta_{-}$ and $\phi'_{-} : D'_{-} \to \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$.

For λ_0 there exists $a \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that $a\lambda_0 a^{\dagger} = \lambda'$, where λ' has the mentioned above form. Let us show that we can chose $a \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that $ax_+a^{\dagger} = x_+$.

Consider the algebra subgroups $L_+ = 1 + \mathcal{L}_+$ and $L_0 = 1 + \mathcal{L}_0$ in \mathbb{N}_+ . Since \mathcal{N}_+ is a direct sum of left ideal $\mathcal{N}_+ = \mathcal{L}_+ + \mathcal{L}_0$, each $a \in \mathbb{N}_+$ can be uniquely presented in the form $a = a_+a_0$, where $a_+ \in L_+$ and $a_0 \in L_0$.

By definition of \mathcal{L}_+ , we have $a_+x_+ = x_+$. From $x_+^{\dagger} = -x_+$, we get $x_+a_+^{\dagger} = x_+$.

Let us show that $a_0\lambda_0 = \lambda_0$ for any $a_0 \in L_0$. Indeed, $a_0 = 1 + y_0$, where $y_0 \in \mathcal{L}_0$. Then $a_0\lambda(x) = \lambda_0(xa) = \lambda_0(x) + \lambda_0(xy_0)$. Since \mathcal{L}_0 is a left ideal in \mathcal{N}_+ , it follows $\lambda_0(xy_0) = 0$. This proves $a_0\lambda_0 = \lambda_0$. From $\lambda_0^{\dagger} = -\lambda_0$, we obtain $\lambda_0 a_0^{\dagger} = \lambda_0$. We have

$$a_{+}Xa_{+}^{\dagger} = a_{+}x_{+}a_{+}^{\dagger} + a_{+}\lambda_{0}a_{+}^{\dagger} = x_{+} + a_{+}a_{0}\lambda a_{0}^{\dagger}a_{+}^{\dagger} = x_{+} + a\lambda_{0}a^{\dagger} = x_{+} + \lambda'.$$

4) So, each \mathbb{N}^a -orbit of the element $X \in \mathfrak{n}^a$ has an element $X' = x_+ + \lambda'$, where $x_+ = \mathcal{X}_{D_+,\phi_+}$ and $\lambda' = \mathcal{X}_{D'_-,\phi'_-}$. Finally, as in subitem 3), replacing X'by a suitable element of the form $(1+Q)X'(1+Q^{\dagger})$, we can delete rocks of \mathcal{D}'_- belonging to rows and columns from \mathcal{D}_+ . We obtain the element $\mathcal{X}_{D,\phi}$, where $D = (D_+, D_-)$ is a rook placement in Δ and ϕ is a map $D \to \mathbb{F}_q^*$ coinciding with ϕ_+ on D_+ and with ϕ'_- on D_- . Finally, replacing $X \to hXh^{\dagger}$ by a suitable $h \in \mathbb{H}$, we make (D, ϕ) to be a basic pair. The statement if Item 1 is proved.

Item 2. The proof of statement 1) for parabolic contraction is proceed similarly to Item 1 from Theorem 3.3. One can prove statement 2) similarly to Item 2 of Theorem 3.3 applying Lemma 4.5. \Box

Corollary 4.7. Two elements $\mathcal{X}_{D,\phi}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{D',\phi'}$ for basic pairs (D,ϕ) and (D',ϕ') belong to a common \mathbb{G}^a -orbit if and only if $r_{km}(D) = r_{km}(D)$ for all $\ell \ge k, m \ge -\ell, \ k \ne m$, and $d_k(\phi) = d_k(\phi')$ for all $\ell \ge k \ge -\ell, \ k \ne 0$. PROOF. Analogously to Theorem 3.3 and [15, Proposition 3.3]. \Box

Using identification of \mathfrak{u}^a and $(\mathfrak{u}^a)^*$, we obtain the following statement. **Proposition 4.8.** 1) Each \mathbb{G} -orbit in $(\mathfrak{u}^a)^*$ contains an element of the form $\Lambda_{D,\phi}$ for some basic pair $\{D, \phi\}$.

2) Two elements $\Lambda_{D,\phi}$ and $\Lambda_{D',\phi'}$ for basic pairs (D,ϕ) and (D',ϕ') belong to a common \mathbb{G}^a -orbit if and only if (D,ϕ) and (D',ϕ') belong to a common $W_{\mathbb{L}}$ -orbit.

Definition 4.9. We say that element u and u' from U^a belong to a common class if the elements f(X) and f(X') belong to a common \mathbb{G}^a -orbit in \mathcal{U}^a (here f is the Cayley map).

Denote $u_{D,\phi} = f^{-1}(\mathcal{X}_{D,\phi})$. Theorem 4.6 yields a classification of classes in the group U^a .

For each basic pair (D, ϕ) we consider a function on U^a of the form

$$\sigma_{D,\phi}(u) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{G}^a \cdot \Lambda_{D,\phi}} \varepsilon^{\mu(f(u))}$$
(14)

Denote by $K_{D,\phi}$ the preimage of \mathbb{G}^a -orbit of $\mathcal{X}_{D,\phi}$ under the Cayley map. **Theorem 4.10**. The system of functions $\{\sigma_{D,\phi}\}$ and the decomposition of

group U^a into classes $\{K_{D,\phi}\}$ give rise to a supercharacter theory of the group U^a .

PROOF. It follows from [7] that the system of functions

$$\sigma_{\lambda}(u) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{U}^a \cdot \lambda} \varepsilon^{\mu(f(u))}$$

and subsets $K_x = f^{-1}(\mathbb{U}^a \cdot x)$, where λ and x run through the systems of representatives of \mathbb{U}^a -orbits in $(\mathfrak{u}^a)^*$ and \mathfrak{u} respectively, give rise to a supercharacter theory of the group U^a . In particular, the functions σ_λ are characters of some representations and pairwise orthogonal (disjoint). Therefore, $\sigma_{D,\phi}$ are also characters and pairwise orthogonal. Theorem 4.6 implies that the decomposition into subsets $K_{D,\phi}$ form a partition of the group U^a more coarse than the decomposition $\{K_x\}$. Finally, if u and u' belong to a common class, then there exists $g \in \mathbb{G}^a$, such that $f(u) = g \cdot f(u')$. Substituting for (14), we verify that the values of $\sigma_{D,\phi}$ at u and u' coincide. \Box

4.2 Supercharacter theory for orthogonal and symplectic G^a

In subsection 3.2, by a pair $\gamma = (i, j)$, $i \neq j$, we construct the subgroup H_{γ} in $L \subset \operatorname{GL}(n)$. In notations of this section, it is the subgroup \mathbb{H}_{γ} in the block-diagonal group \mathbb{L} .

Let D be a rook placement in $\Delta(\mathfrak{u}^a)$. For each root γ , we define the subgroup H_{γ} in L as intersection of $\mathbb{H}_{\gamma} \cap \mathbb{H}_{\gamma'}$ with orthogonal or symplectic group G.

Define

$$H_D = \bigcap_{\gamma \in D} H_\gamma$$

Denote by \mathfrak{u}_D^a the intersection of all Ker $g \cdot \Lambda_{D,\phi}$, where $g \in \mathbb{G}^a$. The subset \mathfrak{u}_D^a is a \mathbb{G}_a -invariant ideal in \mathfrak{u}^a .

Lemma 4.11. For each $h \in H_D$ the operator Ad_h is identical on $\mathfrak{u}^a/\mathfrak{u}_D^a$. PROOF. Consider the subspace $W_D^* \subset (\mathfrak{u}^a)^*$ spanned by $g \cdot \Lambda_{D,\phi}$, where $g \in \mathbb{G}^a$. The subspace W_D^* is invariant with respect to action of \mathbb{L} . Therefore, W_D^* decomposes into \mathbb{L} -irreducible components W_{km}^* related to segments of decomposition of I = [-n, n]. If $\gamma \in I_k \times I_m$, k > m, then W_D^* contains all components W_{ij}^* , $k \ge i > j \ge m$. If $\gamma \in I_k \times I_m$, k < m, then W_D^* contains all components W_{ij}^* , $i \le k < m \le j$. For each $h \in H_\gamma$, the operator Ad_h^* is identical on each mentioned above compotent W_{ij}^* . The subspace W_D^* is a direct sum of all enumerated components W_{ij}^* constructed for roots $\gamma \in D$. Therefore, for each $h \in H_D$ the operator Ad_h^* is identical on W_D^* . It implies that for $h \in H_D$ the operator Ad_h is identical on $\mathfrak{u}^a/\mathfrak{u}_D^a$. \Box

Example4.12. For root system $D = \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, -\alpha_2\}$ of $G = C_2$ (see example 4.2), we have $W_D^* = \operatorname{span}\{\mathcal{F}_{-\alpha_2}^*, \mathcal{F}_{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}^*, \mathcal{F}_{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}^*, \mathcal{E}_{\alpha_1}^*, \mathcal{E}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}^*, \mathcal{E}_{\alpha_2}^*\},\$

$$\mathfrak{u}_D^a = \operatorname{span} \{ \mathcal{F}_{-\alpha_1}, \ \mathcal{E}_{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \}, \qquad H_D = \{ \pm E \}.$$

On this diagram, the roots of $D \cup D'$ is marked as \otimes . The squares relative to basic elements of \mathfrak{u}_D^a are marked by \bullet . On diagonal we put the numbers of relative rows (columns).

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc} 2 & \cdot & \otimes & \bullet \\ \bullet & 1 & \cdot & \otimes \\ \cdot & \otimes & -1 & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \bullet & -2 \end{array}\right)$$

Consider the set \mathfrak{A} of all triples $\mathfrak{a} = (D, \phi, \theta)$, where (D, ϕ) is a basic pair from $\Delta(\mathfrak{u}^a)$, and θ in an irreducible representation of the subgroup H_D . Below we attach to each \mathfrak{a} the character $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ of subgroup G^a .

Denote by U_D^a the normal subgroup $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{u}_D^a)$ in U^a . Lemma 4.11 implies that the subgroup $G_D^a = H_D \ltimes U_D^a$ is abelian modulo U_D^a . The characters $\sigma_{D,\phi}$ defined by formula (14) can be taken modulo U_D^a (see formula (14)). The formula

$$\xi_{\mathfrak{a}}(g) = \theta(h)\sigma_{D,\phi}(u),$$

 $g = hu, h \in H_D, \ u \in U^a$, defines the character of subgroup G_D^a . Consider the character

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} = \operatorname{Ind}(\xi_{\mathfrak{a}}, G_D^a, G^a).$$

We turn to construction of superclasses. For each $h \in L$, we consider the smallest \mathbb{G}^a -invariant ideal \mathfrak{u}_h^a in \mathfrak{u}^a such that Ad_h is identical on $\mathfrak{u}^a/\mathfrak{u}_h^a$. Let U_h^a be the corresponding normal subgroup in U^a , and Π_h be the natural projection U^a onto U^a/U_h^a .

Consider the set \mathfrak{B} of all triples $\mathfrak{b} = (D, \phi, h)$, where (D, ϕ) is a basic pair in $\Delta(\mathfrak{u}^a)$ and $h \in H_D$. By each triple \mathfrak{b} , we define the class

$$K_{\mathfrak{b}} = \operatorname{CL}_{L}(h) \cdot \Pi_{h}^{-1} \Pi_{h} \left(K_{D,\phi} \right),$$

where $\operatorname{CL}_{L}(h)$ is the conjugacy class of h in L, and $K_{D,\phi}$ from Theorem 4.10. **Theorem 4.13**. The system of characters $\{\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} : \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ and decomposition of G^{a} into classes $\{K_{\mathfrak{b}} : \mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ give rise to a supercharacter theory of the orthogonal or symplectic G^{a} .

PROOF. The characters $\{\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}\}\$ are orthogonal because

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}(g) = c \,\dot{\theta}(h) \sigma_{D,\phi}(u),$$

where $\sigma_{D,\phi}$ defined by formula (14), g = hu, $h \in L$, $u \in U^a$, and $\dot{\theta}(h) = \theta(h)$ for $h \in H_D$ and is zero for $h \notin H_D$.

Let us show that $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is constant on $K_{\mathfrak{b}}$, where $\mathfrak{b} = (D', \phi', h), h \in H_{D'}$. If $h \notin H_D$, then $\sigma(K_{\mathfrak{b}}) = 0$.

Suppose $h \in H_D$. Then $\mathfrak{u}_h^a \subset \mathfrak{u}_D^a$ and $U_h^a \subset U_D^a$. The $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and class $K_{\mathfrak{b}}$ can be taken modulo U_h^a . The character $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is constant on class $K_{\mathfrak{b}}$ because $\sigma_{D,\phi}$ is constant on $K_{D',\phi'}$ for U^a/U_h^a . \Box

5 Funding

The paper is supported by the RFBR grant 20-01-00091a.

References

- Diaconis P., Isaacs I.M., Supercharacters and superclasses for algebra groups., Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 360 (2008), 2359-2392.
- [2] André C.A.M., Basic characters of the unitriangular group, J. Algebra, 175 (1995), 287-319.
- [3] André C.A.M., Basic sums of coadjoint orbits of the unitriangular group, J. Algebra, 176(1995), 959-1000.
- [4] André C.A.M., The basic character table of the unitriangular group, J. Algebra, 241 (2001), 437-471.
- [5] André C.A.M., Neto A.M., Supercharacters of the finite the Sylow subgroup of the finite symplectic and orthogonal groups, Pacific Math. Journal, 239 (2009), 201-230.
- [6] André C.A.M., Neto A.M., A supercharacter theory for the Sylow psubgroups of the finite symplectic and orthogonal groups, J. Algebra, 322 (2009), 1273-1294.
- [7] André C.A.M., Freitas J.P., Neto A.M., A supercharacter theory for involutive algebra groups, J. Algebra, 430 (2015), 159-190.

- [8] Inönü E., Wigner E.P., On the contraction of groups and their representations, Proc. Nat-Acad. Sci., 39 (1953), 510-524.
- [9] Gorbatsevich V.V., Onishchik A.L., Vinberg E.B. (1994). *Lie groups and Lie algebras III.* Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 41, Berlin: Springer.
- [10] Panov A.N. Supercharacter theories for algebra group extensions. J. Group theory, 24 (2021), 263-283.
- [11] Panov A.N., Supercharacter theory for the Borel group contraction of $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$, Vestnik St. Peterburg Univ. Math., **53** (2020), no 2, 162-173.
- [12] Panov A.N. (2016). Supercharacter theory for groups of invertible elements of reduced algebras, St. Petersburg Math. Journal, 27 (2016), 1035-1047.
- [13] Panov A.N., Supercharacters for finite groups of triangular type, Comm.Algebra, 46 (2018), no.3, 1032-1046.
- [14] Panov A.N., Towards a supercharacter theory of parabolic subgroups in $GL(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$, Algebr. Represent. theory, **21** 2018, no. 5, 1133–1149.
- [15] Panov A.N., Two supercharacter theories for the parabolic subgroups in orthogonal and symplectic groups, J. Algebra, 539 (2019), 37-53.
- [16] Thiem N., Supercharacter theories of type A unipotent radicals and unipotent polytopes, Algebraic Combinatorics, 1 (2018), no. 1, 23-45.
- [17] Andrews S., Supercharacters of unipotent groups defined by involutions,
 J. Algebra, 425 (2015), 1-30.