arXiv:2203.12006v3 [math.NT] 26 Nov 2022

On numbers not representable as $n + w(n)$

Petr Kucheriaviy

National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia

November 29, 2022

Abstract

Let $w(n)$ be an additive non-negative integer-valued arithmetic function which is equal to 1 on primes. We study the distribution of $n + w(n) \pmod p$ and give a lower bound for the density of the set of numbers which are not representable as $n + w(n)$.

1 Introduction

Let $w(n)$ be an additive non-negative integer-valued arithmetic function which is equal to 1 on primes. For example one can set $w(n)$ to be $\omega(n)$ – the number of distinct prime factors of n or $\Omega(n)$ – the number of prime factors with multiplicity. By E we denote the set of natural numbers not representable as $n + w(n)$. For the complement of E we use the notation E^c. In this article we are concerned with the lower bound for

$$\Xi(N) = |[1, N] \cap E|.$$

Conjecture.

$$\Xi(N) \gg N.$$

We show that

Theorem 1.

$$\Xi(N) \gg \frac{N}{\log\log N}.$$

The main idea is to consider $n + w(n)$ modulo a prime number. For a prime number p and $r \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ let us denote

$$a(r) := \sum_{\substack{n \le N \\ n + w(n) \equiv r \pmod p}} 1.$$

The author was supported by the Basic Research Program of the National Research University Higher School of Economics.

Lemma 1. *Let R be a subset of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$\Xi(N) \geq \sum_{r \in R} (N/p - a(r) - 1).$$

Proof. Let

$$N_r := \{n \,|\, n \leq N,\, n \equiv r \pmod{p}\}.$$

Note that

$$[1, N] \cap \mathbb{Z} = \coprod_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} N_r, \quad |N_r| \geq N/p - 1, \quad |N_r \cap E^c| \leq a(r).$$

Hence

$$|N_r \cap E| = |N_r| - |N_r \cap E^c| \geq (N/p - a(r) - 1).$$

Finally,

$$\Xi(N) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} |N_r \cap E| \geq \sum_{r \in R} |N_r \cap E| \geq \sum_{r \in R} (N/p - a(r) - 1).$$

□

In [3] M. E. Changa studied the distribution of $w(n)$ in a residue ring. Using the same method we study the asymptotic behavior of $a(r)$.

It turns out that for any fixed p

$$a(r) \sim N/p.$$

That is the reason we can't prove the Conjecture directly using the observation of Lemma 1. Nevertheless, we are able to find the second term in the asymptotic expansion of $a(r)$. Then Theorem 1 follows by an appropriate choice of p and R.

Let

$$G(N) := |\{(n, m) \,:\, n + w(n) = m + w(m), n \leq N, m \leq N, n \neq m\}|.$$

Proposition 1. *If $w(n) \ll n^{\varepsilon}$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, then*

$$G(N) \gg \Xi(N).$$

Proof. We have $w(n) \leq Cn^{\varepsilon}$ for some constant C.

Let us denote

$$g(n) := |\{m \,:\, m + w(m) = n, m \leq N\}|.$$

Note that if $n \leq N - CN^{\varepsilon}$, then $n + w(n) \leq N$. Hence

$$\sum_{n \leq N} g(n) = \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n + w(n) \leq N}} 1 \geq N - CN^{\varepsilon}.$$

We have

$$G(N) \geq \sum_{n \leq N} \binom{g(n)}{2} = \sum_{n \in [1,N] \cap E^c} \binom{g(n)}{2} \geq \sum_{n \in [1,N] \cap E^c} (g(n) - 1) \geq$$

$$(N - CN^{\varepsilon}) - |[1, N] \cap E^c| = \Xi(N) - CN^{\varepsilon} \gg \Xi(N).$$

□

In [4], P. Erdős, A. Sárkőzy, C. Pomerance showed that if $w(n)$ is the number of distinct prime factors of n, then

$$G(N) \geq N \exp(-4000 \log\log N \log\log\log N)$$

for N large enough.

So Theorem 1 in view of Proposition 1 improves this bound and gives

$$G(N) \gg \frac{N}{\log\log N}.$$

2 Lemmas

Lemma 2 (Perron's formula)**.** *Let*

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^s},$$

where the series converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re} s > a \geq 0$*, and let*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{n^\sigma} \ll (\sigma - a)^{-\alpha}$$

as $\sigma \to a + 0$ *for some* $\alpha > 0$*. Then for every* $b > a$*,* $x \geq 2$ *and* $T \geq 2$ *we have*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} a(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} F(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds + R(x),$$

where

$$R(x) \ll \frac{x^b}{T(b-a)^\alpha} + 2^b \left(\frac{x \log x}{T} + \log \frac{T}{b} + 1 \right) \max_{x/2 \leq n \leq 3x/2} |a(n)|.$$

Proof. See, for example, [2, Theorem 7, p. 20] or [1, Theorem 1, p. 64]. □

Lemma 3 (M. E. Changa)**.** *Let* $f(n)$ *be a complex valued arithmetic function such that* $|f(n)| \leq 1$*, let the following equality hold for* $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$*:*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s} = (\zeta(s))^\alpha H(s),$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$*,* $|\alpha| \leq 1$*, and the function* $H(s), s = \sigma + it$*, is analytic in the domain* $\sigma > 1 - \frac{c_1}{\log T}, |t| \leq T$ *for every sufficiently large* T*, and let the bound* $H(s) \ll \log^{c_2} T$ *hold in this domain. Then*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \frac{H(1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x \log^{\alpha-1} x + O(x \log^{\operatorname{Re}\alpha - 2} x).$$

Here constant in O *depends only on* c_1, c_2, C*.*

Proof. See [3, Lemma 3.1]. □

Lemma 4. *Let $T \geq 3$. If χ is a complex character modulo k and $s = \sigma + it$, then $L(s, \chi)$ has no zeroes in the domain*

$$\operatorname{Re} s = \sigma \geq 1 - \frac{c_3}{\log kT},\ |t| \leq T.$$

If χ is a real character modulo k and $s = \sigma + it$, then $L(s, \chi)$ has no zeroes in the domain

$$\operatorname{Re} s = \sigma \geq 1 - \frac{c_3}{\log kT},\ 0 < |t| \leq T.$$

Proof. See [1, Theorem 2, p. 124] □

Lemma 5. *Let $3 \leq X$. There exists at most one $3 \leq k \leq X$ and at most one real primitive character χ_1 modulo k for which $L(s, \chi_1)$ has a simple real zero β_1 such that*

$$\beta_1 \geq 1 - \frac{c_4}{\log X}.$$

Proof. See [1, Corollary 2, p. 131]. □

We call a prime number $p < X$ unexceptional if $L(s, \chi)$ has no real zeroes on the interval

$$\left[1 - \frac{\min(c_3, c_4)}{\log X}, +\infty\right)$$

for every character χ modulo p. Otherwise we call p exceptional. Note that the notion of being exceptional depends on X. Lemma 5 implies that there exist at most one exceptional $p < X$.

Lemma 6. *For every sufficiently large X, the interval*

$$\left[X - X^{4/5}, X\right]$$

contains at least two prime numbers.

Proof. See [5] or [1, Theorem 2, p. 98]. □

Lemma 7 (Borel–Carathéodory theorem)**.** *Let $R > 0$, and let the function $f(s)$ be analytic in the circle $|s - s_0| \leq R$. Moreover assume that $\operatorname{Re} f(s) \leq M$ on the circle $|s - s_0| = R$. Then in the disk $|s - s_0| \leq r < R$ we have*

$$|f(s) - f(s_0)| \leq 2(M - \operatorname{Re} f(s_0))\frac{r}{R - r}.$$

Proof. See, for example, [1, Lemma 4, p. 35]. □

Lemma 8. *Let χ be a non-principal character modulo k. Then the following bound holds in the domain $|\sigma - 1| \leq c/\log kT$, $|t| \leq T$:*

$$L(s, \chi) = O(\log kT).$$

Proof. Let us denote $S(t) = \sum_{n \le t} \chi(n)$. We have

$$\sum_{n=N+1}^{M} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} = \int_N^M \frac{dS(t)}{t^s} = \frac{S(M)}{M^s} - \frac{S(N)}{N^s} + s\int_N^M \frac{S(t)\,dt}{t^{s+1}}.$$

As M approaches infinity, we find that

$$L(s,\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} - \frac{S(N)}{N^s} + s\int_N^\infty \frac{S(t)\,dt}{t^{s+1}}.$$

Hence

$$L(s,\chi) - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} = O(kN^{-\sigma}) + O(kT\sigma^{-1}N^{-\sigma}).$$

If $n \le kT$, then

$$|n^{-s}| = n^{-\sigma} \le n^{-1}(kT)^{c/\log kT} \ll n^{-1}.$$

If we take $N = kT$, we obtain

$$L(s,\chi) = O(\log kT).$$

□

Lemma 9. *Let $T \ge 3$, let χ be a non-principal character modulo k, and let $L(s,\chi)$ be nonzero in the domain*

$$\sigma \ge 1 - \frac{3c}{\log kT},\ |t| \le 2T;$$

then on the boundary of the domain

$$\sigma \ge 1 - \frac{c}{\log kT},\ |t| \le T$$

we have $\log L(s,\chi) \ll \log\log kT$.

Proof. If $\sigma \ge 1 + c/\log kT$, then

$$|\log L(s,\chi)| = \left|\sum_p \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi^m(p)}{mp^{ms}}\right| \le \log\zeta(\sigma) \ll \log\frac{1}{\sigma-1} \ll \log\log kT.$$

Using Lemma 8, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re}\log L(s,\chi) = \log|L(s,\chi)| \le C'\log\log kT.$$

for $|\sigma - 1| \le 3c/\log kT$, $|t| \le 2T$.

Thus, setting $s_0 = 1 + c/\log kT + it$, $R = 3c/\log kT$, $r = 2c/\log kT$ in Lemma 7, we find that

$$|\log L(s,\chi) - \log L(s_0,\chi)| \le 2(C'\log\log kT - O(\log\log kT)) \ll \log\log kT.$$

for $|s - s_0| = r$ □

Lemma 10. *Let χ be a non-principal character modulo k and $\log k = o(\sqrt{\log x})$. Assume that $L(s,\chi)$ has no zeroes in the domain $\sigma \geq 1 - \frac{3c}{\log kT}$, $|t| \leq 2T$ for $T > C$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\alpha| \leq 1$. Let $f(n)$ be a complex valued arithmetic function, such that $|f(n)| \leq 1$ and let*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s} = (L(s,\chi))^{\alpha} H(s),$$

for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$. Let $H(s), s = \sigma + it$ be analytic in the domain $\sigma > 1 - \frac{3c}{\log kT}, |t| \leq T$ for each $T > C$. Moreover, let $H(s) \ll \log^{c_5} T$ in this domain.

Then

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) \ll x \exp\left(-c_6 \sqrt{\log x}\right).$$

Here the constant in Vinogradov symbol depends only on c, c_5, C and does not depend on χ.

Proof. Substituting $b = 1 + 1/\log x$, $\log T = \sqrt{\log x}$ into Perron's formula (Lemma 2), we obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} (L(s,\chi))^{\alpha} H(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds + R(x),$$

where

$$R(x) \ll x \exp(-c_7 \sqrt{\log x}).$$

Let $\beta := 1 - c/\log kT$ and consider the contour Γ consisting of segments joining in succession the points $\beta + iT, \beta - iT, b - iT, b + iT, \beta + iT$.

Note that $(L(s,\chi))^{\alpha} H(s) \frac{x^s}{s}$ is well-defined and holomorphic in the interior and on the sides of Γ.

On the sides of Γ we have

$$|(L(s,\chi))^{\alpha}| = |e^{\alpha \log L(s,\chi)}| \leq e^{|\log L(s,\chi)|} \ll \log^{c_8} kT,$$

here we used Lemma 9.

By residue theorem, we have

$$\int_{\Gamma} (L(s,\chi))^{\alpha} H(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds = 0.$$

Estimating the integral trivially on the sides of Γ, we obtain

$$\int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} (L(s,\chi))^{\alpha} H(s) \frac{x^s}{s} ds \ll x \exp\left(-c_6 \sqrt{\log x}\right).$$

This concludes the proof. □

Let us set $e(z) := \exp(2\pi i z)$. By $\mathbb{P}$ we denote the set of prime numbers.

Lemma 11. *Let $u(n)$ be a completely multiplicative function such that $|u(n)| \leq 1$ for all n. Set*

$$L(s,u) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{u(n)}{n^s}.$$

Then for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ *we have*

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{e\left(\frac{w(n)t}{p}\right) u(n)}{n^s} = (L(s,u))^{e(t/p)} F_{t,p,u}(s).$$

Here $F_{t,p,u}(s)$ *is holomorphic on* $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1/2$. *Moreover, for every* $\epsilon > 0$, *there are* $0 < b_{1,\epsilon} < b_{2,\epsilon}$, *such that in the half-plane* $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1/2 + \epsilon$ *we have*

$$b_{1,\epsilon} < |F_{t,p,u}(s)| < b_{2,\epsilon}.$$

And $b_{1,\epsilon}$ *and* $b_{2,\epsilon}$ *do not depend on* $p, t,$ *and* u.

In particular there exist constants $0 < b_1 < b_2$ *such that*

$$b_1 \leq |F_{t,p,u}(1)| \leq b_2$$

for every p, t *and* u.

Proof. Set

$$F_{t,p,u}(s) = (L(s,u))^{-e(t/p)} \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{e\left(\frac{w(n)t}{p}\right) u(n)}{n^s}.$$

By assumption, $w(n)$ is an additive function and $w(q) = 1$ for $q \in \mathbb{P}$. Thus for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, we have

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{e\left(\frac{w(n)t}{p}\right) u(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{q\in\mathbb{P}} \left(1 + \frac{e(t/p)u(q)}{q^s} + \frac{e\left(\frac{w(q^2)t}{p}\right) u(q)^2}{q^{2s}} + \dots\right)$$

and

$$L(s,u) = \prod_{q\in\mathbb{P}} (1 - u(q)q^{-s})^{-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\log F_{t,p,u}(s) = \sum_{q\in\mathbb{P}} e(t/p) \log(1 - u(q)q^{-s}) + \log\left(1 + \frac{e(t/p)u(q)}{q^s} + \frac{e\left(\frac{w(q^2)t}{p}\right) u(q)^2}{q^{2s}} + \dots\right).$$

Let us denote

$$h_{q,t,p,u}(s) := \sum_{j\geq 2} \frac{e\left(\frac{w(q^j)t}{p}\right) u(q)^j}{q^{js}}.$$

This series converges uniformly on $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1/2 + \epsilon$. So $h_{q,t,p,u}(s)$ is analytic in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1/2$. In addition, $h_{q,t,p,u}(s) = O(q^{-2\sigma})$.

For $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ we have

$$\log F_{t,p,u}(s) = \sum_{q\in\mathbb{P}} e(t/p)(-u(q)q^{-s} - u(q)^2 q^{-2s}/2 - \dots) +$$

$$(e(t/p)u(q)q^{-s} + h_{q,t,p,u}(s)) + \sum_{j\geq 2} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j} (e(t/p)u(q)q^{-s} + h_{q,t,p,u}(s))^j.$$

If $\operatorname{Re} s \geq 1/2 + \epsilon$, we obtain

$$\log F_{t,p,u}(s) \ll \sum_{q \in \mathbb{P}} q^{-2\sigma} \ll_\epsilon 1.$$

So $|\log F_{t,p,u}(s)| \leq C_\epsilon$. Hence

$$e^{-C_\epsilon} \leq F_{t,p,u}(s) \leq e^{C_\epsilon}.$$

□

3 Main results

Fix a large N. Let $X = X(N)$ be a number that we will choose later. Assume that $\log X(N) = o(\sqrt{\log N})$. Let p be an unexceptional prime lying in the interval $[X - X^{4/5}, X]$. By Lemmas 5 and 6 such p exists.

Theorem 2. *Under assumptions above,*

$$a(r) = \frac{N}{p} + 2\frac{N}{p} \operatorname{Re}\left(e\left(\frac{-r}{p} A_1\right)\right) + B_1(r) + B_2(r) + B_3(r).$$

Here

$$A_t = \frac{F_{t,p}(1)\,(1 - \frac{p}{p-1} d_{t,p}(1))}{\Gamma(e(t/p))} \log^{e(t/p)-1} N,$$

$$d_{t,p}(s) := \left(1 + \frac{e\left(\frac{t}{p}\right)}{p^s} + \frac{e\left(\frac{w(p^2)t}{p}\right)}{p^{2s}} + \dots\right)^{-1},$$

$$F_{t,p}(s) = \prod_{q \in \mathbb{P}} \left(1 + \frac{e\left(\frac{t}{p}\right)}{q^s} + \frac{e\left(\frac{w(q^2)t}{p}\right)}{q^{2s}} + \dots\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{q^s}\right)^{e(t/p)},$$

$$B_1(r) \ll B_1 := pN \exp\left(-c_6 \sqrt{\log N}\right),$$

$$B_2(r) \ll B_2 := N \log^{\cos(2\pi/p)-2} N$$

and

$$B_3(r) = 2\frac{N}{p} \sum_{t=2}^{(p-1)/2} \operatorname{Re}\left(e\left(\frac{-r}{p}\right) A_t\right) \ll B_3 := \frac{N}{p^3} \sum_{t=2}^{(p-1)/2} t \log^{\cos(2\pi t/p)-1} N.$$

Moreover

$$|A_1| \asymp p^{-2} \log^{\cos(2\pi/p)-1} N.$$

Proof. Applying discrete Fourier transform, we obtain

$$a(r) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ n + w(n) \equiv r \pmod p}} 1 = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ t \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}} e\left(\frac{(n + w(n))t}{p}\right) e\left(\frac{-rt}{p}\right).$$

Let $k, t \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Set

$$S_t := \sum_{n \le N} e\left(\frac{w(n)t}{p}\right),$$

$$S_{k,t} := \sum_{\substack{n \le N \\ n \equiv k \pmod p}} e\left(\frac{w(n)t}{p}\right),$$

$$S_{t,\chi} := \sum_{n \le N} e\left(\frac{w(n)t}{p}\right)\chi(n).$$

Then

$$a(r) = \frac{1}{p}\sum_{t,k \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} e\left(\frac{(k-r)t}{p}\right) S_{k,t}.$$

Note that

$$S_{k,0} = \frac{N}{p} + O(1),$$

$$S_{0,t} = S_t - S_{t,\chi_0},$$

$$S_{k,t} = \frac{1}{p-1}\sum_{\chi} \overline{\chi(k)}\, S_{t,\chi}, \text{ if } k, t \neq 0.$$

Putting $u \equiv 1$ in Lemma 11, we obtain

$$\sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{e\left(\frac{w(n)t}{p}\right)}{n^s} = (\zeta(s))^{e(t/p)} F_{t,p}(s).$$

Here $F_{t,p}(s) := F_{t,p,u}(s)$.

Now applying Lemma 3, we see that

$$S_t = \frac{F_{t,p}(1)}{\Gamma(e(t/p))} N \log^{e(t/p)-1} N + O(N \log^{\cos(2\pi t/p)-2} N).$$

Lemma 11 gives us

$$\sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{e\left(\frac{w(n)t}{p}\right)\chi(n)}{n^s} = (L(s,\chi))^{e(t/p)} F_{t,p,\chi}(s).$$

If χ is non-principal, then using Lemma 10 we see that

$$S_{t,\chi} = O\left(N \exp\left(-c_6\sqrt{\log N}\right)\right).$$

Note that c_6 and constant in O do not depend on χ.
Therefore, if $k, t \neq 0$, then we have

$$S_{k,t} = \frac{S_{t,\chi_0}}{p-1} + O\left(N \exp\left(-c_6\sqrt{\log N}\right)\right),$$

there χ_0 is the principal character modulo p. Let us denote

$$d_{t,p}(s) := \left(1 + \frac{e\left(\frac{t}{p}\right)}{p^s} + \frac{e\left(\frac{w(p^2)t}{p}\right)}{p^{2s}} + \dots\right)^{-1}.$$

We have

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{e\left(\frac{w(n)t}{p}\right)\chi_0(n)}{n^s}=d_{t,p}(s)\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{e\left(\frac{w(n)t}{p}\right)}{n^s}=(\zeta(s))^{e(t/p)}F_{t,p}(s)d_{t,p}(s).$$

Using Lemma 3, we obtain

$$S_{t,\chi_0}=\frac{F_{t,p}(1)d_{t,p}(1)}{\Gamma(e(t/p))}N\log^{e(t/p)-1}N+O(N\log^{\cos(2\pi t/p)-2}N),$$

Putting all together we obtain

$$a(r)=\frac{N}{p}+\frac{1}{p}\sum_{t\not\equiv 0 \pmod p}e(-rt/p)S_{0,t}+\frac{1}{p(p-1)}\sum_{t\not\equiv 0 \pmod p}S_{t,\chi_0}\sum_{k\not\equiv 0 \pmod p}e\left(\frac{(k-r)t}{p}\right)+B_1(r).$$

Here

$$B_1(r)\ll pN\exp\left(-c_6\sqrt{\log N}\right).$$

Thus

$$a(r)=\frac{N}{p}+\frac{1}{p}\sum_{t\not\equiv 0 \pmod p}e(-rt/p)(S_t-S_{t,\chi_0}-S_{t,\chi_0}/(p-1))+B_1(r)=$$

$$\frac{N}{p}+\frac{1}{p}\sum_{t\not\equiv 0 \pmod p}\left(S_t-\frac{p}{p-1}S_{t,\chi_0}\right)e(-rt/p)+B_1(r).$$

Now we have

$$a(r)=\frac{N}{p}+\frac{N}{p}\sum_{t\not\equiv 0 \pmod p}\frac{e(-rt/p)F_{t,p}(1)\left(1-\frac{p}{p-1}d_{t,p}(1)\right)}{\Gamma(e(t/p))}\log^{e(t/p)-1}N+B_1(r)+B_2(r).$$

Here

$$B_2(r)=O\left(N\log^{\cos(2\pi/p)-2}N\right).$$

Let us denote

$$A_t:=\frac{F_{t,p}(1)\left(1-\frac{p}{p-1}d_{t,p}(1)\right)}{\Gamma(e(t/p))}\log^{e(t/p)-1}N,$$

$$B_3(r)=\frac{N}{p}\sum_{t=2}^{p-2}e(-rt/p)A_t.$$

Then

$$a(r)=\frac{N}{p}+2\frac{N}{p}\operatorname{Re}\left(e\left(\frac{-r}{p}\right)A_1\right)+B_1(r)+B_2(r)+B_3(r).$$

We have

$$B_3(r)=2\frac{N}{p}\sum_{t=2}^{(p-1)/2}\operatorname{Re}\left(e\left(\frac{-rt}{p}\right)A_t\right).$$

Note that

$$\left|1-\frac{p}{p-1}d_{t,p}(1)\right|\asymp\left|(1-p^{-1})-\left(1-\frac{e(t/p)}{p}+\frac{e(t/p)^2-e(w(p^2)t/p)}{p^2}+O(p^{-3})\right)\right|=$$

$$\left|(1-p^{-1})-\left(1-\left(1+\frac{2\pi it}{p}+O(t^2/p^2)\right)p^{-1}+\theta p^{-2}\right)\right| = 2\pi i\frac{t}{p^2}+2\theta p^{-2}+O\left(\frac{t^2}{p^3}\right) \ll \frac{|t|}{p^2},$$

here $|\theta| \leq 1$. If $t = 1$, we obtain

$$\left|1-\frac{p}{p-1}d_{t,p}(1)\right| \gg p^{-2}.$$

Since $\Gamma(s)^{-1}$ is an entire function and $|F_{t,p}(1)| \leq b_2$, it follows that

$$|A_t| \ll \frac{t}{p^2}\log^{\cos(2\pi t/p)-1} N.$$

Hence

$$B_3 \ll \frac{N}{p^3}\sum_{t=2}^{(p-1)/2} t\log^{\cos(2\pi t/p)-1} N.$$

Since $|e(1/p)-1| \ll p^{-1}$ and $\Gamma(1) = 1$, it follows that

$$\left|\Gamma(e(1/p))^{-1}\right| > C_\Gamma$$

for some constant $C_\Gamma > 0$ and p large enough. Further we have $|F_{t,p}(1)| \geq b_1$. Hence

$$|A_1| \gg p^{-2}\exp((\cos(2\pi/p)-1)\log\log N).$$

This concludes the proof.

□

Proof of Theorem 1. Let r belong to $R \subset \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ iff

$$2\frac{N}{p}\operatorname{Re}\left(e\left(\frac{-r}{p}\right)A_1\right) < 0.$$

Note that

$$\left|\left\{r : \operatorname{Re}\left(e\left(\frac{-r}{p}\right)A_1\right) \leq -\frac{1}{2}|A_1|\right\}\right| \asymp p.$$

Thus, substituting such R into Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\Xi(N) \gg \sum_{r\in R}\frac{N}{p}-a(r)-1 \gg -\sum_{r\in R}\left(2\frac{N}{p}\operatorname{Re}\left(e\left(\frac{-r}{p}\right)A_1\right)+O(B_1+B_2+B_3+1)\right) \gg$$

$$N|A_1|+pO(B_1+B_2+B_3+1).$$

Note that

$$\frac{X^2}{p^2} \leq 1+5X^{-1/5}.$$

Let us choose $X = \alpha^{-1}\sqrt{\log\log N}$, we obtain

$$N|A_1| \gg Np^{-2}\exp\left(((\cos(2\pi/p))-1)\log\log N\right) \gg Np^{-2}\exp\left(-\frac{2\pi^2}{p^2}\log\log N\right) \gg$$

$$NX^{-2}\exp\left(-\frac{2\pi^2}{X^2}(1+5X^{-1/5})\log\log N\right) \gg$$

$$NX^{-2}\exp\left(-\frac{2\pi^2}{X^2}\log\log N\right) \gg \alpha^2\exp\left(-2\pi^2\alpha^2\right)\frac{N}{\log\log N}.$$

Let us choose α such that $pB_3 \le 0.1\,N\,|A_1|$. That is

$$\tilde{C}\sum_{t=2}^{(p-1)/2} t\log^{\cos(2\pi t/p)-1} N \le \exp\left(-2\pi^2\alpha^2\right)$$

for some constant $\tilde{C}$.

We have

$$\sum_{t=2}^{(p-1)/2} t\log^{\cos(2\pi t/p)-1} N = \sum_{2\le t<p/4} t\log^{\cos(2\pi t/p)-1} N + O(p^2\log^{-1} N).$$

Note that

$$\frac{5\pi^2}{X^2} \le \cos\frac{2\pi}{p} - \cos\frac{4\pi}{p} \le \cos\frac{4\pi}{p} - \cos\frac{6\pi}{p} \le \dots$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{2\le t<p/4} t\log^{\cos(2\pi t/p)-1} N \le \sum_{2\le t<p/4} t\log^{\frac{-5\pi^2(t-1)}{X^2}} N \le$$

$$2\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} t\exp\left(-5\pi^2\alpha^2 t\right) = \frac{2\exp(-5\pi^2\alpha^2)}{\left(1-\exp(-5\pi^2\alpha^2)\right)^2}.$$

Clearly, for α large enough, we have

$$\frac{2\exp(-5\pi^2\alpha^2)}{\left(1-\exp(-5\pi^2\alpha^2)\right)^2} \le 0.5\,\tilde{C}^{-1}\exp\left(-2\pi^2\alpha^2\right).$$

Obviously,

$$p^2\log^{-1} N = o(1).$$

Thus we obtain

$$pB_3 \le 0.1N\,|A_1|$$

for α large enough. Note that α does not depend on N. Hence

$$N\,|A_1| \gg \frac{N}{\log\log N}.$$

Obviously, $pB_1 = o\left(N/\log\log N\right)$ and $pB_2 = o\left(N/\log\log N\right)$.

Therefore,

$$\Xi(N) \gg N\,|A_1| \gg \frac{N}{\log\log N}.$$

□

I am grateful to Vitalii V. Iudelevich for setting the problem and Alexander B. Kalmynin for valuable discussions.

References

[1] A. A. Karatsuba, Melvyn B. Nathanson. Basic Analytic Number Theory, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1993

[2] M. E. Changa. Methods of analytic number theory, RCD, Moscow–Izhevsk 2013. (Russian)

[3] M. E. Changa. On integers whose number of prime divisors belongs to a given residue class 2019 Izv. Math. 83-173

[4] P. Erdős, A. Sárkőzy, C. Pomerance. On locally repeated values of certain arithmetic function, I, 1983

[5] R. C. Baker, G. Harman, J. Pintz. The Difference Between Consecutive Primes, II, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, Volume 83, Issue 3, November 2001, Pages 532–562