arXiv:2203.12021v4 [math.GR] 13 Mar 2024

ON GROUPS WITH LARGE VERBAL QUOTIENTS

FRANCESCA LISI AND LUCA SABATINI

ABSTRACT. Let $w = w(x_1, ..., x_n)$ be a word, i.e. an element of the free group $F = \langle x_1, ..., x_n \rangle$. The verbal subgroup $w(G)$ of a group G is the subgroup generated by the set $\{w(x_1, ..., x_n) : x_1, ..., x_n \in G\}$ of all w-values in G. Following J. González-Sánchez and B. Klopsch, a group G is w-maximal if $|H : w(H)| < |G : w(G)|$ for every $H < G$. In this paper we give new results on w-maximal groups, and study the weaker condition in which the previous inequality is not strict. Some applications are given: for example, if a finite group has a solvable (resp. nilpotent) section of size n, then it has a solvable (resp. nilpotent) subgroup of size at least n.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime. In [20], J.G. Thompson observed that, if G is a finite p-group such that $|H : [H, H]| < |G : [G : G]|$ for every $H < G$, then the nilpotency class of G is at most 2. In [17], the second author remarks that the previous strict inequality provides nilpotency, and so the hypothesis that G is a p-group can be removed. With a more general approach, J. González-Sánchez and B. Klopsch introduced the concept of w-maximal group [4]. Let $w = w(x_1, ..., x_n)$ be a word, i.e. an element of the free group $F = \langle x_1, ..., x_n \rangle$. The verbal subgroup $w(G)$ of a group G is the subgroup generated by the set $\{w(x_1, ..., x_n) : x_1, ..., x_n \in G\}$ of all w-values in G.

Definition. A group G is *w-maximal* if $|H : w(H)| < |G : w(G)|$ for every $H < G$.

They focus on finite p-groups, and prove $w(G) \leqslant Z(G)$ for a large class of words. The present article has two goals: give new and more general results on w-maximal groups, and study the following weaker condition.

Definition. A group G is *weakly w-maximal* if $|H : w(H)| \leq |G : w(G)|$ for every $H \leqslant G$.

As in [4], the bulk of the paper is about finite groups. We show that this is not really restrictive, because every residually finite weakly w-maximal group is in fact finite in many important cases. The general approach naturally leads to the following useful result.

Proposition 1.1. *Let G be a finite group. If G has a solvable (resp. nilpotent) section of size n, then it has a solvable (resp. nilpotent) subgroup of size at least n.*

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F99.

Key words and phrases. w-maximal group, verbal subgroup.

This work is dedicated to our professor Carlo Casolo.

Let $n \geq 2$ and $\gamma_n := [x_1, ..., x_n]$, so that γ_2-maximal groups are those involved in the original Thompson's theorem. Unexpectedly, the hypothesis of weak γ_2-maximality is sufficient to obtain nilpotency, but does not provide any bound on the nilpotency class. Moreover, the same is true when $n = 3$. (We stress that the properties of being weakly γ_n-maximal and weakly γ_{n+1}-maximal are independent.)

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n = 2, 3$. Every weakly γ_n-maximal finite group is the direct product of weakly γ_n-maximal finite p-groups.*

In some sense, Theorem 1.2 is the best possible, because it fails for each $n \geq 4$ (see Example 2.13). Our proof does not require the classification of the non-abelian finite simple groups.
In Section 4, we face the problem of studying the w-maximal groups more in depth. We prove the following general theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *The properties of being weakly w-maximal and w-maximal are invariant under w-isologism.*

We refer to Section 4 for the detailed statement. As we will explain, Theorem 1.3 helps to better understand weakly w-maximal and w-maximal groups, especially when $w = \gamma_2$. We conclude with a short list of questions.

2. General results

We start with some basic facts concerning verbal subgroups.

Lemma 2.1. *Let w be a word, and $N \lhd G$. Then*

(i) $w(G/N) = Nw(G)/N$;
(ii) $|G : w(G)| \leq |N : w(N)||(G/N) : w(G/N)|$.

Proof. (i) is trivial, and for (ii) we use the equality

$$\begin{aligned} |G : w(G)| &= |G : Nw(G)||Nw(G) : w(G)| \\ &= |(G/N) : w(G/N)||N : N \cap w(G)|\,, \end{aligned}$$

and the fact that $w(N) \leqslant N \cap w(G)$. □

Remark 2.2. If $A \times B$ is the direct product of two groups, then $w(A \times B) = w(A) \times w(B)$.

We will frequently use the following computation: if $G = Hw(G)$ for some $H \leqslant G$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |G : w(G)| &= |Hw(G) : w(G)| \\ &= |H : H \cap w(G)| \\ &\leq |H : w(H)|\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

2.1. **Weakly w-maximal groups.** The next are important properties of weakly w-maximal and w-maximal groups. It is easy to see that if G is w-maximal and N is a normal subgroup contained in $w(G)$, then G/N is w-maximal (this is the content of [4, Lemma 2.1(b)]). Indeed, a much more general statement is true.

Lemma 2.3. *Every quotient of a weakly w-maximal group (resp. w-maximal) is weakly w-maximal (resp. w-maximal).*

Proof. Let G be weakly w-maximal, and let $N \lhd G$. If $N \leqslant H < G$, then Lemma 2.1(i) and some computations show that

$$\begin{aligned} |(H/N) : w(H/N)| &= |H : Nw(H)| \\ &= \frac{|H : w(H)|}{|N : N \cap w(H)|} \\ &\leq \frac{|G : w(G)|}{|N : N \cap w(G)|} \\ &= |G : Nw(G)| \\ &= |(G/N) : w(G/N)| \,. \end{aligned}$$

If G is w-maximal, then the inequality in the middle is strict, and so G/N is w-maximal. □

Lemma 2.4. *A direct product $A \times B$ is weakly w-maximal (resp. w-maximal) if and only if both A and B are weakly w-maximal (resp. w-maximal).*

Proof. The "only if" part follows from Lemma 2.3. For the "if" part, let A and B be weakly w-maximal, and set $G = A \times B$. Let $H < G$. Let $\pi : H \to B$ be the natural projection, and let H_A be the kernel of π. Then, from Lemma 2.1(ii) and the isomorphism theorem, we have

$$|H : w(H)| \leq |H_A : w(H_A)||\pi(H) : w(\pi(H))|. \tag{2.2}$$

Since H_A is isomorphic to a subgroup of A, we have

$$|H : w(H)| \leq |A : w(A)||B : w(B)| = |G : w(G)|,$$

as desired. Finally, let A and B be w-maximal groups. Now H is proper in G, so at least one among the strict inclusions $H_A < A$ and $\pi(H) < B$ is true. Hence, we obtain $|H : w(H)| < |G : w(G)|$ from (2.2). □

2.2. **w-maximal groups.** The next simple observation makes w-maximal groups a useful tool in the study of finite groups in general. Special cases are implicitly used in [4, 13, 17].

Lemma 2.5. *Let G be a finite group and let w be a word. Then there exists a w-maximal subgroup $H \leqslant G$ such that $|H| \geq |G : w(G)|$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to choose H as minimal, with respect to inclusion, with the property that $|H : w(H)| \geq |G : w(G)|$. □

Remark 2.6. The main result of [17] really gives that every finite group G contains a γ_2-maximal subgroup of size at least $|G|^{O(1)/\log\log|G|}$. It is an important open question whether this can be improved to $|G|^{O(1)}$ for solvable groups [14, 15].

We emphasize that there exist applications of w-maximal groups that are not based solely on Lemma 2.5. For example, in [5] the authors use w-maximal groups to give a characterization of powerful p-groups, for every $p \geq 5$. We use $\Phi(G)$ to denote the Frattini subgroup of G, that is, the intersection of the maximal subgroups of G.

Lemma 2.7. *Let G be a w-maximal group. Then $w(G) \leqslant \Phi(G)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $w(G) \not\leqslant \Phi(G)$. Then there exists a proper subgroup $H < G$ which supplements $w(G)$. Therefore, (2.1) gives that G is not w-maximal. □

Following [4], a word w is *interchangeable* in a finite p-group G if, for every $N \lhd G$, we have

$$[w(N), G)] \leqslant [N, w(G)][w(G), G]^p [w(G), G, G]. \tag{2.3}$$

As a consequence of standard commutator formulas, $\gamma_n = [x_1, ..., x_n]$ is interchangeable in every finite p-group, for each $n \geq 2$ (see [4, Lemma 3.1]). In [4, Theorem 3.3], the authors have noticed that (2.3) is the key ingredient to apply Thompson's original argument [20].

Theorem 2.8 (González-Sánchez and Klopsch)**.** *Let G be a w-maximal finite p-group. If w is interchangeable in G, then $w(G) \leqslant Z(G)$.*

Lemma 2.9. *Let G be a w-maximal finite group. If $G/w(G)$ is nilpotent, then G is nilpotent. If $G/w(G)$ has nilpotency class c, and w is interchangeable in G, then G has nilpotency class at most $c+1$.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.7, $G/\Phi(G)$ is nilpotent. Then, it is well known that G itself is nilpotent [12, 2.2.5(b)], i.e. the direct product of w-maximal p-groups $G_1, ..., G_r$ (Lemma 2.4). From Remark 2.2, $G_i/w(G_i)$ is of class at most c for every $i = 1, ..., r$, and Theorem 2.8 finishes the proof. □

2.3. **Remarks on infinite w-maximal groups.** In this subsection, let G be a residually finite infinite group, and let w be a word. If $|G : w(G)| = \infty$, then G is weakly w-maximal trivially. However, it cannot be w-maximal: whenever $H \leqslant G$ has finite index, we have $|H : w(H)| \geq \frac{|G:w(G)|}{|G:H|} = \infty$. So the interesting case is when $|G : w(G)| < \infty$. Here it is important to distinguish whether w is a *commutator word* (i.e. $w \in [F, F]$) or not. The following result improves the direction (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) of [4, Theorem 2.2], and the proof is much easier. We remark that γ_n is a commutator word for every $n \geq 2$.

Proposition 2.10. *Let w be a commutator word, and suppose $|G : w(G)| = k < \infty$. If G is a residually finite weakly w-maximal group, then G is finite. Moreover, $|G| \leq k^{O(\log\log k)}$.*

Proof. Let $N \lhd G$ be a normal subgroup of finite index n, and let $\widetilde{G} = G/N$. By [17, Theorem 1], $\widetilde{G}$ contains an abelian section of size at least $n^{O(1)/\log\log n}$. This provides a subgroup $H \leqslant G$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} k &\geq |H : w(H)| \\ &\geq |H : \gamma_2(H)| \\ &\geq n^{O(1)/\log\log n}. \end{aligned}$$

This also gives $\log\log k \geq O(1)\log\log n$, and then

$$k^{\log\log k} \geq n^{O(1)\log\log k/\log\log n} \geq n^{O(1)}.$$

Since a residually finite infinite group has normal subgroups of finite but arbitrarily large index, and k is fixed, we achieve that G is finite. Therefore, the desired bound is obtained by choosing $N = 1$. □

If w is not a commutator word, then there exists an abelian residually finite infinite weakly w-maximal group G such that $|G : w(G)| < \infty$. The following construction is taken from [4, Proof of Theorem 2.2]. Let p be a prime and consider a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module G, where $\mathbb{Z}_p$ denotes the ring of p-adic integers. Then $w(G)$ is a non-trivial characteristic subgroup of G and hence $w(G) = p^r G$ for some $r \geq 0$. In fact, for all submodules H of G we have $w(H) = p^r H$ and consequently $|H : w(H)| \leq |G : w(G)|$.

2.4. **Nilpotent and solvable quotients.** The following special case of Lemma 2.9 generalizes both results in [4] and [17], where G is a p-group and $n = 2$ respectively.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $n \geq 2$. Every γ_n-maximal finite group is nilpotent of class at most n.*

We write $\gamma_\infty(G) := \cap_{n\geq 1}\gamma_n(G)$ to denote the nilpotent residual of G.

Lemma 2.12. *Let G be a finite group. If $|H : \gamma_\infty(H)| < |G : \gamma_\infty(G)|$ for every $H < G$, then G is nilpotent.*

Proof. If c is the nilpotency class of $G/\gamma_\infty(G)$, then the hypotheses of Lemma 2.11 are satisfied with $n = c + 1$. □

Example 2.13. We stress that Lemma 2.12 with "$\leq$" is false. Let $V = \mathbb{F}_3 \times \mathbb{F}_3$, and let K be the Sylow 2-subgroup of $GL(V)$, namely K is a semidihedral group of order 16. Let $G = V \rtimes K$. Then $\gamma_\infty(G) = V$, and every nilpotent section of G has order bounded by $|G : \gamma_\infty(G)| = |K|$, but G is not nilpotent. Since the nilpotency class of K is 3, this example also shows that Theorem 1.2 becomes false for each $n \geq 4$.

In order to deal with the solvable sections, we report [15, Proposition 2.2]. A subgroup $H \leqslant G$ is said to be *intravariant* if every image of H under an automorphism of G is conjugate in G to H.

Proposition 2.14 (Chunikhin)**.** *Let $G = G_0 \rhd \ldots \rhd G_r = 1$ be a normal series with each G_i normal in G. Let $\overline{G_i} = G_{i-1}/G_i$ denote the factors. Suppose for each i that $\overline{H_i}$ is an intravariant subgroup of $\overline{G_i}$. Then there is a subgroup H of G such that all the non-abelian composition factors of H occur as composition factors of some of the groups $\overline{H_i}$, and $|H| \geq \prod_i |\overline{H_i}|$.*

A very strong result holds for the solvable residual $\mathcal{O}_\infty(G)$, i.e. the intersection of all members of the derived series. Indeed, in the hypotheses of the following lemma, we are just bounding (in the weak sense) the cardinality of the solvable *subgroups*.

Lemma 2.15. *Let G be a finite group. If $|H| \leq |G : \mathcal{O}_\infty(G)|$ for every solvable subgroup H, then G is solvable.*

Proof. Suppose that $N = \mathcal{O}_\infty(G) \neq 1$, and consider the normal series $G \rhd N \rhd 1$. Let $P \leqslant N$ be a non-trivial Sylow subgroup of N. Since the Sylow p-subgroups are all conjugated when p is fixed, we can apply Proposition 2.14 with $\overline{H_1} = G/N$, and $\overline{H_2} = P$. We obtain a solvable subgroup of G of size at least $|\overline{H_1}||\overline{H_2}| > |G : N|$. This contradicts the hypotheses. □

Remark 2.16. If G is finite, then $\gamma_\infty(G)$ and $\mathcal{O}_\infty(G)$ are verbal subgroups that coincide with some term of the lower central series, or derived series, of G. This is not true for infinite groups, because nilpotent and solvable groups are only *pseudovarieties*, in the sense of [2].

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Let $N_0 \lhd G_0 \leqslant G$ be the given solvable section, and choose $G_1 \leqslant G_0$ as minimal, with respect to inclusion, with the property that $|G_1 : \mathcal{O}_\infty(G_1)| \geq |G_0 : N_0|$. Then, apply Lemma 2.15 to G_1. The same argument works if G_0/N_0 is nilpotent, by using Lemma 2.12. □

For example, as a consequence of Proposition 1.1, we can improve an influential theorem of Dixon [1]:

Theorem 2.17. *Let $a(n)$ and $b(n)$ denote the largest orders of sections of the symmetric group on n elements, which are solvable and nilpotent, respectively. Then $a(n) \leq 24^{(n-1)/3}$, and $b(n) \leq 2^{n-1}$.*

3. The Theorem 1.2

In this section, every group is finite. Let $w = w(x_1, ..., x_n)$ be a word. We first observe that, under very mild assumptions on w, it is sufficient to prove that every weakly w-maximal *solvable* group is nilpotent. For this step we use the main result of J.S. Rose [16], which describes the insolvable groups with a nilpotent maximal subgroup. The next is clipped from [16, Theorem 1].

Theorem 3.1 (Rose)**.** *Let G be a finite non-solvable group with a nilpotent maximal subgroup M. If S is the unique Sylow 2-subgroup of M and Q is the unique 2-complement of M, then $Z(Q) \leqslant Z(G)$.*

We remark that Rose's proof does not require the classification of the finite simple groups [6].

Proposition 3.2. *Fix a word w. Suppose that the following holds for every weakly w-maximal group G:*

- *$G/w(G)$ is solvable;*
- *if G is solvable, then it is nilpotent.*

Then every weakly w-maximal group is nilpotent.

Proof. Let G be a weakly w-maximal group. Of course, it is sufficient to prove that G is solvable. We work by induction on the order of G. If $w(G) = 1$ we are done, so let $1 \neq w(G) < G$. Let $1 \neq N \leqslant w(G)$ be a minimal normal subgroup of G. Now G/N is weakly w-maximal by Lemma 2.3, and then is solvable by induction. If N is solvable we are done, so suppose this is not the case. Of course, there exists an odd prime p which divides $|N|$. Let $P < G$ be a Sylow p-subgroup of G. Then $P_0 = P \cap N$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of N. Since $P_0 < N$, the minimality of N provides that P_0 is not normal in G. So there exists a maximal subgroup $M < G$ such that $N_G(P_0) \leqslant M$. Therefore, $P \leqslant M$. By the Frattini argument we have $G = NN_G(P_0) = NM = w(G)M$. Then (2.1) provides $|G : w(G)| \leq |M : w(M)|$. Therefore, it is easy to see that M is weakly w-maximal: if $H \leqslant M < G$, then

$$|H : w(H)| \leq |G : w(G)| \leq |M : w(M)|.$$

By induction, M is solvable and so is nilpotent by assumption. With the notation of Theorem 3.1, we obtain $M = S \times Q$, with $Z(Q) \leqslant Z(G)$. Moreover, $P_0 \lhd P \leqslant Q$ because $p \neq 2$. It follows that

$$1 \neq P_0 \cap Z(P) \leqslant N \cap Z(Q) \leqslant N \cap Z(G),$$

where we also used that P is a direct factor of Q. By the minimality of N we obtain $N \leqslant Z(G)$, against the assumption that N is not solvable. □

We now explain the relations between the notions of (weak) γ_n-maximality and (weak) γ_{n+1}-maximality. In particular, we remark that Theorem 1.2 is really giving two different results.

Example 3.3. Let $n \geq 2$. It is trivial to see that every group of nilpotency class n is γ_{n+1}-maximal, but not even weakly γ_n-maximal in general (for example, `SmallGroup(32,31)` [19] is of class 2, has commutator subgroup of size 4, and an abelian maximal subgroup). On the other hand, by Lemma 2.11, every γ_n-maximal group is γ_{n+1}-maximal. Finally, there exist weakly γ_2-maximal groups which are not weakly γ_3-maximal (see Example 3.8 in the next subsection).

We will use the following theorem of T.M. Keller and Y. Yang [10], which improves an older result of G. Glauberman [3].

Theorem 3.4 (Theorem 1.1 in [10])**.** *Let p be a prime and let V be a non-trivial elementary abelian p-group. Suppose G is a solvable p'-group of automorphisms of V. Then $|G : \gamma_3(G)| < |V|$.*

The strict inequality in Theorem 3.4 (already present in [3, Proposition 1]) follows from arithmetic considerations. It is the reason behind the validity of Theorem 1.2, as we are about to see.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Fix $n = 2$ or $n = 3$. From Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 2.4, it is sufficient to prove that every solvable weakly γ_n-maximal group is nilpotent. Let G be a counterexample of minimal order. From Lemma 2.3 and the minimality of G,

every quotient of G is weakly γ_n-maximal and so nilpotent. Let $M < G$ be a non-normal maximal subgroup. If M has a non-trivial normal core C, then $M/C \lhd G/C$ implies the contradiction $M \lhd G$. Now let $1 \neq N \lhd G$ be a minimal normal subgroup. Since G is solvable, N is an elementary abelian p-group for some prime p. Moreover, $NM = G$ and $N \cap M \lhd NM = G$ (because $N \cap M$ is normal in both N and M). By the minimality of N we obtain $N \cap M = 1$, and so $G = N \rtimes M$. Also, as the centralizer $C_M(N)$ is contained in the (trivial) normal core of M, it follows that $M \leqslant \mathrm{Aut}(N)$. Furthermore, M is a p'-group: it is nilpotent, and it is well known that in our situation we have $O_p(M) = 1$ (see [12, 6.6.3(a)]). We also remark that N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of the affine primitive group G, so that $N \leqslant \gamma_n(G)$. This gives $\gamma_n(G) = N \rtimes \gamma_n(M)$. Therefore $|G : \gamma_n(G)| = |M : \gamma_n(M)|$, but applying Theorem 3.4 we obtain $|M : \gamma_n(M)| < |N|$. Since N is an abelian subgroup of G, this contradicts the fact that G is weakly γ_n-maximal. □

Remark 3.5. Bounding the order of the nilpotent *subgroups* of a certain class is not sufficient to obtain nilpotency. In the case $w = \gamma_2$, the `SmallGroup(96,201)` [19] is a non-nilpotent group with abelianization of order 12, whose abelian subgroups have order at most 12. A related question is how, in general, $|H : \gamma_2(H)|$ behaves with respect to $|G : H|$. We refer the reader to [18] for asymptotic results on this problem, and some connections to representation theory.

3.1. **Large nilpotency class.** Let p be a prime and let $n \geq 2$. Let N be the cyclic group of size p^n. Then $\mathrm{Aut}(N)$ is an abelian group of order $p^{n-1}(p-1)$ (see [12, 2.2.5]). Let K be the Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(N)$, and consider $P = N \rtimes K$. In this subsection, we show that P is a weakly γ_2-maximal group of class n. We will frequently write P' in place of $\gamma_2(P)$. Moreover, given $x, y \in P$, we set $x^y := y^{-1}xy$, and $[x, y] := x^{-1}x^y$.

Lemma 3.6. *$|P : P'| = p^n$, and $|\gamma_i(P) : \gamma_{i+1}(P)| = p$ for every $i = 2, ..., n$.*

Proof. We first assume $p > 2$, or $p = n = 2$. Let x be a generator of N and let σ be a generator of K. Since N and K are abelian, we have $P' = [N, K]$, and $\gamma_i(P) = [\gamma_{i-1}(P), K]$ for every $i \geq 3$. By [12, 2.2.6(a)], we can assume $x^\sigma = x^{p+1}$. Then $[x^j, \sigma] = x^{-j}x^{(p+1)j} = x^{pj}$ for every $j = 0, ..., p^n - 1$. This implies that $[N, K] = \langle x^p \rangle$, and $\gamma_i(P) = [\gamma_{i-1}(P), K] = \langle x^{p^{i-1}} \rangle$ for every $i \geq 3$. Then $|N : \gamma_i(P)| = |\langle x \rangle : \langle x^{p^{i-1}} \rangle| = p^i$ for every $i = 2, ..., n + 1$.
If $p = 2 < n$ then K is not cyclic, but contains elements σ and τ such that $x^\sigma = x^5$ and $x^\tau = x^{-1}$ [12, 2.2.6(b)]. We remark that

$$[x, \sigma\tau] = [x, \tau][x, \sigma]^\tau = x^{-2}x^{-4} = x^{-6}. \tag{3.1}$$

This implies $P' = \langle x^6 \rangle = \langle x^2 \rangle$. Furthermore, if $y_1 = x^2$ and $y_{i+1} = [y_i, \sigma\tau]$ for every $i \geq 1$, then $\gamma_i(P) = \langle y_i \rangle$, and the proof follows. □

Lemma 3.7. *P is weakly γ_2-maximal.*

Proof. We first assume $p > 2$. Let $H \leqslant P$. We have to prove $|H : H'| \leq p^n$, so we can assume $|H| \geq p^{n+1}$. Then

$$|N \cap H| = \frac{|N||H|}{|NH|} \geq \frac{p^n \cdot p^{n+1}}{p^{2n-1}} = p^2.$$

Let $R = NH \cap K$. Since N and R are abelian, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [N \cap H, R] &= [N \cap H, NR] \\ &= [N \cap H, NH] \\ &= [N \cap H, H] \\ &\leqslant H' . \end{aligned}$$

We are going to estimate $|[N \cap H, R]|$. Let x be a generator of $N \cap H$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.6, let σ be a generator of K. If $|K : R| = p^a$ for some $a \geq 0$, then $\sigma^{(p^a)}$ is a generator of R. Of course, $[N \cap H, R]$ contains the element

$$[x, \sigma^{(p^a)}] = x^{-1}x^{\sigma^{(p^a)}} = x^{-1}x^{(p+1)^{(p^a)}}.$$

Now $(p+1)^{(p^a)} = \sum_{i=0}^{p^a} \binom{p^a}{i} p^i$, and $\binom{p^a}{i}$ is divisible by p^a for each $1 \leq i < p^a$. It follows that

$$(p+1)^{(p^a)} \equiv p^{a+1} + 1 \pmod{p^{a+2}}.$$

This implies $\langle x^{p^{a+1}} \rangle \leqslant [N \cap H, R]$, and so $|N \cap H : [N \cap H, R]| \leq p^{a+1} = |K : R|p$. Hence we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |H : H'| &\leq \frac{|H|}{|[N \cap H, R]|} \\ &= \frac{|H|}{|N \cap H|} \cdot \frac{|N \cap H|}{|[N \cap H, R]|} \\ &\leq |R||K : R|p \\ &= p^n . \end{aligned}$$

The case $p = 2$ is similar, by using (3.1). □

Example 3.8. The groups in this subsection also offer weakly γ_2-maximal groups that are not weakly γ_3-maximal. Let p be any prime, and choose $n = 4$ and P as in the previous construction. Then $P \cong C_{p^4} \rtimes C_{p^3}$, and $|P : \gamma_3(P)| = p^5$. On the other hand, it is easy to check that P has a maximal subgroup of nilpotency class 2.

4. Isologisms and γ_2-maximal groups

4.1. **Isologisms.** For the convenience of the reader, let us explain some terminology from the theory of isologisms, the standard references being [7, 8, 9]. Fix a word $w = w(x_1, ..., x_n)$. In every group G, we have the word map

$$w : \overbrace{G \times ... \times G}^{n} \longrightarrow G.$$

The *marginal subgroup* $m_w(G)$ for w in G is the set of elements $g \in G$ such that

$$w(x_1, ..., x_n) \;=\; w(x_1, ..., x_i g, ..., x_n) \;=\; w(x_1, ..., g x_i, ..., x_n)$$

for every $x_1, ..., x_n \in G$ and every $i = 1, ..., n$. The prototype is $w = \gamma_2 = [x_1, x_2]$, where $w(G) = G'$ and $m_w(G) = Z(G)$. We just write $m(G) = m_w(G)$ when w is clear from the context. By definition of $m(G)$, the map w induces a map

$$\theta_w : \overbrace{\frac{G}{m(G)} \times ... \times \frac{G}{m(G)}}^{n} \;\longrightarrow\; w(G).$$

Now, two groups A and B are said to be *w-isologic* if there exist isomorphisms

$$\phi : w(A) \to w(B) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \psi : A/m(A) \to B/m(B)$$

which commute with θ_w. Isologism is an equivalence relation, and divides the groups into families [8]. The content of Theorem 1.3 is: if two finite groups A and B are w-isologic, then one among them is weakly w-maximal (resp. w-maximal) if and only if both are weakly w-maximal (resp. w-maximal).

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let A and B be w-isologic finite groups, and let A be weakly w-maximal. Let $\phi : w(A) \to w(B)$ and $\psi : A/m(A) \to B/m(B)$ be the isomorphisms in the definition of w-isologic groups. By the definition of $m(B)$, for every $H \leqslant B$, we have $w(Hm(B)) = w(H)$. So, it is enough to prove the inequality $|H : w(H)| \leq |B : w(B)|$ for the subgroups H that contain $m(B)$. Let $m(B) \leqslant H < B$. Moreover, let $m(A) \leqslant \overline{H} < A$ such that $\overline{H}/m(A) = \psi(H/m(B))$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |H : w(H)| \;&=\; \frac{|H/m(B)||m(B)|}{|w(H)|} \\ &=\; \frac{|\psi(H/m(B))||m(B)|}{|\phi(w(H))|} \\ &=\; \frac{|\overline{H}||m(B)|}{|\phi(w(H))||m(A)|}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Since ϕ and ψ commute with the map θ_w, it is easy to check that $\phi(w(H)) = w(\overline{H})$. This implies $|\overline{H} : \phi(w(H))| \leq |A : w(A)|$, and so from the previous equality we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |H : w(H)| \;&\leq\; |A : w(A)| \cdot \frac{|m(B)|}{|m(A)|} \\ &=\; |A : m(A)| \cdot \frac{|m(B)|}{|w(A)|} \\ &=\; |B : m(B)| \cdot \frac{|m(B)|}{|w(B)|} \\ &=\; |B : w(B)|\,. \end{aligned}$$

If A is w-maximal, then the first inequality is strict, and so B is w-maximal. □

Certainly, Theorem 1.3 asks for a classification of w-maximal groups up to w-isologism. We hope to stimulate further research in this direction.

4.2. **γ_2-maximal groups.** We conclude this article with some questions regarding (weakly) γ_2-maximal finite groups. These have not been investigated since Thompson's paper [20], and Theorem 1.3 can help to understand their structure. After Theorem 1.2, we are really interested in the p-group case. We start with the following

Question A. *Is the derived length of weakly γ_2-maximal finite groups bounded?*

If there exists some positive integer n such that the derived length is at most n, then every weakly γ_2-maximal finite group G has an abelian section of size at least $|G|^{1/n}$ (which is located in the derived series). In particular, by the weak γ_2-maximality we may have $|G : G'| \geq |G|^{1/n}$.

Question B. *Does there exist $\delta > 0$ such that every weakly γ_2-maximal finite group G satisfies $|G : G'| \geq |G|^{\delta}$?*

We point out that Question B is a weak version of [11, Problem 14.76], which asks for a large abelian section in every finite p-group. The examples of Subsection 3.1 provide $|G : G'| = (|G| \cdot p)^{1/2}$, so the δ in Question B cannot be larger than $1/2$. Since the center of a non-abelian group contains properly some abelian subgroup, every γ_2-maximal finite group G satisfies $|G : G'| > |G|^{1/2}$. The known examples suggest the following

Question C. *Does there exist $\delta > 1/2$ such that every γ_2-maximal finite group G satisfies $|G : G'| \geq |G|^{\delta}$?*

We recall that γ_2-isologisms are called *isoclinisms*, and divide p-groups into families [7]. The smallest members of each family satisfy $Z(G) \leqslant G'$ (these are the so-called *stem groups*, see [7, pag. 135]). Using Theorem 1.3, we can reduce all the three problems above to the case of stem groups, and in particular to $Z(G) = G'$ for γ_2-maximal groups. First, the derived length is invariant under isoclinism, because isoclinic groups have isomorphic commutator subgroups. Moreover, suppose one has a positive answer to Question B, for every weakly γ_2-maximal finite group W with $Z(W) \leqslant W'$. If G is any weakly γ_2-maximal finite group which is isoclinic to W, then

$$\begin{aligned} |G : G'| &= \frac{|G|}{|W'|} \\ &\geq |W : W'| \\ &\geq |W'|^{\delta/(1-\delta)} \\ &= |G'|^{\delta/(1-\delta)} , \end{aligned}$$

which in turn means $|G : G'| \geq |G|^{\delta}$. The same argument works for Question C.

References

[1] J.D. Dixon, *The Fitting subgroup of a linear solvable group*, Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society **7** (1967), 417-424.

[2] S. Eilenberg, M.P. Schützenberger, *On pseudovarieties*, Advances in Mathematics **19** (1976), 413-418.

[3] G. Glauberman, *On Burnside's other $p^\alpha q^\beta$ theorem*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **56 (2)** (1975), 469-476.
[4] J. González-Sánchez, B. Klopsch, *On w-maximal groups*, Journal of Algebra **328** (2011), 155-166.
[5] J. González-Sánchez, A. Zugadi-Reizabal, *A characterization of powerful p-groups*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **202** (2014), 321-329.
[6] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons, R. Solomon, *The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **40**, American Mathematical Society (1994).
[7] P. Hall, *The classification of prime-power groups*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **182** (1940), 130-141.
[8] P. Hall, *Verbal and marginal sugroups*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **182** (1940), 156-157.
[9] N.S. Hekster, *Varieties of groups and isologisms*, Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society **46** (1989), 22-60.
[10] T.M. Keller, Y. Yang, *Class 2 quotients of solvable linear groups*, Journal of Algebra **509** (2018), 386-396.
[11] E.I. Khukhro, V.D. Mazurov, *Unsolved Problems in Group Theory: The Kourovka Notebook* **20**, Sobolev institute of Mathematics (2022).
[12] H. Kurzweil, B. Stellmacher, *The Theory of Finite Groups: An Introduction*, Universitext Springer (2003).
[13] T.J. Laffey, *The minimum number of generators of a finite p-group*, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society **5** (1973), 288-290.
[14] A. Mann, *Some questions about p-groups*, Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society **67 (A)** (1999), 356-379.
[15] L. Pyber, *How abelian is a finite group?*, in The Mathematics of Paul Erdős, I, 372-384, Algorithms Combin., 13, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
[16] J.S. Rose, *On finite insoluble groups with nilpotent maximal subgroups*, Journal of Algebra **48** (1977), 182-196.
[17] L. Sabatini, *Nilpotent subgroups of class 2 in finite groups*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **150 (8)** (2022), 3241-3244.
[18] L. Sabatini, *The growth of abelian sections*, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata **202 (3)** (2023), 1197-1216.
[19] The GAP Group, *Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.11.1*, https://www.gap-system.org, 2011.
[20] J. Thompson, *A replacement theorem for p-groups and a conjecture*, Journal of Algebra **13** (1969), 149-151.

Francesca Lisi, Università degli Studi di Firenze
Email address: francesca.lisi@unifi.it

Luca Sabatini, Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics
Email address: sabatini@renyi.hu, sabatini.math@gmail.com