

Local Statistics of Random Permutations from Free Products

Doron Puder Tomer Zimhoni

December 7, 2022

Abstract

Let α and β be uniformly random permutations of orders 2 and 3, respectively, in S_N , and consider, say, the permutation $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}$. How many fixed points does this random permutation have on average? The current paper studies questions of this kind and relates them to surprising topological and algebraic invariants of elements in free products of groups.

Formally, let $\Gamma = G_1 * \dots * G_k$ be a free product of groups where each of G_1, \dots, G_k is either finite, finitely generated free, or an orientable hyperbolic surface group. For a fixed element $\gamma \in \Gamma$, a γ -random permutation in the symmetric group S_N is the image of γ through a uniformly random homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow S_N$. In this paper we study local statistics of γ -random permutations and their asymptotics as N grows. We first consider $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)]$, the expected number of fixed points in a γ -random permutation in S_N . We show that unless γ has finite order, the limit of $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)]$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ is an integer, and is equal to the number of subgroups $H \leq \Gamma$ containing γ such that $H \cong \mathbb{Z}$ or $H \cong C_2 * C_2$. Equivalently, this is the number of subgroups $H \leq \Gamma$ containing γ and having (rational) Euler characteristic zero. We also prove there is an asymptotic expansion for $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)]$ and determine the limit distribution of the number of fixed points as $N \rightarrow \infty$. These results are then generalized to all statistics of cycles of fixed lengths.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Fixed points in a γ -random permutation	3
1.2	Asymptotic expansion of $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)]$	6
1.3	Statistical asymptotics of cycles of bounded lengths	7
1.4	Overview of the paper	8
1.5	Related works	10
2	The space X_Γ, its covers and sub-covers	12
2.1	The graph of spaces representing Γ	12
2.2	Sub-covers and resolutions	12
3	Sub-covers of the factors of Γ	16
3.1	Sub-covers of X_G for G a free group	16
3.2	Sub-covers of X_G for G a finite group	17
3.3	Sub-covers of X_G for G a surface group	21
4	Sub-covers of X_Γ: expectations and asymptotic expansion	26
5	The limit distribution and asymptotic expansion of $\text{fix}_\gamma(N)$	28
6	Asymptotic independence and statistics of small cycles	34
7	Open questions	37

1 Introduction

Let us begin with a special case of the problem we study in this paper. Let α and β be uniformly random permutations of orders 2 and 3, respectively, in S_N , or, almost equivalently, uniformly random permutations among all those satisfying $\alpha^2 = 1$ and $\beta^3 = 1$. Consider random permutations formed by some fixed word in α and β , e.g., the random permutation $\alpha\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}$. This random permutation can also be described as the image of the element $xyxy^{-1}$ of $\Gamma = \langle x, y \mid x^2, y^3 \rangle \cong C_2 * C_3 \cong \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ through a uniformly random homomorphism to S_N . This paper studies the local statistics of such random permutations and shows that their limit distributions (as $N \rightarrow \infty$) can be completely extracted from certain algebraic and topological invariants of the corresponding element ($xyxy^{-1}$ in the above example) in the group Γ .

More generally, given a f.g. (finitely generated) group Γ , the set $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_N)$ of group homomorphisms from Γ to the symmetric group S_N is finite, and is a natural object of study, being the set of all permutation-representations (actions) of Γ on a set of size N . This set also lies in one-to-one correspondence with all N -sheeted covering spaces of a “nice” topological space¹ X with fundamental group Γ . The set $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_N)$ also shows up in the study of residual properties of Γ , of its profinite topology, of its subgroup growth and so on.

In this paper we study $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_N)$ where Γ is a free product of finite, free, and (orientable) hyperbolic surface groups. Namely,

Assumption 1.1. *Throughout this paper, we let*

$$\Gamma = G_1 * \dots * G_k \tag{1.1}$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and for every $i = 1, \dots, k$, the group G_i is either a finite group, a f.g. free group, or the fundamental group $\Lambda_g \cong \langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g \mid [a_1, b_1] \cdots [a_g, b_g] \rangle$ of a closed orientable surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Denote by $m(\Gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ the lcm of the orders of the finite factors in (1.1) (in particular, $m = 1$ if and only if Γ is torsion free).

The case of $k = 1$, namely, when Γ is simply a finite, free or surface group, was studied in previous works mentioned below and on which we build upon in the current paper. Indeed, the main innovation of the current paper is in treating non-trivial free products. Non-trivial free products in our setting include the modular group $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cong C_2 * C_3$ (we denote by C_r the cyclic group of order r) and all its f.g. subgroups, as well as many other f.g. orientable Fuchsian groups: those with parabolic or hyperbolic boundary generators (see [LS04, pp. 553]).

The mere number of homomorphisms $\Gamma \rightarrow S_N$ is well understood – see Section 1.5. Another natural question is whether a uniformly random action of Γ on $\{1, \dots, N\}$ is transitive, or, equivalently, if a random N -cover of a corresponding space is connected. Here, known results are striking: in many of the cases covered by our setting, the image of a random homomorphism $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow S_N$ is not only a.s.² a transitive subgroup of S_N , but actually a.s. contains the alternating group A_N . This is true for non-abelian free groups by the famous result of Dixon [Dix69] that two uniformly random permutations a.s. generate A_N or S_N . It is true for hyperbolic surface groups and for free products of cyclic groups which are Fuchsian by [LS04, Thm. 1.12]. Of course, adding free factors to Γ can only enlarge the image of a random homomorphism. If Γ is a finite group, $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}$ or $\Gamma \cong C_2 * C_2$, it is known (and easy) that the image of a random homomorphism to S_N is not a.s. transitive. It is probable that in all remaining cases³, the image of a random homomorphism to S_N should also contain A_N a.s., but we do not know of a reference.

¹For this correspondence, X needs to be connected, locally path-connected and semilocally simply-connected. Moreover, X is equipped with a basepoint $x_0 \in X$, the group Γ is identified with $\pi_1(X, x_0)$, and X ’s N -sheeted covering spaces $\rho: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ are equipped with a bijection between $\{1, \dots, N\}$ and the fiber $\rho^{-1}(x_0)$. See [Hat05, pp. 68-70].

²We write a.s., or asymptotically almost surely, to describe an event which has probability tending to 1 as the implied parameter (N in the current case) tends to infinity.

³The remaining cases are non-trivial free products where all factors are finite groups or \mathbb{Z} , with at most one cyclic factor or precisely two cyclic factors both of which are C_2 (excluding, of course, the group $C_2 * C_2$ itself).

1.1 Fixed points in a γ -random permutation

In this paper, however, our focus is different. We fix an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and consider its image through a uniformly random homomorphism $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow S_N$. We call the resulting random permutation a γ -random permutation. In the topological setting, the image of γ corresponds to the structure of the lifts of the corresponding closed curve in the space X to a random N -sheeted cover. We concentrate on the *local* statistics of a γ -random permutation: the distribution of the number of cycles of given fixed lengths.

We begin by presenting our results for the distribution of the number of fixed points, and later generalize to cycles of arbitrary fixed lengths. Denote by $\text{fix}_\gamma(N)$ the random variable that counts the number of fixed points of a γ -random permutation in S_N .

There is a clear distinction between torsion elements and elements of infinite order. Any non-trivial torsion element γ of Γ is conjugate into one of the finite factors (the infinite factors are torsion-free). So the statistics of a γ -random permutation only depend on the particular factor it is conjugate into. In this case, the following proposition readily follows from results in [Mül97]:

Proposition 1.2. *Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ have finite order and let $|\gamma|$ denote its order. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] = N^{1/|\gamma|} + O\left(N^{1/(2|\gamma|)}\right). \quad (1.2)$$

For instance, Example 3.7 explains why $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] = N^{1/2} + N^{1/4} + O(1)$ for $\gamma = x^2 \in \Gamma = C_4 = \langle x \rangle$. (More general statistics of γ -random permutations when γ has finite order can be derived from [MSP10].)

The picture is completely different for elements of infinite order. Consider first the case where $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z} = \langle x \rangle$ and $\gamma = x^q$. A x^q -random permutation is simply the q -power of a uniformly random permutation, and the local statistics here are well-understood: as $N \rightarrow \infty$ they converge in distribution to a sum of suitable independent Poisson variables – see [DS94]. In particular, $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)]$ converges to $d(q)$, the number of positive divisors of q . Nica showed in [Nic94] that the same is true for elements of a free group: if Γ is a f.g. free group and $1 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma$, write $\gamma = \gamma_0^q$ with $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ a non-power. Then $\text{fix}_\gamma(N)$ converges in distribution, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, to the same sum of Poissons as $x^q \in \mathbb{Z}$ does. In particular, the limit distribution depends only on q and not on γ_0 .

The case of orientable surface groups was recently studied by Magee and the first author in [MP20]. While the presence of a relation makes the analysis in this case by far more complicated than in free groups, it is nevertheless shown in [MP20] that Nica's results about free group elements hold in surface groups as well. In particular, for $g \geq 2$ and $1 \neq \gamma \in \Lambda_g$, if we write $\gamma = \gamma_0^q$ with γ_0 a non-power and $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, then $\text{fix}_\gamma(N)$ converges in distribution, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, to the same sum of Poissons as $x^q \in \mathbb{Z}$ does⁴.

An interesting twist arises when one considers groups with torsion, and, in particular, free products of finite groups, as in the current paper. It turns out that the property of an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ which determines the local statistics of a γ -random permutation in the limit is not only whether it is a power and the value of the exponent, but rather, *the array of subgroups of Euler characteristic zero containing it and its powers*. To explain this phenomenon, let us first recall what the Euler characteristic is for the groups in play in this paper.

Definition 1.3 (Euler Characteristic of groups). The (rational) Euler characteristic of a group Γ , denoted $\chi(\Gamma)$, is a rational number defined for groups with a finite index subgroup of finite homological type – see [Bro82, Sec. IX.7]. For the sake of the current paper, it is enough to mention that

- For a finite group G , $\chi(G) = \frac{1}{|G|}$.

⁴To be precise, this result is not stated explicitly in [MP20]. The paper [MP20] is long as is and its main feature is the development of a new representation-theoretic method to compute integrals over $\text{Hom}(\Lambda_g, S_N)$. To keep that paper to a manageable size, it states explicitly only the result that $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} d(q)$. However, the stronger results about the limit distributions of $\text{fix}_\gamma(N)$ and other local statistics follow readily from [MP20]. At any rate, the proofs we give in the current paper heavily rely on [MP20] and encompass, as a special case, the case of $\gamma \in \Lambda_g$.

Γ	γ	$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} [\text{fix}_\gamma(N)]$	\mathcal{H}_γ
$C_2 * C_2 = \langle x \rangle * \langle y \rangle$	$[x, y]$	5	$\langle \gamma \rangle, \langle xy \rangle, \langle x, yxy \rangle, \langle xyx, y \rangle, \Gamma$
$C_2 * C_q = \langle x \rangle * \langle y \rangle,$ $2 < q$		2	$\langle \gamma \rangle, \langle x, yxy^{-1} \rangle$
$C_m * C_q = \langle x \rangle * \langle y \rangle,$ $2 < m \leq q$		1	$\langle \gamma \rangle$
$\mathbf{F}_2 = \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z} = \langle x \rangle * \langle y \rangle$		1	$\langle \gamma \rangle$
$\Lambda_2 =$ $\langle x, y, z, t \mid [x, y][z, t] \rangle$		1	$\langle \gamma \rangle$
$C_2 * C_2 = \langle x \rangle * \langle y \rangle$	$(xy)^3$	6	$\langle \gamma \rangle, \langle xy \rangle, \langle x, yxyxy \rangle, \langle yxy, xyx \rangle, \langle y, xyxyx \rangle, \Gamma$
$C_3 * C_4 = \langle x \rangle * \langle y \rangle$	$[x, y^2]$	2	$\langle \gamma \rangle, \langle xy^2x^{-1}, y^2 \rangle$

Table 1: This table illustrates Theorem 1.4 and gives the limit value of $\mathbb{E} [\text{fix}_\gamma(N)]$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for various infinite-order elements γ in various groups. The limit is the number of subgroups $H \leq \Gamma$ with $\chi(H) = 0$ containing γ , and their full list in each case is given in the rightmost column.

- For a rank- r free group, $\chi(\mathbf{F}_r) = 1 - r$.
- For a surface group $\Lambda_g \cong \langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g \mid [a_1, b_1] \cdots [a_g, b_g] \rangle$, $\chi(\Lambda_g) = 2 - 2g$.
- If G_1 and G_2 have a well-defined Euler characteristic, then so does $G_1 * G_2$, and

$$\chi(G_1 * G_2) = \chi(G_1) + \chi(G_2) - 1.$$

So, for example, $\chi(\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})) = \chi(C_2 * C_3) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - 1 = -\frac{1}{6}$. By Kurosh subgroup theorem, if Γ is as in (1.1), then every subgroup of Γ is a free product of (conjugates of) subgroups of the factors of Γ together with, possibly, a free group factor. As every subgroup of a free group is free, and every subgroup of Λ_g ($g \geq 2$) is either free or Λ_h for some $h \geq g$ (e.g. [Sco78]), we get that every f.g. subgroup of Γ is, too, of the form (1.1), and, in particular, has a well-defined EC (Euler characteristic) as in Definition 1.3. Note that when restricting to the groups considered in this paper, the only groups with positive EC are finite groups, and the only groups with EC zero are \mathbb{Z} and $C_2 * C_2$.

For Γ as in (1.1) and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, denote

$$\mathcal{H}_\gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{H \leq \Gamma \mid \gamma \in H \text{ and } \chi(H) = 0\}. \quad (1.3)$$

Equivalently, this is the set of subgroups of Γ containing γ which are isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} or to $C_2 * C_2$. It is not hard to show (and see Corollary 5.2) that this set is finite for every non-torsion $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let Γ be as in (1.1) and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ have infinite order. Then*

$$\mathbb{E} [\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] \stackrel{N \rightarrow \infty}{\rightarrow} |\mathcal{H}_\gamma|. \quad (1.4)$$

More precisely, writing $m = m(\Gamma)$ as in Assumption 1.1, we have

$$\mathbb{E} [\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] = |\mathcal{H}_\gamma| + O(N^{-1/m}).$$

In Table 1 we illustrate this result with some concrete examples. This generalizes the above-mentioned results in free groups and surface groups, as these groups are torsion free and have no embedded copies of $C_2 * C_2$. Thus, in this case \mathcal{H}_γ contains only infinite cyclic groups: if $\gamma = \gamma_0^q$ with γ_0 a non-power, this set is $\mathcal{H}_\gamma = \{\langle \gamma_0^d \rangle \mid 1 \leq d \mid q\}$ (see Footnote 10 for some details).

One can give a unified statement encompassing both Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 1.4: for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$

$$\mathbb{E} [\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] = c_\gamma \cdot N^{1/|\gamma|} \left(1 + O(N^{-1/m})\right), \quad (1.5)$$

where $|\gamma|$ is the order of γ , $\frac{1}{\infty} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0$, c_γ is the number of subgroups $H \leq \Gamma$ containing γ and of Euler characteristic $\frac{1}{|\gamma|}$, and $m = \bar{m}(\Gamma)$. Indeed, (1.5) coincides with Theorem 1.4 when $|\gamma| = \infty$. If γ is a torsion element, then $\langle \gamma \rangle$ is the sole subgroup of $\text{EC} \frac{1}{|\gamma|}$ containing γ , and so $c_\gamma = 1$. Both bounds on the error term $-O(N^{1/|\gamma|-1/m})$ in (1.5) and $O(N^{1/(2|\gamma|)})$ in (1.2) – hold in this case. See Section 3.2 for details.

In fact, the role of EC of subgroups in local statistics of random homomorphisms $\Gamma \rightarrow S_N$ goes much further. Roughly, for a natural choice of a nice space X_Γ with fundamental group Γ , let $p: X \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ be an arbitrary covering space, let $Y \subseteq X$ be a compact subspace, and for simplicity assume that Y is connected. Let $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y) \leq \Gamma$ be the (conjugacy class of the) subgroup corresponding to Y , namely, this is $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_*(\pi_1(Y)) \leq \pi_1(X_\Gamma) = \Gamma$. Then the average number of embeddings of Y in a random N -cover of X_Γ , or more precisely the average number of injective lifts of $p|_Y: Y \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ to a random N -cover of X_Γ , is of order $N^{\chi(\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y))}$. The precise statement is given in Theorem 2.6 below (and see Remark 2.7). This result is an important ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.4 and the other main results.

The same method we use to prove Theorem 1.4 can be used to compute the limit of all moments of $\text{fix}_\gamma(N)$ and, by the method of moments, prove the following.

Theorem 1.5. *Let Γ be as in (1.1) and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ have infinite order. Let H_1, \dots, H_t be representatives of the conjugacy classes of subgroups represented in \mathcal{H}_γ . For $i = 1, \dots, t$ let $\alpha_i = |\{\mathcal{H}_\gamma \cap H_i^\Gamma\}|$ be the number of conjugates of H_i in \mathcal{H}_γ , and let $\beta_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [N_\Gamma(H_i) : H_i]$ be the index of H_i in its normalizer. Then*

$$\text{fix}_\gamma(N) \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\text{dis}} \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i \beta_i Z_{1/\beta_i}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $Z_\lambda \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda)$ (a random variable with Poisson distribution with parameter λ), the different Z_λ 's in the sum are independent, and “ $\xrightarrow{\text{dis}}$ ” denotes convergence in distribution.

Example 1.6. Consider the penultimate element from Table 1: $\gamma = (xy)^3 \in \Gamma = C_2 * C_2 = \langle x \rangle * \langle y \rangle$. In this case, the elements of \mathcal{H}_γ belong to four different conjugacy classes: $\{\langle \gamma \rangle\}$, $\{\langle xy \rangle\}$, $\{\Gamma\}$ and $\{\langle x, yxyxy \rangle, \langle yxy, xyx \rangle, \langle y, xyxyx \rangle\}$, so $t = 4$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 1$ and $\alpha_4 = 3$. In addition, $\langle \gamma \rangle \leq \Gamma$ and $\langle xy \rangle \leq \Gamma$ and so $\beta_1 = [\Gamma : \langle \gamma \rangle] = 6$, $\beta_2 = [\Gamma : \langle xy \rangle] = 2$ and $\beta_3 = [\Gamma : \Gamma] = 1$. Finally, $N_\Gamma(\langle x, yxyxy \rangle) = \langle x, yxyxy \rangle$ and so $\beta_4 = 1$. Hence in this case

$$\text{fix}_\gamma(N) \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\text{dis}} 6Z_{1/6} + 2Z_{1/2} + Z_1 + 3Z_1$$

(here the last two Z_1 's are two distinct, independent Poisson variables with parameter 1 each).

Given a non-torsion $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the set \mathcal{H}_γ can be generated by following the procedure⁵ in the proof of Theorem 1.4 in Section 5.

As a special case, we retrieve the known results when Γ is free (originally due to Nica [Nic94]) or a hyperbolic orientable surface group (due to Magee-Puder [MP20] – and see Footnote 4). Recall that in these cases, if $\gamma = \gamma_0^q \in \Gamma$ with γ_0 a non-power, then $\mathcal{H}_\gamma = \{\langle \gamma_0^d \rangle \mid 1 \leq d|q\}$. Moreover, $N_\Gamma(\langle \gamma_0^d \rangle) = \langle \gamma_0 \rangle$ and $\langle \gamma_0 \rangle$ is malnormal. Thus Theorem 1.5 translates to the following.

Corollary 1.7. [Nic94, MP20] *Assume that Γ is either free or a hyperbolic orientable surface group (so $\Gamma = \Lambda_g = \langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g \mid [a_1, b_1] \cdots [a_g, b_g] \rangle$ with $g \geq 2$). Let $1 \neq \gamma = \gamma_0^q \in \Gamma$ with γ_0 a non-power and $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then*

$$\text{fix}_\gamma(N) \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\text{dis}} \sum_{1 \leq d|q} dZ_{1/d}.$$

⁵In short, this procedure involves constructing a 1-dimensional “sub-cover” corresponding to γ , producing all surjective morphisms from it (namely, construction all ‘sub-covers’ which are its quotients, with the map between them), and recognizing the quotients with labeled fundamental group of Euler characteristic zero. See Sections 2 and 5 for details.

The following quantitative version of the residual finiteness of Γ , follows from Theorem 1.5 by a simple application of the Markov inequality (and see [MP20, Sec. 1.4] for some background).

Corollary 1.8. *Given a non-torsion element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$,*

$$\frac{|\{\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_N) \mid \varphi(\gamma) \neq \text{id}\}|}{|\text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_N)|} \geq 1 - \frac{c_r(\gamma)}{N^r} - O\left(\frac{1}{N^{r+1/m}}\right),$$

where $c_r(\gamma) = \mathbb{E}[(\sum \alpha_i \beta_i Z_{1/\beta_i})^r]$, and α_i and β_i are the parameters from Theorem 1.5.

(The corollary follows from the fact that $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)^r] = c_r(\gamma) + O(N^{-1/m})$, which follows from Theorem 1.5, Equation (5.5) and Theorem 2.6.)

Remark 1.9. It is not clear to us to what extent the results in this paper can be extended to more general f.g. groups. There are certainly groups which behave very differently. As an example, consider the group $\mathbb{Z}^2 = \langle x, y \mid [x, y] \rangle$. The image of x in a uniformly random homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow G$ to some finite group G is a uniformly random element in a uniformly random conjugacy class. So if $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow S_N$ is uniformly random, $\varphi(x)$ has the cycle structure of a uniformly random conjugacy class. In particular, $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_x(N)]$ is the average number of rows of length one in a uniformly random Young diagram with N blocks. It is not hard to see that this number is

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_x(N)] = \frac{p(0) + p(1) + \dots + p(N-1)}{p(N)}, \quad (1.7)$$

where p is the partition function. This number is of order \sqrt{N} . In fact, $\text{fix}_x(N) \cdot \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6N}}$ converges in distribution to the exponential distribution with expectation 1 – see [Fri93, Thm. 2.1]. Notice there are infinitely many EC-zero subgroups containing x : $\{\langle x, y^j \rangle \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$. See also Section 7.

1.2 Asymptotic expansion of $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)]$

When Γ is free and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, it is not hard to show that $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)]$ is given by a rational function in N for every large enough N (see [Nic94, LP10]). For example, for $\gamma = [x, y] \in \mathbf{F}_2 = \mathbf{F}(x, y)$ we have $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] = \frac{N}{N-1}$ for every $N \geq 2$. Such a clean result does not hold for the other groups we consider here. Yet, asymptotic expansion, in the form of rational or “fractional rational” approximation, does exist.

Definition 1.10 (Asymptotic expansion). Let $f: \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $k_1 > k_2 > \dots$ be a decreasing sequence of real numbers and a_{k_1}, a_{k_2}, \dots a sequence of real numbers. We say that f has asymptotic expansion given by a_{k_1}, a_{k_2}, \dots and denote

$$f(N) \stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} a_{k_1} N^{k_1} + a_{k_2} N^{k_2} + a_{k_3} N^{k_3} + \dots,$$

or simply $f(N) \stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{k_j} N^{k_j}$, if for every $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ we have

$$f(N) = a_{k_1} N^{k_1} + a_{k_2} N^{k_2} + \dots + a_{k_\ell} N^{k_\ell} + O(N^{k_{\ell+1}}).$$

The most recent development here is the easiest to state:

Theorem 1.11. [MP20, Thm. 1.1] *For any $\gamma \in \Lambda_g$ there are rational numbers $a_i = a_i(\gamma)$ for $i = 1, 0, -1, -2, \dots$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] \stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} a_1 N + a_0 + a_{-1} N^{-1} + a_{-2} N^{-2} + \dots \quad (1.8)$$

The case of finite groups has a long history. The expected number of fixed points is intimately related to the size of $\text{Hom}(G, S_N)$: indeed, if $\langle x \rangle = C_q$ is a cyclic group, then $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_x(N)] = N \cdot \frac{|\text{Hom}(C_q, S_{N-1})|}{|\text{Hom}(C_q, S_N)|}$. Already in 1951 it was conjectured by Chowla, Herstein and Moore [CHM51] that $\frac{|\text{Hom}(C_2, S_N)|}{|\text{Hom}(C_2, S_{N-1})|}$ has asymptotic expansion of the form $N^{1/2} + A + BN^{-1/2} + CN^{-1} + DN^{-3/2} + \dots$, a conjecture proven slightly later by Moser and Wyman [MW55]. After many milestones, a complete solution for arbitrary finite groups was given by Müller in 1997.

Theorem 1.12. [Mül97, Thm. 6] *Let G be a finite group of order $m \geq 2$. Then there are rational numbers⁶ $Q_t = Q_t(G)$ for $t = -1/m, -2/m, \dots$ such that*

$$\frac{|\text{Hom}(G, S_N)|}{|\text{Hom}(G, S_{N-1})|} \stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} N^{1-1/m} \cdot \left\{ 1 + Q_{-1/m} N^{-1/m} + Q_{-2/m} N^{-2/m} + \dots \right\}. \quad (1.9)$$

Müller's result can be translated into a similar asymptotic expansion for $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)]$ whenever γ is an element of a finite group (see Section 3.2 below). In the current paper we rely on Theorems 1.11 and 1.12 in order to generalize these results to arbitrary free products as in (1.1).

Theorem 1.13. *Let Γ be a free product and let $m = m(\Gamma)$ as in (1.1). Then for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there are rational numbers $a_t = a_t(\gamma)$ for $t = 1, \frac{m-1}{m}, \frac{m-2}{m}, \dots, \frac{1}{m}, 0, -\frac{1}{m}, \dots$ so that*

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] \stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} a_1 N + a_{1-1/m} N^{1-1/m} + a_{1-2/m} N^{1-2/m} + \dots \quad (1.10)$$

The leading non-vanishing term of (1.10) is given by Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 1.4. The value of the second non-zero term in (1.10), or, similarly, the order of $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] - N^{1/|\gamma|}$, may encode additional group-theoretic information about γ : see Conjecture 7.1.

One may also consider joint local statistics of different elements in Γ . We state our result for two elements, although it easily generalizes to any finite set of elements. Two variables with parameter N are asymptotically independent if they have a joint limit distribution as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and the limit is that of two independent random variables.

Theorem 1.14. *Let Γ be as in (1.1), let $m = m(\Gamma)$ and let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ have infinite order. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:*

1. $\text{fix}_{\gamma_1}(N)$ and $\text{fix}_{\gamma_2}(N)$ are asymptotically independent as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
2. γ_1 and γ_2 are **not** both conjugate into the same Euler-Characteristic-zero subgroup of Γ .
3. $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_{\gamma_1}(N) \cdot \text{fix}_{\gamma_2}(N)] = \mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_{\gamma_1}(N)] \cdot \mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_{\gamma_2}(N)] + O(N^{-1/m})$.

In concrete terms, the condition from item (2) translates in our settings to that (i) the non-power root of γ_1 is not conjugate to the non-power root of γ_2 nor of γ_2^{-1} , and (ii) γ_1 and γ_2 do not have conjugates belonging to the same subgroup isomorphic to $C_2 * C_2$.

1.3 Statistical asymptotics of cycles of bounded lengths

The techniques used to study the asymptotic distribution of $\text{fix}_\gamma(N)$, the number of fixed points in a γ -random permutation, can also be used to analyze the asymptotic distribution of $\text{cyc}_{\gamma,L}(N)$: the number of L -cycles for any fixed L (in particular, $\text{cyc}_{\gamma,1} = \text{fix}_\gamma$). In addition, they lead to the asymptotic joint

⁶The statement of Theorem 6 in [Mül97] does not explicitly specify that the coefficients Q_i are rational - the rationality is explicit only when $1 \leq i \leq m+3$, in which case concrete formulas are given. However, the rationality of Q_i for all i does follow from the proof and was verified via personal communication with the author of [Mül97].

distribution of $\text{cyc}_{\gamma,1}, \text{cyc}_{\gamma,2}, \dots, \text{cyc}_{\gamma,L}$. To state the results, define, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, a set analogous to \mathcal{H}_γ from (1.3) :

$$\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,L} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ H \leq \Gamma \left| \begin{array}{l} \gamma^L \in H, \chi(H) = 0 \\ \forall 1 \leq L' < L \quad \gamma^{L'} \notin H \end{array} \right. \right\}. \quad (1.11)$$

So $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,L}$ is the set of EC-zero subgroups of Γ containing γ^L but not any smaller positive power of γ . Note that $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,1} = \mathcal{H}_\gamma$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma^L} = \bigsqcup_{1 \leq d|L} \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,d}$. We summarize these results in the following theorem generalizing Theorems 1.4 and 1.5.

Theorem 1.15. *Let Γ be as in (1.1), $m = m(\Gamma)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ have infinite order, and fix $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then*

1. *We have*

$$\mathbb{E} [\text{cyc}_{\gamma,L}(N)] = \frac{1}{L} |\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,L}| + O(N^{-1/m}). \quad (1.12)$$

2. *Let H_1, \dots, H_t be representatives of the conjugacy classes of subgroups represented in $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,L}$. For $i = 1, \dots, t$ let $\alpha_i = |\{\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,L} \cap H_i^\Gamma\}|$ be the number of conjugates of H_i in $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,L}$, and let $\beta_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [N_\Gamma(H_i) : H_i]$ be the index of H_i in its normalizer. Then,*

$$\text{cyc}_{\gamma,L}(N) \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\text{dis}} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i \beta_i Z_{1/\beta_i},$$

(as in Theorem 1.5, $Z_\lambda \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda)$, the different Z_λ 's in the sum are independent, and " $\xrightarrow{\text{dis}}$ " denotes convergence in distribution).

3. *The variables $\text{cyc}_{\gamma,1}(N), \text{cyc}_{\gamma,2}(N), \dots, \text{cyc}_{\gamma,L}(N)$ are asymptotically independent. In particular, for $L_1 \neq L_2$,*

$$\mathbb{E} [\text{cyc}_{\gamma,L_1}(N) \cdot \text{cyc}_{\gamma,L_2}(N)] = \mathbb{E} [\text{cyc}_{\gamma,L_1}(N)] \cdot \mathbb{E} [\text{cyc}_{\gamma,L_2}(N)] + O(N^{-1/m}).$$

In the case of free groups, parts 1 and 2 of Theorem 1.15 recover the full result of Nica [Nic94] which determines the limit distribution of $\text{cyc}_{\gamma,L}(N)$ when γ is an element of a free group and shows the limit depends only on q where $\gamma = \gamma_0^q$ with γ_0 a non-power as above (see also [LP10, Thm. 25] and [HP22, Thm. 1.3]).

1.4 Overview of the paper

Outline of the proof of the main results

Let us explain the ideas behind the proofs of the main results. First we construct a CW-complex, denoted X_Γ , which is a graph of spaces (in the sense of Scott and Wall [SW79]) with fundamental group Γ . The space X_Γ consists of a star with a central vertex o and, for every free factor G_i of Γ in (1.1), an edge e_i with one end at o and the other the basepoint of some pointed CW-complex X_{G_i} representing G_i . For G a f.g. free group, X_G is a bouquet of circles; for $G = \Lambda_g$ a surface group, X_G is a pointed, genus- g orientable surface with a given CW-structure specified below; and for G finite, X_G is some finite presentation 2-complex of G . Clearly, $\pi_1(X_\Gamma, o) \cong \Gamma$. See Figure 2.1.

Every covering space $p: \hat{X} \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ inherits a CW-structure from X_Γ . Let $Y \subseteq \hat{X}$ be a sub-complex of \hat{X} with finitely many cells (so if some open cell belongs to Y , then so do all the cells of smaller dimension it is attached to). We call such a sub-complex a compact sub-cover of X_Γ . It is equipped with the restriction of the covering map $p = p|_Y: Y \rightarrow X_\Gamma$. The main technical result of this paper is the following.

Let $p: Y \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ be a connected compact sub-cover of X_Γ . Let $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_*(\pi_1(Y)) \leq \pi_1(X_\Gamma) = \Gamma$ be the corresponding conjugacy class of subgroups of Γ , and let $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \chi(\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y))$. Then the average number of *injective lifts* of Y to a random N -cover of X_Γ , denoted $\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N)$, satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N) = N^{\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)} \left(a_0(Y) + O\left(N^{-1/m}\right) \right). \quad (1.13)$$

Here $m = m(\Gamma)$ and $a_0(Y) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ is a positive integer. Moreover, in many important cases $a_0(Y) = 1$. A more precise statement is given in Theorem 2.6 below and applies to compact subcovers which are not necessarily connected. We first prove (1.13) for sub-covers of X_G for each factor G of Γ . This part is straightforward when G is free, it relies on [Mül97] when G is finite, and on [MP20] when $G = \Lambda_g$. We then integrate these results to obtain (1.13) for arbitrary sub-covers of X_Γ .

To analyze $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)]$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, recall that γ corresponds to some loop $\bar{\gamma}: (S^1, 1) \rightarrow (X_\Gamma, o)$, and we may assume that the image of $\bar{\gamma}$ is a combinatorial closed path in the 1-skeleton of X_Γ . Given $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow S_N$, the fixed points of $\varphi(\gamma)$ are in bijection with the lifts of $\bar{\gamma}$ to the N -sheeted cover $\pi: X_\varphi \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ corresponding to φ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & X_\varphi \\ & \nearrow \hat{\gamma} & \downarrow \pi \\ S^1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\gamma}} & X_\Gamma \end{array}$$

So we analyze the number of such lifts of $\bar{\gamma}$ into a random N -cover of X_Γ . In every such lift $\hat{\gamma}: (S^1, 1) \rightarrow (X_\varphi, y)$, the image $\hat{\gamma}(S^1)$ is a subcomplex of (the 1-skeleton of) X_φ and in particular a sub-cover Y of X_Γ . As $\bar{\gamma}$ is a finite path, there are finitely many such sub-covers.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & (Y, y) \\ & \nearrow \hat{\gamma} & \downarrow \pi \\ (S^1, 1) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\gamma}} & (X_\Gamma, o) \end{array}$$

Denote by \mathcal{R}_γ the finite set of all such possible surjective lifts $\hat{\gamma}: S^1 \twoheadrightarrow Y$ to sub-covers. Such a set is called a resolution in the terminology of [MP20]. Figure 5.1 illustrates such a resolution for an element of $C_2 * C_4$. We obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{R}_\gamma} \mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N), \quad (1.14)$$

and using (1.13) deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{R}_\gamma} N^{\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)} \left(a_0(Y) + O\left(N^{-1/m}\right) \right).$$

It is clear that for every $Y \in \mathcal{R}_\gamma$, we have $\gamma \in \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y, y)$. It is not hard to show that if $|\gamma| = \infty$, then the subgroups in \mathcal{H}_γ are precisely the subgroups $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y, y)$ for $Y \in \mathcal{R}_\gamma$ with $\chi(\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y)) = 0$. Moreover, in all these elements of the resolution, $a_0(Y) = 1$. This leads to Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 1.13 about the asymptotic expansion of $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)]$ is proven along the same lines: we first prove asymptotic expansion of $\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N)$ for sub-covers of X_G separately for every factor G of Γ (again, heavily relying on [Mül97, MP20]), then establish this expansion for arbitrary sub-covers of X_Γ , and finally use (1.14) to establish the sought-after result of Theorem 1.13.

The proofs of the remaining results use similar techniques combined with the method of moments. In particular, to establish Theorem 1.5 about the limit distribution of $\text{fix}_\gamma(N)$, we study the moments $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)^r]$ for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ by constructing a resolution for the union of r disjoint copies of $\bar{\gamma}$.

Every element in this resolution corresponds to a finite multiset of f.g. subgroups of Γ . The limit $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} [\text{fix}_\gamma(N)^r]$ is given by the number of elements in this resolution corresponding to multisets of subgroup with total EC zero.

Paper organization

After mentioning some related works in Section 1.5, we formally construct the graph of spaces X_Γ and introduce the notions of sub-covers and resolutions in Section 2. Section 3 studies sub-covers of a vertex-space X_G of X_Γ , and analyzes them separately for every type of group G : free groups, finite groups, and surface groups. In particular, it proves our main technical result, Theorem 2.6, for all such sub-covers, and proves Proposition 1.2 concerning torsion elements of Γ . Then, Section 4 incorporates the results from Section 3 to prove Theorem 2.6 for arbitrary sub-covers. In Section 5 we complete the proof of Theorems 1.4, 1.5 and 1.13, and in Section 6 of Theorems 1.14 and 1.15. We end in Section 7 with two intriguing open questions which arise from our results.

Notation

We denote by $(N)_t$ the falling factorial, also known as Pochhammer symbol,

$$(N)_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} N(N-1) \cdots (N-t+1).$$

We write $[N]$ for the set $\{1, \dots, N\}$. The notation $\stackrel{\text{asym.}}{\sim} \stackrel{\text{exp.}}{\sim}$ marks asymptotic expansion and is defined in Definition 1.10. Many repeating notions are formally defined in Section 2, such as sub-covers (Definition 2.1), resolutions (Definition 2.2), and $\mathbb{E}_Y(N)$ and $\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N)$ (Definition 2.3).

1.5 Related works

The number of homomorphisms $\Gamma \rightarrow S_N$

As mentioned above, the size of $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_N)$ is well understood. Clearly, $|\text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_N)| = \prod_{i=1}^k |\text{Hom}(G_i, S_N)|$. If $G = \mathbf{F}_r$ is a rank- r free group, then $|\text{Hom}(\mathbf{F}_r, S_N)| = |S_N|^r = (N!)^r$. If G is finite, an asymptotic formula for $|\text{Hom}(G, S_N)|$ is given in [Mül97, Thm. 5]. Finally, if $G = \Lambda_g$ is a genus- g surface group, then $|\text{Hom}(G, S_N)|$ is related to the “zeta function” of irreducible representations of S_N and is equal to $|S_N|^{2g-1} (2 + O(N^{2-2g}))$ (see [Hur02, Lul96, LS04]).

Random Belyi surfaces

In [Gam06], Gamburd studies random Belyi surfaces glued from N ideal triangles from the hyperbolic plane. Closed paths in these surfaces are related to closed paths in the dual graph, which is cubical. This cubical graph is completely determined by the cyclic order of half-edges around every vertex, so a permutation $\beta \in S_{3N}$ consisting of N 3-cycles, and the perfect matching of the half edges which creates the edges, so a permutation $\alpha \in S_{3N}$ consisting of $1.5N$ transpositions. Gamburd analyzes the random permutation $\alpha\beta$ when α and β are chosen uniformly at random, and proves it converges to the uniform distribution in total variation distance as $N \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, he proves the same when 3 is replaced by an arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}$ [Gam06, Thm. 4.1]. Although similar, note that this model is different than ours, as α and β are not allowed to have fixed points.

Measures induced by elements of finitely generated groups

There has been an extensive study of “word measures” – measures induced by elements of free groups – on various families of groups. As mentioned above, the asymptotics of word measures on S_N were studied in [Nic94]. A precise result about the leading term of $\mathbb{E} [\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] - 1$ (for $\gamma \in \mathbf{F}$) was found in [Pud14, PP15]

– see Section 7, and more general results about all stable characters of S_N were recently established in [HP22]. Additional works studied word measures on $U(N)$, $O(N)$, $Sp(N)$, $GL_N(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and generalized symmetric groups – see [HP22, Sec. 1.6] for a short survey. As for measures induced by elements of surface groups, aside for the above mentioned work [MP20], the recent works [Mag22, Mag21] study measures induced by elements of Λ_g on $U(N)$ and establish results about the expected trace: its limit and its asymptotic expansion. Finally, Baker and Petri show in [BP20] how one can use results as in the current paper about measures induced on S_N by elements of the free product $C_{p_1} * \dots * C_{p_k}$ of cyclic group, in order to study such measures induced on S_N by elements of the group

$$\Gamma_{p_1, \dots, p_k} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle x_1, \dots, x_m \mid x_1^{p_1} = x_2^{p_2} = \dots = x_k^{p_k} \rangle.$$

(Note that $C_{p_1} * \dots * C_{p_k}$ is a quotient with kernel \mathbb{Z} of Γ_{p_1, \dots, p_k} by the additional relation $x_1^{p_1} = 1$.) See also [HMP20] for a general discussion regarding “profinely rigid” elements in finitely generated groups and the relation to measures induced by such elements on finite groups.

Spectral gap

Some of the works in this line of research are motivated, inter alia, by questions about expansion and spectral gap of random objects. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, a random $2r$ -regular graph on N vertices can be obtained as a random N -cover of the bouquet of one vertex and r loops, thus corresponding to a random homomorphism $\mathbf{F}_r \rightarrow S_N$. Relying on the trace method, word measures on S_N can thus be used to show that random graphs are expanders. Indeed, this is the arranging idea in many works on the subject, starting from [BS87b] (and also in [Fri08, Pud15]). This also stands in the background for works about expansion of more general random Schreier graphs of S_N [FJR⁺98, HP22]. Similarly, using Selberg’s trace formula, the results of [MP20] about measures induced on S_N by elements of Λ_g , the fundamental group of a hyperbolic surface, were used in [MNP22] to yield results about spectral gap in random covers of closed hyperbolic surfaces. Results about Λ_g stated in the current paper are used in the recent paper [Nau22], studying other statistics of the spectrum of the Laplacian on random covers of surfaces. Simpler techniques can also show that these random objects Benjamini-Schramm converge to the corresponding universal cover: see [MP20, Sec. 1.5] for random surfaces and [BP20] for random covers of torus-knot complements.

Core graphs and sub-covers

The notion of a sub-cover in this paper is very much related to Stallings core graphs. The original Stallings core graphs [Sta83] correspond to subgroups of a free group and they are only slightly generalized in sub-covers of X_G where G is a free group. Bass [Bas93] extends Stallings’ theory to a very general theory about geometric presentation of subgroups of the fundamental group of a graph of groups. Other authors developed a more specialized version for more specialized families of groups such as amalgams of finite groups [ME07] or the mere modular group $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cong C_2 * C_3$ [BNW21]. Some of the analysis in this paper is inspired by ideas in these works. We heavily rely here also on a theory of core surfaces developed for surface groups in [MP22, MP20].

Acknowledgements

We thank Nir Avni, Michael Magee, Chen Meiri, Thomas Müller and Ron Peled for beneficial discussions. Michal Buran carried out the computation leading to an estimate of $\mathbb{E}[\mathrm{fix}_{[a,b]}(N)]$ where $[a,b] \in \Lambda_2 = \langle a, b, c, d \mid [a,b][c,d] \rangle$, an estimate used in Section 7. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 850956).

2 The space X_Γ , its covers and sub-covers

2.1 The graph of spaces representing Γ

Let us formally construct the space X_Γ mentioned in Section 1.4. If (X, x_0) and (Y, y_0) are two pointed connected CW-complexes (or nice enough topological spaces), Seifert-Van-Kampen Theorem guarantees that their wedge sum at the points x_0 and y_0 has fundamental group isomorphic to the free product $\pi_1(X, x_0) * \pi_1(Y, y_0)$. Relying on this basic fact, we construct a CW-complex whose fundamental group is Γ from smaller CW-complexes with fundamental groups G_1, \dots, G_k (we continue using here the notation from Assumption 1.1). To get a clearer picture which is somewhat easier to work with, we use a star-graph instead of a single wedge point. This leads to the following construction of X_Γ as a graph of spaces.

For every $i = 1, \dots, k$, let X_{G_i} be a CW-complex with a marked vertex (in fact, a single vertex) v_i , so that $\pi_1(X_{G_i}, v_i) \cong G_i$. Moreover, the edges (1-cells) of X_{G_i} are directed and labeled by a fixed set of generators of G_i . The construction of X_{G_i} is as follows:

- If $G_i = \mathbf{F}_r$ is a rank- r free group, we fix a basis $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_r\}$ and let X_{G_i} be a bouquet made of one vertex, (named v_i) and r directed loops labeled⁷ b_1, \dots, b_r . This defines an isomorphism $\pi_1(X_{G_i}, v_i) \cong \mathbf{F}_r$.
- If G_i is a finite group, we let X_{G_i} be some finite presentation complex of G_i : given some finite presentation $\langle S | R \rangle$ of G_i , the complex X_{G_i} is made of the vertex v_i together with a directed loop for every $s \in S$ and a 2-cell attached to the loops for every $r \in R$. We fix some isomorphism $\langle S | R \rangle \cong G_i$ and for every $s \in S$, label the s -loop by its image in G_i through this isomorphism. For example, if $G_i = C_q = \langle a \rangle$ is a cyclic group, then $G_i \cong \langle s | s^q \rangle$ and so X_{G_i} may consist of a single vertex, a single directed loop labeled ' a ', and a single 2-cell whose boundary wraps around the a -loop q times.
- If $G_i = \Lambda_g$ is a surface group, we let X_{G_i} be a genus- g orientable surface with a CW-structure obtained from gluing the sides of a $4g$ -gon according to the pattern $a_1, b_1, a_1^{-1}, b_1^{-1}, \dots, a_g, b_g, a_g^{-1}, b_g^{-1}$. So X_{G_i} consists of a single vertex v_i , $2g$ directed loops labeled $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g$ and a single 2-cell. The elements a_1, \dots, b_g are elements of Λ_g given by an isomorphism $\Lambda_g \cong \langle a_1, \dots, b_g | [a_1, b_1] \cdots [a_g, b_g] \rangle$.

Finally, X_Γ consists of the complexes X_{G_1}, \dots, X_{G_k} together with a vertex o and edges e_1, \dots, e_k so that e_i connects o and v_i . We have $\pi_1(X_\Gamma, o) \cong \Gamma$. Moreover, the labels of the 1-cells inside X_{G_1}, \dots, X_{G_k} form a generating set for Γ , and every word in this generating set corresponds to a closed loop in $X_\Gamma^{(1)}$, the 1-skeleton of X_Γ , based at o : simply follow the 1-cells according to the given word (transversing the corresponding edge backwards if the generator comes with a negative exponent), and going through o and e_1, \dots, e_k to pass from one X_{G_i} to another. This is illustrated in Figure 2.1.

2.2 Sub-covers and resolutions

Covering spaces of X_Γ inherit the CW-structure from it (indeed, open cells are covered by disjoint homeomorphic sets), and we consider N -sheeted covering spaces $p: \hat{X} \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ together with a bijection between $p^{-1}(o)$ and $\{1, \dots, N\}$. This yields a bijection between these N -covers and $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_N)$ (see, for instance, [Hat05, pp. 68-70]). For $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow S_N$, we denote the corresponding N -cover of X_Γ by X_φ .

Definition 2.1 (Sub-covers). A **sub-cover** Y of X_Γ is a (not necessarily connected) sub-complex of a (not necessarily finite degree) covering space of X_Γ . In particular, a sub-cover is endowed with the

⁷To make the notation complete, here and for the other types of factors, one needs the notation of the generators to formally reflect the factor they generate, for example b_1^i, \dots, b_r^i , as there may be more than one factor which is a free group (or more than one factor which is a surface group and so on). However, we prefer to keep the notation a bit simpler and have the factor be understood from the context.




Figure 2.1: The graph of spaces X_Γ when $\Gamma = \Lambda_2 * \mathbf{F}_2 * C_2 * C_4$. The middle vertex o is connected by four edges to the four basepoints of the spaces X_{Λ_2} , $X_{\mathbf{F}_2}$, X_{C_4} and X_{C_2} . The space X_{Λ_2} is a genus-2 surface consisting of the vertex v_1 , four edges labeled a, b, c and d and one disc. The space $X_{\mathbf{F}_2}$ consists of one vertex v_2 and two loops labeled z_1 and z_2 . The spaces X_{C_2} and X_{C_4} both consist of a single vertex (v_3 and v_4 , respectively), a single edge (labeled x and y , respectively), and a single 2-cell: the boundary of the 2-cell wraps around the edge twice (respectively, four times). Note that the latter part of the construction is not well reflected in the figure. Overall, $\{a, b, c, d, z_1, z_2, x, y\}$ is a generating set for Γ .

restricted covering map $p: Y \rightarrow X_\Gamma$, which is an immersion. Denote by $Y|_{G_i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^{-1}(X_{G_i})$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, the subcomplex of Y lying above X_{G_i} , the subspace of X_Γ corresponding to the factor G_i of Γ . Let $p_i: Y_i \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ be sub-covers for $i = 1, 2$. A morphism of sub-covers $f: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ is a combinatorial morphism of CW-complexes commuting with the restricted covering maps, namely, such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & Y_2 \\ & \searrow p_1 & \swarrow p_2 \\ & X_\Gamma & \end{array}$$

This definition extends the definition of a *tilted surface* in the case where $\Gamma = \Lambda_g$ [MP22, Def. 3.1]. The covering space of which Y is a sub-complex is *not* part of the data attached to the sub-cover: indeed, the same Y can be a sub-cover of distinct covering spaces. In the following definition we adapt the terminology from [MP20], extend it to our more general setting and add a variant restricted to embeddings.

Definition 2.2 (Resolutions). Let $p: Y \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ be a sub-cover of X_Γ . A **resolution** \mathcal{R} of Y is a collection of morphisms of sub-covers

$$\{f: Y \rightarrow Z_f\}$$

so that every morphism of sub-covers $h: Y \rightarrow \hat{X}$ to a *full* covering space \hat{X} of X_Γ decomposes uniquely as

$$Y \xrightarrow{f} Z_f \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} \hat{X},$$

with $f \in \mathcal{R}$ and \bar{h} an embedding.

Similarly, an **embedding-resolution** \mathcal{R}^{emb} of Y is a collection of *injective* morphisms of sub-covers $\{f: Y \hookrightarrow Z_f\}$ so that every *injective* morphism of sub-covers $h: Y \hookrightarrow \hat{X}$ to a *full* covering space $\pi: \hat{X} \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ of X_Γ decomposes uniquely as $Y \xrightarrow{f} Z_f \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} \hat{X}$ with $f \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{emb}}$ and \bar{h} an embedding.

Many of the resolutions we construct in this paper are the natural resolutions which consist of all possible *surjective* morphisms of sub-covers with domain Y . When Y is compact, this resolution is finite. However, we sometimes need more involved resolutions. The identity map $\{\text{id}: Y \rightarrow Y\}$ constitutes a trivial embedding-resolution. But again, we will need below more involved embedding-resolutions.

Definition 2.3 (\mathbb{E}_Y and $\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}$). Let $p: Y \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ be a sub-cover of X_Γ , and let $\pi: X_\varphi \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ be an N -cover of X_Γ corresponding to a uniformly random $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow S_N$. Denote by $\mathbb{E}_Y(N)$ the expected number of lifts of p to X_φ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & X_\varphi \\ & \nearrow \mathbb{E}[\#f] & \downarrow \pi \\ Y & \xrightarrow{p} & X_\Gamma \end{array}$$

Namely

$$\mathbb{E}_Y(N) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}_{\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_N)} |\{f: Y \rightarrow X_\varphi \mid \pi \circ f = p\}|. \quad (2.1)$$

Similarly, denote by $\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N)$ the expected number of *injective* lifts of p to the random N -cover X_φ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & X_\varphi \\ & \nearrow \mathbb{E}[\#f] & \downarrow \pi \\ Y & \xrightarrow{p} & X_\Gamma \end{array}$$

Namely

$$\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}_{\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_N)} |\{f: Y \hookrightarrow X_\varphi \mid \pi \circ f = p, f \text{ is injective}\}|. \quad (2.2)$$

As reflected in Theorem 2.6 below, the quantity $\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N)$ has nice properties. In contrast, the quantity $\mathbb{E}_Y(N)$ does not share these properties, and we therefore study it via resolutions together with the following obvious lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let Y be a compact sub-cover of X_Γ . If \mathcal{R} is a finite resolution of Y , then*

$$\mathbb{E}_Y(N) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{R}} \mathbb{E}_{Z_f}^{\text{emb}}(N). \quad (2.3)$$

If \mathcal{R}^{emb} is a finite embedding-resolution of Y then

$$\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{emb}}} \mathbb{E}_{Z_f}^{\text{emb}}(N). \quad (2.4)$$

Definition 2.5 (Subgroups associated with sub-covers). Let $p: Y \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ be a compact⁸ sub-cover. If Y is *connected*, then $p_*(\pi_1(Y)) \leq \pi_1(X_\Gamma) = \Gamma$ is a well-defined conjugacy class of f.g. subgroups of Γ we denote by⁹ $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y)$. If $y \in p^{-1}(o) \subseteq Y$ then $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y, y)$ is the corresponding particular subgroup in the conjugacy class $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y)$. If Y is not necessarily connected, let Y_1, \dots, Y_ℓ denote its connected components, and define

$$\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y_1), \dots, \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y_\ell) \right\}$$

⁸Some of the notions here can be defined for arbitrary, not-necessarily-compact sub-covers, but we only use them for compact ones.

⁹The notation $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y)$ is meant to hint that we consider closed cycles in the 1-skeleton of Y (based at some vertex) as *labeled* cycles: every cycle represents the element of Γ which is spelled by labels on the edges along the cycle.

to be the *multiset* of conjugacy classes of f.g. subgroups of Γ corresponding to Y_1, \dots, Y_ℓ . Finally, denote by

$$\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \chi\left(\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y)\right) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \chi\left(\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y_i)\right)$$

the sum of Euler characteristics of the subgroups in the multiset.

The following theorem is at the heart of this paper.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $p: Y \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ be a compact, not necessarily connected, sub-cover of X_Γ . Let $m = m(\Gamma)$. Then there are rational numbers $a_t = a_t(Y)$ for $t = 0, -\frac{1}{m}, -\frac{2}{m}, -\frac{3}{m}, \dots$ so that*

$$\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N) \stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} N^{\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)} \cdot \left\{ a_0 + a_{-1/m} N^{-1/m} + a_{-2/m} N^{-2/m} + \dots \right\}. \quad (2.5)$$

Moreover, a_0 is a positive integer, so in particular,

$$\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N) = N^{\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)} \left(a_0 + O\left(N^{-1/m}\right) \right) = \Theta\left(N^{\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)}\right).$$

Furthermore, whenever there are no surface groups involved, $a_0 = 1$, so

$$\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N) = N^{\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)} \left(1 + O\left(N^{-1/m}\right) \right).$$

More details about the value of a_0 are given in Proposition 4.1. It is a product of positive integers determined by the sub-complexes $Y|_{G_i}$ of Y lying above X_{G_i} when G_i is a surface group. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 2.6 for a compact sub-cover of X_G for any factor G of Γ . In Section 4 we complete the proof of Theorem 2.6 for arbitrary compact sub-covers of X_Γ .

Remark 2.7. There is a subtle issue in the central notion of $\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N)$. In case Y has non-trivial automorphisms, there may be different injective lifts with the same image in the N -cover. We count them separately. To illustrate, consider the somewhat degenerate case that $\Gamma = C_2 = \langle x \rangle$, X_Γ is the presentation complex of $\langle x \mid x^2 \rangle$, and Y is the graph $\bullet \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{x} \\ \xleftarrow{x} \end{smallmatrix} \bullet$. Every N -cover of X_Γ consists of connected components corresponding to the trivial subgroup (copies of Y together with two discs attached, so 2-spheres), and connected components corresponding to Γ (copies of X_Γ). By Theorem 1.12, a random N -cover has in expectation $\sqrt{N} + O(1)$ copies of X_Γ , and thus $\frac{N - \sqrt{N}}{2} + O(1)$ copies of the 2-sphere, and thus $\frac{N - \sqrt{N}}{2} + O(1)$ disjoint embeddings of Y . However, there are two different embeddings of Y in every copy of the 2-sphere, and so $\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N) = N - \sqrt{N} + O(1)$. This agrees with Theorem 2.6, as $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y) = \{1\}$ and so $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y) = 1$. See also Remark 3.8.

Below we will repeatedly use the following standard fact from the theory of covering spaces (e.g., [Hat05, Prop. 1.33 and 1.34]).

Proposition 2.8 (Lifting criterion). *Let $p: (\hat{X}, \hat{x}_0) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ be a covering map and $f: (Y, y_0) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$ a map with Y path-connected and locally path-connected. Then a lift $\hat{f}: (Y, y_0) \rightarrow (\hat{X}, \hat{x}_0)$ of f exists if and only if $f_*(\pi_1(Y, y_0)) \leq p_*(\pi_1(\hat{X}, \hat{x}_0))$. In this case, the lift is unique.*

Along the proof of Theorem 2.6, we need the following construction. Let $p: Y \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ be a connected compact sub-cover. Choose an arbitrary vertex $y \in Y$. The fundamental group $\pi_1(X_\Gamma, p(y))$ (isomorphic to Γ , of course) acts on the universal cover $(\widetilde{X}_\Gamma, \tilde{x}_0) \rightarrow (X_\Gamma, p(y))$ by deck transformations. Let $(\Upsilon, u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y, y) \backslash (\widetilde{X}_\Gamma, \tilde{x}_0)$ be the quotient of \widetilde{X}_Γ by the action of the subgroup $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y, y)$. So (Υ, u) is the covering space of $(X_\Gamma, p(y))$ corresponding to the subgroup $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y, y)$, and $\pi_1(\Upsilon, u) \cong \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(\Upsilon, u) = \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y, y)$ (see [Hat05, Thm. 1.38]). By Proposition 2.8, there exists a unique lift $\hat{p}: (Y, y) \rightarrow (\Upsilon, u)$ of p .

Definition 2.9 (Universal lift). Let $p: Y \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ be a compact sub-cover. If Y is connected, the lift $\hat{p}: Y \rightarrow \Upsilon = \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y) \setminus \widetilde{X}_\Gamma$ of p is called the **universal lift of Y** . If Y is not necessarily connected, let Y_1, \dots, Y_ℓ be its connected components. The **universal lift of Y** is the map

$$\hat{p}: Y \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y_1) \setminus \widetilde{X}_\Gamma \sqcup \dots \sqcup \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y_\ell) \setminus \widetilde{X}_\Gamma$$

which maps every connected component of Y to its own connected cover of X_Γ .

Lemma 2.10. *The universal lift of any sub-cover is injective.*

Proof. It is enough to show injectivity for every connected component of Y separately (as each is mapped to a different connected component of the codomain). So we may assume that Y is connected. Let $\hat{p}: Y \rightarrow \Upsilon$ be its universal lift. By the definition of a sub-cover, there is an embedding $f: Y \hookrightarrow Z$ into a (full) covering map $\pi: Z \rightarrow X_\Gamma$. Choose an arbitrary vertex $y \in Y$. Then $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(\Upsilon, \hat{p}(y)) = \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y, y) \leq \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z, f(y))$, and so there is a map $\phi: (\Upsilon, \hat{p}(y)) \rightarrow (Z, f(y))$. The uniqueness of lifts guarantees that $f = \phi \cdot \hat{p}$, and we get the following commuting diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Upsilon, \hat{p}(y)) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & (Z, f(y)) \\ \hat{p} \uparrow & \nearrow f & \downarrow \pi \\ (Y, y) & \xrightarrow{p} & (X_\Gamma, p(y)) \end{array}$$

The injectivity of f now implies the one of \hat{p} . □

3 Sub-covers of the factors of Γ

3.1 Sub-covers of X_G for G a free group

Consider a compact sub-cover Y of X_Γ projecting entirely into $X_G = X_{G_i}$ where $G = G_i$ is a rank- r free group with basis $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_r\}$. So Y is a finite directed graph, not necessarily connected, equipped with a graph immersion to X_G , the bouquet with r loops. Equivalently, Y is a directed finite graph with edges labeled by b_1, \dots, b_r , and at every vertex, at most one incoming b_j -edge and at most one outgoing b_j -edge for every j . We call such a graph a **B -labeled graph**. Such graphs are closely related to Stallings core graphs [Sta83] and more generally to multi core graphs [HP22], but they may contain leaves and/or isolated vertices.

It is straight-forward to compute $\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N)$, the expected number of embeddings of Y into a random N -sheeted cover of X_Γ , and there is no need here in any fancy resolution. Recall that $(N)_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} N(N-1)\cdots(N-t+1)$ denotes the falling factorial.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Y be a finite B -labeled graph, $v(Y)$ be the number of vertices in Y and $e_j(Y)$ the number of b_j -edges. Then for every $N \geq \max_j e_j(Y)$,*

$$\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N) = \frac{(N)_{v(Y)}}{\prod_{j=1}^r (N)_{e_j(Y)}}.$$

Proof. As Y is a sub-cover of X_Γ sitting exclusively above a particular component $X_G = X_{G_i}$ where $G = G_i \cong \mathbf{F}_r$ is a free group, it is enough to consider random N -covers \hat{X} of X_G . Then \hat{X} is given by N vertices, labeled $1, \dots, N$, above the unique vertex $v = v_i$ of X_G . Above the b_j -loop at v , there are N b_j -edges in \hat{X} , which are given by a uniformly random permutation $\sigma_j \in S_N$. The random permutations $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ are independent.

The number of possible embeddings of the vertices of Y into \hat{X} is precisely $(N)_{v(Y)}$. Any given embedding of the vertices of Y extends to an embedding of the entire of Y if and only if for every

$j = 1, \dots, r$, the random permutation σ_j maps the image of the beginning point of every b_j -edge in Y to the image of its endpoint. As every vertex of Y has at most one incoming b_j -edge and at most one outgoing b_j -edge, there are permutations satisfying these constraints. Finally, the probability that a uniformly random permutation in S_N satisfies e_j valid constraints is precisely

$$\frac{(N - e_j)!}{N!} = \frac{1}{(N)_{e_j}}.$$

□

Corollary 3.2. *Theorem 2.6 holds for sub-covers of X_G when G is free. Namely, for a compact sub-cover Y of X_G , there are rational numbers $a_t = a_t(Y)$ for $t = -1, -2, -3, \dots$ so that*

$$\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N) \stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} N^{\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)} \cdot \{1 + a_{-1}N^{-1} + a_{-2}N^{-2} + \dots\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. If Y is a B -labeled graph, then the restricted covering map $p: Y \rightarrow X_G$ is an immersion of graphs, and therefore p_* is injective. In particular, in every connected component Y_j of Y , we have $\pi_1(Y_j) \cong p_*(\pi_1(Y_j)) = \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y_j)$, and so $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y_j) = \chi(Y_j)$. Thus $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y) = \chi(Y) = v(Y) - \sum_{j=1}^r e_j(Y)$, and (3.1) follows from Lemma 3.1. □

3.2 Sub-covers of X_G for G a finite group

Here we prove Proposition 1.2 about $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)]$ for a *torsion* element γ , as well as the special case of Theorem 2.6 concerning a sub-cover Y of X_Γ projecting entirely into $X_G = X_{G_i}$ where $G = G_i$ is some finite group. Recall that X_G is some finite presentation complex of G . For every sub-cover $p: Y \rightarrow X_G$, define the set

$$\mathcal{R}_Y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ f: Y \rightarrow Z_f \left| \begin{array}{l} Z_f \text{ is a (full) covering of } X_G, \text{ and} \\ f(Y) \text{ meets every connected component of } Z_f \end{array} \right. \right\}, \quad (3.2)$$

where f is a morphism of sub-covers, namely, it commutes with the immersions into X_G . We also denote

$$\mathcal{R}_Y^{\text{emb}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ f: Y \hookrightarrow Z_f \left| \begin{array}{l} Z_f \text{ is a (full) covering of } X_G, f \text{ is injective, and} \\ f(Y) \text{ meets every connected component of } Z_f \end{array} \right. \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_Y, \quad (3.3)$$

Note that there may be distinct elements of \mathcal{R}_Y or of $\mathcal{R}_Y^{\text{emb}}$ with the same codomain Z_f .

Proposition 3.3. *Let G be a finite group and Y a compact sub-cover of X_G . Then,*

1. *the set \mathcal{R}_Y from (3.2) is a finite resolution of Y , and*
2. *the set $\mathcal{R}_Y^{\text{emb}}$ from (3.3) is a finite embedding-resolution of Y .*

Proof. For every element $f: Y \rightarrow Z_f$ in \mathcal{R}_Y , the number of components in Z_f is bounded by the number of components of Y , and because the number of connected covers of X_G is finite (equal to the number of conjugacy classes of subgroups of G), we get that there are finitely many possibilities for Z_f . As there are finitely many morphisms of sub-covers between two given compact sub-covers, we conclude that \mathcal{R}_Y is finite, and thus so is its subset $\mathcal{R}_Y^{\text{emb}}$.

The set \mathcal{R}_Y is a resolution because every morphism $h: Y \rightarrow \hat{X}$ to a covering space $\pi: \hat{X} \rightarrow X_G$ decomposes uniquely to a map from Y to the connected components of \hat{X} that $h(Y)$ meets, followed by the embedding of these components in \hat{X} . The same argument shows that $\mathcal{R}_Y^{\text{emb}}$ is an embedding-resolution. □

Proposition 3.4. *Theorem 2.6 holds for (full) covers of X_G when G is a finite group. Namely, let Z be a compact (full) covering space of X_G . Denote $\mu = |G|$. Then there are rational numbers $a_t = a_t(Z)$ for $t = -1/\mu, -2/\mu, \dots$ so that*

$$\mathbb{E}_Z^{\text{emb}}(N) \stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} N^{\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z)} \cdot \left\{ 1 + a_{-1/\mu} N^{-1/\mu} + a_{-2/\mu} N^{-2/\mu} + \dots \right\}. \quad (3.4)$$

Furthermore, $a_t = 0$ for $0 > t > -\frac{1}{2}$, so

$$\mathbb{E}_Z^{\text{emb}}(N) = N^{\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z)} \left(1 + O\left(N^{-1/2}\right) \right).$$

Proof. Denote by $v = v(Z)$ the number of vertices in Z . Recall that X_G contains a single vertex and so every N -cover of it contains exactly N vertices. In every embedding $h: Z \hookrightarrow \hat{X}$ of Z into an N -cover \hat{X} of X_G , $h(Z)$ contains v out of the N vertices of \hat{X} . Moreover, as Z is a full cover of X_G , every embedding of $h: Z \hookrightarrow \hat{X}$ into an N -cover of X_G has the property that $h(Z)$ and its complement are disconnected. So the embeddings of Z in all the N -covers of X_G are in bijection with the embeddings of the vertices of Z into $[N]$ along with an arbitrary $(N - v)$ -cover which “uses” the remaining vertices in $[N]$. As the number of embeddings of the vertices of Z in $[N]$ is $(N)_v$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_Z^{\text{emb}}(N) = \frac{(N)_v \cdot |\text{Hom}(G, S_{N-v})|}{|\text{Hom}(G, S_N)|}. \quad (3.5)$$

By [Mül97, Thm. 6] (stated as Theorem 1.12 above), we have that $\frac{|\text{Hom}(G, S_N)|}{|\text{Hom}(G, S_{N-1})|}$ has asymptotic expansion with rational coefficients

$$\frac{|\text{Hom}(G, S_N)|}{|\text{Hom}(G, S_{N-1})|} \stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} N^{1-1/\mu} \cdot \left\{ 1 + Q_{-1/\mu} N^{-1/\mu} + Q_{-2/\mu} N^{-2/\mu} + \dots \right\}.$$

Moreover, [Mül97, pp. 552] specifies the precise values of the $\mu + 3$ first coefficients $Q_{-1/\mu}, \dots, Q_{-(\mu+3)/\mu}$ in this asymptotic expansion. In particular, for $1 \leq \nu \leq \mu - 1$, if $\mu - \nu \nmid \mu$ then $Q_{-\nu/\mu} = 0$. Therefore, $Q_t = 0$ for $0 > t > -\frac{1}{2}$. We conclude that the inverse has asymptotic expansion with rational coefficients:

$$\frac{|\text{Hom}(G, S_{N-1})|}{|\text{Hom}(G, S_N)|} \stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} N^{-1+1/\mu} \cdot \left\{ 1 + \beta_{-1/\mu} N^{-1/\mu} + \beta_{-2/\mu} N^{-2/\mu} + \dots \right\},$$

where, here too, $\beta_t = 0$ for $0 > t > -\frac{1}{2}$. For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\text{Hom}(G, S_{N-j-1})|}{|\text{Hom}(G, S_{N-j})|} &\stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} (N-j)^{-1+1/\mu} \cdot \left\{ 1 + \beta_{-1/\mu} (N-j)^{-1/\mu} + \beta_{-2/\mu} (N-j)^{-2/\mu} + \dots \right\} \\ &\stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} N^{-1+1/\mu} \cdot \left\{ 1 + \beta_{-1/\mu}^{(j)} N^{-1/\mu} + \beta_{-2/\mu}^{(j)} N^{-2/\mu} + \dots \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from Taylor’s theorem, applied to the function $(N-j)^t$ at the point N , and the $\beta_t^{(j)}$ ’s are rational numbers. Moreover, the Taylor expansion of $(N-j)^t$ at N is of the form $N^t + c_1 N^{t-1} j + c_2 N^{t-2} j^2 + \dots$, so for $0 > t > -1$, $\beta_t^{(j)} = \beta_t$. In particular, $\beta_t^{(j)} = 0$ for $0 > t > -\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\text{Hom}(G, S_{N-v})|}{|\text{Hom}(G, S_N)|} &= \frac{|\text{Hom}(G, S_{N-1})|}{|\text{Hom}(G, S_N)|} \cdot \frac{|\text{Hom}(G, S_{N-2})|}{|\text{Hom}(G, S_{N-1})|} \dots \frac{|\text{Hom}(G, S_{N-v})|}{|\text{Hom}(G, S_{N-v+1})|} \\ &\stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} N^{-1+1/\mu} \cdot \left\{ 1 + \beta_{-1/\mu}^{(0)} N^{-1/\mu} + \beta_{-2/\mu}^{(0)} N^{-2/\mu} + \dots \right\} \cdot \\ &\quad N^{-1+1/\mu} \cdot \left\{ 1 + \beta_{-1/\mu}^{(1)} N^{-1/\mu} + \beta_{-2/\mu}^{(1)} N^{-2/\mu} + \dots \right\} \cdot \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad N^{-1+1/\mu} \cdot \left\{ 1 + \beta_{-1/\mu}^{(v-1)} N^{-1/\mu} + \beta_{-2/\mu}^{(v-1)} N^{-2/\mu} + \dots \right\} \\ &\stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} N^{-v+v/\mu} \cdot \left\{ 1 + \delta_{-1/\mu} N^{-1/\mu} + \delta_{-2/\mu} N^{-2/\mu} + \dots \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the δ_t 's are rational constants depending on G and on v . Because $\beta_t^{(j)} = 0$ for $0 > t > -\frac{1}{2}$, then so does $\delta_t = 0$ for $0 > t > -\frac{1}{2}$. Together with (3.5), this proves there is an asymptotic expansion for $\mathbb{E}_Z^{\text{emb}}(N)$ as in the statement of the proposition and with leading term $N^{v/\mu}$. It remains to prove that $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z) = \frac{v}{\mu}$.

Let Z_1, \dots, Z_ℓ denote the connected components of Z , and let $J_i \in \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_i)$ be a subgroup of G inside the conjugacy class of subgroups corresponding to Z_i . Then Z_i is a $[G : J_i]$ -sheeted cover of X_G , and in particular has $[G : J_i]$ vertices. Recall that, by definition, $\chi(J_i) = \frac{1}{|J_i|}$, and note that $\frac{1}{|J_i|} = \frac{[G : J_i]}{|G|} = \frac{[G : J_i]}{\mu}$. Thus

$$\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z) = \chi(J_1) + \dots + \chi(J_\ell) = \frac{[G : J_1]}{\mu} + \dots + \frac{[G : J_\ell]}{\mu} = \frac{v}{\mu}.$$

□

Corollary 3.5. *Theorem 2.6 holds for sub-covers of X_G when G is finite. Namely, denoting $\mu = |G|$, for a compact sub-cover Y of X_G , there are rational numbers $a_t = a_t(Y)$ for $t = -1/\mu, -2/\mu, \dots$ so that*

$$\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N) \stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} N^{\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)} \cdot \left\{ 1 + a_{-1/\mu} N^{-1/\mu} + a_{-2/\mu} N^{-2/\mu} + \dots \right\}. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. Recall the set $\mathcal{R}_Y^{\text{emb}}$ defined in (3.3), which is a finite embedding-resolution of Y by Proposition 3.3. By Lemma 2.4,

$$\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{R}_Y^{\text{emb}}} \mathbb{E}_{Z_f}^{\text{emb}}(N),$$

and so we conclude from Proposition 3.4 that $\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N)$ has asymptotic expansion $\sum_{t \in \frac{1}{\mu}\mathbb{Z}} a_t N^t$ with leading term $\max_{f \in \mathcal{R}_Y^{\text{emb}}} \chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_f)$. Consider the universal lift $\hat{p}: Y \rightarrow \Upsilon$ from Definition 2.9 (where here X_G is in the role of X_Γ , so Υ is a full cover of X_G). By definition, $\hat{p}(Y)$ intersects every component of Υ , and by Lemma 2.10, \hat{p} is an embedding. Thus $\hat{p} \in \mathcal{R}_Y^{\text{emb}}$. As $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(\Upsilon) \cong \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y)$, we get $\chi^{\text{grp}}(\Upsilon) = \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)$. It remains to show that for any other element $\hat{p} \neq f \in \mathcal{R}_Y^{\text{emb}}$ we have $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_f) \leq \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)$.

First, we may reduce to the case where each connected component of Y is mapped to its own connected component of Z_f . Indeed, if there are two distinct components Y_1 and Y_2 of Y which are mapped to the same component Z_o of Z_f , we may reduce to some $f' \in \mathcal{R}_Y^{\text{emb}}$ with $Z_{f'}$ having more connected components by duplicating Z_o to two copies and mapping Y_1 to one copy and Y_2 to another copy. Using the fact that the EC of finite groups is positive, we obtain $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_f) < \chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_{f'})$.

So now it is enough to assume that Y is connected and prove that for $f \in \mathcal{R}_Y^{\text{emb}}$, we have $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_f) \leq \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)$ with equality if and only if f is the universal lift of $p: Y \rightarrow X_G$. Choose an arbitrary vertex $y \in Y$ and denote $J = \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y, y) = \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(\Upsilon, \hat{p}(y)) \leq G$. The existence of f yields that $J \leq \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_f, f(y))$, and thus there is a morphism of covering spaces $\tau: (\Upsilon, \hat{p}(y)) \rightarrow (Z_f, f(y))$. By the classification of covering spaces (e.g. [Hat05, Thm. 1.38]), τ is an isomorphism if and only if $J = \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_f, f(y))$. So if $f \neq \hat{p}$ we obtain $J \subsetneq \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_f, f(y))$, and

$$\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y) = \chi(J) = \frac{1}{|J|} > \frac{1}{|\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_f)|} = \chi\left(\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_f)\right) = \chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_f).$$

□

We can now also prove Proposition 1.2 stating that for any *torsion* element $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] = N^{1/|\gamma|} + O(N^{1/(2|\gamma|)})$. Along the way we also prove the existence of asymptotic expansion, as in Theorem 1.13, for torsion elements of γ .

Proof of Theorem 1.13 for torsion elements and of Proposition 1.2. Assume that G is finite, that $\gamma \in G$ and that $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(G, S_N)$ is uniformly random. Let $p: (\hat{X}_{\langle \gamma \rangle}, x) \rightarrow (X_G, v)$ be the connected covering space with $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(\hat{X}_{\langle \gamma \rangle}, x) = \langle \gamma \rangle \leq G$. Consider the N -cover X_φ of X_G corresponding to φ , with vertices

labeled by $[N]$. Then $\varphi(\gamma)$ fixes $i \in [N]$ if and only if there is a lift of p to (X_φ, i) . Thus $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{X}_{\langle\gamma\rangle}}(N)$. By Proposition 3.3, the set $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{\hat{X}_{\langle\gamma\rangle}}$ from (3.2) is a finite resolution for $\hat{X}_{\langle\gamma\rangle}$, and by Lemma 2.4,

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{X}_{\langle\gamma\rangle}}(N) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{R}} \mathbb{E}_{Z_f}^{\text{emb}}(N). \quad (3.7)$$

Theorem 1.13 for torsion elements, namely, the fact that $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)]$ has asymptotic expansion as in (1.10), now follows from (3.7) together with Proposition 3.4.

Note that the identity map $\text{id}: \hat{X}_{\langle\gamma\rangle} \rightarrow \hat{X}_{\langle\gamma\rangle}$ belongs to \mathcal{R} . As $\chi(\langle\gamma\rangle) = \frac{1}{|\gamma|}$, this element of \mathcal{R} satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}_{\hat{X}_{\langle\gamma\rangle}}^{\text{emb}}(N) = N^{1/|\gamma|} \left(1 + O\left(N^{-1/2}\right)\right) = N^{1/|\gamma|} + O\left(N^{1/|\gamma|-1/2}\right)$$

by Proposition 3.4. Note that $\frac{1}{|\gamma|} - \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2|\gamma|}$. It is left to show that for every other element $\text{id} \neq f \in \mathcal{R}$, $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_f) \leq \frac{1}{2|\gamma|}$. Indeed, as $\hat{X}_{\langle\gamma\rangle}$ is connected, so is Z_f for every $f \in \mathcal{R}$. As f is a lift of p but $f \neq \text{id}$, we have $\langle\gamma\rangle \not\subseteq \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_f, f(x))$. Thus

$$\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_f) = \frac{1}{|\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_f, f(x))|} = \frac{1}{|\gamma| \cdot [\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_f, f(x)) : \langle\gamma\rangle]} \leq \frac{1}{2|\gamma|}.$$

□

Remark 3.6. In Section 1 we claimed that torsion elements $\gamma \in \Gamma$ satisfy also (1.5), namely, that

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] = N^{1/|\gamma|} \left(1 + O\left(N^{-1/m}\right)\right). \quad (3.8)$$

Indeed, if γ is conjugated into the finite group G (one of the factors of Γ), then $m(\Gamma) \geq m(G) = |G|$, and we may thus assume that $m = |G|$. If $|\gamma| = |G|$ then $\langle\gamma\rangle = G$, namely, γ does not belong to any proper subgroup of G . Thus, the only element of the resolution \mathcal{R} from the last proof is $\text{id}: \hat{X}_{\langle\gamma\rangle} \rightarrow \hat{X}_{\langle\gamma\rangle}$, and $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{X}_{\langle\gamma\rangle}}^{\text{emb}}(N) = N^{1/|\gamma|} (1 + O(N^{-1/2}))$. This yields (3.8) as $m \geq 2$. Finally, if $|\gamma| \not\subseteq |G|$, then $|\gamma| \leq \frac{m}{2}$, and (3.8) follows immediately from Proposition 1.2.

Example 3.7. Let $G = C_4 = \langle x \rangle$ be the cyclic group of size 4 generated by x , and consider the element x^2 . There are two subgroups containing x^2 : $\langle x \rangle$ and $\langle x^2 \rangle$, with corresponding coverings spaces $\hat{X}_{\langle x \rangle}$ and $\hat{X}_{\langle x^2 \rangle}$. The computations appearing above together with the some precise values of coefficients from [Mül97, p. 552], yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\hat{X}_{\langle x \rangle}}^{\text{emb}} &\stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} N^{1/4} \cdot \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{4}N^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{4}N^{-3/4} + \dots \right\} \\ \mathbb{E}_{\hat{X}_{\langle x^2 \rangle}}^{\text{emb}} &\stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} N^{1/2} \cdot \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2}N^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{2}N^{-3/4} + \dots \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_{x^2}(N)] \stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} N^{1/2} + N^{1/4} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4}N^{-1/4} + \dots$$

Remark 3.8. Some of the results of this subsection 3.2 also follow from [MSP10]. Let $p: Z \rightarrow X_G$ be a *connected* (full) cover with m_i vertices, and let $m = |G|$, so $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z) = \frac{m_i}{m}$. Then [MSP10, Lem. 4] states that $\frac{\mathbb{E}_Z^{\text{emb}}(N) - N^{m_i/m}}{N^{m_i/(2m)}}$ converges in distribution to a standard Gaussian $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Note that the statement of that lemma wrongly implies that what is being counted is the number of *disjoint* copies of Z in a random N -cover, whereas what is actually being counted there is $\mathbb{E}_Z^{\text{emb}}(N)$, namely the number of disjoint copies times $|\text{Aut}(Z)|$, the number of automorphisms of Z as a covering map. See also Remark 2.7.

3.3 Sub-covers of X_G for G a surface group

We now assume that $G = \Lambda_g = \langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g \mid [a_1, b_1] \cdots [a_g, b_g] \rangle$ with $g \geq 2$. Recall from Section 2.1 that X_G is an orientable surface of genus g endowed with a CW-structure of a single vertex v , $2g$ edges labeled a_1, \dots, b_g , and a single 2-cell, which we think of as a $4g$ -gon as its boundary is attached to a cycle of $4g$ edges. A sub-cover of X_G is also called a *tilted surface* in [MP22, MP20]. See also [MP22, Prop. 3.3] for an intrinsic definition of a tiled surface.

We now introduce some further terminology from [MP22, MP20]. The definitions are laconic as they are only used in order to state some results from these two papers, and Let $Y \subseteq Z$ be a sub-cover which is a subcomplex of the (full) covering space $p: Z \rightarrow X_G$. As Y is embedded in a surface, we may take a small closed regular neighborhood of Y in Z and obtain the “thick version” of Y which is a surface, possibly with boundary. The thick version of Y , which we sometimes denote by \mathbb{Y} , is a feature of Y as a sub-cover, and does not depend on the particular Z it is embedded in – see [MP22, Sec. 3.1]. We write ∂Y for the boundary of the thick version of Y . This boundary is a finite collection of cycles. We pick an orientation on every boundary component (see below) to obtain a **boundary cycle** of Y , and using the edge-labels along a boundary cycle, it corresponds to some cyclic word in the generators of G .

Every full cover Z of X_G consists of vertices, directed edges labeled by a_1, \dots, b_g , and $4g$ -gons. The cycle around every $4g$ -gon reads the relation – the cyclic word $R = [a_1, b_1] \cdots [a_g, b_g]$. A boundary cycle of a sub-cover Y is always oriented so that if Y is embedded in the full cover Z , the cycle reads successive segments of the boundaries of the neighboring $4g$ -gons (in $Z \setminus Y$) with the orientation of each $4g$ -gon coming from $[a_1, b_1] \cdots [a_g, b_g]$ (and not from the inverse word).

If a boundary cycle of a sub-cover Y contains a subword of R of length $> \frac{1}{2}|R| = 2g$, then in *every* full cover Z in which Y is embedded, one may shorten the total boundary of Y by annexing the $4g$ -gon neighboring this subword. In this sense the boundary of Y is not “reduced”. We call a subword of R of length $\geq 2g + 1$ a **long block**.

There are further, more involved cases involving a sequence of a few consecutive $4g$ -gons where ∂Y is not reduced. For example, if $g = 2$ and ∂Y contains the subword $a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1} b_2^{-1} a_1 b_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1} a_2$ then there are three consecutive octagons neighboring this subword, and annexing them strictly reduces the total length of ∂Y . Such subwords are called **long chains** – see [MP22, Sec. 3.2] for the precise definition. This leads to the following definition.

Definition 3.9 (Boundary reduced). [MP22, Def. 4.1] Let $G = \Lambda_g$ with $g \geq 2$. A sub-cover Y of X_G is called **boundary reduced**, or **BR** for short, if ∂Y contains no long blocks nor long chains.

If ∂Y contains a subword which constitutes half of the relation R , called a **half-block**, then in every full cover Z in which Y is embedded, the neighboring $4g$ -gon can be annexed to Y without increasing the total length of ∂Y . Likewise, there are cycles called **half-chains** so that annexing the sequence of consecutive $4g$ -gons along them does not increase the length of the boundary. Again, see [MP22, Sec. 3.2] for the precise definitions.

Definition 3.10 (Strongly boundary reduced). [MP22, Def. 4.2] Let $G = \Lambda_g$ with $g \geq 2$. A sub-cover Y of X_G is called **strongly boundary reduced**, or **SBR** for short, if ∂Y contains no half-blocks nor half-chains.

As explained in [MP22, Sec. 4], every SBR sub-cover is, in particular, BR. The case of Theorem 2.6 dealing with sub-covers of X_G (where $G = \Lambda_g$ is a surface group), crucially relies on the following results from [MP20].

Theorem 3.11. [MP20] *Let Y be a compact sub-cover of X_G where $G = \Lambda_g$ with $g \geq 2$.*

1. *If Y is BR, there are rational number $a_t = a_t(Y)$ for $t = 0, -1, -2, \dots$ with $a_0 > 0$, so that*

$$\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N) \stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} N^{\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)} \cdot \{a_0 + a_{-1}N^{-1} + a_{-2}N^{-2} + \dots\}. \quad (3.9)$$

2. If Y is moreover SBR, then $a_0(Y) = 1$.

Although they probably should have been, these results are not written explicitly in [MP20]. However, they follow immediately from the results therein. In fact, as explained in [MP20, Sec. 1.6 and 5.1], the results of that paper immediately give (3.9) with $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)$ replaced with $\chi(Y)$. But then [MP22, Lem. 5.6] shows that if Y is compact and BR, then $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y) = \chi(Y)$.

Theorem 3.12. [MP20, Thm. 2.14] *Let Y be a compact sub-cover of X_G where $G = \Lambda_g$ and let $\chi_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then Y admits a finite resolution $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(Y, \chi_0)$ such that for every $f: Y \rightarrow Z_f$ in \mathcal{R} , the following properties holds:*

- (i) *the sub-cover Z_f is compact and BR,*
- (ii) *if $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_f) \geq \chi_0$, then Z_f is SBR, and*
- (iii) *the image of f meets every connected component of Z_f .*

The original statement of [MP20, Thm. 2.14] states the second condition as $\chi(Z_f) \geq \chi_0$, but as mentioned above, for compact BR sub-covers, $\chi(Z_f) = \chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_f)$. Part (iii) is not mentioned in ibid, but it follows from the specific construction of \mathcal{R} in [MP20, Def. 2.13].

Corollary 3.13. *Let Y be compact sub-cover of X_G where $G = \Lambda_g$ and let $\chi_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then Y admits a finite embedding-resolution $\mathcal{R}^{\text{emb}} = \mathcal{R}^{\text{emb}}(Y, \chi_0)$ for the injective lifts of Y to (full) covers of X_G , and with the same three properties as in Theorem 3.12.*

Proof. Take the subset of $\mathcal{R}(Y, \chi_0)$ from Theorem 3.12 consisting of all injective morphisms. \square

Let $\widetilde{\Sigma}_g$ be the universal cover of the genus- g orientable closed surface Σ_g , endowed with the CW-complex structure pulled-back from $X_G \cong \Sigma_g$. For every subgroup $J \leq \Lambda_g$, the corresponding covering space is $J \backslash \widetilde{\Sigma}_g$ (see [MP22, Example 3.5]).

Lemma 3.14. *If $f: Y \hookrightarrow Z$ is an embedding of compact sub-covers of X_G with $G = \Lambda_g$ such that $f(Y)$ meets every component of Z , then $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z) \leq \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)$.*

Proof. Let Z_1, \dots, Z_s be the connected components of Z with $z_j \in Z_j$ some vertex. Denote $H_j = \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_j, z_j)$, $\Upsilon_j = H_j \backslash \widetilde{\Sigma}_g$ and $\Upsilon = \Upsilon_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \Upsilon_s$. Then the universal lift of Z has codomain Υ and Z is embedded in Υ by Lemma 2.10. We may think of f as an embedding of Y inside Υ . Consider the thick part \mathbb{Y} of $f(Y)$ in Υ , with $\mathbb{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbb{Y}_\ell$ its connected components, and denote by C_1, \dots, C_q the connected components of the complement $\Upsilon - \mathbb{Y}$. We denote by $\overline{C_i}$ the closure of the component C_i , and the fact it is a component in the complement of \mathbb{Y} and not of $f(Y)$ guarantees that $\overline{C_i}^\circ = C_i$. As $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_i) = \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(\Upsilon_i)$, it is enough to prove that $\chi^{\text{grp}}(\Upsilon) \leq \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)$.

We may assume that none of the \mathbb{Y}_i 's and none of the $\overline{C_j}$'s are discs. Indeed, if some $\overline{C_i}$ is a disc, then we can replace \mathbb{Y} with $\mathbb{Y} \cup C_i$: this does not change $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y)$ nor $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)$. If any \mathbb{Y}_i is a disc, then it is connected to a single $\overline{C_j}$. Assume that $\mathbb{Y}_i \subseteq \Upsilon_t$. If $\mathbb{Y}_i \cup \overline{C_j} = \Upsilon_t$, we may reduce to the case where this part is ignored completely, for $H_t \leq \Lambda_g$ and so $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y_i) = 1 \geq \chi(H_t)$. If there are additional parts in Υ_t , we may reduce to the case where we remove \mathbb{Y}_i from \mathbb{Y} and replace $\overline{C_j}$ with $\mathbb{Y}_i \cup \overline{C_j}$, for then $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)$ is decreased by one and $\chi^{\text{grp}}(\Upsilon)$ does not change.

We obtained a decomposition of the space Υ to a graph of spaces with vertex-spaces $\mathbb{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbb{Y}_\ell, \overline{C_1}, \dots, \overline{C_q}$ and all edge groups isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} (every edge connects some \mathbb{Y}_i with some $\overline{C_j}$ and corresponds to some boundary component of \mathbb{Y}). As the vertex-spaces are not-a-disc surfaces and are embedded in hyperbolic surfaces, they have non-trivial fundamental groups. Furthermore, in every connected surface S with boundary which is not a disc, the cyclic fundamental group of every boundary component is *embedded* in $\pi_1(S)$. Thus, all edge-groups (which are infinite cyclic) are embedded in the corresponding vertex groups. By Bass-Serre theory of graph of groups, this means that $\pi_1(\mathbb{Y}_i)$ is embedded in H_t whenever $\mathbb{Y}_i \subseteq \Upsilon_t$. If $\pi: \Upsilon_t \rightarrow X_G$ is the covering map, then $\pi_*: \pi_1(\Upsilon_t) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_G)$ is injective, which yields that so is $\pi_* \circ f_*: \pi_1(\mathbb{Y}_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_G)$. Thus $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(\mathbb{Y}_i) \cong \pi_1(\mathbb{Y}_i)$ and $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y_i) = \chi(\pi_1(\mathbb{Y}_i))$. Finally, because all edge

groups are \mathbb{Z} and all vertex groups of the graph of spaces are non-trivial groups with non-positive EC, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z) &= \chi(H_1) + \dots + \chi(H_s) = \chi(\pi_1(\Upsilon)) = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \chi(\pi_1(\mathbb{Y}_i)) + \sum_{j=1}^q \chi(\pi_1(\overline{C_i})) - \sum_{e \text{ edge of graph of spaces}} \chi(\mathbb{Z})\end{aligned}\quad (3.10)$$

$$= \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y) + \sum_{i=1}^q \chi(\pi_1(\overline{C_i})) \leq \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y). \quad (3.11)$$

□

We can now extend (3.9) to arbitrary sub-covers of X_G .

Corollary 3.15. *Theorem 2.6 holds for sub-covers of X_G when $G = \Lambda_g$ is a surface group. Namely, for every compact sub-cover Y of X_G there are rational numbers $a_t = a_t(Y)$ for $t = 0, -1, -2, \dots$ so that*

$$\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N) \stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} N \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y) \cdot \{a_0 + a_{-1}N^{-1} + a_{-2}N^{-2} + \dots\}, \quad (3.12)$$

where $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ is a positive integer.

Proof. Let Y be an arbitrary compact sub-cover of X_G . Set $\chi_0 = \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)$ and let $\mathcal{R}^{\text{emb}} = \mathcal{R}^{\text{emb}}(Y, \chi_0)$ be a finite embedding-resolution as in Corollary 3.13. By Lemma 3.14, $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_f) \leq \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)$, and as $\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{emb}}} \mathbb{E}_{Z_f}^{\text{emb}}(N)$, it follows from Theorem 3.11 that $\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N)$ admits an asymptotic expansion as in (3.12), with some $a_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$. As every $f \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{emb}}$ with $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_f) \geq \chi_0 = \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)$ is SBR, we get from Theorem 3.11(2) that each such f contributes 1 to a_0 and so, in fact, $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. It is thus left to show that there is an element of \mathcal{R}^{emb} with $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_f) = \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)$.

Let $\hat{p}: Y \hookrightarrow \Upsilon$ be the universal lift from Definition 2.9, which is injective by Lemma 2.10. By the definition of an embedding-resolution, this embedding \hat{p} decomposes as

$$Y \xrightarrow{f} Z_f \hookrightarrow \Upsilon,$$

for some $f \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{emb}}$. Of course, Z_f has the same number of connected components as Y (and Υ). For each connected component Y_i of Y with $y_i \in Y_i$ a vertex, we have

$$H_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y_i, y_i) \leq \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_f, f(y_i)) \leq \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(\Upsilon, \hat{p}(y_i)) = H_i$$

and so $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_f, f(y_i)) = H_j$. In particular, $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_f) = \chi(H_1) + \dots + \chi(H_\ell) = \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)$. □

Example 3.16. Figure 3.1 illustrates two different SBR sub-covers Z_1 and Z_2 in a possible resolution of a particular (BR) sub-cover Y . One of them is a torus with one boundary component, while the other is a pair of pants. In this example, $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y) \cong \mathbf{F}_2$ and $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y) = -1$. Both Z_1 and Z_2 have, too, $\chi(Z_1) = \chi(Z_2) = -1$. In fact, in an embedding-resolution \mathcal{R}^{emb} of Y which contains Z_1 and Z_2 , they must be the only elements of $EC -1$. This shows that $a_0(Y) = 2$, namely,

$$\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N) \stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} N^{-1} \cdot \{2 + a_{-1}N^{-1} + a_{-2}N^{-2} + \dots\}.$$

To end this section, we characterize sub-covers Y where $a_0(Y) = 1$. The characterization is stated in Proposition 3.19, and uses the following lemma (which could have fit in the paper [MP22] better than the current one).

Lemma 3.17. *Let $Y \hookrightarrow Z$ be a SBR sub-cover Y embedded in a full cover Z . Then there is no boundary component of Y bounding a disc in $Z \setminus Y$, nor is there a pair of boundary components of Y bounding an annulus in $Z \setminus Y$.*




Figure 3.1: On the left hand side is a BR sub-cover Y of X_G where $G = \Lambda_2 = \langle a, b, c, d \mid [a, b][c, d] \rangle$. This sub-cover consists of two hexagons connected by an additional edge, and it satisfies $\chi(Y) = \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y) = -1$. On the right there are two distinct SBR sub-covers in which Y is embedded, and which can serve as part of an embedding-resolution of Y . Both of these have $\chi = \chi^{\text{grp}} = -1$ and $\pi_1^{\text{lab}} \cong \mathbf{F}_2$, yet the upper one has $\pi_1^{\text{lab}} = \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y)$, while the bottom one has π_1^{lab} which is an HNN-extension of $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y)$.

Proof. If some boundary cycle \mathcal{C} of Y bounds a disc, then \mathcal{C} spells a word which is equal to the trivial word in Λ_g . By the classical results of Dehn [Deh12], \mathcal{C} must contain a long block, contradicting the assumption that Y is SBR.

Now assume that \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are two boundary cycles of Y bounding an annulus of $Z \setminus Y$. They both represent the same free-homotopy class in Z , and they are not null-homotopic (otherwise we get once again a contradiction to [Deh12]). One of the key features of SBR sub-covers such as Y is that given a non-nullhomotopic loop \mathcal{C} in its 1-skeleton $Y^{(1)}$, one can greedily shorten \mathcal{C} by replacing a long block along some $4g$ -gon with its complement on the other side of this $4g$ -gon. Then, any two shortest representatives of the free homotopy class of \mathcal{C} can be obtained one from the other by “half-block switches” or a “half-chain switch” (see [MP22, Sec. 4]). All these switches take place inside Y . We conclude that \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are freely-homotopic *inside* Y , which means that Y is topologically an annulus, and Z a genus-1 torus (or a Klein bottle). This contradicts the fact that Z is a covering space of a genus- g surface with $g \geq 2$. \square

Definition 3.18 (Matching boundary cycles). We say that two different boundary cycles γ_1 and γ_2 of a sub-cover Y are **matching** if (i) there is an embedding $f: Y \hookrightarrow Z$ into a (full) cover Z of X_G such that one of the connected components of $Z - f(Y)$ is an annulus bounded by γ_1 and γ_2 , and (ii) γ_1 (and γ_2) do not represent the trivial element of Λ_g .

Proposition 3.19. Let $G = \Lambda_g$ and let $p: Y \rightarrow X_G$ be a compact sub-cover. Then in the asymptotic expansion (3.12), $a_0(Y) = 1$ if and only if Y does not admit matching boundary cycles.

Proof. Let $f: Y \hookrightarrow Z$ be an embedding of Y into a full cover of X_G with $f(Y)$ meeting every component of Z . As in the proof of Lemma 3.14, denote by $\overline{C}_1, \dots, \overline{C}_q$ the connected components of $Z - \mathbb{Y}$. By that same proof, $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z) = \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)$ if and only if (i) every connected component Y_i of Y with trivial $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y_i)$ is embedded in its own connected component Z_j of Z with $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_j) = 1$, and (ii) every \overline{C}_t is either a disc or an annulus.

Now let $\mathcal{R}^{\text{emb}} = \mathcal{R}^{\text{emb}}(Y, \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y))$ be the embedding-resolution from Corollary 3.13. Let $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{emb}}$ be the element taking part in the decomposition of the universal lift $\hat{p}: Y \hookrightarrow \Upsilon$ from Definition 2.9. As in the proof of Corollary 3.15, $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_{\hat{f}}) = \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y)$ and $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_{\hat{f}}) = \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)$. So this \hat{f} contributes 1 to the coefficient a_0 from (3.12). Note that if a pair of matching boundary cycles is realized in some embedding of Y in a full cover, then π_1^{lab} of the codomain strictly contains that of Y (it contains a non-trivial amalgamated product or HNN extension of $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y)$). In particular, $\hat{p}: Y \hookrightarrow \Upsilon$ does not realize any pair of matching boundary cycles.

Now assume that $a_0 \geq 2$, namely, that there exists another element $\hat{f} \neq g \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{emb}}$ with $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_g) = \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)$. Let $\bar{g}: Y \rightarrow \Upsilon_g$ be the composition of g with the universal lift of Z_g (we let Υ_g denote the codomain of this lift). By the uniqueness in the definition of a resolution, Z_g does not embed into Υ in a way compatible with the universal lift \hat{p} . So for some component (Y_i, y_i) of Y , we must have $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(\Upsilon_g, f(y_i)) = \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_g, f(y_i)) \not\subseteq \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y_i, y_i)$. But this can only happen, by the first paragraph of this proof applied to \bar{g} , if some \bar{C}_i is an annulus which does not border any components of Y with trivial π_1^{lab} . This precisely means that \bar{g} realizes some pair of matching boundary cycles.

Conversely, if Y admits a pair of matching boundary cycles, we may consider the embedding $f: Y \hookrightarrow Z$ from Definition 3.18 that realizes this pair. Let C be the connected component of $Z - f(Y)$ which is an annulus bounded by the matching pair. Let $Y' = f(Y) \cup C \subseteq Z$. Then $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y') = \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)$. Let $h': Y' \hookrightarrow \Upsilon'$ be the universal lift of Y' , and $j: Y \hookrightarrow \Upsilon'$ the resulting embedding of Y in Υ' . Then by the definition of \mathcal{R}^{emb} , j decomposes through some $g \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{emb}}$,

$$Y \xrightarrow{g} Z_g \hookrightarrow \Upsilon'.$$

By Lemma 3.17, Z_g must contain Y' . Thus $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y, y) \subseteq \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_g, j(y))$ for any vertex $y \in Y$. But $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y, y) = \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_{\hat{f}}, \hat{f}(y))$, and so $g \neq \hat{f}$. As $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_g) = \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)$, we obtain that $a_0(Y) \geq 2$. \square

Corollary 3.20. *In the following cases, a compact sub-cover Y of X_G satisfies $a_0(Y) = 1$:*

1. $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y_i)$ is trivial for every connected component Y_i of Y .
2. Y is a single topological annulus.
3. Y is a disjoint union of several copies of the same topological annulus.
4. No two different boundary cycles γ_1 and γ_2 of Y satisfy that γ_1 is conjugate to γ_2 or to γ_2^{-1} .
5. Y is a disjoint union of topological annuli, where every two are either identical or have non-conjugate boundary cycles.
6. Y is SBR.

Proof. Any matching pair of boundary cycles consists of boundary cycles corresponding to a non-trivial element of Λ_g , so part 1 follows immediately from Proposition 3.19. If an annulus has a matching pair of boundary cycles, then by definition, it can be embedded in a genus-one torus, which is impossible as a torus cannot cover X_G , and part 2 follows.

Now assume that Y is a disjoint union of several copies of A , where the thick version \mathbb{A} of A is an annulus with boundary cycles γ_1 and γ_2 . If $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(A) = 1$ we reduce to part 1, so assume otherwise. Note that A has a mirror symmetry swapping γ_1 and γ_2 if and only if it is a 1-dimensional simple cycle. Assume towards contradiction that Y admits a pair of matching boundary cycles. If this pair involves one copy of γ_1 and one of γ_2 , we can use the same annulus bounded between them to connect the two boundary components of the same copy of A and thus obtain a torus which is a legitimate covering space of X_G (see [MP22, Prop. 4.3]), which, as before, is impossible. If the matching involves two copies of γ_1 then, as no non-trivial element of Λ_g is conjugate to its inverse, these two copies of γ_1 bound an annulus so that they

have matching orientations. If A has mirror symmetry, we return to the previous case where the matching involves γ_1 and γ_2 . Otherwise, we get that on the same covering space of X_G we have two copies of A with opposite orientations, which is impossible (for example, the cyclic order of the half-edges at every vertex is determined by the edge-labels alone – see [MP22, Prop. 3.4]). This shows part 3.

Part 4 is immediate from Proposition 3.19 and the fact that the two boundary components of an annulus inside a cover of X_G must represent conjugates in Λ_g . Part 5 follows from combining the arguments of parts 3 and 4. Finally, part 6 is Theorem 3.11(2). \square

Let us stress that part 6 also falls under the content of Proposition 3.19. Indeed, if Y is SBR and is embedded in a full cover Z , then no connected component of $Z \setminus Y$ is an annulus bounded by two non-nullhomotopic cycles of Z , by Lemma 3.17.

4 Sub-covers of X_Γ : expectations and asymptotic expansion

We can now prove Theorem 2.6 for an arbitrary compact sub-cover Y of X_Γ . Namely, for $m = m(\Gamma)$, we show that there are rational numbers $a_t = a_t(Y)$ for $t = 0, -\frac{1}{m}, -\frac{2}{m}, -\frac{3}{m}, \dots$ so that

$$\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N) \stackrel{\text{asym. exp.}}{\sim} N^{\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)} \cdot \left\{ a_0 + a_{-1/m} N^{-1/m} + a_{-2/m} N^{-2/m} + \dots \right\}, \quad (4.1)$$

with $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Moreover, we need to show that whenever there are no surface groups involved, $a_0 = 1$. We show a bit more. Recall from Definition 2.1 that $Y|_{G_i}$ denotes the subcomplex of Y sitting above X_{G_i} for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Also recall that Corollaries 3.2, 3.5 and 3.15 already established Theorem 2.6 for sub-covers of X_G where G is any single factor of Γ .

Proposition 4.1 (Addendum to Theorem 2.6). *For a compact sub-cover Y of X_Γ , let $a_0^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ denote the leading coefficient (the coefficient of $N^{\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y|_{G_i})}$) in the asymptotic expansion of $\mathbb{E}_{Y|_{G_i}}^{\text{emb}}(N)$. Then*

$$a_0(Y) = \prod_{i=1}^k a_0^{(i)}.$$

In particular, $a_0(Y) = 1$ if and only if, whenever G_i is a surface group, the subcomplex $Y|_{G_i}$ does not admit matching pairs of boundary cycles.

We will need the following lemma. Recall that o is the basepoint of X_Γ and e_i is the edge connecting o to X_{G_i} .

Lemma 4.2. *For any compact sub-cover $p: Y \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ we have*

$$\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y) = |p^{-1}(o)| + \sum_{i=1}^k (\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y|_{G_i}) - |p^{-1}(e_i)|). \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. We may assume that Y is connected: the general case follows immediately. We embed Y in a larger sub-cover Z , where for every $i = 1, \dots, k$, $Y|_{G_i}$ is embedded in a space $Z|_{G_i}$ according to the following rules:

- if G_i is free, $Z|_{G_i} = Y|_{G_i}$,
- if G_i is finite, $f|_{G_i}: Y|_{G_i} \hookrightarrow Z|_{G_i}$ is the universal lift (Definition 2.9) of $Y|_{G_i}$ as a sub-cover of X_{G_i} (in particular, $Z|_{G_i}$ is a compact full cover of X_{G_i}),

- if G_i is a surface group, $f|_{G_i}: Y|_{G_i} \hookrightarrow Z|_{G_i}$ is the element of $\mathcal{R}_{Y|_{G_i}}^{\text{emb}} = \mathcal{R}^{\text{emb}}(Y|_{G_i}, \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y|_{G_i}))$ from Corollary 3.13 through which the universal lift of $Y|_{G_i}$ factors.

We let Z be the union of the $Z|_{G_i}$'s together with $Y - \bigcup Y|_{G_i}$ (attached in the obvious manner), and $f: Y \hookrightarrow Z$ be the embedding obtained from the identity on $Y - \bigcup Y|_{G_i}$ and $f|_{G_i}$ on $Y|_{G_i}$.

For every vertex y in $Y|_{G_i}$, we claim that

$$\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y|_{G_i}, y) = \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z|_{G_i}, f(y)) \cong \pi_1(Z|_{G_i}, f(y)). \quad (4.3)$$

Indeed, in the free case (4.3) is trivial. In the finite case the first equality in (4.3) follows from the definition of the universal lift and the second one from that $Z|_{G_i}$ is a full cover. Finally, in the surface case, the same argument gives (4.3) with $Z|_{G_i}$ replaced with the codomain of the universal lift Υ of the connected component of y in $Y|_{G_i}$, but this implies (4.3) as $Z|_{G_i}$ is BR and so its embedding in Υ is π_1 -injective [MP22, Cor. 4.11].

Now Z has the structure of a graph of spaces with the edge-spaces being the ordinary edges in $\bigcup_{i=1}^k p^{-1}(e_i)$. Fix a vertex $y_o \in p^{-1}(o)$. The sub-covering map $\phi: Z \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ induces an embedding on the fundamental group

$$\phi_*: \pi_1(Z, y_o) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(X_\Gamma, o) = \Gamma.$$

Indeed, every non-trivial element $g \in \pi_1(Z, y_o)$ can be described by an irreducible combinatorial path in the 1-skeleton of Z based at y_o : this is a closed path where at each vertex-space it may “accumulate” an element of that vertex group, and if the path backtracks, the element of the vertex-group in the middle must be non-trivial. But then the ϕ -image of this path is irreducible and thus non-trivial in X_Γ by (4.3).

Finally, the embedding $f: Y \hookrightarrow Z$ induces a *surjective* homomorphism $f_*: \pi_1(Y, y_o) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z, y_o)$: this follows again from (4.3). As $p_* = \phi_* \circ f_*$, we conclude that $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y, y_o) = p_*(\pi_1(Y, y_o)) \cong \pi_1(Z, y_o)$. Hence $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y) = \chi(\pi_1(Z))$, and the latter is equal to the right hand side of (4.2). \square

Proof of Theorem 2.6 and of Proposition 4.1. Let $p: Y \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ denote the sub-covering map. Denote by $\nu_o = |p^{-1}(o)|$ the number of vertices above the vertex $o \in X_\Gamma$. For $i = 1, \dots, k$ denote by $\nu_i = v(Y|_{G_i})$ the number of vertices in $Y|_{G_i}$, and by $\varepsilon_i = |p^{-1}(e_i)|$ the number of edges projecting to the edge $e_i \in X_\Gamma$ which connects o and X_{G_i} . In an N -cover \hat{X} of X_Γ in our model, the vertices above o are labeled by $[N] = \{1, \dots, N\}$. Every other vertex u in \hat{X} is a neighbor (in the 1-skeleton of \hat{X}) of exactly one vertex u' in the fiber above o , and we label u by the same label from $[N]$ as u' .

Let $q: Z \rightarrow X_{G_i}$ be a sub-cover of some X_{G_i} . Every embedding of the vertices of Z to a cover of X_{G_i} can be extended to an embedding of Z in at most one way. Because we identified the vertices of an N -cover of X_{G_i} with $[N]$, such an embedding of the vertices of Z into an N -cover is an embedding into $[N]$. Let $p(Z)$ be the probability that a given embedding of the *vertices* of Z to $[N]$ can be extended to an embedding of Z to a random N -cover with vertices $[N]$. By symmetry, $p(Z)$ is independent of the embedding of vertices, so

$$\mathbb{E}_Z^{\text{emb}}(N) = (N)_{v(Z)} \cdot p(Z). \quad (4.4)$$

Consider an arbitrary embedding f of the ν_o vertices $p^{-1}(o)$ of Y into $[N]$, out of the $(N)_{\nu_o}$ possible ones. For every $i = 1, \dots, k$, the embedding f determines the embedding of the ε_i vertices of $Y|_{G_i}$ incident to edges projecting to e_i , so there are

$$(N - \varepsilon_i)_{\nu_i - \varepsilon_i} = \frac{(N)_{\nu_i}}{(N)_{\varepsilon_i}}$$

possible extensions of the embedding f to an embedding of the ν_i vertices of $Y|_{G_i}$. Because of the

independence of the random N -covers of every X_{G_i} , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N) &= (N)_{\nu_o} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \left[\frac{(N)_{\nu_i}}{(N)_{\varepsilon_i}} p(Y|_{G_i}) \right] \\ &\stackrel{(4.4)}{=} (N)_{\nu_o} \left[\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{(N)_{\varepsilon_i}} \right] \left[\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}_{Y|_{G_i}}^{\text{emb}}(N) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We already know that each term $\mathbb{E}_{Y|_{G_i}}^{\text{emb}}(N)$ admits an asymptotic expansion with exponents in $\frac{1}{m(G_i)}\mathbb{Z}$ (where $m(G_i) = 1$ for torsion-free group and $m(G_i) = |G_i|$ if G_i is finite). Of course, the product of these asymptotic expansions gives an asymptotic expansion with exponents in $\frac{1}{m(\Gamma)}\mathbb{Z}$. Together with the terms $(N)_{\nu_o} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{(N)_{\varepsilon_i}}$ we get an asymptotic expansion as in (4.1), with leading coefficient $a_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_i a_0^{(i)}$, and with leading exponent $\nu_o - \sum_i \varepsilon_i + \sum_i \chi^{\text{grp}}(Y^{(i)})$, which is equal to $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Y)$ by Lemma 4.2. The final statement of Proposition 4.1 now follows from Proposition 3.19. \square

5 The limit distribution and asymptotic expansion of $\text{fix}_\gamma(N)$

In this section we prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 about the limit distribution of $\text{fix}_\gamma(N)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for non-torsion $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and Theorem 1.13 about the asymptotic expansion of $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)]$ for arbitrary $\gamma \in \Gamma$. For these results, we consider a natural sub-cover $p_\gamma: Y_\gamma \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ such that for every $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_N)$, the number of fixed points of $\varphi(\gamma)$ is equal to the number of lifts of p_γ to X_φ , the corresponding N -cover of X_Γ . We then proceed by applying Theorem 2.6 to a natural resolution of Y_γ . All the results in this paper are immediate for the trivial element of Γ , so we may assume that $\gamma \neq 1$.

A canonical form of γ

Fix $1 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma$. We may write γ in its canonical form as

$$\gamma = h_1 h_2 \dots h_{\ell(\gamma)}, \tag{5.1}$$

where $\ell = \ell(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $h_j \in G_{i_j} \setminus \{1\}$ and $i_{j+1} \neq i_j$. We may further assume without loss of generality that γ is cyclically reduced, namely, that if $\ell \geq 2$, then $i_\ell \neq i_1$. Indeed, replacing γ with a conjugate does not alter any of the local statistics of a γ -random permutation or the quantities appearing in our results (such as $|\mathcal{H}_\gamma|$ or the integers $t, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$ and β_1, \dots, β_t from Theorem 1.5).

Recall from Section 2.1 that each factor G_i of Γ is endowed with a fixed, finite set of generators – those labeling the edges in X_{G_i} . For every $j = 1, \dots, \ell$, let w_j be a shortest word in these generators of G_{i_j} representing h_j . Furthermore, we assume that whenever $i_j = i_s$ and $h_j = h_s$ or $h_j = h_s^{-1}$, then $w_j = w_s$ or $w_j = w_s^{-1}$, respectively.

Finally, if $\ell = 1$ and $G = G_{i_1}$ is free or a surface group, then there is a unique non-power $\gamma_0 \in G$ so that $\gamma = \gamma_0^q$ with $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and the cyclic subgroups containing γ are precisely¹⁰ $\langle \gamma_0^j \rangle$ for $1 \leq j|q$. As above, we may assume by conjugating γ if needed, that γ_0 is represented by some word w_0 in the generators of G which is a shortest representative of any element in the conjugacy class of γ_0 . We then define $w = w_1$ to be the concatenation of q copies of w_0 . This w represents γ , and is shortest among all words representing elements in the conjugacy class of γ : this is trivial if G is free, and follows from [BS87a, Lem. 2.11] if G is a surface group.

¹⁰This fact is standard, but let us explain it for completeness: when G is either free or a surface group with the generators from Section 2.1, and w is any word in the generators that is a shortest representative of its conjugacy class, then the concatenation of n copies of w is also shortest in its conjugacy class (this is immediate for free groups and follows from [BS87a, Lem. 2.11] for surface groups). Therefore, there is a maximal $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ so that γ has a q -th root in G . Finally, any two elements in a free or surface group generate a free subgroup, so every two roots of γ belong to the same cyclic subgroup.




Figure 5.1: This figure illustrates $p_\gamma: Y_\gamma \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ and its resolution \mathcal{R}_γ for $\gamma = xyxy^{-1} \in C_2 * C_4 = \langle x \rangle * \langle y \rangle$. The sub-cover Y_γ is in the upper left part. The resolution \mathcal{R}_γ consists of the identity map $Y_\gamma \rightarrow Y_\gamma$ together with four additional surjective morphisms of sub-covers. In each one of them, the image of the purple vertex of Y_γ is purple as well. Two of the elements in this resolution – the top two – have $\chi^{\text{grp}} = 0$.

Constructing $p_\gamma: Y_\gamma \rightarrow X_\Gamma$

We now define the sub-cover $p_\gamma: Y_\gamma \rightarrow X_\Gamma$. Let $\ell = \ell(\gamma)$. We distinguish between the cases $\ell \geq 2$ and $\ell = 1$. If $\ell \geq 2$, let Y_γ be a cycle subdivided by vertices to edges. We first divide the cycle into ℓ parts by ℓ vertices, where each of these vertices is mapped by p_γ to $o \in X_\Gamma$. For every $j = 1, \dots, \ell$, the j -th segment is then subdivided into $|w_j| + 2$ edges: the first and last edges are both mapped to e_{i_j} , and the $|w_j|$ edges in between are mapped to $X_{G_{i_j}}$ according to the word w_j . We denote by y the vertex mapped to o at the beginning of the first segment. This is illustrated in the top-left part of Figure 5.1.

If $\ell = 1$ and as above $G = G_{i_1}$ and $w = w_1$, let Y_γ be a cycle subdivided into $|w|$ edges. Some vertex y is mapped to the base point v of X_G , and the remaining edges are mapped by p_γ to X_G according to the word w .

It is still not a priori clear that the map $p_\gamma: Y_\gamma \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ is a sub-cover. While this is true at least as long as γ is non-torsion, we can bypass the proof by saying that if this is not the case, we replace $p_\gamma: Y_\gamma \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ with a sub-cover by lifting it to the connected covering space of X_Γ corresponding to $\langle \gamma \rangle$ and taking the image of the lift with the restricted covering map.

A resolution of $p_\gamma: Y_\gamma \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ and the proof of Theorem 1.13

Consider the 'natural' resolution of the sub-cover $p_\gamma: Y_\gamma \rightarrow X_\Gamma$:

$$\mathcal{R}_\gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f: Y_\gamma \rightarrow Z_f \mid f \text{ is a surjective morphism of sub-covers}\}.$$

This is indeed a resolution as every morphism decomposes uniquely to a surjective one composed with an injective one. It is finite as Y_γ is compact. Figure 5.1 illustrates such a resolution.

Proof of Theorem 1.13. Let X_φ be the N -cover of X_Γ corresponding to the uniformly random $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow S_N$. Recall that vertices in every fiber of X_φ are in a given bijection with $[N]$ (this is by definition for the vertices above o , and we label every other vertex in the same label as its o -fiber neighbor). In the correspondence

between $\text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_N)$ and N -covers of X_Γ , the fixed points of $\varphi(\gamma)$ are precisely the elements i in $[N]$ so that $\gamma \in \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(X_\varphi, i)$. By Proposition 2.8, the number of fixed points of $\varphi(\gamma)$ is thus precisely the number of lifts of $p_\gamma: Y_\gamma \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ to X_φ . Namely,

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] = \mathbb{E}_{Y_\gamma}(N) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{R}_\gamma} \mathbb{E}_{Z_f}^{\text{emb}}(N), \quad (5.2)$$

where the last equality is by Lemma 2.4. Theorem 1.13 now follows from Theorem 2.6. \square

The proof of Theorem 1.4

We now turn to proving Theorem 1.4. Now γ is a non-torsion element, so either $\ell(\gamma) = 1$ and $G = G_{i_1}$ is a free group or a surface group, or $\ell(\gamma) \geq 2$. We need to show that $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} |\mathcal{H}_\gamma|$ where $\mathcal{H}_\gamma = \{H \leq \Gamma \mid \chi(H) = 0 \text{ and } H \ni \gamma\}$. Every morphism $f: Y_\gamma \rightarrow Z_f$ in the resolution \mathcal{R}_γ satisfies $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_f, f(y)) \ni \gamma$. (Here, if $\ell(\gamma) = 1$, we consider $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_f, f(y)) \leq \pi_1(X_\Gamma, v_{i_1})$, where v_{i_1} is the vertex in $X_{G_{i_1}}$. We identify this group with Γ by conjugating with the edge e_{i_1} .) In particular, $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_f, f(y))$ is an infinite subgroup of Γ and therefore $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_f) \leq 0$ (see the discussion following Definition 1.3). Denote

$$\mathcal{R}_\gamma^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f \in \mathcal{R}_\gamma \mid \chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_f) = 0\}.$$

Theorem 2.6 and (5.2) now yield that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{R}_\gamma^0} a_0(Z_f), \quad (5.3)$$

where $a_0(Z_f)$ is the positive integer from Theorem 2.6. Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi: \mathcal{R}_\gamma^0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\gamma \\ f &\mapsto H_f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_f, f(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.4 will be proved by showing that Ψ is a bijection and that $a_0(Z_f) = 1$ for all $f \in \mathcal{R}_\gamma^0$.

First, we show that Ψ is injective. Let $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{R}_\gamma^0$ with $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_{f_1}, f_1(y)) = \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_{f_2}, f_2(y))$. Then $(Z_{f_1}, f_1(y))$ and $(Z_{f_2}, f_2(y))$ have universal lifts to the *same* full covering $\hat{p}_i: (Z_{f_i}, f_i(y)) \rightarrow (\Upsilon, u)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Both are injective by Lemma 2.10, and so f_1 and f_2 coincide with (the surjective part in the decomposition of) the morphism $f: (Y, y) \rightarrow (\Upsilon, u)$, and are thus identical.

For the remainder of the proof, we need the following lemma. Recall that in any morphism $f: (Y, y) \rightarrow (Z, z)$ from a sub-cover to a full cover, $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Y, y) \leq \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z, z)$.

Lemma 5.1. *If $f: Y_\gamma \rightarrow Z$ is a morphism where Z is a connected full cover of X_Γ with $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z) = 0$, then it is π_1 -surjective, namely, $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(f(Y_\gamma), f(y)) = \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z, f(y))$.*

Proof. Denote by $H = \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z, f(y)) \leq \Gamma$. By assumption, $H \cong \mathbb{Z}$ or $H \cong C_2 * C_2$. The existence of the morphism f guarantees that $\gamma \in H$.

Assume first that $H \cong \mathbb{Z}$. By the discussion above, there is a unique non-power $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ so that $\gamma = \gamma_0^q$ (if $\ell(\gamma) \geq 2$, then $\gamma_0 = h_1 h_2 \cdots h_{\ell/q}$ and is the shortest period in γ), and $H = \langle \gamma_0^j \rangle$ for some $j|q$. By the way we defined the word $w = w_1 \cdots w_\ell$ representing γ , the first $\frac{j|w|}{q}$ letters of w represent γ_0^j , and thus the f -image in Z of these letters in Y_γ , is a loop at $f(y)$ representing γ_0^j . We obtain $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(f(Y), f(y)) \geq \langle \gamma_0^j \rangle = H$.

Now assume that $H \cong C_2 * C_2$. The cover Z , as all covers of X_Γ , is a graph of spaces itself (e.g., [SW79, Sec. 3]), with trivial edge-spaces which are the preimages of e_1, \dots, e_k and vertex-spaces which

are the connected components of $Z|_{G_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and the vertices in the fiber above o . Furthermore, any decomposition of H as a free product of indecomposable groups is of the form $C_2 * C_2$ (e.g., [SW79, Thm. 3.5]). Hence as a graph of spaces, Z has no cycles (it is a tree), two of its vertex spaces have $\pi_1 \cong C_2$ and all remaining vertex spaces have trivial fundamental groups. Denote by v_1 and v_2 the two vertex spaces in Z with $\pi_1 \cong C_2$. The cycle in the 1-skeleton of Z , based at $f(y)$, which spells out the word $w = w_1 \cdots w_\ell$, cannot enter a vertex-space and backtrack after reading the trivial element (this is by the assumption that w is cyclically reduced). As Z is a tree, this cycle must backtrack (cyclically) in at least two different vertex spaces. Thus, it must backtrack in v_1 and in v_2 (at least once in each of them), read the non-trivial element in the fundamental group in each of them, and traverse the entire path between them. Thus $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(f(Y_\gamma), f(y)) \geq H$. \square

We can now complete the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. It remains to show that Ψ is surjective and that $a_0(Z_f) = 1$ for all $f \in \mathcal{R}_\gamma^0$. Let $H \in \mathcal{H}_\gamma$ and (Υ_H, u) the corresponding connected cover of X_Γ . By Lemma 5.1, the morphism $f: (Y_\gamma, y) \rightarrow (\Upsilon_H, u)$ satisfies $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(f(Y_\gamma), f(y)) = H$. Hence its 'surjective part' $\bar{f}: Y_\gamma \rightarrow f(Y_\gamma)$ is an element of \mathcal{R}_γ^0 with $\Psi(\bar{f}) = H$. So Ψ is surjective.

If $\ell(\gamma) \geq 2$, then all vertex spaces in Υ_H have fundamental groups trivial or C_2 . So all vertex spaces of Υ_H projecting to X_{G_i} where G_i is a surface group must be trivial. By Corollary 3.20(1), $a_0(f(Y_\gamma)|_{G_i}) = 1$ in this case, by Theorem 2.6 $a_0(f(Y_\gamma)|_{G_i}) = 1$ whenever G_i is not a surface group, and Proposition 4.1 now yields that $a_0(f(Y_\gamma)) = 1$.

Finally, if $\ell(\gamma) = 1$ and $G = G_{i_1}$ is a free or surface group¹¹ and $H = \langle \gamma_0^j \rangle$, then by our choice of the word $w = w_1$ above, $f(Y_\gamma)$ is a simple cycle: this is trivial for G a free group, and for G a surface group, (Υ_H, u) is the space $\langle \gamma_0^j \rangle \setminus \widetilde{\Sigma}_g$, where w_0 is a simple cycle in the 1-skeleton (see the discussion in [MP22, Sec. 4 and 5]). So Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 3.20(2) yield that $a_0(f(Y_\gamma)) = a_0(f(Y_\gamma)|_G) = 1$. \square

Corollary 5.2. *The set \mathcal{H}_γ is finite for every non-torsion $\gamma \in \Gamma$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that Ψ gives a bijection between the finite set \mathcal{R}_γ^0 and \mathcal{H}_γ . \square

We also record here the following lemma, which we need for the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{H}_\gamma$ be conjugate and for $i = 1, 2$, $f_i: Y_\gamma \rightarrow Z_i$ the corresponding morphisms in \mathcal{R}_γ^0 . Then Z_1 and Z_2 are identical.*

(Of course, if $H_1 \neq H_2$, then f_1 and f_2 map the basepoint y to two different vertices of $Z_1 = Z_2$.)

Proof. First assume that $\ell(\gamma) \geq 2$. Let Υ be the connected cover of X_Γ corresponding to the conjugacy class of H_1 and H_2 , with basepoints u_1 and u_2 corresponding to H_1 and H_2 , respectively. Then f_i is the surjective part of the morphism $\bar{f}_i: (Y_\gamma, y) \rightarrow (\Upsilon, u_i)$. Consider Υ as a graph of spaces. As explained in the proof of Lemma 5.1, the image of \bar{f}_i goes precisely through the vertex-spaces and edge-spaces in the "core" of Υ (this is a cycle in the graph if $H_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}$ or a path between the two non- π_1 -trivial vertex spaces if $H_1 \cong C_2 * C_2$). Our choice of the words $w_1, \dots, w_{\ell(\gamma)}$ – that w_i and w_j are identical or inverse of one another if so are the corresponding h_i and h_j – guarantees that the precise path traversed in every vertex space of the core is identical, and so, indeed, $\bar{f}_1(Y_\gamma) = \bar{f}_2(Y_\gamma)$.

If $\ell(\gamma) = 1$, then there are no (non-trivial) conjugates of $H_1 = \langle \gamma_0^j \rangle$ containing γ , so the Lemma is vacuous. \square

¹¹This case reduces to the results about free groups and surface groups due to [Nic94] and [MP20], respectively. We prove it here for completeness.

The method of moments

We now turn to prove Theorem 1.5, which describes the limit distribution of $\text{fix}_\gamma(N)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for every fixed non-torsion element $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Our proof is based on the method of moments. Some of the steps follow parallel steps in [LP10, Sec. 4].

A probability distribution μ on \mathbb{R} is said to be *determined by its moments* if it has finite moments $\alpha_r = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^r \mu(dx)$ of all orders, and μ is the only probability measure with these moments.

Theorem 5.4 (Method of moments, e.g., [Bil95, Thm. 30.2]). *Let X and X_N ($N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$) be random variables, and suppose that the distribution of X is determined by its moments, that the X_N have moments of all order, and that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[X_N^r] = \mathbb{E}[X^r]$ for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then*

$$X_N \xrightarrow{\text{dis}} X,$$

where $\xrightarrow{\text{dis}}$ denotes convergence in distribution.

Theorem 5.5 (Sufficient condition for μ to be determined by its moments, e.g., [Bil95, Thm. 30.1]). *Let μ be a probability measure on \mathbb{R} having finite moments $\alpha_r = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^r \mu(dx)$ of all orders. If the power series $\sum_r \alpha_r \frac{t^r}{r!}$ has a positive radius of convergence, then μ is determined by its moments.*

Recall that Theorem 1.5 states that $\text{fix}_\gamma(N)$ converges in distribution to $\sum_i \alpha_i \beta_i Z_{1/\beta_i}$ — a finite linear combination of independent Poisson-distributed random variables with coefficients from $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. We first record the standard fact that such a sum is determined by its moments.

Lemma 5.6. *Let Z_1, \dots, Z_t be independent Poisson-distributed random variables with parameters $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t > 0$, respectively, and let¹² $c_1, \dots, c_t \geq 1$. Then the distribution of $\sum_{i=1}^t c_i Z_i$ is determined by its moments.*

Proof. For a single Poisson distribution with parameter λ , the power series from Theorem 5.5 is $\sum_r \alpha_r \frac{t^r}{r!} = e^{\lambda(e^t-1)}$ [Bil95, Eq. (21.22) and (21.27)] and, in particular, converges for all t . The sum $Z_1 + \dots + Z_t$ is Poisson with parameter $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_t$ and, in particular, the corresponding power series converges for all t . Let $c \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max\{c_1, \dots, c_t\}$. Then $\sum Z_i \leq \sum c_i Z_i \leq c \sum Z_i$. In particular, if the r -th moment of $\sum Z_i$ is α_r and of $\sum c_i Z_i$ is β_r , then $\alpha_r \leq \beta_r \leq c^r \alpha_r$. Consequently, the series $\sum_r \beta_r \frac{t^r}{r!}$ has radius of convergence that is $\geq \frac{1}{c}$ that of the series $\sum \alpha_r \frac{t^r}{r!}$. But the latter converges for all real t , hence so does $\sum \beta_r \frac{t^r}{r!}$. By Theorem 5.5 we conclude that $\sum c_i Z_i$ is determined by its moments. \square

The proof of Theorem 1.5

Recall the statement of Theorem 1.5: H_1, \dots, H_t are representatives of the conjugacy classes of subgroups represented in $\mathcal{H}_\gamma = \{H \leq \Gamma \mid \gamma \in H \text{ and } \chi(H) = 0\}$, $\alpha_i = |\{\mathcal{H}_\gamma \cap H_i^\Gamma\}|$ and $\beta_i = [N_\Gamma(H_i) : H_i]$. We need to show that as $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$\text{fix}_\gamma(N) \xrightarrow{\text{dis}} \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i \beta_i Z_{1/\beta_i},$$

where $Z_{1/\beta_1}, \dots, Z_{1/\beta_t}$ are independent Poisson random variables with parameters $\frac{1}{\beta_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\beta_t}$, respectively. For every N , the random variable $\text{fix}_\gamma(N)$ is finitely supported and so has finite moments. By Theorem 5.4 and Lemma 5.6, it is enough to prove that for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}[(\text{fix}_\gamma(N))^r] \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i \beta_i Z_{1/\beta_i}\right)^r\right]. \quad (5.4)$$

¹²The assumption that $c_1, \dots, c_t \geq 1$ is not crucial: it only somewhat simplifies the notation in the proof and it holds anyway in the case we use.

Recall that for every $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, S_N)$, the number of fixed points of $\varphi(\gamma)$ is equal to the number of lifts of $p_\gamma: Y_\gamma \rightarrow X_\Gamma$ to X_φ . Similarly, define

$$Y_\gamma^{\sqcup r} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underbrace{Y_\gamma \sqcup \dots \sqcup Y_\gamma}_{r \text{ times}}.$$

Then $(\text{fix}(\varphi(\gamma)))^r$ is equal to $(\# \text{ lifts of } p_\gamma)^r$, which is equal to the number of lifts to X_φ of

$$p_\gamma^{\sqcup r}: Y_\gamma^{\sqcup r} \rightarrow X_\Gamma,$$

where $p_\gamma^{\sqcup r}$ restricts to p_γ on each of the r disjoint copies of Y_γ . So

$$\mathbb{E}[(\text{fix}_\gamma(N))^r] = \mathbb{E}_{Y_\gamma^{\sqcup r}}(N) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{R}_{\gamma,r}} \mathbb{E}_{Z_f}^{\text{emb}}(N), \quad (5.5)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{\gamma,r}$ is the standard resolution of $p_\gamma^{\sqcup r}$:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\gamma,r} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f: Y_\gamma^{\sqcup r} \rightarrow Z_f \mid f \text{ is a surjective morphism of sub-covers}\}.$$

As for the case $r = 1$, if we let

$$\mathcal{R}_{\gamma,r}^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f \in \mathcal{R}_{\gamma,r} \mid \chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_f) = 0\},$$

then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)^r] = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{R}_{\gamma,r}^0} a_0(Z_f). \quad (5.6)$$

Let $f_1, \dots, f_t \in \mathcal{R}_\gamma^0$ be the morphisms $f_i: Y_\gamma \rightarrow Z_i = Z_{f_i}$ corresponding through the bijection Ψ to H_1, \dots, H_t from the statement of Theorem 1.5, respectively. Namely, f_i is onto and $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_i, f_i(y)) = H_i$.

Lemma 5.7. *For every $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\gamma,r}^0$, the sub-cover Z_f is a disjoint union of copies of Z_1, \dots, Z_t .*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\gamma,r}^0$. Every connected component of Z_f contains γ in its fundamental group, up to conjugation. Hence every connected component has non-positive χ^{grp} . We conclude that they all have $\chi^{\text{grp}} = 0$.

Let C be a connected component of Z_f . Consider the universal lift Υ of C . Let $g: Y_\gamma \rightarrow C$ be the restriction of f to one of the connected components of $Y_\gamma^{\sqcup r}$ mapped to C . By Lemma 5.1, the map $g: Y_\gamma \rightarrow \Upsilon$ is π_1 -surjective. This shows that all components of $Y_\gamma^{\sqcup r}$ mapped to C have π_1 -images which are identical, up to conjugation. By Lemma 5.3 C is identical to one of the Z_i 's ($1 \leq i \leq t$). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Recall (5.4): it is enough to prove that $\mathbb{E}[(\text{fix}_\gamma(N))^r] \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i \beta_i Z_{1/\beta_i}\right)^r\right]$ for every $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. By (5.6), $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)^r] = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{R}_{\gamma,r}^0} a_0(Z_f)$. By Lemma 5.7, for every $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\gamma,r}^0$, the sub-cover Z_f is a disjoint union of copies of Z_1, \dots, Z_t . By Corollary 3.20(5) and Proposition 4.1, $a_0(Z_f) = 1$ for all $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\gamma,r}^0$. With Lemma 5.7 we now obtain

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)^r] = \sum_{\substack{r_1 + \dots + r_t = r \\ r_i \geq 0}} \binom{r}{r_1 \dots r_t} \prod_{i=1}^t \# \{\text{surjective maps } Y_\gamma^{\sqcup r_i} \twoheadrightarrow Z_i^{\sqcup s_i}, 0 \leq s_i \leq r_i\}.$$

By assumption, the variables $Z_{1/\beta_1}, \dots, Z_{1/\beta_t}$ are independent, and so

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i \beta_i Z_{1/\beta_i}\right)^r\right] = \sum_{\substack{r_1 + \dots + r_t = r \\ r_i \geq 0}} \binom{r}{r_1 \dots r_t} \prod_{i=1}^t \mathbb{E}\left[(\alpha_i \beta_i Z_{1/\beta_i})^{r_i}\right],$$

so it is enough to show that for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$\# \{ \text{surjective maps } Y_\gamma^{\sqcup r} \rightarrow Z_i^{\sqcup s}, 0 \leq s \leq r \} = \mathbb{E} [(\alpha_i \beta_i Z_{1/\beta_i})^r]. \quad (5.7)$$

Both sides equal 1 when $r = 0$, so assume that $r \geq 1$. Recall that $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ j \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ denotes a Stirling number of the second kind, and is equal to the number of ways to partition a set of r objects into j non-empty subsets. The left hand side of (5.7) is equal to

$$\sum_{j=1}^r \# \{ \text{surjective maps } Y_\gamma^{\sqcup r} \rightarrow Z_i^{\sqcup j} \} = (\alpha_i \beta_i)^r \sum_{j=1}^r \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ j \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_i^j}. \quad (5.8)$$

Indeed, a surjective map $Y_\gamma^{\sqcup r} \rightarrow Z_i^{\sqcup j}$ is determined by a partition of the r copies of Y_γ into j non-empty subsets. For each subset, we map one element (Y_γ, y) to one of α_i non-isomorphic possible base points u in Z_i so that $\pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_i, u) \ni \gamma$. As β_i is the number of automorphisms of Z_i , we get that each remaining element of the subset now has $\alpha_i \beta_i$ possibilities for the image-vertex of y . Together, these images of y completely determine the map $Y_\gamma^{\sqcup r} \rightarrow Z_i^{\sqcup j}$, and the total number of options is $(\alpha_i \beta_i)^{r-j} \cdot \alpha_i^j = (\alpha_i \beta_i)^r \cdot \beta_i^{-j}$.

On the other hand, the right hand side of (5.7) is $(\alpha_i \beta_i)^r \mathbb{E} [(Z_{1/\beta_i})^r]$, and it is a standard fact about the moments of Poisson variables that

$$\mathbb{E} [(Z_\lambda)^r] = \sum_{j=1}^r \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} r \\ j \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \cdot \lambda^j.$$

□

6 Asymptotic independence and statistics of small cycles

In this section we prove the remaining results: Theorem 1.14 giving a precise condition on when $\text{fix}_{\gamma_1}(N)$ and $\text{fix}_{\gamma_2}(N)$ are asymptotic independent for non-torsion $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$, and Theorem 1.15 about the statistics of cycles of bounded size. These two results are proven along similar lines to the results proven in Section 5, so we stress mostly some crucial points that did not appear in the previous results.

The multivariate method of moments

In both results we need the following classical extension of Theorem 5.4:

Theorem 6.1 (Multivariate method of moments, e.g., [Bil95, Exer. 30.6]). *Let $X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(p)}$ and $X_N^{(1)}, \dots, X_N^{(p)}$ ($N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$) be random variables, and suppose that the distribution of $X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(p)}$ on \mathbb{R}^p is determined by its moments (see [Bil95, Exer. 30.5] for the definition), that the $X_N^{(i)}$ have moments of all order, and that*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(X_N^{(1)} \right)^{r_1} \cdots \left(X_N^{(p)} \right)^{r_p} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(X^{(1)} \right)^{r_1} \cdots \left(X^{(p)} \right)^{r_p} \right]$$

for every $r_1, \dots, r_p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then

$$\left(X_N^{(1)}, \dots, X_N^{(p)} \right) \xrightarrow{\text{dis}} \left(X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(p)} \right).$$

In particular, if $X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(p)}$ are independent, then $X_N^{(1)}, \dots, X_N^{(p)}$ are asymptotically independent.

Proof of Theorem 1.14

Recall that we are given two non-torsion elements $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$, and we need to show that the following three conditions are equivalent: (i) $\text{fix}_{\gamma_1}(N)$ and $\text{fix}_{\gamma_2}(N)$ are asymptotically independent, (ii) γ_1 and γ_2 cannot be both conjugated into the same EC-zero subgroup of Γ , and (iii) $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_{\gamma_1}(N) \cdot \text{fix}_{\gamma_2}(N)] = \mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_{\gamma_1}(N)] \cdot \mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_{\gamma_2}(N)] + O(N^{-1/m})$.

Proof of Theorem 1.14. We start by proving (ii) \implies (i). So we assume that γ_1 and γ_2 cannot be both conjugated into the same EC-zero subgroup of Γ . For $j = 1, 2$, denote by Y_j a random variable distributed as the linear combination of Poissons from Theorem 1.5 corresponding to $\text{fix}_{\gamma_j}(N)$. By Theorem 6.1, it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[(\text{fix}_{\gamma_1}(N))^{r_1} (\text{fix}_{\gamma_2}(N))^{r_2}] = \mathbb{E}[(Y_1)^{r_1}] \cdot \mathbb{E}[(Y_2)^{r_2}] \quad (6.1)$$

for every $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $\mathcal{R}_{\gamma_1, r_1, \gamma_2, r_2}$ be the natural resolution of $p: Y_{\gamma_1}^{r_1} \sqcup Y_{\gamma_2}^{r_2}$, and $\mathcal{R}_{\gamma_1, r_1, \gamma_2, r_2}^0$ the subset of morphisms $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\gamma_1, r_1, \gamma_2, r_2}$ with $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_f) = 0$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.5,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[(\text{fix}_{\gamma_1}(N))^{r_1} (\text{fix}_{\gamma_2}(N))^{r_2}] = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{R}_{\gamma_1, r_1, \gamma_2, r_2}^0} a_0(Z_f) = |\mathcal{R}_{\gamma_1, r_1, \gamma_2, r_2}^0|.$$

The assumption on γ_1 and γ_2 guarantees that in every $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\gamma_1, r_1, \gamma_2, r_2}^0$, the copies of Y_{γ_1} and those of Y_{γ_2} are mapped to disjoint connected components of Z_f . Thus

$$|\mathcal{R}_{\gamma_1, r_1, \gamma_2, r_2}^0| = |\mathcal{R}_{\gamma_1, r_1}^0| \cdot |\mathcal{R}_{\gamma_2, r_2}^0| = \mathbb{E}[(Y_1)^{r_1}] \cdot \mathbb{E}[(Y_2)^{r_2}].$$

The implication (i) \implies (iii): from the very definition of asymptotic independence it follows that $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_{\gamma_1}(N) \cdot \text{fix}_{\gamma_2}(N)] = \mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_{\gamma_1}(N)] \cdot \mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_{\gamma_2}(N)] + o_N(1)$. Applying Theorem 2.6 to $p_{\gamma_1}: Y_{\gamma_1} \rightarrow X_{\Gamma}$, to $p_{\gamma_2}: Y_{\gamma_2} \rightarrow X_{\Gamma}$ and to $p_{\gamma_1 \sqcup \gamma_2}: Y_{\gamma_1} \sqcup Y_{\gamma_2} \rightarrow X_{\Gamma}$, shows that the error term is $O(N^{-1/m})$.

The implication (iii) \implies (ii): Finally, assume that $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_{\gamma_1}(N) \cdot \text{fix}_{\gamma_2}(N)] = \mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_{\gamma_1}(N)] \cdot \mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_{\gamma_2}(N)] + O(N^{-1/m})$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.4,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_{\gamma_1}(N) \cdot \text{fix}_{\gamma_2}(N)] = |\mathcal{R}_{\gamma_1 \sqcup \gamma_2}^0|,$$

the number of elements f in the natural resolution of $p_{\gamma_1 \sqcup \gamma_2}$ with $\chi^{\text{grp}}(Z_f) = 0$. Inside $\mathcal{R}_{\gamma_1 \sqcup \gamma_2}^0$ there are all those morphisms in which Y_{γ_1} and Y_{γ_2} are mapped to two different connected components of Z_f . The number of such elements is $|\mathcal{R}_{\gamma_1}^0| \cdot |\mathcal{R}_{\gamma_2}^0|$. By the assumption in (iii), there are no further elements in $\mathcal{R}_{\gamma_1 \sqcup \gamma_2}^0$.

Assume towards contradiction that γ_1 and γ_2 are both conjugate into the same EC-zero subgroup $H \leq \Gamma$. In particular, $\ell(\gamma_1) \geq 2$ if and only if $\ell(\gamma_2) \geq 2$. We may assume that Y_{γ_1} and Y_{γ_2} were constructed in a coordinated manner: if $\ell(\gamma_1) \geq 2$, then in the word spelling γ_1 and the word spelling γ_2 we use the same subwords whenever the corresponding elements of the canonical forms are identical or inverse of one another, and if $\ell(\gamma_1) = 1$, then we take γ_1 and γ_2 to be powers of the same γ_0 .

But then there is a map of $Y_{\gamma_1} \sqcup Y_{\gamma_2}$ into the connected cover Υ_H corresponding to H . The images of both Y_{γ_1} and Y_{γ_2} in Υ_H are identical, and the surjective part of this morphism constitutes another element of $\mathcal{R}_{\gamma_1 \sqcup \gamma_2}^0$, a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.15

We will need the following lemma. Recall that for non-torsion $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and any $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we let $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma, L}$ mark the set of EC zero subgroups of Γ containing γ^L but not any smaller power of γ .

Lemma 6.2. *Let $L_1 \neq L_2$ be positive integer. Then no subgroup in $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma, L_1}$ is conjugate to a subgroup in $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma, L_2}$.*

Proof. As mentioned in the proof of Lemma 5.1, for every non-torsion $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there is a unique non-power γ_0 and $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with $\gamma = \gamma_0^q$. We first show the claim of the lemma is true for subgroup isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} . The subgroups isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} in $\bigcup_L \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,L}$ are precisely $\left\{ \langle \gamma_0^j \rangle \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \right\}$, no distinct two of which are conjugate one to the other. Moreover, for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $\langle \gamma_0^j \rangle$ belongs to a single $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,L}$: exactly the L satisfying that it is the smallest positive integer with $j \mid qL$.

For subgroups isomorphic to $C_2 * C_2$, the argument is similar, as we now explain. Let $H \leq \Gamma$ with $H \cong C_2 * C_2$. If $\gamma^L \in H$ for some $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, then $\ell(\gamma) \geq 2$. Let $f: Y_{\gamma^L} \rightarrow Z_f$ be the element of $\mathcal{R}_{\gamma^L}^0$ corresponding to H . By the analysis in the proof of Lemma 5.1, as a graph of spaces, Z_f is a path of vertex-spaces with trivial groups, between two vertex-spaces representing order two subgroups. Assume that the path, excluding the vertex-spaces at the two ends, consists of s “vertex spaces”, namely, it spells out an element $\delta \in \Gamma$ with $\ell(\delta) = s$. Assume that $H = \pi_1^{\text{lab}}(Z_f, u)$ for some vertex u . Because γ is cyclically reduced, the closed path at u corresponding to γ^L starts by leaving u to one direction (say, to the right), and ends by arriving to u from the other direction (say, from the left). Thus $\ell(\gamma^L) = L \cdot \ell(\gamma) = Lq \cdot \ell(\gamma_0)$ is equal to some multiple of $(2 + 2s)$. So knowing that $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,L}$ for some L , we may find L simply as the smallest positive integer L satisfying that $2 + 2s \mid Lq \cdot \ell(\gamma_0)$. Any conjugate of H has the same parameter s (it is the same graph-of-spaces, only, possibly, with a different basepoint), so if it belongs to any $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,L}$, it must belong to the same $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,L}$ as H does. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.15. We begin with the first part of the theorem: that $\mathbb{E}[\text{cyc}_{\gamma,L}(N)] = \frac{1}{L} |\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,L}| + O(N^{-1/m})$, where $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,L}$ is the set of EC-zero subgroups of Γ containing γ^L but not any smaller power of γ . Note that

$$\text{fix}_{\gamma^L}(N) = \sum_{1 \leq d \mid L} d \cdot \text{cyc}_{\gamma,d}(N),$$

so the this part of the theorem follows from Theorem 1.4 by a simple induction on L . Indeed, when $L = 1$ this is precisely Theorem 1.4. For general L , we get by induction that

$$\begin{aligned} L \cdot \mathbb{E}[\text{cyc}_{\gamma,L}(N)] &= \mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_{\gamma^L}(N)] - \sum_{1 \leq d < L, d \mid L} d \cdot \mathbb{E}[\text{cyc}_{\gamma,d}(N)] \\ &= |\mathcal{H}_{\gamma^L}| - \sum_{1 \leq d < L, d \mid L} |\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,d}| + O(N^{-1/m}) = |\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,L}| + O(N^{-1/m}). \end{aligned}$$

For the second part of Theorem 1.15, recall that H_1, \dots, H_t are representatives of the conjugacy classes of subgroups represented in $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,L}$, and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$ and β_1, \dots, β_t are defined analogously to their definition in Theorem 1.5. By Lemma 6.2, H_1, \dots, H_t can be taken to be a subset of the representatives of \mathcal{H}_{γ^L} from Theorem 1.5, which are not conjugate to any element of $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma^{L'}}$ with $L' < L$.

This part of Theorem 1.15 states that $\text{cyc}_{\gamma,L}(N) \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\text{dis}} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i \beta_i Z_{1/\beta_i}$. As in the first part, this can be deduced from Theorem 1.5 applied to $\text{fix}_{\gamma^L}(N)$ by a simple induction on L . Indeed,

$$L \cdot \text{cyc}_{\gamma,L}(N) = \text{fix}_{\gamma^L}(N) - \sum_{1 \leq d < L, d \mid L} d \cdot \text{cyc}_{\gamma,d}(N)$$

and applying Theorem 1.5 to $\text{fix}_{\gamma^L}(N)$ and the induction hypothesis on $d \cdot \text{cyc}_{\gamma,d}(N)$ for $1 \leq d < L, d \mid L$, we obtain the result.

Finally, the third part of Theorem 1.15 states that $\text{cyc}_{\gamma,1}(N), \text{cyc}_{\gamma,2}(N), \dots, \text{cyc}_{\gamma,L}(N)$ are asymptotically independent, and that for $L_1 \neq L_2$ we have $\mathbb{E}[\text{cyc}_{\gamma,L_1}(N) \cdot \text{cyc}_{\gamma,L_2}(N)] = \mathbb{E}[\text{cyc}_{\gamma,L_1}(N)] \cdot \mathbb{E}[\text{cyc}_{\gamma,L_2}(N)] + O(N^{-1/m})$. The argument here is the same as in the proof of Theorem 1.14, where Lemma 6.2 replaces the assumption in part 2 of Theorem 1.14. \square

7 Open questions

There are several questions the current paper raises. We discuss here two we find most appealing.

The leading term of $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] - 1$

Recall that when Γ is a free group and $1 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma$, Theorem 1.4, which is originally due to [Nic94] in this case, says that $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] = d(q) + O(N^{-1})$, where $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ is maximal so that γ is a q -th power, and $d(q)$ the number of positive divisors of q . In particular, when γ is a non-power, then $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] = 1 + O(N^{-1})$. But, in fact, much more is known. For γ in a free group Γ , denote

$$\chi^{\max}(\gamma) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max \{ \chi(H) \mid \gamma \in H \leq \Gamma, \gamma \text{ non-primitive in } H \}, \quad (7.1)$$

and let $\text{Crit}(\gamma)$ denote the number of subgroups attaining the maximum from (7.1). Then [PP15, Thm. 1.8] states that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] - 1 = |\text{Crit}(\gamma)| \cdot N^{\chi^{\max}(\gamma)} (1 + O(N^{-1})).$$

Notice that this estimate is true for proper powers as well and even for the identity element. We conjecture that the same phenomenon is true for the family of groups considered in this paper.

Conjecture 7.1. *In the notation of Assumption 1.1, let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be a non-torsion element. Denote*

$$\chi^{\max}(\gamma) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max \left\{ \chi(H) \mid \begin{array}{l} \gamma \in H \leq \Gamma, \text{ and} \\ \langle \gamma \rangle \text{ is not a free factor isomorphic to } \mathbb{Z} \text{ of } H \end{array} \right\},$$

and let $\text{Crit}(\gamma)$ denote the number of subgroups $H \leq \Gamma$ satisfying the conditions in the definition of $\chi^{\max}(\gamma)$ with $\chi(H) = \chi^{\max}(\gamma)$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] - 1 = |\text{Crit}(\gamma)| \cdot N^{\chi^{\max}(\gamma)} (1 + O(N^{-1/m})).$$

The fact that $\text{Crit}(\gamma)$ is finite can be shown using the techniques of the current paper. By Theorem 1.4, this conjecture is true for any element γ with $|\mathcal{H}_\gamma| \geq 1$. Here are a few other examples illustrating the conjecture:

- Let $\Gamma = \Lambda_2 = \langle a, b, c, d \mid [a, b][c, d] \rangle$ be the genus-2 surface group. Consider $\gamma = a$. It is possible to obtain the following estimate:

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_a(N)] = 1 + \frac{1}{N^2} + \frac{2}{N^3} + \frac{10}{N^4} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^5}\right).$$

It seems that a is primitive in every free subgroup of Γ containing it, so the only subgroups containing it not inside a proper free factor are the finite-index subgroups, which are all surface groups. Among these, Γ itself has maximal Euler characteristic: $\chi(\Gamma) = 2 - 2g = -2$. So $\chi^{\max}(\gamma) = -2$ and $\text{Crit}(\gamma) = \{\Gamma\}$. This agrees with the conjecture.

- Let $\Gamma = \Lambda_2 = \langle a, b, c, d \mid [a, b][c, d] \rangle$ again, and consider $\gamma = [a, b]$. Using a computer, Michal Buran carried out a computation showing that most likely

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_{[a,b]}(N)] = 1 + \frac{2}{N} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right).$$

This seems to agree with the conjecture as $[a, b]$ is a non-primitive element in two free subgroups of Euler characteristic -1 : $\langle a, b \rangle$ and $\langle c, d \rangle$. So $\chi^{\max}(\gamma) = -1$, and most likely $\text{Crit}(\gamma) = \{\langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, d \rangle\}$.

- Now consider $\Gamma = C_3 * C_3 * C_3 = \langle x \rangle * \langle y \rangle * \langle z \rangle$, and let $\gamma = xyz$. The resolution \mathcal{R}_γ from Section 5 contains five elements, corresponding to the subgroups $\langle \gamma \rangle, \langle x, yz \rangle, \langle xz, xyx^{-1} \rangle, \langle xy, z \rangle, \langle x, y, z \rangle$. It is possible to show that any critical subgroup of γ must be found inside this collection. But $\langle \gamma \rangle$ is not critical by definition, $\langle x, yz \rangle \cong C_3 * \mathbb{Z}$ has also the decomposition $\langle x \rangle * \langle xyz \rangle$ so γ belongs to a proper free factor. Similarly, $\langle xz, xyx^{-1} \rangle = \langle xyz \rangle * \langle xyx^{-1} \rangle$ and $\langle xy, z \rangle = \langle xyz \rangle * \langle z \rangle$. This leaves us with $\langle x, y, z \rangle$ where $\langle x, y, z \rangle$ does not seem to belong to a proper free factor. We conclude that most likely, $\chi^{\max}(\gamma) = \chi(\langle x, y, z \rangle) = \chi(\Gamma) = -1$, and $\text{Crit}(\gamma) = \{\Gamma\}$. We thus expect that $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] - 1 = N^{-1} + O(N^{-4/3})$.

Using the results of [Mül97], we may compute the leading terms of $\mathbb{E}_Y^{\text{emb}}(N)$ for these five sub-covers. We get the following. For $\langle \gamma \rangle$ we get $1 - 3N^{-2/3} + O(N^{-4/3})$; For $\langle x, yz \rangle$ we get $N^{-2/3} + O(N^{-4/3})$, and by symmetry, the same leading term apply to $\langle xz, xyx^{-1} \rangle$ and to $\langle xy, z \rangle$; For $\langle x, y, z \rangle$ we get $N^{-1} + O(N^{-5/3})$. Overall the coefficients of $N^{-2/3}$ cancel out and we get $\mathbb{E}[\text{fix}_\gamma(N)] = 1 + N^{-1} + O(N^{-4/3})$, which agrees with the conjecture.

Scope of the phenomena described in this paper

We are curious as to what extent the results of this paper can be generalized to a larger family of groups. As noted in Remark 1.9, Theorem 1.4 does not hold when $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^2$. Other results in this paper, such as Theorem 2.6, require that $\chi(H) \leq 1$ for any subgroup of Γ : indeed, the number of (injective) lifts of a connected sub-cover to an arbitrary N -cover is bounded from above by N . Still, we are positive that the results of this paper apply to groups not covered by Assumption 1.1. For example, we suspect they are true for fundamental groups of non-orientable surfaces of negative Euler characteristic, and more generally to all Fuchsian groups. They may also hold for general amalgams of finite groups. What is the widest generality? Do some of the results, e.g., the asymptotic expansion of Theorem 1.13, apply nonetheless to groups such as \mathbb{Z}^2 ?

References

- [Bas93] H. Bass. Covering theory for graphs of groups. *J. pure appl. algebra*, 89(1-2):3–47, 1993. [11](#)
- [Bil95] P. Billingsley. *Probability and Measure*. John Wiley & Sons, 1995. Third Edition. [32](#), [34](#)
- [BNW21] F. Bassino, C. Nicaud, and P. Weil. Statistics of subgroups of the modular group. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 31(08):1691–1751, 2021. [11](#)
- [BP20] E. Baker and B. Petri. Statistics of finite degree covers of torus knot complements. preprint arXiv:2005.11956, 2020. [11](#)
- [Bro82] K. S. Brown. *Cohomology of Groups*. Number 87. Springer Science & Business Media, 1982. [3](#)
- [BS87a] J. S. Birman and C. Series. Dehn’s algorithm revisited, with applications to simple curves on surfaces. In *Combinatorial group theory and topology (Alta, Utah, 1984)*, volume 111 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 451–478. Princeton Univ. Press, 1987. [28](#)
- [BS87b] A. Broder and E. Shamir. On the second eigenvalue of random regular graphs. In *28th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (SFCS 1987)*, pages 286–294. IEEE, 1987. [11](#)
- [CHM51] S. Chowla, I. N Herstein, and W. K. Moore. On recursions connected with symmetric groups I. *Canad. J. Math.*, 3:328–334, 1951. [7](#)
- [Deh12] M. Dehn. Transformation der Kurven auf zweiseitigen Flächen. *Math. Ann.*, 72(3):413–421, 1912. [24](#)

- [Dix69] J. D. Dixon. The probability of generating the symmetric group. *Math. Z.*, 110(3):199–205, 1969. [2](#)
- [DS94] P. Diaconis and M. Shahshahani. On the eigenvalues of random matrices. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 31(A):49–62, 1994. [3](#)
- [FJR⁺98] J. Friedman, A. Joux, Y. Roichman, J. Stern, and J. P. Tillich. The action of a few permutations on r -tuples is quickly transitive. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 12(4):335–350, 1998. [11](#)
- [Fri93] B. Fristedt. The structure of random partitions of large integers. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 337(2):703–735, 1993. [6](#)
- [Fri08] J. Friedman. A proof of Alon’s second eigenvalue conjecture and related problems. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 195(910), 2008. [11](#)
- [Gam06] A. Gamburd. Poisson–Dirichlet distribution for random Belyi surfaces. *Ann. Probab.*, 34(5):1827–1848, 2006. [10](#)
- [Hat05] A. Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2005. [2](#), [12](#), [15](#), [19](#)
- [HMP20] L. Hanany, C. Meiri, and D. Puder. Some orbits of free words that are determined by measures on finite groups. *J. Algebra*, 555:305–324, 2020. [11](#)
- [HP22] L. Hanany and D. Puder. Word measures on symmetric groups. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2022+. appeared online, arXiv:2009.00897. [8](#), [11](#), [16](#)
- [Hur02] A. Hurwitz. Ueber die anzahl der Riemann’schen flächen mit gegebenen verzweigungspunkten. *Math. Ann.*, 55(1):53–66, 1902. [10](#)
- [LP10] N. Linial and D. Puder. Word maps and spectra of random graph lifts. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 37(1):100–135, 2010. [6](#), [8](#), [32](#)
- [LS04] M. W. Liebeck and A. Shalev. Fuchsian groups, coverings of Riemann surfaces, subgroup growth, random quotients and random walks. *J. Algebra*, 276(2):552–601, 2004. [2](#), [10](#)
- [Lul96] N. Lulov. *Random walks on symmetric groups generated by conjugacy classes*. PhD thesis, Harvard University, 1996. [10](#)
- [Mag21] M. Magee. Random unitary representations of surface groups II: The large n limit. preprint arXiv:2101.03224, 2021. [11](#)
- [Mag22] M. Magee. Random unitary representations of surface groups I: Asymptotic expansions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 391:119–171, 2022. [11](#)
- [ME07] L. Markus-Epstein. Stallings foldings and subgroups of amalgams of finite groups. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 17(08):1493–1535, 2007. [11](#)
- [MNP22] M. Magee, F. Naud, and D. Puder. A random cover of a compact hyperbolic surface has relative spectral gap $\frac{3}{16} - \varepsilon$. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 32:595–661, 2022. [11](#)
- [MP20] M. Magee and D. Puder. The asymptotic statistics of random covering surfaces. preprint arXiv:2003.05892, 2020. [3](#), [5](#), [6](#), [9](#), [11](#), [13](#), [21](#), [22](#), [31](#)
- [MP22] M. Magee and D. Puder. Core surfaces. *Geom. dedicata*, 216(46), 2022. 25 pages. [11](#), [13](#), [21](#), [22](#), [23](#), [24](#), [25](#), [26](#), [27](#), [31](#)

- [MSP10] T. W. Müller and J. C. Schlage-Puchta. Statistics of isomorphism types in free products. *Adv. Math.*, 224(2):707–730, 2010. [3](#), [20](#)
- [Mül97] T. W. Müller. Finite group actions and asymptotic expansion of $e^{P(z)}$. *Combinatorica*, 17(4):523–554, 1997. [3](#), [7](#), [9](#), [10](#), [18](#), [20](#), [38](#)
- [MW55] L. Moser and M. Wyman. On solutions of $x^d = 1$ in symmetric groups. *Canad. J. Math.*, 7:159–168, 1955. [7](#)
- [Nau22] F. Naud. Random covers of compact surfaces and smooth linear spectral statistics. preprint arxiv:2209.07941, 2022. [11](#)
- [Nic94] A. Nica. On the number of cycles of given length of a free word in several random permutations. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 5(5):703–730, 1994. [3](#), [5](#), [6](#), [8](#), [10](#), [31](#), [37](#)
- [PP15] D. Puder and O. Parzanchevski. Measure preserving words are primitive. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 28(1):63–97, 2015. [10](#), [37](#)
- [Pud14] D. Puder. Primitive words, free factors and measure preservation. *Israel J. Math.*, 201(1):25–73, 2014. [10](#)
- [Pud15] D. Puder. Expansion of random graphs: New proofs, new results. *Invent. math.*, 201(3):845–908, 2015. [11](#)
- [Sco78] P. Scott. Subgroups of surface groups are almost geometric. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 2(3):555–565, 1978. [4](#)
- [Sta83] J. R. Stallings. Topology of finite graphs. *Invent. Math.*, 71(3):551–565, 1983. [11](#), [16](#)
- [SW79] P. Scott and T. Wall. Topological methods in group theory. In *Homological group theory (Proc. Sympos., Durham, 1977)*, volume 36, pages 137–203, 1979. [8](#), [30](#), [31](#)

Doron Puder, School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, 6997801, Israel
doronpuder@gmail.com

Tomer Zimhoni, School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, 6997801, Israel
tomerzimhoni@mail.tau.ac.il