

Isoperimetric inequalities in finitely generated groups

D. Osin E. Rybak

Abstract

To each finitely generated group G , we associate a quasi-isometric invariant called the *Dehn spectrum* of G . If G is finitely presented, our invariant is closely related to the Dehn function of G . The main goal of this paper is to initiate the study of Dehn spectra of finitely generated (but not necessarily finitely presented) groups. In particular, we compute the Dehn spectrum of small cancellation groups, certain wreath products, and free Burnside groups of sufficiently large odd exponent. We also address some natural questions on the structure of the poset of Dehn spectra. As an application, we show that there exist 2^{\aleph_0} pairwise non-quasi-isometric finitely generated groups of finite exponent.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 2 |
| 2 | Basic properties of Dehn spectrum | 7 |
| 2.1 | Dehn spectrum of a graph | 7 |
| 2.2 | Quasi-isometric invariance | 10 |
| 2.3 | The case of finitely presented groups | 12 |
| 3 | Groups with linear Dehn spectrum | 19 |
| 3.1 | Algebraic limits of hyperbolic groups | 19 |
| 3.2 | Wreath products | 22 |
| 3.3 | Geodesics and detours in Cayley graphs | 25 |
| 4 | A descriptive approach to isoperimetric functions | 26 |
| 4.1 | F_σ relations on Polish spaces | 26 |
| 4.2 | Dehn spectra of marked groups | 27 |
| 5 | Groups of finite exponent | 32 |
| 5.1 | Olshanskii's graded small cancellation theory | 32 |
| 5.2 | Dehn spectrum of free Burnside groups | 37 |
| 5.3 | Non-quasi-isometric finitely generated groups of finite exponent | 40 |
| 6 | Open questions | 46 |
| | References | 47 |

MSC Primary: 20F65, 20F69. Secondary: 20F67, 20F50

1 Introduction

We begin by recalling the definition of the Dehn function of a finitely presented group. Let

$$G = \langle X \mid \mathcal{R} \rangle \quad (1)$$

be a finite presentation of a group G . Thus, $G = F(X)/\langle\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle\rangle$, where $F(X)$ is the free group with the basis X and $\langle\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle\rangle$ denotes the minimal normal subgroup containing the subset $\mathcal{R} \subseteq F(X)$. Every element $w \in \langle\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle\rangle$ admits a decomposition of the form

$$w = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} f_i^{-1} R_i^{\varepsilon_i} f_i, \quad (2)$$

where $f_i \in F(X)$, $R_i \in \mathcal{R}$, and $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ for all i . The minimal number ℓ over all such decompositions is denoted by $\text{Area}_{\mathcal{R}}(w)$. The *Dehn function of the presentation (1)* is defined by the formula

$$\delta_G(n) = \max\{\text{Area}_{\mathcal{R}}(w) \mid w \in \langle\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle\rangle, \|w\| \leq n\},$$

where $\|w\|$ denotes the length of the word w . In particular, every $w \in \langle\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle\rangle$ satisfies the *isoperimetric inequality* $\text{Area}_{\mathcal{R}}(w) \leq \delta_G(\|w\|)$.

In 1980s, Gromov [Gro87] characterized hyperbolic groups in terms of linear isoperimetric inequality. Ever since, the study of Dehn functions of finitely presented groups has been one of the central themes in geometric group theory. Research in this direction is strongly motivated by connections to geometric and algorithmic properties of groups. In particular, for every finitely presented group G , the following hold.

- (a) Up to a natural equivalence relation, δ_G is independent of the choice of a particular finite presentation of G . Moreover, the equivalence class of the Dehn function is a quasi-isometric invariant of G .
- (b) The equivalence class of δ_G can be used to detect the decidability of the word problem. Namely, the word problem in G is decidable if and only if δ_G is equivalent to a recursive function.

The Dehn function can be defined for any group presentation with a finite set of generators. However, properties (a) and (b) only hold for finitely presented groups. Moreover, the decidability of the word problem is not invariant under quasi-isometries of finitely generated groups [Ers]. Thus, any invariant of finitely generated groups will unavoidably lack one of the above-mentioned properties.

In [GI], Grigorchuk and Ivanov suggested several analogs of the Dehn function whose computability for a (possibly infinite) recursive group presentation is equivalent to the decidability of the word problem. However, the functions considered in [GI] are not quasi-isometric invariants; moreover, they depend on the choice of a particular presentation.

In this paper, we take a different approach and introduce the concept of a *Dehn spectrum* of a finitely generated group, which enjoys quasi-isometric invariance. The Dehn spectrum is a function of three variables that generalizes the notion of the ordinary Dehn function to infinitely presented groups and refines it in the finitely presented case. In fact, we will work in the more general category of graphs but restrict our discussion to finitely generated groups in the introduction.

Definition 1.1. Let G be a group generated by a finite set X . We keep the notation introduced above. In particular, we have $G = F(X)/\langle\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle\rangle$, but we do not assume that \mathcal{R} is finite anymore. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathcal{S}_k denote the set of all words of length at most k in the alphabet $X \cup X^{-1}$ representing 1 in G ; that is,

$$\mathcal{S}_k = \{w \in \langle\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle\rangle \mid \|w\| \leq k\}. \quad (3)$$

The *Dehn spectrum of G with respect to X* is the function defined on the set of all triples $(k, m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ satisfying the inequality $m \geq k$ by the formula

$$f_{G,X}(k, m, n) = \max\{\text{Area}_{\mathcal{S}_m}(w) \mid w \in \langle\langle \mathcal{S}_k \rangle\rangle, \|w\| \leq n\}. \quad (4)$$

Note that $\text{Area}_{\mathcal{S}_m}(w)$ is well-defined since $m \geq k$ and $w \in \langle\langle \mathcal{S}_k \rangle\rangle \leq \langle\langle \mathcal{S}_m \rangle\rangle$.

We consider an important particular case.

Example 1.2. Suppose that the presentation (1) is finite and let $M = \max\{\|R\| \mid R \in \mathcal{R}\}$. Then $\langle\langle \mathcal{S}_M \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle \mathcal{S}_{M+1} \rangle\rangle = \dots$ and for every $k \geq M$, we have $f_{G,X}(k, m, n) = \delta_{\langle X \mid \mathcal{S}_m \rangle}(n)$, where $\delta_{\langle X \mid \mathcal{S}_m \rangle}$ is the Dehn function of G computed using the finite presentation $\langle X \mid \mathcal{S}_m \rangle$. Thus, the Dehn spectrum provides more information about G than any single Dehn function; in fact, it encodes the whole spectrum of the isoperimetric behaviour of G at various scales, hence the name.

We denote by \mathcal{F} the set of all functions $\{(k, m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \mid m \geq k\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are non-decreasing in the first and third arguments, and non-increasing in the second argument. Clearly, $f_{G,X} \in \mathcal{F}$ for any group G generated by a finite set X .

Definition 1.3. Given two functions $f, g: \mathbb{N}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we write $f \preceq g$ if there exists $C \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$f(k, Cm, n) \leq Cg(Ck, m, Cn) + Cn/m + C$$

for all $(k, m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ such that $m \geq Ck$. Further, we write $f \sim g$ and say that f and g are *equivalent* if $f \preceq g$ and $g \preceq f$.

It is straightforward to verify that \sim is indeed an equivalence relation on the set \mathcal{F} . Our first result establishes the quasi-isometric invariance of the Dehn spectrum.

Theorem 1.4. *Let G and H be groups generated by finite sets X and Y , respectively. If G and H are quasi-isometric, then $f_{G,X} \sim f_{H,Y}$. In particular, the equivalence class of the Dehn spectrum of a finitely generated group is independent of the choice of a particular generating set.*

Remark 1.5. A thoughtful reader may wonder why we defined the Dehn spectrum of a group as a function of three variables. Indeed, it seems more natural to consider the function $g_{G,X}(k, n) = f_{G,X}(k, k, n)$. The reason behind our choice of the more complicated definition is that the function $g_{G,X}$ may not satisfy any reasonable analog of Theorem 1.4.

In this paper, we often consider Dehn spectra up to the equivalence relation introduced above. In these cases, we omit the generating set from the notation and simply denote the Dehn spectrum of a finitely generated group G by f_G .

If G is a finitely presented group, the Dehn spectrum of G essentially reduces to a function of two variables closely related to the Dehn function of G . More precisely, we say that a function $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is *essentially independent* of k if $f(k, m, n)$ is equivalent to a function that depends on m and n only. We prove the following.

Theorem 1.6. *For every finitely presented group G , the function $f_G(k, m, n)$ is essentially independent of k and we have*

$$\frac{\delta_G(n)}{\delta_G(m)} \preceq f_G(k, m, n) \preceq \frac{\delta_G(n)}{m}, \quad (5)$$

where $\delta_G(n)$ is the Dehn function of some finite presentation of G .

Remark 1.7. Here we think of the lower and upper bounds in (5) as functions of triples $(k, m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ independent of k . We need to be careful with the choice of a finite presentation of G to make sure that $\delta_G(n)/\delta_G(m)$ is well-defined. However, both inequalities in (5) hold for any finite presentation of G such that the corresponding Dehn function satisfies $\delta_G(m) > 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Corollary 2.18.)

We discuss several particular examples illustrating various behaviour of the Dehn spectrum of finitely presented groups. If G is hyperbolic, the lower and upper bounds in (5) coincide. Conversely, the equivalence $f_G(k, m, n) \sim n/m$ for a finitely presented group G implies hyperbolicity of G (see Example 1.2).

Definition 1.8. We say that a finitely generated group G has *linear Dehn spectrum* if $f_G(k, m, n) \sim n/m$.

Corollary 1.9. *A finitely presented group is hyperbolic if and only if its Dehn spectrum is linear.*

The right inequality in (5) is strict for any non-hyperbolic finitely presented group (see Corollary 2.19). In contrast, the left inequality often becomes an equivalence. For example, combining Theorem 1.6 with results of Papasoglu [Pap], we obtain the following.

Corollary 1.10. *For any finitely presented group G with quadratic Dehn function, we have $f_G(k, m, n) \sim (n/m)^2$.*

In particular, we have $f_G(k, m, n) \sim (n/m)^2$ for any non-hyperbolic semihyperbolic group in the sense of Alonso and Bridson [AB].

In fact, it is not easy to construct a finitely presented group such that the left inequality in (5) is strict. The simplest construction of such examples is based on the following result, which is interesting on its own right.

Proposition 1.11. *For any finitely presented group G , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) *All asymptotic cones of G are simply connected.*
- (b) *There exists a function $g: \mathbb{Q}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_G(k, m, n) \preceq g(n/m)$.*
- (c) *There exists $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ such that $f_G(k, m, n) \preceq (n/m)^\alpha$*

In fact, this proposition easily follows from the Gromov's characterization of groups with simply connected asymptotic cones in terms of the so-called loop division property (see [Gro93, Sec. 5.F] and [Dru, Pap] for further details). As a corollary, we have $f_G(k, m, n) \not\sim \delta_G(n)/\delta_G(m)$ for any finitely presented groups G with a polynomial Dehn function such that not all asymptotic cones of G are simply connected. First groups of this sort were constructed by Bridson [Bri] and a somewhat easier example was later found by Olshanskii and Sapir [OS] (see the discussion at the end of Section 2.3). Furthermore, we use Proposition 1.11 to provide examples of two finitely presented groups with cubic Dehn functions and inequivalent Dehn spectra (see Corollary 2.21).

As we pass from finitely presented to finitely generated groups, many natural questions arise. In this paper, we discuss three of them highlighting the striking difference between the finitely presented and finitely generated cases.

- (Q1) Is every finitely generated group with linear Dehn spectrum hyperbolic?
- (Q2) What is the cardinality of the set of equivalence classes of Dehn spectra of finitely generated groups?
- (Q3) Does there exist a finitely generated group G whose Dehn spectrum essentially depends on the first argument?

We begin with the first question. The theorem below provides many examples of non-hyperbolic groups with linear Dehn spectrum.

Theorem 1.12. *The following groups have linear Dehn spectrum.*

- (a) *Wreath products of the form $K \wr \mathbb{Z}$, where K is an arbitrary finite group.*
- (b) *Finitely generated (but not necessarily finitely presented) $C'(1/6)$ -groups.*
- (c) *Finitely generated free Burnside groups of sufficiently large odd exponent.*

Further, we show that “generic” limits of hyperbolic groups provide the answers to (Q2) and (Q3). More precisely, we consider the Polish space \mathcal{G}_n of n -generated marked groups. Informally, \mathcal{G}_n is the set of pairs (G, X) , where G is a group and X is an ordered generating set of G of cardinality n , with the topology induced by the local convergence of Cayley graphs (see Section 4.2 for details). The relation \preccurlyeq introduced in Definition 1.3 induces the following quasi-order on the spaces \mathcal{G}_n :

$$(G, X) \preccurlyeq_{Ds} (H, Y) \iff f_{G,X} \preccurlyeq f_{H,Y}.$$

Recall that a hyperbolic group is said to be *elementary* if it contains a cyclic subgroup of finite index. Let \mathcal{H}_n denote the subset of \mathcal{G}_n consisting of non-elementary hyperbolic marked groups and let $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ be the closure of \mathcal{H}_n in \mathcal{G}_n . Our next result answers (Q2) and provides a rich source of groups whose Dehn spectra essentially depend on k . As usual, we say that a certain property *holds for a generic element* of a Polish space P if it holds for every element of a comeager subset of P .

Theorem 1.13. *For every integer $n \geq 2$, the following hold.*

- (a) *The space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ contains 2^{\aleph_0} pairwise \preccurlyeq_{Ds} -incomparable elements.*
- (b) *The Dehn spectrum $f_{G,X}(k, m, n)$ of a generic element $(G, X) \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ is not equivalent to any function that depends on m and n only.*

In particular, the set of equivalence classes of Dehn spectra of finitely generated groups has the cardinality of the continuum. Our proof of Theorem 1.13 is non-constructive and employs ideas from descriptive set theory. It is inspired by the proof of the fact that $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ has 2^{\aleph_0} quasi-isometry classes presented in [MOW]. Another ingredient used in the proof is group theoretic Dehn filling.

Finally, we illustrate the usefulness of Dehn spectra by considering an application to groups of finite exponent. Recall that the famous Burnside problem asks whether every finitely generated group of a finite exponent N is finite. The negative answer was first obtained by Novikov and Adian for all odd $N \geq 4381$; an improved version of the original proof for odd $N \geq 665$ can be found in [Adi]. In [Ols83], Olshanskii suggested a much simpler geometric proof for odd $N > 10^{10}$. For all sufficiently large even exponents, the negative solution was obtained by Ivanov [Iva] and Lysenok [Lys]. More recently, Coulon [Cou] provided yet another geometric proof following the ideas of Delzant and Gromov [DG]; his proof explicitly uses hyperbolic geometry and works for all sufficiently large exponents, odd and even.

Despite this progress, very little is known about the large-scale geometry of finitely generated groups of finite exponent. Our last result shows that such groups can be very diverse from the geometric point of view.

Theorem 1.14. *There exist 2^{\aleph_0} finitely generated groups of finite exponent with pairwise incomparable Dehn spectra. In particular, there are 2^{\aleph_0} quasi-isometry classes of such groups.*

The existence of 2^{\aleph_0} pairwise non-isomorphic finitely generated groups of finite exponent is well-known (see [Ols91a, Theorem 28.7]). The existence of at least 2 quasi-isometry classes of such groups (namely, finite and infinite ones) follows from the solution of the Burnside problem discussed above. However, even the existence of 3 distinct quasi-isometry classes seems to be new. The proof of Theorem 1.14 combines descriptive ideas similar to those used in the proof of Theorem 1.13 with Olshanskii's small cancellation technique developed in [Ols83, Ols91a].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define the Dehn spectrum of a graph and prove a generalization of Theorem 1.4 in this context; Theorem 1.6 and its corollaries are also proved there. The first two parts of Theorem 1.12 are obtained in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.13. The proofs of part (c) of Theorem 1.12 and Theorem 1.14 are given in Section 5.

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to O. Kulikova for the constant attention to this work. We would also like to thank the anonymous referee for careful reading of the first version of our manuscript and useful suggestions. The first author has been supported by the NSF grants DMS-1612473 and DMS-1853989.

2 Basic properties of Dehn spectrum

2.1 Dehn spectrum of a graph

We begin by establishing the notation and terminology used throughout the paper. Let K be a CW -complex. By $K^{(n)}$ we denote the n -skeleton of K . If K is 2-dimensional, we use the terms *vertices*, *edges*, and *faces* for 0-cells, 1-cells, and 2-cells of K , respectively. By a *path* p in a CW -complex K we always mean a combinatorial path in $K^{(1)}$; we denote by $\ell(p)$, p_- , and p_+ its length, origin, and terminal vertex, respectively; p is a *loop* if $p_- = p_+$.

A *graph* is a 1-dimensional CW -complex. Thus, we allow graphs to have multiple edges as well as edges that join a vertex to itself. We use the notation $V(\Gamma)$ and $E(\Gamma)$ for the sets of vertices and edges of Γ , respectively. Throughout this paper, we think of graphs as metric spaces with respect to the length metric induced by identifying interiors of edges with the interval $(0, 1)$.

Suppose that Γ is a finite connected plane graph. Then the interior of every face Π of Γ is homeomorphic to a disc and the boundary of Π , denoted by $\partial\Pi$, is a loop in Γ . The boundary of the exterior face of Γ is denoted by $\partial\Gamma$. Henceforth, the term *face* will mean an interior face in this context. To every such a graph, we can associate a planar CW -complex obtained by gluing a 2-cell to the boundary of every (interior) face of Γ . This complex is connected and simply connected, but may not be homeomorphic to a disk.

We assume the reader to be familiar with the notions of a Cayley graph and van Kampen diagram. The Cayley graph of a group G with respect to a generating set X is denoted by

$\text{Cay}(G, X)$. If p is a path in an oriented labelled graph, we denote by $\mathbf{Lab}(p)$ its label. For two (possibly non-reduced) words u and v in an alphabet $X \cup X^{-1}$, we write $u \equiv v$ if they are equal as words and $u = v$ if they represent the same element of the free group $F(X)$. Labels of boundaries of van Kampen diagrams and their faces are usually considered up to a cyclic shift and taking inverses. E.g., we write $\mathbf{Lab}(\partial\Delta) \equiv w$ for a van Kampen diagram Δ to mean that w reads along $\partial\Delta$ starting from a certain point in an appropriate direction.

Definition 2.1. Let Γ and Δ be any graphs. We say that $\varphi: \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a *generalized combinatorial map* if φ is continuous, maps vertices of Δ to vertices of Γ , and the image of every edge of Δ under φ is either a vertex or an edge of Γ .

Note that every generalized combinatorial map sends paths to paths and loops to loops.

Definition 2.2. Let c be a loop in a graph Γ and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A *combinatorial k -filling* of c is a generalized combinatorial map $\varphi: D \rightarrow \Gamma$, where D is a finite connected plane graph, such that the following conditions hold.

- (a) There exists a vertex $o \in \partial D$ such that $\varphi(o) = c_- = c_+$ and the loop $\varphi(\partial D)$ starting at $\varphi(o)$ coincides with c .
- (b) For every (interior) face F of D , we have $\ell(\varphi(\partial F)) \leq k$.

The *area* of φ , denoted by $\text{Area}(\varphi)$, is the number of faces of D . A loop c in Γ is said to be *k -contractible* if it admits a combinatorial k -filling. The set of all k -contractible loops in Γ will be denoted by $C_k(\Gamma)$. For $c \in C_k(\Gamma)$, we define $\text{Area}_k(c)$ to be the minimum of areas of all combinatorial k -fillings of c .

Two remarks are in order. First, we do not insist that ∂D is a simple path. Second, it is important that $\varphi(\partial D)$ and c coincide as paths (not as subsets of Γ) in (a). E.g., if c_0 is any loop in Γ and $c = c_0 c_0$, then $\varphi(\partial D)$ must also wind twice around c_0 .

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathbb{T} = \{(k, m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \mid m \geq k\}$. The *Dehn spectrum* $f_\Gamma: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ of a connected graph Γ is defined by the formula

$$f_\Gamma(k, m, n) = \sup\{\text{Area}_m(c) \mid c \in C_k(\Gamma), \ell(c) \leq n\}.$$

Note that $\text{Area}_m(c)$ is well-defined for $m \geq k$ since $C_k(\Gamma) \subseteq C_m(\Gamma)$. We say that Γ has *finitary Dehn spectrum* if f_Γ takes only finite values.

Lemma 2.4. *Every vertex-transitive graph of finite degree has finitary Dehn spectrum. In particular, this is true for any Cayley graph of a group with respect to a finite generating set.*

Proof. The claim follows immediately from the observation that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are only finitely many $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ -orbits of loops of length n in Γ . \square

Recall that \mathcal{F} denotes the set of all functions $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that f is non-decreasing in the first and third arguments, and non-increasing in the second argument. It is easy to see that $f_\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}$ for any graph Γ whenever f_Γ is finitary. As in the case of groups, we consider finitary Dehn spectra of graphs up to the equivalence relation introduced in Definition 1.3. For completeness, we record the following.

Proposition 2.5. (a) *Let Γ be a graph. If f_Γ is finitary, then $f_\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}$.*

(b) *The relation \preceq is a preorder and \sim is an equivalence relation on \mathcal{F} .*

Proof. Part (a) follows immediately from the definition. To prove transitivity of \preceq , suppose that $f \preceq g \preceq h$ for some $f, g, h \in \mathcal{F}$. By definition, there exist $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$f(k, C_1 m, n) \leq C_1 g(C_1 k, m, C_1 n) + C_1 n/m + C_1$$

and

$$g(k, C_2 m, n) \leq C_2 h(C_2 k, m, C_2 n) + C_2 n/m + C_2$$

for all $(k, m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ satisfying $m \geq C_1 k$ and $m \geq C_2 k$, respectively. Therefore, we have

$$f(k, C_1 C_2 m, n) \leq C_1 (C_2 h(C_1 C_2 k, m, C_1 C_2 n) + C_2 C_1 n/m + C_2) + C_1 n/(C_2 m) + C_1$$

for all triples $(k, m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ satisfying $m \geq C_1 C_2 k$. Since the functions f and h are non-increasing (respectively, nondecreasing) in the second (respectively, first and third) argument, we have $f(k, C m, n) \leq C h(C k, m, C n) + C n/m + C$, where $C = C_1(C_1 C_2 + 1)$. Thus, \preceq is a preorder and, therefore, \sim is an equivalence relation. \square

Our next goal is to relate Definition 2.3 to the one given in the introduction. To this end, we will use the van Kampen lemma, which states that a word $w \in F(X)$ represents 1 in the group given by a presentation $\langle X \mid \mathcal{R} \rangle$ if and only if there exists a van Kampen diagram Δ over this presentation such that $\mathbf{Lab}(\partial\Delta) \equiv w$ (see [LS]). It follows immediately from the proof that the minimal number of faces in such a diagram equals $\text{Area}_{\mathcal{R}}(w)$. Furthermore, for every loop c in the Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, X)$ labelled by w , there is a canonical graph homomorphism $\Delta^{(1)} \rightarrow \text{Cay}(G, X)$ preserving labels and orientation that sends $\partial\Delta$ to c .

Proposition 2.6. *For every group G generated by a finite set X , we have*

$$f_{\text{Cay}(G, X)}(k, m, n) = f_{G, X}(k, m, n). \quad (6)$$

Proof. Let c be a loop in $\text{Cay}(G, X)$ and let $w \equiv \mathbf{Lab}(c)$. Assume first that $c \in C_k(\Gamma)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\varphi: D \rightarrow \text{Cay}(G, X)$ be a minimal area combinatorial m -filling of c for some $m \geq k$. We orient and label every $e \in E(D)$ as follows. If $\varphi(e)$ is a vertex, we orient e arbitrarily and label it with the empty word; if $\varphi(e) = f \in E(\text{Cay}(G, X))$ we endow e with the same orientation and label as f . Further, we fill in all faces of the plane graph D by gluing 2-cells along their boundaries. By part (b) of Definition 2.2, we have $\mathbf{Lab}(\Pi) \in \mathcal{S}_m$ for every face Π of the obtained 2-complex Δ (see (3)).

Thus, Δ is almost a van Kampen diagram over $\langle X \mid \mathcal{S}_m \rangle$, the only difference is that we may have edges labelled by the empty word. Cutting Δ into the union of individual faces just as in the proof of the “if” part of van the Kampen lemma, we obtain a decomposition (2), where $\ell = \text{Area}_m(c)$ and $R_i \in \mathcal{S}_m$ for all i . In particular, $w \in \langle \mathcal{S}_k \rangle$ and, for any $m \geq k$, we have $\text{Area}_{\mathcal{S}_m}(w) \leq \text{Area}_m(c)$.

Now, suppose that $w \in \langle \mathcal{S}_k \rangle$. For every $m \geq k$, there exists a van Kampen diagram Δ over $\langle X \mid \mathcal{S}_m \rangle$ with at most $\text{Area}_{\mathcal{S}_m}(w)$ faces and the boundary label $\mathbf{Lab}(\Delta) \equiv w$. The canonical map $\Delta^{(1)} \rightarrow \text{Cay}(G, X)$ sending $\partial\Delta$ to c is a combinatorial m -filling of c of area at most $\text{Area}_{\mathcal{R}}(w)$. In particular, c is k -contractible and $\text{Area}_m(c) \leq \text{Area}_{\mathcal{S}_m}(w)$. Combining this with the opposite inequality proved above, we obtain (6). \square

2.2 Quasi-isometric invariance

The main goal of this section is to show that Dehn spectrum is a quasi-isometric invariant of connected graphs. Recall that a map $\alpha: S \rightarrow T$ between metric spaces (S, d_S) , (T, d_T) is (K, L) -coarsely Lipschitz for some constants $K, L \geq 0$ if

$$d_T(\alpha(x), \alpha(y)) \leq K d_S(x, y) + L \quad (7)$$

for all $x, y \in S$. Metric spaces (S, d_S) and (T, d_T) are *quasi-isometric* if there exists $L \geq 0$ and (L, L) -coarsely Lipschitz maps $\alpha: S \rightarrow T$ and $\beta: T \rightarrow S$ such that α and β are L -coarse inverses of each other; that is,

$$\sup_{t \in T} d_T(\alpha \circ \beta(t), t) \leq L \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{s \in S} d_S(\beta \circ \alpha(s), s) \leq L. \quad (8)$$

We begin by describing a general construction that will be used several times in our paper.

Definition 2.7. Let Γ, Δ be two graphs, $\alpha: V(\Gamma) \rightarrow V(\Delta)$ a map between the sets of their vertices, $c = e_1 \dots e_r$ a path in Γ , where $e_1, \dots, e_r \in E(\Gamma)$. We say that a path d in Δ is a *coarse α -image* of c if it decomposes as $d = d_1 \dots d_r$, where d_i is geodesic in Δ and $\alpha((e_i)_\pm) = (d_i)_\pm$ for all i ; if c is not simple, we additionally require that if $e_i = e_j^{\pm 1}$ for some $i \neq j$, then $d_i = d_j^{\pm 1}$.

Lemma 2.8. Let Γ, Δ be two graphs, $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ a (K, L) -coarsely Lipschitz map, where $K, L \in \mathbb{N}$, sending vertices of Γ to vertices of Δ . For any path c in Γ and any coarse α -image d of c in Δ , the following hold.

(a) $\ell(d) \leq (K + L)\ell(c)$.

(b) If $c \in C_k(\Gamma)$, then $d \in C_{(K+L)k}(\Delta)$ and $\text{Area}_{(K+L)k}(d) \leq \text{Area}_k(c)$.

Proof. In the notation of Definition 2.7, let e_i be an edge of c . The corresponding subpath d_i of d has length

$$\ell(d_i) = d_\Delta(\alpha((e_i)_-), \alpha((e_i)_+)) \leq K d_\Gamma((e_i)_-, (e_i)_+) + L \leq K + L.$$




Figure 1: Constructing a combinatorial filling of c .

This implies (a).

Further, every combinatorial filling $\varphi: C \rightarrow \Gamma$ of c can be transformed to a combinatorial filling $\psi: D \rightarrow \Delta$ of d as follows. Let e be an edge of C . If $\varphi(e)$ is a vertex of Γ , we do not modify e and define $\psi(e) = \varphi(e)$. If $\varphi(e) = e_i$ for some i and $\ell(d_i) > 0$, we subdivide e into $\ell(d_i)$ edges and let ψ map the resulting path to d_i in the obvious way. For any other edge e of C , we fix any geodesic p in Δ connecting $\alpha \circ \varphi(e_-)$ to $\alpha \circ \varphi(e_+)$, subdivide e into $\ell(p)$ edges, and let ψ map the obtained path to p . Let D denote the resulting plane graph. Since every edge of C gets subdivided into at most $K + L$ edges, $\psi: D \rightarrow \Delta$ is a combinatorial $(K + L)k$ -filling of d ; obviously, it has the same area as φ . Applying this construction to a combinatorial k -filling of c of minimal area, we obtain (b). \square

Theorem 2.9. *Let Γ and Δ be connected quasi-isometric graphs. Suppose that Γ has finitary Dehn spectrum. Then so does Δ and we have $f_\Gamma \sim f_\Delta$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ and $\beta: \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ be L -quasi-inverse, (L, L) -coarsely Lipschitz maps for some $L \in \mathbb{N}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that α and β send vertices to vertices. Let k be a positive integer, c a k -contractible loop in Γ , d a coarse α -image of c in Δ . By Lemma 2.8, d is $2Lk$ -contractible.

Further, let $m \geq 2Lk$ and let \tilde{c} be a coarse β -image of d in Γ . Applying Lemma 2.8 to a combinatorial m -filling of d , we obtain a combinatorial $2Lm$ -filling $\tilde{\varphi}: \tilde{D} \rightarrow \Gamma$ of \tilde{c} of area

$$\text{Area}(\tilde{\varphi}) = \text{Area}_m(d) \leq f_\Delta(2Lk, m, \ell(d)) \leq f_\Delta(2Lk, m, 2L\ell(c)).$$

In order to obtain a filling of c , we modify \tilde{D} and $\tilde{\varphi}$ as follows. Let $c = c_1 \dots c_r$, where

$$r \leq \lceil \ell(c)/m \rceil$$

and

$$1 \leq \ell(c_i) \leq m$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. Let $\tilde{c} = \tilde{c}_1 \dots \tilde{c}_r$ and $\partial\tilde{D} = d_1 \dots d_r$, where

$$(\tilde{c}_i)_\pm = \beta \circ \alpha((c_i)_\pm) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\varphi}(d_i) = \tilde{c}_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, r.$$

Since α and β are L -quasi-inverse, we have $d_\Gamma((c_i)_-, (\tilde{c}_i)_-) \leq L$. Let $s = s_1 \dots s_r$ be a simple combinatorial loop (i.e., a graph homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^1) embedded in the Euclidean plane, where $\ell(s_i) = \ell(c_i)$ for all i . We embed \tilde{D} in the open disk bounded by s so that $\partial\tilde{D}$ and s are oriented in the same way. For every i , we connect $(d_i)_-$ to $(s_i)_-$ by a combinatorial path t_i of length $d_\Gamma((c_i)_-, (\tilde{c}_i)_-)$ so that the interior of t_i is disjoint from s , \tilde{D} , and t_j for $j \neq i$ (see Fig. 1). Finally, we extend $\tilde{\varphi}$ to a map $\varphi: D \rightarrow \Gamma$ by sending each s_i to c_i and each t_i to a geodesic connecting $(\tilde{c}_i)_-$ to $(c_i)_-$ in Γ .

Let K_i denote the face of D bounded by $t_i s_i t_{i+1}^{-1} d_i^{-1}$ (indices are modulo r). Applying part (a) of Lemma 2.8 twice, we obtain $\ell(\tilde{c}_i) \leq 4L^2 \ell(c_i)$ for every i . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\varphi(\partial K_i)) &= \ell(\varphi(s_i)) + \ell(\varphi(d_i)) + \ell(\varphi(t_i)) + \ell(\varphi(t_{i+1})) \\ &= \ell(c_i) + \ell(\tilde{c}_i) + 2L \\ &\leq \ell(c_i) + 4L^2 \ell(c_i) + 2L \\ &\leq (4L^2 + 2L + 1)m. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, φ is a combinatorial Cm -filling of c , where $C = 4L^2 + 2L + 1$. Note that

$$\text{Area}_{Cm}(c) \leq \text{Area}(\varphi) \leq \text{Area}(\tilde{\varphi}) + r \leq f_\Delta(2Lk, m, 2L\ell(c)) + \ell(c)/m + 1.$$

This implies the inequality $f_\Gamma(k, m, n) \preceq f_\Delta(k, m, n)$. The proof of the opposite inequality is symmetric. \square

Recall that a metric space is said to be *quasi-geodesic* if it is quasi-isometric to a geodesic metric space. It is well-known and easy to prove that every quasi-geodesic metric space is quasi-isometric to a connected graph. This and Theorem 2.9 allow us to define the Dehn spectrum of a quasi-geodesic metric space (up to the equivalence). Alternatively, we can work with a more general notion of filling and area in metric spaces (see [BH, Section III.H.2] or [DK, Section 9.7]). However, we do not see any immediate applications of these generalizations and do not further discuss them in this paper.

2.3 The case of finitely presented groups

Our next goal is to prove Theorem 1.6. To this end, we fix a finitely presented group G and consider a finite presentation

$$G = \langle X \mid \mathcal{R} \rangle \tag{9}$$

satisfying the following two conditions.

(*) All relations $R \in \mathcal{R}$ have length $\|R\| \leq 3$ and \mathcal{R} contains all reduced words in the alphabet $X \cup X^{-1}$ of length at most 3 representing 1 in G ;

(**) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\delta_G(n) > 0$.

Condition (*) will be necessary to apply a result of Papasoglu (see Lemma 2.12 below) in the proof of the upper bound in (5). Condition (**) is necessary to guarantee that the lower bound in (5) is well-defined. Note that these conditions are non-restrictive.

Lemma 2.10. *Every finitely presented group G has a finite presentation (9) satisfying conditions (*) and (**).*

Proof. The first condition can be ensured by the obvious triangulation procedure. Namely, we start with any finite presentation $G = \langle X_0 \mid \mathcal{R}_0 \rangle$. For every relator $x_1 x_2 \dots x_k \in \mathcal{R}_0$, where $k > 3$ and $x_i \in X_0^{\pm 1}$ for all i , we add extra generators y_1, \dots, y_{k-1} and relations

$$y_1 = x_1 x_2, \quad y_2 = y_1 x_3, \quad \dots, \quad y_{k-1} = y_{k-2} x_k.$$

After that, we replace the relation $x_1 x_2 \dots x_k = 1$ with $y_{k-1} = 1$. Finally, we add all relations of the form $R = 1$, where R is a reduced words in the alphabet $X \cup X^{-1}$ of length at most 3 representing 1 in G . The resulting presentation obviously satisfies (*). Since it is obtained from $\langle X_0 \mid \mathcal{R}_0 \rangle$ by a finite sequence of Tietze transformations, it represents the same group G . The condition (**) can always be ensured by adding a redundant generator z and the relation $z = 1$. \square

Definition 2.11. For a van Kampen diagram Δ over (9), we denote by d_Δ the graph metric on its 1-skeleton. The *radius* of Δ is defined by the formula

$$r(\Delta) = \max\{d_\Delta(u, \partial\Delta) \mid u \text{ is a vertex of } \Delta\}.$$

In this notation, the following result was proved in [Pap, Section 2].

Lemma 2.12. *Let Δ be a van Kampen diagram over (9). For every $m \geq r(\Delta)$, we can cut Δ into at most $\ell(\partial\Delta)/m + 1$ subdiagrams of perimeter at most $25m$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let (9) be a finite presentation of G satisfying (*) and (**) and let $\delta_G(n)$ denote its Dehn function. Throughout the proof, we use the notation \mathcal{S}_k and $Area_{\mathcal{S}_k}$ introduced in Definition 1.1. Note that $\mathcal{S}_3 = \mathcal{R}$ by (*).

We begin by proving the upper bound in (5). For every word w in the alphabet $X^{\pm 1}$ representing 1 in G and any positive integer m , we will show that

$$Area_{\mathcal{S}_{25m}}(w) \leq \theta(w)/m + 1, \tag{10}$$

where

$$\theta(w) = 15Area_{\mathcal{S}_3}(w) + \|w\|.$$




Figure 2: Case 2 in the proof of the upper bound in Theorem 1.6

This implies $f_{G,X}(k, 25m, n) \leq (15\delta_G(n) + n)/m + 1$ and the desired upper bound follows.

The proof of (10) is by induction on $\theta(w)$. If $\theta(w) \leq 25m$ the claim is obvious as $\|w\| \leq 25m$ and $\text{Area}_{\mathcal{S}_{25m}}(w) \leq 1$ in this case. Assume that $\theta(w) > 25m$.

Throughout the rest of the proof, by the *area* of a van Kampen diagram Δ , denoted by $\text{Area}(\Delta)$, we mean the number of faces in Δ . Let Δ be a minimal area van Kampen diagram over (9) such that $\text{Lab}(\partial\Delta) \equiv w$. There are two cases to consider.

Case 1. Suppose first that $m \geq r(\Delta)$. Applying Lemma 2.12 to Δ , we obtain

$$\text{Area}_{\mathcal{S}_{25m}}(w) \leq \ell(\partial\Delta)/m + 1 = \|w\|/m + 1 \leq \theta(w)/m + 1.$$

Case 2. Now assume that $m < r(\Delta)$. Let u be a vertex of Δ such that $d_\Delta(u, \partial\Delta) = m$ and let p denote a geodesic path in $\Delta^{(1)}$ connecting u to the closest vertex $v \in \partial\Delta$ (see Fig. 2). Clearly, $\ell(p) = m$. Let Σ be the minimal simply connected subdiagram of Δ containing p and all faces of Δ that have at least one common edge with p . Since $p \cap \partial\Delta = \{v\}$, every edge of p belongs to a face of Δ . By (*), we have $\text{Area}(\Sigma) \geq m/3$ (we do not care about optimal constants here). On the other hand, every face Π of Σ that shares an edge with $\partial\Sigma$ must also share an edge with p as otherwise Π can be excluded from Σ . This easily implies that $\ell(\partial\Sigma) \leq 4m$.

Passing to a cyclic shift of w if necessary, we can assume that w is read along $\partial\Delta$ starting from the vertex v in the counterclockwise direction. Let $s \equiv \text{Lab}(\partial\Sigma)$, where the label is also read counterclockwise starting from v (see Fig. 2). By cutting off Σ , we get the decomposition $w = w's$ in the free group $F(X)$, where $w' = ws^{-1}$. Obviously, we have

$$\text{Area}_{\mathcal{S}_3}(w') \leq \text{Area}(\Delta) - \text{Area}(\Sigma) \leq \text{Area}_{\mathcal{S}_3}(w) - m/3,$$

and

$$\|w'\| \leq \|w\| + \|s\| \leq \|w\| + 4m.$$

Hence,

$$\theta(w') \leq 15(\text{Area}_{\mathcal{S}_3}(w) - m/3) + \|w\| + 4m = \theta(w) - m. \quad (11)$$

Using the inductive assumption, the inequality $\|s\| \leq 4m < 25m$, and (11), we obtain

$$\text{Area}_{\mathcal{S}_{25m}}(w) \leq \text{Area}_{\mathcal{S}_{25m}}(w') + 1 \leq \theta(w')/m + 2 \leq \theta(w)/m + 1.$$

Next we prove the lower bound in (5). Fix any $m \geq 3$. By the definition of the Dehn spectrum, every word $w \in \langle\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle\rangle$ of length $\|w\| = n$ decomposes as

$$w = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} f_i^{-1} R_i f_i, \quad (12)$$

where $f_i \in F(X)$, $R_i \in \mathcal{S}_m$, and $\ell \leq f_{G,X}(3, m, n)$. Further, every R_i decomposes as a product of at most $\delta_G(m)$ conjugates of elements of \mathcal{R} in $F(X)$ by the definition of the Dehn function. Substituting these decompositions in (12), we obtain the inequality $\text{Area}_{\mathcal{R}}(w) \leq \ell \delta_G(m)$. Therefore, $\delta_G(n) \leq f_{G,X}(3, m, n) \delta_G(m)$ for all n and all $m \geq 3$ and the left inequality in (5) follows. \square

We now discuss the dependence of the lower and upper bounds in (5) on the choice of the finite presentation of G . To this end, we recall the definition of the equivalence relation commonly used for Dehn functions.

Definition 2.13. Let $f, g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We write $f \lesssim g$ if there exists $C \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(n) \leq Cg(Cn) + Cn$. It is easy to see that \lesssim is a quasi-order on the set of all non-decreasing functions $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. By \approx we denote the induced equivalence relation.

It is well-known and easy to prove that the Dehn functions of any two finite presentations of the same group are \approx -equivalent.

Definition 2.14. We say that a function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ has *at least linear growth* if $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(n)/n > 0$.

The following lemma is likely known to experts. However, it does not seem to have been recorded in the literature. The closest result we could find is a considerably weaker theorem proved in [Bat]: if a group G has a finite presentation with Dehn function satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_G(n)/n = 0$, then G is either free or finite.

Lemma 2.15. *Let $G = \langle X \mid \mathcal{R} \rangle$ be a finite presentation. If $\langle\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle\rangle \neq \{1\}$ in $F(X)$, then the Dehn function of G with respect to this presentation has at least linear growth.*

Proof. Recall that a map $\varphi: F(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a *quasi-character* if there exists a constant ε such that $\varphi(f^n) = n\varphi(f)$ and $|\varphi(fg) - \varphi(f) - \varphi(g)| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $f, g \in F(X)$. It is well known (see [Bro]) that for any non-trivial element $f \in F(X)$, there is a quasi-character $\varphi: F(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi(f) > 0$.

By our assumption, there exists $R_0 \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $R_0 \neq 1$ in $F(X)$. Let $\varphi: F(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a quasi-character such that $\varphi(R_0) > 0$. The definition of a quasi-character implies that for every $f_i \in F(X)$, $R_i \in \mathcal{R}$, and $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \varphi \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} f_i^{-1} R_i^{\varepsilon_i} f_i \right) \right| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} |\varphi(f_i^{-1} R_i^{\varepsilon_i} f_i)| + (\ell - 1)\varepsilon \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (|\varphi(R_i)| + 2\varepsilon) + (\ell - 1)\varepsilon \leq \ell(M + 3\varepsilon), \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $M = \max_{R \in \mathcal{R}} |\varphi(R)|$. On the other hand, $\varphi(R_0^n) = n\varphi(R_0)$. This implies that $\text{Area}_{\mathcal{R}}(R_0^n) \geq n|\varphi(R_0)|/(M + 3\varepsilon)$ and, therefore, the Dehn function of $\langle X \mid \mathcal{R} \rangle$ has at least linear growth. \square

Lemma 2.16. *Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be any non-decreasing functions such that $\alpha_1 \approx \alpha_2$ and $\beta_1 \approx \beta_2$. Suppose that β_1 and β_2 have at least linear growth. Then $\alpha_1(n)/\beta_1(m) \sim \alpha_2(n)/\beta_2(m)$, where both sides are considered as functions of triples (k, m, n) independent of k .*

Proof. The assumptions of the lemma imply that we can find $C \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha_1(n) \leq C\alpha_2(Cn) + Cn$ and $\beta_2(n) \leq C\beta_1(Cn)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In turn, these inequalities imply

$$\frac{\alpha_1(n)}{\beta_1(Cm)} \leq \frac{C\alpha_2(Cn) + Cn}{\beta_2(m)/C} \leq C^2 \frac{\alpha_2(Cn)}{\beta_2(m)} + \frac{C^2}{\inf_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \beta_2(m)/m} \cdot \frac{n}{m}.$$

Therefore, $\alpha_1(n)/\beta_1(m) \preccurlyeq \alpha_2(n)/\beta_2(m)$. Similarly, $\alpha_2(n)/\beta_2(m) \preccurlyeq \alpha_1(n)/\beta_1(m)$. \square

Remark 2.17. The assumption that β_1 and β_2 have at least linear growth cannot be omitted. Indeed, $1 \approx m$ but $n \not\approx n/m$.

Corollary 2.18. *Let G be a finitely presented group. Suppose that δ_G is the Dehn function of a finite presentation of G such that $\delta_G(m) > 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then (5) holds.*

Proof. Note that if $G = \langle Y \mid \mathcal{S} \rangle$ is a finite presentation of G and the corresponding Dehn function takes at least one positive value, then $\langle\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle\rangle \neq \{1\}$ in $F(Y)$. Therefore, the Dehn function of G with respect to $\langle Y \mid \mathcal{S} \rangle$ has at least linear growth by Lemma 2.15 (in particular, this is true for the finite presentation (9) used in the proof of Theorem 1.6 by (**)). Thus, Lemma 2.16 applied to the lower and upper bounds in (5) allows us to replace the Dehn function with respect to (9) with any other Dehn function of G that takes only positive values. \square

Corollary 2.19. *Let G be a finitely presented group. We have $f_G(k, m, n) \sim \alpha(n)/m$ for some function $\alpha: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ if and only if G is hyperbolic.*

Proof. The backwards implication follows immediately from Theorem 1.6 and linearity of the Dehn function of hyperbolic groups. Let us prove the forward implication. We fix a finite generating set X of G . By our assumption, there exists $C \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{\alpha(n)}{Cm} \leq C f_{G,X}(Ck, m, Cn) + C \frac{n}{m} + C \quad (14)$$

for all $(k, m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ satisfying $m \geq Ck$. Substituting $k = 1$, $m = Cn$ in (14) and taking into account the obvious inequality $f_{G,X}(C, Cn, Cn) \leq 1$, we obtain that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha(n)/n < \infty$. This and the inequality $f_G(k, m, n) \preccurlyeq \alpha(n)/m$ easily imply the existence of a constant D such that

$$f_{G,X}(k, Dm, n) \leq Dn/m + D \quad (15)$$

for all $m \geq Dk$.

Since G is finitely presented, we have $G = \langle X \mid \mathcal{S}_M \rangle$ and $\delta_G(n) = f_{G,X}(M, M, n)$ for all sufficiently large M (see Example 1.2). Combining the latter equality with (15), we conclude that G has a linear Dehn function and, therefore, it is hyperbolic. \square

Corollary 2.19 can be used to provide examples of functions that *cannot* be equivalent to the Dehn spectrum of any finitely presented group. E.g., the function n^2/m has this property.

Now we prove another corollary of Theorem 1.6.

Proof of Corollary 1.10. Let G be a group given by finite presentation (9) satisfying (*). Suppose that the corresponding Dehn function satisfies $\delta_G(n) \leq Cn^2$ for some $C \in \mathbb{N}$. Papasoglu proved that there exists a constant $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $a \in \mathbb{N}$, one can cut a minimal area van Kampen diagram over (9) with boundary length $n > 100a$ into less than Ka^2 subdiagrams of perimeter at most n/a each (see the first paragraph on p. 803 in [Pap]).

Fix any $(k, m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ such that $n \geq m > 100$ and let $a = \lfloor n/m \rfloor$. Thus, we have $2m > n/a \geq m > 100$. Let w be any word in $X \cup X^{-1}$ representing 1 in G of length $\|w\| = n$ and let Δ be a minimal area van Kampen diagram over (9) such that $\mathbf{Lab}(\partial\Delta) \equiv w$. Applying the result of Papasoglu to Δ , we obtain

$$\text{Area}_{\mathcal{S}_{2m}}(w) \leq Ka^2 \leq Kn^2/m^2.$$

Thus, $f_{G,X}(k, 2m, n) \leq K(n/m)^2$ for all $(k, m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ such that $n \geq m > 100$. Note also that $f_{G,X}(k, m, n) \leq 1$ whenever $n \leq m$. Therefore, we have $f_{G,X}(k, m, n) \preccurlyeq (n/m)^2$. Combining this inequality with the lower bound in (5), we obtain $f_{G,X}(k, m, n) \sim (n/m)^2$. \square

We conclude this section with the proof of Proposition 1.11. This result is simply a reformulation of known ones and so we keep this part of our paper brief. For the precise definition and basic properties of asymptotic cones, we refer the reader to [DK]. For the

purpose of this paper, the property “all asymptotic cones are simply connected” can be taken as a black box thanks to the following reformulation of the equivalent loop division property [Dru, Theorem 4.4] (see also [Gro93, Pap]).

Lemma 2.20. *Let $\langle X \mid \mathcal{R} \rangle$ be a finite presentation of a group G , $K = \max\{\|R\| \mid R \in \mathcal{R}\}$. All asymptotic cones of G are simply connected if and only if there exist $\nu, M, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M \geq 2$ and for every $n \geq \ell$, we have*

$$f_G(K, \lfloor n/M \rfloor, n) \leq \nu. \quad (16)$$

Proof of Proposition 1.11. The implication (c) \Rightarrow (b) is obvious, so we only need to prove (b) \Rightarrow (a) \Rightarrow (c).

Assume (b) holds, i.e., there exists $C \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_{G,X}(K, Cm, n) \leq Cg(Cn/m) + Cn/m + C$ for all $m \geq CK$. This easily implies that there are $\nu, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_{G,X}(K, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor, n) \leq \nu$ for every $n \geq \ell$. Applying Lemma 2.20, we obtain (a).

Finally, assume that (a) holds. Let $\nu, M, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ be the constants provided by Lemma 2.20. Fix any $m > \ell$ and let $\mu = \log_M n/m$. We have $\lfloor n/M^{\mu-1} \rfloor \geq m > \ell$. Therefore, we can iterate the inequality (16) $\lfloor \mu \rfloor$ times; this yields the inequality $f_{G,X}(k, m, n) \preceq \nu^{\log_M n/m} = (n/m)^{\log_M \nu}$. \square

As we already mentioned in the introduction, Proposition 1.11 can be used to exhibit examples of finitely presented groups for which the left inequality in (5) is strict. For example, let G be the finitely presented group with Dehn function $\delta_G(n) \approx n^5$ and at least one non-simply connected asymptotic cone constructed in [Bri, Theorem 3.1]. The equivalence $f_G(k, m, n) \sim \delta_G(n)/\delta_G(m)$ would imply $f_G(k, m, n) \sim (n/m)^5$ by Lemma 2.16, which contradicts Proposition 1.11. Moreover, we have the following.

Corollary 2.21. *Let*

$$G = \langle a, b, s, t \mid s^{-1}as = a, t^{-1}at = a, s^{-1}bs = ba, t^{-1}bt = ba \rangle,$$

$$H = \langle a, b, c \mid [a, b] = c, [a, c] = [b, c] = 1 \rangle.$$

The groups G and H have cubic Dehn functions but $f_G(k, m, n) \not\sim f_H(k, m, n)$.

Proof. Olshanskii and Sapir showed that $\delta_G(n)$ is cubic and has a non-simply connected asymptotic cone (see, for example, [Ger, Pan]). On the other hand, it is well-known (and not difficult to prove) that the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group H_3 has cubic Dehn function and simply connected asymptotic cones [Gro93]. By Proposition 1.11, simple connectivity of all asymptotic cones of a finitely presented groups can be detected by using the equivalence class of the Dehn spectrum. Therefore, $f_G(k, m, n)$ cannot be equivalent to $f_H(k, m, n)$. \square

3 Groups with linear Dehn spectrum

3.1 Algebraic limits of hyperbolic groups

Recall that a geodesic metric space S is said to be δ -hyperbolic for some $\delta \geq 0$ (or simply *hyperbolic*) if every geodesic triangle in S is δ -thin; that is, every side of the triangle is contained in the union of the closed δ -neighborhoods of the other two sides. A group G is hyperbolic if so is its Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, X)$ with respect to some (equivalently, any) finite generating set X .

In this section we study Dehn spectra of groups that can be approximated by hyperbolic ones in a certain sense. We begin by recalling an analog of the Dehn algorithm for hyperbolic graphs. The first claim of the lemma below can be found in [BH, Chapter III.H, Lemma 2.6]; the second claim easily follows from the first one by induction.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Γ be a δ -hyperbolic graph for some $\delta \in \mathbb{N}$. For every loop c in Γ , the following hold.*

- (a) *There exists a subpath p of c such that $d(p_-, p_+) \leq \ell(p) - 1$ and $d(p_-, p_+) + \ell(p) \leq 16\delta$.*
- (b) *The loop c admits a combinatorial 16δ -filling of area at most $\ell(c)$.*

Let Γ be a graph and let $s \in \mathbb{N}$. The s -expansion of Γ , denoted by Γ^s , is the graph obtained from Γ by adding edges between every pair of vertices $a, b \in V(\Gamma)$ such that $1 < d_\Gamma(a, b) \leq s$, where d_Γ denotes the metric on Γ .

Lemma 3.2 ([MO, Lemma 4.2]). *Let Γ be a δ -hyperbolic graph. For every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the graph Γ^s is $(\delta/s + 6)$ -hyperbolic.*

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ and let Γ be a δ -hyperbolic graph. For every integer $m \geq 200\delta$, every loop c in Γ admits a combinatorial m -filling of area at most $400\lceil \ell(c)/m \rceil$.*

Proof. Let $s = \lfloor (m - 16\delta)/100 \rfloor$. Note that $s > 0$ for every $m \geq 200\delta$. We think of Γ as a subgraph of the s -expansion Γ^s . Let $r = \lceil \ell(c)/s \rceil$ and let $c = c_1 \dots c_r$, where $\ell(c_i) \leq s$ for all i . Connecting $(c_i)_-$ to $(c_i)_+$ by an edge e_i in Γ^s , we obtain a loop $d = e_1 \dots e_r$ in Γ^s . By Lemma 3.2, Γ^s is $(\delta/s + 6)$ -hyperbolic. Therefore, $\text{Area}_{16\delta/s+96}(d) \leq \ell(d) = r$ by Lemma 3.1.

Let $\alpha: V(\Gamma^s) \rightarrow V(\Gamma)$ denote the identity map and let \tilde{c} be a coarse α -image of d (see Definition 2.7). Note that α is $(s, 0)$ -Lipschitz. By Lemma 2.8, \tilde{c} admits a combinatorial $s(16\delta/s + 96)$ -filling $\tilde{\varphi}: \tilde{D} \rightarrow \Gamma$ of area at most r . Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.9, we can transform this filling to a combinatorial filling $\varphi: D \rightarrow \Gamma$ of c of area at most $2r$. In the notation of the proof of Theorem 2.9, we have $(c_i)_\pm = (\tilde{c}_i)_\pm$; therefore, the additional faces K_i glued to \tilde{D} satisfy $\ell(\varphi(\partial K_i)) \leq \ell(c_i) + \ell(\tilde{c}_i) \leq 2s \leq m$. For every other face K of D , we have

$$\ell(\varphi(\partial K)) = \ell(\tilde{\varphi}(\partial K)) \leq s(16\delta/s + 96) = 16\delta + 96s = 16\delta + 96\lfloor (m - 16\delta)/100 \rfloor < m.$$

Thus, c admits a combinatorial m -filling of area at most

$$2r = 2 \left\lceil \frac{\ell(c)}{s} \right\rceil = 2 \left\lceil \frac{\ell(c)}{\lfloor (m - 16\delta)/100 \rfloor} \right\rceil < 400 \left\lceil \frac{\ell(c)}{m} \right\rceil.$$

□

Definition 3.4. We say that a group G is an *algebraic limit of hyperbolic groups* if G is the direct limit of a sequence

$$G_1 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1} G_2 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_2} \dots,$$

where each group G_i is hyperbolic and each homomorphism ε_i is surjective. Equivalently, G admits a presentation

$$\left\langle X \mid \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_i \right\rangle, \quad (17)$$

where $|X| < \infty$, $\mathcal{R}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{R}_2 \subseteq \dots$, and groups $G_i = \langle X \mid \mathcal{R}_i \rangle$ are hyperbolic for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, we say that G is *well-approximated by hyperbolic groups* if, in addition, there exists a constant $C \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following conditions hold for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (a) The normal closure of \mathcal{R}_i in $F(X)$ contains all words $w \in F(X)$ of length $\|w\| \leq i$ representing the identity in G .
- (b) The Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(X, G_i)$ of the group $G_i = \langle X \mid \mathcal{R}_i \rangle$ is Ci -hyperbolic.

The main result of this section is the following.

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be an algebraic limit of hyperbolic groups.*

- (a) *For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $M = M(k) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_{G,X}(k, m, n) \leq 400 \lceil n/m \rceil$ for all $m \geq M$.*
- (b) *If G is well-approximated by hyperbolic groups, then $f_{G,X}(k, m, n) \sim n/m$.*

Proof. Let (17) be a presentation of G as in Definition 3.4. Fix any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists $i(k)$ such that $\mathcal{S}_k \subseteq \langle\langle \mathcal{R}_{i(k)} \rangle\rangle$, where \mathcal{S}_k is defined by (3). Let $M(k) = 200\delta_k$, where δ_k is the hyperbolicity constant of the Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G_{i(k)}, X)$. Note that every $w \in \langle\langle \mathcal{S}_k \rangle\rangle$ labels a loop in $\text{Cay}(G_{i(k)}, X)$. Applying Corollary 3.3 to $\text{Cay}(G_{i(k)}, X)$, we obtain

$$\text{Area}_{\mathcal{S}_m}(w) \leq 400 \lceil \|w\|/m \rceil. \quad (18)$$

for all $m \geq M(k)$ and claim (a) follows.

If G is well-approximated by hyperbolic groups, we can assume that $i(k) = k$ and $M(k) \leq 200Ck$, where C is the constant from Definition 3.4. Thus, (18) holds for all $m \geq 200Ck$ and we obtain $f_{G,X}(k, m, n) \sim n/m$. □

Recall that a function $f(k, m, n) \in \mathcal{F}$ is essentially independent of k if it is equivalent to a function $g \in \mathcal{F}$ that depends on m and n only.

Corollary 3.6. *Let G be an algebraic limit of hyperbolic groups. If $f_G(k, m, n)$ is essentially independent of k , then $f_G(k, m, n) \sim n/m$.*

Proof. Suppose that $f_G(k, m, n) \sim g(m, n)$. By definition, there exists a finite generating set X of G and a constant $C \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g(Cm, n) \leq f_{G,X}(Ck, m, Cn) + Cn/m + C$ for all $(k, m, n) \in \mathbb{T}$ satisfying the inequality $m \geq Ck$. Let $k = 1$ and let M be the constant provided by Proposition 3.5. For every $m \geq \max\{M, C\}$, we have

$$g(Cm, n) \leq f_{G,X}(C, m, Cn) + Cn/m + C \leq 400 \left\lceil \frac{Cn}{m} \right\rceil + C \frac{n}{m} + C \preceq n/m$$

and the result follows. \square

We conclude this section with the first examples of infinitely presented groups with linear Dehn spectrum. Recall that a word w in the alphabet $X \cup X^{-1} = \{x_1, x_1^{-1}, x_2, x_2^{-1}, \dots\}$ is *reduced* if it contains no subwords of the form $x_i x_i^{-1}$ and $x_i^{-1} x_i$. A group presentation $\langle X \mid \mathcal{R} \rangle$ is said to be *reduced* if all cyclic shifts of every word $R \in \mathcal{R}$ are reduced.

Definition 3.7. A presentation $\langle X \mid \mathcal{R} \rangle$ satisfies the $C'(\lambda)$ *small cancellation condition* for some $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ if for any cyclic shifts $R_1 \neq R_2$ of some relators from \mathcal{R} or their inverses, any common initial subword U of R_1 and R_2 has length

$$\|U\| < \lambda \min\{\|R_1\|, \|R_2\|\}.$$

The result below was originally proved by Greendlinger [Gre] using combinatorial arguments. For a contemporary geometric proof see [Ols91a, Section 12].

Lemma 3.8 (Greendlinger Lemma). *Suppose that a group G has a reduced presentation $\langle X \mid \mathcal{R} \rangle$ satisfying $C'(1/6)$. Then every non-empty reduced word in the alphabet $X \cup X^{-1}$ that represents 1 in G contains a subword U such that U is also a subword of a cyclic shift of some $R \in \mathcal{R}^{\pm 1}$ and $\|U\| > \|R\|/2$.*

Corollary 3.9. *Let G be a finitely generated group admitting a (possibly infinite) presentation satisfying the $C'(1/6)$ small cancellation condition. Then the Dehn spectrum of G is linear.*

Proof. Let $G = \langle X \mid \mathcal{R} \rangle$ be a presentation satisfying the $C'(1/6)$ small cancellation condition. Let $\mathcal{R}_i = \{R \in \mathcal{R} \mid \|R\| \leq 2i\}$. Iterated application of Lemma 3.8 implies that every word $w \in F(X)$ of length $\|w\| \leq i$ representing 1 in G belongs to $\langle\langle \mathcal{R}_i \rangle\rangle$. It is also known that if $H = \langle Y \mid \mathcal{S} \rangle$ is a finite presentation satisfying $C'(1/6)$, then $\text{Cay}(H, Y)$ is δ -hyperbolic for $\delta = \max\{\|S\| \mid S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ (see Theorem 36 in [Str]). Thus G is well-approximated by hyperbolic groups and part (b) of Proposition 3.5 applies. \square

3.2 Wreath products

The main goal of this section is to prove part (a) of Theorem 1.12. We begin by estimating hyperbolicity constants of groups acting on trees.

Let G be a group generated by a set X . For every finite subset $S \subseteq G$, we define its *radius* by

$$\text{Rad}_X(S) = \max\{|g|_X \mid g \in S\},$$

where $|g|_X$ is the word length of g with respect to X .

Proposition 3.10. *Let G be a group acting by isometries on a tree T . Let v be a vertex of T and let X be a generating set of G such that*

$$d_T(v, xv) \leq 1 \quad \forall x \in X \cup X^{-1}. \quad (19)$$

Suppose that the stabilizer of v is finite. Then the Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, X)$ is δ -hyperbolic for $\delta = 2\text{Rad}_X(\text{Stab}_G(v))$.

Proof. The map $G \rightarrow V(T)$ defined by $g \mapsto gv$ for all $g \in V$ extends to a generalized combinatorial map $\psi: \text{Cay}(G, X) \rightarrow T$. Indeed, suppose that some $g, h \in G$ are connected by an edge e in $\text{Cay}(G, X)$. Then $h = gx$ for some $x \in X \cup X^{-1}$. Using (19), we obtain

$$d_T(gv, hv) = d_T(gv, gxv) = d_T(v, xv) \leq 1,$$

i.e., the vertices gv and hv are connected by an edge in T or coincide. In the former case, we define $\psi(e)$ to be the edge connecting gv and hv in T ; in the latter case, we let $\psi(e) = gv = hv$.

Note that for every path s in $\text{Cay}(G, X)$, its image $\psi(s)$ contains the geodesic in T connecting $\psi(s)_-$ to $\psi(s)_+$. We denote this geodesic by \widehat{s} . Let now $\Delta = pqr$ be a triangle in $\text{Cay}(G, X)$ with geodesic sides p, q, r and let u be a vertex on p . We will show that u is within distance at most δ from $q \cup r$.

In general, $\psi(u)$ may not lie on \widehat{p} . However, there always exists a subpath p_u of p containing u such that $\psi(p_u)_- = \psi(p_u)_+ \in \widehat{p}$ (if u lies on \widehat{p} , p_u reduces to one point). Shifting Δ by an isometry of $\text{Cay}(G, X)$, we can assume that $(p_u)_- = 1$ and, therefore, $\psi(p_u)_- = \psi(p_u)_+ = v$ (see Fig. 3).

Let $g_u \in G$ be the element represented by $\text{Lab}(p_u)$. Obviously, we have $g_u \in \text{Stab}_G(v)$. Thus, u belongs to a geodesic in $\text{Cay}(G, X)$ connecting 1 to an element of $\text{Stab}_G(v)$. Hence, $|u|_X \leq \text{Rad}_X(\text{Stab}_G(v))$. Since T is a tree, we have $v \in \widehat{q}$ or $v \in \widehat{r}$. Therefore, there is a vertex $w \in q \cup r$ such that $\psi(w) = v$. Again, we have $w \in \text{Stab}_G(v)$ and $|w|_X \leq \text{Rad}_X(\text{Stab}_G(v))$. Finally, we obtain

$$d_X(u, w) \leq |u|_X + |w|_X \leq 2\text{Rad}_X(\text{Stab}_G(v)).$$

□




Figure 3: The map $\psi: \text{Cay}(G, X) \rightarrow T$.

Now we turn to wreath products. Let K be a finite group. We consider the presentation

$$K = \langle Y \mid \mathcal{S} \rangle,$$

where $Y = K$ and \mathcal{S} is the set of all words in $Y \cup Y^{-1}$ of length at most 3 representing 1 in K . For elements x, y of some group, we abbreviate $x^{-1}yx$ by y^x and $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ by $[x, y]$. It is well-known and easy to prove (see, for example, [Joh, Section 15]) that the wreath product $G = K \wr \mathbb{Z}$ has the presentation

$$G = \langle X \mid \mathcal{R} \rangle,$$

where

$$X = Y \cup \{t\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{S} \cup \{[a^{t^i}, b^{t^j}] \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, i \neq j, a, b \in Y\}.$$

We denote by K_0 the subgroup of G generated by Y and let $K_i = t^{-i}K_0t^i$. In this notation, we have

$$G = \left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} K_i \right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\mathbb{Z} = \langle t \rangle$ acts on $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} K_i$ by shifts. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathcal{R}_k = \mathcal{S} \cup \{[a^{t^i}, b^{t^j}] \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, i \neq j, |i|, |j| \leq k, a, b \in Y\},$$

and

$$G_k = \langle X \mid \mathcal{R}_k \rangle.$$

Lemma 3.11. *Every word $w \in F(X)$ representing 1 in G represents 1 in $G_{\|w\|}$.*

Proof. Let $w \in F(X)$ be a word of length k representing 1 in G . Using relations from the set \mathcal{S} , we can rewrite w as

$$w' \equiv t^{\tau_0} a_1 t^{\tau_1} \dots a_q t^{\tau_q}, \quad (20)$$

where q is a non-negative integer (we include the possibility $q = 0$ to encompass the case $w' = 1$ in $F(X)$), $a_1, \dots, a_q \in Y$, and τ_i are integers satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^q |\tau_i| \leq k$ for all $i = 1, \dots, q$. Let $\varepsilon: F(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} = \langle t \rangle$ be the natural homomorphism with the kernel $\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle$. Clearly, we have $\varepsilon(w') = \tau_0 + \dots + \tau_1 = 0$. Therefore,

$$w' = a_1^{t^{\sigma_1}} \dots a_q^{t^{\sigma_q}} \quad (21)$$

in the free group $F(X)$, where

$$\sigma_1 = -\tau_0 = \tau_1 + \tau_2 + \dots + \tau_q, \quad \sigma_2 = \tau_2 + \dots + \tau_q, \quad \dots \quad \sigma_q = \tau_q.$$

To prove the lemma it suffices to show that every word of the form (21) represents 1 in G_k . We induct on q . If $w' = 1$ in $F(X)$, the claim is trivial, so we assume $q > 0$. Without loss of generality, we can also assume that $a_1 \neq 1$. Let $\pi: \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} K_i \rightarrow K_{\sigma_1}$ be the natural projection. Note that $\pi(a_i^{t^{\sigma_i}}) = a_i$ if $\sigma_i = \sigma_1$ and $\pi(a_i^{t^{\sigma_i}}) = 1$ otherwise. Since w' represents 1 in G , we have $\pi(a_1^{t^{\sigma_1}} \dots a_q^{t^{\sigma_q}}) = 1$. As $a_1 \neq 1$, there must exist $i \in \{2, \dots, q\}$ such that $\sigma_i = \sigma_1$. Suppose that i is the minimal index with this property. Then for every integer $j \in (1, i)$, we have $\sigma_i \neq \sigma_j$. Since $|\sigma_i| \leq k$ for all $i = 1, \dots, q$, we have $a_i^{t^{\sigma_i}} a_j^{t^{\sigma_j}} = a_j^{t^{\sigma_j}} a_i^{t^{\sigma_i}}$ in the group G_k . Applying these relations, we conclude that w' represents the same element of G_k as

$$(a_1 a_i)^{t^{\sigma_1}} a_2^{t^{\sigma_2}} \dots a_{i-1}^{t^{\sigma_{i-1}}} a_{i+1}^{t^{\sigma_{i+1}}} \dots a_q^{t^{\sigma_q}}.$$

Now, we can replace $a_1 a_i$ with a single letter from Y and complete the proof by induction. \square

Lemma 3.12. *For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G_k, X)$ is a $14k$ -hyperbolic metric space.*

Proof. Let

$$B_1 = K_{-k} \oplus \dots \oplus K_{k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad B_2 = K_{-k+1} \oplus \dots \oplus K_k.$$

It is easy to see that G_k is an HNN-extension of $A_k = K_{-k} \oplus \dots \oplus K_k$ with the associated subgroups B_1 and B_2 corresponding to the natural “shift automorphism” $B_1 \rightarrow B_2$. Let T be the corresponding Bass-Serre tree of G_k . Recall that the vertex set of T is $\{gA_k \mid g \in G\}$ and, for every $g \in G$, the vertices gA_k and $gt^{\pm 1}A_k$ are connected by an edge. Let $v = 1A_k$. It is easy to see that the action of G on T satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 3.10.

Let us estimate the radius of $\text{Stab}_G(v) = A_k$ with respect to X . Every $a \in A_k$ decomposes as $a = a_{-k}^{t^{-k}} \dots a_k^{t^k}$ for some $a_{-k}, \dots, a_k \in Y$. We have

$$|a|_X = |t^k a_{-k} t^{-1} a_{-k+1} \dots t^{-1} a_k t^k|_X \leq 6k + 1.$$

Thus, $\text{Rad}_X(A_k) \leq 6k + 1 \leq 7k$. By Proposition 3.10, $\text{Cay}(G_k, X)$ is $14k$ -hyperbolic. \square

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.




Figure 4: An r -detour.

Theorem 3.13. *For any finite group K , the wreath product $K \wr \mathbb{Z}$ is well-approximated by hyperbolic groups. In particular, $f_{K \wr \mathbb{Z}} \sim n/m$.*

Proof. The presentation of $G = K \wr \mathbb{Z}$ described above satisfies conditions (a) and (b) of Definition 3.4 by Lemmas 3.11 and 3.12, respectively. Thus, the theorem follows from Proposition 3.5. \square

3.3 Geodesics and detours in Cayley graphs

In this section, we establish a property of groups with linear Dehn spectrum that is reminiscent of exponential divergence of geodesics in hyperbolic spaces. It provides a useful tool for proving non-linearity of the Dehn spectrum and will play a significant role in our treatment of groups of finite exponent in Section 5.3.

We begin by introducing the necessary terminology. Throughout this section, G denotes a group generated by a finite set X .

Definition 3.14. Let p be a geodesic in $\text{Cay}(G, X)$. We say that a path q is an r -detour of a vertex $o \in p$ if $q_- = p_-$, $q_+ = p_+$, and q does not intersect the r -neighborhood of o (see Fig. 4).

Proposition 3.15. *Let G be a group with linear Dehn spectrum generated by a finite set X . There exists a constant D such that the following holds. Let p be a geodesic in $\text{Cay}(G, X)$ and let q be an r -detour of a vertex of p for some $r \geq 0$. If the loop pq^{-1} is k -contractible for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\ell(q) \geq D(r/k)^2$.*

We will need two lemmas. The proof of the first one is an easy exercise and we leave it to the reader.

Lemma 3.16. *Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose that $f \sim n/m$. Then there exists a constant C such that for every $(k, m, n) \in \mathbb{T}$, we have $f(k, m, n) \leq C \lceil n/m \rceil$ for every $m \geq Ck$.*

The second lemma was proved in [Ols91b, Lemma 5].

Lemma 3.17 (Olshanskii). *Let Δ be a van Kampen diagram over a group presentation, where every face has perimeter at most M . Suppose that $\partial\Delta = p_1 p_2 \dots p_n$, where each p_i is geodesic in $\Delta^{(1)}$. If there exists i and a vertex o on p_i such that o does not belong to the closed r -neighborhood of $\bigcup_{j \neq i} p_j$, then the number of faces in Δ is at least $4r^2/M^3$.*

The main result of this section easily follows from Olshanskii's lemma.

Proof of Proposition 3.15. Let C be the constant provided by Lemma 3.16 and let p, q be as in the proposition. By the definition of $f_{G,X}$, there exists a van Kampen diagram Δ over $\langle X \mid S_{Ck} \rangle$ with the boundary label $\mathbf{Lab}(pq^{-1})$ and at most $f_{G,X}(k, Ck, \ell(pq^{-1}))$ faces. By abuse of notation, we think of p and q as subpaths of $\partial\Delta$.

Clearly p is a geodesic in $\Delta^{(1)}$. We think of $\partial\Delta$ as a geodesic polygon whose sides are p and individual edges of q^{-1} . Since q is an r -detour of a vertex of p , Lemmas 3.16 and 3.17 imply that

$$4r^2 \leq (Ck)^3 f_{G,X}(k, Ck, \ell(pq^{-1})) \leq C^4 k^3 \left\lceil \frac{\ell(pq^{-1})}{Ck} \right\rceil \leq C^4 k^3 \left\lceil \frac{2\ell(q)}{Ck} \right\rceil,$$

which easily yields the desired inequality for an appropriate constant D . \square

Remark 3.18. Proposition 3.15 can be used to show that a finitely generated group with linear Dehn spectrum cannot be decomposed into a direct product of two infinite groups and has a locally finite center. Since these results are not used in our paper, we leave the proofs to the reader.

4 A descriptive approach to isoperimetric functions

4.1 F_σ relations on Polish spaces

We begin by briefly reviewing the necessary background from descriptive set theory. A G_δ -subset (respectively, an F_σ -subset) of a topological space is a subset that can be represented as a countable intersection of open sets (respectively, a countable union of closed sets). A subset of a topological space is *meager* if it is a union of countably many nowhere dense sets. A *comeager* set is a set whose complement is meager. Equivalently, a subset of a topological space is comeager if it is a countable intersection of sets with dense interior.

A topological space is a *Polish space* if it is separable and completely metrizable. The Baire category theorem (see [Kec95, Theorem 8.4]) states that the intersection of any countable collection of dense open subsets of a Polish space X is dense in X . In particular, comeager subsets of a Polish space are non-empty.

A topological space is *perfect* if it has no isolated points. We will need a result of Mycielski stating that for every meager relation on a non-empty perfect Polish space, there exist 2^{\aleph_0} unrelated elements. For our purpose, it is more convenient to formulate this result in terms of comeager sets.

Theorem 4.1 (Mycielski, [Kec95, Theorem 19.1]). *Let X be a non-empty perfect Polish space. For any comeager $R \subseteq X \times X$, there exists a subset $C \subseteq X$ of cardinality 2^{\aleph_0} such that $\{(x, y) \in C \times C \mid x \neq y\} \subseteq R$.*

As usual, by a *quasi-order* we mean any reflexive and transitive (but not necessarily antisymmetric) relation. Every quasi-order Q on a set X induces an equivalence relation E_Q by the rule

$$xE_Qy \iff xQy \text{ and } yQx.$$

A quasi-order Q on X is said to be F_σ if it is an F_σ subset of $X \times X$. A subset $A \subseteq X$ is a Q -*antichain* if distinct elements of A are Q -incomparable, i.e., we have neither xQy nor yQx for any distinct $x, y \in A$. We will need the following corollary of Mycielski's theorem.

Corollary 4.2. *Let Q be an F_σ quasi-order on a Polish space X . Suppose that X contains two distinct dense E_Q -equivalence classes. Then every comeager subset $S \subseteq X$ contains a Q -antichain of cardinality 2^{\aleph_0} .*

Proof. We first note that X is perfect since it contains two disjoint dense subsets. By the Baire category theorem, every comeager subset of a Polish space contains a dense G_δ subset. Passing to such a subset if necessary, we can assume that S is dense G_δ . Being a G_δ -subset, S is a Polish space itself with respect to the induced topology (see [Kec95, Theorem 3.11]). Further, S has no isolated points since it is a dense subset of a perfect space.

Let $R_1 = (X \times X) \setminus Q$. We want to show that R_1 is comeager in $X \times X$. Since Q is F_σ in $X \times X$, R_1 is G_δ . Let $[a], [b]$ be two distinct dense E_Q -equivalence classes in X . Since a and b are not equivalent, we have $(a, b) \notin Q$ or $(b, a) \notin Q$. Assume $(a, b) \notin Q$ for definiteness. The density of $[a]$ and $[b]$ implies that every non-empty open subset of $X \times X$ contains a pair (a', b') , where $a' \in [a]$ and $b' \in [b]$. Clearly, $(a', b') \notin Q$. Thus, R_1 is comeager in $X \times X$.

Similarly, the set $R_2 = (X \times X) \setminus \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in Q\}$ is comeager in $X \times X$. By the Kuratowski-Ulam theorem [Kec95, Theorem 8.41], $S \times S$ is also a comeager subset of $X \times X$. Therefore, the set $R = R_1 \cap R_2 \cap (S \times S)$ is comeager in $X \times X$ and, consequently, in $S \times S$. By Mycielski's theorem applied to $R \subseteq S$, there exists a subset $C \subseteq S$ of cardinality 2^{\aleph_0} such that $\{(x, y) \in C \times C \mid x \neq y\} \subseteq R$. The latter inclusion obviously implies that C is a Q -antichain. \square

4.2 Dehn spectra of marked groups

We begin by recalling the definition of the space of finitely generated marked groups given in [Gri]. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathcal{G}_n denote the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (G, X) , where G is a group, X is a generating n -tuple of G , and two such pairs $(G, (x_1, \dots, x_n))$ and $(H, (y_1, \dots, y_n))$ are *isomorphic* if the map $x_i \mapsto y_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, extends to an isomorphism $G \rightarrow H$. Following the standard practice, we keep the notation (G, X) for the equivalence class of (G, X) .

Example 4.3. Let $n = 2$ and let $G \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. For any generating pairs $X = (x_1, x_2)$ and $Y = (y_1, y_2)$ of G , the pairs (G, X) and (G, Y) are isomorphic. On the other hand, there are infinitely many non-isomorphic pairs $(G, X) \in \mathcal{G}_3$.

The topology on \mathcal{G}_n can be defined in terms of Cayley graphs as follows. Let G be a group generated by a set X . Recall that $|g|_X$ denotes the word length of an element $g \in G$ with respect to X . For any $r > 0$, we define $B_{G,X}(r) = \{g \in G \mid |g|_X \leq r\}$.

Definition 4.4. Let $(G, X), (H, Y) \in \mathcal{G}_n$, where $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$. We write $(G, X) \cong_r (H, Y)$ if there is an isomorphism between the induced subgraphs of $\text{Cay}(G, X)$ and $\text{Cay}(H, Y)$ with the vertex sets $B_{G,X}(r)$ and $B_{H,Y}(r)$, respectively, that sends edges labelled by x_i to edges labelled by y_i for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. The topology on \mathcal{G}_n is defined by taking the family of sets $\{W(G, X, r) \mid (G, X) \in \mathcal{G}_n, r \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where

$$W(G, X, r) = \{(H, Y) \in \mathcal{G}_n \mid (G, X) \cong_r (H, Y)\}, \quad (22)$$

as the basis of neighborhoods.

It is straightforward to verify that every \mathcal{G}_n is Hausdorff, compact, and metrizable (see [Gri] for details). In particular, \mathcal{G}_n is a Polish space. We record an obvious yet useful observation.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $(G, X) \in \mathcal{G}_n$ and let $\varepsilon: G \rightarrow Q$ is an epimorphism. If the restriction of ε to $B_{G,X}(r)$ is injective, then $(Q, \varepsilon(X)) \in W(G, X, r)$.*

Recall that \mathcal{F} denotes the set of all functions $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are non-decreasing in the first and third arguments and non-increasing in the second argument. In what follows, we think of \mathcal{F} as a topological space with the topology of pointwise convergence. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the map $\iota_n: \mathcal{G}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ given by

$$\iota_n(G, X) = f_{G,X}.$$

This map is well-defined since the Cayley graphs of isomorphic pairs (G, X) and (H, Y) are isomorphic as unlabeled graphs.

Lemma 4.6. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the map ι_n is continuous.*

Proof. It easily follows from the definition of a Dehn spectrum that, for any $r > 0$ and any $(G_1, X_1), (G_2, X_2) \in \mathcal{G}_n$ such that $(G_1, X_1) \cong_r (G_2, X_2)$, we have $f_{G_1, X_1}(k, m, n) = f_{G_2, X_2}(k, m, n)$ for all $k, m, n \leq r$. This obviously implies the claim of the lemma. \square

Proposition 4.7. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following hold.*

- (a) *The relation \preceq_{Ds} is an F_σ quasi-order on \mathcal{G}_n .*
- (b) *For every $g \in \mathcal{F}$, the set $\{(G, X) \in \mathcal{G}_n \mid f_{G,X} \preceq g\}$ is an F_σ subset of \mathcal{G}_n .*

Proof. We begin with part (a). By definition, we have $(G, X) \preceq_{Ds} (H, Y)$ for some $(G, X), (H, Y) \in \mathcal{G}_n$ if and only if $\iota_n(G, X) \preceq \iota_n(H, Y)$. Since ι_n is continuous, it suffices to show that \preceq is an F_σ quasi-order on \mathcal{F} . For two constants $C, D \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $Q_{C,D}$ the set of all pairs $(f, g) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$f(k, Cm, n) \leq Cg(Ck, m, Cn) + Cn/m + C \quad (23)$$

for all $(k, m, n) \in \mathbb{T}$ satisfying the inequalities

$$k \leq D, \quad Ck \leq m \leq D, \quad n \leq D. \quad (24)$$

As a subset of $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$, the relation \preceq coincides with $\bigcup_{C \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{D \in \mathbb{N}} Q_{C,D}$. Since there are only finitely many $(k, m, n) \in \mathbb{T}$ satisfying (24), each $Q_{C,D}$ is closed in $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ and we obtain (a).

The proof of part (b) is similar. For any two constants $C, D \in \mathbb{N}$ and the fixed function $g \in \mathcal{F}$, we denote by $R_{C,D}$ the set of all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ satisfying (23) for all $(k, m, n) \in \mathbb{T}$ satisfying (24). In this notation, we have $\{(G, X) \in \mathcal{G}_n \mid f_{G,X} \preceq g\} = \bigcup_{C \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{D \in \mathbb{N}} R_{C,D}$ and the claim follows as in part (a). \square

Recall that

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \{(G, X) \in \mathcal{G}_n \mid G \text{ is non-elementary hyperbolic}\}$$

and $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ denotes the closure of \mathcal{H}_n in \mathcal{G}_n . We want to apply Corollary 4.2 to the closure $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ of \mathcal{H}_n in \mathcal{G}_n and the quasi-order \preceq_{Ds} . To this end, we need Lemma 4.10 (see below) proved by employing the Dehn filling technology. We briefly discuss the necessary background.

A group G is *hyperbolic relative to a subgroup H* if it is finitely presented relative to H and satisfies a linear relative isoperimetric inequality. That is, there exist finite subsets $X \subseteq G$, $\mathcal{R} \subseteq F(X) * H$, and a constant $C \in \mathbb{N}$ such that G is naturally isomorphic to $F(X) * H / \langle\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle\rangle$ and every element $w \in \langle\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle\rangle$ can be represented in $F(X) * H$ as the product of at most $C|w|_{X \cup H}$ conjugates of elements of \mathcal{R} or their inverses. It is well-known that every group hyperbolic relative to a hyperbolic subgroup is hyperbolic itself (see, for example, [Osi06, Corollary 2.41]).

The following result summarizes [Osi07, Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2].

Theorem 4.8 (Osin, [Osi07]). *Let G be a group hyperbolic relative to a subgroup H and let \mathcal{S} be a finite subset of G . There exists a finite set $\mathcal{F} \subseteq H \setminus \{1\}$ such that for any subgroup $N \triangleleft H$ satisfying $N \cap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$, the following hold.*

- (a) *Let $\langle\langle N \rangle\rangle$ denote the normal closure of N in G . The quotient group $G / \langle\langle N \rangle\rangle$ is hyperbolic relative to the image of H , which is isomorphic to H/N . In particular, if H/N is hyperbolic, then so is $G / \langle\langle N \rangle\rangle$.*
- (b) *The natural homomorphism $G \rightarrow G / \langle\langle N \rangle\rangle$ is injective on \mathcal{S} .*

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of groups. A group G is said to be *fully residually \mathcal{C}* if, for every finite $\mathcal{S} \subseteq G$, there exists an epimorphism $\varepsilon: G \rightarrow Q$ such that $Q \in \mathcal{C}$ and the restriction of ε to \mathcal{S} is injective.

We denote by \mathcal{NEH} the set of all non-elementary hyperbolic groups. Note that if $(G, X) \in \mathcal{G}_n$ and G is fully residually \mathcal{NEH} , then $(G, X) \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$, where $n = |X|$. The following result is an immediate corollary of Theorem 4.8.

Corollary 4.9. *Let G be a group generated by a finite set X . Suppose that G is hyperbolic relative to a fully residually \mathcal{NEH} group H . Then G is fully residually \mathcal{NEH} . In particular, $(G, X) \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$, where $n = |X|$.*

Lemma 4.10. *For every integer $n \geq 2$, the set $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ contains a dense subset of marked groups with quadratic Dehn function.*

Proof. Let U be a non-empty open neighborhood in $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$, V an open neighborhood in \mathcal{G}_n such that $U = V \cap \overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$. Let $(G, X) \in U$ be a marked hyperbolic group. By the definition of the topology in \mathcal{G}_n , there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $W(G, X, r) \subseteq V$, where $W(G, X, r)$ is defined by (22).

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let F_k denote the free group of rank k . By [CIOS, Corollary 3.19], for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a subgroup $H \cong F_k \times K$ of G such that $|K| < \infty$ and G is hyperbolic relative to H . We apply this result to $k = 14$ and denote by $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_7, b_1, \dots, b_7\}$ a basis of F_k . Henceforth, we identify H with $F_k \times K$ and think of F_k as a subgroup of H .

Let $\mathcal{S} = B_{G,X}(r)$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq H \setminus \{1\}$ be the set provided by Theorem 4.8. We choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that all elements of $\mathcal{F} \cap F_k$ have lengths at most m with respect to A . Let

$$u = a_1 a_2^m \dots a_7^m, \quad v = b_1 b_2^m \dots b_7^m.$$

It is easy to see that $\langle u, v \rangle$ is a free factor of F_k and the presentation $\langle A \mid u, v \rangle$ satisfies the $C'(1/6)$ small cancellation condition. Let M (respectively, N) denote the normal closure of the set $\{u, v\}$ (respectively, the normal closure of the commutator $[u, v]$) in F_k . By the Greendlinger Lemma and the choice of m , we have $N \cap \mathcal{F} \subseteq M \cap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$. Note that N is also normal in H . Applying Theorem 4.8 (a) and taking into account that $\langle u, v \rangle$ is a free factor of F_k , we conclude that the quotient group $Q = G/\langle\langle N \rangle\rangle$ is hyperbolic relative to a subgroup

$$R \cong H/N \cong F_k/N \times K \cong ((\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}) * F_{12}) \times K.$$

Furthermore, part (b) of Theorem 4.8 implies that the restriction of the natural homomorphism $\varepsilon: G \rightarrow Q$ to \mathcal{S} is injective. Therefore, we have $(Q, \varepsilon(X)) \in W(G, X, r) \subseteq V$ (see Lemma 4.5 (a)).

It is easy to see that the Dehn function of R is quadratic. Since Q is hyperbolic relative to R , the Dehn function of Q is at most quadratic by [Osi06, Corollary 2.41]. In fact, it is exactly quadratic; indeed, Q cannot be hyperbolic since hyperbolic groups do not contain subgroups isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Finally, taking the quotients of R by the normal

closures of finite index subgroups of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \leq R$, it is easy to show that R is fully residually non-elementary hyperbolic. By Corollary 4.9, we obtain $(Q, \varepsilon(X)) \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$. Thus, we have $(Q, \varepsilon(X)) \in U$. \square

Remark 4.11. Elaborating the proof of Lemma 4.10, it is not difficult to show that $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ contains a dense subset consisting of groups, each of which is hyperbolic relatively to a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times K$ for some finite group K . Indeed, this follows from the fact that $((\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}) * F_{12}) \times K$ is hyperbolic relative to $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times K$, which can be easily established using [Osi06, Theorem 2.40].

Lemma 4.12 (Osin, [Osi21]). *For every integer $n \geq 2$, a generic element $(G, X) \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ is an algebraic limit of hyperbolic groups in the sense of Definition 3.4.*

Proof. This result was obtained in the proof of [Osi21, Theorem 2.11] for a topological union $\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ in place of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$. We refer the reader to [Osi21] for the precise definition of the topology on $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$. Here we only need the following obvious consequence of this definition: each $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ embeds in $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ as an open subset. Since the intersection of every comeager set of a Polish space P with any open subset U of P is comeager in U , the claim for $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.13. Let \sim_{D_s} denote the equivalence relation induced by the quasi-order \preceq_{D_s} . Combining Corollary 1.9, Corollary 1.10, and Lemma 4.10, we obtain that $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ contains two distinct dense \sim_{D_s} -equivalence classes: one consisting of groups with linear Dehn spectrum and the other consisting of groups with Dehn spectrum equivalent to $(n/m)^2$. Together with Proposition 4.7, this allows us to apply Corollary 4.2 to the quasi-order \preceq_{D_s} on $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$, which yields part (a) of the theorem.

By Lemma 4.12, there is a comeager subset $C \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ such that, for every $(G, X) \in C$, the group G is an algebraic limit of hyperbolic groups. Let

$$C_0 = \{(G, X) \in C \mid f_{G,X}(k, m, n) \sim n/m\} = \{(G, X) \in C \mid f_{G,X}(k, m, n) \preceq n/m\}.$$

Corollary 3.6 guarantees that $f_{G,X}(k, m, n)$ essentially depends on k for every $(G, X) \in C \setminus C_0$. By Proposition 4.7 (b), C_0 is an F_σ subset of \mathcal{G}_n . Further, by Lemma 4.10, C_0 is nowhere dense in \mathcal{G}_n . Thus, C_0 is meager, $C \setminus C_0$ is comeager, and we obtain the second part of the theorem. \square

Remark 4.13. Formally speaking, to derive the existence of a finitely generated group whose spectrum essentially depends on k from part (b) of Theorem 1.13, we need to know that comeager subsets of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ are non-empty. This follows from the Baire category theorem since $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ is a Polish space being a closed subspace of the Polish space \mathcal{G}_n .

Using a similar approach, we obtain the following proposition, which is inspired by [MOW, Proposition 5.2] and will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.14. We believe it is also of independent interest and may have other applications.

Proposition 4.14. *Let G be a finitely generated group such that the center of G decomposes as*

$$Z(G) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i,$$

where each A_i is a non-trivial finite abelian group. For each subset $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$Z_I = \left\langle \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \right\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad G_I = G/Z_I.$$

If $f_G \not\sim f_{G/Z(G)}$, then the set $\{G_I \mid I \subseteq \mathbb{N}\}$ contains 2^{\aleph_0} groups with pairwise incomparable Dehn spectra.

Proof. We fix any finite generating set X of G . For every $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, let $n = |X|$ and let X_I denote the image of X in G_I . We also denote by \mathcal{C} the set $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ endowed with the product topology. It is easy to prove that the map $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_n$ given by $I \mapsto (G_I, X_I)$ is continuous (see [MOW, Lemma 5.1]). In particular, the set $S = \{G_I \mid I \subseteq \mathbb{N}\}$ is closed in \mathcal{G}_n being a continuous image of a compact set. It follows that S is a Polish space with respect to the induced topology.

Obviously, the sets

$$C_1 = \{I \mid |I| < \infty\} \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 = \{I \mid |\mathbb{N} \setminus I| < \infty\}$$

are both dense in \mathcal{C} . Therefore, the sets

$$S_0 = \{(G_I, X_I) \mid |I| < \infty\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_1 = \{(G_I, X_I) \mid |\mathbb{N} \setminus I| < \infty\}$$

are dense in S . If $I \in C_1$, the group G_I is the quotient of G by the finite normal subgroup $\bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$ and, therefore, is quasi-isometric to G . Similarly, if $I \in C_2$, then the group G_I is quasi-isometric to $G/Z(G)$ being an extension of a finite group by $G/Z(G)$. By Theorem 1.4, each of the subsets S_1 and S_2 consists of \sim_{D_s} -equivalent groups. Combining this with the assumption $f_G \not\sim f_{G/Z(G)}$, we obtain that S contains two distinct dense \sim_{D_s} -classes. It remains to apply Corollary 4.2 to the quasi-order \preccurlyeq_{D_s} on S . \square

5 Groups of finite exponent

5.1 Olshanskii's graded small cancellation theory

Our next goal is to prove linearity of the Dehn spectrum of free Burnside groups of sufficiently large odd exponent. This result will then be used to prove Theorem 1.14. Our proofs make use Olshanskii's graded small cancellation technique used in the geometric proof of the Novikov-Adian theorem [Ols83]. In order to make our exposition as self-contained as possible, we review the necessary background from [Ols91a].

The *free Burnside group* $B(r, N)$ of exponent N and rank r , is the free group in the variety of groups of exponent N . Throughout the rest of this section, we assume that N is odd and large enough, and $r \geq 2$. By [Ols91a, Theorem 19.1], the group $B(r, N)$ can be defined by the presentation

$$B(r, N) = \left\langle X \mid R = 1, R \in \mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_i \right\rangle, \quad (25)$$

where $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ and the sets of relators $\mathcal{R}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{R}_1 \subseteq \dots$ are constructed as follows. Let $\mathcal{R}_0 = \emptyset$. By induction, suppose that $i > 0$ and we have already defined the sets \mathcal{R}_j for all $j = 0, \dots, i-1$. Let $G_{i-1} = \langle X \mid R = 1, R \in \mathcal{R}_{i-1} \rangle$. We define

$$\mathcal{R}_i = \mathcal{R}_{i-1} \cup \{P^N \mid P \in \mathcal{P}_i\},$$

where the set of *periods* \mathcal{P}_i is a maximal set of words in the alphabet $X \cup X^{-1}$ satisfying the following conditions.

- (a) \mathcal{P}_i consists of words of length i that are not conjugate to powers of words of length less than i in G_{i-1} .
- (b) If $A, B \in \mathcal{P}_i$ and $A \not\equiv B$, then A is not conjugate to $B^{\pm 1}$ in the group G_{i-1} .

Results of Chapters 5 and 6 of [Ols91a] involve a sequence of fixed small parameters

$$1/2 > \alpha > \beta > \gamma > \varepsilon > \zeta > \iota = 1/N. \quad (26)$$

The exact relations between these parameters are described by a system of inequalities, which can be made consistent by choosing each parameter in the sequence to be sufficiently small compared to all preceding parameters. We can also assume that the parameters satisfy any additional finite system of inequalities that can be ensured in this way; this is called the *lowest parameter principle* in [Ols91a, Section 15.1]. To help the reader follow our proofs, we use the abbreviation *LPP* to designate inequalities that involve the lowest parameter principle.

Let

$$G_i = \langle X \mid \mathcal{R}_i \rangle. \quad (27)$$

Van Kampen diagrams over presentations (25) and (27) considered in [Ols91a] can also have edges labeled by 1 and the so-called 0-faces whose labels represent 1 in the free group $F(X)$. Edges labelled by 1 are assumed to be of length 0 and are not counted when computing lengths of paths and distances in van Kampen diagrams. Faces labelled by elements of \mathcal{R} are called *\mathcal{R} -faces*.

Let $i \geq 1$ and suppose we have a pair of distinct faces Π_1 and Π_2 in a diagram Δ over (25) such that their boundaries p_1 and p_2 (oriented in the same way, e.g., clockwise) are




Figure 5: A reduction

labeled by P^N and P^{-N} for some period $P \in \mathcal{P}_i$. Further, suppose that there is a simple path t in Δ from $(p_1)_-$ to $(p_2)_-$ such that $T \equiv \mathbf{Lab}(t)$ represents 1 in G_{i-1} . Then

$$\mathbf{Lab}(p_1 t p_2 t^{-1}) \equiv P^N T P^{-N} T^{-1} = 1$$

in G_{i-1} and, therefore, the subdiagram of Δ bounded by $p_1 t p_2 t^{-1}$ can be replaced with a diagram Σ over G_{i-1} (see Fig. 5).

We call such \mathcal{R} -faces Π_1 and Π_2 a *reducible pair* and refer to the procedure described in the previous paragraph as a *reduction*. A Kampen diagram is *reduced* if it contains no reducible pairs of faces. Note that every van Kampen diagram can be transformed to a reduced one by finitely many reductions. Indeed, every reduction lexicographically decreases the *type* $\tau(\Delta) = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots)$ of the diagram, where τ_j is the number of faces in Δ labelled by relators from \mathcal{R}_j .

Next we discuss the notion of a contiguity subdiagram. The precise definition is somewhat technical and is not used in our paper. For our purposes, it suffices to know that a *contiguity subdiagram* Γ of an \mathcal{R} -face Π to a subpath q of $\partial\Delta$ is a subdiagram of Δ bounded by a path $s_1 p_1 s_2 p_2$, where p_1 and p_2 are subpaths of $\partial\Pi_1$ and q , respectively, and Γ does not contain Π (see Fig. 6). In what follows, we call

$$\partial\Gamma = s_1 p_1 s_2 p_2$$

the *canonical boundary decomposition* of Γ . The paths s_1 and s_2 (respectively, p_1 and p_2) are called the *side sections* (respectively, *contiguity sections*) of $\partial\Gamma$. The ratio $\ell(p_1)/\ell(\partial\Pi)$ is called the *contiguity degree* of Π to $\partial\Delta$ and is denoted by $(\Pi, \Gamma, \partial\Delta)$.

By [Ols91a, Lemma 19.4], every reduced diagram Δ over the presentation (25) is an *A-map*. Again, we do not discuss the definition of an *A-map* since we do not use it here. We only need to know that all lemmas about *A-maps* stated in Chapter 5 of [Ols91a] apply to reduced diagrams. Below, we collect some necessary results.

Lemma 5.1 ([Ols91a, Corollary 17.1]). *If a reduced diagram Δ over (25) contains an \mathcal{R} -face Π , then $\ell(\partial\Delta) > (1 - \beta)\ell(\partial\Pi)$.*

Lemma 5.2. *Let Δ be a reduced diagram over (25) and let Γ be a contiguity subdiagram of an \mathcal{R} -face Π to a subpath p of $\partial\Delta$ with the canonical boundary decomposition $\partial(\Pi, \Gamma, p) = s_1 p_1 s_2 p_2$. Then the following hold.*




Figure 6: A contiguity subdiagram

- (a) [Ols91a, Lemma 15.3]. $\max(\ell(s_1), \ell(s_2)) < \zeta \ell(\partial \Pi)$.
- (b) [Ols91a, Lemma 15.4]. If $(\Pi, \Gamma, q) \geq \varepsilon$, then $\ell(p_1) < (1 + 2\beta)\ell(p_2)$.
- (c) [Ols91a, Lemma 15.6]. If $(\Pi, \Gamma, q) \geq 1/2 + \alpha$ and $\partial \Pi = p_1 t$, then $\ell(s_1 t^{-1} s_2) < \ell(p_2)$.

We will need an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.2 (c) and the inequality $1/2 + \alpha < 1 - \gamma$ (LPP).

Corollary 5.3. *Let Δ be a van Kampen diagram over (25), q a subpath of $\partial \Delta$, Γ a contiguity subdiagram of an \mathcal{R} -face Π to q . If q is geodesic in Δ , then $(\Pi, \Gamma, q) < 1/2 + \alpha < 1 - \gamma$.*

The next lemma is an immediate consequence of [Ols91a, Theorem 16.1] (for $\ell \leq 4$ it is stated as Corollary 16.1 in [Ols91a]). Note that the proof of Theorem 16.1 given in [Ols91a] works for every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, but we may have to decrease the parameters (26) and use the lowest parameter principle explained above to make sure that all the inequalities involved in the proof are consistent as ℓ increases. For this reason, we need ℓ to be fixed in advance. We will need the case $\ell \leq 6$ in our paper.

Lemma 5.4. *Let Δ be a reduced diagram over (25) containing at least one \mathcal{R} -face. Suppose that the boundary of Δ decomposes as $\partial \Delta = q_1 \dots q_\ell$, where $\ell \leq 6$. Then there is an \mathcal{R} -face Π of Δ and disjoint contiguity subdiagrams $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_\ell$ of Π to q_1, \dots, q_ℓ , respectively (some of them may be absent) such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\Pi, \Gamma_i, q_i) > 1 - \gamma.$$

We say that a (combinatorial) path p in a van Kampen diagram Δ is *geodesic* if it is geodesic in $\Delta^{(1)}$. If sections q_1, \dots, q_ℓ in Lemma 5.4 are geodesic, Corollary 5.3 implies that at least two contiguity subdiagrams Γ_i must exist. The lemma below will be used several times to deal with the case when exactly two contiguity subdiagrams are present. In what follows, we denote by d_Δ the length function on $\Delta^{(1)}$.




Figure 7: The length of the green path is less than $\ell(p)$.

Lemma 5.5. *Let Δ be a reduced diagram over (25), p and q geodesic subpaths of $\partial\Delta$. Suppose that Δ contains an \mathcal{R} -face Π and disjoint contiguity subdiagrams Γ_1, Γ_2 of Π to p and q , respectively, such that*

$$(\Pi, \Gamma_1, p) + (\Pi, \Gamma_2, q) > 1 - \gamma. \quad (28)$$

Then $d_\Delta(p_-, q) < \ell(p)$.

Proof. Let $\partial\Gamma_1 = s_1 p_1 s_2 p_2$ and $\partial\Gamma_2 = t_1 q_1 t_2 q_2$ be the canonical decompositions as shown on Fig. 7. By Corollary 5.3, we have $(\Pi, \Gamma_2, q) < 1/2 + \alpha$. Hence, $(\Pi, \Gamma_1, p) > 1/2 - \gamma - \alpha > \epsilon$ (LPP). Using Lemma 5.2 (b), we obtain

$$\ell(p_2) > \frac{\ell(p_1)}{1 + 2\beta} > \frac{1/2 - \gamma - \alpha}{1 + 2\beta} \ell(\partial\Pi) > \frac{1}{3} \ell(\partial\Pi) \quad (LPP). \quad (29)$$

Note that $d_\Delta(p_-, q)$ is bounded from above by the length of the path labeled in green on Fig. 7. Let z be the subpath of $\partial\Pi$ connecting $(t_1)_+$ to $(s_2)_-$ that does not contain p_1 and q_1 . By (28), we have

$$\ell(z) \leq \ell(\partial\Pi) - \ell(p_1) - \ell(q_1) < \gamma \ell(\partial\Pi).$$

Combining this with (29) and Lemma 5.2 (a), we obtain

$$d_\Delta(p_-, q) \leq \ell(t_1) + \ell(z) + \ell(s_2) + \ell(p) - \ell(p_2) < \ell(p) + (2\zeta + \gamma - 1/3) \ell(\partial\Pi) < \ell(p) \quad (LPP).$$

□

5.2 Dehn spectrum of free Burnside groups

In this section, we compute the Dehn spectrum of free Burnside groups of sufficiently large odd exponent. A major step towards this goal is the following.

Proposition 5.6. *Let G_i be the group defined by (27). The Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G_i, X)$ is $7Ni$ -hyperbolic.*

A similar result was proved in [OOS, Proposition 6.2 (e)] for groups given by a slightly different presentation (the groups considered there have unbounded torsion). The same proof works in our case after obvious modifications. However, we choose to present a slightly longer yet, in a sense, easier proof. The difference is that the argument given in [OOS] makes use of *B-* and *C-*maps introduced in [Ols91a, Chapter 7], while our approach only requires familiarity with more elementary *A-*maps discussed in [Ols91a, Chapter 5]. This allows us to make our exposition more self-contained than the one in [OOS].

Proof. Consider an arbitrary geodesic triangle with vertices x, y, z in $\text{Cay}(G_i, X)$. We use the notation $[x, y]$, $[y, z]$, $[z, x]$ for the sides of this triangle. For any vertex $o \in [z, x]$, we will prove the inequality

$$d_i(o, [x, y] \cup [y, z]) \leq 6.5Ni, \quad (30)$$

where d_i denotes the metric on $\text{Cay}(G_i, X)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$d_i(o, [x, y] \cup [y, z]) > 3Ni. \quad (31)$$

Case A. Suppose first that the segment $[z, o]$ of the side $[z, x]$ intersects the closed $3Ni$ -neighborhood of $[x, y]$, or the segment $[o, x]$ of $[z, x]$ intersects the closed $3Ni$ -neighborhood of $[y, z]$. For definiteness, we consider the former case; the latter one is analogous.

Let r_1 be the shortest subpath of $[z, x]$ containing o such that

$$d_i((r_1)_-, [x, y]) = d_i((r_1)_+, [x, y]) = 3Ni.$$

Let $u, v \in [x, y]$ be the vertices nearest to $(r_1)_+$ and $(r_1)_-$, respectively (see Fig. 8). Let r_2 denote the subpath of $[x, y]^{\pm 1}$ connecting u to v and let b_1, b_2 be any geodesics in $\text{Cay}(G_i, X)$ connecting $(r_1)_+$ to u and v to $(r_1)_-$. In what follows, we call the paths r_1, r_2 (respectively, b_1, b_2) *red* (respectively, *blue*). Obviously, the quadrilateral $r_1 b_1 r_2 b_2$ satisfies the following condition.

- (*) The distance in $\text{Cay}(G_i, X)$ between distinct red sides and the length of every blue side are equal to $3Ni$.

Let Δ be a reduced van Kampen diagram over (27) with the boundary label $\mathbf{Lab}(\partial\Delta) \equiv \mathbf{Lab}(r_1 b_1 r_2 b_2)$. By abuse of notation, we identify $\partial\Delta$ with its canonical image $r_1 b_1 r_2 b_2$ in $\text{Cay}(G_i, X)$. Note that Δ must contain at least one \mathcal{R} -face; for otherwise, o belongs to




Figure 8: Case A.

$b_1 r_2 b_2$, which contradicts (31). By Lemma 5.4, we can find an \mathcal{R} -face Π and contiguity subdiagrams $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2$ of Π to r_1, r_2, b_1, b_2 respectively (some of these diagrams may be absent) such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 \left((\Pi, \Gamma_j, r_j) + (\Pi, \Sigma_j, b_j) \right) \geq 1 - \gamma$$

(see Fig. 8.)

By Corollary 5.3, at least two of the contiguity subdiagrams $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2$ must actually exist. Furthermore, suppose there are exactly two contiguity subdiagrams and they are adjacent to sides of distinct colors, say b_1 and r_2 (other cases only differ by notation). Then Lemma 5.5 applied to $p = b_1$ and $q = r_2$ implies that

$$d_i((b_1)_-, r_2) \leq d_\Delta((b_1)_-, r_2) < \ell(b_1) = 3Ni,$$

which contradicts (*). Thus, there must exist two contiguity subdiagrams of Π to sides of the same color (and possibly other contiguity subdiagrams). For $j = 1, 2$, we denote by s_j and t_j the side sections of $\partial\Gamma_j$ and $\partial\Sigma_j$ as shown on Fig. 8 and consider two subcases.

Case A.1. Suppose first that Γ_1 and Γ_2 are present. By Lemma 5.2 (a), we have

$$d_i((s_1)_-, (s_2)_+) \leq \ell(s_1) + \ell(\partial\Pi)/2 + \ell(s_2) < (2\zeta + 1/2)\ell(\partial\Pi) < Ni \quad (LPP),$$

which contradicts (*). Thus, this case is impossible.

Case A.2. Assume that Σ_1 and Σ_2 are present. As above, we obtain $d_i((t_1)_-, (t_2)_+) < Ni$. Since the path r_1 is geodesic, we have $\ell(r_1) \leq \ell(b_1) + d_i((t_1)_-, (t_2)_+) + \ell(b_2) < 7Ni$. Therefore, $d_i(o, r_2) \leq \ell(r_1)/2 + \ell(b_j) < 6.5Ni$.




Figure 9: Case B.

Case B. Now suppose that $[z, o]$ (respectively, $[o, x]$) does not intersect the closed $3Ni$ -neighborhood of $[x, y]$ (respectively, $[y, z]$).

Let r_1 be the shortest subpath of $[z, x]$ containing o such that

$$d_i((r_1)_-, [x, y] \cup [y, z]) = d_i((r_1)_+, [x, y] \cup [y, z]) = Ni.$$

Let $u, v \in [x, y] \cup [y, z]$ be the nearest vertices to $(r_1)_+$ and $(r_1)_-$, respectively (see Fig. 9). Note that the assumption of Case B implies that $u \in [x, y]$, $v \in [y, z]$, and $d_i(u, v) \geq 2Ni > Ni$. Let r_2 (respectively, r_3) be the shortest segments of $[x, y]$ (respectively, $[y, z]$) starting at u (respectively, ending at v) such that $d_i((r_2)_+, (r_3)_-) = Ni$. We denote by b_1, b_2, b_3 any geodesics in $\text{Cay}(G_i, X)$ connecting $(r_1)_+$ to u , $(r_2)_+$ to $(r_3)_-$, and v to $(r_1)_-$, respectively. As above, paths r_1, r_2, r_3 and b_1, b_2, b_3 are called *red* and *blue*, respectively. By construction, the hexagon $r_1 b_1 r_2 b_2 r_3 b_3$ satisfies the following analog of (*).

(**) The distance in $\text{Cay}(G_i, X)$ between distinct red sides and the length of every blue side are equal to Ni .

As above, we consider a reduced van Kampen diagram Δ over (27) with boundary $r_1 b_1 r_2 b_2 r_3 b_3$ (see Fig. 9) and note that Δ must contain at least one \mathcal{R} -face. By Lemma 5.4, there exists an \mathcal{R} -face Π and contiguity subdiagrams Γ_j, Σ_j of Π to r_j and b_j for $j = 1, 2, 3$ (some of these diagrams may be absent) such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 \left((\Pi, \Gamma_j, r_j) + (\Pi, \Sigma_j, b_j) \right) \geq 1 - \gamma.$$

Arguing as in Case A, we show that at least two of these contiguity subdiagrams must be present and if there are exactly two of them, they cannot be adjacent to adjacent sides of distinct colors. Furthermore, we cannot have exactly two diagrams adjacent to “opposite” sides of distinct color. E.g., if we only have Σ_1 and Γ_3 , then Lemma 5.5 and (**) imply that

$$d_i((b_1)_-, r_3) \leq d_\Delta((b_1)_-, r_3) \leq \ell(b_1) = Ni;$$

this inequality contradicts the assumption that $[o, x]$ does not intersect the closed $3Ni$ -neighborhood of $[y, z]$, which we made in Case B. Other cases are treated similarly. Summarising, we conclude that if exactly two contiguity diagrams are present, they must be adjacent to sides of distinct color. Thus we are left with only two cases.

Case B.1. Two contiguity subdiagrams to red sides are present (here and in Case B.2 below we do not exclude the possibility of having other contiguity subdiagrams as well). Arguing as in Case A.1, we obtain a contradiction.

Case B.2. Two contiguity subdiagrams to blue sides are present. First assume that Σ_1 and Σ_2 are present. Let s_1 and s_2 be any side sections of $\partial\Sigma_1$ and $\partial\Sigma_2$ (see Fig. 9). By Lemma 5.2 (a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_i((r_1)_+, [y, z]) &\leq \ell(b_1) + \ell(s_1) + \ell(\partial\Pi)/2 + \ell(s_2) + \ell(b_2) \\ &\leq 2Ni + (2\zeta + 1/2)\ell(\partial\Pi) \\ &< 3Ni \quad (\text{LPP}), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the assumption of Case B. Similarly, the case when Σ_2 and Σ_3 are present is impossible. Thus, the present contiguity subdiagrams to blue sides must be Σ_1 and Σ_3 . Arguing as in Case A.2, we obtain $d_i(o, r_2 \cup r_3) \leq 6.5Ni$.

Thus, in all cases, we have (30). This implies that $\text{Cay}(G_i, X)$ is hyperbolic with the hyperbolicity constant at most $6.5Ni + 1/2 < 7Ni$. \square

Theorem 5.7. *For any $r \geq 1$ and any sufficiently large odd N , the free Burnside group $B(r, N)$ is well-approximated by hyperbolic groups. In particular, $f_{B(r, N)} \sim n/m$.*

Proof. For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $j(i) = \lceil i/(1 - \beta) \rceil$. We define $\mathcal{R}'_i = \mathcal{R}_{j(i)}$ and $G'_i = \langle X \mid \mathcal{R}'_i \rangle$. By Lemma 5.1, every word $w \in F(X)$ of length $\|w\| \leq i$ representing 1 in $B(r, N)$ belongs to $\langle\langle \mathcal{R}'_i \rangle\rangle$. Further, by Proposition 5.6, $\text{Cay}(G'_i, X)$ is hyperbolic and its hyperbolicity constant is bounded from above by $7N\lceil i/(1 - \beta) \rceil \leq 14Ni$ (LPP). Thus the sequence of presentations G'_i satisfies Definition 3.4 and we obtain the first claim of the theorem. The second claim follows from Proposition 3.5. \square

5.3 Non-quasi-isometric finitely generated groups of finite exponent

Our next goal is to prove Theorem 1.14. To this end, we are going to apply Proposition 4.14 to the central extension of the free Burnside group $B(r, N)$ defined as follows. Let X

and \mathcal{R} be as in (25) and let K denote the normal closure of \mathcal{R} in $F(X)$. Fix any integer $p \geq 2$ and consider the group

$$C(r, N, p) = F(X)/K^p[K, F(X)] = \langle X \mid R^p = 1, [R, x] = 1, R \in \mathcal{R}, x \in X \rangle. \quad (32)$$

The lemma below summarizes some properties of $C(r, N, p)$ obtained in [Ols91a].

Lemma 5.8 (Olshanskii). *For any integers $r, p \geq 2$ and any sufficiently large odd $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the center of $C(r, N, p)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of countably many copies of \mathbb{Z}_p and we have $C(r, N, p)/Z(C(r, N, p)) \cong B(r, N)$. In particular, $C(r, N, p)$ has exponent Np .*

Proof. By [Ols91a, Corollary 31.1], the subgroup $K/[F(X), K]$ of $F(X)/[F(X), K]$ is free abelian with basis $\overline{\mathcal{R}} = \{\overline{R} \mid R \in \mathcal{R}\}$, where $\overline{R} = R[F(X), K]$. The set \mathcal{R} is infinite by [Ols91a, Theorem 19.3]. Therefore, the central subgroup $Z = K/K^p[F(X), K] \leq C(r, N, p)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of countably many copies of \mathbb{Z}_p generated by the images of elements $\overline{R} \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}$. Obviously, we have $C(r, N, p)/Z \cong B(r, N)$. By [Ols91a, Theorem 19.5], the group $B(r, N)$ is centerless. Hence, $Z(C(r, N, p)) = Z$. \square

Proposition 4.14 and Lemma 5.8 reduce the proof of Theorem 1.14 to the following.

Proposition 5.9. *For any integers $r \geq 4$, $p \geq 2$, and any sufficiently large odd $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $f_{B(r, N)} \not\sim f_{C(r, N, p)}$.*

We will prove this result by showing that the Dehn spectrum of $C(r, N, p)$ is not linear. The assumption $r \geq 4$ is not really essential and is added in order to simplify some technical arguments in the proof; a slightly longer argument would work for any $r \geq 2$.

We begin with auxiliary results. Our first goal is to show that the set of relations in the Olshanskii presentation (25) grows exponentially. More precisely, we say that a set \mathcal{W} of words in some alphabet is *exponential* if there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$|\{W \in \mathcal{W} \mid \|W\| \leq ci\}| \geq 2^i.$$

Lemma 5.10 (Olshanskii, [Ols16, Lemma 1.2]). *For any $\lambda > 0$, there exists an exponential set \mathcal{W} of words in a 2-letter alphabet such that following conditions hold.*

- (a) *Every word $W \in \mathcal{W}$ is 7-aperiodic; that is, W contains no subwords of the form U^7 , where U is any non-empty word.*
- (b) *If V is a common subword of some words $U, W \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\|V\| \geq \lambda\|W\|$, then $U \equiv W$.*

Recall that the sets of periods \mathcal{P}_i were defined in the process of constructing the presentation (25) in Section 5.1. Let

$$\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_i$$

The proof of the next lemma is inspired by the proof of [Ols91a, Theorem 19.3].

Lemma 5.11. *For every $r \geq 2$ and every sufficiently large odd $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the set \mathcal{P} is exponential.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{W} be an exponential set of words in the alphabet X satisfying conditions (a) and (b) of Lemma 5.10 for $\lambda = 1/40$. For every $W \in \mathcal{W}$, we have $W^N = 1$ in $B(r, N)$. By [Ols91a, Theorem 16.2], there exists a period $A \in \mathcal{P}$ such that a cyclic shift of the word W^N and a cyclic shift of A^N have a common subword of length at least $\varepsilon N \|A\| > 60 \|A\|$ (LPP). We fix one such period for each $W \in \mathcal{W}$ and denote it by A_W . Getting rid of cyclic shifts, we obtain that A_W^{29} is a subword of W^N .

Suppose that $A_U \equiv A_W$ for some words $U, W \in \mathcal{W}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\|W\| \leq \|U\|$. If $\|A_W\| \leq \|W\|/10$, then A_W^{29} is a subword of W^4 , which contradicts the assumption that W is 7-aperiodic. Therefore, $\|A_W\| > \|W\|/10$. Since A_W is a subword of W^N , the words A_W and W have a common subword B of length at least $\|A_W\|/2 > \|W\|/20$. Note that B is also a subword of U^N as $A_U \equiv A_W$. Since $\|B\| \leq \|W\| \leq \|U\|$, the words B and U share a common subword C of length at least $\|B\|/2 > \|W\|/40$. Obviously, C is also a common subword of U and W and hence we have $U \equiv W$ by condition (b) of Lemma 5.10. Thus the map $W \mapsto A_W$ is injective. The obvious inequality $\|A_W\| \leq N\|W\|$ implies that the subset $\{A_W \mid W \in \mathcal{W}\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ is exponential. \square

Recall that $E(\Delta)$ denotes the set of edges of a van Kampen diagram Δ . We will also need the following result obtained by Grigorchuk and Ivanov in the course of proving Theorem 1.10 in [GI] (it is stated explicitly in the third paragraph of the proof).

Lemma 5.12 ([GI]). *For every reduced van Kampen diagram Δ over (25), we have $|E(\Delta)| \leq \ell(\partial\Delta)^{19/12}$.*

In the proof of Proposition 5.9, we will borrow some ideas from Chapter 10 of [Ols91a] and Section 5.3 of [OOS]. In particular, we will make use of the following invariant. Let $A \in \mathcal{P}$ be a period. For a diagram Δ over (25), we let

$$\sigma_A(\Delta) = \sigma_A^+(\Delta) - \sigma_A^-(\Delta),$$

where $\sigma_A^+(\Delta)$ (respectively $\sigma_A^-(\Delta)$) is the number of faces whose boundary label reads A^N (respectively, A^{-N}) in the counterclockwise direction starting from an appropriate vertex.

Every diagram Σ over (32) can be converted to a diagram Δ over (25) with the same boundary label by using the following transformations (see Fig. 10).

- (T₁) Every face with boundary label $[R, x]$ for some $R \in \mathcal{R}$ and $x \in X$ is replaced with two faces with boundary labels R and R^{-1} (read in the same direction, e.g., clockwise) connected by an edge labelled by x .
- (T₂) Every face Π with boundary label R^p for some $R \in \mathcal{R}$ is replaced with the wedge of p faces with boundary labels R (read in the same direction as $\mathbf{Lab}(\partial\Pi)$).




Figure 10: Transformations (T_1) and (T_2) .

We record an obvious observation.

Lemma 5.13. *Let Σ be any diagram over (32), Δ the diagram over (25) obtained from Σ by transformations (T_1) and (T_2) . For every period $A \in \mathcal{P}$, we have $\sigma_A(\Delta) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.*

Now, we prove the main technical result of this section.

Lemma 5.14. *Let $r, p \geq 2$ be any integers and let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be a sufficiently large odd number. For any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and any pairwise distinct periods $A_1, \dots, A_\ell \in \mathcal{P}$, we have $|A_1^N \dots A_\ell^N|_X \geq (\|A_1^N \dots A_\ell^N\|/2)^{12/19}$ in the group $C(r, N, p)$.*

Proof. Let S be a shortest word representing the same element as $A_1^N \dots A_\ell^N$ in $C(r, N, p)$. Let Σ be a van Kampen diagram over (32) with the boundary label $A_1^N \dots A_\ell^N S^{-1}$. We transform Σ to a diagram Δ over (25) by using (T_1) and (T_2) . Further, we “wrap” Δ around the part of the boundary labelled by $A_1^N \dots A_\ell^N$ and fill the obtained hole with a wedge of faces labelled by A_1^N, \dots, A_ℓ^N (see Fig. 11). Let Ω_0 denote the obtained diagram. Note that Ω_0 may not be reduced. To be able to apply Lemma 5.12, we reduce Ω_0 and denote by Ω the resulting reduced diagram; this step does not change the boundary of the diagram and thus $\mathbf{Lab}(\partial\Omega) \equiv \mathbf{Lab}(\partial\Omega_0) \equiv S^{-1}$.

By Lemma 5.13, we have $\sigma_A(\Delta) = 0 \pmod{p}$ for every period A . Since the periods A_1, \dots, A_ℓ are distinct, we have $\sigma_{A_i}(\Omega_0) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. The same equality holds for Ω since the reduction process does not affect the invariant σ_{A_i} . In particular, the diagram Ω must contain at least one face labelled by each of the words A_1^N, \dots, A_ℓ^N .




Figure 11: Transforming Δ to Ω_0 .

Therefore, $|E(\Omega)| \geq (\|A_1^N\| + \dots + \|A_\ell^N\|)/2$. Combining this with Lemma 5.12, we obtain

$$|A_1^N \dots A_\ell^N|_X = \|S\| = \ell(\partial\Omega) \geq |E(\Omega)|^{12/19} \geq (\|A_1^N \dots A_\ell^N\|/2)^{12/19}.$$

□

Proof of Proposition 5.9. Let $X = \{y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2, \dots\}$ be an alphabet of cardinality r and let $B(r, N)$ be the free Burnside group of exponent N with basis X . We also denote by B_Y and B_Z the free Burnside groups of exponent N with bases $Y = \{y_1, y_2\}$ and $Z = \{z_1, z_2\}$, respectively.

Since $B(r, N)$ is free in the variety of groups of exponent N , there are natural homomorphisms $B(r, N) \rightarrow B_Y$ and $B(r, N) \rightarrow B_Z$ that send all generators from $X \setminus Y$ (respectively, $X \setminus Z$) to 1. We denote by $\varphi: C(r, N, p) \rightarrow B_Y$ (respectively, $\psi: C(r, N, p) \rightarrow B_Z$) the composition of these homomorphisms and the natural homomorphism $C(r, N, p) \rightarrow B(r, N)$. Finally, let

$$\widehat{\varphi}: \text{Cay}(C(r, N, p), X) \rightarrow \text{Cay}(B_Y, Y)$$

and

$$\widehat{\psi}: \text{Cay}(C(r, N, p), X) \rightarrow \text{Cay}(B_Z, Z)$$

be the maps between the Cayley graphs induced by φ and ψ .

Fix some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let W be any word in the alphabet $\{y_1^{\pm 1}, y_2^{\pm 1}\}$ of length

$$\|W\| = 2^k \tag{33}$$

that labels a geodesic path in the Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(B_Y, Y)$. Obviously, every path labelled by W in $\text{Cay}(C(r, N, p), X)$ is also geodesic. Further, by Lemma 5.11, there is a constant $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the number of periods of length at most ck in Olshanskii's presentation of B_Z is at least 2^k . Let A_1, \dots, A_{2^k} be pairwise distinct periods in Olshanskii's presentation of B_Z of length

$$\|A_i\| \leq ck, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2^k, \tag{34}$$

There exists $1 \leq \ell \leq 2^k$ such that

$$2^k \leq \|A_1^N \dots A_\ell^N\| \leq 2^k + ckN. \tag{35}$$




Figure 12: Two cases in the proof of Proposition 5.9.

Let

$$L \equiv W A_1^N \dots A_\ell^N W^{-1} (A_1^N \dots A_\ell^N)^{-1}.$$

Since every A_i^N belongs to the center of $C(r, N, p)$, $L = 1$ in this group. Thus, the word L labels a loop $p = p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4$ in $\text{Cay}(C(r, N, p), X)$, where

$$\mathbf{Lab}(p_1) \equiv W, \quad \mathbf{Lab}(p_2) \equiv A_1^N \dots A_\ell^N, \quad \mathbf{Lab}(p_3) \equiv W^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{Lab}(p_4) \equiv (A_1^N \dots A_\ell^N)^{-1}.$$

Denote the midpoint of p_1 by o . Note that o is a vertex since $\ell(p_1) = \|W\| = s = 2^k$ is even. Let v be a vertex on $p_2 p_3 p_4$ closest to o , let q denote a geodesic going from o to v in $\text{Cay}(C(r, N, p), X)$, and let $t = \ell(q)$. There are two cases to consider.

Case 1. Suppose first that v lies on p_2 or p_4 . To estimate t from below, we project our configuration to $\text{Cay}(B_Y, Y)$ via $\widehat{\varphi}$ (see Fig. 12). Obviously, $\widehat{\varphi}(v) = \widehat{\varphi}(p_1)_+$ (if $v \in p_2$) or $\widehat{\varphi}(v) = \widehat{\varphi}(p_1)_-$ (if $v \in p_4$). Since $\widehat{\varphi}$ maps p_1 to a geodesic of length $\|W\|$ in $\text{Cay}(B_Y, Y)$ by the choice of W , we have

$$t \geq \|W\|/2 = 2^{k-1}$$

Case 2. Now, assume that v lies on p_3 . Projecting this configuration to $\text{Cay}(B_Z, Z)$ via the map $\widehat{\psi}$, we obtain $\widehat{\psi}(o) = \widehat{\psi}(p_2)_-$ and $\widehat{\psi}(v) = \widehat{\psi}(p_2)_+$. Therefore, $t \geq |A_1^N \dots A_\ell^N|_Z$ in this case, where $|\cdot|_Z$ denotes the word length in B_Z with respect to Z . Applying Lemma 5.14 and using the left inequality in (35), we obtain

$$t \geq (\|A_1^N \dots A_\ell^N\|/2)^{12/19} \geq 2^{12(k-1)/19}.$$

Note that the relation $L = 1$ in $C(r, N, p)$ follows from the relations of the form $[A_i^N, y] = 1$, where $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ and $y \in Y$. By (34), we have

$$\|[A_i^N, y]\| \leq 2 \max_{i=1, \dots, \ell} \|A_i^N\| + 2 \leq 2ckN + 2 < 3ckN.$$

Hence, the loop $p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4$ is $3ckN$ -contractible. In both cases considered above, the path $(p_2 p_3 p_4)^{-1}$ is a $2^{12(k-1)/19}$ -detour of the midpoint of p_1 (see Definition 3.14). Assume that $C(r, N, p)$ has linear Dehn spectrum. Applying Proposition 3.15 and using (35) and (33), we obtain

$$D \left(\frac{2^{12(k-1)/19}}{3ckN} \right)^2 \leq \ell(p_2 p_3 p_4) \leq 2 \|A_1^N \dots A_\ell^N\| + \|W\| \leq 3 \cdot 2^k + 2ckN,$$

where D is a constant independent of k . Clearly, this is a nonsense if k is large enough. Thus, the Dehn spectrum of $C(r, N, p)$ is not linear and the claim of the proposition follows from Theorem 5.7 and Theorem 1.4. \square

Remark 5.15. We are not aware of any other asymptotic invariant that could be used to distinguish the quasi-isometry classes of $B(r, N)$ and $C(r, N, p)$. For example, the *taut loop length spectrum* introduced by Bowditch [Bow] (which is also called the *relation range* in [CLB]) cannot be used here. Indeed, using results of [Ols91a], it is not difficult to show that $B(r, N)$ and $C(r, N, p)$ are *densely related* in the terminology of [CLB]; for $B(r, N)$, this fact can be easily extracted from the proof of [Ols91a, Theorem 19.3]. This means that the relation ranges of these groups are equivalent and cannot be used to distinguish their quasi-isometry classes. For definitions and details, we refer the interested reader to the papers [CLB, Bow].

Theorem 1.14 is a simplified version of the following.

Theorem 5.16. *For any integer $p \geq 2$ and any sufficiently large odd $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist 2^{\aleph_0} finitely generated groups of exponent Np with pairwise incomparable Dehn spectra. In particular, there are 2^{\aleph_0} quasi-isometry classes of such groups.*

Proof. Lemma 5.8 and Proposition 5.9 allow us to apply Proposition 4.14 to $G = C(r, N, p)$ and the first claim of the theorem follows. The second claim follows from the first one and Theorem 1.4. \square

6 Open questions

We conclude with a few open questions. The only examples of groups for which we know the Dehn spectrum up to the equivalence are those mentioned in the introduction. It would be nice to compute Dehn spectra of some other groups, even finitely presented ones. Specific examples of interest include finitely generated nilpotent groups (in which case we conjecture that $f_G(k, m, n) \sim \delta_G(n)/\delta_G(m)$, at least in the case when the group is free nilpotent) and the Baumslag-Solitar groups $BS(p, q) = \langle a, b \mid ba^p b^{-1} = a^q \rangle$.

Passing to infinitely presented groups, we suggest the following.

Problem 6.1. *Compute the Dehn spectrum of the Grigorchuk group of intermediate growth.*

Elementary considerations show that the Dehn spectrum of the Grigorchuk group G satisfies $f_G(k, m, n) \sim g(k)(n/m)^2$ for some function $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Can we take the function g to be constant?

Another interesting class of infinitely presented groups consists of free groups in certain varieties.

Problem 6.2. *Compute the Dehn spectrum of finitely generated free solvable groups.*

The answer is not clear even for free metabelian groups. Further, it does not seem to be known whether the non-abelian, free solvable groups of different ranks or different solvability degrees are quasi-isometric. Computing their Dehn spectra may help resolve this question.

Finally, we formulate a natural problem related to our results on free Burnside groups.

Problem 6.3. *Let $r, s \geq 2$ and let M, N be sufficiently large natural number. When are the groups $B(r, M)$ and $B(s, N)$ quasi-isometric? In particular, is it true that $B(r, M)$ is not quasi-isometric to $B(s, N)$ whenever M is even and N is odd?*

We do not believe that the latter question can be answered by computing Dehn spectra; another invariant is likely necessary.

References

- [AB] J. Alonso, M. Bridson, Semihyperbolic groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **70** (1995), no. 1, 56-114.
- [Adi] S.I. Adian, The Burnside problem and identities in groups. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, **95**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979.
- [Bat] M. Batty, Groups with a sublinear isoperimetric inequality, *Irish Math. Soc. Bull.* **42** (1999), 5-10.
- [BH] M.R. Bridson, A. Haefliger, Metric spaces of non-positive curvature. *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]* **319**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [Bow] B. Bowditch, Continuously many quasi-isometry classes of 2-generator groups. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **73** (1998), no. 2, 232-236.
- [Bri] M. Bridson, Asymptotic cones and polynomial isoperimetric inequalities, *Topology* **38** (1999), no. 3, 543-554.
- [Bro] R. Brooks, Some remarks on bounded cohomology, Riemann surfaces and related topics. *Proceedings of the 1978 Stony Brook Conference (State Univ. New York, Stony Brook, N.Y., 1978) (Princeton, N.J.), Ann. of Math. Stud., Vol. 97*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1981, 53-63.

- [CIOS] I. Chifan, A. Ioana, D. Osin, B. Sun, Wreath-like product groups and rigidity of their von Neumann algebras, *arXiv:2111.04708*.
- [CLB] Y. Cornulier, Yves; A. Le Boudec, Densely related groups, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.* **28** (2019), no. 4, 619-653.
- [Cou] R. Coulon, Partial periodic quotients of groups acting on a hyperbolic space, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **66** (2016), no. 5, 1773-1857.
- [DG] T. Delzant, M. Gromov, Courbure mésoscopique et théorie de la toute petite simplification, *J. Topol.* **1** (2008), no. 4, 804-836.
- [DK] C. Drutu, M. Kapovich, Geometric group theory. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, **63**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2018.
- [Dru] C. Drutu, Quasi-isometry invariants and asymptotic cones, *Internat. J. Alg. Comp.* **12** (2002), no. 01n02, 99-135.
- [Ers] A. Erschler, Not residually finite groups of intermediate growth, commensurability and non-geometricity, *J. Algebra* **272** (2004), no. 1, 154-172.
- [Ger] S. M. Gersten, Dehn functions and ℓ_1 -norms of finite presentations, *Proc. of a Workshop on Algorithmic Problems*, edited by G. Baumslag and C. F. Miller III, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [GI] R. Grigorchuk, S. Ivanov, On Dehn functions of infinite presentations of groups, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **18** (2009), no. 6, 1841-1874.
- [Gre] M. Greendlinger, Dehn's algorithm for the word problem, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **13** (1960), 67-83.
- [Gri] R. I. Grigorchuk, Degrees of growth of finitely generated groups and the theory of invariant means, *Math. USSR Izv.* **25** (1985), no. 2, 259-300.
- [Gro87] M. Gromov, Hyperbolic groups, *Essays in Group Theory*, MSRI Series, Vol.8, (S.M. Gersten, ed.), Springer, 1987, 75-263.
- [Gro93] M. Gromov, Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups. Geometric group theory, Vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991), 1-295. *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.* **182**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [Iva] S. Ivanov, The free Burnside groups of sufficiently large exponents, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **4** (1994), no. 1-2, ii+308 pp.
- [Joh] D. L. Johnson, Presentations of groups. *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series* **22**, Cambridge University Press, 1976.

- [Kec95] A. Kechris, Classical descriptive set theory. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **156**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [Kec02] A. Kechris, Actions of Polish groups and classification problems. Analysis and logic (Mons, 1997), 115-187, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **262**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [LS] R. Lyndon, P. Schupp, Combinatorial group theory. Reprint of the 1977 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [Lys] I.G. Lysenok, Infinite Burnside groups of even period, *Izv. Math.* **60** (1996), no. 3, 453-654.
- [MO] A. Minasyan, D. Osin, Acylindrically hyperbolic groups with exotic properties, *J. Algebra* **522** (2019), 218-235.
- [MOW] A. Minasyan, D. Osin, S. Witzel, Quasi-isometric diversity of finitely generated groups, *J. Topology* **14** (2021), no. 2, 488-503.
- [Ols83] A. Olshanskii, The Novikov-Adyan theorem, *Math. USSR Sb.* **46** (1983), no. 2, 203-235.
- [Ols91a] A. Olshanskii, Geometry of Defining Relations in Groups. Mathematics and its Applications, **70**, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [Ols91b] A. Olshanskii, Hyperbolicity of groups with linear isoperimetric inequality, *Int. J. Alg. Comput.* **1** (1991), no. 3, 281-289.
- [Ols16] A. Olshanskii, Embedding construction based on amalgamations of group relators. *J. Topol. Anal.* **8** (2016), no. 1, 1-24.
- [OOS] A. Olshanskii, D. Osin, M. Sapir, Lacunary hyperbolic groups, with an appendix by M. Kapovich and B. Kleiner, *Geom. & Topol.* **13** (2009) 2051-2140.
- [OS] A. Olshanskii, M. Sapir, Groups with non-simply connected asymptotic cones, *Contemp. Math.* **394** (2006), 203-208.
- [Osi21] D. Osin, A topological zero-one law and elementary equivalence of finitely generated groups, *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* **172** (2021), no. 3, 102915, 36 pp.
- [Osi07] D. Osin, Peripheral fillings of relatively hyperbolic groups, *Invent. Math.* **167** (2007), no. 2, 295-326.
- [Osi06] D. Osin, Relatively hyperbolic groups: Intrinsic geometry, algebraic properties, and algorithmic problems, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **179** (2006), no. 843.
- [Pan] P. Pansu, Croissance des boules et des géodésiques fermées dans les nilvariétés, *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **3** (1983), 415-445.

- [Pap] P. Papasoglu, On the asymptotic cone of groups satisfying a quadratic isoperimetric inequality, *J. Differential Geom.* **44** (1996), no. 4, 789-806.
- [Str] R. Strebel, Small cancellation groups. Appendix to: Sur les groupes hyperboliques d'après Mikhael Gromov (Bern, 1988), 227-273. *Progr. Math.* **83**, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1990.

Denis Osin: Department of Mathematics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville 37240, U.S.A.
E-mail: *denis.v.osin@vanderbilt.edu*

Ekaterina Rybak: Department of Mathematics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville 37240, U.S.A.
E-mail: *ekaterina.rybak@vanderbilt.edu*