arXiv:2203.12525v2 [math.CO] 14 Mar 2023

ON ELSER'S CONJECTURE AND THE TOPOLOGY OF U-NUCLEUS COMPLEX

APRATIM CHAKRABORTY, ANUPAM MONDAL, SAJAL MUKHERJEE, AND KULDEEP SAHA

ABSTRACT. Dorpalen-Barry et al. proved Elser's conjecture about signs of Elser's numbers by interpreting them as certain sums of reduced Euler characteristic of an abstract simplicial complex known as U-nucleus complex. We prove a conjecture posed by them regarding the homology of U-nucleus complex.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a connected undirected simple graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ with at least 3 vertices, a nucleus of G is a connected subgraph $N \subseteq G$ such that $V(N)$ is a vertex cover of G. The set of nuclei is denoted by $\mathcal{N}(G)$ [1]. The k-th Elser number $\mathrm{els}_k(G)$ is defined as follows

$$\mathrm{els}_k(G) = (-1)^{|V(G)|+1} \sum_{N \in \mathcal{N}(G)} (-1)^{|E(N)|} |V(N)|^k.$$

Elser's conjecture [2] says $\mathrm{els}_k(G) \geq 0$ for $k \geq 2$, $\mathrm{els}_1(G) = 0$ and $\mathrm{els}_0(G) \leq 0$.

An abstract simplicial complex is a (finite, nonempty) family of finite sets that is closed under taking subsets. Note that the empty set always belongs to the family. Given an abstract simplicial complex Σ, the *reduced Euler characteristic*, $\tilde{\chi}(\Sigma) := \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} (-1)^{|\sigma|-1}$. So, it follows that $\tilde{\chi}(\Sigma) = \chi(\Sigma) - 1$, where $\chi(\Sigma)$ denotes the usual Euler characteristic of Σ.

This conjecture was proved in [1]. That proof made use of an abstract simplicial complex called the U-nucleus complex Δ_U^G as defined below.

$$\text{For } U \subseteq V(G), \Delta_U^G = \{E(G) \setminus E(N) : N \in \mathcal{N}(G),\ U \subseteq V(N)\}.$$

The k-th Elser number, $\mathrm{els}_k(G)$ can be seen as a weighted sum of reduced Euler characteristic of Δ_U^G as below.

$$\mathrm{els}_k(G) = (-1)^{|E(G)|+|V(G)|} \sum_{U \subseteq V(G)} \mathrm{Sur}(k, |U|)\ \tilde{\chi}(\Delta_U^G)$$

where, $\mathrm{Sur}(a, b)$ denotes the number of surjections from a set of size a to a set of size b. Dorpalen-Barry et al. proved Elser's conjecture by establishing analogous inequalities for the reduced Euler characteristic $\tilde{\chi}(\Delta_U^G)$. They posed a general conjecture (Conjecture 9.1 in [1]) about the reduced homology of these complexes that implies the results about the reduced Euler characteristic as a corollary. We recall that the k-th reduced homology of a complex Σ, denoted by

$\tilde{H}_k(\Sigma;\mathbb{R})$, is same as the usual simplicial homology of Σ for all $k \geq 1$ and the zeroth simplicial homology of Σ is $\tilde{H}_0(\Sigma;\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$. Now, we state the conjecture mentioned above.

Conjecture 1.1. [1] *Let G be a connected graph and $U \subseteq V(G)$. Then the reduced homology group $\tilde{H}_k(\Delta_U^G;\mathbb{R})$ is nonzero only if (i) $U = \emptyset$ and $k = |E(G)| - |V(G)| + 1$, or (ii) $|U| > 1$ and $k = |E(G)| - |V(G)|$.* [1]

We prove the following theorem about homology of Δ_U^G settling the conjecture in the case of $|U| > 1$.

Theorem 1.2. *For $|U| > 1$, $\tilde{H}_k(\Delta_U^G;\mathbb{R}) \cong 0$ unless $k = |E(G)| - |V(G)|$.*

Our approach to prove Theorem 1.2 is inspired by Grinberg's proof of Elser's conjecture for the case $k = 1$ (i.e., $\mathrm{els}_1(G) = 0$) using discrete Morse theory [4]. For $F \subseteq E(G)$, an F-path is a path consisting of edges from F. Now given a vertex $v \in V(G)$, we define $\mathrm{Shade}_v(F)$ as follows.

$$\mathrm{Shade}_v(F) = \{e \in E(G) : \text{ There is an } F\text{-path from an endpoint of } e \text{ to } v\}.$$

We consider the following abstract simplicial complex as defined in [4]

$$\mathcal{A}_U = \{F \subseteq E(G) : \mathrm{Shade}_v(F) \subsetneq E(G) \text{ for some } v \in U\}.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{A}_U$ is the Alexander dual of Δ_U^G [4]. Grinberg showed that $\mathcal{A}_U$ is collapsible when $|U| = 1$ by producing an acyclic matching (also known as gradient vector field) with no unmatched simplices. By Alexander duality, it follows that in the case of $|U| = 1$, for all i, $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta_U^G) \cong \tilde{H}^{|E(G)|-i-3}(\mathcal{A}_U) \cong \tilde{H}_{|E(G)|-i-3}(\mathcal{A}_U) \cong 0$. Therefore, Δ_U^G has homology of a point.

We observe that $\mathcal{A}_U = \cup_{x \in U} \mathcal{A}_x$. We extend Grinberg's matching to $\mathcal{A}_U$ when $|U| > 1$ and show that $\mathcal{A}_U$ can be given an acyclic matching with unmatched simplices in the dimension $k = |V(G)| - 3$. Again by Alexander's duality we conclude that, Δ_U^G has homology concentrated in a single homological degree $|E(G)| - |V(G)|$.

Acknowledgments The authors would like to thank Goutam Mukherjee for helpful discussions.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Graph theoretic notation.

- A (simple undirected) graph G is an ordered pair $(V(G), E(G))$, where $V(G)$ is a finite set and $E(G) \subseteq \{S \subseteq V(G) : |S| = 2\}$. Elements of $V(G)$ are called vertices and elements of $E(G)$ are called edges.

- We call a vertex y a *neighbor* of x in G if x and y are adjacent, i.e., $\{x, y\} \in E(G)$.

[1] We note that part (i) of Conjecture 1.1 originally stated that $\tilde{H}_k(\Delta_U^G;\mathbb{R})$ is nonzero for $U = \emptyset$ only if $k = |E(G)| - |V(G)| - 1$ (Conjecture 9.1 in [1]). However, the n-cycle graph provides a counterexample in that case. Through personal communication, the authors have informed us that there was an error and they intended k to be $|E(G)| - |V(G)| + 1$. So, we have stated the corrected version of the conjecture as communicated by the authors.

- A *leaf* in G is a vertex with only one neighbor.
- A *leaf-edge* denotes an edge which is incident to (i.e., contains) a leaf.
- For a connected graph G, an edge e is called a *bridge* if the graph obtained after deleting e is disconnected.
- *Edge-induced subgraph*: Let $\sigma \subseteq E(G)$. We define the subgraph induced by σ, denoted by G_σ as follows

$$V(G_\sigma) = \{x \in V(G) : x \in e \text{ for some } e \in \sigma\} \text{ and } E(G_\sigma) = \sigma.$$

  We use V_σ to denote $V(G_\sigma)$ for brevity.
- *Vertex cover (of edges)* of G: $X \subseteq V(G)$ such that for all $e \in E(G), e \cap X \neq \emptyset$.

2.2. **Basics of discrete Morse theory.** In the case of smooth Morse theory, existence of a Morse function is equivalent to the existence of a gradient vector field. Similarly, existence of a *discrete Morse function* on a simplicial complex is equivalent to the existence of a *discrete gradient vector field*. First we recall the notion of a *discrete vector field* or *matching* on a simplicial complex. Let K be a simplicial complex.

Definition 2.1 (Discrete vector field / matching)**.** A discrete vector field V on K is a collection of pairs $\{\alpha^{(p)} < \beta^{(p+1)}\}$ of simplices of K such that each simplex is in at most one pair of V.

Pictorially, given a discrete vector field on K, we assign arrows on K such that for a pair $\{\alpha^{(p)} < \beta^{(p+1)}\}$ the head of the arrow lies in $\beta^{(p+1)}$ and the tail of the arrow lies in $\alpha^{(p)}$. A gradient vector field is a discrete vector field with some special properties about this arrows.

Definition 2.2 (Gradient vector field / acyclic matching)**.** A discrete vector field is called a gradient vector field if given any simplex α in K, it satisfies exactly one the following.

(1) α is the tail of exactly one arrow.
(2) α is the head of exactly one arrow.
(3) α is neither the head nor the tail of an arrow.




FIGURE 1. An example of a discrete gradient vector field.

According to this notion of discrete Morse functions in terms of discrete gradient fields, a simplex is *critical* if and only if it is neither the tail nor the head of any arrow. For instance, in




FIGURE 2. A sequence of elementary collapses.

Figure 1, e_2 is a critical 1-simplex while e_1 is not critical. The criteria when a discrete vector field is a gradient vector field is more straight forward once we have the notion of a *V-path*.

Definition 2.3. Given a discrete vector field V on a simplicial complex K, a V-path is a sequence of simplices

$$\alpha_0^{(p)}, \beta_0^{(p+1)}, \alpha_1^{(p)}, \beta_1^{(p+1)}, \cdots, \beta_r^{(p+1)}, \alpha_{r+1}^{(p)}$$

such that for each $i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, r\}$, $\{\alpha_i < \beta_i\} \in V$ and $\beta_i > \alpha_{i+1} \neq \alpha_i$.

We say a path is a non-trivial *closed path* if $r \geq 0$ and $\alpha_0 = \alpha_{r+1}$. It can be shown that a gradient vector field does not have a non-trivial closed V-path (i.e., a cycle). Moreover, the other direction is also true.

Theorem 2.4 (Forman [3])**.** *A discrete vector field V is the gradient vector field of a discrete Morse function if and only if there are no non-trivial closed V-path.*

In other words we say a discrete vector field V is gradient vector field if the corresponding matching is acyclic (i.e., it contains no closed V-path).

The notion of gradient vector field is closely related to the notion of *simplicial collapse*. A simplex α is called a face of a simplex β if $\alpha \subsetneq \beta$. A simplex which is a face of exactly one simplex is called a *free face*. For example, in Figure 1, v_1, e_1 and e_2 are free faces while v_2 is not. Whenever we have a free face α of a simplex β in a simplicial complex K, we remove α and β (but keeping faces of β other than α unperturbed) from K by a deformation retraction. This is known as *elementary collapse*. If $K \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$ is obtained from K by a elementary collapse, we extend any gradient vector field of V of $K \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$ to K by adding the pair (α, β) to V.

If one can go from a simplicial complex K_1 to another simplicial complex K_2 via a sequence of elementary collapses, then we say K_1 collapses to K_2. If a gradient vector field on a simplicial complex K does not have any critical faces of dimension greater than 0, then K collapses to a point. We also say K is collapsible.

Now, we state the fundamental theorem of discrete Morse theory.

Theorem 2.5 (Forman [3])**.** *Suppose K is a simplicial complex with a discrete Morse function. Then K is homotopy equivalent to a CW complex with exactly one cell of dimension p for each critical simplex of dimension p.*

We also need the following crucial fact from discrete Morse theory which follows from the theorem above.

Theorem 2.6 (Sphere theorem, [5])**.** *If K has only critical faces of dimension d (≥ 1), then K is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of d-spheres.*

2.3. **Collapsibility of $\mathcal{A}_x$.** We consider the case $U = \{x\}$. Grinberg produced an acyclic matching on $\mathcal{A}_x$ with no critical simplices (here we adopt the convention that if only one 0-simplex is unpaired in some matching, we are allowed to pair it with $\emptyset$). Then, we conclude by Theorem 2.5 that $\mathcal{A}_x$ is collapsible.

We briefly explain the matching Φ_0 constructed in Grinberg's proof. We fix an arbitrary ordering in $E(G)$. For any set $F \in \mathcal{A}_x$ define, $\sigma(F)$ to be the minimum edge in $E(G) \setminus \mathrm{Shade}_x(F)$ (this set is non-empty by definition). If $\sigma(F) \notin F$, we pair off F with $F \cup \sigma(F)$. This gives an acyclic matching in $\mathcal{A}_x$ with no critical simplices [4]. Thus we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. [4] *For any $x \in V(G)$, $\mathcal{A}_x$ is collapsible.*

3. Proof of the main theorem

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $E(G) = \{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_m\}$. We fix the ordering $e_1 < e_2 < \cdots < e_m$ on $E(G)$. The proof is by induction. For the base case, let $U = \{x, y\}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{A}_U = \mathcal{A}_x \cup \mathcal{A}_y$. We consider the acyclic matching Φ_0 on $\mathcal{A}_x$ with no critical simplices as mentioned before. Our aim is to extend this matching Φ_0 to the whole simplicial complex $\mathcal{A}_U$. In order to achieve our goal we proceed recursively. We divide this recursive process into several steps. Note that we want to define an acyclic matching on the simplices of $\mathcal{A}_y \setminus \mathcal{A}_x$. First, we make the following observation to characterize the simplices in $\mathcal{A}_y \setminus \mathcal{A}_x$.

Observation 3.1. *Let $\sigma \subseteq E(G)$ and $\sigma \neq \emptyset$. Then, $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_y \setminus \mathcal{A}_x$ if and only if the following conditions hold*

(1) *G_σ is a connected subgraph of G,*
(2) *V_σ is a vertex cover of G,*
(3) *$x \in V_\sigma$ and $y \notin V_\sigma$.*

Also, $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}_y \setminus \mathcal{A}_x$ if and only if G is a star graph with x as the central vertex.

Now, we proceed to our initial step of the recursive process.

Step 1: Consider those simplices σ in $\mathcal{A}_y \setminus \mathcal{A}_x$, such that, $e_1 \in \sigma$ and one of the following holds.

(1) e_1 is a part of a cycle (i.e., not a bridge) in G_σ (see Fig. 3).
(2) e_1 is a leaf-edge in G_σ such that
   (a) e_1 doesn't contain x *as a leaf* in G_σ
   and
   (b) If $z \in V(G) \setminus V_\sigma$, then e_1 doesn't contain any neighbor of z *as a leaf* in G_σ (see Fig. 4).

We note that in this case $\sigma \setminus \{e_1\} \in \mathcal{A}_y \setminus \mathcal{A}_x$. We pair off σ with $\sigma \setminus \{e_1\}$. We mention an exceptional pairing in the special case of $\{e_1\} \in \mathcal{A}_y \setminus \mathcal{A}_x$ as well as $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}_y \setminus \mathcal{A}_x$ (as mentioned before possible only if G is a star graph with x as the central vertex). In that case we pair off $\{e_1\}$ with $\emptyset$.

We observe that conversely any $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_y \setminus \mathcal{A}_x$, with $e_1 \notin \sigma$, is uniquely paired with $\sigma \cup \{e_1\}$ whenever $\sigma \cup \{e_1\} \in \mathcal{A}_y \setminus \mathcal{A}_x$. Therefore, this extension of Φ_0 is well defined and is denoted by Φ_1.




FIGURE 3. $\sigma = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$; e_1 is a part of a cycle in G_σ.




FIGURE 4. $\sigma = \{e_1, e_2\}$; e_1 is a leaf-edge in G_σ and e_1 doesn't contain any neighbor of y or z as a leaf.

Step 2: The set of all unpaired simplices after step 1 is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_1$. Note that $\mathcal{C}_1$ consists of simplices τ in $\mathcal{A}_y \setminus \mathcal{A}_x$, such that, either $e_1 \notin \tau$ (possible only if $y \in e_1$) or $e_1 \in \tau$ and one of the following holds.

(1) e_1 is a bridge but not a leaf-edge in G_τ (see Fig. 5).
(2) e_1 is a leaf-edge in G_τ such that,
   (a) e_1 contains x as a leaf in G_τ (see Fig. 6, 7)
   or
   (b) there exists $z \in V(G) \setminus V_\tau$ such that e_1 contains a neighbor of z as a leaf in G_τ (see Fig. 7, 8).




FIGURE 5. $\tau = \{e_1, \ldots, e_5\}$; e_1 is a bridge in G_τ, but not a leaf-edge.




FIGURE 6. $\tau = \{e_1, e_2\}$; e_1 is a leaf-edge in G_τ and e_1 contains x as a leaf in G_τ.

Similarly as before, we consider those simplices τ in $\mathcal{C}_1$ such that, e_2 is in τ and either a part of a cycle or a leaf-edge in G_τ such that e_2 doesn't contain x as a leaf, and if $z \in V(G) \setminus V_\tau$ then e_2 doesn't contain any neighbor of z as a leaf in G_τ. We pair off τ with $\tau \setminus \{e_2\}$. This extended matching is denoted by Φ_2.

As before, in the special case of $\{e_2\} \in \mathcal{C}_1$ and $\{e_2\}, \emptyset \in \mathcal{A}_y \setminus \mathcal{A}_x$, we pair off $\{e_2\}$ with $\emptyset$.

Step 3: The set of all unpaired simplices after step 2 is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_2$. Note that $\mathcal{C}_2$ consists of simplices η in $\mathcal{C}_1$ if one of the following four conditions holds:

(1) $e_2 \in \eta$ is a bridge but not a leaf-edge in G_η.
(2) $e_2 \in \eta$ is a leaf-edge in G_η such that,




FIGURE 7. $\tau = \{e_1\}$; e_1 is a leaf-edge in G_τ and e_1 contains x as a leaf in G_τ. Moreover, e_1 also contains neighbors of y and z as leaves.




FIGURE 8. $\tau = \{e_1, e_2\}$; e_1 is a leaf-edge in G_τ and e_1 contains (some) neighbors of y and z as leaves.

(a) e_2 contains x as a leaf in G_η
or
(b) there exists $z \in V(G) \setminus V_\eta$ such that e_2 contains a neighbor of z as a leaf in G_η.

(3) $e_2 \notin \eta$ and $y \in e_2$.
(4) $e_2 \notin \eta, y \notin e_2$ and $\eta \cup \{e_2\}$ contains a cycle containing e_1.

Now we proceed as before, i.e., consider those simplices η in $\mathcal{C}_2$ such that, e_3 is in η and either a part of a cycle or a leaf-edge in G_η such that e_3 doesn't contain x as a leaf, and if $z \in V(G) \setminus V_\eta$ then e_3 doesn't contain any neighbor of z as a leaf in G_η. We pair off η with $\eta \setminus \{e_3\}$. This extended matching is denoted by Φ_3.

Proceeding this way, we end up with a matching Φ. To verify that Φ is acyclic, we first observe that at the i-th step, we pair a simplex Σ with $\Sigma \setminus e_i$ only if $\mathrm{Shade}_x(\Sigma) = \mathrm{Shade}_x(\Sigma \setminus e_i) = E(G)$. More generally, we make the following observation.


Observation 3.2. *We pair off $\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_y \setminus \mathcal{A}_x$ with $\Sigma \setminus e$ only if e is the minimal edge in Σ such that $\mathrm{Shade}_x(\Sigma \setminus e) = E(G)$.*

We also make the following observations regarding a unpaired simplex Σ in this matching Φ.

Observation 3.3. *If a simplex $\Sigma \in \mathcal{A}_y \setminus \mathcal{A}_x$ is unpaired in Φ, then the following properties hold.*

(1) *G_Σ is a spanning tree of $G \setminus \{y\}$. Therefore, it is a $(|V(G)| - 3)$-simplex (i.e., it has $|V(G)| - 2$ edges).*
(2) *For any $e_j \notin \Sigma$ with $y \notin e_j$, the unique cycle in $G_{\Sigma \cup e_j}$ has an edge e_i such that $e_i < e_j$.*


First, we consider the following alternating path with respect to the matching Φ starting from $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{A}_y \setminus \mathcal{A}_x$.



Suppose i is an integer between 2 and $k-1$ such that $\sigma_i \in \mathcal{A}_x$. Then, we observe that $\sigma_j \in \mathcal{A}_x$ for all $j \in \{i, \cdots, k\}$ and $\Sigma_j \in \mathcal{A}_x$ for all $j \in \{i, \cdots, k-1\}$. It is already known that the matching Φ_0 is acyclic in $\mathcal{A}_x$. Therefore, it is impossible to have closed Φ-path containing simplices from both $\mathcal{A}_y \setminus \mathcal{A}_x$ and $\mathcal{A}_x$. So, it suffices to show that no closed Φ-path exists containing simplices

only from $\mathcal{A}_y \setminus \mathcal{A}_x$.

Now assume on the contrary that there is a directed cycle in the matching as follows.



Here, we assume $\Sigma_i, \sigma_i \in \mathcal{A}_y \setminus \mathcal{A}_x$ for each i. We note that for each i there are edges $f(i) \notin \sigma_i$ and $g(i) \in \Sigma_i$, such that $\Sigma_i = \sigma_i \cup f(i)$ and $\sigma_{i+1} = \Sigma_i \setminus g(i)$. It now follows that, $f(i) < g(i)$ (with respect to the ordering in $E(G)$) since otherwise it will violate Observation 3.2 . We also assume without loss of generality that $f(1) = \min_i f(i)$. Since, $\{f(i) : i = 1, \cdots, n\} = \{g(i) : i = 1, \cdots, n\}$, there is $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $f(1) = g(j) > f(j)$ which contradicts the fact that $f(1)$ is the minimum. Therefore, the matching Φ is an acyclic extension of the acyclic matching Φ_0 for $\mathcal{A}_x$.

Now, let $U_n = \{x_1, \cdots, x_n\}$. We observe that $\mathcal{A}_{U_n} = \mathcal{A}_{U_{n-1}} \cup (\mathcal{A}_{x_n} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{U_{n-1}})$. Proceeding inductively, we construct an acyclic matching of $\mathcal{A}_U$ where $|U| > 1$ that has critical simplices at dimension $|V(G)| - 3$.

By Theorem 2.5, we conclude that $\mathcal{A}_U$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres of dimension $|V(G)| - 3$. Since, Δ^G_U is the Alexander dual of $\mathcal{A}_U$, it follows by Alexander duality that $\tilde{H}_k(\Delta^G_U) \cong \tilde{H}^{|E(G)|-k-3}(\mathcal{A}_U) \cong \tilde{H}_{|E(G)|-k-3}(\mathcal{A}_U) \cong 0$ unless $|E(G)| - k - 3 = |V(G)| - 3$. Therefore, $\tilde{H}_k(\Delta^G_U; \mathbb{R}) \cong 0$ unless $k = |E(G)| - |V(G)|$.

□

REFERENCES

[1] G. Dorpalen-Barry, C. Hettle, D. C. Livingston, J. L. Martin, G. D. Nasr, J. Vega, and H. Whitlatch, *A positivity phenomenon in Elser's gaussian-cluster percolation model*. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, 179:105364, Apr 2021. ISSN 0097-3165. doi: 10.1016/j.jcta.2020.105364.

[2] V. Elser, *Gaussian-cluster models of percolation and self-avoiding walks*. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General, 17(7):1515–1523, May 1984. ISSN 1361-6447. doi: 10.1088/0305-4470/17/7/019. http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/17/7/019.

[3] R. Forman, *Morse Theory for Cell Complexes*, Advances in Mathematics, Volume 134, Issue 1, 1998, Pages 90-145, ISSN 0001-8708. https://doi.org/10.1006/aima.1997.1650.

[4] D. Grinberg, *The Elser nuclei sum revisited*, Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science, vol. 23 no. 1, 10.46298/dmtcs.7012.

[5] J. Jonsson. *Simplicial complexes of graphs*, volume 1928 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

Email address: apratim.chakraborty@tcgcrest.org
Email address: anupam.mondal@tcgcrest.org
Email address: sajal.mukherjee@tcgcrest.org
Email address: kuldeep.saha@tcgcrest.org

TCG CREST, SECTOR V, BIDHANNAGAR, KOLKATA, WEST BENGAL, INDIA 700091