

A remark on extremal stability of configuration spaces

by

MUHAMMAD YAMEEN

Abstract

We explicitly study the extremal stability of configuration spaces of complex projective spaces of any dimension, and show that the homology groups are vanish in extremal stable range. As a consequence, we give an affirmative answer of the question of Knudsen, Miller and Tosteson.

Key Words: Configuration spaces, extremal stability, Hilbert function, Chevalley–Eilenberg complex

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 55R80, Secondary 55P62.

1 Introduction

For any manifold M , let

$$F_k(M) := \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in M^k \mid x_i \neq x_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$$

be the configuration space of k distinct ordered points in M with induced topology. The symmetric group S_k acts on $F_k(M)$ by permuting the coordinates. The quotient

$$C_k(M) := F_k(M)/S_k$$

is the unordered configuration space with quotient topology. It is a classical problem in algebraic topology to understand the homology and cohomology of such spaces. Arnold proved integral cohomological stability for \mathbb{R}^2 :

$$H^i(C_{2i-2}(\mathbb{R}^2); \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^i(C_{2i-1}(\mathbb{R}^2); \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^i(C_{2i}(\mathbb{R}^2); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \dots$$

The isomorphisms (for k large depending on i)

$$H^i(C_k(M); \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^i(C_{k+1}(M); \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^i(C_{k+2}(M); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \dots$$

were generalized for open manifolds by McDuff [9] and Segal [10]. Using representation stability, Church [4] proved that

$$H^i(C_k(M); \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^i(C_{k+1}(M); \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^i(C_{k+2}(M); \mathbb{Q}) \cong \dots$$

for $k > i$ and M a connected oriented manifold of finite type. This result was extended by Knudsen [7]. More recently, Knudsen, Miller and Tosteson [8] study the extremal stability (the stability of top cohomology) of unordered configuration spaces of manifolds. They

asked the following question:

Question. (see Question 4.10 of [8]) Suppose that $H_{d-1}(M; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, is the Hilbert function

$$k \mapsto \dim H_{k(d-2)+i}(C_k(M); \mathbb{Q})$$

eventually a quasi-polynomial?

Here, the dimension of M is d . The above question has an affirmative answer under the further assumption that $H_{d-2}(M; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ by Theorem 4.9 of [8]. Here is our main result:

Theorem 1. *For $i, m \in \mathbb{N}$, the Hilbert function*

$$k \mapsto \dim H_{k(2m-2)+i}(C_k(\mathbb{CP}^m); \mathbb{Q})$$

is eventually a quasi-polynomial.

2 Chevalley–Eilenberg complex

The cohomology and homology of configuration spaces have received a lot of attention, since the work by Arnold [1]. Bödiger–Cohen–Taylor [3] studied the homology of $C_k(M)$ in the case of odd dimensional manifolds. Félix–Thomas [6] (see also [5]) constructed a Sullivan model for the rational cohomology of configuration spaces of closed oriented even dimensional manifolds. Furthermore, Knudsen [7] extended the result of Félix–Thomas for general even dimensional manifolds.

Let $\dim(M) = 2m$. Throughout the paper, we will consider the homology and cohomology over \mathbb{Q} . The symmetric algebra $Sym(A^*)$ is the tensor product of a polynomial algebra and an exterior algebra:

$$Sym(A^*) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} Sym^k(A^*) = Poly(A^{even}) \otimes Ext(A^{odd}),$$

where Sym^k is generated by the monomials of length k . The n -th suspension of the graded vector space V is the graded vector space $V[n]$ with $V[n]_i = V_{i-n}$, and the element of $V[n]$ corresponding to $a \in V$ is denoted $s^n a$. We write $H_{-*}(M; \mathbb{Q})$ for the graded vector space whose degree $-i$ part is the i -th homology group of M .

Consider two graded vector spaces $V^* = H_{-*}(M; \mathbb{Q})[2m]$, $W^* = H_{-*}(M; \mathbb{Q})[4m-1]$

$$V^* = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2m} V^i, \quad W^* = \bigoplus_{j=2m-1}^{4m-1} W^j,$$

and a degree 1 linear map ∂ :

$$\partial|_{V^*} = 0, \quad \partial|_{W^*} : W^* \simeq H_*(M; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\Delta} Sym^2(V^*) \simeq Sym^2(H_*(M; \mathbb{Q})),$$

where Δ is diagonal co-multiplication corresponding to cup product. We choose bases in V^i and W^j as

$$V^i = \mathbb{Q}\langle v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}, \dots \rangle, \quad W^j = \mathbb{Q}\langle w_{j,1}, w_{j,2}, \dots \rangle$$

(the degree of an element is marked by the first lower index; x_i^q stands for the product $x_i \wedge x_i \wedge \dots \wedge x_i$ of q -factors). Always we take $V^0 = \mathbb{Q}\langle v_0 \rangle$. Now we consider the graded algebra:

$$\Omega_k^{*,*}(M) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \bigoplus_{\omega=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \Omega_k^{i,\omega}(M) = \bigoplus_{\omega=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} (\text{Sym}^{k-2\omega}(V^*) \otimes \text{Sym}^\omega(W^*))$$

the (total) degree i is given by the grading of V^* and W^* , where ω is a weight grading. The differential ∂ extends over graded algebra by using Leibniz's rule. By definition of differential, we have

$$\partial : \Omega_k^{*,*}(M) \longrightarrow \Omega_k^{*+1,*-1}(M).$$

Theorem 2. ([6] [5] [7]) *For a connected closed oriented manifold M of even dimension, we have*

$$H^*(C_k(M)) \simeq H^*(\Omega_k^{*,*}(M), \partial).$$

The complex $(\Omega_k^{*,*}(M), \partial)$ is Chevalley–Eilenberg type complex. The Chevalley–Eilenberg complex has been a ubiquitous presence in the study of the configuration spaces of manifolds. Prominent examples of its appearance include the work of Bödighheimer–Cohen–Taylor [3] and Félix–Thomas [6], building on McDuff's foundational work [9]; the work of Félix–Tanré [5] following Totaro [11]; and the work of Knudsen [7] building on work of Ayala–Francis [2].

3 Proof of main Theorem

The cohomology ring of \mathbb{CP}^m is the truncated polynomial ring with single generator:

$$H^*(\mathbb{CP}^m; \mathbb{Q}) = \frac{\mathbb{Q}[x]}{\langle x^m \rangle}, \quad \text{where } \deg(x) = 2.$$

The corresponding two graded vector spaces are

$$V^* = \langle v_0, v_2, \dots, v_{2m} \rangle, \quad W^* = \langle w_{2m-3}, w_{2m+3}, \dots, w_{4m-3} \rangle.$$

The differential ∂ is define on V^* and W^* as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(v_{2i}) &= 0 & 0 \leq i \leq m, \\ \partial(w_{2i-1}) &= \sum_{\substack{a+b=i, \\ 0 \leq a, b \leq m}} v_{2a} v_{2b} & m \leq i \leq 2m. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1. *The subspace $\Omega_{k-2}^{*,*}(\mathbb{CP}^m) \cdot (v_{2m}^2, w_{4m-1}) < \Omega_k^{*,*}(\mathbb{CP}^m)$ is acyclic for $k \geq 2$.*

Proof. An element in $\Omega_{k-2}^{*,*}(\mathbb{CP}^m) \cdot (v_{2m}^2, w_{4m-1})$ has a unique expansion $v_{2m}^2 A + B w_{4m-1}$, where A and B have no monomial containing w_{4m-1} . The operator

$$h(v_{2m}^2 A + B w_{4m-1}) = w_{4m-1} A$$

gives a homotopy $id \simeq 0$.

□

We denote the reduced complex $(\Omega_k^{*,*}(\mathbb{CP}^m)/\Omega_{k-2}^{*,*}(\mathbb{CP}^m), (v_{2m}^2, w_{4m-1}), \partial_{\text{induced}})$ by

$$(r\Omega_k^{*,*}(\mathbb{CP}^m), \partial).$$

Corollary 1. *For $k \geq 2$, we have isomorphism $H^*(r\Omega_k^{*,*}(\mathbb{CP}^m), \partial) \cong H^*(C_k(\mathbb{CP}^m))$.*

Lemma 2. *The cohomology groups $H^{k(2m-2)+i}(C_k(\mathbb{CP}^m))$ are eventually vanish for $m \geq 1$ and $i \geq 4$.*

Proof. For $k \geq 4$, the highest degree element in the reduce complex $(r\Omega_k^{*,*}(\mathbb{CP}^m), \partial)$ is $v_{2m-2}^{k-3}v_{2m}w_{4m-3}$. The degree of $v_{2m-2}^{k-3}v_{2m}w_{4m-3}$ is $(2m-2)k+3$. This implies that the cohomology groups $H^{k(2m-2)+i}(C_k(\mathbb{CP}^m))$ are vanish for $m \geq 1$, $i \geq 4$ and $k \geq 4$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. If $m = 1$, then we have $H^{k(2m-2)+i}(C_k(\mathbb{CP}^m)) = H^i(C_k(\mathbb{CP}^1))$. The church homological stability of configuration spaces (see Corollary 3 of [4]) implies that the function

$$k \mapsto \dim H^i(C_k(\mathbb{CP}^1))$$

is eventually constant for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $m \geq 2$ and $k \geq 8$. From Lemma 2, we have vanishing:

$$H^{k(2m-2)+i}(C_k(\mathbb{CP}^m)) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \geq 4.$$

Now, we just focus on the cohomology groups $H^{k(2m-2)+i}(C_k(\mathbb{CP}^m))$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. There is no element of degree higher than $k(2m-2)+3$ in reduced complex $(r\Omega_k^{*,*}(\mathbb{CP}^m), \partial)$. The elements of degrees $k(2m-2)$, $k(2m-2)+1$, $k(2m-2)+2$ and $k(2m-2)+3$ are concentrated in the following two sub-complex:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow r\Omega_k^{k(2m-2)+2,2}(\mathbb{CP}^m) \longrightarrow r\Omega_k^{k(2m-2)+3,1}(\mathbb{CP}^m) \longrightarrow 0 \\ \dots \longrightarrow r\Omega_k^{k(2m-2),2}(\mathbb{CP}^m) \longrightarrow r\Omega_k^{k(2m-2)+1,1}(\mathbb{CP}^m) \longrightarrow r\Omega_k^{k(2m-2)+2,0}(\mathbb{CP}^m) \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r\Omega_k^{k(2m-2)+3,1} &= \langle v_{2m-2}^{k-3}v_{2m}w_{4m-3} \rangle, \\ r\Omega_k^{k(2m-2)+2,2} &= \langle v_{2m-2}^{k-5}v_{2m}w_{4m-5}w_{4m-3} \rangle, \\ r\Omega_k^{k(2m-2)+2,0} &= \langle v_{2m-2}^{k-1}v_{2m} \rangle, \\ r\Omega_k^{k(2m-2)+1,1} &= \langle v_{2m-4}v_{2m-2}^{k-4}v_{2m}w_{4m-3}, v_{2m-2}^{k-3}v_{2m}w_{4m-5}, v_{2m-2}^{k-2}w_{4m-3} \rangle, \\ r\Omega_k^{k(2m-2),2} &= \langle v_{2m-2}^{k-5}v_{2m}w_{4m-7}w_{4m-3}, v_{2m-4}v_{2m-2}^{k-6}v_{2m}w_{4m-5}w_{4m-3}, v_{2m-2}^{k-4}w_{4m-5}w_{4m-3} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The first sub-complex is exact because we have non-trivial differential:

$$\partial(v_{2m-2}^{k-5}v_{2m}w_{4m-5}w_{4m-3}) = v_{2m-2}^{k-3}v_{2m}w_{4m-3}.$$

Now we investigate the second sub-complex. The differential ∂ is define on the bases of second sub-complex as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial(v_{2m-2}^{k-1}v_{2m}) &= 0, \\
\partial(v_{2m-4}v_{2m-2}^{k-4}v_{2m}w_{4m-3}) &= 0, \\
\partial(v_{2m-2}^{k-3}v_{2m}w_{4m-5}) &= v_{2m-2}^{k-1}v_{2m}, \\
\partial(v_{2m-2}^{k-2}w_{4m-3}) &= 2v_{2m-2}^{k-1}v_{2m}, \\
\partial(v_{2m-2}^{k-5}v_{2m}w_{4m-7}w_{4m-3}) &= 2v_{2m-4}v_{2m-2}^{k-4}v_{2m}w_{4m-3}, \\
\partial(v_{2m-4}v_{2m-2}^{k-6}v_{2m}w_{4m-5}) &= v_{2m-4}v_{2m-2}^{k-4}v_{2m}w_{4m-3}, \\
\partial(w_{4m-3}, v_{2m-2}^{k-4}w_{4m-5}w_{4m-3}) &= 2v_{2m-4}v_{2m-2}^{k-4}v_{2m}w_{4m-3} + v_{2m-2}^{k-2}w_{4m-3} + \\
&\quad + 2v_{2m-2}^{k-3}v_{2m}w_{4m-5}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the monomial v_{2m}^a is zero in $r\Omega_k^{k(2m-2),2}(\mathbb{CP}^m)$ for $a > 2$. The dimensions of image and kernel of the map $\partial : r\Omega_k^{k(2m-2)+1,1}(\mathbb{CP}^m) \rightarrow r\Omega_k^{k(2m-2)+2,0}(\mathbb{CP}^m)$ is 1 and 2, respectively. Moreover, the dimension of the image of map $\partial : r\Omega_k^{k(2m-2),2}(\mathbb{CP}^m) \rightarrow r\Omega_k^{k(2m-2)+1,1}(\mathbb{CP}^m)$ is 2. From these computations, we conclude that the cohomology groups

$$H^{k(2m-2)+i}(C_k(\mathbb{CP}^m))$$

are vanish for $k \geq 8$ and $i = 1, 2, 3$. We know that $\dim H^i(M; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \dim H_i(M; \mathbb{Q})$. Hence, for $i, m \in \mathbb{N}$, the Hilbert function

$$k \mapsto \dim H_{k(2m-2)+i}(C_k(\mathbb{CP}^m); \mathbb{Q})$$

is eventually a quasi-polynomial. □

Acknowledgement. The Author gratefully acknowledge the support from the ASSMS GC, university Lahore. This research is partially supported by Higher Education Commission of Pakistan.

References

- [1] V. I. Arnold, *On some topological invariants of algebraic functions*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. Vol **21** (1970), 30-52.
- [2] D. Ayala, J. Francis, *Factorization homology of topological manifolds*, J. Topol. 8 (2015) 1045–1084.
- [3] C. F. Bödigheimer, F. Cohen, L. Taylor, *On the homology of configuration spaces*, Topology, **Vol. 28 no. 2** (1989), 111-123.
- [4] T. Church, *Homological stability for configuration spaces of manifolds*, Invent. Math., **Vol. 188 no. 2** (2012), 465-504.

- [5] Y. Félix, D. Tanré, *The cohomology algebra of unordered configuration spaces*, J. London Math. Soc, **Vol. 72 no. 2** (2005), 525-544.
- [6] Y. Félix, J. C. Thomas, *Rational Betti numbers of configuration spaces*, Topology and its Application, **Vol. 102 no. 2** (2000), 139-149.
- [7] B. Knudsen, *Betti numbers and stability for configuration spaces via factorization homology*, Algebraic and Geometric Topology, **Vol. 17 no. 5** (2017), 3137-3187.
- [8] B. Knudsen, J. Miller, P. Tosteson *EXTREMAL STABILITY FOR CONFIGURATION SPACES*, preprint arXiv2109.03855v1[math AT], (2021).
- [9] D. McDuff, *Configuration spaces of positive and negative particles*, Topology **14, 1** (1975), 91-107.
- [10] G. Segal, *The topology of spaces of rational function*, Acta Math., **143 (1-2)** (1979), 39-72.
- [11] B. Totaro, *Configuration spaces of algebraic varieties*, Topology 35 (1996) 1057–1067.

Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences,
GC University Lahore, Pakistan.
E-mail: yameen99khan@gmail.com