

# A REMARK ON A PROOF OF $[G, L] = 0$ FOR A LIE GROUP $G$

HARUO MINAMI

ABSTRACT. In this note we give an improvement of our proof of  $[G, L] = 0$  for a compact framed Lie group  $(G, L)$ , which depends heavily on the choice of a circle subgroup  $S \subset G$ . We attempt here to make a more suitable choice of this circle subgroup.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a compact connected Lie group and  $L$  be its left invariant framing. We denote by  $[G, L]$  the framed bordism class of  $(G, L)$ . In [3] we gave a proof of the following result, based on a proof technique proposed in [4].

**THEOREM.** *For  $G = SU(n)$ ,  $SO(n)$ ,  $Spin(n)$  ( $n \geq 8$ );  $Sp(n)$  ( $n \geq 4$ );  $F_4$ ,  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$ ,  $E_8$ , we have  $[G, L] = 0$ .*

Here we give a direct proof by making a more suitable choice of the circle subgroup  $S \subset G$  which is a key ingredient of the formula used in [4].

From [4, 2] we know that  $[G, L]_{(p)} = 0$  for all primes  $p \geq 5$ , so we restrict ourselves to the cases  $p = 2, 3$  where  $x_{(p)}$  is the image of  $x$  by the localizing homomorphism at  $p$ .

Suppose we are given a circle subgroup  $S \subset G$  and a one-dimensional representation  $\gamma : S \rightarrow U(1)$ . Let  $\xi$  be the complex line bundle associated with the principal  $S$ -bundle  $G \rightarrow G/S$  by  $\gamma$ . Assuming that its sphere bundle  $S(\xi) \rightarrow G/S$  is isomorphic to  $G \rightarrow G/S$ , we consider the Kronecker product of  $[G/S] \in \pi_{d-1}^S(G/S^+)$  and  $J(\beta\xi) \in \pi_d^S(S^1(G/S^+))$  ( $d = \dim G$ ). Then by [4] we have

$$[G, L] = -\langle J(\beta\xi), [G/S] \rangle \quad \text{in } \pi_d^S.$$

Here  $G/S$  is a framed manifold with the framing inherited from  $G$  in the natural way and let  $\beta$  be the Bott element and  $J$  be the complex  $J$  homomorphism.

In view of this formula, in order to prove that  $[G, L] = 0$  we show that  $J(\beta\xi) = 0$  holds for  $S \subset G$  specified depending on each  $G$ . But in fact for the reasons mentioned above we show that  $J(\beta\xi)_{(p)} = 0$  holds only for  $p = 2, 3$ .

For  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$  we set  $t^j = J(\beta\xi^j)$  (where  $\xi^0$  is the trivial line bundle  $G/S \times \mathbf{C}$ ). Then by the solution of the Adams conjecture we have

$$t_{(p)}^j = kt_{(p)}^{kj} \quad (k, j \neq 0) \quad \text{if } (p, k) = 1.$$

Also, since  $J(\beta)$  becomes a generator of  $\pi_1^S = \mathbf{Z}_2$ , we have

$$2 \cdot 1 = 0$$

where  $1 = t^0$ . Applying these two relations to (1) below enables us to calculate that  $t_{(p)} = 0$ . But in the calculation below we drop for brevity the subscript of  $t_{(p)}$  except in some exceptional cases.

For any given  $k_1, \dots, k_\ell \in \mathbf{Z}$  we write  $S(k_1, \dots, k_\ell)$  for the circle subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $\text{diag}(z^{k_1}, \dots, z^{k_\ell})$ ,  $z \in U(1)$ , where  $\text{diag}(c_1, \dots, c_\ell)$  denote the diagonal matrix whose  $ii$ -entry is  $c_i$ . We take  $S = S(k_1, \dots, k_\ell)$  for  $k_1, \dots, k_\ell \in \mathbf{Z}$  with  $k_j = 1$  for some  $j$  and then define  $\gamma : S \rightarrow U(1)$  by  $\text{diag}(z^{k_1}, \dots, z^{k_\ell}) \mapsto z$ . Suppose here that there exists a complex representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow U(n)$  satisfying

$$\rho|_S = \gamma^{k_1} + \dots + \gamma^{k_\ell} + (n - s) \quad (s \geq 0).$$

Then it holds that  $\xi^{k_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \xi^{k_\ell} \oplus (n - s)\xi^0 \cong n\xi^0$  and therefore we have

$$(1) \quad t^{k_1+i} + \dots + t^{k_\ell+i} = \ell t^i \quad (i \geq 0)$$

Below we write  $(1)_i$  for this equality to clarify that it belongs to the formula of  $t^i$ . Besides in some cases, this equality is used in combination with the one obtained by using  $\lambda^j \rho$  instead of  $\rho$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM FOR CLASSICAL LIE GROUPS

*Proof for the case  $G = Sp(n)$ .* Let  $Sp(n)$  be embedded in  $SU(2n)$  in the standard way. Let  $\rho : Sp(n) \rightarrow U(2n)$  be the restriction of the inclusion homomorphism  $SU(2n) \rightarrow U(2n)$  to  $Sp(n)$ . Take  $S = S(1, 2, 3, -6, 0, \dots, 0) \subset Sp(n)$ . Then this circle subgroup corresponds to  $S(1, -1, 2, -2, 3, -3, -6, 6, 0, \dots, 0)$  in  $SU(2n)$  via the embedding above, so by (1) we have

$$(2) \quad t^{1+i} + t^{2+i} + t^{3+i} + t^{6+i} + t^{-1+i} + t^{-2+i} + t^{-3+i} + t^{-6+i} = 8t^i \quad (i \geq 0).$$

*Case  $p = 2$ .* From  $(2)_1, (2)_2, (2)_4$  we have

$$105t^4 = 916t + 1, \quad 45t^4 + 60t^2 = 76t + 1, \quad 840t^4 - 56t^2 = 176t$$

(where the subscript of  $t_{(2)}$  is omitted as noted above). By eliminating  $t^2$  and  $t^4$  from these equations we have

$$16t = 0$$

and therefore  $t^4 = 4t + 1, 16t^2 = 0$ . Substituting these equalities into  $(2)_5, (2)_2$  we have  $4t^2 + 8t = 0, t^8 = 8t + 1$ . Finally, substituting all these equalities into  $(2)_6$  we obtain  $t = 0$ , i.e.,  $t_{(2)} = 0$ .

*Case  $p = 3$ .* From  $(2)_1, (2)_2, (2)_3$  (with  $t$  replaced by  $t_{(3)}$ ) we have similarly

$$3t = 0, \quad t^3 = 0, \quad t^9 = 0.$$

In the above, replacing  $\rho$  by  $\lambda^2 \rho$ , we have a similar equality to (2):

$$\begin{aligned} & 2t^{1+i} + t^{2+i} + 2t^{3+i} + 2t^{4+i} + 2t^{5+i} + t^{7+i} + t^{8+i} + t^{9+i} + 2t^{-1+i} \\ & + t^{-2+i} + 2t^{-3+i} + 2t^{-4+i} + 2t^{-5+i} + t^{-7+i} + t^{-8+i} + t^{-9+i} = 24t^i \quad (i \geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

By substituting the three equalities obtained above into this equality for  $i = 1$  we obtain  $t = 0$ , i.e.,  $t_{(3)} = 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof for the case  $G = SU(n)$ .* Let  $\rho$  be the inclusion homomorphism  $SU(n) \rightarrow U(n)$ . We take  $S = S(1, -1, 2, -2, 3, -3, -6, 6, 0, \dots, 0) \subset SU(n)$  which has the same form as that in the case  $G = Sp(n)$ . Then this choice of  $S$  allows us to apply the same argument and consequently leads us to the result.  $\square$

*Proof for the case  $G = SO(n)$ .* Let  $\rho : SO(n) \rightarrow U(n)$  be the complexification of the real inclusion homomorphism  $SO(n) \rightarrow O(n)$ . Let  $S \subset SO(n)$  be the circle subgroup corresponding to  $S(1, -1, 2, -2, 3, -3, -6, 6, 0, \dots, 0)$  in  $SU(n)$  via the canonical embedding  $\iota : SO(n) \rightarrow SU(n)$ . For the same reason as for the above, applying the argument as in the above case we can obtain the result similarly.  $\square$

*Proof for the case  $G = Spin(n)$ .* Let  $\rho = i \circ \pi : Spin(n) \rightarrow U(n)$  where  $\pi$  denotes the natural covering morphism  $Spin(n) \rightarrow SO(n)$  and  $i : SO(n) \rightarrow U(n)$  the complexification of the inclusion homomorphism  $SO(n) \rightarrow O(n)$ . Let  $S \subset Spin(n)$  be the circle subgroup such that its image by  $\pi$  corresponds to  $S(2, -2, 2, -2, 4, -4, 6, -6, 0, \dots, 0)$  in  $SU(n)$  via  $\iota$  above. It is clear that by definition  $S$  contains  $-1 \in Spin(n)$ . Therefore by applying (1) we have

$$(3) \quad 2t^{2+i} + t^{4+i} + t^{6+i} + 2t^{-2+i} + t^{-4+i} + t^{-6+i} = 8t^i \quad (i \geq 0).$$

Case  $p = 2$ . From  $(3)_1$  we have

$$8t = 0.$$

In the above, replacing  $\rho$  by  $\lambda^4 \rho$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{2+i} + 2t^{4+i} + 5t^{6+i} + 4t^{8+i} + 4t^{10+i} + t^{14+i} + t^{-2+i} \\ & + 2t^{-4+i} + 5t^{-6+i} + 4t^{-8+i} + 4t^{-10+i} + t^{-14+i} = 34t^i \quad (i \geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting  $8t = 0$  above into this equality for  $i = 1$  we have  $t = 0$ , i.e.,  $t_{(2)} = 0$ .

Case  $p = 3$ . From  $(3)_1$  we have  $t_{(3)} = 0$  by a simple calculation.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM FOR EXCEPTIONAL LIE GROUPS

*Proof for the case  $G = F_4$ .* We know [4] that  $F_4$  has  $Spin(9)$  as a subgroup and a representation  $U : F_4 \rightarrow U(26)$  such that its restriction to this  $Spin(9)$  is  $1 + \lambda^1 + \Delta$ , where  $\lambda^1 = i \circ \pi : Spin(9) \rightarrow SO(9) \rightarrow U(9)$  (with the notation above) and  $\Delta$  is the spin representation. Take  $\rho = U$ . Then, if we choose  $S \subset F_4$  so that its image by  $\pi$  corresponds to  $S(2, -2, 2, -2, 2, -2, 4, -4, 0)$  in  $SU(9)$  via the canonical embedding  $\iota$ , then we have

$$\rho|_{Spin(9)} = (\gamma + \gamma^{-1})^3(\gamma^2 + \gamma^{-2}) + 3\gamma^2 + 3\gamma^{-2} + \gamma^4 + \gamma^{-4},$$

so by (1) we have

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & 4t^{1+i} + 3t^{2+i} + 3t^{3+i} + t^{4+i} + t^{5+i} + 4t^{-1+i} + 3t^{-2+i} \\ & + 3t^{-3+i} + t^{-4+i} + t^{-5+i} = 24t^i \quad (i \geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

By replacing  $\rho$  by  $\lambda^2 \rho$  we also have

$$(4') \quad \begin{aligned} & 25t^{1+i} + 24t^{2+i} + 19t^{3+i} + 19t^{4+i} + 13t^{5+i} + 10t^{6+i} + 6t^{7+i} + 3t^{8+i} \\ & + t^{9+i} + 25t^{-1+i} + 24t^{-2+i} + 19t^{-3+i} + 19t^{-4+i} + 13t^{-5+i} + 10t^{-6+i} \\ & + 6t^{-7+i} + 3t^{-8+i} + t^{-9+i} = 240t^i \quad (i \geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

*Case  $p = 2$ .* From  $(4)_1, (4)_2$  we have  $20t^2 + 30t^4 = 392t, 2590t^2 - 315t^4 = 288t$ , respectively. Further, calculating both  $(4)_3$  and  $(4)_4$  we have  $7840t^4 = 3128t$ . By eliminating  $t^2, t^4$  from these equations we have  $8t = 0$ , so  $32t^2 = 0, 2t^2 = 5t^4$ . Calculating  $(4)_3$  and  $(4)_4$  again by use of these equalities, we have

$$8t = 0, 8t^2 = 0, t^4 = 2t^2, t^8 = 4t^2 + 1.$$

Using  $(4')_1$  the second equality is refined into  $4t^2 = 0$ , so it follows that  $t^8 = 1$ . Substituting these equalities consequently we have  $t = 0$ , i.e.,  $t_{(2)} = 0$ .

*Case  $p = 3$ .* From  $(4)_1, (4)_2$  we have  $3t = 0, t^3 = 0$ . Using these equalities, from  $(4)_4$  we have  $t^9 = 0$ . Substituting these equalities into  $(4')_1$  yields  $t = 0$ , i.e.,  $t_{(3)} = 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof for the case  $G = E_6$ .* From [4] we know that  $E_6$  has a subgroup  $F_4$  and a representation  $W : E_6 \rightarrow U(27)$  such that its restriction to  $F_4 \subset E_6$  is  $1 + U$ . This means that it enables us to apply the proof of the case  $G = F_4$  to the case here. Consequently we obtain the result.  $\square$

*Proof for the case  $G = E_7$ .* By [4, Theorem. 11.1],  $E_7$  contains  $SU(8)/\{\pm I\}$  as a subgroup where  $I \in SU(8)$  is the identity and a representation  $\rho : E_7 \rightarrow U(56)$  such that its restriction to this subgroup is  $\lambda^2 + \lambda^4$ . Here  $\lambda^j$  denotes the  $j$ -th exterior power of the standard representation of  $SU(8)$  on  $\mathbf{C}^8$ . Take  $S = S(1, \dots, 1, -7)/\{\pm I\} \subset E_7$ . Then by (1) we have

$$(5) \quad 21t^{1+i} + 7t^{3+i} + 21t^{-1+i} + 7t^{-3+i} = 52t^i \quad (i \geq 0).$$

Here  $t$  is the replacement of the square of  $t$  defined for  $S(1, \dots, 1 - 7)$  in (1). But by definition of (1) we find that in order to obtain the required result it suffices to prove that  $t_{(2)} = 0$  and  $t_{(3)} = 0$  for this  $t$ .

In addition, replacing  $\rho$  by  $\lambda^3\rho$  in the above, we also have

$$(5') \quad \begin{aligned} &3t^{1+i} + t^{3+i} + 6t^{5+i} + t^{7+i} + 3t^{9+i} + 6t^{-1+i} + 3t^{-1+i} \\ &+ t^{-3+i} + 6t^{-5+i} + t^{-7+i} + 3t^{-9+i} + 6t^{1+i} = 0 \quad \text{mod } 8 \quad (i \geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

*Case  $p = 2$ .* From  $(5)_1, (5)_2$  and  $(5)_3$  we have  $16t = 0$ , so  $8t^2 = 0$  and  $t^4 + 2t^2 = 8t + 1$ . Using  $(5)_4$  the first equality is refined into  $4t = 0$ , so the last one becomes  $t^4 + 2t^2 = 1$ . From  $(5)_5$  we have  $t^8 = 4t^2 + 1$ . Substituting these equalities, from  $(5')_3, (5')_4$  we have  $1 = 0$  and  $t = 1$ , respectively. Combining these two results we have  $t = 0$ , i.e.,  $t_{(2)} = 0$ .

*Case  $p = 3$ .* From the calculation of  $(5)_1, (5)_2, (5)_3$  we have  $t = 0$ , i.e.,  $t_{(3)} = 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof for the case  $G = E_8$ .* We know [1] that  $E_8$  contains  $Spin(16)$  as a subgroup and the restriction of the adjoint representation of  $E_8$  to this  $Spin(16)$  is  $\lambda^2 + \Delta^+$  where  $\lambda^2$  is the adjoint representation of  $Spin(16)$  and  $\Delta^+$  the positive spinor representation. We choose here a different  $S \subset E_8$  in each case.

*Case  $p = 2$ .* Let  $S \subset E_8$  be the circle subgroup of  $Spin(16)$  such that its image by  $\iota \circ \pi : Spin(16) \rightarrow SO(16) \rightarrow SU(16)$  is  $S(2, -2, \dots, 2, -2, 6, -6, 0, 0) \subset SU(16)$ . Then

by (1) we have

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} & 21t^{1+i} + 12t^{2+i} + 21t^{3+i} + 21t^{4+i} + 15t^{5+i} + 2t^{6+i} + 6t^{7+i} + 6t^{8+i} + t^{9+i} \\ & + 21t^{-1+i} + 12t^{-2+i} + 21t^{-3+i} + 21t^{-4+i} + 15t^{-5+i} + 2t^{-6+i} + 6t^{-7+i} \\ & + 6t^{-8+i} + t^{-9+i} = 210t^i \quad (i \geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Calculating (6)<sub>1</sub>, (6)<sub>2</sub>, (6)<sub>3</sub>, (6)<sub>4</sub> we have

$$2^5 \cdot 10223201t^2 = 2 \cdot 1331664213t, \quad 2^2 \cdot 248349949873t^2 = 2^2 \cdot 104608953537t.$$

From these equalities it follows that  $2t = 0$  and thereby  $4t^2 = 0$ ,  $2t^4 = 0$ ,  $t^8 = 0$ . Further, by using these equalities, from (6)<sub>7</sub> we have  $t^{16} = 2t^2 + 1$ . Finally, substituting these equalities into (6)<sub>8</sub> we obtain  $t = 0$ , i.e.,  $t_{(2)} = 0$ .

*Case  $p = 3$ .* We choose the circle subgroup of  $Spin(16)$  as  $S \subset E_8$  such that its image by  $\iota \circ \pi : Spin(16) \rightarrow SO(16) \rightarrow SU(16)$  is  $S(2, -2, \dots, 2, -2, -2, 2) \subset SU(16)$ . Then by (1) we have

$$(7) \quad 56t^{2+2i} + 28t^{8+2i} + 8t^{6+2i} + 56t^{-2+2i} + 28t^{-8+2i} + 8t^{-6+2i} = 184t^{2i} \quad (i \geq 0).$$

Here, thinking of  $t^2$  as  $t$  we prove that  $t_{(3)}^2 = 0$ , which means that  $t_{(3)} = 0$ , because of  $2t_{(3)}^2 = t_{(3)}$ ,

Combining the results of calculations of (7)<sub>2i</sub> for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , we have  $3t^2 = 0$ ,  $t^6 = 0$ . Calculating (7)<sub>10</sub> by use of these equalities we have  $t^{18} = 0$ . Substituting all these equalities into (7)<sub>14</sub>, we obtain  $t^2 = 0$ , i.e.,  $t_{(3)}^2 = 0$ , so as stated above we conclude that  $t_{(3)} = 0$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. F. Adams, *Lectures on Exceptional Lie Groups*, Chicago Lectures in Math., University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1996.
- [2] K. Knapp, *On odd-primary components of Lie groups* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1980) 147–152.
- [3] H. Minami, *On framed simple Lie groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **65** (2016) 1219–1229.
- [4] E. Ossa, *Lie groups as framed manifolds*, Topology **21** (1982) 315–323.

H. MINAMI: PROFESSOR EMERITUS, NARA UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION

*Email address:* hminami@camel.plala.or.jp