

# ON INDEX DIVISORS AND MONOGENITY OF CERTAIN NUMBER FIELDS DEFINED BY TRINOMIALS

LHOUSSAIN EL FADIL

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $K$  be a number field generated by a root  $\theta$  of a monic irreducible trinomial  $F(x) = x^n + ax^m + b \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . In this paper, we study the problem of  $K$ . More precisely, we provide some explicit conditions on  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $n$ , and  $m$  for which  $K$  is not monogenic. As applications, we show that there are infinite families of non-monogenic number fields defined by trinomials of degree  $n = 2^r \cdot 3^k$  with  $r$  and  $k$  two positive integers. We also give infinite families of non-monogenic sextic number fields defined by trinomials. Some illustrating examples are giving at the end of this paper.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $K$  be a number field of degree  $n$  with ring of integers  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ , and  $d_K$  its absolute discriminant. It is well-known that  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  is a free Abelian group of rank  $n$ . Thanks to a classification theorem of free Abelian groups of finite rank, the index  $(\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\theta])$  is finite and called the index of  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ . The number field  $K$  is called *monogenic* if  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  admits a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of type  $(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1})$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_K$ . Monogeneity of number fields is a classical problem of algebraic number theory, going back to Dedekind, Hasse, and Hensel (see for instance [20, 21, 15]). For any integral primitive element  $\alpha$  of  $K$ , we denote by

$$\text{ind}(\alpha) = (\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha])$$

the index of  $\alpha$ . As it is known [15], we have the following index formula:

$$|\Delta(\alpha)| = \text{ind}(\alpha)^2 \cdot |d_K|$$

where  $\Delta(\alpha)$  is the discriminant of the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

It is clear that  $\text{ind}(\alpha) = 1$  for some integral primitive element  $\alpha$  of  $K$  if and only if  $(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1})$  is a power integral basis of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ .

The problem of testing the monogeneity of number fields and constructing generators of power integral bases have been intensively studied during the last four decades mainly by Gaál, Györy, Nakahara, Pohst and their collaborators, see for instance [1, 14, 32]. Especially a delicate and intensively studied problem is the monogeneity of pure number fields. Recently, many authors are interested on monogeneity of number fields defined by trinomials. In [25, 26], Khanduja et al. studied the integral closedness of some number fields defined by trinomials. Their results are refined by Ibarra et al. (see [24]). Remark that Khanduja's results given in [25, 26], can only decide on the integral closedness of  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ , but cannot give a complete answer to the monogeneity problem of  $K$ . In [27, 28, 29, 30],

---

*Date:* March 14, 2022.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11R04, 11R21, 11Y40.

*Key words and phrases.* Power integral basis; trinomials; theorem of Ore; prime ideal factorization; common index divisor.

Jones et al. introduced a new notion monogeneity, namely monogeneity of irreducible polynomials. According to Jones definition, if a polynomial  $F(x)$  is monogenic, then  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  is monogenic, but the converse is not true because a number field generated by a root of a non monogenic polynomial can be monogenic. Therefore Jones's and Khanduja's results cover partially the study of the monogeneity of number fields. Davis and Spearman [6] studied the index of quartic number fields  $K$  generated by a root of such a quartic trinomial  $F(x) = x^4 + ax + b \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . They gave necessary and sufficient conditions on  $a$  and  $b$ , which characterize when a prime  $p$  is a common index divisor of  $K$  for  $p = 2, 3$ . Their method is based on the calculation of the  $p$ -index form of  $K$ . In [13], for a sextic number field  $K$  defined by a trinomial  $F(x) = x^6 + ax^3 + b \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , Gaál calculated all possible generators of power integral bases of  $K$ . In [7], El Fadil extended Gaál's studies by providing some cases where  $K$  is not monogenic. In [2], Ben Yakkou and El Fadil gave sufficient conditions on coefficients of a trinomial which guarantee the non-monogeneity of the number field defined by such a trinomial. Also in [8], for every prime integer  $p$ , El Fadil gave necessary and sufficient conditions on  $a$  and  $b$  which characterize when  $p$  is a common index divisor of  $K$ , where  $K$  is a number field defined by an irreducible trinomial  $F(x) = x^5 + ax^2 + b \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Recall that the index of a number field  $K$  is defined by  $i(K) = \text{GCD}((\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\theta]) \mid K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta) \text{ and } \theta \in \mathbb{Z}_K)$ . A rational prime integer  $p$  dividing  $i(K)$  is called a prime common index divisor of  $K$ . If  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  has a power integral basis, then  $i(K) = 1$ . Therefore a field having a prime common index divisor is not monogenic. In this paper, for certain number fields  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$  generated by a root  $\theta$  of a non monogenic irreducible trinomial  $F(x) = x^n + ax^m + b$ , we give some sufficient conditions on  $a$  and  $b$ , which guarantee the non-monogeneity of  $K$ . Our proposed results extend the special case when  $m = 1$ , which has been previously studied by Ben Yakkou and El fadil studied [2].

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this section  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$  is a number field generated by a complex root  $\theta$  of a monic irreducible trinomial of type  $F(x) = x^n + ax^m + b \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $m < n$  two natural numbers. Let  $p$  be a natural prime integer,  $\mathbb{F}_p$  the finite field with  $p$  elements. For every  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\nu_p(t)$  be the  $p$ -adic valuation of  $t$  and  $t_p = \frac{t}{p^{\nu_p(t)}}$ . For any  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  and positive rational integers  $f, s$ , we denote by  $N_p(f)$  the number of monic irreducible polynomials of degree  $f$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$  and  $N_p(f, s, t)$  the number of monic irreducible factors of degree  $f$  of the polynomial  $x^s + \bar{t}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . In this paper, we give some sufficient conditions on  $a, b, n$ , and  $m$  for which  $i(K) > 1$ , and hence  $K$  is not monogenic.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $p$  be a natural prime integer which divides both  $a$  and  $n$ , and does not divide  $b$ . Let  $\mu = \nu_p(a)$ ,  $\nu = \nu_p(b^{p-1} - 1)$ , and  $n = s \cdot p^r$  with  $p \nmid s$ . If for some positive integer  $f$ , one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1)  $\mu < \min\{\nu, r + 1\}$  and  $N_p(f) < \mu N_p(f, s, b)$ ,
- (2)  $\nu < \min\{\mu, r + 1\}$  and  $N_p(f) < \nu N_p(f, s, b)$ ,
- (3)  $r + 1 \leq \min\{\nu, \mu\}$  and  $N_p(f) < (r + 1)N_p(f, s, b)$ ,

*then  $p$  divides  $i(K)$ . In particular  $K$  is not monogenic.*

The following theorem gives an infinite family of non-monogenic number fields defined by trinomials of degrees  $2^k \cdot 3^r$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $K$  be a number field generated by a root  $\alpha$  of an irreducible trinomial  $F(x) = x^{2^k \cdot 3^r} + ax^m + b \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $k, r$ , and  $m$  three natural integers such that  $m + 1 \leq 2^k \cdot 3^r$ . If one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1)  $k \neq 2$ ,  $\nu_3(a) \geq 2$  and  $b \equiv -1 \pmod{9}$ ,
- (2)  $k = 2$ ,  $\nu_3(a) \geq 2$  and  $b \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{9}$ ,

*then 3 divides  $i(K)$ .*

*In particular, any one of these conditions guarantees the non monogeneity of  $K$ .*

*Remark 2.3.* Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2, if  $\nu_3(a) = 1$  or  $b \notin \{1, -1\} \pmod{9}$ , then 3 does not divide  $(\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha])$ , and so 3 does not divide  $i(K)$ .

The following theorem gives an infinite family of non-monogenic sextic number fields defined by trinomials.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$  be a sextic number field generated by a root of a monic irreducible trinomial  $x^6 + ax^m + b$  with  $m \leq 5$  a natural integer. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *If  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$  and  $b \equiv -1 \pmod{9}$ , then 3 divides  $i(K)$ .*
- (2) *If  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$  and  $b \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ , then 2 divides  $i(K)$ .*

*In particular, if one of these conditions holds, then  $K$  is not monogenic.*

*Remark 2.5.* Theorem 2.4 extends the results given by Jakhar and Kumar in [23], where  $m = 1$  has been previously studied.

### 3. PRELIMINARIES

In order to prove our main Theorems, we recall some fundamental techniques on prime ideal factorization and calculation of index. Let  $\overline{F(x)} = \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\phi_i(x)}^{l_i}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$  be the factorization of  $\overline{F(x)}$  into powers of monic irreducible coprime polynomials of  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . Recall that a theorem of Dedekind says that if

$p$  does not divide the index  $(\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha])$ , then  $p\mathbb{Z}_K = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{p}_i^{l_i}$ , where every  $\mathfrak{p}_i = p\mathbb{Z}_K + \phi_i(\alpha)\mathbb{Z}_K$

and the residue degree of  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is  $f(\mathfrak{p}_i) = \deg(\phi_i)$  ( see [19, Chapter I, Proposition 8.3]). In order to apply this theorem in an effective way, in 1878, Dedekind showed the well known Dedekind's criterion which allows to test whether  $p$  divides or not  $(\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha])$ , see for instance [4, Theorem 6.1.4] and [5]. When Dedekind's criterion fails, that is,  $p$  divides the index  $(\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\theta])$  for every primitive element  $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_K$  of  $K$ , then for such primes and number fields, it is not possible to obtain the prime ideal factorization of  $p\mathbb{Z}_K$  by Dedekind's theorem. In 1928, Ore developed an alternative approach for obtaining the index  $(\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha])$ , the absolute discriminant, and the prime ideal factorization of the rational primes in a number field  $K$ , under some conditions of  $p$ -regularity of  $F(x)$ , by using Newton polygons (see for instance [11, 17, 33]). Now we recall some fundamental facts about Newton polygons. For more details, we refer to [9] and [16].

For any prime integer  $p$  and for any monic polynomial  $\phi \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  whose reduction is irreducible in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ , let  $\mathbb{F}_\phi$  be the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(\overline{\phi})$ . For any monic polynomial  $F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , upon to the Euclidean division by successive powers of  $\phi$ , we expand  $F(x)$  as  $F(x) = a_0(x) + a_1(x)\phi(x) + \cdots + a_l(x)\phi(x)^l$ , called the  $\phi$ -expansion of  $F(x)$  (for every  $i = 0, \dots, l$ ,  $\deg(a_i(x)) < \deg(\phi)$ ). To any coefficient  $a_i(x) \neq 0$ , we attach the  $p$ -adic value  $u_i = \nu_p(a_i(x)) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The  $\phi$ -Newton polygon of  $F(x)$  with respect to  $p$ , is the lower boundary convex envelope of the set of points  $\{(i, u_i), a_i(x) \neq 0\}$  in the Euclidean plane, which we denote by  $N_\phi(F)$ . The  $\phi$ -Newton polygon of  $F$ , is the process of joining the obtained edges  $S_1, \dots, S_r$  ordered by increasing slopes, which can be expressed as  $N_\phi(F) = S_1 + \cdots + S_r$ . The principal  $\phi$ -Newton polygon of  $F$ , denoted  $N_\phi^+(F)$ , is the part of the polygon  $N_\phi(F)$ , which is determined by joining all sides of negative slopes. For every side  $S$  of  $N_\phi^+(F)$ , the length of  $S$ , denoted  $l(S)$ , is the length of its projection to the  $x$ -axis and its height, denoted  $H(S)$ , is the length of its projection to the  $y$ -axis. Let  $d = \text{GCD}(l(S), H(S))$ , called the degree of  $S$  and  $e = \frac{l(S)}{d}$  called the ramification index of  $S$ . For every side  $S$  of  $N_\phi^+(F)$ , with initial point  $(s, u_s)$  and length  $l$ , and for every  $i = 0, \dots, l$ , we attach the following *residue coefficient*  $c_i \in \mathbb{F}_\phi$  as follows:

$$c_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (s+i, u_{s+i}) \text{ lies strictly above } S, \\ \left( \frac{a_{s+i}(x)}{p^{u_{s+i}}} \right) \mod (p, \phi(x)), & \text{if } (s+i, u_{s+i}) \text{ lies on } S. \end{cases}$$

where  $(p, \phi(x))$  is the maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  generated by  $p$  and  $\phi$ . Let  $-\lambda = -h/e$  be the slope of  $S$ , where  $h$  and  $e$  are two positive coprime integers. Then  $d = l/e$  is the degree of  $S$ . Since the points with integer coordinates lying on  $S$  are exactly

$$(s, u_s), (s+e, u_s-h), \dots, (s+de, u_s-dh),$$

if  $i$  is not a multiple of  $e$ , then  $(s+i, u_{s+i})$  does not lie on  $S$ , and so  $c_i = 0$ . Let

$$R_\lambda(F)(y) = t_d y^d + t_{d-1} y^{d-1} + \cdots + t_1 y + t_0 \in \mathbb{F}_\phi[y],$$


called the residual polynomial of  $F(x)$  associated to the side  $S$ , where for every  $i = 0, \dots, d$ ,  $t_i = c_{ie}$ .

*Remark 3.1.* Notice that as  $(s, u_s)$  and  $(s+de, u_s-dh)$  lie on  $S$ , we have  $t_d t_0 \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}_\phi$ . Thus  $R_\lambda(F)(y)$  is of degree  $d$  and  $y$  does not divide  $R_\lambda(F)(y)$ .

Let  $N_\phi^+(F) = S_1 + \cdots + S_t$  be the principal  $\phi$ -Newton polygon of  $F$  with respect to  $p$ . We say that  $F$  is a  $\phi$ -regular polynomial with respect to  $p$ , if  $R_{\lambda_i}(y)$  is square free in  $\mathbb{F}_\phi[y]$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . The polynomial  $F$  is said to be  $p$ -regular if  $\overline{F(x)} = \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\phi_i}^{l_i}$  for some monic polynomials  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_r$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $\overline{\phi_1}, \dots, \overline{\phi_r}$  are irreducible pairwise coprime polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$  and  $F(x)$  is a  $\phi_i$ -regular polynomial with respect to  $p$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . The theorem of Ore plays a key role for proving our main Theorems.

Let  $\phi \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a monic polynomial, with  $\overline{\phi(x)}$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . As defined in [11, Def. 1.3], the  $\phi$ -index of  $F(x)$ , denoted by  $\text{ind}_\phi(F)$ , is  $\deg(\phi)$  times the number of points with natural integer coordinates that lie below or on the polygon  $N_\phi^+(F)$ , strictly above the horizontal axis, and strictly beyond the vertical axis (see *Figure 1*).

In the example of *Figure 1*,  $\text{ind}_\phi(F) = 9 \times \deg(\phi)$ .

FIGURE 1.  $N_{\phi}^{+}(F)$ .

Now assume that  $\overline{F(x)} = \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{\phi_i}^{l_i}$  is the factorization of  $\overline{F(x)}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ , where every  $\phi_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a monic polynomial, with  $\overline{\phi_1}, \dots, \overline{\phi_r}$  are irreducible pairwise coprime polynomials over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . For every  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , let  $N_{\phi_i}^{+}(F) = S_{i1} + \dots + S_{ir_i}$  be the principal  $\phi_i$ -Newton polygon of  $F$  with respect to  $p$ . For every  $j = 1, \dots, r_i$ , let  $R_{\lambda_{ij}}(F)(y) = \prod_{s=1}^{s_{ij}} \psi_{ijs}^{a_{ijs}}(y)$  be the factorization of  $R_{\lambda_{ij}}(F)(y)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{\phi_i}[y]$ . Then we have the following theorem of index of Ore:

**Theorem 3.2.** (*Theorem of Ore*)

(1)

$$\nu_p((\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha])) \geq \sum_{i=1}^r \text{ind}_{\phi_i}(F).$$

The equality holds if  $F(x)$  is  $p$ -regular.

(2) If  $F(x)$  is  $p$ -regular, then

$$p\mathbb{Z}_K = \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} \prod_{s=1}^{s_{ij}} \mathfrak{p}_{ijs}^{e_{ijs}}$$

is the factorization of  $p\mathbb{Z}_K$  into product of powers of prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$ , where  $e_{ij}$  is the ramification degree of the side  $S_{ij}$  and  $f_{ijs} = \deg(\phi_i) \times \deg(\psi_{ijs})$  is the residue degree of  $\mathfrak{p}_{ijs}$  over  $p$  for every  $(i, j, s)$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** Under the hypotheses above Theorem 3.2, if for every  $i = 1, \dots, r$ ,  $l_i = 1$  or  $N_{\phi_i}^{+}(F) = S_i$  has a single side of height 1, then  $\nu_p((\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha])) = 0$ .

In [16], Guàrdia, Montes, and Nart introduced the notion of admissible  $\phi$ -expansion used in order to treat some special cases when the  $\phi$ -expansion is hard to calculate. Let

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n A'_i(x) \phi(x)^i, \quad A'_i(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x], \quad (3.1)$$

be a  $\phi$ -expansion of  $F(x)$ , not necessarily the  $\phi$ -expansion ( $\deg(A'_i)$  is not necessarily less than  $\deg(\phi)$ ). Take  $u'_i = \nu_p(A'_i(x))$ , for all  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , and let  $N'$  be the lower boundary of the convex envelope of the set of points  $\{(i, u'_i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n, u'_i \neq \infty\}$  and  $N'^+$  its

principal part. To any  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , we attach the residue coefficient as follows:

$$c'_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } (i, u'_i) \text{ lies above } N', \\ \left( \frac{A'_i(x)}{p^{u'_i}} \right) \pmod{(p, \phi(x))}, & \text{if } (i, u'_i) \text{ lies on } N'. \end{cases}$$

Likewise, for any side  $S$  of  $N'^+$ , we can define the residual polynomial attached to  $S$  and denoted  $R'_\lambda(F)(y)$  (similar to the residual polynomial  $R_\lambda(F)(y)$  from the  $\phi$ -expansion). We say that the  $\phi$ -expansion (3.1) is admissible if  $c'_i \neq 0$  for each abscissa  $i$  of a vertex of  $N'$ . For more details, we refer to [16].

**Lemma 3.4.** ([16, Lemma 1.12])

*If a  $\phi$ -expansion of  $F(x)$  is admissible, then  $N'^+ = N_\phi^+(F)$  and  $c'_i = c_i$ . In particular, for any side  $S$  of  $N'^+$  we have  $R'_\lambda(F)(y) = R_\lambda(F)(y)$  up to multiplication by a nonzero coefficient of  $\mathbb{F}_\phi$ .*

#### 4. PROOFS OF MAIN RESULTS

The following lemma is useful for proving Lemma 4.2.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $p$  be a rational prime integer and  $r$  a positive integer. Then*

$$\nu_p \left( \binom{p^r}{j} \right) = r - \nu_p(j)$$

*for any integer  $j = 1, \dots, p^r - 1$ .*

The following lemma plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 2.1; it allows to determine the  $\phi$ -Newton polygon of  $F(x)$ . It extends [10, Lemma 4.1], which allows to determine  $\phi$ -Newton polygons for polynomials of type  $x^n - m$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $F(x) = x^n + ax^m + b \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be polynomial and  $p$  an odd rational prime integer which divides both  $n$  and  $a$ , and does not divide  $b$ . Let  $n = p^r s$  with  $p$  does not divide  $s$ . Set  $\mu = \nu_p(a)$ ,  $\nu = \nu_p(b^{p-1} - 1)$ , and  $g = \min(\mu, \nu)$ . Then  $\overline{F(x)} = \overline{(x^s + b)^{p^r}}$ . Let  $\phi \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a monic polynomial, whose reduction modulo  $p$  divides  $\overline{F(x)}$ .*

- (1) *If  $g \leq r$ , then  $N_\phi^+(F)$  has at least  $g$  sides joining the points  $\{(0, v)\} \cup \{(p^j, r-j), j = 0, \dots, g-1\}$  for some integer  $V \geq g$ .*
- (2) *If  $g \geq r+1$ , then  $N_\phi^+(F)$  has  $r+1$  sides joining the points  $\{(0, V)\} \cup \{(p^j, r-j), j = 0, \dots, r-1\}$  for some integer  $V \geq r+1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\overline{F(x)} = (x^s + b)^{p^r}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ , we conclude that  $\overline{\phi}$  divides  $\overline{x^s + b}$ . Let  $x^s + b = \phi(x)Q(x) + pR(x)$  for some  $R \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Then  $F(x) = (x^s + b - b)^{p^r} + ax^m + b =$

$$(\phi(x)Q(x) + pR(x) - b)^{p^r} + ax^m + b = \sum_{j=1}^{p^r} \binom{p^r}{j} (pR(x) - b)^{p^r-j} Q^j \phi^j(x) + (pR(x) - b)^{p^r} + ax^m + b = \sum_{j=1}^{p^r} \binom{p^r}{j} (pR(x) - b)^{p^r-j} Q^j \phi^j(x) + p^{r+1}H(x) - b^{p^r} + ax^m + b \text{ for some } H \in \mathbb{Z}[x].$$

Let  $p^{r+1}H(x) + ax^m - b^{p^r} + b = \sum_{k \geq 0} r_j \phi^j(x)$  be the  $\phi$ -expansion. Then  $F(x) = \dots +$

$\sum_{j=1}^{p^r} A_j(x)\phi^j(x) + r_0$  is the  $\phi$ -expansion of  $F(x)$  with  $A_j(x) = \binom{p^r}{j}((pR(x) - b)^{p^r-j}Q^j + r_j)$

for every  $j = 1, \dots, p^r$ . Since  $x^s + b$  is separable over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $\bar{\phi}$  does not divide  $\overline{Q(x)}$ . Hence  $\nu_p(A_j) = \nu_p(\binom{p^r}{j}((pR(x) - b)^{p^r-j}Q^j + r_j)) = \nu_p(\binom{p^r}{j})$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, p^r - 1$ . Also since for every  $j = 0, \dots, p^r$ ,  $\nu_p(r_j) \geq \min(r + 1, g)$ , we conclude that:




FIGURE 2.  $N_\phi^+(F)$  with respect to  $p$  when  $V \geq \min(g, r + 1)$ .

- (1) If  $g \leq r$ , then for every  $j = 1, \dots, p^g$ ,  $\nu_p(A_j) = \nu_p(\binom{p^r}{j}) = r - \nu_p(j)$ , and so  $N_\phi^+(F)$  has at least  $g$  sides joining the points  $\{(0, V)\} \cup \{(p^j, r - j), j = 0, \dots, g - 1\}$  for some  $V \geq g$ .
- (2) If  $g \geq r + 1$ , then for every  $j = 1, \dots, p^r$ ,  $\nu_p(A_j) = \nu_p(\binom{p^r}{j}) = r - \nu_p(j)$ , and so  $N_\phi^+(F)$  has  $r + 1$  sides joining the points  $\{(0, V)\} \cup \{(p^j, r - j), j = 0, \dots, r - 1\}$  for some integer  $V \geq r + 1$ .

□

The following Lemma characterizes the prime divisors of  $K$ :

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $p$  be a rational prime integer and  $K$  a number field. For every positive integer  $f$ , let  $P_p(f)$  be the number of distinct prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  lying above  $p$  with residue degree  $f$  and  $N_p(f)$  the number of monic irreducible polynomial of degree  $f$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . If  $P_p(f) > N_p(f)$  for some positive integer  $f$ , then  $p$  is a prime common index divisor of  $K$ .*

*Remark 4.4.* In order to prove our theorems, we don't need to determine the factorization of  $p\mathbb{Z}_K$  explicitly. But according to Lemma 4.3, we need only to show that  $P_p(f) > N_p(f)$  for an adequate positive integer  $f$ . So, in practice, the second point of Theorem 3.2, could be replaced by the following: If  $l_i = 1$  or  $d_{ij} = 1$  or  $a_{ijs} = 1$  for some  $(i, j, s)$  according to the notations of Theorem 3.2, then  $\psi_{ijs}$  provides a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_{ijs}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  lying above  $p$  with residue degree  $f_{ijs} = \deg(\phi_i) \times t_{ijs}$ , where  $t_{ijs} = \deg(\psi_{ijs})$  and  $p\mathbb{Z}_K = \mathfrak{p}_{ijs}^{e_{ijs}} I$ , where the factorization of the ideal  $I$  can be derived from the other factors of each residual polynomials of  $F(x)$ .

*Proof of Lemma 2.1.* In all cases, we prove that  $K$  is not monogenic by showing that  $p$  divides  $i(K)$ . For this reason, in view of Lemma 4.3, it is sufficient to show that the prime

ideal factorization of  $p\mathbb{Z}_K$  satisfies the inequality  $P_p(f) > N_p(f)$  for an adequate positive integer  $f$ . Let  $\phi$  be a monic polynomial with  $\overline{\phi}$  divides  $\overline{F(x)}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . Let  $g = \min(\mu, \nu)$ .

- (1) If  $g \leq r$ , then by Lemma 4.2,  $N_\phi^+((F))$  has  $g$  sides of degree 1 each. Thus each side of  $N_\phi^+((F))$  provides a unique prime ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  lying above  $p$  with residue degree 1 each. Hence according to Remark 4.4, the irreducible factor  $\overline{\phi}$  of  $\overline{F(x)}$  provides at least  $g$  prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  lying above  $p$  with residue degree 1 each. In total there are at least  $gN_p(f, s, b)$  prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  lying above  $p$  with residue degree 1 each. It follows that if  $N_p(f) < gN_p(f, s, b)$ , then  $p$  divides  $i(K)$ , and consequently  $K$  is not monogenic.
- (2) If  $r + 1 \leq g$ , then by Lemma 4.2,  $N_\phi^+((F))$  has  $r + 1$  sides of degree 1 each. Thus each side of  $N_\phi^+((F))$  provides a unique prime ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  lying above  $p$  with residue degree 1 each. Hence according to Remark 4.4, the irreducible factor  $\overline{\phi}$  of  $\overline{F(x)}$  provides  $r + 1$  prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  lying above  $p$  with residue degree 1 each. In total there are at least  $(r + 1)N_p(f, s, b)$  prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  lying above  $p$  with residue degree 1 each. It follows that if  $N_p(f) < (r + 1)N_p(f, s, b)$ , then  $p$  divides  $i(K)$ , and consequently  $K$  is not monogenic.

□

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* (1) If  $b \equiv -1 \pmod{9}$ , then  $\overline{F(x)} = (x^{2^k} - 1)^{3^r} (x - 1)^{3^r} (x + 1)^{3^r} H(x)^{3^r}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_3[x]$ . According to the notations of Theorem 2.1, we have  $s = 2^k$ ,  $N_3(1, s, b) \geq 2$  and  $N_3(1) = 3$ . Since  $r + 1$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\nu$  are all greater or equal 2, we have  $N_3(1) = 3 < 4 \leq 2N_3(2, 4, 1)$ . By Theorem 2.1, we conclude that 3 divides  $i(K)$ .

- (2) The first point covers the case when  $b \equiv -1 \pmod{9}$ . For  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ , we have  $x^s + 1 = x^4 + 1 = (x^2 - x - 1)(x^2 + x - 1)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_3[x]$ . Since the unique irreducible polynomial of degree 2 in  $\mathbb{F}_3[x]$  are  $x^2 + 1$ ,  $x^2 - x - 1$ , and  $x^2 + x - 1$ , we conclude that  $N_3(2) = 3$ . Thus if  $k = 2$ , then  $N_3(2) = 3 < 4 \leq 2N_3(2, 4, -1)$ . Thus by Theorem 2.1, 3 divides  $i(K)$ .

□

*Proof of Theorem 2.4.* The first point is a particular case of Theorem 2.2. For the second one, since  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$  and  $b \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $\overline{F(x)} = (\overline{\phi_1(x)\phi_2(x)})^2$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ , where  $\phi_1(x) = x - 1$  and  $\phi_2 = x^2 + x + 1$ . Let  $F(x) = \phi_2(x)^3 - 3x\phi_2(x)^2 + (-2 + 2x)\phi_2 + ax^m + 1 + b$  and  $ax^m = \sum_{i=0}^2 r_i(x)\phi_2(x)^i$ . Then  $F(x) = \phi_2(x)^3 + (-3x + r_2(x))\phi_2(x)^2 + (-2 + 2x + r_1(x))\phi_2 + 1 + b + r_0(x)$ . Since  $\nu_2(ax^m) \geq 3$ , we conclude that if  $\nu_2(b + 1) \geq 3$ , then  $N_{\phi_2}^+(F) = S_1 + S_2$  has two sides joining  $(0, v)$ ,  $(1, 1)$ , and  $(2, 0)$  with  $v = \nu_2(b + 1) \geq 3$ . So each side  $S_i$  is of degree 1, and so  $\phi_2$  provides two prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  lying above 2 with residue degree 2 each. Hence 2 divides  $i(K)$ . If  $\nu_2(b + 1) = 2$ , then  $N_{\phi_2}^+(F) = S$  has a single side joining  $(0, 2)$ ,  $(1, 1)$ , and  $(2, 0)$  with  $R_\lambda(F)(y) = xy^2 + (x + 1)y + 1 = x(y - 1)(y - x - 1)$  its attached residual polynomial of  $F(x)$ . Hence  $\phi_2$  provides two prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  lying above 2 with residue degree 2 each. Therefore 2 divides  $i(K)$ . □



## 5. EXAMPLES

Let  $F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a monic irreducible polynomial and  $K$  a number field generated by a root  $\alpha$  of  $F(x)$ .

- (1) For  $F(x) = x^6 + 72x^m + 35$ , since  $72 \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$  and  $35 \equiv -1 \pmod{9}$  by Theorem 2.4, 3 divides  $i(K)$ . Similarly since  $72 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$  and  $35 \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ , by Theorem 2.4, 2 divides  $i(K)$ . Thus 6 divides  $i(K)$ . Since  $\overline{F(x)} = \phi_1^3 \phi_2^3$  in  $\mathbb{F}_3[x]$  with  $\phi_1 = x - 1$  and  $\phi_2 = x + 1$ , and each  $\phi_i$  provides 3 prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  lying above 3 with residue degree 1 each, we conclude that there are 6 prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  lying above 3 with residue degree 1 each. By [12],  $\nu_3(i(K)) = 3$ . For  $\nu_2(i(K))$ , we have  $\overline{F(x)} = (\phi_1(x)\phi_2(x))^2$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ , where  $\phi_1(x) = x - 1$  and  $\phi_2 = x^2 + x + 1$ . As it is shown in the proof of Theorem 2.4, since  $\nu_2(35 + 1) = 2$ ,  $\phi_1$  provides a unique prime ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  lying above 2 with residue degree 2 and  $\phi_2$  provides two prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  lying above 2 with residue degree 2 each. Thus there are three prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  lying above 2 with residue degree 2 each. By [12], we conclude that  $\nu_2(i(K)) = 2$ . For  $\nu_5(i(K))$ , if  $m = 1$ , then  $\overline{F(x)} = (x + 2)^5 x$  in  $\mathbb{F}_5[x]$  and if  $m = 5$ , then  $\overline{F(x)} = (x + 2)x^5$  in  $\mathbb{F}_5[x]$ . Since  $F(-2) = -55$ , we have  $\nu_5(F(-2)) = 1$  and  $\nu_5(F(0)) = 1$ . Thus by Dedekind's criterion 5 divide  $(\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha])$ . For  $m \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $\overline{F(x)}$  has at least an irreducible factor of degree at least 2. Thus there are at most four prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  lying above 5. Therefore 5 does not divide  $i(K)$ . By [3]  $\nu_p(i(K)) = 0$  for every prime integer  $p \geq 7$ . Finally  $i(K) = 2^2 \cdot 3^3 = 36$ .
- (2) For  $F(x) = x^6 + 72x^m + 71$ , since  $72 \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$  and  $71 \equiv -1 \pmod{9}$  by Theorem 2.4, 3 divides  $i(K)$ . Similarly since  $72 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$  and  $71 \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ , by Theorem 2.4, 2 divides  $i(K)$ . Thus 6 divides  $i(K)$ . Since  $\overline{F(x)} = \phi_1^3 \phi_2^3$  in  $\mathbb{F}_3[x]$  with  $\phi_1 = x - 1$  and  $\phi_2 = x + 1$ , and each  $\phi_i$  provides 3 prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  lying above 3 with residue degree 1 each, we conclude from [12], that  $\nu_3(i(K)) = 3$ . For  $\nu_2(i(K))$ , we have  $\overline{F(x)} = (\phi_1(x)\phi_2(x))^2$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ , where  $\phi_1(x) = x - 1$  and  $\phi_2 = x^2 + x + 1$ . As it is shown in the proof of Theorem 2.4, since  $\nu_2(71 + 1) = 3$ ,  $\phi_1$  provides two prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  lying above 2 with residue degree 1 each and  $\phi_2$  provides two prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  lying above 2 with residue degree 2 each. By [12], we conclude that  $\nu_2(i(K)) = 2$ . For  $\nu_5(i(K))$ , if  $m = 1$ , then  $\overline{F(x)} = (x + 2)^5 x$  in  $\mathbb{F}_5[x]$  and if  $m = 5$ , then  $\overline{F(x)} = (x + 2)x^5$  in  $\mathbb{F}_5[x]$ . Since  $F(-2) = -55$ , we have  $\nu_5(F(-2)) = 1$  and  $\nu_5(F(0)) = 1$ . Thus by Dedekind's criterion 5 divide  $(\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha])$ . For  $m \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $\overline{F(x)}$  has at least an irreducible factor of degree at least 2. Thus there are at most four prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  lying above 5. Therefore 5 does not divide  $i(K)$ . By [3]  $\nu_p(i(K)) = 0$  for every prime integer  $p \geq 7$ . Finally  $i(K) = 2^2 \cdot 3^3 = 36$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ahmad, T. Nakahara, and A. Hameed, *On certain pure sextic fields related to a problem of Hasse*, Int. J. Alg. Comput., 26(3) (2016), 577–583.
- [2] H. Ben Yakkou and L. El Fadil, *On monogeneity of certain number fields defined by trinomials* (arXiv:2109.08765)

- [3] L. Carlitz , A note on common index divisors, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 3 (1952) 688–692
- [4] H. Cohen, A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory, *GTM 138, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg*, (1993).
- [5] R. Dedekind, Über den Zusammenhang zwischen der Theorie der Ideale und der Theorie der höheren Kongruenzen, *Göttingen Abhandlungen*, **23**, (1878), 1–23.
- [6] , C. T. Davis and B. K. Spearman, *The index of a quartic field defined by a trinomial  $x^4 + ax + b$* , *J. of Alg. and Its Applications*, **17(10)** (2018) 1850197
- [7] L. El Fadil, *On non monogeneity of certain number fields defined by a trinomial  $x^6 + ax^3 + b$* , *J. Number Theory*, available online January 24, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jnt.2021.10.017
- [8] L. El Fadil, *On common index divisor and monogeneity of certain number fields defined by a trinomial  $x^5 + ax^2 + b$* , *Commun. Algebra*, available online January 23, 2022, doi 10.1080/00927872.2022.2025820
- [9] L. El Fadil, *On Newton polygon's techniques and factorization of polynomial over Henselian valued fields*, *J. of Algebra and its Appl.* (2020), doi: S0219498820501881.
- [10] L. El Fadil, *On power integral bases of certain pure number fields defined by  $x^{3^r 7^s} - m$* , *Colloquium Mathematicum*, available online March 11, 2022, doi:10.4064/cm8574-6-2021
- [11] L. El Fadil, J. Montes and E. Nart , *Newton polygons and  $p$ -integral bases of quartic number fields* *J. Algebra and Appl.* **11(4)**, (2012), 1250073.
- [12] H. T. Engstrom, *On the common index divisors of an algebraic field*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **32(2)** (1930) 223–237.
- [13] I. Gaál, *An experiment on the monogeneity of a family of trinomials*, *JP Journal of Algebra Number Theory Appl.* 51(1) (2021) 97–111
- [14] I. Gaál and K. Györy, *Index form equations in quintic fields*, *Acta Arith.* 89 (1999), 379–396
- [15] I. Gaál, *Diophantine equations and power integral bases, Theory and algorithm, Second edition*, *Boston, Birkhäuser*, (2019)
- [16] J. Guardia, J. Montes, and E. Nart, *Newton polygons of higher order in algebraic number theory*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **364** (1) (2012) 361–416
- [17] J. Montes and E. Nart, *On a theorem of Ore*, *J. Algebra* **146(2)** (1992) 318–334
- [18] Y. Motoda, T. Nakahara and S. I. A. Shah, *On a problem of Hasse*, *J. Number Theory*, 96 (2002), 326–334
- [19] J. Neukirch, *Algebraic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1999)
- [20] H. Hasse, *Zahlentheorie*, *Akademie-Verlag, Berlin*, (1963)
- [21] K. Hensel, *Theorie der algebraischen Zahlen*, *Teubner Verlag, Leipzig, Berlin*, (1908)
- [22] K. Hensel, *Arithmetische Untersuchungen über Discriminanten und ihre ausserwesentlichen Theiler*, *Dissertation, Univ. Berlin*, (1884)
- [23] A. Jakhar and S. Kumar, *On non-monogenic number fields defined by  $x^6 + ax + b$* , *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin* (2021) doi: 10.4153/S0008439521000825
- [24] R. Ibarra, H. Lembeck, M. Ozaslan, H. Smith, and K. E. Stange, *Monogenic fields arising from trinomials*, *arXiv:1908.09793v2*
- [25] A. Jakhar, S. Khanduja and N. Sangwan, *Characterization of primes dividing the index of a trinomial*, *Int. J. Number Theory* **13(10)**, (2017) 2505–2514
- [26] B. Jhorar and S.K. Khanduja, *On power basis of a class of algebraic number fields*, *I. J. Number Theory* , **12(8)**, (2016) 2317–2321
- [27] L. Jones, *Infinite families of non-monogenic trinomials*, *Acta Sci. Math.* **87 (1-2)**, (2021) 95–105
- [28] L. Jones, *Some new infinite families of monogenic polynomials with non-squarefree discriminant*, *Acta Arith.* **197(2)**, (2021) 213–219
- [29] L. Jones and Ph. Tristan, *Infinite families of monogenic trinomials and their Galois groups*, *Int. J. Math.* **29(5)**, (2018) (11 pages)
- [30] L. Jones and D. White, *Monogenic trinomials with non-squarefree discriminant* (*arXiv:1908.0794*)
- [31] S. MacLane, *A construction for absolute values in polynomial rings*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 40 (1936) 363–395
- [32] A. Pethö and M. Pohst, *On the indices of multiquadratic number fields*, *Acta Arith.* 153(4) (2012) 393–414

- [33] O. Ore, Newtonsche Polygone in der Theorie der algebraischen Korper, *Math. Ann* **99**, (1928) 84–117

FACULTY OF SCIENCES DHAR EL MAHRAZ, P.O. BOX 1796 ATLAS-FES , SIDI MOHAMED BEN ABDELLAH UNIVERSITY, MOROCCO

*Email address:* lhoussain.elfadil@usmba.ac.ma, lhouelfadil2@gmail.com