

# Phonon Chirality Induced by Vibronic-Orbital Coupling

Yun-Yi Pai,<sup>1,2, a)</sup> Claire E. Marvinney,<sup>1,2</sup> Liangbo Liang,<sup>3</sup> Ganesh Pokharel,<sup>4</sup> Jie Xing,<sup>1</sup> Haoxiang Li,<sup>1</sup> Xun Li,<sup>1</sup> Michael Chilcote,<sup>1,2</sup> Matthew Brahlek,<sup>1,2</sup> Lucas Lindsay,<sup>1</sup> Hu Miao,<sup>1</sup> Athena S. Sefat,<sup>1</sup> David Parker,<sup>1</sup> Stephen D. Wilson,<sup>4</sup> and Benjamin J. Lawrie<sup>1,2, b)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup> *Materials Science and Technology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA*

<sup>2)</sup> *Quantum Science Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA*

<sup>3)</sup> *Center for Nanophase Materials Sciences, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA*

<sup>4)</sup> *Materials Department and California Nanosystems Institute, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA*

(Dated: 28 March 2022)

The notion that phonons can carry pseudo-angular momentum has become popular in the last decade, with recent research efforts highlighting phonon chirality, Berry curvature of phonon band structure, and the phonon Hall effect. When a phonon is resonantly coupled to a crystal electric field excitation, a so-called vibronic bound state forms. Here, we observe angular momentum transfer of  $\Delta J_z = \pm 1\hbar$  between phonons and an orbital state in a vibronic bound state of a candidate quantum spin liquid. This observation has profound implications for the engineering of phonon band structure topology through chiral quasiparticle interactions.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Since the seminal work of Bloch in 1929<sup>1</sup>, electron-phonon coupling has emerged as one of the most important topics in modern condensed matter physics. In conventional superconductors, electron-phonon coupling underlies Cooper pairing. In semiconductors, it sets an upper bound for electron mobility. Electron-phonon coupling also governs numerous thermal and spin relaxation processes in solids. In ionic crystals with f orbitals, spin-orbit coupling and the crystal electric field (CEF) associated with the ionic or ligand environment split the electronic wavefunction eigenstates into manifolds. Atomic displacements can change the ligand environments and hence the orbital manifolds. This change is typically treated within the adiabatic Born-Oppenheimer approximation, in which atomic motion is static relative to the electronic degrees of freedom. However, when phonon-CEF coupling is nearly resonant, the Born-Oppenheimer approximation is inadequate and a new state – a vibronic bound state (VBS), with both orbital character and phonon character – can form.

Phonons themselves can have chirality and carry pseudo angular momentum<sup>2–7</sup> when inversion symme-

try ( $\mathcal{P}$ ) or time reversal symmetry ( $\mathcal{T}$ ) is broken<sup>8,9</sup>. The ability to control pseudo angular momentum transfer between phonons and electronic degrees of freedom will unlock new opportunities in information processing. However, the transfer of angular momentum between photons, electronic spin, orbital excitations, and phonons is still not well understood. It was studied, for instance, in the context of paramagnetic spin relaxation<sup>10,11</sup>, but phonons are not generally thought to carry macroscopic angular momentum<sup>11,12</sup>. Phononic pseudo angular momentum is key to several fundamental effects in physics, including the microscopic explanation of the phonon Hall effect<sup>13</sup>, Einstein-de Haas effect<sup>14</sup>, possible circular heat flow<sup>15</sup>, and zero point energies of chiral phonons<sup>14</sup>. The transfer channel from spin to phonon, on the other hand, is thought to be relevant in ultra-fast demagnetization processes<sup>16,17</sup>.

Here, we report a change of angular momentum  $\Delta J_z = \pm 1\hbar$  in an orbital excitation due to phononic coupling in NaYbSe<sub>2</sub>. NaYbSe<sub>2</sub><sup>18–22</sup> belongs to the family of Yb delafossites with the form  $A^{1+}Yb^{3+}X_2^{2-}$  that have been identified as quantum spin liquid (QSL) candidates. The QSL is a theoretically proposed phase that is characterized by massive many-body entanglement<sup>23–25</sup> with possible application to fault-tolerant quantum information processing<sup>26</sup>. So far 14 members within this family have been identified to date<sup>19–21,27–39</sup>. They all have planar triangular lattices with spin  $S_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$  on trigonally distorted YbX<sub>6</sub> octahedra. Antiferromagnetic coupling in the triangular lattice causes geometric frustration, resulting in a lack of long-range magnetic order down to the lowest probed temperatures. This family of candidate QSLs is objectively less defect prone than Yb(Mg, Ga)O<sub>4</sub><sup>21</sup>, and the breadth of substitutional composites in the family makes it an ideal platform for the study and control of QSL excitations.

The effective spin  $S_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$  of the system comes from

<sup>a)</sup>Electronic mail: yunyip@ornl.gov

<sup>b)</sup>Electronic mail: lawriejb@ornl.gov; This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC, under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the US Department of Energy (DOE). The US government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the US government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for US government purposes. DOE will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan (<http://energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan>).

the  $\text{Yb}^{3+}$  ion, which has the  $[\text{Xe}]4f^{13}$  electronic configuration.  $\text{Yb}^{3+}$ , like all the elements in the 4f block, has weak exchange coupling and strong spin-orbit coupling compared to the 3d-block elements. The ground state spin-orbit manifold of  $\text{Yb}^{3+}$  has total spin  $J = 7/2$ . The next manifold  $J = 5/2$  is more than an eV above the ground state manifold<sup>40,41</sup>. The ground-state  $J = 7/2$  manifold splits into 4 time-reversed Kramers pairs. This degeneracy is a result of Kramers theorem: trivalent  $\text{Yb}^{3+}$  has an odd number of electrons and a  $\mathcal{T}$ -symmetric Hamiltonian, so the eigenenergies are doubly degenerate at zero magnetic field. The CEF splitting within the ground-state manifold is typically only tens of meV due to the well-shielded nature of the 4f electrons.

VBSs form when strong CEF-phonon coupling is present, but they have only been reported in a handful of materials<sup>42–51</sup>. Structurally,  $\text{NaYbSe}_2$  has less distortion in its  $\text{YbSe}_6$  octahedra than other Yb delafossites<sup>27</sup>. Its ground states are reported to be described by spinon excitations<sup>19</sup> or ferrimagnetic quasistatic and dynamic excitations within a QSL matrix<sup>52</sup>. While pristine  $\text{NaYbSe}_2$  is insulating, with increasing pressure it exhibits increased conductivity and eventually demonstrates a dip in resistivity attributed to a possible superconducting state<sup>18,22</sup>.

## II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1a shows the low energy excitations of  $\text{NaYbSe}_2$ , where the ground state spin-orbit manifold  $J = 7/2$  splits into 4 time-reversed Kramers pairs  $|\psi_0^\pm\rangle$ ,  $|\psi_1^\pm\rangle$ ,  $|\psi_2^\pm\rangle$ ,  $|\psi_3^\pm\rangle$ . CEF1, CEF2, and CEF3 describe the transition between  $|\psi_1^\pm\rangle$ ,  $|\psi_2^\pm\rangle$ ,  $|\psi_3^\pm\rangle$  and the ground state  $|\psi_0^\pm\rangle$ , respectively. The CEF modes are observed at  $117.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (CEF1),  $197.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (CEF2), and  $247.0 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (CEF3) in Raman spectra acquired at 3.3 K, consistent with previous reports<sup>21,37</sup>.  $\text{NaYbSe}_2$  also has two Raman-active phonon modes:  $E_{2g}$  at  $124.4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $A_{1g}$  at  $172.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Figure 1b shows a semiclassical *spinning top* representation of the spin-orbit eigenstates for  $|\psi_0^\pm\rangle$ ,  $|\psi_1^\pm\rangle$ ,  $|\psi_2^\pm\rangle$ ,  $|\psi_3^\pm\rangle$  as  $\sum_{m_j=-\frac{7}{2}, \dots, \frac{7}{2}} c_{m_j} |\frac{7}{2}, m_j\rangle$ . Transparent cones represent  $c_{m_j} = 0$ . The blue (pink) cones represent the + (−) branch.

In this material, the doubly degenerate  $E_{2g}$  mode is resonant with the CEF1 state. This resonance gives rise to a VBS,  $\omega$  (Figure 1a). As illustrated in Figure 1c, the  $E_{2g}$  mode is spanned by the basis  $\{E_{2g, x}, E_{2g, y}\}$ ; it can also be spanned by the chiral basis  $\{E_{2g, +}, E_{2g, -}\} = \{E_{2g, x} + iE_{2g, y}, E_{2g, x} - iE_{2g, y}\}$ <sup>53</sup>. This second basis is the natural one for  $\text{CrBr}_3$  because of broken  $\mathcal{T}$ -symmetry<sup>9</sup>. In  $\text{NaYbSe}_2$ , however, because both  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  are intact, phonons are not intrinsically chiral, and the chiral basis is not, at first glance, the appropriate choice of basis.

Using temperature dependent Raman spectra, we first verify the primary CEF excitations and phonon modes. The spectra are shown in Figures 1d (traces) and 1e (con-

tour). The CEF modes are clearly identified at  $117.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (CEF1),  $197.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (CEF2), and  $247.0 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (CEF3) in the spectra. Additionally, they all become significantly stronger in intensity, and soften (shift toward lower energy) as the temperature decreases. On the other hand, the temperature dependence of the  $E_{2g}$  and the  $A_{1g}$  phonon modes is far less drastic. These CEF and phonon modes are consistent with recently reported linearly polarized  $\text{NaYbSe}_2$  Raman spectra<sup>21</sup>. Note that the  $E_{2g}$  and CEF1 modes are closely spaced. This is the case for  $\text{NaYbSe}_2$ <sup>21</sup>,  $\text{CsYbSe}_2$ <sup>37</sup>, and  $\text{KYbSe}_2$ <sup>39</sup>. Using Bayesian inference with a Hamiltonian Monte Carlo PyMC3<sup>54</sup>, we track the peak parameters from data in Figure 1d. The extracted peaks and widths for CEF1 and  $E_{2g}$  are shown in Figure 1f and 1g, respectively. The symbols represent the median values from the Bayesian inference. The two shaded bands illustrate the 68% (darker) (corresponding to  $1\sigma$  in the central limit) and 95% (lighter) (corresponding to  $2\sigma$ ) highest density intervals (HDIs) (See Supplementary Information for other selected modes). The model has large error bars from  $T = 175 \text{ K}$  to  $225 \text{ K}$ , but the two modes are well resolved elsewhere. The rapid change in the  $E_{2g}$  Raman mode energy at  $90 \text{ K}$  and the associated overlap of this mode with the CEF1 mode from  $100$  to  $200 \text{ K}$  is noteworthy. In  $\text{CsYbSe}_2$ , there is some evidence for anisotropic negative thermal expansion in these temperature ranges<sup>33,55</sup>. In general, a nearly discontinuous change with temperature of any spectral parameter (such as the energy of the  $E_{2g}$  mode) is suggestive of a phase transition, though we find no direct evidence of any phase transition here.

At the same time, we see the VBS mode  $\omega$  at  $151.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . It has characteristics in common with both CEFs and phonons:  $\omega$  becomes significantly stronger at low temperatures just as the CEFs do, and it hardens as the temperature decreases like  $A_{1g}$  does. The mode  $\omega$  is present in both  $\text{NaYbSe}_2$  and  $\text{CsYbSe}_2$ , although it is far less prominent in  $\text{CsYbSe}_2$ . This is likely because the spacing between CEF1 and  $E_{2g}$  is smaller in  $\text{NaYbSe}_2$  ( $7.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) than in  $\text{CsYbSe}_2$  ( $12.9 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ )<sup>37</sup>. Fitting to the Thalmeier-Fulde description of a magnetoelastic vibronic bound state<sup>46</sup> yields a coupling strength of  $32.0 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  ( $3.97 \text{ meV}$ ) for  $\text{NaYbSe}_2$ , which is far stronger than the  $23.6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  ( $2.93 \text{ meV}$ ) reported for  $\text{CsYbSe}_2$  and comparable to the roughly  $34.0 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  ( $4.22 \text{ meV}$ ) coupling strength reported for  $\text{Ce}_2\text{O}_3$ <sup>43</sup>.

Typically, CEF spectroscopy data are fit to a CEF Hamiltonian with Stevens operators given by the symmetry of the ionic environment of the 4f orbitals (Methods). This links the CEF peaks to eigenstates  $|\psi\rangle$ . The procedure is typically under-constrained, but that is not the case when optical selection rules are taken into account. Figure 2a illustrates helicity-resolved Raman spectra acquired at  $T = 4 \text{ K}$ , with CEF1, CEF2, CEF3 and  $\omega$  highlighted. While Rayleigh scattering is stronger in the co-circular polarization configuration, CEF1–CEF3 are stronger in the cross-circular polarization configuration. The mode  $\omega$  is also stronger in the co-circular polariza-




FIG. 1. (a) Energy diagram of the ground state  $J = 7/2$  manifold CEF levels, Raman active phonon modes, and vibronic bound state  $\omega$ . (b) A semiclassical representations of the CEF eigenstates  $|\psi_0^\pm\rangle$  and  $|\psi_{1,2,3}^\pm\rangle$ . The eigenstates are linear combinations of multiplets  $m_J = -7/2 \dots 7/2$ . For example,  $|\psi_0^+\rangle = |\frac{7}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\rangle$  is represented by precessing cones with only  $c_{\frac{3}{2}} \neq 0$  for  $|\psi_0^+\rangle = c_{\frac{3}{2}}|J, \frac{3}{2}\rangle$ . Hence all but the  $m_J = \frac{3}{2}$  cone are transparent (and all but the  $m_J = \frac{3}{2}$  circle are empty). The same follows for  $|\psi_0^-\rangle$  and  $|\psi_{1,2,3}^\pm\rangle$ . (c) The  $E_{2g}$  eigenspace is spanned by the basis  $\{E_{2g, x}, E_{2g, y}\}$ , which can also be spanned by  $\{E_{2g, +}, E_{2g, -}\} = \{E_{2g, x} + iE_{2g, y}, E_{2g, x} - iE_{2g, y}\}$ . (d) Temperature dependent Raman spectra from  $T = 3.3$  K to  $T = 260$  K. (e) The contour plot of the temperature dependent data from (d). (f), (g) Peak positions and widths for  $E_{2g}$  and CEF1 from Bayesian inference. The symbols are medians of the posterior distribution, and the 68% and 95% highest density intervals (HDIs) are represented by darker and lighter shading, respectively.

tion configuration.

Each right (left) circularly polarized  $\sigma^+$  ( $\sigma^-$ ) photon carries angular momentum  $+\hbar$  ( $-\hbar$ ). The link between helicity and circular polarization is given by  $h = \sigma \cdot \mathbf{k}$ , where  $\mathbf{k}$  is the momentum of the photon, and  $h$  the helicity<sup>56</sup>. Absorption of a  $\sigma^+$  photon increases the angular momentum of the lattice by  $+\hbar$ , corresponding to being acted on by operator  $J_+$ , while scattering a  $\sigma^+$  photon corresponds to  $J_-$  acting on the lattice. Therefore, if one considers Raman spectra acquired in the  $(\sigma_{\text{incident}}, \sigma_{\text{scatter}}) = (\sigma^+, \sigma^-)$  configuration, as in Figure 2b, the system obtains  $+2\hbar$  of angular momentum, corresponding to  $J_+ J_+$  acting on it (a generalization of the closure condition in Axe et al.<sup>57</sup>, which contracts  $\sum_{\text{virtual}} |\langle \psi_{\text{final}} | M_i | \psi_{\text{virtual}} \rangle \langle \psi_{\text{virtual}} | M_j | \psi_{\text{initial}} \rangle|$  to  $|\langle \psi_{\text{final}} | M_i M_j | \psi_{\text{initial}} \rangle|$  for any dipolar transition  $M_i, M_j$ ). Therefore, a mode that is active in the  $(\sigma^+, \sigma^-)$  configuration must have dominant matrix-element contributions from  $|\langle \psi_{\text{final}} | J_+ J_+ | \psi_{\text{initial}} \rangle|$ . So the transi-

tion that accounts for the CEF1 mode, which is active in  $(\sigma^+, \sigma^-)$ , must have dominant matrix elements linked by  $\langle 1 | J_+ J_+ | 0 \rangle$  for a ground state wavefunction  $|0\rangle$  and first excited state wavefunction  $|1\rangle$ .

Since all the CEF modes are enhanced in the cross-circular channel and suppressed in the co-circular Raman spectra,  $\langle 1 | J_+ J_+ | 0 \rangle$ ,  $\langle 1 | J_- J_- | 0 \rangle$ ,  $\langle 2 | J_+ J_+ | 0 \rangle$ ,  $\langle 2 | J_- J_- | 0 \rangle$ ,  $\langle 3 | J_+ J_+ | 0 \rangle$ ,  $\langle 3 | J_- J_- | 0 \rangle$  should be large while  $\langle 1 | J_+ J_- | 0 \rangle$ ,  $\langle 1 | J_- J_+ | 0 \rangle$ ,  $\langle 2 | J_+ J_- | 0 \rangle$ ,  $\langle 2 | J_- J_+ | 0 \rangle$ ,  $\langle 3 | J_+ J_- | 0 \rangle$ ,  $\langle 3 | J_- J_+ | 0 \rangle$  should all be small or zero. Without the constraints imposed by these selection rules, CEF parameters consistent with the experimentally observed CEF Raman modes can be obtained regardless of the assignment of  $|\psi_0\rangle$ ,  $|\psi_1\rangle$ ,  $|\psi_2\rangle$ , and  $|\psi_3\rangle$  to  $|0\rangle$ ,  $|1\rangle$ ,  $|2\rangle$ , and  $|3\rangle$ . However, no parameters satisfy both the energy levels and the helicity selection rules unless  $\psi_0^\pm$  is the ground state  $|0\rangle$  and the remaining modes are defined as excited states, as shown in Figure 1b (see the Supplementary Information for further discussion). In contrast with the




FIG. 2. (a) Helicity resolved Raman spectra at  $T = 4$  K,  $B = 0$  T. Four prominent modes are CEF1 (with  $E_{2g}$  at its shoulder),  $\omega$ , CEF2, and CEF3. The Rayleigh scattering and  $\omega$  are of  $(\sigma^+, \sigma^+)$  and  $(\sigma^-, \sigma^-)$  co-circular scattering channels, while CEF1-3 are of  $(\sigma^+, \sigma^-)$  and  $(\sigma^-, \sigma^+)$  cross-circular channels. (b-d) Selection rules for CEF modes and  $\omega$  with virtual states illustrated in light pink.

CEF modes, the  $\omega$  mode requires  $J_+J_-$  and  $J_-J_+$ . Because  $\omega$  comes from the parent state CEF1 and  $E_{2g}$ , we consider the  $2 \times 2$  dimensional space  $|\psi_1^\pm\rangle \otimes |E_{2g}\rangle$ . Because of the strong extinction ratio between the co-circular and cross-circular channel of  $\omega$ , it may seem natural to conclude that  $\Delta J_z = 0$  for the system before and after the interaction with the photon. But in fact, the angular momentum change  $\Delta J_z$  between the ground state and CEF1 equals  $\pm 2\hbar$ , as shown in Figure 2d, so there has to be additional angular momentum transfer due to the phonon degree of freedom. Therefore, the chiral basis  $\{E_{2g,+}, E_{2g,-}\}$  is the natural choice for describing the eigenvibration. For this  $2 \times 2$  space, however, only 2 states,  $\omega^+ = |\psi_1^-\rangle \otimes |E_{2g,+}\rangle$  and  $\omega^- = |\psi_1^+\rangle \otimes |E_{2g,-}\rangle$ , can be connected from the ground state by  $J_+J_-$  or  $J_-J_+$ . For  $|\psi_1^\pm\rangle$ , the extracted  $c_{1/2}$  is much smaller than  $c_{7/2}$  and  $c_{-5/2}$  (Methods), so we attribute the observed peaks to  $|\psi_0^+\rangle \rightarrow |\omega^-\rangle$  or  $|\psi_0^-\rangle \rightarrow |\omega^+\rangle$ .

To distinguish the  $+$  and  $-$  branches, we perform magnetic field-dependent Raman spectroscopy, which lifts the degeneracy within each of the Kramers pairs and therefore provides further constraints on the CEF assignments. Magnetization and specific heat measurements have been previously used to show that no field-induced ordering is present in  $\text{NaYbSe}_2$  for  $\mathbf{B} \parallel \mathbf{c}$  for  $B < 9$  T

at  $T = 4$  K<sup>20</sup>. Therefore, with the 6 T magnetic fields accessible in this setup, no field-induced spin ordering is expected. Figure 3 shows the magnetic field dependence of the CEF levels for (a) CEF1, (b)  $\omega$ , (c) CEF2, and (d) CEF3 measurements. Sub-panels (i, ii) are contour plots and (iii, iv) are linecuts at  $B = 0$  T,  $-6$  T, and  $6$  T. Again, the CEF levels only show up in cross-circular polarization configurations,  $(\sigma^+, \sigma^-)$  and  $(\sigma^-, \sigma^+)$ , while the  $\omega$  mode is stronger in co-circular polarization configurations,  $(\sigma^+, \sigma^+)$  and  $(\sigma^-, \sigma^-)$ . In the  $(\sigma^+, \sigma^-)$  configuration and with increasing positive magnetic field, CEF1 and CEF2 increase in energy while CEF3 decreases. This dependence is inverted if the magnetic field is reversed ( $B \rightarrow -B$ ) or the helicity is reversed ( $(\sigma^+, \sigma^-) \rightarrow (\sigma^-, \sigma^+)$ ).

Sub-panel (v) of Figure 3a-d shows the Bayesian-inferred peak positions for CEF1 (a),  $\omega$  (b), CEF2 (c), and CEF3 (d) from the co- and cross-polarized spectra shown in sub-panels (i) and (ii). The aforementioned trends for the CEF modes are well-resolved in (v) while  $\omega$  shows no field dependence.

These constraints allow us to make the following assignments: for cross-circular polarized  $(\sigma^+, \sigma^-)$ , the observed CEF1 comes from the transition  $|\psi_0^+\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_1^+\rangle$ , CEF2 comes from  $|\psi_0^+\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_2^+\rangle$ , and CEF3 comes from




FIG. 3. Helicity-resolved magnetic field dependence of CEFs and  $\omega$  for NaYbSe<sub>2</sub> at  $T = 4$  K. (a) CEF1. (b)  $\omega$ . (c) CEF2. (d) CEF3. The subplots (i), (ii) are contour plots for helicity-resolved magneto Raman with polarization configurations that the given mode is active in. (iii) and (iv) are linecuts at  $B = 0$  T,  $B = -6$  T and  $B = +6$  T. (v) peak positions extracted from (i) and (ii). The 68% and 95% HDIs are represented by darker and lighter shading, respectively.

$|\psi_0^-\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_3^+\rangle$ . Similarly, for cross-circular polarized ( $\sigma^-, \sigma^+$ ), the observed CEF1 comes from the transition  $|\psi_0^-\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_1^-\rangle$ , CEF2 comes from  $|\psi_0^-\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_2^-\rangle$ , and CEF3 comes from  $|\psi_0^+\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_3^-\rangle$ . These assignments not only satisfy the aforementioned selection rule but also capture

the correct sign of the magnetic field dependence when an additional Zeeman dependence  $H_{\mathbf{B}} = -g_J \mu_B \mathbf{J}_z \cdot \mathbf{B}$  is added. Figure 4a–c shows the relative transition probability using these state assignments. The transition probabilities are consistent with the previously established set




FIG. 4. From helicity-resolved field dependence, transitions between the + and - Kramers pairs in the eigenstates  $|\psi_0^\pm\rangle$  and  $|\psi_{1,2,3}^\pm\rangle$  can be assigned (a) CEF1 (b) CEF2 and (c) CEF3. (d) and (e)  $|\psi_1^+\rangle \otimes |E_{2g,-}\rangle$ ,  $|\psi_1^-\rangle \otimes |E_{2g,+}\rangle$ .

of selection rules and states (e.g., CEF1 is dominated by  $|\psi_0^+\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_1^+\rangle$  with  $J_+J_+$  and  $|\psi_0^-\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_1^-\rangle$  with  $J_-J_-$ ).

Because the  $\omega^\pm$  show negligible magnetic field dependence, we assign the observed transitions to  $|\psi_1^+\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_1^-\rangle \otimes |E_{2g,+}\rangle$  (as shown in Figure 2d) and  $|\psi_1^-\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_1^+\rangle \otimes |E_{2g,-}\rangle$ . Because the transition from  $|\psi_1^+\rangle$  to the bare  $|\psi_1^-\rangle$  requires  $\Delta J_z = +1 \hbar$  but the final change is  $\Delta J_z = 0$ , the phonon subsystem takes  $+1\hbar$  and creates one chiral phonon  $|E_{2g,+}\rangle$ . Similarly, a chiral phonon  $|E_{2g,-}\rangle$  is created in the transition  $|\psi_1^-\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_1^+\rangle \otimes |E_{2g,-}\rangle$ . Because the parent space  $|\psi_1^\pm\rangle \otimes |E_{2g}^\pm\rangle$  is  $2 \times 2$  dimensional, two more states  $\bar{\omega}^+ = |\psi_1^+\rangle \otimes |E_{2g,+}\rangle$  and  $\bar{\omega}^- = |\psi_1^-\rangle \otimes |E_{2g,-}\rangle$  are expected, just as in the original Thalmeier-Fulde hybridization model for a VBS<sup>46</sup>. These two states may be absent in the measured spectra because they require non-negligible  $c_{1/2}$  for  $|\psi_1^\pm\rangle$  to have a meaningful matrix element, as shown in the right panel of Figure 2d. This observation is surprising because NaYbSe<sub>2</sub> is  $\mathcal{P}$ -symmetric and  $\mathcal{T}$ -symmetric and because the coupling of two  $\mathcal{T}$ -symmetric degenerate subsystems (CEF Kramers pair  $|\psi_1^\pm\rangle$  and  $|E_{2g}^\pm\rangle$ ) lifts the degeneracy and block-diagonalizes the joint system into 2D subspace  $\omega^\pm$  and 2D subspace  $\bar{\omega}^\pm$ .

Figures 4d and 4e illustrate the 2 states,  $|\psi_1^-\rangle \otimes |E_{2g,+}\rangle$  and  $|\psi_1^+\rangle \otimes |E_{2g,-}\rangle$  based on the observations described above. In Figure 4d, when the ground state is  $|\psi_0^+\rangle$

(shown in light pink), the  $J_+J_-$  or  $J_-J_+$  operator excites the system to the + branch,  $|\psi_1^+\rangle \otimes |E_{2g,-}\rangle$  (where the CEF excitation corresponds to the + branch shown in blue and the phononic excitation corresponds to - angular momentum shown in dark pink). Figure 4e shows the same effect for  $|\psi_0^+\rangle$  to  $|\psi_1^-\rangle \otimes |E_{2g,+}\rangle$ . Because the transition is degenerate for  $|\psi_0^\pm\rangle \rightarrow \omega^\pm$  with  $J_+J_-$  or  $J_-J_+$ , this eigenspace, in principle, can be transduced from a QSL ground state. If the QSL ground state is written as  $\sum_j \prod_i \psi_0^{\sigma_{ij}}$  - where  $i$  is the site index,  $j$  the configuration index, and  $\sigma_{ij} = +, -$  is the branch - then  $J_+J_-$  or  $J_-J_+$  should bring the system into  $\sum_j \prod_i \omega^{\sigma_{ij}}$  within the point spread volume of the excitation beam, transducing the possible ground state entanglement to the VBS  $\omega^\pm$ .

### III. CONCLUSION

We have shown here that the VBS can serve as a flexible probe of phonon chirality by measuring an angular momentum change of  $\delta J_z = \pm \hbar$  in a VBS due to coupling to degenerate  $E_{2g}$  phonons and modeling the interactions with a set of helicity-resolved selection rules between CEF transitions. It is natural to ask whether this coupling provides alternative pathways for spin re-

laxation. If the phonon band structure is modified by this coupling, it may also be possible to access the resulting Berry curvature and probe the robustness of potential topological invariants. Additionally, the observation of photon- and CEF-mediated angular momentum transfer in a material with  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  symmetry suggests that there may be many more possible routes to the creation of chiral phonons by coupling to electronic, spin, orbital degrees of freedom in a given system. This finding thus creates a framework that may ultimately enable the transduction of possible QSL ground states to experimentally accessible VBSs.

## IV. METHODS

### A. Sample Details

Single crystals of NaYbSe<sub>2</sub> were synthesized from NaCl (crystalline powder, 99 + %), Yb (ingot, 99.9%), and Se (powder, 99.999%) via the flux method. The finely ground flux mixtures of NaCl, Yb, and Se with a molar ratio of 20:1:2.4 were heated to 850 °C slowly in a vacuum. After two weeks, the furnace was cooled to room temperature at a rate of 40°C/hr. The laboratory-grown single crystals of NaYbSe<sub>2</sub> were separated from excess alkali halide flux through washing with deionized water and isopropyl alcohol inside a fume-hood. CsYbSe<sub>2</sub> single crystals were grown using a related flux method that is described more detail in previous work<sup>36</sup>.

### B. Raman Spectroscopy

Variable temperature Raman spectra were acquired in a Montana Instruments closed-cycle cryostat using an in-vacuum objective with numerical aperture of 0.85. A 1.5 mW, 532.03 nm continuous wave laser excited the sample in an out-of-plane back scattering geometry (beam path  $\parallel$  c). Rayleigh scattering was minimized with either a set of 3 Optigrate volume Bragg gratings or a set of Semrock RazorEdge ultrastep dichroic and long-pass edge filters with cutoff at 90 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and spectra were acquired with a 30 s exposure time.

Magnetic-field-dependent Raman spectra were acquired at a fixed temperature of  $T = 4$  K for  $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{c}$  in a customized Leiden dilution refrigerator with free space optical access to the sample at the mixing chamber stage<sup>58</sup>. The spectra were taken with an Andor Kymera 193 spectrograph (2400 line/mm grating) and a Newton EMCCD DU970P-BV camera. The same laser and filters were used as with the variable temperature measurements, with the laser power set to 1.0 mW and a typical exposure time of 300 s per spectrum. Achromatic half-wave plates and quarter-wave plates were mounted on rotators for automated polarization control in both microscopes.

### C. Crystal Field Hamiltonian

For review of the approaches used here, see, for example, Bartolomé et al.<sup>59</sup>. The CEF Hamiltonian for NaYbSe<sub>2</sub> within the point charge approximation<sup>21,27,34,60</sup> that describes the ground state manifold  $J = 7/2$  is:

$$H_{\text{CEF}} = B_2^0 O_2^0 + B_4^0 O_4^0 + B_4^3 O_4^3 + B_6^0 O_6^0 + B_6^3 O_6^3 + B_6^6 O_6^6 \quad (1)$$

The expected helicity dependence of the CEF excitations follows from the selection rule bridging the two relevant states. The eigenstates for the energy levels described in equation 1 are:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{1,2,3}^+\rangle &= -\alpha e^{i\phi\alpha} \left| \frac{7}{2}, \frac{7}{2} \right\rangle + \beta \left| \frac{7}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle + \gamma e^{-i\phi\gamma} \left| \frac{7}{2}, -\frac{5}{2} \right\rangle \\ |\psi_{1,2,3}^-\rangle &= \alpha e^{i\phi\alpha} \left| \frac{7}{2}, -\frac{7}{2} \right\rangle + \beta \left| \frac{7}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle - \gamma e^{i\phi\gamma} \left| \frac{7}{2}, \frac{5}{2} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_0^+\rangle &= \left| \frac{7}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \right\rangle \\ |\psi_0^-\rangle &= \left| \frac{7}{2}, -\frac{3}{2} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \phi_\alpha, \phi_\beta$ , and  $\phi_\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  are determined by the CEF parameters  $B_2^0, B_4^0, B_4^3, B_6^0, B_6^3$  and  $B_6^6$ . The eigenstates are shown in Figure 2a. Due to the 3-fold symmetry of the Yb<sup>3+</sup> environment, a 3-fold periodicity and hence angular momentum folding, analogous to the Umklapp process for linear momentum<sup>53</sup> is expected. Without further constraints, the 6 parameters have enough degrees of freedom to fit experimentally observed energy levels. More than one set of CEF parameters that minimize the error may exist and the order of the eigenstates may not be the same across those sets. For example, the little group spanned by the special pair with single angular momentum eigenstate  $|\psi_0^\pm\rangle$  is assigned to CEF1 in Zhang et al.<sup>21</sup> and CEF2 in Scheie et al.<sup>39</sup>. Schmidt et al. pointed out that they cannot be the ground state due to observed in-plane field dependence at low temperatures<sup>27</sup>. In addition to the Zeeman dependence  $H_{\mathbf{B}} = -g_J \mu_B \mathbf{J}_z \cdot \mathbf{B}$ , several additional corrections have been considered. For example, Pocs et al.<sup>34</sup> considered an  $H_{\text{XXZ}}$  term. Zhang et al. considered anisotropic spin-spin interactions<sup>61</sup>.

In the context of magnetostrictive coupling with CEF modes, Callan et al. considered an additional term  $H_{\text{me}} = -\sum_{\Gamma\nu} \zeta(\Gamma\nu) u(\Gamma\nu) Q(\Gamma\nu)$  where  $\zeta$  is the coupling strength,  $u(\Gamma\nu)$  are phonon operators, and  $Q(\Gamma\nu)$  is the transformed phonon mode octupolar operator on the CEF manifold. The Callen-Callen<sup>62,63</sup> magnetoelastic interaction is quadrupolar. The quadrupole operator ( $l = 2$ ) is given by  $A_{1g} + 2E_g$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{me}} &= -\sum_{\Gamma\nu} \zeta(\Gamma\nu) u(\Gamma\nu) Q(\Gamma\nu) \\ Q(A_{1g}) &= 3J_z^2 - J^2 \\ Q(E_g^I) &= \alpha_1 (J_z J_x + J_x J_z) + \alpha_2 (J_y J_z + J_z J_y) \\ Q(E_g^{II}) &= \alpha_3 (J_x^2 - J_y^2) + \alpha_4 (J_x J_y + J_y J_x) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

For  $Q(E_g^{II})$ , since  $J_z^2 - J_y^2 = (J_+ J_+ + J_- J_-)/2$ , it induces  $\Delta J_z = \pm 2\hbar$ , while for  $J_x J_y + J_y J_x = iJ_z$ ,  $\Delta J_z = 0$ . For  $Q(E_g^I)$ ,  $J_z J_x + J_x J_z$  and  $J_z J_y + J_y J_z$  both induces  $\Delta J_z = \pm \hbar$ .

### D. Fitting Experimental Data to CEF Hamiltonian

To fit the experimental data, we used a general non-linear model normalization procedure in Mathematica. To start, a general set of eigenvalues and eigenvectors as a function of the CEF parameters is obtained by direct diagonalization of the CEF Hamiltonian. The eigenvectors are sorted based on their eigenvalues for each test parameter space. Then the cost function is defined by the sum of the squared errors between transitions and the data, with both inter-branch and intra-branch transitions considered. The selection rules are added as a term in the cost function when necessary. The final CEF parameters are the set that minimized the cost function.

### E. Bayesian Inference

We employed Bayesian inference to extract spectral parameters such as peak positions and widths. This approach is based on Bayes' rule:  $P(\theta|y) = \frac{P(y|\theta)P(\theta)}{P(y)}$ . In the context of spectral data, the priors  $P(\theta)$  are the *true* distribution of the parameters such as peak position, peak height, width, etc. The likelihood  $P(y|\theta)$  is the experimental data. The posterior  $P(\theta|y)$  is the conditional distribution of the experiment parameters given the experimental data.  $P(y)$  is a normalization factor. The distribution of the priors of the parameters are assumed to be Gaussian or uniform. Additional noise, offset and slope are added to capture background unrelated to the peak parameters. To carry out the inference, the Hamiltonian Monte Carlo python package PyMC3<sup>54</sup> was used. A hierarchical model was constructed to concurrently extract peak parameters from a family of spectra such as the temperature dependence or magnetic field dependence. A no U-Turns (NUTS) sampler was used with 4 chains with 3,000 samples per chain. It takes between 20 min to 3 hours for a peak over a dataset to converge.

## V. DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors upon request.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge insightful discussion with Allen Scheie, Xinshu Zhang, Yi Luo, Cristian Batista, Alan Tennant and Vyacheslav Bryantsev. This research was sponsored by the U. S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences and Engineering Division. The first-principles phonon calculations and variable-temperature Raman microscopy were performed at the Center for Nanophase Materials Sciences, which is a U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science User Facility. SDW and GP acknowledge support by the US Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Sciences and Engineering under award DE-SC0017752. Postdoctoral research support was provided by the Intelligence Community Postdoctoral Research Fellowship Program at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, administered by Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education through an interagency agreement between the U.S. Department of Energy and the Office of the Director of National Intelligence.

## VI. AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors discussed the results thoroughly. Y.-Y. P., C. E. M., and B. J. L. performed magneto-Raman measurements. L. L. performed Raman tensor analysis. G. P. and J. X. grew the samples. Y.-Y. P., L. L. did the data analysis with inputs from L. L., M. C., B. J. L., X. L. and L. L. performed DFT calculation. A. S. S., D. P., S. W. and B. J. L. initiated and oversaw the project. Y.-Y. P., L. L., B. J. L. wrote most of the manuscript with contributions from all authors.

## VII. COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

## VIII. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

**Supplementary information** is available for this paper online. **Correspondence and requests for materials** should be addressed to Y.-Y. P. or B. J. L.

<sup>1</sup>Bloch, F. Über die quantenmechanik der elektronen in kristallgittern. *Zeitschrift für Physik* **52**, 555–600 (1929). URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01339455>.

- <sup>2</sup>Chen, H., Zhang, W., Niu, Q. & Zhang, L. Chiral phonons in two-dimensional materials. *2D Materials* **6**, 012002 (2018). URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-1583/aaf292>.
- <sup>3</sup>Zhang, L. & Niu, Q. Chiral phonons at high-symmetry points in monolayer hexagonal lattices. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 115502 (2015). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.115502>.
- <sup>4</sup>Juneja, R. *et al.* Quasiparticle twist dynamics in non-symmorphic materials. *Materials Today Physics* **21**, 100548 (2021). URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2542529321002091>.
- <sup>5</sup>Pandey, T., Polanco, C. A., Cooper, V. R., Parker, D. S. & Lindsay, L. Symmetry-driven phonon chirality and transport in one-dimensional and bulk Ba<sub>3</sub>N-derived materials. *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 241405 (2018). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.241405>.
- <sup>6</sup>Gunatilleke, W. D. C. B. *et al.* Intrinsic anharmonicity and thermal properties of ultralow thermal conductivity ba<sub>6</sub>sn<sub>6</sub>se<sub>13</sub>. *Phys. Rev. Materials* **5**, 085002 (2021). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.5.085002>.
- <sup>7</sup>Coh, S. Classification of materials with phonon angular momentum and microscopic origin of angular momentum (2021). 1911.05064.
- <sup>8</sup>Zhu, H. *et al.* Observation of chiral phonons. *Science* **359**, 579–582 (2018). URL <https://www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/science.aar2711>. <https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/science.aar2711>.
- <sup>9</sup>Yin, T. *et al.* Chiral phonons and giant magneto-optical effect in crbr3 2d magnet. *Advanced Materials* **33**, 2101618 (2021).
- <sup>10</sup>Manenkov, A. A. & Orbach, R. *Spin-lattice relaxation in ionic solids* (Harper & Row, 1966).
- <sup>11</sup>Nakane, J. J. & Kohno, H. Angular momentum of phonons and its application to single-spin relaxation. *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 174403 (2018). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.97.174403>.
- <sup>12</sup>Streib, S. Difference between angular momentum and pseudoangular momentum. *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, L100409 (2021). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.L100409>.
- <sup>13</sup>Strohmer, C., Rikken, G. L. J. A. & Wyder, P. Phenomenological evidence for the phonon hall effect. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 155901 (2005). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.155901>.
- <sup>14</sup>Zhang, L. & Niu, Q. Angular momentum of phonons and the einstein-de haas effect. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 085503 (2014). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.085503>.
- <sup>15</sup>Qin, T., Niu, Q. & Shi, J. Energy magnetization and the thermal hall effect. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 236601 (2011). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.236601>.
- <sup>16</sup>Chen, Z., Luo, J.-W. & Wang, L.-W. Revealing angular momentum transfer channels and timescales in the ultrafast demagnetization process of ferromagnetic semiconductors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **116**, 19258–19263 (2019). URL <https://www.pnas.org/content/116/39/19258.full.pdf>.
- <sup>17</sup>Tsatsoulis, T. *et al.* Ultrafast demagnetization after femtosecond laser pulses: Transfer of angular momentum from the electronic system to magnetoelastic spin-phonon modes. *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 134411 (2016). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.134411>.
- <sup>18</sup>Jia, Y.-T. *et al.* Mott transition and superconductivity in quantum spin liquid candidate NaYbSe<sub>2</sub>. *Chinese Physics Letters* **37**, 097404 (2020). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0256-307X/37/9/097404>.
- <sup>19</sup>Dai, P.-L. *et al.* Spinon fermi surface spin liquid in a triangular lattice antiferromagnet NaYbSe<sub>2</sub>. *Phys. Rev. X* **11**, 021044 (2021). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevX.11.021044>.
- <sup>20</sup>Ranjith, K. M. *et al.* Anisotropic field-induced ordering in the triangular-lattice quantum spin liquid NaYbSe<sub>2</sub>. *Phys. Rev. B*

- 100, 224417 (2019). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.100.224417>.
- <sup>21</sup>Zhang, Z. *et al.* Crystalline electric field excitations in the quantum spin liquid candidate  $\text{NaYbSe}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 035144 (2021). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.035144>.
  - <sup>22</sup>Zhang, Z. *et al.* Pressure induced metallization and possible unconventional superconductivity in spin liquid  $\text{NaYbSe}_2$  (2020). 2003.11479.
  - <sup>23</sup>Anderson, P. Resonating valence bonds: A new kind of insulator? *Materials Research Bulletin* **8**, 153–160 (1973). URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0025540873901670>.
  - <sup>24</sup>Knolle, J. & Moessner, R. A field guide to spin liquids. *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **10**, 451–472 (2019). URL <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031218-013401>.
  - <sup>25</sup>Savary, L. & Balents, L. Quantum spin liquids: a review. *Reports on Progress in Physics* **80**, 016502 (2016). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/80/1/016502>.
  - <sup>26</sup>Barkeshli, M., Berg, E. & Kivelson, S. Coherent transmutation of electrons into fractionalized anyons. *Science* **346**, 722–725 (2014).
  - <sup>27</sup>Schmidt, B., Sichelschmidt, J., Ranjith, K. M., Doert, T. & Baenitz, M. Yb delafossites: Unique exchange frustration of  $4f$  spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  moments on a perfect triangular lattice. *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 214445 (2021). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.214445>.
  - <sup>28</sup>Baenitz, M. *et al.*  $\text{NaYbS}_2$ : A planar spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  triangular-lattice magnet and putative spin liquid. *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 220409 (2018). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.220409>.
  - <sup>29</sup>Sarkar, R. *et al.* Quantum spin liquid ground state in the disorder free triangular lattice  $\text{NaYbS}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 241116 (2019). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.100.241116>.
  - <sup>30</sup>Ding, L. *et al.* Gapless spin-liquid state in the structurally disorder-free triangular antiferromagnet  $\text{NaYbO}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 144432 (2019). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.100.144432>.
  - <sup>31</sup>Ranjith, K. M. *et al.* Field-induced instability of the quantum spin liquid ground state in the  $J_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2}$  triangular-lattice compound  $\text{NaYbO}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 180401 (2019). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.180401>.
  - <sup>32</sup>Bordelon, M. M. *et al.* Field-tunable quantum disordered ground state in the triangular-lattice antiferromagnet  $\text{NaYbO}_2$ . *Nature Physics* **15**, 1058–1064 (2019). URL <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-019-0594-5>.
  - <sup>33</sup>Xing, J. *et al.* Field-induced magnetic transition and spin fluctuations in the quantum spin-liquid candidate  $\text{CsYbSe}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 220407 (2019). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.100.220407>.
  - <sup>34</sup>Pocs, C. A. *et al.* Systematic fitting of crystal-field levels and accurate extraction of quantum magnetic models in triangular-lattice delafossites (2021).
  - <sup>35</sup>Xie, T. *et al.* Field-induced spin excitations in the spin-1/2 triangular-lattice antiferromagnet  $\text{CsYbSe}_2$  (2021). 2106.12451.
  - <sup>36</sup>Xing, J. *et al.* Crystal synthesis and frustrated magnetism in triangular lattice  $\text{CsRESe}_2$  (re = la-lu): Quantum spin liquid candidates  $\text{CsCeSe}_2$  and  $\text{CsYbSe}_2$ . *ACS Materials Letters* **2**, 71–75 (2020). URL <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsmaterialslett.9b00464>.
  - <sup>37</sup>Pai, Y.-Y. *et al.* Mesoscale interplay between phonons and crystal electric field excitations in quantum spin liquid candidate  $\text{CsYbSe}_2$ . *J. Mater. Chem. C* – (2022). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/D1TC05934C>.
  - <sup>38</sup>Xing, J., Sanjeeva, L. D., May, A. F. & Sefat, A. S. Synthesis and anisotropic magnetism in quantum spin liquid candidates  $\text{AYbSe}_2$  ( $A = \text{K}$  and  $\text{Rb}$ ) (2021). 2109.00384.
  - <sup>39</sup>Scheie, A. O. *et al.* Witnessing quantum criticality and entanglement in the triangular antiferromagnet  $\text{KbSe}_2$  (2021). 2109.11527.
  - <sup>40</sup>Koningstein, J. A. Electronic raman spectra. i. raman transitions of trivalent ytterbium, europium, and neodymium in yttrium gallium garnet. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **46**, 2811–2816 (1967). URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1841119>.
  - <sup>41</sup>Petit, V., Camy, P., Doualan, J.-L., Portier, X. & Moncorgé, R. Spectroscopy of  $\text{Yb}^{3+} : \text{CaF}_2$ : From isolated centers to clusters. *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 085131 (2008). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.085131>.
  - <sup>42</sup>Čermák, P. *et al.* Magnetoelastic hybrid excitations in  $\text{CeAlAl}_3$ . *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **116**, 6695–6700 (2019).
  - <sup>43</sup>Sethi, A., Slimak, J. E., Kolodiazny, T. & Cooper, S. L. Emergent vibronic excitations in the magnetodielectric regime of  $\text{Ce}_2\text{O}_3$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 177601 (2019). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.177601>.
  - <sup>44</sup>Loewenhaupt, M., Rainford, B. D. & Steglich, F. Dynamic jahn-teller effect in a rare-earth compound:  $\text{CeAl}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **42**, 1709–1712 (1979). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.42.1709>.
  - <sup>45</sup>Güntherodt, G., Jayaraman, A., Batlogg, G., Croft, M. & Melcher, E. Raman scattering from coupled phonon and electronic crystal-field excitations in  $\text{CeAl}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51**, 2330–2332 (1983). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.51.2330>.
  - <sup>46</sup>Thalmeier, P. & Fulde, P. Bound state between a crystal-field excitation and a phonon in  $\text{CeAl}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49**, 1588–1591 (1982). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.49.1588>.
  - <sup>47</sup>Adroja, D. *et al.* Vibron quasibound state in the noncentrosymmetric tetragonal heavy-fermion compound  $\text{CeCuAl}_3$ . *Physical review letters* **108**, 216402 (2012).
  - <sup>48</sup>Aksenov, V., Goremychkin, E., Mühle, E., Frauenheim, T. & Bührer, W. Coupled quadrupole-phonon excitations: Inelastic neutron scattering on van vleck paramagnet  $\text{PrNi}_5$ . *Physica B+C* **120**, 310–313 (1983). URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0378436383903984>.
  - <sup>49</sup>Heyen, E. T., Wegerer, R. & Cardona, M. Coupling of phonons to crystal-field excitations in  $\text{NdBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 144–147 (1991). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.67.144>.
  - <sup>50</sup>Gaudet, J. *et al.* Magnetoelastically induced vibronic bound state in the spin-ice pyrochlore  $\text{Ho}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ . *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 014419 (2018). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.014419>.
  - <sup>51</sup>Güntherodt, G., Jayaraman, A., Anastassakis, E., Bucher, E. & Bach, H. Effect of configuration crossover on the electronic Raman scattering by  $4f$  multiplets. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **46**, 855–858 (1981). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.46.855>.
  - <sup>52</sup>Zhu, Z. H. *et al.* Fluctuating magnetic droplets immersed in a sea of quantum spin liquid (2021). 2112.06523.
  - <sup>53</sup>Tatsumi, Y., Kaneko, T. & Saito, R. Conservation law of angular momentum in helicity-dependent raman and rayleigh scattering. *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 195444 (2018). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.97.195444>.
  - <sup>54</sup>Salvatier, J., Wiecki, T. V. & Fonnesbeck, C. Probabilistic programming in python using pymc3. *PeerJ Computer Science* **2**, e55 (2016). URL <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.55>.
  - <sup>55</sup>Deng, B. & Ibers, J. A.  $\text{CsYbSe}_2$ . *Acta Crystallographica Section E* **61**, i15–i17 (2005). URL <https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536805001157>.
  - <sup>56</sup>Alpeggiani, F., Bliokh, K. Y., Nori, F. & Kuipers, L. Electromagnetic helicity in complex media. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 243605 (2018). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.243605>.

- <sup>57</sup>Axe, J. D. Two-photon processes in complex atoms. *Phys. Rev.* **136**, A42–A45 (1964). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.136.A42>.
- <sup>58</sup>Lawrie, B. J., Feldman, M., Marvinney, C. E. & Pai, Y. Y. Free-space confocal magneto-optical spectroscopies at millikelvin temperatures (2021). 2103.06851.
- <sup>59</sup>Bartolomé, E., Arauzo, A., Luzón, J., Bartolomé, J. & Bartolomé, F. Chapter 1 - magnetic relaxation of lanthanide-based molecular magnets. vol. 26 of *Handbook of Magnetic Materials*, 1–289 (Elsevier, 2017). URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1567271917300033>.
- <sup>60</sup>Jensen, J., Mackintosh, A. & Mackintosh, B. *Rare Earth Magnetism: Structures and Excitations*. International Series of Monographs on Physics (Clarendon Press, 1991). URL <https://books.google.com/books?id=LbTvAAAAAAAJ>.
- <sup>61</sup>Zhang, Z. *et al.* Effective magnetic hamiltonian at finite temperatures for rare-earth chalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 184419 (2021). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.184419>.
- <sup>62</sup>Callen, E. & Callen, H. B. Magnetostriction, forced magnetostriction, and anomalous thermal expansion in ferromagnets. *Phys. Rev.* **139**, A455–A471 (1965). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.139.A455>.
- <sup>63</sup>Lovesey, S. W. & Staub, U. Magnetoelastic model for the relaxation of lanthanide ions in  $\text{Yb}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$  observed by neutron scattering. *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 9130–9139 (2000). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.9130>.

# Supplementary Information for Phonon Chirality Induced by Vibronic Orbital Coupling

Yun-Yi Pai,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Claire E. Marvinney,<sup>1,2</sup> Liangbo Liang,<sup>3</sup> Ganesh Pokharel,<sup>4</sup> Jie Xing,<sup>1</sup>  
Haoxiang Li,<sup>1</sup> Xun Li,<sup>1</sup> Michael Chilcote,<sup>1,2</sup> Matthew Brahlek,<sup>1,2</sup> Lucas Lindsay,<sup>1</sup> Hu  
Miao,<sup>1</sup> Athena S. Sefat,<sup>1</sup> David Parker,<sup>1</sup> Stephen D. Wilson,<sup>4</sup> and Benjamin J. Lawrie<sup>1,2,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Materials Science and Technology Division,*

*Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Quantum Science Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*Center for Nanophase Materials Sciences,*

*Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA*

<sup>4</sup>*Materials Department and California Nanosystems Institute,*

*University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA*

(Dated: March 28, 2022)

## CONTENTS

I. Phonon calculation details	2
II. Temperature Dependence for Higher Energy Band	4
III. Temperature Dependence for XX and XY polarization	6
IV. Full Magneto-Raman for both NaYbSe <sub>2</sub> and CsYbSe <sub>2</sub> at $T = 4\text{K}$	7
V. Assignment of the CEF to eigenstates for NaYbSe <sub>2</sub>	10
A. Fitted parameters for NaYbSe <sub>2</sub>	12
B. Eigenstates for CsYbSe <sub>2</sub>	16
References	18

## I. PHONON CALCULATION DETAILS

To obtain phonon dispersion in NaYbSe<sub>2</sub>, density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed based on the projector augmented wave method (PAW) as implemented in the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP) [1–4]. The generalized gradient approximation, parameterized by Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE) [5] was used for exchange-correlations. A 520 eV kinetic energy cutoff in the plane-wave expansion and energy convergence criteria of  $10^{-6}$  eV were employed. Ionic relaxations were performed until Hellmann-Feynman forces converged to  $10^{-4}$  meV/Å. The structure was relaxed with a  $\Gamma$ -centered  $15 \times 15 \times 3$  k-mesh. The harmonic interatomic force constants (IFCs) were calculated using the finite displacement method implemented in the phonopy package [6] in a  $3 \times 3 \times 1$  supercell with  $\Gamma$ -centered  $5 \times 5 \times 3$  k-meshes. The DFT+U method [7] was used to include the Coulomb correlations with  $U_{\text{eff}}=6$  eV [7] for Yb atoms. Van der Waals interactions were taken into account via DFT-D3 method [8].

---

\* yunyip@ornl.gov

† lawriejb@ornl.gov




FIG. S1. Calculated phonon dispersion.

## II. TEMPERATURE DEPENDENCE FOR HIGHER ENERGY BAND

Figure S2 shows temperature-dependent unpolarized Raman spectra taken from  $T = 3.3$  K to  $T = 270$  K. The spectra were taken with Semrock dichroic and longpass filters with cutoff at  $90 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  instead of a set of volume Bragg gratings. Similar to  $\text{CsYbSe}_2$  [9], a multitude of possible combination modes (e.g., possible CEF1 + CEF2) – behavior of resonant Raman excitation – is present.




FIG. S2. Raman spectra as function of temperature from  $T = 3.3$  K to  $T = 270$  K.

Figure S3 shows the peak positions extracted from Figure S2 using Bayesian inference [10]. In each subplot, the trace is the median of the posterior distribution of the peak position or peak width, and the two shades of different opacities are corresponding to  $1\sigma$  (more opaque) and  $2\sigma$  (more transparent) bands of the posterior distribution.




FIG. S3. Peak positions extracted from Figure S2.

### III. TEMPERATURE DEPENDENCE FOR XX AND XY POLARIZATION

Figure S4 shows temperature-dependent Raman spectra taken from  $T = 3.3$  K to  $T = 270$  K with polarization configuration  $XX$  and  $XY$ .




FIG. S4. Raman spectra taken from  $T = 3.3$  K to  $T = 270$  K with polarization configuration  $XX$  and  $XY$ .

#### IV. FULL MAGNETO-RAMAN FOR BOTH NaYbSe<sub>2</sub> AND CsYbSe<sub>2</sub> AT $T = 4\text{K}$

Figure S5 shows the original magneto-Raman spectra for NaYbSe<sub>2</sub> and Figure S6 for CsYbSe<sub>2</sub>. The magnetic field dependence given polarization configuration for the CEF modes are similar for NaYbSe<sub>2</sub> and CsYbSe<sub>2</sub>, i.e., (i) with  $(\sigma_{\text{incident}}, \sigma_{\text{scatter}}) = (\sigma^+, \sigma^-)$  configuration, the CEF1 and CEF2 shift toward higher energy in positive field, and CEF3 toward lower energy and (ii) the trends are inverted with inverted polarization configurations or inverted field. However, the  $\omega$  is observed only in a subset of spatial locations [9], and with much weaker intensity, likely due to larger detuning between the  $E_{2g}^2$  and CEF1 in CsYbSe<sub>2</sub>. Small residual peaks that violate the proposed selection rules are present in both datasets: for instance, a small  $\omega$  peak is present in Figure S5 (c) and Figure S5 (e) due to less than ideal polarization contrast in the optics train. For CsYbSe<sub>2</sub>, the extinction ratio of the selection rules are not as high as that of NaYbSe<sub>2</sub>, suggesting that the selection rules (and hence the assignment of the states) proposed in the text may only apply to NaYbSe<sub>2</sub>.




FIG. S5. Helicity-resolved magnetic field dependence of CEFs and  $\omega$  for  $\text{NaYbSe}_2$  at  $T = 4$  K. (a) at 0 T, (b)  $(\sigma^+, \sigma^+)$ . (c)  $(\sigma^+, \sigma^-)$ . (d)  $(\sigma^-, \sigma^-)$ . (e)  $(\sigma^-, \sigma^+)$ .




FIG. S6. Helicity-resolved magnetic field dependence of CEFs and  $\omega$  for  $\text{CsYbSe}_2$  at  $T = 4$  K. (a) at 0 T, (b)  $(\sigma^+, \sigma^+)$ . (c)  $(\sigma^+, \sigma^-)$ . (d)  $(\sigma^-, \sigma^-)$ . (e)  $(\sigma^-, \sigma^+)$ .

## V. ASSIGNMENT OF THE CEF TO EIGENSTATES FOR NaYbSe<sub>2</sub>

The CEF Hamiltonian for NaYbSe<sub>2</sub> within the point charge approximation [11–14] that describes the ground state manifold  $J = 7/2$  is:

$$H_{\text{CEF}} = B_2^0 \mathbf{O}_2^0 + B_4^0 \mathbf{O}_4^0 + B_4^3 \mathbf{O}_4^3 + B_6^0 \mathbf{O}_6^0 + B_6^3 \mathbf{O}_6^3 + B_6^6 \mathbf{O}_6^6 \quad (1)$$

The expected helicity dependence of the CEF excitations follows from the selection rule bridging the two relevant states. The eigenstates for the energy levels described in equation 1 are:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{1,2,3}^+\rangle &= -\alpha e^{i\phi_\alpha} \left| \frac{7}{2}, \frac{7}{2} \right\rangle + \beta \left| \frac{7}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle + \gamma e^{-i\phi_\gamma} \left| \frac{7}{2}, -\frac{5}{2} \right\rangle \\ |\psi_{1,2,3}^-\rangle &= \alpha e^{i\phi_\alpha} \left| \frac{7}{2}, -\frac{7}{2} \right\rangle + \beta \left| \frac{7}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle - \gamma e^{i\phi_\gamma} \left| \frac{7}{2}, \frac{5}{2} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_0^+\rangle &= \left| \frac{7}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \right\rangle \\ |\psi_0^-\rangle &= \left| \frac{7}{2}, -\frac{3}{2} \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \phi_\alpha, \phi_\beta$ , and  $\phi_\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  are determined by the CEF parameters  $B_2^0, B_4^0, B_4^3, B_6^0, B_6^3$  and  $B_6^6$ . Note that the levels are doubly degenerate.

Without further constraints, the 6 parameters have enough degrees of freedom to fit experimentally observed energy levels. More than one set of CEF parameters that locally minimizes the error may exist and the order of the eigenstates may not be the same across those sets. For example, the subspace spanned by this special singlet pair with single angular momentum eigenstate  $|\psi_0^\pm\rangle$  is assigned to CEF1 in Zhang et al. [12] and CEF2 in Scheie et al. [15]. Schmidt et al. [13] pointed out that they cannot be the ground state due to observed in-plane field dependence at low temperatures [13].

However, the observed CEFs are dominantly in cross circular channel, i.e.,  $(\sigma^+, \sigma^-)$  and  $(\sigma^-, \sigma^+)$  ( $J_+ J_+$  and  $J_- J_-$ ). Note that the sign for the scattered light is reversed due to the backscattering geometry. On the other hand, CEFs have reduced to negligible intensity in co-circular channel,  $(\sigma^+, \sigma^+)$  and  $(\sigma^-, \sigma^-)$  (equivalently  $J_+ J_-$  and  $J_- J_+$ ).

The observation above forces the singlet eigenspace  $|\psi_0^\pm\rangle$  to be the ground state. **Mathematical Argument:** We argue the statement above by contradiction. First, without loss of generality, we consider the following eigenstates assignment: C

$|\psi_{1,2,3}^\pm$  are  $0\pm$ ,  $2\pm$  and  $3\pm$  and  $|\psi_0^\pm$  are  $1\pm$

as show in the diagram on the right: the singlets are assigned to  $1+ / 1-$ . The space spanned by  $0+$ ,  $2+$ , and  $3+$  is a 3-dimensional space. Note that by definition, the state  $0+$ ,  $2+$ , and  $3+$  are orthogonal to each other. The set orthogonality conditions, i.e.,  $\langle 0+ | 2+ \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle 0+ | 3+ \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle 2+ | 3+ \rangle = 0$ , are a set of 3 bilinear equations. They have a simple geometry interpretation: While the first vector is completely free, once the first vector is assigned, the 3 orthogonality conditions set the other 2 vectors, up to a permutation and normalization. Now, since the matrix elements bridged by  $J_+J_-$  and  $J_-J_+$  have to be zero, it means  $\langle 0+ | J_+J_- | 2+ \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle 0+ | J_+J_- | 3+ \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle 0+ | J_-J_+ | 2+ \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle 0+ | J_-J_+ | 3+ \rangle = 0$ . But because  $J_+J_- \neq I$  (identity) and  $J_-J_+ \neq I$ , the 4 conditions above impose 4 new sets of bilinear equations for the system to satisfy. The solution therefore does not exist (A line that is orthogonal to a set of 4 skew lines in 3D space does not exists)  $\Rightarrow \neq$ .

### A. Fitted parameters for NaYbSe<sub>2</sub>

To fit the experimental data, we used a general non-linear model normalization procedure in Mathematica. To start, a general set of eigenvalues and eigenvectors as a function of the CEF parameters is obtained by direct diagonalization of the CEF Hamiltonian. The eigenvectors are sorted based on their eigenvalues for each test parameter space. Then the cost function is defined by the sum of the squared errors between transitions and the data, with both inter-branch and intra-branch transitions are considered. The selection rules are added as a term in the cost function when necessary. The final CEF parameters is the set that minimized the said cost function.

Table S1 is the obtained eigenstates for CsYbSe<sub>2</sub> and Table S2 matrix elements between the eigenstates, acted by  $J_+J_+$ ,  $J_-J_-$ ,  $J_+J_-$ ,  $J_-J_+$ . Note that the matrix elements in the  $J_+J_-$  and  $J_-J_+$  channels are all 0, consistent with experimental observations.

state\m <sub>j</sub>	-7/2	-5/2	-3/2	-1/2	1/2	3/2	5/2	7/2
0-	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
0+	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
1-	0.725952	0	0	0.0000367007	0	0	0.687745	0
1+	0	0.687745	0	0	-0.0000367007	0	0	0.725953
2-	-0.687745	0	0	0.0000163497	0	0	0.725952	0
2+	0	-0.725953	0	0	0.0000163497	0	0	0.687745
3-	0.0000153987	0	0	-1.	0	0	0.0000371098	0
3+	0	0.0000371099	0	0	1.	0	0	0.000015398

TABLE S1. Eigenstates for NaYbSe<sub>2</sub>

combination\ $O_{op}$	$J_+J_+$	$J_-J_-$	$J_+J_-$	$J_-J_+$
$  \langle 1 -  O_{op} 0-\rangle  ^2$	0	44.2686	0	0
$  \langle 1 +  O_{op} 0-\rangle  ^2$	0	$3.23267 \times 10^{-7}$	0	0
$  \langle 1 +  O_{op} 0+\rangle  ^2$	44.2686	0	0	0
$  \langle 1 -  O_{op} 0+\rangle  ^2$	$3.23267 \times 10^{-7}$	0	0	0
$  \langle 2 -  O_{op} 0-\rangle  ^2$	0	39.7314	0	0
$  \langle 2 +  O_{op} 0-\rangle  ^2$	0	$6.41549 \times 10^{-8}$	0	0
$  \langle 2 +  O_{op} 0+\rangle  ^2$	39.7314	0	0	0
$  \langle 2 -  O_{op} 0+\rangle  ^2$	$6.41549 \times 10^{-8}$	0	0	0
$  \langle 3 -  O_{op} 0-\rangle  ^2$	0	$1.9918 \times 10^{-8}$	0	0
$  \langle 3 +  O_{op} 0-\rangle  ^2$	0	240	0	0
$  \langle 3 +  O_{op} 0+\rangle  ^2$	0	0	0	0
$  \langle 3 -  O_{op} 0+\rangle  ^2$	240	42.5604	0	0

TABLE S2. Matrix element for  $O_{op} = J_+J_+, J_-J_-, J_+J_-, J_-J_+$  between CEF eigenstates for NaYbSe<sub>2</sub> using eigenstates in Table S1.

Additionally, we compare the eigenstates in Zhang et al. [12], which is listed in Table S3. Table S4 lists the matrix elements between the eigenstates, acted by  $J_+J_+$ ,  $J_-J_-$ ,  $J_+J_-$ ,  $J_-J_+$ . Not all of the matrix elements in the  $J_+J_-$  and  $J_-J_+$  channels are all 0. For matrix elements larger than 50% of matrix elements of those in  $J_+J_+$ ,  $J_-J_-$  are highlighted in red.

state\m <sub>j</sub>	-7/2	-5/2	-3/2	-1/2	1/2	3/2	5/2	7/2
0-	0	0.8019	0	0	-0.1368	0	0	-0.5693
0+	-0.5693	0	0	0.1368	0	0	0.8019	0
1-	0	0	-0.1396	0	0	-0.9902	0	0
1+	0	0	+0.9902	0	0	-0.1396	0	0
2-	0	-0.4716	0	0	-0.7307	0	0	-0.4887
2+	-0.4887	0	0	0.7307	0	0	-0.4716	0
3-	0	-0.3524	0	0	0.6665	0	0	-0.6565
3+	-0.6565	0	0	-0.6665	0	0	-0.3524	0

TABLE S3. Eigenstates for NaYbSe<sub>2</sub> from Zhang et al. [12]

combination\ $O_{op}$	$J_+J_+$	$J_-J_-$	$J_+J_-$	$J_-J_+$
$  \langle 1 -  O_{op} 0-\rangle  ^2$	0	29.8383	0	0
$  \langle 1 +  O_{op} 0-\rangle  ^2$	0	1.87727	0	0
$  \langle 1 +  O_{op} 0+\rangle  ^2$	29.8383	0	0	0
$  \langle 1 -  O_{op} 0+\rangle  ^2$	1.87727	0	0	0
$  \langle 2 -  O_{op} 0-\rangle  ^2$	0	0	0.809356	9.2338
$  \langle 2 +  O_{op} 0-\rangle  ^2$	76.1584	0	0	0
$  \langle 2 +  O_{op} 0+\rangle  ^2$	0.	0	9.2338	0.809356
$  \langle 2 -  O_{op} 0+\rangle  ^2$	0	76.1584	0	0
$  \langle 3 -  O_{op} 0-\rangle  ^2$	0	0	0.673621	22.6455
$  \langle 3 +  O_{op} 0-\rangle  ^2$	42.5604	0	0	0
$  \langle 3 +  O_{op} 0+\rangle  ^2$	0	0	22.6455	0.673621
$  \langle 3 -  O_{op} 0+\rangle  ^2$	0	42.5604	0	0

TABLE S4. Matrix element for  $O_{op} = J_+J_+, J_-J_-, J_+J_-, J_-J_+$  between CEF eigenstates for NaYbSe<sub>2</sub> using eigenstates from Zhang et al. [12]

## B. Eigenstates for CsYbSe<sub>2</sub>

For CsYbSe<sub>2</sub>, Table S5 is the obtained eigenstates for CsYbSe<sub>2</sub> and Table S6 matrix elements between the eigenstates, acted by  $J_+J_+$ ,  $J_-J_-$ ,  $J_+J_-$ ,  $J_-J_+$ . For the channel  $J_+J_-$ ,  $J_-J_+$ , matrix elements larger than 50% of that in  $J_+J_+$ ,  $J_-J_-$  are highlighted in red.

state\m <sub>j</sub>	-7/2	-5/2	-3/2	-1/2	1/2	3/2	5/2	7/2
0-	-0.9675	0	0	0.2179	0	0	+0.1279	0
0+	0	-0.1279	0	0	+0.2179	0	0	+0.9675
1-	0	-0.9723	0	0	0.1649	0	0	-0.1656
1+	-0.1656	0	0	-0.1649	0	0	-0.9723	0
2-	0	0	-0.9114	0	0	+0.4115	0	0
2+	0	0	+0.4115	0	0	0.9114	0	0
3-	0.1908	0	0	0.9619	0	0	-0.1957	0
3+	0	-0.1957	0	0	-0.9619	0	0	0.1908

TABLE S5. Eigenstates for CsYbSe<sub>2</sub>

combination\ $O_{op}$	$J_+J_+$	$J_-J_-$	$J_+J_-$	$J_-J_+$
$  \langle 1 -  O_{op} 0-\rangle  ^2$	0	6.55101	0	0
$  \langle 1 +  O_{op} 0-\rangle  ^2$	0	0	4.12602	0.104899
$  \langle 1 +  O_{op} 0+\rangle  ^2$	6.55101	0	0	0
$  \langle 1 -  O_{op} 0+\rangle  ^2$	0	0	0.104899	4.12602
$  \langle 2 -  O_{op} 0-\rangle  ^2$	89.6949	0	0	0
$  \langle 2 +  O_{op} 0-\rangle  ^2$	0.327506	0	0	0
$  \langle 2 +  O_{op} 0+\rangle  ^2$	0.	89.6949	0	0
$  \langle 2 -  O_{op} 0+\rangle  ^2$	0	0.327506	0	0
$  \langle 3 -  O_{op} 0-\rangle  ^2$	0	0	8.08612	3.55762
$  \langle 3 +  O_{op} 0-\rangle  ^2$	0	4.94038	0	0
$  \langle 3 +  O_{op} 0+\rangle  ^2$	0	0	3.55762	8.08612
$  \langle 3 -  O_{op} 0+\rangle  ^2$	4.94038	0	0	0

TABLE S6. Matrix element for  $O_{op} = J_+J_+, J_-J_-, J_+J_-, J_-J_+$  between CEF eigenstates for CsYbSe<sub>2</sub>.

- 
- [1] Blöchl, P. E. Projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 17953–17979 (1994). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.17953>.
- [2] Kresse, G. & Furthmüller, J. Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set. *Computational Materials Science* **6**, 15–50 (1996). URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0927025696000080>.
- [3] Kresse, G. & Furthmüller, J. Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 11169–11186 (1996). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169>.
- [4] Kresse, G. & Joubert, D. From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 1758–1775 (1999). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.1758>.
- [5] Perdew, J. P., Burke, K. & Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865–3868 (1996). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865>.
- [6] Togo, A. & Tanaka, I. First principles phonon calculations in materials science. *Scripta Materialia* **108**, 1–5 (2015).
- [7] Dudarev, S. L., Botton, G. A., Savrasov, S. Y., Humphreys, C. J. & Sutton, A. P. Electron-energy-loss spectra and the structural stability of nickel oxide: An lsda+u study. *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, 1505–1509 (1998). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.1505>.
- [8] Grimme, S., Antony, J., Ehrlich, S. & Krieg, H. A consistent and accurate ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (dft-d) for the 94 elements h-pu. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **132**, 154104 (2010). URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3382344>. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3382344>.
- [9] Pai, Y.-Y. *et al.* Mesoscale interplay between phonons and crystal electric field excitations in quantum spin liquid candidate csybse2. *J. Mater. Chem. C* – (2022). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/D1TC05934C>.
- [10] Salvatier, J., Wiecki, T. V. & Fonnesbeck, C. Probabilistic programming in python using pymc3. *PeerJ Computer Science* **2**, e55 (2016). URL <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj-cs.55>.

- [11] Jensen, J., Mackintosh, A. & Mackintosh, B. *Rare Earth Magnetism: Structures and Excitations*. International Series of Monographs on Physics (Clarendon Press, 1991). URL <https://books.google.com/books?id=LbTvAAAAAAAJ>.
- [12] Zhang, Z. *et al.* Crystalline electric field excitations in the quantum spin liquid candidate  $\text{NaYbSe}_2$ . *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 035144 (2021). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.035144>.
- [13] Schmidt, B., Sichelschmidt, J., Ranjith, K. M., Doert, T. & Baenitz, M. Yb delafossites: Unique exchange frustration of  $4f$  spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  moments on a perfect triangular lattice. *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 214445 (2021). URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.214445>.
- [14] Pocs, C. A. *et al.* Systematic fitting of crystal-field levels and accurate extraction of quantum magnetic models in triangular-lattice delafossites (2021).
- [15] Scheie, A. O. *et al.* Witnessing quantum criticality and entanglement in the triangular anti-ferromagnet  $\text{kybse}_2$  (2021). 2109.11527.