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#### Abstract

We show that the collectivity of the particle-hole wave function of low-lying octupole $3^{-}$states in doubly magic nuclei is mainly due to the neutron-proton interaction. Both the enhanced reduced transition probability to the ground state, $B\left(\mathrm{E} 3 ; 3_{1}^{-} \rightarrow 0_{1}^{+}\right)$, and the coupling of the octupole excitation to a nucleon result from the coherent action of all the components of the collective state. The results obtained with a realistic shell-model interaction both for ${ }^{208} \mathrm{~Pb}$ and ${ }^{209} \mathrm{~Pb}$ agree with the geometric collective model of Bohr and Mottelson, where octupole excitations are associated with phonons corresponding to collective shape oscillations of the surface of the nucleus.


PACS numbers: 21.60.Fw, 21.60.Cs, 21.60.Ev

Introduction. Collective excitations are ubiquitous in quantum many-body systems. Their occurrence has given rise to models that capture essential properties in terms of a few degrees of freedom, usually of bosonic nature. Ultimately, however, their description invokes excitations of the constituent particles of the system, which may vary from fully collective to purely single-particle. Nuclei are prime examples of this dichotomy. Depending on its location in the nuclear chart (i.e., its number of neutrons $N$ and protons $Z$ ), a nucleus may exhibit either single-particle or collective types of excitation. To complicate matters even further, in many nuclei both types of excitation coexist. This dual nature is also reflected in the history of nuclear physics: the 1950s saw the development of the nuclear shell model [1, 2], which stresses the importance of single-particle behavior, in parallel with the elaboration of the geometric collective model [3, 4]. It is by now understood that both descriptions of the nucleus are not necessarily incompatible; for example, nuclear rotational motion arises as a result of an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ symmetry of the shell model [5, 6]. Nevertheless, the interplay between single-particle and collective nuclear excitations to this day remains to be fully understood microscopically.

In many doubly magic nuclei the lowest-energy excitation has spin-parity $J^{\pi}=3^{-}$. In the geometric collective model this state is described as a surface vibration in the octupole degree of freedom. In the shell model it corresponds to a coherent superposition of particle-hole (ph) excitations of nucleons across the shell closures and we show here that this superposition obeys universal symmetry properties. Nuclei with one nucleon more or one nucleon less than the double shell closure are then expected to exhibit excitations associated with the single particle or hole as well as those where the single nucleon is coupled to the octupole vibration [7]. Such odd-mass nuclei are therefore ideal testing grounds of the interplay between single-particle and collective nuclear excitations.

Octupole states in doubly magic nuclei. In a oneparticle one-hole (1p1h) approximation an octupole excitation in a doubly magic nucleus corresponds to the linear combination of 1 p 1 h excitations across the shell
closure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-}\right\rangle=\sum_{\rho} \sum_{k^{\prime} k} c_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho}\left|j_{\rho k^{\prime}} j_{\rho k}^{-1} ; 3^{-}\right\rangle \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where particle orbitals above the shell closure are denoted with primed indices $j_{\rho k^{\prime}}$ [short-hand notation for the complete set of single-particle labels $\left.(n l j)_{\rho k^{\prime}}\right]$ and hole orbitals below the shell closure with unprimed indices $j_{\rho k}$. Both occur for neutrons $(\rho=\nu)$ as well as protons $(\rho=\pi)$. The coefficients $c_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho}$ in Eq. (1) are the amplitudes of the wave function, with $\left(c_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho}\right)^{2}$ being the probability to find the $\left|3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-}\right\rangle$state in the $\left|j_{\rho k^{\prime}} j_{\rho k}^{-1} ; 3^{-}\right\rangle$ configuration. The values of $c_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho}$ result from the diagonalization of the nuclear Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\sum_{\rho}\left(\sum_{k} \epsilon_{\rho k} \hat{n}_{\rho k}+\sum_{k^{\prime}} \epsilon_{\rho k^{\prime}} \hat{n}_{\rho k^{\prime}}+\hat{V}_{\rho \rho}\right)+\hat{V}_{\nu \pi} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{n}_{\rho k}$ is the number operator for $\rho$ nucleons in orbital $j_{\rho k}, \epsilon_{\rho k}$ is its single-nucleon energy, and $\hat{V}_{\nu \nu}, \hat{V}_{\pi \pi}$, and $\hat{V}_{\nu \pi}$ are the neutron-neutron $(\nu \nu)$, proton-proton $(\pi \pi)$, and neutron-proton $(\nu \pi)$ interactions, respectively. The orbitals appropriate for the ${ }^{208} \mathrm{~Pb}$ region span two major shells for neutrons and protons, from $N=82$ to 184 for neutrons and from $Z=50$ to 126 for protons. The effective single-nucleon energies have been deduced from the experimental data by Warburton and Brown [8]. There are $\sim 35000$ two-body matrix elements in this space, obtained in a variety of ways, as described by Brown [9]. The set used here is taken from Ref. [10].

With this realistic shell-model Hamiltonian the firstexcited $3^{-}$level in ${ }^{208} \mathrm{~Pb}$ is calculated at an excitation energy $E_{\mathrm{x}}=2460 \mathrm{keV}$, to be compared with the experimental value of 2615 keV [11]. The state has a highly fragmented 1 p 1 h structure as illustrated in Fig. 1(a)(black), which shows the coefficients $c_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho}$ for the different 1 p 1 h excitations. The components of the electric octupole (or E3) decay of the $3_{1}^{-}$level to the $0^{+}$ground state can be obtained from the product of the $c_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho}$ and the corresponding reduced transition matrix elements $\left\langle 0_{1}^{+}\|\mathrm{E} 3\| j_{\rho k^{\prime}} j_{\rho k}^{-1} ; 3^{-}\right\rangle$; they are shown in


FIG. 1. (a) Components in the wave function of the collective $3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-}$state calculated with a realistic shell-model Hamiltonian ( $c_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho}$, black) and compared with the analytic expression (3) ( $\bar{c}_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho}$ with $\alpha_{\nu}=0.734$ and $\alpha_{\pi}=0.679$, blue). (b) The reduced transition matrix elements $\langle\mathrm{E} 3\rangle \equiv\left\langle 0_{1}^{+}\|\mathrm{E} 3\| j_{\rho k^{\prime}} j_{\rho k}^{-1} ; 3^{-}\right\rangle$, in units efm ${ }^{3}$, multiplied with the coefficients $c_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho}$ (black) and $\bar{c}_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho}$ (blue). (c) Components calculated with a realistic shellmodel Hamiltonian with zero $\nu \pi$ interaction ( $\tilde{c}_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho}$, red). On the $y$ axis are indicated all possible 1 p 1 h configurations in a simplified notation, e.g. $f_{5} \rightarrow s_{1}$ stands for $\left|s_{1 / 2} f_{5 / 2}^{-1} ; 3^{-}\right\rangle$.

Fig. 1(b)(black). Although the coefficients $c_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho}$ themselves have alternating signs, see Fig. 1(a)(black), all components of the E3 transition act coherently. The collective character of the octupole state $3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-}$follows from above-mentioned coherence with respect to E3 decay. As a result the total E3 reduced transition matrix element, obtained by multiplying with the effective charges $e_{\nu}=0.35$ and $e_{\pi}=1.35$ and summing all contributions, leads to an enhanced transition probability, $B\left(\mathrm{E} 3 ; 3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} \rightarrow\right.$
$\left.0_{1}^{+}\right)=36 \mathrm{~W} . u .$, to be compared with the experimental value of $34.0(5)$ W.u. [12].

The fragmentation and coherence in the E3 decay of the $3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-}$state can be understood in the context of a schematic model that assumes degenerate single-nucleon energies below and above the shell closures (with gaps $\Delta \epsilon_{\rho}$ ) and a surface delta interaction (SDI) between the nucleons [13]. For the SDI it is assumed that [14] (i) the interaction takes place at the surface only, (ii) the twobody force is of extreme short range, and (iii) the probability of finding a nucleon at the nuclear surface is independent of the shell-model orbital in which the nucleon moves. The SDI is a crude approximation to a realistic shell-model interaction in terms of the isovector strengths $a_{1 \rho}$ of the $\nu \nu$ and $\pi \pi$ interactions, and the isoscalar and isovector strengths $a_{0}$ and $a_{1}$ of the $\nu \pi$ interaction, all with an estimated value of $(25 / A) \mathrm{MeV}$ [14]. With the assumptions of the schematic model the coefficients in Eq. (1) can be derived analytically [15],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{c}_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho} \approx \alpha_{\rho}\left(S_{\rho}\right)^{-1 / 2} g_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $S_{\rho} \equiv \sum_{k k^{\prime}}\left(g_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho}\right)^{2}$ and

$$
g_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho}=(-)^{j_{\rho k}-1 / 2}\left[j_{\rho k}\right]\left[j_{\rho k^{\prime}}\right]\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{\rho k^{\prime}} & j_{\rho k} & 3  \tag{4}\\
1 / 2 & -1 / 2 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the symbol between brackets is a Wigner $3 j$ coefficient [16] and $[j] \equiv \sqrt{2 j+1}$. For ${ }^{208} \mathrm{~Pb}, S_{\nu}=25.39$ and $S_{\pi}=16.29$. The coefficients $\alpha_{\nu}$ and $\alpha_{\pi}$ in Eq. (3) are obtained from the diagonalization of the $2 \times 2$ matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\Delta \epsilon_{\nu}-\frac{a_{1 \nu}}{2} S_{\nu} & -\frac{3 a_{0}+a_{1}}{4} \sqrt{S_{\nu} S_{\pi}}  \tag{5}\\
-\frac{3 a_{0}+a_{1}}{4} \sqrt{S_{\nu} S_{\pi}} & \Delta \epsilon_{\pi}-\frac{a_{1 \pi}}{2} S_{\pi}
\end{array}\right]
$$

which decouples approximately from the rest of the 1 p 1 h space. This defines the neutron and proton octupole excitations $3_{\mathrm{c} \nu}^{-}$and $3_{\mathrm{c} \pi}^{-}$, which are strongly coupled by the off-diagonal element in the matrix (5) due to the $\nu \pi$ interaction, giving rise to a combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-}\right\rangle=\alpha_{\nu}\left|3_{\mathrm{c} \nu}^{-}\right\rangle+\alpha_{\pi}\left|3_{\mathrm{c} \pi}^{-}\right\rangle \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

at low energy, which is symmetric (i.e., the $\alpha_{\nu}$ and $\alpha_{\pi}$ have the same sign), and an anti-symmetric (sometimes called isovector) combination at higher energy.

The coefficients $\bar{c}_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho}$ of Eq. (3) are shown in Fig. 1(a)(blue). The $3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-}$states in the realistic and schematic calculation have a similar structure with an overlap $\sum_{\rho k k^{\prime}} c_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho} \bar{c}_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho} \approx 0.87$. The strong mixing between $3_{\mathrm{c} \nu}^{-}$and $3_{\mathrm{c} \pi}^{-}$, obtained with SDI, indicates that the $\nu \pi$ interaction is a key component of octupole collectivity (see also below). The coherence of the E3 decay can also be proven analytically for the schematic model, leading to [13]

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(\mathrm{E} 3 ; 3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} \rightarrow 0_{1}^{+}\right)=\left(\sum_{\rho} e_{\rho} \alpha_{\rho} \frac{S_{\rho}^{(3)}}{\sqrt{S_{\rho}}}\right)^{2} e^{2} b^{6} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ is the length parameter of the harmonic oscillator and $S_{\rho}^{(\lambda)} \equiv \sum_{k k^{\prime}}\left(g_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho}\right)^{2} I_{n_{\rho k} l_{\rho k} n_{\rho k^{\prime}} l_{\rho k^{\prime}}}^{(\lambda)}$, in terms of radial integrals that are all positive. The corresponding expression in the geometric collective model reads (see Sect. 6.3 of Ref. [7])

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(\mathrm{E} 3 ; 3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} \rightarrow 0_{1}^{+}\right)=\left(\frac{3}{4 \pi} Z e R^{3} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar \omega_{3}}{2 C_{3}}}\right)^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sqrt{\hbar \omega_{3} / 2 C_{3}}$ is the amplitude of the octupole vibration.

A further remark concerns the origin of octupole collectivity. A different set of coefficients $\tilde{c}_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho}$ in Eq. (1) is obtained if the $\nu \pi$ component of the realistic interaction is put to zero, see Fig. 1(c)(red). This leads to a strongly reduced fragmentation of the $3_{\mathrm{c} \rho}^{-}$states: Without $\nu \pi$ interaction octupole collectivity is greatly diminished. It is also diminished if the $\nu \nu$ and $\pi \pi$ interactions are omitted but the loss of collectivity is less important in that case. Therefore, the collectivity of the octupole states exists predominantly by virtue of the $\nu \pi$ interaction, which couples the neutron and proton $3_{c \rho}^{-}$states and generates their collective structure.

Octupole states in odd-mass nuclei. We consider an odd-mass nucleus with one neutron more than a doubly magic nucleus. The case of a proton and/or a hole coupled to a doubly magic nucleus can be treated in a similar fashion. The shell-model calculation is carried out in a basis with 1 p 0 h and 2 p 1 h states,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|j_{\nu r^{\prime}}\right\rangle \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\left(j_{\rho k^{\prime}} j_{\rho k}^{-1}\right)^{\left(J_{k}\right)} j_{\nu s^{\prime}} ; J\right\rangle \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first state represents a neutron in the orbital $j_{\nu r^{\prime}}$ and in the second state the 1 p1h configuration is coupled to angular momentum $J_{k}$, which is subsequently coupled with $j_{\nu s^{\prime}}$ to total $J$. All possible combinations of $j_{\rho k^{\prime}}$, $j_{\rho k}^{-1}, J_{k}$, and $j_{\nu s^{\prime}}$ lead to an overcomplete, non-orthogonal basis, which can be reduced to a complete, orthogonal one by diagonalizing the overlap matrix. This basis may include spurious components with $J_{k}^{\pi}=1^{-}$, which are removed by adding a center-of-mass term [17] to the physical Hamiltonian (2). The calculation in the basis (9) requires the evaluation of different types of matrix element, which can be done by standard angular-momentum recoupling methods.

States of the odd-mass nucleus can be obtained by coupling a particle to the octupole phonon of the core. If such configurations occur at low excitation energy and if they are not fragmented over many states by the nuclear interaction, a simple 'octupole' description of the oddmass nucleus can be envisaged. In this octupole model, besides single-particle states, only states obtained from the coupling of a particle to the octupole phonon are considered. A case of particular interest occurs if two orbitals $j_{\nu r^{\prime}}$ and $j_{\nu s^{\prime}}$ in the basis (9) have opposite parity and satisfy $\left|j_{\nu s^{\prime}}-j_{\nu r^{\prime}}\right|=\left|l_{\nu s^{\prime}}-l_{\nu r^{\prime}}\right|=3$. In ${ }^{209} \mathrm{~Pb}$ this happens for $j_{\nu r^{\prime}}=g_{9 / 2}$ and $j_{\nu s^{\prime}}=j_{15 / 2}$. In that case the single-particle state $\left|j_{\nu r^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ and the octupole-particle
state $\left|3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} \times j_{\nu s^{\prime}} ; J\right\rangle$ may mix if $J=j_{\nu r^{\prime}}$, giving rise to the eigenstates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|J_{\mathrm{o} 1}\right\rangle=\alpha\left|j_{\nu r^{\prime}}\right\rangle+\beta\left|3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} \times j_{\nu s^{\prime}} ; J\right\rangle \\
& \left|J_{\mathrm{o} 2}\right\rangle=\beta\left|j_{\nu r^{\prime}}\right\rangle-\alpha\left|3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} \times j_{\nu s^{\prime}} ; J\right\rangle \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where the subscript ' $o$ ' indicates that these are states in the octupole model. Since they are obtained from the diagonalization of a $2 \times 2$ Hamiltonian matrix in the basis $\left|j_{\nu r^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ and $\left|3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} \times j_{\nu s^{\prime}} ; J\right\rangle$, they carry an additional index 1 or 2 .

In the particle-vibration coupling approach of the geometric collective model [7] the diagonal elements of the $2 \times 2$ matrix are

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle j_{\nu r^{\prime}}\right| \hat{H}\left|j_{\nu r^{\prime}}\right\rangle & =E_{0}+\epsilon_{\nu r^{\prime}}  \tag{11}\\
\left\langle 3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} \times j_{\nu s^{\prime}} ; J\right| \hat{H}\left|3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} \times j_{\nu s^{\prime}} ; J\right\rangle & =E_{0}+\epsilon_{\nu s^{\prime}}+E_{\mathrm{x}}\left(3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{0}$ is the ground-state energy of the doubly magic nucleus and $E_{\mathrm{x}}\left(3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-}\right)$the excitation energy of its $3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-}$state. The mixing between the single-particle and octupoleparticle states is derived from the phonon character of the octupole excitation, leading to (see Sect. 6.5 of Ref. [7])

$$
\begin{align*}
& h\left(j_{\nu r^{\prime}}, 3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} j_{\nu s^{\prime}}\right) \equiv\left\langle j_{\nu r^{\prime}}\right| \hat{H}\left|3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} \times j_{\nu s^{\prime}} ; J=j_{\nu r^{\prime}}\right\rangle  \tag{12}\\
& =\varphi \sqrt{\frac{7}{4 \pi}}\left[j_{\nu s^{\prime}}\right]\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{\nu s^{\prime}} & j_{\nu r^{\prime}} & 3 \\
1 / 2 & -1 / 2 & 0
\end{array}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\hbar \omega_{3}}{2 C_{3}}}\left\langle j_{\nu s^{\prime}}\right| k_{3}(r)\left|j_{\nu r^{\prime}}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

where $k_{3}(r)=R_{0} \partial V(r) / \partial r$ is the form factor of the octupole oscillation and $\varphi$ is a phase.

Application to ${ }^{209} \mathrm{~Pb}$. We test the validity of the particle-vibration coupling approach of the geometric collective model by comparing it to a shell-model calculation in a $1 \mathrm{p} 0 \mathrm{~h}+2 \mathrm{p} 1 \mathrm{~h}$ basis. In a first step the diagonalization of the shell-model Hamiltonian (2) for the nucleus ${ }^{208} \mathrm{~Pb}$ determines the coefficients $c_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho}$. Next, we evaluate the diagonal matrix elements $\left\langle j_{\nu r^{\prime}}\right| \hat{H}\left|j_{\nu r^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle 3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} \times j_{\nu s^{\prime}} ; J\right| \hat{H}\left|3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} \times j_{\nu s^{\prime}} ; J\right\rangle$. While the first is just the expression (11), the second is calculated microscopically with use of the coefficients $c_{k^{\prime} k}^{\rho}$ derived for ${ }^{208} \mathrm{~Pb}$. The off-diagonal matrix element $h\left(j_{\nu r^{\prime}}, 3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} j_{\nu s^{\prime}}\right)$ in Eq. (12) is also calculated microscopically with the expression

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sqrt{\frac{7}{2 J+1}}\left(\sum_{k^{\prime} k} c_{k^{\prime} k}^{\nu}\left\langle j_{\nu r^{\prime}} j_{\nu s^{\prime}}^{-1} ; 3\right| \hat{V}_{\nu \nu}\left|j_{\nu k^{\prime}} j_{\nu k}^{-1} ; 3\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{k^{\prime} k} c_{k^{\prime} k}^{\pi}\left\langle j_{\nu r^{\prime}} j_{\nu s^{\prime}}^{-1} ; 3\right| \hat{V}_{\nu \pi}\left|j_{\pi k^{\prime}} j_{\pi k}^{-1} ; 3\right\rangle\right) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Although the individual terms in the sums in Eq. (13) are small, they all act coherently to yield a large off-diagonal matrix element [18].

The coefficients $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in Eq. (10) are obtained by diagonalizing a $2 \times 2$ Hamiltonian matrix with elements calculated in the shell model. In a final step a diagonalization of the Hamiltonian (2) is carried out in the full $1 \mathrm{p} 0 \mathrm{~h}+2 \mathrm{p} 1 \mathrm{~h}$ basis, followed by the calculation of the overlaps $\left|\left\langle J_{k}^{\pi} \mid J_{o i}^{\pi}\right\rangle\right|^{2}$, where $\left|J_{k}^{\pi}\right\rangle$ is the $k^{\text {th }}$ eigenstate in the


FIG. 2. Overlaps $\left|\left\langle J_{k}^{\pi} \mid J_{o i}^{\pi}\right\rangle\right|^{2}$ (see text) for the $J^{\pi}=9 / 2^{+}$ and $15 / 2^{-}$states in ${ }^{209} \mathrm{~Pb}$. The two eigenstates $\left|J_{o i}^{\pi}\right\rangle, i=1,2$, are obtained in the octupole model with the single-particle orbitals $g_{9 / 2}$ and $j_{15 / 2}$, and their coupling to $3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-}$. The eigenstates $\left|J_{k}^{\pi}\right\rangle$ are obtained with the realistic shell-model Hamiltonian in the $1 \mathrm{p} 0 \mathrm{~h}+2 \mathrm{p} 1 \mathrm{~h}$ space. For display purposes the squared overlaps are multiplied by $1,10,100$, or 1000 , indicated in black, red, blue, or green, respectively.
full space and $\left|J_{\mathrm{o} i}^{\pi}\right\rangle, i=1,2$, an eigenstate in the twodimensional space. The states (10) constitute a good approximation to the lowest shell-model states in the $1 \mathrm{p} 0 \mathrm{~h}+2 \mathrm{p} 1 \mathrm{~h}$ space if $\left|\left\langle J_{k}^{\pi} \mid J_{\mathrm{o} i}^{\pi}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \lesssim 1$ for $k=i=1,2$, and $\left|\left\langle J_{k}^{\pi} \mid J_{\mathrm{o} i}^{\pi}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \approx 0$ otherwise.

Figure 2 provides an illustration of this test. The two-component wave functions originate from the singleparticle orbitals $g_{9 / 2}$ and $j_{15 / 2}$, and their coupling to $3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-}$; the wave functions in the $1 \mathrm{p} 0 \mathrm{~h}+2 \mathrm{p} 1 \mathrm{~h}$ space have 467 and 530 components for $J^{\pi}=9 / 2^{+}$and $15 / 2^{-}$, respectively. The lower-energy eigenstate of the $2 \times 2$ matrix is predominantly of single-particle character, either $g_{9 / 2}$ or $j_{15 / 2}$, and this finding is confirmed by the calculation in the $1 \mathrm{p} 0 \mathrm{~h}+2 \mathrm{p} 1 \mathrm{~h}$ space. The upper-energy eigenstate is predominantly of octupole-particle character, and the square of its overlap with the full-space wave function is $0.97(0.91)$ for $J_{2}^{\pi}=9 / 2_{2}^{+}\left(15 / 2_{2}^{-}\right)$.

Many spin-parities are not among those available to a single neutron in the 126-184 orbitals but can be obtained by coupling the neutron to the octupole phonon. Are such particle-octupole states found in the low-energy eigenspectrum of the realistic shell-model Hamiltonian? Figure 3 shows two representative cases, namely the states $\left|3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} \times j_{15 / 2} ; J^{\pi}\right\rangle$ with $J^{\pi}=13 / 2^{+}$and $21 / 2^{+}$, and their distribution over the eigenstates in ${ }^{209} \mathrm{~Pb}$. The $J^{\pi}=21 / 2_{1}^{+}$state is overwhelmingly (the squared overlap is 0.996 ) that of a $j_{15 / 2}$ neutron coupled to $3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-}$. In contrast, the $J^{\pi}=13 / 2_{1}^{+}$state, while still dominantly $3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} \times j_{15 / 2}$ (the squared overlap is 0.74 ), interacts with $\left|\left(g_{9 / 2} g_{9 / 2}\right)^{(0)} i_{13 / 2}^{-1} ; 13 / 2\right\rangle$, which happens to be very close in energy.

The conclusion of a systematic study of all possible


FIG. 3. Distribution of a neutron in the $j_{15 / 2}$ orbital coupled to the octupole excitation $3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-}$of ${ }^{208} \mathrm{~Pb}$. The bars (in the color code of Fig. 2) indicate the overlaps $\left|\left\langle J_{k}^{\pi} \mid 3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} \times j_{15 / 2} ; J\right\rangle\right|^{2}$ for $J^{\pi}=13 / 2^{+}, 21 / 2^{+}$in ${ }^{209} \mathrm{~Pb}$, where $\left|J_{k}^{\pi}\right\rangle$ are eigenstates of the shell-model Hamiltonian in the 1p0h+2p1h space.
cases involving single-particle as well as octupole-particle excitations in ${ }^{209} \mathrm{~Pb}$ is that no member of the $3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} \times g_{9 / 2}$ multiplet shows any fragmentation in the shell-model calculation, that the $3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} \times j_{15 / 2}$ multiplet is pure except for $J^{\pi}=13 / 2^{+}$, and that the high-spin members $\left(J^{\pi} \gtrsim 11 / 2^{-}\right)$of the $3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} \times i_{11 / 2}$ multiplet are also unfragmented. Other multiplets occur at higher energies in the region of higher level density and display considerable fragmentation. However, close to the full strength of particle-octupole coupled states can be found within $\sim 400 \mathrm{keV}$ around the unperturbed particle-octupole energy. Our results demonstrate that the $3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-}$state preserves its bosonic identity while coupling with a single particle or hole.

In the schematic model the following expression is obtained for the off-diagonal matrix element (13):

$$
\begin{align*}
& h\left(j_{\nu r^{\prime}}, 3_{\mathrm{c}}^{-} j_{\nu s^{\prime}}\right)  \tag{14}\\
& \quad \approx(-)^{j_{\nu r^{\prime}}+1 / 2} \sqrt{7}\left[j_{\nu s^{\prime}}\right]\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_{\nu s^{\prime}} & j_{\nu r^{\prime}} & 3 \\
1 / 2 & -1 / 2 & 0
\end{array}\right) f\left(a_{1 \nu}, a_{0}, a_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(a_{1 \nu}, a_{0}, a_{1}\right)=\left(\frac{a_{1 \nu}}{2} \alpha_{\nu} \sqrt{S_{\nu}}+\frac{3 a_{0}+a_{1}}{4} \alpha_{\pi} \sqrt{S_{\pi}}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The analytic expressions (7) and (14) together with the corresponding equations (8) and (12) in the geometric collective model, lead to the following result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\left\langle j_{\nu s^{\prime}}\right| k_{3}(r)\right| j_{\nu r^{\prime}}\right\rangle \left\lvert\, \approx \frac{15}{16} \sqrt{\frac{15}{2 \pi}} Z \sqrt{A} \frac{f\left(a_{1 \nu}, a_{0}, a_{1}\right)}{S}\right. \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S=\sum_{\rho} e_{\rho} \alpha_{\rho} S_{\rho}^{(3)} S_{\rho}^{-1 / 2}$ and use is made of Eq. (2.36) of Ref. [14] for the oscillator length b. Equation (16) gives a simple expression for the matrix element of $R_{0} \partial V(r) / \partial r$ in terms of the orbitals included in the shell-model space and of the strength parameters of the SDI.

In summary, octupole excitations in doubly magic nuclei exhibit universal symmetry properties that explain their collective structure and phonon-like behavior. In
${ }^{208} \mathrm{~Pb}$ the collectivity of the particle-hole wave function of the $3_{1}^{-}$state is mainly due to the neutron-proton interaction. The enhanced reduced transition probability to the ground state, $B\left(\mathrm{E} 3 ; 3_{1}^{-} \rightarrow 0_{1}^{+}\right)$, results from the fully coherent action of all participating particle-hole excitations and is a consequence of the attractive nature of the residual interaction. When coupled to a low-energy single particle or hole excitation, the collective nature and bosonic identity of the octupole state is preserved: E3 collectivity in odd-mass nuclei remains concentrated in a
single state and is not fragmented over many shell-model eigenstates. In the geometric collective model the coupling of octupole degrees of freedom to a nucleon arises from the variation in the average nuclear potential due to the collective vibration. In the shell model this coupling results from the coherent action of all the components of the collective state. The findings obtained here with a realistic shell-model interaction both for ${ }^{208} \mathrm{~Pb}$ and ${ }^{209} \mathrm{~Pb}$ agree with the geometric collective model and confirm the phononic behavior of octupole excitations in nuclei.
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