

# ON HOMOGENEOUS HERMITE-LORENTZ SPACES OF LOW DIMENSION

F. KADI, M. GUEDIRI, AND A. ZEGHIB

ABSTRACT. We classify irreducible homogeneous almost Hermite-Lorentz spaces of complex dimension 3, and prove in particular they are geodesically complete.

## CONTENTS

|   |    |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction   | 1  |
| 2. Further remarks and constructions  | 5  |
| 3. Case of isotropy $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{su}(1, 2)$                    | 7  |
| 4. Structure of the semi-simple part of $\mathfrak{g}$                      | 10 |
| 5. Identification of $\mathfrak{g}$   | 11 |
| 6. Identification to a left invariant metric on a Lie group $L$             | 12 |
| 7. Integrability of the almost complex structure and Curvature Computations | 12 |
| 8. Geodesic completeness, Proof of Theorem 1.5                              | 17 |
| References  | 19 |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

An almost Hermite-Lorentz space  $(M, J, g)$  is an almost pseudo-Hermitian space of signature  $- + \dots +$ . So,  $J$  is an almost complex structure, and  $g$  is a pseudo-Riemannian metric compatible with  $J$  having a signature  $- - + \dots +$ . Equivalently, each tangent space  $(T_x M, J_x, g_x)$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{C}^n, -|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2)$ , where  $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$ . We will use Hermite-Lorentz to mean that  $J$  is integrable.

One may admit that the complexity of a pseudo-Riemannian metric grows with signature, and accordingly, their tractability, from the algebraic, geometric and dynamical point of views, decreases (with signature)! This explains the special interest of Riemannian and then Lorentzian structures, both in mathematics as well as in physics! Now, this Hermite-Lorentz framework, from a complex point of view, it looks like Lorentzian. This motivates their study and leads to expect some rigidity because on one hand of the moderate signature

---

*Date:* October 31, 2022.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53 C 50, 53 C 55.

*Key words and phrases.* Almost Hermitian manifolds, Kaehler manifolds, Hermite-Lorentz spaces.

and, on the other hand, due to the cohabitation of the metric and the almost complex structure.

We are interested here in homogeneous almost Hermite-Lorentz spaces. Any Lie group  $L$  of even dimension  $2n$  can be endowed with such a structure. Indeed, identify, arbitrarily, its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{l}$  to  $(\mathbb{C}^n, -|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2)$ , and left translate this linear Hermite-Lorentz structure to all tangent spaces of  $L$ . The  $L$ -left action on itself preserves this structure, and therefore,  $L$  becomes a homogeneous almost Hermite-Lorentz space. This convinces one that the important homogeneous spaces, are those having a big isotropy group (which is rarely the case for left invariant structures on Lie groups). Symmetric spaces are of this kind. They are the most homogeneous spaces, say, after those of constant curvature. In [4], the authors prove that largeness of isotropy for an almost Hermite-Lorentz space implies it is symmetric (meaning in particular that the almost complex structure is integrable and that the Hermitian metric is Kählerian). Here largeness is to be understood in the sense that the isotropy is  $\mathbb{C}$ -irreducible.

The fact that big isotropy implies symmetry is also true in the (real) Lorentz case, as well as a quaternionic case, see [8, 9], but not in the Riemannian and surely not in the general pseudo-Riemannian cases!

There is however a restriction on the dimension in [4], that is the (complex) dimension of the almost Hermite-Lorentz space has to be  $\geq 4$ . A similar restriction holds in [8, 9]. It seems to us natural and worthwhile to understand what happens for this special dimension.

Let us first observe that these results extend well to the easier 2-dimensional case. Our purpose here is to treat the remaining 3-dimensional case. Roughly, the technical difficulty leading to the restriction,  $\dim \neq 3$ , is exactly that it is in this (real) dimension that there are (equivariant) cross products  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ . Our results here show that indeed, there are many other almost Hermite-Lorentz spaces with  $\mathbb{C}$  irreducible isotropy. In fact, we succeed to classify them.

**1.1. Main Results.** The following two theorems give a complete description of all 3-dimensional almost Hermite-Lorentz homogeneous spaces with a  $\mathbb{C}$ -irreducible isotropy.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $M = G/H$  be an almost complex Hermite-Lorentz homogeneous space of complex dimension 3 with an isotropy acting  $\mathbb{C}$ -irreducibly. Then, up to a central cover (i.e. quotienting by a discrete central subgroup of the universal covering  $\tilde{G}$  of  $G$ ), either  $M$  is symmetric or  $M$  is a 6-dimensional real Lie group  $L$  endowed with a left invariant almost complex Hermite-Lorentz structure, which fits into one of the following four cases:*

- (1)  $L = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ .
- (2)  $L = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .
- (3)  $L = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3$ , where  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  via its 3-dimensional irreducible representation (its image is  $\mathrm{SO}^0(1, 2)$ ).

- (4)  $L = N_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ , whose Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$  is the 2-step 6-dimensional nilpotent algebra whose underlying space is  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  and is endowed with the bracket  $[(u, u'), (v, v')] = (0, b(u, v))$ , where  $b$  is the bracket of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b : \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

In each of these cases, there is a unique, up to automorphism, left invariant almost Hermite-Lorentz structure on  $L$  with  $\mathbb{C}$ -irreducible isotropy.

Moreover, the identity component of the isotropy group (of  $1 \in L$ ) in the automorphism group of the almost Hermite-Lorentz structure is  $SO^0(1, 2)$  acting by automorphisms of  $L$ .

• Finally, the almost complex structure is integrable exactly in the symmetric and the  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -cases.

**Theorem 1.2.** *We have alternatively the following description of the left invariant almost Hermite-Lorentz metrics with  $\mathbb{C}$ -irreducible isotropy on Lie groups  $L$  of real dimension 6, as homogeneous spaces of the form  $G/H$ :*

- (1) For  $L = SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , and  $H$  is diagonal in  $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \subset SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ .
- (2) For  $L = SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  and  $H$  is a diagonal embedding of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ .
- (3) For  $L = SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times (SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $H$  is a diagonal embedding of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  in  $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ .
- (4) For  $L = N_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ ,  $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes N_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ ,  $H = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

Let us observe that instead of the semi-direct product  $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes N_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$  one could consider  $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{C}^3$ , which turns however to be flat.

**1.2. Results in the integrable case.** With Theorem 1.1 and the classification of symmetric Hermite-Lorentz spaces (with  $\mathbb{C}$ -irreducible isotropy) in [4], we get the following corollaries,

**Corollary 1.3.** *There are exactly six (up to scaling and covering) cases of 3-dimensional complex homogeneous Hermite-Lorentz space with  $\mathbb{C}$ -irreducible isotropy:*

- (1)  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  endowed with a left invariant Hermite-Lorentz metric invariant under the  $Ad_{SL(2, \mathbb{R})}$ -action.
- (2) The universal (flat Hermite-Lorentz) complex Minkowski space  $Mink_3(\mathbb{C})$  (also denoted  $\mathbb{C}^{1,2}$ ).
- (3) The complex de Sitter space  $dS_3(\mathbb{C}) = SU(1, 3)/U(1, 2)$ .
- (4) The complex anti de Sitter space  $AdS_3(\mathbb{C}) = SU(2, 2)/U(1, 2)$ .
- (5)  $CdS_3 = SO^0(1, 4)/SO^0(1, 2) \times SO(2)$ .
- (6)  $\mathbb{C}AdS_3 = SO(3, 2)/SO(2) \times SO^0(1, 2)$ .

• All are symmetric except the first one.

**Corollary 1.4.** *In any dimension, let  $M$  be a complex homogeneous non-Kählerian Hermite-Lorentz space with  $\mathbb{C}$ -irreducible isotropy. Then,  $M$  is necessarily  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  endowed with a left invariant Hermite-Lorentz metric which is invariant under the  $Ad_{SL(2, \mathbb{R})}$ -action.*

**1.3. Completeness.** Homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian spaces are not automatically complete! For instance, left invariant pseudo-Riemannian metrics on Lie groups are generically thought to be incomplete. In [12], it has been shown that incomplete left-invariant Lorentzian metrics on  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  exist, and by taking co-compact quotients we obtain locally homogeneous compact Lorentzian manifolds which are incomplete. However, homogeneous compact pseudo-Riemannian manifolds turn out to be complete [18].

We will prove here the following result.

**Theorem 1.5.** *An almost complex Hermite-Lorentz homogeneous space (of any dimension) with  $\mathbb{C}$ -irreducible isotropy is (geodesically) complete.*

This remarkable fact naturally let us dare ask:

**Question 1.6.** *Is it true that: a (general) homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian space with irreducible isotropy is complete? (Maybe, a weak irreducibility hypothesis, like the  $\mathbb{C}$ -irreducibility here, is enough?)*

**1.4. Overview and steps of the proof.** Let  $M = G/H$  be a homogeneous almost complex Hermite-Lorentz space of (complex) dimension 3, having a  $\mathbb{C}$ -irreducible isotropy. The first step is to identify  $H$  and its derivative representation in the tangent space of  $G/H$  at the base point, identified to  $\mathbb{C}^3$ .

**1.4.1. The isotropy, the representation  $\mathfrak{hl}$ .** Consider the irreducible real representation of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  of dimension 3. Its image is  $SO^0(1, 2)$ . It is more convenient to work at the Lie algebra level, and denote  $W_3$  to be this infinitesimal representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Let us note its complexification, acting on  $\mathbb{C}^3 = \mathbb{R}^3 + \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}^3$ , by  $\mathfrak{hl}$  (this will note the representation  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{C})$  and well as its image). This is nothing but  $W_3 \oplus W_3$ . It is in fact the unique  $\mathbb{C}$ -irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  preserving a Hermite-Lorentz product.

**Fact 1.7.** [4, 22]. *Any sub-algebra  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{u}(1, 2)$  which is  $\mathbb{C}$ -irreducible must contain a conjugate of  $\mathfrak{hl}$ . More precisely,  $\mathfrak{h}$  equals  $\mathfrak{hl}$ ,  $\mathfrak{hl} \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$ ,  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 2)$ , or  $\mathfrak{u}(1, 2)$ .*

**1.4.2. Symmetric case.** Observe that  $\mathfrak{hl} \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$  and  $\mathfrak{u}(1, 2)$  contain the symmetry  $u \rightarrow -u$  (of  $\mathbb{C}^3$ ). The space  $G/H$  is thus symmetric in this case.

**1.4.3. Case  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{su}(1, 2)$ .** We will prove in Section 3 that  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{su}(1, 2)$  can not occur. That is, if the isotropy contains  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 2)$ , then it is in fact  $\mathfrak{u}(1, 2)$ .

1.4.4. *Case  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{hl}$ .* This is the case which will occupy all the remaining sections. Observe here that  $\dim G = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} M + \dim \mathfrak{h} = 6 + 3 = 9$ .

- In Section 4, we start identifying the semi-simple part of  $G$ .
- In Section 5, we explicit the isometry group  $G$ .
- In Section 6, we find the normal Lie subgroup  $L$  identified to  $G/H$ .
- In Section 7, we make necessary computations to decide in which case the almost complex structure is integrable and when is the Hermite-Lorentz metric Kählerian, as well as other curvature descriptions. This allows us in particular to prove Fact 7.5 on the full isometry group.
- Finally, Section 8 is devoted to the proof of completeness (Theorem 1.5).

## 2. FURTHER REMARKS AND CONSTRUCTIONS

In the present section we will see that most of the spaces we found in our classification, turn out to be part of a more general setting, which gives them more importance, and also raises more natural questions! We hope to come back elsewhere answering partially some of these questions.

**2.1. Product metric for  $L = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .** The metric in the case  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  is just the product one where each factor is equipped with the Anti de Sitter structure given by the Killing form. So, as a pseudo-Riemannian space  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  is symmetric. It is however not symmetric as an almost Hermite-Lorentz space. The automorphism group of the last structure is (essentially)  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})^3$ , whereas the isometry group of the pseudo-Riemannian structure is (essentially)  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})^4$ .

**2.2. A hidden direct product in the case  $L = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3$ .** The construction of the left invariant metric on  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3$  can be generalized as follows. Let  $A$  be any Lie group with a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}$ , and consider the semi-direct product  $L = A \ltimes \mathfrak{a}$ . Let  $\kappa$  be the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{a}$ , and endow  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$  with  $\kappa \oplus \kappa$ . This is always  $Ad_A$ -invariant, and non-degenerate exactly if  $A$  is semi-simple. It is in fact pseudo-Hermitian in the general semi-simple case, and of Hermite-Lorentz type exactly for  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

This left invariant metric on  $A \ltimes \mathfrak{a}$  can be seen as a homogeneous space  $M = A \times (A \ltimes \mathfrak{a}) / \Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal in  $A \times A$ . Observe that the direct product  $L' = A \times \mathfrak{a}$  acts freely transitively on  $M$ . So, our left invariant metric on  $A \ltimes \mathfrak{a}$  is isometric to a left invariant metric on  $A \times \mathfrak{a}$ . This is in fact nothing but the direct metric product  $(A, \kappa) \times (\mathfrak{a}, \kappa)$ . Observe that in this case, the isotropy does not act by automorphisms of  $A \times \mathfrak{a}$ .

**2.3. 2-step nilpotent construction.** The definition of the 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$  is a particular case of the following construction. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  two linear spaces and  $c : \mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}$  an anti-symmetric bilinear map. On  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{b}$ , define a bracket by  $[u, v] = c(u, v)$ , for  $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}$ , and 0 for all other possibilities.

**2.4. Complexification of semi-simple groups.** The construction of the Hermite-Lorentz metric on  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  can be generalized as follows. Let  $P$  be a semi-simple (real) Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Its complexification  $P^{\mathbb{C}}$  has a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{p}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{p} + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p} \sim \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ . Endow it with  $\kappa \oplus \kappa$ , where  $\kappa$  is the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . This gives rise to a left invariant pseudo-Hermitian metric on  $P^{\mathbb{C}}$ , invariant under  $Ad_P$ .

In our case, that is the case  $\mathfrak{p}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ , we know that  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is the complexification of each of the two non isomorphic real Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ . It follows that we have two different constructions. The first one corresponds to the case  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , where we obtain a left invariant pseudo-Hermitian metric on  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  that is invariant under the adjoint action  $Ad_{SL(2, \mathbb{R})}$ . This is in fact a Hermite-Lorentz metric.

However, in the case  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$ , we obtain a left invariant pseudo-Hermitian metric on  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  that is now invariant under the adjoint action  $Ad_{SU(2)}$ , and which turns out to be a Riemannian metric (i.e. positive definite).

**2.5. Almost Hermite-Lorentz structure on frame bundles.** Let  $N$  be a 3-Lorentz manifold. The space of its (positively oriented) orthonormal frames is a principal  $SO^0(1, 2)$ -bundle,  $P_N \rightarrow N$ . It turns out that  $P_N$  has a natural almost Hermite-Lorentz structure. Indeed,  $TP_N$  splits into a horizontal and a vertical bundles,  $TP_N = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is given by the connection. Both  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are identified to  $TM$ , which allows to define the almost complex structure  $J$  sending  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathcal{V}$ . This also allows to define Lorentz metrics on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  isometric to  $TM$ . We also decide that  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are orthogonal and obtain an almost Hermite-Lorentz structure on  $P_N$ .

The isometry group of  $N$  acts always freely on  $P_N$ , and acts transitively exactly if  $N$ , up to scaling, is one of the universal Lorentz spaces of constant sectional curvature: Minkowski space  $Mink_3$ , de Sitter space  $dS_3 = SO^0(1, 3)/SO^0(1, 2)$ , or a quotient by a central cyclic group of the anti de Sitter space  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ . So, we have the following identifications:

- $P_{Mink_3} = Iso^0(Mink_3) = SO^0(1, 2) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ .
- $P_{dS_3} = Iso^0(dS_3) = PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ .
- $P_{PSL(2, \mathbb{R})} = Iso^0(PSL(2, \mathbb{R})) = PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

**2.5.1. Integrability.** A similar construction in the Riemannian case is discussed in [10] (Section 6) where it is proved that the almost complex structure is integrable exactly if the Riemannian 3-manifold is hyperbolic, i.e. it has constant negative curvature, say  $-1$ . In this case, the frame bundle of the universal cover is identified to  $SO^0(1, 3)$  which is isomorphic to  $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ . We think a similar characterization is true in our Lorentz case: the almost complex structure is integrable exactly if  $N$  has constant curvature  $-1$  (up to scaling) and is so locally isometric to  $dS_3$ , in which case the frame bundle has the complex structure of  $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ .

2.5.2. *On the tangent bundle.* The tangent bundle  $TN$ , too, has a natural almost Hermite-Lorentz structure. The isometry group  $\text{Iso}(N)$  can not act transitively in this case since the subsets  $T^r N$  of vectors of a given square length  $r$  are preserved. In the constant curvature case, the isometry group acts transitively on these subsets. But  $TN$  may have extra-isometries not coming from  $\text{Iso}(N)$ . For example,  $T\text{Mink}_3$  is  $\mathbb{C}^3$  with its usual Hermite-Lorentz structure, and hence homogeneous.

It turns out that the Kähler form of  $TN$  is nothing but push-forward by the duality isomorphism  $TN \rightarrow T^*N$ , of the canonical symplectic form of  $T^*N$ . Therefore,  $TN$  is always almost Kählerian [24].

2.6. **Compact models.** When we have a geometry (in Klein sense)  $(G, G/H)$ , we are pressed to see if it admits a compact model. Say, in our four cases where  $G/H$  can be identified to a Lie group  $L$ , then one asks whether  $L$  admits a compact quotient having locally the same almost Hermite-Lorentz structure? Formally, one asks if  $L$  has a co-compact lattice  $\Gamma$ . It turns out that this is the case for  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ , because of classical constructions, or thanks to Borel's Theorem saying that semi-simple Lie groups admit co-compact lattices (see for instance [27]). It is also the case of  $N_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$  because it is a rational nilpotent group (see for instance [23], Theorem 2.12). As for  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ , it turns out that one can classify its lattices. They are, up to finite index, isomorphic to  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}^3$ , and so they are not co-compact. Fortunately, as we have observed above, this space has also the product presentation  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^3$  and so admits co-compact lattices.

The left isometric action of  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  on  $L$  passes to the quotients  $L/\Gamma$ . This is an interesting isometric action with strong dynamics, for instance, it is ergodic by Moore's Theorem (see for instance [27]).

Observe that these  $\Gamma$ 's can be deformed in the full isometry group  $L \times \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  and one then gets "non-standard" examples (compare for instance with [10]).

2.6.1. *In the symmetric case.* The symmetric cases listed Corollary 1.3 do not all admit "a  $L$ -representation", i.e. they are not identified to a left invariant almost Hermite-Lorentz structure on a Lie group. It is worthwhile to investigate existence (probably non-existence) of compact models, in each of these cases.

### 3. CASE OF ISOTROPY $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{su}(1, 2)$

Here, we want to prove that if the isotropy algebra contains  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 2)$ , then the space is Kählerian with a constant holomorphic sectional curvature, and in fact its isotropy algebra is rather  $\mathfrak{u}(1, 2)$ .

A Lie-theoretic proof seems difficult, since  $\dim G$  would be equal to 14 and there will be many cases to consider. Our proof here is rather geometrical. So, let  $(M = G/H, J, g)$  be a  $G$ -homogeneous almost Hermite-Lorentz space where the isotropy algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 2)$  acting by its standard

representation on  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \equiv \mathbb{C}^3$ . Let  $\omega(\cdot, \cdot) = g(\cdot, J\cdot)$  be the Kähler form of  $(M, J, g)$ .

We will prove that  $\omega$  is closed, and then  $J$  is integrable. The idea is to observe that the  $H$ -orbits of  $M$ -points are “isomorphic” to the  $H$ -orbits in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ , which allows one to understand the restriction of  $\omega$  and  $J$  to these  $H$ -orbits in  $M$ .

**The  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 2)$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^3$ .** Endow  $\mathbb{C}^3$  with the usual Hermite-Lorentz form  $q = -|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + |z_3|^2$ . Then,  $U(1, 2)$  and also  $SU(1, 2)$  acts transitively on each level of  $q$  in  $\mathbb{C}^3 - \{0\}$ . A negative level  $\{q = -\epsilon^2\}$  corresponds to the  $SU(1, 2)$ -orbit of some point  $(\epsilon, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ . These points, all, have the same compact isotropy  $SU(2)$ . So, as homogeneous spaces, all these orbits are isomorphic to  $SU(1, 2)/SU(2)$ . There is a Hopf fibration  $SU(1, 2)/SU(2) \rightarrow SU(1, 2)/S(U(1) \times U(2))$ . The latter space (also denoted  $SU(1, 2)/U(2)$ ), is the complex hyperbolic plane  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^2$ .

**Fact 3.1.** *Up to scaling, there exists exactly one  $SU(1, 2)$ -invariant differential 2-form  $\omega^0$  on  $SU(1, 2)/SU(2)$ . This is the restriction of the Kähler form of  $\mathbb{C}^3$ , or equivalently, the pull back of the Kähler form on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}^2$ . In particular any such form is closed.*

*Proof.* The tangent space at  $(\epsilon, 0, 0)$  of the level  $\{q = -\epsilon^2\}$  is  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ . The isotropy  $SU(2)$ -action is the product of the trivial one on  $\mathbb{R}$  by the usual one on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Let  $\alpha$  be an anti-symmetric 2-form on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ , invariant under the  $SU(2)$ -action. Its kernel is  $SU(2)$ -invariant and hence equals  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . It can not be  $\mathbb{C}^2$  unless  $\alpha = 0$ , hence it is  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $\alpha$  projects to a  $SU(2)$ -invariant form on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , which is known to be unique (up to scaling). □

**The isotropy-action on  $G/H$  via the exponential map.** Let  $p$  be any point of  $M$ , say  $p$  is the base point  $1H$ , and consider the exponential map  $\exp_p$  defined on an open subset of  $T_pM$  to  $M$ . Then,  $\exp_p$  is equivariant with respect to the  $H$ -derivative action on  $T_pM$  and the isometric  $H$ -action on  $M$ .

**Fact 3.2.** *Let  $\omega$  be the Kähler form of  $M = G/H$ . Let  $u \in T_pM$ , be a timelike vector (small enough, say, in a small neighbourhood of  $0 \in T_pM$ , where  $\exp_p$  is a diffeomorphism onto its image), and  $O_u = H \cdot \exp_p u$ , the orbit by the isotropy  $H$  of  $\exp_p u$ . Then  $\omega$  is closed on  $O_u$ .*

*Proof.* From the equivariance of  $\exp_p$ , it follows in particular that  $u$  and  $\exp_p u$  have the same isotropy in  $H = SU(1, 2)$ . Therefore, as a  $SU(1, 2)$ -homogeneous space, the orbit  $O_u$  is identified to  $SU(1, 2)/SU(2)$ . We infer from Fact 3.1 that  $\omega$  restricted to  $O_u$  is closed. □

**Proof that  $M$  is almost (pseudo)-Kählerian.** Let  $u$  be as above a (small) timelike vector in  $T_pM$ , and  $\gamma_u(t)$  the geodesic with  $\dot{\gamma}_u(0) = u$ . By Gauss



lemma, the orthogonal  $\gamma_u(t)^\perp$  coincides with the tangent space at  $\gamma_u(t)$  of the image by  $\exp_p$  of the level  $\{q = t^2 q(u)\}$  in  $T_p M$  ( $q$  is the Hermite-Lorentz form on  $T_p M$ ). Because these levels in  $T_p M$  correspond to  $H$ -orbits in  $T_p M$ , their images by  $\exp_p$  correspond to  $H$ -orbits in  $M$ . Therefore the tangent space of the orbit  $H \cdot \gamma_u(t)$  at  $\gamma_u(t)$  is  $\dot{\gamma}_u^\perp(t)$ . It follows from the previous fact above that  $d\omega$  vanishes on  $\dot{\gamma}_u^\perp(t)$ . When  $t \rightarrow 0$ , we get that  $d\omega$  vanishes on the real hyperplane  $u^\perp \subset T_p M$ .

Of course, this applies for any timelike vector  $u \in T_p M$ . It follows that  $d\omega(u_1, u_2, u_3) = 0$ , for any  $(u_1, u_2, u_3) \in T_p M$  such that  $(\mathbb{R}u_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}u_2 \oplus \mathbb{R}u_3)^\perp$  contains a timelike vector. But this subset of  $T_p M \times T_p M \times T_p M$  is open and non-empty. We then conclude that  $d\omega$  vanishes on  $T_p M$  and, by homogeneity that  $\omega$  is closed on  $M$ .

**Integrability of the almost-complex structure.** On the homogeneous space  $SU(1, 2)/SU(2)$ , there is also an invariant CR-structure inherited from its realization as a real hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . This is a  $SU(1, 2)$ -invariant codimension 1 distribution  $\mathcal{C}$ , endowed with an almost complex structure  $J_0$ . This distribution is given at a point  $(\epsilon, 0, 0)$  as above by the factor  $\mathbb{C}^2$  in the tangent space identified to  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ .

Exactly as for the Kähler form, this invariant CR-structure on  $SU(1, 2)/SU(2)$  is unique. In fact,  $\mathcal{C}$  is the unique  $SU(1, 2)$ -invariant codimension one distribution, and also  $J_0$ , the almost complex structure on it, is unique.

Recall that by definition of CR structures (see for instance [15], Chapter 1), the almost complex structure  $J_0$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  is integrable in the sense that, for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $A = [J_0 X, Y] + [X, J_0 Y]$  and  $B = [J_0 X, J_0 Y] - [X, Y]$  are tangent to  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $J_0 A = B$ .

As previously, consider an orbit  $O_u = H \cdot \exp_p u$  as above, and remember that let  $J$  denotes the almost complex structure of  $M$ . The distribution  $\mathcal{D} = TO \cap J(TO)$  is  $SU(1, 2)$ -invariant and hence, by uniqueness, it coincides with the CR structure  $\mathcal{C}$ . It satisfies in particular the integrability condition  $0 = [JX, JY] - [X, Y] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY]$ , where  $X$  and  $Y$  are vector fields on  $O$  tangent to  $\mathcal{D}$ . By definition of the Nijenhuis tensor  $N_J$  of  $M$  (recalled in Section 7), this exactly means that  $N_J(X, Y) = 0$  for  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $\mathcal{D}$ .

By considering a limit process as previously, we get that for any  $u \in T_p M$  timelike,  $N_J$  vanishes on  $(\mathbb{C}u)^\perp$ . Again as above, the space  $(v, w) \in (T_p M)^2$  such that  $(\mathbb{C}v \oplus \mathbb{C}w)^\perp$  contains a timelike vector, is open and non-empty in  $(T_p M)^2$ . It then follows that  $N_J$  vanishes at  $p$  and hence everywhere, that is  $J$  is integrable.

**End of the proof.** In conclusion,  $(M, J, g)$  is such that  $J$  is integrable and the Kähler form of  $g$  is closed, that is  $M$  is pseudo-Kählerian. Observe now that  $M$  has a constant holomorphic sectional curvature since  $SU(1, 2)$  acts transitively on vectors of the same causal character in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . Therefore,  $M$  is locally isomorphic (up to scaling) to one of the universal Kähler-Lorentz spaces of constant

holomorphic sectional curvature,  $\text{Mink}_3(\mathbb{C})$  (i.e.  $\mathbb{C}^3$  endowed with the form  $q$ ),  $dS_3(\mathbb{C}) = \text{SU}(1, 3)/\text{U}(1, 2)$ , or  $\text{AdS}_3(\mathbb{C}) = \text{SU}(1, 3)/\text{U}(1, 2)$ . Exactly, as in [4], thanks to the high homogeneity of  $M$  (especially its irreducible isotropy), we see that, up to a central cover,  $M$  equals one of these universal spaces. In particular the infinitesimal isotropy is  $\mathfrak{u}(1, 2)$ , and therefore as promized in the beginning of the present section it can not occur that isotropy algebra to be equal to  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 2)$ .

□

#### 4. STRUCTURE OF THE SEMI-SIMPLE PART OF $\mathfrak{g}$

Let  $G/H$  be an almost Hermite-Lorentz homogeneous space of dimension 3, with a  $\mathbb{C}$ -irreducible isotropy.

From paragraphs 1.4 and 3, we need now only to consider the case  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{so}(1, 2)$ . We have  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} G = 3 \times 2 + \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{so}(1, 2) = 9$ . So our problem reduces to find real Lie groups  $G$  of dimension 9 and contain a subgroup  $H$  that is locally isomorphic to  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  such that the  $H$ -action of  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{l}$ .

Consider a Levi decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \ltimes \mathfrak{r}$ , where  $\mathfrak{s}$  is semi-simple and  $\mathfrak{r}$  is the radical.

**Lemma 4.1.**  *$\mathfrak{s}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})^k$ , with  $k = 1, 2$  or  $3$ , or  $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ .*

*Proof.*  $\mathfrak{s}$  is a real semi-simple Lie algebra of  $\dim \leq 9$ . Since it contains  $\mathfrak{h}$ ,  $\mathfrak{s}$  contains  $\mathfrak{s}_1$  a nonzero simple factor of noncompact type.

We will show that  $\mathfrak{s}_1$  can be  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 2)$  or  $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ . Indeed, assume  $\mathfrak{s}_1$  has (real) rank 1. Then, it is the Killing algebra of a hyperbolic space  $X$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$ , the quaternion field or the hyperbolic Cayley plane. But only  $\mathbb{R}H^2$ ,  $\mathbb{R}H^3$  and  $\mathbb{C}H^3$  have Killing algebra of dimension  $\leq 9$ . They are  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 2)$ , having respective dimensions 3, 6 and 8.

If  $\mathfrak{s}_1$  has rank  $\geq 2$ , then, it contains  $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ , or  $\mathfrak{sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$  (see [17], Proposition I.1.6.2). They have dimension 8 and 10, respectively.

Assume that  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains  $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$  has codimension 1 in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which thus can not be semi-simple, since codimension 1 subalgebras of semisimple Lie algebras come only from  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -factors. Therefore  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a non-trivial radical, isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$ , on which  $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$  acts trivially, that is,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ . The isotropy  $\mathfrak{h}$  being simple is thus contained in  $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\mathfrak{h}$  acts  $\mathbb{C}$ -irreducibly on  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ .

The same argument applies equally to  $\mathfrak{s}_1 = \mathfrak{su}(1, 2)$ .

We have thus proved that any factor of  $\mathfrak{s}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  or  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ .

If  $\mathfrak{s}$  contains  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ , then it equals either  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  or  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . In the first case  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a semi-direct product  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes \mathfrak{r}$ . But  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  can not act non-trivially on a Lie algebra of (real) dimension 3. So  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a direct product which contradicts the  $\mathbb{C}$ -irreducibility, as above. This yields the lemma. □

5. IDENTIFICATION OF  $\mathfrak{g}$ 

By Lemma 4.1, either  $\mathfrak{g}$  is:

- semi-simple isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})^3$  or  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ , or
- $\mathfrak{g}$  is a semi-direct product  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})^2 \ltimes \mathfrak{r}$ ,  $\dim \mathfrak{r} = 3$ , or  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathfrak{r}$ ,  $\dim \mathfrak{r} = 6$ .

Let us analyze each of these cases, explicit the radical  $\mathfrak{r}$  and the semi-direct product, and see how can embed the isotropy  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

**5.1. Case  $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})^3$ .** Of course,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s}$  in this case. The isotropy  $\mathfrak{h}$  embeds by  $a \in \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow (\rho_1(a), \rho_2(a), \rho_3(a)) \in \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})^3$ . Each  $\rho_i$  is either trivial or an isomorphism. If one  $\rho_i$  is trivial, then the  $\mathfrak{h}$ -representation on  $\mathfrak{s}/\mathfrak{h}$  will have a trivial space, corresponding to the projection of the  $i$ -factor (of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})^3$ ), but  $\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{l}$  has no trivial space. Therefore all the  $\rho_i$ 's are isomorphisms, and after conjugacy in each factor, and identification of  $\mathfrak{h}$  with  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , we can assume all the  $\rho_i$  are the identity and thus  $\mathfrak{h} \equiv \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  embeds diagonally in  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})^3$ .

So  $\mathfrak{h} = \{(a, a, a) \in \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})\}$ . Any element  $(b, c, d)$  is equivalent mod  $\mathfrak{h}$  to  $(b - d, c - d, 0)$ . The quotient space  $\mathfrak{s}/\mathfrak{h}$  is in fact isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \{0\}$ . The  $\mathfrak{h}$ -action is the sum of two copies of the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , so it is  $\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{l}$ .

**5.2. Case  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ .** Exactly as in the previous case, one shows that, up to automorphism,  $\mathfrak{h}$  embeds diagonally in  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ .

**5.3. Case  $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})^2$ .** The isotropy  $\mathfrak{h}$  is simple in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we can assume it contained (up to conjugacy) in  $\mathfrak{s}$ . As in the previous case, it must be, up to conjugacy, the diagonal of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})^2$ .

The radical  $\mathfrak{r}$  has dimension 3. It projects injectively in  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  (since  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{s}$ ). Thus,  $\mathfrak{r}$  is a factor of  $\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{l}$ , i.e. it is isomorphic to the  $Ad$ -action of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Only  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , as a 3-dimensional solvable group, can admit such an action, so  $\mathfrak{r} \cong \mathbb{R}^3$ . The isotropy  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the diagonal of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . The actions on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  of the factors in the product  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  commute. One can show this happens in dimension 3, only if one factor acts trivially. So the other one acts like  $\mathfrak{h}$  by  $Ad$ . Summarizing,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times (\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  acts by  $Ad$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  embeds diagonally in  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

**5.4. Case  $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .** So  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathfrak{r}$ , where  $\dim \mathfrak{r} = 6$ . As previously, we can assume  $\mathfrak{h}$  a subset of the Levi factor  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , and hence equals this factor. Therefore  $\mathfrak{r} \sim \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ , and the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -action on  $\mathfrak{r}$  is  $\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{l}$ .

Let us prove that  $\mathfrak{r}$  is nilpotent. Indeed, if  $\mathfrak{n}$  is the nilradical of  $\mathfrak{r}$ , then  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{r})$  acts trivially on  $\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{n}$  (see for instance [16]), but this cannot happen if  $\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{n} \neq 0$ .

Now, if  $\mathfrak{r}$  is not abelian, its center is  $\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{l}$ -invariant, and hence  $\mathfrak{c}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^3$  on which  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  acts by  $Ad$ . Same think for  $[\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}]$ , and hence  $\mathfrak{c} = [\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}]$ , in particular  $\mathfrak{r}$  is 2-step nilpotent. Also, because  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  acts by  $\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{l}$ , there is an invariant subspace  $\mathfrak{c}'$  supplementary of  $\mathfrak{c}$ , on which  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  acts by  $Ad$ . The

bracket  $\mathfrak{c}' \times \mathfrak{c}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}$  is  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -equivariant. But the bracket  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  is the unique such equivariant skew-symmetric bilinear map.

By its true definition, this implies that  $\mathfrak{t}$  is the Lie algebra of  $N_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ .

## 6. IDENTIFICATION TO A LEFT INVARIANT METRIC ON A LIE GROUP $L$

In each of the four cases in the previous section, we will find a normal subgroup  $L$  acting simply transitively on  $G/H$ , so that the latter space can be identified to a left invariant almost complex Hermite-Lorentz structure on  $L$ , with an isotropy group  $H \subset \text{Aut}(L)$  acting via  $\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{l}$ . We can of course alternatively work with Lie algebras.

6.0.1. Case  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Take  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \{1\}$ . The isotropy  $\mathfrak{h}$  being the diagonal,  $\mathfrak{l}$  is transversal to  $\mathfrak{h}$ . More precisely, at a group level  $G = LH$ , and  $L \cap H = 1$ .

6.0.2. Case  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . Take  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ .

6.0.3. Case  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times (\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ . Here  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ .

6.0.4. Case  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ . Here  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ .

## 7. INTEGRABILITY OF THE ALMOST COMPLEX STRUCTURE AND CURVATURE COMPUTATIONS

In what follows, we will make use of the following well known formulas regarding the Lie group  $L$  (see for instance [3]). We first note that since  $\mathfrak{l}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then we can easily compute the Nijenhuis tensor of almost complex structure  $J$ . For  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{l}$ , we can use the formula

$$(1) \quad N_J(X, Y) = [JX, JY] - [X, Y] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY]$$

To check that  $M \cong L$  is Kählerian or not, we compute the differential of the Kähler form  $\omega$  on  $L$ , which (we recall) is given by  $\omega(X, Y) = \langle JX, Y \rangle$ . We may use the formula

$$(2) \quad d\omega(X, Y, Z) = \frac{1}{3} \{-\omega([X, Y], Z) + \omega([X, Z], Y) - \omega([Y, Z], X)\},$$

for all  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{l}$ . Here, we have used the fact that on a the Lie group  $L$  the function  $\langle X, Y \rangle$  is constant for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{l}$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the (indefinite) inner product on  $\mathfrak{l}$  induced from the left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $L$ .

To compute the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  on  $L$ , we may use the formula

$$(3) \quad \nabla_{E_i} E_j = \sum_{k=1}^6 \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_{ijk} - \epsilon_i \epsilon_k \alpha_{jki} + \epsilon_j \epsilon_k \alpha_{kij}) E_k,$$

where the  $\alpha_{ijk}$  are the constant structures of  $\mathfrak{l}$  with respect to the orthonormal basis  $\{E_1, \dots, E_6\}$  of  $\mathfrak{l}$ , and  $\epsilon_i = \langle E_i, E_i \rangle$  (cf. [1] and [19]). We notice here that (3) can be deduced from the Koszul formula, using the fact that each function  $\langle E_i, E_j \rangle$  is constant (The  $E_i$  are seen here as left-invariant vector fields on  $L$ ).

We may compute  $\nabla J$  according to the formula

$$(4) \quad (\nabla_X J)Y = \nabla_X(JY) - J(\nabla_X Y).$$

We will also make use of the basis  $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$  of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  given by

$$(5) \quad X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

for which the brackets are

$$[X_1, X_2] = 2X_3, \quad [X_1, X_3] = 2X_2, \quad [X_2, X_3] = -2X_1.$$

This is an orthonormal basis for Lorentzian inner product

$$(6) \quad \langle X, Y \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(XY),$$

for which  $X_3$  is timelike. This coincides with the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  which is of signature  $(+, +, -)$ , and it is well known that the corresponding left-invariant (in fact, bi-invariant) Lorentz metric makes of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  a Lorentz space of constant curvature  $-1$  (cf. [20]). It is nothing but the so-called anti-de-Sitter space  $AdS_3(\mathbb{R})$ .

The Levi-Civita connection of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  endowed with the bi-invariant metric resulting from  $\langle, \rangle$  is simply given by the formula

$$(7) \quad \nabla_X Y = \frac{1}{2} [X, Y].$$

**7.1. Case**  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . With the notations above, let  $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$  be the orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  given by (5), and set

$$E_i = (X_i, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad E_{i+3} = (0, X_i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

This is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{l}$  with  $E_3$  and  $E_6$  timelike vectors, for which the Lie brackets are given by

$$[E_1, E_2] = 2E_3, \quad [E_1, E_3] = 2E_2, \quad [E_2, E_3] = -2E_1,$$

$$[E_4, E_5] = 2E_6, \quad [E_4, E_6] = 2E_5, \quad [E_5, E_6] = -2E_4,$$

and all others are zeros or can be deduced from the above ones by antisymmetry.

The almost complex structure  $J$  is given by

$$J(E_1) = E_4, \quad J(E_2) = E_5, \quad J(E_3) = E_6,$$

$$J(E_4) = -E_1, \quad J(E_5) = -E_2, \quad J(E_6) = -E_3.$$

In this case, the almost complex structure  $J$  is not integrable. Using (1), we have for example

$$N_J(E_1, E_2) = 2E_6 - 2E_3.$$

We also see that  $M$  is not almost-Kählerian. Using (2), we have for example

$$d\omega(E_1, E_2, E_6) = \frac{2}{3}.$$

To compute the Levi-Civita connection on  $\mathfrak{l}$ , it suffices to remember here that  $L = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , where each factor  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  is endowed with the bi-invariant metric resulting from  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  for which the Levi-Civita connection may be computed using (7).

In other words, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{E_1} E_2 &= E_3, \quad \nabla_{E_1} E_3 = E_2, \quad \nabla_{E_2} E_3 = -E_1, \\ \nabla_{E_4} E_5 &= E_6, \quad \nabla_{E_4} E_6 = E_5, \quad \nabla_{E_5} E_6 = -E_4,\end{aligned}$$

and all the others are zeros or can be obtained by using the formula  $\nabla_Y X = \nabla_X Y - [X, Y]$ .

**Remark 7.1.** *Since  $L$  is a product of two Lorentz spaces of constant curvature, we deduce that  $M$  is a symmetric Pseudo-Riemannian manifold. However,  $M$  is not a locally symmetric Hermite-Lorentz space.*

**7.2. Case  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ .** In this case, we look at  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  as the complexification of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , that is  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , with  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  is endowed with the inner product (6). Of course, this is not a direct sum, and note that the inner product (6) is extended in a sesquilinear way to  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . Consider the basis  $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$  of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  given by (5), and set

$$E_i = (X_i, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad E_{i+3} = \sqrt{-1}(0, X_i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

This is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{l}$  with  $E_3$  and  $E_6$  timelike vectors for which

$$\begin{aligned}[E_1, E_2] &= 2E_3, \quad [E_1, E_3] = 2E_2, \quad [E_2, E_3] = -2E_1, \\ [E_4, E_5] &= -2E_3, \quad [E_4, E_6] = -2E_2, \quad [E_5, E_6] = 2E_1, \\ [E_1, E_5] &= 2E_6, \quad [E_1, E_6] = 2E_5, \quad [E_2, E_4] = -2E_6, \\ [E_2, E_6] &= -2E_4, \quad [E_3, E_4] = -2E_5, \quad [E_3, E_5] = 2E_4,\end{aligned}$$

and all other brackets are zeros or can be deduced by antisymmetry.

The almost complex structure  $J$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}J(E_1) &= E_4, \quad J(E_2) = E_5, \quad J(E_3) = E_6, \\ J(E_4) &= -E_1, \quad J(E_5) = -E_2, \quad J(E_6) = -E_3.\end{aligned}$$

Of course, the almost complex structure  $J$  is integrable in this case and coincides with the natural complex structure of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ .

The homogeneous space  $M$  is not almost Kählerian, since using (2) we have for example

$$d\omega(E_1, E_2, E_6) = -\frac{2}{3}.$$

The Levi-Civita connection on  $L$  may be computed by using (3). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{E_1} E_2 &= E_3, \quad \nabla_{E_1} E_3 = E_2, \quad \nabla_{E_2} E_3 = -E_1, \\ \nabla_{E_4} E_6 &= -E_2, \quad \nabla_{E_5} E_6 = -E_2, \quad \nabla_{E_1} E_5 = 3E_6, \\ \nabla_{E_1} E_6 &= 3E_5, \quad \nabla_{E_2} E_4 = -3E_6, \quad \nabla_{E_2} E_6 = -3E_4, \\ \nabla_{E_3} E_4 &= -3E_5, \quad \nabla_{E_3} E_5 = -3E_4, \quad \nabla_{E_4} E_5 = -E_3,\end{aligned}$$

and all the other  $\nabla_{E_i} E_j$  are zeros or can be obtained by using the formula  $\nabla_Y X = \nabla_X Y - [X, Y]$ .

**Remark 7.2.** *We can check that the Riemannian tensor  $\mathcal{R}$  is not parallel by computing for example*

$$\nabla \mathcal{R}(E_1, E_2, E_5, E_6) = 6E_2.$$

*We deduce from this that  $M$  is not locally symmetric as a pseudo-Riemannian space (and a fortiori as an almost Hermite-Lorentz space).*

**7.3. Case**  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ . Now, the Hermite-Lorentz inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^3$  is obtained as follows. For  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , we set

$$E_i = (X_i, 0) \text{ and } E_{i+3} = (0, e_i),$$

where  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is the standard orthonormal Lorentz basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , with  $e_3$  is timelike. By letting  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  act on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  by its adjoint representation, we obtain an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{l}$  with  $E_3$  and  $E_6$  timelike vectors and for which the Lie brackets are given by the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} [E_1, E_2] &= 2E_3, & [E_1, E_3] &= 2E_2, & [E_2, E_3] &= -2E_1, \\ [E_1, E_5] &= 2E_6, & [E_1, E_6] &= 2E_5, & [E_2, E_4] &= -2E_6, \\ [E_2, E_6] &= -2E_4, & [E_3, E_4] &= -2E_5, & [E_3, E_5] &= 2E_4, \end{aligned}$$

and all other brackets are zeros or can be deduced from the latter by antisymmetry.

The almost complex structure  $J$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J(E_1) &= E_4, & J(E_2) &= E_5, & J(E_3) &= E_6, \\ J(E_4) &= -E_1, & J(E_5) &= -E_2, & J(E_6) &= -E_3. \end{aligned}$$

The complex structure  $J$  is not integrable given that, using (1), we have for example

$$N_J(E_1, E_2) = 2E_3.$$

To deduce that the Hermite-Lorentz space  $M = G/H$  is not almost-Kähler, we may use (2) to find for example

$$d\omega(E_2, E_3, E_4) = -\frac{2}{3}.$$

We may also use (4) to get for example that

$$(\nabla_{E_1} J)E_2 = E_6.$$

Regarding the connection, by using (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{E_1} E_2 &= E_3, & \nabla_{E_1} E_3 &= E_2, & \nabla_{E_1} E_5 &= 2E_6, & \nabla_{E_1} E_6 &= 2E_5, \\ \nabla_{E_2} E_3 &= -E_1, & \nabla_{E_2} E_4 &= -2E_6, & \nabla_{E_2} E_6 &= -2E_4, \\ \nabla_{E_3} E_4 &= -2E_5, & \nabla_{E_3} E_5 &= 2E_4, \end{aligned}$$

and all the others are zero or can be obtained by using the formula  $\nabla_Y X = \nabla_X Y - [X, Y]$ .

**Remark 7.3.** *Of course,  $M$  is not a symmetric Hermite-Lorentz space. However, it turns out that  $M$  is a symmetric pseudo-Riemannian manifold as we can easily show that  $\nabla \mathcal{R}(E_i, E_j, E_k, E_l) = 0$ , for all  $i, j, k, l \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$ , where  $\mathcal{R}$  is the Riemannian tensor.*

7.4. **Case  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ .** With the notations of the previous case, let  $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$  be the orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  given by (5). Setting

$$E_i = (X_i, 0) \text{ and } E_{i+3} = (0, X_i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

we get an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$  with  $E_3$  and  $E_6$  timelike vectors and for which the Lie bracket are given by the following identities

$$[E_1, E_2] = 2E_6, \quad [E_1, E_3] = 2E_5, \quad [E_2, E_3] = -2E_4,$$

and all other brackets are zeros or can be deduced from the latter by antisymmetry.

The almost complex structure  $J$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J(E_1) &= E_4, \quad J(E_2) = E_5, \quad J(E_3) = E_6, \\ J(E_4) &= -E_1, \quad J(E_5) = -E_2, \quad J(E_6) = -E_3. \end{aligned}$$

It is not integrable, as we can see for example from

$$N_J(E_1, E_2) = -E_6.$$

It also turns out that the Hermite-Lorentz space  $M = G/H$  is not almost-Kähler. We have for example

$$d\omega(E_1, E_2, E_3) = -2.$$

The Levi-Civita connection on  $L$  is computed using (3). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{E_1} E_2 &= E_6, \quad \nabla_{E_1} E_3 = E_5, \quad \nabla_{E_1} E_5 = E_3, \quad \nabla_{E_1} E_6 = E_2, \\ \nabla_{E_2} E_3 &= -E_4, \quad \nabla_{E_2} E_4 = -E_3, \quad \nabla_{E_2} E_6 = -E_1, \\ \nabla_{E_3} E_4 &= -E_2, \quad \nabla_{E_3} E_5 = E_1, \end{aligned}$$

and all the others are zero or can be obtained by using the formula  $\nabla_Y X = \nabla_X Y - [X, Y]$ .

**Remark 7.4.** *We can check that the Riemannian tensor  $\mathcal{R}$  is not parallel by computing for example*

$$\nabla \mathcal{R}(E_1, E_2, E_3, E_6) = E_3.$$

*We deduce from this that  $M$  is not locally symmetric as a pseudo-Riemannian space (and a fortiori as an almost Hermite-Lorentz space).*



### 7.5. Full isometry group.

**Fact 7.5.** *In each of the four examples of spaces  $G/H$  or alternatively the Lie groups  $L$  for which  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{so}(1, 2)$  (described in Sections 5 and 6),  $G$  is exactly the identity component of the full isometry group  $\text{Iso}(G/H)$  (to mean the automorphism group of the almost complex Hermite-Lorentz structure). Equivalently,  $H$  is the identity component of the full isotropy group.*

*Furthermore, none of these spaces is (locally) symmetric (as a Hermite-Lorentz space).*

*Proof.* If the identity component  $\text{Iso}^0(G/H)$  of the isometry group of  $G/H$  is bigger than  $G$ , that is if  $\dim \text{Iso}(G/H) > \dim G$ , then the isotropy algebra contains strictly  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 2)$ . By Fact 1.7, the isotropy algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  is then  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$ ,  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 2)$  or  $\mathfrak{u}(1, 2)$ . By Section 3,  $\mathfrak{h}$  can not be  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 2)$ . So, if  $\mathfrak{h}$  is bigger than  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 2)$ , then it equals  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$  or  $\mathfrak{u}(1, 2)$ . As stated in 1.4.2, the case  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{so}(1, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$  implies the space is symmetric. Indeed, the  $\text{SO}(2)$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  is that given by the homotheties  $Z \rightarrow e^{it}Z$ . In particular  $Z \rightarrow e^{i\pi}Z = -Z$ , that is the isotropy contains an element inducing  $-\text{Id}$  on the tangent space, and therefore  $G/H$  is symmetric.

Observe now that a (locally) symmetric almost Hermite-Lorentz space is necessarily Kähler-Lorentz, that is, its almost complex structure is integrable and it is Kählerian. From the previous computations in the present Section 7, none of our four spaces satisfies all these conditions. Therefore, the isotropy algebra for all these spaces must be  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 2)$  and  $\text{Iso}^0(G/H) = G$ .  $\square$

**Remark 7.6.** *Pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces have been extensively studied and partially classified. We refer to the following references [6], [13], [21], [25], [26] for generalities on symmetric spaces, and to [5] for their classification in the reductive case.*

## 8. GEODESIC COMPLETENESS, PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

Recall first that a pseudo-Riemannian symmetric space  $M$  is always geodesically complete, for the simple reason that any global symmetry at any point  $p$  of  $M$  reverses geodesics through  $p$ . (An interval of  $\mathbb{R}$  which is invariant under reflection about all its points is necessarily  $\mathbb{R}$  itself).

According to [4], almost complex Hermite-Lorentz homogeneous spaces of dimension  $\geq 4$  with  $\mathbb{C}$ -irreducible isotropy are symmetric.

On the other hand, the left invariant metric on  $L = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  is in fact bi-invariant as we have noticed in Section 2.1.

Similarly, as explained in Section 2.2, the left invariant metric on  $L = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^3$  is isometric to a left invariant metric on  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  which is in fact nothing but the direct metric product  $(\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}), \kappa) \times (\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}), \kappa)$ , where is the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . So, this is also a symmetric space.

It follows that in all the above mentioned cases, we have geodesically complete spaces.

The case  $L = N_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$  is so special here. Indeed, it turns out that for a two-step nilpotent Lie group, any left invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric is geodesically complete (see [11]).

Finally, and in order to achieve the proof of Theorem 1.5, it remains to study completeness for the case  $L = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . In fact, we will do it in a more general context, namely, we will prove the completeness of a certain class of left invariant pseudo-Riemannian metrics on semi-simple Lie groups of which our considered metric on  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  appears as a special case.

To do this, we need first to recall some general facts about the geodesic flow of left invariant pseudo-Riemannian metrics on Lie groups.

Let  $L$  be a Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{l}$ . It is well known that giving a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $L$  is equivalent to that of a nondegenerate quadratic form (that we also denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ ) on  $\mathfrak{l}$ .

Since  $\langle X, Y \rangle$  is constant for any vectors  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{l}$  (seen as left-invariant vector fields on  $L$ ), it follows from the Koszul formula that the Levi-Civita connection of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is given by the formula (cf. [7])

$$(8) \quad \nabla_X Y = \frac{1}{2} \{[X, Y] - ad_X^* Y - ad_Y^* X\},$$

where  $ad_X^*$  is the adjoint of  $ad_X$  with respect to inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{l}$ .

Every curve  $c(t)$  in  $L$  gives rise to the curve  $\gamma(t) = (dL_{c(t)})^{-1}(\dot{c}(t))$  in  $\mathfrak{l}$ , where for  $g \in L$ ,  $L_g$  denotes the left-invariant translation by  $g$  in  $L$ . We have

$$(9) \quad (dL_{c(t)})^{-1}(\nabla_{\dot{c}(t)} \dot{c}(t)) = \dot{\gamma}(t) + \nabla_{\gamma(t)} \gamma(t)$$

By using (8), we deduce that  $c(t)$  is a geodesic in  $L$  if and only if  $\dot{\gamma}(t) = ad_{\gamma(t)}^* \gamma(t)$ . In other words, we have shown that the curves of  $\mathfrak{l}$  associated to the geodesics of  $L$  are solutions of the equation (see also [2])

$$(10) \quad \dot{x} = ad_x^* x$$

In the case where  $L$  is semi-simple with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{l}$  and Killing form  $\kappa$ , it turns out that giving a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $L$  is also equivalent to that of a self-adjoint isomorphism  $A$  of  $\mathfrak{l}$  such that

$$(11) \quad \langle X, Y \rangle = \kappa(X, A(Y)),$$

for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{l}$ .

By substituting (10) into (11) and using the bi-invariance of  $\kappa$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(A(\dot{x}), y) &= \langle \dot{x}, y \rangle \\ &= \langle ad_x^* x, y \rangle \\ &= \langle [x, y], x \rangle \\ &= \kappa([x, y], A(x)) \\ &= -\kappa([x, A(x)], y) \\ &= \kappa([A(x), x], y), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $y \in \mathfrak{l}$ .

Since  $y$  is arbitrary and  $\kappa$  is nondegenerate, we deduce that equation (10) translates to the following equation (cf. [14])

$$(12) \quad A(\dot{x}) = [A(x), x]$$

We are now in the position to give a proof of Theorem 1.5 in the case of semi-simple Lie groups of which  $L = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  appears as special case.

For this, and as we have mentioned in Section 2.4, recall that the construction of the Hermite-Lorentz metric on  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is a general fact. Indeed, let  $P$  be a semi-simple (real) Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and let  $L = P^{\mathbb{C}}$  be its complexification with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{p}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{p} + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p} \sim \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ . By endowing  $\mathfrak{l}$  with  $\kappa^P \oplus \kappa^P$ , where  $\kappa^P$  is the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{p}$ , we obtain a left invariant pseudo-Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $L$  that is invariant under the adjoint action  $Ad_P$ . Denote by  $h_e$  its restriction to the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{l}$ . This can be expressed in terms of the Killing form  $\kappa^L$  of  $\mathfrak{l}$ , seen as a real semi-simple Lie algebra. More precisely, we have

$$h_e(\cdot, \cdot) = \kappa^L(\cdot, A(\cdot)),$$

where  $A$  is the self-adjoint isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{p}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$  defined by  $A(u, v) = (u, -v)$ . Thus, the geodesic equation (12) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}(t) &= 0, \\ \dot{v}(t) &= 2[v(t), u(t)] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $u(t)$  is constant, and thus we get a linear equation for  $v(t)$ , and so solutions are defined on the whole  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence, the left invariant pseudo-Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $L = P^{\mathbb{C}}$  is geodesically complete.

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. Albuquerque, On Lie Groups with Left Invariant semi-Riemannian Metric, Public. Centro de Matematica da Universidade do Minho (1998), 1-13.
- [2] D. V. Alekseevskii and B. A. Putko, Completeness of left-invariant metrics on Lie groups, *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 21:3 (1987), 233–234.
- [3] W. Ballmann. Lectures on Kahler manifolds. ESI Lectures in Mathematics and Physics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zurich, 2006.
- [4] A. Ben-Ahmed and A. Zeghib, On homogeneous Hermite-Lorentz spaces, *Asian J. Math.* 20 (2016), no. 3, 531 - 552.
- [5] M. Berger, Les espaces symétriques noncompacts, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (3)* 74 (1957), 85–177.
- [6] G. Calvaruso and M. C. López, Pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous structures, Springer (2019).
- [7] J. Cheeger and D. Ebin, *Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry* (North-Holland, 1975).
- [8] V. Cortés and B. Meinke, Pseudo-Riemannian almost hypercomplex homogeneous spaces with irreducible isotropy, *J. Lie Theory* 27 (2017), no. 4, 983 - 993.
- [9] V. Cortés and B. Meinke, Pseudo-Riemannian almost quaternionic homogeneous spaces with irreducible isotropy, *Geom. Dedicata* 196 (2018), 45 - 52.
- [10] E. Ghys, Déformations des structures complexes sur les espaces homogènes de  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ , *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 468 (1995), 113-138.

- [11] M. Guediri, Sur la complétude des pseudo-métriques invariantes à gauche sur les groupes de Lie nilpotents, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino 52 (1994) 371–376.
- [12] M. Guediri and J. Lafontaine, Sur la complétude des variétés pseudo-riemanniennes, J. Geom. Phys., 15 (2) (1995), 150–158.
- [13] S. Helgason, Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces. New York: Academic Press (1978).
- [14] R. Hermann, Geodesics and classical mechanics on Lie groups, J. Math. Phys., Vol. 13, No.4, April (1972), 460-464.
- [15] H. Jacobowitz, Introduction to CR structures, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs Vol 32 (1990).
- [16] N. Jacobson, Lie algebras (Wiley, New York, 1962).
- [17] G. A. Margulis, Discrete Subgroups of Semisimple Lie Groups, Springer, New York (1991).
- [18] J.E. Marsden, On completeness of homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 22 (1973), 1065-1066.
- [19] J. Milnor, Curvatures of left invariant metrics on Lie Groups, Advances in Mathematics 21 (1976), 293-329.
- [20] K. Nomizu, Left-invariant Lorentz metrics on Lie groups, Osaka J. Math. 16(1), (1979) 143-150.
- [21] B. O'Neill, Semi-Riemannian Geometry, Academic Press, 1983.
- [22] A. Di Scala and T. Leistner, Connected subgroups of  $SO(2, n)$  acting irreducibly on  $\mathbb{R}^{2, n}$ , Israel J. Math., 182 (2011), 103 - 121.
- [23] M. S. Raghunathan, Discrete Subgroups of Lie Groups. Springer, New York (1972)
- [24] S. Tachibana and M. Okumura, On the almost-complex structure of tangent bundles of Riemannian spaces, Tohoku Math. J. (2), Volume 14 (1962) no. 4, 156 - 161.
- [25] J. A. Wolf, On the Classification of Hermitian Symmetric Spaces, J. of Math. and Mechanics, Vol. 13, No. 3 (1964), 489-495.
- [26] J. A. Wolf, Spaces of constant curvature, Publish or Perish, Boston (1974).
- [27] R. Zimmer, Ergodic Theory and Semisimple Groups, Ergodic theory and semisimple groups. Boston, Birkhäuser, 1984.

FACULTY OF EXACT SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF EL OUED, ALGERIA.  
*Email address:* fatma.kadi.math@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCES, KING SAUD UNIVERSITY,  
 P.O. Box 2455, RIYADH 11451, SAUDI ARABIA.  
*Email address:* mguediri@ksu.edu.sa

UMPA, CNRS, ECOLE NORMALE SUPERIEURE DE LYON, 46, ALLEE D'ITALIE 69364  
 LYON CEDEX 07, FRANCE.  
*Email address:* abdelghani.zeghib@ens-lyon.fr