SUMS OF SQUARES IN FUNCTION FIELDS OVER HENSELIAN DISCRETELY VALUED FIELDS

GONZALO MANZANO-FLORES

ABSTRACT. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let K be a field with a henselian discrete valuation of rank n with hereditarily euclidean residue field. Let F/K be a function field in one variable in one variable. It is known that every sum of squares is a sum of 3 squares. We determine the order of the group of nonzero sums of 3 squares modulo sums of 2 squares in F in terms of equivalence classes of certain discrete valuations of F of rank at most n. In the case of function fields of hyperelliptic curves of genus g, K.J. Becher and J. Van Geel showed that the order of this quotient group is bounded by $2^{n(g+1)}$. We show that this bound is optimal. Moreover, in the case where n = 1, we show that if F/K is a hyperelliptic function field such that the order of this quotient group is 2^{g+1} , then F is nonreal.

KEYWORDS: Sums of squares, valuation, function field in one variable, quadratic form, local-global principle, hereditarily pythagorean field.

CLASSIFICATION (MSC 2020): 11E81, 12D15, 12J10

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a field. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let $S_d(K)$ denote the set of nonzero sums of d squares in K. Let $S(K) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n(K)$, the multiplicative group of nonzero sums of

squares in K. The Pythagoras number p(K) of a field K is the smallest integer d such that $S(K) = S_d(K)$ if such an integer d exists, otherwise it is infinite. We call a finitely generated field extension of transcendence degree one a function field in one variable, for short. In [20] it was shown that p(F) = 2 for every function field in one variable F over \mathbb{R} . However, the same does not hold for function field in one variables over $\mathbb{R}((t_1)) \dots ((t_n))$ when $n \ge 1$. For example, S. Tikhonov in [17, Example 3.8] showed that tX is a sum of three squares but not a sum of two squares in the function field F of the curve $Y^2 = (tX - 1)(X^2 + 1)$ over $\mathbb{R}((t))$, which shows that p(F) > 2. However, in that example it was not known whether tX was the only nontrivial element of the quotient group of nonzero sums of squares in F modulo the subgroup of nonzero sums of two squares in F.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider a function field in one variable F over the base field $\mathbb{R}((t_1)) \dots ((t_n))$. It was shown in [3, Theorem 6.12] that $p(F) \leq 3$ and that the quotient $S(F)/S_2(F)$, which measures the failure of every sum of squares to be

Date: 22.02.2023.

a sum of two squares, is a finite group. In this article, we determine the order of $S(F)/S_2(F)$ in terms of the cardinality of a certain finite set of valuations on F. In fact, we consider situations with slightly more general base fields (than $\mathbb{R}((t_1)) \dots ((t_n))$) namely fields carrying a nontrivial henselian valuation with value group \mathbb{Z}^n endowed with the lexicographic order, and such that the Pythagoras number of the rational function field over its residue field is bounded by 2^r , for some $r \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Concretely, for a function field in one variable $F/\mathbb{R}((t_1)) \dots ((t_n))$, we show that $|S(F)/S_2(F)| = 2^m$, where m is the number of equivalence classes of discrete valuations of rank at most n and with nonreal residue field not containing $\sqrt{-1}$. In this case, this generalizes [3, Theorem 6.12]. It is natural to wonder whether we can bound the order of $S(F)/S_2(F)$ in terms of the genus of F/K. In [2], reduction theory of arithmetic curves over $\mathbb{R}[t]$ is applied to obtain the bound $|S(F)/S_2(F)| \leq 2^{g+1}$ for any function field in one variable F of genus gover $\mathbb{R}((t_1))$. In the case of a function field F of a hyperelliptic curve of genus gover $\mathbb{R}((t_1)) \dots ((t_n))$, it is a consequence of [5, Theorem 3.10] that

$$|\mathsf{S}(F)/\mathsf{S}_2(F)| \leqslant 2^{n(g+1)}$$

It is known that in the case where n = 1 and g = 1, the bound is optimal. In fact, for the nonreal function field F of the curve $Y^2 = -(X^2 + 1)(X^2 + t^2)$ over $\mathbb{R}((t))$, it was shown in [5, Example 5.12] that $|\mathsf{S}(F)/\mathsf{S}_2(F)| = 2^2$. In this article, for any $g \in \mathbb{N}$, we will construct a hyperelliptic curve of genus g over K such that $|\mathsf{S}(F)/\mathsf{S}_2(F)| = 2^{n(g+1)}$. This shows the optimality of the previously mentioned bounds for function field in one variables over $\mathbb{R}((t))$ or for hyperelliptic function fields over $\mathbb{R}((t_1)) \dots ((t_n))$. We ask in Section 4 whether our bounds in terms of valuations may equally serve to find a bound in terms of g and n for function field in one variables F/K of genus g.

2. Preliminaries

For a ring R, let R^{\times} denote its group of invertible elements. Let K be a field. For a valuation v on K, we denote by \mathcal{O}_v the valuation ring associated to v, by Γ_v the value group $v(K^{\times})$, which is an ordered abelian group, by \mathfrak{m}_v the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_v and by κ_v the residue field $\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{m}_v$. The residue in κ_v of an element $a \in \mathcal{O}_v$ will be denoted by \overline{a} . For a valuation ring \mathcal{O} of K, the corresponding valuation on K with valuation ring \mathcal{O} and value group $K^{\times}/\mathcal{O}^{\times}$ is denoted by $v_{\mathcal{O}}$. We call two valuations v and w on K equivalent if $\mathcal{O}_v = \mathcal{O}_w$, equivalently, if and only if there exists an order-isomorphism $\gamma : \Gamma_w \to \Gamma_v$ such that $v = \gamma \circ w$. See [8, Proposition 2.1.3].

The rank of an ordered abelian group Γ is defined as the number of its proper convex subgroups. For a valuation v on K, we denote by $\mathsf{rk}(v) \in \mathbb{N}$ the rank of Γ_v , and we say that $\mathsf{rk}(v)$ is the rank of v. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We call a valuation on K having value group $(\mathbb{Z}^n, \leq_{\mathsf{leq}})$, where \leq_{leq} is the lexicographic order, a \mathbb{Z}^n valuation for short. Note that $(\mathbb{Z}^0, \leq_{\mathsf{leq}})$ is the trivial additive group and that for a \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation v on K we have that $\mathsf{rk}(v) = n$. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $V_i(K)$ be the set of \mathbb{Z}^i -valuations on K, where $V_0(K)$ is the trivial valuation on K. We set

$$V(K) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_i(K).$$

Let $\Omega_n(K) = \{ \mathcal{O}_v \mid v \in V_n(K) \}$, where $\Omega_0(K) = K$. We set

$$\Omega(K) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i(K).$$

We observe that every $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega_n(K)$ is a valuation ring of Krull dimension n by [8, Lemma 2.3.1]. For valuation rings $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}'$ of a field K and valuations w, w' on K corresponding to \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' , respectively, we say that \mathcal{O}' is a *coarsening of* \mathcal{O} or that \mathcal{O} is a *refinement of* \mathcal{O}' , respectively, w' is a coarsening of w or w is a refinement of w, if $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}'$. Note that a field K is trivially a coarsening of every valuation ring of itself. We denote by $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}'$ the smallest subring of K containing both \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' .

Let $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \leq n$. We denote by $\pi_d : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^d$ the projection on the first d components. Note that π_d is a homomorphism of ordered abelian groups with respect to the lexicographic orders on \mathbb{Z}^n and \mathbb{Z}^d . Dually, we denote by $\pi^d : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}^d$ the projection on the last d components of \mathbb{Z}^n . Note that π^d is a group homomorphism, but it is not order-preserving when d < n.

2.1. **Proposition.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let v be a \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation on K. Let \mathcal{O}' be a coarsening of \mathcal{O}_v . Then $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}_{\pi_r \circ v}$, for a unique $r \leq n$.

Proof. First, note that $K^{\times}/\mathcal{O}_{v}^{\times}$ is an abelian group, which has an order \leq , given by $x\mathcal{O}_{v}^{\times} \leq y\mathcal{O}_{v}^{\times}$ if and only if $yx^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{v}$. Thus Γ_{v} is order-isomorphic to $K^{\times}/\mathcal{O}_{v}^{\times}$. Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{v}$. Let \mathfrak{p} be the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}' . Then \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of \mathcal{O} and localizing \mathcal{O} at \mathfrak{p} we obtain $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus, we have a surjective order-preserving group homomorphism of value groups $\varphi : K^{\times}/\mathcal{O}^{\times} \to K^{\times}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ sending $x\mathcal{O}^{\times}$ to $x\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$. In fact, if $a\mathcal{O}^{\times} \leq b\mathcal{O}^{\times}$, then $ab^{-1} \in \mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and hence $a\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq b\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, for $a, b \in K^{\times}$. Since $K^{\times}/\mathcal{O}^{\times} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, then the quotient group $(K^{\times}/\mathcal{O}^{\times})/\ker \varphi$ is orderisomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^{r} , for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r \leq n$. Hence $K^{\times}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{r}$ by the first isomorphism theorem. Let $v' = \pi_{r} \circ v$. Since π_{r} is order-preserving, we have that $\mathcal{O}_{v'} \in \Omega_{r}(K)$, which is a coarsening of \mathcal{O}_{v} . By [8, Lemma 2.3.1] and since \mathbb{Z}^{n} has only n proper convex subgroups, we have that $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}_{v'}$.

Let $v \in V(K)$ and set $n = \mathsf{rk}(v)$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, we denote by e_i^n the *n*-tuple (e_1, \ldots, e_n) such that

$$e_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = (n+1) - i , \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq (n+1) - i . \end{cases}$$

Note that e_1^n is the minimal positive element of \mathbb{Z}^n . An *n*-tuple $(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in K^n$ is called a *parametrical system of* v if $v(t_i) = e_i^n$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. For a \mathbb{Z} -valuation v we call $t \in K$ a uniformizer of v if v(t) = 1.

Definition. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let v be a \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation on K and let \mathcal{O}' be a coarsening of \mathcal{O}_v . By Proposition 2.1 there exists a unique $r \leq n$, such that $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}_{\pi_r \circ v}$. Let $v' = \pi_r \circ v$. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_{v'}$ be such that $x - y \in \mathfrak{m}_{v'}$ and $x, y \notin \mathfrak{m}_{v'}$. Since $y \in \mathcal{O}_{v'}^{\times}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{v'} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_v$, we have that $(x - y)y^{-1} = x/y - 1 \in \mathfrak{m}_v$, and hence v(x) = v(y). We denote by $\overline{v} : \kappa_{v'} \to \mathbb{Z}^{n-r} \cup \{\infty\}, \overline{x} \mapsto (\pi^{n-r} \circ v)(x)$, where x is any lifting of \overline{x} , the residual valuation of v modulo v', which is well-defined by the above.

Let $r, d \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, let v' be a \mathbb{Z}^r -valuation on K and let \overline{v} be a \mathbb{Z}^d -valuation on $\kappa_{v'}$. Let $(t_1, \ldots, t_r) \in K^r$ be a parametrical system of v'. For $a \in K^{\times}$, there exists $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a = t_1^{a_1} \ldots t_r^{a_r} u$, for some $u \in \mathcal{O}_{v'}^{\times}$. We can define a valuation $v : K \to \mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{Z}^d \cup \{\infty\}$, by $v(a) = (v'(a), \overline{v}(\overline{u}))$. The valuation v is called *the composition of* v' and \overline{v} with respect to (t_1, \ldots, t_r) . Note that \mathcal{O}_v is a refinement of $\mathcal{O}_{v'}$.

2.2. **Example.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. We consider $K_n = k((t_1)) \dots ((t_n))$ the field of iterated Laurent series over a field k. By induction on n, we show that there exists a \mathbb{Z}^n valuation v on K_n such that $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K_n^n$ is a parametrical system of v. For n = 1, the valuation v_{t_1} on K_1 , given by $v_{t_1}(\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} a_i t_1^i) = m$, when $a_m \in k^{\times}$, is a \mathbb{Z} valuation on K_1 such that t_1 is a parametrical system v_{t_1} . Let n > 1. Let v_{t_n} be the t_n -adic valuation on K_n , that is, the \mathbb{Z} -valuation corresponding to the valuation ring $K_{n-1}[t_n]$. By the induction hypothesis, since $\kappa_{v_{t_n}} = K_{n-1}$, we may consider a \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} -valuation w on K_{n-1} such that $(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \in \kappa_{v_{t_n}}^{n-1}$ is a parametrical system of w. Let v be the composition of v_{t_n} and w with respect to t_n . Hence v is a \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation on K_n , and since $v(t_n) = (v_{t_n}(t_n), w(\overline{1})) = (1, 0, \dots, 0) = e_n^n$, we have that $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K_n^n$ is a parametrical system of v. Note that different choices of uniformizers of v_{t_n} can lead to distinct \mathbb{Z}^n -valuations on K_n (e.g. $t_n t_1$ instead of t_n).

2.3. **Remark.** Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Fixing a \mathbb{Z}^r -valuation v' on K, a parametrical system $(t_1, \ldots, t_r) \in K^r$ for v', and $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the above defined assignations define mutually inverse bijections between equivalence classes of \mathbb{Z}^{r+d} -valuations v, where \mathcal{O}_v are refinements of $\mathcal{O}_{v'}$ and equivalence classes of \mathbb{Z}^d -valuations \overline{v} on κ_v , such that $\kappa_v = \kappa_{\overline{v}}$. See [8, Section 2.3].

We call $W \subseteq \Omega(K) \setminus \Omega_0(K)$ saturated if for all $\mathcal{O} \in W$ we have $\mathcal{O}' \in W$ for every nontrivial coarsening \mathcal{O}' of \mathcal{O} . Let $S, S' \subseteq V(K)$. We say that S and S' are equivalent if $\{\mathcal{O}_v \mid v \in S\} = \{\mathcal{O}_v \mid v \in S'\}$. We say that $S \subseteq V(K) \setminus V_0(K)$ is coherent if

- S is a set of pairwise non-equivalent valuations,
- and, if $v \in S$ is a \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation, then $\pi_i \circ v \in S$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

2.4. **Proposition.** Let $S \subseteq V(K) \setminus V_0(K)$ be such that $W = \{\mathcal{O}_v \mid v \in S\}$ is finite and saturated. Then there exists a coherent set $S' \subseteq V(K)$ equivalent to S.

Proof. Let $n = \max\{\dim \mathcal{O}_v \mid v \in S\}$. We prove the statement by induction on n. If n = 1, there is nothing to show, since every subset of $V_1(K)$ is a set of pairwise of non-equivalent \mathbb{Z} -valuations, which is trivially coherent. Assume now that n > 1. By the induction hypothesis, we have that for any $S \subseteq \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} V_i(K)$ such that $W = \{\mathcal{O}_v \mid v \in S\}$ is finite and saturated, there exists a coherent set $S' \subseteq V(K)$ with $W = \{\mathcal{O}_v \mid v \in S'\}$. Let $S \subseteq \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} V_i(K)$ be such that $W = \{\mathcal{O}_v \mid v \in S\}$ is finite and saturated. Without loss of generality, we may assume that S consist of a set of non-equivalent valuations. Let $S_{n-1} = S \setminus V_n(K)$. Then, by the induction hypothesis, S_{n-1} is equivalent to a coherent subset S'_{n-1} of $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} V_i(K)$. Let $v \in S'_{n-1}$. Let $V_v = \{w \in S \mid \mathcal{O}_w \subsetneq \mathcal{O}_v\}$. Let $(t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}) \in K^{n-1}$ be a parametrical system of v. For $w \in V_v$, let \overline{w} be the residual valuation of w modulo v. Let w' be the composition of v and \overline{w} , with respect to (t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}) . Thus, for every $w \in V_v$, we can define a \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation w' on K, which is equivalent to w, by Remark 2.3. Let $S(v) = \{w' \mid w \in V_v\}$. Then $S(v) \cup S'_{n-1}$ is a coherent set equivalent to $V_v \cup S'_{n-1}$. We obtain that

$$S' = \bigcup_{v \in S'_{n-1} \cap V_{n-1}(K)} S(v) \cup S'_{n-1},$$

is a coherent finite set equivalent to S.

Let $v, w \in V(K)$. We call $(\gamma_v, \gamma_w) \in \Gamma_v \times \Gamma_w$ compatible with respect to (v, w)if $\pi_r(\gamma_v) = \pi_r(\gamma_w)$, where $r \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $\mathcal{O}_v \mathcal{O}_w \in \Omega_r(K)$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We recall that π^1 is the projection on the last component of \mathbb{Z}^n . Let $S \subseteq V(K) \smallsetminus V_0(K)$ be a finite coherent set. We define the group homomorphism

(2.5)
$$\Phi_S: K^{\times} \to \prod_{v \in S} \mathbb{Z}, a \mapsto (\pi^1(v(a)))_{v \in S}.$$

2.6. **Proposition.** Let K be a field. Let $S \subseteq V(K) \setminus V_0(K)$ be a finite coherent set. Then Φ_S is surjective.

Proof. Let $(e_v)_{v\in S}$ be the canonical basis of $\prod_{v\in S} \mathbb{Z}$ as a \mathbb{Z} -module. For every $v \in S$, we show that there exists $x_v \in K^{\times}$ such that $\Phi_S(x_v) = e_v$. Consider $v \in S$ and let $\gamma_v = e_1^{\mathsf{rk}(v)}$. For $w \in S$ a refinement of v, let $\gamma_w = e_r^{\mathsf{rk}(w)}$ in Γ_w , otherwise $\gamma_w = 0$. We claim that for every $w, w' \in S$, the pair $(\gamma_w, \gamma_{w'})$ in $\Gamma_w \times \Gamma_{w'}$, is compatible with respect to (w, w'). Let $w, w' \in S$. If w, w' are both not refinements of v, then $(\gamma_w, \gamma_{w'})$ are trivially compatible. Assume w is a refinement of v and w' is not a refinement of v. It follows from Proposition 2.1 that $\mathcal{O}_w \subseteq \mathcal{O}_v \subsetneqq \mathcal{O}_w \mathcal{O}_{w'} \subseteq K$, because every valuation ring has a unique coarsening of a fixed rank. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\mathcal{O}_w \mathcal{O}_{w'} \in \Omega_d(K)$. Then $d < \mathsf{rk}(v) \leq \mathsf{rk}(w)$ and hence $\pi_d(\gamma_w) = 0 = \pi_d(\gamma_{w'})$ in \mathbb{Z}^d . Finally, we assume that w and w' are refinements of v. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\mathcal{O}_w \mathcal{O}_{w'} \in \Omega_d(K)$. Then $\mathcal{O}_w \mathcal{O}_{w'} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_v$ and hence we have that $\mathsf{rk}(v) \leq d < \mathsf{rk}(w), \mathsf{rk}(v) \leq d < \mathsf{rk}(w')$. Thus, we have $\pi_d(\gamma_w) = \pi_d(\gamma_{w'})$, that is, $(\gamma_w, \gamma_{w'})$ are compatible. By [16, Theorem 5] there exists $x_v \in K^{\times}$ such that $w(x_v) = \gamma_w$ for all $w \in W$. Hence $\pi^1(v(x_v)) = \pi^1(\gamma_v) = 1$,

and $\pi^1(w(x_v)) = 0$ for all $w \in S \setminus \{v\}$. Therefore $\Phi_S(x_v) = e_v$. Since $v \in S$ was arbitrarily chosen, we conclude that Φ_S is surjective.

2.7. **Proposition.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that K carries a henselian \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation. Then $|\Omega_i(K)| = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. We prove this by induction on n. For n = 1, follows from [3, Proposition 2.2]. We assume that n > 1. Let $v_{n-1} = \pi_{n-1} \circ v$. Then v_{n-1} is a henselian \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} -valuation on K, which is a coarsening of v, by [8, Corollary 4.1.4] and Proposition 2.1. By the induction hypothesis $|\Omega_i(K)| = 1$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let \overline{v} be the residual valuation of v modulo v_{n-1} . Then \overline{v} is a henselian \mathbb{Z} -valuation on $\kappa_{v_{n-1}}$, by [8, Corollary 4.1.4], and it is the unique \mathbb{Z} -valuation on $\kappa_{v_{n-1}}$, by [3, Proposition 2.2]. Therefore, by Remark 2.3, we have that $\Omega_n(K) = \{\mathcal{O}_v\}$ and hence $|\Omega_n(K)| = 1$.

By a function field in one variable F/K we mean a finitely generated field extension of transcendence degree one.

2.8. Lemma. Let F/K be a function field in one variable and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let w be a valuation on F such that $\kappa_w/\kappa_{w|_K}$ is transcendental. Then $\mathsf{rk}(w) = \mathsf{rk}(w|_K)$ and $\kappa_w/\kappa_{w|_K}$ is a function field in one variable.

Proof. Let $v = w|_K$. It follows from [8, Proposition 3.4.1, Theorem 3.4.3] that $\mathsf{rk}(w) = \mathsf{rk}(v)$. We claim that κ_w/κ_v is a function field in one variable. Let $\alpha \in \kappa_w$ be a transcendental element over κ_v and let $\theta \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}$ be such that $\overline{\theta} = \alpha$. It follows by [8, Theorem 3.2.4] that $K(\theta)/K$ is transcendental. Let $w' := w|_{K(\theta)}$. It follows from [8, Corollary 2.2.2] that w' is the Gauss extension of v with respect to θ . In particular $\kappa_{w'} = \kappa_v(\alpha)$. Since F/K is a function field in one variable, we have that $F/K(\theta)$ is finite, then $\kappa_w/\kappa_v(\alpha)$ is a finite field extension and hence κ_w/κ_v is a function field in one variable.

We fix a valued field (K, v) and F/K a function field in one variable. An extension w of v to F is called *residually transcendental* if κ_w/κ_v is transcendental. We say that F/K is *ruled* if F is a rational function field over some finite extension of K.

2.9. **Proposition.** Let v be a valuation on K. Let F/K be a ruled extension. Let w be a residually transcendental extension of v. Then κ_w/κ_v is ruled.

Proof. See [15, Theorem 3.3].

We define a set of equivalence classes of valuation extensions of coarsenings of vto F in the following way. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Omega_i(F/v)$ denote the set of valuation rings $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega_i(F)$ such that $v_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a residually transcendental extension of a coarsening of v. We set

$$\Omega(F/v) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i(F/v).$$

2.10. **Proposition.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $v \in V_n(K)$. Let F/K be a function field in one variable. Let $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega(F/v)$. Then $v_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a \mathbb{Z}^r -valuation, for some $r \leq n$.

Proof. This follows directly from Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.8.

For $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$, we set

$$\Omega_i^*(F/v) = \{ \mathcal{O} \in \Omega_i(F/v) \mid \kappa_{\mathcal{O}}/\kappa_{\mathcal{O}\cap K} \text{ is nonruled } \}.$$

We set

$$\Omega(F/v) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i^*(F/v)$$

2.11. Lemma. Let F/K be a function field in one variable. Then $\Omega^*(F/v)$ is saturated.

Proof. Let w be a valuation on F such that $\mathcal{O}_w \in \Omega^*(F/v)$. Let w' be a coarsening of w. We claim that $\mathcal{O}_{w'} \in \Omega^*(F/v)$. It follows by [8, Theorem 3.2.4] that $\kappa_{w'}/\kappa_{w'|_K}$ is transcendental, and hence is a function field in one variable, by Lemma 2.8. Let \overline{w} be the residual valuation of w modulo w'. Let $\nu = w|_K$. Note that $w'|_K$ is a coarsening of ν . Let $\overline{\nu}$ be the residual valuation of ν modulo $w'|_K$. If $\kappa_{w'}/\kappa_{w'|_K}$ were ruled, then $\kappa_{\overline{w}}/\kappa_{\overline{\nu}}$ would be ruled, by Proposition 2.9, and hence κ_w/κ_{ν} would be ruled because $\kappa_w = \kappa_{\overline{w}}, \kappa_{\nu} = \kappa_{\overline{\nu}}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\mathcal{O}_{w'} \in \Omega^*(F/v)$.

Let F/K be a function field in one variable. It is natural to wonder whether the set $\Omega^*(F/v)$ is finite. We shall give a positive answer in Theorem 2.12 under the assumption that $v \in V(K)$. Assuming that v is a \mathbb{Z} -valuation on K, it was shown in [2, Theorem 5.3] by K. Becher and D. Grimm $|\Omega^*(F/v)| \leq g+1$, where g is the genus of F/K. We will show in Example 4.5 that the above bound is optimal.

2.12. **Theorem.** Let n be a positive integer. Assume that K carries a \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation v such that κ_v is perfect. Let F/K be a function field in one variable. Then $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \Omega_i^*(F/v)$ is finite.

Proof. We prove the statement by induction on n. If n = 1, then v is a \mathbb{Z} -valuation on K, and it follows by [2, Theorem 5.3] that $\Omega_1^*(F/v)$ is finite. Assume now that n > 1. By the induction hypothesis, for any positive integer s < n, for any field Lcarrying a \mathbb{Z}^s -valuation v' and for any function field in one variable E/L, the set $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq s} \Omega_i^*(E/v')$ is finite. Let $v_1 = \pi_1 \circ v$ and let \overline{v} be the residual valuation of vmodulo v_1 . Then \overline{v} is a \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} -valuation on κ_{v_1} , by Remark 4.1. Let $r \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. We claim that $\Omega_r^*(F/v)$ is finite. If r = 1, then this follows by [2, Theorem 5.3]. Assume r > 1. Let w be a valuation on F such that $\mathcal{O}_w \in \Omega_r^*(F/v)$. Let $w_1 = \pi_1 \circ w$. It follows by Lemma 2.11 and by Lemma 2.8 that $\mathcal{O}_{w_1} \in \Omega_1^*(F/v)$ and that \mathcal{O}_{w_1} is an extension of \mathcal{O}_{v_1} . Since $\kappa_{w_1}/\kappa_{v_1}$ is a function field in one variable and \overline{v} is a \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} -valuation on κ_{v_1} , we have that $\Omega_{r-1}^*(\kappa_{w_1}/\overline{v})$ is finite. Furthermore, we have that \mathcal{O}_w is determined by the induce valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{w}} \in \Omega_{r-1}^*(\kappa_{w_1}/\overline{v})$,

where \overline{w} is the residual valuation of w modulo w_1 , by Remark 2.3. Hence, we have that $|\Omega_r^*(F/v)| = \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \Omega_1^*(F/v)} |\Omega_{r-1}^*(\kappa_{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{v})|$, where \overline{v} is the residual valuation of vmodulo $v_{\mathcal{O}}$. Since for every \mathbb{Z} -valuation ν on F the set $\Omega_{r-1}(\kappa_{\nu}/\overline{v})$ is finite, we have that the set $\Omega_r^*(F/v)$ is finite, and since r was arbitrarily taken, we obtain the statement.

Let v be a \mathbb{Z} -valuation on K. We denote by (K^v, \hat{v}) the completion of (K, v).

2.13. Lemma. Let v be a henselian \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation on K, and let $v_1 = \pi_1 \circ v$. Let (K^{v_1}, \hat{v}_1) be the completion of (K, v_1) . Then v has a unique unramified extension v' to K^{v_1} , and this extension is a henselian \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation with $\kappa_{v'} = \kappa_v$.

Proof. We observe that $\kappa_{v_1} = \kappa_{\hat{v}_1}$ by [8, Theorem 1.3.4]. Let \overline{v} be the residual valuation of v modulo v_1 , and let t be an uniformizer of \hat{v}_1 . We can consider the composition v' of \hat{v}_1 and \overline{v} with respect to t. Note that $\mathcal{O}_{v'} \cap K = \mathcal{O}_v$, and using the fact that \overline{v} and \hat{v}_1 are henselian \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} and \mathbb{Z} -valuation on κ_{v_1} and K^{v_1} , respectively, we have that v' is a henselian \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation on K^{v_1} by [8, Corollary 4.1.4], and with $\kappa_{v'} = \kappa_{\overline{v}} = \kappa_v$, by Remark 2.3.

Let F/K, K'/K be two field extensions such that K is separably algebraically closed in K'. Then $F \otimes_K K'$ is a domain, see [11, Corollary 1, pag. 203]. We denote by FK' the fraction field of $F \otimes_K K'$ and we call it *the compositum of* Fand K' over K. Note that FK' is an extension of F and of K'.

A field K that carries a henselian Z-valuation v is separably algebraically closed in its completion K^v , by [19, Theorem 32.19], hence the compositum FK^v over K exists for any field extension F/K. In particular, if F/K is a function field in one variable, then FK^v/K^v is a function field in one variable.

2.14. **Proposition** (D. Harbater, J. Hartmann, D. Krashen). Assume that K carries a henselian \mathbb{Z} -valuation v such that \mathcal{O}_v is excellent. Let F/K be a function field in one variable, and let E be the compositum of F and K^v over K. Let φ be a quadratic form over F of dim $\varphi \ge 3$. If φ is isotropic over E, then φ is isotropic over F.

Proof. See [10, Lemma 4.11].

2.15. Corollary. Assume that K carries a henselian \mathbb{Z} -valuation v such that \mathcal{O}_v is excellent. Let F/K be a function field in one variable, and let E be the compositum of F and K^v over K. Then

$$F^{\times} \cap \mathsf{S}_k(E) = \mathsf{S}_k(F),$$

for any $k \ge 2$. In particular $p(F) \le p(E)$.

Proof. Let $k \ge 2$. Let $\sigma \in F^{\times} \cap \mathsf{S}_k(E)$. Let $\varphi = k \times \langle 1 \rangle \perp \langle -\sigma \rangle$. Since φ is isotropic over E, then φ is isotropic over F by Proposition 2.14, hence $\sigma \in \mathsf{S}_k(F)$. The second statement follows trivially.

8

3. The group of nonzero sums of squares

Let K be a field. We define

$$s(K) = \inf\{d \in \mathbb{N} \mid -1 \in S_d(K)\} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\},\$$

the *level* of K. If $s(K) < \infty$, we say that K is *nonreal*, otherwise we say that K is real.

For a valued field (K, v), a function field in one variable F/K, and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\mathcal{X}^{r}(F/v) = \{ \mathcal{O} \in \Omega^{*}(F/v) \mid 2^{r} \leq s(\kappa_{\mathcal{O}}) < \infty \},\$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}^{r}(F/v) = \{ x \in F^{\times} \mid x \in \mathcal{O}^{\times}F^{\times 2} \text{ for all } \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}^{r}(F/v) \} \\ = \{ x \in F^{\times} \mid v_{\mathcal{O}}(x) \in 2\Gamma_{v_{\mathcal{O}}} \text{ for all } \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}^{r}(F/v) \}.$$

3.1. Lemma. Let K be a field and $v \in V(K)$. Let F/K be a function field in one variable. Let $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega_1^*(F/v)$. Let $a \in F^{\times}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. If $a \in \mathcal{E}^r(F/v) \cap \mathcal{O}^{\times}$, then $\overline{a} \in \mathcal{E}^r(\kappa_{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{v})$, where \overline{v} is the residual valuation of v modulo $v_{\mathcal{O}}|_K$.

Proof. Let $v_1 = v_{\mathcal{O}}|_K$. It follows by Lemma 2.8 and Proposition 2.10 that v_1 is equivalent to a \mathbb{Z} -valuation. Let \overline{v} be the residual valuation of v modulo v_1 . Let $a \in \mathcal{E}^r(F/v) \cap \mathcal{O}^{\times}$. Let $\mathcal{O}' \in \mathcal{X}^r(\kappa_{\mathcal{O}}/\overline{v})$. We need to show that $\overline{a} \in \mathcal{O}'\kappa_{\mathcal{O}}^{\times 2}$. Let $v' = v_{\mathcal{O}'}|_{\kappa_{v_1}}$. Let π, π' be uniformizers of $v_{\mathcal{O}}$ and v_1 respectively. Let w be a composition of $v_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $v_{\mathcal{O}'}$ with respect to π , and let ν be a composition of v_1 and v' with respect to π' . Since $\kappa_{\mathcal{O}'} = \kappa_w$ and $\kappa_{v'} = \kappa_\nu$ by Remark 2.3, we have that κ_w/κ_ν is a function field in one variable with $2^r \leq s(\kappa_w) < \infty$ and $\mathcal{O}_v \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{w|_K} =$ \mathcal{O}_{ν} , that is $\mathcal{O}_w \in \mathcal{X}^r(F/v)$. Then $a \in \mathcal{O}_w^{\times}F^{\times 2} \cap \mathcal{O}^{\times}$, because $a \in \mathcal{E}^r(F/v)$. This implies that $\overline{a} \in \mathcal{O}'^{\times}\kappa_{\mathcal{O}}^{\times 2}$, because $(0, v_{\mathcal{O}'}(\overline{a})) = w(a) \in 2\Gamma_w$. \Box

We say that a valuation v on K is real or nonreal, respectively, if κ_v has the corresponding property. We say that a valuation v on K is nondyadic if $char(\kappa_v) \neq 2$. We recall that an ordered abelian group that admits a minimal positive element is called *discrete*.

3.2. Lemma. Let v be a nonreal and nondyadic valuation on K such that Γ_v is discrete. Then $s(\kappa_v) < p(K)$.

Proof. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $d = s(\kappa_v)$. There exist $f \in \mathfrak{m}_v, x_1, \ldots, x_d \in K$ such that $f = 1 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2$. Let $b = (1 - \frac{f}{2})^2 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2 = \frac{f^2}{4}$. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_v$ be the minimal positive element and let $z \in K$ be such that $v(z) = \gamma$. Note that $\gamma \notin 2\Gamma_v$. Hence 0 < v(z) < 2v(f) = v(b). Let $\sigma = (z - (1 - \frac{f}{2}))^2 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2$. Since $\sigma = z(z - 2(1 - \frac{f}{2})) + b$, 0 < v(z) < v(b), and since v(2 - f) = 0 < v(z), we have that $v(\sigma) = \gamma$. It follows by Lemma [3, Lemma 4.1] that $\sigma \notin S_d(K)$, and hence $s(\kappa_v) < p(K)$.

3.3. Lemma. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Let K be a field and v a real henselian valuation in V(K) such that $p(\kappa_v(X)) \leq 2^r$. Let $v_1 = \pi_1 \circ v$. Let \overline{v} be the residual valuation of v modulo v_1 . Let (K^{v_1}, \hat{v}_1) be the completion of (K, v_1) . Let v' be a composition of \hat{v}_1 and \overline{v} with respect to a uniformizer of \hat{v}_1 . Let F/K be a regular function field in one variable. Let E be the compositum of F and K^{v_1} over K. Then

 $\mathsf{S}(F) \cap \mathcal{E}^r(F/v) \subseteq \mathsf{S}(E) \cap \mathcal{E}^r(E/v').$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}^r(E/v')$. Let $\mathcal{O}_F = \mathcal{O} \cap F$ and $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O} \cap K$. We claim that $\mathcal{O}_F \in \mathcal{X}^r(F/v)$ or $\kappa_{\mathcal{O}_F}$ is real. By definition we have that $\mathcal{O}_{v'} \subseteq \mathcal{O} \cap K^{v_1}$, and since v is henselian, we have that $\mathcal{O} \cap K^{v_1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\hat{v}_1} \subseteq K^{v_1}$, by Proposition 2.7. Hence $\mathcal{O}_v \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{v_1} \subseteq K$. We denote by ν the residual valuation of v modulo $v_{\mathcal{O}_K}$. We recall that ν is a henselian valuation on $\kappa_{\mathcal{O}_K}$ such that $\kappa_{\nu} = \kappa_v$, by [8, Corollary 4.1.4]. Since $p(\kappa_v(X)) \leq 2^r$, it follows by [5, Theorem 3.5] that $s(L) \leq 2^{r-1}$ for every finite nonreal extension L/κ_v . Let $L'/\kappa_{\mathcal{O}_K}$ be a finite nonreal extension. Since ν is henselian, any extension of ν to L' is again henselian, and hence we obtain that $s(L') \leq 2^{r-1}$. Since $\kappa_{\mathcal{O}_F} \subseteq \kappa_{\mathcal{O}}$, we have that $s(\kappa_{\mathcal{O}_F}) \geq s(\kappa_{\mathcal{O}}) \geq 2^r$ and hence the extension $\kappa_{\mathcal{O}_F}/\kappa_{\mathcal{O}_K}$ cannot be algebraic. Hence $\kappa_{\mathcal{O}_F}/\kappa_{\mathcal{O}_K}$ is transcendental. If $\kappa_{\mathcal{O}_F}$ is nonreal, since $s(\kappa_{\mathcal{O}_F}) \geq 2^r$, then $2^r < p(\kappa_{\mathcal{O}_F})$, by Lemma 3.2, because $v_{\mathcal{O}|_F}$ is equivalent to a valuation in V(F), by Proposition 2.10. This implies that $\kappa_{\mathcal{O}_F}/\kappa_{\mathcal{O}_K}$ cannot be ruled, by [5, Theorem 3.5], whenever $\kappa_{\mathcal{O}_F}$ is nonreal. Hence $\mathcal{O}_F \in \mathcal{X}^r(F/v)$ because $\mathcal{O}_v \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$. We conclude that $s(\kappa_{\mathcal{O}_F}) \geq 2^r$.

Let $a \in \mathsf{S}(F) \cap \mathcal{E}^r(F/v)$. Hence $a \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}F^{\times 2}$ because $\mathcal{O}_F \in \mathcal{X}^r(F/v)$ or $\kappa_{\mathcal{O}_F}$ is real, and the latter follows by [3, Lemma 4.1]. Therefore $a \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}F^{\times 2} \subseteq \mathcal{O}^{\times}E^{\times 2}$. Since \mathcal{O} was arbitrarily chosen, we conclude that $a \in \mathsf{S}(E) \cap \mathcal{E}^r(E/v')$.

For a field K, we set

$$p'(K) = \begin{cases} p(K) & \text{if } K \text{ is real,} \\ s(K) + 1 & \text{if } K \text{ is nonreal.} \end{cases}$$

3.4. Lemma. Let K be a perfect field. Let L/K be an algebraic extension. Then

 $p'(L) \leqslant p(K(X)).$

Proof. If the extension L/K is finite, then the result follows from [3, Lemma 6.3]. Assume that L/K is algebraic. If $p(K(X)) = \infty$, then the inequality is trivially satisfied. Assume $p(K(X)) < \infty$. Let r = p(K(X)), and let $\sigma \in S_{r+1}(L)$. Let $x_0, \ldots, x_r \in L$ be such that $\sigma = x_0^2 + \cdots + x_r^2$, and let $K' = K(x_0, \ldots, x_r)$. Hence K'/K is finite. Then $p(K') \leq r$, by [3, Lemma 6.3], and since $K' \subseteq L$, we have that $\sigma \in S_r(L)$ because $S_r(K') \subseteq S_r(L)$. Therefore $p(L) \leq r$.

3.5. **Proposition.** Let $r \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Let K be a field and v a real henselian valuation in V(K) such that $p(\kappa_v(X)) \leq 2^r$. Let F/K be a regular function field in one variable. Then

$$\mathsf{S}(F) \cap \mathcal{E}_r(F/v) = \mathsf{S}_{2^r}(F).$$

Proof. It follows from [3, Lemma 4.1] that $S_{2^r}(F) \subseteq S(F) \cap \mathcal{E}^r(F/v)$. Let $n = \mathsf{rk}(v)$. Let us now show by induction over n that $S(F) \cap \mathcal{E}_r(F/v) \subseteq S_{2^r}(F)$. For n = 0, it follows trivially from the assumption.

Let now n > 0. Let $v_1 = \pi_1 \circ v$. Let (K^{v_1}, \hat{v}_1) be the completion of (K, v_1) . Let v' be a composition of \hat{v}_1 and \overline{v} with respect to a uniformizer of \hat{v}_1 . It follows by Lemma 2.13 that v' is a henselian valuation of rank n on K^{v_1} such that $\kappa_v = \kappa_{v'}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{v'} \cap K = \mathcal{O}_v$.

Let *E* be the compositum of *F* and K^{v_1} over *K*. Let $\sigma \in \mathsf{S}(F) \cap \mathcal{E}^r(F/v)$. Then $\sigma \in \mathsf{S}(E) \cap \mathcal{E}^r(E/v')$, by Lemma 3.3. Let φ be the quadratic form $2^r \times \langle 1 \rangle \perp \langle -\sigma \rangle$ over *F*. We first show that φ is isotropic over *E*.

Let $w \in V_1(E)$. We claim that $p(E^w) \leq 2^r$. If $w|_{K^{v_1}}$ is trivial, then κ_w/K^{v_1} is a finite field extension, and it follows that $p(E^w) \leq p'(\kappa_w)$, by [3, Proposition 4.3] and that $p'(\kappa_w) \leq 2^r$ by [5, Theorem 3.5]. Let us assume now that $\mathcal{O}_w \cap K^{v_1} = \mathcal{O}_{\hat{v}_1}$ and $\kappa_w/\kappa_{\hat{v}_1}$ is algebraic. Since the residual valuation $\overline{v'}$ of v' modulo \hat{v}_1 is a henselian valuation on $\kappa_{\hat{v}_1}$ such that $p(\kappa_{\overline{v'}}(X)) \leq 2^r$, it follows by [5, Theorem 3.5] and Lemma 3.4 that $p'(\kappa_w) \leq p(\kappa_{\hat{v}_1}(X)) \leq 2^r$. Since $p(E^w) \leq p'(\kappa_w) \leq 2^r$ by Lemma 3.4 and by [3, Proposition 4.3], we have $p(E^w) \leq 2^r$. Therefore φ is isotropic over E^w in both cases.

Let us assume now that $\mathcal{O}_w \cap K^{v_1} = \mathcal{O}_{\hat{v}_1}$ and $\kappa_w/\kappa_{\hat{v}_1}$ is a function field in one variable. We claim that φ is isotropic over E^w . If $s(\kappa_w) \leq 2^{r-1}$, since $s(E^w) = s(\kappa_w)$, we have that φ is isotropic over E^w . Let $d \in \mathbb{N}, x_1, \ldots, x_d \in F$ be such that $\sigma = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2$. If κ_w is real, then $w(\sigma) = \min\{2w(x_1), \ldots, 2w(x_d)\}$, by [3, Lemma 4.1]. If $2^r \leq s(\kappa_w) < \infty$, then $2^r < p(\kappa_w)$ by Lemma 3.2. Hence $\kappa_w/\kappa_{\hat{v}_1}$ cannot be ruled by [5, Theorem 3.5], which implies that $\mathcal{O}_w \in \mathcal{X}^r(E/v')$. In any case $w(\sigma) \in 2\Gamma_w$, because $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}^r(E/v')$, and thus $w(\sigma) = 2w(y)$ for some $y \in E$. Let $\tau = \sigma y^{-2}$. Then $\overline{\tau} \in \mathsf{S}(\kappa_w)$ and, if $\varphi' = 2^r \times \langle 1 \rangle \perp \langle -\tau \rangle$ is isotropic over E^w , then φ is isotropic over E^w . By the induction hypothesis, we have that $\mathsf{S}_{2^r}(\kappa_w) = \mathsf{S}(\kappa_w) \cap \mathcal{E}^r(\kappa_w/\overline{v'})$. Since $\tau \in \mathcal{E}^r(E/v')$, it follows by Lemma 3.1 that $\overline{\tau} \in \mathcal{E}^r(\kappa_w/\overline{v'})$, and hence $\overline{\tau} \in \mathsf{S}_{2^r}(\kappa_w)$. Hence $\overline{\varphi'_r}$ is isotropic over κ_w , and then φ' is isotropic over E^w .

By [9, Theorem 6.1], φ is isotropic over E if and only if φ is isotropic over E^w for every rank one valuation w on E such that $w|_{K^{v_1}}$ is trivial or $\mathcal{O}_w \cap K^{v_1} = \mathcal{O}_{\hat{v}_1}$. If $\mathcal{O}_w \cap K^{v_1} = \mathcal{O}_{\hat{v}_1}$ then it follows by Lemma 2.8 that, either $\kappa_w/\kappa_{\hat{v}_1}$ is algebraic or $\kappa_w/\kappa_{\hat{v}_1}$ is a function field in one variable. By the above, in any case φ is isotropic over E, and it follows from Corollary 2.15 that φ is isotropic over F, whereby $\sigma \in \mathsf{S}_{2^r}(F)$.

For a field K and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $G_r(K) = \mathsf{S}(K)/\mathsf{S}_{2^r}(K)$.

3.6. **Theorem.** Let $r \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let K be a field carrying a real henselian \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation v such that $p(\kappa_v(X)) \leq 2^r$. Let F/K be a function field in one variable. Then

$$|G_r(F)| = 2^{|\mathcal{X}^r(F/v)|}$$

In particular $|G_r(F)|$ is finite.

Proof. It follows by Theorem 2.12 that we may choose a finite and saturated set $W \subseteq \Omega(F)$ such that $\mathcal{X}^r(F/v) \subseteq W$, and it follows by Proposition 2.4 that we can choose a coherent subset $S' \subseteq V(F)$ such that $W = \{\mathcal{O}_w \mid w \in S'\}$. We consider $S = \{w \in S' \mid \mathcal{O}_w \in \mathcal{X}^r(F/v)\}$. Let $\Phi : S(F) \to \prod_{w \in S} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ be the map given by the composition of $\Phi_{S'}|_{S(F)}$, where $\Phi_{S'} : F^{\times} \to \prod_{w \in S'} \mathbb{Z}$ is defined in Equation (2.5), and the natural surjective map

$$\prod_{w \in S'} \mathbb{Z} \to \prod_{w \in S} \mathbb{Z} \to \prod_{w \in S} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

We claim that Φ is a surjective group homomorphism with $\ker(\Phi) = \mathsf{S}_{2^r}(F)$.

First, we observe that Φ is a group homomorphism simply because valuations and projections are group homomorphisms. The inclusion $S_{2r}(F) \subseteq \ker(\Phi)$ follows directly from Proposition 3.5. Let us show that $\ker(\Phi) \subseteq S_{2r}(F)$. Let $\sigma \in \ker(\Phi)$ and we assume that $\sigma \notin S_{2r}(F)$. By Proposition 3.5, $\sigma \notin \mathcal{O}^{\times}F^{\times 2}$, for some $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}^r(F/v)$. Let $w \in S$ be such that $\mathcal{O}_w = \mathcal{O}$, and let $a_1, \ldots, a_{\mathsf{rk}(w)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $w(\sigma) = (a_1, \ldots, a_{\mathsf{rk}(w)})$. Since $w(\sigma) \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$, there exists $d \leq \mathsf{rk}(w)$ such that $a_d \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$. Let $w' = \pi_d \circ w$. Since $w'(\sigma) \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$, the residue field $\kappa_{w'}$ cannot be real by [3, Lemma 4.1]. Let \overline{w} be the residual valuation of w modulo w'. Then $2^r \leq s(\kappa_w) = s(\kappa_{\overline{w}}) \leq s(\kappa_{w'}) < \infty$, which implies that $\mathcal{O}_{w'} \in \mathcal{X}^r(F/v)$. Since S'is coherent, we obtain that $w' \in S'$, and since $\mathcal{O}_{w'} \in \mathcal{X}^r(F/v)$, we have $w' \in S$. But $\pi^1(w'(\sigma)) = a_d \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$, which contradicts the fact that $\sigma \in \ker(\Phi)$. This shows that $\ker(\Phi) = \mathsf{S}_{2^r}(F)$.

We show now that Φ is surjective. Let $(e_w)_{w\in S}$ be the canonical basis of $\prod_{w\in S} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Consider $w \in S$, and let $d = \mathsf{rk}(w)$. We claim that there exists $\sigma \in \mathsf{S}(F)$ with $\Phi(\sigma) = e_w$. Since $2^r \leq s(\kappa_w) < \infty$, there exists $f \in \mathfrak{m}_w$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in \mathcal{O}_w^{\times}$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $f = 1 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_m^2$. Let $b = (1 - \frac{f}{2})^2 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_m^2 = \frac{f^2}{4}$. Note that $w(b) = 2w(f) > e_1^d$, where e_1^d is the minimal positive element of \mathbb{Z}^d . By Proposition 2.6, there exists $z \in F$ such that for all $\nu \in S$ we have that $\nu(z) = e_{\mathsf{rk}(\nu)+1-d}^{\mathsf{rk}(\nu)+1-d}$ if ν is a refinement of w and $\nu(z) < \min\{0, \nu(f)\}$ otherwise. Let $\sigma = (z - (1 - \frac{f}{2}))^2 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_m^2 \inf \mathsf{S}(F)$. Let $\nu \in S$ be a refinement of w. Since $\sigma = z(z - 2(1 - \frac{f}{2})) + b$ and $\nu(z) < \nu(b)$ we have that $\nu(\sigma) = \nu(z)$. Thus $\pi^1(\nu(\sigma)) = 0$ if \mathcal{O}_{ν} is a proper refinement of w. Then $\nu(\sigma) = \nu(z(z - 2(1 - \frac{f}{2}))) = 2\nu(z)$. Thus $\pi^1(\nu(\sigma)) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\Phi(\sigma) = e_w$. Therefore Φ is surjective and $|G_r(F)| = 2^{|\mathcal{X}^r(F/v)|}$.

A field K is called *hereditarily pythagorean* if K is real and p(L) = 1 for every finite real extension L of K.

3.7. Corollary. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that K carries a henselian \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation v such that κ_v is hereditarily pythagorean. Let F/K be a function field

in one variable. If $|\kappa_v^{\times}/\kappa_v^{\times 2}| = 2^r$, then

$$|G_r(F)| = 2^{|\mathcal{X}_r(F/v)|}.$$

Proof. This follows directly from [1, Corollary 3.3] and Theorem 3.6.

4. Hyperelliptic function fields

A hyperelliptic function field F/K is the function field generated by two variables X, Y subject to the relation $Y^2 = f(X)$, for some square-free polynomial f over K.

In this section, we will apply the valuation-theoric description of the order of $G(F) := G_1(F)$ from the previous section in the case where F/K is a hyperelliptic function field where K carries a henselian \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation v such that κ_v is hereditarily pythagorean with $\kappa_v^{\times} = \kappa_v^{\times 2} \cup -\kappa_v^{\times 2}$, or equivalently, such that κ_v is hereditarily euclidean. Under this assumptions, it is a consequence of [1, Theorem 5.8.2] and [5, Corollary 4.6] that $p(F) \leq 3$ (even if F/K is not hyperelliptic). The particular case where $K = \mathbb{R}((t_1)) \dots ((t_n))$ was showed earlier in [3, Theorem 6.12]. Thus, in this case we have that

$$G(F) = \mathsf{S}_3(F)/\mathsf{S}_2(F).$$

Let F/K be a hyperelliptic function field. Let $f \in K[X]$ be a square-free polynomial such that $F = K(X)(\sqrt{f})$. Let $g = \lfloor \frac{\deg f - 1}{2} \rfloor$. The integer g is the genus of the function field F. See [14, Proposition 7.4.24]. In [5, Theorem 3.10], an upper bound for |G(F)| in terms of the order of the square class group of the root fields of the distinct nonreal irreducible factors of f, is given in the general case where K is an arbitrary hereditarily pythagorean field. In the case where Kis a field carrying a henselian \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation v with hereditarily euclidean residue field the bound becomes

$$|G(F)| \leqslant 2^{n(g+1)}$$

see Remark 4.1. We will then proceed to construct hyperelliptic function fields F/K such that the set $\mathcal{X}^1(F/v)$ satisfies $|\mathcal{X}^1(F/v)| \ge n(g+1)$, which then immediately yields the equalities $|G(F)| = 2^{n(g+1)}$ and $|\mathcal{X}^1(F/v)| = n(g+1)$. In particular the upper bound in [5, Theorem 3.10] is optimal.

4.1. **Remark.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let K be a field with a henselian \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation vand hereditarily euclidean residue field. Then K is a hereditarily pythagorean field, by [7, Proposition 3.5]. Let $f \in K[X]$ be a square-free polynomial and let $F = K(X)(\sqrt{f})$. Let K_1, \ldots, K_r be the root fields of the distinct nonreal irreducible factors of f. By [5, Theorem 3.10] we have that

$$|G(F)| \leqslant \prod_{i=1}^r |K_i^{\times}/K_i^{\times 2}|.$$

Thus $-1 \in K_i^{\times 2}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, by [6, III, Theorem 1]. Since K_i/K is a finite extension, there exist a henselian \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation v' on K_i such that $\mathcal{O}_{v'} \cap K = \mathcal{O}_v$ and $-1 \in k_{v'}^{\times 2}$. It is well-known that $|K_i^{\times}/K_i^{\times 2}| = 2^n |\kappa_{v'}^{\times}/\kappa_{v'}^{\times 2}|$. By [13, Chap. VII, (7.15)] we have that $\kappa_{v'}$ is quadratically closed, whence $|K_i^{\times}/K_i^{\times 2}| = 2^n$, for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $g \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\deg f = 2g + 1$ or 2g + 2. Then $r \leq g + 1$, hence

$$|G(F)| \leqslant 2^{n(g+1)}$$

It follows that the unique situation where the bound can be optimal is when $f \in K[X]$ is a square-free polynomial of degree 2(g+1) and has g+1 nonreal irreducible factors.

4.2. Lemma. Let K be a real field such that p(K) = 1. Let v be a valuation on K. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_v[X]$ be a monic quadratic irreducible polynomial such that K[X]/(f) is nonreal. Then there exist $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_v$ such that $f = (X - a)^2 + b^2$.

Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_v$ be such that $f = X^2 + \alpha X + \beta$. Thus, we can write $f = (X - \alpha/2)^2 + (\beta - \alpha^2/4)$. Since $K[X]/(f) = K(\sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4\beta})$ is nonreal, then $-(\alpha^2 - 4\beta) \in \mathsf{S}(K) = K^{\times 2}$, by [13, Chap. VIII, Lemma 1.4]. The statement follows considering $a = \alpha/2$ and $b = \sqrt{(4\beta - \alpha^2)/4}$.

4.3. Lemma. Assume that K carries a \mathbb{Z} -valuation v. Let $f \in K[X]$ be a nonconstant square-free polynomial of degree d, and let $F = K(X)(\sqrt{f})$. Then F is K-isomorphic to

$$K(X)(\sqrt{\alpha \cdot q_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot q_r}),$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{N}, q_1, \ldots, q_r \in \mathcal{O}_v[X]$ monic irreducible such that $d = \sum_{i=1}^r \deg q_i$, and where $\alpha = 1$ if d is odd and otherwise α is the leading coefficient of f.

Proof. Since $\operatorname{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_v) = K$, we can assume that $f \in \mathcal{O}_v[X]$. Let $d = \deg f$. Let $a_0, \ldots, a_d \in \mathcal{O}_v$ be such that $f = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i X^i$. Since F is the function field of $Y^2 = f(X)$, multiplying by $a_d^{2(d-1)}$, we have that $(a_d^{d-1}Y)^2 = a_d^{d-1}g(X)$, where $g = \sum_{i=0}^d b_i X^i$, where $b_d = a_d^d$ and $b_i = a_i a_d^{d-1}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq d-1$. Replacing $X' = a_d X$, and $Y' = a_d^{d-1}Y$, we have that F is K-isomorphic to $K(X')\left(\sqrt{a_d^{d-1}g(X')}\right)$. Write $g(X') = q_1(X') \ldots q_r(X')$, where $q_i(X') \in K[X']$ are monic irreducible polynomials, for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Since \mathcal{O}_v is a Unique Factorization Domain, by Gauss' Lemma, we may assume that $q_1(X'), \ldots, q_r(X') \in \mathcal{O}_v[X']$, which concludes the proof.

Let v be a valuation on a field K. By [8, Corollary 2.2.2], there exists a unique extension w of v to the rational function field K(X) such that w(X) = 0 and the residue $\overline{X} \in \kappa_w$ is transcendental over κ_v . A valuation w with these properties is called the *Gauss extension of* v to F with respect to X.

4.4. **Lemma.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ Assume that K is a field carrying a henselian \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation with hereditarily euclidean residue field. Let F/K be a regular function field of genus zero. Then

$$|G(F)| = \begin{cases} 2^n & \text{if } F \text{ is nonreal,} \\ 1 & \text{if } F \text{ is real.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We assume that F is nonreal. Then $F = K(X)(\sqrt{\alpha \cdot q})$, where q is a monic irreducible quadratic polynomial over K, by [12, Theorem 5.7.2, Theorem 5.7.3]. Then $q(X) = (X - a)^2 + b^2$, for some $a, b \in K$, by Lemma 4.2. Moreover $\alpha \in -K^{\times 2}$, because F is nonreal. Replacing X' = X - a, we have that F is isomorphic to $K(X)(\sqrt{-(X^2+1)})$. We prove that statement by induction on n. For n = 0, it follows from [5, Corollary 4.6] that G(F) is trivial. Let $n \ge 1$. Let $v_1 = \pi_1 \circ v$. Let w' be the Gauss extension of v_1 to K(X) with respect to X and let w be an extension of w' to F. Since κ_{v_1} is real, the polynomial $X^2 + 1$ is irreducible over κ_{v_1} . Then we have $\kappa_w = \kappa_{v_1}(\overline{X}) \left(\sqrt{-(\overline{X}^2 + 1)} \right)$, and $\mathcal{O}_w \in \mathcal{X}^1(F/v) \cap \Omega_1(F)$. It follows from [4, Corollary 3.6] that \mathcal{O}_w is the unique valuation ring in $\mathcal{X}^1(F/v) \cap \Omega_1(F)$, and hence every $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}^1(F/v)$ is a refinement of \mathcal{O}_w , by Remark 2.3. Let \overline{v} be the residual valuation of v modulo v_1 . Thus $|\mathcal{X}^1(F/v)| = 1 + |\{\mathcal{O} \in \Omega(\kappa_w) \mid \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}^1(\kappa_w/\overline{v})\}|$, whereby |G(F)| = $2|G(\kappa_w)|$, by Theorem 3.6. Note that \overline{v} is a henselian \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} -valuation on κ_{v_1} with hereditarily euclidean residue field. Thus, by the induction hypothesis $|G(\kappa_w)| =$ 2^{n-1} . Therefore $|G(F)| = 2^n$.

If F is real, then p(F) = 2 by [18, Theorem 3], whereby |G(F)| = 1.

4.5. **Example.** Let $g, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let F be the function field of the curve

$$Y^{2} = -\prod_{i=0}^{g} (X^{2} + t_{n}^{2i})$$

over $K_n := \mathbb{R}((t_1)) \dots ((t_n))$. We will show that $|G(F)| = 2^{n(g+1)}$. Let v_{t_n} be the t_n -adic valuation on K_n . Note that $\mathcal{O}_{v_{t_n}} = K_{n-1}[t_n]$. For $0 \leq i \leq g$, let v_i be the Gauss extension of v_{t_n} to $K_n(X)$ with respect to Xt_n^{-i} and let w_i be an extension of v_i to F.

We claim that $\kappa_{w_i} \simeq K_{n-1}(X)(\sqrt{-(X^2+1)})$, for all $0 \le i \le g$. Let $0 \le i \le g$, and let $Z := Xt_n^{-i}$. Hence $F = K_n(Z)(\sqrt{-g(Z)})$ since $K_n(X) = K_n(Z)$, where $g = (t_n^{2i}Z^2+1)(t_n^{2i-2}Z^2+1)\cdots(Z^2+1)\cdots(Z^2+t_n^{2(g-i)})$ is a square-free polynomial over K_n . Then $g \in \mathcal{O}_{v_i}^{\times}$ and $\kappa_{w_i} = K_{n-1}(\overline{Z})(\sqrt{-\overline{g}}) = K_{n-1}(\overline{Z})\left(\sqrt{-(\overline{Z}^2+1)}\right)$.

Let v be a henselian \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation on K_n . Let \overline{v} be the residual valuation of vmodulo v_{t_n} . Since $|\mathcal{X}^1(\kappa_{w_i}/\overline{v})| = |\{\mathcal{O} \in \Omega(F) \mid \mathcal{O} \subsetneq \mathcal{O}_{w_i} \text{ and } \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}^1(F/v)\}|$ by Remark 2.3 and $|\mathcal{X}^1(\kappa_{w_i}/\overline{v})| = n-1$, by Lemma 4.4, for every $0 \leq i \leq g$, we have that $|\mathcal{X}^1(F/v)| \geq (g+1) + (n-1)(g+1) = n(g+1)$. Therefore $|G(F)| \geq 2^{n(g+1)}$ by Theorem 3.6, whereby

 $|G(F)| = 2^{n(g+1)},$

by Remark 4.1.

Let F/K be a function field in one variable of genus zero, where K is any hereditarily pythagorean field, that is $F = K(X)(\sqrt{aX^2 + b})$, for some $a, b \in K$. S. Tikhonov and V.I. Yanchevskiĭ in [18, Theorem 3] showed that if F is real, then p(F) = 2. Hence, if we assume that K carries a henselian \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation with hereditarily euclidean residue field, for some n > 0, then $|G(F)| = 2^n$, implies that F is a nonreal field of level two, by Lemma 4.4. This motivates the following question.

4.6. Question. Let $n, g \in \mathbb{N}$. Let K be a field carrying a henselian \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation with hereditarily euclidean residue field. Let F/K be a hyperelliptic function field of genus g. Does the equality $|G(F)| = 2^{n(g+1)}$ imply that F is a nonreal field ?

We show in Corollary 4.9 that this question has an affirmative answer in the case where n = 1.

4.7. **Proposition.** Let $g \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that K carries a henselian \mathbb{Z} -valuation vwith κ_v hereditarily pythagorean. Let $f \in K[X]$ be a square-free polynomial of degree 2g + 2 with all roots in $K(\sqrt{-1}) \setminus K$. Set $F = K(X)(\sqrt{f})$. Let w be a residually transcendental extension of v to F. Assume that F is real. Then one of the following conditions holds:

- (a) κ_w is nonreal with $s(\kappa_w) = 1$.
- (b) κ_w/κ_v is ruled.
- (c) $\kappa_w = \kappa_v(X)(\sqrt{h})$ is a real field with $h \in \kappa_v[X]$ a square-free polynomial with all roots in $\kappa_v(\sqrt{-1}) \smallsetminus \kappa_v$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 and by Lemma 4.3 we may choose irreducible polynomials $q_i = (X - a_i)^2 + b_i^2$ with $a_i, b_i \in \mathcal{O}_v$, and $\alpha \in K^{\times}$ such that $f = \alpha \cdot q_0 \cdots q_g$. Since F is real, $\alpha \notin -K^{\times 2}$. We set $Y_i = (X - a_i)b_i^{-1}$.

Let w be a residually transcendental extension of v to F and let $w_0 = w|_{K(X)}$. As [F : K(X)] = 2 we have that $[\kappa_w : \kappa_{w_0}] \leq 2$. Let ℓ be the relative algebraic closure of κ_v in κ_w . If ℓ is nonreal, since κ_v is a hereditarily pythagorean, we have that $-1 \in \kappa_w^{\times 2}$ (case (a)).

Thus we assume now that ℓ is real. If $\kappa_w = \kappa_{w_0}$, since w is a residually transcendental extension of v, by Proposition 2.9 we have that $\kappa_w = \kappa_{w_0} = \ell(\overline{T})$, for some $T \in \mathcal{O}_{w_0}^{\times}$ with \overline{T} transcendental over κ_v (case (b)). Now assume that $[\kappa_w : \kappa_{w_0}] = 2$ and ℓ is real. Then $\Gamma_w = \Gamma_{w_0}$. Let $f' = q_0 \cdots q_g$. Since $f \in F^{\times 2}$, we have that $w_0(f) \in 2\Gamma_{w_0}$ and since $f' \in S_2(K(X))$ we have $w_0(f') \in 2\Gamma_{w_0}$, by [3, Lemma 4.1]. Hence $w_0(\alpha) \in 2\Gamma_{w_0}$. Consider $i \in \{0, \ldots, g\}$. Set

$$Z_{i} = \begin{cases} 1 + Y_{i}^{2} & \text{if } w(Y_{i}) \ge 0, \\ 1 + Y_{i}^{-2} & \text{if } w(Y_{i}) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that, since ℓ is real, $Z_i \in \mathcal{O}_w^{\times}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq g$. Thus

$$\overline{Z_i} = \begin{cases} \frac{1+\overline{Y_i}^2}{1} & \text{if } w(Y_i) = 0, \\ \frac{1}{1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let us first assume that $v(\alpha) \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$. This implies that w_0 is a ramified extension of v. Hence \overline{Y}_i is algebraic over κ_v for all $i \in \{0, \ldots, g\}$, because otherwise w_0 must be a Gauss extension of v with respect to some Y_i , which would contradict the ramification. Since ℓ is pythagorean, we have $\overline{Z}_i \in \ell^{\times 2} \subseteq \kappa_w^{\times 2}$ because ℓ is the relative algebraic closure of κ_v in κ_w . By Proposition [4, Lemma 2.2] we have that $\kappa_w = \kappa_{w_0}(\sqrt{\overline{u}})$, for any $u \in \alpha f'K(X)^{\times 2}$ with $w_0(u) = 0$. Since $\prod_{i=0}^g \overline{Z}_i \in \kappa_{w_0}^{\times 2}$, we have that $\kappa_w = \kappa_{w_0}\left(\sqrt{\alpha h^2}\right)$ for any $h \in K(X)^{\times}$ such that $w_0(\alpha h^2) = 0$. Let $K' = K(\sqrt{\alpha})$. Let w'_0 be an extension of w_0 to K'(X) and let $v' = w'_0|_{K'}$. We have that $\kappa_{w'_0} = \kappa_{w_0}\left(\sqrt{\alpha h^2}\right)$, because $K'(X) = K(X)(\sqrt{\alpha})$ and $w_0(\alpha h^2)$. Furthermore, w'_0 is a residually transcendental extension of v' to K'(X). Therefore κ_w/κ_v is ruled, by Proposition 2.9 (case (b)).

Let us assume now that $v(\alpha) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Let J be the set of indices $i \in \{0, \ldots, g\}$ such that $w(Y_i) = 0$ and \overline{Y}_i is transcendental over κ_v . Assume first that $J = \emptyset$. Then $\overline{Z_i} \in \kappa_w$ is algebraic over ℓ for all $0 \leq i \leq g$, and since ℓ is pythagorean, we have that $\kappa_w = \kappa_{w_0}(\sqrt{\alpha})$. Therefore κ_w/κ_v is ruled (case (b)). Now we assume that $J \neq \emptyset$. Without loss of generality we put $J = \{0, \ldots, s\}$, for some $s \leq g$. For $i \in J$, let v_i be the Gauss extension of v to K(X) with respect to Y_i . By [8, Corollary 2.2.2] we have that $w_0 = v_0 = \cdots = v_s$, $\kappa_{w_0} = \kappa_v(\overline{Y_0})$ and $\Gamma_{w_0} = \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $w_0(\alpha) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, and we may consider some $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_v^{\times}$ such that $\alpha \in \beta K^{\times 2}$. Let $j \in J$. We have $Y_j^2 + 1 \in \mathcal{O}_{w_0}^{\times}$. We set $c_j = b_0 b_j^{-1}, d_j = (a_0 - a_j) b_j^{-1}$. Since $Y_j = c_i Y_0 + d_j$, we have $Y_j^2 + 1 = c_j^2 Y_0^2 + 2c_j d_j Y_0 + d_j^2 + 1$, and hence $f \in h(Y_0) \cdot K^{\times 2}$, where

$$h(Y_0) = \beta(Y_0^2 + 1) \cdots (c_s^2 Y_0^2 + 2d_s c_s Y_0 + d_s^2 + 1) \prod_{i=s+1}^g Z_i$$

Therefore $F = K(Y_0)(\sqrt{h(Y_0)})$. Since v is henselian and $\beta \notin -K^{\times 2}$, we have $\overline{\beta} \notin -\kappa_v^{\times 2}$. Finally, since $h \in \mathcal{O}_{w_0}^{\times}$, we have that $h \in fK(Y_0)^{\times 2} \cap \mathcal{O}_{w_0}^{\times}$, and we can conclude that $\kappa_w = \kappa_v(\overline{Y_0})\left(\sqrt{\overline{h(Y_0)}}\right)$ is a real field, where $\overline{h} \in \kappa_v[\overline{Y_0}]$ is a polynomial with all roots in $\kappa_v(\sqrt{-1}) \smallsetminus \kappa_v$ by Proposition [4, Lemma 2.2] (case (c)).

4.8. Corollary. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Assume that K carries a henselian \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation v such that κ_v is hereditarily euclidean. Let $f \in K[X]$ be a nonconstant square-free polynomial with all roots in $K(\sqrt{-1}) \setminus K$. We set $F = K(X)(\sqrt{f})$. Assume that F is real. Then p(F) = 2.

Proof. We prove the statement by induction on n. Note that by Corollary 3.7 we have that p(F) = 2 if and only if $\mathcal{X}^1(F/v)$ is empty. Assume n = 1. Let wbe a residually transcendental extension of v. It follows by Proposition 4.7 that either $s(\kappa_w) = 1$ or κ_w is real. Hence $\mathcal{X}^1(F/v) = \emptyset$ and p(F) = 2. Assume now that n > 1. We show that $\mathcal{X}^1(F/v)$ is empty. Let $v_1 = \pi_1 \circ v$. Let \overline{v} be the residual valuation of v modulo v_1 . Then \overline{v} is a henselian \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} -valuation on κ_{v_1} such that $\kappa_v = \kappa_{\overline{v}}$. It follows by induction hypothesis and by Proposition 4.7 that all the residually transcendental extensions w of v_1 to F satisfy $p'(\kappa_w) = 2$. If we had some $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}^1(F/v)$, then we would obtain that the residue field of the rank-one coarsening \mathcal{O}' of \mathcal{O} would have $p'(\kappa_{\mathcal{O}'}) > 2$ by Proposition 3.2, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\mathcal{X}^1(F/v) = \emptyset$, whereby p(F) = 2 by Theorem 3.6. \Box

Note that, if $f \in K[X]$ is assumed to be monic in Corollary 4.8, then F/K(X) is a totally positive quadratic extension and hence the above result follows by [5, Corollary 4.10].

4.9. Corollary. Let $g \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that K carries a henselian \mathbb{Z} -valuation v with hereditarily euclidean residue field. Let F/K be a hyperelliptic function field of genus g. Let $f \in K[X]$ be a square-free polynomial such that $F = K(X)(\sqrt{f})$. If $|G(F)| = 2^{g+1}$, then F is nonreal.

Proof. It follows by Proposition 4.1 and by Lemma 4.2 that we may choose irreducible polynomials $q_i = (X - a_i)^2 + b_i^2$, with $a_i, b_i \in \mathcal{O}_v$, and $\alpha \in K^{\times}$ such that $f = \alpha \cdot q_0 \cdots q_g$. For $i \in \{0, \ldots, g\}$ let $Y_i := b_i^{-1}(X - a_i)$. If $\alpha \notin -K^{\times 2}$, then p(F) = 2, by Corollary 4.8. Therefore, under the assumption that $|G(F)| = 2^{g+1}$, we have that $\alpha \in -K^{\times 2}$. Since $S_2(F)$ is a group, there exists $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in K(X)$ such that $F = K(X)(\sqrt{-(\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2)})$, that is, F is nonreal.

4.10. Question. Let $n, g \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that K carries a henselian \mathbb{Z}^n -valuation with hereditarily euclidean residue field. Let F/K be a function field in one variable of genus $g \in \mathbb{N}$. Is $|G(F)| \leq 2^{n(g+1)}$ for every function field in one variable F/K of genus g?

Acknowledgments. I thank Marco Zaninelli, Parul Gupta and Nicolas Daans for useful conversation on these topics. I thank my thesis advisors David Grimm and Karim Johannes Becher.

This work was supported by CONICYT-PFCHA/Doctorado Nacional/2017folio 21170477, and by Universidad de Santiago de Chile (Proyecto DICYT, código 041933G), by the FWO Odysseus Programme (project G0E6114N), and by the Bijzonder Onderzoeksfonds (BOF), Universiteit Antwerpen, (project BOF-DOCPRO4, 2533).

References

[1] K.J. Becher, N. Daans, D. Grimm, G. Manzano-Flores, M. Zaninelli. *The Pythagoras number* of a rational function field in two variables. https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.11425 (2023).

- [2] K.J. Becher, D. Grimm, Nonsplit conics in the reduction of an arithmetic curve, https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.11855.
- [3] K.J. Becher, D. Grimm, and J. Van Geel, Sums of squares in algebraic function fields over a complete discretely valued field, Pacific J. of Math 267 (2014), 257–276.
- [4] K.J. Becher, P. Gupta, Ruled residue theorem for function fields of conics. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 225(06) (2021) 106638.
- [5] K.J. Becher and J. Van Geel, Sums of squares in function fields of hyperelliptic curves, Mathemastische Zeitschrift, 261 (4): (2009) 829 - 844.
- [6] Becker, E. Hereditarily pythagorean fields and orderings of higher level. Monografias de Matemática Vol. 29. Instituto de matemática pura e aplicada, Rio de Janeiro (1978).
- [7] L. Bröcker, Characterization of fans and hereditarily pythagorean fields. Math. Z. 152, (1976), 149-163.
- [8] A.J. Engler, A. Prestel, Valued fields, Springer-Verlag, 2005.
- D. Grimm, On an isotropy criterion for quadratic forms over function fields of curves over non-dyadic complete discrete valuation rings. Algebra, logic and number theory, 95–103, Banach Center Publ., 108, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, (2016).
- [10] D. Harbater, J. Hartmann, and D. Krashen, Applications of patching to quadratic forms and central simple algebras, Inventiones Mathematicae 178 (2009), 231-269.
- [11] N. Jacobson, Lectures in Abstract Algebra, III. Theory of Fields and Galois Theory. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [12] H. Koch. Number theory: Algebraic numbers and functions. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 2000.
- [13] T.Y. Lam, Introduction to quadratic forms over fields, American Mathematical Society, 2005.
- [14] Q. Liu, Algebraic Geometry and Arithmetic curves, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [15] J. Ohm, The ruled residue theorem for simple transcendental extensions of valued fields, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 89 (1983), no. 1, 16–18.
- [16] P. Ribenboim, Le théorème d'approximation pour les valuations de krull. Math. Z. 68, 1-18 (1957).
- [17] S.V. Tikhonov, J. Van Geel, V.I Yanchevskii, Pythagoras number of function fields of hyperelliptic curves with good reduction, Manuscripta Math. 119 (2006), 305-322.
- [18] S.V Tikhonov, V.I Yanchevskii, Pythagoras number of function fields of conics over hereditarily pythagorean fields. Dokl. Nats. Akad. Nauk Belarusi 47, 5-8 (2003).
- [19] S. Warner, *Topological fields*. Mathematics studies **157**, North Holland, Amsterdam (1989).
- [20] E. Witt, Zerlegung reeller algebraischer Funktionen in Quadrate. Schiefkörper über reellem Funktionenkörper. J. Reine Angew. math 171 (1934), 4-11.

Universidad de Santiago de Chile, Facultad de Ciencias, Avenida Libertador Bernardo O'Higgins nº 3363, Estación Central, Santiago, Chile.

Email address: gonzalo.manzano@usach.cl