

# REALIZING FINITE GROUPS AS AUTOMIZERS

SYLVIA BAYARD AND JUSTIN LYND

**ABSTRACT.** It is shown that any finite group  $A$  is realizable as the automizer in a finite perfect group  $G$  of an abelian subgroup whose conjugates generate  $G$ . The construction uses techniques from fusion systems on arbitrary finite groups, most notably certain realization results for fusion systems of the type studied originally by Park.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Not every finite group is realizable as  $\text{Aut}(U)$  for some finite group  $U$ . For example, no nontrivial cyclic group of odd order is the automorphism group of a group. We study here the realization of finite groups by automizers of subgroups of finite groups. That is, given a finite group  $A$ , we study when it is possible to find a finite group  $G$  and a subgroup  $U \leq G$  such that  $A \cong \text{Aut}_G(U) = N_G(U)/C_G(U)$ . As it stands, the answer to this question is “always possible” for trivial reasons: choose a faithful action of  $A$  on an elementary abelian  $p$ -group  $U$  (for some prime  $p$ ), and take for  $G$  the semidirect product of  $U$  by  $A$ . In this case,  $U$  is normal in  $G$ . Our main result shows that it is possible to realize  $A$  as  $\text{Aut}_G(U)$  where  $U$  is very far from being normal.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For each finite group  $A$ , there exist a finite perfect group  $G$  and a homocyclic abelian subgroup  $U$  of  $G$  such that  $\langle U^G \rangle = G$  and  $\text{Aut}_G(U) \cong A$ .*

Here, we write  $\langle U^G \rangle$  for the normal closure of  $U$  in  $G$ , the subgroup of  $G$  generated by the  $G$ -conjugates of  $U$ . A group  $G$  is perfect if it coincides with its commutator subgroup. A homocyclic abelian group is a direct product of isomorphic cyclic groups.

We do not know whether more restrictions can be placed on  $G$ , up to and including whether  $G$  can be taken to be simple. Likewise, we do not know if whether more restrictions can be placed on  $U$ , such as requiring  $U$  to be an elementary abelian  $p$ -group for some prime  $p$ .

Ultimately, the group  $G$  is constructed fairly explicitly as the commutator subgroup of a wreath product of the form  $(U \rtimes A) \wr \Sigma_n$ , but the embedding of  $U$  in  $G$  is not an obvious one. The method for constructing  $G$  and the embedding of  $U$  relies on certain basic constructions in fusion systems on arbitrary finite groups. A fusion system on a finite group  $S$  (not necessarily a  $p$ -group) is a category with objects the subgroups of  $S$ , and with morphism sets consisting of injective homomorphisms between subgroups, subject to two weak axioms which we recall in Section 2. The standard example is the fusion system  $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$  of the group  $G$  on the finite subgroup  $S$  in which the morphisms are the  $G$ -conjugation homomorphisms between subgroups of  $S$ . The most important ingredient in the construction here is a result of Sejong Park realizing fusion on finite  $p$ -groups [Par10, Par16], but which we require in the more general setting of fusion systems on finite groups, where it is due to Warraich [War19].

**Theorem 1.2** ([War19, Section 4], c.f. [Par16, Theorem 1.1]). *For each fusion system  $\mathcal{F}$  on a finite group  $S$ , there is a finite group  $G$  containing  $S$  as a subgroup and such that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ .*

---

*Date:* March 29, 2022.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20D45, Secondary 20D20, 20B25.

J.L. was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-1902152.

Ünlü and Yalçın also considered fusion systems on finite groups with an eye on Park's result [UYn12, Section 5], although they did not prove Theorem 1.2. For the convenience of the reader, we provide a sketch of proof of Theorem 1.2 which is modeled closely on Park's proof for  $S$  a  $p$ -group. For example, the  $G$  of Theorem 1.2 is the group of automorphisms as a right  $S$ -set of a certain  $S$ - $S$  biset associated with  $\mathcal{F}$ , similarly as in [Par10] and [Par16].

In order to use Theorem 1.2 to prove Theorem 1.1, we need to be able to construct a suitable finite group  $S$  and fusion system  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $S$ . One consequence of the way this fusion system is built is the following result.

**Theorem 1.3.** *For each finite group  $A$ , there are a finite group  $S$ , a homocyclic abelian subgroup  $U$  of  $S$ , and a fusion system  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $S$  such that  $\text{foc}(\mathcal{F}) = S$ ,  $Q(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ , and  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(U) \cong A$ .*

The definition of the focal subgroup  $\text{foc}(\mathcal{F})$  of an arbitrary fusion system is given in Section 2 and is identical to the definition for fusion systems on  $p$ -groups. The definition of the subgroup  $Q(\mathcal{F})$  of  $S$ , which is a sort of replacement for  $O_p(\mathcal{F})$  in a fusion system over an arbitrary finite group when compared with a saturated fusion system over a  $p$ -group, is also given there.

When  $A$  is a  $p$ -group for some prime  $p$ ,  $S$  is also a  $p$ -group in the construction we present. But we do not know whether it is possible to choose  $\mathcal{F}$  to be a fusion system on a  $p$ -group independently of  $A$  in Theorem 1.3, much less whether  $\mathcal{F}$  can be taken to be a *saturated* fusion system on a  $p$ -group.

A MathOverflow question of Peter Mueller asks [Mue20]: is every finite group of the form  $N_{\Sigma_n}(U)/U$  for a subgroup  $U$  of some finite symmetric group  $\Sigma_n$ ? This work arose out of an attempt to say something about that question.

Here is a brief outline of the paper and some remarks on notation. In Section 2 we give some background on fusion systems and semicharacteristic bisets and give a definition of  $Q(\mathcal{F})$ . We also write down a proof of the existence of semicharacteristic bisets for fusion systems on arbitrary finite groups and provide a discussion of Theorem 1.2. In Section 3, we prove a slightly more detailed version of Theorem 1.3 and combine it with Theorem 1.2 to prove Theorem 1.1. We use left-handed notation for conjugation  $x \mapsto {}^g x = gxg^{-1}$ . Our iterated commutators are right-associated:  $[X, Y, Z] = [X, [Y, Z]]$ , etc. We sometimes write  $G'$  for the commutator subgroup of a group  $G$ .

## 2. FUSION SYSTEMS ON FINITE GROUPS, SEMICHAARACTERISTIC BISETS, AND THE PARK EMBEDDING

### 2.1. Fusion systems.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $S$  be a finite group. A *fusion system* on  $S$  is a category  $\mathcal{F}$  with objects the set of subgroups of  $S$ , subject to the following two axioms: for all  $P, Q \leq S$ ,

- (1)  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$  consists of a set of injective homomorphisms from  $P$  to  $Q$ , including all such morphisms induced by  $S$ -conjugation.
- (2) Each  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$  is the composite of an  $\mathcal{F}$ -isomorphism from  $P$  to  $\varphi(P)$  and the inclusion from  $\varphi(P)$  to  $Q$ .

Axiom (1) implies that any inclusion  $\iota_P^Q$  of subgroups  $P \leq Q$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{F}$  from  $P$  to  $Q$  (being conjugation by  $1 \in S$ ). Therefore, a morphism can be restricted to any subgroup of the source. Axiom (2) then implies for example that the target of any morphism can be restricted to a subgroup containing the image.

If  $G$  is a group and  $S$  is a finite subgroup of  $G$ , there is a fusion system  $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$  of  $G$  on  $S$  with morphism sets  $\text{Hom}_G(P, Q) = \{c_g: t \mapsto {}^g t \mid {}^g P \leq Q\}$  consisting of the  $G$ -conjugation homomorphisms mapping  $P$  into  $Q$ . This is the standard example of a fusion system. Theorem 1.2 shows that indeed every fusion system on  $S$  is of this form, and  $G$  can be taken finite.

The notation  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$  is short for  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, P)$  in a fusion system  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $S$ . When  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$  for some group  $G$  and  $P \leq S$ , then  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P) = \text{Aut}_G(P)$  from the definitions.

We introduce now several properties of subgroups and morphisms in a fusion system that we will need, many of which are identical to their counterparts for fusion systems on  $p$ -groups [AKO11, Cra11].

**Definition 2.2** (Generation of fusion systems). Let  $S$  be a finite group and let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a set of injective homomorphisms between subgroups of  $S$ . The *fusion system on  $S$  generated by  $\mathfrak{X}$* , denoted  $\langle \mathfrak{X} \rangle_S$ , is the intersection of the fusion systems on  $S$  containing  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

If  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  are two fusion systems on the finite group  $S$ , then the category  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cap \mathcal{F}_2$  with objects the subgroups of  $S$  and with morphism sets  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_1 \cap \mathcal{F}_2}(P, Q) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_1}(P, Q) \cap \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_2}(P, Q)$  is again a fusion system on  $S$ . Thus, the definition makes sense. As in the case of fusion systems on finite  $p$ -groups, it is easy to see that an injective group homomorphism is in  $\langle \mathfrak{X} \rangle_S$  if and only if it can be written as a composition of restrictions of homomorphisms in  $\text{Inn}(S) \cup \mathfrak{X}$ .

**Definition 2.3** (Direct products). Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be finite groups, and let  $\mathcal{F}_i$  be a fusion system on  $S_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . The direct product  $\mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2$  is the fusion system over  $S_1 \times S_2$  generated by the homomorphisms  $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2): P_1 \times P_2 \rightarrow S_1 \times S_2$ , where  $\varphi_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_i}(P_i, S_i)$ .

We also need the definition of the focal subgroup of a fusion system.

**Definition 2.4** (The focal subgroup). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a fusion system on the finite group  $S$ . The *focal subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}$*  is the subgroup of  $S$  generated by elements of the form  $[\varphi, s] := \varphi(s)s^{-1}$ , where  $s \in S$  and  $\varphi: \langle s \rangle \rightarrow S$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

*Remark 2.5.* By the Focal Subgroup Theorem [Gor80, 7.3.4], if  $G$  is a finite group and  $S$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then  $\text{foc}(\mathcal{F}_S(G)) = S \cap [G, G]$ . When  $S$  is an arbitrary subgroup of  $G$ , there is the obvious inclusion  $\text{foc}(\mathcal{F}_S(G)) \leq S \cap [G, G]$  since each generating element  $\varphi(s)s^{-1} \in S$  is a commutator  $gs g^{-1}s^{-1} = [g, s]$  for some  $g \in G$ , but in general the reverse inclusion need not hold.

## 2.2. Nonextendable morphisms and the subgroup $Q(\mathcal{F})$ .

**Definition 2.6** (Nonextendable morphisms). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a fusion system on the finite group  $S$ , and let  $P, Q \leq S$ . A morphism  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$  is said to be *nonextendable* if it does not extend to a morphism defined on any subgroup of  $S$  properly containing  $P$ . That is, whenever  $P \leq R \leq S$  and  $\tilde{\varphi} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(R, S)$  is such that  $\iota_Q^S \circ \varphi = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \iota_P^R$ , then  $R = P$ .

**Definition 2.7.** For a fusion system  $\mathcal{F}$  on a finite group  $S$ , define  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{F})$  to be the set of all subgroups  $Q$  of  $S$  for which there is a nonextendable morphism  $\varphi: Q \rightarrow S$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , and let  $Q(\mathcal{F})$  be the intersection of the family  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{F})$ .

The relevance of the subgroup  $Q(\mathcal{F})$  will be seen later in Lemma 2.14. By the same proof as for fusion systems on  $p$ -groups, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a fusion system on a finite group  $S$ , there is a unique largest subgroup  $N$  of  $S$  having the property that each morphism  $\varphi: P \rightarrow Q$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  extends to a morphism  $\tilde{\varphi}: PN \rightarrow QN$  with  $\tilde{\varphi}|_N(N) = N$ , which we might denote by  $O_S(\mathcal{F})$ . (If  $S$  is a  $p$ -group, then this is the largest normal  $p$ -subgroup  $O_p(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ .) It follows from the definitions that  $O_S(\mathcal{F})$  is a subgroup of each member of  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{F})$ , and so  $O_S(\mathcal{F}) \leq Q(\mathcal{F})$ . Thus, the requirement of  $Q(\mathcal{F}) = 1$  in Theorem 1.3 is stronger than a requirement of  $O_S(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ .

*Remark 2.8.* The direct product  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(S) \times \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)$  acts on the set of pairs  $(Q, \varphi)$  consisting of a subgroup  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{F})$  and a nonextendable morphism  $\varphi: Q \rightarrow S$  via  $(\alpha, \beta) \cdot (Q, \varphi) = (\alpha(Q), \beta\varphi\alpha^{-1})$ . In particular,  $Q(\mathcal{F})$  is  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)$ -invariant.

**2.3. Semicharacteristic bisets.** For a finite group  $S$ , an  $S$ - $S$ -biset  $X$  is a set with left and right  $S$ -action such that  $(ux)v = u(xv)$  for all  $u, v \in S$ ,  $x \in X$ . An  $S$ - $S$ -biset can also be viewed as an  $(S \times S)$ -set via  $(u, v) \cdot x = uxv^{-1}$ . For a subgroup  $Q \leq S$  and a homomorphism  $\varphi: Q \rightarrow S$ , let

$$S \times_{(Q, \varphi)} S = (S \times S) / \sim$$

where  $(xu, y) \sim (x, \varphi(u)y)$  for  $x, y \in S$ ,  $u \in Q$ , and let  $\langle x, y \rangle$  be the equivalence class of  $(x, y)$ . The group action is defined for  $t \in S$  by  $t \langle x, y \rangle = \langle tx, y \rangle$  and  $\langle x, y \rangle t = \langle x, yt \rangle$ . Importantly,  $S \times_{(Q, \varphi)} S$  is also isomorphic to  $(S \times S) / \Delta(Q, \varphi)$  as  $S \times S$ -sets, where  $\Delta(Q, \varphi) := \{(u, \varphi(u)) : u \in Q\}$ . We refer to  $\Delta(Q, \varphi)$  as a *twisted diagonal subgroup*.

**Definition 2.9** (cf. [Par16, Definition 1.2]). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a fusion system on a finite group  $S$ . A *left semicharacteristic biset* for  $\mathcal{F}$  is a finite  $S$ - $S$ -biset  $X$  satisfying the following properties.

- $X$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -generated, i.e., every transitive subbiset of  $X$  is of the form  $S \times_{(Q, \varphi)} S$  for some  $Q \leq S$  and some  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, S)$
- $X$  is *left  $\mathcal{F}$ -stable*, i.e.,  ${}_Q X \cong {}_{\varphi} X$  as  $Q$ - $S$ -bisets for every  $Q \leq S$  and every  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, S)$ , where  ${}_{\varphi} X$  is the  $Q$ - $S$  biset whose left action is induced by  $\varphi$ .

In [Par16], Park showed that each fusion system over a  $p$ -group has a semicharacteristic biset. Then Warraich [War19] extended this to fusion systems on arbitrary finite groups. We give a proof here for the convenience of the reader.

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a fusion system on the finite group  $S$ . Then there exists a left semi-characteristic biset  $X$  for  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $X$  can be chosen to include at least one  $S$ - $S$  orbit of the form  $S \times_{(S, \alpha)} S$  for each  $[\alpha] \in \text{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)$ .*

*Proof.* The construction of  $X$  is the same as that of [BLO03], similarly as in [Par16] and does not depend on  $S$  being a  $p$ -group. We give the details for the convenience of the reader.

Let  $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}_S(S)$  be the product fusion system. Observe that the set of subgroups of the form  $\Delta(P, \varphi)$  with  $P \leq S$  and  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, S)$  is closed under  $\mathcal{F}'$ -conjugacy and taking subgroups. For example,  $(\psi, c_s) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}'}(\Delta(P, S), S \times S)$  sends  $\Delta(P, S)$  to  $\Delta(\psi(P), c_s \varphi \psi^{-1})$ .

Let

$$X_0 = \sum_{[\alpha] \in \text{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)} S \times_{(S, \alpha)} S,$$

where the sum denotes disjoint union. This is a  $\mathcal{F}$ -generated virtual  $S$ - $S$  biset with nonnegative rational coefficients having the property that  $|X_0^{\Delta(S, \beta)}| = |N_{S \times S}(\Delta(S, \text{id})) / \Delta(S, \text{id})| = |Z(S)|$  for all  $\beta \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)$ . Thus, the fixed point sizes in  $X_0$  are constant on  $\mathcal{F}'$ -conjugacy classes of twisted diagonal subgroups  $\Delta(S, \beta)$  with  $\beta \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(S)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a set of subgroups of  $S \times S$  of the form  $\Delta(P, \varphi)$  with  $\varphi: P \rightarrow S$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mathcal{H}$  is closed under  $\mathcal{F}'$ -conjugacy and taking subgroups. Assume given inductively an  $\mathcal{F}$ -generated virtual  $S$ - $S$  biset  $X_0$  with nonnegative rational coefficients such that fixed point sizes on  $X_0$  are constant on  $\mathcal{F}'$ -conjugacy classes of twisted diagonal subgroups which are not in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be an  $\mathcal{F}'$ -conjugacy class in  $\mathcal{H}$  whose members are maximal under inclusion among the subgroups in  $\mathcal{H}$ , and let  $\Delta(P, \varphi) \in \mathcal{P}$  be a subgroup for which the fixed point set  $X_0^{\Delta(P, \varphi)}$  has largest size (among the elements of  $\mathcal{P}$ ). Define

$$X_1 = X_0 + \sum_{\Delta} \frac{|X_0^{\Delta(P, \varphi)}| - |X_0^{\Delta}|}{|N_{S \times S}(\Delta) / \Delta|} (S \times S) / \Delta$$

where the sum runs over a set of representatives  $\Delta$  for the subgroups in  $\mathcal{P}$  up to  $S \times S$ -conjugacy. Thus,  $X_1$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -generated virtual  $S$ - $S$  biset with nonnegative rational coefficients. A subgroup  $D \notin \mathcal{H} - \mathcal{P}$  has a fixed point on  $(S \times S) / \Delta$  if and only if  $D$  is  $S \times S$ -conjugate to some  $\Delta$ , and in this case the number of

such fixed points is  $|N_{S \times S}(\Delta)/\Delta|$ . So by construction,  $|X_1^D| = |X_0^D|$  for each subgroup  $D \leq S \times S$  which is not in  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $|X_1^D| = |X_0^{\Delta(P, \varphi)}|$  for each  $D \in \mathcal{P}$ . In particular, fixed point sizes on  $X_1$  are constant on  $\mathcal{F}'$ -conjugacy classes of twisted diagonal subgroups which are not in  $\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{P}$ .

By induction there is thus an  $\mathcal{F}$ -generated virtual  $S$ - $S$  biset  $X_{\mathbb{Q}}$  with nonnegative rational coefficients such that fixed point sizes are constant on  $\mathcal{F}'$ -conjugacy classes of twisted diagonal subgroups. Let  $m$  be a positive integer such that  $X := mX_{\mathbb{Q}}$  has integer coefficients. Then  $X$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -generated  $S$ - $S$  biset with the same property.

It remains to show that  $X$  is left  $\mathcal{F}$ -stable. Let  $\varphi: Q \rightarrow S$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{F}$ , and let  $D \leq Q \times S$  be a subgroup. Then either  $D$  and  $(\varphi, \text{id})(D)$  are not twisted diagonal subgroups in which case they have no fixed points on  $X$ , or  $|(QX)^D| = |X^D| = |X^{(\varphi, \text{id})(D)}| = |(\varphi X)^D|$  by construction of  $X$ . This shows the fixed point sizes on  $QX$  and on  ${}_{\varphi}X$  are equal for any subgroup of  $Q \times S$ . So  $QX \cong {}_{\varphi}X$  as  $Q \times S$ -sets. ■

The inductive nature of the argument in the proof of Theorem 2.10 sometimes makes it difficult to understand precisely which orbits of the form  $S \times_{(Q, \varphi)} S$  actually occur in a semicharacteristic biset. The following lemma gives a sufficient condition on a pair  $(Q, \varphi)$  which forces the inclusion of the corresponding orbit.

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $X$  be a left semicharacteristic biset for  $\mathcal{F}$  containing an orbit of the form  $S \times_{(S, \text{id})} S$ . If  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, S)$  is nonextendable, then  $X$  contains an orbit isomorphic to  $S \times_{(P, \varphi)} S$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Delta(P, \text{id}) \leq \Delta(S, \text{id})$  and  $\Delta(S, \text{id})$  fixes a point in  $S \times_{\Delta(S, \text{id})} S$ ,  $\Delta(P, \text{id})$  fixes a point in  $X$ . So  $\Delta(P, \varphi)$  fixes a point, say  $x \in X$ , because  $X$  is left  $\mathcal{F}$ -stable. Let its orbit be isomorphic to  $(S \times S)/\Delta(Q, \gamma)$ , and identify  $x$  with the coset  $(x_1, x_2)\Delta(Q, \gamma)$ . The stabilizer of  $x$  is then  $\Delta(Q^{x_1}, c_{x_2}\gamma c_{x_1}^{-1})$ , so  $\Delta(P, \varphi) \leq \Delta(Q^{x_1}, c_{x_2}\gamma c_{x_1}^{-1})$ . This means that  $\varphi$  extends to the morphism  $c_{x_2}\gamma c_{x_1}^{-1}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  defined on  $Q^{x_1}$ . Since  $\varphi$  is nonextendable,  $P = Q^{x_1}$  and  $\varphi = c_{x_2}\gamma c_{x_1}^{-1}$ . Thus,  $X$  contains the  $S$ - $S$  orbit  $S \times_{(Q, \gamma)} S \cong S \times_{(P, \varphi)} S$ . ■

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^k S \times_{(Q_i, \varphi_i)} S$  be a left semicharacteristic biset for a fusion system  $\mathcal{F}$  on a finite group  $S$ . Then*

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^k \bigcap_{s \in S} {}^s Q_i \leq Q(\mathcal{F}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{Q}(X) = \{{}^s Q_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, s \in S\}$  and  $Q(X) = \bigcap \mathcal{Q}(X)$  for short. Thus, we must show  $Q(X) \leq Q(\mathcal{F})$ . By Lemma 2.11, for each nonextendable morphism  $\varphi: Q \rightarrow S$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ , there is some point of  $X$  with stabilizer  $\Delta(Q, \varphi)$  in  $S \times S$ . So for each  $s \in S$ , there is some point in  $X$  with stabilizer  $\Delta({}^s Q, c_s \varphi c_s^{-1})$  and  $c_s \varphi c_s^{-1}$  is nonextendable by Remark 2.8. This shows  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{Q}(X)$ , so  $Q(X) \leq Q(\mathcal{F})$ . ■

The reverse inclusion in Lemma 2.12 need not hold. If  $X$  is a left semicharacteristic biset for  $\mathcal{F}$ , then the disjoint union of  $X$  with a number of free  $S \times S$ -orbits  $(S \times S)/\Delta(1, \iota_1^S)$  is again left semicharacteristic. So there is always a semicharacteristic biset with some  $Q_i = 1$ .

**2.4. The Park embedding.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a fusion system on the finite group  $S$ , and let  $X$  be a left semicharacteristic biset for  $\mathcal{F}$  which contains an orbit of the form  $S \times_{(S, \text{id})} S$ . Consider the group  $G = \text{Aut}_1(X)$  of automorphisms of  $X$  as a right  $S$ -set. We explain briefly Park's embedding of  $S$  into  $G$  with respect to which conjugation in  $G$  on the subgroups of  $S$  realizes the fusion system  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Fix a decomposition

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^k S \times_{(Q_i, \varphi_i)} S$$

such that  $Q_i \leq S$  and  $\varphi_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q_i, S)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and such that  $Q_1 = S$  and  $\varphi_1 = \text{id}_S$ . Following [Par10], define  $\iota$  as:

$$S \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Aut}_1(X) = G$$

$$u \mapsto (x \mapsto ux).$$

This is indeed an injection because each orbit  $S \times_{(Q_i, \varphi)} S$  is free as a left  $S$ -set. The same argument from [Par10, Theorem 3] copied verbatim shows that  $\iota$  induces an isomorphism of fusion systems  $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}_{\iota(S)}(G)$ . This gives Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 2.13** ([War19, Chapter 4], c.f. [Par10]). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a fusion system on the finite group  $S$ , and let  $X$  be any left semicharacteristic biset for  $\mathcal{F}$  which contains the orbit  $S \times_{(S, \text{id})} S$ . Let  $G = \text{Aut}(X)$ , the group of automorphisms of  $X$  as a right  $S$ -set. Then  $G \cong S \wr \Sigma_n$  for some natural number  $n$ , and there is an injection  $\iota : S \rightarrow G$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}_{\iota(S)}(G)$ .*

We next set up notation that will be needed later, looking more closely at the structure of  $G$  and the embedding  $\iota$ . For each  $i$ , fix a collection  $\{t_{ij}\}_{j \in J_i}$  of representatives of the left cosets of  $Q_i$ , and set  $n_i = |S : Q_i| = |J_i|$ . The action of  $u \in S$  on the coset representatives is given by  $ut_{ij}Q_i = t_{i\sigma_i(u)(j)}Q_i$ , where  $\sigma_i(u) : J_i \rightarrow J_i$  is the induced permutation on  $J_i$ . As a right  $S$ -set, the biset  $S \times_{(Q_i, \varphi_i)} S$  decomposes as

$$S \times_{(Q_i, \varphi_i)} S = \sum_{j \in J_i} \langle t_{ij}, S \rangle,$$

where  $\langle t_{ij}, S \rangle := \{\langle t_{ij}, y \rangle \mid y \in S\}$  is the set of ordered pairs with free and transitive right  $S$ -action given by  $\langle t_{ij}, y \rangle \cdot s = \langle t_{ij}, ys \rangle$ . Hence, also

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j \in J_i} \langle t_{ij}, S \rangle$$

as a right  $S$ -set.

Since the right action of  $S$  on  $\langle t_{ij}, S \rangle$  is regular, each automorphism of  $\langle t_{ij}, S \rangle$  as a right  $S$ -set is left multiplication by an element of  $S$ , i.e., of the form  $\langle t_{ij}, y \rangle \mapsto \langle t_{ij}, sy \rangle$ . Thus,  $\text{Aut}(X) \cong S$ . It therefore follows from the above decompositions that

$$G_i := \text{Aut}(S \times_{(Q_i, \varphi_i)} S) \cong S \wr \Sigma_{n_i},$$

and

$$G = \text{Aut}(X) \cong S \wr \Sigma_n.$$

where  $n = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$ .

We examine more closely the map  $\iota$ . Now  $S$  acts from the left on each  $S \times_{(Q_i, \varphi_i)} S$ , so  $\iota(S) \leq \prod G_i \leq G$ . Let  $u \in S$ . Since  $ut_{ij} \in t_{i\sigma_i(u)(j)}Q_i$ , we have  $(t_{i\sigma_i(u)(j)})^{-1}ut_{ij} \in Q_i$ , and

$$u \langle t_{ij}, y \rangle = \langle ut_{ij}, y \rangle = \langle t_{i\sigma_i(u)(j)} \cdot (t_{i\sigma_i(u)(j)})^{-1}ut_{ij}, y \rangle = \langle t_{i\sigma_i(u)(j)}, \varphi_i((t_{i\sigma_i(u)(j)})^{-1}ut_{ij})y \rangle.$$

Thus, writing  $\pi_i$  for the projection  $\prod G_i \rightarrow G_i$ , we have

$$\pi_i(\iota(u)) = ((\varphi_i((t_{i\sigma_i(u)(j)})^{-1}ut_{ij}))_{j \in J_i}; \sigma_i(u)) \in S \wr \Sigma_{n_i}.$$

The following lemma gives some information on the intersection of  $\iota(S)$  with the base subgroup of  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.14.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a fusion system on the finite group  $S$  with left semicharacteristic biset  $X$  containing  $S \times_{(S, \text{id})} S$  and Park embedding  $\iota : S \rightarrow G = \text{Aut}(X) \cong S \wr \Sigma_n$ . Let  $B = S^n$  be the base subgroup of  $G$ . Then*

$$B \cap \iota(S) \leq \iota(Q(\mathcal{F})).$$

*Proof.* Write  $X = \sum_{i=1}^k S \times_{(Q_i, \varphi_i)} S$ . For each  $u \in S$ , the image  $\iota(u) \in B$  if and only if  $\sigma_i(u) = 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$  in the notation above. That is,  $\iota(u) \in B$  if and only if  $u$  fixes all cosets  $tQ_i$ , that is, if and only if  $u \in \bigcap_i \bigcap_{t \in S} Q_i^t$ . The result now follows from Lemma 2.12.  $\blacksquare$

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.3 AND 1.1

We now state and prove a slightly more detailed version of Theorem 1.3.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be a finite group. Then there are a finite group  $S$ , a fusion system on  $S$ , and a homocyclic abelian subgroup  $U$  of  $S$  such that  $Q(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ ,  $\text{foc}(\mathcal{F}) = S$ , and  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(U) = A$ . Moreover,  $S$ ,  $U$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  can be chosen so as to satisfy the following additional properties.*

- (i)  *$S$  is the semidirect product of  $U$  by  $A$  with respect to a faithful action of  $A$  on  $U$ ,*
- (ii) *the exponent of  $U$  is the exponent of  $A$ , and*
- (iii) *if  $A > 1$ , then there is  $Q \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{F})$  such that  $|S : Q| > 2|A|$ .*

*Proof.* In case  $A = 1$ , we take  $G = S = U = 1$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ . So we may assume  $A \neq 1$ . Let  $e$  be the exponent of  $A$ . Consider the homocyclic group  $U = C_e^{|A|} \times C_e^{|A|}$  with free action of  $A$  on each  $C_e^{|A|}$  factor, and let  $S := UA$  be the semidirect product with respect to this action. Thus,  $\text{Aut}_S(U) \cong A$  and (i) and (ii) are satisfied. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the collection of all rank 2 homocyclic subgroups of  $S$  of order  $e^2$ , and define

$$\mathcal{F} = \langle \text{Aut}(V) \mid V \in \mathcal{V} \rangle_S.$$

We first prove that  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(U) = \text{Aut}_S(U) \cong A$ . By definition of a fusion system,  $\text{Aut}_S(U) \subseteq \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(U)$ . Let  $\psi \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(U)$ . By construction of  $\mathcal{F}$  we may choose a natural number  $n$  and automorphisms  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$  of subgroups  $T_i \leq S$  such that  $\psi = \psi_n|_{U_n} \circ \dots \circ \psi_1|_{U_1}$  for certain subgroups  $U_i$  which are isomorphic to  $U$ , and such that either  $T_i = S$  or  $T_i \in \mathcal{V}$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $A$  is not the trivial group,  $U \cong U_i$  has rank at least 4, and so is not isomorphic to a subgroup of any  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ . Thus, we must have  $T_i = S$  for each  $i$ , and hence  $\psi \in \text{Aut}_S(U)$ .

Consider the collection of triples  $(V, R, \alpha)$  such that  $V < R \leq S$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ , and  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(R, S)$ , and such that there is an element  $c \in V$  with  $\alpha(c)$  not  $S$ -conjugate to  $c$ . We claim this is the empty collection. Assume false, and among all such triples, choose one such that  $\alpha$  has a decomposition with a minimal number  $n$  of morphisms, and then choose such a minimal decomposition  $\alpha = \alpha_n|_{R_{n-1}} \circ \dots \circ \alpha_1|_{R_0}$  with  $R_0 = R$  and  $\alpha_i \in \text{Aut}(T_i)$  ( $T_i \in \{S\} \cup \mathcal{V}$ ). By definition of  $\mathcal{V}$  and assumption on the structure of  $V$ ,  $V$  is maximal under inclusion in  $\mathcal{V}$ . So  $T_0 = S$  and  $\alpha_1 \in \text{Inn}(S)$ . Replace the triple  $(V, R, \alpha)$  by  $(\alpha_1(V), R_1, \alpha\alpha_1|_R^{-1})$ . As  $\alpha_1 \in \text{Inn}(S)$ , we have that  $\alpha_1(c)$  is not  $S$ -conjugate to  $\alpha\alpha_1^{-1}(\alpha_1(c)) = \alpha(c)$ . Moreover,  $\alpha\alpha_1|_V^{-1}$  extends to  $\alpha_n|_{R_{n-1}} \circ \dots \circ \alpha_2|_{R_1}$  on  $R_1 = \alpha_1(R) > \alpha_1(V)$ . Thus,  $(\alpha_1(V), R_1, \alpha\alpha_1|_V^{-1})$  is another counterexample in which the morphism has a shorter decomposition. This contradicts the choice of the triple  $(V, R, \alpha)$ . In particular, this shows that if  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  and a morphism  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(V, S)$  has the property that  $c$  and  $\alpha(c)$  are not  $S$ -conjugate, then  $\alpha$  is nonextendable.

Let

$$\mathcal{V}_1 = \{V \in \mathcal{V} \mid V \text{ supports a nonextendable automorphism}\}.$$

We next claim that  $\langle V \mid V \in \mathcal{V}_1 \rangle = S$  and  $\bigcap_{V \in \mathcal{V}_1} V = 1$ . Since the focal subgroup of  $\mathcal{F}$  contains  $[V, \text{Aut}(V)] = V$  for each  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ , this will also show  $\text{foc}(\mathcal{F}) = S$  and thus complete the proof.

By the structure of  $U$  as an  $A$ -module,  $C_U(A) = Z_1 Z_2$  with  $\langle z_1 \rangle = Z_1 \cong C_e \cong Z_2 = \langle z_2 \rangle$  and  $Z_1 \cap Z_2 = 1$ . Since  $|A| \geq 2$ ,  $U$  has rank at least 4. So there is a choice of a pair of cyclic subgroups  $W_1, W_2 \leq U$  of order  $e$  such that  $W_i \cap C_U(A) = 1$  and  $W_1 Z_1 \cap W_2 Z_2 = 1$ . For any such choice, there is an automorphism  $\alpha_i$  of  $W_i Z_i$  which interchanges  $W_i$  and  $Z_i$  and thus does not extend to an  $S$ -automorphism of  $W_i Z_i$  (because  $Z_i \leq Z(S)$ ). So by the above, we see that  $W_i Z_i \in \mathcal{V}_1$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . In particular this shows that  $U \leq \langle \mathcal{V}_1 \rangle$  and  $\bigcap \mathcal{V}_1 = 1$ . The method of proof also shows (iii) is satisfied, since  $Z_1 Z_2 \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $|S : Z_1 Z_2| \geq |U : Z_1 Z_2| \geq e^2 |A| > 2|A|$ .

Let  $p$  be a prime dividing  $|A|$ , let  $p^a$  be the  $p$ -part of the exponent of  $A$ , and let  $C$  be any cyclic subgroup of  $A$  with generator  $c$  of order  $p^b$ . We claim that there is  $V \in \mathcal{V}_1$  with  $UC/U \leq UV/U$ . Let  $u \in U - [C, U]$  be any element of order  $p^{a-b}$ . Then  $uc$  has order  $p^a$ . Fix an element  $w \in C_U(C)$  of order  $e/p^a$  and set

$W = \langle wuc \rangle$ . Since  $e/p^a$  is prime to  $p$ ,  $W$  is cyclic of order  $e$ . Since the rank of  $C_U(A)$  is 2, we can again find a cyclic subgroup  $Z \leq C_U(A)$  of order  $e$  with  $W \cap Z = 1$ , and then  $V = WZ$  is homocyclic of order  $e^2$ . As before, there is an automorphism of  $V$  interchanging  $W$  and  $Z$ , which therefore does not extend to an  $S$ -automorphism of  $V$ . This shows that  $V \in \mathcal{V}_1$ . By construction  $UC/U \leq UV/U$ , and we saw above that  $U \leq \langle V_1 \rangle$ . Since  $C$  was an arbitrary cyclic subgroup of  $p$ -power order, and the set of such subgroups generates  $A$  as  $p$  ranges over the primes dividing  $A$ , it follows that  $\langle \mathcal{V}_1 \rangle = S$ .  $\blacksquare$

Before giving the proof of Theorem 1.1, we prove a specialized lemma about the commutator subgroup of a wreath product.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $S$  be a group, let  $K$  be a subgroup of  $\Sigma_n$  with  $n > 1$ , and let  $\Gamma = S \wr K$  with base subgroup  $B$  and  $G = \Gamma' = [\Gamma, \Gamma]$ . Assume that  $K'$  is perfect and transitive. Then  $[B, B] \leq [K, B] = [K', B] = [K', K', B]$  and  $G = [K', B]K'$  is perfect.*

*Proof.* Although  $n > 1$  was assumed initially, the further assumptions give implicitly that  $n \geq 5$ . Write  $e_i(s)$  for the element  $(1, \dots, 1, s, 1, \dots, 1) \in B = S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$  (with  $s$  in the  $i$ -th place), and  $c_j^i(s) = e_j(s)e_i(s)^{-1}$ . Let  $J$  be any transitive subgroup of  $\Sigma_n$ . Then  $c_j^i(s) = [g, e_i(s)] \in [J, B]$  for each element  $g \in J$  which sends  $i$  to  $j$ , so  $c_j^i(S) \in [J, B]$  for each  $i$  and  $j$ . For  $i$  an index taken modulo  $n$  and for  $s, t \in S$ ,

$$[c_i^{i-1}(s), c_{i+1}^i(t^{-1})] = e_i([s, t]).$$

This shows that  $[S_i, S_i] \leq [J, B]$  for each  $i$ , and hence  $[B, B] = [S, S]^n \leq [J, B]$ . Under the same assumptions on  $J$ , we just saw  $[J, B]$  contains all  $c_j^i(s)$  with  $s \in S$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . These generate  $\ker(\pi)$ , where  $\pi: B \rightarrow S/S'$  is the homomorphism sending an element of  $B$  to the product of its components. Since each generating element of  $[J, B]$  is clearly in this kernel, we have  $[J, B] = \ker(\pi)$ . In particular,  $[B, B] \leq [K', B] = [K, B]$ .

Next, for any subgroup  $J$ , we have  $[J, B, J] = [J, J, B]$ . So if  $J' = J$ , then  $[J, B] = [B, J] = [B, J'] = [B, J, J] \leq [J, J, B] \leq [J, B]$ , the first inclusion by the Three Subgroups Lemma [Gor80, Theorem 2.3(ii)]. So  $[J, J, B] = [J, B]$ . In particular,  $[K', K', B] = [K', B]$  since  $K'$  was assumed perfect.

Applying [Gor80, Theorem 2.1] for example to  $\Gamma = KB$ , we see that  $G = \Gamma' = K'[K, B][B, B]$ , and then  $G = K'[K', B]$  as  $[B, B] \leq [K, B] = [K', B]$ . Keeping in mind that  $[[K', B], [K', B]] \leq [B, B]$  since  $B/[B, B]$  is abelian, a similar argument gives  $G' = [K', K']K' = K'[K', B] = G$ , so  $G$  is perfect.  $\blacksquare$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $A$  be any finite group. If  $A = 1$ , then we take  $G = U = 1$ , so we may assume  $A > 1$ . Fix a fusion system  $\mathcal{F}$  on a finite group  $S = U \rtimes A$  with  $U$  homocyclic and  $A$  faithful on  $U$ , satisfying the conclusion of Theorem 3.1. Let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^k S \times_{(Q_i, \varphi_i)} S$  be any left semicharacteristic biset for  $\mathcal{F}$  as in Theorem 2.10, and write  $\iota: S \rightarrow \Gamma = \text{Aut}_1(X) \cong S \wr \Sigma_n$  for the Park embedding, so that  $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}_{\iota(S)}(\Gamma)$  via  $\iota$ . Set  $G = \Gamma'$ . To ease notation, we identify  $S$  with its image in  $\Gamma$ , and so we identify  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma)$ . By choice of  $\mathcal{F}$ , we know  $S \cap B \leq Q(\mathcal{F}) = 1$  by Lemma 2.14 where  $B$  is the base subgroup of  $\Gamma$  as usual, while also  $S = \text{foc}(\mathcal{F}) \leq S \cap G$  by Remark 2.5. Thus,  $U \leq S \leq G$ . Since  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(\Gamma)$ , we have  $\text{Aut}_\Gamma(U) = \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(U) \cong A$  again by choice of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $\text{Aut}_S(U) \cong A$  by construction. Since  $S \leq G$ , this shows  $\text{Aut}_G(U) \cong A$ . We want to verify that  $G$  satisfies the conclusion of the theorem.

Let  $H$  be the alternating subgroup of  $\Sigma_n$ , the usual complement of  $B$  in  $\Gamma$ , and let  $N = \langle U^G \rangle$  be the normal closure of  $U$  in  $G$ . We will see below that  $n \geq 5$ , so  $H$  is simple. By Lemma 3.2,  $G$  is perfect and  $G = H[H, B]$ . Thus, it remains to show that  $N = G$ .

Recall from the discussion of the Park embedding that  $n = \sum_{i=1}^k |S : Q_i|$ , so by Theorem 3.1(iii) and Lemma 2.11, there is  $i$  such that  $n \geq |S : Q_i| > 2|A|$ . So indeed,  $n \geq 5$  and  $H$  is simple. Use Bertrand's postulate to get a prime  $p$  with  $|A| < p < 2|A|$ , and so a prime  $p$  that divides  $|H|$  but not  $|A|$ . By Theorem 3.1(ii),  $p$  divides  $|H|$  but not  $|S|$ , so  $|H|^2$  does not divide  $|G|$ . On the other hand,  $U \cap B \leq S \cap B \leq Q(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ , so as  $H$  is simple,  $N$  projects modulo  $B$  onto  $H$ . Thus  $|H|$  divides  $|N|$ . Since



$N \cap H$  is normal in  $H$ , we have  $H \leq N$  or  $H \cap N = 1$ . In the latter case,  $G$  contains the subgroup  $HN$  of order divisible by  $|H|^2$ , a contradiction, and hence  $H \leq N$ . As  $H \leq N$ ,  $N$  contains the normal closure of  $H$  in  $G$ , which is  $H[H, B] = G$ , and this completes the proof of the theorem. ■

## REFERENCES

- [AKO11] Michael Aschbacher, Radha Kessar, and Bob Oliver, *Fusion systems in algebra and topology*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 391, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011. MR 2848834
- [BLO03] Carles Broto, Ran Levi, and Bob Oliver, *The homotopy theory of fusion systems*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), no. 4, 779–856 (electronic).
- [Cra11] David A. Craven, *The theory of fusion systems*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 131, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011, An algebraic approach. MR 2808319
- [Gor80] Daniel Gorenstein, *Finite groups*, second ed., Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1980.
- [Mue20] Peter Mueller, *Normalizers in symmetric groups*, MathOverflow, 2020, URL: <https://mathoverflow.net/q/102532> (version: 2020-06-15).
- [Par10] Sejong Park, *Realizing a fusion system by a single finite group*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **94** (2010), no. 5, 405–410. MR 2643975
- [Par16] ———, *Realizing fusion systems inside finite groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (2016), no. 8, 3291–3294. MR 3503697
- [UYn12] Özgün Ünlü and Ergün Yalçın, *Fusion systems and constructing free actions on products of spheres*, Math. Z. **270** (2012), no. 3–4, 939–959. MR 2892931
- [War19] Athar Ahmad Warraich, *Realizing infinite families of fusion systems over finite groups*, Ph.D. thesis, The University of Birmingham, 2019.

*Email address:* sbayard@ucsc.edu

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UC SANTA CRUZ, 1156 HIGH STREET, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95064

*Email address:* lynd@louisiana.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LOUISIANA AT LAFAYETTE, LAFAYETTE, LA 70504