

# Strong quantum nonlocality and unextendibility without entanglement in $N$ -partite systems with odd $N$

Yiyun He,<sup>1,\*</sup> Fei Shi,<sup>2,†</sup> and Xiande Zhang<sup>1,3,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, People's Republic of China*

<sup>2</sup>*School of Cyber Security, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, People's Republic of China*

<sup>3</sup>*Hefei National Laboratory, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230088, China*

A set of orthogonal product states is strongly nonlocal if it is locally irreducible in every bipartition, which shows the phenomenon of strong quantum nonlocality without entanglement [Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 040403 (2019)]. Although such phenomenon has been shown to any three-, four-, and five-partite systems, the existence of strongly nonlocal orthogonal product sets in multipartite systems remains unknown. In this paper, by using a general decomposition of the  $N$ -dimensional hypercubes, we for the first time present strongly nonlocal orthogonal product sets in  $N$ -partite systems for all odd  $N \geq 3$ . Moreover, based on this decomposition, we give explicit constructions of unextendible product bases in  $N$ -partite systems for odd  $N \geq 3$ .

**Keywords:** strong quantum nonlocality, unextendible product bases, hypercubes

## I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum nonlocality is one of the most fundamental property in quantum world. Entangled states show Bell nonlocality for violating Bell-type inequalities [1, 2]. However, besides Bell-type nonlocality, there is a different kind of nonlocality which arises from local indistinguishability. A set of orthogonal states is locally indistinguishable if it is impossible to distinguish them under local operations and classical communications (LOCC). Bennett *et al.* showed the phenomenon of quantum nonlocality without entanglement, by presenting a locally indistinguishable orthogonal product basis (OPB) in  $\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$  [3]. Later, quantum nonlocality based on local indistinguishability has been widely studied [4–20].

Recently, a stronger version of local indistinguishability was introduced by Halder *et al.* - local irreducibility [21]. A set of orthogonal states is locally irreducible if it is not possible to eliminate one or more states from the set by orthogonality-preserving local measurements. Moreover, a set of orthogonal states is strongly nonlocal if it is locally irreducible in every bipartition. They also showed the phenomenon of strong quantum nonlocality without entanglement, by presenting two strongly nonlocal OPBs in  $\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$  and  $\mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$ , respectively. Then strongly nonlocal orthogonal product sets (OPSs) and orthogonal entangled sets (OESs) were constructed in three-, four-, and five-partite systems [22–26]. Recently, by using cyclic permutation group action, Shi *et al.* successfully constructed strongly nonlocal OESs in  $N$ -partite systems [27]. However, the phenomenon of strong quantum nonlocality without entanglement has been limited to three-, four-, and five-partite systems up to now. It is difficult to show this phenomenon in  $N$ -partite systems. This is because the main construction of strongly nonlocal OPSs relies on the decomposition of  $N$ -dimensional hypercubes [23], and when  $N$  is large, the decomposition can be more complex. In this paper, we will give a general decomposition of the  $N$ -dimensional hypercubes for odd  $N \geq 3$ , and construct strongly nonlocal OPSs in  $N$ -partite systems for odd  $N \geq 3$ .

An unextendible product basis (UPB) is a set of orthonormal product states whose complementary space has no product states [28]. UPBs can be used to construct bound entangled states [4, 28], Bell-type inequalities without quantum violation [29, 30] fermionic systems [31]. UPBs are also connected to quantum nonlocality and strong quantum nonlocality [24, 25, 32]. By using tile structures, Shi *et al.* gave some explicit constructions of UPBs in  $\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$  [33]. Then by the decomposition of three- and four-dimensional hypercubes, the authors of [25, 34] showed some UPBs in three- and four-partite systems. In this paper, based on the decomposition  $N$ -dimensional hypercubes for odd  $N \geq 3$ , we give explicit constructions of UPBs in  $N$ -partite systems for odd  $N \geq 3$ .

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce strong quantum nonlocality and UPBs. In Sec. III, we give a decomposition of the hypercube  $\mathbb{Z}_3^N$ , and construct an OPS from this decomposition. We also give a construction of a strongly nonlocal OPS from the decomposition of the hypercube. In Sec. IV, we introduce another main result, a construction of UPBs in  $(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\otimes N}$ . More general results  $N$ -partite systems for odd  $N \geq 3$  can be found in Sec. V.

\*hyhyhy@mail.ustc.edu.cn

†shifei@mail.ustc.edu.cn

‡Corresponding authors: drzhangx@ustc.edu.cn

## II. PRELIMINARIES

In the section, we will introduce the concepts of strong quantum nonlocality and UPBs. In this paper, our focus is on the pure states. Moreover, to simplify the notation, we do not normalize states and operators. The positive operator-valued measure (POVM) is a set of positive semi-definite operators  $\{E_m = M_m^\dagger M_m\}$  acting on  $\mathcal{H}$ , a Hilbert space, with a property that  $\sum_m M_m^\dagger M_m = \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}}$ , where  $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}}$  is an identity operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . If the set is a POVM, we call each  $E_m$  as a POVM element. We focus on this measurement in this paper, and we regard the POVM measurement as trivial measurement if all the POVM elements  $E_m$  are proportional to the identity operator.

In particular, we consider a specific local measurement called orthogonality-preserving local measurement (OPLM). It performed to distinguish multipartite orthogonal states, and it is defined with the post-measurement states remaining to be orthogonal. Another important definition given in [21] is *locally irreducible*. For a set of multipartite orthogonal states, we say it is locally irreducible if we cannot eliminate some states from the set by OPLMs. Furthermore, a set of multipartite orthogonal states is defined to be *strongly nonlocal* if it is locally irreducible for every bipartition of the subsystems. Despite the complicate definition, there is a simple method for showing strong quantum nonlocality [21].

**Lemma 1** [24] *Let  $\mathcal{S} := \{|\psi_j\rangle\}$  be a set of orthogonal states in a multipartite system  $\otimes_{i=1}^N \mathcal{H}_{A_i}$ . For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , define  $B_i = \{A_1 A_2 \dots A_N\} \setminus \{A_i\}$  be the joint party of all but the  $i$ th party. Then the set  $\mathcal{S}$  is strongly nonlocal if the following condition holds for any  $1 \leq i \leq N$ : if party  $B_i$  performs any OPLM, then the OPLM is trivial.*

A UPB is a set of orthogonal product states whose complementary space contains no product states. For example, let

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_1\rangle &= |0\rangle_{A_1} \otimes |1\rangle_{A_2} \otimes |+\rangle_{A_3}, \\ |\psi_2\rangle &= |1\rangle_{A_1} \otimes |+\rangle_{A_2} \otimes |0\rangle_{A_3}, \\ |\psi_3\rangle &= |+\rangle_{A_1} \otimes |0\rangle_{A_2} \otimes |1\rangle_{A_3}, \\ |\psi_4\rangle &= |-\rangle_{A_1} \otimes |-\rangle_{A_2} \otimes |-\rangle_{A_3}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $|\pm\rangle = |0\rangle \pm |1\rangle$ . Then  $\{|\psi_i\rangle\}_{i=1}^4$  is a UPB in  $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ .

Let  $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes N} := \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ , and denote  $\mathbb{Z}_d^N := \mathbb{Z}_d \times \mathbb{Z}_d \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_d$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_d := \{0, 1, 2, \dots, d-1\}$  and repeat  $N$  times. We assume that  $\{|j\rangle\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_d}$  is the computational basis of  $\mathbb{C}^d$ , then the computational basis of  $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes N}$  is

$$\{|j_1\rangle_{A_1} \otimes |j_2\rangle_{A_2} \otimes \dots \otimes |j_N\rangle_{A_N}\}_{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_d^N}. \quad (2)$$

We also denote the vector  $(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_N)$  as

$$\{j_1\}_{A_1} \times \{j_2\}_{A_2} \times \dots \times \{j_N\}_{A_N}. \quad (3)$$

There are  $d^N$  vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}_d^N$ , and all vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}_d^N$  form an  $N$ -dimensional hypercube. Each product state  $|j_1\rangle_{A_1} \otimes |j_2\rangle_{A_2} \otimes \dots \otimes |j_N\rangle_{A_N} \in (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes N}$  corresponds to a vector  $\{j_1\}_{A_1} \times \{j_2\}_{A_2} \times \dots \times \{j_N\}_{A_N} \in \mathbb{Z}_d^N$ .

For a general product state  $|\psi\rangle \in (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes N}$ , there exists a unique subset  $E_{A_i} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_d$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$  and nonzero  $a_{j_i}^{(A_i)} \in \mathbb{C}$  for  $j_i \in E_{A_i}$ , such that

$$|\psi\rangle = \left( \sum_{j_1 \in E_{A_1}} a_{j_1}^{(A_1)} |j_1\rangle \right)_{A_1} \otimes \left( \sum_{j_2 \in E_{A_2}} a_{j_2}^{(A_2)} |j_2\rangle \right)_{A_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \left( \sum_{j_N \in E_{A_N}} a_{j_N}^{(A_N)} |j_N\rangle \right)_{A_N}. \quad (4)$$

Then  $E = E_{A_1} \times E_{A_2} \times \dots \times E_{A_N} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_d^N$  is a subcube of  $\mathbb{Z}_d^N$ . We denote  $|E| := \prod_{i=1}^N |E_{A_i}|$  as the number of vectors contained in  $E$ . We say a set of subcubes forms a decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_d^N$ , if any two subcubes are disjoint, and the union of all subcubes is  $\mathbb{Z}_d^N$ .

### III. CONSTRUCTION OF OPSS IN $(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\otimes N}$ FOR ODD $N \geq 3$

In this section, we show a decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_3^N$  for odd  $N \geq 3$ , and we show an OPS from this decomposition. When  $N = 3$ , the authors of Ref. [34] gave a decomposition  $\{\mathcal{B}_i\}_{i=1}^9$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_3^3$ , where the 9 subcubes are

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{B}_1 &:= \{1, 2\}_A \times \{0\}_B \times \{0, 1\}_C, \\
 \mathcal{B}_2 &:= \{1, 2\}_A \times \{0, 1\}_B \times \{2\}_C, \\
 \mathcal{B}_3 &:= \{2\}_A \times \{1, 2\}_B \times \{0, 1\}_C, \\
 \mathcal{B}_4 &:= \{0, 1\}_A \times \{2\}_B \times \{1, 2\}_C, \\
 \mathcal{B}_5 &:= \{0, 1\}_A \times \{1, 2\}_B \times \{0\}_C, \\
 \mathcal{B}_6 &:= \{0\}_A \times \{0, 1\}_B \times \{1, 2\}_C, \\
 \mathcal{B}_7 &:= \{0\}_A \times \{0\}_B \times \{0\}_C, \\
 \mathcal{B}_8 &:= \{1\}_A \times \{1\}_B \times \{1\}_C, \\
 \mathcal{B}_9 &:= \{2\}_A \times \{2\}_B \times \{2\}_C.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{B}_i$  contains  $2^2$  vectors of  $\mathbb{Z}_3^3$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 6$ , and  $\mathcal{B}_i$  contains 1 vector of  $\mathbb{Z}_3^3$  for  $7 \leq i \leq 9$ . See Fig. 1 for this decomposition.




FIG. 1: The decomposition for the  $\mathbb{Z}_3^3$  hypercube.

Then by these subcubes, the authors constructed an OPB  $\cup_{i=1}^9 \mathcal{B}_i$  in  $(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\otimes 3}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{B}_1 &:= \{|\psi_1(i, j)\rangle = |\xi_j\rangle_A \otimes |0\rangle_B \otimes |\eta_i\rangle_C \mid (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2\}, \\
 \mathcal{B}_2 &:= \{|\psi_2(i, j)\rangle = |\xi_j\rangle_A \otimes |\eta_i\rangle_B \otimes |2\rangle_C \mid (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2\}, \\
 \mathcal{B}_3 &:= \{|\psi_3(i, j)\rangle = |2\rangle_A \otimes |\xi_j\rangle_B \otimes |\eta_i\rangle_C \mid (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2\}, \\
 \mathcal{B}_4 &:= \{|\psi_4(i, j)\rangle = |\eta_i\rangle_A \otimes |2\rangle_B \otimes |\xi_j\rangle_C \mid (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2\}, \\
 \mathcal{B}_5 &:= \{|\psi_5(i, j)\rangle = |\eta_i\rangle_A \otimes |\xi_j\rangle_B \otimes |0\rangle_C \mid (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2\}, \\
 \mathcal{B}_6 &:= \{|\psi_6(i, j)\rangle = |0\rangle_A \otimes |\eta_i\rangle_B \otimes |\xi_j\rangle_C \mid (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2\}, \\
 \mathcal{B}_7 &:= \{|0\rangle_A \otimes |0\rangle_B \otimes |0\rangle_C \mid (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2\}, \\
 \mathcal{B}_8 &:= \{|1\rangle_A \otimes |1\rangle_B \otimes |1\rangle_C \mid (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2\}, \\
 \mathcal{B}_9 &:= \{|2\rangle_A \otimes |2\rangle_B \otimes |2\rangle_C \mid (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2\},
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and  $|\eta_i\rangle = |0\rangle + (-1)^i|1\rangle$ ,  $|\xi_j\rangle = |1\rangle + (-1)^j|2\rangle$ , for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  [34]. The authors of Ref. [23] showed that the OPS  $\cup_{i=1}^9 \mathcal{B}_i \cup \mathcal{B}_9$  is strongly nonlocal, which corresponds to the outermost layer of Fig. 1. They also gave a similar decomposition for the 5-dimensional hypercube and showed that the OPS from the outermost layer is strongly nonlocal [23]. Next, we generalize the decomposition of Fig. 1 to any  $N$ -dimensional hypercube  $\mathbb{Z}_3^N$  and odd  $N \geq 3$ .

**Construction** Let  $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{1\}_{A_1} \times \{1\}_{A_2} \times \dots \times \{1\}_{A_N}$ , where  $N$  is odd. We denote

$$\{\eta\} := \{0, 1\}, \quad \{\xi\} := \{1, 2\}. \tag{7}$$

Next, assume that  $K \subseteq \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N\}$  and  $|K|$  is even. In particular,  $|K| = 0$  implies that  $K = \emptyset$ . For any fixed  $K$ , we can construct two subcubes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_K &:= \mathcal{C}_K^{(A_1)} \times \mathcal{C}_K^{(A_2)} \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}_K^{(A_N)}, \\ \mathcal{D}_K &:= \mathcal{D}_K^{(A_1)} \times \mathcal{D}_K^{(A_2)} \times \dots \times \mathcal{D}_K^{(A_N)},\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

as follows. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_K^{(A_1)} &:= \begin{cases} \{0\}_{A_1} & \text{if } A_1 \notin K, \\ \{\eta\}_{A_1} & \text{if } A_1 \in K, \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{D}_K^{(A_1)} &:= \begin{cases} \{2\}_{A_1} & \text{if } A_1 \notin K, \\ \{\xi\}_{A_1} & \text{if } A_1 \in K. \end{cases}\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

For  $2 \leq i \leq N$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_K^{(A_i)}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_K^{(A_i)}$  are defined one after another as in Table I.

TABLE I: The construction of  $\mathcal{C}_K^{(A_i)}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_K^{(A_i)}$  for  $2 \leq i \leq N$

| $\mathcal{P} \in \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\}$ , if | $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_i)} = \{0\}_{A_i}$ or $\{\eta\}_{A_i}$ | $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_i)} = \{2\}_{A_i}$ or $\{\xi\}_{A_i}$ |
|---|---|--|
| if $A_i \notin K$                                   | $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i+1})} = \{0\}_{A_{i+1}}$             | $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i+1})} = \{2\}_{A_{i+1}}$            |
| if $A_i \in K$                                      | $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i+1})} = \{\xi\}_{A_{i+1}}$           | $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i+1})} = \{\eta\}_{A_{i+1}}$         |

We denote

$$\Lambda := \{K \subseteq \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N\} \mid |K| \text{ is even}\}.\tag{10}$$

Then  $|\Lambda| = 2^{N-1}$ . We also denote

$$\mathcal{B} := \{\mathcal{B}_0\} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{K \in \Lambda \\ \mathcal{P} \in \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\}}} \{\mathcal{P}_K\},\tag{11}$$

where  $|\mathcal{B}| = 2^N + 1$ , and  $|\mathcal{P}_K| = 2^{|K|}$ .

To show that the set  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $2^N + 1$  subcubes is a decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_3^N$ , we need to show some important properties of this set. These properties are also useful for comprehension and further discussion on the nonlocal OPS and UPB. The proofs of the following two lemmas can be found in Appendix B and C.

**Lemma 2** *The set  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $2^N + 1$  subcubes is invariant under the cyclic permutation of the  $N$  parties.*

By the cyclic property, we always assume that

$$A_j = A_{(j \bmod N)}, \quad \text{if } j \geq N + 1.\tag{12}$$

**Lemma 3** *The set  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $2^N + 1$  subcubes is a decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_3^N$ . Moreover, each  $\mathcal{P}_K$  contains exactly one vector in  $\{0, 2\}^N := \{0, 2\}_{A_1} \times \{0, 2\}_{A_2} \times \dots \times \{0, 2\}_{A_N}$ .*

In other words, if we regard the set  $\{0, 2\}^N$  as the “vectors at the corners”, the lemma above claims that these corner vectors distribute evenly in our construction.

For better understanding, we give the decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_3^3$  in Table II. We also give the decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_3^5$  in Table III in Appendix A. Note that the decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_3^3$  coincides with Eq. (5) and Fig. 1 if we consider  $(A_1, A_2, A_3) = (C, B, A)$ .

For each subcube, we can construct an OPS in  $(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\otimes N}$ . For the subcube  $\mathcal{P}_K = \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_1)} \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_2)} \times \dots \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_N)} \in \mathcal{B}$ , we can define

$$|\mathcal{P}_K\rangle_{A_j} := \begin{cases} |0\rangle_{A_j} & \text{if } \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_j)} = \{0\}_{A_j}, \\ |2\rangle_{A_j} & \text{if } \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_j)} = \{2\}_{A_j}, \\ |\eta\rangle_{A_j} & \text{if } \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_j)} = \{\eta\}_{A_j}, \\ |\xi\rangle_{A_j} & \text{if } \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_j)} = \{\xi\}_{A_j}, \end{cases}\tag{13}$$

TABLE II: The decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_3^3$ , where  $\{\eta\} = \{0, 1\}$ , and  $\{\xi\} = \{1, 2\}$ .

| $K$            | $\mathcal{C}_K$  | $\mathcal{D}_K$  |
|----------------|--|--|
| $\emptyset$    | $\{0\}_{A_1} \times \{0\}_{A_2} \times \{0\}_{A_3}$      | $\{2\}_{A_1} \times \{2\}_{A_2} \times \{2\}_{A_3}$      |
| $\{A_1, A_2\}$ | $\{\eta\}_{A_1} \times \{\xi\}_{A_2} \times \{2\}_{A_3}$ | $\{\xi\}_{A_1} \times \{\eta\}_{A_2} \times \{0\}_{A_3}$ |
| $\{A_1, A_3\}$ | $\{\eta\}_{A_1} \times \{0\}_{A_2} \times \{\xi\}_{A_3}$ | $\{\xi\}_{A_1} \times \{2\}_{A_2} \times \{\eta\}_{A_3}$ |
| $\{A_2, A_3\}$ | $\{0\}_{A_1} \times \{\xi\}_{A_2} \times \{\eta\}_{A_3}$ | $\{2\}_{A_1} \times \{\eta\}_{A_2} \times \{\xi\}_{A_3}$ |

where  $|\eta\rangle = |0\rangle \pm |1\rangle$ ,  $|\xi\rangle = |1\rangle \pm |2\rangle$ . Then

$$|\mathcal{P}_K\rangle := |\mathcal{P}_K\rangle_{A_1} \otimes |\mathcal{P}_K\rangle_{A_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes |\mathcal{P}_K\rangle_{A_N} \quad (14)$$

is an OPS of size  $2^{|K|}$  in  $(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\otimes N}$ . Further, we let

$$|\mathcal{B}_0\rangle := |1\rangle_{A_1} \otimes |1\rangle_{A_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes |1\rangle_{A_N}. \quad (15)$$

By Lemma 3, we can construct an OPB in  $(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\otimes N}$ .

**Lemma 4** *The set*

$$\{|\mathcal{B}_0\rangle\} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{K \in \Lambda \\ \mathcal{P} \in \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\}}} |\mathcal{P}_K\rangle \quad (16)$$

*is an OPB in  $(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\otimes N}$ .*

Then we are ready to introduce the first main result in this paper:

**Theorem 1** *In  $(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\otimes N}$ , the OPS  $\mathcal{O} := \bigcup_{\substack{K \in \Lambda \\ \mathcal{P} \in \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\}}} |\mathcal{P}_K\rangle$  is strongly nonlocal, where  $|\mathcal{O}| = 3^N - 1$ .*

The proof of the theorem is in Appendix D. It mainly use two important lemmas in Ref. [24], which allow us to discuss the POVM matrix directly from the construction of OPS. Comparing Theorem 1 and Lemma 4, we can deduce that the OPB in Lemma 4 would be a strongly nonlocal OPS after removing the state  $|\mathcal{B}_0\rangle$ . More general result can be found in Sec. V.

#### IV. UPB IN $(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\otimes N}$

In this section, we will introduce another main result in this paper. We construct a UPB in  $(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\otimes N}$ . First, we consider the simple case  $N = 3$ . Let

$$|S\rangle = \left( \sum_{i=0}^2 |i\rangle \right)_A \otimes \left( \sum_{j=0}^2 |j\rangle \right)_B \otimes \left( \sum_{k=0}^2 |k\rangle \right)_C. \quad (17)$$

The authors of Ref. [34] showed that  $\{\mathcal{B}_i \setminus \{\psi_i(0, 0)\}\}_{i=1}^6 \cup \{|S\rangle\}$  given by Eqs. (6) and (17) is a UPB in  $(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\otimes 3}$ . Inspired by this idea, we show a UPB in  $(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\otimes N}$ .

For any subcube  $\mathcal{P}_K = \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_1)} \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_2)} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_N)}$ , we define

$$|\mathcal{P}_K^+\rangle_{A_j} := \begin{cases} |0\rangle_{A_j} & \text{if } \mathcal{P}^{(A_j)} = \{0\}_{A_j}, \\ |2\rangle_{A_j} & \text{if } \mathcal{P}^{(A_j)} = \{2\}_{A_j}, \\ (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)_{A_j} & \text{if } \mathcal{P}^{(A_j)} = \{\eta\}_{A_j}, \\ (|1\rangle + |2\rangle)_{A_j} & \text{if } \mathcal{P}^{(A_j)} = \{\xi\}_{A_j} \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

and the state

$$|\mathcal{P}_K^+\rangle = |\mathcal{P}_K^+\rangle_{A_1} |\mathcal{P}_K^+\rangle_{A_2} \cdots |\mathcal{P}_K^+\rangle_{A_N} \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{P}_K). \quad (19)$$

Also, we define the “stopper” state

$$|S\rangle := \left( \sum_{i_1=0}^2 |i_1\rangle \right)_{A_1} \otimes \left( \sum_{i_2=0}^2 |i_2\rangle \right)_{A_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \left( \sum_{i_N=0}^2 |i_N\rangle \right)_{A_N}. \quad (20)$$

Now we can give a UPB in  $(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\otimes N}$ .

**Theorem 2** *In  $(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\otimes N}$ , the set of orthogonal product states*

$$\mathcal{U} := \{|S\rangle\} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{K \in \Lambda \\ \mathcal{P} \in \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\}}} (|\mathcal{P}_K\rangle \setminus \{|\mathcal{P}_K^+\rangle\}) \quad (21)$$

is a UPB of size  $3^N - 2^N$ .

The proof is given via contradiction. Using the special properties of our decomposition of hypercube, we can show that, for a pure product state  $|\psi\rangle$ , if it is orthogonal to all vectors in  $\bigcup_{\substack{K \in \Lambda \\ \mathcal{P} \in \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\}}} (|\mathcal{P}_K\rangle \setminus \{|\mathcal{P}_K^+\rangle\})$ , it has to be a scaling of  $|S\rangle$ . That explains why we need a stopping state. The detailed proof is in Appendix E. When  $d$  is larger than 3, the construction needs to be generalized. A layer-by-layer generalization of this UPB can be found in Sec. V.

We note that this UPB construction includes some known results as special cases, for example the construction from Ref. [34].

## V. THE CONSTRUCTION FOR GENERAL SYSTEM $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_N}$ FOR ODD $N \geq 3$

We have showed strongly nonlocal OPSs and UPBs in  $(\mathbb{C}^3)^{\otimes N}$ . In this section, we will give a general result in  $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_N}$ . First, we need to give a decomposition of the hypercube  $\mathbb{Z}_{d_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_N}$ . Then we show a strongly nonlocal OPS in  $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_N}$ . Finally, we construct a UPB in  $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_N}$ . Without loss of generality, we always assume that  $3 \leq d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \cdots \leq d_N$ , and  $N \geq 3$  is odd. Denote  $\prod_{i=1}^N \{d_i\}_{A_i} := \{d_1\}_{A_1} \times \{d_2\}_{A_2} \times \cdots \times \{d_N\}_{A_N}$ .

### A. The decomposition of a general cube

We define the layers  $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{\lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \rfloor}$ , where each layer is defined as the difference set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_k := & \prod_{i=1}^N \{k-1, k, k+1, \dots, d_i-k-1, d_i-k\}_{A_i} \\ & \setminus \prod_{i=1}^N \{k, k+1, \dots, d_i-k-1\}_{A_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

We also denote the central block as  $\mathcal{B}_0$ , which is defined as

$$\mathcal{B}_0 := \prod_{i=1}^N \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1, \dots, d_i - \left\lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 \right\}_{A_i}.$$

Then it is easy to see that  $\mathbb{Z}_d^N = \mathcal{B}_0 \cup \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{L}_{\lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \rfloor}$  forms a decomposition of the hypercube  $\mathbb{Z}_{d_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_N}$ .

Next, we will give a further decomposition of each layer  $\mathcal{L}_k$ . The decomposition of  $\mathcal{L}_k$  is similar to the decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_3^N$ , and see Eq. (11). For the set  $\bigcup_{\substack{K \in \Lambda \\ \mathcal{P} \in \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\}}} \{\mathcal{P}_K\}$  given in Eq. (11), we can obtain a decomposition  $\bigcup_{\substack{K \in \Lambda \\ \mathcal{P} \in \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\}}} \{\mathcal{P}_{k,K}\}$  of  $\mathcal{L}_k$  by the following replacements:

- replace  $\{0\}_{A_i}$  with  $\{k-1\}_{A_i}$ ;
- replace  $\{2\}_{A_i}$  with  $\{d_i-k\}_{A_i}$ ;
- replace  $\{\eta\}_{A_i}$  with  $\{\eta_k\}_{A_i} = \{k-1, k, \dots, d_i-k-1\}_{A_i}$ ;

- replace  $\{\xi\}_{A_i}$  with  $\{\xi_k\}_{A_i} = \{k, k+1, \dots, d_i - k\}_{A_i}$ .

Therefore, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5** *The set  $\{\mathcal{B}_0\} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{K \in \Lambda \\ \mathcal{P} \in \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\} \\ 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \rfloor}} \{\mathcal{P}_{k,K}\}$  is a decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_{d_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_N}$ .*

### B. Strongly nonlocal OPS in $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_N}$ for odd $N \geq 3$

For the subcube  $\mathcal{P}_{k,K} = \mathcal{P}_{k,K}^{(A_1)} \times \mathcal{P}_{k,K}^{(A_2)} \times \dots \times \mathcal{P}_{k,K}^{(A_N)}$ , we define

$$|\mathcal{P}_{k,K}\rangle_{A_j} := \begin{cases} |k-1\rangle_{A_j} & \text{if } \mathcal{P}_{k,K}^{(A_j)} = \{k-1\}_{A_j}, \\ |d_j - k\rangle_{A_j} & \text{if } \mathcal{P}_{k,K}^{(A_j)} = \{d_j - k\}_{A_j}, \\ |\eta_k\rangle_{A_j} & \text{if } \mathcal{P}_{k,K}^{(A_j)} = \{\eta_k\}_{A_j}, \\ |\xi_k\rangle_{A_j} & \text{if } \mathcal{P}_{k,K}^{(A_j)} = \{\xi_k\}_{A_j}, \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

where  $|\eta_k\rangle_{A_j}, |\xi_k\rangle_{A_j}$  are the Fourier basis spanned by  $\{|m\rangle_{A_j}\}_{m=k-1}^{d_j-k-1}$  and  $\{|m\rangle_{A_j}\}_{m=k}^{d_j-k}$ , respectively. That is

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta_k\rangle_{A_j} &:= \left\{ \sum_{m=k-1}^{d_j-k-1} w_{d_j-2k+1}^{(m-k+1)n} |m\rangle_{A_j} \right\}_{n=0}^{d_j-2k}, \\ |\xi_k\rangle_{A_j} &:= \left\{ \sum_{m=k}^{d_j-k} w_{d_j-2k+1}^{(m-k)n} |m\rangle_{A_j} \right\}_{n=0}^{d_j-2k}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where  $w_{d_j-2k+1} = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{d_j-2k+1}}$ . We also denote

$$|\mathcal{P}_{k,K}\rangle = |\mathcal{P}_{k,K}\rangle_{A_1} \otimes |\mathcal{P}_{k,K}\rangle_{A_2} \otimes \dots \otimes |\mathcal{P}_{k,K}\rangle_{A_N},$$

and

$$|\mathcal{B}_0\rangle := |\beta_1\rangle_{A_1} \otimes |\beta_2\rangle_{A_2} \otimes \dots \otimes |\beta_N\rangle_{A_N}, \quad (25)$$

where  $|\beta_j\rangle_{A_j}$  is the Fourier basis spanned by  $\{|m\rangle_{A_j}\}_{m=\lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \rfloor}^{d_j-\lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \rfloor-1}$ . That is

$$|\beta_j\rangle_{A_j} := \left\{ \sum_{m=\lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \rfloor}^{d_j-\lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \rfloor-1} w_{d_j-2\lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \rfloor}^{(m-\lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \rfloor)n} |m\rangle_{A_j} \right\}_{n=0}^{d_j-2\lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \rfloor-1}, \quad (26)$$

where  $w_{d_j-2\lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \rfloor} = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{d_j-2\lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \rfloor}}$ . Now, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 6** *In  $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_N}$ ,  $3 \leq d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_N$ , the set*

$$\{|\mathcal{B}_0\rangle\} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{K \in \Lambda \\ \mathcal{P} \in \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\} \\ 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \rfloor}} |\mathcal{P}_{k,K}\rangle$$

*is an OPB.*

We can also give a strongly nonlocal OPS in  $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_N}$ .

**Theorem 3** *In  $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_N}$ , the OPS  $\mathcal{O} := \bigcup_{\substack{K \in \Lambda \\ \mathcal{P} \in \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\}}} |\mathcal{P}_{1,K}\rangle$  is strongly nonlocal, and*

$$|\mathcal{O}| = d_1 d_2 \dots d_N - (d_1 - 2)(d_2 - 2) \dots (d_N - 2).$$

The proof of Theorem 3 is similar to Theorem 1.

### C. UPB in $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_N}$

We denote

$$|S\rangle := \left( \sum_{i_1=0}^{d_1-1} |i_1\rangle \right)_{A_1} \otimes \left( \sum_{i_2=0}^{d_2-1} |i_2\rangle \right)_{A_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \left( \sum_{i_N=0}^{d_N-1} |i_N\rangle \right)_{A_N}. \quad (27)$$

Also, for each element  $\mathcal{P}_{k,K}$  (and also  $\mathcal{B}_0$ ) in the decomposition, we denote that

$$|\mathcal{P}_{k,K}^+\rangle_{A_j} := \begin{cases} |k-1\rangle_{A_j} & \text{if } \mathcal{P}^{(A_j)} = \{k-1\}_{A_j}, \\ |d_j-k\rangle_{A_j} & \text{if } \mathcal{P}^{(A_j)} = \{d_j-k\}_{A_j}, \\ \sum_{i \in \eta_k} |i\rangle_{A_j} & \text{if } \mathcal{P}^{(A_j)} = \{\eta_k\}_{A_j}, \\ \sum_{i \in \xi_k} |i\rangle_{A_j} & \text{if } \mathcal{P}^{(A_j)} = \{\xi_k\}_{A_j} \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

and the product state

$$|\mathcal{P}_{k,K}^+\rangle = |\mathcal{P}_{k,K}^+\rangle_{A_1} \otimes |\mathcal{P}_{k,K}^+\rangle_{A_2} \otimes \dots \otimes |\mathcal{P}_{k,K}^+\rangle_{A_N} \in |\mathcal{P}_{k,K}\rangle. \quad (29)$$

We also denote

$$|\mathcal{B}_0^+\rangle = \left( \sum_{i_1=\lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \rfloor}^{d_1-\lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \rfloor-1} |i_1\rangle \right)_{A_1} \otimes \left( \sum_{i_2=\lfloor \frac{d_2-1}{2} \rfloor}^{d_2-\lfloor \frac{d_2-1}{2} \rfloor-1} |i_2\rangle \right)_{A_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \left( \sum_{i_N=\lfloor \frac{d_N-1}{2} \rfloor}^{d_N-\lfloor \frac{d_N-1}{2} \rfloor-1} |i_N\rangle \right)_{A_N} \in |\mathcal{B}_0\rangle. \quad (30)$$

Then we are ready to generalize Theorem 2.

**Theorem 4** *In  $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_N}$ ,  $3 \leq d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_N$ , the set of orthogonal product states*

$$\mathcal{U} := \{|S\rangle\} \cup (|\mathcal{B}_0\rangle \setminus \{|\mathcal{B}_0^+\rangle\}) \cup \bigcup_{\substack{K \in \Lambda \\ \mathcal{P} \in \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\} \\ 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \rfloor}} (|\mathcal{P}_K\rangle \setminus \{|\mathcal{P}_K^+\rangle\}) \quad (31)$$

*is a UPB of size  $d_1 d_2 \dots d_N - 2^N \lfloor \frac{d_1-1}{2} \rfloor$ .*

The proof is similar to Theorem 2.

## VI. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, based on the decomposition of  $N$ -dimensional hypercubes for odd  $N \geq 3$ , we constructed strongly nonlocal orthogonal product sets and unextendible product bases in  $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_N}$  for odd  $N \geq 3$ ,  $d_i \geq 3$ , and  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . There are some interesting problems left. How to generalize our results to even-partite systems. When  $N = 4$ , the authors in [23] showed strongly nonlocal orthogonal product sets in  $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_3} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_4}$ , which are constructed from the decomposition of 4-dimensional hypercubes. However, their structures cannot be extended to  $N$ -partite systems when  $N \geq 6$  and  $N$  is even. The second question is whether one can show that our unextendible product bases are strongly nonlocal for  $N \geq 5$ ? Note that, when  $N = 3$ , strongly nonlocal extendable product bases has been shown in [25].

### Acknowledgments

Y.H., F.S. and X.Z. were supported by the NSFC under Grants No. 11771419 and No. 12171452, the Innovation Program for Quantum Science and Technology 2021ZD0302904, the Anhui Initiative in Quantum Information Technologies under Grant No. AHY150200, and the National Key Research and Development Program of China 2020YFA0713100.



**Appendix A: The example of the decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_3^5$**

TABLE III: The decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_3^5$ , where  $\{\eta\} = \{0, 1\}$ , and  $\{\xi\} = \{1, 2\}$ .

| $K$                      | $\mathcal{C}_K$   | $\mathcal{D}_K$   |
|--------------------------|---|---|
| $\emptyset$              | $\{0\}_{A_1} \times \{0\}_{A_2} \times \{0\}_{A_3} \times \{0\}_{A_4} \times \{0\}_{A_5}$           | $\{2\}_{A_1} \times \{2\}_{A_2} \times \{2\}_{A_3} \times \{2\}_{A_4} \times \{2\}_{A_5}$           |
| $\{A_1, A_2\}$           | $\{\eta\}_{A_1} \times \{\xi\}_{A_2} \times \{2\}_{A_3} \times \{2\}_{A_4} \times \{2\}_{A_5}$      | $\{\xi\}_{A_1} \times \{\eta\}_{A_2} \times \{0\}_{A_3} \times \{0\}_{A_4} \times \{0\}_{A_5}$      |
| $\{A_1, A_3\}$           | $\{\eta\}_{A_1} \times \{0\}_{A_2} \times \{\xi\}_{A_3} \times \{2\}_{A_4} \times \{2\}_{A_5}$      | $\{\xi\}_{A_1} \times \{2\}_{A_2} \times \{\eta\}_{A_3} \times \{0\}_{A_4} \times \{0\}_{A_5}$      |
| $\{A_1, A_4\}$           | $\{\eta\}_{A_1} \times \{0\}_{A_2} \times \{0\}_{A_3} \times \{\xi\}_{A_4} \times \{2\}_{A_5}$      | $\{\xi\}_{A_1} \times \{2\}_{A_2} \times \{2\}_{A_3} \times \{\eta\}_{A_4} \times \{0\}_{A_5}$      |
| $\{A_1, A_5\}$           | $\{\eta\}_{A_1} \times \{0\}_{A_2} \times \{0\}_{A_3} \times \{0\}_{A_4} \times \{\xi\}_{A_5}$      | $\{\xi\}_{A_1} \times \{2\}_{A_2} \times \{2\}_{A_3} \times \{2\}_{A_4} \times \{\eta\}_{A_5}$      |
| $\{A_2, A_3\}$           | $\{0\}_{A_1} \times \{\xi\}_{A_2} \times \{\eta\}_{A_3} \times \{0\}_{A_4} \times \{0\}_{A_5}$      | $\{2\}_{A_1} \times \{\eta\}_{A_2} \times \{\xi\}_{A_3} \times \{2\}_{A_4} \times \{2\}_{A_5}$      |
| $\{A_2, A_4\}$           | $\{0\}_{A_1} \times \{\xi\}_{A_2} \times \{2\}_{A_3} \times \{\eta\}_{A_4} \times \{0\}_{A_5}$      | $\{2\}_{A_1} \times \{\eta\}_{A_2} \times \{0\}_{A_3} \times \{\xi\}_{A_4} \times \{2\}_{A_5}$      |
| $\{A_2, A_5\}$           | $\{0\}_{A_1} \times \{\xi\}_{A_2} \times \{2\}_{A_3} \times \{2\}_{A_4} \times \{\eta\}_{A_5}$      | $\{2\}_{A_1} \times \{\eta\}_{A_2} \times \{0\}_{A_3} \times \{0\}_{A_4} \times \{\xi\}_{A_5}$      |
| $\{A_3, A_4\}$           | $\{0\}_{A_1} \times \{0\}_{A_2} \times \{\xi\}_{A_3} \times \{\eta\}_{A_4} \times \{0\}_{A_5}$      | $\{2\}_{A_1} \times \{2\}_{A_2} \times \{\eta\}_{A_3} \times \{\xi\}_{A_4} \times \{2\}_{A_5}$      |
| $\{A_3, A_5\}$           | $\{0\}_{A_1} \times \{0\}_{A_2} \times \{\xi\}_{A_3} \times \{2\}_{A_4} \times \{\eta\}_{A_5}$      | $\{2\}_{A_1} \times \{2\}_{A_2} \times \{\eta\}_{A_3} \times \{0\}_{A_4} \times \{\xi\}_{A_5}$      |
| $\{A_4, A_5\}$           | $\{0\}_{A_1} \times \{0\}_{A_2} \times \{0\}_{A_3} \times \{\xi\}_{A_4} \times \{\eta\}_{A_5}$      | $\{2\}_{A_1} \times \{2\}_{A_2} \times \{2\}_{A_3} \times \{\eta\}_{A_4} \times \{\xi\}_{A_5}$      |
| $\{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$ | $\{\eta\}_{A_1} \times \{\xi\}_{A_2} \times \{\eta\}_{A_3} \times \{\xi\}_{A_4} \times \{2\}_{A_5}$ | $\{\xi\}_{A_1} \times \{\eta\}_{A_2} \times \{\xi\}_{A_3} \times \{\eta\}_{A_4} \times \{0\}_{A_5}$ |
| $\{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_5\}$ | $\{\eta\}_{A_1} \times \{\xi\}_{A_2} \times \{\eta\}_{A_3} \times \{0\}_{A_4} \times \{\xi\}_{A_5}$ | $\{\xi\}_{A_1} \times \{\eta\}_{A_2} \times \{\xi\}_{A_3} \times \{2\}_{A_4} \times \{\eta\}_{A_5}$ |
| $\{A_1, A_2, A_4, A_5\}$ | $\{\eta\}_{A_1} \times \{\xi\}_{A_2} \times \{2\}_{A_3} \times \{\eta\}_{A_4} \times \{\xi\}_{A_5}$ | $\{\xi\}_{A_1} \times \{\eta\}_{A_2} \times \{0\}_{A_3} \times \{\xi\}_{A_4} \times \{\eta\}_{A_5}$ |
| $\{A_1, A_3, A_4, A_5\}$ | $\{\eta\}_{A_1} \times \{0\}_{A_2} \times \{\xi\}_{A_3} \times \{\eta\}_{A_4} \times \{\xi\}_{A_5}$ | $\{\xi\}_{A_1} \times \{2\}_{A_2} \times \{\eta\}_{A_3} \times \{\xi\}_{A_4} \times \{\eta\}_{A_5}$ |
| $\{A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5\}$ | $\{0\}_{A_1} \times \{\xi\}_{A_2} \times \{\eta\}_{A_3} \times \{\xi\}_{A_4} \times \{\eta\}_{A_5}$ | $\{2\}_{A_1} \times \{\eta\}_{A_2} \times \{\xi\}_{A_3} \times \{\eta\}_{A_4} \times \{\xi\}_{A_5}$ |

**Appendix B: The proof of Lemma 2**

**Proof.** If  $K = \emptyset$ , we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_\emptyset &= \{0\}_{A_1} \times \{0\}_{A_2} \times \dots \times \{0\}_{A_N}, \\ \mathcal{D}_\emptyset &= \{2\}_{A_1} \times \{2\}_{A_2} \times \dots \times \{2\}_{A_N}.\end{aligned}\tag{B1}$$

If  $K \neq \emptyset$ , we fix  $K = \{A_{k_1}, A_{k_2}, \dots, A_{k_{2i}}\}$ , where  $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_{2i}$ . By Eq. (9) and Table I, we know that  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{k_j})} \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{k_j+1})} = \{\eta\}_{A_{k_j}} \times \{\xi\}_{A_{k_j+1}}$  or  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{k_j})} \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{k_j+1})} = \{\xi\}_{A_{k_j}} \times \{\eta\}_{A_{k_j+1}}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq 2i - 1$ . Thus,  $\{\eta\}$  and  $\{\xi\}$  alternatively appear in  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{k_1})} \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{k_2})} \times \dots \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{k_{2i}})}$ .

There are four cases.

1. If  $A_1 \notin K$ ,  $A_N \notin K$ , by Eq. (9) and Table I, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_K &= \{0\}_{A_1} \times \dots \times \{0\}_{A_{k_1-1}} \times \{\xi\}_{A_{k_1}} \times \dots \times \{\eta\}_{A_{k_{2i}}} \times \{0\}_{A_{k_{2i}+1}} \times \dots \times \{0\}_{A_N}, \\ \mathcal{D}_K &= \{2\}_{A_1} \times \dots \times \{2\}_{A_{k_1-1}} \times \{\eta\}_{A_{k_1}} \times \dots \times \{\xi\}_{A_{k_{2i}}} \times \{2\}_{A_{k_{2i}+1}} \times \dots \times \{2\}_{A_N}.\end{aligned}\tag{B2}$$

2. If  $A_1 \in K$ ,  $A_N \notin K$ , by Eq. (9) and Table I, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_K &= \{\eta\}_{A_1} \times \dots \times \{\xi\}_{A_{k_{2i}}} \times \{2\}_{A_{k_{2i}+1}} \times \dots \times \{2\}_{A_N}, \\ \mathcal{D}_K &= \{\xi\}_{A_1} \times \dots \times \{\eta\}_{A_{k_{2i}}} \times \{0\}_{A_{k_{2i}+1}} \times \dots \times \{0\}_{A_N}.\end{aligned}\tag{B3}$$

3. If  $A_1 \notin K$ ,  $A_N \in K$ , by Eq. (9) and Table I, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_K &= \{0\}_{A_1} \times \dots \times \{0\}_{A_{k_1-1}} \times \{\xi\}_{A_{k_1}} \times \dots \times \{\eta\}_{A_N}, \\ \mathcal{D}_K &= \{2\}_{A_1} \times \dots \times \{2\}_{A_{k_1-1}} \times \{\eta\}_{A_{k_1}} \times \dots \times \{\xi\}_{A_N}.\end{aligned}\tag{B4}$$

4. If  $A_1 \in K$ ,  $A_N \in K$ , by Eq. (9), and Table I, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_K &= \{\eta\}_{A_1} \times \dots \times \{\xi\}_{A_N} \\ \mathcal{D}_K &= \{\xi\}_{A_1} \times \dots \times \{\eta\}_{A_N}.\end{aligned}\tag{B5}$$




FIG. 2: The cyclic property of  $\mathcal{P}_K$  for  $\mathcal{P} \in \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i+1})}$  can be determined by  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_i)}$  through Table I for  $1 \leq i \leq N-1$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_1)}$  can be determined by  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_N)}$  through Table I.

By the four cases and Eq. (B1), we know that  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_1)}$  can also be determined by  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_N)}$  through Table I for  $\mathcal{P} \in \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\}$ . It means that  $\mathcal{P}_K$  forms a cycle for  $\mathcal{P} \in \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i+1})}$  can be determined by  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_i)}$  through Table I for  $1 \leq i \leq N-1$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_1)}$  can be determined by  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_N)}$  through Table I. See Fig. 2 for this phenomenon.

For any  $\mathcal{P}_K = \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_1)} \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_2)} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_N)}$ , it becomes

$$\mathcal{P}'_{K'} = \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_i)} \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i+1})} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_N)} \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_1)} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i-1})}$$

after a cyclic permutation of the parties  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N\}$ . Then to prove  $\mathcal{B}$  is invariant under cyclic permutations, it suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{P}'_{K'} \in \mathcal{B}$ . We can define  $K'$  as the image of  $K$  after the permutation. By the cyclic property of  $\mathcal{P}_K$  in Fig. 2, the components of  $\mathcal{P}'_{K'}$  satisfies Table I. Then we have  $\mathcal{P}'_{K'} \in \mathcal{B}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{P}'_{K'} = \mathcal{C}_{K'}$  if  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_i)} = \{0\}_{A_i}$  or  $\{\eta\}_{A_i}$ ;  $\mathcal{P}'_{K'} = \mathcal{D}_{K'}$  if  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_i)} = \{2\}_{A_i}$  or  $\{\xi\}_{A_i}$ . Thus the set  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $2^N + 1$  subcubes is invariant under the cyclic permutation of the  $N$  parties.  $\square$

### Appendix C: The proof of Lemma 3

**Proof.** First, we need to show that  $\mathcal{B}$  contains  $3^N$  vectors. For each subcube  $\mathcal{P}_K = \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_1)} \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_2)} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_N)}$ , it contains  $2^{|K|}$  vectors. Then  $\mathcal{B}$  contains

$$1 + \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{N-1}{2}} 2 \binom{N}{2i} 2^{2i} = 3^N \quad (\text{C1})$$

vectors. Next, for any vector  $\{j_1\}_{A_1} \times \{j_2\}_{A_2} \times \cdots \times \{j_N\}_{A_N} \in \mathbb{Z}_3^N$ , we need to find a  $\mathcal{P}_K \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\{j_1\}_{A_1} \times \{j_2\}_{A_2} \times \cdots \times \{j_N\}_{A_N} \in \mathcal{P}_K$ .

There are two possible cases.

1. If  $j_k = 1$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq N$ , then  $\{j_1\}_{A_1} \times \{j_2\}_{A_2} \times \cdots \times \{j_N\}_{A_N} = \mathcal{B}_0$ .
2. If there exists a  $j_k \neq 1$  for  $1 \leq k \leq N$ , then  $j_k \in \{0, 2\}$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $j_k = 0$ . Then we can find  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_k)} = \{0\}_{A_k}$  or  $\{\eta\}_{A_k}$  for  $\mathcal{P} \in \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\}$ . Next, if  $j_{k+1} = 0$ , then by Table I, we can determine that  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{k+1})} = \{0\}_{A_{k+1}}$  and  $A_{k+1} \notin K$ ; if  $j_{k+1} \neq 0$ , then by Table I, we can determine that  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{k+1})} = \{\xi\}_{A_{k+1}}$  and  $A_{k+1} \in K$ . By Fig. 2, we can repeat this process  $N$  times through Table I. Then we can determine  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_i)}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , and determine whether  $A_i \in K$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{C}$  if  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_1)} = \{0\}_{A_1}$  or  $\{\eta\}_{A_1}$ , and  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{D}$  if  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_1)} = \{2\}_{A_1}$  or  $\{\xi\}_{A_1}$ . In this way, we can find the subcube  $\mathcal{P}_K$  such that  $\{j_1\}_{A_1} \times \{j_2\}_{A_2} \times \cdots \times \{j_N\}_{A_N} \in \mathcal{P}_K$ .

Thus the  $2^N + 1$  subcubes of  $\mathcal{B}$  is a decomposition of  $\mathbb{Z}_3^N$ . From the above proof, we know that each  $\mathcal{P}_K$  contains exactly one vector in  $\{0, 2\}^N$ .  $\square$

### Appendix D: The proof of Theorem 1

Before proving the theorem, we need to introduce some notations and two useful lemmas given in [24]. Given an  $n$ -dimensional Hilbert space, say  $\mathcal{H}_n$ , we can take an orthonormal basis  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \dots, |n-1\rangle\}$ . Then consider an

operator  $E$  on  $\mathcal{H}_n$ . It will have a matrix representation under the basis, and we also denote it as  $E$ . When there is no ambiguity, we will not distinguish the notation of the operator and the matrix.

Next, for the  $n \times n$  matrix  $E := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{i,j} |i\rangle\langle j|$  and two subsets  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \subseteq \{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \dots, |n-1\rangle\}$ , we introduce the following notation

$${}_S E_{\mathcal{T}} := \sum_{|s\rangle \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{|t\rangle \in \mathcal{T}} a_{s,t} |s\rangle\langle t|.$$

In other words,  ${}_S E_{\mathcal{T}}$  is a sub-matrix of  $E$ , with  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}$  indicating the chosen row coordinates and column coordinates. In particular, when  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T}$ , we simplify the notation as  $E_{\mathcal{S}} := {}_S E_{\mathcal{S}}$ . Moreover, we say that an orthogonal set  $\{|\psi_i\rangle\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_s}$  is *spanned* by  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \dots, |n-1\rangle\}$ , if any  $|\psi_i\rangle$  can be linearly generated by states from  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Lemma 7 (Block Zeros Lemma [24])** *Let an  $n \times n$  matrix  $E = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_n}$  be the matrix representation of an operator  $E = M^\dagger M$  under the basis  $\mathcal{B} := \{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \dots, |n-1\rangle\}$ . Given two nonempty disjoint subsets  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ , assume that  $\{|\psi_i\rangle\}_{i=0}^{s-1}, \{|\phi_j\rangle\}_{j=0}^{t-1}$  are two orthogonal sets spanned by  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  respectively, where  $s = |\mathcal{S}|$ , and  $t = |\mathcal{T}|$ . If  $\langle \psi_i | E | \phi_j \rangle = 0$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_s, j \in \mathbb{Z}_t$  (we call these zero conditions), then  ${}_S E_{\mathcal{T}} = \mathbf{0}$  and  ${}_{\mathcal{T}} E_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathbf{0}$ .*

**Lemma 8 (Block Trivial Lemma [24])** *Let an  $n \times n$  matrix  $E = (a_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_n}$  be the matrix representation of an operator  $E = M^\dagger M$  under the basis  $\mathcal{B} := \{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \dots, |n-1\rangle\}$ . Given a nonempty subset  $\mathcal{S} := \{|u_0\rangle, |u_1\rangle, \dots, |u_{s-1}\rangle\}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ , let  $\{|\psi_j\rangle\}_{j=0}^{s-1}$  be an orthogonal set spanned by  $\mathcal{S}$ . Assume that  $\langle \psi_i | E | \psi_j \rangle = 0$  for any  $i \neq j \in \mathbb{Z}_s$ . If there exists a state  $|u_t\rangle \in \mathcal{S}$ , such that  $\{|\psi_i\rangle\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_s} E_{\mathcal{S} \setminus \{|u_t\rangle\}} = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\langle u_t | \psi_j \rangle \neq 0$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_s$ , then  $E_{\mathcal{S}} \propto \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{S}}$ . (Note that if we consider  $\{|\psi_j\rangle\}_{j=0}^{s-1}$  as the Fourier basis, i.e.  $|\psi_j\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} w_s^{ij} |u_i\rangle$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_s$ , then it must have  $\langle u_t | \psi_j \rangle \neq 0$  for any  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_s$ ).*

**Proof.** By Lemmas 1 and 2, we only need to show that  $A_2, \dots, A_N$  can only perform a trivial OPLM. Assume that  $A_2, \dots, A_N$  come together to perform an OPLM  $\{E = M^\dagger M\}$ , where  $E = (a_{i_2 i_3 \dots i_N, j_2 j_3 \dots j_N})_{\{i_2\}_{A_2} \times \dots \times \{i_N\}_{A_N}, \{j_2\}_{A_2} \times \dots \times \{j_N\}_{A_N} \in \mathbb{Z}_3^{N-1}}$ , then  $\{\mathbb{I}_{A_1} \otimes M | \psi\rangle : |\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{O}\}$  should be mutually orthogonal. Note that if  $a_{i_2 i_3 \dots i_N, j_2 j_3 \dots j_N} = 0$ , then  $a_{j_2 j_3 \dots j_N, i_2 i_3 \dots i_N} = 0$ .

For any vector  $v = \{j_1\}_{A_1} \times \{j_2\}_{A_2} \times \dots \times \{j_N\}_{A_N} \in \mathbb{Z}_3^N$ , we denote  $v^{(A_i)} = \{j_i\}_{A_i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . Let  $A_J := \{A_2, A_3, \dots, A_N\}$ . For any subcube  $\mathcal{P}_K = \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_1)} \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_2)} \times \dots \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_N)}$ , we denote  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_J)} := \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_2)} \times \dots \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_N)}$ , and  $|\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_J)}\rangle := |\mathcal{P}_K\rangle_{A_2} \otimes \dots \otimes |\mathcal{P}_K\rangle_{A_N}$ . For two different subcubes  $\mathcal{P}_K, \mathcal{P}_{K'}$ , denote  ${}_{\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_J)}} E_{\mathcal{P}_{K'}^{(A_J)}} := (a_{u,v})_{u \in \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_J)}, v \in \mathcal{P}_{K'}^{(A_J)}}$ . For any state  $|\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle_{A_1} |\psi\rangle_{A_2} \dots |\psi\rangle_{A_N} \in \mathcal{O}$ , we also denote  $|\psi^{(A_J)}\rangle = |\psi\rangle_{A_2} \dots |\psi\rangle_{A_N}$ .

Then for two different  $|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{O}$ , we have

$$0 = \langle \psi | \mathbb{I}_{A_1} \otimes E | \phi \rangle = \langle \psi | \phi \rangle_{A_1} \langle \psi^{(A_J)} | E | \phi^{(A_J)} \rangle. \quad (\text{D1})$$

Therefore, if  $\langle \psi | \phi \rangle_{A_1} \neq 0$ , then  $\langle \psi^{(A_J)} | E | \phi^{(A_J)} \rangle = 0$ . We need to use these orthogonality relations to show that  $E \propto \mathbb{I}$ .

**Step 1:** Let  $\mathcal{P}_K, \mathcal{P}_{K'}$  be any two different subcubes with  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_1)} \cap \mathcal{P}_{K'}^{(A_1)} \neq \emptyset$ . Then by Eq. (13), we can always find a state  $|\psi\rangle_{A_1} \in |\mathcal{P}_K\rangle_{A_1}$  and a state  $|\phi\rangle_{A_1} \in |\mathcal{P}_{K'}\rangle_{A_1}$ , such that  $\langle \psi | \phi \rangle_{A_1} \neq 0$ . Applying Lemma 7 to  $|\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_J)}\rangle$  and  $|\mathcal{P}_{K'}^{(A_J)}\rangle$ , we obtain

$${}_{\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_J)}} E_{\mathcal{P}_{K'}^{(A_J)}} = \mathbf{0}. \quad (\text{D2})$$

For any  $\mathcal{P}_K$ , assume  $v \in \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_J)}$ . Then for any  $u \notin \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_J)} \in \mathbb{Z}_3^{N-1}$ , there must exist a  $\mathcal{P}_{K'}$ , such that  $u \in \mathcal{P}_{K'}^{(A_J)}$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_{K'}^{(A_1)} \cap \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_1)} = \emptyset$ . By Eq. (D2), we obtain that  $a_{v,u} = 0$ .

**Step 2:** Define two vectors  $v_0 = \{0\}_{A_2} \times \{0\}_{A_3} \times \dots \times \{0\}_{A_N} \in \mathbb{Z}_3^{N-1}$  and  $v_1 = \{1\}_{A_2} \times \{0\}_{A_3} \times \dots \times \{0\}_{A_N} \in \mathbb{Z}_3^{N-1}$ . We can choose two subcubes

$$\mathcal{C}_\emptyset = \{0\}_{A_1} \times \{0\}_{A_2} \times \{0\}_{A_3} \times \dots \times \{0\}_{A_N}, \quad (\text{D3})$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\{A_1, A_2\}} = \{\xi\}_{A_1} \times \{\eta\}_{A_2} \times \{0\}_{A_3} \times \{0\}_{A_4} \times \dots \times \{0\}_{A_N}, \quad (\text{D4})$$

such that  $v_0 \in \mathcal{C}_\emptyset^{(A_J)}$ , and  $v_1 \in \mathcal{D}_{\{A_1, A_2\}}^{(A_J)}$ . By Step 1, we obtain that  $a_{v_0, v} = 0$  for any  $v \neq v_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_3^{N-1}$ , and  $a_{v_1, u} = 0$  for any  $u \neq v_0, v_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_3^{N-1}$ . Then applying Lemma 8 to  $|\mathcal{D}_{\{A_1, A_2\}}^{(A_J)}\rangle$ , we have  $a_{v_0, v_0} = a_{v_1, v_1} = k$ . Define

$$M = \{v \in \mathbb{Z}_3^{N-1} \mid a_{v,v} = k, a_{v,w} = 0 \text{ for any } w \neq v\}. \quad (\text{D5})$$

Then we have shown that  $v_0, v_1 \in M$ . In order to show  $E = k\mathbb{I}$ , we only need to prove that  $M = \mathbb{Z}_3^{N-1}$ .

**Step 3** Assume  $v \in M$ , then there must exist a  $\mathcal{P}_K$  such that  $v \in \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_J)}$ . For any  $u \notin \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_J)}$ , we must have  $a_{v',u} = 0$  for any  $v' \in \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_J)}$  by Step 1. Applying Lemma 8 to  $|\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_J)}\rangle$ , we have

$$\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_J)} \subseteq M. \quad (\text{D6})$$

By using this fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 \in M &\implies \{\xi\}_{A_2} \times \{\eta\}_{A_3} \times \{0\}_{A_4} \times \cdots \times \{0\}_{A_N} \subseteq M, \\ &\implies \{1\}_{A_2} \times \{1\}_{A_3} \times \{0\}_{A_4} \times \cdots \times \{0\}_{A_N} \in M, \\ &\implies \{\eta\}_{A_2} \times \{\xi\}_{A_3} \times \{\eta\}_{A_4} \times \{0\}_{A_5} \times \cdots \times \{0\}_{A_N} \subseteq M, \\ &\implies \{1\}_{A_2} \times \{1\}_{A_3} \times \{1\}_{A_4} \times \{0\}_{A_5} \cdots \{0\}_{A_N} \in M, \\ &\implies \dots, \\ &\implies \{1\}_{A_2} \times \{1\}_{A_3} \times \cdots \times \{1\}_{A_N} \in M. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D7})$$

**Step 4:** Finally, we need to prove that  $M = \mathbb{Z}_3^{N-1}$ . For any  $w \in \{0, 2\}^{N-1} := \{0, 2\}_{A_2} \times \cdots \times \{0, 2\}_{A_N}$ . We define  $w_k \in \mathbb{Z}_3^{N-1}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq N$  as follows,

$$w_k^{(A_i)} = \begin{cases} \{1\}_{A_i} & \text{if } i \leq k, \\ \{w\}_{A_i} & \text{if } i > k. \end{cases} \quad (\text{D8})$$

Note that,  $w_1 = w$ . Then we can prove  $w_k \in M$  for  $1 \leq k \leq N$  by backward induction. The base case is  $w_N = \{1\}_{A_2} \times \{1\}_{A_3} \times \cdots \times \{1\}_{A_N} \in M$  proved in Eq. (D7). Next assume  $w_k \in M$  for some  $k \geq 2$  as the induction hypothesis. Note that  $w_k^{(A_k)} \neq w_{k-1}^{(A_k)}$ , and  $w_k^{(A_i)} = w_{k-1}^{(A_i)}$  for  $1 \leq i \neq k \leq N$ . Then there must exist two subcubes  $\mathcal{C}_{K_1}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{K_2}$ , such that  $\{0\}_{A_1} \times w_k \in \mathcal{C}_{K_1}$  and  $\{2\}_{A_1} \times w_k \in \mathcal{D}_{K_2}$ . Then by Step 3, we have  $\mathcal{C}_{K_1}^{(A_J)}, \mathcal{D}_{K_2}^{(A_J)} \subseteq M$ . By Table I, we know that  $\{\xi\}$  and  $\{\eta\}$  appears alternatively in  $\mathcal{C}_{K_1}^{(A_2)} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{C}_{K_1}^{(A_k)}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{K_2}^{(A_2)} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{D}_{K_2}^{(A_k)}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}_{K_1}^{(A_k)} = \{\eta\}_{A_k}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{K_2}^{(A_k)} = \{\xi\}_{A_k}$  or  $\mathcal{C}_{K_1}^{(A_k)} = \{\xi\}_{A_k}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{K_2}^{(A_k)} = \{\eta\}_{A_k}$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\mathcal{C}_{K_1}^{(A_k)} = \{\eta\}_{A_k}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{K_2}^{(A_k)} = \{\xi\}_{A_k}$ . If  $w_{k-1}^{(A_k)} = \{0\}_{A_k}$ , then  $w_{k-1} \in \mathcal{C}_{K_1}^{(A_J)}$ ; if  $w_{k-1}^{(A_k)} = \{2\}_{A_k}$ , then  $w_{k-1} \in \mathcal{D}_{K_2}^{(A_J)}$ . In each case, we must have  $w_{k-1} \in M$ . Thus, by induction,  $w_k \in M$  for  $1 \leq k \leq N$ . It means that  $\{0, 2\}^{N-1} \subseteq M$ .

For any  $v \in \mathbb{Z}_3^{N-1}$ , we can find a  $\mathcal{P}_K$ , such that  $v \in \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_J)}$ . Moreover, we can always find a  $w \in \{0, 2\}^{N-1}$ , such that  $w \in \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_J)}$  by Lemma 3. By Step 3, we know that  $v \in M$ . Thus  $M = \mathbb{Z}_3^{N-1}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## Appendix E: The proof of Theorem 2

**Proof.** By Lemma 4, we know that  $\{|\mathcal{B}_0\rangle\} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{K \in \Lambda \\ \mathcal{P} \in \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\}}} |\mathcal{P}_K\rangle$  is a complete OPB. Since  $|\Lambda| = 2^{N-1}$ , it implies that there are  $2^N$  product states of  $|\mathcal{P}_K^\perp\rangle$ . Then  $|\mathcal{U}| = 3^N - 1 - 2^N + 1 = 3^N - 2^N$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the space spanned by the states in  $\mathcal{U}$ . For any state  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}^\perp$ , we only need to show that  $|\psi\rangle$  must be an entangled state. We proved it by contradiction. Assume that there exists a product state  $|\psi\rangle \neq 0 \in \mathcal{H}^\perp$ . Then there exists a unique subcube  $E = E_{A_1} \times E_{A_2} \times \cdots \times E_{A_N}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_3^N$  with  $|E_{A_i}| = e_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , and nonzero complex numbers  $a_{j_i}^{(A_i)}$  for  $j_i \in E_{A_i}$ , such that

$$|\psi\rangle = \left( \sum_{j_1 \in E_{A_1}} a_{j_1}^{(A_1)} |j_1\rangle \right)_{A_1} \otimes \left( \sum_{j_2 \in E_{A_2}} a_{j_2}^{(A_2)} |j_2\rangle \right)_{A_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \left( \sum_{j_N \in E_{A_N}} a_{j_N}^{(A_N)} |j_N\rangle \right)_{A_N}. \quad (\text{E1})$$

In other words,  $E$  is the support set of  $|\psi\rangle$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_1$  be the space spanned the states in  $\mathcal{U} \setminus \{|\mathcal{S}\rangle\}$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_1^\perp$  is spanned by the states in  $\{|\mathcal{B}_0\rangle\} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{K \in \Lambda \\ \mathcal{P} \in \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\}}} |\mathcal{P}_K^\perp\rangle$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ , it implies  $\mathcal{H}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{H}_1^\perp$ . It means that  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_1^\perp$ . Then there exist  $b_{\mathcal{B}_0} \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $b_{\mathcal{P}_K} \in \mathbb{C}$ , such that

$$|\psi\rangle = b_{\mathcal{B}_0} |\mathcal{B}_0\rangle + \sum_{\substack{K \in \Lambda \\ \mathcal{P} \in \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\}}} b_{\mathcal{P}_K} |\mathcal{P}_K^\perp\rangle, \quad (\text{E2})$$

and

$$\langle \psi | S \rangle = 0. \quad (\text{E3})$$

By Comparing Eq. (E1) and Eq. (E2), we know that

$$E = \begin{cases} \bigcup_{b_{\mathcal{P}_K} \neq 0} \mathcal{P}_K & \text{if } b_{\mathcal{B}_0} = 0, \\ \mathcal{B}_0 \cup \bigcup_{b_{\mathcal{P}_K} \neq 0} \mathcal{P}_K & \text{if } b_{\mathcal{B}_0} \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{E4})$$

If  $b_{\mathcal{P}_K} = 0$  for all  $\mathcal{P}_K$ , then  $|\psi\rangle = b_{\mathcal{B}_0}|\mathcal{B}_0\rangle$  with  $b_{\mathcal{B}_0} \neq 0$ . However, there is  $\langle \psi | S \rangle \neq 0$ . It means that there is at one nonzero  $b_{\mathcal{P}_K}$ . So at least one  $\mathcal{P}_K \subseteq E$ . By Lemma 3,  $\mathcal{P}_K$  contains one vector of  $\{0, 2\}^N$ , then we must have

$$E_{A_i} \in \{\{0\}_{A_i}, \{2\}_{A_i}, \{\eta\}_{A_i}, \{\xi\}_{A_i}, \{0, 2\}_{A_i}, \{0, 1, 2\}_{A_i}\}. \quad (\text{E5})$$

Next, we will show that  $E = \mathbb{Z}_3^N$ , i.e.  $E_{A_i} = \mathbb{Z}_3$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . Actually, we only need to show that  $\{0, 2\}_{A_i} \subseteq E_{A_i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . This is because by Lemma 3,

$$\{0, 2\}^N \subseteq E \Rightarrow b_{\mathcal{P}_K} \neq 0 \text{ for all } \mathcal{P}_K \Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3^N \setminus \mathcal{B}_0 \subseteq E. \quad (\text{E6})$$

And since  $E$  is a subcube, we must have

$$E = \mathbb{Z}_3^N. \quad (\text{E7})$$

Now we are proving that  $\{0, 2\}_{A_i} \subseteq E_{A_i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$  by contradiction. Assume that there exists  $i_1$  such that  $\{0, 2\}_{A_{i_1}} \not\subseteq E_{A_{i_1}}$ . Meanwhile, we claim that there are at least two nonzero  $b_{\mathcal{P}_K}$ . Assume there is only one nonzero  $b_{\mathcal{P}_K}$ , then there are two cases. If  $b_{\mathcal{B}_0} = 0$ , then  $|\psi\rangle = b_{\mathcal{P}_K}|\mathcal{P}_K\rangle$  for some  $\mathcal{P}_K$ , which is not orthogonal to  $|S\rangle$ . If  $b_{\mathcal{B}_0} \neq 0$ , then  $E = \mathcal{P}_K \cup \mathcal{B}_0$  is not a subcube, which is also impossible.

By the above claim and Lemma 3, there are at least two vectors  $v \neq w \in \{0, 2\}^N \in E$ . Suppose  $v^{(A_{i_2})} \neq w^{(A_{i_2})}$ , then  $\{0, 2\}_{A_{i_2}} \subseteq E_{A_{i_2}}$ . By using Eq. (12), we can always find  $1 \leq i \leq N$  such that

$$\{0, 2\}_{A_i} \subseteq E_{A_i} \text{ and } \{0, 2\}_{A_{i+1}} \not\subseteq E_{A_{i+1}}. \quad (\text{E8})$$

Then by Eq. (E5),  $E_{A_{i+1}} \in \{\{0\}_{A_{i+1}}, \{2\}_{A_{i+1}}, \{\eta\}_{A_{i+1}}, \{\xi\}_{A_{i+1}}\}$ . There are the following two cases.

(a) Assume  $E_{A_{i+1}} = \{0\}_{A_{i+1}}$  ( $E_{A_{i+1}} = \{2\}_{A_{i+1}}$  is similar), then for all  $\mathcal{P}_K$  with  $b_{\mathcal{P}_K} \neq 0$ , there must be

$$\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i+1})} = \{0\}_{A_{i+1}}.$$

By Table I, we know that  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_i)} \in \{\{0\}_{A_i}, \{\eta\}_{A_i}\}$ . Therefore

$$2 \notin \bigcup_{b_{\mathcal{P}_K} \neq 0} \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_i)} \Rightarrow 2 \notin E_{A_i}. \quad (\text{E9})$$

A contradiction.

(b) Assume  $E_{A_{i+1}} = \{\eta\}_{A_{i+1}}$  ( $E_{A_{i+1}} = \{\xi\}_{A_{i+1}}$  is similar). Note that there must be a  $\mathcal{P}_K \subseteq E$  such that  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i+1})} = \{\eta\}_{A_{i+1}}$ , because all possible values of  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i+1})}$  are just  $\{0\}_{A_{i+1}}, \{2\}_{A_{i+1}}, \{\eta\}_{A_{i+1}}, \{\xi\}_{A_{i+1}}$ .

Then by Table I there are four possibilities of  $\mathcal{P}_K^{(A_i)} \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i+1})} \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i+2})}$ , namely

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_1 &:= \{2\}_{A_i} \times \{\eta\}_{A_{i+1}} \times \{0\}_{A_{i+2}}, \\ \mathcal{F}_2 &:= \{2\}_{A_i} \times \{\eta\}_{A_{i+1}} \times \{\xi\}_{A_{i+2}}, \\ \mathcal{F}_3 &:= \{\xi\}_{A_i} \times \{\eta\}_{A_{i+1}} \times \{0\}_{A_{i+2}}, \\ \mathcal{F}_4 &:= \{\xi\}_{A_i} \times \{\eta\}_{A_{i+1}} \times \{\xi\}_{A_{i+2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E10})$$

Then we define another subcube  $\mathcal{P}'_{K'}$  by preserving  $\mathcal{P}'_{K'}^{(A_j)} = \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_j)}$  for  $j \notin \{i, i+1, i+2\}$ , and

$$\mathcal{P}'_{K'}^{(A_i)} \times \mathcal{P}'_{K'}^{(A_{i+1})} \times \mathcal{P}'_{K'}^{(A_{i+2})} = \begin{cases} \{\eta\}_{A_i} \times \{\xi\}_{A_{i+1}} \times \{\eta\}_{A_{i+2}} & \text{if } \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_i)} \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i+1})} \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i+2})} = \mathcal{F}_1, \\ \{\eta\}_{A_i} \times \{\xi\}_{A_{i+1}} \times \{2\}_{A_{i+2}} & \text{if } \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_i)} \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i+1})} \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i+2})} = \mathcal{F}_2, \\ \{0\}_{A_i} \times \{\xi\}_{A_{i+1}} \times \{\eta\}_{A_{i+2}} & \text{if } \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_i)} \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i+1})} \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i+2})} = \mathcal{F}_3, \\ \{0\}_{A_i} \times \{\xi\}_{A_{i+1}} \times \{2\}_{A_{i+2}} & \text{if } \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_i)} \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i+1})} \times \mathcal{P}_K^{(A_{i+2})} = \mathcal{F}_4. \end{cases} \quad (\text{E11})$$

Then we can check that  $|K'|$  is still even and  $\mathcal{P}'_{K'}$  satisfies Table I, so  $\mathcal{P}'_{K'} \in \{\mathcal{C}_K, \mathcal{D}_K\}_{K \in \Lambda}$ . Moreover, we consider an vector  $v \in \mathcal{P}_K$  with  $v^{(A_i)} = \{2\}_{A_i}$ ,  $v^{(A_{i+1})} = \{1\}_{A_{i+1}}$  and  $v^{(A_{i+2})} \in \{\{0\}_{A_{i+2}}, \{2\}_{A_{i+2}}\}$ . Next, define  $v'$ , where  $v'^{(A_k)} = v^{(A_k)}$  for  $k \neq i$ , and  $v'^{(A_i)} = \{0\}_{A_i}$ . Then by definition of  $\mathcal{P}'_{K'}$ , we know that  $v' \in \mathcal{P}'_{K'}$ . Since  $\{0, 2\}_{A_i} \subseteq E_{A_i}$ , there must be  $v' \in E$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{P}'_{K'} \subset E$  and  $\mathcal{P}'_{K'}^{(A_{i+1})} = \{\xi\}_{A_{i+1}}$ . This contradicts that  $E_{A_{i+1}} = \{\eta\}_{A_{i+1}}$ .

Thus we proved  $\{0, 2\}^N \subseteq E$  by contradiction, and it means that  $E = \mathbb{Z}_3^N$ . Then there are nonzero  $a_{j_i}^{(A_i)}$  for  $j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$  and  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , such that

$$|\psi\rangle = \left( \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_3} a_{j_1}^{(A_1)} |j_1\rangle \right)_{A_1} \otimes \left( \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_3} a_{j_2}^{(A_2)} |j_2\rangle \right)_{A_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \left( \sum_{j_N \in \mathbb{Z}_3} a_{j_N}^{(A_N)} |j_N\rangle \right)_{A_N}. \quad (\text{E12})$$

Next, for all  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} |v_i\rangle &:= |0\rangle_{A_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes |0\rangle_{A_{i-1}} \otimes |1\rangle_{A_i} \otimes |0\rangle_{A_{i+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes |0\rangle_{A_N}, \\ |v'_i\rangle &:= |0\rangle_{A_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes |0\rangle_{A_{i-1}} \otimes |2\rangle_{A_i} \otimes |0\rangle_{A_{i+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes |0\rangle_{A_N}, \\ \mathcal{R}_i &:= \{0\}_{A_1} \times \{0\}_{A_2} \times \cdots \times \{\xi\}_{A_i} \times \{\eta\}_{A_{i+1}} \times \cdots \times \{0\}_{A_N}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E13})$$

Then  $\mathcal{R}_i \in \{\mathcal{C}_K, \mathcal{D}_K\}_{K \in \Lambda}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , and  $v_i, v'_i \in \mathcal{R}_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . By computing the coefficients of Eq. (E12), for  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , we have

$$\prod_{j \neq i} a_0^{(A_j)} \cdot a_1^{(A_i)} = \text{Coeff. of } |v_i\rangle = b_{\mathcal{R}_i} = \text{Coeff. of } |v'_i\rangle = \prod_{j \neq i} a_0^{(A_j)} \cdot a_2^{(A_i)} \quad (\text{E14})$$

Then it implies that  $a_1^{(A_i)} = a_2^{(A_i)}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . Moreover, by using

$$\begin{aligned} &|2\rangle_{A_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes |2\rangle_{A_{i-1}} \otimes |0\rangle_{A_i} \otimes |2\rangle_{A_{i+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes |2\rangle_{A_N}, \\ &|2\rangle_{A_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes |2\rangle_{A_{i-1}} \otimes |1\rangle_{A_i} \otimes |2\rangle_{A_{i+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes |2\rangle_{A_N}, \\ &\{2\}_{A_1} \times \{2\}_{A_2} \times \cdots \times \{\eta\}_{A_i} \times \{\xi\}_{A_{i+1}} \times \cdots \times \{2\}_{A_N}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E15})$$

we can also obtain that  $a_1^{(A_i)} = a_0^{(A_i)}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$  by a similar argument as above. Therefore, we conclude that

$$|\psi\rangle = \bigotimes_{i=1}^N \left( a_0^{(A_i)} (|0\rangle + |1\rangle + |2\rangle)_{A_i} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^N a_0^{(A_i)} \cdot |S\rangle, \quad (\text{E16})$$

which is not orthogonal to  $|S\rangle$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

- 
- [1] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and K. Horodecki, “Quantum entanglement,” *Reviews of Modern Physics*, vol. 81, no. 2, p. 865, 2009.
  - [2] N. Brunner, D. Cavalcanti, S. Pironio, V. Scarani, and S. Wehner, “Bell nonlocality,” *Reviews of Modern Physics*, vol. 86, no. 2, p. 419, 2014.
  - [3] C. H. Bennett, D. P. Divincenzo, C. A. Fuchs, T. Mor, E. M. Rains, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin, and W. K. Wootters, “Quantum nonlocality without entanglement,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 1070–1091, 1999.
  - [4] D. P. Divincenzo, T. Mor, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin, and B. M. Terhal, “Unextendible product bases, uncompletable product bases and bound entanglement,” *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 238, no. 3, pp. 379–410, 2003.

- [5] Y. Feng and Y. Shi, “Characterizing locally indistinguishable orthogonal product states,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 55, no. 6, pp. p.2799–2806, 2009.
- [6] J. Niset and N. J. Cerf, “Multipartite nonlocality without entanglement in many dimensions,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 74, p. 052103, 2006.
- [7] Y. Yang, F. Gao, G. Tian, T. Cao, and Q. Wen, “Local distinguishability of orthogonal quantum states in a  $2 \otimes 2 \otimes 2$  system,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 88, no. 2, p. 024301, 2013.
- [8] S. Halder, “Several nonlocal sets of multipartite pure orthogonal product states,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 98, p. 022303, 2018.
- [9] G. Xu, Q. Wen, F. Gao, S. Qin, and H. Zuo, “Local indistinguishability of multipartite orthogonal product bases,” *Quantum Information Processing*, vol. 16, no. 11, p. 276, 2017.
- [10] Y.-L. Wang, M.-S. Li, Z.-J. Zheng, and S.-M. Fei, “The local indistinguishability of multipartite product states,” *Quantum Information Processing*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2017.
- [11] Z.-C. Zhang, K.-J. Zhang, F. Gao, Q.-Y. Wen, and C. H. Oh, “Construction of nonlocal multipartite quantum states,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 95, p. 052344, 2017.
- [12] S. Ghosh, G. Kar, A. Roy, and D. Sarkar, “Distinguishability of maximally entangled states,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 70, p. 022304, 2004.
- [13] H. Fan, “Distinguishability and indistinguishability by local operations and classical communication,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 92, p. 177905, 2004.
- [14] M. Nathanson, “Distinguishing bipartite orthogonal states using locc: Best and worst cases,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 46, no. 6, p. 062103, 2005.
- [15] N. Yu, R. Duan, and M. Ying, “Any  $2 \otimes n$  subspace is locally distinguishable,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 84, p. 012304, 2011.
- [16] R. Duan, Y. Feng, Z. Ji, and M. Ying, “Distinguishing arbitrary multipartite basis unambiguously using local operations and classical communication,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 98, p. 230502, 2007.
- [17] S. Bandyopadhyay, S. Ghosh, and G. Kar, “Locc distinguishability of unilaterally transformable quantum states,” *New Journal of Physics*, vol. 13, no. 12, p. 123013, 2011.
- [18] A. Cosentino, “Positive-partial-transpose-indistinguishable states via semidefinite programming,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 87, no. 1, 2013.
- [19] N. Yu, R. Duan, and M. Ying, “Four locally indistinguishable ququad-ququad orthogonal maximally entangled states,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 109, no. 2, p. 020506, 2012.
- [20] S. Bandyopadhyay, “Entanglement, mixedness, and perfect local discrimination of orthogonal quantum states,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 85, p. 042319, 2012.
- [21] S. Halder, M. Banik, S. Agrawal, and S. Bandyopadhyay, “Strong quantum nonlocality without entanglement,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 122, no. 4, p. 040403, 2019.
- [22] P. Yuan, G. Tian, and X. Sun, “Strong quantum nonlocality without entanglement in multipartite quantum systems,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 102, p. 042228, 2020.
- [23] F. Shi, M.-S. Li, M. Hu, L. Chen, M.-H. Yung, Y.-L. Wang, and X. Zhang, “Strong quantum nonlocality from hypercubes,” *arXiv:2110.08461*, 2021.
- [24] —, “Strongly nonlocal unextendible product bases do exist,” *Quantum*, vol. 6, p. 619, 2022.
- [25] F. Shi, M.-S. Li, L. Chen, and X. Zhang, “Strong quantum nonlocality for unextendible product bases in heterogeneous systems,” *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, vol. 55, no. 1, p. 015305, 2021.
- [26] F. Shi, M. Hu, L. Chen, and X. Zhang, “Strong quantum nonlocality with entanglement,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 102, p. 042202, 2020.
- [27] F. Shi, Z. Ye, L. Chen, and X. Zhang, “Strong quantum nonlocality in  $n$ -partite systems,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 105, no. 2, p. 022209, 2022.
- [28] C. H. Bennett, D. P. DiVincenzo, T. Mor, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin, and B. M. Terhal, “Unextendible product bases and bound entanglement,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 82, no. 26, p. 5385, 1999.
- [29] R. Augusiak, T. Fritz, M. Kotowski, M. Kotowski, M. Pawłowski, M. Lewenstein, and A. Acín, “Tight bell inequalities with no quantum violation from qubit unextendible product bases,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 85, no. 4, p. 042113, 2012.
- [30] R. Augusiak, J. Stasińska, C. Hadley, J. Korbicz, M. Lewenstein, and A. Acín, “Bell inequalities with no quantum violation and unextendable product bases,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 107, no. 7, p. 070401, 2011.
- [31] J. Chen, L. Chen, and B. Zeng, “Unextendible product basis for fermionic systems,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 55, no. 8, 2014.
- [32] S. De Rinaldis, “Distinguishability of complete and unextendible product bases,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 70, no. 2, p. 022309, 2004.
- [33] F. Shi, X. Zhang, and L. Chen, “Unextendible product bases from tile structures and their local entanglement-assisted distinguishability,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 101, no. 6, p. 062329, 2020.
- [34] S. Agrawal, S. Halder, and M. Banik, “Genuinely entangled subspace with all-encompassing distillable entanglement across every bipartition,” *Physical Review A*, vol. 99, no. 3, 2019.