

Quantized function algebras at $q = 0$: type A_n case

MANABENDRA GIRI^{*1} AND ARUP KUMAR PAL^{†1}

¹Indian Statistical Institute, Delhi, INDIA

May 17, 2023

Abstract

We define the notion of quantised function algebras at $q = 0$ for the q deformations of the type A_n compact Lie groups at the C^* -algebra level. The C^* -algebra $A_n(0)$ is defined as a universal C^* -algebra given by a finite set of generators and relations. We obtain these relations by looking at the irreducible representations of the quantised function algebras for $q > 0$ and taking limit as $q \rightarrow 0+$ after rescaling the generating elements appropriately. We then focus on the case $n = 2$ and prove that irreducible representations $A_2(0)$ are precisely the $q \rightarrow 0+$ limits of the irreducible representations of the C^* -algebras $A_2(q)$.

AMS Subject Classification No.: 17B37, 20G42, 46L67,

Keywords. Quantum groups, q -deformation, quantized function algebras, representations.

1 Introduction

The theory of crystal bases was developed independently by Kashiwara ([6], [5], [7], [8], [9], [10]) and Lusztig ([14], [15]) in the early 1990's. For a broad class of Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} , they observed that even though the quantised universal enveloping algebras $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ do not exist at $q = 0$, when one looks at a finite dimensional module over $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, one can renormalize the actions of the generating elements in such a way that they continue to make sense at $q = 0$. This was the starting point of the theory which later found remarkable applications in representations of classical groups where one can use the theory to construct good bases for finite dimensional representations of a complex simple Lie algebra. This is considered to be one of the major achievements of quantum group theory.

^{*}manabgiri18r@isid.ac.in, manabendra991@gmail.com

[†]arup@isid.ac.in, arupkpal@gmail.com

The coordinate ring of regular functions for the q -deformation of a complex simple Lie group G can be viewed as a module over the corresponding quantised universal enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of the group G . Crystallisation of these modules were studied by Kashiwara in [8] while developing his theory of crystal bases. Crystallisation of the C^* -algebraic counterpart of the coordinate rings, i.e. the C^* -algebra of ‘continuous functions’ for the q -deformation G_q of compact Lie groups G , however, have not been investigated in the literature. For the quantum $SU(2)$ group, Woronowicz had described the C^* -algebra $C(SU_q(2))$ at $q = 0$ in [18], though it did not seem to appear again anywhere in the literature, except possibly by Hong & Szymanski ([4]) who observed that it is a graph C^* -algebra. However, very recently Chakraborty & Pal ([1]) demonstrated a very interesting application of this C^* -algebra in proving an approximate equivalence between the GNS representation of the Haar state of $SU_q(2)$ and another well known faithful representation of the same C^* -algebra. This approximate equivalence, in turn, has interesting applications in and connections with noncommutative geometry and topology. In particular it gives a KK -class via Cuntz’s notion of quasimorphisms and also gives a generalized Fredholm representation of the dual quantum group $\widehat{SU_q(2)}$ ([1]). Chakraborty & Pal obtained the unitary giving their approximate equivalence by using the C^* -algebra $C(SU_q(2))$ at $q = 0$. A similar equivalence (or approximate equivalence) in the case of $SU_q(3)$ or more generally for q -deformations of compact Lie groups should be an important tool in understanding these quantum groups and their geometry better. Therefore it seems worthwhile to investigate the crystallisation of quantised function algebras for the q -deformations of compact Lie groups in the C^* -algebra set up. In the present paper our goal is to initiate a study in this direction. In particular, we will describe and study the C^* -algebras $C(G_q)$ at $q = 0$ where G is a type A_n compact Lie group.

For the rest of the paper, we will denote by $A_n(q)$ the C^* -algebra $C(SU_q(n+1))$, where $q \in [0, 1)$. The C^* -algebras $A_n(q)$ for $q \neq 0$ are given by a set of generators and relations. In order to obtain the C^* -algebra $A_n(0)$, one needs to obtain ‘these relations at $q = 0$ ’ and then look at the universal C^* -algebra given by them. Our first aim is to obtain those relations. Recall that in Kashiwara’s work, while crystallising an $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ module, the passage to $q = 0$ is done through quotienting by an appropriate ideal, but the actions of the generating elements of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ need to be ‘renormalized’ before the quotienting procedure so that one gets something meaningful after quotienting. We will use a limiting procedure instead of quotienting. Similar to the Crystal bases case, we will make an appropriate scaling or renormalization before taking limits. We do this in Section 2, where we look at the irreducible representations of the C^* -algebra $A_n(q)$ for $q \neq 0$ and the actions of the generating elements as $q \rightarrow 0+$. After rescaling the generators and taking limits, we come up with a set of relations that we use to define the crystallised C^* -algebra $A_n(0)$ as the universal C^* -algebra given by these relations. In Section 3, we focus on the C^* -algebra $A_2(0)$ more closely. In particular, we show that all the irreducible

representations arise exactly as limits of irreducible representations of $A_2(q)$ as $q \rightarrow 0+$. As a simple application, we prove that $A_2(0)$ has a natural coproduct making it a compact quantum semigroup, but it is not a compact quantum group.

2 The crystallised C^* -algebra $A_n(0)$

We will start by recalling a few facts on the quantum group $SU_q(n+1)$. For details, we refer the reader to ([12]), (Chapters 3–5, [17]), (Chapters 8–9, [11]) and (Chapter 3, [13]). Let π^1 denote the fundamental representation of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{C}))$ and let $((t_{i,j}))$ denote the matrix of $\pi^1(\cdot)$ with respect to some fixed basis. Let $R_q = \sum R_{k,l}^{i,j} E_{i,k} \otimes E_{j,l}$ be the R -matrix for this representation and let $\hat{R}_q = \sigma \circ R_q$, where σ is the flip operator. Then the equality $\hat{R}_q(\pi^1 \oplus \pi^1)(\cdot) = (\pi^1 \oplus \pi^1)(\cdot) \hat{R}_q$ gives the following commutation relations (Equations (2.1–2.2), [12]):

$$t_{i,j} t_{i,l} = q t_{i,l} t_{i,j} \quad \text{if } j < l, \quad (2.1)$$

$$t_{i,j} t_{k,j} = q t_{k,j} t_{i,j} \quad \text{if } i < k, \quad (2.2)$$

$$t_{i,l} t_{k,j} = t_{k,j} t_{i,l} \quad \text{if } i < k \text{ and } j < l, \quad (2.3)$$

$$t_{i,j} t_{k,l} - t_{k,l} t_{i,j} = (q - q^{-1}) t_{i,l} t_{k,j} \quad \text{if } i < k \text{ and } j < l. \quad (2.4)$$

The quantum determinant for the matrix $((t_{i,j}))$ is given by (see Equation (2.7), [12] or Chapter 4, [17])

$$D_q = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n+1}} (-q)^{\ell(\sigma)} t_{1,\sigma(1)} t_{2,\sigma(2)} \cdots t_{n+1,\sigma(n+1)}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\ell(\sigma)$ denotes the length of the permutation σ . Let $D_q^{s,r}$ denote the (s, r) th cofactor of the matrix $((t_{i,j}))$. Then one has

$$((t_{i,j}))((-q)^{r-s} D_q^{s,r}) = (((-q)^{r-s} D_q^{s,r}))((t_{i,j})) = D_q I.$$

It is also known that the quantum determinant D_q is a central element of the algebra (Theorem 4.6.1, page-50, [17]). It follows from the centrality result that

$$D_q^{s,r} t_{i,j} = t_{i,j} D_q^{s,r} \quad \text{if } i \neq r, j \neq s.$$

The compact real form of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{C}))$ that gives us the compact quantum group $SU_q(n+1)$ makes the matrix $((t_{i,j}))$ unitary and therefore one has

$$D_q = 1, \quad (2.6)$$

$$t_{r,s}^* = (-q)^{s-r} D_q^{r,s}. \quad (2.7)$$

Consequently, one also has

$$t_{i,j}t_{r,s}^* = t_{r,s}^*t_{i,j}, \quad i \neq r, j \neq s. \quad (2.8)$$

Next let us briefly recall the irreducible representations of the C^* -algebra $A_n(q)$. The Weyl group for $SU_q(n+1)$ is isomorphic to the permutation group \mathfrak{S}_{n+1} on $n+1$ symbols. Denote by s_i the transposition $(i, i+1)$. Then $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ form a set of generators for \mathfrak{S}_{n+1} . For $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \leq b$, denote by $s_{[a,b]}$ the product $s_b s_{b-1} \dots s_a$. Let $\omega = s_{[a_1, b_1]} s_{[a_2, b_2]} \dots s_{[a_k, b_k]}$, where $1 \leq b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_k \leq n$. Then ω is a reduced word in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1} .

Let S be the left shift operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$:

$$S e_n = \begin{cases} e_{n-1} & \text{if } n \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Denote by $\psi_{s_k}^{(q)}$ the following representation of $A_2(q)$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$:

$$\psi_{s_k}^{(q)}(t_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} S\sqrt{I - q^{2N}} & \text{if } i = j = k, \\ \sqrt{I - q^{2N}}S^* & \text{if } i = j = k+1, \\ -q^{N+1} & \text{if } i = k, j = k+1, \\ q^N & \text{if } i = k+1, j = k, \\ \delta_{i,j}I & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

For a reduced word $\omega = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_k} \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$, define $\psi_\omega^{(q)}$ to be $\psi_{s_{i_1}}^{(q)} * \psi_{s_{i_2}}^{(q)} * \dots * \psi_{s_{i_k}}^{(q)}$. Here, for two representations ϕ and ψ , $\phi * \psi$ denote the representation $(\phi \otimes \psi)\Delta_q$.

Next, let $\lambda \equiv (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in (S^1)^n$. Define

$$\chi_\lambda(t_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} \lambda_i \delta_{i,j} & \text{if } i = 1, \\ \bar{\lambda}_n \delta_{i,j} & \text{if } i = n+1, \\ \bar{\lambda}_{i-1} \lambda_i \delta_{i,j} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

It is well-known (Theorem 6.2.7, page 121, [13]) that for any reduced word $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ and a tuple $\lambda \equiv (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in (S^1)^n$, the representation

$$\psi_{\lambda, \omega}^{(q)} := \chi_\lambda * \psi_\omega^{(q)} \quad (2.11)$$

is an irreducible representation of $A_n(q)$, and these give all the irreducible representations of $A_n(q)$.

The following is the key observation in getting the commutation relations used to define the quantised function algebra at $q = 0$.

Proposition 2.1 *For any irreducible representation π of $A_n(q)$ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , the norm limits $\lim_{q \rightarrow 0+} \pi(t_{i,j}(q))$ exist for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n+1$.*

Moreover, for $i < j$, the norm limits $\lim_{q \rightarrow 0+} (-q)^{i-j} \pi(t_{i,j}(q))$ exist.

Proof: Observe that if the above limits exist for two representations π_1 and π_2 , then the limits also exist for the representation $\pi_1 * \pi_2$. This is immediate from the equality

$$\pi_1 * \pi_2(t_{i,j}(q)) = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \pi_1(t_{i,k}(q)) \otimes \pi_2(t_{k,j}(q)).$$

Therefore the proof reduces to a simple verification of the existence of the limits for the representations χ_λ and ψ_{s_k} using (2.9) and (2.10). \square

Remark 2.2 1. From the second part of the result, it follows that for $i < j$, one has $\lim_{q \rightarrow 0+} \pi(t_{i,j}(q)) = 0$.

2. The two statements in the above result can be combined into the following:

the limit $\lim_{q \rightarrow 0+} (-q)^{(i-j) \wedge 0} \pi(t_{i,j}(q))$ exists and is finite for each irreducible representation π of $A_n(q)$.

For an irreducible representation π of $A_n(q)$, let us define

$$Z_{i,j}^\pi := \begin{cases} \lim_{q \rightarrow 0+} \pi(t_{i,j}(q)) & \text{if } i \geq j, \\ \lim_{q \rightarrow 0+} (-q)^{i-j} \pi(t_{i,j}(q)) & \text{if } i < j. \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

Proposition 2.3 *For any irreducible representation π of $A_n(q)$, the operators $Z_{i,j} \equiv Z_{i,j}^\pi$ satisfy the following commutation relations:*

$$Z_{i,j} Z_{i,l} = 0 \quad \text{if } j < l, \quad (2.13)$$

$$Z_{i,j} Z_{k,j} = 0 \quad \text{if } i < k, \quad (2.14)$$

$$Z_{i,l} Z_{k,j} = 0 \quad \text{if } \begin{cases} j < l \leq i < k, \\ i < k \leq j < l. \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

$$Z_{i,l} Z_{k,j} - Z_{k,j} Z_{i,l} = 0 \quad \text{if } i < k \text{ and } j < l. \quad (2.16)$$

$$Z_{i,j} Z_{k,l} - Z_{k,l} Z_{i,j} = 0 \quad \text{if } \begin{cases} i < j < j+1 < k < l, \\ i < j < j+1 < l \leq k, \\ j \leq i < i+1 < k < l, \\ j \leq i < i+1 < l \leq k, \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

$$Z_{i,j}Z_{k,l} - Z_{k,l}Z_{i,j} = Z_{i,l}Z_{k,j} \quad \text{if} \quad \begin{cases} i < j < j+1 = k < l, \\ i < j < j+1 = l \leq k, \\ j \leq i < i+1 = k < l, \\ j \leq i < i+1 = l \leq k. \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

Proof: The proof is a careful verification in each of the cases using the relations (2.1–2.4) and Proposition 2.1. First observe that the $Y_{i,j}$'s satisfy the same relations (2.1–2.4) as the $t_{i,j}$'s. Therefore the relations (2.13), (2.14) and (2.16) follow from (2.1–2.3). Similarly the relations in (2.15) follow from (2.4). Let us next prove the first relation in (2.17). Let $i < j < j+1 < k < l$. Note that in this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{i,j} &= \lim_{q \rightarrow 0+} (-q)^{i-j} \pi(t_{i,j}(q)), & Z_{k,l} &= \lim_{q \rightarrow 0+} (-q)^{k-l} \pi(t_{k,l}(q)), \\ Z_{i,l} &= \lim_{q \rightarrow 0+} (-q)^{i-l} \pi(t_{i,l}(q)), & Z_{k,j} &= \lim_{q \rightarrow 0+} \pi(t_{k,j}(q)). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(-q)^{i-j} t_{i,j} (-q)^{k-l} t_{k,l} - (-q)^{k-l} t_{k,l} (-q)^{i-j} t_{i,j} \\ &= (-q)^{i-j+k-l} (q - q^{-1}) t_{i,l} t_{k,j} \\ &= (-q)^{k-j} (q - q^{-1}) q^{i-l} t_{i,l} t_{k,j} \\ &= (-1)^{k-j} (q^{k-j+1} - q^{k-j-1}) q^{i-l} t_{i,l} t_{k,j}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $k - j - 1 > 0$, it follows that $Z_{i,j}Z_{k,l} - Z_{k,l}Z_{i,j} = 0$. Proofs of the remaining relations in (2.17) are similar.

Next we will prove the first relation in (2.18). Let $i < j < j+1 = k < l$. From (2.4), as before we have

$$(-q)^{i-j} t_{i,j} (-q)^{k-l} t_{k,l} - (-q)^{k-l} t_{k,l} (-q)^{i-j} t_{i,j} = (-1)^{k-j} (q^{k-j+1} - q^{k-j-1}) q^{i-l} t_{i,l} t_{k,j}.$$

In this case, since $k - j = 1$, we have $Z_{i,j}Z_{k,l} - Z_{k,l}Z_{i,j} = Z_{i,l}Z_{k,j}$. Proofs of the remaining relations are similar. \square

Remark 2.4 The relations (2.13–2.18) above can be rewritten in a slightly more compact form

as follows

$$Z_{i,j}Z_{i,l} = 0 \quad \text{if } j < l, \quad (2.19)$$

$$Z_{i,j}Z_{k,j} = 0 \quad \text{if } i < k, \quad (2.20)$$

$$Z_{i,l}Z_{k,j} - Z_{k,j}Z_{i,l} = 0 \quad \text{if } i < k \text{ and } j < l. \quad (2.21)$$

$$Z_{i,l}Z_{k,j} = 0 \quad \text{if } i < k, j < l \text{ and } \max\{i, j\} \geq \min\{k, l\}, \quad (2.22)$$

$$Z_{i,j}Z_{k,l} - Z_{k,l}Z_{i,j} = 0 \quad \text{if } i < k, j < l \text{ and } \max\{i, j\} + 1 < \min\{k, l\}, \quad (2.23)$$

$$Z_{i,j}Z_{k,l} - Z_{k,l}Z_{i,j} = Z_{i,l}Z_{k,j} \quad \text{if } i < k, j < l \text{ and } \max\{i, j\} + 1 = \min\{k, l\}, \quad (2.24)$$

Proposition 2.5 *For any irreducible representation π of $A_n(q)$, one has*

$$Z_{1,1}Z_{2,2} \dots Z_{n+1,n+1} = I. \quad (2.25)$$

Proof: From (2.6) one gets $\pi(D_q) = I$ and the result follows by taking limit as $q \rightarrow 0+$. \square

From (2.7), it follows that for any representation π of $A_n(q)$, one has

$$(\pi(t_{r,s}(q)))^* = (-q)^{s-r} \pi(D_q^{r,s}). \quad (2.26)$$

We will need the following lemma in the proof of the next proposition.

Lemma 2.6 *Let $1 \leq s < r \leq n+1$. Let*

$$i_k = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } 1 \leq k < r, \\ k+1 & \text{if } r \leq k \leq n, \end{cases} \quad j_k = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } 1 \leq k < s, \\ k+1 & \text{if } s \leq k \leq n, \end{cases}$$

Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$. Then

$$\sum_{k: j_{\sigma(k)} > i_k} (j_{\sigma(k)} - i_k) \geq r - s.$$

Proof: The required inequality follows from the following simple computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k: j_{\sigma(k)} > i_k} (j_{\sigma(k)} - i_k) &\geq \sum_{k=1}^n (j_{\sigma(k)} - i_k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n j_{\sigma(k)} - \sum_{k=1}^n i_k \\ &= \left(\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2} - s \right) - \left(\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2} - r \right) \\ &= r - s. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.7 *For any irreducible representation π of $A_n(q)$, one has*

$$Z_{r,s}^* = \begin{cases} Z_{1,1} \cdots Z_{s-1,s-1} Z_{s,s+1} Z_{s+1,s+2} \cdots Z_{r-1,r} Z_{r+1,r+1} \cdots Z_{n+1,n+1} & \text{if } r > s, \\ Z_{1,1} \cdots Z_{r-1,r-1} Z_{r+1,r} Z_{r+2,r+1} \cdots Z_{s,s-1} Z_{s+1,s+1} \cdots Z_{n+1,n+1} & \text{if } r < s, \\ Z_{1,1} \cdots Z_{s-1,s-1} Z_{s+1,s+1} Z_{s+2,s+2} \cdots Z_{n+1,n+1} & \text{if } r = s. \end{cases} \quad (2.27)$$

Proof: We will denote the operator $\pi(t_{i,j}(q))$ by $Y_{i,j}^\pi \equiv Y_{i,j}^\pi(q)$ in this proof. From equation (2.26), we get

$$(Y_{r,s}^\pi)^* = (-q)^{s-r} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} (-q)^{\ell(\sigma)} Y_{i_1, j_{\sigma(1)}}^\pi \cdots Y_{i_n, j_{\sigma(n)}}^\pi \quad (2.28)$$

$$= (-q)^{s-r} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} (-q)^{\ell(\sigma)} Y_{1, \tilde{\sigma}(1)}^\pi \cdots Y_{r-1, \tilde{\sigma}(r-1)}^\pi Y_{r+1, \tilde{\sigma}(r+1)}^\pi \cdots Y_{n+1, \tilde{\sigma}(n+1)}^\pi, \quad (2.29)$$

where i_k and j_k are as in Lemma 2.6 and $\tilde{\sigma} \in \mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ is the permutation that takes $i_k \mapsto j_{\sigma(k)}$ for all $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and takes r to s .

Now let us look at the case $r < s$ first. In this case, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (-q)^{r-s} (Y_{r,s}^\pi)^* &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} (-q)^{\ell(\sigma)} Y_{1, \tilde{\sigma}(1)}^\pi \cdots Y_{r-1, \tilde{\sigma}(r-1)}^\pi Y_{r+1, \tilde{\sigma}(r+1)}^\pi \cdots Y_{n+1, \tilde{\sigma}(n+1)}^\pi \\ &= Y_{1,1}^\pi Y_{2,2}^\pi \cdots Y_{r-1,r-1}^\pi Y_{r+1,r}^\pi \cdots Y_{s,s-1}^\pi Y_{s+1,s+1}^\pi \cdots Y_{n+1,n+1}^\pi \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n \\ \sigma \neq id}} (-q)^{\ell(\sigma)} Y_{1, \tilde{\sigma}(1)}^\pi \cdots Y_{r-1, \tilde{\sigma}(r-1)}^\pi Y_{r+1, \tilde{\sigma}(r+1)}^\pi \cdots Y_{n+1, \tilde{\sigma}(n+1)}^\pi. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $q \rightarrow 0+$, one gets

$$Z_{r,s}^* = Z_{1,1} \cdots Z_{r-1,r-1} Z_{r+1,r} Z_{r+2,r+1} \cdots Z_{s,s-1} Z_{s+1,s+1} \cdots Z_{n+1,n+1}.$$

For $r = s$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (Y_{s,s}^\pi)^* &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} (-q)^{\ell(\sigma)} Y_{1, \tilde{\sigma}(1)}^\pi \cdots Y_{s-1, \tilde{\sigma}(s-1)}^\pi Y_{s+1, \tilde{\sigma}(s+1)}^\pi \cdots Y_{n+1, \tilde{\sigma}(n+1)}^\pi \\ &= Y_{1,1}^\pi Y_{2,2}^\pi \cdots Y_{s-1,s-1}^\pi Y_{s+1,s+1}^\pi \cdots Y_{n+1,n+1}^\pi \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n \\ \sigma \neq id}} (-q)^{\ell(\sigma)} Y_{1, \tilde{\sigma}(1)}^\pi \cdots Y_{s-1, \tilde{\sigma}(s-1)}^\pi Y_{s+1, \tilde{\sigma}(s+1)}^\pi \cdots Y_{n+1, \tilde{\sigma}(n+1)}^\pi. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $q \rightarrow 0+$, one gets

$$Z_{s,s}^* = Z_{1,1} \cdots Z_{s-1,s-1} Z_{s+1,s+1} Z_{s+2,s+2} \cdots Z_{n+1,n+1}.$$

For $r > s$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(Y_{r,s}^\pi)^* &= (-q)^{s-r} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n \\ \sigma \neq id}} (-q)^{\ell(\sigma)} Y_{1,\tilde{\sigma}(1)}^\pi \cdots Y_{r-1,\tilde{\sigma}(r-1)}^\pi Y_{r+1,\tilde{\sigma}(r+1)}^\pi \cdots Y_{n+1,\tilde{\sigma}(n+1)}^\pi \\
&= (-q)^{s-r} Y_{1,1}^\pi Y_{2,2}^\pi \cdots Y_{s-1,s-1}^\pi Y_{s,s+1}^\pi \cdots Y_{r-1,r}^\pi Y_{r+1,r+1}^\pi \cdots Y_{n+1,n+1}^\pi \\
&\quad + (-q)^{s-r} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n \\ \sigma \neq id}} (-q)^{\ell(\sigma)} Y_{1,\tilde{\sigma}(1)}^\pi \cdots Y_{r-1,\tilde{\sigma}(r-1)}^\pi Y_{r+1,\tilde{\sigma}(r+1)}^\pi \cdots Y_{n+1,\tilde{\sigma}(n+1)}^\pi.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $q \rightarrow 0+$ and by Lemma 2.6, it follows that

$$Z_{r,s}^* = Z_{1,1} \cdots Z_{s-1,s-1} Z_{s,s+1} Z_{s+1,s+2} \cdots Z_{r-1,r} Z_{r+1,r+1} \cdots Z_{n+1,n+1}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.8 *For any irreducible representation π of $A_n(q)$, the operators $Z_{i,j} \equiv Z_{i,j}^\pi$ satisfy the following relations:*

$$Z_{i,j}^* Z_{r,s} = Z_{r,s} Z_{i,j}^*, \quad i \neq r, j \neq s. \quad (2.30)$$

Proof: This is immediate from (2.8) and Proposition 2.1. \square

Theorem 2.9 *There is a universal C^* -algebra generated by elements $z_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n+1$ satisfying the following relations:*

$$z_{i,j} z_{i,l} = 0 \quad \text{if } j < l, \quad (2.31)$$

$$z_{i,j} z_{k,j} = 0 \quad \text{if } i < k, \quad (2.32)$$

$$z_{i,l} z_{k,j} - z_{k,j} z_{i,l} = 0 \quad \text{if } i < k \text{ and } j < l. \quad (2.33)$$

$$z_{i,l} z_{k,j} = 0 \quad \text{if } i < k, j < l \text{ and } \max\{i, j\} \geq \min\{k, l\}, \quad (2.34)$$

$$z_{i,j} z_{k,l} - z_{k,l} z_{i,j} = 0 \quad \text{if } i < k, j < l \text{ and } \max\{i, j\} + 1 < \min\{k, l\}, \quad (2.35)$$

$$z_{i,j} z_{k,l} - z_{k,l} z_{i,j} = z_{i,l} z_{k,j} \quad \text{if } i < k, j < l \text{ and } \max\{i, j\} + 1 = \min\{k, l\}, \quad (2.36)$$

$$z_{1,1} z_{2,2} \cdots z_{n+1,n+1} = 1, \quad (2.37)$$

$$z_{r,s}^* = \begin{cases} (z_{1,1} \cdots z_{s-1,s-1}) (z_{s,s+1} z_{s+1,s+2} \cdots z_{r-1,r}) (z_{r+1,r+1} \cdots z_{n+1,n+1}) & \text{if } r > s, \\ (z_{1,1} \cdots z_{r-1,r-1}) (z_{r+1,r} z_{r+2,r+1} \cdots z_{s,s-1}) (z_{s+1,s+1} \cdots z_{n+1,n+1}) & \text{if } r < s, \\ (z_{1,1} \cdots z_{s-1,s-1}) (z_{s+1,s+1} z_{s+2,s+2} \cdots z_{n+1,n+1}) & \text{if } r = s. \end{cases} \quad (2.38)$$

$$z_{i,j}^* z_{r,s} = z_{r,s} z_{i,j}^*, \quad i \neq r, j \neq s. \quad (2.39)$$

Proof: Let \mathcal{H} be the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Let $1 \leq k \leq n$ and let

$$\pi(z_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} S & \text{if } i = j = k, \\ S^* & \text{if } i = j = k + 1, \\ |e_0\rangle\langle e_0| & \text{if } i = k, j = k + 1, \\ |e_0\rangle\langle e_0| & \text{if } i = k + 1, j = k, \\ \delta_{i,j} I & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $|e_0\rangle\langle e_0|$ is the projection onto the span of e_0 . Then π gives a representation of the relations (2.31–2.39).

It follows from the relations (2.35–2.39) that

$$\sum_{k=1}^j z_{j,k} z_{j,k}^* = 1 = \sum_{k=j}^{n+1} z_{k,j}^* z_{k,j}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n + 1. \quad (2.40)$$

Therefore we have $\|\pi(z_{i,j})\| \leq 1$ for all $i \geq j$. Using (2.38), one then concludes that $\|\pi(z_{i,j})\| \leq 1$ for all $i < j$. Thus $\|\pi(z_{i,j})\| \leq 1$ for all i, j . The rest of the proof is now standard and follows from the fact that for any polynomial a in the $z_{i,j}$'s and $z_{i,j}^*$'s, there is a positive real K such that $\|\pi(a)\| \leq K$ for any representation π of the relations (2.31–2.39). \square

We will conclude this section with two important remarks.

Remark 2.10 The above definition of crystallisation relies on the observations that

1. the limits $\lim_{q \rightarrow 0+} (-q)^{(i-j) \wedge 0} \pi_{i,j}(t_{i,j}(q))$ exist for each irreducible representation π ,
2. they lead to the same set of relations, and
3. these relations ensure that for each representation of them on a Hilbert space, the norm of each generating element is bounded by a fixed constant.

The knowledge of all irreducible representations of $C(G_q)$ which is due to Soibelman, plays a very crucial role in the first two points above, while unitarity of the matrix $((t_{i,j}))$ ensures point 3 above. Soibelman's result remains valid for deformations of compact Lie groups for all the other types (B , C , D , E , F and G). Using his result, therefore, it should be possible to carry

out steps 1 and 2 above (with different scaling constants) for other types as well, and unitarity of $((t_{i,j}))$ will then guarantee that step 3 remains valid. Thus it should be possible to define crystallisation of $C(G_q)$ in the remaining cases as well following the above outline.

Remark 2.11 Notice that for different values of the parameter q , the elements $t_{i,j}(q)$ belong to different algebras, seemingly unrelated. Therefore it is difficult to interpret the limits $\lim_{q \rightarrow 0+} (-q)^{(i-j) \wedge 0} \pi_{i,j}(t_{i,j}(q))$. But if one looks at the q -deformations $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ as specializations of the algebra $\mathcal{O}_t(G)$ over the field of rational functions $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ in the variable t , then it is possible to interpret the above as limits of elements in $\mathcal{O}_t(G)$ and the limiting relations as limits of relations involving elements of $\mathcal{O}_t(G)$. Thus there is a relation between the limit that we are looking at and rational form of the coordinate function algebra $\mathcal{O}_t(G)$ and its specializations that is hidden here. This relationship has been made more explicit and more precise by Matassa & Yuncken in their paper [16] where they define crystallisation of the C^* -algebras $C(G_q)$ in a slightly different way. By exploiting connections with the theory of crystal bases they were able to define the crystallisation of $C(G_q)$ for all types in a unified manner, and show that the crystallisations are higher rank graph C^* -algebras.

As has been mentioned in the introduction, we were led to explore the notion of crystallisations of $C(G_q)$ from a specific problem, and in particular, one of the main properties of the crystallised algebra that we were interested in are all its irreducible representations. From that perspective, a description of the C^* -algebra in terms of a finite set of generators and relations seems to be more convenient to work with. At the moment it is not clear whether the Matassa-Yuncken definition of the crystallised C^* -algebra coincides with ours. This will be an interesting question to look at, as one will then be able to take advantage of both the descriptions for studying the crystallised algebra.

3 The case $n = 2$

In this section we will focus our attention to the case $n = 2$ and study the irreducible representations of the C^* -algebra $A_2(0)$.

3.1 Irreducible representations

Let us start by introducing a family of representations of $A_n(0)$ that are analogous to the irreducible representations of $A_n(q)$ for $q \neq 0$. As mentioned in Section 2, Theorem 6.2.7 in [13] tells us that the family $\psi_{\lambda,\omega}^{(q)}$ where $\lambda \in (S^1)^n$ and ω is a reduced word in \mathcal{S}_{n+1} , gives all the irreducible representations of the C^* -algebra $A_n(q)$ for $q \in (0, 1)$. Let us define

$$Z_{i,j} = \lim_{q \rightarrow 0+} (-q)^{(i-j) \wedge 0} \psi_{\lambda,\omega}^{(q)}(t_{i,j}(q)), \quad (3.41)$$

where $\psi_{\lambda,\omega}^{(q)}$ is the representation of $A_n(q)$ defined in Section 2. Note that by Proposition 2.1, the above limits exist and the operators $Z_{i,j}$ obey the relations (2.31–2.39). Therefore

$$\psi_{\lambda,\omega}(z_{i,j}) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 0+} (-q)^{(i-j) \wedge 0} \psi_{\lambda,\omega}^{(q)}(t_{i,j}(q)), \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\} \quad (3.42)$$

defines a representation of $A_n(0)$ on the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}^{\ell(\omega)})$, where $\ell(\omega)$ denotes the length of the element ω .

Theorem 3.1 *For any $(\lambda, \mu) \in (S^1)^2$ and a reduced word ω in \mathcal{S}_3 , the representation $\psi_{(\lambda,\mu),\omega}$ given by (3.42) is irreducible.*

If $((\lambda, \mu), \omega) \neq ((\lambda', \mu'), \omega')$, then the representations $\psi_{(\lambda,\mu),\omega}$ and $\psi_{(\lambda',\mu'),\omega'}$ are inequivalent.

Proof: We will denote the rank one projection $|e_0\rangle\langle e_0|$ by P_0 . For $\omega = id$, the representation $\psi_{(\lambda,\mu),\omega}$ is one dimensional and hence irreducible. Let $\omega = s_1$. In this case, $\psi_{(\lambda,\mu),\omega}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} z_{1,1} &\mapsto \lambda S, & z_{1,2} &\mapsto \lambda P_0, & z_{1,3} &\mapsto 0, \\ z_{2,1} &\mapsto \bar{\lambda}\mu P_0, & z_{2,2} &\mapsto \bar{\lambda}\mu S^*, & z_{2,3} &\mapsto 0, \\ z_{3,1} &\mapsto 0, & z_{3,2} &\mapsto 0, & z_{3,3} &\mapsto \bar{\mu}I. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for any $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$|e_j\rangle\langle e_k| = \lambda^{j-k-1} (z_{1,1}^*)^j z_{1,2} z_{1,1}^k.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N})) \subseteq \psi_{(\lambda,\mu),\omega}(A_2(0))$. This implies $\psi_{(\lambda,\mu),\omega}$ is irreducible. Proof for $\omega = s_2$ is similar.

Next, let us take $\omega = s_1 s_2$. In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_{1,1} &\mapsto \lambda S \otimes I, & z_{1,2} &\mapsto \lambda P_0 \otimes S, & z_{1,3} &\mapsto \lambda P_0 \otimes P_0, \\ z_{2,1} &\mapsto \bar{\lambda}\mu P_0 \otimes I, & z_{2,2} &\mapsto \bar{\lambda}\mu S^* \otimes S, & z_{2,3} &\mapsto \bar{\lambda}\mu S^* \otimes P_0, \\ z_{3,1} &\mapsto 0, & z_{3,2} &\mapsto \bar{\mu}I \otimes P_0, & z_{3,3} &\mapsto \bar{\mu}I \otimes S^*. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, for any $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |e_j\rangle\langle e_k| \otimes I &= \lambda^{j-k-1} (z_{1,1}^*)^j z_{1,2} z_{1,1}^k, \\ I \otimes |e_j\rangle\langle e_k| &= \mu^{j-k+1} z_{3,3}^j z_{3,2} (z_{3,3}^*)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N})) \subseteq \psi_{(\lambda,\mu),\omega}(A_2(0))$, which implies $\psi_{(\lambda,\mu),\omega}$ is irreducible. Proof for $\omega = s_2 s_1$ is similar.

Finally take $\omega = s_1 s_2 s_1$. Here one has

$$\begin{aligned} z_{1,1} &\mapsto \lambda S \otimes I \otimes S, & z_{1,2} &\mapsto \lambda P_0 \otimes S \otimes S^* + S \otimes I \otimes P_0, & z_{1,3} &\mapsto \lambda P_0 \otimes P_0 \otimes I, \\ z_{2,1} &\mapsto \bar{\lambda} \mu P_0 \otimes I \otimes S, & z_{2,2} &\mapsto \bar{\lambda} \mu S^* \otimes S \otimes S^*, & z_{2,3} &\mapsto \bar{\lambda} \mu S^* \otimes P_0 \otimes I, \\ z_{3,1} &\mapsto I \otimes P_0 \otimes P_0, & z_{3,2} &\mapsto \bar{\mu} I \otimes P_0 \otimes S^*, & z_{3,3} &\mapsto \bar{\mu} I \otimes S^* \otimes I. \end{aligned}$$

Now observe that for any $j, k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |e_j\rangle\langle e_k| \otimes |e_m\rangle\langle e_n| \otimes I &= c_1 z_{3,3}^m \left(z_{2,3}^j z_{1,3} (z_{2,3}^*)^k \right) (z_{3,3}^*)^n, \\ I \otimes |e_j\rangle\langle e_k| \otimes |e_m\rangle\langle e_n| &= c_2 z_{3,3}^j \left(z_{3,2}^m z_{3,1} (z_{3,2}^*)^n \right) (z_{3,3}^*)^k, \end{aligned}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are two scalars involving λ and μ . Therefore it follows that

$$\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N})) \subseteq \psi_{(\lambda,\mu),\omega}(A_2(0)),$$

which implies that $\psi_{(\lambda,\mu),\omega}$ is irreducible.

The inequivalence of different $\psi_{(\lambda,\mu),\omega}$'s follow by comparing the spectrum of the operators $\psi_{(\lambda,\mu),\omega}(z_{i,j})$'s. \square

The next theorem states that the ones listed in the previous theorem are in fact all the irreducible representations of $A_2(0)$. The proof is computation-heavy and has been given in the appendix.

Theorem 3.2 *Let π be an irreducible representation of $A_2(0)$ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then there exist $\lambda, \mu \in S^1$ and a reduced word $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_3$ such that π is equivalent to $\psi_{(\lambda,\mu),\omega}$.*

Corollary 3.3 *$A_2(0)$ is a type-I C^* -algebra.*

Proof: In the course of the proof of the Theorem 3.1, we have already observed that for every representation of the form $\pi = \psi_{(\lambda,\mu),\omega}$, one has $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\pi) \subseteq \pi(A_2(0))$. By Theorem 3.2, every irreducible representation of $A_2(0)$ is of the above form and hence the result follows. \square

Remark 3.4 Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 together gives us the family of all inequivalent irreducible representations of the C^* -algebra $A_2(0)$. They are naturally parametrized as their counterparts for nonzero q , and in fact they arise exactly as limits of the irreducible representations of the C^* -algebras $A_2(q)$ as $q \rightarrow 0+$.

3.2 Compact semigroup structure

In this subsection, we derive a few properties of the C^* -algebra $A_2(0)$ using the results in the previous subsection.

For the C^* -algebra $A_1(0)$, each infinite dimensional irreducible representation π acts on the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and one has $\pi(A_1(0)) = \mathcal{T}$, where \mathcal{T} denotes the Toeplitz algebra. In the case of $A_2(0)$, each infinite dimensional irreducible π acts on a Hilbert space of the form $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})^{\otimes k}$, and it is straightforward to verify that $\pi(A_2(0)) \subseteq \mathcal{T}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N})^{\otimes k}) := \mathcal{T}^{\otimes k}$. It would be natural to ask whether $\pi(A_2(0)) = \mathcal{T}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N})^{\otimes k})$. The next proposition says that it is not the case.

Proposition 3.5 *Let $\omega = s_1 s_{[1,2]}$. Then $\psi_\omega(A_2(0)) \subsetneq \mathcal{T}^{\otimes 3}$.*

Proof: We have already observed that $\psi_\omega(A_2(0)) \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\otimes 3}$. We will produce an element in $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes 3}$ that does not belong to the image $\psi_\omega(A_2(0))$. For $\lambda \in S^1$, let σ_λ denote the C^* -homomorphism from \mathcal{T} to \mathbb{C} obtained by composing the evaluation map $ev_\lambda : C(S^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the canonical projection map from \mathcal{T} to $C(S^1) \cong \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K}$. Let $\phi_\lambda : \mathcal{T}^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the C^* -homomorphism given by $\phi_\lambda = \sigma_\lambda \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_{\bar{\lambda}}$. Then

$$\phi_\lambda(\psi_{(1,1),\omega}(z_{i,j})) = \sum_{k,l} \sigma_\lambda(\psi_{(1,1),s_1}(z_{i,k})) \sigma_1(\psi_{(1,1),s_2}(z_{k,l})) \sigma_{\bar{\lambda}}(\psi_{(1,1),s_1}(z_{l,j})) = \delta_{i,j}.$$

Take $S \otimes S \otimes S^* \in \mathcal{T}^{\otimes 3}$. Assume $S \otimes S \otimes S^* \in \psi_{(1,1),\omega}(A_2(0))$. Take $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists a polynomial $p \equiv p(z_{i,j}, z_{i,j}^* : i, j)$ in the $z_{i,j}$'s and $z_{i,j}^*$'s such that

$$\|S \otimes S \otimes S^* - \psi_{(1,1),\omega}(p)\| < \epsilon.$$

Now $\phi_\lambda(S \otimes S \otimes S^*) = \lambda^2$ and $\phi_\lambda(\psi_{(1,1),\omega}(p)) = \sum c_\gamma$, where c_γ are the coefficients of the monomials in $z_{i,i}$ and $z_{j,j}^*$'s. Therefore for any λ and λ' in S^1 , we have

$$|\lambda^2 - \sum c_\gamma| < \epsilon, \quad |(\lambda')^2 - \sum c_\gamma| < \epsilon.$$

This implies $|\lambda^2 - (\lambda')^2| < 2\epsilon$ for all $\lambda, \lambda' \in S^1$, which is false. \square

Let ω be as above. Since $\psi_{(\lambda,\mu),\omega}(A_2(0)) \subseteq \mathcal{T}^{\otimes 3}$, one can view the irreducible representation $\psi_{(\lambda,\mu),\omega}$ as a C^* -homomorphism into the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes 3}$. Let $u \in C(S^1)$ denote the function $\lambda \mapsto \lambda$ and $\mathbb{1} \in C(S^1)$ denote the function $\lambda \mapsto 1$. Let us define a map ϕ from $A_2(0)$ to the C^* -algebra $C(S^1) \otimes C(S^1) \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\otimes 3}$ as follows:

$$z_{i,j} \mapsto \begin{cases} u \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \psi_{(1,1),\omega}(z_{i,j}) & \text{if } i = 1, \\ u^* \otimes u \otimes \psi_{(1,1),\omega}(z_{i,j}) & \text{if } i = 2, \\ \mathbb{1} \otimes u^* \otimes \psi_{(1,1),\omega}(z_{i,j}) & \text{if } i = 3. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.6 *The map ϕ defined above extends to an injective $*$ -homomorphism from $A_2(0)$ to $C(S^1) \otimes C(S^1) \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\otimes 3}$.*

Proof: Since the elements $\phi(z_{i,j})$ obey the relations (2.31–2.39), the map ϕ extends to a C^* -homomorphism from $A_2(0)$ into $C(S^1) \otimes C(S^1) \otimes \mathcal{T}^{\otimes 3}$. For injectivity, note that $\psi_{(\lambda,\mu),\tau}$, where $\lambda, \mu \in S^1$ and τ is a reduced word in \mathcal{S}_3 , constitute all irreducible representations of $A_2(0)$. Therefore it is enough to show that each $\psi_{(\lambda,\mu),\tau}$ factorises through ϕ . Let $\sigma_\lambda : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be as in the proof of Proposition 3.5. Define

$$\theta_\tau = \begin{cases} \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_1 & \text{if } \tau = id, \\ id \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_1 & \text{if } \tau = s_1, \\ \sigma_1 \otimes id \otimes \sigma_1 & \text{if } \tau = s_2, \\ id \otimes id \otimes \sigma_1 & \text{if } \tau = s_1 s_2, \\ \sigma_1 \otimes id \otimes id & \text{if } \tau = s_2 s_1, \\ id \otimes id \otimes id & \text{if } \tau = s_1 s_2 s_1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\psi_{(\lambda,\mu),\tau} = (ev_\lambda \otimes ev_\mu \otimes \theta_\tau) \circ \phi$. □

Through the embeddings $C(S^1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}))$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes 3} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N})^{\otimes 3})$, the above map ϕ gives a faithful representation of $A_2(0)$ acting on the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. This is the analogue of the representation π_0 of $A_1(0)$ used in [1] by Chakraborty & Pal.

We prove next that $A_2(0)$ admits a coproduct that makes it a compact quantum semigroup. However, it is not a compact quantum group with this coproduct.

Proposition 3.7 *The map $\Delta : A_2(0) \rightarrow A_2(0) \otimes A_2(0)$ given on the generators by*

$$\Delta(z_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=i \wedge j}^{i \vee j} z_{i,k} \otimes z_{k,j}$$

together with $\epsilon = \psi_{(1,1),id}$ from $A_2(0)$ to \mathbb{C} makes $(A_2(0), \Delta, \epsilon)$ a C^ -bialgebra.*

Proof: Note that since $A_2(0)$ is of type I, the tensor product C^* -algebra $A_2(0) \otimes A_2(0)$ is unique. In order that Δ defined on the generating elements as above extend to a C^* -homomorphism from $A_2(0)$ to $A_2(0) \otimes A_2(0)$, it is enough to verify that the elements $\Delta(z_{i,j})$ obey the same relations (2.31–2.39) as the $z_{i,j}$'s. Recall from Section 2 that for any irreducible representation π of $A_n(q)$, the operators $Y_{i,j} = \lim_{q \rightarrow 0+} (-q)^{(i-j) \wedge 0} \pi(t_{i,j}(q))$ satisfy these same relations.

Let $q \in (0, 1)$. Since Δ_q is a C^* -homomorphism from $A_2(q)$ to $A_2(q) \otimes A_2(q)$, the elements $\Delta_q(t_{i,j}) = \sum_{k=1}^3 t_{i,k} \otimes t_{k,j}$ obey the same commutation relations as the $t_{i,j}$'s. Hence for $\lambda, \mu \in (S^1)^2$ and ω, σ reduced words in \mathcal{S}_3 , the elements

$$\left(\psi_{\lambda,\omega}^{(q)} \otimes \psi_{\mu,\sigma}^{(q)} \right) (\Delta_q(t_{i,j}))$$

also satisfy the same relations. Now observe that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0+} \left(\psi_{\lambda, \omega}^{(q)} \otimes \psi_{\mu, \sigma}^{(q)} \right) \left(\Delta_q((-q)^{(i-j) \wedge 0} t_{i,j}) \right) = (\psi_{\lambda, \omega} \otimes \psi_{\mu, \sigma}) \left(\sum_{k=i \wedge j}^{i \vee j} z_{i,k} \otimes z_{k,j} \right).$$

Since $A_2(0)$ is of type I, the representations $\psi_{\lambda, \omega} \otimes \psi_{\mu, \sigma}$ give all irreducible representations of the C^* -algebra $A_2(0) \otimes A_2(0)$. Therefore it follows that the $\Delta(z_{i,j})$'s obey the relations (2.31–2.39).

The identities $(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta$ and $(\epsilon \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \epsilon)\Delta = \text{id}$ follow by evaluating both sides on the generating elements. \square

Remark 3.8 The proof of existence of the homomorphism Δ above requires proving that the elements $\sum_{k=i \wedge j}^{i \vee j} z_{i,k} \otimes z_{k,j}$ in the tensor product $A_2(0) \otimes A_2(0)$ satisfy the defining relations of the generators of $A_2(0)$. While this should be a direct algebraic verification, due to the nature of the commutation relations, a direct verification without using any software has eluded us. Therefore we have used the knowledge of the irreducible representations to give an indirect proof of that fact here. However, we believe that a brute force verification should in principle be possible for all n .

We will next prove that $(A_2(0), \Delta)$ is not a compact quantum group. Recall ([19]) that a separable unital C^* -algebra A together with a unital C^* -homomorphism $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ is called a compact quantum group if the following two conditions are satisfied:

1. $(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta$.
2. The sets $\{(1 \otimes a)\Delta(b) : a, b \in A\}$ and $\{(a \otimes 1)\Delta(b) : a, b \in A\}$ are total in $A \otimes A$.

We will show that the second condition above is violated for $A_2(0)$.

Let us denote the generators of $A_1(0)$ by $y_{i,j}$. Then one can directly verify that the elements $\sum_{k=i \wedge j}^{i \vee j} y_{i,k} \otimes y_{k,j}$ in the tensor product $A_1(0) \otimes A_1(0)$ satisfy the same relations as the $y_{i,j}$'s and hence $\Delta^{(1)} : A_1(0) \rightarrow A_1(0) \otimes A_1(0)$ given by $y_{i,j} \mapsto \sum_{k=i \wedge j}^{i \vee j} y_{i,k} \otimes y_{k,j}$ makes $(A_1(0), \Delta^{(1)})$ a compact quantum semigroup. Now let

$$w_{i,j} = \begin{cases} y_{i,j} & \text{if } 1 \leq i, j \leq 2, \\ \delta_{i,j} & \text{if } i = 3 \text{ or } j = 3. \end{cases}$$

Then one can verify that the $w_{i,j}$'s obey the relations (2.31–2.39). Therefore the map $\phi : A_2(0) \rightarrow A_1(0)$ given by

$$\phi(z_{i,j}) = w_{i,j}$$

extends to a surjective C^* -homomorphism and it satisfies $(\phi \otimes \phi)\Delta = \Delta^{(1)}\phi$. Thus $(A_1(0), \Delta^{(1)})$ is a quantum subsemigroup of $(A_2(0), \Delta)$.

Next, note that $A_1(0)$ is not a compact quantum group with the above coproduct. The proof is simple and possibly known, but since it is hard to find a reference, let us give a quick proof here. Let us first recall from Section 3 that the C^* -algebra $A_1(0)$ is given by the generators $y_{i,j}$ satisfying the relations

$$\begin{aligned} y_{1,1}^* y_{1,1} + y_{2,1}^* y_{2,1} &= 1, & y_{1,1} y_{1,1}^* &= 1, \\ y_{1,1} y_{1,2} &= 0, & y_{1,1} y_{2,1} &= 0, \\ y_{2,1} y_{1,2} &= y_{1,2} y_{2,1}, \\ y_{1,1}^* &= y_{2,2}, & y_{2,1}^* &= y_{1,2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

By the results of Woronowicz ([19]), it follows that if $(A_1(0), \Delta^{(1)})$ is a compact quantum group, then $A_1(0)$ has a dense subalgebra B containing the $y_{i,j}$'s such that $\Delta^{(1)}(B) \subseteq B \otimes_{alg} B$ and there is an antipode map $S : B \rightarrow B$ such that

$$m(\text{id} \otimes S)\Delta^{(1)}(b) = \epsilon(b) \cdot 1 = m(S \otimes \text{id})\Delta^{(1)}(b), \quad \text{for all } b \in B,$$

where $m : B \otimes_{alg} B \rightarrow B$ is the multiplication map $a \otimes b \mapsto ab$ and $\epsilon : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the counit given by $y_{i,j} \mapsto \delta_{i,j}$. Therefore taking $b = y_{1,1}$, we get

$$y_{1,1} S(y_{1,1}) = 1 = S(y_{1,1}) y_{1,1}.$$

From the equality $1 = S(y_{1,1}) y_{1,1}$ and $y_{1,1} (y_{1,1})^* = 1$, we get $S(y_{1,1}) = (y_{1,1})^*$. But then $S(y_{1,1}) y_{1,1} = (y_{1,1})^* y_{1,1} = 1 - (y_{2,1})^* y_{2,1} \neq 1$. Thus we get a contradiction. Thus $(A_1(0), \Delta^{(1)})$ is not a compact quantum group.

Finally, assume that the density condition in the definition of a compact quantum group holds for $(A_2(0), \Delta)$. Then applying the homomorphism $\phi \otimes \phi$ and using the fact that it is surjective, it follows that $\{(1 \otimes a)\Delta^{(1)}(b) : a, b \in A_1(0)\}$ and $\{(a \otimes 1)\Delta^{(1)}(b) : a, b \in A_1(0)\}$ are total in $A_1(0) \otimes A_1(0)$. But this implies that $(A_1(0), \Delta^{(1)})$ is a compact quantum group which is false. Thus $(A_2(0), \Delta)$ is not a compact quantum group.

4 Proof of Theorem 3.2

We will give a proof of Theorem 3.2 in this section. We first prove a few lemmas on the generating elements and certain products of them that will be needed in the proof.

4.1 Partial isometries

We will prove in this subsection that the generating elements and certain products of them are partial isometries. This will be needed in the computations that follow in obtaining all the irreducible representations.

Define $p_{i,j} = z_{i,j}^* z_{i,j}$ and $q_{i,j} = z_{i,j} z_{i,j}^*$. In terms of the $p_{i,j}$'s and $q_{i,j}$'s, the relations (2.40) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} p_{1,1} + p_{2,1} + p_{3,1} &= 1, & q_{3,1} + q_{3,2} + q_{3,3} &= 1, \\ p_{2,2} + p_{3,2} &= 1, & q_{2,1} + q_{2,2} &= 1, \\ p_{3,3} &= 1, & q_{1,1} &= 1, \end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

We will first show that the generators $z_{i,j}$ are all partial isometries. For this, we will use the following result.

Lemma 4.1 (Erdelyi [2], Halmos-Wallen [3]) *Let u and v be partial isometries. Then uv is a partial isometry if and only if u^*u and vv^* commute.*

Lemma 4.2 *The family $\{p_{i,j}, q_{i,j} : 1 \leq j \leq i \leq 3\}$ is a commuting family of projections.*

Proof: First observe that

$$p_{1,1} = z_{1,1}^* z_{1,1} = z_{2,2} z_{3,3} z_{1,1} = z_{2,2} z_{1,1} z_{3,3} = z_{2,2} z_{2,2}^* = q_{2,2}.$$

Since $q_{1,1} = 1$, it follows that $p_{1,1} = q_{2,2}$ is a projection. From (4.44), it now follows that $p_{2,2}$ and $q_{2,1}$ are projections. Consequently $p_{3,2} = 1 - p_{2,2}$ is a projection. Since $q_{2,1}$ is a projection, $p_{2,1}$ also is, and hence so is $p_{3,1} = 1 - p_{2,1} - p_{1,1}$. Thus $p_{i,j}$ is a projection for all $i \geq j$. Hence $q_{i,j}$ also is a projection for all $i \geq j$.

Note that

$$p_{3,1} = z_{3,1}^* z_{3,1} = z_{1,2} z_{2,3} z_{3,1} = z_{1,2} z_{3,1} z_{2,3} = z_{3,1} z_{1,2} z_{2,3} = z_{3,1} z_{3,1}^* = q_{3,1}.$$

From the fact that $p_{1,1} = q_{2,2}$ and from the equalities (4.44), we get

$$p_{3,1} = q_{3,1}, \quad p_{3,2} = q_{3,2} + q_{3,1}, \quad p_{3,3} = q_{3,3} + q_{3,2} + q_{3,1}, \tag{4.45}$$

$$p_{1,1} = q_{2,2}, \quad p_{2,1} = q_{2,1} - q_{3,1}, \quad p_{2,2} = q_{3,3}. \tag{4.46}$$

Therefore it is now enough to show that the family $\{q_{i,j} : 1 \leq j \leq i \leq 3\}$ is commuting.

Since the $q_{i,j}$'s are projections for $i \geq j$, $z_{i,j}$ is a partial isometry for $i \geq j$. Since $q_{1,1} = I$ and $q_{2,2}$ commute, it follows from the lemma above that $z_{2,2}^* z_{1,1}$ is a partial isometry. Since $z_{2,2}^* z_{1,1} = z_{1,1} z_{2,2}^*$, one more application of the lemma tells us that $p_{1,1}$ and $p_{2,2}$ commute, i.e. $q_{2,2}$ commutes with $q_{3,3}$. From the relation $z_{2,2} z_{3,1} = z_{3,1} z_{2,2}$, it follows that $q_{2,2}$ commutes with $p_{3,1} = q_{3,1}$. Hence $q_{2,2}$ commutes with $q_{3,2} = 1 - q_{3,1} - q_{3,3}$. It now follows that the family $\{q_{i,j} : 1 \leq j \leq i \leq 3\}$ is commuting. \square

Corollary 4.3 *Any product of the form ab , where $a, b \in \{z_{i,j} : i \geq j\} \cup \{z_{i,j}^* : i \geq j\}$, is a partial isometry.*

Proof: The proof follows from Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2. \square

4.2 Irreducible representations of $A_2(0)$

We now come to the proof of Theorem 3.2. Let us start with an irreducible representation π of $A_2(0)$ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . We will denote by $P_{i,j}$ and $Q_{i,j}$ the operators $\pi(p_{i,j})$ and $\pi(q_{i,j})$ respectively. It is easy to see that exactly one of the following conditions will hold for the irreducible representation π :

1. $\pi(z_{3,1}) = 0, \pi(z_{3,2}) = 0, \pi(z_{2,1}) = 0,$
2. $\pi(z_{3,1}) = 0, \pi(z_{3,2}) = 0, \pi(z_{2,1}) \neq 0,$
3. $\pi(z_{3,1}) = 0, \pi(z_{3,2}) \neq 0, \pi(z_{2,1}) = 0,$
4. $\pi(z_{3,1}) = 0, \pi(z_{3,2}) \neq 0, \pi(z_{2,1}) \neq 0,$
5. $\pi(z_{3,1}) \neq 0, \pi(z_{2,1})\pi(z_{3,2}) = 0,$
6. $\pi(z_{3,1}) \neq 0, \pi(z_{2,1})\pi(z_{3,2}) \neq 0.$

We will deal with the above cases separately. As we will see, these cases correspond to the six elements of the Weyl group \mathcal{S}_3 in the type A_2 case, expressed as reduced words in terms of the transpositions $s_1 = (1, 2)$ and $s_2 = (2, 3)$.

4.2.1 Case 1: $\pi(z_{3,1}) = 0, \pi(z_{3,2}) = 0, \pi(z_{2,1}) = 0.$

From the commutation relations (2.31–2.39), it follows that $\pi(z_{i,j}) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. Therefore $\pi(z_{i,i})$ are unitary with $\pi(z_{1,1})\pi(z_{2,2}) = \pi(z_{3,3}^*)$ and $\pi(z_{1,1})$ commutes with $\pi(z_{2,2})$. Irreducibility of π now implies that \mathcal{H} is one dimensional and there exist $\lambda, \mu \in S^1$ such that $\pi(z_{1,1}) = \lambda$, $\pi(z_{2,2}) = \mu$ and $\pi(z_{3,3}) = \overline{\lambda\mu}$. Thus π is unitarily equivalent to $\psi_{(\lambda, \lambda\mu), id} := \chi_{(\lambda, \lambda\mu)}$.

4.2.2 Case 2: $\pi(z_{3,1}) = 0, \pi(z_{3,2}) = 0, \pi(z_{2,1}) \neq 0.$

From the relations (2.31–2.39), it follows that

1. $\pi(z_{1,3}) = \pi(z_{2,3}) = 0,$
2. $\pi(z_{3,3})$ is a unitary,
3. $\pi(z_{2,1})$ is normal,
4. $\pi(z_{2,1})$ commutes with $\pi(z_{3,3})$ and $\pi(z_{1,2})$.

Proposition 4.4 *Let P be a projection such that*

$$P \leq P_{2,1}, \quad P\pi(z_{2,1}) = \pi(z_{2,1})P, \quad P\pi(z_{3,3}) = \pi(z_{3,3})P.$$

Then $\mathcal{H}_P := \{\pi(z_{2,2})^n \xi : n \in \mathbb{N}, \xi \in P\mathcal{H}\}$ is an invariant subspace for π .

If Q is another projection orthogonal to P satisfying the above conditions, then \mathcal{H}_P and \mathcal{H}_Q are orthogonal.

Proof: From the commutation relations, it follows that

$$\pi(z_{1,1}) (\pi(z_{2,2})^n \xi) = \begin{cases} \pi(z_{1,1})\xi & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \pi(z_{3,3})^* \pi(z_{2,2})^{n-1} \xi & \text{if } n > 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.47)$$

$$\pi(z_{1,2}) (\pi(z_{2,2})^n \xi) = \begin{cases} \pi(z_{1,2})\xi & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.48)$$

$$\pi(z_{2,1}) (\pi(z_{2,2})^n \xi) = \begin{cases} \pi(z_{2,1})\xi & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.49)$$

$$\pi(z_{2,2}) (\pi(z_{2,2})^n \xi) = \pi(z_{2,2})^{n+1} \xi, \quad (4.50)$$

$$\pi(z_{3,3}) (\pi(z_{2,2})^n \xi) = \pi(z_{2,2})^n \pi(z_{3,3}) \xi. \quad (4.51)$$

Since $P \leq P_{2,1}$, we have $\xi = P_{2,1}\xi = Q_{2,1}\xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_P$. Hence

$$\pi(z_{1,1})\xi = \pi(z_{1,1})\pi(z_{2,1}) (\pi(z_{2,1}^*)\xi) = 0.$$

Thus \mathcal{H}_P is invariant under $\pi(z_{1,1})$. Since $z_{1,2}^* = z_{2,1}z_{3,3}$, $\pi(z_{1,2})$ commutes with P and we have $\pi(z_{1,2})\xi = \pi(z_{1,2})P\xi = P\pi(z_{1,2})\xi$. Thus \mathcal{H}_P is invariant under $\pi(z_{1,2})$. Similarly, since $\pi(z_{2,1})$ and $\pi(z_{3,3})$ both commute with P , we have the invariance of \mathcal{H}_P under the actions of $\pi(z_{2,1})$ and $\pi(z_{3,3})$.

From the relations (2.31–2.39), it follows that \mathcal{H}_P is invariant under π .

For the second part, take $\xi \in P\mathcal{H}$ and $\zeta \in Q\mathcal{H}$. Then for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(z_{2,2})^n \zeta, \pi(z_{2,2})^n \xi \rangle &= \langle \pi(z_{2,2}^*)^n \pi(z_{2,2})^n \zeta, \xi \rangle \\ &= \langle \zeta, \xi \rangle \\ &= \langle Q\zeta, P\xi \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next, for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(z_{2,2})^m \zeta, \pi(z_{2,2})^n \xi \rangle &= \langle \zeta, \pi(z_{2,2}^*)^m \pi(z_{2,2})^n \xi \rangle \\ &= \langle \zeta, \pi(z_{2,2}^*)^{m-n} \xi \rangle \\ &= \langle \zeta, \pi(z_{3,3})^{m-n} \pi(z_{1,1})^{m-n} \xi \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

A similar calculation gives $\langle \pi(z_{2,2})^m \zeta, \pi(z_{2,2})^n \xi \rangle = 0$ for $m < n$. Thus \mathcal{H}_P and \mathcal{H}_Q are orthogonal. \square

Corollary 4.5 *There exists a $\lambda \in S^1$ such that $\sigma(\pi(z_{2,1})) = \{0, \lambda\}$.*

Proof: Let E and F be two disjoint closed subsets of the spectrum $\sigma(\pi(z_{2,1}))$ such that $0 \notin E$, $0 \notin F$ and let P and Q be the spectral projections of $\pi(z_{2,1})$ corresponding to the subsets E and F respectively. Then it follows from the previous proposition that \mathcal{H}_P and \mathcal{H}_Q are two invariant subspaces for π that are mutually orthogonal. By irreducibility of π , it follows that $\sigma(\pi(z_{2,1})) = \{0, \lambda\}$ for some $\lambda \neq 0$ in \mathbb{C} . Since $\pi(z_{2,1})$ is a normal partial isometry, it follows that $\lambda \in S^1$. \square

Corollary 4.6 *There exists a $\mu \in S^1$ such that $\sigma(\pi(z_{3,3})) = \{\mu\}$.*

Proof: From the commutation relations, it follows that $\pi(z_{3,3})$ is a unitary and commutes with $P_{2,1}$. Let P and Q be two spectral projections corresponding to two disjoint closed subsets of the spectrum of the restriction of $\pi(z_{3,3})$ to $P_{2,1}\mathcal{H}$. Then the above proposition tells us that \mathcal{H}_P and \mathcal{H}_Q are two invariant subspaces for π that are mutually orthogonal. Using irreducibility of π , we deduce that $\sigma(\pi(z_{3,3})|_{P_{2,1}\mathcal{H}}) = \{\mu\}$ where $\mu \in S^1$. Hence for $\xi \in P_{2,1}\mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\pi(z_{3,3}^*)(\pi(z_{2,2}^n)\xi) = (\pi(z_{2,2}^n)\pi(z_{3,3}^*)\xi) = \bar{\mu}\pi(z_{2,2}^n)\xi.$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_{P_{2,1}} = \mathcal{H}$, we have the result. \square

Proposition 4.7 *The projection $P_{2,1}$ is of rank one and π is unitarily equivalent to the representation $\psi_{(\bar{\lambda}\bar{\mu}, \bar{\mu}), s_1}$.*

Proof: Take a unit vector $\xi \in P_{2,1}\mathcal{H}$. From the previous two corollaries, it follows that $\pi(z_{3,3})\xi = \mu\xi$ and $\pi(z_{2,1})\xi = \lambda\xi$. Therefore $\pi(z_{1,2})\xi = \pi(z_{3,3}^*z_{2,1}^*)\xi = \bar{\lambda}\bar{\mu}\xi$. Let

$$\mathcal{H}_\xi = \text{span} \{ \pi(z_{2,2})^n \xi : n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

From equations (4.47–4.51), it now follows that

$$\pi(z_{1,1}) (\pi(z_{2,2})^n \xi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \bar{\mu} \pi(z_{2,2})^{n-1} \xi & \text{if } n > 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.52)$$

$$\pi(z_{1,2}) (\pi(z_{2,2})^n \xi) = \begin{cases} \bar{\lambda} \bar{\mu} \xi & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.53)$$

$$\pi(z_{2,1}) (\pi(z_{2,2})^n \xi) = \begin{cases} \lambda \xi & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.54)$$

$$\pi(z_{2,2}) (\pi(z_{2,2})^n \xi) = \pi(z_{2,2})^{n+1} \xi, \quad (4.55)$$

$$\pi(z_{3,3}) (\pi(z_{2,2})^n \xi) = \mu \pi(z_{2,2})^n \xi. \quad (4.56)$$

Thus \mathcal{H}_ξ is an invariant subspace of \mathcal{H} and hence by irreducibility of π , one has $\mathcal{H}_\xi = \mathcal{H}$.

The map

$$U : e_n \mapsto \lambda^{-n} \pi(z_{2,2})^n \xi$$

from $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ to \mathcal{H} now extends to a unitary and gives us the required unitary equivalence. \square

4.2.3 Case 3: $\pi(z_{3,1}) = 0$, $\pi(z_{3,2}) \neq 0$, $\pi(z_{2,1}) = 0$.

Analogous to the results in the previous case, here we have the following results. The proofs are similar.

Proposition 4.8 *Let P be a projection such that*

$$P \leq P_{3,2}, \quad P\pi(z_{3,2}) = \pi(z_{3,2})P, \quad P\pi(z_{1,1}) = \pi(z_{1,1})P.$$

Then $\mathcal{H}_P := \{\pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi : n \in \mathbb{N}, \xi \in P\mathcal{H}\}$ is an invariant subspace for π .

If Q is another projection orthogonal to P satisfying the conditions above, then \mathcal{H}_P and \mathcal{H}_Q are orthogonal.

Corollary 4.9 *There exists a $\lambda \in S^1$ such that $\sigma(\pi(z_{3,2})) = \{0, \lambda\}$.*

Corollary 4.10 *There exists a $\mu \in S^1$ such that $\sigma(\pi(z_{1,1})) = \{\mu\}$.*

Proposition 4.11 *The projection $P_{3,2}$ is of rank one and π is unitarily equivalent to the representation $\psi_{(\mu, \bar{\lambda}), s_2}$.*

4.2.4 Case 4: $\pi(z_{3,1}) = 0$, $\pi(z_{3,2}) \neq 0$, $\pi(z_{2,1}) \neq 0$.

Let us first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.12 *If $\pi(z_{3,1}) = 0$ and $\pi(z_{2,1})\pi(z_{3,2}) = 0$, then either $\pi(z_{2,1}) = 0$ or $\pi(z_{3,2}) = 0$.*

Proof: Let us assume that $\pi(z_{3,1}) = 0$, $\pi(z_{2,1})\pi(z_{3,2}) = 0$ and $\pi(z_{2,1}) \neq 0$. We will show that $\pi(z_{3,2}) = 0$. Since $\pi(z_{3,1}) = 0$, it follows from (2.31–2.34) that $\pi(z_{1,1})\pi(z_{3,2}) = \pi(z_{3,2})\pi(z_{1,1})$. Since $z_{2,3}$ commutes with $z_{3,2}$, we get

$$\pi(z_{3,2})\pi(z_{3,2}^*) = \pi(z_{3,2}z_{1,1}z_{2,3}) = \pi(z_{1,1}z_{2,3}z_{3,2}) = \pi(z_{3,2}^*z_{3,2}),$$

i.e. $\pi(z_{3,2})$ is normal. Since $\pi(z_{2,1})^*$ commutes with $\pi(z_{3,2})$, it follows that $\pi(z_{2,1})$ commutes with $\pi(z_{3,2})$.

Let \mathcal{H}_0 be the closed linear span of $\{\pi(z_{2,2}^k)\pi(z_{2,1})\xi : k \in \mathbb{N}, \xi \in \mathcal{H}\}$. Using the commutation relations (2.31–2.39) and the fact that $\pi(z_{3,1}) = 0$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(z_{1,1}) \left(\pi(z_{2,2}^k)\pi(z_{2,1})\xi \right) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \pi(z_{3,3}^*)\pi(z_{2,2}^{k-1})\pi(z_{2,1})\xi & \text{if } k > 0, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \pi(z_{2,2}^{k-1})\pi(z_{2,1}) \left(\pi(z_{3,3}^*)\xi \right) & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases} \\ \pi(z_{1,2}) \left(\pi(z_{2,2}^k)\pi(z_{2,1})\xi \right) &= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{2,1}) \left(\pi(z_{1,2})\xi \right) & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases} \\ \pi(z_{1,3}) \left(\pi(z_{2,2}^k)\pi(z_{2,1})\xi \right) &= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{2,1}) \left(\pi(z_{1,3})\xi \right) & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases} \\ \pi(z_{2,1}) \left(\pi(z_{2,2}^k)\pi(z_{2,1})\xi \right) &= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{2,1}) \left(\pi(z_{2,1})\xi \right) & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases} \\ \pi(z_{2,2}) \left(\pi(z_{2,2}^k)\pi(z_{2,1})\xi \right) &= \pi(z_{2,2}^{k+1})\pi(z_{2,1})\xi. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(z_{2,3}) \left(\pi(z_{2,2}^k)\pi(z_{2,1})\xi \right) &= \pi(z_{3,2})^* \pi(z_{1,1})^* \pi(z_{2,2})^k \pi(z_{2,1})\xi \\ &= \pi(z_{3,2}^*) \pi(z_{1,1}^*) \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^k \pi(z_{3,3}^*)^k \pi(z_{2,1})\xi \\ &= (\pi(z_{3,2})^*)^2 \pi(z_{3,2}) \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^{k+1} \pi(z_{2,1}) \pi(z_{3,3}^*)^k \xi \\ &= (\pi(z_{3,2})^*)^2 \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^{k+1} \pi(z_{3,2}) \pi(z_{2,1}) \pi(z_{3,3}^*)^k \xi \\ &= (\pi(z_{3,2})^*)^2 \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^{k+1} \pi(z_{2,1}) \pi(z_{3,2}) \pi(z_{3,3}^*)^k \xi \end{aligned}$$

$$= 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(z_{3,2}) \left(\pi(z_{2,2}^k) \pi(z_{2,1}) \xi \right) &= \pi(z_{3,2}) \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^k \pi(z_{3,3}^*)^k \pi(z_{2,1}) \xi \\ &= \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^k \pi(z_{3,2}) \pi(z_{2,1}) \pi(z_{3,3}^*)^k \xi \\ &= \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^k \pi(z_{2,1}) \pi(z_{3,2}) \pi(z_{3,3}^*)^k \xi \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(z_{3,3}) \left(\pi(z_{2,2}^k) \pi(z_{2,1}) \xi \right) &= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{2,1}) \left(\pi(z_{3,3}) \xi \right) & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \left(\pi(z_{2,2}) \pi(z_{3,3}) - \pi(z_{2,3}) \pi(z_{3,2}) \right) \pi(z_{2,2}^{k-1}) \pi(z_{2,1}) \xi & \text{if } k > 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{2,1}) \left(\pi(z_{3,3}) \xi \right) & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*) - \pi(z_{2,3}) \pi(z_{3,2}) \right) \pi(z_{2,2}^{k-1}) \pi(z_{2,1}) \xi & \text{if } k > 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{2,1}) \left(\pi(z_{3,3}) \xi \right) & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \pi(z_{2,2}^{k-1}) \pi(z_{1,1}^*) \pi(z_{2,1}) \xi & \text{if } k > 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \pi(z_{2,2}^k) \pi(z_{2,1}) \left(\pi(z_{3,3}) \xi \right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore \mathcal{H}_0 is invariant subspace. Since π is irreducible, we have $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0$. Therefore $\pi(z_{3,2}) = 0$. \square

Proposition 4.13 *Let P be a projection such that*

$$P \leq P_{1,3}, \quad P\pi(z_{2,1}) = \pi(z_{2,1})P, \quad P\pi(z_{3,2}) = \pi(z_{3,2})P.$$

Then the subspace $\mathcal{H}_P := \{\pi(z_{1,1}^)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi : m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \xi \in P\mathcal{H}\}$ is invariant for π .*

If Q is another projection orthogonal to P satisfying the same conditions above, then \mathcal{H}_P and \mathcal{H}_Q are orthogonal.

Proof: Let $\xi \in P\mathcal{H}$. Then we have

$$\pi(z_{1,1})\xi = 0 = \pi(z_{1,2})\xi = \pi(z_{2,2})\xi = \pi(z_{2,2}^*)\xi = \pi(z_{2,3}^*)\xi = \pi(z_{3,3}^*)\xi. \quad (4.57)$$

Therefore the actions of the $\pi(z_{i,j})$'s on $\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(z_{1,1}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \right) &= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{3,3})^n \pi(z_{1,1}) \xi & \text{if } m = 0, \\ \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^{m-1} \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi & \text{if } m > 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m = 0, \\ \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^{m-1} \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.58)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(z_{1,2}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \right) &= \pi(z_{3,3}^*) \pi(z_{2,1}^*) \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m = 0, n = 0, \\ \pi(z_{3,3}^*) \pi(z_{3,3})^n \pi(z_{2,1}^*) \xi & \text{if } m = 0, n > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m > 0 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m = 0, n = 0, \\ \pi(z_{3,3})^{n-1} \left(\pi(z_{2,1}^*) \xi \right) & \text{if } m = 0, n > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.59}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(z_{1,3}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \right) &= \pi(z_{3,2}^*) \pi(z_{2,1}^*) \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \\
&= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{1,1}) \pi(z_{2,3}) \pi(z_{3,3})^n \pi(z_{2,1}^*) \xi & \text{if } m = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m > 0 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{3,2})^* \pi(z_{2,1}^*) \xi & \text{if } m = 0, n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m = 0, n > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.60}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(z_{2,1}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \right) &= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{2,1}) \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi & \text{if } m = 0, \\ \pi(z_{2,1}) \pi(z_{2,2}) \pi(z_{3,3}) \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^{m-1} \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi & \text{if } m > 0 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{3,3})^n \left(\pi(z_{2,1}) \xi \right) & \text{if } m = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.61}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(z_{2,2}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \right) &= \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{2,2}) \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^{m+1} \pi(z_{3,3})^{n-1} \xi & \text{if } n > 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.62}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(z_{2,3}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \right) &= \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{2,3}) \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \\
&= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^{m+1} \left(\pi(z_{3,2}^*) \xi \right) & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.63}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(z_{3,2}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \right) &= \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,2}) \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \\
&= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \left(\pi(z_{3,2}) \xi \right) & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.64}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\pi(z_{3,3}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \right) = \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^{n+1} \xi. \quad (4.65)$$

As P commutes with $\pi(z_{2,1})$ and $\pi(z_{3,2})$, for any $\xi \in P\mathcal{H}$ we have $\pi(z_{2,1})\xi$, $\pi(z_{2,1}^*)\xi$, $\pi(z_{3,2})\xi$ and $\pi(z_{3,2}^*)\xi$ lies in $P\mathcal{H}$. From above actions we get that \mathcal{H}_P is invariant subspace for π . As π is irreducible, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_P$

For the second part, Let us compute the inner product $\langle \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi, \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^{m'} \pi(z_{3,3})^{n'} \xi' \rangle$ where $\xi \in P\mathcal{H}$ and $\xi' \in Q\mathcal{H}$. In the case $m \neq m'$ or $n \neq n'$, Using the relations $z_{1,1} z_{1,1}^* = 1 = z_{3,3}^* z_{3,3}$, $z_{1,1} z_{3,3} = z_{3,3} z_{1,1}$ and $z_{1,1} z_{3,3}^* = z_{3,3}^* z_{1,1}$, one arrives at a vector of the form $\pi(a)\zeta$ where a is $z_{1,1}^*$ or $z_{3,3}^*$ and ζ is either ξ or ξ' , and by (4.57), $\pi(a)\zeta = 0$. If $m = m'$ and $n = n'$, the above inner product reduces to $\langle \xi, \xi' \rangle$, is zero. \square

Proof of the next two corollaries are similar to the earlier cases and hence omitted.

Corollary 4.14 *The operator $\pi(z_{2,1})$ is normal, with $P_{1,3} \leq P_{2,1}$ and there exists a $\lambda \in S^1$ such that the restriction of $\pi(z_{2,1})$ to $P_{1,3}\mathcal{H}$ is λI .*

Corollary 4.15 *The operator $\pi(z_{3,2})$ is normal, with $P_{1,3} \leq P_{3,2}$ and there exists a $\mu \in S^1$ such that the restriction of $\pi(z_{3,2})$ to $P_{1,3}\mathcal{H}$ is μI .*

Proposition 4.16 *The representation π is unitarily equivalent to $\psi_{(\bar{\lambda}\bar{\mu}, \bar{\mu}), s_1 s_2}$.*

Proof: Take a unit vector $\xi \in P_{1,3}\mathcal{H}$. From the previous two corollaries, it follows that $\pi(z_{3,2})\xi = \mu\xi$ and $\pi(z_{2,1}z_{3,2})\xi = \lambda\mu\xi$. Let

$$\mathcal{H}_\xi = \{ \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi : m, n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

From equations (4.58–4.65), it now follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(z_{1,1}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \right) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m = 0, \\ \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^{m-1} \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases} \\ \pi(z_{1,2}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \right) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m = 0, n = 0, \\ \pi(z_{3,3})^{n-1} (\bar{\mu}\xi) & \text{if } m = 0, n > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases} \\ \pi(z_{1,3}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \right) &= \begin{cases} \bar{\mu}\bar{\lambda}\xi & \text{if } m = 0, n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m = 0, n > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases} \\ \pi(z_{2,1}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \right) &= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{3,3})^n (\lambda\xi) & \text{if } m = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(z_{2,2}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \right) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^{m+1} \pi(z_{3,3})^{n-1} \xi & \text{if } n > 0. \end{cases} \\
\pi(z_{2,3}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \right) &= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^{m+1} (\bar{\mu} \xi) & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 0. \end{cases} \\
\pi(z_{3,2}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \right) &= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m (\bar{\mu} \xi) & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 0. \end{cases} \\
\pi(z_{3,3}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi \right) &= \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^{n+1} \xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus \mathcal{H}_ξ is an invariant subspace of \mathcal{H} and hence by irreducibility of π , one has $\mathcal{H}_\xi = \mathcal{H}$.

The map

$$U : e_{m,n} \mapsto \mu^{-m-n} \lambda^{-m} \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^n \xi$$

from $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}^2)$ to \mathcal{H} gives us the required unitary. \square

4.2.5 Case 5: $\pi(z_{3,1}) \neq 0$, $\pi(z_{2,1})\pi(z_{3,2}) = 0$.

Note that in both Case 5 and Case 6, one has $\pi(z_{3,1}^*) = \pi(z_{1,2})\pi(z_{2,3}) \neq 0$. This implies that $\pi(z_{2,3}) \neq 0$, which in turn implies that $\pi(z_{3,2}) \neq 0$ because $\pi(z_{2,3}^*) = \pi(z_{1,1})\pi(z_{3,2})$.

Proposition 4.17 *Let P be a projection such that $P \leq P_{3,1}$ and $P\pi(z_{1,2}) = \pi(z_{1,2})P$, $P\pi(z_{2,3}) = \pi(z_{2,3})P$. Let $\mathcal{H}_P := \{\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^n \pi(z_{3,3})^m \xi : n, m \geq 0, \xi \in P\mathcal{H}\}$. Then \mathcal{H}_P is an invariant subspace for π .*

If Q is another projection orthogonal to P such that $Q\pi(z_{1,2}) = \pi(z_{1,2})Q$ and $Q\pi(z_{2,3}) = \pi(z_{2,3})Q$, then \mathcal{H}_P and \mathcal{H}_Q are orthogonal.

Corollary 4.18 *The operator $\pi(z_{1,2})$ is normal, with $P_{3,1} \leq P_{1,2}$ and there exists a $\lambda \in S^1$ such that the restriction of $\pi(z_{1,2})$ to $P_{3,1}\mathcal{H}$ is λI .*

Corollary 4.19 *The operator $\pi(z_{2,3})$ is normal, with $P_{3,1} \leq P_{2,3}$ and there exists a $\mu \in S^1$ such that the restriction of $\pi(z_{2,3})$ to $P_{3,1}\mathcal{H}$ is μI .*

Proposition 4.20 *The representation π is unitarily equivalent to $\psi_{(\lambda, \lambda\bar{\mu}, s_2 s_1)}$.*

4.2.6 Case 6: $\pi(z_{3,1}) \neq 0$, $\pi(z_{2,1})\pi(z_{3,2}) \neq 0$.

Lemma 4.21 *If $\pi(z_{3,1}) \neq 0$ and $\pi(z_{2,1})\pi(z_{3,2}) \neq 0$, then $\pi(z_{3,1}z_{2,1}z_{3,2}) \neq 0$.*

Proof: Let us assume that $\pi(z_{3,1}) \neq 0$ and $\pi(z_{3,1}z_{2,1}z_{3,2}) = 0$. We will show that this implies $\pi(z_{2,1})\pi(z_{3,2}) = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{H}_{3,1}$ be the closed linear span of $\{\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3}^n) \xi : m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \xi \in P_{3,1}\mathcal{H}\}$. We will show that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{3,1}$ and $\pi(z_{2,1})\pi(z_{3,2}) = 0$.

Let $\xi \in P_{3,1}\mathcal{H}$. Then one has $\xi = P_{3,1}\xi = \pi(z_{3,1}^*)\xi'$ for some $\xi' \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $z_{3,1}$ is normal, one also has $\xi = \pi(z_{3,1})\xi''$ for some $\xi'' \in \mathcal{H}$. Using this observation, the relation $z_{1,3}^* = z_{2,1}z_{3,2}$ and the condition $\pi(z_{3,1}z_{2,1}z_{3,2}) = 0$, we now get

$$\pi(z_{1,3})\xi = 0 \quad \pi(z_{1,3}^*)\xi = 0, \quad (4.66)$$

$$\pi(z_{1,1})\xi = 0 \quad \pi(z_{2,1})\xi = 0, \quad (4.67)$$

$$\pi(z_{3,2}^*)\xi = 0 \quad \pi(z_{3,3}^*)\xi = 0. \quad (4.68)$$

Since $z_{1,2}$ and $z_{2,3}$ commute with $z_{3,1}^*$ and $z_{3,1}$ is normal, it also follows that

$$\pi(z_{1,2})\xi \in \mathcal{H}_{3,1} \quad \pi(z_{1,2}^*)\xi \in \mathcal{H}_{3,1}, \quad (4.69)$$

$$\pi(z_{2,3})\xi \in \mathcal{H}_{3,1} \quad \pi(z_{2,3}^*)\xi \in \mathcal{H}_{3,1}. \quad (4.70)$$

$$\pi(z_{2,3}^*)\pi(z_{1,1}^*)\xi = \pi(z_{1,1}^*)\pi(z_{2,3}^*)\xi, \quad \xi \in P_{3,1}\mathcal{H}. \quad (4.71)$$

$$\pi(z_{1,1}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3}^n) \xi \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m = 0, \\ \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^{m-1} \pi(z_{3,3}^n) \xi & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\pi(z_{1,2}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3}^n) \xi \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m > 0, \\ \pi(z_{3,3}^n) \pi(z_{1,2}) \xi & \text{if } m = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\pi(z_{1,3}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3}^n) \xi \right) = 0.$$

$$\pi(z_{2,1}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3}^n) \xi \right) = \begin{cases} \pi(z_{2,1})\pi(z_{2,2})\pi(z_{3,3}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^{m-1} \pi(z_{3,3}^n) \xi \right) & \text{if } m > 0, \\ \pi(z_{1,2}^*)\pi(z_{3,3})^{n-1} \xi & \text{if } m = 0, n > 0, \\ \pi(z_{2,1})\xi & \text{if } m = 0, n = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m > 0, \\ \pi(z_{3,3})^{n-1} \left(\pi(z_{1,2}^*) \xi \right) & \text{if } m = 0, n > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m = 0, n = 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\pi(z_{2,2}) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3}^n) \xi \right) = \begin{cases} \pi(z_{2,2})\pi(z_{3,3})\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3}^{n-1}) \xi & \text{if } n > 0, \\ \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^{m+1} \pi(z_{3,3}^*) \xi & \text{if } n = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^{m+1} \pi(z_{3,3}^{n-1}) \xi & \text{if } n > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases} \\
\pi(z_{2,3}) (\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3}^n) \xi) &= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m (\pi(z_{2,3}) \xi) & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 0. \end{cases} \\
\pi(z_{3,1}) (\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3}^n) \xi) &= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{3,1}) \pi(z_{2,2}) \pi(z_{3,3}) (\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^{m-1} \pi(z_{3,3}^n) \xi) & \text{if } m > 0, \\ \pi(z_{3,1}) (\pi(z_{3,3}^n) \xi) & \text{if } m = 0, n > 0, \\ \pi(z_{3,1}) \xi & \text{if } m = 0, n = 0 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m = 0, n > 0, \\ \pi(z_{3,1}) \xi & \text{if } m = 0, n = 0. \end{cases} \\
\pi(z_{3,2}) (\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3}^n) \xi) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n > 0, \\ \pi(z_{2,3}^*) \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^{m+1} \xi & \text{if } n = 0 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n > 0, \\ \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^{m+1} (\pi(z_{2,3}^*) \xi) & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases} \\
\pi(z_{3,3}) (\pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3}^n) \xi) &= \pi(z_{1,1}^*)^m \pi(z_{3,3})^{n+1} \xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{H}_{3,1}$ is an invariant subspace for π . Irreducibility of π gives us $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{3,1}$. Since $\pi(z_{1,3}) = 0$ on $\mathcal{H}_{3,1}$ from the above computation, it follows that $\pi(z_{2,1})\pi(z_{3,2}) = \pi(z_{1,3}) = 0$. \square

Observe that the relations (2.31–2.39) imply that $z_{1,3}$ and $z_{3,1}$ are both normal, i.e. $P_{1,3} = Q_{1,3}$ and $P_{3,1} = Q_{3,1}$. Using the relations (2.31–2.39) again, we now conclude that $z_{3,1}z_{2,1}z_{3,2}$ is a normal partial isometry, with initial and final projection given by $P_{1,3}P_{3,1}$ as the following computations show

$$\begin{aligned}
(z_{3,1}z_{2,1}z_{3,2}) (z_{3,1}z_{2,1}z_{3,2})^* &= (z_{3,1}z_{1,3}^*) (z_{3,1}z_{1,3}^*)^* \\
&= z_{3,1}z_{1,3}^*z_{1,3}z_{3,1}^* \\
&= z_{1,3}^*z_{1,3}z_{3,1}z_{3,1}^* \\
&= z_{1,3}^*z_{1,3}z_{3,1}^*z_{3,1},
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
(z_{3,1}z_{2,1}z_{3,2})^* (z_{3,1}z_{2,1}z_{3,2}) &= (z_{3,1}z_{1,3}^*)^* (z_{3,1}z_{1,3}^*) \\
&= z_{1,3}z_{3,1}^*z_{3,1}z_{1,3}^*
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= z_{1,3} z_{1,3}^* z_{3,1}^* z_{3,1} \\
&= z_{1,3}^* z_{1,3} z_{3,1}^* z_{3,1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.22 *Let P be a projection such that*

$$P \leq P_{1,3} P_{3,1}, \quad P\pi(z_{3,1}) = \pi(z_{3,1})P, \quad P\pi(z_{1,3}) = \pi(z_{1,3})P.$$

Then $\mathcal{H}_P := \{\pi(z_{3,3}^m)\pi(z_{2,3}^k)\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi : k, m, n \geq 0, \xi \in P\mathcal{H}\}$ is an invariant subspace for π .

If Q is another projection orthogonal to P satisfying the same conditions above, then \mathcal{H}_P and \mathcal{H}_Q are orthogonal.

Proof: Since $P \leq P_{1,3} P_{3,1}$, one has $\xi = P_{1,3} P_{3,1} \xi$ for $\xi \in P\mathcal{H}$. Therefore

$$\pi(z_{1,1})\xi = 0, \quad \pi(z_{1,2})\xi = 0, \quad \pi(z_{2,1})\xi = 0, \quad (4.72)$$

$$\pi(z_{2,3}^*)\xi = 0, \quad \pi(z_{3,2}^*)\xi = 0, \quad \pi(z_{3,3}^*)\xi = 0. \quad (4.73)$$

Consequently, we also have

$$\pi(z_{2,2})\xi = \pi(z_{3,3}^*)\pi(z_{1,1}^*)\xi = \pi(z_{1,1}^*)\pi(z_{3,3}^*)\xi = 0. \quad (4.74)$$

From (4.72-4.73) and (2.31–2.34), it follows that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\pi(z_{2,1})\pi(z_{2,3}^n)\xi = 0, \quad \pi(z_{1,2})\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi = 0. \quad (4.75)$$

Since P commutes with $\pi(z_{1,3})$ and $\pi(z_{3,1})$, we have

$$\xi \in P\mathcal{H} \implies \pi(z_{1,3})\xi, \pi(z_{1,3}^*)\xi, \pi(z_{3,1})\xi, \pi(z_{3,1}^*)\xi \in P\mathcal{H}. \quad (4.76)$$

Let $\xi \in P\mathcal{H}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi(z_{1,1}) \left(\pi(z_{3,3}^m) \pi(z_{2,3}^k) \pi(z_{3,2}^n) \xi \right) \\
&= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{3,3}^m) \left(\pi(z_{1,1}) \xi \right) & \text{if } k=0, n=0, \\ \pi(z_{3,3}^m) \pi(z_{3,2}^{n-1}) \left(\pi(z_{2,3}^*) \xi \right) & \text{if } k=0, n>0, \\ \pi(z_{3,3}^m) \pi(z_{2,3}^{k-1}) \left(\pi(z_{3,2}^*) \pi(z_{3,2}^n) \xi \right) & \text{if } k>0 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k=0, \\ \pi(z_{3,3}^m) \pi(z_{2,3}^{k-1}) \left(\pi(z_{3,2}^*) \pi(z_{3,2}^n) \xi \right) & \text{if } k>0. \end{cases} \tag{4.77}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi(z_{1,2}) \left(\pi(z_{3,3}^m) \pi(z_{2,3}^k) \pi(z_{3,2}^n) \xi \right) \\
&= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{1,2}) \pi(z_{2,3}^k) \pi(z_{3,2}^n) \xi & \text{if } m=0, \\ \left(\pi(z_{3,3}^m) \pi(z_{1,2}) + \pi(z_{3,3}^{m-1}) \pi(z_{1,3}) \pi(z_{3,2}) \right) \pi(z_{2,3}^k) \pi(z_{3,2}^n) \xi & \text{if } m>0 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{1,2}) \pi(z_{3,2}^n) \xi & \text{if } m=0, k=0 \\ \pi(z_{2,3}^{k-1}) \left(\pi(z_{3,1}^*) \pi(z_{3,2}^n) \xi \right) & \text{if } m=0, k>0, \\ \pi(z_{3,3}^m) \left(\pi(z_{1,2}) \pi(z_{3,2}^n) \xi \right) + \pi(z_{3,3}^{m-1}) \pi(z_{3,2}^{n+1}) \left(\pi(z_{1,3}) \xi \right) & \text{if } m>0, k=0, \\ \pi(z_{3,3}^m) \pi(z_{2,3}^{k-1}) \left(\pi(z_{3,1}^*) \pi(z_{3,2}^n) \xi \right) & \text{if } m>0, k>0 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m=0, k=0, \\ \pi(z_{2,3}^{k-1}) \left(\pi(z_{3,1}^*) \pi(z_{3,2}^n) \xi \right) & \text{if } m=0, k>0, \\ \pi(z_{3,3}^{m-1}) \pi(z_{3,2}^{n+1}) \left(\pi(z_{1,3}) \xi \right) & \text{if } m>0, k=0, \\ \pi(z_{3,3}^m) \pi(z_{2,3}^{k-1}) \left(\pi(z_{3,1}^*) \pi(z_{3,2}^n) \xi \right) & \text{if } m>0, k>0. \end{cases} \tag{4.78}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi(z_{1,3}) \left(\pi(z_{3,3}^m) \pi(z_{2,3}^k) \pi(z_{3,2}^n) \xi \right) \\
&= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{3,2}^n) \left(\pi(z_{1,3}) \xi \right) & \text{if } m=0, k=0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m>0 \text{ or } k>0. \end{cases} \tag{4.79}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi(z_{2,1}) \left(\pi(z_{3,3}^m) \pi(z_{2,3}^k) \pi(z_{3,2}^n) \xi \right) \\
&= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{2,1}) \pi(z_{2,3}^k) \pi(z_{3,2}^n) \xi & \text{if } m=0, \\ \left(\pi(z_{3,3}^m) \pi(z_{2,1}) + \pi(z_{3,3}^{m-1}) \pi(z_{2,3}) \pi(z_{3,1}) \right) \pi(z_{2,3}^k) \pi(z_{3,2}^n) \xi & \text{if } m>0 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m = 0, k = 0, n = 0, \\ \pi(z_{3,2}^{n-1})\left(\pi(z_{1,3}^*)\xi\right) & \text{if } m = 0, k = 0, n > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m = 0, k > 0, \\ \pi(z_{3,3}^{m-1})\pi(z_{2,3}^{k+1})\left(\pi(z_{3,1})\xi\right) & \text{if } m > 0, n = 0 \\ \pi(z_{3,3}^m)\pi(z_{3,2}^{n-1})\left(\pi(z_{1,3}^*)\xi\right) & \text{if } m > 0, n > 0, k = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m > 0, n > 0, k > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.80)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi(z_{2,2})\left(\pi(z_{3,3}^m)\pi(z_{2,3}^k)\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi\right) \\
&= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{2,2})\pi(z_{2,3}^k)\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi & \text{if } m = 0, \\ \pi(z_{3,3}^{m-1})\pi(z_{2,3}^k)\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\pi(z_{1,1}^*)\xi & \text{if } m > 0 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{2,2})\xi & \text{if } m = 0, k = 0, n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m = 0, k + n > 0, \\ \pi(z_{3,3}^{m-1})\pi(z_{2,3}^k)\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\left(\pi(z_{3,3})\pi(z_{2,2}) + \pi(z_{2,3})\pi(z_{3,2})\right)\xi & \text{if } m > 0 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m = 0, \\ \pi(z_{3,3}^{m-1})\pi(z_{2,3}^{k+1})\pi(z_{3,2}^{n+1})\xi & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.81)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi(z_{2,3})\left(\pi(z_{3,3}^m)\pi(z_{2,3}^k)\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi\right) \\
&= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{2,3}^{k+1})\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi & \text{if } m = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.82)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi(z_{3,1})\left(\pi(z_{3,3}^m)\pi(z_{2,3}^k)\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi\right) \\
&= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{2,3}^k)\left(\pi(z_{3,1})\xi\right) & \text{if } m = 0, n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m + n > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.83)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi(z_{3,2})\left(\pi(z_{3,3}^m)\pi(z_{2,3}^k)\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi\right) \\
&= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{2,3}^k)\pi(z_{3,2}^{n+1})\xi & \text{if } m = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.84)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi(z_{3,3})\left(\pi(z_{3,3}^m)\pi(z_{2,3}^k)\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi\right) \\
&= \pi(z_{3,3}^{m+1})\pi(z_{2,3}^k)\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi. \quad (4.85)
\end{aligned}$$

Now observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(z_{3,2}^*)\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi &= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{3,2}^*)\xi = \pi(z_{3,2}^*)\pi(z_{3,1}^*)\pi(z_{3,1})\xi = 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (I - \pi(z_{2,2}^*)\pi(z_{2,2}))\pi(z_{3,2}^{n-1})\xi = \pi(z_{3,2}^{n-1})\xi & \text{if } n > 0. \end{cases} \\ \pi(z_{3,1}^*)\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi &= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{3,1}^*)\xi & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \pi(z_{1,2})\pi(z_{2,3})\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi = \pi(z_{1,2})\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\pi(z_{2,3})\xi = 0 & \text{if } n > 0. \end{cases} \\ \pi(z_{2,1})\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi &= \begin{cases} \pi(z_{2,1})\xi = 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \pi(z_{1,3}^*)\pi(z_{3,2}^{n-1})\xi = \pi(z_{3,2}^{n-1})\pi(z_{1,3}^*)\xi & \text{if } n > 0. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore it follows that $\pi(z_{i,j})\left(\pi(z_{3,3}^m)\pi(z_{2,3}^k)\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi\right) \in \mathcal{H}_P$ for all i, j . Thus \mathcal{H}_P is an invariant subspace for π .

For the second part, take $\xi \in P\mathcal{H}$ and $\xi' \in Q\mathcal{H}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{k,m,n} &= \pi(z_{3,3}^m)\pi(z_{2,3}^k)\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi, \quad k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \xi'_{k,m,n} &= \pi(z_{3,3}^m)\pi(z_{2,3}^k)\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi', \quad k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $z_{3,3}^*z_{3,3} = 1$, we have

$$\langle \xi_{k,m,n}, \xi'_{k',m',n'} \rangle = \begin{cases} \langle \pi(z_{3,3}^*)^{m'-m}\pi(z_{2,3}^k)\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi, \pi(z_{2,3}^{k'})\pi(z_{3,2}^{n'})\xi' \rangle & \text{if } m < m', \\ \langle \pi(z_{2,3}^k)\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi, \pi(z_{3,3}^*)^{m-m'}\pi(z_{2,3}^{k'})\pi(z_{3,2}^{n'})\xi' \rangle & \text{if } m > m', \\ \langle \pi(z_{2,3}^k)\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi, \pi(z_{2,3}^{k'})\pi(z_{3,2}^{n'})\xi' \rangle & \text{if } m = m'. \end{cases}$$

Using the equalities $z_{3,3}^* = z_{1,1}z_{2,2}$, $z_{2,2}z_{2,3} = 0 = z_{2,2}z_{3,2}$ and $\pi(z_{2,2})\xi = 0 = \pi(z_{2,2})\xi'$, we get

$$\langle \xi_{k,m,n}, \xi'_{k',m',n'} \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq m', \\ \langle \pi(z_{2,3}^k)\pi(z_{3,2}^n)\xi, \pi(z_{2,3}^{k'})\pi(z_{3,2}^{n'})\xi' \rangle & \text{if } m = m'. \end{cases} \quad (4.86)$$

Next, note that from the equality $z_{2,2}^*z_{2,2} + z_{3,2}^*z_{3,2} = 1$, it follows that

$$(z_{3,2}^*)^m(z_{3,2}^n) = (z_{3,2}^*)^{m-1}(z_{3,2}^{n-1}) \quad \text{if } m \geq 1, n \geq 1, \text{ and } m+n > 2.$$

Therefore using the equalities $\pi(z_{3,2}^*)\xi = 0 = \pi(z_{3,2}^*)\xi'$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \xi_{k,m,n}, \xi'_{k',m',n'} \rangle &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq m', \\ \langle \pi(z_{2,3}^k)\xi, \pi(z_{2,3}^{k'})\pi(z_{3,2}^*)^{n-n'}\xi' \rangle & \text{if } m = m', n > n', \\ \langle \pi(z_{3,2}^*)^{n'-n}\pi(z_{2,3}^k)\xi, \pi(z_{2,3}^{k'})\xi' \rangle & \text{if } m = m', n < n', \\ \langle \pi(z_{2,3}^k)\xi, \pi(z_{2,3}^{k'})\pi(z_{3,2}^*)\pi(z_{3,2})\xi' \rangle & \text{if } m = m', n = n' > 0, \\ \langle \pi(z_{2,3}^k)\xi, \pi(z_{2,3}^{k'})\xi' \rangle & \text{if } m = m', n = n' = 0, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq m' \text{ or } n \neq n', \\ \langle \pi(z_{2,3}^k)\xi, \pi(z_{2,3}^{k'})\xi' \rangle & \text{if } m = m', n = n'. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.87)$$

Finally, note that

$$z_{2,3}^* z_{2,3} = z_{1,1} z_{3,2} z_{2,3} = z_{1,1} z_{2,3} z_{3,2} = z_{3,2}^* z_{3,2}.$$

This together with the relations $z_{2,3} z_{3,2} = z_{3,2} z_{2,3}$ and $z_{2,3} z_{3,2}^* = z_{3,2}^* z_{2,3}$ give us

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi_{k,m,n}, \xi'_{k',m',n'} \rangle &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq m' \text{ or } n \neq n', \\ \langle \xi, \pi(z_{2,3}^*)^{k'-k} (I - \pi(z_{2,2}^*) \pi(z_{2,2}))^{k'} \xi' \rangle & \text{if } m = m', n = n', k > k', \\ \langle \pi(z_{2,3}^*)^{k'-k} (I - \pi(z_{2,2}^*) \pi(z_{2,2}))^k \xi, \xi' \rangle & \text{if } m = m', n = n', k < k', \\ \langle (I - \pi(z_{2,2}^*) \pi(z_{2,2}))^k \xi, \xi' \rangle & \text{if } m = m', n = n', k = k', \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq m' \text{ or } n \neq n' \text{ or } k \neq k', \\ \langle \xi, \xi' \rangle & \text{if } m = m', n = n', k = k', \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.88)$$

Since $PQ = 0$, we have $\langle \xi_{k,m,n}, \xi'_{k',m',n'} \rangle = 0$. \square

The following two corollaries are now immediate.

Corollary 4.23 *There exists a $\lambda \in S^1$ such that the restriction of $\pi(z_{1,3})$ to $P_{1,3}P_{3,1}\mathcal{H}$ is λI .*

Corollary 4.24 *There exists a $\mu \in S^1$ such that the restriction of $\pi(z_{3,1})$ to $P_{1,3}P_{3,1}\mathcal{H}$ is μI .*

Proposition 4.25 *The representation π is unitarily equivalent to $\psi_{(\lambda, \bar{\mu}), s_1 s_2 s_1}$.*

Proof: In view of the above corollaries, it follows from (4.77–4.85) that

$$\pi(z_{1,1})\xi_{k,m,n} = \begin{cases} \xi_{k-1,m,n-1} & \text{if } k > 0, n > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (4.89)$$

$$\pi(z_{1,2})\xi_{k,m,n} = \begin{cases} \lambda \xi_{0,m-1,n+1} & \text{if } k = 0, m > 0 \\ \bar{\mu} \xi_{k-1,m,0} & \text{if } k > 0, n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (4.90)$$

$$\pi(z_{1,3})\xi_{k,m,n} = \begin{cases} \lambda \xi_{0,0,n} & \text{if } m = 0, k = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (4.91)$$

$$\pi(z_{2,1})\xi_{k,m,n} = \begin{cases} \bar{\lambda} \xi_{0,m,n-1} & \text{if } k = 0, n > 0, \\ \mu \xi_{k+1,m-1,0} & \text{if } m > 0, n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (4.92)$$

$$\pi(z_{2,2})\xi_{k,m,n} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m = 0, \\ \xi_{k+1,m-1,n+1} & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.93)$$

$$\pi(z_{2,3})\xi_{k,m,n} = \begin{cases} \xi_{k+1,0,n} & \text{if } m = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.94)$$

$$\pi(z_{3,1})\xi_{k,m,n} = \begin{cases} \mu\xi_{k,0,0} & \text{if } m = 0, n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.95)$$

$$\pi(z_{3,2})\xi_{k,m,n} = \begin{cases} \xi_{k,0,n+1} & \text{if } m = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.96)$$

$$\pi(z_{3,3})\xi_{k,m,n} = \xi_{k,m+1,n}. \quad (4.97)$$

Thus if we define \mathcal{H}_ξ to be the closed linear span of $\{\xi_{k,m,n} : k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, then \mathcal{H}_ξ is an invariant subspace for π . Since π is irreducible, we have $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_\xi$. By the computations in the proof of the second part of Proposition 4.22, it follows that $\{\xi_{k,m,n} : k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} . The map

$$e_{m,k,n} \mapsto \lambda^k \mu^{k-m-n} \xi_{k,m,n}$$

from $\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$ to \mathcal{H} now sets up a unitary equivalence between π and $\psi_{(\lambda, \bar{\mu}), s_1 s_2 s_1}$. \square

References

- [1] Partha Sarathi Chakraborty and Arup Kumar Pal. An approximate equivalence of the gns representation for the haar state of $su_q(2)$. arXiv:2203.06908 [math.OA], 2022.
- [2] Ivan Erdélyi. Partial isometries closed under multiplication on Hilbert spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 22:546–551, 1968.
- [3] P. R. Halmos and L. J. Wallen. Powers of partial isometries. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 19(8):657–663, 1970.
- [4] Jeong Hee Hong and Wojciech Szymański. Quantum spheres and projective spaces as graph algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 232(1):157–188, 2002.
- [5] M. Kashiwara. On crystal bases of the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras. *Duke Math. J.*, 63(2):465–516, 1991.
- [6] Masaki Kashiwara. Crystalizing the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 133(2):249–260, 1990.

- [7] Masaki Kashiwara. Crystallizing the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I, II (Kyoto, 1990)*, pages 791–797. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1991.
- [8] Masaki Kashiwara. Global crystal bases of quantum groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 69(2):455–485, 1993.
- [9] Masaki Kashiwara. Crystal bases of modified quantized enveloping algebra. *Duke Math. J.*, 73(2):383–413, 1994.
- [10] Masaki Kashiwara. On crystal bases. In *Representations of groups (Banff, AB, 1994)*, volume 16 of *CMS Conf. Proc.*, pages 155–197. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.
- [11] Anatoli Klimyk and Konrad Schmüdgen. *Quantum groups and their representations*. Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [12] H. Tjerk Koelink. On ast -representations of the hopf star-algebra associated with the quantum group $U_q(n)$. *Compositio Math.*, 77(2):199–231, 1991.
- [13] Leonid I. Korogodski and Yan S. Soibelman. *Algebras of functions on quantum groups. Part I*, volume 56 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [14] G. Lusztig. Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3(2):447–498, 1990.
- [15] G. Lusztig. Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras. II. *Progr. Theoret. Phys. Suppl.*, (102):175–201 (1991), 1990.
- [16] Marco Matassa and Robert Yuncken. Crystal limits of compact semisimple quantum groups as higher-rank graph algebras, 2022. arXiv:2208.13201 [math.QA], 2022.
- [17] Brian Parshall and Jian-pan Wang. *Quantum Linear Groups*, volume 89 of *Memoirs of the AMS*. American Mathematical Society, January 1991.
- [18] S. L. Woronowicz. Twisted $SU(2)$ group. An example of a noncommutative differential calculus. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 23(1):117–181, 1987.
- [19] S. L. Woronowicz. Compact quantum groups. In *Symétries quantiques (Les Houches, 1995)*, pages 845–884. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998.